1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE HEARING
13	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 21, 2012
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Transcribed by:
22	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	

1 TAPED PROCEEDINGS 2 SENATOR GAETZ: Good morning. Thank you for being here this morning bright and early, 3 4 we appreciate all of that, and ask committee members if they would take their seats, and 5 6 members of the audience who would like to 7 observe and perhaps testify, if you would find 8 a spot. And would the administrative assistant 9 please call the roll for the Senate Committee 10 on Reapportionment? 11 THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz? 12 SENATOR GAETZ: Here. 13 THE CLERK: Senator Margolis? 14 SENATOR MARGOLIS: Here. 15 THE CLERK: Senator Altman? 16 SENATOR ALTMAN: Here. 17 THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto? 18 SENATOR BENACOUISTO: Here. 19 THE CLERK: Senator Braynon? 20 SENATOR BRAYNON: Here. 21 THE CLERK: Senator Bullard? 22 Senator Dean? 23 SENATOR DEAN: Here. 24 THE CLERK: Senator Detert? 25 SENATOR DETERT: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
2	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
4	SENATOR EVERS: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
б	SENATOR FLORES: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
8	Senator Gardiner?
9	SENATOR GARDINER: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
11	SENATOR GIBSON: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
13	SENATOR HAYS: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
15	SENATOR JOYNER: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
17	SENATOR LATVALA: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
19	SENATOR LYNN: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
21	SENATOR MONTFORD: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
23	SENATOR NEGRON: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
25	SENATOR RICH: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
2	SENATOR SACHS: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Simmons?
4	SENATOR SIMMONS: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
б	SENATOR SIPLIN: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
8	SENATOR SMITH: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
10	SENATOR SOBEL: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
12	SENATOR STORMS: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
14	SENATOR THRASHER: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
16	SENATOR WISE: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Quorum present.
18	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much. A
19	quorum being present, we are called to order.
20	Yesterday, as you will remember, just to
21	put us back into the frame here, we TP'd the
22	proposed committee substitute for SJR-2B after
23	we got into a discussion about renumbering.
24	Today we will need to get through that
25	discussion in some fashion and report the bill

so it would be available for special order
 tomorrow.

Please recall that I asked Senators to pay particular attention to this. Please recall that the deadline for filing floor amendments is now changed to 5:00 p.m. tonight because we needed to have today's meeting today. So if it is your desire to file an alternative plan, submit it by three o'clock p.m. to the staff.

10 We had a little problem yesterday where we 11 had one or maybe two Senators who felt as though there were flaws in their plan that 12 might have been caused by not getting all of 13 the kinks worked out, but we had both of those 14 amendments, one filed ten minutes before the 15 16 deadline, one filed two minutes before the deadline, a little tough then to work out 17 technical problems in Senators' plans with that 18 kind of lead time. So we would -- we would 19 20 particularly request your indulgence and your 21 help. If you have an alternative plan, file it 22 by 3:00 today if you want the staff to assist If you don't want the staff to assist you 23 vou. 24 and you want to just let it stand as it may be, 25 that is your prerogative and your privilege,

and we certainly would respect that. But if
 you want help, file it by 3:00 today at the
 latest.

4 Here are three steps members should follow when filing an amendment: First, after 5 6 completing a complete statewide plan on District Builder, Senators will use the "Submit 7 8 Plan" feature to publish the plan to the Web. 9 It is a good idea to alert Redistricting 10 Committee professional staff that a plan is in 11 the hopper so they can give it priority 12 attention, kind of know that there is incoming. Once the staff starts file processing, it can 13 take an hour or more to generate all of the 14 maps and statistics and downloads and post them 15 16 to the Web.

17 Then, secondly, once the plan appears on 18 the Web, Senators would request that the Senate 19 Bill Drafting Office prepare an amendment using 20 the full legal description published on the 21 Web.

Third, Senators would file the bar coded amendment with the Secretary's office when the bill reaches the floor.

25 Now, to let you know, I have a proposed --

1 I have filed an amendment to the proposed committee substitute, and I want to tell you 2 what the amendment is, although we will not 3 4 take it up right now. Basically all the amendment does is to remove all reference to 5 6 the numbering system from the plan, just remove it, because what I would hope to do today, and 7 8 I have taken this up with the Minority Leader 9 and the incoming Minority Leader -- good 10 morning. It is time to get up. That was my 11 wake-up call. The Minority Leader and the 12 incoming Minority Leader have both agreed that this morning, what we might do is take some 13 14 time for members to discuss their preferences 15 as to a numbering system. We had some comments 16 made yesterday about what was wrong or right with certain systems. Why don't we continue 17 that discussion so that everyone has the 18 opportunity to share their views. Then at some 19 20 point, I will ask Mr. Guthrie to lay out what a 21 random system might look like so that we have a 22 straw man and we can take shots at it, and then at some point we will try to develop an 23 24 understanding as to what a majority of the 25 Committee or maybe a consensus of the Committee

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 might be as to a numbering system, and then, 2 obviously, any member can file an amendment for the floor tomorrow, and based on if we can get 3 4 a consensus or get a majority view, I will file 5 an amendment to try to represent that consensus 6 or that majority view. Then, based on my discussion with the Minority Leader and the 7 8 incoming Minority Leader, before we leave 9 today, we would take a vote on the PCB as it 10 stands after we had removed from the PCB any 11 reference to a numbering system, that on the floor with plenty of notice for everybody, we 12 13 would take up any amendments that you, any of you, or the Committee as a whole might have as 14 15 to a numbering system. 16 Does that seem like an okay way to go? Senator Latvala, you are recognized. 17

18 SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We do have still to -- from a procedural 19 20 standpoint, it is my understanding that we do 21 still have Senator Altman's amendment available 22 since he only temporarily passed that So if he chose to bring that back 23 amendment. 24 up in the meeting today, that is still 25 available, correct?

1 SENATOR GAETZ: Absolutely, and thank you, 2 Senator Latvala. Since there was a comment made yesterday that perhaps the Chair had asked 3 4 Senator Altman to withdraw his amendment, I 5 made sure Senator Altman knew last night that I 6 encouraged him to bring it forward, and so 7 Senator Latvala is exactly correct, that 8 amendment has been TP'd and it can certainly be 9 brought forward by Senator Altman during this 10 meeting today or it can be brought forward on 11 the floor.

12 Senator Sobel.

13 SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair, good14 morning.

15 Many of us left our very good maps that 16 were handed out in our computers and they are 17 gone. Do you have any suggestions?

18 SENATOR GAETZ: What -- you mean the maps
19 that the committee staff handed out?

20 SENATOR SOBEL: They were used yesterday.
21 They were excellent. They are missing.

22 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Do we have any 23 extra copies for those Senators who may not 24 have --

25 MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,

yes, you requested as we were leaving yesterday
 that the room be secured, but the cleaning team
 was very efficient last night, so staff right
 now is reprinting the maps for all the
 Senators.

6 SENATOR GAETZ: Yeah, if you did not take 7 your map with you or if you don't have it this 8 morning and you need one, we will make sure you 9 get one.

Anything else procedurally before we
 start? Senator Montford.

12 SENATOR MONTFORD: Mr. Chair, if we can 13 too, the other handouts that you provided us, 14 there were three sets, you know, the information that went with it as well. 15 16 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie. 17 MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 Yes, I believe staff also is getting copies of the functional analysis reports for 19 20 the districts, yes. 21 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Anything else 22 anybody might need? Yes, Senator Sachs. I think I had my lunch 23 SENATOR SACHS:

24 here -- no. Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: It is being brought from

1 the 21 Club. It will be here.

2 SENATOR SACHS: Very nice. Thank you,3 sir.

Just to recap, we need to have any floor amendments in by 3:00 p.m. at the latest for them to be worked on by 5:00?

7 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes. The amendment 8 deadline that was set yesterday when we learned 9 that we would need to carry this meeting over 10 until today, the amendment deadline is at 5:00 11 today if you don't need any staff help, if you 12 are going to walk in with a fully completed amendment and all you want is for it to be put 13 on the Web, because it is already technically 14 perfect and it is a statewide amendment. But 15 16 if you would like staff help, and staff would like to help if you desire it, then we would 17 18 ask that you bring your amendment in at the latest by three o'clock. But if you've got an 19 20 amendment already and it is burning a hole in 21 your pocket, we would love to have that 22 amendment sooner rather than later. That way, 23 every one will have a chance to see your good 24 work.

25 Anything else? Yes, President Margolis.FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

SENATOR MARGOLIS: There are those of us
 who don't -- I personally don't care if I get a
 four-year seat or a two-year seat. I wonder if
 people should volunteer.

5 SENATOR GAETZ: People may say anything 6 they wish to say if we go into a general 7 discussion, which is what I would propose next. 8 I would, however, ask Mr. Bardos to remind us 9 what the Supreme Court said about intent --10 what they said and what they implied about 11 intent as to a numbering system. Mr. Bardos.

The Court said that numbering 12 MR. BARDOS: certainly is a -- is part of the prohibition 13 14 against an intent to favor or disfavor, and it invalidated the numbering system that we had 15 previously chosen because, in the Court's 16 words, "It significantly advantages incumbents 17 18 by increasing the length of time that they may serve by two years," and it also stated that 19 the purposefully -- "That purposefully 20 21 manipulating the numbering of the districts in order to allow incumbents to serve in excess of 22 eight years would also appear to frustrate the 23 24 intent of the voters when the term limits 25 amendment was adopted."

SENATOR GAETZ: So I -- I am not your
 lawyer, but I would be -- I would advise you to
 just bear in mind what Mr. Bardos has just
 said, and unless there's anything else -- yes,
 Senator Lynn.

6 SENATOR LYNN: I just want to make sure 7 that I am clear on something. Is it -- is it a 8 plan or is it possible to just consider the 9 numbering system so that we end up with a map 10 with the numbers on it, according to the way we 11 all vote, and then the decision as to how to go 12 for the eight or ten years would be a separate decision? 13

14 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Lynn, my understanding, and I certainly can be corrected 15 16 by counsel, my understanding is that the two are related; in other words, if a determination 17 is made that a particular district is numbered 18 odd or even, based upon the underlying 19 Constitution that is already in place, having 20 21 nothing to do with Amendment 5, we already know that an odd-numbered district would run for a 22 four-year term in a Presidential year. 23 That is 24 already pre-decided. So I think the issues are 25 interrelated; however, what I've proposed to

1 the Minority Leader and the incoming Minority Leader for our -- for our procedures today is 2 that I will withdraw -- I have an amendment 3 that would take out any reference to the 4 5 numbering system so that we could vote on the 6 plan without the numbering system, then we 7 can -- we can have an amendment dealing with 8 the numbering system on the floor, and that 9 amendment would be your amendment or anybody 10 else's amendment, or it could be an amendment 11 that the Committee itself would work on today. 12 SENATOR LYNN: Thank you. 13 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am. Senator Hays? 14 SENATOR HAYS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 You know, this is not brain surgery that 16 we are trying to do here, and I think the Court has given us very clear indication that they 17 18 want this done in a neutral manner, and I don't know of a better neutral manner than the 19 20 lottery that you proposed. I am in favor of 21 stripping all the numbers off of it, starting

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Court a complete package that has the whole

state numbered and with, you know, the proper

numbers that were done by a random system and

To me, we need to send to the

22

23

24

25

from scratch.

1 be done with it. Why do we need to burn three 2 or four more hours talking about it? Well, that is certainly an 3 SENATOR GAETZ: appropriate point to make, but I think 4 yesterday there were Senators who were anxious 5 6 to discuss the numbering system in concept and 7 in specifics more, so by agreement with the 8 Minority Leaders, we wanted to provide time for 9 that. We will certainly offer up how a random 10 system would work, and it can be discussed and 11 it can be cussed, but if any members wish to 12 discuss other systems, as Senator Latvala 13 pointed out, Senator Altman had a system that 14 he certainly can bring back up. Anybody have any ideas that they would 15

15 Anybody have any ideas that they would
16 like to share, any criticisms, any points of
17 view? Yes, Senator Dean.

SENATOR DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 I share with Senator Hays one thought 20 about the -- going back and presenting it to 21 the Court. Our choices are very limited. Ιf 22 you look and see about the ballots when it says that we shouldn't have any weighted incumbency 23 24 anywhere, that anything we try to do -- because 25 I sat up for a couple of hours last night and

1 we tried to figure out all the issues that were 2 settled ten years ago and try to be fair and put those numbers together. Any way you do it 3 4 is going to end up to three to four seats and they're going to have an overlapping situation, 5 6 we will change the complex of incumbency or 7 what we are going to do. So, you know, if 8 there is a way we can just take -- strip the 9 numbers and just make it a lottery just the way 10 that they wanted to do, because they are going 11 to do it to us anyhow and -- or for us or 12 however you want to determine that, but I feel that it is an issue that we can spend a lot of 13 time on and accomplish nothing, and it is 14 clearly the directive to have a lottery and 15 16 make it work, and I just think that is the best 17 thing to do. Thank you, Senator Dean. 18 SENATOR GAETZ: Any other comments? 19 20 Senator Sobel. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 SENATOR SOBEL: 22 What are the specific parameters or guidelines we would have to follow? First of 23

all, I believe you just said that if you pickedan odd number, it was a four-year term, is that

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

correct, that is constitutional? I need some
 clarification on that.

3 SENATOR GAETZ: Sure. Mr. Bardos, can you
4 tell us what the Constitution says? Or Mr.
5 Guthrie, either one, or both.

6 MR. GUTHRIE: We can turn to the 7 Constitution here. Let's go to the Senate 8 website and pull down the Constitution, Article 9 III, Section --

10 A VOICE: Fifteen -- 16.

11 MR. GUTHRIE: Article III, Section 15 says 12 that "Senators shall be elected for terms of 13 four years. Those from odd-numbered districts 14 in years which are multiples of four, and those 15 from even-numbered districts and even-numbered 16 years, the numbers of which are not multiples 17 of four."

18 2012 is a multiple of four, so Senators 19 elected from odd districts in 2012 will get a 20 four-year term. Senators elected in 2012 from 21 an even-numbered district will get a two-year 22 term.

23 SENATOR GAETZ: Is that satisfactory,
24 Senator Sobel? Did you have a follow-up,
25 ma'am?

SENATOR SOBEL: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.
 SENATOR GAETZ: Please.

3 SENATOR SOBEL: So we pick -- say I pick 4 an odd number. Then that number -- would that 5 number in a random picking, would that become 6 my Senate seat, or would we then try to put the 7 numbers in some sort of rational order?

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Well, that presupposes how 9 one might do a random system, and Mr. Guthrie 10 is prepared to give us an example of how that 11 might work, but before we go to any ideas that 12 professional staff might have, Senators may 13 have good ideas. We would like to hear any 14 ideas that you may have.

15 SENATOR SOBEL: One other follow-up?16 SENATOR GAETZ: Sure.

SENATOR SOBEL: Another parameter is that
it has to be neutral, no preference for
anybody. Are there any other parameters or
quidelines that we would have to follow in

21 setting up a numbering system?

SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie and Mr.
Bardos, we will ask both of you to opine. I
think we have to follow the law, the

25 Constitution. Please go ahead.

1 MR. GUTHRIE: Yeah, the direction we got 2 on that is the direction we got for everything 3 we've been doing during this extraordinary 4 session, and it comes from the Supreme Court's 5 opinion. The pertinent provisions, which Mr. 6 Bardos read just a little bit ago, are on the 7 screen for you all to see and read.

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Detert, and then 9 Leader Rich. Senator Detert.

10 SENATOR DETERT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and 11 I hope we are going to stick with what you outlined this morning that we would just go 12 ahead and vote the maps out and then have this 13 other discussion so I personally could have 14 somewhat of a sense of accomplishment for the 15 16 day that we accomplished one thing. But since we are on this road, what would be the matter 17 18 with just starting left to right going 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and then to determine who 19 20 gets the four-year seat and who gets the 21 two-year seat, you would just flip a coin and 22 say all the odd numbers get this and all the even -- or heads, you get this, tails, you get 23 24 It is what -- it is -- oddly enough, it that? 25 is what we do in the state of Florida, if you

1 have an election that is a tie, you flip a 2 coin, so what would be the matter with just sequentially doing the numbers? I just don't 3 4 -- which we could probably do in six minutes. Well, let's see if we can. 5 SENATOR GAETZ: 6 Why don't we just do a little -- a little 7 real-time example. Without lifting your pencil 8 from the map, to use Senator Latvala's phrase, 9 why don't you see if you can do -- just walk us 10 through. You got a big map there, John. See 11 if you can walk us through without using any 12 subjective judgment at all. MR. GUTHRIE: Okay, and before I do that, 13 let's make sure that we all are understanding 14 15 the effect of the provision in Article III, 16 Section 15. We cannot say that an odd number 17 is going to get a two-year term. The Constitution says that an odd number is going 18 to get a four-year term. So that is -- so if I 19 20 were -- if I had no indication as to -- other 21 than I wanted to sweep from the northwest down 22 to the southeast of how these districts ought to be numbered and -- what I would do is 23 24 something like, 1, 2, 3, 4. Now I have a

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

choice to make, because a District 2 actually

25

1 adjoins 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 --

2 SENATOR GAETZ: Could you move that just so that everybody over on that side could see, 3 4 there is just a little confusion, while we want to make sure it is on tape and that everyone 5 6 can hear. 7 MR. GUTHRIE: So after --8 SENATOR GAETZ: Ben, why don't you hold 9 the other end and help John out a little bit. That way John can work the middle of the map. 10 11 There we go. 12 MR. GUTHRIE: I see what you are saying. 13 Come back this way. 14 SENATOR GAETZ: There we go. MR. GUTHRIE: So we get to District 4 --15 16 well, we get to District 2, we've got a choice to make. I could either very logically make 7 17 the next district, or I could make 4 the next 18 district, and depending on which choice I made 19 20 there, I would be determining whether this 21 district or this district gets a four-year 22 term. 23 SENATOR GAETZ: There's our problem. 24 MR. GUTHRIE: And I run across it that 25 quickly. It is easy as we sweep across the

1 Panhandle. As soon as we get out of the Panhandle, it is going to be up to whomever it 2 is assigning those numbers to determine who 3 4 gets four years and who gets two years, unless you have some kind of a random scheme that 5 6 predetermines which of these areas are going to 7 get your odd numbers and which of these areas 8 are going to get your even numbers.

