1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A bill to be entitled An act relating to medical malpractice; creating ss. 458.3175 and 459.0066, F.S.; requiring the Board of Medicine and the Board of Osteopathic Medicine to issue expert witness certificates to certain physicians licensed outside of the state; providing application and certification requirements; establishing application fees; providing for validity and use of certifications; exempting physicians issued certifications from certain licensure and fee requirements; requiring the boards to adopt rules; amending ss. 458.331 and 459.015, F.S.; providing additional acts that constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary action to which penalties apply; providing construction with respect to the doctrine of incorporation by reference; amending ss. 458.351 and 459.026, F.S.; requiring the boards to adopt within a specified period certain patient forms specifying cataract surgery risks; exempting rules adopting the patient forms from certain administrative procedures; specifying that an incident resulting from risks disclosed in the patient form is not an adverse incident; providing for the execution and admissibility of the patient forms in civil and administrative proceedings; creating a rebuttable presumption that a physician disclosed cataract surgery risks if the patient form is executed; amending s. 627.4147, F.S.; deleting a requirement that medical malpractice insurance contracts contain a clause authorizing the insurer to make and conclude certain

Page 1 of 24

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

offers within policy limits over the insured's veto; amending s. 766.102, F.S.; defining terms; providing that certain insurance information is not admissible as evidence in civil actions; requiring that certain expert witnesses who provide certain expert testimony meet certain licensure or certification requirements; establishing the burden of proof that a claimant must meet in certain damage claims against health care providers based on death or personal injury; excluding a health care provider's failure to comply with or breach of federal requirements from evidence in medical negligence cases in the state; amending s. 766.106, F.S.; requiring claimants for medical malpractice to execute an authorization form; allowing prospective medical malpractice defendants to interview a claimant's treating health care provider without notice to or the presence of the claimant or the claimant's legal representative; authorizing prospective defendants to take unsworn statements of a claimant's health care provider; creating s. 766.1065, F.S.; requiring that presuit notice for medical negligence claims be accompanied by an authorization for release of protected health information; providing requirements for the form of such authorization; amending s. 766.206, F.S.; requiring dismissal of a medical malpractice claim if such authorization is not completed in good faith; amending s. 768.0981, F.S.; limiting the liability of hospitals related to certain medical negligence claims; providing an effective date.

Page 2 of 24

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 458.3175, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

## 458.3175 Expert witness certificate.—

- (1) (a) The board shall issue a certificate authorizing a physician who holds an active and valid license to practice medicine in another state or a province of Canada to provide expert testimony in this state, if the physician submits to the board a complete registration application in the format prescribed by the board, pays an application fee established by the board not to exceed \$50, and has not had a previous expert witness certificate revoked by the board.
- (b) The board shall approve or deny an application for an expert witness certificate within 5 business days after receipt of the completed application and payment of the application fee.

  An application is approved by default if the board does not act upon the application within the required period. A physician must notify the board in writing of his or her intent to rely on a certificate approved by default.
- (c) An expert witness certificate is valid for 2 years after the date of issuance.
- (2) An expert witness certificate authorizes the physician to whom the certificate is issued to do only the following:
- (a) Provide a verified written medical expert opinion as provided in s. 766.203.

(b) Provide expert testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care in connection with medical negligence litigation pending in this state against a physician licensed under this chapter or chapter 459.

- (3) An expert witness certificate does not authorize a physician to engage in the practice of medicine as defined in s. 458.305. A physician issued a certificate under this section who does not otherwise practice medicine in this state is not required to obtain a license under this chapter or pay any license fees, including, but not limited to, a neurological injury compensation assessment.
- $\underline{\mbox{(4)}}$  The board shall adopt rules to administer this section.
- Section 2. Subsection (11) is added to section 458.331, Florida Statutes, paragraphs (oo) through (qq) of subsection (1) of that section are redesignated as paragraphs (pp) through (rr), respectively, and a new paragraph (oo) is added to that subsection, to read:
- 458.331 Grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board and department.—
- (1) The following acts constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary action, as specified in s. 456.072(2):
- (oo) Providing misleading, deceptive, or fraudulent expert witness testimony related to the practice of medicine.
- (11) The purpose of this section is to facilitate uniform discipline for those acts made punishable under this section and, to this end, a reference to this section constitutes a

general reference under the doctrine of incorporation by reference.

