Selection From: 12/09/2019 - Gov Oversight Acc (1:30 PM - 3:30 PM)

Committee Packet Agenda Order

|        | 1  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
|--------|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Tab 1  | SB 220   | by <b>Cruz</b>    | (CO-INTRO              | DUCE  | RS) Gibson, Rouson; (      | Identical to H 00121) Abandone  | ed Cemeteries    |
| 156020 | D  | S                 | RCS                    | GO,   | Cruz                       | Delete everything after         | 12/09 03:32 P    |
| 181220 | AA   | S                 | RCS                    | GO,   | Hooper                     | Delete L.89 - 138:              |                  |
|        |  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 2  | SB 374   | by <b>Rous</b>    | son; (Identical        | to H  | 00175) Housing Discrimin   | nation                          |                  |
|        |  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 3  | SB 726   | by <b>Rou</b> s   | son: (Similar to       | o H 0 | 0255) Florida Commission   | on Human Relations              |                  |
| 145 5  | 02720  | <i>5</i> , 110 a. | Jon, (Januar et        |       | ozob) i lorida cominiscion | . on Haman Relations            |                  |
|        |  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 4  | SB 830 by Benacquisto; (Compare to H 00887) OGSR/Certain Personal Financial and Health Information |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
|        | _  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 5  | SB 7004 by FT; (Identical to H 07007) OGSR/Taxpayer E-mail Addresses Held by a Tax Collector       |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
|        |  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 6  | SB 700   | 8 by FD           | · OGSR/Animal          | Med   | ical Records/State College | of Veterinary Medicine          |                  |
| Tub C  | 00700  | 0 5, 25,          | , 00014711111101       | 1 100 | icai records/state conege  | or vecernary rearence           |                  |
|        |  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 7  | SB 444   | by <b>Rade</b>    | <b>er</b> ; Customer S | ervic | e Standards for State Age  | encies                          |                  |
|        |  |                   |                        |       |                            |                                 |                  |
| Tab 8  | SB 248   | by <b>Hoo</b>     | per; (Identical        | to H  | 00063) Public Records/Co   | ounty Attorneys and Assistant C | county Attorneys |

#### The Florida Senate

#### **COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA**

#### GOVERNMENTAL OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY Senator Hooper, Chair Senator Rader, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Monday, December 9, 2019

TIME: 1:30—3:30 p.m.
PLACE: 301 Senate Building

MEMBERS: Senator Hooper, Chair; Senator Rader, Vice Chair; Senators Albritton, Bean, and Torres

| TAB | BILL NO. and INTRODUCER                            | BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS   | COMMITTEE ACTION           |
|-----|--|---|----------------------------|
| 1   | SB 220<br>Cruz<br>(Identical H 121)                | Abandoned Cemeteries; Creating the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries; requiring the Department of State to partner with the University of South Florida to undertake an investigation of the former Zion Cemetery site; requiring the department to contract with the university for the identification and location of eligible next of kin of certain persons, etc.  GO 12/09/2019 Fav/CS ATD AP  | Fav/CS<br>Yeas 4 Nays 0    |
| 2   | SB 374 Rouson (Identical H 175)                    | Housing Discrimination; Removing housing discrimination as a cause of action for certain relief and damages stemming from violations of the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992; revising the conditions under which an aggrieved person may commence a civil action in any appropriate court against a specified respondent to enforce specified rights; authorizing, rather than requiring, a civil action to commence within a specified period after an alleged discriminatory housing practice, etc.  JU 11/05/2019 Favorable GO 12/09/2019 Favorable RC                            | Favorable<br>Yeas 5 Nays 0 |
| 3   | SB 726<br>Rouson<br>(Similar H 255, Compare S 450) | Florida Commission on Human Relations; Revising quorum requirements for the Commission on Human Relations and its panels; revising the number of persons the commission must recommend for the Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame; deleting a requirement that a facility or community that provides housing for older persons register with and submit a letter to the commission; deleting the requirement for the commission or Attorney General to investigate a complaint of discrimination in evaluating an application for club membership, etc.  GO 12/09/2019 Favorable JU RC | Favorable<br>Yeas 5 Nays 0 |

#### **COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA**

Governmental Oversight and Accountability Monday, December 9, 2019, 1:30—3:30 p.m.

| TAB | BILL NO. and INTRODUCER                          | BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS   | COMMITTEE ACTION           |
|-----|--|---|----------------------------|
| 4   | SB 830<br>Benacquisto                            | OGSR/Certain Personal Financial and Health Information; Amending a provision which provides an exemption from public records requirements for certain personal financial and health information held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, Florida ABLE, Inc., or the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service provider thereof; removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption, etc. | Favorable<br>Yeas 5 Nays 0 |
|     |  | GO 12/09/2019 Favorable<br>RC   |                            |
| 5   | SB 7004<br>Finance and Tax<br>(Identical H 7007) | OGSR/Taxpayer E-mail Addresses Held by a Tax Collector; Amending a provision relating to an exemption from public records requirements for taxpayer e-mail addresses held by a tax collector for certain purposes; removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption, etc.   | Favorable<br>Yeas 5 Nays 0 |
|     |  | GO 12/09/2019 Favorable<br>RC   |                            |
| 6   | SB 7008<br>Education                             | OGSR/Animal Medical Records/State College of Veterinary Medicine; Amending a provision which provides an exemption from public records requirements for certain animal medical records held by a state college of veterinary medicine that is accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education; removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption, etc.        | Favorable<br>Yeas 5 Nays 0 |
|     |  | GO 12/09/2019 Favorable<br>RC   |                            |
| 7   | SB 444<br>Rader                                  | Customer Service Standards for State Agencies;<br>Requiring departments within the executive branch of<br>state government to implement certain measures with<br>respect to telephone calls placed by customers, etc.   | Favorable<br>Yeas 4 Nays 0 |
|     |  | GO 12/09/2019 Favorable<br>IT<br>RC   |                            |

S-036 (10/2008) Page 2 of 3

#### **COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA**

Governmental Oversight and Accountability Monday, December 9, 2019, 1:30—3:30 p.m.

| TAB | BILL NO. and INTRODUCER              | BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS  | COMMITTEE ACTION           |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| 8   | SB 248<br>Hooper<br>(Identical H 63) | Public Records/County Attorneys and Assistant County Attorneys; Providing an exemption from public records requirements for the personal identifying and location information of current and former county attorneys and assistant county attorneys and the names and personal identifying and location information of the spouses and children of such attorneys; providing a statement of public necessity, etc. | Favorable<br>Yeas 4 Nays 0 |
|     |                                      | JU 11/05/2019 Favorable<br>GO 12/09/2019 Favorable<br>RC   |                            |

Other Related Meeting Documents

## The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar               | ed By: The Prof | essional Staff of the Com | mittee on Governme | ental Oversight and Accountability  |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BILL:                | CS/SB 220       |                           |                    |                                     |
| INTRODUCER: Governme |                 | al Oversight and Acco     | ountability Comm   | nittee; and Senator Cruz and others |
| SUBJECT:             | Abandoned (     | Cemeteries                |                    |                                     |
| DATE:                | December 10     | 0, 2019 REVISED:          |                    |                                     |
| ANAL                 | YST             | STAFF DIRECTOR            | REFERENCE          | ACTION                              |
| Ponder               |                 | McVaney                   | GO                 | Fav/CS                              |
| •                    |                 |                           | ATD                |                                     |
|                      |                 |                           | AP                 |                                     |
|                      | _               |                           |                    |                                     |
|                      | <b>F</b>        |                           |                    |                                     |

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

**COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes** 

#### I. Summary:

CS/SB 220 creates a seven-member Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries. The stated purpose of the task force is to study the extent to which unmarked or abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds exist throughout the state and to develop and recommend strategies for identifying and recording cemeteries and burial grounds while preserving local history and ensuring dignity and respect for the deceased. The task force is required to review the findings and recommendations made by the Task Force on Abandoned and Neglected Cemeteries created pursuant to chapter 98-268, Laws of Florida, and to make recommendations regarding the creation, placement, and maintenance of memorials at the sites of the former Zion Cemetery and the Ridgewood Cemetery in Tampa. The Department of State (DOS) must provide administrative and staff support relating to the functions of the task force. The task force must submit a report by March 1, 2021, that details its findings and recommendations. The bill provides for the termination of the task force on July 1, 2021.

The bill also requires the DOS, upon receiving consent of the property owners at the former Zion Cemetery site in Tampa, to partner with the University of South Florida, the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, and the Zion Cemetery Archaeological Committee formed under the auspices of the Tampa Housing Authority, to initiate an investigation to determine how many graves remain at the site. The DOS is to contract with the University of South Florida and the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University for the identification and location of eligible next of kin. The universities are required to provide the DOS, no later than January 1, 2021, with

a list of possible descendants of those buried at the site and, to the extent possible, their contact information.

The Division of Historical Resources of the DOS must ensure that any abandoned African-American Cemetery identified by the task force is listed in the Florida Master Site File and seek placement of an Official Florida Historical Marker at a site with the approval of the land owner.

The bill appropriates \$100,000 from the General Revenue Fund to the DOS to implement this act. From these funds, \$50,000 must be used for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Zion Cemetery in Tampa, and \$50,000 is allocated for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Ridgewood Cemetery at C. Leon King High School in Tampa.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### Task Force Requirements under Section 20.03, Florida Statutes

Section 20.03(8), F.S., defines "task force" to mean an "advisory body created without specific statutory enactment for a time not to exceed 1 year or created by specific statutory enactment for a time not to exceed 3 years and appointed to study a specific problem and recommend a solution or policy alternative related to that problem." This provision specifies that the existence of the task force terminates upon the completion of its assignment. Further, members, unless expressly provided otherwise by specific statutory enactment, serve without additional compensation and are authorized to receive only per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses.<sup>1</sup>

### Florida Law Related to Historic and Abandoned Cemeteries, and to Unmarked Human Remains

#### Cemetery Regulation

Chapter 470, F.S., known as the Florida Funeral, Cemetery, and Consumer Services Act (the Act), generally regulates funeral and cemetery services.<sup>2</sup> The Act authorizes the Board of Funeral, Cemetery, and Consumer Services within the Department of Financial Services to regulate cemeteries, columbaria, cremation services and practices, cemetery companies, dealers and monument builders, funeral directors, and funeral establishments.<sup>3</sup>

Section 497.005(13), F.S., defines the term "cemetery" to mean:

"a place dedicated to and used or intended to be used for the permanent interment of human remains or cremated remains. A cemetery may contain land or earth interment; mausoleum, vault, or crypt interment; a columbarium, ossuary, scattering garden, or other structure or place used or intended to be used for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 20.052(2)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Section 497.001, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sections 497.101, F.S., and 497.103, F.S.

interment or disposition of cremated remains; or any combination of one or more of such structures or places."

The Act allows for the moving of graves – disinterment and reinterment.<sup>4</sup> Disinterment and reinternment must be made in the physical presence of a licensed funeral director, unless reinterment occurs in the same cemetery.<sup>5</sup> Further, the funeral director is to obtain written authorization from a legally authorized person or court of competent jurisdiction prior to any disinterment and reinterment. Section 497.05(43), F.S., defines the term "legally authorized person" by providing a priority listing which begins with the decedent (when written inter vivos authorizations and directions are provided by the decedent) and includes relatives of the decedent.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, the definition provides for other persons who may qualify—such as a public health officer, medical examiner or county commission – should a family member not exist or be available.<sup>7</sup> Thus, if a legally authorized person is not available, a court of competent jurisdiction may provide the written authorization prior to the disinterment and reinterment of a dead human body.<sup>8</sup>

There is a large number of abandoned cemeteries in Florida. Section 497.284, F.S., governs abandoned cemeteries, and authorizes counties and municipalities, upon notice to the Department of Financial Services, to maintain and secure an abandoned cemetery or one that has not been maintained for more than six months. The solicitation of private funds and the expenditure of public funds are authorized for such maintenance and security. These efforts of maintenance and security are statutorily exempt from civil liabilities or penalties for damages to property at the cemetery. Additionally, the county or municipality is permitted to maintain an action against the cemetery owner to recover costs for maintenance or security.

#### Criminal Offenses Concerning Dead Bodies and Graves under Chapter 872, F.S.

In Florida, criminal offenses concerning dead bodies and graves are governed by Chapter 872, F.S.<sup>13</sup> Section 872.02(1), F.S., provides that it is a third degree felony<sup>14</sup> to willfully and knowingly destroy, mutilate, deface, injure or remove any tomb<sup>15</sup> containing human skeletal remains and disturb memorials including fences associated with a monument containing human skeletal remains<sup>16</sup> It is a second degree felony<sup>17</sup>to willfully and knowingly disturb the contents of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Section 497.384, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 487.384(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 497.05(43), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 497.384(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See What are the applicable laws and regulations? https://dos.myflorida.com/historical/archaeology/human-remains/abandoned-cemeteries/what-are-the-applicable-laws-and-regulations/ (last visited November 15, 2019). <sup>10</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 497.284(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 497.284(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Chapter 872, F.S., is entitled, "Offenses Concerning Dead Bodies and Graves."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> A third degree felony is punishable by up to five years imprisonment and a \$5,000 fine. ss. 775.082, 775.083, and 775.084, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This section provides that the term "tomb" includes any mausoleum, columbarium, or below ground crypt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 872.02(1), F.S.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  A second degree felony is punishable by up to 15 years imprisonment and a \$10,000 fine. ss. 775.082, 775.083, and 775.084, F.S.

a grave or tomb. 18 Section 872.02, F.S., specifies that the offenses described above do not apply to:

- Any person acting under the direction or authority of the Division of Historical Resources of the DOS;<sup>19</sup>
- Cemeteries operating under chapter 497, F.S.;
- Any cemeteries removing or relocating the contents of a grave or tomb as a response to a natural disaster; or
- Any person otherwise authorized by law to remove or disturb a tomb, monument, gravestone, burial mound, or similar structure, or its contents as set forth in subsection (1).

The section provides that a "tomb" includes any mausoleum, <sup>20</sup> columbarium, <sup>21</sup> or below ground crypt." <sup>22</sup>

#### The 1998 Task Force on Abandoned and Neglected Cemeteries

In 1998, prompted by the many neglected and abandoned cemeteries throughout Florida, the Legislature enacted the Cemetery Preservation and Consumer Protection Act (CPCPA).<sup>23</sup> The CPCPA mandated and funded the creation of an 11-member Task Force on Abandoned and Neglected Cemeteries (the "1998 Task Force") within the Department of Banking and Finance.<sup>24</sup> The CPCPA directed the 1998 Task Force to review and report on the status of neglected and abandoned cemeteries and, if necessary, propose legislation to counter this problem.<sup>25</sup>

The 1998 Task Force submitted its Final Report on January 15, 1999.<sup>26</sup> The Task Force determined that the abandonment and neglect of cemeteries was "sufficiently wide spread to warrant government intervention."<sup>27</sup> Specifically, the Task Force found that:

- The data collected on some 3,580 cemeteries suggested 40 percent to 50 percent of the cemeteries in Florida are abandoned or neglected.
- Lack of funding appears to be a significant factor contributing to the neglect by private, unlicensed cemeteries.
- City and County representatives on the 1998 Task Force verified that neglected cemeteries create problems for citizens and local governments and burden governmental resources.
- Older neglected cemeteries may represent a loss of historic or archeological values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 872.02(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The powers and duties of the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State are set forth in s. 267.031, F.S. Subject to some limitations, a State Archaeologist, as employed by the Division, may assume jurisdiction over an unmarked human burial site in order to initiate efforts for the proper protection of the burial and the human skeletal remains and associated burial artifacts. See ss. 872.05(4), (5), and (6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 497.005(46), F.S., defines a "mausoleum" as "a structure or building that is substantially exposed above the ground and that is intended to be used for the entombment of human remains."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 497.005(18), F.S., defines a "columbarium" as "a structure or building that is substantially exposed above the ground and that is intended to be used for the inurnment of cremated remains."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 872.02(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See Chapter 98-268, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Final Report of Task Force on Abandoned and Neglected Cemeteries, available at https://www.coj.net/city-council/docs/brcemeteries-1999-statetaskforce-finalreport.aspx (last visited November 4, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> *Id.* at p. 3.

• Legislation is needed to establish guidelines, definitions, methods of establishing care for neglected and abandoned cemeteries, agencies responsible for providing such are, the funding mechanism for such projects, as well as a permanent structure to continue the location, identification, protection, preservation and care of such cemeteries.

- A grants-in-aid type program using matching funds would help ameliorate the problems created by abandoned and neglected cemeteries.
- Funding to address the problems and recommends in the proposed legislation may be derived from: (1) a one-time appropriation by the legislature, (2) a fee on death certificates, (3) enabling legislation to permit Cities and Counties to include in their budgets funds for this purpose; and (4) the establishment of a non-profit corporation within the Department of Banking and Finance to obtain donations.
- It is imperative to stop the proliferation of neglected and abandoned cemeteries.
- The legislature has already established in Chapter 872 protection for all human burial sites and all human remains regardless of whether or not the site is abandoned.<sup>28</sup>

The 1998 Task Force recommended that it be allowed to continue by either (i) "continu[ing] in its present form for a finite time" to more thoroughly examine the extent of the problems; or, (ii) the "non-profit corporation" suggested as part of proposed legislation "should continue these functions on a permanent basis." The 1998 Task Force also recommended to:

- Establish that local governments combined with a statewide approach via the designated agency and non-profit are the best way to manage issues created by abandoned and neglected cemeteries.
- Establish that owners of unlicensed cemeteries have a duty to care for their cemeteries in such a manner as to avoid neglect.
- Proscribe abandonment and neglect of cemeteries.
- Establish that a copy of all burial transit permits be filed with the Clerk of Court in the county of burial.
- Establish an easement or right of entry to enter and inspect private cemeteries for officially designated persons other than the next of kin.
- Establish that unlicensed cemeteries be required to post a sign providing notice of the existence of a cemetery at the posted location.
- Proscribe the creation of new cemeteries except under the provisions of Part I of Chapter 497, thereby insuring that a care and maintenance fund is established for each new cemetery.<sup>30</sup>

The specific findings and recommendations of the 1998 Task Force have not been addressed by subsequent legislation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> *Id.* at 7-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id.* at 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id.* at 8-9.

#### **Zion Cemetery**

Zion Cemetery, established in 1901, is believed to be Tampa's first cemetery for African-Americans with room for some 800 graves.<sup>31</sup> Zion Cemetery disappeared from public view and city maps by 1929.<sup>32</sup> In 1951, the Tampa Housing Authority started construction on the Robles Park Apartments on land that includes part of the Zion Cemetery site, and construction crews found several unmarked graves and three caskets.<sup>33</sup>

The Robles Park Village housing (still owned by the Tampa Housing Authority) and two other commercial businesses owned by two private sector individuals now stand on the land that once was the site of the African-American cemetery. Upon learning that the Zion Cemetery might still lie beneath at least a portion of its Robles Park Village Apartments, the Tampa Housing Authority organized a consultation committee and hired archaeologists to survey its property. In late August, archeologists used a ground-penetrating radar and discovered what they believe to be 126 caskets beneath the Tampa Housing Authority land. The Tampa Housing Authority has begun the process of moving 29 families who occupy five buildings within the housing complex atop where Zion Cemetery is believed to sit underground.

#### Other Rediscovered Abandoned Cemeteries in the Tampa Area

#### Ridgewood Cemetery

Just last month, the Hillsborough County School District (HCSD) learned the old Ridgewood Cemetery may have been located at the southeast corner of the King High School campus, which is now occupied by a small building and open land used for the agricultural program.<sup>37</sup> Ridgewood was designated as a pauper's cemetery and at least 280 people – mostly African Americans – were interred between 1942 and 1954.<sup>38</sup>

On October 28, the HCSD created an advisory committee, the Historical Response Committee, to determine how to handle the search for unmarked graves at King High School and what to do if they were found. The HCSD hired a geotechnical firm, Geoview, to conduct a survey on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Paul Guzzo, *A community, not just Zion Cemetery, disappeared to build homes for whites*, TAMPA BAY TIMES, Nov. 4, 2019, https://www.tampabay.com/news/hillsborough/2019/11/04/a-community-not-just-zion-cemetery-disappeared-to-build-homes-for-whites/#talk-wrapper.

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Paul Guzzo, *Key dates in the history of Tampa's forgotten Zion Cemetery*, TAMPA BAY TIMES, Jun. 19, 2019 (Updated Aug. 28, 2019) <a href="https://www.tampabay.com/florida/2019/06/23/key-dates-in-the-history-of-tampas-forgotten-zion-cemetery">https://www.tampabay.com/florida/2019/06/23/key-dates-in-the-history-of-tampas-forgotten-zion-cemetery</a>.

<sup>34</sup> Paul Guzzo, *Richard Gonzmart believes no coffins will be found on his Zion Cemetery land*, TAMPA BAY TIMES, Sep. 24, 2019, <a href="http://ttt-hiweb.newscyclecloud.com/news/hillsborough/2019/09/24/richard-gonzmart-believes-no-coffins-will-be-found-on-his-zion-cemetery-land">http://ttt-hiweb.newscyclecloud.com/news/hillsborough/2019/09/24/richard-gonzmart-believes-no-coffins-will-be-found-on-his-zion-cemetery-land</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Divya Kumar, *Tenant leaders seek quicker relocation for people living near Zion Cemetery*, TAMPA BAY TIMES, Oct. 10, 2019, https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/10/tenant-leaders-seek-quicker-relocation-for-people-living-near-zion-cemetery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>Paul Guzzo, *Records show King High gym may have been built atop paupers cemetery*, TAMPA BAY TIMES, Oct. 22, 2019 (updated Oct. 23, 2019), <a href="https://www.tampabay.com/news/education/2019/10/22/map-shows-king-high-gym-may-have-been-built-atop-paupers-cemetery">https://www.tampabay.com/news/education/2019/10/22/map-shows-king-high-gym-may-have-been-built-atop-paupers-cemetery</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Lori Rozsa, *Lost lives, fresh heartache as a black cemetery is found buried under a high school*, WASHINGTON POST, November 24, 2019, https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/lost-lives-fresh-heartache-as-a-black-cemetery-is-found-buried-under-a-high-school/2019/11/24/5e755e4a-0ed4-11ea-bf62-eadd5d11f559\_story.html.

south end of King High School campus using a ground penetrating radar to look for any signs of the lost Richwood Cemetery on the campus.<sup>39</sup> On November 20, 2019, the HCSD released the of the ground penetrating radar survey (November Press Release).<sup>40</sup> The survey of the southern edge of the King High School campus showed evidence of burials, approximately 145 suspected graves.<sup>41</sup> The scan performed on the northeast corner of the campus showed no evidence of burials or graves.<sup>42</sup>

Historical records generally indicate that there were between 250 and 268 burials at Ridgewood Cemetery. 43 Possible reasons why the radar survey only revealed a total of approximately 145 possible graves include:

- The radar may have more difficulty locating smaller coffins of infants and children.
- Some coffins may have decayed underground preventing detection by scan some 75 years later.
- Some graves may be under the agricultural workshop building.
- Some graves may have been moved to another cemetery.
- Limitations of radar technology.<sup>44</sup>

The November Press Release indicates that HCSD will deliver Geoview's findings to the "county medical examiner and the state archeologist" with the expectation that they will take the thirty days to review the findings. HCSD indicates that if possession of the land is "turned back over to the school district," it will work with members of the Historical Response Committee to "discuss proper ways to memorialize the individuals, how best to care for the space, and learning opportunities for students at King High School and other schools."

#### Fort Brooke Estuary Cemetery

Archeologists, in September 2018, uncovered three grave shafts with human remains during the development of the 50-plus acre Water Street Tampa project. The grave shafts were believed to be of an old military burial ground from the Seminole War era, known as Estuary Cemetery. The archeologists' July 2019 report announced that the three grave shafts had human remains, but it did not identify the ancestry and indicated that work could resume on the development site. However, not much more is known about the findings or what may have been discovered due to it being a private site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Jordan, Dave, *Forgotten Cemetery Under King High School? District Awaits Answers*, SPECTRUM NEWS 9, Oct. 28, 2019, https://www.baynews9.com/fl/tampa/news/2019/10/28/forgotten-cemetery-under-kigh-high-school--district-awaits-answers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Hillsborough County School District, Press Release, *School District Releases Findings from Scans on King High School Property*, <a href="https://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/newsdesk/article/1578/school-district-releases-findings-from-scans-on-king-high-school-property">https://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/newsdesk/article/1578/school-district-releases-findings-from-scans-on-king-high-school-property</a> (last visited November 21, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> See supra note 49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Paul Guzzo, *More lost cemeteries will be found, historians say*, TAMPA BAY TIMES, Oct. 28, 2019, <a href="https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/28/more-lost-cemeteries-will-be-found-historians-say.">https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/28/more-lost-cemeteries-will-be-found-historians-say.</a>
<a href="https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/28/more-lost-cemeteries-will-be-found-historians-say.">https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/28/more-lost-cemeteries-will-be-found-historians-say.</a>
<a href="https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/28/more-lost-cemeteries-will-be-found-historians-say.">https://www.tampabay.com/news/tampa/2019/10/28/more-lost-cemeteries-will-be-found-historians-say.</a>

#### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** creates the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries to study the extent to which unmarked or abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds exist throughout the state and developing and recommending strategies for identifying and recording cemeteries and burial grounds while preserving local history and ensuring dignity and respect for the deceased.

The task force is to be chaired by the Secretary of State, or his or her designee, and is composed of:

- A representative of the Bureau of Archaeological Research of the Division of Historical Resources, appointed by the Secretary of State;
- One person nominated by the President of the Florida State Conference of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People and appointed by the Secretary of State;
- One representative of the Florida Council of Churches, nominated by the executive director of the council and appointed by the Secretary of State;
- One representative of the Florida African American Heritage Preservation Network, nominated by the executive director of the network and appointed by the Secretary of State;
- One representative of the Florida Public Archaeology Network, appointed by the Secretary of State; and
- One representative of the cemetery industry, appointed by the Secretary of State.

The task force is required to hold its first meeting by August 1, 2020, and shall meet as many times as it deems necessary to complete its duties. The task force is required to:

- Review the findings and recommendations made by the 1998 Task Force and any legislative or administrative action that was taken in response to the task force's findings and recommendations;
- Examine the adequacy of current practices regarding the preservation of unmarked and abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds and identify any challenges unique to African-American cemeteries and burial grounds;
- Identify locations of unmarked and abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds throughout the state and propose strategies, including any proposed legislation, for the preservation and evaluation of such sites; and
- Make recommendations regarding the creation, placement, and maintenance of memorials at the sites of the former Zion Cemetery and the former Ridgewood Cemetery in Tampa,

The task force is required to submit a report by March 1, 2021, detailing its findings and recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Minority Leader of the Senate, and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives.

This section expires on July 1, 2021.

**Section 2** requires the DOS, upon receiving consent of the property owners at the former Zion Cemetery site in Tampa, to partner with the University of South Florida (USF), the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University (FAMU), and the Zion Cemetery Archaeological

Committee formed under the auspices of the Tampa Housing Authority to continue an investigation to determine how many graves remain at the site. Any historical resource, record, archive, artifact, public research, or medical record recovered through the course of the investigation by USF or FAMU shall remain in the custody of either university for archiving and preservation until the DOS requests custody of such resource, record, archive, artifact, public research, or medical record.

This section requires the DOS is to contract with USF and FAMU for the identification and location of eligible next of kin of those buried at the site.

By January 1, 2021, the universities must provide the DOS with a list of possible descendants of those buried at the site and, to the extent possible, their contact information. For any identification of next of kin occurring on or after January 1, 2021, the universities must provide contact information of the next of kin to the DOS.

**Section 3** provides that the Division of Historical Resources (Division) of the DOS must ensure that any abandoned African-American cemetery identified by the task force is listed on the Florida Master Site File. Upon such a cemetery's listing in the Florida Master Site, the Division is required to – in lieu of the normal application process – seek placement of an Official Florida Historical Marker at a site with approval of the property owner. The bill permits a person or organization affiliated with an abandoned cemetery to assist the Division in researching the history of such a site in the preparation of a historical marker's creation and placement. The costs for the creation and placement of a historical marker are to be borne by the Division.

**Section 4** provides that the sum of \$100,000 in nonrecurring funds shall be appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to the DOS, for the 2020-2021 fiscal year, for the purpose of implementing this act with:

- \$50,000 being allocated for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Zion Cemetery in Tampa, and
- \$50,000being allocated for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Ridgewood Cemetery at C. Leon King High School in Tampa.

**Section 5** provides that the act will take effect July 1, 2020.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

#### V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

#### Task Force

Because the bill is silent as to compensation and travel expense, s. 20.052(4)(d), F.S., governs and the task force members will not be entitled to additional compensation but are authorized to receive per diem and reimbursement for travel expenses as provided in s. 112.061, F.S. These costs will be borne by the DOS as the appointing authority of the task force members.

The DOS will incur an indeterminate amount of administrative expenses as the agency providing administrative and technical support for the task force.

#### Cemeteries - Memorials and Placement of Florida Historical Markers

The bill appropriates \$100,000 to DOS with \$50,000 being allocated for a memorial at the site of the former Zion Cemetery and \$50,000 allocated for a memorial at the site of former Ridgewood Cemetery.

The DOS will incur costs each time it is required to place an Official Florida Historical Marker at a site of a cemetery identified by the task force. Currently, the cost of a Florida Historical Marker is determined by the amount of text. For a single-sided marker with the same text on both sides of the marker, the cost is \$2,010. For a double-sided marker with different text appearing on both sides of the marker, the cost is \$2,330.<sup>49</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> See Historical Markers FAQ, https://dos.myflorida.com/historical/about/division-faqs/marker/ (last visited December 9, 2019).

USF, FAMU and the Zion Cemetery Archaeological Committee may incur an indeterminate amount of administrative expenses in partnering with the DOS to determine how many graves remain at the site of the former Zion Cemetery and the identification and location of decedents.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

Based on the statements made in the November Press Release regarding notification to the medical examiner and state archeologist, and the possible return of jurisdiction of the property to HCSD, it appears HCSD is treating the burials found on the King High School campus as "unmarked human burials" pursuant to s. 872.05, F.S. The term "unmarked human burial" is defined to mean:

"any human skeletal remains or associated burial artifacts or any location, including any burial mound or earthen or shell monument, where human skeletal remains or associated burial artifacts are discovered or believed to exist on the basis of archaeological or historical evidence, excluding any burial marked or previously marked by a tomb, monument, gravestone, or other structure or thing placed or designed as a memorial of the dead." <sup>50</sup>

When an unmarked human burial is discovered – other than during an authorized archaeological excavation<sup>51</sup> – all disturbing activity of the burial must cease, and the district medical examiner must be notified.<sup>52</sup> Activity may not resume until authorized by the district medical examiner or the State Archaeologist.<sup>53</sup> If the district medical examiner determines the unmarked human burial "may be involved in a legal investigation or represents the burial of an individual who has been dead less than 75 years," he or she must "assume jurisdiction over and responsibility for the location of the burials." The examiner is given 30 days after notification of the burial to determine whether he or she will maintain jurisdiction or refer the matter to the State Archaeologist.<sup>55</sup>

If the district medical examiner finds the human burial is "not involved in a legal investigation and represents the burial of an individual who has been dead 75 years or more," he or she must notify the State Archaeologist, and the Division of the Department of State may assume jurisdiction over and responsibility for the burial. <sup>56</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Section 872.05(2)(f), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Section 872.05(5), F.S., provides for a similar process if an unmarked human burial is discovered during an authorized archeological excavation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Section 872.05(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Section 872.05(4)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Section 872.05(4)(c), F.S.