9 By the way, the numbering that you see on 10 the map right now, how that was applied is we 11 used the rule that was explained in the staff 12 analysis giving members who had short terms two years or less prior to redistricting preference 13 14 for an odd-numbered district, giving those who had four-year terms prior to redistricting 15 16 two-year terms, and then there were three -three exceptions, and that is explained in the 17 staff analysis. That is a system that the 18 Supreme Court found to be wrong. But what I 19 20 did in this process is step one was using that 21 rule, that systematic rule, based on four years 22 and two years and trying to have those who had terms less than the constitutional provision of 23 24 four years prior to redistricting have

25 preference for a four-year term after

1 redistricting.

SENATOR GAETZ: But the Court said that
 was invalid.

4 They said that was invalid, MR. GUTHRIE: but to make the point, the first -- step one 5 6 was I determined that this, this and this were 7 going to be odd, this was going to be even, and 8 that was simply by applying the rule. So what 9 the Court has told us here, I believe, is that 10 the rule we use is an invalid rule, we need a 11 different rule for determining what gets odd and what gets even. After we assign the odds 12 and evens to the entire state, then I or 13 somebody else, really anybody could go and 14 15 logically number the districts just as I did 16 here, okay. They -- first they were odd or 17 even, and then I made the choice that -- to start here. I had odd, odd, odd, so I went 1, 18 3, 5. The next one was an even, so I made it a 19 20 2. This was an even and this was an even, so I 21 made them 4 and 6, but that was just a choice 22 that I made trying to provide, as Senator Latvala has said, a logical sequence of 23 24 districts as we swept across the state.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader Rich.

1 SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 I am just wondering since Mr. Guthrie has a random plan that he could present to us, if 3 we could possibly hear from him and get that 4 5 plan and see how the body feels about that. 6 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay, Leader. We did have 7 a couple of other Senators who wanted to speak, 8 and then we could do that if it is agreeable to 9 the Committee. 10 Senator Sachs and Senator Montford. 11 SENATOR SACHS: Thank you very much, Mr. 12 Chairman. I think we need to be cautious, because it 13 14 is not necessarily the way that we number. The Court is very specific, and I think we need 15 16 also to watch our discussion in talking about member districts or incumbents. These are not 17 member districts. These are districts. 18 Thev don't belong to anybody. And the issue with 19 20 the Court is that member districts or 21 incumbents must not be favored one way or the 22 other. So I think if we are looking at territory 23

or land or districts, we can number them anyway we want as long as our discussion today with

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 regard to this issue does not or should not mention member or incumbent. 2 These are territories, these are districts, they don't 3 4 belong to anybody. And I would just suggest that, Mr. Chairman, if we could in our 5 6 discussion today possibly refrain from using 7 words such as "members" or "incumbents" so that 8 we follow the dictates strictly of the Court's 9 ruling.

SENATOR GAETZ: Well put. Senator
 Montford.

12 SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 A couple of observations or questions. I 14 believe in the Court's opinion they did not 15 suggest a lottery, is that correct, they just 16 simply -- they wanted it neutral?

17 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos.

18 MR. BARDOS: The Court did not direct the19 method that we should use.

20 SENATOR MONTFORD: Okay. Then what would 21 -- what would be a concern to me is, you know, 22 we've all put a lot of work into this, and I 23 would hate to see it go down the tubes just 24 because of the numbering. Now, if we use a 25 true lottery type, and it was suggested by a

1 couple of members yesterday that any number of 2 things could happen, I mean, you could have all north Florida one and south Florida another, I 3 mean, you know what the choices are there. 4 If 5 that truly was the result of a lottery, then I 6 can't -- I mean, I can see the Court saying 7 even though you use the lottery, the end result 8 of this is there is gross inaccuracy -- gross 9 inequities in the result of the lottery. You 10 know, sometimes we have had people win two or 11 three Lottos in Florida, the same people. Now, 12 the odds of that occurring are one -- well, one in however many, ten million or trillion, but 13 that could happen. So I would hate to see the 14 whole thing thrown out simply because of a 15 16 chance.

And Mr. Guthrie and others have -- you know, you've made some tough choices for the last nine months. I mean, these lines didn't just appear up there. You had a very well-defined process, as evidenced yesterday in your discussion about why the line went this block and not that block and so on.

And so I would encourage us not just to throw our hands up and say, "Let's do a

lottery." Let's dig a little deeper and see if there is a way that we can come up, because I am afraid that the end result of the lottery will be of such magnitude in the discrepancies that the Court won't accept it anyway, even though it was a lottery.

SENATOR GAETZ: Well, let us dig deeper,
and you have a shovel, Senator, so we would be
happy to have your idea or the idea of any
other Senator who has a proposal or a plan.
Senators have been working on this for some
months.

13 Senator Latvala.

14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR LATVALA: I am wondering -- Senator Montford raised 15 16 a good point with regard to could the numbering be used to throw out the actual district lines, 17 and I would -- we have with us on our legal 18 team someone who has practiced before the 19 20 Supreme Court for 20 or 30 years, and I would 21 like to ask her what -- Ms. Tunnicliff, what 22 she thinks about the propensity of the Court, if they don't agree with our numbering scheme, 23 24 what is her opinion on whether or not that 25 would impact the boundaries or the -- or

1 whether or not the Court would just redo the 2 renumbering. In other words, would that be the 3 occasion to draw new lines just because they 4 didn't like the numbering, or would they just, 5 you know, confine their activity to renumbering 6 correctly the way they think it should be?

7 SENATOR GAETZ: That is a great question. 8 We posed that question to a former Justice of 9 the Supreme Court who is consulting with us, 10 and he has offered his views, and Ms. Tunnicliff, we would love to have yours. 11 12 MS. TUNNICLIFF: I certainly would take the Justice's words over mine, and in my 30 13 years of practicing in the Court, I never would 14 glean to opine on what they might rule, but I 15 16 do think we would certainly argue that it was severable, that the numbering system is 17 Whether the Court would do that, I 18 severable. They said that the numbering 19 don't know. system as it was held unconstitutional here 20 21 violated the Constitution because it favored 22 incumbents. So anything that favors incumbents 23 could be -- invalidate the whole plan. That is 24 what the Court ruled here. It has to be 25 neutral and not to favor or disfavor any

1 incumbents.

2 SENATOR GAETZ: Any follow-up questions,3 Senator Latvala?

4 SENATOR LATVALA: Historically, when the 5 Court has found a problem with a specific part 6 of a redistricting map, has it not historically 7 targeted in on that problem area and only 8 redrawn those problem districts as opposed to 9 taking on the task of redistricting the whole 10 state?

11 SENATOR GAETZ: Ms. Tunnicliff? 12 MS. TUNNICLIFF: This Court has never done 13 that in terms of invalidating the plan. This 14 Court has -- this is the first time it has 15 undertaken to invalidate a plan. 16 SENATOR LATVALA: Well -- Mr. Chairman? SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, of course, and 17 Ms. Tunnicliff, if you could use the mike 18

19 closer.

20 MS. TUNNICLIFF: Sorry.

21 SENATOR GAETZ: That way, we have a large 22 Committee, everybody can hear you, and members 23 of the press and the public.

24 Senator Latvala.

25 SENATOR LATVALA: I do recall that in 1992

1 the Supreme Court drew eight Senate districts 2 in the central Florida area, in the Tampa Bay area, because of a problem with the map, not 3 the whole dis- -- not the whole map. You know, 4 5 can we get -- can we not get a legal opinion as 6 opposed to a staff opinion? 7 SENATOR GAETZ: We have a legal -- you 8 have asked for a legal opinion. 9 SENATOR LATVALA: Well, but --10 SENATOR GAETZ: You are getting it from 11 Ms. Tunnicliff. SENATOR LATVALA: John is getting ready to 12 try to answer, and that's --13 14 SENATOR GAETZ: No, he is not going to try 15 -- he will give an answer from his perspective 16 if I ask him, or any other member does, but Ms. Tunnicliff has got the podium, and you are 17 asking her questions, so please go ahead. 18 I believe they did redraw 19 MS. TUNNICLIFF: 20 just the section. So what you are saying is by 21 that, by way of analogy, it is -- might well 22 just zero on the numbering system and not invalidate all of the districts, and that is 23 24 possible. As I said, the Court can do whatever 25 it wants to do.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 SENATOR GAETZ: And, Mr. Bardos, since 2 Senator Latvala would like a legal opinion, would you like to chime in? 3 4 MR. BARDOS: Sure, and as I recall, the Court was involved in the drawing of the 5 6 districts in '92 not because it invalidated the 7 districts, but because there was a 8 pre-clearance objection from the Department of 9 Justice. And we --10 SENATOR GAETZ: You got to speak into the 11 mike. MR. BARDOS: So in '92, the Court 12 invali- -- drew districts, not because it 13 invalidated them, but because there was a 14 15 pre-clearance objection from the Department of 16 Justice. There's also a difference in the form of 17 18 the proceeding here. This is not the traditional remedial proceeding where the Court 19 20 has no express constitutional authority to draw 21 lines, but instead is remedying a plan that is found invalid. Here we have a constitutional 22 provision which authorizes the Court to draw 23 24 lines. So we don't know whether the Court

25 would construe that express authorization to

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 draw lines as giving it more than simply 2 remedial powers. SENATOR GAETZ: And Leader Smith is next. 3 4 Leader. 5 SENATOR LATVALA: Can I ask one more 6 follow-up? 7 SENATOR GAETZ: Of course you can, sure. 8 SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you. 9 Is there not a number of places in this 10 opinion where Justice Pariente, writing for the 11 majority, indicated a lack of interest in 12 redrawing the plan? Weren't there a couple of specific references to the fact that she did 13 14 not believe it was the Court -- necessarily the 15 Court's job to draw the plan? 16 SENATOR GAETZ: Who would you like that 17 directed towards? SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Bardos. He is the 18 one that answered the last one. 19 20 MR. BARDOS: Sure. There were those 21 references. There were also references that 22 stated that it would be the Court's duty to draw the plan if it were invalidated a second 23 24 time. 25 It was also instructive the way that the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 Court dealt with the U.S. Supreme Court's 2 recent decision in Perry versus Perez. There the U.S. Supreme Court had reversed a trial 3 4 court's decision where the trial court had decided to redraw the entire plan, and the U.S. 5 6 Supreme Court stated that the Court should 7 confine itself simply to those areas which were 8 invalidated. And certainly that is the 9 argument that we would make here, but the Court 10 -- the Florida Supreme Court in this opinion 11 did note that Perry versus Perez also involved 12 issues of federal versus state sovereignty, and that could be a ground of distinction between 13 14 that case and the role that the Florida Supreme Court would assume here. 15

16 And I don't mean to suggest by these comments that the Court would redraw the entire 17 18 map. Certainly we think that the better course 19 would be for the Court to simply remedy those 20 areas that have invalidities, but I do want to 21 make the point that this is a little bit 22 different from the usual proceeding and we have not gone guite this far down the road before. 23 24 SENATOR GAETZ: Ms. Tunnicliff, did you 25 want to also respond to Senator Latvala's

1 question?

MS. TUNNICLIFF: No, I think Mr. Bardos 2 did a very good job of that. 3 4 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Then Leader -- did you want to follow up, Senator Latvala, or --5 6 SENATOR LATVALA: No, thank you. 7 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Leader Smith. 8 SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, for 9 a comment and then a question. 10 Yesterday I was kind of troubled at the 11 end of the meeting when it was suggested that a 12 discussion by the Supreme Court of the numbering system was in fact a type of judicial 13 activism, I think was the term used. And so I 14 15 went and read the opinion again last night in 16 looking at page 20 and looking at supporting 17 documents. It seems to me that the Supreme Court, because their review of reapportionment 18 comes directly from the Constitution, that they 19 20 do have to review the entire map with 21 compliance for the entire Florida Constitution, 22 and the eight is enough, like it or not, is a part of the Florida Constitution. So when the 23 24 Supreme Court reviewed our plan, doing their 25 job as granted to them by the Florida

1 Constitution, they had to look at compliance with all provisions of the Constitution. 2 So they would be delinquent in their duties if 3 4 they had not looked at the numbering system. So I would suggest that it wasn't a sense of 5 6 judicial activism, but fulfilling their 7 constitutional duty as prescribed by the 8 Florida Constitution.

9 Now, my question on this is to legal 10 counsel, and I discussed this with the Chair 11 over the weekend, my view of this opinion is 12 that the Supreme Court looked more to results. When they said that the numbering system 13 14 favored incumbents to give everyone ten years, 15 it seems that they were looking more towards 16 results, not how it came about. And so to even do a blind system, if the results would still 17 18 bring about ten years for every, you know, incumbent, would the Supreme Court still --19 20 from legal opinion, I guess either counsel, 21 would -- how do they feel the Supreme Court would still look upon this, because if they are 22 going to look at results, then contrary to what 23 24 was stated before, we do have to look at 25 individual members in these districts when

doing the numbering, because results is what
 matter, not how we got there.

Ms. Tunnicliff, did you 3 SENATOR GAETZ: 4 hear the Leader's question and his point, and would you care to comment on it? 5 6 MS. TUNNICLIFF: I think what --7 SENATOR GAETZ: You've got to speak 8 directly into the mike, ma'am. 9 MS. TUNNICLIFF: I think what the Court 10 said was that by granting the ten-year terms in 11 the way it was numbered that there was -- they inferred intent by that, mal-intent to favor 12 incumbents. So I don't think that necessarily 13 another random selection -- there was no random 14 selection, there was a purposeful, I think, 15 16 intent to give everybody ten years, and from 17 that, they inferred that it was to favor 18 incumbents. A random system won't necessarily be viewed in the same light. 19 20 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos, any comment on

21 Leader Smith's point?

22 MR. BARDOS: I agree with the point that 23 was just made. I think we have to keep in mind 24 that the constitutional test is intent and not 25 result, but that the Court has looked to result
1

as an objective indicator of intent.

I think the Court in this case found that 2 because it was systematic and because the 3 choice of the rule was, in its words, 4 5 purposeful, and because it extended the 6 potential term of all incumbents, that it was enough to infer intent. And so I believe that 7 8 if we were to choose a -- again, a similar rule 9 that gives all incumbents the same advantage, 10 then we would meet with the same result, but 11 there are -- because the ultimate test is 12 intent, the dynamics might be guite different with a random selection. 13 14 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader, are you -- did you 15 have any follow-up, sir? Okay. Senator Altman 16 is next. SENATOR ALTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 I think one of the parts of the Constitution that we must consider, and it's 19 20 because it is required in the Constitution that 21 we have no less than 30 or no more than 40 22 consecutively-numbered Senatorial districts. So if we were to follow the consecutive 23 24 concept, that would be, I think, the first 25 starting point in what is required in the

1 Constitution.

2 I know Senator Gaetz and I spoke last night. One option would do a consecutive 3 numbering system from north to south or do a 4 5 consecutive numbering system from south to 6 north by a flip of a coin or whatever system we 7 would determine which way we would number it. 8 If you number from the north south, that gives 9 you one set of numbers. Then if you were to 10 number from the south north, that gives you the 11 opposite set. So that meets the random test of 12 the Constitution, and at the same time, by determining whether we number from the south or 13 14 the north would meet the non -- the 15 non-incumbent, the non-motivation, non-intent, 16 and that was something I was considering as an amendment as well to -- sort of a hybrid. 17 SENATOR GAETZ: And, President Margolis, I 18 think you were next. 19 20 SENATOR MARGOLIS: I wonder if I can get

21 someone's opinion. In 1992 when we did the 22 reapportionment, we sent the plan to the 23 Supreme Court to draw the lines, and they were 24 the ones that drew the lines in the

25 congressional -- was it the congressional map,

1 John?

Yes, I think that question 2 SENATOR GAETZ: is addressed to you as a historian of the 3 4 process. 5 MR. GUTHRIE: I am not a lawyer. 6 SENATOR GAETZ: And your other habits are 7 also improving. 8 MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Senator --9 Chairman. Thank you, Senator Margolis. 10 In 1992, as Mr. Bardos said, the 11 Legislature passed a legislative joint 12 resolution of apportionment. It was validated by the Florida Supreme Court. Subsequently, it 13 14 was found to violate Section 5 of the Voting 15 Rights Act in Hillsborough County. The Justice 16 Department denied pre-clearance, sent it back to the Legislature for a fix. The President of 17 the Senate, Gwen Margolis, and the Speaker of 18 the House, T. K. Wetherell, wrote a letter to 19 20 the Supreme Court saying that it would not be 21 possible for the Legislature to remedy the 22 defect that was enunciated by the Department of 23 Justice, and the Legislature asked the Supreme 24 Court to come up with a remedy for the 25 Hillsborough area, and that is how we got the

reconfiguration of districts in the Pinellas,
 Hillsborough and surrounding areas.

SENATOR GAETZ: Madam President? 3 4 SENATOR MARGOLIS: And since we are having 5 as much difficulty even having a conversation 6 about the numbering issue, it would seem to me 7 that we could -- we could send it over to the 8 Supreme Court, districts in fact, and say, you 9 know, we are having much difficulty numbering 10 and -- and probably it would be -- it would be 11 logical for you, since you have your own ideas about what the numbering should be, to go ahead 12 13 and put the numbers in the boxes.

14 SENATOR GAETZ: That is certainly one 15 suggestion, if we all heard it, and that was to 16 simply defer the numbering question to the 17 Supreme Court and let them number the 18 districts. I think there may be -- there may 19 be a little mumble of dissent from the back 20 benches here.

21 SENATOR SACHS: Mr. Chairman, sir? 22 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sachs, and then 23 Leader Rich had asked specifically that -- that 24 we do an explanation of how a random system 25 might work so that we can cuss it or discuss

it, but Senator Sachs, you are recognized.

1

2 SENATOR SACHS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like some direction from the Chair 3 as to how we are going to proceed so that we 4 can begin to move on this issue in terms of are 5 6 we going to have amendments, are we going to 7 have suggestions, are we going to vote on them, 8 or how do we proceed now that we are discussing 9 this guite thoroughly?