- Section 3. Subsection (6) of section 458.351, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (7), and a new subsection (6) is added to that section, to read:
- 458.351 Reports of adverse incidents in office practice settings.—
- (6) (a) The board shall adopt rules establishing a standard informed consent form that sets forth the recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery. The board must propose such rules within 90 days after the effective date of this subsection, and the provisions of s. 120.541 relating to adverse impacts, estimated regulatory costs, and legislative ratification of rules do not apply to such rules.
- (b) Before formally proposing the rule, the board must consider information from physicians licensed under this chapter or chapter 459 regarding recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery and the standard informed consent forms adopted for use in the medical field by other states.
- (c) A patient's informed consent is not executed until the patient, or a person authorized by the patient to give consent, and a competent witness sign the form adopted by the board.
- (d) An incident resulting from recognized specific risks described in the signed consent form is not considered an adverse incident for purposes of s. 395.0197 and this section.
- (e) In a civil action or administrative proceeding against a physician based on his or her alleged failure to properly disclose the risks of cataract surgery, a patient's informed

Page 5 of 24

consent executed as provided in paragraph (c) on the form adopted by the board is admissible as evidence and creates a rebuttable presumption that the physician properly disclosed the risks. This rebuttable presumption shall be included in the charge to the jury in a civil action.

Section 4. Section 459.0066, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

## 459.0066 Expert witness certificate.-

- (1) (a) The board shall issue a certificate authorizing a physician who holds an active and valid license to practice osteopathic medicine in another state or a province of Canada to provide expert testimony in this state, if the physician submits to the board a complete registration application in the format prescribed by the board, pays an application fee established by the board not to exceed \$50, and has not had a previous expert witness certificate revoked by the board.
- (b) The board shall approve or deny an application for an expert witness certificate within 5 business days after receipt of the completed application and payment of the application fee. An application is approved by default if the board does not act upon the application within the required period. A physician must notify the board in writing of his or her intent to rely on a certificate approved by default.
- (c) An expert witness certificate is valid for 2 years after the date of issuance.
- (2) An expert witness certificate authorizes the physician to whom the certificate is issued to do only the following:

(a) Provide a verified written medical expert opinion as provided in s. 766.203.

- (b) Provide expert testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care in connection with medical negligence litigation pending in this state against a physician licensed under chapter 458 or this chapter.
- (3) An expert witness certificate does not authorize a physician to engage in the practice of osteopathic medicine as defined in s. 459.003. A physician issued a certificate under this section who does not otherwise practice osteopathic medicine in this state is not required to obtain a license under this chapter or pay any license fees, including, but not limited to, a neurological injury compensation assessment.
- (4) The board shall adopt rules to administer this section.
- Section 5. Subsection (11) is added to section 459.015, Florida Statutes, paragraphs (qq) through (ss) of subsection (1) of that section are redesignated as paragraphs (rr) through (tt), respectively, and a new paragraph (qq) is added to that subsection, to read:
- $459.015\,$  Grounds for disciplinary action; action by the board and department.—
- (1) The following acts constitute grounds for denial of a license or disciplinary action, as specified in s. 456.072(2):
- (qq) Providing misleading, deceptive, or fraudulent expert
  witness testimony related to the practice of osteopathic
  medicine.

(11) The purpose of this section is to facilitate uniform discipline for those acts made punishable under this section and, to this end, a reference to this section constitutes a general reference under the doctrine of incorporation by reference.

Section 6. Subsection (6) of section 459.026, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (7), and a new subsection (6) is added to that section, to read:

459.026 Reports of adverse incidents in office practice settings.—

- (6) (a) The board shall adopt rules establishing a standard informed consent form that sets forth the recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery. The board must propose such rules within 90 days after the effective date of this subsection, and the provisions of s. 120.541 relating to adverse impacts, estimated regulatory costs, and legislative ratification of rules do not apply to such rules.
- (b) Before formally proposing the rule, the board must consider information from physicians licensed under chapter 458 or this chapter regarding recognized specific risks related to cataract surgery and the standard informed consent forms adopted for use in the medical field by other states.
- (c) A patient's informed consent is not executed until the patient, or a person authorized by the patient to give consent, and a competent witness sign the form adopted by the board.
- (d) An incident resulting from recognized specific risks described in the signed consent form is not considered an adverse incident for purposes of s. 395.0197 and this section.

