31

A bill to be entitled An act relating to civil actions; creating s. 768.096, F.S.; providing an employer with a presumption against negligent hiring under specified conditions in an action for civil damages resulting from an intentional tort committed by an employee; amending s. 768.095, F.S.; revising the conditions under which an employer is immune from civil liability for disclosing information regarding an employee to a prospective employer; creating s. 768.071, F.S.; providing limitations on premises liability for a person or organization owning or controlling an interest in a business premises; amending s. 768.075, F.S.; modifying the conditions under which a person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property is liable for a trespasser's injury or death; providing definitions; providing for the avoidance of liability to discovered and undiscovered trespassers under described circumstances; providing immunity from certain liability arising out of the attempt to commit or the commission of a felony; creating s. 768.36, F.S.; prohibiting a plaintiff from recovering damages if plaintiff is more than a specified percentage at fault due to the influence of alcoholic beverages or drugs; creating s. 768.098, F.S.; providing a limitation of liability for employee leasing

under specified conditions; providing for 1 2 severability; providing an effective date. 3 4 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 5 6 Section 1. Section 768.096, Florida Statutes, is 7 created to read: 768.096 Employer presumption against negligent 8 9 hiring.--10 (1) In a civil action for the death of, or injury or damage to, a third person caused by the intentional tort of an 11 12 employee, such employee's employer is presumed not to have 13 been negligent in hiring such employee if, before hiring the 14 employee, the employer conducted a background investigation of 15 the prospective employee and the investigation did not reveal any information that reasonably demonstrated the unsuitability 16 17 of the prospective employee for the particular work to be performed or for the employment in general. A background 18 19 investigation under this section must include: 20 (a) Obtaining a criminal background investigation on 21 the prospective employee under subsection (2); 22 (b) Making a reasonable effort to contact references 23 and former employers of the prospective employee concerning the suitability of the prospective employee for employment; 24 (c) Requiring the prospective employee to complete a 25 26 job application form that includes questions concerning 27 whether he or she has ever been convicted of a crime, including details concerning the type of crime, the date of 28 29 conviction and the penalty imposed, and whether the prospective employee has ever been a defendant in a civil 30 31

action for intentional tort, including the nature of the intentional tort and the disposition of the action;

- (d) Obtaining, with written authorization from the prospective employee, a check of the driver's license record of the prospective employee if such a check is relevant to the work the employee will be performing and if the record can reasonably be obtained; and
 - (e) Interviewing the prospective employee.
- (2) To satisfy the criminal-background-investigation requirement of this section, an employer must request and obtain from the Department of Law Enforcement a check of the information as reported and reflected in the Florida Crime Information Center system as of the date of the request.
- (3) The election by an employer not to conduct the investigation specified in subsection (1) does not raise any presumption that the employer failed to use reasonable care in hiring an employee.

Section 2. Section 768.095, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

of information regarding former or current employees.—An employer who discloses information about a former or current employee employee's job performance to a prospective employer of the former or current employee upon request of the prospective employer or of the former or current employee is presumed to be acting in good faith and, unless lack of good faith is shown by clear and convincing evidence, is immune from civil liability for such disclosure or its consequences unless it is shown by clear and convincing evidence. For purposes of this section, the presumption of good faith is rebutted upon a showing that the information disclosed by the

former or current employer was knowingly false or deliberately misleading, was rendered with malicious purpose, or violated 2 3 any civil right of the former or current employee protected 4 under chapter 760. 5 Section 3. Section 768.071, Florida Statutes, is 6 created to read: 7 768.071 Business premises liability; areas outside 8 enclosed buildings. -- Notwithstanding any other provision of 9 law to the contrary, a person or organization owning or controlling an interest in a business premises shall be liable 10 for civil damages for the death of, or injury or damage to, an 11 12 invitee or guest caused by a criminal act committed by a person who is not an employee or agent of the business and 13 14 occurring on part of the business premises that is not within 15 an enclosed building only if the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in the business premises 16 17 disregarded his or her duty to protect invitees or guests on the property. For purposes of this section a person or 18 19 organization owning or controlling an interest in a business 20 premises may be found to have disregarded his or her duty to 21 protect invitees or guests only if the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in the business premises 22 23 knew that a criminal act was likely to occur on the portions of the property that are not within an enclosed building and 24 25 failed to take any corrective action which could have 26 prevented the injury. Section 4. Section 768.075, Florida Statutes, is 27 28 amended to read: 29 768.075 Immunity from liability for injury to 30 trespassers on real property. --31

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

29

(1) A person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property, or an agent of such person or organization, shall not be held liable for any civil damages for death of or injury or damage to a trespasser upon the property resulting from or arising by reason of the trespasser's commission of the offense of trespass as described in s. 810.08 or s. 810.09, when such trespasser was under the influence of alcoholic beverages with a blood-alcohol level of $0.08 \, \frac{0.10}{0.10}$ percent or higher, when such trespasser was under the influence of any chemical substance set forth in s. 877.111, when such trespasser was illegally under the influence of any substance controlled under chapter 893, or if the trespasser is affected by any of the aforesaid substances to the extent that her or his normal faculties are impaired. For the purposes of this section, voluntary intoxication or impediment of faculties by use of alcohol or any of the aforementioned substances shall not excuse a party bringing an action or on whose behalf an action is brought from proving the elements of trespass. However, the person or organization owning or controlling the interest in real property shall not be immune from liability if gross negligence or intentional willful and wanton misconduct on the part of such person or organization or agent thereof is a proximate cause of the death of or injury or damage to the trespasser.