10 SENATOR GAETZ: Well, as I mentioned when 11 we began the meeting, my discussion with the 12 Minority Leader and the incoming Minority Leader was that we would have time this morning 13 14 for an open discussion. Any Senators who had points of view, criticisms that they wanted to 15 16 share, proposals that they wanted to offer, that we would have a time for that to occur. 17 We've had just now a proposal from President 18 19 Margolis. There may be others. I have 20 indicated that also we would certainly ask 21 professional staff to give us an explanation of 22 how a random system could work, not the only way it might work, but how it could work, and 23 24 then what I had proposed was that we take up my 25 amendment, which I have pre-filed, and that

1 amendment simply would remove from the -- from 2 the bill that is before us any reference to how the numbering system might be done so that we 3 could vote on the rest of the bill, and then we 4 5 would take up a numbering system amendment on 6 the floor tomorrow, an amendment that would be timely filed and based, hopefully, on the 7 8 discussion we would have today, but certainly 9 individual Senators would be welcome to file 10 their own amendments for their own favorite 11 methods of doing things, and that would be consistent with what I described this morning. 12 Leader Rich. 13

14 SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I just suggested that we do that because I 15 16 would like to kind of get us off the dime. I mean, you know, we can just sit here and talk 17 18 like this, but it would be productive, I think, if we hear this. If people don't like it, then 19 20 we will know it and then move on to something 21 else, but at least it would get us started. 22 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Well, what we

have -- and we have two Senators who would like
to speak, and we don't want to cut off debate
or discussion, but we are arranging now to have

1 a demonstration of how a numbering system might work. Chris, are we close? 2 Okay. That might be within a couple of 3 minutes, and it doesn't -- it is not the only 4 way, it is not my way, but it is a way. 5 6 In the meantime, Leader Gardiner and then 7 Senator Dean. 8 SENATOR GARDINER: Thank you, Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 Just a couple of questions actually very 11 similar to Leader Rich, but just so I know, regardless of what we intend to do based on 12 your comments, that if you are an odd number, 13 then you would be a four-year, and then an even 14 would be a two-year? 15 16 SENATOR GAETZ: That is what the Constitution says. 17 18 SENATOR GARDINER: Okay. I just want to know what to be praying for, Mr. Chairman. 19 SENATOR GAETZ: Relief. 20 21 SENATOR GARDINER: The -- the -- and I do 22 want the shorter one, but neither here nor there. Actually, question to John, it is along 23 24 Leader Rich's point. If we do a lottery, is 25 there the possibility that as you move your way

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

down the state -- let's say you get to the last 1 2 ten districts. There is the possibility that those could all be even or those could all be 3 4 odd. Is that accurate in a lottery system? 5 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie. 6 MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is possible that -- if you flipped a 7 8 coin 40 times -- or 20 times, it is possible 9 that you would get heads 20 times. So it is 10 possible, it is a very, very low --11 SENATOR GARDINER: Sure. 12 MR. GUTHRIE: -- probability, but that 13 outcome would be possible. 14 SENATOR GARDINER: Just to follow up, Mr. Chairman? 15 16 SENATOR GAETZ: Of course, Leader. 17 SENATOR GARDINER: Is there any -- because I think one of the concerns when I hear 18 individuals talk about the lottery, is there 19 20 any way that you can -- and I don't even know how to do it, is there any way to address that? 21 22 Is there --23 If we were in Chicago, we SENATOR GAETZ: 24 could do that. 25 SENATOR GARDINER: And I guess that is

obviously just part of if we decide to go random, and I know that legal advice is probably encouraging that, I mean, that is part of the process that you may have a lot of even numbers and located all in one area, that is correct?

SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.

7

8 MR. GUTHRIE: If you were -- again, we can 9 do the math and determine -- you will find that 10 it is a very, very, very low probability that 11 that sort of a -- of an outcome would occur.

12 A way to address that if you were inclined to do so would be to pre-set groups of eight or 13 ten districts to be in a raffle among those 14 eight or ten. And so you could conduct five 15 16 or, you see, or four separate raffles and 17 assign odds and even numbers evenly in each of 18 those groups, if your concern was ending up with a situation where all the even numbers 19 were in the north and all the odd numbers were 20 21 in the south.

22 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, if we -- I am sure 23 there are -- I am sure Mr. Guthrie or members 24 of the staff have a great deal of mathematical 25 skill. If -- you know, I suppose there is a

1 formula by which we could show the extraordinarily unlikely odds of that 2 occurring. If that would be of interest, maybe 3 we could get somebody to do that, but your 4 5 point is well-taken. 6 And Senator Dean and then Speaker 7 Thrasher. 8 SENATOR DEAN: Mr. Chairman, at what point 9 -- and we have heard your recommendation twice 10 now of what you would like to see us do and 11 your amendment to move forward. At what point 12 this morning could we design and direct a time 13 that we could get around to do what you are 14 suggesting that we do? 15 SENATOR GAETZ: When the words stop

16 flowing. When everyone has spoken out and has had an opportunity to articulate their point of 17 view, then I have filed an amendment which 18 would remove any reference to the method by 19 20 which district numbers would be assigned so 21 that then we could take up the rest of the map, if it is your pleasure, and then we could amend 22 back in, if it is your pleasure, a methodology. 23 24 But I want -- I don't want to cut off anybody 25 who has an idea, a criticism or an observation.

1 We have until two o'clock, but I don't have to stay here until two o'clock. I can go have 2 lunch with my wife. I love you all, but I love 3 4 her more. So whenever we are all talked out. SENATOR DEAN: If I get inpatient, I will 5 6 call you again. 7 SENATOR GAETZ: You -- sheriff, you are 8 looking a little impatient to me this morning. 9 Speaker Thrasher. 10 SENATOR THRASHER: Thank you, Mr. 11 Chairman. 12 I am cognizant of your long-time admonition about if you can't improve the 13 silence, you know, don't say much. So all I --14 yesterday -- I want to go back to the fact that 15 16 we went through the Court's ruling on the eight, and Senator Simmons said 32 were 17 18 accepted. We went through eight. The Court was very specific about what they said they 19 20 wanted us to do, they were pretty specific 21 about the Lakeland issue, and then they said we 22 had an invalid numbering system. It would be helpful, I think, before we actually get into 23 24 the detailed explanation of the lottery system 25 which you are proposing, Mr. Chairman, to have

Mr. Bardos or Ms. Tunnicliff actually give us
 what the Court said about the numbering system.
 What are the specifics in the opinion that
 would call us to go one way or the other?

I am of the opinion -- I am just going to 5 6 say, and this is the last thing I am going to 7 say, Mr. Chairman, that neutrality, neutrality 8 is the overriding circumstance, and if we 9 fail -- and I know my good friend and much more 10 learned colleague than I am, Senator Simmons 11 and I probably disagree on this -- I am very 12 concerned that if we miss on any of the ten major components of what the Court asked us to 13 do, that we do run the risk, the high risk, in 14 15 my opinion, of having the entire plan thrown 16 out. So, to me, this issue of neutrality and this issue of non-protecting incumbent 17 numbering system is very, very important to the 18 overall plan. 19

20 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sobel. 21 SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 We started this conversation about 23 parameters, and I think we are getting there, 24 and probably what will eventually come out of 25 this is something that you are suggesting, Mr.

1 Chair.

But in the Constitution it says that we 2 should have eight year -- eight years in the 3 There are things in the Constitution 4 Senate. that give us guidelines. It also says not to 5 6 favor incumbents, that is the Fair Districts 7 amendment. It also said -- it says about odd 8 numbers. So we do have certain parameters and 9 quidelines in the Constitution, and I believe 10 the courts want us to follow those guidelines. 11 So in order to abide by the eight-year 12 term limit, why not have the people who have served four years like sort of pre-qualify and 13 14 get an odd number? So that addresses all the constitutional issues. 15 16 SENATOR GAETZ: Any other comments? Senator Storms, you are recognized. 17 18 SENATOR STORMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I respect John Guthrie, but the issue is not a 19 20 flip of the coin. The law of probability is 21 not applicable in the method that is being 22 proposed in the lottery system, because we are 23 not flipping a coin. When you have one coin, 24 you have two sides. You have two sides, that

25 is all you have. The law of probability is

1 that if you have a 50 percent likelihood every single time, if the coin doesn't know what it 2 flipped the last time, every time you flip that 3 one coin, you have a 50 percent likelihood that 4 it is going to be either heads or tails every 5 6 single time. So while is it statistically improbable that you would flip it 20 times and 7 8 it would come up heads 20 times, that -- you 9 know, that is entirely irrelevant to the 10 proposal that we have here, because in the 11 proposal you have here, you don't have even two 12 coins. And if you did have two coins, one of those coins has a 75 percent likelihood that 13 one combination would come up 75 percent of the 14 time if you had two coins, but you don't have 15 16 two coins.

What you have is 40 balls, 40 numbers. 17 You would have 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 18 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 19 20 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, blah, blah, blah, 21 right? So you have all of that, and then you have one coin that has two sides to it. 22 The law of probability is not under those 23 24 circumstances 50 percent of the time. You do 25 have a probability that you would have an

occurrence of half of the state coming up with
 even numbers, because the law of probability is
 not a two-sided -- one two-sided coin.

The probability that occurs when you have 40 separate numbers coupled with two balls, a 6 red ball and a blue ball or whatever it is that 7 you put it in the lottery system, then you are 8 talking about a totally different law of 9 probability.

10 And so I am just saying that if we are 11 going to be talking about this and you are 12 going to discount the possible appearance of impropriety, I want to call your attention to 13 14 what the Supreme Court Justice said. The Supreme Court Justice said in a separately 15 16 concurring opinion on page -- I believe it is Justice Lewis in his separately concurring 17 opinion, that "The appearance of impropriety is 18 as bad as the impropriety itself." So -- and I 19 have lost the page number, but it is -- I 20 believe that is on -- it could be on page 193. 21 But the point is that if you -- if we are 22 talking about probability and you are injecting 23 24 the law of probability into a lottery system

25 and the numbering, it is rife with problems.

1 You are not going to be able to create 2 something that is not going to create the appearance of impropriety. And I just have to 3 4 say that for the record, because I appreciate what we are talking about flipping a coin, and 5 6 we can sit here and discuss flipping the coin 7 and the law of probability, it is statistically 8 improbable, but that is not the system that we 9 have that we are dealing with here, that is not 10 the proposal under any circumstances. The fact 11 is we have 40 separate districts, and so that 12 is important, Mr. Chair.

SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, and to the best of my knowledge, there is not a proposal in front of us at the minute. Did you have one in mind?

SENATOR STORMS: Well, Mr. Chair, thank 17 you for asking, but I was speaking to the 18 19 proposal that you and I spoke about, and I 20 suggested what I -- what I thought yesterday, 21 and that is that it needs to be -- you need to decide -- we need to decide. It needs to be 22 specifically in an orderly fashion across the 23 24 state, and -- that is constitutional -- and 25 then you announce in advance how you are going

to make those terms that you indicated; for 1 2 instance, when Mr. Guthrie stood up and tried to show us the numbering system across, you'd 3 4 just say, "Here is how we are going to do it." You either start at the bottom or start at the 5 6 top. You announce in advance how you are going 7 to do it so that there's no intent that can be 8 attributed to it, and then you just number in 9 an orderly fashion across the state. That is 10 my preference, Mr. Chair, but it doesn't have 11 to be the one that prevails, but I think you 12 can do that. That completely removes any intent and also removes any appearance of 13 14 impropriety, in my opinion.

And we would welcome, and 15 SENATOR GAETZ: 16 this Committee has from its beginning welcomed a proposal that would be described in such a 17 18 fashion and that would have no appearance of impropriety and no subjectivity, and if there 19 20 is a way that you might be able to describe 21 that in writing in an amendment, we would be 22 pleased to take it up and discuss it here 23 today.

24 Yes, Senator Gibson.

25 SENATOR GIBSON: Yes, good morning, Mr.

1 Chair.

2 SENATOR GAETZ: Good morning. SENATOR GIBSON: I think my question goes 3 4 to Mr. Guthrie for clarity. So the new map that we submitted already, when you numbered 5 that map, you looked at the numbers as they are 6 7 today, and if someone -- if one area had an 8 even number, you changed it to odd, and if it 9 had an odd number, you changed it to even? 10 SENATOR GAETZ: What map are you talking 11 about, ma'am? 12 SENATOR GIBSON: The map that we 13 submitted --14 To the Supreme Court? You SENATOR GAETZ: would like to know how the numbering was done 15 16 on the map we did submit that was -- where the 17 numbering was rejected? Is that what you would like, ma'am? 18 19 SENATOR GIBSON: I am trying to get to --20 yes. 21 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. 22 SENATOR GIBSON: I think you are asking my 23 question. 24 I just want to make sure. SENATOR GAETZ: 25 We did discuss that earlier, but we will go --

SENATOR GIBSON: I want -- I think I must
 have missed it.

3 SENATOR GAETZ: That is okay. We will go4 over it again.

5 John, what was the method that was used 6 that was submitted to the Court that the Court 7 found invalid?

8 SENATOR GIBSON: Mr. Chair, I think my 9 question is a little different than that.

SENATOR GAETZ: Why don't you rearticulate your question.

SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
And you may have done this, I am just trying to
get to it.

In the map that we submitted to the Court, 15 16 did you change the numbers -- for example, my current number is a 1. Did you change that to 17 18 an even number and do that same thing throughout the state where someone who 19 20 currently has -- who currently as we sit today 21 has an even number, did you change that number 22 to odd, and if they had an odd number as we sit 23 today, did you change that number to even --24 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.

25 SENATOR GIBSON: -- so it doesn't favor or

1 disfavor anybody?

2 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie. MR. GUTHRIE: And the first thing we need 3 4 to all bear in mind is what Senator Sachs said earlier. All of these districts have been 5 6 reconfigured, so --7 SENATOR GIBSON: I know. 8 MR. GUTHRIE: -- none of them are what 9 they were. 10 SENATOR GIBSON: Right. 11 MR. GUTHRIE: But the general rule that 12 was applied to the map that was submitted previously to the Supreme Court is that if the 13 14 area -- if the Senator from that area, if that Senator had a short term, less than -- two 15 16 years or less prior to redistricting, we gave that Senator four years after redistricting. 17 18 So it wasn't changing odds to evens or evens to The rule was looking at who the Senator 19 odds. 20 might be that would run for reelection in this 21 newly-configured district. And I did not know 22 for sure where the Senators were going to run. You could choose to run anywhere in the state, 23 24 as could -- as could anyone, but we made a 25 guess of that and applied the numbers based on

those Senators who got terms of two years or
 less prior to redistricting.

Now, there were 23 such Senators who had short terms prior to redistricting. We explained in the staff analysis the method we used for giving some of those Senators -- and you were one of them -- giving some of those Senators even numbers.

SENATOR GIBSON: Uh-huh.

9

10 MR. GUTHRIE: And the rule that was used 11 was that we could avoid giving you multiple 12 hardships by giving you a two-year -- by 13 choosing you rather than one of the other ones 14 among the 23 for the two-year term.

15 SENATOR GIBSON: Follow-up?

16 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gibson, now, that method has been declared invalid, so we can 17 talk about it for a while longer if you would 18 like, but it is invalid and it will not be 19 20 before this Committee today, but if you have 21 any follow-up questions, please go ahead. 22 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and SENATOR GIBSON: 23 even though some of the map has been 24 reconfigured, how much reconfiguration have we 25 done where persons -- or not persons, but where

districts are totally not contained in lines
that they were previously contained in, because
-- and it may not work maybe -- and I think it
will work towards the bottom.

For example, if you look at Santa Rosa and 5 6 Okaloosa, they are largely in the same --7 obviously they are in the same place on the 8 map, and they are largely contained within even 9 a reconfigured district that was formerly a 2. 10 And so if you change the numbers odd/even, it 11 takes out the personal person and only goes to 12 the landscape.

13 MR. GUTHRIE: I --

14 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie, I am not --15 would you care to comment on that comment? And 16 then let's move forward.

MR. GUTHRIE: Well, you could apply such a 17 So you could -- you could look at the 18 rule. underlying territory of each of the new 19 districts and you could determine -- give each 20 21 of them a priority for getting an odd number 22 based on the area of the underlying districts that is -- is either even or odd. You could 23 24 use that as a rule.

25 The consequence of applying that sort of a FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 rule though also would be to -- tends to give -- by switching. If you have an even 2 before redistricting and you have an even 3 number after redistricting, the way it works 4 today, and with the application of the Supreme 5 6 Court's opinion in 1982 that Senate terms will be truncated, what those Senators will get is 7 8 an even number is -- ran for election in 2010, 9 so they got a two-year term, they will run for 10 election in 2012, get another two-year term, 11 and then they run for reelection in 2014, they 12 will get a four-year term, and at the end of that, their term is up. So during the course 13 14 of eight years, they will run three times for the State Senate. Whereas other Senators, 15 16 those who were elected in 2006 -- excuse me, 17 2008, will get a four-year term prior to redistricting and a four-year term after 18 redistricting. 19

If we were not truncating terms and requiring all Senators to run after redistricting, then this issue would not present itself. The rule that the Senate applied earlier was trying to deal with the equities of that so that all Senators would

1 have the opportunity to run for, as is provided in the Constitution, two full four-year terms. 2 And -- but as the Chairman said, that was 3 invalid. Applying a rule which switches odds 4 to evens and evens to odds by land area, you 5 might want to consider whether that would be 6 7 interpreted by the Court as having a systematic 8 advantage to or disadvantage to incumbents. 9 SENATOR GAETZ: President Margolis, and 10 then I would like to move into a series of

11 motions. President Margolis.

SENATOR MARGOLIS: The other -- the other
alternative is to make all seats four years
now, and everybody runs every four years.

15 SENATOR GAETZ: I think we would have to
16 amend the Florida Constitution to do that.
17 That might be beyond the purview of this
18 Committee.

19 Let me forecast to you a suggestion. Ι 20 suggest that we take up an amendment, which I 21 have filed, which removes from the PCB that is 22 before us any reference to the numbering I suggest that we take up such an 23 system. 24 amendment and we vote on it, and then if it is 25 passed, I suggest that we take up the PCB that

1 has the maps and the descriptions that we have 2 gone through for yesterday and today, and that we vote on that, if that is your pleasure. 3 Then, if you would like, we can have a 4 demonstration of how a random system might 5 6 work, Senator Storms might be working on an 7 amendment that she might like to share with us, 8 and we would take up, as I mentioned before 9 this morning, we would take up the -- a 10 decision on the numbering system as a floor 11 amendment that would be timely filed by 5:00 12 afternoon. Is there any disagreement -- yes, 13 14 Senator Latvala. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 SENATOR LATVALA: 16 I was out of the room for a couple of minutes, and so you might have done this, and 17 18 if so, I apologize, but before -- I mean, before we make a decision on taking it out of 19 20 the bill, there's a lot of questions about what 21 your -- what your plan is, what your system is. SENATOR GAETZ: 22 Well --23 SENATOR LATVALA: It might be something 24 that 23 of us decide is a great idea and we went to leave it in the bill, I mean --25

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

SENATOR GAETZ: Well, yeah --1 2 SENATOR LATVALA: -- couldn't we see that before we have the vote on the motion? 3 4 SENATOR GAETZ: You sure can, if that is your pleasure, absolutely. Mr. Meyer? 5 This is 6 an example of how a random system could work. 7 It is not my system, it is not the system, but 8 it is a system. Mr. Meyer, Craig Meyer, Chief of Staff to 9 10 the Senate President, you are recognized. 11 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 12 today I am actually in my general counsel hat, 13 so --14 SENATOR GAETZ: General counsel, so he is 15 a lawyer. 16 MR. MEYER: Yes, so there is that. This is a system that is familiar to most 17 of your constituents. I consulted with the 18 Lottery after direction from the Chairman and 19 20 from the President to think about randomness 21 all weekend. I came up with your basic bingo 22 There is no other way to describe it. system. What we have done is we have purchased 23 24 from an independent supplier the equipment 25 here. Everybody is familiar with it. Again,

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 all your constituents will recognize it. In 2 the cage on the right, we have numbers 1 In the cage on the left, we have 3 through 40. 4 20 white balls and 20 green balls. We asked the Minority Leader her favorite color. 5 She 6 responded between white and green with green. 7 Green is representing even here for our 8 demonstration today. White will be odd. A]] 9 you will do is rotate the machine, a number 10 will come out. Simultaneously, we will rotate 11 the other machine, and it will either be odd or If we were doing this, we would then --12 even. whatever number comes up, and we will do it in 13 just a moment, then Mr. Guthrie will designate 14 that as an odd or an even number. We will take 15 16 -- we will not replace -- to address Senator Storms' concern, we will not replace the white 17 18 or the green ball in the cage, because there are now 39 numbers and there will be 39 -- 20 19 20 of one and 19 of the other, so that as we go 21 through, we continually reduce the number of 22 chances of it being an odd or an even to reflect the fact that we have a decreasing 23 24 population.