Page 8 of 24

(e) In a civil action or administrative proceeding against a physician based on his or her alleged failure to properly disclose the risks of cataract surgery, a patient's informed consent executed as provided in paragraph (c) on the form adopted by the board is admissible as evidence and creates a rebuttable presumption that the physician properly disclosed the risks. This rebuttable presumption shall be included in the charge to the jury in a civil action.

Section 7. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 627.4147, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.4147 Medical malpractice insurance contracts.-

- (1) In addition to any other requirements imposed by law, each self-insurance policy as authorized under s. 627.357 or s. 624.462 or insurance policy providing coverage for claims arising out of the rendering of, or the failure to render, medical care or services, including those of the Florida Medical Malpractice Joint Underwriting Association, shall include:
- (b) 1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., a clause authorizing the insurer or self-insurer to determine, to make, and to conclude, without the permission of the insured, any offer of admission of liability and for arbitration pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment, if the offer is within the policy limits. It is against public policy for any insurance or self-insurance policy to contain a clause giving the insured the exclusive right to veto any offer for admission of liability and for arbitration made pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment, when such offer is within the policy limits. However, any offer of admission of

liability, settlement offer, or offer of judgment made by an insurer or self-insurer shall be made in good faith and in the best interests of the insured.

2.a. With respect to dentists licensed under chapter 466, A clause clearly stating whether or not the insured has the exclusive right to veto any offer of admission of liability and for arbitration pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment if the offer is within policy limits. An insurer or self-insurer shall not make or conclude, without the permission of the insured, any offer of admission of liability and for arbitration pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment, if such offer is outside the policy limits. However, any offer for admission of liability and for arbitration made under s. 766.106, settlement offer, or offer of judgment made by an insurer or self-insurer shall be made in good faith and in the best interest of the insured.

2.b. If the policy contains a clause stating the insured does not have the exclusive right to veto any offer or admission of liability and for arbitration made pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer or offer of judgment, the insurer or self-insurer shall provide to the insured or the insured's legal representative by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the final offer of admission of liability and for arbitration made pursuant to s. 766.106, settlement offer or offer of judgment and at the same time such offer is provided to the claimant. A copy of any final agreement reached between the insurer and claimant shall also be provided to the insurer or his or her legal representative by certified mail, return

receipt requested not more than 10 days after affecting such agreement.

- Section 8. Subsections (3), (4), and (5) of section 766.102, Florida Statutes, are amended, subsection (12) of that section is renumbered as subsection (14), and new subsections (12) and (13) are added to that section, to read:
- 766.102 Medical negligence; standards of recovery; expert witness.—
  - (3) (a) As used in this subsection, the term:
- 1. "Insurer" means any public or private insurer, including the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.
- 2. "Reimbursement determination" means an insurer's determination of the amount that the insurer will reimburse a health care provider for health care services.
- 3. "Reimbursement policies" means an insurer's policies and procedures governing its decisions regarding health insurance coverage and method of payment and the data upon which such policies and procedures are based, including, but not limited to, data from national research groups and other patient safety data as defined in s. 766.1016.
- (b) The existence of a medical injury does shall not create any inference or presumption of negligence against a health care provider, and the claimant must maintain the burden of proving that an injury was proximately caused by a breach of the prevailing professional standard of care by the health care provider. Any records, policies, or testimony of an insurer's reimbursement policies or reimbursement determination regarding the care provided to the plaintiff are not admissible as

Page 11 of 24

evidence in any civil action. However, the discovery of the presence of a foreign body, such as a sponge, clamp, forceps, surgical needle, or other paraphernalia commonly used in surgical, examination, or diagnostic procedures, shall be prima facie evidence of negligence on the part of the health care provider.

