Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

Amendment No. CHAMBER ACTION Senate House Representative Workman offered the following: Amendment (with directory and title amendments) Remove lines 7591-7633 and insert: (15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER.-A local government shall not issue permits for (a) development subsequent to the buildout date contained in the development order unless: The proposed development has been evaluated 1. cumulatively with existing development under the substantial deviation provisions of subsection (19) subsequent to the termination or expiration date; The proposed development is consistent with an 2. abandonment of development order that has been issued in accordance with the provisions of subsection (26); 479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 1 of 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

Amendment No. 3. The development of regional impact is essentially built out, in that all the mitigation requirements in the development order have been satisfied, all developers are in compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the development order except the buildout date, and the amount of proposed development that remains to be built is less than 40 20 percent of any

applicable development-of-regional-impact threshold; or

22

23 The project has been determined to be an essentially 4. built-out development of regional impact through an agreement 24 executed by the developer, the state land planning agency, and 25 26 the local government, in accordance with s. 380.032, which will 27 establish the terms and conditions under which the development 28 may be continued. If the project is determined to be essentially 29 built out, development may proceed pursuant to the s. 380.032 agreement after the termination or expiration date contained in 30 the development order without further development-of-regional-31 32 impact review subject to the local government comprehensive plan and land development regulations or subject to a modified 33 34 development-of-regional-impact analysis. As used in this 35 paragraph, an "essentially built-out" development of regional impact means: 36

a. The developers are in compliance with all applicable
terms and conditions of the development order except the
buildout date; and

40 b.(I) The amount of development that remains to be built 41 is less than the substantial deviation threshold specified in 42 paragraph (19)(b) for each individual land use category, or, for 43 a multiuse development, the sum total of all unbuilt land uses 479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 2 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

Amendment No. 44 as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation 45 threshold is equal to or less than 100 percent; or 46 The state land planning agency and the local (II)47 government have agreed in writing that the amount of development 48 to be built does not create the likelihood of any additional 49 regional impact not previously reviewed. 50 The single-family residential portions of a development may be 51 52 considered "essentially built out" if all of the workforce 53 housing obligations and all of the infrastructure and horizontal 54 development have been completed, at least 50 percent of the 55 dwelling units have been completed, and more than 80 percent of 56 the lots have been conveyed to third-party individual lot owners or to individual builders who own no more than 40 lots at the 57 58 time of the determination. The mobile home park portions of a development may be considered "essentially built out" if all the 59 60 infrastructure and horizontal development has been completed, and at least 50 percent of the lots are leased to individual 61 62 mobile home owners.

63

(19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS.-

(b) Any proposed change to a previously approved development of regional impact or development order condition which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes, exceeds any of the following criteria shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be subject to further development-of-regional-impact review without the necessity for a finding of same by the local government:

479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 3 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

Amendment No. 71 1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an 72 attraction or recreational facility by 15 10 percent or 500 330 73 spaces, whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of 74 spectators that may be accommodated at such a facility by 15 $\frac{10}{10}$ percent or 1,500 1,100 spectators, whichever is greater. 75 76 2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25-percent 77 lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25-percent increase in 78 the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the 79 increase adds at least three additional gates. 3. An increase in industrial development area by 10 80 81 percent or 35 acres, whichever is greater. 82 4. An increase in the average annual acreage mined by 10 83 percent or 11 acres, whichever is greater, or an increase in the average daily water consumption by a mining operation by 10 84 percent or 330,000 gallons, whichever is greater. A net increase 85 in the size of the mine by 10 percent or 825 acres, whichever is 86 87 less. For purposes of calculating any net increases in size, only additions and deletions of lands that have not been mined 88 89 shall be considered. An increase in the size of a heavy mineral 90 mine as defined in s. 378.403(7) will only constitute a substantial deviation if the average annual acreage mined is 91 92 more than 550 acres and consumes more than 3.3 million gallons 93 of water per day.

3.5. An increase in land area for office development by 15
10 percent or an increase of gross floor area of office
development by 15 10 percent or 100,000 66,000 gross square
feet, whichever is greater.

479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 4 of 13

98 <u>4.6.</u> An increase in the number of dwelling units by 10 99 percent or 55 dwelling units, whichever is greater.

Amendment No.

5.7. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 50 100 percent or 200 units, whichever is greater, provided that 15 101 102 percent of the proposed additional dwelling units are dedicated 103 to affordable workforce housing, subject to a recorded land use restriction that shall be for a period of not less than 20 years 104 105 and that includes resale provisions to ensure long-term 106 affordability for income-eligible homeowners and renters and 107 provisions for the workforce housing to be commenced prior to 108 the completion of 50 percent of the market rate dwelling. For 109 purposes of this subparagraph, the term "affordable workforce 110 housing" means housing that is affordable to a person who earns less than 120 percent of the area median income, or less than 111 140 percent of the area median income if located in a county in 112 which the median purchase price for a single-family existing 113 home exceeds the statewide median purchase price of a single-114 family existing home. For purposes of this subparagraph, the 115 116 term "statewide median purchase price of a single-family 117 existing home" means the statewide purchase price as determined in the Florida Sales Report, Single-Family Existing Homes, 118 119 released each January by the Florida Association of Realtors and 120 the University of Florida Real Estate Research Center.