The Division is authorized to assume jurisdiction over and responsibility for an unmarked human burial in order to initiate efforts for the proper protection of the burial and the human remains and associated burial artifacts.<sup>57</sup> Upon assuming jurisdiction, the State Archaeologist must determine whether the burial is historically, archaeologically, or scientifically significant.<sup>58</sup> If it is deemed to be significant, reinterment may not occur until the remains have been examined by a human skeletal analysist designated by the State Archaeologist.<sup>59</sup> Additionally, the State Archaeologist must make reasonable efforts to identify and locate person who can establish kinship, tribal, community, or ethnic relationships with the remains which constitute the burial.<sup>60</sup> If unable to establish such relationships, he or she he or she shall consult with persons with relevant experience.<sup>61</sup>

The November Press Release instructs that HCSD provided notice to the medical examiner on that same day - November 21, 2019. Thus, the medical must make a determination regarding jurisdiction and referral to the State Archeologist on or before Monday, December 23, 2019.<sup>62</sup>

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill does not amend the Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

### CS by Governmental Oversight and Accountability on December 9, 2019:

The committee substitute:

- Provides that the task force make a recommendation on the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Ridgewood Cemetery in Tampa.
- Eliminates any reference to exhumation of remains.
- Requires the DOS to partner with FAMU and Zion Cemetery Archaeological Committee as well as USF to determine the number how many graves remain at the site.
- Requires any historical resource recovered by USF or FAMU must remain in the custody of either university until DOS takes custody.
- Requires DOS to contract with USF and FAMU for the identification and location of eligible next of kin.
- Requires the DOS to list in the Florida Master Site File any abandoned African-American Cemeteries identified by the task force. Upon such listing, the DOS must seek placement of an Official Florida Historical Marker at a site with the costs of the historical marker's creation and placement being borne by DOS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Section 872.05(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Section 872.05(6)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Section 872.05(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Section 872.05(6)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Section 872.05(4)(a), F.S.

• Appropriates \$100,000, with \$50,000 allocated for a memorial at the site of the former Zion Cemetery and \$50,000 allocated for a memorial at the site of the former Ridgewood Cemetery.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

156020

# LEGISLATIVE ACTION Senate House Comm: RCS 12/09/2019

The Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability (Cruz) recommended the following:

#### Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. (1) The Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries, a task force as defined in s. 20.03(8), Florida Statutes, is created adjunct to the Department of State for the express purpose of studying the extent to which unmarked or abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds exist throughout the state and developing and recommending strategies

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39



for identifying and recording cemeteries and burial grounds while also preserving local history and ensuring dignity and respect for the deceased. Except as otherwise provided in this section, the task force shall operate in a manner consistent with s. 20.052, Florida Statutes. The department shall provide administrative and staff support relating to the functions of the task force.

- (2) The task force is composed of the following members:
- (a) The Secretary of State, or his or her designee, who shall serve as chair.
- (b) A representative of the Bureau of Archaeological Research of the Division of Historical Resources, appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (c) One person nominated by the President of the Florida State Conference of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People and appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (d) One representative of the Florida Council of Churches, nominated by the executive director of the council and appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (e) One representative of the Florida African American Heritage Preservation Network, nominated by the executive director of the network and appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (f) One representative of the Florida Public Archaeology Network, appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (g) One representative of the cemetery industry, appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (3) The task force shall hold its first meeting by August 1, 2020. The task force may meet as many times as it deems necessary to complete the duties prescribed in this section.



40 (4) The task force shall:

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

63 64

65

66

67

68

- (a) Review the findings and recommendations made by the Task Force on Abandoned and Neglected Cemeteries created pursuant to chapter 98-268, Laws of Florida, and any legislative or administrative action that was taken in response to the task force's findings and recommendations.
- (b) Examine the adequacy of current practices regarding the preservation of unmarked and abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds and identify any challenges unique to African-American cemeteries and burial grounds.
- (c) Identify locations of unmarked and abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds throughout the state and propose strategies, including any proposed legislation, for the preservation and evaluation of such sites.
- (d) Make recommendations regarding the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the sites of the former Zion Cemetery and the former Ridgewood Cemetery in Tampa.
- (5) By March 1, 2021, the task force shall submit a report detailing its findings and recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Minority Leader of the Senate, and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives.
  - (6) This section expires July 1, 2021.

Section 2. (1) (a) Upon receiving consent of the property owners at the former Zion Cemetery site in Tampa, the Department of State shall partner with the University of South Florida, the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, and the Zion Cemetery Archaeological Committee formed under the auspices of the Tampa Housing Authority, to continue an investigation to

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97



determine how many graves remain at the site.

- (b) Any historical resource, record, archive, artifact, public research, or medical record that is recovered through the course of the investigation by the University of South Florida or the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University shall remain in the custody of either university for archiving and preservation until the Department of State requests custody of such resource, record, archive, artifact, public research, or medical record.
- (2) (a) The Department of State shall contract with the University of South Florida and the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University for the identification and location of eligible next of kin of those buried at the site.
- (b) No later than January 1, 2021, the universities shall provide the Department of State with a list of possible descendants of those buried at the site and, to the extent possible, their contact information.
- (c) For any identification of next of kin occurring on or after January 1, 2021, the universities must provide contact information of the next of kin to the Department of State.
- (3) The Department of State may adopt rules necessary to administer this section.

Section 3. A county or municipality may request reimbursement from the Department of State for any expenses incurred on or after July 1, 2020, in connection with the maintenance of historical or abandoned cemeteries. A county or municipality may only submit a request for reimbursement once per calendar year, and such expenses must have been incurred within 1 year before submission of the request. A county or

98

99

100

101

102

103

104 105

106

107

108

109 110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126



municipality may only be reimbursed by the department for half of the expenses that are incurred out of public funds. A county or municipality may not be reimbursed for any portion of maintenance expenses which is funded through private funds solicited by the county or municipality pursuant to s. 497.284, Florida Statutes. The department may require a county or a municipality seeking reimbursement to produce any documentation specifying maintenance costs incurred. Section 4. The Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State shall ensure that any abandoned African-American cemetery identified by the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries is listed in the Florida Master Site File. Upon such a cemetery's listing in the Florida Master Site File and in lieu of the normal application process for historical markers, the division must seek placement of an Official Florida Historical Marker at a site so long as the approval of the owner of the property where the marker will be placed has been obtained. A person or an organization affiliated with an abandoned cemetery may assist the division in researching the history of such a site in the preparation of a historical marker's creation and placement. The costs for the creation and placement of a historical marker authorized pursuant to this section shall be borne by the division. Section 5. For the 2020-2021 fiscal year, the sum of \$500,000 in nonrecurring funds is appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of State for the purpose of implementing this act. Of such sum, \$50,000 shall be allocated for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Zion Cemetery in Tampa; \$50,000 shall be



allocated for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Ridgewood Cemetery at C. Leon King High School in Tampa; and \$400,000 shall be allocated to fund research associated with findings made by the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries. Any funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered from this appropriation as of July 1, 2021, revert and shall be reappropriated for the same purpose, or for the creation and placement of memorials or historical markers at additional cemetery sites that are identified by the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries, in the 2021-2022 fiscal year.

Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.

139 140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152

153

154

155

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to abandoned cemeteries; creating the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries; specifying the purpose of the task force; requiring the Department of State to provide administrative and staff support; specifying the composition of the task force; providing meeting requirements; prescribing duties of the task force; requiring the task force to submit a report to the Governor and the Legislature by a specified date; providing for expiration of the task force; requiring the department to partner with specified entities to undertake an investigation of



the former Zion Cemetery site; specifying custody of certain historical resources, records, archives, artifacts, research, and medical records; requiring the department to contract with the University of South Florida and the Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University for the identification and location of eligible next of kin; requiring the universities to provide certain information regarding descendants to the department by a specified date; authorizing the department to adopt rules; authorizing counties and municipalities to seek reimbursement for expenses related to the maintenance of abandoned cemeteries; specifying requirements, limitations, and conditions for such reimbursements; directing the Division of Historical Resources of the department to ensure the listing of certain cemeteries in the Florida Master Site File; requiring the division to seek placement of historical markers at certain abandoned cemeteries, subject to certain limitations; authorizing certain persons and organizations to assist the division in researching the history of such cemeteries; specifying that costs associated with the creation and placement of such historical markers be borne by the division; providing appropriations; providing an effective date.

181

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

182 WHEREAS, until the conclusion of the Civil War, millions of 183 African Americans in the United States, including Florida, were

enslaved, and 184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207



WHEREAS, following the end of slavery, African Americans continued to be subject to various discriminatory practices, including restrictions on burying the dead which resulted in segregated cemeteries and burial grounds, and

WHEREAS, unlike predominantly white cemeteries and burial grounds, African-American cemeteries and burial grounds were not subject to regulations and recordkeeping necessary to protect the dignity of the deceased, and

WHEREAS, as a result, many abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds have been inadvertently discovered following years of disrepair and neglect when land is being redeveloped or has been sold, and

WHEREAS, to this day, abandoned African-American cemeteries throughout this state continue to be uncovered, as evidenced by recent reports regarding the former Zion Cemetery site in the Tampa Heights neighborhood and the former Ridgewood Cemetery on the grounds of C. Leon King High School, both in the City of Tampa, and

WHEREAS, the State of Florida recognizes its obligation to identify and properly record abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds in order to preserve history, better inform development decisions, and ensure dignity and respect for the deceased, NOW, THEREFORE,



|            | LEGISLATIVE ACTION |       |
|------------|--------------------|-------|
| Senate     |                    | House |
| Comm: RCS  |                    |       |
| 12/09/2019 |                    |       |
|            |                    |       |
|            | •                  |       |
|            | •                  |       |
|            |                    |       |

The Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability (Hooper) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment to Amendment (156020) (with title amendment)

Delete lines 89 - 138

and insert:

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

Section 3. The Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State shall ensure that any abandoned African-American cemetery identified by the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries is listed in the Florida Master Site File. Upon such a cemetery's listing in the Florida Master Site



11 File and in lieu of the normal application process for 12 historical markers, the division must seek placement of an 13 Official Florida Historical Marker at a site so long as the 14 approval of the owner of the property where the marker will be 15 placed has been obtained. A person or an organization affiliated 16 with an abandoned cemetery may assist the division in 17 researching the history of such a site in the preparation of a 18 historical marker's creation and placement. The costs for the 19 creation and placement of a historical marker authorized 20 pursuant to this section shall be borne by the division. 21 Section 4. For the 2020-2021 fiscal year, the sum of 22 \$100,000 in nonrecurring funds is appropriated from the General 23 Revenue Fund to the Department of State for the purpose of 24 implementing this act. Of such sum, \$50,000 shall be allocated 25 for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at 26 the site of the former Zion Cemetery in Tampa, and \$50,000 shall 27 be allocated for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a 28 memorial at the site of the former Ridgewood Cemetery at C. Leon 29 King High School in Tampa. 30 Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020. 31 ========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== 32 33 And the title is amended as follows: Delete lines 165 - 180 34 and insert: 35 36 directing the Division of Historical Resources of the 37 department to ensure the listing of certain cemeteries 38 in the Florida Master Site File; requiring the 39 division to seek placement of historical markers at

40

41

42

43 44

45

46



certain abandoned cemeteries, subject to certain limitations; authorizing certain persons and organizations to assist the division in researching the history of such cemeteries; specifying that costs associated with the creation and placement of such historical markers be borne by the division; providing appropriations; providing an effective date.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

By Senator Cruz

11

14

15

21

22

23

2.5

27

18-00114-20 2020220

A bill to be entitled An act relating to abandoned cemeteries; creating the Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries; specifying the purpose of the task force; requiring the Department of State to provide administrative and staff support; specifying the composition of the task force; providing meeting requirements; prescribing duties of the task force; requiring the task force to submit a report to the Governor and Legislature by a 10 specified date; providing for expiration of the task force; requiring the department to partner with the 12 University of South Florida to undertake an 13 investigation of the former Zion Cemetery site; requiring certain historical resources, records, archives, artifacts, research, medical records, and 16 human remains to remain in the custody of the 17 University of South Florida; providing exceptions; 18 requiring the department to contract with the 19 university for the identification and location of 20 eligible next of kin of certain persons; requiring the department to notify the next of kin of certain payment or reimbursement provisions; requiring the department to reimburse the next of kin of persons 24 whose bodies are buried and exhumed at the former Zion Cemetery or to pay directly to a provider for the 26 costs associated with funeral services, reinterment, and grave marker expenses; providing a process for 28 reimbursement or payment by the department; providing 29 that a charitable donation made toward funeral,

Page 1 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

18-00114-20 2020220 30 reinterment, and grave marker expenses is not eligible 31 for reimbursement; requiring the department to submit 32 a report to the Governor, Cabinet, and Legislature by 33 a specified date; authorizing the department to adopt 34 rules; providing appropriations; providing an 35 effective date. 36 37 WHEREAS, until the conclusion of the Civil War, millions of 38 African Americans in the United States, including Florida, were enslaved, and 39 40 WHEREAS, following the end of slavery, African Americans continued to be subject to various discriminatory practices, including restrictions on burying the dead which resulted in 42 4.3 segregated cemeteries and burial grounds, and WHEREAS, unlike predominantly white cemeteries and burial grounds, African-American cemeteries and burial grounds were not subject to regulations and recordkeeping necessary to protect 46 47 the dignity of the deceased, and 48 WHEREAS, as a result, many abandoned African-American 49 cemeteries and burial grounds have been inadvertently discovered following years of disrepair and neglect when land is being 50 51 redeveloped or has been sold, and 52 WHEREAS, to this day, abandoned African-American cemeteries 53 throughout this state continue to be uncovered, as evidenced by a June 2019 report in the Tampa Bay Times regarding the former 55 Zion Cemetery site in the Tampa Heights neighborhood of the City 56 of Tampa, and 57 WHEREAS, the State of Florida recognizes its obligation to

Page 2 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

identify and properly record abandoned African-American

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

18-00114-20 2020220

cemeteries and burial grounds in order to preserve history, better inform development decisions, and ensure dignity and respect for the deceased, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. (1) The Task Force on Abandoned African-American Cemeteries, a task force as defined in s. 20.03(8), Florida Statutes, is created adjunct to the Department of State for the express purpose of studying the extent to which unmarked or abandoned African-American cemeteries and burial grounds exist throughout the state and developing and recommending strategies for identifying and recording cemeteries and burial grounds while also preserving local history and ensuring dignity and respect for the deceased. Except as otherwise provided in this section, the task force shall operate in a manner consistent with s. 20.052, Florida Statutes. The department shall provide administrative and staff support relating to the functions of the task force.

- (2) The task force is composed of the following members:
- (a) The Secretary of State, or his or her designee, who shall serve as chair.
- (b) A representative of the Bureau of Archaeological

  Research of the Division of Historical Resources, appointed by the Secretary of State.
- (c) One person nominated by the President of the Florida

  State Conference of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People and appointed by the Secretary of State.
  - (d) One representative of the Florida Council of Churches,

Page 3 of 7

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

18-00114-20

| 88  | nominated by the executive director of the council and appointed                |
|-----|---|
| 89  | by the Secretary of State.  |
| 90  | (e) One representative of the Florida African American                          |
| 91  | Heritage Preservation Network, nominated by the executive                       |
| 92  | director of the network and appointed by the Secretary of State.                |
| 93  | (f) One representative of the Florida Public Archaeology                        |
| 94  | Network, appointed by the Secretary of State.                                   |
| 95  | (g) One representative of the cemetery industry, appointed                      |
| 96  | by the Secretary of State.  |
| 97  | (3) The task force shall hold its first meeting by August                       |
| 98  | $\underline{\text{1, 2020.}}$ The task force may meet as many times as it deems |
| 99  | necessary to complete the duties prescribed in this section.                    |
| 100 | (4) The task force shall:   |
| 101 | (a) Review the findings and recommendations made by the                         |
| 102 | Task Force on Abandoned and Neglected Cemeteries created                        |
| 103 | pursuant to chapter 98-268, Laws of Florida, and any legislative                |
| 104 | or administrative action that was taken in response to the task                 |
| 105 | force's findings and recommendations.   |
| 106 | (b) Examine the adequacy of current practices regarding the                     |
| 107 | preservation of unmarked and abandoned African-American                         |
| 108 | cemeteries and burial grounds and identify any challenges unique                |
| 109 | to African-American cemeteries and burial grounds.                              |
| 110 | (c) Identify locations of unmarked and abandoned African-                       |
| 111 | American cemeteries and burial grounds throughout the state and                 |
| 112 | propose strategies, including any proposed legislation, for the                 |
| 113 | preservation and evaluation of such sites.                                      |
| 114 | (d) Make recommendations regarding the creation, placement,                     |
| 115 | and maintenance of a memorial at the site of the former Zion                    |
| 116 | Cemetery in Tampa and the location of a site for the reinterment                |

Page 4 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

|     | 18-00114-20 2020220   |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 117 | of any unidentified or unclaimed remains that are retrieved from            |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | the former Zion Cemetery site.  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 | (5) By March 1, 2021, the task force shall submit a report                  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | detailing its findings and recommendations to the Governor, the             |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of                        |  |  |  |  |
| 122 | Representatives, the Minority Leader of the Senate, and the                 |  |  |  |  |
| 123 | Minority Leader of the House of Representatives.                            |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | (6) This section expires July 1, 2021.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | Section 2. $(1)$ (a) Upon receiving consent of the property                 |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | owners at the former Zion Cemetery site in Tampa, the Department            |  |  |  |  |
| 127 | of State shall partner with the University of South Florida to              |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | initiate an investigation to determine how many graves remain at            |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | the site.   |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | (b) Any historical resource, record, archive, artifact,                     |  |  |  |  |
| 131 | <pre>public research, or medical record that is recovered through the</pre> |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | course of the investigation by the University of South Florida              |  |  |  |  |
| 133 | shall remain in the custody of the university for archiving and             |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | preservation until the Department of State requests custody of              |  |  |  |  |
| 135 | such resource, record, archive, artifact, public research, or               |  |  |  |  |
| 136 | medical record.   |  |  |  |  |
| 137 | (c) Any human remains exhumed from the former Zion Cemetery                 |  |  |  |  |
| 138 | site shall remain in the custody of the university for                      |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | identification purposes until the remains are returned to the               |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | next of kin or reburied pursuant to this act.                               |  |  |  |  |
| 141 | (2)(a) The Department of State shall contract with the                      |  |  |  |  |
| 142 | University of South Florida for the identification and location             |  |  |  |  |
| 143 | of eligible next of kin of any recovered human remains and the              |  |  |  |  |

Page 5 of 7

(b) No later than January 1, 2021, the University of South

update of information on associated artifacts and materials.

144

145

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

|     | 18-00114-20 2020220_   |
|-----|--|
| 146 | Florida shall provide the Department of State with contact       |
| 147 | information for the next of kin for each set of human remains    |
| 148 | which has been returned to a next of kin.                        |
| 149 | (c) For any identification of next of kin occurring on or        |
| 150 | after January 1, 2021, the University of South Florida must      |
| 151 | provide location information of the next of kin to the           |
| 152 | Department of State at least 5 days before returning the human   |
| 153 | remains to the next of kin.                                      |
| 154 | (d) Beginning January 1, 2021, the Department of State must      |
| 155 | notify the next of kin responsible for a set of human remains    |
| 156 | about the payment or reimbursement provisions specified in       |
| 157 | subsection (3). Such notification must be made within 15         |
| 158 | business days after the department's receipt of the location     |
| 159 | information of the next of kin.                                  |
| 160 | (3) The Department of State shall reimburse the next of kin      |
| 161 | or pay directly to the provider up to \$7,500 for funeral,       |
| 162 | reinterment, and grave marker expenses for each person whose     |
| 163 | body was buried at and exhumed, or otherwise recovered, from the |
| 164 | former Zion Cemetery site.                                       |
| 165 | (a) In order to receive reimbursement, the next of kin must      |
| 166 | submit to the Department of State receipts for, or documentation |
| 167 | of, expenses. Reimbursement must be made pursuant to s. 215.422, |
| 168 | Florida Statutes.  |
| 169 | (b) If expenses are to be paid directly to the provider,         |
| 170 | the funeral home or other similar entity must submit an invoice  |
| 171 | to the Department of State for the cost of the person's funeral, |
| 172 | reinterment, and grave marker expenses. Payment must be made     |
| 173 | pursuant to s. 215.422, Florida Statutes.                        |
| 174 | (c) A charitable donation made toward funeral, reinterment,      |

Page 6 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 220

|     | 18-00114-20 2020220  |
|-----|--|
| 175 | and grave marker expenses is not eligible for reimbursement.     |
| 176 | (4) By February 1, 2022, the Department of State shall           |
| 177 | submit a report to the Governor and Cabinet, the President of    |
| 178 | the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives      |
| 179 | regarding any payments and reimbursements made pursuant to this  |
| 180 | section.   |
| 181 | (5) The Department of State may adopt rules necessary to         |
| 182 | administer this section.   |
| 183 | Section 3. For the 2020-2021 fiscal year, the sum of             |
| 184 | \$500,000 in nonrecurring funds is appropriated from the General |
| 185 | Revenue Fund to the Department of State for the purpose of       |
| 186 | implementing this act. Of such sum, \$50,000 shall be allocated  |
| 187 | for the creation, placement, and maintenance of a memorial at    |
| 188 | the site of the former Zion Cemetery in Tampa, and \$450,000     |
| 189 | shall be allocated to the Bureau of Archaeological Research of   |
| 190 | the Division of Historical Resources for costs associated with   |
| 191 | the disinterment and reinterment of any remains retrieved at the |
| 192 | former Zion Cemetery site. Any funds remaining unexpended or     |
| 193 | unencumbered from this appropriation as of July 1, 2021, revert  |
| 194 | and shall be reappropriated for the same purpose in the 2021-    |
| 195 | 2022 fiscal year.  |
| 196 | Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.              |
|     |  |
|     |  |

Page 7 of 7

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

### **APPEARANCE RECORD**

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

| 12/9/19<br>Meeting Date  |                    | Bill N              | 1umber (if applicable)  |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Topic Abandoned Cometeries   |                    | Amendment E         | Barcode (if applicable) |
| Name Lauren Storch   |                    |                     |                         |
| Job Title Givernment Relations   |                    |                     |                         |
| Address 601 E. Kennedy Blvd.   | P                  | hone 813-27         | 4-6831                  |
| tampa FL<br>City State   | 33601 E            | mail of rehlas      | HCFLgov.net             |
| Speaking: For Against Information  | Waive Spea         | aking: In Support   |                         |
| Representing Hillsborough (aw  | ty                 |                     |                         |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No  | Lobbyist registere | d with Legislature: | Yes No                  |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remar |                    |                     |                         |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.   |                    |                     | S-001 (10/14/14)        |

### APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

| 12-09-17<br>Meeting Date  | Bill Number (if applicable)   |
|---|---|
| Topic Alandoned Cemeterias  | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)   |
| Name Karn Kirkman   |   |
| Job Title Retired   |   |
| Address 5518 SW 85th CL   | Phone 352-262 5652  |
| Street Gaines Ville PC 32605 City State Zip   | Email Lailedouert@yahov.com   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.) |
| Representing Alachua County Historical  | Commission  |
|   | ered with Legislature: Yes No   |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many | •   |

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

### APPEARANCE RECORD

| $t \ge \int_{\mathcal{O}} q \int_{\ell} q$ (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeti  | 5B 220                          |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Meeting Date   | Bill Number (if applicable)     |
|  | endment Barcode (if applicable) |
| Name NIGEL RUDOLDIT  |                                 |
| Job Title ARCHAROLOGIST, FLORIDA PUBLIC ARCHAROLOGY NO   | ETWORK                          |
| Address 928 NW & TH PL Phone 94  | 1.773.9540                      |
| CHANDSULLB FL 326 2/ Email SAN State Zip   | ido pha vsf. ed.                |
| Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In (The Chair will read this info  | · · — — •                       |
| Representing FPAN  |                                 |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legisl  | lature: Yes No                  |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possib |                                 |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.   | S-001 (10/14/14)                |

### APPEARANCE RECORD

| 12/9/19 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)   | 5B220                       |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Meeting Date  | Bill Number (if applicable) |
| Topic African American Cemetrores Amendme   | ent Barcode (if applicable) |
| Name Saval Miller   |                             |
| Job Title Florida Public Archadogy Networ Director go   | ef                          |
| Address De 215 Argonart Pd Phone Colors Street  | 3-392-7823                  |
|   | er 880 yahoo fa             |
| Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Chair will read this information   |                             |
| Representing Florida Public Archaeoday Network / Society  | Historical Arch             |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature  | e: Yes No                   |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to specimeeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible car |                             |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.  | S-001 (10/14/14)            |

## APPEARANCE RECORD

| 12/9/19 | SB 220 | Bill Number (if applicable)

Topic African American Cemeteries | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)

Name Rebecca O'Sullivan

Job Title Public Archaeology Coordinator

Address 2321 14th Ale W | Phone 813-909-3084 |

Bradenton FL 34205 | Email rosullive ust.edu

Representing Florida Public Archaeology Network

Appearing at request of Chair: Yes X No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes X N

Waive Speaking:

In Support

(The Chair will read this information into the record.)

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

Against

Speaking:

S-001 (10/14/14)

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

| Meeting Date  | SR220 Bill Number (if applicable)  |
|---|--|
| Topic African American Comotories   | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)  |
| Name Joffrey Moutes   | -  |
| Job Title Regional Director, archaeologist  |  |
| Address 1 2321 14th Avo W   | Phone 941.704.2521/813396.2327   |
| Bradonton FL 34265 City State Zip   | Email Jmontos 60 usf. toda   |
| Speaking: For Against Information Waive S   | peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)    |
| Representing Florida Public Archaeology Ne  | stwork   |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist   | ered with Legislature: Yes No  |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many | persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard. |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.  | S-001 (10/14/14)   |

## APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) -ABANDONED CEMETERIES Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Phone 850 -694 -8600 Street Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) NATIONAL PARK SERVICE Representing Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar      | ed By: The Pro | ofessional S | Staff of the Comr | nittee on Governme | ental Oversight a | nd Accountability |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| BILL:       | SB 374         |              |                   |                    |                   |                   |
| INTRODUCER: | Senator Ro     | ouson        |                   |                    |                   |                   |
| SUBJECT:    | Housing D      | iscriminat   | ion               |                    |                   |                   |
| DATE:       | December       | 6, 2019      | REVISED:          |                    |                   |                   |
| ANAL        | YST            | STAFF        | DIRECTOR          | REFERENCE          |                   | ACTION            |
| . Stallard  |                | Cibula       |                   | JU                 | Favorable         |                   |
| 2. Ponder   |                | McVai        | ney               | GO                 | Favorable         |                   |
| 3.          |                |              |                   | RC                 |                   |                   |

## I. Summary:

SB 374 amends ss. 760.34, F.S., and 760.35, F.S., to allow a person alleging housing discrimination under the Florida Fair Housing Act (FFHA) to file a civil action regardless of whether the aggrieved person has exhausted his or her administrative remedies. Accordingly, the aggrieved person may file a civil action regardless of whether:

- He or she has filed a complaint with the Florida Commission on Human Relations (Commission);
- The Commission has resolved a complaint (if the aggrieved person chose to file one); or
- Any particular amount of time has passed since the aggrieved person filed a complaint with the Commission.

The bill prohibits an aggrieved person from filing a civil action under the FFHA in two instances: (i) if the claimant has consented to a conciliation agreement obtained by the Commission, other than to enforce the terms of the conciliation agreement; or (i) if an administrative law judge has commenced a hearing.

The bill, in making the FFHA substantially equivalent to the federal Fair Housing Act, enhances the opportunity for the Commission to continue to receive its federal funding of approximately of approximately \$597,189 (based on a six-year average of funding).

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.

#### **II.** Present Situation:

#### The Florida Commission on Human Relations

The Commission was established by the Legislature in 1969 and is charged with enforcing the state's civil rights laws. The Commission investigates complaints of discrimination under the

Florida Fair Housing Act of 1983, the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, and the Whistle-Blower's Act of 1999. The Commission is certified as a "substantially equivalent" agency by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and, through annual work share agreements, receives and investigates housing discrimination complaints referred by HUD. HUD provides funding to the Commission through the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) for processing complaints, training, technical assistance, and creating and maintaining data information systems.

#### The Florida Fair Housing Act

The FFHA is modeled after the federal Fair Housing Act.<sup>1</sup> The FFHA prohibits a person from refusing to sell or rent, or otherwise make unavailable, a dwelling to any person because of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap, familial status, or religion.<sup>2</sup> In addition, the FFHA affords protection to persons who are pregnant or in the process of becoming legal custodians of children of 18 years of age or younger, or persons who are themselves handicapped or associated with a handicapped person.<sup>3</sup>

A person alleging discrimination under the FFHA has one year after the discriminatory housing practice to file a complaint with the Commission.<sup>4</sup> The Commission has 100 days after receiving the complaint to complete its investigation and issue a determination.<sup>5</sup> The Commission may also decide to resolve the complaint and eliminate or correct the discriminatory housing practice through conciliation.<sup>6</sup> If, the Commission is unable to obtain voluntary compliance within 180 days after a complaint is filed, the aggrieved person may initiate a civil action or file a petition for an administrative determination.<sup>7</sup> If the Commission finds reasonable cause to believe that housing discrimination has occurred, the aggrieved person may request that the Attorney General bring an action against the respondent.<sup>8</sup> A civil action must be commenced within two years after the alleged discriminatory act occurred.<sup>9</sup> The court may "continue" (this means the case is held in abeyance pending the settlement) a civil case if conciliation efforts by the Commission or by the local housing agency are likely to result in a satisfactory settlement.<sup>10</sup> If the court finds that a discriminatory housing practice has occurred, the court must issue an order prohibiting the practice and providing affirmative relief.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chapter 760, part II, F.S., is the Florida Fair Housing Act. Florida Fair Housing Commission, Housing Act, https://fchr.myflorida.com/history-of-the-florida-commission-on-human-relations (last visited Dec. 4, 2019),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 760.23(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sections 760.23(6)-(9), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 760.34(1) and (2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 760.34(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 760.34(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 760.35(1), F.S.

<sup>10</sup> LA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 760.35(2), F.S.

Remedies available under the FFHA include fines and actual punitive damages. <sup>12</sup> The court may also award reasonable attorney fees and costs to the Commission. <sup>13</sup>

If the Commission is unable to obtain voluntary compliance or has reasonable cause to believe that a discriminatory act has occurred, the Commission may institute an administrative proceeding. Alternatively, the aggrieved person may request administrative relief under ch. 120, F.S., within 30 days after receiving notice that the Commission has concluded its investigation.<sup>14</sup>

The Commission, or any local agency certified as substantially equivalent, may institute a civil action in an appropriate court if it is unable to obtain voluntary compliance with the local fair housing law.<sup>15</sup> The local agency does not have to petition for an administrative hearing or exhaust its administrative remedies prior to bringing civil action.<sup>16</sup>

#### The Federal Fair Housing Act

#### Substantially Equivalent Agencies

HUD administers and enforces the federal Fair Housing Act (FHA).<sup>17</sup> The FHA recognizes that a state or local government may also enact laws or ordinances prohibiting unlawful housing discrimination.<sup>18</sup> HUD may certify a state or local government agency as "substantially equivalent" if HUD determines that the state or local law and the FHA are substantially equivalent with respect to:

- The substantive rights protected by such agency in the jurisdiction with respect to which certification is to be made;
- The procedures followed by such agency;
- The remedies available to such agency; and
- The availability of judicial review of such agency's action. 19

HUD had developed a two-step process of substantial equivalency certification. The first step considers the adequacy of the law, meaning that the law which the agency administers facially provides rights, procedures, remedies, and the availability of judicial review that are substantially equivalent to those provided in the FHA.<sup>20</sup> A determination of the adequacy of a state or local fair housing law "on its face" is intended to focus on the meaning and intent of the text of the law, as distinguished from the effectiveness of its administration. Accordingly, this determination is not limited to an analysis of the literal text of the law. Regulations, directives,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Fines are capped in a tiered system based on the number of prior violations of the Fair Housing Act: up to \$10,000 if the respondent has no prior findings of guilt under the Fair Housing Act; up to \$25,000 if the respondent has had one prior violation of the Fair Housing Act; and up to \$50,000, if the respondent has had two or more violations of the Fair Housing Act. Section 760.34(7)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 760.34(7)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 760.35(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Sections 760.22(9) and 760.34(8), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 760.34(8), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 3601, et seq.