25 When we are done with the odds or the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 evens, then we have two choices, we can go 2 either way. We can either just allow Mr. 3 Guthrie to start up here, and if this ends up 4 being an odd, it stays 1, if it is an even, it 5 will be 2, and go on and just reflect whether 6 we've selected odd or even for each one and 7 renumber.

8 The other way you can do this is you could 9 just reload the balls in the machine, the one 10 through 40, and as you pull out a number -- I'm 11 sorry, I got it backwards. We just do -- and 12 you just pull out the number and --

13 SENATOR GAETZ: Let's not do two ways.

14 MR. MEYER: Yeah --

15 SENATOR GAETZ: We will have a hard enough16 time getting our arms around one way.

17 MR. MEYER: Yeah, the -- my brain is

18 fried, because it is random --

19 SENATOR GAETZ: Let's just do one way --

20 MR. MEYER: Yes. So, anyway --

21 SENATOR GAETZ: -- to show us as an

22 illustration.

23 MR. MEYER: -- this is how it will work, 24 and, again, a couple other little twists that 25 the Lottery suggested. If we do something like

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 this, we have a procedure manual for you to 2 adopt that we would then put in the hands of an independent auditor, CPA, who would observe 3 4 every step of the way to make sure that there's full compliance. This is what the Lottery does 5 6 when they conduct a drawing. Every drawing 7 they have is observed by an outside auditor who 8 would test that the procedures have been 9 followed. And so let me just kind of give you 10 an example here of what we'd do. 11 SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman? 12 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Latvala. SENATOR LATVALA: Could we sell 13 advertising and help plug the hole in the 14 budget at the same time? We can do this live 15 16 on TV like they do on the Lottery. 17 SENATOR GAETZ: To fund transportation 18 projects in Pinellas County. 19 Now, imagine here, because she has already 20 agreed, that the Attorney General is on the 21 Senate floor overseeing this. She has agreed to oversee it. She is the chief law 22 enforcement officer of the State, and imagine 23 24 that Attorney General staff are actually 25 turning the tumblers. Now, try to pay close

1 attention so that we can show you how this
2 could work. This is a way.
3 MR. MEYER: We have District 7.
4 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. So Mr. Guthrie then
5 goes to District 7 on the map, because we have
6 plug numbers there now, we have placeholder

7

8 MR. GUTHRIE: Now, before we put any 9 stickers on the map, everybody needs to 10 understand that this is not -- not the lottery. 11 This will be done again. So don't get attached 12 to any of these little spots.

numbers there now, so Mr. Guthrie goes to --

SENATOR GAETZ: Stay calm, everybody stay
calm now. We are going to find District 7.
MR. MEYER: District 7 is odd.

16 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay, District 7 is odd, 17 you saw how that happened. Okay, whoa, whoa, 18 whoa, let's stop. Let's make sure everybody 19 understood what happened. Are there any 20 Senator Diaz de questions as to what happened? la Portilla was not sure. You are recognized. 21 22 We will take this one step at a time. We are 23 Senators.

24 MR. GUTHRIE: So 7 is an odd number, 25 because that is what it is, but what if you

would have drawn a green ball? 1 2 MR. MEYER: It would be even. SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Seven would 3 become an even number all of a sudden when it 4 is an odd number? 5 6 SENATOR GAETZ: Ah, but wait --7 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: That is the 8 wrinkle. 9 SENATOR GAETZ: The district, the 10 district, the district that 7 is now would 11 become an even number when the process is concluded. In other words, it could become 12 either a 6 or an 8. So, again, stay steady in 13 14 the boat, don't jump. 15 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Okay. Well, 16 thank you, Mr. Chairman for supplying the missing premise, because that wasn't really 17 explained that an odd number would shift over. 18 19 SENATOR GAETZ: Why we're taking it one 20 step at a time. 21 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: That was not 22 explained by Mr. Meyer. Now with the missing premise that you supplied, we can draw the 23 24 right conclusion. Thank you. 25 SENATOR LYNN: I have a question.

SENATOR GAETZ: Let's -- all right, order,
 let's do order. Just a second, just a second,
 just a second, just a second.

Senator Lynn, your are recognized.
SENATOR LYNN: All right. You assigned
the even number to 7, but the numbers that -well, whichever -- but whichever is on this
map, I mean, those numbers wouldn't be there
anymore.

10 SENATOR GAETZ: That is correct. Senator 11 Lynn makes an excellent observation. Under 12 this method, when we've finished, and we will 13 go as far as you would like to show you the 14 demonstration, when we finish, you would see that 7, which has now been assigned what on 15 16 this system, an odd number, 7 would stay a 7, but if an even number, as Senator Latvala --17 18 I'm sorry, Senator Diaz de la Portilla raised, if it had come up with a green ball as opposed 19 20 to a white ball, then it would be reassigned at 21 the end either a 6 or an 8.

22 SENATOR LYNN: All right. But that wasn't 23 exactly my question.

24 SENATOR GAETZ: Same spot.

25 SENATOR LYNN: Right now what we have is 8

is probably closer to my District 7. That is
 the existing number system. Now, as we see
 your current proposal, my District 7 basically
 becomes a District 8. So I am asking, in doing
 this numbering system with the lottery, will
 you be losing --

SENATOR GAETZ: Let's -- hey, folks,
members, Senators, may we have order? Senator
Lynn is asking a question that may be of
interest to others. If you have a
conversation, take it to an alcove.

12 SENATOR LYNN: You have proposed a map with numbers on it. 13 They are not necessarily 14 the numbers that would be closer to some of our 15 existing districts. My existing district say 16 is 7. It is now -- has become an 8. That is as close -- it's as closest to my District 7. 17 18 All right. Are we going to use the lottery and assign to the numbers you have on that existing 19 map in your proposal, or will those numbers go 20 21 to what is closest to our current? 22 SENATOR GAETZ: The former, not the latter, and the reason is because we've had I 23 24 think 23 districts reconfigured, some of them

25 reconfigured in a rather substantial way. If

1 you look, for example, at District 10 that we 2 had a great deal of conversation about yesterday, District 10 is virtually 3 4 unrecognizable from its previous shape. Only 18 percent of the population of District 10 as 5 6 it exists today would exist in the PCB. So, 7 therefore, the answer to Senator Lynn's 8 question would be that we would use as 9 placeholder numbers, the numbers that you see 10 on the PCB. Those numbers would then change, 11 perhaps, to an odd or even number, depending 12 upon the luck of the draw. 13 SENATOR LYNN: Thank you. 14 Now, let's try another SENATOR GAETZ: number and see if we begin to understand how 15 16 this might work. Okay. 17 MR. MEYER: No. 4 stays even. 18 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Let's see how this Go to District 4, and it stays even. 19 works. Are we seeing how this might work? 20 This is 21 only an example. Shall we do another one? Senator Simmons. 22 SENATOR SIMMONS: Mr. Chair, I have a 23 24 couple of thoughts. One is I really believe 25 that your theory is excellent, and the method

I -- I mean, what you are talking about of having
what can be called a white ball and a green
ball and 20 of each, so, therefore, no matter
what, at the end of the day, there are going to
be 20 which are odd and 20 which are even.

I would suggest that we use a methodology, however, that is more appropriate for the decorum of the Senate and it is similar to the way that lawsuits are logged in as who you get a judge. I don't believe that this needs to be so much as like having been a white, watching us, I suggest that we --

13 SENATOR GAETZ: It's His Eminence the14 Cardinal who uses these.

SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes, and I would suggest 15 16 that we simply have the Secretary of the Senate on any particular day when it gets time for the 17 18 allocation to be made, there are multiple kinds of machines that can be used. 19 It can be 20 videotaped so that there can be assurance of 21 propriety -- of total propriety, that it is 22 simply done, and if it is even one of these little clicker things that says odd or even, 23 24 that the -- that the Secretary do those things, 25 allocate, there's not this fanfare. The effect

1 is exactly the same. We have assured total 2 amount of independence and fairness, but we do not have these balls on the floor of the Senate 3 4 chamber and -- but the theory that you have 5 suggested here, I bet everyone here, speaking 6 about betting, is that everyone goes along with 7 what you have suggested. I think your 8 suggestion is excellent. I just suggest that 9 we adopt a methodology that is much more 10 restrained for the Senate. And like I say, 11 videotaping in today's world assures absolute 12 integrity to the system. It will happen in a period of probably less than 15 minutes. 13 If 14 somebody wants to come and watch, they can, for those who feel assured that the integrity of 15 16 the system will be guaranteed by videotaping 17 I suspect that most people won't show up it. and this will be as simple as the allocation 18 and the fairness the same as is done when we do 19 20 allocation of court cases to a particular judge, and we will not have the fanfare, but we 21 22 will have the integrity assured. Thank you, Senator 23 SENATOR GAETZ:

24 Simmons, and, again, I am not married to the 25 idea of balls in a cage. What I am married to

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
1 is the idea of a random selection process. So 2 I think your idea is a good one. Now, there may be Senators who for their own reasons might 3 want to actually see all of this happening. 4 They may not trust some sort of an electronic 5 6 process. They might think that the Secretary 7 of the Senate has a dog in the fight. Who 8 knows? That is why, you know, I went to the 9 Attorney General and I said, "If we have a 10 random selection process, would you oversee it 11 as the chief law enforcement officer of the 12 state?" But I would be pleased as one Senator 13 to have the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader develop a system that complies with the 14 decorum of the Senate, as you have suggested --15 16 SENATOR SIMMONS: That is a great idea. SENATOR GAETZ: -- you know, whatever --17 18 whatever you believe would work, but let me -since Senator Latvala asked, you know, what my 19 20 proposal would be, my proposal, it would be 21 that we take out the section that is in the PCB 22 now, let me just read what's in the PCB now 23 that I would suggest taking out, and then I 24 have a suggestion for language that would work, 25 and if it is -- if this is agreeable that we

1 might discuss this, then I will offer motions to do it. Here is what I would take out: 2 What. is in there now says, "Notwithstanding the 3 district numbers specified in Section 3 of 4 Senate Joint Resolution 1176 or in this Senate 5 6 joint resolution, the 40 Senatorial districts shall be renumbered based on a random, 7 incumbent-neutral process of assignment 8 9 conducted in public." That got some -- got 10 into a little trouble yesterday.

11 What I would suggest we do instead is to say, "Notwithstanding the district numbers" --12 and we will provide this in writing if you want 13 to consider it; if you don't, that is fine --14 "Notwithstanding the district numbers specified 15 16 in Section 3 of Senate Joint Resolution 1176 or in this Senate joint resolution, the 40 17 Senatorial districts in the state shall be 18 renumbered as follows: One, after the vote on 19 20 final passage of this Senate joint 21 resolution" -- in other words, the PCB as we have it -- "but before it is engrossed, the 22 23 Attorney General shall oversee an 24 incumbent-neutral procedure that randomly 25 assigns Senate district numbers undertaken in

1 the Senate chamber in the presence of Senators and open to the public." If you don't like 2 that, we will take it out. "Secondly, the 3 result of this process shall be district 4 numbers that are final and irreversible and on 5 6 which no further vote will be taken. And third, that the Secretary of the Senate is 7 8 hereby directed to engross the relevant 9 randomly-selected district numbers into the 10 appropriate sections of the pending Senate 11 joint resolution before transmitting it to the House of Representatives." 12

Now, you know, I don't specify, you know,
cages, I don't specify a procedure. I am happy
to turn that over to the Majority and Minority
Leaders or their designees.

## 17 Senator Simmons.

18 SENATOR SIMMONS: I think that your idea is excellent. I think that we ought not do it 19 20 on the floor of the Senate. I think it should 21 be done with the kind of decorum that is 22 befitting of this highly, highly august body, and that it can be done in the Secretary's 23 24 office, it can be done with simple clicking, 25 odd/even, it is done in less than five minutes,

1 maybe ten minutes, it is videotaped to assure 2 that it is done with appropriate integrity, and no one cares about the numbers after it is odd 3 4 or even, because Mr. Guthrie can easily go from the top left and consecutively number, because 5 6 all he needs to know is whether someone is odd 7 or even. He doesn't care and we don't care 8 what the numbering is. It therefore becomes --9 it could be -- ends up being 1, 3, whatever, 10 but the point of it is that the numbering is a 11 simple, what I would call mechanical process 12 after we have done the allocation of odd or 13 even. 14 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Hays. 15 SENATOR HAYS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 I am sure that Secretary Cindy O'Connell would be happy to offer the studio of the 17 18 Lottery, which is right down Apalachee Parkway, and it can be televised to the entire state if 19 20 that is what they want to do. 21 SENATOR GAETZ: Sadly, not. 22 SENATOR HAYS: She has a TV studio set up 23 right there. 24 SENATOR GAETZ: We asked her, and sadly,

25 not.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 SENATOR HAYS: Oh, really? 2 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Meyer. Yeah. MR. MEYER: Yes, if I may, Mr. Chairman, 3 4 because the Florida Lottery is also the host 5 lottery for the 26-state Powerball, they are 6 under an intergovernmental agreements relative 7 to security, and part of those agreements are 8 that those machines and that studio are 9 restricted access for only those Lottery people 10 who can conduct the Lottery. They are giving 11 away big bags of money, and they say, "We'd 12 love to help you, but we are restricted in our agreements with the 25 other states that are in 13 14 the Powerball as to who gets access to these machines." 15 16 SENATOR DETERT: Mr. Chairman? 17 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator -- excuse me, Senator Detert has the floor. 18 19 SENATOR DETERT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and 20 we just keep getting bogged down and the

20 we just keep getting bogged down and the 21 simplest thing is becoming complex. I for one 22 would like to see us complete the process that 23 you are demonstrating here for all 40 24 districts, just -- I think it would solve a lot 25 of questions later on if we see how the entire

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 map would fall out under this system, and then 2 do we end up odd and even. I would just like to get a visual of that. 3 4 SENATOR GAETZ: Are there Senators who 5 wish to be recognized? 6 SENATOR GIBSON: Yes, yes. 7 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gibson. 8 SENATOR LYNN: Mr. Chairman? 9 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Lynn will be next. 10 SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and 11 I certainly concur with Senator Simmons on not 12 making a mockery out of this, but I don't understand the electric clicking -- I don't 13 understand what he is suggesting that we do. 14 Ι just -- I didn't hear all of what he said, but 15 16 then I don't understand what the electric 17 clicking -- what is that? SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Simmons --18 19 SENATOR SIMMONS: Certainly. 20 SENATOR GAETZ: -- would you please 21 respond to the question? 22 SENATOR SIMMONS: Certainly. What I said, Senator Gibson, is that befitting the dignity 23 24 of this body, I suggested a more toned-down way 25 of doing this. I agree that Senator Gaetz has

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 hit upon the -- and arrived at the appropriate method of doing this in the sense of a theory, 2 but the implementation of it is not and should 3 4 not be as if this is a carnival. This needs to 5 be done in a very dignified manner. His theory 6 of approach is, I believe, a valid and viable 7 method of doing this. All we need to do is it 8 in an appropriately dignified manner.

9 Now, we can choose. It can be -- Senator 10 Thrasher has suggested in John Guthrie's 11 office. It could be anywhere that it is appropriately -- you know, it is videotaped. 12 Those who want to appear, it is going to be in 13 public. It can be in any place other than on 14 the floor of the Senate with balls. It can be 15 16 in a place that is, one, dignified -- I think the Secretary of the Senate's office is an 17 example, it can be done there, and the Majority 18 Leader, the Minority Leader can be there, and 19 20 if anybody else wants to show up, they can be 21 there, but I am looking at this to be done in 22 the appropriate decorum.

23 SENATOR GIBSON: Mr. Chair --

24 SENATOR LYNN: Mr. Chairman?

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Let's take it one

1 at a time. Senator Gibson, you will have one 2 more question --

3 SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.

4 SENATOR GAETZ: -- and then we will go to 5 other people who like to make comments or ask 6 questions.

7 SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gibson, this is9 your question.

10 SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

11 So it is actually to Senator Simmons. You 12 are saying that the process should be the same, 13 just conduct it somewhere else?

14 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Simmons.

It should be done in a 15 SENATOR SIMMONS: 16 very dignified manner, and I don't believe it should be on the floor of the Senate, I believe 17 18 that we should choose a place, and I don't believe that we need to use white and green 19 20 balls. I really believe that there are other devices that actually, through total 21 22 randomness, can go with odd or even. It is like, you know, many of the little devices you 23 24 can see where you click it and it just rolls 25 over and you get a one or a two, it is either

odd or even. And you do that 40 times, you are going to solve the situation. There are mechanisms that can be done. The balls don't have to be in a roller like that. All I am saying is that Senator Gaetz has hit upon the appropriate way to do this. Let's do it in a respectful manner, that's all I'm saying.

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. We will do one more 9 speed round of people who would like to make 10 comments.

SENATOR LYNN: Yes, I had my hand up. SENATOR GAETZ: And you are next, Senator Lynn. We will do one more speed round, and then we will see if we can move a -- move a motion.

16 Senator Lynn.

SENATOR LYNN: Yes. As I understand your
amendment, it does not specify exactly how this
will be done?