(2) A person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property, or an agent of such person or organization, is not liable for any civil damages for the death of or injury or damage to any discovered or undiscovered trespasser, except as provided in paragraphs (3)(a), (b), and

3031

First Engrossed

1 (c), and regardless of whether the trespasser was intoxicated 2 or otherwise impaired.

- (3)(a) As used in this subsection, the term:
- 1. "Invitation" means that the visitor entering the premises has an objectively reasonable belief that he or she has been invited or is otherwise welcome on that portion of the real property where injury occurs.
- 2. "Discovered trespasser" means a person who enters real property without invitation, either express or implied, and whose actual physical presence was detected, within 24 hours preceding the accident, by the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property or to whose actual physical presence the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property was alerted by a reliable source within 24 hours preceding the accident. The status of a person who enters real property shall not be elevated to that of an invitee, unless the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property has issued an express invitation to enter the property or has manifested a clear intent to hold the property open to use by persons pursuing purposes such as those pursued by the person whose status is at issue.
- 3. "Undiscovered trespasser" means a person who enters property without invitation, either express or implied, and whose actual physical presence was not detected, within 24 hours preceding the accident, by the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property.
- (b) To avoid liability to undiscovered trespassers, a person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property must refrain from intentional misconduct, but has no duty to warn of dangerous conditions. To avoid

liability to discovered trespassers, a person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property must refrain from gross negligence or intentional misconduct, and must warn the trespasser of dangerous conditions that are known to the person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property but that are not readily observable by others.

- (c) This subsection shall not be interpreted or construed to alter the common law as it pertains to the "attractive nuisance doctrine."
- (4) A person or organization owning or controlling an interest in real property, or an agent of such person or organization, shall not be held liable for negligence that results in the death of, injury to, or damage to a person who is attempting to commit a felony or who is engaged in the commission of a felony on the property.

Section 5. Section 768.36, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

768.36 Alcohol or drug defense.--

- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Alcoholic beverage" means distilled spirits and any beverage that contains 0.5 percent or more alcohol by volume as determined in accordance with s. 561.01(4)(b).
- (b) "Drug" means any chemical substance set forth in s. 877.111 or any substance controlled under chapter 893. The term does not include any drug or medication obtained pursuant to a prescription as defined in s. 893.02 which was taken in accordance with the prescription, or any medication that is authorized under state or federal law for general distribution and use without a prescription in treating human diseases,

<u>ailments</u>, or injuries and that was taken in the recommended dosage.

- (2) In any civil action, a plaintiff may not recover any damages for loss or injury to his or her person or property if the trier of fact finds that, at the time the plaintiff was injured:
- (a) The plaintiff was under the influence of any alcoholic beverage or drug to the extent that the plaintiff's normal faculties were impaired or the plaintiff had a blood or breath alcohol level of 0.08 percent or higher; and
- (b) As a result of the influence of such alcoholic beverage or drug the plaintiff was more than 50 percent at fault for his or her own harm.

Section 6. Section 768.098, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

768.098 Limitation of liability for employee leasing.--

- (1) An employer in a joint employment relationship pursuant to s. 468.520 shall not be liable for the tortious actions of another employer in that relationship, or for the tortious actions of any jointly employed employee under that relationship, provided that:
- (a) The employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section did not authorize or direct the tortious action;
- (b) The employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section did not have actual knowledge of the tortious conduct and fail to take appropriate action;
- (c) The employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section did not have actual control over the day to day job duties of the jointly employed employee who has

committed a tortious act nor actual control over the portion of a job site at which or from which the tortious conduct arose or at which and from which a jointly employed employee worked, and that said control was assigned to the other employer under the contract;

- (d) The employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section is expressly absolved in the written contract forming the joint employment relationship of control over the day to day job duties of the jointly employed employee who has committed a tortious act, and of the portion of the job site at which or from which the tortious conduct arose or at which and from which the jointly employed employee worked, and that said control was assigned to the other employer under the contract; and
- (e) Complaints, allegations or incidents of any tortious misconduct or workplace safety violations, regardless of the source, are required to be reported to the employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section by all other joint employers under the written contract forming the joint employment relationship, and that the employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section did not fail to take appropriate action as a result of receiving any such report related to a jointly employed employee who has committed a tortious act.
- (2) An employer seeking to avoid liability pursuant to this section shall not be presumed to have actual control over the day to day job duties of the jointly employed employee who has committed a tortious act, nor actual control over the portion of a job site at which or from which that employee worked, based solely upon the fact that the employee at issue is a leased employee.

(3) This section shall not alter any responsibilities of the joint employer who has actual control over the day to day job duties of the jointly employed employee and who has actual control over the portion of a job site at which or from which the employee is employed, which arises from s. 768.096. Section 7. If any provision of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are declared severable. Section 8. This act shall take effect October 1, 1999.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.