The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepare	ed By: Th	e Professional St	aff of the Criminal	Justice Committ	ee	
BILL:	SB 344						
INTRODUCER:	Senator Rich						
SUBJECT:	Sexual Activities Involving Animals						
DATE:	February 8, 2011 REVISED:						
ANALYST		STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
. Cellon		Cannon		CJ	Favorable		
2.	<u>.</u>			AG			
3.				JU			
ļ .							
5	<u>.</u>						
ő.							
5							

I. Summary:

The bill creates a new section of law which prohibits, as a first degree misdemeanor, sexual conduct, or contact for the purpose of sexual gratification, with animals, and other acts related to the prohibited behavior. The bill provides a way for law enforcement and prosecutors to more accurately charge and prosecute the deviant behaviors described therein.

Accepted animal husbandry practices, conformation judging practices, and accepted veterinary practices are specifically exempted from prosecution under the bill.

This bill creates section 828.126 of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Despite the efforts of prosecutors in the State of Florida, persons who are actually caught in the act of sexual intercourse with an animal cannot generally be charged with or convicted of a sexrelated crime. There have been several reported incidents of the abuse of animals in this particular way.

Reported incidents in Florida include:

- In Leon County, in 2005, a man was convicted of a misdemeanor disorderly conduct charge for sexually battering his own Guide Dog.
- In April of 2004 a Marion County man pled no contest to animal cruelty after his fiancé caught him sexually battering her 1-year old female dog. The dog was physically injured in

BILL: SB 344 Page 2

the process. The Sheriff's Office reports indicated that the man told deputies that this type of behavior had been a "life-long problem." (Ocala Star Banner, April 15, 2004)

- A West Palm Beach man was caught sexually battering a neighbor's dog in January of 2004. The dog was alleged to have been yelping in pain. The man was charged with animal cruelty and indecent exposure. The perpetrator is a registered sex offender.
- A family's pregnant goat was sexually battered and asphyxiated in January of 2008 in a small panhandle town. Although there was a suspect in the case, prosecutors were unable to charge him in the mistreatment and death of the goat because DNA tests were inconclusive. (Miami Herald, January 4, 2008)
- Martin County Sheriff's deputies were called to investigate an animal in distress and found a man sexually battering a four-month old puppy. Reports indicate that when the deputy approached the man, she saw him in the act as the puppy whined and tried to break free.

Since there are no sex crime statutes in existence in Florida that would seem to apply in cases like those mentioned above, law enforcement officers and prosecutors must charge defendants with far less serious crimes like disorderly conduct, or crimes like indecent exposure, that don't seem to tell the "whole story." Also, because of the elements of animal cruelty offenses these acts and behaviors cannot always be prosecuted as such. There must be evidence of injury or evidence of excessive or repeated infliction of pain to the animal in order to prove felony animal cruelty.

1

In other states, situations like those set forth above have resulted in the passage of laws designed to more accurately capture the particularized crimes within the criminal law. Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Virginia, Oklahoma, and South Carolina are among the states in the southeast that currently have felony bestiality statutes.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill creates a misdemeanor offense for knowingly engaging in sexual conduct or sexual contact with an animal, as defined in the newly created section of law. It also prohibits aiding or abetting another in committing those acts, in permitting such acts to be conducted, and in organizing, promoting, or performing acts in furtherance of such acts.

Accepted animal husbandry practices, conformation judging practices, and accepted veterinary practices are specifically exempted from prosecution under the bill.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

1

¹ Section 828.12, F.S., subsection (2) is the felony animal cruelty statute. It states: "A person who intentionally commits an act to any animal which results in the cruel death, or excessive or repeated infliction of unnecessary pain or suffering, or causes the same to be done, is guilty of a felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or both."

BILL: SB 344 Page 3

	B.	Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:						
		None.						
	C.	Trust Funds Restrictions:						
		None.						
٧.	Fisca	scal Impact Statement:						
	A.	Tax/Fee Issues:						
		None.						
	B.	Private Sector Impact:						
		None.						
	C.	Government Sector Impact:						
		None.						
VI.	Tech	al Deficiencies:						
	None.							
VII.	Relat	ated Issues:						
	None.							
/III.	Addit	Additional Information:						
	A.	Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)						
		None.						
	В.	Amendments:						
		None.						
	This S	Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.						