20 SENATOR GAETZ: Correct.

21 SENATOR LYNN: It leaves it up to a 22 neutral party to make a decision as to how it 23 will be done, but it basically kind of spells 24 out that it will be very neutral, whatever 25 procedure is done?

1

SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am.

2 SENATOR LYNN: Whether we are going ahead with Senator Simmons' very conservative ideas 3 4 or we go with this kind of whatever or wherever 5 we do it, that is unimportant to your 6 amendment. I think your amendment is very 7 generic. It basically spells out that we 8 should have an approach that will be fair, and 9 whether -- I am sure it will be transparent, I 10 don't think the press would let us do it any 11 other way. And I would like to move that we 12 consider your amendment, because all of these other things that we are talking about do not 13 really pertain to your amendment, they pertain 14 15 to many more specific kinds of things, so I 16 would like to move your amendment.

SENATOR GAETZ: Well, thank you, Senator 17 18 Lynn. If you would permit those who have a need to speak, and then we will get back to 19 20 that. We have several people who have their 21 hands up. We will go to Senator Sobel next, 22 and then we are going to move to a -- we are 23 going to move to try to get a motion before 24 this Committee.

25 SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 I agree with Senator Lynn, the amendment 2 is basically very generic, but we were addressing the process and how to implement 3 4 some form of the amendment, and Senator Detert talked about seeing it out, I think maybe we 5 6 should see it out a little bit more. But this 7 really deals with the concept of neutrality and 8 randomness, and what Senator Simmons was 9 saying -- I mean, Senator Gibson had an issue 10 with it because she didn't understand it, and a 11 lot of us didn't understand it, and the most 12 important thing we need to do is to show the public that we are being fair and neutral and 13 14 this is something that everybody understands. So dignity is one thing, but understanding the 15 16 process so there is no question of authenticity in the process is very obvious. 17 And the public -- everybody could relate to this. 18 So I think this is a good idea, I think this is 19 something that will be historical throughout 20 21 the nation, but I do have concerns about also 22 addressing the term limit issue. I mean, this addresses neutrality in terms of who gets how 23 24 many years, but how do we address the issue of 25 And that is why I said people who term limits?

have served four years should pre-qualify for
 a -- I think an even number -- an odd number.
 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Senator Thrasher
 and then Senator Dean and then Senator Latvala.
 Senator Thrasher.

6 SENATOR THRASHER: Well, you know, along the lines of Senator Simmons, Mr. Chairman, and 7 8 we can sit here and debate in details, but I 9 think he's agreed that your concept is a good 10 concept, and what I am hearing from the 11 members, I think they like your concept. Would 12 it be appropriate to ask Senator Simmons, the 13 Majority Leader and the Minority Leader maybe to get together and work out the details of 14 such a system outside of the scope of what 15 16 Senator Simmons said, outside of the chamber, in wherever office we determine, but let them 17 18 get together, come back with a proposal that we could -- that we could digest as opposed to 19 20 trying to create it here in this Committee, 21 which we obviously are having difficulty doing 22 over the last two days. It seems to me we are 23 in concurrence on the concept. Senator Simmons 24 has raised, I think, a legitimate question 25 about doing it on the floor of the Senate. Ι

1 think the decorum issue is one that is appropriate to raise, and I think that he has 2 some great ideas, and I think along with the 3 4 Majority Leader and the Minority Leader could 5 come up with a way of doing this in a fair, 6 open, transparent way that all the Senators 7 certainly if they wanted to participate in 8 could, and certainly it would be transparent to 9 the public also. So that would be my 10 suggestion so we could move on to hopefully 11 getting ready to vote on what we came here to 12 vote on, and that is the adoption of the plan. SENATOR GAETZ: We will take -- we will 13 14 take two more Senators' comments, and then we will see if we can move a motion. 15 16 Senator Dean, then Senator Latvala. SENATOR DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 I think your recommendation is right on the money of where we need to be. We talk 19 20 about transparency, nothing more than the 21 Sunshine than the folks in the state of Florida 22 watching us in this Committee roll green balls or white balls and the numbers. I don't -- and 23 24 I really don't believe we need to have any 25 other smaller group making decisions. I think

as the Chairman, you have been more than open,
 we are out in the Sunshine and I agree with my
 members, let's do move on.

4 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Latvala, you are
5 recognized.

6 SENATOR LATVALA: Could I ask a question7 before I make a comment?

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Of course you may, sir. 9 SENATOR LATVALA: The motion that you have 10 before us actually specifies it has to be on 11 the Senate floor, does it not?

SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, but based on the 12 conversation here, I have struck that already, 13 and, again, if I -- if I were to offer this 14 15 amendment, it would be on the Senate floor, it 16 would not be here. We are not going to do any surprise amendments. The only amendment that 17 18 has been prefiled for this committee meeting today is an amendment to strike the language 19 20 that folks objected to yesterday so that we may 21 either vote up or down on a redistricting plan. 22 If we can agree upon an amendment that 23 addresses the numbering system, it would be 24 offered with plenty of notice to all Senators, 25 the press and the public, and would be timely

1 filed today and taken up on the floor tomorrow. SENATOR LATVALA: Well, then, my comment 2 is very strongly and vigorously, I believe that 3 4 if we are going to go through this process, which I really don't agree with, and you know 5 6 that, I think we can reasonably number the 7 districts in sequence and without going through 8 this, whether or not we need to pull the 9 balls -- the red and green balls out, but it 10 needs to be done on the floor of the Senate in 11 front of everyone, every member of the Senate, 12 in front of the press corps and in front of the people of Florida. This is an important issue, 13 much too important. The last time I was in the 14 Secretary's office, I do not believe the 15 16 Secretary's office was big enough for the press corps and all the members of the Senate. 17

So I understand where Senator Simmons is coming from with the carnival-like atmosphere of using lottery balls, and perhaps there is a different variation of that, but I believe strongly that whatever we do needs to be done on the floor of the Senate in front of God and everybody.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay, thank you very much. FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Now, here is what I would like to do -are there -- is there a passionate need to
speak? If not, here is what I would -- does
somebody else have something?

Here is what I would like to do at 5 Okay. 6 this meeting now, if you are agreeable. We 7 have an amendment that has been prefiled, and 8 that amendment -- I am going to turn the Chair 9 over to our Vice-Chair, President Margolis, I 10 am going to offer my amendment, which only 11 takes out the section that describes numbering, 12 so that we may vote on the rest of the plan, and then when we get back after we do that, if 13 we do it, then what I will do with -- unless a 14 majority of the Committee objects, is I will 15 16 ask the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader to meet and to help craft the amendment that I 17 will offer tomorrow, which would describe how 18 we would do a random system. That would be my 19 20 method of proceeding, unless the Committee 21 objects.

22 SENATOR HAYS: Mr. Chairman?
23 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, Senator Hays.
24 SENATOR HAYS: I feel that it is
25 imperative that before we leave this

1 extraordinary session, that we, the Senate, 2 have a complete plan that includes the numbering. I am not opposed to your proposal 3 4 there, but my question is, what happens -- how soon will those people meet, et cetera, et 5 6 cetera? Candidly, I think we need to decide on 7 the methodology today, and when we come in 8 tomorrow or Friday to complete our action in this extraordinary session, it needs to be with 9 10 numbers.

11 SENATOR GAETZ: That is exactly what I am proposing, Senator Hays. We are obliged to 12 complete our business during the extraordinary 13 14 special session. Any plan that we would take final action on on the Senate floor must 15 16 include the numbers in order for us to transmit a message that is full, complete and legal to 17 our colleagues in the House of Representatives, 18 and so I am talking about moving forward very 19 20 soon, like now.

21 SENATOR HAYS: Would it be -- would it be 22 all right to go ahead then and do the deletion 23 of that language that you spoke of, but then go 24 ahead right here today on this Committee and 25 appoint the Majority and Minority Leaders to

get that so that tomorrow when they come in,
 instead of us authorizing them to do it, they
 will have completed this?

4 SENATOR GAETZ: That is exactly what I 5 have contemplated, if it is the will of this 6 Committee.

7 SENATOR HAYS: Okay.

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Diaz de la9 Portilla for a final comment.

10 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair, 11 it is not really a comment, just a couple of 12 questions to our counsel just to understand the 13 issue of the numbering a little further.

14 My understanding and my reading of what the Supreme Court said was that the methodology 15 16 that we used in sending 1176 over to them was an -- was an improper methodology because it 17 favored incumbents, and the way they did that 18 or arrived at that conclusion is they inferred 19 20 an intent based on the result that a majority 21 of the incumbents ended up with potentially 22 ten-year terms. Obviously they would have to 23 run for office and get elected, but the 24 inference was that because the result was that 25 the majority of incumbents would end

1 potentially with ten-year terms, that,

therefore, they could impute or infer any -some intent to favor an incumbent.

4 My question of staff is, if we were to use 5 a purposeful, deliberate method which does not 6 result in the majority of incumbents 7 potentially being favored, wouldn't that be 8 something that we could present where it would 9 be a lot more difficult to imply or infer or 10 impute any kind of intent to favor incumbents? 11 And that is to Mr. Bardos. That is it, I just 12 want to --

Mr. Bardos, any comment? 13 SENATOR GAETZ: 14 MR. BARDOS: If there were a rule that did not involve a random event that produced 15 16 results that seemed to be even-handed, I think that would stand a much better chance than the 17 18 one that was previously adopted. But there could still be allegations that that specific 19 20 rule was chosen because the result was known, 21 and that that result favored particular 22 members. So there could still be an argument I think it would be -- it would 23 about that. 24 stand on better ground than the one that was 25 previously chosen, but perhaps not. Ιt

1 wouldn't be immune from attack.

2 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Just a quick3 follow-up?

4 SENATOR GAETZ: A quick follow-up. SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: And so a 5 6 totally random method, whether it is balls on 7 the floor or something else, with more decorum, 8 is a safer way, because there is absolutely no 9 chance or would be absolutely no chance of 10 imputing, implying, inferring any kind of 11 intent to anyone, but it is not the only way, 12 because we could purposefully, deliberately, like we are supposed to make all decisions as 13 14 Senators as a deliberative body, we could 15 purposely and deliberately come up with a 16 method that isn't random, that would stand legal muster, as long as the result is one 17 where it would be very difficult for a court to 18 impute any kind of improper motive or intent. 19 20 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos, any comment? MR. BARDOS: I agree with that. 21 I think it is more difficult to infer intent when there 22

24 that there are rules that could be chosen. The 25 only question would be was that specific rule

23

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

is an intervening chance event, but I agree

chosen because of the results that it produces.
 SENATOR GAETZ: All right. That being the
 case, President Margolis, will you take the
 Chair, and I have an amendment?

5 SENATOR MARGOLIS: Certainly. Senator
6 Gaetz with an amendment.

7 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. 8 Madam Chair, I have amendment which is bar 9 coded 825072. That amendment simply deletes 10 from the PCB the reference to numbering which 11 was there yesterday. The reference which would be deleted, again, is, "Notwithstanding the 12 district numbers specified in Section 3 of 13 Senate Joint Resolution 1176 or this Senate 14 joint resolution, the 40 Senatorial districts 15 16 of the state shall be renumbered based on a random, incumbent-neutral process of assignment 17 18 conducted in public."

Madam Chair, if this amendment is adopted, then it would be my suggestion that we move forward to take up the redistricting plan, and then we would move forward, as we have discussed in the Committee, to ask the Majority and Minority Leaders to assist in developing a dignified but -- but integral system that would

be open to the public dealing with the numbering of districts, and I will offer the amendment that I discussed before timely filed on the floor. That is the -- that is the amendment and that would be the effect of the amendment, Madam Chair.

7 SENATOR MARGOLIS: The immediate amendment 8 is to remove all of the numbers from the map? SENATOR GAETZ: No, ma'am. 9 The amendment 10 is to remove from the PCB the following 11 sentence: "Notwithstanding the district numbers specified in Section 3 of Senate Joint 12 Resolution 1176 or in this Senate joint 13 resolution, the 40 Senatorial districts of the 14 15 state shall be renumbered based on a random, 16 incumbent-neutral process of assignment conducted in public." The amendment is to 17 18 remove those words from the PCB so that we can vote on the PCB without reference to the 19 20 numbering system, and then take up the 21 numbering system as a separate matter. 22 SENATOR MARGOLIS: Thank you. The 23 secretary will call the roll on the amendment. 24 THE CLERK: Senator Altman? 25 SENATOR ALTMAN: Yes.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1	THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?
2	SENATOR BENACQUISTO: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Braynon?
4	SENATOR BRAYNON: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
6	Senator Dean?
7	SENATOR DEAN: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
9	SENATOR DETERT: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
11	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
13	SENATOR EVERS: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
15	SENATOR FLORES: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
17	Senator Gardiner?
18	SENATOR GARDINER: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
20	SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
22	SENATOR HAYS: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
24	SENATOR JOYNER: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?

1	SENATOR LATVALA: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
3	SENATOR LYNN: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
5	SENATOR MONTFORD: Yes.
б	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
7	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
9	SENATOR RICH: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
11	SENATOR SACHS: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Simmons?
13	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
15	SENATOR SIPLIN: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
17	SENATOR SMITH: Yes.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
19	SENATOR SOBEL: Yes.
20	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
21	SENATOR STORMS: Yes.
22	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
23	SENATOR THRASHER: Yes.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
25	SENATOR WISE: Yes.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
2	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
4	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes.
5	SENATOR MARGOLIS: So the motion passes.
б	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	Now before us is the PCB. We have
8	discussed it yesterday and today. Is there
9	further discussion on the PCB? Further
10	discussion? If not, the secretary will call
11	the roll on the PCB. There are amendments that
12	were TP'd. Is there anybody who would like to
13	bring those amendments back up?
14	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair?
15	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Diaz de la
16	Portilla.
17	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: I have an
18	amendment that was TP'd yesterday, it
19	specifically wasn't withdrawn, so it is
20	available today, but I will withdraw it today.
21	It isn't my intent to bring it up.
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Without objection, show
23	the amendment by Senator Diaz de la Portilla
24	withdrawn.
25	Are there any other amenders or proposers

1 of amendments that were with- -- that are TP'd 2 who would like to comment, bring your amendments forward? Anybody? I believe that 3 4 would be Senator Latvala and Senator Altman. SENATOR LATVALA: Show mine withdrawn. 5 6 SENATOR GAETZ: Without objection, show 7 the Latvala amendment withdrawn. 8 SENATOR ALTMAN: Show mine withdrawn. 9 SENATOR GAETZ: Without objection, show 10 the Altman amendment withdrawn. 11 Now the bill is in proper posture. The 12 PCB is before you unamended, except for the amendment which you just adopted. Is there a 13 further discussion? Is there further 14 discussion? 15 16 Senator Gibson. SENATOR GIBSON: Yes, Mr. Chair. I am 17 18 wondering, are we able to debate before we 19 vote? 20 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am, I called for debate three times. 21 This will be the fourth time I call for it. 22 SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, I didn't hear 23 24 it. So am I recognized? 25 Yes, ma'am, you are SENATOR GAETZ:

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 recognized in debate, of course.

2 SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and 3 thank you so much for certainly all of your 4 patience and fairness in allowing us to ask as 5 many questions as we needed and also to 6 certainly make as many comments as we needed 7 for clarity.

8 And I think what we have before us in some 9 areas works for the people, and in other areas, 10 I don't think not so much. I believe that some 11 changes in the map have unfairly placed some minorities in a disadvantage to have their 12 voices heard. Particularly between northeast 13 14 Florida and certainly central Florida, there have been numbers of minorities who were 15 previously at the table and could have their 16 voices heard have now been sucked into other 17 districts where they will not have that 18 opportunity. And I understand the issue of 19 compactness that we -- that must be addressed 20 21 when we send our map back to the Supreme Court, 22 but I also believe that the overriding issue, the Tier 1 issue, was not properly and fully 23 24 addressed as it should be to afford everyone a 25 seat at the table and everyone an opportunity

to make sure that their concerns are addressed
 in the Legislature.

And so that said, I also do not think that 3 we have provided sufficient opportunities to 4 increase minority representation in the 5 6 Legislature, and so today I will not be able to 7 support, as I had before, the PCB. Thank you. 8 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much, 9 Senator Gibson. 10 Further in debate? Further in debate? 11 Leader Rich. 12 SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I do want to say that I -- first of all, I 13 appreciate all the work that the staff has 14 done, particularly Mr. Guthrie, who I don't 15 16 know how many hours of sleep he has had in the last week, but it can't be too many, and I 17 18 think everyone really appreciates the tremendous commitment to this job that you have 19 20 had.

Having said that, I think we have corrected some issues that the Court sent our way, but I think that the Court made clear in its opinion that for the purposes of its constitutionally required review, that this map

1 still, I think, has the effect of protecting 2 incumbents, and as we all know, that is part of the new amendments to the Florida Constitution 3 that prohibit legislative districts from being 4 drawn with the intent of favoring or 5 6 disfavoring an incumbent or candidate. I think that this map still indicates that it favors 7 8 incumbents, and that is clearly what would be 9 called incumbent protection.

10 So I think, as I said, we have fixed a few 11 things, but I think the map simply does not fix 12 a number of the things that the Court suggested 13 to us that needed to be -- that needed to be --14 that needed to be corrected, and,

unfortunately, I will be voting against the map, because I don't think that it has complied with what the Court suggested to us.

18 SENATOR GAETZ: Further in debate?19 Senator Diaz de la Portilla.

20 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chairman 21 and members, my intent is to support the PCB, 22 but I also intend to offer an amendment on the 23 floor when we meet to address certain issues.

24Just so that the public and those who are25watching can understand and follow the process,

1 my staff was not able to see a map until late 2 in the evening on Saturday, so we had very 3 little time to address what I saw as some 4 potential inequities in the plan, and so we had 5 to -- very little time really to put together a 6 map that addressed those inequities.

7 I believe that there is an opportunity to 8 create an additional Hispanic seat -- Hispanic 9 access seat in south Florida. I believe that 10 the demographics justify it, I believe that the 11 numbers are there and that they have been there for a while, and I believe that Hispanics, 12 which are a language minority, and the first 13 tier analysis mandates that we draw districts 14 so as to allow racial and language minorities 15 16 to select a candidate of their choice, that opportunity is there in south Florida, and I 17 intend to present an amendment on the floor 18 that addresses that. 19

I think that the key there rather than having maps that potentially, some could argue, would favor incumbents, we should draw maps that allow minorities, language or racial, to select a candidate of their choice, and there is such an opportunity justified by the numbers

and by the demographics in the south Florida
 area, and so I will work with staff today to
 try to address those issues in a way that makes
 sense.