<sup>18 42</sup> U.S.C. § 3610.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 24 C.F.R. § 115.201.

rules of procedure, judicial decisions, or interpretations of the law by competent authorities will be considered in making the determination.<sup>21</sup> The second step considers the adequacy of performance of the law, meaning that in operation the fair housing law provides rights, procedures, remedies, and the availability of judicial review that are substantially equivalent to those provided in the FHA.<sup>22</sup>

If a housing discrimination complaint is filed with HUD under the FHA and the complaint falls within the jurisdiction of a substantially equivalent agency, HUD must refer the complaint to the local or state agency and may take no further action, except under limited circumstances.<sup>23</sup>

The Commission serves as the certified substantially equivalent HUD agency in Florida.<sup>24</sup> Through annual work-share agreements with HUD, the Commission accepts and investigates housing discrimination cases from HUD. According to the Commission's Fiscal Year 2010-11 through Fiscal Year 2017-18 Annual Reports, housing complaints were, on average, 15 percent of all complaints received by the Commission.<sup>25</sup>

#### The Fair Housing Assistance Program

A substantially equivalent agency is eligible for federal funding through the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP).<sup>26</sup> FHAP permits HUD to reimburse state and local agencies for services that further the purposes of the FHA. Financial assistance provides support for:

- The processing of dual-filed complaints;
- Training under the FHA and the agencies' fair housing law;
- The provision of technical assistance;
- The creation and maintenance of data and information systems;
- The development and enhancement of education and outreach projects, special enforcement efforts, partnership initiatives, and other fair housing projects.<sup>27</sup>

The Commission is reimbursed by HUD for closing housing cases, through deposit from HUD into the Human Relations Commission Operating Trust Fund within the Commission. In Fiscal Year 2018-2019, these payments totaled \$507,061 for the 2018 grant period. This amount was 45.99 percent of the Commission's Operating Trust Fund for that year. <sup>28</sup> In Fiscal Year 2017-18,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 24 C.F.R. § 115.204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 24 C.F.R. § 115.201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 42 U.S.C. 3610.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> HUD additionally certified as substantially equivalent the Broward County Office of Equal Opportunity, Jacksonville Human Rights Commission, Office of Community Affairs – Human Relations Department (Orlando), Palm Beach County Office of Equal Opportunity, Pinellas County Office of Human Rights, and City of Tampa Office of Community Relations. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) Agencies, <a href="https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/fair\_housing\_equal\_opp/partners/FHAP/agencies#FL">https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/fair\_housing\_equal\_opp/partners/FHAP/agencies#FL</a> (last visited Dec. 4, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP), <a href="https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/fair\_housing\_equal\_opp/partners/FHAP">https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/fair\_housing\_equal\_opp/partners/FHAP</a> (last visited Dec. 4, 2019). <a href="https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/fair\_housing\_equal\_opp/partners/FHAP">https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/fair\_housing\_equal\_opp/partners/FHAP</a> (last visited Dec. 4, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Email from Christopher Turner, Deputy Director of External and Legislative Affairs, Florida Commission on Human Relations (Oct. 31, 2019) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

these payments totaled \$611,721, which was 49.89 percent of the Commission's Operating Trust Fund.<sup>29</sup> The six-year average of trust fund revenue received from HUD is \$597,189.

#### **Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies**

A series of recent judicial decisions regarding the applicability of administrative remedies under the FFHA have threatened the Commission's status as a substantially equivalent HUD agency.

In 2004, the Fourth District Court of Appeal held in *Belletete v. Halford* that an aggrieved person must first exhaust administrative remedies under the FFHA before commencing a civil action in state court, citing the doctrine of exhaustion of administrative remedies. The Court's holding was not based upon an analysis of the FFHA, which does not explicitly require exhaustion of administrative remedies. Rather, the court provided a brief analysis of what it considered to be an analogous provision of the Florida Civil Rights Act. The *Belletete* holding has been criticized by the Florida Attorney General, and has been rejected by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. Nevertheless, Florida state courts, both in and outside of the Fourth District Court of Appeal, have adopted the *Belletete* holding, and dismiss claims brought under the FFHA where the plaintiff has not exhausted the administrative process. 32

In ongoing discussions since 2008, HUD has informed the Commission that the judicial interpretation of the FFHA in *Belletete* requiring the exhaustion of administrative remedies renders the Florida law fundamentally inconsistent with federal law. The FHA explicitly allows an aggrieved person to commence a civil action whether or not a complaint has been filed with HUD and without regard to the status of any such complaint.<sup>33</sup> Efforts to amend the FFHA during the 2014,<sup>34</sup> 2016,<sup>35</sup> 2018,<sup>36</sup> and 2019<sup>37</sup> legislative sessions were unsuccessful and courts continue to apply the *Belletete* rule in FFHA civil actions.

On July 2, 2015, HUD notified the Commission that it would suspend the Commission's participation in FHAP if the FFHA was not amended by January 25, 2016, to overcome the judicially-created requirement that a plaintiff exhaust their administrative remedies as a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Email from Christopher Turner, Deputy Director of External and Legislative Affairs, Florida Commission on Human Relations (April 5, 2019) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Belletete v. Halford, 886 So. 2d 308, 310 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004); See also Fla. Welding & Erection Serv., Inc. v. Am. Mut. Ins. Co. of Boston, 285 So. 2d 386, 389-90 (Fla. 1973). The doctrine of the exhaustion of administrative remedies is the principle that if an administrative remedy is provided by statute, a claimant must first seek relief from the administrative body before judicial relief is available. Black's Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014), exhaustion of remedies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> In *Milsap v. Cornerstone Residential Management, Inc.*, 2008 WL 1994840 (S.D. Fla. 2008), the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, relying on *Belletete* as the only state court case on the issue, dismissed a familial status claim brought under the FFHA for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. On reconsideration, in which the Florida Attorney General intervened and argued *Belletete* was wrongly decided, the court reversed itself and reinstated the FFHA claims. *See*, 2010 WL 427436 (S. D. Fla. 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Sun Harbor Homeowners Ass'n v. Bonura, 95 So. 3d 262, 267 (Fla. 4th DCA 2012); State v. Leisure Village, Inc., 40 Fla. L. Weekly D934 (Fla. 4th DCA 2015); HOPE v. SPV Realty, L.C., Case No. 14-32184-CA-01 (Fla. 11th Cir. Ct. April 30, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 3613.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> SB 410 (Senator Braynon) and HB 453 (Representative Watson).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> SB 7008 (Senate Governmental Oversight and Accountability) and HB 339 (Representative Rouson).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> SB 306 (Senator Rouson) and HB 853 (Representative Davis).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> 32 SB 958 (Senator Rouson) and HB 565 (Representatives Williams and Davis).

condition precedent to filing a housing discrimination claim under the FFHA.<sup>38</sup> In light of the legislative calendar, HUD agreed to extend the deadline to amend the FFHA until March 12, 2016.<sup>39</sup>

On March 16, 2016, HUD recognized pending litigation in the Third District Court of Appeal<sup>40</sup> and vowed to refrain from making any decision regarding suspension of the Commission's participation in FHAP during the pendency of the judicial proceedings.<sup>41</sup> In December 2016, the Third District Court of Appeal applied the *Belletete* rule and held that a plaintiff must exhaust all administrative remedies before commencing an action in civil court, determining that "[w]hether the [Florida Fair Housing Act] should be amended to conform precisely to the federal [Fair Housing Act] is a matter for the Legislature."<sup>42</sup>

On August 8, 2019, HUD notified the Commission that the FFHA, as interpreted by the courts, is not substantially equivalent to the federal Fair Housing Act.<sup>43</sup> The Commission continues to risk suspension in FHAP if the legislature does not amend the FFHA.

#### **Effect of Proposed Changes:**

The bill amends ss. 760.34, F.S., and 760.35, F.S., to provide that an aggrieved person is not required to exhaust his or her administrative remedies before commencing a civil action under the FFHA. Accordingly, the person may file a civil action regardless of whether:

- He or she has filed a complaint with the Commission;
- The Commission has resolved a complaint (if the aggrieved person chose to file one); or
- Any particular amount of time has passed since the aggrieved person filed a complaint with the Commission.

The bill prohibits an aggrieved person from filing a civil action under the FFHA in two instances: (i) if the claimant has consented to a conciliation agreement obtained by the Commission, other than to enforce the terms of the conciliation agreement; or (i) if an administrative law judge has commenced a hearing. These provisions are consistent with the federal Fair Housing Act.

The bill makes conforming changes to 760.07, F.S.

The act takes effect upon becoming law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Letter from Sara K. Pratt, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Programs, to Michelle Wilson, Executive Director, Florida Commission on Human Relations (July 2, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

<sup>39</sup> Letter from Lynn Grosso, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Programs, to Michelle Wilson, Executive Director, Elorida Commission on Human Relations (Mar. 16, 2016) (on file with the Senate Committee on

Executive Director, Florida Commission on Human Relations (Mar. 16, 2016)(on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Housing Opportunities Project v. SPV, 212 So. 3d 419 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Letter from Sara K. Pratt, *supra*, note 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Housing Opportunities Project v. SPV, 212 So. 3d 419 at 424

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Letter from Carlos Osegueda, Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Region IV Director, Subject: Post-Suspension Performance Assessment Report, (Aug. 8, 2019) (on file with the Senate Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability).

#### III. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or limit their authority to raise revenue or receive state-shared revenues as specified in Article VII, s. 18 of the Florida Constitution.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

#### IV. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The passage of the bill appears necessary to allow the Commission to continue to receive federal reimbursement for the Commission's resolution of housing discrimination cases. Without the bill, the Commission may be disqualified from receiving this federal funding.

#### V. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VI. Related Issues:

On April 5, 2019, HUD notified the Commission that it was suspended from participating in the FHAP for a period of 90 days, effective April 11, 2019, and ending on July 11, 2019. <sup>44</sup> The suspension was a direct result of the agency's failure to adequately address four identified deficiencies: (i) staffing and workload management; (ii) quality management and case processing; (iii) conciliation and public interest requirements; and (iv) budget and finance requirements. <sup>45</sup>

During the suspension period, HUD did not refer complaints to the Commission and did not accept cases for dual-filing from the Commission. HUD did pay the Commission for cases dual-filed and those completed during the suspension period which met quality and timeliness standards.

Between June 28, 2019, and July 16, 2019, pursuant to federal regulations, HUD conducted a remote performance assessment to determine whether the deficiencies resulting in suspension had been remedied and/or eliminated. On August 8, 2019, HUD issued its Post-Suspension Performance Assessment Report (Post-Suspension Report) advising the Commission of its conclusion that the critical performance standards and benchmarks were not met, and that it would recommend withdrawal of the Commission's certification to the Assistant Secretary. Within the Post-Suspension Report, HUD made note of the continuing substantial equivalency issues that remain because the Florida fair housing law has not been amended to cure the judicially created exhaustion requirement. HUD acknowledged the Commission's efforts to file legislation to clarify the discrepancy and that substantial equivalency issue was not specifically a part of the Performance Improvement Plan or suspension.

On September 25, 2019, Anna Maria Farias, the Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, notified the Commission of her decision to place the Commission on a one year probationary status instead of withdrawing the Commission's certification.<sup>50</sup> Within 30 days of the end of the one-year probationary period, HUD will re-assess the Commission's performance and make a decision regarding the Commission's continued participation in FHAP.

Currently, there are two separate issues affecting the Commission's certification in the FHAP. The bill addresses and cures one of these – the substantial equivalence issue. The second issue relates to the Commission's prior suspension and current probationary status. In this regard, the Commission advises that it is in frequent communication with HUD and continues to make strides in remedying the deficiencies and demonstrating its ability to serve the citizens of Florida. Additionally, for fiscal year 2019-2020, the Legislature authorized 8 additional full time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Letter from Carlos Osegueda, Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Region IV Director, Subject: Suspension from the Fair Housing Assistance Program (April 5, 2019)(on file with the Senate Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Letter from Carlos Osegueda, *supra*, note 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Letter from Anna Maria Farias, Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (Sept. 25, 2019) (on file with the Senate Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability).

equivalent positions and appropriated associated funding to the Commission to address the staffing and workload issues which were identified deficiencies related to the suspension. The Commission instructions that all of these positions have been filled.

#### VII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 760.07, 760.34, and 760.35.

#### VIII. Additional Information:

#### A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Rouson

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

read:

19-00605-20 2020374

A bill to be entitled An act relating to housing discrimination; amending s. 760.07, F.S.; removing housing discrimination as a cause of action for certain relief and damages stemming from violations of the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992; amending s. 760.34, F.S.; revising the conditions under which an aggrieved person may commence a civil action in any appropriate court against a specified respondent to enforce specified rights; providing that the aggrieved person does not need to pursue certain other remedies before commencing a civil action; making technical changes; amending s. 760.35, F.S.; authorizing, rather than requiring, a civil action to commence within a specified period after an alleged discriminatory housing practice; authorizing an aggrieved person to commence a civil action regardless of certain circumstances; prohibiting an aggrieved person from filing a specified action in certain circumstances; providing an exception; prohibiting an aggrieved person from commencing a specified civil action if an administrative law judge has commenced a hearing on the record on the allegation; making technical changes; providing an effective date. Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Page 1 of 8

Section 1. Section 760.07, Florida Statutes, is amended to

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 374

19-00605-20 2020374

760.07 Remedies for unlawful discrimination.-Any violation of any Florida statute that makes making unlawful discrimination because of race, color, religion, gender, pregnancy, national origin, age, handicap, or marital status in the areas of education, employment, housing, or public accommodations gives rise to a cause of action for all relief and damages described in s. 760.11(5), unless greater damages are expressly provided for. If the statute prohibiting unlawful discrimination provides an administrative remedy, the action for equitable relief and damages provided for in this section may be initiated only after the plaintiff has exhausted his or her administrative remedy. The term "public accommodations" does not include lodge halls or other similar facilities of private organizations which are made available for public use occasionally or periodically. The right to trial by jury is preserved in any case in which the plaintiff is seeking actual or punitive damages.

Section 2. Section 760.34, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

760.34 Enforcement.-

30

31

32

33

35

38

39

40

42

43

46

47

48

49

50

51

53

56

57

(1) Any person who claims to have been injured by a discriminatory housing practice or who believes that he or she will be injured by a discriminatory housing practice that is about to occur may file a complaint with the commission. Complaints shall be in writing and shall contain such information and be in such form as the commission requires. Upon receipt of such a complaint, the commission shall furnish a copy to the person or persons who allegedly committed the discriminatory housing practice or are about to commit the alleged discriminatory housing practice. Within 100 days after

Page 2 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

19-00605-20 2020374

59

60

61

62

63

64

6.5

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

85

86

87

receiving a complaint, or within 100 days after the expiration of any period of reference under subsection (3), the commission shall investigate the complaint and give notice in writing to the aggrieved person aggrieved whether it intends to resolve it. If the commission decides to resolve the complaint, it shall proceed to try to eliminate or correct the alleged discriminatory housing practice by informal methods of conference, conciliation, and persuasion. Insofar as possible, conciliation meetings shall be held in the cities or other localities where the discriminatory housing practices allegedly occurred. Nothing said or done in the course of such informal endeavors may be made public or used as evidence in a subsequent proceeding under ss. 760.20-760.37 without the written consent of the persons concerned. Any employee of the commission who makes public any information in violation of this provision is guilty of a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.

- (2) Any person who files a complaint under subsection (1) must do so be filed within 1 year after the alleged discriminatory housing practice occurred. The complaint must be in writing and shall state the facts upon which the allegations of a discriminatory housing practice are based. A complaint may be reasonably and fairly amended at any time. A respondent may file an answer to the complaint against him or her and, with the leave of the commission, which shall be granted whenever it would be reasonable and fair to do so, may amend his or her answer at any time. Both the complaint and the answer must shall be verified.
  - (3) If Wherever a local fair housing law provides rights

Page 3 of 8

 ${f CODING: Words \ \underline{stricken} \ are \ deletions; \ words \ \underline{underlined} \ are \ additions.}$ 

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 374

2020374

and remedies for alleged discriminatory housing practices which are substantially equivalent to the rights and remedies provided 90 in ss. 760.20-760.37, the commission shall notify the appropriate local agency of any complaint filed under ss. 760.20-760.37 which appears to constitute a violation of the local fair housing law, and the commission shall take no further 93 action with respect to such complaint if the local law enforcement official has, within 30 days after from the date the 96 alleged offense was brought to his or her attention, commenced proceedings in the matter. In no event shall the commission take further action unless it certifies that in its judgment, under the circumstances of the particular case, the protection of the 100 rights of the parties or the interests of justice require such 101

19-00605-20

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

(4) If, within 180 days after a complaint is filed with the commission or within 180 days after expiration of any period of reference under subsection (3), the commission has been unable to obtain voluntary compliance with ss. 760.20-760.37, The aggrieved person aggrieved may commence a civil action in any appropriate court against the respondent named in the complaint or petition for an administrative determination under pursuant to s. 760.35 to enforce the rights granted or protected by ss. 760.20-760.37 and is not required to petition for an administrative hearing or exhaust administrative remedies before commencing such action. If, as a result of its investigation under subsection (1), the commission finds there is reasonable cause to believe that a discriminatory housing practice has occurred, at the request of the aggrieved person aggrieved, the Attorney General may bring an action in the name of the state on

Page 4 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

19-00605-20 2020374

behalf of the aggrieved person to enforce the provisions of ss. 760.20-760.37.

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

- (5) In any proceeding brought  $\underline{\text{under}}$   $\underline{\text{pursuant to}}$  this section or s. 760.35, the burden of proof is on the complainant.
- (6) If Whenever an action filed in court under pursuant to this section or s. 760.35 comes to trial, the commission shall immediately terminate all efforts to obtain voluntary compliance.
- (7) (a) The commission may institute a civil action in any appropriate court if it is unable to obtain voluntary compliance with ss. 760.20-760.37. The commission  $\underline{\text{does}}$   $\underline{\text{need}}$  not have  $\underline{\text{to}}$   $\underline{\text{petition}}$   $\underline{\text{petitioned}}$  for an administrative hearing or  $\underline{\text{exhaust}}$   $\underline{\text{exhausted}}$  its administrative remedies  $\underline{\text{before}}$   $\underline{\text{prior to}}$  bringing a civil action.
- (b) The court may impose the following fines for each violation of ss. 760.20-760.37:
- 1. Up to \$10,000, if the respondent has not previously been found guilty of a violation of ss. 760.20-760.37.
- 2. Up to \$25,000, if the respondent has been found guilty of one prior violation of ss. 760.20-760.37 within the preceding 5 years.
- 3. Up to \$50,000, if the respondent has been found guilty of two or more violations of ss. 760.20-760.37 within the preceding 7 years.

In imposing a fine under this paragraph, the court shall consider the nature and circumstances of the violation, the degree of culpability, the history of prior violations of ss. 760.20-760.37, the financial circumstances of the respondent,

Page 5 of 8

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 374

19-00605-20 2020374 146 and the goal of deterring future violations of ss. 760.20-147 760.37. 148 (c) The court shall award reasonable attorney attorney's fees and costs to the commission in any action in which the 150 commission prevails. (8) Any local agency certified as substantially equivalent 151 may institute a civil action in any appropriate court, including 152 153 circuit court, if it is unable to obtain voluntary compliance with the local fair housing law. The agency does need not have 154 155 to petition petitioned for an administrative hearing or exhaust 156 exhausted its administrative remedies before prior to bringing a civil action. The court may impose fines as provided in the 157 local fair housing law. 158 159 Section 3. Section 760.35, Florida Statutes, is amended to 160 read: 161 760.35 Civil actions and relief; administrative procedures .-162 163 (1) An aggrieved person may commence a civil action shall 164 be commenced no later than 2 years after an alleged 165 discriminatory housing practice has occurred. However, the court 166 shall continue a civil case brought under <del>pursuant to</del> this section or s. 760.34 from time to time before bringing it to trial if the court believes that the conciliation efforts of the 168 169 commission or local agency are likely to result in satisfactory 170 settlement of the discriminatory housing practice complained of 171 in the complaint made to the commission or to the local agency 172 and which practice forms the basis for the action in court. Any 173 sale, encumbrance, or rental consummated before prior to the

Page 6 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

issuance of any court order issued under the authority of ss.

174

19-00605-20 2020374

760.20-760.37 and involving a bona fide purchaser, encumbrancer, or tenant without actual notice of the existence of the filing of a complaint or civil action under the provisions of ss. 760.20-760.37 is shall not be affected.

- (2) An aggrieved person may commence a civil action under this section regardless of whether a complaint has been filed under s. 760.34(1) and regardless of the status of any such complaint. If the commission has obtained a conciliation agreement with the consent of an aggrieved person under s. 760.36, the aggrieved person may not file any action under this section regarding the alleged discriminatory housing practice that forms the basis for the complaint except for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the conciliation agreement.
- (3) An aggrieved person may not commence a civil action under this section regarding an alleged discriminatory housing practice if an administrative law judge has commenced a hearing on the record on the allegation.
- $\underline{(4)\cdot(2)}$  If the court finds that a discriminatory housing practice has occurred, it shall issue an order prohibiting the practice and providing affirmative relief from the effects of the practice, including injunctive and other equitable relief, actual and punitive damages, and reasonable attorney attorney's fees and costs.
- (5) (a) (3) (a) If the commission is unable to obtain voluntary compliance with ss. 760.20-760.37 or has reasonable cause to believe that a discriminatory practice has occurred:
- 1. The commission may institute an administrative proceeding under chapter 120; or
  - 2. The aggrieved person aggrieved may request

Page 7 of 8

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 374

administrative relief under chapter 120 within 30 days after receiving notice that the commission has concluded its investigation under s. 760.34.

19-00605-20

- (b) Administrative hearings shall be conducted <u>under</u> <u>pursuant to</u> ss. 120.569 and 120.57(1). The respondent must be served written notice by certified mail. If the administrative law judge finds that a discriminatory housing practice has occurred or is about to occur, he or she shall issue a recommended order to the commission prohibiting the practice and recommending affirmative relief from the effects of the practice, including quantifiable damages and reasonable <u>attorney attorney's</u> fees and costs. The commission may adopt, reject, or modify a recommended order only as provided under s. 120.57(1). Judgment for the amount of damages and costs assessed pursuant to a final order by the commission may be entered in any court having jurisdiction thereof and may be enforced as any other judgment.
- (c) The district courts of appeal may, upon the filing of appropriate notices of appeal, review final orders of the commission <u>under pursuant to</u> s. 120.68. Costs or fees may not be assessed against the commission in any appeal from a final order issued by the commission under this subsection. Unless specifically ordered by the court, the commencement of an appeal does not suspend or stay an order of the commission.
- (d) This subsection does not prevent any other legal or administrative action provided by law.

Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 8 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

# APPEARANCE RECORD

| 19/4/2019 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator of   | or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)                                       |
|---|--|
| Meeting Date  | Bill Number (if applicable)  |
| Name famela Burch Fort  | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)  |
| Job Title   |  |
| Address 104 S. Manroe Street  | Phone <u>850-425-/344</u>  |
| Street  [allallussee FL]  City State  | 3230/ Email laghobby@aol.Com   |
| Speaking: For Against Information   | Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) |
| Representing Florida State Conference   | e of NAACP   |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No   | Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No   |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark |  |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.  | S-001 (10/14/14)   |

## *APPEARANCE RECORD*

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Phone 95 Address Street State Zip Speaking: Information Against Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Broward Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

| 12/9/2019   |  |  | 374  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Meeting Date  |  |  | Bill Number (if applicable)  |
| Topic Housing Discrimination  |  |  | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)  |
| Name Zayne Smith  |  |  | _  |
| Job Title Associate State Directo   | or   |  | _  |
| Address 215 S. Monroe St.   |  |  | Phone 850-228-4243   |
| Street  Tallahassee   | FL   | 32301  | Email zsmith@aarp.org  |
| City  | State  | Zip  |  |
| Speaking: For Against   | Information  |  | speaking: In Support Against hir will read this information into the record.)    |
| Representing AARP Florida   |  |  |  |
| Appearing at request of Chair:  | Yes No   | Lobbyist regis                               | tered with Legislature: Yes No   |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encoura<br>meeting. Those who do speak may be | nge public testimony, tim<br>asked to limit their rema | ne may not permit al<br>orks so that as many | l persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard. |
| This form is part of the public record  | I for this meeting.                                    |  | S-001 (10/14/14)   |

# APPEARANCE RECORD

| (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S  | Stail conducting the meeting) SB 374  |
|--|---|
| Meeting Date   | Bill Number (if applicable)   |
| Topic 58 374 Housing Discrimination  Name Michelle M. Wilson   | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)   |
| Job Title Executive Director   |   |
| Address 4075 Esplanade Way Room 110  | Phone (850) 488-2682  |
|  | Email michelle - wilson with my -   orida. Com  Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.) |
| Representing   |   |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis  While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit as meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many | tered with Legislature: Yes No Il persons wishing to speak to be heard at this y persons as possible can be heard.            |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.   | S-001 (10/14/14)  |



U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, Region IV
Five Points Plaza
40 Marietta Street.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2806

#### CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUSTED AND EMAIL

April 5, 2019

Michelle M. Wilson, MBA Executive Director Florida Commission on Human Relations 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Ms. Wilson:

#### SUBJECT: Suspension from the Fair Housing Assistance Program

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that the Florida Commission on Human Relations (FCHR) is suspended from participation in the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP). This suspension is effective April 11, 2019 and shall last for 90 days, ending on July 11, 2019.

During the period of suspension, HUD, as required by 24 C.F.R. §115.210(b)(3), will not refer complaints to FCHR, nor will HUD accept cases from FCHR for dual-filing. As a result, the agency must advise complainants of their right to either file their complaints with FCHR or directly with HUD. The agency will be paid for cases dual-filed as of April 11, 2019 and completed during the suspension which meet the quality and timeliness standards outlined in the FY 2019 Criteria for Processing.

#### Specific Reasons for Suspension

FCHR was placed on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) for an initial 90-day period effective June 1, 2018 – August 31, 2018. An additional 60-day extension was granted with a new ending date of October 31, 2018. On November 6 – 7, 2018, a follow-up performance assessment was conducted to determine whether FCHR met the required benchmarks to satisfy the PIP. During the onsite, the agency was still found to be failing. A detailed analysis of the agency's performance under the PIP is outlined in the enclosed post-PIP Performance Assessment Report.

This suspension is the direct result of the agency's failure to adequately address the deficiencies discussed below in a manner that will lead to long-term success. Indeed, while the agency achieved progress on reducing its backlog of inquiries and aged cases, it was able to do so only because of the intake moratorium in effect since July 1, 2017, and the enforcement moratorium in effect between November 15, 2017 and April 30, 2018. The fundamental challenges facing the FCHR have not yet been addressed.

HUD's mission is to create strong, sustainable, inclusive communities and quality, affordable homes for all.

#### I. Staffing and Workload Management

Based on an analysis of FCHR's workload, the recommended level of staff for FCHR is eight permanent investigators and four permanent intake analysts. The agency hired six OPS (temporary) staff persons between April 4, 2018 and June 8, 2018. However, five separated voluntarily or involuntarily from the agency before the end of the PIP performance period. In early November of 2018, the agency hired five replacement investigative and intake staff, bringing the total of non-management level staff members to 10: six investigators and four intake analysts. Four investigators were considered permanent with the remaining six staff considered temporary and without any benefits or certainty of continued employment. Earlier this year, one of the experienced intake staff left shortly after being promoted from temporary to permanent without giving a reason for his departure. Consequently, the current non-management level staff consists of six investigators and three intake analysts. Four investigators are considered permanent with the remaining staff considered temporary.

Currently, the three experienced investigators are each carrying an inventory of more than 60 cases. The three new investigators, with training, may be able take on at least 20 cases each from the three experienced investigators, thus making the caseload of the latter more manageable. However, even if all six investigators were to remain with the agency, the agency's staffing situation is not sustainable. For example, if all open cases were divided equally among the investigators, this would still have them carrying a load of 37 cases each, which is almost double the HUD recommended caseload.

Absent fundamental changes in the management and administration of the organization, history indicates that the agency will continue to fall behind, and the situation that led to the 2018 PIP will reoccur, with intake and enforcement moratoriums continuing to be necessary. High staff turnover and the inability of the agency to hire staff when it needs to are fundamental problems that have not been but need to be addressed.

#### II. Quality Management and Case Processing

#### A. Inquiries:

The PIP required that the agency assess all inquiries received after May 3, 2018 for jurisdiction and upload all relevant information into HEMS within 30 days of receipt of a signed complaint or legally acceptable substitute. A recent FHAP Processed Inquiries report revealed that out of the 38 inquiries with initial contact dates between November 1, 2018 – March 4, 2019, the agency closed or converted 24 (63%), or an average of six per month, of which 14 (58%) were closed or converted within 30 days. The remining 10 inquiries ranged in ages from 32 – 98 days old at closure or conversion.

For the period between January 1, 2019 and March 4, 2019 alone, FCHR closed or converted a total of 13 inquiries, or an average of 6.5 a month, of which four (31%) were closed or converted within 30 days. The remaining nine inquiries

ranged in ages from 32-98 days at closure or conversion. This means that 69% of inquiries processed over the last two months were not processed timely. As of March 5, 2019, the agency had an inventory of 14 open inquiries of which seven (50%) were under 30 days old and the remaining were up to 98 days old.

#### B. Cases:

At the time of the November 6-7, 2018 onsite, the agency had a total of 198 open cases, of which 93 (47%) were over 100 days old, with two (2%) of those critically aged over 300 days. As of March 5, 2019, the agency had a total of 223 open cases, of which 159 (71%) were over 100 days old, with 13 (8%) of those critically aged over 300 days. Thus, the agency's case backlog continued to increase rather than decrease during the four months following the hiring of three investigators.

Between January 1, 2019 and March 4, 2019, the agency closed 43 cases, an average of 21.5 a month with six investigators. Of those cases closed, 38 (88%) were aged, with 15 (39%) of those critically aged over 300 days.

The guidelines and the PIP require that the agency utilize administrative closures only in "limited and appropriate circumstances" [24 C.F.R. §115.206(e)(2)]. However, 40% of cases closed since January 1, 2019 were closed administratively. Moreover, a random sampling of significantly aged cases that were closed as a failure to cooperate showed enough information to issue a no cause determination.

| Cases Closed Between 1/1 - 3/4/2019 |    |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|--|
| No cause                            | 18 |  |  |
| Administrative Closure              | 17 |  |  |
| Withdrawal with Resolution          | 5  |  |  |
| Charge/cause                        | 2  |  |  |
| Conciliations                       | 1  |  |  |
| TOTAL                               | 43 |  |  |

#### III. Conciliation and Public Interest Requirements

The PIP required the agency to ensure that public interest relief was not limited to training in such cases where the established facts suggested more robust relief would be necessary to protect impacted individuals beyond the Complainant. Though the agency has implemented the use of a revised Conciliation Agreement, its staff are still not engaging in effective conciliations. For example, the agency has developed a pattern of requiring the donation of \$100 or slightly more to a fair housing organization of the Respondent's choice which is not meaningful and effective relief. This problem may in part be due to the fact that FCHR are using conciliators without fair housing experience or training to handle their conciliations and the actual fair housing investigators have no input or oversight. The agency has elected to take the conciliation of its cases out of the fair housing division, at

least in part, due to the large caseload of the investigators. Though this is understandable, the result is not acceptable and reinforces the need for a more sustainable hiring system.

#### IV. Budget and Finance Requirements

Due to the agency not using the FHAP funds for the purpose that HUD approved (e. g., salaries), \$176,686 in administrative cost (AC) funds have gone unspent and remain in its operating trust fund. This resulted from the Florida State Legislature prohibiting the agency from using the operating trust fund to pay for salaries but instead requiring it to use the general revenue fund. The funds were more than adequate to support the addition of much needed permanent intake and investigative staff.

The agency was given the opportunity to provide a revised plan for use of the funds; however, it was not possible since the financial books had been closed on the two impacted fiscal years and there could be no reallocation of expenditures. As a result, the agency is still required to return the unspent AC funds in the amount of \$176,686 to the United States Treasury. Following are the procedures for the agency to use to document and return funds:

A. Make a check payable to the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development in the amount of \$176,686. Make sure to note the following grant numbers on the check. The grant numbers are the Instrument Numbers reflected on the specific HUD-1044 that awarded the funds to the agency. Also, include a brief cover letter regarding the returned funding with a copy to the Regional Director, your Government Technical Representative and Government Technical Monitor.

| Grant Number | Amount of Awarded<br>AC Funds | Unused Amount to<br>Return |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| FF204K154020 | \$100,704.00                  | \$48,695.00                |
| FF204K164020 | \$137,321.00                  | \$127,991.00               |
| TOTALS       | \$238,025.00                  | \$176,686.00               |

B. The check and correspondence must be sent to the HUD lockbox at the following address:

US Bank HUD-FAD Collections Ft Worth PO Box 6200-05 Portland, OR 97228-6200

#### Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above and detailed in the enclosed post-PIP Performance Assessment Report, HUD is suspending FCHR's participation in the Fair Housing Assistance Program, effective for a 90-day period beginning April 11, 2019 until July 11, 2019. In accordance with 24 C.F.R. §115.210(6), prior to the end of the suspension, HUD will conduct a performance assessment of the agency to determine whether it is in compliance with all of the substantial

equivalency certification criteria set forth in 24 C.F.R. part 115. If it is determined that the agency has not corrected the deficiencies, the Assistant Secretary may propose to withdraw the agency's certification in accordance with 24 C.F.R. §115.210(c).