<u>6.8.</u> An increase in commercial development by <u>60,000</u>
 55,000 square feet of gross floor area or of parking spaces
 provided for customers for <u>425</u> 330 cars or a 10-percent increase
 of either of these, whichever is greater.

479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 5 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

Amendment No.

125 9. An increase in hotel or motel rooms by 10 percent or 83 126 rooms, whichever is greater.

127 <u>7.10.</u> An increase in a recreational vehicle park area by
128 10 percent or 110 vehicle spaces, whichever is less.

129 <u>8.11.</u> A decrease in the area set aside for open space of 5
130 percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.

131 <u>9.12.</u> A proposed increase to an approved multiuse 132 development of regional impact where the sum of the increases of 133 each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial 134 deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 110 percent. The 135 percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall be 136 treated as an increase for purposes of determining when 110 137 percent has been reached or exceeded.

138 <u>10.13.</u> A 15-percent increase in the number of external 139 vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was 140 projected during the original development-of-regional-impact 141 review.

11.14. Any change which would result in development of any 142 143 area which was specifically set aside in the application for 144 development approval or in the development order for 145 preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened 146 plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or 147 species of special concern and their habitat, any species protected by 16 U.S.C. ss. 668a-668d, primary dunes, or 148 149 archaeological and historical sites designated as significant by 150 the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State. The refinement of the boundaries and configuration of such areas 151 152 shall be considered under sub-subparagraph (e)2.j. 479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 6 of 13

Amendment No.

153

154 The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 155 3., 6., and 5., 8., 9., and 12., excluding residential uses, and in subparagraph 10. 13., are increased by 100 percent for a 156 157 project certified under s. 403.973 which creates jobs and meets 158 criteria established by the Office of Tourism, Trade, and 159 Economic Development as to its impact on an area's economy, 160 employment, and prevailing wage and skill levels. The 161 substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 3., 162 4. 5., 6., 7., 8., 9., 12., and 10. 13. are increased by 50 163 percent for a project located wholly within an urban infill and 164 redevelopment area designated on the applicable adopted local 165 comprehensive plan future land use map and not located within 166 the coastal high hazard area.

(c) An extension of the date of buildout of a development, or any phase thereof, by more than 7 years is presumed to create a substantial deviation subject to further development-ofregional-impact review.

171 1. An extension of the date of buildout, or any phase 172 thereof, of more than 5 years but not more than 7 years is 173 presumed not to create a substantial deviation. The extension of 174 the date of buildout of an areawide development of regional 175 impact by more than 5 years but less than 10 years is presumed 176 not to create a substantial deviation. These presumptions may be 177 rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local government. An extension of 5 years or less is 178 not a substantial deviation. 179

479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 7 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

	Amendment No.
180	2. In recognition of the 2011 real estate market
181	conditions, at the option of the developer, all commencement,
182	phase, buildout, and expiration dates for projects that are
183	currently valid developments of regional impact are extended for
184	4 years regardless of any previous extension. Associated
185	mitigation requirements are extended for the same period unless
186	a governmental entity notifies the developer by December 1,
187	2011, that it has entered into a contract for construction of a
188	facility with some or all of development's mitigation funds
189	specified in the development order or a written agreement with
190	the developer. The 4-year extension is not a substantial
191	deviation, is not subject to further development-of-regional-
192	impact review, and may not be considered when determining
193	whether a subsequent extension is a substantial deviation under
194	this subsection. The developer must notify the local government
195	in writing by December 31, 2011, in order to receive the 4-year
196	extension.

197

For the purpose of calculating when a buildout or phase date has 198 199 been exceeded, the time shall be tolled during the pendency of administrative or judicial proceedings relating to development 200 201 permits. Any extension of the buildout date of a project or a 202 phase thereof shall automatically extend the commencement date 203 of the project, the termination date of the development order, 204 the expiration date of the development of regional impact, and 205 the phases thereof if applicable by a like period of time. In 206 recognition of the 2007 real estate market conditions, all 207 phase, buildout, and expiration dates for projects that are 479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM

Page 8 of 13

Amendment No. 208 developments of regional impact and under active construction on 209 July 1, 2007, are extended for 3 years regardless of any prior 210 extension. The 3-year extension is not a substantial deviation, 211 is not subject to further development-of-regional-impact review, 212 and may not be considered when determining whether a subsequent 213 extension is a substantial deviation under this subsection.