- (4) (a) The Legislature is cognizant of the changing trends and techniques for the delivery of health care in this state and the discretion that is inherent in the diagnosis, care, and treatment of patients by different health care providers. The failure of a health care provider to order, perform, or administer supplemental diagnostic tests <u>is shall</u> not be actionable if the health care provider acted in good faith and with due regard for the prevailing professional standard of care.
- (b) In an action for damages based on death or personal injury which alleges that such death or injury resulted from the failure of a health care provider to order, perform, or administer supplemental diagnostic tests, the claimant has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the alleged actions of the health care provider represented a breach of the prevailing professional standard of care.
- (5) A person may not give expert testimony concerning the prevailing professional standard of care unless the that person is a licensed health care provider who holds an active and valid license and conducts a complete review of the pertinent medical records and meets the following criteria:
  - (a) If the health care provider against whom or on whose

Page 12 of 24

behalf the testimony is offered is a specialist, the expert witness must:

- 1. Specialize in the same specialty as the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered; or specialize in a similar specialty that includes the evaluation, diagnosis, or treatment of the medical condition that is the subject of the claim and have prior experience treating similar patients; and
- 2. Have devoted professional time during the  $\underline{5}$  3 years immediately preceding the date of the occurrence that is the basis for the action to:
- a. The active clinical practice of, or consulting with respect to, the same or similar specialty that includes the evaluation, diagnosis, or treatment of the medical condition that is the subject of the claim and have prior experience treating similar patients;
- b. Instruction of students in an accredited health professional school or accredited residency or clinical research program in the same or similar specialty; or
- c. A clinical research program that is affiliated with an accredited health professional school or accredited residency or clinical research program in the same or similar specialty.
- (b) If the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered is a general practitioner, the expert witness must have devoted professional time during the 5 years immediately preceding the date of the occurrence that is the basis for the action to:
  - 1. The active clinical practice or consultation as a

Page 13 of 24

general practitioner;

2. The instruction of students in an accredited health professional school or accredited residency program in the general practice of medicine; or

- 3. A clinical research program that is affiliated with an accredited medical school or teaching hospital and that is in the general practice of medicine.
- (c) If the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered is a health care provider other than a specialist or a general practitioner, the expert witness must have devoted professional time during the  $\underline{5}$   $\underline{3}$  years immediately preceding the date of the occurrence that is the basis for the action to:
- 1. The active clinical practice of, or consulting with respect to, the same or similar health profession as the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered;
- 2. The instruction of students in an accredited health professional school or accredited residency program in the same or similar health profession in which the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered; or
- 3. A clinical research program that is affiliated with an accredited medical school or teaching hospital and that is in the same or similar health profession as the health care provider against whom or on whose behalf the testimony is offered.
- (12) If a physician licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 is the party against whom, or on whose behalf, expert

Page 14 of 24

testimony about the prevailing professional standard of care is offered, the expert witness must be licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 or possess a valid expert witness certificate issued under s. 458.3175 or s. 459.0066.

- (13) A health care provider's failure to comply with or breach of any federal requirement is not admissible as evidence in any medical negligence case in this state.
- Section 9. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2), subsection (5), and paragraph (b) of subsection (6) of section 766.106, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
- 766.106 Notice before filing action for medical negligence; presuit screening period; offers for admission of liability and for arbitration; informal discovery; review.—
  - (2) PRESUIT NOTICE.-

(a) After completion of presuit investigation pursuant to s. 766.203(2) and prior to filing a complaint for medical negligence, a claimant shall notify each prospective defendant by certified mail, return receipt requested, of intent to initiate litigation for medical negligence. Notice to each prospective defendant must include, if available, a list of all known health care providers seen by the claimant for the injuries complained of subsequent to the alleged act of negligence, all known health care providers during the 2-year period prior to the alleged act of negligence who treated or evaluated the claimant, and copies of all of the medical records relied upon by the expert in signing the affidavit, and the executed authorization form provided in s. 766.1065. The requirement of providing the list of known health care providers

Page 15 of 24

may not serve as grounds for imposing sanctions for failure to provide presuit discovery.

- (5) DISCOVERY AND ADMISSIBILITY.—A No statement, discussion, written document, report, or other work product generated by the presuit screening process is not discoverable or admissible in any civil action for any purpose by the opposing party. All participants, including, but not limited to, physicians, investigators, witnesses, and employees or associates of the defendant, are immune from civil liability arising from participation in the presuit screening process.

  This subsection does not prevent a physician licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 who submits a verified written expert medical opinion from being subject to denial of a license or disciplinary action under s. 458.331(1)(00) or s.