Pursuant to 24 C.F.R. §115.210(b)(1), FCHR has 30 days from the date of this letter to respond to this notification of suspension. If you have any questions, please contact Dita McCarthy, Government Technical Monitor (GTM) at (202) 236-7871 or <a href="majorage-aphrodite.t.mccarthy@hud.gov">aphrodite.t.mccarthy@hud.gov</a>, Vicki Ray, FHIP/FHAP Branch Chief/Government Technical Representative (GTR) at (678) 732-2446 or <a href="majorage-vickia.ray@hud.gov">vickia.ray@hud.gov</a>, or myself at (678) 732-2905 or <a href="majorage-carlos.osegueda@hud.gov">carlos.osegueda@hud.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Carlos Osegueda

FHEO Region IV Director

Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity

#### Enclosures

#### cc:

Tony Jenkins, Board of Commissioners Chair c/o Florida Blue 610 Crescent Executive Court, #600 Lake Mary, Florida 32746

#### Copies distributed internally to HUD:

David Enzel, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Programs Joseph Pelletier, Director, Fair Housing Assistance Program

# United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity

## **Region IV**

Post-Performance Improvement Plan Performance Assessment Report

| FH | AΡ | Agency: |
|----|----|---------|
|    |    | ALCHUY. |

Florida Commission on Human Relations

Address:

4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110

Tallahassee, FL 32399-7020

Director:

Michelle Wilson

Director's phone number:

(850) 488-7082

Assessment Purpose: To determine whether the Florida Commission on Human Relations (FCHR) engages in timely, comprehensive, and thorough fair housing complaint investigation, conciliation and enforcement activities, and therefore warrants continued certification as a participant in the Fair Housing Assistance Program. This determination is based on FCHR's compliance with the performance standards and requirements set forth in regulations implementing the Fair Housing Assistance Program, at 24 CFR part 115 and the Criteria for Processing.

Performance Period: June 1, 2018 – October 31, 2018

Date of Assessment: November 6 – 7, 2018

On-Site X Remote \_\_\_\_\_

HUD Reviewer(s): Vicki Ray, FHIP/FHAP Branch Chief/GTR
Aphrodite McCarthy, Government Technical Monitor/Representative
Dustin Parks, Government Technical Monitor/Representative

Recommended for certification or recertification: Yes No X

## **Table of Contents**

|   | Page Number                     |
|---|---------------------------------|
| I. Organizational Structure and Staffing  | 3-4                             |
| II. Performance Standards   |                                 |
| <ul><li>A. Performance Standard #1</li><li>B. Performance Standard #2</li><li>C. Performance Standard #5</li><li>D. Performance Standard #9</li></ul> | 5-11<br>11-12<br>12-13<br>13-15 |
| Program Requirements  |                                 |
| E. Budget and Finance Requirements F. Data Support Systems Requirement  | 15-16<br>16                     |
| III. Civil Rights Requirements  | 16                              |
| IV. Conclusions   | 16-17                           |
| V. Exhibits   | 17                              |
| VI. Signature Page  | 18                              |

Purpose: HUD FHEO conducted an onsite performance assessment on February 27-28, 2018 to determine whether the Florida Commission on Human Relations (FCHR) engaged in timely, comprehensive and thorough fair housing complaint investigation, conciliation and enforcement activities as per the requirements set forth at 24 CFR Part 115 and the *Memorandum of Understanding* in force between HUD and FCHR. The assessment revealed that the agency failed to meet certain program performance standards and requirements. As a result, FCHR was placed on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) for an initial 90-day period effective June 1, 2018 – August 31, 2018. An additional 60-day extension was granted with a new ending date of October 31, 2018. On November 6 – 7, 2018, a follow-up performance assessment was conducted to determine whether FCHR met the required benchmarks to satisfy the PIP. Below is an assessment of their performance during the PIP.

#### I. Organizational Structure

- A.1. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to provide a definitive structure of its organization describing the roles and responsibilities of each position assigned to the FHAP. Though the agency did not meet the 30-day target, it provided the organizational chart within 60 days.
- A.2. The benchmark has been partially met. The agency was required to hire new permanent investigative and intake staff. The recommended level of staff for FCHR is eight permanent investigators and four permanent intake analysts. The agency hired six OPS (temporary) staff persons between April 4, 2018 and June 8, 2018, which includes the initial 45-days of the PIP. However, the following five separated voluntarily or involuntarily from the agency before the end of the PIP performance period.
  - Karry Kimbler: Investigator
  - Brittinee Turral: Regulatory Specialist (Intake)
  - Tiffany Knox: Regulatory Specialist (Intake)
  - Matthew Latch: Investigator
  - Whitney Lamb: Staff Assistant

The agency subsequently hired five replacement investigative and intake staff effective November 2, 2018, bringing the total of non-management level staff members to 10: six investigators and four intake analysts. Four investigators are considered permanent with the remaining six staff considered temporary and without any benefits or certainty of continued employment. The agency indicated that it plans to continue pursuing the hiring of additional investigators and to seek permanent status for all OPS staff through the Florida legislature in order to reach the recommended staffing levels. The agency also hired Jacquelyn Dupree in August 2018 to serve as the Chief of Investigations for the housing and employment units. This brings the total of the management/supervisory level staff to three.

Current housing intake and investigative staff are as follow:

- Diana Diaz Investigation Specialist II (permanent)
- Lisa Sutherland Investigation Specialist II (permanent)
- Brendon Wikle Investigation Specialist II (permanent)
- Byron Pendergraft Investigator Specialist I (New hire permanent)
- Shalanta Kilpatrick Investigation Specialist I (New hire OPS)
- Kymberly Kemp Investigation Specialist I (New hire OPS)
- Frank Fonseca Regulatory Specialist (OPS)
- Maritza Donate Regulatory Specialist (OPS)
- Angelica Mikell Regulatory Specialist (New hire OPS)
- Macy Brown Regulatory Specialist (New hire OPS)

Staff interviews were conducted with the housing unit. Staff expressed an interest in attending more substantive training, both internal and external, to better assist them in fulfilling the responsibilities of their positions. The investigators indicated that the use of early conciliation has been very helpful and assisted them with managing their caseloads. Additionally, when cases require additional investigation, expedited feedback from the legal department upon its receipt of determinations would assist the investigators in case processing as well.

- **A.3.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to assign investigative workloads within 60 days. At the time of the onsite, the agency did not have any unassigned dual-filed complaints.
- **A.4.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to train all new staff in intake and investigations within 30 days of hire or to notify the GTM if it was impractical to do so. The agency identified training taken by the previously hired staff that subsequently separated and provided a training plan for the recent new hires. The plan for the new hires is under review by the GTM/R to determine whether FY 2018 FHAP training funds can be used to cover the costs. The final decision will be provided under separate cover.

It should also be noted that since the onboarding of the Chief of Investigations, the agency has developed and implemented an internal training program whereby peer-to-peer instruction and/or mentoring is used to supplement external training opportunities. The agency developed a Housing Intake Training Manual and compiled a Housing Resources Manual in October 2018. The staff confirmed the use of HUD's Title VIII Complaint Intake, Investigation, and Conciliation Handbook.

**B.1.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to document and provide a copy of all executive efforts to obtain a representative Commission. Though the agency did not meet the 45-day target, it provided a copy of email communications to demonstrate their efforts within 90 days.

#### II. Performance Standards

- A. Performance Standard #1: Commence complaint proceedings, carry forward such proceedings, complete investigations, issue determinations, and make final administrative dispositions in a timely manner. [24 C.F.R. § 115.206(e)(1)]
  - **A.1.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to complete the processing of the 101 open inquiries identified at the beginning of the PIP. The agency closed or converted all 101 inquiries during the PIP.
  - A.2. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to assess any inquiries received after May 3, 2018, for jurisdiction and upload all relevant information into HEMS within 30 days of receipt of a signed complaint or legally acceptable substitute.

The status update for the 30- and 45-day benchmarks revealed that out of the 37 inquiries with initial contact dates between May 4, 2018 – June 30, 2018, the agency closed or converted 14 (38%).with eight (57%) of those 14 closed or converted within 30 days of receipt of the signed Technical Assistance Questionnaire (TAQ) or 903.

The status update for the 60-day benchmarks revealed that out of 16 inquiries with initial contact dates between July 1-31, 2018, the agency closed or converted nine (56%) in less than 30 days. Three of the remaining seven open inquiries were 30 days and older.

The status update for the 90-day benchmarks revealed that out of the 18 inquiries with initial contact dates between August 1-31, 2018, the agency closed or converted nine (50%), of which eight (88%) were closed or converted in less than 30 days. Two of the aforementioned nine did not reflect a closure reason. The nine remaining open inquiries ranged in ages from 23-45 days old.

The status update for the 60-day extension benchmarks revealed that out of the 20 inquiries received with initial contact dates between September 1, 2018 – October 31, 2018, the agency closed or converted 10 (50%), nine (90%) of which were completed or converted within 30 days or less with one closed at 54 days. The 10 remaining open inquiries ranged in ages from 9 – 83 days old.

GTM/Rs Dustin Parks and Dita McCarthy conducted a review of 16 inquiries while onsite. Their assessment looked at HEMS and the agency's in-house case tracking system, Action Step. Discrepancies between the information in HEMS and Action Step were noted, as well as, other deficiencies with the agency's intake process. Specifically, it was determined during the onsite that

the agency was not consistently assessing jurisdiction appropriately or uploading all relevant information in HEMS.

The requirements found in paragraph 22 of the 2018 Contributions Agreement Schedule of Articles provide that the initial contact date is the "actual date on which a complainant first contacts the agency or HUD to inquire about filing a housing discrimination complaint, or to report an alleged discriminatory housing practice." However, the agency has been improperly recording the initial contact date as the date the agency receives the complainant's completed Technical Assistance Questionnaire (TAQ) rather than the actual date of complainant's first contact with the agency.

The agency further does not have a practice of sending notices of closure for cases closed at the inquiry stage. The agency also does not include language in its 10-day letters that failing a response by a date certain, a complaint will be closed for failure to cooperate.

#### Inquiry # 554798

Action Step shows an initial contact date of February 21, 2018. HEMS shows an initial contact date of May 16, 2018. Action Step shows a closure date of June 5, 2018. HEMS shows an inquiry closure date of June 6, 2018. FCHR issued a 10-day letter dated May 22, 2018 which was returned to sender. There is no evidence to suggest FCHR attempted to contact the complainant via the phone or email after the letter was returned.

#### Inquiry # 563161

The first Action Step shows a date of August 12, 2017. HEMS shows an Initial contact date of July 10, 2017. This inquiry was closed based on lack of jurisdiction. However, a review of HEMS showed FCHR closed the inquiry because the complainant was unable to identify a condominium unit number, which, according to the file, prevented FCHR from determining the owner. The complainant provided an email from the realtor containing a requirement that no children live in the unit. FCHR could have determined the unit number and owner by interviewing the realtor. Furthermore, FCHR did not analyze this email in relation to Sec. 804(c).

Inquiry # 554930: Note Selected – Assigned to Investigator in Action Step The first Action Step shows an initial contact date of May 14, 2018. HEMS shows an initial contact date of May 12, 2018. There was no evidence of a withdrawal form or copy of email that states complainant no longer wants to pursue in HEMS or Action Step.

#### Inquiry # 559816

The first Action Step shows an initial contact date of July 23, 2018. HEMS shows an initial contact date of July 17, 2018.

#### <u>Inquiry # 543088</u>

The first Action Step shows an initial contact date of November 17, 2017. HEMS shows an initial contact date of November 14, 2017.

#### Inquiry # 560528

The complainant had unstable housing and informed FCHR on September 7, 2018 he wanted to continue the case. However, the case was closed on September 14, 2018 due to not having a signed 903. The case file did contain a letter addressed to the complainant dated August 30, 2018 urging him to return the signed 903 in 10 days, but the letter did not require such response in the suggested timeline.

#### Inquiry # 557314

The first Action Step shows an initial contact date of June 19, 2018. HEMS shows an initial contact date of June 18, 2018.

#### Inquiry # 556580

The first Action Step shows an initial contact date of June 11, 2018. HEMS shows an initial contact date of June 6, 2018.

#### Inquiry # 563264

HEMS shows the initial contact date as August 29, 2018. However, the agency received a response from the complainant to its TAQ on August 28, 2018. The initial contact was before the date noted. Additionally, the inquiry was closed as a withdrawal with resolution but there was no information in HEMS regarding why the case closed after resolution or the nature of the resolution. No closure letters were in HEMS. Action Step notes indicate that the complainant called and said that she wanted to close the case because she was able to make the accommodations she wanted and purchase the property. Action Step showed that FCHR had her email address but no evidence of closure letters or an email closing case.

#### Inquiry # 555674

This case was closed based on an overly limited standard of complainant standing. HEMS showed the agency found the case to be non-jurisdictional because the person making the complaint failed to provide a Power of Attorney (POA) for the alleged complainant, her disabled adult son. The mother lived in the community and was given a violation notice based on her adult disabled son staying with her beyond two weeks as a visitor. However, the mother stated her son lived with her full time and was a resident. Legal required her to have a POA for him though she had standing as a resident and thus should not have needed to provide a POA. Legal forwarded the complainant a Notice of Right to Amend (NORTA). Complainant's failure to provide the POA as requested in the NORTA resulted in the closure as a failure to cooperate. No Closure letters were sent.

#### Inquiry # 557696

Initial contact date was reflected as June 22, 2018 and the case closed on July 18, 2018 for lack of jurisdiction. However, there was no additional information to indicate why the case was found to be non-jurisdictional. There were no closure letters in HEMS or in Action Step.

A note in Action Step indicated on October 23, 2018 that the complainant called the agency to determine the status of his case but confirmed that the only information was that the case had been closed as non-jurisdictional and no letters were mailed to the complainant.

#### Inquiry # 549057

Initial contact date in HEMS shows February 22, 2018 and closure on June 6, 2018 as a failure to cooperate. HEMS had no tracking information on status or 10-day letters. The 10-day letter sent did not contain a statement to the effect that if the complainant fails to respond by the date certain, the complaint would be dismissed. In Action Step there was no record or information to show how the complaint came in or how it originated.

#### Inquiry # 556549

HEMS shows initial contact with complainant was June 6, 2018 and closure on July 25, 2018 as a withdrawal without resolution. There was no information regarding the withdrawal; only the allegations and the TAQ response. Action Step notes indicated that the 903 was drafted and mailed on March 28, 2018. The complainant called later indicating that he had concerns about filing a complaint after contacting the agency. He indicated that he was afraid to file. There were no notes indicating intake informed him about the prohibition against retaliation.

#### Inquiry # 549533

HEMS initial contact date was March 2, 2018. This is the date the complainant's response to the TAQ was received by the agency, not the actual initial contact. HEMS contains only allegations and the TAQ response but no additional information or closure documents.

Action Step contained additional documentation not found in HEMS and showed the original claims were landlord tenant and not fair housing. As a result, Legal sent a NORTA informing the complainant that his case did not appear jurisdictional, but that he could amend the inquiry to add jurisdictional claims; failing adequate amending, it would be closed. It was subsequently closed on June 14, 2018 for failure to cooperate.

#### Inquiry # 543362

HEMS initial contact date was reflected as November 27, 2017. There was no information in HEMS other than the TAQ response which was dated November 20, 2017, prior to initial contact listed. Action Step shows the

inquiry was assigned to intake on November 27, 2018. Intake sent an undated request for additional information with a deadline of February 22, 2018. It was subsequently closed as failure to cooperate on June 5, 2018.

Inquiry # 536757

HEMS initial contact date is reflected as March 16, 2017, the date referred from HUD. Action Step shows it was a duplicate case and it was closed on July 7, 2018.

- A.3. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to assign the 59 dual-filed cases identified at the beginning of the PIP. The agency assigned all 59 cases to investigators during the PIP.
- A.4. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to assign complaints for investigation within 14 days of dual-filing or HUD referral for any jurisdictional complaints received after May 3, 2018. Though the agency struggled with assigning cases within 14 days at the beginning of the PIP, it demonstrated improvement during the final reporting periods.

The status update on the 30- and 45-day benchmarks revealed that of the 53 cases dual-filed between May 4, 2018 – June 30, 2018, the agency assigned 18 (34%) to investigators, of which four (17%) were assigned within 14 days of dual-filing or HUD referral, and 35 (66%) remained unassigned. Of those unassigned, 30 (86%) were aged 14 days or older since dual-filing.

The status update on the 60-day benchmarks revealed that out of 45 cases dual-filed between July 1-31, 2018, the agency assigned 14 to investigators and 31 were unassigned.

The status update on the 90-day benchmarks revealed that out of 39 cases dual-filed between August 1-31, 2018, the agency assigned all 39 for investigation, 35 (90%) within 14 days of filing and the remaining four within 20 days.

The status update on the 60-day extension benchmarks revealed that out of 55 cases dual-filed between September 1, 2018 – October 31, 2018, the agency assigned 50 (91%) for investigation within 14 days of filing. Dates assigned to investigators were not noted in the Chronology section of HEMS for the five remaining cases so the reviewer was unable to determine whether they were assigned within 14 days. See the Open FHAP Cases by Agency Report (9/01/2018 – 10/31/2018).

A.5. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to initiate all dual-filed investigations within 30 days of acceptance. However, the agency did not consistently initiate investigations within 30 days during the PIP. This benchmark was assessed as part of the FY 2018 Case Processing review

period (July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018). The review by the previous GTM, Kia Johnson, revealed that a substantial number of investigations were not initiated within 30-days during the aforementioned period. Refer to the Completed/Reactivated FHAP Cases by Agency Report with FHAP Payment Notes provided with the 90-day status report and the payment notes reflected in HEMS for specifics.

A.6. The benchmark has been met regarding the reporting aspect; however, the agency has a substantial aged case inventory. The agency was required to report the number and age of active complaints in each investigator's inventory, excluding cases under legal review, for any jurisdictional complaints received after May 3, 2018. The agency provided the caseload information for each investigator. Below is the total active caseload for each investigator at the time of the onsite, inclusive of complaints filed prior to the PIP.

The recommended caseload per investigator is between 20-25. However, the agency investigators are carrying a substantially higher and unsustainable caseload and are required to close seven cases a month. This can be attributed, in part, to conversions resulting from the elimination of the substantial intake backlog and, more importantly, insufficient staffing levels compounded by the high turnover rate of the investigative staff. Thus, the agency now has a substantial aged case inventory as well. At the time of the onsite, the agency had a total of 198 open cases, of which 93 (47%) were aged. See the Open FHAP Cases over 300 Days Old report for specifics.

- Diana Diaz 63
- Lisa Sutherland 71
- Brendon Wikle 62

The agency must significantly reduce or eliminate its aged case inventory. In order for the agency to effectively address the substantial aged case backlog, the agency leadership team must develop and implement strategies to assist the investigators with bringing them to conclusion. It should be noted that such large caseloads and monthly closure rates also negatively impacts the morale of the staff and the quality of the investigations.

- A.7. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to provide a copy of the protocol in effect between the housing unit and legal regarding the process for legal review of cases, including timeframes for completing reviews within 30 days. Though the agency did not meet the 30-day target, it provided the protocol within 60 days.
- **A.8.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to provide names and status updates on all cases that were under review by legal during the PIP

- with target completion dates. The agency provided the required information for impacted cases.
- A.9. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to report on the inventory of all complaints undergoing legal review. Though the agency did not meet the 30-day target, it provided the required information for impacted cases during the subsequent reporting periods.
- A.10. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to establish and submit a formal procedure that ensures the FCHR does not maintain an intake inventory of more than 10% of its open inquiries per quarter. Though the agency did not meet the 30-day target, it established and implemented procedures within 90 days. At the writing of this report, the agency has a total of 15 open inquiries ranging in age from 1 57 days old. See the Open FHAP Inquiries by Agency report for specifics.
- **A.11.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to close or cause the nine critically aged cases between 300 679 days old identified at the beginning of the PIP within 60 days. Though the agency did not meet the 60-day target, it had closed or caused all nine cases by October 17, 2018.
- **A.12.** The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to provide target completion dates for the 17 cases aged between 213 283 days old identified at the beginning of the PIP within 60 days. Target completion dates were provided for all 17 cases and all were closed during the PIP.
- B. Performance Standard #2: Administrative closures are utilized only in limited and appropriate circumstances. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(2)].
  - B.1. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to eliminate the complainant's failure to submit a Diary of Events as a justification for failing to proceed with investigative activities immediately. The agency indicated that they have eliminated this practice; however, based on the review conducted by the previous GTM as part of the FY 2018 case processing period, the investigators still relied on the failure of complainants to submit a dairy of events as justification to close cases as failure to cooperate in several instances during the aforementioned period. Refer to the Completed/Reactivated FHAP Cases by Agency Report with FHAP Payment Notes provided with the status update on the 90-day benchmarks for specifics.
  - **B.2.** The benchmark has not been met. At the intake stage, the agency's intake analysts were required to appropriately assess jurisdiction in accordance with guidance contained in HUD's Title VIII Complaint Intake, Investigation, and Conciliation Handbook and obtained during intake training. If the case was determined to be non-jurisdictional, the case was to be closed within five days and HEMS was to be updated accordingly.

The onsite review found that the agency was not consistently assessing jurisdiction appropriately or uploading all relevant information in HEMS as required. Refer to related comments in A.2 above. The agency closed 18 inquiries as lack of jurisdiction during the PIP. The reviewer was only able to confirm that one (6%) was closed within five days. See the Completed/Reactivated FHAP Cases by Agency report for specifics.

- **B.3.** The benchmark has been met. Upon assignment of a new case for investigation, FCHR investigators were required to reassess jurisdiction within 30 days. If the case was determined to be non-jurisdictional, it was to be closed within five days and HEMS updated accordingly. During the PIP, there was only one new case assigned that closed as lack of jurisdiction and it was done so within five days.
- B.4. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to upload HUD's Case Closure Checklists signed by the Housing Manager in the documents section of HEMS for each case closed as lack of jurisdiction and failure to cooperate demonstrating that a supervisor reviewed the case prior to closure. During the PIP performance period, the agency closed 13 cases as failure to cooperate and four as lack of jurisdiction. Only four (31%) of the failure to cooperate closures had checklists executed by the Housing Manager uploaded to HEMS. The following four failure to cooperate checklists were executed by the Deputy Clerk instead of the Housing Manager. None of the lack of jurisdiction case closures had checklists uploaded. See the Completed/Reactivated FHAP Cases by Agency report for specifics.
  - 04-18-1409-8 Lantzy, George vs. Pinewood Trailer Park, Inc.
  - 04-18-1113-8 Misuraca vs. University House of Gainesville, LLC
  - 04-18-1066-8 Grayson, Jabari v. Darden Property Management, Inc.
  - 04-18-3069-9 Gould, Richard v. Parkwood Mobile Home Park, LLC, et al
- C. Performance Standard #5: The agency must consistently and affirmatively seek and obtain the type of relief designed to prevent recurrences of discriminatory practices. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(5)].
  - C.1. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to demonstrate, via HEMS, all petitions for relief within its authority (i.e. actual, punitive damages) had been sought. During the PIP, a total 12 Petitions for Relief were returned by complainants and filed with the Department of Administrative Hearings (DOAH). All were uploaded to HEMS.
  - C.2. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to update the post-cause activities captured on the FHAP Referral screen in HEMS on a monthly basis or as actions occurred for all cause cases. The agency caused five cases during the PIP and updated HEMS accordingly. During the performance

- assessment, the agency also provided a list of 24 previously caused cases that are currently being handled by the Attorney General's Office. See attached report.
- C.3. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to avoid boilerplate public interest provisions and move provisions requiring the display of fair housing posters and notification of staff to the general provisions section of all conciliation agreements/settlements. The agency implemented the use of a revised Conciliation Agreement template that incorporated some of the more robust language that was provided to them as a sample from HUD on May 30, 2018. The revised template also relocated the provisions identified in the PIP to the general provisions section as required. The GTM will continue to monitor the agency's performance through the end of the case processing period for compliance.
- C.4. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to ensure that public interest relief was not limited to training in cases where the facts suggest more robust relief was necessary to protect residents beyond the Complainant. The previous GTM noted several instances of the agency not obtaining any or appropriate/effective public interest relief as part of her review and reconciliation of case closures for the FY 2018 case processing period. Though the agency has implemented the use of a revised Conciliation Agreement, its investigators are still not engaging in effective conciliations. For example, the agency has developed a pattern of requiring the donation of \$100 or slightly more to a fair housing organization of the respondent's choice which is not meaningful and effective relief. See the case notes in HEMS. The investigators should take an advanced conciliation training to address this deficiency.
- C.5. The benchmark was not applicable during the PIP. The agency was, where applicable, encouraged to evaluate a respondent's entire housing portfolio to assess whether widespread actions (i.e. multiple properties) were necessary.
- D. Performance Standard #9: The agency must conform its performance to the provisions of any written agreements executed by the agency and HUD related to substantial equivalence certification, including but not limited to the interim agreement or MOU. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(9)].
  - D.1. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to demonstrate that it had closed or charged 50% of all complaints received between April 1, 2018 August 30, 2018 within 100 days by August 31, 2018. The agency indicated that they received 55 cases between April 1, 2018 August 30, 2018 and closed 22 (40%). However, our records reflected that there was a total of 146 cases filed between the aforementioned dates, of which, 17 could have reached 100 days old on August 31, 2018. Of the 17, the agency closed or

4

## charged a total of 10 (59%) , with only one of those 17 (6%) being closed within 100 days or less.

|              | Case Number and Name   | Origin<br>(HUD or<br>FHAP) | Initial<br>Contact<br>Date | Filing<br>Date | Completion<br>Disposition        | Completion<br>Date | Case<br>Age |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 04-18-2604-8 | Molina, Linda v. CHARLOTTE<br>SQUARE CONDOMINIUM<br>ASSOCIATION, INC.                        | FHAP                       | 7/20/2017                  | 05/21/18       | Conciliation/<br>Settlement      | 9/10/2018          | 112         |
| 04-18-2465-8 | Gratus Housing Advocates Inc. v.<br>Senate Manor Estates                                     | FHAP                       | 6/30/2017                  | 05/09/18       | Conciliation/<br>Settlement      | 6/20/2018          | 42          |
| 04-18-2429-8 | Mercer, Laurence v. Escondido<br>Community Association, Inc., et al                          | HUD                        | 4/3/2018                   | 05/04/18       | Conciliation/<br>Settlement      | 9/18/2018          | 137         |
| 04-18-2485-8 | Seays, SaRissa v. Greystar Equity<br>Partners I LLC et al                                    | HUD                        | 3/21/2018                  | 05/10/18       | No Cause                         | 8/21/2018          | 103         |
| 04-18-2466-8 | Moran, Jenny   | FHAP                       | 6/1/2017                   | 05/09/18       | Open                             |                    | 176         |
| 04-18-2267-8 | Williams, Clifton & Chandra v.<br>Bloomingdale Homeowners<br>Association, Inc.               | FHAP                       | 7/21/2017                  | 04/23/18       | Open                             | 6/28/2018          | 112         |
| 04-18-2333-8 | Farabee, Thomas & Generalova,<br>Yulia v Horizon Homes at<br>Riverview, Inc.                 | FHAP                       | 8/19/2017                  | 04/26/18       | No Cause                         | 11/20/2018         | 208         |
| 04-18-2386-8 | Surabian, Robert and Justine v Castel<br>Del Mare Condominium Association,<br>Inc., et al    | FHAP                       | 9/27/2017                  | 05/01/18       | Open                             |                    | 212         |
| 04-18-2405-8 | Fitz and Theresa Dyer v.<br>InterManagement LLC  | FHAP                       | 1/5/2018                   | 05/02/18       | Open                             |                    | 211         |
| 04-18-2451-8 | Monteleone, Patricia v. Dolphin Way<br>of Hickory Point Condominium<br>Association, Inc.     | HUD                        | 2/21/2018                  | 05/08/18       | Conciliation                     | 10/22/2018         | 148         |
| 04-18-2626-8 | Guzman Rosado, Phillips v. Island<br>Club West HOA, Inc., et al                              | HUD                        | 5/1/2018                   | 05/23/18       | Open                             |                    | 190         |
| 04-18-2444-8 | Alli, Akin and Onadjefe v. The<br>Keyes Company, et al                                       | HUD                        | 3/15/2018                  | 05/08/18       | No Cause                         | 10/18/2018         | 163         |
| 04-18-2424-8 | Horovitz, Inbal v. Towers of Key<br>Biscayne, et al.   | HUD                        | 3/19/2018                  | 05/03/18       | Open                             |                    | 210         |
| 04-18-2469-8 | Revilla, Roger & Angela v. River<br>Grand II Condominium Association,<br>Inc., et al.        | HUD                        | 4/3/2018                   | 05/09/18       | Conciliation                     | 10/15/2018         | 159         |
| 04-18-2564-8 | Smith-Myers, Brenda and Myers,<br>Stephen v. Blue Star Shoreview,<br>LLC, et al              | HUD                        | 4/19/2018                  | 05/17/18       | No Cause                         | 10/26/2018         | 141         |
| 04-18-2625-8 | Goldschmid, Fred v. Fairview House<br>Condo HOA, et al                                       | HUD<br>·                   | 4/19/2018                  | 05/23/18       | Open                             |                    | 190         |
| 04-18-2092-8 | Arnold, Sandra vs Palm Tree Acres<br>Subdivision Landowners<br>Homeowner's Association, Inc. | FHAP                       | 5/1/2017                   | 04/10/18       | Withdrawn<br>after<br>Resolution | 8/3/2018           | 115         |

D.2. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to demonstrate that it had closed or charged 95% of its aged complaints carried over from FY 2016 case processing period that were aged on July 1, 2017 by August 31, 2018. Our records confirm that the agency had a total of 78 aged cases open on July 1, 2017. All 78 (100%) were closed and/or caused by August 31, 2018. It is noted that the cause cases were sent to the Attorney General's Office and the majority of them are critically aged. The agency indicated in a PIP status report dated October 22, 2018, that it would be working closely with the Attorney General's Office to close those cases by October 30, 2018. The agency must provide a status update to HUD.

### E. Budget and Finance Requirements [24 CFR § 115.307]:

The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to return E.1. \$176,686 in unspent FHAP Administrative Cost (AC) funds to the United States Treasury. The agency was given the opportunity to provide a revised AC funds plan to utilize the unspent funds. However, after working with the agency's Operations Manager to that end, on October 22, 2018, we were informed that the agency could not purse the opportunity. It was indicated that even though the agency could identify expenditures that were paid from general revenue in salaries and benefits that were in the initial FY 2017 AC funds plan (July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017), the financial books were closed on the two fiscal years discussed and there could be no reallocation of expenditures; and, the identified expenditures could not be recoded from general revenue to the operating trust fund since the trust fund did not contain an appropriation category for full-time staff salaries and benefits effective July 1, 2016. As a result, the request for additional consideration would not be provided. The agency also proposed to repay the unspent AC funds from future case processing payments. However, this is not an allowable option.

During the onsite, it was indicated that the agency is preparing a budget request to be submitted to the Florida Governor's Office and legislature so that the agency can obtain refund authority. The agency is to provide HUD with an update on this request and a target date for the return of funds by May 31, 2019.

E.2. The benchmark has not been met as stated; however, the response provided by the agency is satisfactory. The agency, in partnership with the Florida State Legislature, was required to make every effort to address the current policy and permit the FCHR to use FHAP AC funds for salary and, additionally, not take any further actions that would limit or deny the use of FHAP funds beyond the purpose that HUD has provided. The agency indicated that it "cannot support the recurring payment of salaries and benefits from the Operating Trust Fund because the Operating Trust Fund does not have a consistent schedule of revenue receipts". For future plans, the agency will have to identify expenses allowable per 2 CFR 200 to use AC funds.

E.3. The benchmark has not been met; however, the response provided in a letter of June 12, 2018 is satisfactory. The agency was required to further segregate FHAP funds in an account separate from any other funds to prevent any future interpretation of comingling of funds. The agency indicated in the aforementioned letter, that it established designated spending codes that identify HUD spending in the Operating Trust fund and identifies spending of non-FHAP funds from the General Revenue fund. It was further indicated it would not be opening a separate account but would be using the existing accounting codes instead. In short, the agency believes the application of the codes is sufficient to address this finding. The GTM will monitor the agency's spending closely during the current performance period.