I commend you, Mr. Chair and the staff, 5 6 for the terrific job you have done in addressing the issues that the Supreme Court 7 8 found on the eight districts that they felt 9 were not compliant, but I think that there is 10 an historic opportunity to enfranchise people 11 in south Florida. The three so-called Hispanic seats in south Florida right now have in excess 12 of 80 percent Hispanic population. 13 The numbers are there, like I said, to justify an intent to 14 make the case on the floor when we meet 15 16 tomorrow, but I will be supporting this bill, Mr. Chairman and members, because I think this 17 18 is a really, really good start, although there are some things that I would like to address, 19 20 and I just wanted to say that for the record, 21 Mr. Chair.

22 SENATOR GAETZ: Of course. Thank you,23 Senator Diaz de la Portilla.

24 Senator Negron and then Senator Detert and 25 then Speaker Thrasher. SENATOR NEGRON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 Florida Supreme Court rulings are not to
 be trifled with, and I want to commend you and
 the staff and members for this PCB.

5 If you look at an important footnote that 6 we haven't talked about before, on page 189, it is footnote 64 of their opinion, it says, 7 8 "Accordingly, any ultimate responsibility of 9 the Court regarding reapportionment would be 10 limited to the redrawing of the Senate plan, 11 and this would occur only if the revised Senate apportionment plan is declared to be invalid," 12 and that is clearly this Court stating that if 13 the Legislature, the Senate in this case, 14 doesn't address the issues of the eight 15 16 districts, then the Supreme Court would redraw 17 the plan. And the reason I support this PCB is 18 because I think there was a very logical, coherent and compliant effort made to go 19 district by district in the eight districts and 20 in the affected areas and solve the problems 21 22 that the Supreme Court found. They are part of 23 the process in reapportionment. And the reason 24 I support this effort is because it was done in 25 a way that was completely responsive to the

1 Court's ruling. And, you know, courts don't 2 make suggestions, they make findings, they 3 issue rulings, they make decisions, and the PCB 4 in front of us took the eight districts where 5 there were constitutional issues and it 6 corrected them.

7 And then secondly, just a process point -and I certainly respect the right of any 8 9 member, including myself, to file an amendment 10 on the floor to any bill at any time, we all 11 have that prerogative and there is nothing wrong with that. I would say, however, that it 12 13 is probably not the best practice for all of us -- and I include myself in this, I am not 14 lecturing other people, I am talking about all 15 of us working together as a Senate -- I don't 16 think it is a good practice to file an 17 18 amendment to the only committee that is going to hear this bill and then withdraw the 19 amendment and then, "Well, now, I am just going 20 21 to do it on the floor." The whole purpose of a committee is so that an amendment can be 22 offered and can be discussed, can be voted up 23 24 or down, and, of course, a member has a right, 25 if they get a bad result, to come to the floor.

1 No one is saying they should waive that right. 2 But I just think that for all of us, if you file an amendment in a committee to a bill, you 3 should either be in or you are out. And I have 4 had amendments voted down this session in 5 6 committee, and, you know, that is the way it goes, you didn't meet your burden of proof on 7 8 that day, your amendment got voted down, but 9 there is no dishonor in that. And so I would 10 hope that we can, when amendments are filed, 11 particularly to important bills like this and the only committee, that we can have a 12 discussion, have an up-or-down vote and not 13 have the floor used as the -- as the initial 14 15 place to resolve amendments, because I don't 16 think that's the best practice. SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Negron. 17 18 Senator Detert. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 19 SENATOR DETERT:

I would just like to speak in support of the maps. I followed it from beginning to end, went around the state, heard the public input, I had one of my aides work on this pretty much full-time from day one, we have a huge notebook on it, and when these maps came out Saturday,

she kept saying, "Isn't it beautiful, isn't it beautiful," because the progress that we have had to make and it is like walking through Jello, unfortunately, and painful to watch, but to make sure everyone is included, that is the process.

7 This is the second time I have been 8 through this process, and I really think there 9 could be no disagreement with the fact that 10 this is the most transparent, inclusive system 11 ever in the history of the State of Florida. And those of us that have been through this 12 before know how it was done, and thanks to 13 technology, thanks to leadership, this is truly 14 15 the most open and transparent, and the staff 16 has done did a great job to try to answer everyone's questions and to bend over 17 18 backwards, and I think we have a very -- this 19 is as good a product as you can possibly get. 20 You're never going to have a thousand percent 21 buy in, but I wholeheartedly support the work 22 of the Committee, and thank you very much. SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Detert. 23 24 Speaker Thrasher.

25 SENATOR THRASHER: Thank you, Mr.

1 Chairman.

First of all, I want to start out, too, 2 congratulating you and the staff. 3 I don't think any of us can appreciate, I know I can't, 4 the number of hours that you and they have put 5 6 in on this incredible project. And, you know, 7 a little bit of help that any of us have been 8 able to give you is probably very de minimis 9 and should have been a lot more probably as we 10 have gone through the process, and for that, I 11 almost apologize to you and to the staff for 12 not us being more readily available to you. But you have done a great job, John and its 13 team, and after many, many years of doing this, 14 you are still as good as always, and I 15 16 appreciate it very much.

Mr. Chairman, I just want to reiterate one 17 thing while we are here, remind everybody why 18 we are here. Why we are here is because the 19 20 Supreme Court took our plan under the 21 Constitution, they looked at it and they 22 basically said there were basically ten areas that were of concern to them. And methodically 23 24 yesterday I believe we went through every one 25 of those areas, and today, particularly the
1 districts that they found that were in violation of the constitutional requirements. 2 I think we went through every one of them. 3 Т think we -- at the end of that process, we are 4 satisfied that we had done our best efforts to 5 6 respond to the concerns raised by the Supreme 7 Court, whether it was compactness or 8 geographical districts or however the numbering 9 was done, all of those things. I think we went 10 through it in a way that I am very, very 11 comfortable with. We also addressed the City 12 of Lakeland, and I think you did a great job in doing that. And lastly, today, we have 13 certainly spent enough time, I believe, on the 14 numbering process, and I think have come up 15 16 with a plan that will make everybody comfortable, you know, and I think Senator 17 Simmons had a great idea about how to address 18 that. 19

20 So I am -- I am totally comfortable with 21 where we are in responding to what the Supreme 22 Court asked us to do, and that is why we are 23 here, we are responding to those very specific 24 things that they asked us to do.

25 And I don't mean to pile onto Senator Diaz FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 109

1 de la Portilla either what Senator Negron had, 2 but, you know, I would remind the members we are -- we are really halfway through the 3 special session. We have another whole week. 4 We could go to the President and ask for more 5 6 time in this Committee if we want to address additional plans that potentially could be 7 offered on the floor tomorrow. And I agree 8 9 with Senator Negron that when we take the bold 10 step to have gone through this process, 11 withdraw a committee -- a particular amendment and then offer it on the floor when we won't 12 have the benefit perhaps of the professional 13 staff to do the kind of analysis that we have 14 15 done in every single aspect of this process, I think is doing a disservice to the members of 16 this Committee and the other members of the 17 Senate who will be there to vote on this. 18 So I quess I would say to Senator Diaz de la 19 20 Portilla, certainly you have the right, 21 Senator, to do that, but we do have another 22 week left in this special session, and, frankly, if we need more time to look at 23 24 additional maps, we ought to take the time to 25 And I would -- I would be more than do it.

willing to stay here tomorrow, Friday and
through the weekend until next Wednesday to
make sure we get the job done and get it
completed appropriately, particularly if there
are going to be new maps addressed on the floor
of the Senate tomorrow.

7 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much,8 Senator.

9 And, Leader Smith, what I wanted to do, 10 Leader, was to give you and Senator Rich the 11 final word, but, Leader, you are recognized. 12 SENATOR SMITH: My comment, I quess, is 13 for procedurally for when we are on the floor. Being that this is an extraordinary session 14 once every ten years, is there a way -- and I 15 16 quess this is for the Rules Chair also -- that we can allow staff on the floor to answer 17 18 questions, because I think because this is an extraordinary situation, we have professional 19 20 staff with the numbers and the capability, if 21 there is a way through the rules that we can allow staff on the floor to address any 22 amendments or things that are filed and have 23 24 the -- our professional staff be available on 25 the floor during this extraordinary session?

1 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Rules Chair. 2 SENATOR THRASHER: Certainly like any other major piece of legislation, the staff is 3 available to be on the floor. But my point, 4 Senator Smith, I don't think the staff is there 5 6 to sit in front of us like this and address, you know, as we are in a committee today. I 7 8 think that is the responsibility of the 9 individual Senator to bring whatever process he 10 has or questions they have to the Senate floor. 11 Certainly the staff can assist in whoever is responding to that, i.e., Senator Gaetz, since 12 it is his proposed committee bill, but to have 13 the staff there to analyze anything that goes 14 on on the floor of the Senate as we are doing 15 16 here today, I don't think would be appropriate 17 to do.

18 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader, did you wish to19 follow up?

20 SENATOR SMITH: I guess you answered in 21 the tail end of your explanation the opposite 22 of, I guess, what I would like to see, because 23 during normal session, staff is on the floor if 24 there is a big bill, and they are giving the 25 answers to the bill sponsor. I wanted to take

1 the extraordinary step and have staff be able 2 to answer questions on the floor as we do in I think that would be appropriate 3 committee. being that this is an extraordinary situation. 4 5 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader Smith, if I might, 6 Rules Chair, I think you have raised a rules 7 question as well as a decorum question for the 8 floor. I can tell you this, that I am not 9 going on the Senate floor tomorrow unless --10 unless John Guthrie and Andy Bardos are in good 11 health and unless they are there on the floor 12 sitting next to me so that they can advise me and I can give accurate answers. But as to 13 whether they would have the ability to respond 14 themselves on the floor of the Senate, may I 15 16 ask the Rules Chair to take that under 17 advisement and get back to Senator Smith on 18 that?

19 And I believe that Senator Latvala was20 next. Senator Latvala, sir.

21 SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, the 22 members of the Senate and the members of this 23 Committee have been operating under a schedule 24 that you, as the Chairman, put together and 25 that we adopted on the floor last week, and

that schedule had -- you know, it had a 1 2 deadline for amendments for the floor today. We didn't put out a schedule that said there 3 couldn't be any amendments after committee. We 4 5 put out a schedule that said there were going 6 to be floor amendments. We -- and you and I 7 had a conversation last week about the time 8 frame between when your amendment came out, 9 which -- you know, which the staff had, you 10 know, about eight days to work on after the 11 Supreme Court decision came out, and of an adequate amount of time between when your 12 13 amendment came out and when other people's amendments would be allowed, and your amendment 14 15 came out at noon on a Saturday --16 SENATOR GAETZ: 10:20 a.m. SENATOR LATVALA: Okay, a Saturday, an 17 18 hour and 40 minutes before noon on Saturday, and then the amendment deadline was Monday 19 20 morning at noon. And there was a lot of -- for 21 those people working on amendments, we were 22 scurrying around, we had people helping us like 23 Senator Simmons working with the staff over the 24 weekend, and even with the staff-prepared 25 amendments, we had glitches in them, you know,

because of, you know, really three or four 1 hours of office time on the Senate schedule on 2 Monday morning to get them together before the 3 deadline. And we have heard from you several 4 times about how close we were to adhering to 5 6 that deadline when we really only had four working hours for the staff, you know, from 7 8 eight o'clock in the morning until noon on 9 Monday to get those amendments ready.

10 Now today we are hearing a drum beat of we 11 shouldn't have any amendments tomorrow that hadn't been vetted before the Committee. 12 You know, I think that effectively disenfranchises 13 all the members of the Senate that are on this 14 Committee, number one, and number two, there 15 16 are issues that have come up during the week, like, for instance, in Senator Storms' district 17 18 with the nipple that was put on Hillsborough County from Lakeland and Plant City that I have 19 had a lot of local feedback about from the 20 21 county commissioner from out there and the city 22 commission and the Mayor and the Chamber of Commerce, that it has taken a couple of days to 23 24 assimilate this week to get that local input, and, you know, very well may have an amendment 25

1 on that tomorrow. And, you know, I don't think 2 it is fair to be starting a drum beat of because we couldn't get an amendment in by noon 3 two days ago, we can't have it considered or we 4 shouldn't have it considered or there is 5 6 something wrong with having it considered. 7 And, you know, this is the Florida Senate, and, 8 you know, individual Florida Senators who want 9 to submit amendments, who want to be involved 10 in this process, should be allowed to be 11 involved in this process without fear or intimidation. And, you know, I just -- I just 12 think it is -- this drum beat of no amendments 13 for tomorrow is -- I quess it is peremptorily 14 15 in nature to try to discourage people from 16 filing amendments, but I think it is unfortunate. And, you know, with this -- we 17 18 are dealing with the schedule that you set up, Mr. Chairman, and we're trying our best to deal 19 20 with -- to work within that schedule, and we 21 all have a limited amount of time up here, there is a limited amount of staff and we are 22 23 going to do the best we can on it. 24 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator

25 Latvala, and since you raised a couple of

1

points, let me respond just procedurally.

2 First of all, you have heard opinions from different Senators today. You haven't heard a 3 drum beat, unless you wish to characterize it 4 5 that way. You have heard opinions from 6 different Senators about the approach that they use or that they feel is appropriate when an 7 8 amendment is discussed and then withdrawn in a 9 committee. You have not heard the Chair state 10 that amendments would not be welcomed on the 11 floor. You have heard the Chair say that in order for amendments to be proper and to be 12 technically correct, please give us those 13 amendments in advance so that we can make sure 14 15 that this professional staff can help.

16 Let me say as well that contrary to what was said earlier, maybe some Senator didn't see 17 18 the map until late on Saturday, but the map was published. The proposed -- the Chair- -- what 19 20 was styled as the Chairman's amendment, which 21 is the PCB, was published at 10:20 a.m. on 22 Saturday, not Saturday night. Number two, there weren't just four hours, working hours, 23 24 to work on the amendatory process. I can tell 25 you because I was here that this professional

staff worked virtually around the clock during those weekend days to assist Senators who were contacting them, who were discussing amendments with them as late as ten and eleven o'clock at night. So it wasn't four working hours.

6 And this is the Florida Senate, and 7 everybody is entitled to offer an amendment 8 according to the rules, but let's not -- let's 9 not get, you know, too emotional here on any 10 side of this issue. You can offer amendments. 11 The amendment deadline is five o'clock today. 12 We ask that you get your amendments in, if possible, by 3:00 or earlier so that we can 13 make sure that they are technically correct. 14 You will not see me on the floor tomorrow 15 16 saying that people should not offer amendments. You will see me saying that if there is a 17 wholesale change in the map, if suddenly we 18 discover a whole new plan, fully grown, sprung 19 from the brow of Zeus, suddenly at the end 20 21 after a year of waiting, you might have me lift 22 my eyebrows rather rhetorically at that. But 23 amendments of the kind that you are describing, 24 Senator Latvala, for the area that you -- that 25 you mentioned, absolutely, you will see no

criticism from me now or then. This is the
Florida Senate.

3 Other comments? You have already spoken 4 in debate. Other comments in debate? If not, 5 we will go to the Majority Leader and the 6 Minority Leader to close -- I'm sorry? 7 A VOICE: You addressed your comments to 8 me? 9 SENATOR GAETZ: I addressed no comment to 10 you. We will be in order. Is there a Senator 11 back there who wished to speak? Senator Dean. 12 SENATOR DEAN: Mr. Chair, I want to 13 reiterate what you said and about the fact that 14 everybody has a chance to present amendments, 15 but also they remember that we have a chance to 16 vote those amendments up or down, and it just 17 didn't start today or on a time clock on 18 Saturday or on Friday or Thursday. We have been a year in this process. And I feel it is 19 20 important to take all the time necessary to 21 expose any good idea, but we as a Senate have a 22 right to vote those amendments up or down. And 23 I want to commend you on the process so far, 24 and let's keep moving on. Thank you.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much.

1 Other Senators in debate who have not had 2 a chance to speak? If not, Leader Gardiner, and then we will go to Leader Rich. 3 4 SENATOR GARDINER: Mr. Chairman, is this in debate or in regards to what we talked about 5 6 for the --7 We are in debate on the SENATOR GAETZ: 8 PCB, and then we --9 SENATOR GARDINER: Okay. 10 SENATOR GAETZ: If there's no further 11 debate on the PCB, we will call the roll. 12 SENATOR GARDINER: Thank you, Mr. 13 Chairman, and I want to thank you, I want to thank John Guthrie and his team. 14 There were a 15 few of us that have spent a lot of time trying 16 to understand every aspect, and I got a real lesson last week as to how difficult it truly 17 18 is to draw a map. You know, you can have an idea, and, you know, people talk about 19 20 appendages, some of these appendages are a 21 hundred sixty, 180,000 people. That is the 22 size of a House district. And while people talk about appendages, you look around the map, 23 24 there are some appendages, and that is just the 25 way it is, but those are real people and those

1 are constituents and those are communities that 2 have a history that deserve to have the best 3 map put forward that represents all interests. 4 And Senator Gaetz, Chairman, I want to thank 5 you for your openness to ideas and suggestions 6 from all of us. I think every one of us can 7 say at one time or another that we have come in 8 with an idea.

9 We have been very specific that the 10 Florida Supreme Court picked out eight areas 11 that they felt as though we needed to address, 12 and we have focused on those eight areas, and I 13 think we are bringing forward a very good, 14 comprehensive plan that we can be proud of. 15 And, Chairman, I want to thank you, and again, 16 John, thank you to you and your team, and I 17 look forward to supporting this good amendment. 18 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Leader. 19 Leader Rich, I know you have spoken in 20 debate, but out of courtesy, we will allow you 21 to close debate. 22 SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I am not going to repeat what I said 23 24 before, but I do just have one comment. It is 25 kind of how fast we forget.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

121

I remember when we were closing on the map 1 2 the original time, that I had an amendment, and the amendment I actually TP'd because of the 3 4 fact that there were some errors in it, through no fault of anybody here. And I don't know if 5 6 some of you remember the conversation at the 7 committee meeting, because Senator Thrasher 8 thought that I might be looking to put it in on 9 the floor the next day, and Senator Lynn and 10 others commented very strongly about the fact 11 that they thought that that was inappropriate, especially if it were, as you kind of stated, a 12 wholesale map, because we have had a process 13 that has gone on for months and months and 14 15 months, we have had people all over this state 16 commenting, coming to our reapportionment hearings, we have had ours, and I took that 17 into consideration at that time. And I think 18 that the kinds of amendments that were being 19 20 discussed here today are fine, and I certainly 21 believe that any Senator can put in any map at 22 any time up until, you know, the time that we vote on this, but I think that there has to be 23 24 a respect for the people that we come up here 25 to serve, and accountability to them, because

1 we have promised them, and I believe we have 2 lived up to that throughout this entire process, to keep it open and transparent to 3 4 them through these hearings and through other mechanisms that you have used. 5 So I, for one, 6 would be very distressed to see maps come in 7 here to go onto the floor tomorrow that were 8 entirely new and maps which nobody has seen and 9 nobody could comment on other than the 40 10 Senators on the floor. Thank you. 11 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Leader Rich, 12 and let me just thank you. You and I have voted differently on this issue, but you 13 have -- you have been -- you have played by the 14 rules that we helped to develop and you have 15 16 been extraordinarily courteous and thoughtful 17 and fair in this process, as has your caucus. 18 There being no further debate, the secretary will call the roll on the PCB. 19 20 Senator Altman? THE CLERK: 21 SENATOR ALTMAN: Yes. 22 Senator Benacquisto? THE CLERK: 23 SENATOR BENACOUISTO: Yes. 24 THE CLERK: Senator Braynon? 25 SENATOR BRAYNON: No.