  459.015(1)(qq).
  - (6) INFORMAL DISCOVERY.-

- (b) Informal discovery may be used by a party to obtain unsworn statements, the production of documents or things, and physical and mental examinations, as follows:
- 1. Unsworn statements.—Any party may require other parties to appear for the taking of an unsworn statement. Such statements may be used only for the purpose of presuit screening and are not discoverable or admissible in any civil action for any purpose by any party. A party desiring to take the unsworn statement of any party must give reasonable notice in writing to all parties. The notice must state the time and place for taking the statement and the name and address of the party to be examined. Unless otherwise impractical, the examination of any

Page 16 of 24

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

party must be done at the same time by all other parties. Any party may be represented by counsel at the taking of an unsworn statement. An unsworn statement may be recorded electronically, stenographically, or on videotape. The taking of unsworn statements is subject to the provisions of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and may be terminated for abuses.

- 2. Documents or things.—Any party may request discovery of documents or things. The documents or things must be produced, at the expense of the requesting party, within 20 days after the date of receipt of the request. A party is required to produce discoverable documents or things within that party's possession or control. Medical records shall be produced as provided in s. 766.204.
- 3. Physical and mental examinations.—A prospective defendant may require an injured claimant to appear for examination by an appropriate health care provider. The prospective defendant shall give reasonable notice in writing to all parties as to the time and place for examination. Unless otherwise impractical, a claimant is required to submit to only one examination on behalf of all potential defendants. The practicality of a single examination must be determined by the nature of the claimant's condition, as it relates to the liability of each prospective defendant. Such examination report is available to the parties and their attorneys upon payment of the reasonable cost of reproduction and may be used only for the purpose of presuit screening. Otherwise, such examination report is confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

4. Written questions.—Any party may request answers to written questions, the number of which may not exceed 30, including subparts. A response must be made within 20 days after receipt of the questions.

- 5. Ex parte interviews of treating health care providers.—
  A prospective defendant or his or her legal representative shall have access to interview the claimant's treating health care providers without notice to or the presence of the claimant or the claimant's legal representative.
- 6.5. Unsworn statements of treating health care providers

  Medical information release.—The claimant must execute a medical information release that allows A prospective defendant or his or her legal representative may also to take unsworn statements of the claimant's treating health care providers physicians. The statements must be limited to those areas that are potentially relevant to the claim of personal injury or wrongful death. Subject to the procedural requirements of subparagraph 1., a prospective defendant may take unsworn statements from a claimant's treating physicians. Reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard must be given to the claimant or the claimant's legal representative before taking unsworn statements. The claimant or claimant's legal representative has the right to attend the taking of such unsworn statements.

  Section 10. Section 766.1065, Florida Statutes, is created

Section 10. Section 766.1065, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

 $\underline{766.1065}$  Authorization for release of protected health information.—

Page 18 of 24

(1) Presuit notice of intent to initiate litigation for medical negligence under s. 766.106(2) must be accompanied by an authorization for release of protected health information in the form specified by this section, authorizing the disclosure of protected health information that is potentially relevant to the claim of personal injury or wrongful death. The presuit notice is void if this authorization does not accompany the presuit notice and other materials required by s. 766.106(2).

- (2) If the authorization required by this section is revoked, the presuit notice under s. 766.106(2) is deemed retroactively void from the date of issuance, and any tolling effect that the presuit notice may have had on any applicable statute-of-limitations period is retroactively rendered void.
- (3) The authorization required by this section shall be in the following form and shall be construed in accordance with the "Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information" in 45 C.F.R. parts 160 and 164:

AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION

A. I, (...Name of patient or authorized representative...) [hereinafter "Patient"], authorize that (...Name of health care provider to whom the presuit notice is directed...) and his/her/its insurer(s), self-insurer(s), and attorney(s) may obtain and disclose (within the parameters set out below) the protected health information described below for the following specific purposes:

Page 19 of 24

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

528	1. Facilitating the investigation and evaluation of
529	the medical negligence claim described in the accompanying
530	presuit notice; or
531	2. Defending against any litigation arising out of
532	the medical negligence claim made on the basis of the
533	accompanying presuit notice.
534	B. The health information obtained, used, or
535	disclosed extends to, and includes, the verbal as well as
536	the written and is described as follows:
537	1. The health information in the custody of the
538	following health care providers who have examined,
539	evaluated, or treated the Patient in connection with
540	injuries complained of after the alleged act of
541	negligence: (List the name and current address of all
542	health care providers). This authorization extends to any
543	additional health care providers that may in the future
544	evaluate, examine, or treat the Patient for the injuries
545	complained of.
546	2. The health information in the custody of the
547	following health care providers who have examined,
548	evaluated, or treated the Patient during a period
549	commencing 2 years before the incident which is the basis
550	of the accompanying presuit notice.
551	
552	(List the name and current address of such health care
553	<pre>providers, if applicable.)</pre>
551	

This authorization does not apply to the following list of health care providers possessing health care information about the Patient because the Patient certifies that such health care information is not potentially relevant to the claim of personal injury or wrongful death which is the basis of the accompanying presuit notice. 