### F. Data Support Systems [24 CFR § 115.307(a)(3)]:

F.1. The benchmark has not been met. The agency was required to provide more robust document descriptions and summaries in HEMS that accurately depict what the document is, and the specific information gleaned from that document to support the determination. The agency was further required to follow HUD's HEMS Data Entry Guidance Memo dated December 22, 2016. The previous GTM noted that specific cases were not in compliance with the HUD guidance as part of her review and reconciliation of case closures for the FY 2018 case processing period. It should also be noted that numerous instances of non-compliance were reflected throughout the FY 2018 case processing period prior to the issuance of the PIP. Further, the current GTM has noted inadequate document summaries in cases closed during the current case processing period. However, improvements were made by some investigators for cases closed near the end of the PIP. Refer to HEMS for specific case notes.

### III. Civil Rights Requirements

1. The benchmark has been met. The agency was required to notify HUD of the current status of all civil rights complaints against the FCHR. The agency reported that all of the complaints had been resolved with a finding of no fault other than one has been filed in the Second Judicial Circuit in and for Leon County, Florida. The complaint alleges disability and sex discrimination and is ongoing.

### **IV. Conclusions:**

Though the agency made progress towards improving its performance under the PIP, it has failed to meet all of the required benchmarks. As a result, and in accordance with 24 CFR 115.210, the agency is hereby suspended for a period of 90 days to allow it to cure the outstanding deficiencies identified above. The suspension will be effective March 11, 2019 – June 9, 2019. During the period of suspension, we will not refer complaints to the agency. However, we will provide the agency with the resources discussed during the

onsite as technical assistance under separate cover. Prior to the end of the suspension, we will conduct a subsequent performance assessment of the agency. If it is determined that the agency has not corrected the deficiencies and demonstrated that it meets all of the substantial equivalency certification criteria set forth in 24 CFR 115 at the end of the suspension, we will recommend the withdrawal of the agency's certification to the Assistant Secretary.

### V. Exhibits

- A. FHAP Processed Inquiries 9/01/2018 10/31/2018
- B. Open FHAP Cases by Agency 9/01/2018 10/31/2018
- C. Open FHAP Cases over 300 Days Old
- D. Open FHAP Inquiries by Agency
- E. Completed/Reactivated FHAP Cases by Agency 6/01/2018 10/30/2018
- F. Completed/Reactivated FHAP Cases by Agency (Filed between 4/01/2018 8/31/2018)
- G. Cases to DOAH June 1, 2018 October 30, 2018
- H. Cases Sent to the Attorney General's Office November 7, 2018 Update

### VI. Signature Page

| Aphrodita McCarthy                          | 4/05/2019                |
|---|--------------------------|
| Aphrodite McCarthy GTM                      | Date                     |
| Vicki A. Ray FHIP/FHAP Branch Chief/GTR     | <u>4/05/2019</u><br>Date |
| Carlos Osegueda FHEO Region IV Director/CAO | 4/05/2019<br>Date        |

# United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Region IV

### **Post-Suspension Performance Assessment Report**

FHAP Agency: Florida Commission on Human Relations

Address: 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-7020

Director: Michelle Wilson

**Director's phone number:** (850) 488-7082

Assessment Purpose: To determine whether the performance deficiencies of the Florida Commission on Human Relations that contributed to its 2019 suspension from the Fair Housing Assistance Program have been remedied and/or eliminated, and therefore whether the agency warrants continued certification as a participant in the program. This determination is based on the Florida Commission on Human Relations' compliance with the performance standards and requirements set forth in regulations implementing the Fair Housing Assistance Program, at 24 CFR part 115 and the Criteria for Processing, including concerns raised in the Suspension Letter and Post Performance Improvement Plan Performance Assessment Report issued on April 5, 2019.

| Performance Pe   | riod: April 11, 2019 – July 11, 2019  |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| Date of Assessme | ent: June 28 – July 16, 2019  |  |
| On-Site          | Remote X_   |  |
| HUD Reviewer:    | Aphrodite McCarthy, Government Technical Monit<br>Vicki A. Ray, Government Technical Representative |  |
| Recommended f    | or certification or recertification: Yes No X   |  |

### **Table of Contents**

|       |  | Page Number |
|-------|--|-------------|
| I.    | Organizational Structure and Staffing          | 3-5         |
| II.   | Performance Standards                          | 5-14        |
|       | A. Performance Standard #1                     | 5-9         |
|       | B. Performance Standard #2                     | 9-10        |
|       | C. Performance Standard #4                     | 10          |
|       | D. Performance Standard #5                     | 11-12       |
|       | I. Performance Standard #9                     | 12          |
| III.  | Budget and Finance Requirements                | 12-13       |
| IV.   | Data Support Systems Requirement               | 13          |
| V.    | Changes Limiting Effectiveness of Agency's Law | 13-14       |
| VI.   | Summary of Performance                         | 14-16       |
| VII.  | Conclusion and Recommendation                  | 16          |
| VIII. | Exhibits                                       | 16          |
| IX.   | Signature Page                                 | 17          |
|       |  |             |

Background: By letter dated May 23, 2018, HUD notified the Florida Commission on Human Relations ("the Commission") of its placement on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) for an initial 90-day period effective June 1, 2018 – August 31, 2018. An additional 60-day extension was granted with a new ending date of October 31, 2018. On November 6 – 7, 2018, HUD conducted a follow-up performance assessment to determine whether the Commission met the required benchmarks to satisfy the PIP. The agency failed to meet all of the benchmarks. As a result, on April 5, 2019, the Commission was suspended for a 90-day period effective April 11, 2019 – July 11, 2019. This suspension was the direct result of the Commission's failure to adequately address the following deficiencies in a manner that will lead to long-term success: (1) adequate staffing and workload management; (2) quality management and case processing; (3) conciliation and public interest requirements; and (4) budget and finance requirements.

In accordance with 24 CFR 115.210(b)(6), a performance assessment must be conducted prior to the end of the suspension. Between June 28, 2019 – July 16, 2019, HUD conducted a remote performance assessment to determine whether the performance deficiencies outlined in the April 5, 2019, Suspension Letter and Post-PIP Performance Assessment Report have been remedied and/or eliminated. In order to provide a comprehensive review, below is an assessment of the Commission's performance during the suspension related to the specific performance standards and requirements benchmarks that were established during the PIP but not met, and additional observations related to its performance of the remaining performance standards and requirements, in general.

### I. Organizational Structure and Staffing

A.2. The benchmark has not been met. Under the PIP, the Commission was required to hire new permanent investigative and intake staff. The recommended level of staff for the Commission is eight permanent investigators and four permanent intake analysts. However, the Commission failed to hire/maintain the appropriate staffing levels by the end of the PIP.

The following charts were provided to HUD by the Commission and purport to identify staff employed during the performance period who are responsible for enforcing and administering fair housing.

| Name              | Full-time Employees   | Hire Date  | % of Time<br>Allocated to<br>FHAP |
|-------------------|---|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Michelle Wilson   | Executive Director  | 11/24/1997 | 50%                               |
| Casey Snipes      | Executive Assistant I   | 10/29/2004 | 50%                               |
| Kellie Wilcox     | Budget and Operations Manager                                       | 05/13/2019 | 50%                               |
| John Godwin       | Operations Management<br>Consultant (Budget and Program<br>Support) | 05/12/2005 | 50%                               |
| Alexis Steele     | Fiscal Assistant I - OPS  | 02/01/2019 | 50%                               |
| Cheyanne Costilla | Chief Legal Counsel   | 05/19/2005 | 50%                               |
| Jacquelyn Dupree  | Chief of Investigations   | 08/03/2018 | 50%                               |

| Regina Owens              | Investigator Supervisor                  | 05/21/2004     | 100% |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|------|
| Diana Diaz                | Investigation Specialist II              | 07/08/2013     | 100% |
| Lisa Sutherland           | Investigation Specialist II              | 06/24/2015     | 100% |
| Byron Pendergraft         | Investigation Specialist I               | 11/02/2018     | 100% |
| Darlinda Williams-Stevens | Senior Clerk                             | 11/02/2018     | 50%  |
| Jeanie Williams           | Senior Clerk                             | 01/21/2011     | 50%  |
| Maritza Donate            | Regulatory Specialist                    | 02/08/2019     | 100% |
| Darren Bonner             | Senior Management Analyst                | 06/11/1999     | 50%  |
| Pamela Coates-Smith       | Office Automation Specialist I           | 07/23/2018     | 50%  |
| Oscar Gonzalez            | Systems Administrator OPS                | 06/15/2018     | 50%  |
| Martin Hernandez          | Network Systems Analyst                  | 06/03/2013     | 50%  |
| Robert Lange              | Director of Information Systems          | 03/09/2018     | 50%  |
| Alishia Merritt           | Commission Deputy Clerk I                | 04/21/2008     | 50%  |
| Francisco Penela          | Dir of External & Legislative<br>Affairs | 02/13/2012     | 50%  |
| Christopher Turner        | Legislation Specialist                   | 10/19/2018     | 50%  |
| 5 Vacant                  | Investigator Specialist I                | Eff 07/01/2019 |      |
| 3 Vacant                  | Regulatory Specialist I                  | Eff 07/01/2019 |      |

| Name               | OPS Positions (Temporary)       | Hire Date  | % of Time<br>Allocated to<br>FHAP |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Shalana Kilpatrick | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 11/02/2018 | 100%                              |
| William Stutzman   | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 06/03/2019 | 100%                              |
| Darryl Foster      | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 06/03/2019 | 100%                              |
| Tinia Harris       | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 06/03/2019 | 100%                              |
| Malasia McDuffie   | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 06/03/2019 | 100%                              |
| Michelle Goodwine  | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 06/03/2019 | 100%                              |
| Kymberly Kemp      | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 11/02/2018 | 100%                              |
| Angelica Mikell    | Regulatory Specialist I OPS     | 11/02/2018 | 100%                              |
| Macy Brown         | Regulatory Specialist I OPS     | 11/02/2018 | 100%                              |

The personnel chart above shows the Commission currently has ten investigators and three intake analysts. Of these staff members, twelve were hired between November 2018 and June 2019, and nine are temporary staff.

- Only three investigators are permanent full-time investigators, and one is a new employee hired in November 2018. The Commission hired an additional seven temporary investigators between November 2018 and June 2019.
- The Commission has one permanent intake analyst, hired in February 2019, and two temporary intake analysts hired in November 2018.

The Commission indicated that the 2019 Florida Legislature appropriated eight permanent positions, with the possibility that the Commission's temporary staff were eligible for conversion to permanent status. Despite this development, as of the date of the post-suspension review, the

Commission has not converted the temporary staff to permanent. Additionally, the new staff is insufficiently experienced to effectively aid the Commission with its workload. The Commission has not adequately addressed the critical need for consistent, permanent staffing at the Commission.

### II. Performance Standards

- A. Performance Standard #1: Commence complaint proceedings, carry forward such proceedings, complete investigations, issue determinations, and make final administrative dispositions in a timely manner. [24 C.F.R. § 115.206(e)(1)]
  - A.2. The benchmark has not been met. The Commission was required to assess any inquiries received during the suspension for jurisdiction and upload all relevant information into HEMS within 30 days of receipt of a signed complaint or legally acceptable substitute. During the suspension, HUD, as required by 24 CFR 115.210(b)(3), did not refer complaints to the Commission nor accept cases for dual filing. Thus, all inquiries processed by the Commission originated with the it and not with HUD, meaning the inquiry load was significantly reduced.

As of July 8, 2019, HEMS showed the Commission had seven open inquires as follows (Exhibit A). There was no evidence that any new inquiries were entered in HEMS.

| Inquiry No.                | Initial Date of<br>Contact | Age of Inquiry |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 578418                     | 3/11/2019                  | 119            |
| 5778809                    | 3/11/2019                  | 119            |
| 581017                     | 4/04/2019                  | 95             |
| 581232                     | 4/01/2019                  | 98             |
| 581235                     | 4/05/2019                  | 94             |
| 581598                     | 4/09/2019                  | 90             |
| 581600                     | 4/09/2019                  | 90             |
| No. processed w/in 30 days |                            | 0              |
| Average Age                |                            | 101 days       |

The Commission stated that it begins processing fair housing complaints within 30 days of receipt, but Exhibit A belies that assertion. According to the information in HEMS, the Commission did not meet the 30-day inquiry completion standard for inquiries open prior to the suspension. HEMS shows the Commission closed a total of six inquires during the three-month suspension period, but only one (17%) of the inquiries was closed within 30 days (Exhibit B). This is an unusually low number of inquiries for the Commission to receive over a three-month period. During the Commission's suspension period, HUD received approximately 195 inquiries within the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission's high percentage of aged

inquiries, 83%, represents a significant increase in the Commission's total inquiry processing time from 2018 during the Performance Improvement Plan when it averaged around a 50% 30-day inquiry completion rate and processed far more inquiries.

| Inquiry No.                   | Initial Date of<br>Contact | Closure Date | Closure Reason  | Age at<br>Closure |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------|
| 580445                        | 3/28/2019                  | 5/29/2019    | Dismissed for lack of jurisdiction                    | 62                |
| 581593                        | 4/09/2019                  | 5/16/2019    | Dismissed for lack of jurisdiction                    | 37                |
| 580624                        | 4/01/2019                  | 5/16/2019    | Complaint withdrawn by complainant without resolution | 45                |
| 577986                        | 3/04/2019                  | 5/14/2019    | Dismissed for lack of jurisdiction                    | 71                |
| 572681                        | 12/26/2018                 | 5/01/2019    | Complainant failed to cooperate                       | 126               |
| 581236                        | 4/05/2019                  | 4/30/2019    | Dismissed for lack of jurisdiction                    | 25                |
| No. processed<br>w/in 30 days |                            |              |   | 1                 |
| Average Age                   |                            |              |   | 61                |

A.6. The benchmark has not been met. The Commission continues to have a substantial aged case inventory. The PIP required the Commission to report the number and age of active complaints in each investigator's inventory, excluding cases under legal review, for any jurisdictional complaints received after May 3, 2018. The purpose of the report was to confirm that the investigators were carrying a sustainable workload of 20-25 cases per person and were closing seven cases per month to decrease the Commission's aged inventory. While the Commission complied with the PIP requirement to provide a report of open cases, the post-suspension review found that the Commission continues to carry a substantial aged case inventory. During the PIP onsite review, 47% of the Commission's cases were aged. The Commission's aged inventory during the post-suspension review was even higher at 94%. Despite not receiving case investigation referrals from the Department during the suspension period, the Commission failed to make progress with reducing its aged inventory.

The Commission provided a list of open cases in each investigator's inventory as of June 27, 2019. None were reflected as being under legal review. A total of 177 cases were aged, out of which 71 were critically aged 300 days and older.

As of July 9, 2019, our records reflect the Commission had a total of 198 open cases. Of the open cases, 94%, or 186, were aged, out of which 37%, or 73, were critically aged 300 days and older (Exhibit C).

| Age                | Number   | Percentage |
|--------------------|----------|------------|
| 0 – 100 days old   | 12       | 6%         |
| 101 – 299 days old | 113      | 57%        |
| 300 days and older | 73       | 37%        |
| Total              | 198      | 100%       |
| Average Case Age   | 250 days |            |

The recommended caseload per investigator is between 20-25. As of July 9, 2019, HEMS shows the following per investigator caseload which has been reduced significantly since the end of the PIP. However, it is noted that two investigators do not have any cases assigned.

| Investigator            | Position                        | Caseload | Experience with the agency |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Shalana Kilpatrick      | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 14       | 8 months                   |
| Malasia McDuffie        | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 28       | 2 months                   |
| Diana Diaz              | Investigation Specialist II     | 28       | 6 years                    |
| Darryl Foster           | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 26       | 2 months                   |
| Michelle Goodwine       | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 26       | 2 months                   |
| Tinia Harris            | Investigation Specialist II OPS | 27       | 2 months                   |
| Byron Pendergraft       | Investigation Specialist I      | 13       | 8 months                   |
| William Stutzman        | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 0        | 2 months                   |
| Kymberly Kemp           | Investigation Specialist I OPS  | 0        | 8 months                   |
| Lisa Sutherland .       | Investigation Specialist II     | 35       | 4 years                    |
| Unassigned              |                                 | 1        | NA                         |
| Total number open cases |                                 | 198      |                            |

During the suspension, the Commission closed or caused a total of 35 cases (Exhibit D). The Commission focused on resolving and closing aged cases during this period. Of those 35 cases, four were closed within 100 days. The average age of cases at closure was 240 days.

| Age                 | Number   | Percentage |
|---------------------|----------|------------|
| 0 – 100 days old    | 4        | 11%        |
| 101 – 299 days old  | 18       | 51%        |
| 300 days and older* | 13       | 37%        |
| Total               | 35       | 100%       |
| Average Case Age    | 240 days |            |

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Three of the critically aged cases were caused during the suspension period, one of which also closed during that time.

| Closure Type              | Number | Percentage |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|
| Administrative Closures   | 8      | 23%        |
| Withdrawn with Resolution | 2      | 6%         |
| Conciliation              | 6      | 17%        |
| No Cause                  | 17     | 49%        |
| Cause                     | 3*     | 9%         |
| Total                     | 35     | 100%       |

<sup>\*</sup>Note: A total of three cases were caused during the suspension period; however, one was ultimately closed as withdrawn with resolution. As a result, it was counted numerically under the appropriate closure type only to reflect the total of 35.

The three reasonable cause determinations issued during the suspension period were Percoskie v. Savanna Club, HUD #04-18-1687-8; Dabney v. Central Park HOA, HUD #04-18-1121-8; and Bowens, Reginald v. Tampa Bay Limited Partnership, et al, HUD #04-18-3847-8. A blank election of rights form was included with each Notice of Determination (Cause) issued by the Commission. The Commission indicated that if the form is not returned, a staff member reaches out to the complainant to determine the reason. Percoskie, Barbara v. Savanna Club HOA, Inc., HUD #04-18-1121-8, was withdrawn as a post-cause settlement. Relief included \$25,000 to complainant and the granting of the reasonable modification at issue. The complainant in one of the remaining cases elected for the Attorney General to bring a civil action on their behalf and the complainant in the final case has not yet responded with the election of rights form.

A preliminary report reflects the Commission closed or caused a total of 207 cases during the FY 2019 case processing period (Exhibit E). It should be noted that the exact case count will be confirmed once the reconciliation process has been completed and the GTM assessment of case quality will be reflected in the payment notes section in HEMS. Of the 207 cases, a total of 39 were closed within 100 days. The average age of cases at closure was 211 days.

| Age                 | Number | Percentage |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| 0 – 100 days old    | 39     | 19%        |
| 101 – 299 days old  | 122    | 59%        |
| 300 days and older* | 46     | 22%        |
| Total               | 207    | 100%       |
| Average Case Age    | 21     | 1 days     |

| Closure Type              | Number | Percentage |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|
| Administrative Closures   | 71     | 34%        |
| Withdrawn with Resolution | 16     | 8%         |
| Conciliation              | 33     | 16%        |
| No Cause                  | 79     | 38%        |
| Cause                     | 10*    | 5%         |
| FHAP Judicial Dismissal   | 1      | .5%        |
| Total                     | 207    | 100%       |

\*Note: A total of 10 cases were caused during the case processing period; however, one was ultimately closed as withdrawn with resolution and two as post-case settlements. As a result, they were counted numerically under the appropriate closure type only to reflect the total of 207.

As part of this assessment but not related to deficiencies in the PIP or suspension, we evaluated the Commission's inclusion of final investigative reports in HEMS. Previously the Commission had not been preparing final investigative reports that contained a summary of the evidence gathered in the course of the investigation. A review of cases closed during the suspension shows the Commission has improved its document summaries so that the reports have improved considerably, though some entries in older open cases remain missing. Also, emails and other extraneous information still require editing.

- B. Performance Standard #2: Administrative closures are utilized only in limited and appropriate circumstances. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(2)].
  - B.1. The benchmark has not been met; numerous deficiencies were noted related to the processing of the cases that resulted in the outcome. The Commission was required to eliminate the complainant's failure to submit a Diary of Events as a justification for failing to proceed with investigative activities immediately. The Commission closed a total of 35 cases during the suspension period. There were eight administrative closures, which was 23% of the total closures. Seven of the administrative closures were withdrawals without resolution and one was a dismissal for lack of jurisdiction. None were closed as failure to cooperate.

However, during the FY 2019 case processing period, a total of 27 cases were closed as failure to cooperate. Although none of these closures were attributable to the complainants' failure to submit a Diary of Events, the current GTM identified numerous deficiencies related to the processing of the cases that resulted in the failure to cooperate disposition. Refer to the payment notes in HEMS for the specific deficiencies of each impacted case. Further, the Commission's overall administrative closure rate for the FY 2019 case processing period was unacceptably high at 38%. See the chart below.

| Closure Type                  | Number | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Failure to Cooperate          | 27     | 38%        |
| Lack of Jurisdiction          | 12     | 17%        |
| Unable to Locate Complainant  | 1      | 1.4%       |
| Unable to Locate Respondent   | 1      | 1.4%       |
| Withdrawal without Resolution | 30     | 42.2%      |
| Totals                        | 71     | 100%       |

**B.2.** The benchmark has not been met. At the intake stage, the Commission's intake analysts were required to appropriately assess jurisdiction in accordance with guidance contained in HUD's Title VIII Complaint Intake, Investigation, and Conciliation Handbook and obtained during intake training. If the case was

determined to be non-jurisdictional, the case was to be closed within five days and HEMS was to be updated accordingly. During the suspension, the Commission closed four inquires as non-jurisdictional; none of which were closed within five days of determination.

| Inquiry No. | Closure Date | Closure Reason       | Age at Closure |
|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
| 580445      | 5/29/2019    | Lack of jurisdiction | 62             |
| 581593      | 5/16/2019    | Lack of jurisdiction | 37             |
| 577986      | 5/14/2019    | Lack of jurisdiction | 71             |
| 581236      | 4/30/2019    | Lack of jurisdiction | 25             |
| Average Age |              |                      | 49             |

- **B.4.** The benchmark has been met. The Commission was required to upload HUD's Case Closure Checklists signed by the Housing Manager in the documents section of HEMS for each case closed as lack of jurisdiction and failure to cooperate demonstrating that a supervisor reviewed the case prior to closure. During the suspension, the Commission closed one case as lack of jurisdiction. The executed checklist was uploaded as required. No cases were closed as failure to cooperate.
- C. Performance Standard #4: The agency conducts compliance reviews for settlements, conciliation agreements, and orders resolving discriminatory housing practices. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(4)]

The performance standard has not been met. The Commission has established a procedure for conciliation compliance reviews. The Housing Manager is responsible for conducting compliance reviews of all agreements. In the event a non-compliance is discovered, the Commission's General Counsel drafts a rescission of the previously issued dismissal for the Executive Director's consideration. Upon rescission of the dismissal, the case would be reopened for continued investigation of the allegations of discrimination.

As part of this assessment but not related to deficiencies in the PIP or suspension, the Commission submitted a copy of its Conciliation Compliance Spreadsheet which listed case numbers, parties, terms, date case closed, and notes as to compliance from July 1, 2018 through June 10, 2019. Of the 30 conciliations, there was no evidence that a compliance review was conducted for the following 12 agreements to determine full compliance of all terms by the respondents.

| FCHR Case No. | <b>HUD Case No.</b> | Complainant           |
|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 201916098     | 04-19-4425-8        | Haggard, Toni         |
| 201918172     | 04-19-5704-8        | Franklin, Bryanna     |
| 201915359     | 04-18-4125-8        | Raheem Brown          |
| 2017H9400     | 04-18-1684-8        | Fritchey, Tina & Jim  |
| 201914576     | 04-18-3509-8        | Stoia, Kathleen       |
| 201802117     | 04-18-1046-8        | Cherrell & Mark Small |

| 201803191           | 04-18-1124-8 | Wendy C. Baquedano-Munoz |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| 201708222           | 04-17-1930-8 | Barbara Jones            |
| 201708782/2017H0782 | 04-18-1159-8 | Denise Mills             |
| 201709152/201709152 | 04-17-1117-8 | Murielle Bingan          |
| 201709711           | 04-18-2604-8 | Linda Molina             |
| 201912711           | 04-18-3072-8 | Katherine Giesy          |

The following five were referred to the General Counsel for non-compliance.

| FCHR Case No.       | HUD Case No. | Complainant                  |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| 201918207           | 04-19-5750-8 | Schmidt, Jan                 |
| 201803383           | 04-18-0675-8 | Gratus Housing Advocates     |
| 201809234           | 04-18-2469-8 | Angela Revilla               |
| 201709416/2017H0957 | 04-18-1118-8 | Eimma Sachez & Armando Gomez |
| 201810397           | 04-18-2451-8 | Patricia Monteleone          |

Conclusion: The performance standard has not been met post-PIP and post-suspension.

D. Performance Standard #5: The agency must consistently and affirmatively seek and obtain the type of relief designed to prevent recurrences of discriminatory practices. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(5)]

The benchmark has not been met. (NOTE: This benchmark is found as II.C.4. in the Post-PIP Performance Assessment Report.) The Commission was required to ensure that public interest relief was not limited to training in cases where the facts suggest more robust relief was necessary to protect residents beyond the complainant.

The Commission was assigned a trained mediator to handle certain cases for conciliation at the outset to improve the conciliation rate and terms. The mediator did not share any information about the investigation with the parties and acted entirely neutral. Though some conciliation terms for the complainants have improved, the public interest provisions still remain weak and the Department is concerned that an impartial mediator who has no knowledge of the facts of the case will not seek adequate terms to protect the public interest. For example, in three of the six conciliated cases referenced at Performance Standard #3, where the application of rules in place was what led to the complaint, the public interest terms provided that respondent would review and revise its rules and policies and revise them if it deemed necessary. This may not be enough to protect the public interest. In another case with allegations of racial slurs, where the onsite investigation confirmed a pattern of alleged segregation by race of the residents, the public interest portion only provided for fair housing education and that the respondents maintain records during the period that the agreement remains in effect, described only as "from the effective date for so long as any of the rights or obligations described herein continues to exist." This is not effective public interest relief based on the allegations or facts of the case. The Commission subsequently reported that it no longer uses the mediator and has resumed the investigator/conciliator roles.

As part of this assessment but not related to deficiencies in the PIP or suspension, the Commission reported that only one case proceeded to an administrative hearing during the performance period. In Townsend v. Malaty (HUD #04-18-0442-8), a mixed findings case, a hearing was held on June 13, 2019. However, an order has not yet been issued so there has not yet been a final resolution. In cases that have not yet advanced to an administrative hearing or civil action, the Commission states that it attempts to collect information from the complainant to determine that individual's actual, out of pocket losses resulting from the alleged discrimination. That information is then used during conciliation discussions. Please see Performance Standard #3 for a summary of the relief obtained in the five cases conciliated during the suspension.

The Commission further reported that the complainant in Green v. Sun Lake Multifamily Holdings (HUD #04-18-1927-8) filed a Petition for Relief following the no reasonable cause determination. An administrative hearing was held through the State of Florida Division of Administrative Hearings and an order was issued on May 21, 2019 which indicated that the Petitioners failed to meet the burden to establish discrimination and recommended case dismissal.

E. Performance Standard #9: The agency must conform its performance to the provisions of any written agreements executed by the agency and HUD related to substantial equivalence certification, including but not limited to the interim agreement or MOU. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(9)]

The benchmark has not been met. (NOTE: This benchmark is found as II.D.1. in the PIP Performance Assessment Report.) The Commission was required to demonstrate that it had closed or charged 50% of all complaints received between April 1, 2018 – August 30, 2018 within 100 days by August 31, 2018.

No cases were dual filed during the suspension period. However, the Commission closed a total of 35 cases during that time, of which only four (11%) were 100 days old or less. The Commission continues to struggle with closing cases timely.

### III. Budget and Finance Requirements [24 CFR § 115.307]

The benchmark has been met regarding the return of funds; however, additional deficiencies have been noted. The Commission was required to return \$176,686 in unspent FHAP Administrative Cost (AC) funds to the United States Treasury. The Commission was given the opportunity to provide a revised AC funds plan to utilize the unspent funds. This was not possible, and the Commission ultimately was able to secure refund authority. The Commission has now successfully returned those funds.

As part of this assessment but not related to deficiencies in the PIP or suspension, the Commission reported that it had provided certifications to HUD confirming that it spends at least 20% of its total operating budget (not including FHAP funds) on fair housing activities; however, no such certifications were submitted with its response.

The Commission has reported that it has established designated spending codes that identify HUD spending in the Operating Trust Fund and identifies spending of non-FHAP funds from the General Revenue fund and that it used funds for the purpose of HUD activities. During the suspension period, the Commission submitted a training plan and AC funds plan that were approved in order to spend outstanding FY 2018 training funds and AC funds.

The Commission further reports that it has increased the level of financial resources of non-FHAP funds by 14%; however, no evidence was provided to support the assertion. The Commission was not audited during the suspension period. The Commission reports that it maintains records demonstrating its financial administration of FHAP funds.

### IV. Data Support Systems Requirement [24 CFR § 115.307(a)(3)]

The benchmark has not been met. (NOTE: This benchmark is found as II.F.1. in the Post-PIP Performance Assessment Report.) The Commission was required to provide more robust document descriptions and summaries in HEMS that accurately depict what the document is, and the specific information gleaned from that document to support the determination. The Commission was further required to follow HUD's HEMS Data Entry Guidance Memo dated December 22, 2016.

The Commission uses the Department's official complaint data information system (HEMS); however, the current GTM has noted inadequate document summaries in cases closed during the current case processing period. Improvements are being made for cases closed. In its response for this report, the Commission cites two cause cases, as follows, as examples of cases where the evidence in the case has been properly summarized and uploaded. A review of HUD #04-18-1121-8 showed that document summaries are still not being done properly. For example, the 75 page long "Diary of Events" is "summarized" as simply "Diary of Events."

### V. Changes Limiting Effectiveness of Commission's Law: [24 CFR § 115.211]

The Commission has continuing substantial equivalency issues that have yet to be corrected but were not included in the PIP or suspension. The Commission reports that there were no changes to the Florida law during the suspension period. The Commission recognized that although current Florida law regarding substantial equivalency conforms to the provisions of all written agreements with HUD, some courts continue to interpret this differently. For the last six years, FCHR legislation has been filed to address this interpretation issue and as yet, has not passed both houses of the legislature. The Commission states that it continues to file legislation that clarifies/codifies this discrepancy.

### VI. Summary of Performance

### 1. Organizational Structure and Staffing

Finding: Under the PIP, the agency was required to hire new permanent investigative and intake staff. The recommended level of staff for FCHR is eight permanent investigators and four permanent intake analysts. At the end of the suspension period, the agency had 10 fair housing investigators and three intake analysts. Of these staff members, twelve were hired between November 2018 and June 2019, and nine are temporary staff. The Commission indicated that the 2019 Florida Legislature appropriated eight permanent positions, with the possibility that the Commission's temporary staff were eligible for conversion to permanent status. Despite this development, as of the date of the post-suspension review, the Commission has not converted the temporary staff to permanent. Additionally, the new staff is insufficiently experienced to effectively aid the Commission with its workload. The Commission has not adequately addressed the critical need for consistent, permanent staffing at the Commission.

- 2. Performance Standard #1: Commence complaint proceedings, carry forward such proceedings, complete investigations, issue determinations, and make final administrative dispositions in a timely manner. [24 C.F.R. § 115.206(e)(1)]
  - a. <u>Finding</u>: The agency was required to assess any inquiries received during the suspension for jurisdiction and upload all relevant information into HEMS within 30 days of receipt of a signed complaint or legally acceptable substitute. HEMS shows the agency closed a total of six inquires during the three-month suspension period, with only one (17%) of those closed within 30 days. This is an unusually low number of inquiries for the Commission to receive over a three-month period. During the Commission's suspension period, HUD received approximately 195 inquiries within the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission's high percentage of aged inquiries, 83%, is unacceptable.
  - b. Finding: The PIP required the agency to report the number and age of active complaints in each investigator's inventory, excluding cases under legal review, for any jurisdictional complaints received after May 3, 2018. While the Commission complied with the requirement to provide a list of open cases in each investigator's inventory, the Commission continues to carry a substantial aged case inventory. During the PIP onsite review, 47% of the Commission's cases were aged. The Commission's aged inventory during the post-suspension review was even higher at 94%. Despite not receiving case investigation referrals from the Department during the suspension period, the Commission failed to make progress with reducing its aged inventory.