- 1 THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
- 2 Senator Dean?
- 3 SENATOR DEAN: Yes.
- 4 THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
- 5 SENATOR DETERT: Yes.
- 6 THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
- 7 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Yes.
- 8 THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
- 9 SENATOR EVERS: Yes.
- 10 THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
- 11 SENATOR FLORES: Yes.
- 12 THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
- 13 Senator Gardiner?
- 14 SENATOR GARDINER: Yes.
- 15 THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
- 16 SENATOR GIBSON: No.
- 17 THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
- 18 SENATOR HAYS: Yes.
- 19 THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
- 20 SENATOR JOYNER: No.
- 21 THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
- 22 SENATOR LATVALA: Yes.
- 23 THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
- 24 SENATOR LYNN: Yes.
- 25 THE CLERK: Senator Montford?

1	SENATOR MONTFORD: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
3	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
5	SENATOR RICH: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
7	Senator Simmons?
8	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
10	SENATOR SIPLIN: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
12	SENATOR SMITH: No.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
14	SENATOR SOBEL: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
16	SENATOR STORMS: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
18	SENATOR THRASHER: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
20	SENATOR WISE: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
22	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
24	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes. Senators Sachs, the
25	roll call is still going on. Did you wish to

1 be recorded yes or no on the PCB? 2 SENATOR SACHS: I wish to be recorded no. SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sachs shall be 3 4 The PCB passes by your action, recorded no. and it will be reported to the Senate. 5 6 Now, I would like to just forecast what 7 might happen next. It will be my intention to 8 file an amendment by three o'clock today that 9 everyone will have the opportunity to see, 10 which will comport with the conversation that 11 we have had here having to do with a random and incumbent-neutral selection of -- for assigning 12 Senatorial districts. 13 14 I would ask that the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader meet now after we break in 15 16 order to determine the specific methodology that would be used under that amendment should 17

18 it pass on the Senate floor tomorrow.

19 Leader Rich, do I -- is that acceptable to 20 you, ma'am?

21 SENATOR RICH: Yes, Senator Gardiner and I
22 have already met --

23 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay.

24 SENATOR RICH: -- and we -- what we have 25 determined is that we will ask in about an

1 hour, or whatever time you set, we would come back here, and Debbie Brown, Secretary of the 2 Senate, would come and she would do the drawing 3 4 and the numbers would be put on the map and it would be over with, finished and not done in 5 6 the chamber, which we all agree is not 7 appropriate, and then it could be -- the 8 numbers would be able to be used and engrossed into the bill, if that is --9

SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gardiner, is that your understanding?

12 SENATOR GARDINER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, and one of the ideas of why we wanted to go ahead 13 and do that, that way, we have a product that 14 is going to the floor, that everybody not only 15 16 knows where the lines are, but they also know where the numbers are, and it gives members 17 18 through the amendment process by five o'clock today to file alternative ideas if they choose 19 20 to on a numbering system. So I am in complete 21 agreement with Leader Rich.

While I may have some reservations about a lottery system, I do think that it is important that what goes to the floor is the full package, and this gives us the opportunity to

1 do that. So at your request, Chairman, and 2 when you would like us to come back, I would suggest that the -- we have enough time so that 3 everything can be tested and everybody can be 4 ready and everybody can be notified of when to 5 6 come back and actually, if they choose to sit 7 here, personally I probably will not, but if 8 somebody feels like they need to, they can have 9 plenty of time to get here.

10 SENATOR GAETZ: Let me be sure that I 11 understand how you want to proceed. So you intend to use the material that is here, the 12 baskets and so forth, is that correct, Leader? 13 14 SENATOR RICH: Yes, that is correct. 15 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. And then just 16 procedurally, and I ask the Rules Chair to help us here a little bit if we are straying far 17 18 afield, it is your intent, then, to have these 19 numbers developed and assigned today so that

20 they can go into the PCB, and if that is the 21 case, Mr. Rules Chair, does this Committee need 22 to go back into session this afternoon and then 23 adopt an amendment that would go into the PCB,

24 a late-filed amendment?

25 SENATOR THRASHER: It would, Mr. Chairman.

1 I think we have -- we are scheduled until two 2 o'clock.

3 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. 4 SENATOR THRASHER: Obviously before noon, on a two-hour notice if we needed additional 5 time, we could ask the President for additional 6 7 time, but it seems to me, based on what I have 8 heard from Senator Rich and Senator Gardiner, 9 that that could be accomplished by two o'clock 10 today, and if it is accomplished by two o'clock 11 today, we could, I think, finish our business. 12 SENATOR GAETZ: Is there any objection by any -- yes, sir, Leader Smith. 13 14 SENATOR SMITH: One question about the

14 numbering system I don't think that has even 15 numbering system I don't think that has even 16 come up. It was mentioned briefly. Has it 17 been determined that we are going to do a north 18 to south in the numbering, or is it a 19 possibility to do south to north?

20 SENATOR GAETZ: The -- it could be -- it 21 could be either way, but the conversation up to 22 this point and my proposed amendment would be 23 to follow along with what Senator Altman and 24 Senator Latvala had originally suggested, and 25 that is to go from north to south and use the numbers that are on the map now as placeholder
numbers. That is our intention at this point,
unless it is the will of the Committee to
operate differently.

5 And I believe that Senator Diaz de la 6 Portilla was reserving the right to object to 7 the process, is that right? Please state your 8 objection.

9 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: My objection 10 is that I don't agree with having a random 11 lotto here today before two o'clock. I just think that we had a discussion on how we were 12 13 going to do the numbering. There was an intent 14 expressed to have an amendment by yourself, Mr. Chairman, expressed to have an amendment 15 16 regarding how to go about the numbering tomorrow on the floor. I think that tomorrow 17 on the floor, as you said you intended to do 18 initially, is the right way to go, and I don't 19 20 believe that this last-minute quick pick that 21 we are intending to do here today is the right 22 way to do it, and that is -- and I reserve the right to make that objection as a Senator. 23

24I mean, I would also like to clarify that25what I was referring to when I talked about

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

130

receiving the map at six o'clock was a physical map that you could actually feel and touch, not when it was posted on the website and in microprint. So that is my objection to the quick pick. Thank you.

6 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Thank you very 7 much, Senator Diaz de la Portilla. Your 8 objection is noted.

9 We will go to Senator Storms, and then we 10 will go to Leader Gardiner, then we are going 11 to take a vote on the Gardiner/Rich proposal. 12 Senator Storms.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, and 13 SENATOR STORMS: on behalf of the many citizens of the State of 14 Florida who I believe feel the exact same way 15 16 that I do, I object to casting lots. I object to casting lots for making a decision, I object 17 18 to casting lots on the floor of the Senate. At the very least, I am glad that we are not 19 20 casting lots on the Florida Senate, but I 21 object to casting -- to the usage of casting 22 lots, and I believe that there are grave -there are people all across the state of 23 24 Florida that will be very, very deeply offended 25 by the Florida Senate casting lots to make a

1 decision, and I feel like those decisions and 2 those words have to be said here today. 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader Gardiner. 5 SENATOR GARDINER: Thank you, Mr. 6 Chairman, and just to address the comments from 7 Senator Diaz de la Portilla, I think it is 8 important to realize that I think we fully went 9 into this Committee with an understanding that 10 there would be an amendment to draw the numbers 11 out and that there would be some type of a 12 proposal to the floor, or potentially a lottery or whatever we want to call it, but this 13 particular recommendation and suggestion is not 14 from the Chairman, it is actually from me as 15 16 the Leader of the Republicans, and, of course, Leader Rich. And the concept behind it -- it 17 18 is important to me that we go to the floor and every member know everything about that map, 19 20 they know the changes that we have made, they 21 know the numbering that we made, and then they 22 can determine if by five o'clock today they have an objection to the numbering system, how 23 24 it is done, that they can draft an amendment to 25 do that.

So, again, I want to thank Leader Rich for 1 2 working together. I think this is about as open as it can be, that we go ahead and put 3 4 something in place. And I actually agree with Senator Storms, I have some personal 5 6 convictions and reservations about casting 7 lots, but this would at least give us an 8 opportunity for those that want to come up with 9 something different, that they could do it by 10 five o'clock. So, you know, there's always a 11 process in the Committee that you have to just 12 understand the will of the majority, and here 13 is where we are.

14 Mr. Chairman, I -- at your recommendation, but I would suggest just to Chairman Thrasher's 15 point, if there is a need to meet longer than 16 two o'clock, that you would need to do that 17 prior to noon, I believe, according to Chairman 18 Thrasher. So I think if we are going to move 19 20 forward, we probably need to set some time, 21 maybe 11:30-ish, to give everybody time, and 22 then if there is any issues and we feel like we need more time for committee, then we can do 23 24 that prior to noon, but that would be my 25 recommendation going forward.

SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Leader.
Senator Evers. Just a second, we will get to
you.

4 Mr. Chairman, members of SENATOR EVERS: the Committee, you know, we were elected to 5 6 come up here to represent the people, to be 7 fair in our representation of the people in the 8 State of Florida. I cannot believe that we 9 would get down to as, quote Senator Storms, 10 Senator Gardiner, of casting lots on districts. 11 I feel like that, you know, there should be 12 equal proposal of addressing left to right, north to south and just numbering the 13 14 districts.

What my concern is is if the Panhandle or 15 16 south Florida or Jacksonville area were to get all even numbers or all odd numbers, it would 17 interfere in those areas -- those particular 18 areas that wound up with that would lose some 19 20 experience in this process because of term 21 limits itself, and with that, I cannot support 22 the idea of just casting lots and -- when our Constitution says that we shall use consecutive 23 24 numbers. And, to me, using consecutive numbers 25 are numbers that are in order, and our map

1 makes some sense, whether it be north to south, 2 south to north or east to west. And with that, I cannot support this idea. Thank you. 3 4 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much, 5 Senator Evers. 6 Senator Sobel and then Senator Latvala. 7 SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 I believe with the extensive discussion 9 that we have had, we haven't really come up 10 with an alternative process. And the lottery 11 is legal in the state of Florida, so there 12 shouldn't be any hesitation on our parts whether it is legal or not legal. 13 14 Senator Smith brought up a good point about starting with the south in terms of 15 16 choosing the numbers, and I think we should do a coin toss with that regard so it is totally 17 random and there's no preconceived notions. 18 19 In addition, I would like to again address 20 the issue of people who were term limited. Ιt 21 was not addressed in your process -- I mean, 22 the process we are going to go through. In 23 some way, we need to address that. Thank you. 24 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much, 25 Senator Sobel.

1 Senator Latvala.

2 SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think Senator Storms makes a very cogent 3 point for me, the example that this sets for 4 the rest of the state, and so I join her in 5 6 opposing this idea. 7 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator 8 Latvala. Is there further debate? Is there 9 further debate? 10 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair? 11 SENATOR GAETZ: You have already been heard in debate. 12 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: It's not 13 14 debate, it's a point. 15 SENATOR GAETZ: A point? Please state 16 your point. SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair, I 17 18 would like to state a point of order. I think this motion is in the form of an amendment. 19 It would be a late-filed amendment since it wasn't 20 21 on our agenda, wasn't submitted beforehand that 22 we would have the quick pick today, and so I would say -- I would object and raise that 23 24 point, Mr. Chair, this is a last-minute, 25 late-filed amendment that would require a

1 two-thirds vote.

2	SENATOR GAETZ: That is an excellent
3	observation, except that this is not an
4	amendment. This is simply a request by the
5	Chairman of the Committee to the Majority and
6	Minority Leaders that they provide input to an
7	amendment that would be offered on the floor
8	tomorrow. The amendment that would be voted on
9	tomorrow would be would lay out the results
10	of what is being done today. And so,
11	therefore, your point is not well taken.
12	Are there further comments? Yes, Senator
13	Montford.
14	SENATOR MONTFORD: I just want to make
15	sure we have not decided to do the lotto
16	thing yet, we haven't voted on that, correct?
17	Or that is
18	SENATOR GAETZ: We have not
19	SENATOR MONTFORD: Has that decision been
20	made?
21	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Montford, here is
22	my understanding of where we are from a
23	parliamentary standpoint, and I am sure the
24	Rules Chair will correct me if I am wrong: I
25	have indicated that I intend to file an

amendment, a timely-filed amendment, tomorrow. 1 2 The Minority and Majority Leaders have, at my -- I have asked them if they would assist in 3 determining the methodology and how that 4 5 timely-filed amendment would be laid out. They 6 have indicated that they have already met and that they would -- that they intend to 7 8 undertake to provide through a -- through a 9 random system the numbers that they would 10 advise me to put in that amendment that would 11 be voted on tomorrow.

What we will vote on in this Committee now 12 is an advisory vote on the -- on the 13 Gardiner/Rich motion, which is to, within the 14 15 next hour, bring the Secretary of the Senate to 16 this room, and through a random process as described by Craig a few moments ago, assign 17 18 those numbers. The numbers would then go into my amendment. You can vote my amendment up or 19 20 down tomorrow on the floor. You can offer an 21 alternative to my amendment. As Senator Evers 22 indicated, this should -- you know, there ought to be an opportunity for alternatives. 23 So if 24 Senator Evers has an alternative system that he 25 would like to propose to the amendment that I

would offer using the input from the Minority
and Majority Leaders, he is welcome to do so,
as is Senator Storms or any other member of
this Committee. That is where we are from a
parliamentary standpoint.

6 SENATOR MONTFORD: May I ask a question,7 please?

8 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, you certainly may. 9 SENATOR MONTFORD: So, Mr. Chair, your 10 amendment tomorrow then would incorporate 11 whatever -- the numbers that were generated 12 today?

SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, sir, yes, sir, and if you don't like that system, if you don't like those numbers, if you are just having a bad day, you can vote no or you can offer an alternative.

SENATOR MONTFORD: Voting -- but we will 18 vote -- it makes me nervous that we got this 19 20 far down the road, and you have worked harder 21 than anybody up here, and your staff, to get 22 this far, almost a year, and then, you know, 23 think -- have the potential of falling apart at 24 the very end, and that is what -- that is what 25 makes me really nervous.

SENATOR GAETZ: What do you think is
falling apart, Senator Montford?
SENATOR MONTFORD: Well, if we get - SENATOR GAETZ: We have already passed the
PCB.
SENATOR MONTFORD: Well, if we get to the

floor tomorrow and your amendment is voted down, then we will be subjected tomorrow, I assume, to amendments on the floor which hasn't had the value of this discussion that we have had today.

SENATOR GAETZ: Well, but we -- that is 12 13 true, but as Senator Latvala properly points 14 out, amendments are allowed, and I am sure 15 there are Senators who have already said they 16 don't like the idea of random selection, they like the idea of a different system, I am 17 confident that there will be amendments filed 18 that will be timely filed and that will be 19 20 properly debated and discussed on the floor of 21 the Senate tomorrow, just as we have indicated 22 in the schedule that the Senate agreed to and 23 that we have published and adhered to. 24 SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sachs for what

1 purpose?

Mr. Chairman, I would just 2 SENATOR SACHS: like to say -- comment on the procedure that 3 you are conducting today, and I think that it 4 is a good procedure, because I think that there 5 6 are 40 Senators, this numbering system affects 7 every Senator in the state, whether they are in 8 this Committee or not. You have given every 9 Senator in this state ample opportunity to file 10 amendments tomorrow on the floor. This is an 11 issue that should be openly discussed amongst 12 all the members, all the Senators, all 40 13 districts, and I think that this is a proper 14 procedure to move forward on, and I just wanted to make that comment, because I think even 15 16 though I might have voted no on the PCB, I 17 think the procedure that we are following today 18 is that which will give everyone an opportunity to weigh in on a numbering system that affects 19 not only the 40 Senators, but 40 Senators for 20 21 the next ten years. I think it is very, very 22 important, and I absolutely agree with the 23 procedure and I would like to move forward, 24 sir.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Leader Rich, so

1 that we will all know what you and Leader 2 Gardiner are proposing, would you please state vour motion? It is not in the form of an 3 4 amendment. It is in the form of a motion as to a procedure we would use for filling in what 5 6 will be a Chairman's amendment tomorrow. And, 7 Leader Rich, would you please make your motion? 8 SENATOR RICH: If you would just tell me 9 what time you would like us to reconvene to do 10 this, I will make the motion. 11 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Rules Chair, what 12 would you suggest? 13 SENATOR THRASHER: I didn't hear the 14 question. 15 SENATOR GAETZ: The question is what time 16 should we reconvene. SENATOR RICH: What time do we reconvene 17 to do this drawing? 18 19 One hour, or 11:30? SENATOR GAETZ: 20 SENATOR THRASHER: 11:30. 11:30 a.m., ma'am. 21 SENATOR GAETZ: 22 SENATOR RICH: Okay. I move that at 11:30 the Reapportionment Committee reconvene for the 23 24 purpose of determining the numbers that will be 25 affixed to the districts in the form of a

random drawing -- in the form of a random 1 2 drawing. The numbers that would be 3 SENATOR GAETZ: affixed in the Gaetz amendment tomorrow? 4 SENATOR RICH: In the Gaetz amendment 5 б tomorrow, correct. 7 SENATOR GAETZ: And, Leader Gardiner, do 8 you co-sponsor that motion? 9 The motion is before you. Is there 10 further debate? Is there further debate? 11 Senator Simmons. 12 SENATOR SIMMONS: I am raising a question, 13 and I am -- and this is because I am just thinking, and that is that -- which is 14 dangerous, it is scary. 15 16 SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Simmons, when you are thinking, we need to pack our lunch. 17 That is right. And that 18 SENATOR SIMMONS: is that the Constitution requires that we 19 20 number consecutively. The process that we are talking about doing could result in the 21 22 numbering, I am just going to give you a theoretical, using even/odd, is that from the 23 24 northwest, it would be 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 25 16, 18 --

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

143

1 SENATOR GAETZ: Pass those down, please. SENATOR SIMMONS: -- and 20, and then all 2 of the south Florida would be odds, which is a 3 possibility. And the question then becomes, 4 does that meet the constitutional requirement 5 6 of consecutive numbering of the districts? And I am just raising a question as we move into 7 8 this that needs to be thought out and probably 9 analyzed as we -- as we discuss this.