(List the name of each health care provider to whom this authorization does not apply and the inclusive dates of examination, evaluation, or treatment to be withheld from disclosure. If none, specify "none.")

- D. The persons or class of persons to whom the

  Patient authorizes such health information to be disclosed

  or by whom such health information is to be used:
- 1. Any health care provider providing care or treatment for the Patient.
- 2. Any liability insurer or self-insurer providing liability insurance coverage, self-insurance, or defense to any health care provider to whom presuit notice is given regarding the care and treatment of the Patient.
- 3. Any consulting or testifying expert employed by or on behalf of (name of health care provider to whom presuit notice was given) his/her/its insurer(s), self-insurer(s), or attorney(s) regarding to the matter of the presuit notice accompanying this authorization.

Page 21 of 24

582 4. Any attorney (including secretarial, clerical, or paralegal staff) employed by or on behalf of (name of 583 584 health care provider to whom presuit notice was given) 585 regarding the matter of the presuit notice accompanying 586 this authorization. 587 Any trier of the law or facts relating to any 588 suit filed seeking damages arising out of the medical care 589 or treatment of the Patient. 590 This authorization expires upon resolution of the 591 claim or at the conclusion of any litigation instituted in 592 connection with the matter of the presuit notice 593 accompanying this authorization, whichever occurs first. 594 The Patient understands that, without exception, 595 the Patient has the right to revoke this authorization in 596 writing. The Patient further understands that the 597 consequence of any such revocation is that the presuit 598 notice under s. 766.106(2), Florida Statutes, is deemed 599 retroactively void from the date of issuance, and any 600 tolling effect that the presuit notice may have had on any 601 applicable statute-of-limitations period is retroactively

- G. The Patient understands that signing this authorization is not a condition for continued treatment, payment, enrollment, or eligibility for health plan benefits.
- H. The Patient understands that information used or disclosed under this authorization may be subject to

rendered void.

602

603

604

605

606

607

609	additional disclosure by the recipient and may not be
610	protected by federal HIPAA privacy regulations.
611	
612	Signature of Patient/Representative:
613	<pre>Date:</pre>
614	Name of Patient/Representative:
615	Description of Representative's Authority:
616	Section 11. Subsection (2) of section 766.206, Florida
617	Statutes, is amended to read:
618	766.206 Presuit investigation of medical negligence claims
619	and defenses by court.—
620	(2) If the court finds that the notice of intent to
621	initiate litigation mailed by the claimant $\underline{\text{does}}$ $\underline{\text{is}}$ not $\underline{\text{comply}}$ $\underline{\text{in}}$
622	compliance with the reasonable investigation requirements of ss.
623	766.201-766.212, including a review of the claim and a verified
624	written medical expert opinion by an expert witness as defined
625	in s. 766.202, or that the authorization accompanying the notice
626	of intent required under s. 766.1065 is not completed in good
627	faith by the claimant, the court shall dismiss the claim, and
628	the person who mailed such notice of intent, whether the
629	claimant or the claimant's attorney, shall be personally liable
630	for all attorney's fees and costs incurred during the
631	investigation and evaluation of the claim, including the
632	reasonable attorney's fees and costs of the defendant or the
633	defendant's insurer.
634	Section 12. Section 768.0981, Florida Statutes, is amended
635	to read:
636	768 0981 Limitation on actions against insurers, prepaid

Page 23 of 24

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

limited health service organizations, health maintenance organizations, hospitals, or prepaid health clinics.—An entity licensed or certified under chapter 395, chapter 624, chapter 636, or chapter 641 is shall not be liable for the medical negligence of a health care provider with whom the licensed or certified entity has entered into a contract, other than an employee of such licensed or certified entity, unless the licensed or certified entity expressly directs or exercises actual control over the specific conduct that caused injury.

Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.