- 3. Performance Standard #2: Administrative closures are utilized only in limited and appropriate circumstances. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(2)].
  - a. <u>Finding</u>: The agency was required to eliminate the complainant's failure to submit a Diary of Events as a justification for failing to proceed with investigative activities immediately. The Commission eliminated the diary of events as a justification for administrative closures; however, the Commission's overall administrative closure rate is unacceptably high at 38%. In contrast, HUD's nationwide FY19 administrative closure rate as of August 7, 2019 is 14.7%, and in Region IV, the rate is 11.4%.
  - b. <u>Finding</u>: At the intake stage, the agency's intake analysts were required to appropriately assess jurisdiction in accordance with guidance contained in HUD's Title VIII Complaint Intake, Investigation, and Conciliation Handbook and obtained during intake training. If the case was determined to be non-jurisdictional, the case was to be closed within five days and HEMS was to be updated accordingly. During the suspension, the Commission closed four inquires as non-jurisdictional; none of which were closed within five days of determination.
- 4. Performance Standard #4: The agency conducts compliance reviews for settlements, conciliation agreements, and orders resolving discriminatory housing practices. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(4)]

<u>Finding</u>: The Commission complied with this performance standard prior to the PIP; therefore, no deficiencies were noted at its issuance. However, the performance standard has not been met post-PIP and post-suspension.

The Commission submitted a copy of its Conciliation Compliance Spreadsheet which listed case numbers, parties, terms, date case closed, and notes as to compliance from July 1, 2018 through June 10, 2019. Of the 30 conciliations, there was no evidence that a compliance review was conducted for 40%, or 12 agreements, to evaluate respondents' full compliance with all terms.

5. Performance Standard #5: The agency must consistently and affirmatively seek and obtain the type of relief designed to prevent recurrences of discriminatory practices. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(5)]

**Finding:** The agency was required to ensure that public interest relief was not limited to training in cases where the facts suggest more robust relief was necessary to protect residents beyond the Complainant. The public interest provisions in the Commission's conciliation agreement remain weak.

6. Performance Standard #9: The agency must conform its performance to the provisions of any written agreements executed by the agency and HUD related to substantial equivalence certification, including but not limited to the interim agreement or MOU. [24 CFR § 115.206(e)(9)]

<u>Finding</u>: The agency was required to demonstrate that it had closed or charged 50% of all complaints received between April 1, 2018 – August 30, 2018 within 100 days by August 31, 2018. No cases were dual filed during the suspension period. However, the Commission closed a total of 35 cases during that time, of which 88.5%, or 31 cases, were over 100 days old. The Commission continues to struggle with timely case closures.

### 7. Budget and Finance Requirements [24 CFR § 115.307]

<u>Finding</u>: The Commission successfully returned the outstanding Administrative Cost (AC) funds in the amount of \$176,686 to the Treasury. However, as part of this assessment but not related to deficiencies in the PIP or suspension, the Commission reported that it had provided certifications to HUD confirming that it spends at least 20% of its total operating budget (not including FHAP funds) on fair housing activities; however, no such certifications were submitted with its response. Additionally, the Commission further reported that it has increased the level of financial resources of non-FHAP funds by 14%; however, no evidence was provided to support the assertion.

### 8. Data Support Systems Requirement [24 CFR § 115.307(a)(3)]

Finding: The Commission was required to provide more robust document descriptions and summaries in HEMS that accurately depict what the document is, and the specific information gleaned from that document to support the determination. The Commission was further required to follow HUD's HEMS Data Entry Guidance Memo dated December 22, 2016. Though some improvements have been made, the current GTM has noted inadequate document summaries in cases closed during the current case processing period.

### VII. Conclusion and Recommendation

The Commission met a few administrative requirements, but critical performance standards and benchmarks were not met. The Commission's performance does not merit its continued participation in the Fair Housing Assistance Program. As a result, we recommend the withdrawal of the Commission's certification to the Assistant Secretary.

#### VIII. Exhibits

- A. Open FHAP Inquiries Report
- B. Closed Inquiry Report during Suspension
- C. Open FHAP Cases as of 7/09/2019
- D. FHAP Closed or Caused Cases during Suspension
- E. Completed FHAP Cases during FY 2019 Case Processing Period

### IX. Signature Page

### Florida Commission on Human Relations

### **Performance Assessment Report**

| Aparoacte McCartuq                                     | 7/16/19                  |
|--|--------------------------|
| Aphrodite McCarthy                                     | Date                     |
| FHEO Government Technical Monitor                      |                          |
| Vicki A. Ray FHEO, Government Technical Representative | <u>7/25/2019</u><br>Date |
| Carlos Osegueda FHEO Region IV Director                | 08/08/2019<br>Date       |



### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT WASHINGTON, DC 20410-2000

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR FAIR HOUSING AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

SEP 2 5 2019

Michelle Wilson, Executive Director Florida Commission on Human Relations 4075 Esplanade Way Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-7020

Dear Ms. Wilson:

This letter concerns the recommendation that I withdraw the certification of the Florida Commission on Human Relations (FCHR or the Commission) as a participant in the Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP). I have reviewed FCHR's performance<sup>1</sup>, the 2019 *Post-Suspension Performance Assessment Report* prepared by FHEO's Region IV Office, and your August 27, 2019, response to the report. For the reasons more fully explained below, I have decided not to withdraw FCHR's certification at this time. The FCHR will continue in the FHAP for one year in a probationary status. Within 30 days of the end of the one-year probationary period FCHR's performance will be re-assessed by FHEO's Region IV Office and a decision will then be made as to the Commission's continued participation in the FHAP.

### Staffing and Workload Management

While HUD's Post-Suspension Performance Assessment Report and your letter of August 27, 2019, are not in agreement on the degree of success of the Commission's recent hiring efforts, it is possible to view the underperformance of FCHR for the last several years as the result of inadequate staffing, especially in investigative and intake positions. Based on the representations made to me by FCHR representatives in Orlando, and the assertions in your letter of August 27, 2019, the Commission has converted and/or hired, or is in the final stages of converting and/or hiring, eight permanent fair housing investigators and four permanent intake analysts.

FCHR has thus assured the Department that it is completing hiring actions to meet the full-time staffing levels recommended by FHEO's Region IV Office. While obtaining these positions is a positive development, training and retaining this new staff will be of paramount importance to perform at an acceptable level and emerge successfully from the probationary period.

### Other Matters

In reaching my decision not to withdraw the FCHR from the FHAP at this time, I am nonetheless aware of several significant case processing deficiencies noted in the *Post-Suspension Performance Assessment Report*, specifically: timeliness of case processing; over-reliance on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In May 2018 HUD placed FCHR on a Performance Improvement Plan (PIP) for an initial 90-day period; a 60-day extension was granted. In November 2018 HUD determined that FCHR had failed to meet all of the PIP benchmarks. On April 5, 2019, HUD suspended the Commission for a 90-day period effective April 11, 2019 through July 11, 2019.

administrative closures; failure to monitor compliance with conciliation agreements; and failure to consistently pursue adequate public interest relief. It is my hope that FCHR's promised resolution of its staffing issues will lead to substantial improvement in these areas. In addition to the performance assessment criteria set forth in the FHAP regulations, the re-assessment of the Commission's performance at the end of the probationary period will include a focus on these areas.

While on probation, the Commission will continue to be exempt from the Region IV Intake Initiative. Staff from the Region IV Office will continue to provide support and technical assistance to the agency as necessary.

The Department values its relationship with the Florida Commission on Human Relations. I am hopeful that during the probationary period the Commission can demonstrate its ability to serve the citizens of Florida and to meet its contractual obligations with HUD.

Sincerely,

Anna María Farías

Assistant Secretary for Fair Housing

Ama Maria Parias

and Equal Opportunity

cc: Carlos Osegueda

### The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar      | ed By: The P | rofessional S | Staff of the Comr | nittee on Governme | ental Oversight a | nd Accountability |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| BILL:       | SB 726       |               |                   |                    |                   |                   |
| INTRODUCER: | Senator R    | ouson         |                   |                    |                   |                   |
| SUBJECT:    | Florida Co   | ommission     | on Human Re       | lations            |                   |                   |
| DATE:       | December     | : 6, 2019     | REVISED:          |                    |                   |                   |
| ANAL        | YST          | STAFF         | DIRECTOR          | REFERENCE          |                   | ACTION            |
| 1. Hackett  |              | McVar         | ney               | GO                 | <b>Favorable</b>  |                   |
| 2           |              |               |                   | JU                 |                   |                   |
| 3.          |              |               |                   | RC                 |                   |                   |

### I. Summary:

SB 726 amends several statutes related to the functioning of the Florida Commission on Human Relations (Commission). The Commission is responsible for investigating and resolving discrimination complaints in the areas of employment, housing, and certain public accommodations, as well as investigating state employee whistle-blower complaints of retaliation.

### Specifically, the bill:

- Changes the number of Commissioners required for a quorum from seven to a majority of the currently appointed commissioners and establishes a quorum of three for panels.
- Allows the Commission to nominate fewer than 10 people for the Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame each year.
- Requires the Commission to provide notice to an aggrieved person of their failure to determine reasonable cause if the Commission fails to make a determination within one year of the complaint's filing.
- Removes the requirement that facilities and communities designed for the housing of elderly
  must register with the Commission and renew such registration every two years, and removes
  associated fees and fines.
- Removes the requirement that the Commission or Attorney General investigate public housing discrimination complaints, and increases the time period the Attorney General has to resolve a complaint regarding discriminatory practices of certain clubs from 30 to 45 days.
- Revises various deadlines and time constraints regarding the Commission's fact-finding investigation period for a retaliation claim.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

### **II.** Present Situation:

### Florida Civil Rights Act (Part I, Chapter 760, F.S.)

The Florida Civil Rights Act (FCRA) protects persons from discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, pregnancy, national origin, age, handicap, and marital or familial status. The FCRA establishes the Florida Commission on Human Relations (the Commission) within the Department of Management Services. The Commission possesses the requisite powers to enforce the FCRA. The Governor appoints, and the Senate confirms, the 12 members of the Commission.

### The Florida Commission on Human Relations

The Commission, created by s. 760.03, F.S., is empowered to receive, initiate, investigate, conciliate, and act upon complaints alleging discriminatory practices.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, the Attorney General may initiate a civil action for damages, injunctive relief, civil penalties of up to \$10,000 per violation, and other appropriate relief.<sup>4</sup>

### Administrative and Civil Remedies

An aggrieved person, the Commission, a commissioner, or the Attorney General has 365 days after the alleged violation to file a complaint with the Commission naming the person responsible for the violation and describing the violation.<sup>5</sup> Within 180 days of the filing, the Commission must make a determination of whether reasonable cause exists to believe that discriminatory practice has occurred.<sup>6</sup>

If the Commission issues a finding of reasonable cause, the aggrieved person may request an administrative hearing or bring civil action.<sup>7</sup> A civil action must be brought within a year of the determination of reasonable cause.<sup>8</sup> The FCRA expressly requires a plaintiff to exhaust his or her administrative remedy as a prerequisite to filing a civil action alleging unlawful discrimination, including housing discrimination.<sup>9</sup> The remedies available through an administrative hearing are affirmative relief from the effects of the practice, including back pay, and attorney's fees, while remedies available through a civil action include affirmative relief such as back pay, injunctive relief, compensatory damages, punitive damages up to \$100,000, and attorney's fees.<sup>10</sup>

On the other hand, under section 760.11(7), F.S., if the Commission makes a determination that there is not reasonable cause, the claimant may request an administrative hearing, but must do so

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 760.06(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 760.03(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 760.06(5), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 760.021(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 760.11(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 760.11(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 760.11(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 760.11(5), F.S. If, however, the commission fails to make a determination of reasonable cause, the four-year statute of limitations for cause of action based on statutory liability applies. *Joshua v. City of Gainesville*, 768 So.2d 432 at 439 (Fla. 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 760.07, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 760.11(5), (6), and (7), F.S.

within 35 days of the date of the "no cause" determination. If the claim is not made within 35 days, the claim is barred. 11

### **Commission Administration**

Section 760.03(1), F.S., requires the Commission be comprised of 12 members. Currently, the Commission has just 8 members appointed to serve on its board. 12

Current law provides that seven members constitute a quorum for the Commission to conduct business.<sup>13</sup> Due to the low number of commissioners currently appointed, the Commission has difficulty meeting the seven member quorum. If two members were to resign, the Commission could no longer conduct official business at all. Other commissions and government entities may satisfy their quorum requirements with a majority of their currently appointed members.<sup>14</sup>

The Commission is also permitted to establish panels of not less than three members to exercise its powers under the Florida Civil Rights Act, subject to procedures and limitations the Commission may provide by rule.<sup>15</sup>

### Halls of Fame in Florida

It is the intent of the Florida Legislature to recognize and honor those persons, living or dead, who have made significant contributions to this state. The Legislature has established various Halls of Fame including the Florida Women's Hall of fame, Florida Artists Hall of Fame, Florida Educator Hall of Fame, Florida Sports Hall of Fame, and the Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame.

The Florida Commission on Human Relations Hall of Fame was created by the state legislature in 2010.<sup>16</sup> Each year the Commission recommends 10 people for induction into the Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame, from which list the Governor selects up to 3 new members.<sup>17</sup> An eligible nominee must:

- Be at least 18 years of age;
- Have been born in Florida or adopted Florida as his or her home state and base of operations; and
- Have made significant contribution and provided exemplary leadership toward Florida's progress and achievements in civil rights.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 760.11(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Commissioners*, Florida Commission on Human Relations, https://fchr.myflorida.com/fchrcommissioners (last accessed December 3, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 760.03(5), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See, e.g. ss. 43.291(6) (Judicial Nominating Commissions), 265.003(3)(b) (Florida Veterans' Hall of Fame), and 456.011(3) (Boards and Commissions within DOH).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 760.03(5), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See ch. 2010-53, Laws of Fla.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Section 760.065(3)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 760.065(3)(b), F.S.

The Commission has failed to receive the minimum 10 recommendations several times. In 2014, 2015, and 2016, the Commission faced violating the statute by submitting fewer than 10 recommendations. <sup>19</sup> In each year the Governor has selected the maximum three inductees.

### **Fair Housing Act**

Part II of ch. 760, F.S., constitutes the Florida Fair Housing Act, which protects citizens against discrimination in housing practices.<sup>20</sup> The Florida Fair Housing Act provides that any person who claims to have been injured by a discriminatory housing practice or who believes that he or she will be injured by a discriminatory housing practice may file a complaint with the Commission.<sup>21</sup> The complainant must file the complaint within one year after the alleged discriminatory practice has occurred.<sup>22</sup> The Commission has 100 days after receipt of the complaint to complete its investigation and give notice in writing to the person aggrieved whether it intends to resolve it. <sup>23</sup> The Commission may attempt to resolve the complaint and eliminate or correct the alleged discriminatory housing practice through conciliation.<sup>24</sup>

The provisions of the Florida Fair Housing Act apply to all housing and housing-related entities (realtors, brokers, mortgage companies, financial institutions) in Florida. In 2001, the Legislature created exemptions for which charges of housing discrimination do not apply. Certain housing for older persons is exempt from charges of discrimination based on familial status.<sup>25</sup> Housing for older persons is any housing intended for and solely occupied by persons 62 years of age or older, or if occupancy is by persons 55 years of age or older, at least 80 percent of the units are occupied by at least one person age 55 years or older. These facilities must register with the Commission and renew such registration every two years, and pay a \$20 fee for registration and renewal.<sup>26</sup> The Commission may impose an administrative fine of up to \$500 for submission of false information,<sup>27</sup> but there is no penalty for failure to register with the Commission. Failure to register does not prohibit a community from claiming the exemption and the Commission does not actively seek out entities that are not registered.

The Commission has not charged a fee to register or renew facilities and communities since 2015. The registry is not determinative as to whether the community actually qualifies from the housing for older persons' exemption under the Florida Fair Housing Act. A facility or community that registers is still subject to an investigation if a complaint were filed against it and would have to prove that it meets the exemption. The same is true of a facility or community that has not registered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Commission submitted six nominations in 2014, and nine nominations both in 2015 and 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Sections 760.20-760.37, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 760.34(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 760.34(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 760.34(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Section 760.29(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 760.29(4)(c), F.S.

<sup>27</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See How to Register for Housing for Older Persons fchr.myflorida.com/fchr55andolderhousing/, last accessed December 4, 2019.

### Whistleblower Investigations

The Commission is authorized to investigate any allegation of an adverse action against a state employee, former employee, applicant for employment, or an employee of a contractor with the state in retaliation for exposing gross mismanagement, fraud, wrongful act, or other violations by state government.<sup>29</sup> When an employer retaliates against an employee who makes such a disclosure (a whistleblower), the whistleblower may make a complaint to either the Inspector General or the Commission within 60 days.<sup>30</sup> The office must provide notice of receipt of such a complaint, and the Commission will begin a fact finding investigation into the complaint.<sup>31</sup> The Commission must investigate the complaint and, if a violation is found, move to protect the whistleblower by petitioning for relief and recommending disciplinary proceedings as they see fit.<sup>32</sup>

If an agency does not implement the recommended action of the Commission in 20 days, the Commission must terminate its investigation and notify the complainant of the right to appeal to the Public Employees Relations Commission or petition the agency for corrective action.<sup>33</sup> A complainant may file a complaint against the employer-agency with the Public Employees Relations Commission after the termination of an investigation by the Commission.<sup>34</sup>

If the Commission is unable to resolve a complaint within 60 days after receipt of the fact-finding report, the Commission must terminate the investigation. The Commission must then notify the complainant and agency head of the termination of the investigation, provide a summary of relevant facts found during the investigation, and state the reasons for terminating the investigation.<sup>35</sup>

### **Discriminatory Practices in Certain Clubs**

As part of the Florida Civil Rights Act, the Legislature prohibits certain clubs from discriminating against individuals based on race, color, religion, gender, national origin, handicap, age (above the age of 21), or marital status in evaluating an application for membership.<sup>36</sup> This prohibition only applies to clubs that have more than 400 members, provide regular meal service, and receive payment for dues, fees, use of space, facilities, services, meals, or beverages directly or indirectly from non-members for business purposes.<sup>37</sup> The law also prohibits the publication, circulation, issuance, display, posting, or mailing of any advertisement, notice, or solicitation that contains a statement to the effect that the accommodations, advantages, facilities, membership, or privileges of the club are denied to any individual because of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, handicap, age (above the age of 21), or marital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See s. 112.3187(4), (5), (6), and (7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section 112.31895(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Section 112.31895(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Section 112.31895(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Section 112.31895(3)(e), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Section. 112.31895(4)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Section 112.31895(3)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Section 760.60(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Id*.

status.<sup>38</sup> This prohibition does not apply to fraternal or benevolent organizations, ethnic clubs, or religious organizations where business activity is not prevalent.<sup>39</sup>

Any person who has been discriminated against by a club meeting these specifications may file a complaint with the Commission or with the Attorney General's Office of Civil Rights. 40 Upon receipt, the Commission or the Attorney General must provide a copy of the complaint to the club and, within 30 days, investigate the alleged discrimination and inform the complainant in writing if it intends to resolve the complaint. 41

If the Commission or the Attorney General decides to resolve the complaint, it must attempt to eliminate or correct the alleged discriminatory practices of a club by the informal methods of conference, conciliation, and persuasion. <sup>42</sup> If the Commission or Attorney General fails to give notice of its intent to eliminate or correct the alleged discriminatory practices of a club within 30 days, or if the Commission or Attorney General fails to resolve the complaint within 30 days after giving such notice, the person or the Attorney General on behalf of the person filing the complaint may commence a civil action against the club, its officers, or its members to enforce this section. <sup>43</sup> If the court finds a discriminatory practice has occurred at the club, the court may enjoin the club, its officers, or its members from engaging in such practice or may order other appropriate action. <sup>44</sup>

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

### Florida Commission on Human Relations

**Section 1** amends s. 760.03, F.S., to change the number of commissioners required for a quorum from seven to a majority of the currently appointed commissioners. This change should avoid a situation where action needs to be taken but, due to unfilled commissioner slots, having seven commissioners is impracticable. For example, the section allows the Commission, as it sits today with only nine commissioners, to meet and conduct business with a quorum of five members. The section also establishes a quorum of three members for panels.

**Section 2** amends s. 760.065, F.S., to allow the Commission to nominate fewer than 10 people for the Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame each year. Currently, the Commission must nominate exactly 10 people each year, while the Governor may accept between zero and three new members. This prevents the Commission from violating the law when they receive fewer than 10 nominations from which to select.

**Section 3** amends s. 760.11, F.S., to require the Commission to notify an aggrieved person of the failure to conciliate or determine reasonable cause if the Commission fails to make a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Id*.

<sup>39</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Section 760.60(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Section 760.60(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> *Id*.

determination within 180 days of the complaint's filing. It further requires that this notice include a statement of the aggrieved person's rights.

The section requires any civil action be commenced within one year following the Commission's notice of dismissal being mailed.

### Florida Fair Housing Act

**Section 4** deletes s. 760.29(4)(e), F.S., to remove the requirement that facilities and communities designed for the housing of elderly must register with the Commission and renew such registration every two years, including associated fees and fines.

**Section 5** amends s. 760.31, F.S., to remove instructions for fee and forms made obsolete by Section 4 of the bill.

### **Discriminatory Practices in Certain Clubs**

**Section 6** amends s. 760.60, F.S., to delete the requirement that the Commission or the Attorney General investigate the public accommodation discrimination complaint. The section also extends from 30 days to 45 days the time for the Commission or the Attorney General to resolve the dispute.

### **State Employee Whistle-Blower's Act**

**Section 7** amends s. 112.31895, F.S., to alter various deadlines and time constraints regarding the Commission's fact-finding investigation period for a whistleblower retaliation claim. Specifically, Section 7:

- Increases the time period allowed to acknowledge receipt of a complaint from 3 to 5 working days;
- Removes the requirement that the Commission separately acknowledge receipt of a complaint;
- Increases the time period allowed for the Commission to complete its fact-finding report from 90 to 180 days;
- Decreases the time period allowed for the Commission to terminate its investigation from 60 to 35 days after receipt of the fact-finding report if the Commission is unable to conciliate a complaint;
- Increases the time period allowed for the relevant agency to implement the Commission's recommended action from 20 to 35 days; and
- Decreases the time period allowed for a complainant to appeal following a notice of termination of the investigation from 60 to 21 days.

These changes bring most of the timeframes for s. 112.31895, F.S., (whistleblower) complaint investigations in line with complaints filed with the Commission under s. 760.11, F.S. (discrimination complaints)

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

### V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Elderly housing organizations will no longer have to register every two years, which may have an indeterminate positive impact.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Commission will have a longer period of time to investigate whistleblower allegations, which will allow it more freedom in organizing its efforts. The Commission will no longer have to register elderly housing organizations. Both changes may have an indeterminate impact on workload of the Commission but is not expected to impact overall revenues or expenditures of the Commission.

### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

### VII. Related Issues:

None.

### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 760.03, 760.065, 760.11, 760.29, 760.31, 760.60, and 112.31895.

### IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

By Senator Rouson

19-01053-20 2020726

A bill to be entitled An act relating to the Florida Commission on Human Relations; amending s. 760.03, F.S.; revising quorum requirements for the Commission on Human Relations and its panels; amending s. 760.065, F.S.; revising the number of persons the commission must recommend for the Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame; amending s. 760.11, F.S.; requiring the commission to provide notice to an aggrieved person under specified 10 circumstances; providing notice requirements; limiting 11 the time the aggrieved person has to commence a civil 12 action regarding a violation of the Florida Civil 13 Rights Act; amending s. 760.29, F.S.; deleting a 14 requirement that a facility or community that provides 15 housing for older persons register with and submit a 16 letter to the commission; amending s. 760.31, F.S.; 17 conforming a provision to changes made by the act; 18 amending s. 760.60, F.S.; deleting the requirement for 19 the commission or Attorney General to investigate a 20 complaint of discrimination in evaluating an 21 application for club membership; revising the length 22 of time the commission or Attorney General has to 23 resolve such a complaint; amending s. 112.31895, F.S.; 24 revising the timeline relating to a complaint alleging 25 a prohibited personnel action; deleting a requirement 26 that the commission notify a complainant upon receipt 27 of the complaint; providing an effective date.

28

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

#### Page 1 of 11

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

|     | 19-01053-20 2020726  |
|-----|--|
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 760.03, Florida                               |
| 32  | Statutes, is amended to read:  |
| 33  | 760.03 Commission on Human Relations; staff  |
| 34  | (5) A quorum is necessary for the conduct of official                              |
| 35  | business. Unless otherwise provided by law, a quorum consists of                   |
| 36  | a majority of the currently appointed commissioners. Seven                         |
| 37  | members shall constitute a quorum for the conduct of business;                     |
| 38  | $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$                                     |
| 39  | three of its members to exercise its powers under the Florida                      |
| 40  | Civil Rights Act of 1992, subject to such procedures and                           |
| 41  | limitations as the commission may provide by rule.                                 |
| 42  | Notwithstanding this subsection, three appointed members serving                   |
| 43  | on panels shall constitute a quorum for the conduct of official                    |
| 44  | business of the panel.   |
| 45  | Section 2. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section                              |
| 46  | 760.065, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:                                     |
| 47  | 760.065 Florida Civil Rights Hall of Fame.—  |
| 48  | (3) (a) The commission shall annually accept nominations for                       |
| 49  | persons to be recommended as members of the Florida Civil Rights                   |
| 50  | Hall of Fame. The commission shall recommend $\underline{\text{up to}}$ 10 persons |
| 51  | from which the Governor shall select up to 3 hall-of-fame                          |
| 52  | members.   |
| 53  | Section 3. Subsection (8) of section 760.11, Florida                               |
| 54  | Statutes, is amended to read:  |
| 55  | 760.11 Administrative and civil remedies; construction.—                           |
| 56  | (8) $\underline{\text{If}}$ In the event that the commission fails to conciliate   |
| 57  | or determine whether there is reasonable cause on any complaint                    |
| 5.8 | under this section within 180 days of the filing of the                            |

Page 2 of 11

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726\_

59 complaint:

60

61

62

63

64

6.5

67

68

69

7.0

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

84

85

86

- (a) An aggrieved person may proceed under subsection (4) $\tau$  as if the commission determined that there was reasonable cause.
- (b) The commission shall promptly notify the aggrieved person of the failure to conciliate or determine whether there is reasonable cause. The notice shall provide the options available to the aggrieved person under subsection (4) and inform the aggrieved person that a civil action is prohibited if not filed within 1 year after the date the commission certifies that the notice was mailed.

Section 4. Subsection (4) of section 760.29, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

760.29 Exemptions.-

- (4) (a) Any provision of ss. 760.20-760.37 regarding familial status does not apply with respect to housing for older persons.
- (b) As used in this subsection, the term "housing for older persons" means housing:
- 1. Provided under any state or federal program that the commission determines is specifically designed and operated to assist elderly persons, as defined in the state or federal program:
- 2. Intended for, and solely occupied by, persons 62 years of age or older; or
  - 3. Intended and operated for occupancy by persons 55 years

Page 3 of 11

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726

of age or older that meets the following requirements:

89

90

111

112

113

114

115

116

- a. At least 80 percent of the occupied units are occupied by at least one person 55 years of age or older.
- b. The housing facility or community publishes and adheres to policies and procedures that demonstrate the intent required under this subparagraph. If the housing facility or community 93 meets the requirements of sub-subparagraphs a. and c. and the recorded governing documents provide for an adult, senior, or 96 retirement housing facility or community and the governing 97 documents lack an amendatory procedure, prohibit amendments, or restrict amendments until a specified future date, then that housing facility or community shall be deemed housing for older persons intended and operated for occupancy by persons 55 years 100 101 of age or older. If those documents further provide a prohibition against residents 16 years of age or younger, that 103 provision shall be construed, for purposes of the Fair Housing 104 Act, to only apply to residents 18 years of age or younger, in order to conform with federal law requirements. Governing 105 106 documents which can be amended at a future date must be amended 107 and properly recorded within 1 year after that date to reflect the requirements for consideration as housing for older persons, 108 if that housing facility or community intends to continue as 110 housing for older persons.
  - c. The housing facility or community complies with rules made by the Secretary of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development pursuant to 24 C.F.R. part 100 for verification of occupancy, which rules provide for verification by reliable surveys and affidavits and include examples of the types of policies and procedures relevant to a determination of

Page 4 of 11

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726\_

compliance with the requirements of sub-subparagraph b. Such surveys and affidavits are admissible in administrative and judicial proceedings for the purposes of such verification.

- (c) Housing shall not fail to be considered housing for older persons if:
- 1. A person who resides in such housing on or after October 1, 1989, does not meet the age requirements of this subsection, provided that any new occupant meets such age requirements; or
- 2. One or more units are unoccupied, provided that any unoccupied units are reserved for occupancy by persons who meet the age requirements of this subsection.
- (d) A person shall not be personally liable for monetary damages for a violation of this subsection if such person reasonably relied in good faith on the application of the exemption under this subsection relating to housing for older persons. For purposes of this paragraph, a person may show good faith reliance on the application of the exemption only by showing that:
- 1. The person has no actual knowledge that the facility or the community is ineligible, or will become ineligible, for such exemption; and
- 2. The facility or community has stated formally, in writing, that the facility or community complies with the requirements for such exemption.
- (c) A facility or community claiming an exemption under this subsection shall register with the commission and submit a letter to the commission stating that the facility or community complies with the requirements of subparagraph (b)1., subparagraph (b)2., or subparagraph (b)3. The letter shall be

Page 5 of 11

CODING: Words  $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

|     | 19-01053-20 2020726   |
|-----|---|
| 146 | submitted on the letterhead of the facility or community and      |
| 147 | shall be signed by the president of the facility or community.    |
| 148 | This registration and documentation shall be renewed biennially   |
| 149 | from the date of original filing. The information in the          |
| 150 | registry shall be made available to the public, and the           |
| 151 | commission shall include this information on an Internet          |
| 152 | website. The commission may establish a reasonable registration   |
| 153 | fee, not to exceed \$20, that shall be deposited into the         |
| 154 | commission's trust fund to defray the administrative costs        |
| 155 | associated with maintaining the registry. The commission may      |
| 156 | impose an administrative fine, not to exceed \$500, on a facility |
| 157 | or community that knowingly submits false information in the      |
| 158 | documentation required by this paragraph. Such fines shall be     |
| 159 | deposited in the commission's trust fund. The registration and    |
| 160 | documentation required by this paragraph shall not substitute     |
| 161 | for proof of compliance with the requirements of this             |
| 162 | subsection. Failure to comply with the requirements of this       |
| 163 | paragraph shall not disqualify a facility or community that       |
| 164 | otherwise qualifies for the exemption provided in this            |
| 165 | subsection.   |
| 166 |   |
| 167 | A county or municipal ordinance regarding housing for older       |
| 168 | persons may not contravene the provisions of this subsection.     |
| 169 | Section 5. Subsection (5) of section 760.31, Florida              |
| 170 | Statutes, is amended to read:                                     |
| 171 | 760.31 Powers and duties of commission.—The commission            |
| 172 | shall:  |
| 173 | (5) Adopt rules necessary to implement ss. 760.20-760.37          |
| 174 | and govern the proceedings of the commission in accordance with   |

Page 6 of 11

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726

175 chapter 120. Commission rules shall clarify terms used with regard to handicapped accessibility, exceptions from accessibility requirements based on terrain or site characteristics, and requirements related to housing for older persons. Commission rules shall specify the fee and the forms and procedures to be used for the registration required by s. 760.29(4)(c).

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187 188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

Section 6. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 760.60, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

760.60 Discriminatory practices of certain clubs prohibited; remedies .-

- (2) A person who has been discriminated against in violation of this act may file a complaint with the Commission on Human Relations or with the Attorney General's Office of Civil Rights. A complaint must be in writing and must contain such information and be in such form as the commission requires. Upon receipt of a complaint, the commission or the Attorney General shall provide a copy to the person who represents the club. Within 30 days after receiving a complaint, the commission or the Attorney General shall investigate the alleged discrimination and give notice in writing to the person who filed the complaint if it intends to resolve the complaint. If the commission or the Attorney General decides to resolve the complaint, it shall attempt to eliminate or correct the alleged discriminatory practices of a club by informal methods of conference, conciliation, and persuasion.
- (3) If the commission or the Attorney General fails, within 30 days after receiving a complaint filed pursuant to subsection (2), to give notice of its intent to eliminate or correct the

Page 7 of 11

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726 204 alleged discriminatory practices of a club, or if the commission 205 or the Attorney General fails to resolve the complaint within 45 206 30 days after giving such notice, the person or the Attorney General on behalf of the person filing the complaint may commence a civil action in a court against the club, its 208 209 officers, or its members to enforce this section. If the court 210 finds that a discriminatory practice occurs at the club, the court may enjoin the club, its officers, or its members from 212 engaging in such practice or may order other appropriate action. 213 Section 7. Subsections (1) and (2), paragraphs (d) and (e) 214 of subsection (3), and paragraph (a) of subsection (4) of 215 section 112.31895, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 216 112.31895 Investigative procedures in response to 217 prohibited personnel actions .-218 (1) (a) If a disclosure under s. 112.3187 includes or 219 results in alleged retaliation by an employer, the employee or 220 former employee of, or applicant for employment with, a state 221 agency, as defined in s. 216.011, that is so affected may file a 222 complaint alleging a prohibited personnel action, which 223 complaint must be made by filing a written complaint with the Office of the Chief Inspector General in the Executive Office of

(b) Within 5 three working days after receiving a complaint under this section, the office or officer receiving the complaint shall acknowledge receipt of the complaint and provide copies of the complaint and any other preliminary information available concerning the disclosure of information under s. 112.3187 to each of the other parties named in paragraph (a),

the Governor or the Florida Commission on Human Relations, no

later than 60 days after the prohibited personnel action.