10 SENATOR GAETZ: That is an excellent point 11 and excellent question. What you are being handed out now, and I think there are copies 12 for the press, is simply a couple of historical 13 maps, the '82 and '92 maps governed by this 14 15 Constitution. And you will notice, for 16 example, in the '92 map, which was used until 2002, the districts, looking at northwest 17 Florida, for example, go from 1 to 7 to 3 to 4 18 to 5 to 6 to 2 to 8 to 11 to 16 to 14. 19 In the 20 old Senate and in the old way of doing business 21 and in the constitutional method, there has never been -- there has been consecutive 22 23 numbering, but there has not been precise 24 numbering that has districts that are exactly 25 next to each other. And so I think the answer
to your question is that we are on fairly solid
 ground with that.

Mr. Bardos.

3

4 MR. BARDOS: The Florida Supreme Court in its decision in '82 or '92, I don't remember 5 6 which, decided that consecutive numbers -- the 7 consecutive numbering requirement does not mean 8 that adjacent districts must have consecutive 9 numbers. So as long as there are consecutive 10 numbers somewhere on the map, they don't need 11 to be -- the districts don't need to be 12 abutting each other.

SENATOR SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, Mr.
Chairman -- all I was doing is raising -SENATOR GAETZ: A very good point.

16 SENATOR SIMMONS: -- the issue so that we 17 will have deliberated that in the process of 18 doing this, and I feel comfortable, based upon 19 Mr. Bardos' statements and your statements, Mr. 20 Chair, that we have analyzed that and

21 deliberated on it.

22 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much.23 Are there further comments on the

24 Rich/Gardiner motion?

25 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: I have a

1 question.

2 SENATOR GAETZ: President Margolis. Just 3 a moment, we will get to you, Senator Diaz de 4 la Portilla. SENATOR MARGOLIS: I am trying to -- if 5 6 the people are not here, they are not the ones 7 that are choosing these little balls, are they? 8 T mean --9 SENATOR GAETZ: The intent, I think, and 10 Leader Rich and Leader Gardiner can correct me, 11 my belief is the intent is that this will be 12 conducted by the Secretary of the Senate --13 SENATOR MARGOLIS: And it will be her --14 SENATOR GAETZ: -- but it will be -- yes, 15 ma'am. 16 SENATOR MARGOLIS: She will say in District 1 --17 18 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am. 19 SENATOR MARGOLIS: In listing this 20 District 1, the number will -- this will be odd 21 or this will be even? 22 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am, just as was 23 shown in the demonstration by Mr. Meyer. 24 Senator Diaz de la Portilla. 25 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Thank you,

1 Mr. Chairman.

2	Just a question to Mr. Bardos. Is there					
3	anything in you just mentioned that, in					
4	response to Senator Simmons' question, that the					
5	numbering doesn't need to while the					
6	numbering has to be sequential, it does not					
7	require case law does not require that					
8	districts be adjacent to each other in					
9	sequence. Is there anything that could					
10	potentially change that with the Fair Districts					
11	amendment, because I think that that					
12	predates Fair Districts, or the case that you					
13	may have mentioned?					
14	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos.					
15	MR. BARDOS: I don't believe so. The					
16	requirement that districts be consecutively					
17	numbered is in Article III, Section 16(a), I					
18	believe, and that was not amended by the Fair					
19	Districts amendment, and the Fair Districts					
20	amendment doesn't otherwise speak to numbering,					
21	except to the extent that it is involved in the					
22	prohibition against an intent to favor or					
23	disfavor.					
24	SENATOR GAETZ: A good question, and good					

25 that we should know that prior to taking any

1 further action.

2	Any other comments or questions, points of
3	procedure, debate on the Rich/Gardiner motion?
4	If not, the secretary will call the roll.
5	I'm sorry, did I miss someone? The secretary
6	will please call the roll.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Altman?
8	SENATOR ALTMAN: No.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?
10	Senator Braynon?
11	SENATOR BRAYNON: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
13	Senator Dean?
14	SENATOR DEAN: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
16	SENATOR DETERT: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
18	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: No.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
20	SENATOR EVERS: No.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
22	SENATOR FLORES: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
24	Senator Gardiner?
25	SENATOR GARDINER: Yes.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
2	SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
4	SENATOR HAYS: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
б	SENATOR JOYNER: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
8	SENATOR LATVALA: No.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
10	SENATOR LYNN: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
12	SENATOR MONTFORD: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
14	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
16	SENATOR RICH: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
18	SENATOR SACHS: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Simmons?
20	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
22	SENATOR SIPLIN: No.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
24	SENATOR SMITH: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?

1 SENATOR SOBEL: Yes.

2 THE CLERK: Senator Storms?

3 SENATOR STORMS: No.

4 THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?

5 SENATOR THRASHER: Yes.

6 THE CLERK: Senator Wise?

7 SENATOR WISE: Yes.

8 THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?

9 SENATOR MARGOLIS: Yes.

10 THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?

11 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes. And by your action, 12 the motion passes, and I would ask the Minority 13 and Majority Leaders then to take the action 14 which is described in the motion. I would ask 15 Mr. Meyer to call for the Secretary of the 16 Senate and her staff to come forward and to be 17 here at 11:30.

18 This Committee stands in recess until19 11:30 a.m.

20 (Brief recess taken.)

21 SENATOR GAETZ: The Committee will be in 22 order, please. Members of the Committee, 23 please take your seats. Members of the press 24 and the public, please find a place to be.

25 We welcome Secretary of the Senate and

members of her staff, able members of her staff. And, Madam Secretary, would you please explain what you are about to do? And do we have all members of the Committee, if you will give the Secretary your attention.

Madam Secretary.

6

7 SECRETARY BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 We are prepared with 40 balls, numbered 9 consecutively from 1 to 40. We are prepared 10 with 20 green and 20 white. They will be 11 loaded into these two machines. The staff will 12 take simultaneously rotations to mix and drop a ball from each machine, which I will then 13 present to the Committee, outlining whether it 14 is a -- the Senate district and what color, at 15 16 which time Mr. Guthrie will place the appropriate sticker to the map and we will 17 place that in this tray for your review as we 18 proceed through the 40 districts. 19

20 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Have the Majority 21 Leader and Minority Leader satisfied themselves 22 that there are indeed 40 balls up here, that 23 there are 20 green and 20 white? Are you 24 satisfied, Madam Minority Leader?

25 SENATOR RICH: Yes.

1 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Majority Leader, are 2 you satisfied? Senator Dean, you have a 3 question? 4 SENATOR DEAN: White and green, which one's odd and which one's even? 5 6 SENATOR GAETZ: There is a sign -- there 7 is a sign at the front of the podium, in front 8 of the Secretary, that says green are even and 9 white are odd. 10 Are there any questions as to the 11 procedure as to what is going to occur? 12 SENATOR THRASHER: Mr. Chairman? 13 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, of course, Mr. Rules 14 Chair. 15 SENATOR THRASHER: I suggest a quorum and 16 call the roll of the members. 17 SENATOR GAETZ: The Rules Chair suggests the absence of a quorum. Please call the roll. 18 19 THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz? 20 SENATOR GAETZ: Here. 21 THE CLERK: Senator Margolis? 22 SENATOR MARGOLIS: Here. 23 THE CLERK: Senator Altman? 24 SENATOR ALTMAN: Here. 25 THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?

1	SENATOR	BENACQUISTO:	Here.
---	---------	--------------	-------

- 2 THE CLERK: Senator Braynon?
- 3 SENATOR BRAYNON: Here.
- 4 THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
- 5 Senator Dean?
- 6 SENATOR DEAN: Here.
- 7 THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
- 8 SENATOR DETERT: Here.
- 9 THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
- 10 SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Here.
- 11 THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
- 12 SENATOR EVERS: Here.
- 13 THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
- 14 SENATOR FLORES: Here.
- 15 THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
- 16 Senator Gardiner?
- 17 SENATOR GARDINER: Here.
- 18 THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
- 19 SENATOR GIBSON: Here.
- 20 THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
- 21 SENATOR HAYS: Here.
- 22 THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
- 23 SENATOR JOYNER: Here.
- 24 THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
- 25 SENATOR LATVALA: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
2	SENATOR LYNN: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
4	SENATOR MONTFORD: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
6	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes, here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
8	SENATOR RICH: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
10	Senator Simmons?
11	SENATOR SIMMONS: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
13	SENATOR SIPLIN: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Smi
15	SENATOR SMITH: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
17	SENATOR SOBEL: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
19	Senator Thrasher?
20	SENATOR THRASHER: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
22	Quorum is present.
23	SENATOR GAETZ: A quorum being present,
24	the Committee is in order.
25	Are there any questions of procedure that

1 anyone has at this point? 2 If not, Madam Minority Leader, Mr. Majority Leader, are you pretty ready to 3 proceed with your process? 4 Okay. Madam Secretary, please proceed and 5 6 do whatever it is you do. 7 SECRETARY BROWN: We will now load the 8 bins with the appropriate balls. 9 SENATOR GAETZ: Yeah, district numbers. 10 You're going to pull the district number out 11 and then pull the red and green. They pull the district number out, and then if that is the 12 district, it is determined to either be odd or 13 14 even, and then they put odd or even on the map. Sergeant Severance, would you make sure 15 16 the front of the room is secured, please, 17 during this time? SECRETARY BROWN: Mr. Chairman, we will be 18 drawing for State Senate Plan S000S9016. 19 20 SENATOR GAETZ: That is correct. 21 SECRETARY BROWN: Okay. We are ready. 22 Three to mix and one to draw. District 18 is green. 23 24 District 27 is white. 25 District 22 is green.

SENATOR STORMS: Point of order. Point of
 order.

SENATOR GAETZ: What is the point? 3 4 SENATOR STORMS: The point is this is a 5 violation of Florida Statutes 849.08, and I 6 would like an Attorney General's opinion 7 whether or not this is gambling. According to 8 849.08, Florida Statutes, "Whoever plays or 9 engages in any game at cards, keno, roulette, 10 faro or other game of chance at any place by 11 any device whatever for money or other thing of 12 value shall be quilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in 13 14 775.082 or 775.083."

The other thing of value is the difference between two years and four years, a difference in salary between two years and four years, that is my point, and I would like an Attorney

19 General's opinion. Thank you.

20 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Storms.
21 Your point will be referred to the Attorney
22 General.

Please continue, Madam Secretary.
SENATOR STORMS: Mr. Chair, point of
order. Point of order.

SENATOR GAETZ: Excuse me, stop, stop.
 Yes, Senator Storms.

SENATOR STORMS: The person who is 3 subjected to the point and who is quilty of the 4 misdemeanor are the three people standing in 5 6 front of us, the Secretary and the two helpers, 7 and so they are the persons who will be guilty 8 of the misdemeanor and not us. 9 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Storms, 10 for your legal opinion. This is being referred 11 to the Attorney General, as you asked. Please continue. 12 13 SECRETARY BROWN: District 26 is white. 14 District 20 is green. District 32 is green. 15 16 District 13 is green. District 37 is green. 17 SENATOR GAETZ: Stop, please. What is 18 your question? 19 20 A VOICE: My question is, are the green 21 balls from the factory in that color, or are 22 the green balls painted, therefore, making them heavier than the white balls so that they will 23 24 fall to the bottom more than the white? 25 We asked the Minority SENATOR GAETZ:

1 Leader and Majority Leader to satisfy themselves as to the fairness of the method 2 that they had suggested. I would suggest you 3 4 contact your Leader and inquire as to that 5 question. 6 Please continue. 7 SENATOR RICH: Mr. Chair? My understanding is now from the General Counsel 8 9 that they were ordered in that color. 10 SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much, 11 Leader. Please continue. 12 13 SECRETARY BROWN: District 19 is white. 14 District 17 is green. 15 District 24 is green. District 30 is white. 16 District 16 is white. 17 District 11 is white. 18 District 34 is white. 19 20 District 10 is white. 21 District 28 is green. 22 District 33 is green. District 2 is white. 23 24 District 15 is white. 25 District 4 is green.

1	District 14 is green.
2	District 29 is white.
3	District 36 is white.
4	District 25 is green.
5	District 39 is white.
б	District 38 is green.
7	District 6 is white.
8	District 23 is green.
9	District 21 is green.
10	District 12 is green.
11	District 3 is white.
12	District 5 is white.
13	District 8 is green.
14	District 31 is white.
15	District 35 is white.
16	District 1 is green.
17	District 40 is white.
18	District 7 is white.
19	District 9 is green, and that completes
20	your random selection process, Mr. Chairman.
21	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much.
22	Let me forecast for the Committee what we
23	will do next. I will work with the Majority
24	and Minority Leaders to craft an amendment,
25	which will be offered on the floor tomorrow,

which will follow the numbering system that - I'm sorry, the odd/even system that has been
 laid out here in this random selection.

Mr. Guthrie, now that numbers mean less in 4 this random selection than do the odds and the 5 6 evens, Mr. Guthrie will in front of the 7 Committee go through and number the districts, 8 starting in northwest Florida and moving to the 9 south. And, again, I point out that there is 10 no relevance now to the numbers unless you have a favorite number, and my favorite number, 11 unfortunately, is not possible for me to get, 12 but then we will take that amendment to the 13 floor. We will timely file that amendment 14 today by three o'clock so that it is available 15 16 for the press, the public and other Senators, and I will -- then, of course, we will welcome 17 18 any counter-proposals that also might be filed. Mr. Guthrie, under everyone's watchful 19 20 eye, why don't you place numbers on the 21 districts? 22 SENATOR HAYS: Mr. Chairman? 23 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, sir. 24 SENATOR HAYS: Would you please reiterate

25 once again what the Constitution calls for in

1 two-year terms versus four-year terms? 2 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie -- I'm sorry, Mr. Bardos, could you recall for Senator Hays 3 4 on the Committee what the Constitution calls for? 5 6 MR. BARDOS: Members elected from 7 odd-numbered districts will run for four years, 8 and members elected from even numbers will run 9 for two years. 10 SENATOR HAYS: We can't hear you. 11 MR. BARDOS: So odd is four years, and 12 even is two years. SENATOR GAETZ: Did everyone hear that? 13 14 Please continue, Mr. Guthrie. 15 These then become the new numbers assigned 16 to the districts, and it has already been 17 determined by random selection whether those districts are even-numbered or odd-numbered 18 districts. 19 20 MR. GUTHRIE: You keep track of evens, Jay, you keep track of odds, so I am going to 21 -- so tell me the next one. 22 SENATOR GAETZ: While we are waiting for 23 24 Mr. Guthrie to finish taking the numbers onto 25 the map and offer the map onto the documents

1 that will be used in preparation of the 2 amendment, I am prepared to rule on the point of order raised by Senator Storms. 3 Senator Storms has raised a point of order that the 4 procedure followed this morning in selecting 5 6 State Senate districts and assigning numbers 7 constitutes gambling, and as such, violates 8 state law. The purpose of the process followed 9 this morning achieves a legislative purpose and 10 applies a procedure based on a decision by the 11 Committee. Insofar as legislative rules are 12 established to accomplish a purely procedural purpose, there is no violation of law. 13 No 14 member stands to gain or lose more than any 15 other member under the random process agreed to 16 this morning by the Committee. Furthermore, there is nothing in the rules of the Senate 17 18 that contradict the process agreed to this morning, and consequently, Senator Storms' 19 20 point is not well-taken. However, Senator 21 Storms has every right, just as any Senator 22 does, or any citizen of Florida does, to request an opinion of the Attorney General. 23 24 Are there any questions as to that? 25 SENATOR THRASHER: Mr. Chairman, I assume

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

162

you then would rule the point out of order?
 SENATOR GAETZ: The point is out of order,
 the point is not well-taken.

And following -- as soon as we adjourn, I would ask the Majority Leader, Senator Gardiner, and the Minority Leader, Senator Rich, and also I would ask Senator Smith if he could remain just for a moment so that here we might just make sure we are all together on the styling of the amendment for timely filing.

11 I would point out to the Committee that neither yesterday nor today did any member of 12 the public turn in a speaker card, so we did 13 14 not have any requests for appearance before the 15 Committee, and consequently, there was no 16 public testimony. However, I would mention again that we did send out e-mails to all of 17 18 those who gave us their e-mail addresses in our public hearings, as well as those who contacted 19 20 us in various ways and left their e-mail 21 addresses, and we have gotten some feedback, 22 and we would urge you to go to the redistricting website, with which you are all 23 familiar, and look at that feedback that we 24 25 have gotten from those with -- for whom we work

1 before we cast any votes tomorrow on the floor.

Are you concluded with your work, Mr.Guthrie?

4 MR. GUTHRIE: Almost.

Yes.

8

5 SENATOR GAETZ: All right. I know some of 6 those districts are hard to write numbers into 7 because they're small on the map.

Senator Hays will now sing.

9 Are we concluded with our business? Okay. 10 We have a record of the meeting, obviously, 11 that was the signal part of the meeting, and we have concluded, I believe, all of the other 12 business. And, again, to let the committee 13 14 members know what will happen next, I will ask 15 the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader and 16 Senator Smith to remain afterwards, and right 17 here we will make sure that we are together on 18 what the amendment will state tomorrow. Anv member of the Senate may file an amendment for 19 20 a counter-proposal or may amend the amendment 21 in any way, as long as they are within the rules of the Senate. 22

Is there further business to come before
the Senate Committee on Reapportionment? If
not, with great thanks to Leader Rich and

1	Leader	Gardiner,	Senator	Montford	moves	we
2	rise.					
3						
4						
5						
б						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA )

COUNTY OF LEON )

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is of a tape-recording taken down by the undersigned, and the contents thereof were reduced to typewriting under my direction;

That the foregoing pages 2 through 165 represent a true, correct, and complete transcript of 10 the tape- recording;

11 And I further certify that I am not of kin or 12 counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the 13 regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor 14 am I in anywise interested in the result of said case. 15 Dated this 26th day of March, 2012.

19 CLARA C. ROTRUCK 20 Notary Public 21 State of Florida at Large 22 Commission Expires: 23 November 13, 2014 24

25