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

Page 8 of 11

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726

which parties shall each acknowledge receipt of such copies to the complainant.

2.57

- (2) FACT FINDING.—The Florida Commission on Human Relations shall:
- (a) Receive any allegation of a personnel action prohibited by s. 112.3187, including a proposed or potential action, and conduct informal fact finding regarding any allegation under this section, to the extent necessary to determine whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that a prohibited personnel action under s. 112.3187 has occurred, is occurring, or is to be taken.

(b) Notify the complainant, within 15 days after receiving a complaint, that the complaint has been received by the department.

(b) (e) Within 180 90 days after receiving the complaint, provide the agency head and the complainant with a fact-finding report that may include recommendations to the parties or proposed resolution of the complaint. The fact-finding report shall be presumed admissible in any subsequent or related administrative or judicial review.

- (3) CORRECTIVE ACTION AND TERMINATION OF INVESTIGATION.-
- (d) If the Florida Commission on Human Relations is unable to conciliate a complaint within  $\underline{35}$   $\underline{60}$  days after receipt of the fact-finding report, the Florida Commission on Human Relations shall terminate the investigation. Upon termination of any investigation, the Florida Commission on Human Relations shall notify the complainant and the agency head of the termination of the investigation, providing a summary of relevant facts found during the investigation and the reasons for terminating the

Page 9 of 11

CODING: Words  $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726\_ investigation. A written statement under this paragraph is

presumed admissible as evidence in any judicial or administrative proceeding but is not admissible without the consent of the complainant.

2.68

- (e)1. The Florida Commission on Human Relations may request an agency or circuit court to order a stay, on such terms as the court requires, of any personnel action for 45 days if the Florida Commission on Human Relations determines that reasonable grounds exist to believe that a prohibited personnel action has occurred, is occurring, or is to be taken. The Florida Commission on Human Relations may request that such stay be extended for appropriate periods of time.
- 2. If, in connection with any investigation, the Florida Commission on Human Relations determines that reasonable grounds exist to believe that a prohibited action has occurred, is occurring, or is to be taken which requires corrective action, the Florida Commission on Human Relations shall report the determination together with any findings or recommendations to the agency head and may report that determination and those findings and recommendations to the Governor and the Chief Financial Officer. The Florida Commission on Human Relations may include in the report recommendations for corrective action to be taken.
- 3. If, after  $\underline{35}$   $\underline{20}$  days, the agency does not implement the recommended action, the Florida Commission on Human Relations shall terminate the investigation and notify the complainant of the right to appeal under subsection (4), or may petition the agency for corrective action under this subsection.
  - 4. If the Florida Commission on Human Relations finds, in

Page 10 of 11

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 726

19-01053-20 2020726\_

consultation with the individual subject to the prohibited action, that the agency has implemented the corrective action, the commission shall file such finding with the agency head, together with any written comments that the individual provides, and terminate the investigation.

(4) RIGHT TO APPEAL.-

(a) Not more than  $\underline{21}$   $\underline{60}$  days after receipt of a notice of termination of the investigation from the Florida Commission on Human Relations, the complainant may file, with the Public Employees Relations Commission, a complaint against the employer-agency regarding the alleged prohibited personnel action. The Public Employees Relations Commission shall have jurisdiction over such complaints under ss. 112.3187 and 447.503(4) and (5).

Section 8. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.

Page 11 of 11

# THE FLORIDA SENATE

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

| 12/0/2010   | H copies of this form to the Sena                        | tor or Senate Professional S      | Staff conducting the meeting)                 | 726                             |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Meeting Date  |  |                                   | В   | ill Number (if applicable)      |
| Topic FL Commission on Hum  | nan Relations  |                                   | <br>Amendme                                   | nt Barcode (if applicable)      |
| Name Carolyn Johnson  |  |                                   | -   | (ii applicazio)                 |
| Job Title Policy Director   |  |                                   | •   |                                 |
| Address 136 S Bronough St   |  |                                   | Phone 850-521-12                              | 00                              |
| Tallahassee   | FL   | 32301                             | Email cjohnson@flo                            | chamber.com                     |
| City Speaking: For Against  | State Information  | <i>Zip</i><br>Waive S<br>(The Cha | peaking: In Suppir will read this information | ort Against n into the record.) |
| Representing FL Chamber   | of Commerce  |                                   |   | ·                               |
| Appearing at request of Chair:  | Yes No   | Lobbyist registe                  | ered with Legislature                         | Yes No                          |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encou<br>meeting. Those who do speak may be | rage public testimony, time<br>asked to limit their rema | ne may not permit all             | nersons wishing to anon                       | k to be been at this            |
| This form is part of the public reco  | d for this meeting.                                      |                                   |   | S-001 (10/14/14)                |

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar      | ed By: The Pro      | fessional S | Staff of the Comr | nittee on Governme | ental Oversight a | nd Accountability |  |  |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| BILL:       | SB 830              |             |                   |                    |                   |                   |  |  |
| INTRODUCER: | Senator Benacquisto |             |                   |                    |                   |                   |  |  |
| SUBJECT:    | OGSR/Cert           | ain Perso   | nal Financial a   | and Health Inform  | nation            |                   |  |  |
| DATE:       | December 6          | , 2019      | REVISED:          |                    |                   |                   |  |  |
| ANAL        | YST                 | STAFF       | DIRECTOR          | REFERENCE          |                   | ACTION            |  |  |
| 1. Ponder   |                     | McVa        | ney               | GO                 | <b>Favorable</b>  |                   |  |  |
| 2           |                     |             |                   | RC                 |                   |                   |  |  |

# I. Summary:

SB 830 amends s. 1009.987, F.S., to save from repeal the public records exemption relating to personal financial and health information of a consumer that is held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, the Florida ABLE, Inc., the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service provider of one of these entities relating to an ABLE account, or a participation agreement or any information that would identify a consumer. This information will continue to be confidential and exempt from public disclosure beyond October 2, 2020.

The bill is not expected to impact state and local revenues and expenditures.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### **II.** Present Situation:

#### Access to Public Records – Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.<sup>2</sup>

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

rules of each house of the legislature.<sup>3</sup> Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.<sup>4</sup> Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

#### Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>5</sup>

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.<sup>6</sup> The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of "public record" to include "material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type."<sup>7</sup>

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.<sup>8</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>9</sup>

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate.<sup>10</sup> The exemption must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Rule 1.48, Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate, (2018-2020) and Rule 14.1, Rules of the Florida House of Representatives, Edition 2, (2018-2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.*, 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. <sup>12</sup> Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program. <sup>13</sup>

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "exempt" or "confidential and exempt." Custodians of records designated as "exempt" are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record. <sup>14</sup> Custodians of records designated as "confidential and exempt" may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature. <sup>15</sup>

# **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>16</sup> (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended<sup>17</sup> public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions.<sup>18</sup> It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.<sup>19</sup>

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;<sup>21</sup>
- It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;<sup>22</sup> or
- It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets. <sup>23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 119.15(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.<sup>24</sup> In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>25</sup> If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.<sup>26</sup>

#### **Federal ABLE Act**

The federal ABLE Act (Achieving a Better Life Experience Act of 2014) became law on December 19, 2014.<sup>27</sup> The purpose of the ABLE Act is to encourage individuals and families to save money to support individuals with disabilities.<sup>28</sup> The ABLE Act permits a state to implement a qualified ABLE program and establish ABLE accounts for eligible individuals with disabilities that meet certain criteria.<sup>29</sup> A designated beneficiary of an ABLE account is an eligible individual who establishes an ABLE account and is the owner of such of an account.<sup>30</sup> An agent or parent may also establish an account on behalf of the eligible individual.<sup>31</sup>

## Florida ABLE Program

An individual is an eligible individual for a taxable year if during such taxable year:<sup>32</sup>

- The individual is entitled to benefits based on blindness or disability under title II or XVI of the Social Security Act, and such blindness or disability occurred before the date on which the individual attained age 26; or
- A disability certification with respect to such individual is filed with the Secretary of the Department of Treasury for such taxable year.

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See generally s. 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 119.15(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Pub. L. No. 113-295, 128 Stat. 4056 (Dec. 19, 2014).

<sup>28</sup> In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> 26 U.S.C. s. 529A(e)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id* at (e)(3). A designated beneficiary may also be a brother, sister, stepbrother, or stepsister of a former designated beneficiary of the ABLE account, provided such new designated beneficiary is also an eligible individual. *Id.* at (e)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Rule 19B-18.003, F.A.C., *Participation Agreement, Final ABLE Terms and Conditions*, Form No. FPCB 2018-08, *available at* http://www.flrules.org/Gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-09690.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> *Id.*; s. 1009.986(2)(d), F.S.

Under the Florida ABLE Program, eligible individuals with disabilities, family members, and others may contribute funds to an ABLE account, which supplements, rather than supplants, any federal benefits received by the beneficiary.<sup>33</sup> Those funds may be used for qualified disability expenses relating to the individual's blindness or disability. These expenses may include education, housing, transportation, employment support, health, prevention, wellness, financial, and legal expenses, and other expenses authorized through federal regulations.<sup>34</sup>

A consumer's personal financial and health information held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, the Florida ABLE, Inc., the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service provider of one of these entities relating to an ABLE account, or a participation agreement or any information that would identify a consumer is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I, of the State Constitution.<sup>35</sup>

A "consumer" means a party to a participation agreement of the Florida ABLE program.<sup>36</sup> The law provides that "personal financial and health information" means:<sup>37</sup>

- A consumer's personal health condition, disease, injury, or medical diagnosis or treatment;
- The existence, nature, source, or amount of a consumer's personal income or expenses;
- Records of or relating to a consumer's personal financial transactions of any kind; or
- The existence, identification, nature, or value of a consumer's assets, liabilities, or net worth.

The Florida Prepaid College Board and Florida ABLE, Inc., may disclose information made confidential and exempt to another state or federal government entity if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties or responsibilities or to verify the eligibility of an eligible individual or authorize the use of an ABLE account.<sup>38</sup>

Section 1009.987, F.S., provides for future review and repeal of the public records exemption on October 2, 2020.

Chapter 2015-58, L.O.F., which established the exemption from public record disclosure requirements for consumer information held under the Florida ABLE program, included a public necessity statement that provided rational for the exemption. This rationale recognized that the disclosure of sensitive financial information regarding a consumer under the Florida ABLE program could create the opportunity for theft, identity theft, fraud, and other illegal activity, thereby jeopardizing the financial security of the consumer and placing him or her at risk for substantial financial harm. Furthermore, the disclosure of personal health information relating to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Pub. L. 113-295, Div. B, Title I, §§ 101(2) and 103 (Dec. 19, 2014). Any amount in an ABLE account will be disregarded, except for the purpose of determining supplemental security income, any amount in excess of \$100,000 may cause a suspension in benefits. *Id.*; *see also* Letter from Brian Neale, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Servs., *Implications of the ABLE Act for State Medicaid Programs* (Sept. 7, 2017), *available at* <a href="https://www.medicaid.gov/federal-policy-guidance/downloads/smd17002.pdf">https://www.medicaid.gov/federal-policy-guidance/downloads/smd17002.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> 26 U.S.C. s. 529A(e)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Section 1009.987, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Section 1009.987(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Section 1009.987(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Section 1009.987(3), F.S.

a consumer under the Florida ABLE program could negatively affect an individual's business and personal relationships and cause detrimental financial consequences.<sup>39</sup>

# **Open Government Sunset Review Findings and Recommendations**

In June 2019, the Senate Education Committee and the House Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee sent an Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire to the Florida Prepaid College Board regarding the need to maintain the exemption related to personal financial and health information of a consumer that is held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, the Florida ABLE, Inc., the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service provider of one of these entities relating to an ABLE account, or a participation agreement or any information that would identify a consumer.

The Florida Prepaid College Board responded to the questionnaire and recommended that the exemption remain in effect to protect the personal, financial, and health information for individuals associated with ABLE accounts in the Florida ABLE program.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 830 saves from repeal the current public records exemption relating to personal financial and health information of a consumer that is held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, the Florida ABLE, Inc., the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service provider of one of these entities relating to an ABLE account, or a participation agreement or any information that would identify a consumer. The information will continue to be confidential and exempt from public disclosure beyond October 2, 2020.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

# A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The bill does not require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

# B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

#### Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill continues a current public records exemption beyond its current date of repeal. The bill does not create or expand an exemption. Thus, the bill does not require an extraordinary vote for enactment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Ch. 2015-58, L.O.F.

#### **Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. This bill continues a current public records exemption without expansion. Thus, a statement of public necessity is not required.

#### Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect personal identifying information of those who are employed by, under contract with, volunteering or engaged in activities related to animal research. This bill exempts only personal identifying information from the public records requirements. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The private sector will continue to be subject to the cost associated with an agency making redactions in response to a public records request.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Governmental agencies will continue to incur costs related to the redaction of records in responding to public records requests.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

# VII. Related Issues:

None.

# VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 1009.987 of the Florida Statutes.

# IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

# B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 830

By Senator Benacquisto

27-00652-20 2020830 A bill to be entitled

An act relating to a review under the Open Government

Sunset Review Act; amending s. 1009.987, F.S., which

provides an exemption from public records requirements

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

27 2.8

for certain personal financial and health information held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, Florida ABLE, Inc., or the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service provider thereof; removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption; providing an effective date. Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Section 1009.987, Florida Statutes, is amended 1009.987 Public records exemption. (1) As used in this section, the term: (a) "Consumer" means a party to a participation agreement. (b) "Personal financial and health information" means: 1. A consumer's personal health condition, disease, injury, or medical diagnosis or treatment; 2. The existence, nature, source, or amount of a consumer's personal income or expenses; 3. Records of or relating to a consumer's personal financial transactions of any kind; or 4. The existence, identification, nature, or value of a consumer's assets, liabilities, or net worth.

Page 1 of 2

(2) The personal financial and health information of a

consumer held by the Florida Prepaid College Board, Florida ABLE, Inc., or the Florida ABLE program, or an agent or service

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 830

27-00652-20 2020830 provider thereof, relating to an ABLE account or a participation agreement or any information that would identify a consumer is 32 confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I 33 of the State Constitution. 34 (3) The Florida Prepaid College Board or Florida ABLE, Inc., may authorize the disclosure of information made 35 confidential and exempt under subsection (2) to another state or federal government entity if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties or responsibilities or to 38 39 verify the eligibility of an eligible individual or authorize

40

41

42

4.3

45

the use of an ABLE account.

(4) This section is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2020.

Page 2 of 2

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar            | ed By: The Pr | ofessional S | Staff of the Comr | nittee on Governme | ental Oversight and Accountability |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| BILL:             | SB 7004       |              |                   |                    |                                    |
| INTRODUCER:       | Finance ar    | nd Tax Cor   | nmittee           |                    |                                    |
| SUBJECT: OGSR/Tax |               | xpayer E-n   | nail Addresses    | Held by a Tax C    | Collector                          |
| DATE:             | December      | 6, 2019      | REVISED:          |                    |                                    |
| ANAL              | YST           | STAFF        | DIRECTOR          | REFERENCE          | ACTION                             |
| Babin             |               | Diez-A       | arguelles         |                    | FT Submitted as Committee Bill     |
| 1. Ponder         |               | McVar        | ney               | GO                 | Favorable                          |
| 2.                |               |              |                   | RC                 |                                    |

# I. Summary:

SB 7004 amends s. 197.3225, Florida Statutes, to save from repeal the current public records exemption for e-mail addresses used by a tax collector to send certain tax notices, by removing the scheduled October 2, 2020, repeal date.

The bill continues to exempt from public disclosure those taxpayer e-mail addresses held by a tax collector for the purposes of:

- Sending a quarterly tax notice for prepayment of estimated taxes pursuant to s. 197.222, F.S.;
- Obtaining the taxpayer's consent to send the tax notice described in s. 197.322(3), F.S.;
- Sending an additional tax notice or delinquent tax notice to the taxpayer pursuant to s. 197.343, F.S.; or
- Sending a tax notice to a designated third party, mortgagee, or vendee pursuant to s. 197.344(1), F.S.

The bill is not expected to impact state and local revenues and expenditures.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### **Access to Public Records - Generally**

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.<sup>1</sup> The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.<sup>2</sup>

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.<sup>3</sup> Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.<sup>4</sup> Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

### **Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act**

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>5</sup>

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.<sup>6</sup> The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of "public record" to include "material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.<sup>7</sup>

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.<sup>8</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>9</sup>

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate. <sup>10</sup> The exemption must state

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Rule 1.48, Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate, (2018-2020) and Rule 14.1, Rules of the Florida House of Representatives, Edition 2, (2018-2020)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.<sup>11</sup>

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. <sup>12</sup> Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program. <sup>13</sup>

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "exempt" or "confidential and exempt." Custodians of records designated as "exempt" are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record. Custodians of records designated as "confidential and exempt" may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature. <sup>15</sup>

#### **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>16</sup> (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended<sup>17</sup> public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions.<sup>18</sup> It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.<sup>19</sup>

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

• It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.,* 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.,* 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 119.15(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

• It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;<sup>22</sup> or

• It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets.<sup>23</sup>

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.<sup>24</sup> In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>25</sup> If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.<sup>26</sup>

#### **Property Tax Notices**

The ad valorem tax or "property tax" is an annual tax levied by counties, municipalities, school districts, and some special districts. The tax is based on the taxable value of property as of January 1 of each year.<sup>27</sup> The property appraiser annually determines the "just value" of property within the taxing authority and then applies relevant exclusions, assessment limitations, and exemptions to determine the property's taxable value.<sup>29</sup>

Taxpayers receive certain notices at various stages of the property tax administration process. For example:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
  - Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
  - What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
  - Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means?
     If so, how?
  - Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
  - Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See generally s. 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 119.15(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Both real property and tangible personal property are subject to tax. Section 192.001(12), F.S., defines "real property" as land, buildings, fixtures, and all other improvements to land. Section 192.001(11)(d), F.S., defines "tangible personal property" as all goods, chattels, and other articles of value capable of manual possession and whose chief value is intrinsic to the article itself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Property must be valued at "just value" for purposes of property taxation, unless the Florida Constitution provides otherwise. FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4. Just value has been interpreted by the courts to mean the fair market value that a willing buyer would pay a willing seller for the property in an arm's-length transaction. *See Walter v. Shuler*, 176 So. 2d 81 (Fla. 1965); *Deltona Corp. v. Bailey*, 336 So. 2d 1163 (Fla. 1976); *Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Dade County*, 275 So. 2d 4 (Fla. 1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See s. 192.001(2) and (16), F.S.

• In August of each year, property appraisers send each taxpayer a notice of proposed property taxes, alerting the taxpayer of the property appraiser's proposed assessment for the taxpayer's property and the resulting tax that could be due, depending upon the local governments' adopted budgets.<sup>30</sup>

- In November of each year, tax collectors send tax notices to each taxpayer, informing the taxpayer of the amount of taxes due.<sup>31</sup> Taxes are generally due by the following March 31. Upon request by a mortgagee who holds property tax payments in escrow, tax collectors send this tax notice to the mortgagee.<sup>32</sup>
- Tax collectors send notices by April 30 to each taxpayer who has not paid his or her tax bill, alerting the taxpayer that a tax certificate could be sold.<sup>33</sup>

Historically, tax notices have been sent to the taxpayer using postal mail.<sup>34</sup> In 2011, the Legislature authorized tax collectors, after taxpayer consent, to deliver certain tax notices via electronic means.<sup>35</sup> Often, e-mail is the electronic means used by tax collectors to send notices.

# Public Records Exemption for E-Mail Addresses held by Tax Collectors for Certain Purposes

In 2015, the Legislature created s. 197.3225, F.S., to exempt from disclosure e-mail addresses held by tax collectors for the following purposes:

- Sending a quarterly tax notice for prepayment of estimated taxes pursuant to section 197.222(3), F.S.;
- Obtaining a taxpayer's consent to electronically send the tax notice (the annual tax bill) described in section 197.322(3), F.S.;
- Sending an additional tax notice or delinquent tax notice (annual tax bill/delinquency notice) pursuant to section 197.343, F.S.;
- Sending a tax notice (the annual tax bill) to a designated third party, mortgagee, or vendee pursuant to section 197.344(1), F.S.

Section 197.3225(2), F.S., provides for repeal of the exemption pursuant to the Act on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. Chapter 2015-13, Laws of Florida, which created the exemption, provides a statement of public necessity.<sup>36</sup> The statement finds that, when combined with other personal identifying information, e-mail addresses can be used for identity theft, taxpayer scams, and other invasive contacts.

Tax collectors have indicated that they have received public records requests for e-mail addresses.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section 200.069, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Section 197.322(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Section 197.344(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Section 197.343(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> See, e.g., ss. 200.069, F.S. (requiring the notice of proposed property taxes to be sent by first-class mail); and 197.322(3), F.S. (authorizing the tax notice notifying the taxpayer of the amount of taxes due to be sent by postal mail).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See generally ch. 2011-151, Laws of Fla.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Section 2, ch. 2015-13, Laws of Fla.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> See, e.g., Questionnaire Response by Sarasota County Tax Collector's Office, June 2019 (on file with The Senate Committee on Finance and Tax.)

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill saves from repeal the public records exemption in s. 197.3225, F.S., which exempts from public disclosure and inspection those e-mail addresses held by tax collectors for the purpose of sending certain tax notices to taxpayers. The bill continues the exemption from public disclosure by removing the repeal date.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

### A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The bill does not require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

# B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

#### Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. The bill continues a current public records exemption beyond its current date of repeal. The bill does not create or expand an exemption. Thus, the bill does not require an extraordinary vote for enactment.

#### **Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. The bill continues a current public records exemption without an expansion. Thus, a statement of public necessity is not required.

#### Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect the personal identifying information contained in a record held by a tax collector for certain purposes. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

| <i>(</i> ' | 1 61 104 | L            | 1300 | tri o | tionor |
|------------|----------|--------------|------|-------|--------|
| U.         | LIUSI    | <b>Funds</b> | RES  | 111(: | HOHS   |
|            |          |              |      |       |        |

None.

#### D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

#### E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

#### A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

# B. Private Sector Impact:

The private sector will continue to be subject to the cost associated with an agency making redactions in response to a public records request.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

Governmental agencies will continue to incur costs related to the redaction of records in responding to public records requests.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

# VIII. Statutes Affected:

The bill substantially amends section 197.3225 of the Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

#### A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 7004

20207004

By the Committee on Finance and Tax

593-01456-20

A bill to be entitled An act relating to a review under the Open Government Sunset Review Act; amending s. 197.3225, F.S., relating to an exemption from public records requirements for taxpayer e-mail addresses held by a tax collector for certain purposes; removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption; providing an effective date. 10 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 11 12 Section 1. Section 197.3225, Florida Statutes, is amended 13 to read: 14 197.3225 Public records exemption; taxpayer e-mail 15 16 (1) A taxpayer's e-mail address held by a tax collector for any of the following purposes is exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 17 18 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution: 19 (1) (a) Sending a quarterly tax notice for prepayment of 20 estimated taxes to the taxpayer pursuant to s. 197.222(3). 21 (2) (b) Obtaining the taxpayer's consent to send the tax 22 notice described in s. 197.322(3). 23 (3) (c) Sending an additional tax notice or delinquent tax 24 notice to the taxpayer pursuant to s. 197.343. 25 (4) (d) Sending a tax notice to a designated third party, 26 mortgagee, or vendee pursuant to s. 197.344(1). 27 (2) This section is subject to the Open Government Sunset 28 Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal

Page 1 of 2

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 7004

593-01456-20 20207004 through reenactment by the Legislature. 31 Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2020.

Page 2 of 2

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepare         | ed By: The Pr                   | rofessional Staff of the C | committee on Governme | ental Oversight and Accountability    |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| BILL:           | SB 7008                         | SB 7008                    |                       |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| INTRODUCER:     | INTRODUCER: Education Committee |                            |                       |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT: OGSR/A |                                 | imal Medical Record        | s/State College of V  | eterinary Medicine                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATE:           | December                        | 6, 2019 REVISED            | :                     |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANAL            | YST                             | STAFF DIRECTOR             | REFERENCE             | ACTION                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brick           |                                 | Sikes                      |                       | <b>ED Submitted as Committee Bill</b> |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Ponder       |                                 | McVaney                    | GO                    | Favorable                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.              |                                 |                            | RC                    |                                       |  |  |  |  |  |

# I. Summary:

SB 7008 amends s. 474.2167, Florida Statutes, to save from repeal the current public records exemption for animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education, by removing the scheduled October 2, 2020, repeal date.

The bill continues to maintain certain medical records as confidential and exempt from public inspection and copying. The affected records include a medical record generated by or transferred to an accredited state college of veterinary medicine which relates to diagnosing the medical condition of an animal; prescribing, dispensing, or administering drugs, medicine, appliances, applications, or treatment of whatever nature for the prevention, cure, or relief of a wound, fracture, bodily injury, or disease of an animal; or performing a manual procedure for the diagnosis of or treatment for pregnancy, fertility, or infertility of an animal. These confidential medical records may be disclosed to another governmental entity in the performance of its duties and responsibilities, or to others with consent of the client.

The bill is not expected to impact state and local revenues and expenditures.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### **Access to Public Records - Generally**

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.<sup>1</sup> The right to inspect or copy applies

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.<sup>2</sup>

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.<sup>3</sup> Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.<sup>4</sup> Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

# Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>5</sup>

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.<sup>6</sup> The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of "public record" to include "material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.<sup>7</sup>

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.<sup>8</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>9</sup>

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate. <sup>10</sup> The exemption must state

<sup>3</sup> See Rule 1.48, Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate, (2018-2020) and Rule 14.1, Rules of the Florida House of Representatives, Edition 2, (2018-2020).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.<sup>11</sup>

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. <sup>12</sup> Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program. <sup>13</sup>

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "exempt" or "confidential and exempt." Custodians of records designated as "exempt" are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record. <sup>14</sup> Custodians of records designated as "confidential and exempt" may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature. <sup>15</sup>

#### **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>16</sup> (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended<sup>17</sup> public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions.<sup>18</sup> It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.<sup>19</sup>

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

• It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.,* 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.,* 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 119.15(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

• It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;<sup>22</sup> or

• It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets. <sup>23</sup>

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.<sup>24</sup> In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>25</sup> If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.<sup>26</sup>

#### **Confidentiality of Animal Medical Records**

Section 474.2165, F.S., prohibits the disclosure of records or information concerning the medical condition of a patient of veterinary medical services to any person other than the client or the client's legal representative or other veterinarians involved in the care or treatment of the patient, except upon written authorization of the client. However, such records may be furnished without written authorization under the following circumstances:<sup>27</sup>

- To any person, firm, or corporation that has procured or furnished such examination or treatment with the client's consent.
- In any civil or criminal action, unless otherwise prohibited by law, upon the issuance of a subpoena from a court of competent jurisdiction and proper notice to the client or the client's legal representative by the party seeking such records.
- For statistical and scientific research, provided the information is abstracted in such a way as to protect the identity of the patient and the client, or provided written permission is received from the client or the client's legal representative.

Section 474.2167, F.S., provides an exemption from public record disclosure requirements for animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See generally s. 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 119.15(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Section 474.2165(4), F.S.

accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Council on Education.<sup>28</sup> Confidential and exempt animal medical records may be disclosed to another governmental entity in the performance of its duties and responsibilities and in accordance with the existing laws governing veterinary medical records at a private clinic.

Section 474.2167, F.S., provides for future review and repeal of the public records exemption on October 2, 2020.

Chapter 2015-62, L.O.F., which established the exemption from public record disclosure requirements for animal medical records, included a public necessity statement that provided the rational for the exemption. This rationale recognized that the release of such animal medical records compromises the confidentiality protections otherwise afforded the owners of such animals treated by licensed veterinarians in this state. Furthermore, this exemption permits a state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education to effectively and efficiently carry out its mission to educate students in veterinary medicine.<sup>29</sup>

### **Open Government Sunset Review Findings and Recommendations**

In June 2019, the Senate Education Committee and the House Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee, in consultation with the Florida Board of Governors, sent an Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire to the University of Florida (UF) College of Veterinary Medicine, which is the only state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AVMA Council on Education.<sup>30</sup>

The UF College of Veterinary Medicine responded that it achieves its core business of training the next generation of veterinarians through clinical teaching material provided by the animals that visit the UF Veterinary Hospital.<sup>31</sup> If the exemption is repealed, the UF Veterinary Hospital would be the only veterinary medical practice in the State of Florida without confidentiality protections for records and information concerning veterinary medical services. The UF College of Veterinary Medicine recommended the exemption be reenacted to enable the continued training of the next generation of veterinarians who will meet the future needs of animal owners in Florida.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 7008 saves from repeal the current public records exemption relating to animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The AVMA Council on Education is recognized by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation as the accrediting body for schools and programs that offer the professional Doctor of Veterinary Medicine degree (or its equivalent) in the US and Canada, and may also approve foreign veterinary colleges. *See* 

https://www.avma.org/professionaldevelopment/education/accreditation/colleges/pages/coe-pp-overview-of-the-coe.aspx (last visited Aug. 2, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Ch. 2015-62, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Email, Florida Board of Governors (June 27, 2019); and University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, *Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire (Animal Medical Records)* (July 8, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, *Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire* (*Animal Medical Records*) (July 8, 2019), on file with the Committee on Education.

AVMA Council on Education, by removing the scheduled repeal date. These records will continue to be confidential and exempt from public disclosure beyond October 2, 2020.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

### A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The bill does not require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

# B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

#### Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill continues a current public records exemption beyond its current date of repeal. The bill does not create or expand an exemption. Thus, the bill does not require an extraordinary vote for enactment.

### **Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. This bill continues a current public records exemption without expansion. Thus, a statement of public necessity is not required.

#### Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect the confidentiality of animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education. This bill exempts only animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AVMA Council on Education from the public records requirements. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

|    | ITICT | Funds | - ברכי | rrici | יאחרי |
|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| U. |       |       |        |       |       |
|    |       |       |        |       |       |

None.

#### D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

| _  |       | _          |            |     | -      |
|----|-------|------------|------------|-----|--------|
|    | Othor | $C \sim r$ | satitutia. | പ   |        |
| Г. | CHIEL | COL        | nstitutioi | 171 | コンシロピン |

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

#### A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

### B. Private Sector Impact:

The private sector will continue to be subject to the cost, to the extent imposed, associated with a state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education making redactions and/or making copies in response to public records requests.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

State colleges of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education will continue to incur costs related to the redaction of records and copying costs associated with responding to public records requests.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

# VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 474.2167 of the Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 7008

By the Committee on Education

581-01405-20 20207008

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to a review under the Open Government Sunset Review Act; amending s. 474.2167, F.S., which provides an exemption from public records requirements for certain animal medical records held by a state college of veterinary medicine that is accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education; removing the scheduled repeal of the exemption; providing an effective date.

10 11

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

12 13

> 14 15

> 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Section 1. Section 474.2167, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

474.2167 Confidentiality of animal medical records.-

- (1) The following records held by any state college of veterinary medicine that is accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education are confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution:
- (a) A medical record generated which relates to diagnosing the medical condition of an animal; prescribing, dispensing, or administering drugs, medicine, appliances, applications, or treatment of whatever nature for the prevention, cure, or relief of a wound, fracture, bodily injury, or disease of an animal; or performing a manual procedure for the diagnosis of or treatment for pregnancy, fertility, or infertility of an animal; and
- (b) A medical record described in paragraph (a) which is transferred by a previous record owner in connection with the

Page 1 of 2

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 7008

|    | 581-01405-20 20207008  |
|----|--|
| 30 | transaction of official business by a state college of           |
| 31 | veterinary medicine that is accredited by the American           |
| 32 | Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education.             |
| 33 | (2) A record made confidential and exempt under subsection       |
| 34 | (1) may be disclosed to another governmental entity in the       |
| 35 | performance of its duties and responsibilities and may be        |
| 36 | disclosed pursuant to s. 474.2165.                               |
| 37 | (3) The exemption from public records requirements under         |
| 38 | subsection (1) applies to animal medical records held before,    |
| 39 | on, or after the effective date of this exemption.               |
| 40 | (4) This section is subject to the Open Government Sunset        |
| 41 | Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed |
| 42 | on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal        |
| 43 | through reenactment by the Legislature.                          |
| 44 | Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2020.           |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

Page 2 of 2

# Redig, Tamra

From:

Ruiz, Judith

Sent:

Monday, December 9, 2019 12:15 PM

To:

Redig, Tamra

Subject:

Request for Senator Bean to Present 7008

# Good Morning Ms. Redig,

As per Senator Many Diaz, Jr. request, please note that Senator Bean will be presenting Bill 7008 on the Government Oversight and Accountability committee as requested by Senator Diaz.

Senator Diaz will be preceding over the Education Committee meeting today and it poses as a conflict. If you have any questions please call us.

# Judith Ruiz

Legislative Assistant/Chief of Staff Senator Manny Diaz Jr. District 36 10001 NW 87 Avenue Hialeah, Florida 33016 305-364-3073

306 Senate Building 404 South Monroe Stree Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 850-487-5036



# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar                      | ed By: The Pr            | ofessional S | Staff of the Comr | nittee on Governme | ental Oversight an | d Accountability |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| BILL:                       | SB 444                   |              |                   |                    |                    |                  |  |  |  |
| INTRODUCER:                 | NTRODUCER: Senator Rader |              |                   |                    |                    |                  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT:                    | Customer                 | Service Sta  | andards for Sta   | ate Agencies       |                    |                  |  |  |  |
| DATE:                       | December                 | 6, 2019      | REVISED:          |                    |                    |                  |  |  |  |
| ANAL                        | YST                      | STAFF        | DIRECTOR          | REFERENCE          |                    | ACTION           |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Hackett</li> </ol> |                          | McVar        | ney               | GO                 | <b>Favorable</b>   |                  |  |  |  |
| 2.                          |                          |              |                   | IT                 |                    |                  |  |  |  |
| 3.                          |                          |              |                   | RC                 |                    |                  |  |  |  |

# I. Summary:

SB 444 amends the Florida Customer Service Standards Act to require all state departments to employ a telephone system that allows a caller to press "0" to be connected to an operator or be provided with a call-back option.

State agencies may incur additional costs to comply with the requirements of this bill.

The bill takes effect on October 1, 2020.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### Florida Customer Service Standards Act

The Florida Customer Service Standards Act directs executive branch agencies and the Public Service Commission to practice and employ certain measures to improve customer service. For purposes of this act, a "customer" means any person who uses or requests services or information provided by a state executive agency or who is required by statute to interact with the agency. The measures most pertinent to this legislation include:

- Designating an employee or employees to facilitate the resolution of customer complaints.
- Promptly providing available information and accurate responses to questions and requests for assistance.
- Acknowledging receipt of telephonic or electronic question or request by the end of the next business day.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 23.30, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 20.30(3)(a), F.S.

BILL: SB 444 Page 2

 Providing local or toll-free telephonic or electronic access either through a centralized complaint-intake call center or directly to a departmental employee or employees designated to resolve customer complaints.<sup>3</sup>

The act does not include funding to comply or penalties for failure to comply.<sup>4</sup>

#### **Voice Mail Systems**

There is no statutory requirement for state agencies to employ a voice mail system or a telephone menu options system. However, if the state agency employs such systems, the use must be consistent with the following:

- A state employee may not use a voice mail system when the employee is at his regularly assigned work station where the telephone is functional and available for use, unless the telephone is in use, the voice mail system provides the caller with access to a nonelectronic attendant, or the voice mail system automatically transfers the call to a nonelectronic attendant.<sup>5</sup>
- A telephone menu options system used by a state agency must alert the caller to, and provide the caller with access to, a nonelectronic attendant.<sup>6</sup>

The agency head is required to ensure compliance with these provisions.<sup>7</sup>

For purposes of these requirements, the term "state agency" includes executive and judicial branch entities of the state.<sup>8</sup>

The level of compliance by state agencies is unknown.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 20.30, F.S., to require each state executive branch agency and the Public Service Commission to employ a telephone system that allows a customer to be connected to an operator (presumably a nonelectronic attendant) or be provided with a call-back option.

**Section 2** provides the bill takes effect on October 1, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 23.30(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 23.30(6), (7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 110.1082(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 110.1082(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 110.1082(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 110.105(30), F.S.

BILL: SB 444 Page 3

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of a state tax shares with counties and municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

A state agency may incur indeterminate costs to the extent the agency does not currently use a system with the required features. Some state agencies may incur additional costs to ensure there are sufficient operators for more heavily trafficked phone lines, such as the Department of Management Services' state information phone line.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

The Legislature may want to consider amending s. 110.1082(2), F.S., to allow a state agency to allow its telephone menu options system to provide a call-back option.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

BILL: SB 444 Page 4

#### VIII. **Statutes Affected:**

This bill substantially amends section 23.30 of the Florida Statutes.

#### **Additional Information:** IX.

A.

Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Rader

29-00521-20 2020444\_\_ A bill to be entitled

2

3 4 5

8

10 11

12 13 14

15 16 17

18 19 20

21

An act relating to customer service standards for state agencies; amending s. 23.30, F.S.; requiring departments within the executive branch of state government to implement certain measures with respect to telephone calls placed by customers; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Present paragraphs (c) through (k) of subsection (4) of section 23.30, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as

- paragraphs (d) through (l), respectively, and a new paragraph  ${}^{\circ}$
- (c) is added to that subsection, to read: 23.30 Florida Customer Service Standards Act.—
  - (4) MEASURES TO BE IMPLEMENTED.—State departments shall:
- (c) Employ a system by which a customer who contacts a department by telephone may press "0" to be transferred to an operator or is provided with a call-back option in lieu of waiting on hold.

Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2020.

Page 1 of 1



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:

Governmental Oversight and Accountability, Vice Chair Agriculture
Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services
Children, Families, and Elder Affairs

JOINT COMMITTEE:
Joint Legislative Auditing Committee

#### **SENATOR KEVIN J. RADER**

29th District

October 16, 2019

Chairman Ed Hooper Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability 330 Knott Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Chairman Hooper,

I respectfully request that you place SB 444, relating to Customer Service Standards for State Agencies, on the agenda of the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability at your earliest convenience.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me or my office. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Kindest Regards,

Senator Kevin J. Rader Florida Senate, District 29

Kerin Roude,

cc: Joe McVaney, Staff Director

Tamra Redig, Administrative Assistant

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| Prepar      | ed By: The Pro   | fessional S    | Staff of the Comr | nittee on Governme | ental Oversight and Accountability |  |  |
|-------------|--|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| BILL:       | SB 248   |                |                   |                    |                                    |  |  |
| INTRODUCER: | Senator Hooper   |                |                   |                    |                                    |  |  |
| SUBJECT:    | Public Records/County Attorneys and Assistant County Attorneys |                |                   |                    |                                    |  |  |
| DATE:       | December 6   | 5, 2019        | REVISED:          |                    |                                    |  |  |
| ANALYST     |  | STAFF DIRECTOR |                   | REFERENCE          | ACTION                             |  |  |
| l. Davis    |  | Cibula         |                   | JU                 | Favorable                          |  |  |
| 2. Hackett  |  | McVaney        |                   | GO                 | Favorable                          |  |  |
| 3.          |  |                |                   | RC                 |                                    |  |  |

# I. Summary:

SB 248 exempts from public inspection and copying certain information held by an agency that could identify or locate current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys and their spouses and children.

A county attorney is selected by the board of county commissioners and provides legal advice to the commission, the county administrator, and various departments and boards organized under the authority of the board of county commissioners. The county attorney also drafts and reviews contracts and initiates and defends civil actions in court on behalf of the county.

The bill exempts from public disclosure the following information that relates to current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys:

- Their home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs.
- The names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of their spouses and children.
- The names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by their children.

The bill provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

Because the bill creates a new public records exemption, it requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature for final passage.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### **Public Records Law**

#### Overview

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. This applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, constitutes the main body of public records laws.<sup>3</sup> The Public Records Act states:

It is the policy of this state that all state, county, and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>4</sup>

The Public Records Act typically contains general exemptions that apply across agencies. Agency- or program-specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to that particular agency or program.

# Legislative and Judicial Records

The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records.<sup>5</sup> Legislative records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislature are codified primarily in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and adopted in the rules of each house of the Legislature.

#### Definition

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted. The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being "any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Locke v. Hawkes, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

#### Access

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to governmental records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any state or local government public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.<sup>8</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>9</sup>

# **Exemptions**

The Legislature, alone, has the authority to create an exemption to public records requirements. An exemption must be created by general law and must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following statutory purposes, the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy, *and* the purpose cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivision to effectively and efficiently administer a program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;<sup>12</sup>
- Releasing sensitive personal information would be defamatory or would jeopardize an
  individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only
  personal identifying information is exempt;<sup>13</sup> or
- It protects trade or business secrets. 14

Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. Additionally, a bill enacting an exemption may not contain other substantive provisions<sup>15</sup> and must pass by a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature.<sup>16</sup>

#### "Confidential and Exempt" or "Exempt" Designations

When creating or expanding a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "confidential and exempt" or "exempt." Records designated as "confidential and exempt" may be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature or pursuant to a court order. Records designated as "exempt" may be released at the discretion of the records custodian under certain circumstances. <sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The bill may, however, contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c) and FLA. CONST., art., X, s. 12(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, the record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV, Inc. v. The Sch. Bd. of Seminole*, 874 So. 2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

# **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records or open meetings exemptions, <sup>19</sup> with specified exceptions. <sup>20</sup> It requires the automatic repeal of the exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption. <sup>21</sup> The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary to meet such public purpose. <sup>22</sup>

### **General Public Records Exemptions for State Agency Personnel**

There are three general public records exemptions that apply to all state agency personnel: disclosure of an employee's (1) social security number, (2) medical information, and (3) personal identifying information of dependent children who are insured by an agency group insurance plan.<sup>23</sup>

# (1) Social Security Numbers

Social security numbers of all current and former agency personnel are confidential and exempt when held by the employing agency.<sup>24</sup> An employing agency may only release social security numbers for the following reasons:

- It is required by law.
- A receiving government agency needs the social security number to perform its duties.
- The employee consents to disclose his or her social security number. 25

In addition, there is a general exemption for social security numbers which applies to the public that makes social security numbers confidential and exempt.<sup>26</sup> This exemption applies to any agency that holds anyone's social security number, including those belonging to the personnel of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 119.15, F.S. An exemption is substantially amended if the amendment expands the scope of the exemption to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records (s. 119.15(4)(b), F.S.). The requirements of the Act do not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System (s. 119.15(2), F.S.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 119.15(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S. Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S., asks the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption, and specifically requires that the Legislature consider the following questions:

<sup>•</sup> What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?

<sup>•</sup> Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?

<sup>•</sup> What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?

<sup>•</sup> Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?

<sup>•</sup> Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?

Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 119.071(4)(a) and (b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 119.071(4)(a)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Section 119.071(4)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 119.071(5)(a)5., F.S.

that agency. This exemption, however, permits the agency to disclose social security numbers of agency personnel in order to administer health or retirement benefits.<sup>27</sup>

# (2) Medical Information

An agency employee's medical information is also exempt from public disclosure if the medical information could identify the employee. This exemption applies to prospective, current and former employees.<sup>28</sup>

# (3) Personal Identifying Information

The personal identifying information of a dependent child of an agency employee who is insured by an agency group insurance plan is exempt from public disclosure. This exemption applies to the children of current and former employees and is also retroactively applied.<sup>29</sup>

# **Public Records Exemptions for Enumerated Personnel**

Provisions in s. 119.071(4)(d), F.S., exempt from public disclosure the personal identification and location information of enumerated agency personnel, their spouses and their children. The employing agency as well as the employee may assert the right to the exemption by submitting a written request to each agency which holds the employee's information.<sup>30</sup> Additionally, all of these exemptions have retroactive application.<sup>31</sup>

# **County Attorneys and Assistant County Attorneys**

A county attorney is selected by the board of county commissioners to serve as the chief legal counsel for the county. The county attorney is authorized to appoint assistant attorneys to help in the performance of the duties and in the administration of the office. County attorneys provide legal advice to the commission, the county administrator, and various departments and boards organized under the authority of the board of county commissioners. They also draft and review contracts and ordinances and initiate and defend civil actions on behalf of the county in state and federal court.

Because county attorneys are often tasked with, or directly involved in, firing disgruntled employees, prosecuting code enforcement violations, and resolving other controversial matters involving the use of someone's land or the removal of animals for suspected neglect and abuse, they find themselves in difficult and emotionally-inflamed situations. Instances have been reported in which persons who felt that they were mistreated by the county attorney or who were angry with an outcome retaliated. Forms of retaliation included attempts to confront the attorney away from the office, posts of personal identifying information on social media in an effort to intimidate the attorney, and threats issued in person and online. As a result of one reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Section 119.071(5)(a)5.f. and g., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Section 119.071(4)(b)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Section 119.071(4)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section 119.071(4)(d)3., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Section 119.071(4)(d)5., F.S.

instance, a law enforcement officer escorted a threatened county attorney for extended periods of time to ensure his protection while traveling to meetings and hearings.<sup>32</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 119.071(4)(d)2., F.S., to exempt certain information pertaining to current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys from the public disclosure requirements of the public record laws. The following information for a current or former county attorney or assistant county attorney will be exempt:

- The county attorney or assistant county attorney's home addresses, telephone numbers, date of birth, and photographs.
- The names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the attorney's spouse and children.
- The names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the attorney's children.

Pursuant to subparagraph 5., this exemption will apply to information held by an agency before, on, or after July 1, 2020.

Pursuant to subparagraph 6., this exemption will be subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2024, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature.

Section 2 contains the public necessity statement which explains why the exemption is necessary. The public necessity statement provides that the release of the personal identifying and location information could place current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys in danger of being physically and emotionally harmed or stalked by a defendant or other person. Accordingly, the statement asserts that the harm that may result from releasing the information outweighs any public benefit that may be realized from the disclosure of the information.

**Section 3** provides that the bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The mandate restrictions in the State Constitution do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Telephone interviews with the Florida Association of County Attorneys in Tallahassee, the Charlotte County Attorney's Office in Port Charlotte, and the St. Johns County Attorney in St. Augustine (October 30, 2019).

# B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

# Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c), of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of each house for final passage of a bill creating an exemption to the public records requirements.<sup>33</sup> Because this bill creates an exemption for current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys, it requires a two-thirds vote of each house to be enacted.

# **Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c), of the State Constitution requires a bill that creates an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 2 of the bill contains a statement of public necessity for the exemptions.

#### Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c), of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The public necessity statement notes that this exemption is necessary to protect current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys and their families from being physically and emotionally harmed or stalked and is narrowly drawn to protect them. For this reason, the exemption does not appear broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.

| C.         | Trust | <b>Funds</b> | Restrictions:      |
|------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|
| <b>O</b> . | 11451 | i uiius      | i tooti lotioi io. |

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Article X, s. 12(e), of the State Constitution, Rules of Construction, states that a "Vote or other action of a legislative house . . . means the vote or action of a majority or other specified percentage of those members voting on the matter." Accordingly, this two-thirds vote requirement means a favorable two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage.

# B. Private Sector Impact:

An individual or business that requests location information that is covered by the definition of "home address" in the bill will not be able to readily obtain that information from the records custodian. If the employee or the employee's agency has taken the initiative and requested that the home address information be exempted from disclosure, the protected person will need to sign a waiver granting permission to the records custodian to release the information to the requestor.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on agencies that hold identifying information exempted by this bill. The agencies may need to train staff in order for them to be able to comply with public records requests and perform any necessary redactions before releasing a record. However, the costs could be absorbed by the agencies as part of their day-to-day responsibilities.

### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

According to s. 119.15(3), F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act, a newly enacted or substantially amended exemption is scheduled for review and repeal by the Legislature in the 5th year after creation, unless the Legislature acts to reenact the exemption. The bill inserts the newly created exemption into an existing paragraph with other exemptions that are scheduled for review and repeal in 2024, which is the 4th year after enactment instead of the 5th year. It can be reasoned, however, that advancing the scheduled review and repeal by one year is not problematic because the deviation is supported by the reasoning that a previous Legislature cannot bind this Legislature.

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 119.071, Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

#### A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

By Senator Hooper

16-00442-20 2020248 A bill to be entitled

records requirements for the personal identifying and

attorneys and assistant county attorneys and the names

and personal identifying and location information of

the spouses and children of such attorneys; providing

An act relating to public records; amending s.

119.071, F.S.; providing an exemption from public

location information of current and former county

18

26 27 2.8

a statement of public necessity; providing an 10 effective date. 11 12 13 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 14 15 Section 1. Paragraph (d) of subsection (4) of section 119.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 16 17 119.071 General exemptions from inspection or copying of public records.-19 (4) AGENCY PERSONNEL INFORMATION .-20 (d) 1. For purposes of this paragraph, the term: 21 a. "Home addresses" means the dwelling location at which an 22 individual resides and includes the physical address, mailing 23 address, street address, parcel identification number, plot 24 identification number, legal property description, neighborhood 25 name and lot number, GPS coordinates, and any other descriptive property information that may reveal the home address. b. "Telephone numbers" includes home telephone numbers,

Page 1 of 12

personal cellular telephone numbers, personal pager telephone

numbers, and telephone numbers associated with personal

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 248

16-00442-20 2020248

communications devices.

30

49

50

53

56

57

31 2.a. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, 32 and photographs of active or former sworn law enforcement personnel or of active or former civilian personnel employed by a law enforcement agency, including correctional and correctional probation officers, personnel of the Department of Children and Families whose duties include the investigation of abuse, neglect, exploitation, fraud, theft, or other criminal 38 activities, personnel of the Department of Health whose duties 39 are to support the investigation of child abuse or neglect, and 40 personnel of the Department of Revenue or local governments whose responsibilities include revenue collection and enforcement or child support enforcement; the names, home 42 addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

b. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former nonsworn investigative personnel of the Department of Financial Services whose duties include the investigation of fraud, theft, workers' compensation coverage requirements and compliance, other related criminal activities, or state regulatory requirement violations; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt

Page 2 of 12

16-00442-20 2020248

from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

59

60

61

62

63

64

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

84

85

86

- c. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former nonsworn investigative personnel of the Office of Financial Regulation's Bureau of Financial Investigations whose duties include the investigation of fraud, theft, other related criminal activities, or state regulatory requirement violations; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- d. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former firefighters certified in compliance with s. 633.408; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such firefighters; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such firefighters are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- e. The home addresses, dates of birth, and telephone numbers of current or former justices of the Supreme Court, district court of appeal judges, circuit court judges, and county court judges; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former justices and judges; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former justices and judges are

Page 3 of 12

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 248

2020248 exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State

Constitution.

16-00442-20

90

93

96

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

- f. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- g. The home addresses, dates of birth, and telephone numbers of general magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support enforcement hearing officers; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of general magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support enforcement hearing officers; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of general magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support enforcement hearing officers are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State

Page 4 of 12

16-00442-20 2020248\_\_

117 Constitution.

- h. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former human resource, labor relations, or employee relations directors, assistant directors, managers, or assistant managers of any local government agency or water management district whose duties include hiring and firing employees, labor contract negotiation, administration, or other personnel-related duties; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- i. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former code enforcement officers; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- j. The home addresses, telephone numbers, places of employment, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former guardians ad litem, as defined in s. 39.820; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such persons; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such persons are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

#### Page 5 of 12

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 248

16-00442-20 2020248

k. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former juvenile probation officers, juvenile probation supervisors, detention superintendents, assistant detention superintendents, juvenile justice detention officers I and II, juvenile justice detention officer supervisors, juvenile justice residential officers, juvenile justice residential officer supervisors I and II, juvenile justice counselors, juvenile justice counselor supervisors, human services counselor administrators, senior human services counselor administrators, rehabilitation therapists, and social services counselors of the Department of Juvenile Justice; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. 

1. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant criminal conflict and civil regional counsel; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant criminal conflict and civil regional counsel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant

Page 6 of 12

16-00442-20 2020248

criminal conflict and civil regional counsel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

- m. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former investigators or inspectors of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such current or former investigators and inspectors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such current or former investigators and inspectors are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- n. The home addresses, telephone numbers, and dates of birth of county tax collectors; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such tax collectors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such tax collectors are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- o. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former personnel of the Department of Health whose duties include, or result in, the determination or adjudication of eligibility for social security disability benefits, the investigation or prosecution of complaints filed against health care practitioners, or the inspection of health care practitioners or health care facilities licensed by the Department of Health; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of

Page 7 of 12

CODING: Words  $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 248

16-00442-20 2020248\_ schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such

schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

2.07

- p. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former impaired practitioner consultants who are retained by an agency or current or former employees of an impaired practitioner consultant whose duties result in a determination of a person's skill and safety to practice a licensed profession; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such consultants or their employees; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such consultants or employees are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- q. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former emergency medical technicians or paramedics certified under chapter 401; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such emergency medical technicians or paramedics; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such emergency medical technicians or paramedics are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- r. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former personnel employed in an agency's office of inspector general or internal audit department whose duties include auditing or investigating waste,

Page 8 of 12

16-00442-20 2020248

2.57

fraud, abuse, theft, exploitation, or other activities that could lead to criminal prosecution or administrative discipline; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

- s. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former directors, managers, supervisors, nurses, and clinical employees of an addiction treatment facility; the home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. For purposes of this sub-subparagraph, the term "addiction treatment facility" means a county government, or agency thereof, that is licensed pursuant to s. 397.401 and provides substance abuse prevention, intervention, or clinical treatment, including any licensed service component described in s. 397.311(26).
- t. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former directors, managers, supervisors, and clinical employees of a child advocacy center that meets the standards of s. 39.3035(1) and fulfills the screening requirement of s. 39.3035(2), and the members of a Child Protection Team as described in s. 39.303 whose duties include supporting the investigation of child abuse or sexual

Page 9 of 12

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 248

abuse, child abandonment, child neglect, and child exploitation or to provide services as part of a multidisciplinary case review team; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel and members; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel and members are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

16-00442-20

2.68

- u. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former county attorneys or assistant county attorneys are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- 3. An agency that is the custodian of the information specified in subparagraph 2. and that is not the employer of the officer, employee, justice, judge, or other person specified in subparagraph 2. shall maintain the exempt status of that information only if the officer, employee, justice, judge, other person, or employing agency of the designated employee submits a written request for maintenance of the exemption to the custodial agency.
- 4. An officer, an employee, a justice, a judge, or other person specified in subparagraph 2. may submit a written request for the release of his or her exempt information to the

Page 10 of 12

16-00442-20 2020248

custodial agency. The written request must be notarized and must specify the information to be released and the party that is authorized to receive the information. Upon receipt of the written request, the custodial agency shall release the specified information to the party authorized to receive such information.

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302 303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

- 5. The exemptions in this paragraph apply to information held by an agency before, on, or after the effective date of the exemption.
- 6. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2024, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2. The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that the home addresses, dates of birth, telephone numbers, and photographs of current or former county attorneys and assistant county attorneys be made exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. The Legislature further finds that it is a public necessity that the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children, and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by such children, of current or former county attorneys and assistant county attorneys be made exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. The Legislature finds that the release of such personal identifying and location information could place such persons in danger of being physically and emotionally harmed or stalked by a defendant or

Page 11 of 12

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2020 SB 248

| 1   | 16-00442-20 2020248   |
|-----|---|
| 320 | other person. The Legislature finds that the harm that may    |
| 321 | result from the release of such personal identifying and      |
| 322 | location information outweighs any public benefit that may be |
| 323 | derived from the disclosure of the information.               |
| 324 | Soction 3 This act shall take offeet Tuly 1 2020              |

Page 12 of 12

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 12-9-19 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Marky Public Records / County Attorneys Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name CAURA YOUMANS Job Title LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL Address 100 S. MONROE'S [ Speaking: Information Waive Speaking: | In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# APPEARANCE RECORD

| 12   9   9     (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)   Meeting Date  | 8<br>er (if applicable) |
|--|-------------------------|
| Topic Pub. Rec/county Attorneys + Abst. County Attys. Amendment Barcol Name Dagnie Sainvil   | de (if applicable)      |
| Job Title Legislative Policy Advisor Address 100 S. Andrews AVE., Main Library 9th Flone 954-253-  | 7220                    |
| Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support [The Chair will read this information into the content of the con | Oroward Or<br>Against   |
| Representing Broward County Bd. of County Commissioners  |                         |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature:  | Yes ☐ No                |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be f<br>meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be hear  | neard at this<br>d.     |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.   | S-001 (10/14/14)        |

# APPEARANCE RECORD

| (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Pro    12   9   9     Meeting Date   | ofessional Staff conducting the meeting)  Bill Number (if applicable)                     |
|---|---|
| Topic Public Records County Attorney  | Amendment Barcode (if applicable)   |
| Name KALPH LAIR   |   |
| Job Title INtergoveinmental Affairs officer   |   |
| Address 38283 Monard Street   | Phone 352-521-5116  |
| Street  Dade City FL 3352  City State Zip   |   |
| Speaking: Against Information   | Waive Speaking: In Support Against The Chair will read this information into the record.) |
| Representing Pasco County Bocc  |   |
| Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyis   | st registered with Legislature: Yes No  |
| While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not presenting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that |   |
| This form is part of the public record for this meeting.  | S-001 (10/14/14)  |

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

12/9/2019 248 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) SB 248 Public Records/County Attorneys and Assistant County Attorneys Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Angela Bonds Job Title Address 215 s. Monroe St Suite 815 Phone 8503452277 Street Email abonds@deanmead.com Tallahassee FL 32303 City State Zip Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Charlotte County Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

# **CourtSmart Tag Report**

Room: SB 301 Case No.: Type: Caption: Senate Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee Judge: Started: 12/9/2019 1:44:53 PM Ends: 12/9/2019 2:38:29 PM Length: 00:53:37 1:44:52 PM Meeting called to order 1:44:58 PM Roll call - Quorum present 1:45:12 PM Comments from chair 1:45:21 PM Tab 6 - SB 7008 - OGSR/Animal Medical Records/State College of Veterinary Medicine by Senator Diaz, presented by Senator Bean 1:46:37 PM Questions? None Appearance? None 1:46:43 PM Debate? None 1:46:49 PM 1:46:56 PM Senator Bean waives close Roll call - SB 7008 1:47:00 PM 1:47:08 PM Chair 1:47:43 PM Tab 5 - SB 7004 - OGSR/Taxpayer E-mail Addresses held by a Tax Collector by Senator Gainer 1:48:24 PM Chair 1:48:26 PM Questions? None 1:48:30 PM Appearance? None 1:48:34 PM Debate? None 1:48:35 PM Senator Gainer waives close 1:48:38 PM Roll Call - SB 7004 Tab 2 - SB 374 - Housing Discrimination by Senator Rouson 1:48:52 PM Questions? 1:49:47 PM 1:50:33 PM Senator Albritton 1:52:04 PM Senator Rouson 1:52:10 PM Senator Albritton 1:52:11 PM Senator Hooper 1:52:12 PM Senator Rouson to close 1:52:40 PM Speaker Michelle M. Wilson 1:53:35 PM Chair 1:53:43 PM Zane Smith, Associate State Director, AARP Florida, waives in support 1:53:51 PM Daphnee Sainvil, Legislative Policy Director, Broward Co. Bd. of Cty. Commissioners - waives in support 1:54:00 PM Pamela Burch Fort, Fla. State Conference of NAACP, waives in support 1:54:09 PM Debate? None 1:54:17 PM Senator Rouson waives close 1:54:23 PM Roll Call - SB 374 Tab 3 - SB 726 - Florida Commission on Human Relations by Senator Rouson 1:54:43 PM 1:56:40 PM Questions? None 1:56:49 PM Carolyn Johnson, Policy Director, Fl. Chamber of Commerce, waives in support 1:57:03 PM Debate? 1:57:11 PM **Senator Torres** 1:57:13 PM Senator Rouson 1:57:52 PM Senator Rouson waives close 1:58:07 PM Roll Call SB 726 1:58:22 PM Tab 4 - SB 830 - OGSR/Certain Personal Financial and Health Information by Senator Benacquisto 1:59:02 PM Questions? None 1:59:07 PM Appearance? None 1:59:10 PM Debate? None 1:59:12 PM Senator Benacquisto waives close 1:59:13 PM Roll Call on SB 830

Tab 1 - SB 220 - Abandoned Cemeteries by Senator Cruz

Chair Hooper turns chair over to Senator Rader

Delete All Amendment 156020 by Senator Cruz

Senator Cruz explains delete-all amendment 156020 Amendment to Amendment 181220 by Senator Hooper

2:00:00 PM

2:00:02 PM

2:00:36 PM

2:02:56 PM

2:02:59 PM

```
2:03:22 PM
               Chair
2:04:16 PM
               Questions? None
2:04:21 PM
               Appearance? None
2:04:25 PM
               Debate?
2:04:28 PM
               Sen. Hooper waives close
2:04:36 PM
               Amendment to amendment is adopted
2:04:45 PM
               Back on the Delete-all amendment as amended
2:04:51 PM
               Debate? None
               Senator Cruz to close
2:04:58 PM
2:06:05 PM
               Chair
2:06:08 PM
               Objections to the amendment? None
               Back on bill as amended
2:06:21 PM
2:06:34 PM
               Jeffrey Shanks, Archaeologist, National Park Services, for information
2:09:32 PM
               Jeffrey Moates, Regional Director, Archaeologist, Fla. Public Archaeology Network speaking for
information
2:11:44 PM
               Chair
2:11:46 PM
               Rebecca O'Sullivan, Public Archaeology Coordinator, Fla. Public Archaeology Network, speaking for
information
2:14:45 PM
               Sarah Miller, Newton Director, Fla. Public Archaeology Network/Society Historical, speaking for
information
2:17:07 PM
               Questions?
2:17:10 PM
               Senator Torres
               Speaker Sarah Miller
2:17:34 PM
2:18:22 PM
               Senator Torres
2:19:24 PM
               Nigel Rudolph
2:20:23 PM
               Nigel Rudolph, Archaeologist, FPAN, speaking in support
               Karen Kirkman, Alachua County Historical Commission, speaking for information
2:20:26 PM
2:24:03 PM
               Lauren Storch, Hillsborough County, waives in support
               Senator Gibson speaking in support of this bill
2:24:21 PM
               Chair makes comments
2:26:35 PM
2:26:36 PM
               Debate on bill as amended
2:26:38 PM
               Senator Hooper
2:26:53 PM
               Senator Hooper
2:28:20 PM
               Chair
2:29:54 PM
               Senator Cruz to close as amended
2:31:02 PM
               Senator Rouson
2:31:39 PM
               Senator Gibson
2:32:11 PM
               Roll Call - CS/SB 220
2:32:24 PM
               Chair
2:32:28 PM
               Comments made by Senator Rader
2:33:01 PM
               Senator Hooper
2:33:12 PM
               Tab 8 - SB 248 - Public Records/County Attorneys and Assistant County Attorneys by Senator Hooper
2:34:39 PM
               Questions? None
               Appearance?
2:34:46 PM
               Angela Bonds, Charlotte County, waives in support
2:34:51 PM
2:35:04 PM
               Ralph Lair, Pasco County, waives in support
2:35:09 PM
               Dafney Sainvil, waives in support
2:35:15 PM
               Laural Youmas, Florida Association of Counties, waives in support
2:35:24 PM
               Debate? None
2:35:28 PM
               Senator Hooper waives close
               Roll Call - SB 248
2:35:35 PM
2:35:50 PM
               Senator Rader turns chair back over to Senator Hooper
2:36:18 PM
               Senator Hooper
2:37:08 PM
               Tab 7 - SB 444 - Customer Service Standards for State Agencies by Senator Rader
2:37:22 PM
               Chair
2:37:24 PM
               Questions? None
2:37:29 PM
               Appearance cards? None
2:37:31 PM
               Debate? None
2:37:35 PM
               Senator Radar waives close
2:37:41 PM
               Roll call SB 444
2:38:01 PM
               Other Business? None
2:38:06 PM
               Senator Torres moves we adjourn
```

**2:38:13 PM** Any Objections? Seeing no objections the meeting is adjourned.