(e)1. Except for a development order rendered pursuant to 214 215 subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a 216 development order that individually or cumulatively with any 217 previous change is less than any numerical criterion contained 218 in subparagraphs (b)1.-10.1.-13. and does not exceed any other criterion, or that involves an extension of the buildout date of 219 220 a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years is not 221 subject to the public hearing requirements of subparagraph (f)3., and is not subject to a determination pursuant to 222 subparagraph (f)5. Notice of the proposed change shall be made 223 to the regional planning council and the state land planning 224 225 agency. Such notice shall include a description of previous 226 individual changes made to the development, including changes 227 previously approved by the local government, and shall include 228 appropriate amendments to the development order.

229 2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively230 with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations:

a. Changes in the name of the project, developer, owner,or monitoring official.

b. Changes to a setback that do not affect noise buffers,
environmental protection or mitigation areas, or archaeological
or historical resources.

479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 9 of 13

Amendment No.

236 c. Changes to minimum lot sizes.

d. Changes in the configuration of internal roads that donot affect external access points.

e. Changes to the building design or orientation that stay
approximately within the approved area designated for such
building and parking lot, and which do not affect historical
buildings designated as significant by the Division of
Historical Resources of the Department of State.

f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development, provided that no development is proposed on the acreage to be added.

g. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, providedthat there are no additional regional impacts.

h. Changes required to conform to permits approved by any
federal, state, or regional permitting agency, provided that
these changes do not create additional regional impacts.

i. Any renovation or redevelopment of development within a
 previously approved development of regional impact which does
 not change land use or increase density or intensity of use.

255 j. Changes that modify boundaries and configuration of 256 areas described in subparagraph (b)11.14. due to science-based 257 refinement of such areas by survey, by habitat evaluation, by 258 other recognized assessment methodology, or by an environmental 259 assessment. In order for changes to qualify under this sub-260 subparagraph, the survey, habitat evaluation, or assessment must 261 occur prior to the time a conservation easement protecting such 262 lands is recorded and must not result in any net decrease in the

479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 10 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

263 total acreage of the lands specifically set aside for permanent 264 preservation in the final development order.

Amendment No.

270

265 k. Any other change which the state land planning agency, 266 in consultation with the regional planning council, agrees in 267 writing is similar in nature, impact, or character to the 268 changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs a.-j. and which does not 269 create the likelihood of any additional regional impact.

271 This subsection does not require the filing of a notice of 272 proposed change but shall require an application to the local 273 government to amend the development order in accordance with the 274 local government's procedures for amendment of a development 275 order. In accordance with the local government's procedures, 276 including requirements for notice to the applicant and the 277 public, the local government shall either deny the application for amendment or adopt an amendment to the development order 278 279 which approves the application with or without conditions. 280 Following adoption, the local government shall render to the 281 state land planning agency the amendment to the development 282 order. The state land planning agency may appeal, pursuant to s. 283 380.07(3), the amendment to the development order if the 284 amendment involves sub-subparagraph q., sub-subparagraph h., 285 sub-subparagraph j., or sub-subparagraph k., and it believes the 286 change creates a reasonable likelihood of new or additional 287 regional impacts.

288 3. Except for the change authorized by sub-subparagraph 289 2.f., any addition of land not previously reviewed or any change 290 not specified in paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) shall be 479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 11 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

Amendment No.

291 presumed to create a substantial deviation. This presumption may 292 be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

293

4. Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously 294 approved development shall include a description of individual 295 changes previously made to the development, including changes 296 previously approved by the local government. The local 297 government shall consider the previous and current proposed 298 changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively constitute 299 a substantial deviation requiring further development-of-300 regional-impact review.

301 5. The following changes to an approved development of 302 regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial 303 deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and 304 convincing evidence.

305 A change proposed for 15 percent or more of the acreage a. 306 to a land use not previously approved in the development order. 307 Changes of less than 15 percent shall be presumed not to create 308 a substantial deviation.

309 Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to the b. 310 contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous increases and decreases of at least two of the uses within an authorized 311 312 multiuse development of regional impact which was originally 313 approved with three or more uses specified in s. 380.0651(3)(c), 314 (d), (e), and (f) and residential use.

315 6. If a local government agrees to a proposed change, a change in the transportation proportionate share calculation and 316 317 mitigation plan in an adopted development order as a result of 318 recalculation of the proportionate share contribution meeting 479405

Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM Page 12 of 13

Bill No. CS/HB 7129 (2011)

319	Amendment No. the requirements of s. 163.3180(5)(h) in effect as of the date
320	of such change shall be presumed not to create a substantial
321	deviation. For purposes of this subsection, the proposed change
322	in the proportionate share calculation or mitigation plan shall
323	not be considered an additional regional transportation impact.
324	
325	
326	
327	DIRECTORY AMENDMENT
328	Remove lines 7528-7529 and insert:
329	Section 52. Paragraph (b) of subsection (6), paragraph (g)
330	of subsection (15), paragraphs (b), (c), and (e) of subsection
331	(19), subsection (24), paragraph (e) of subsection
332	
333	
334	
335	TITLE AMENDMENT
336	Remove line 116 and insert:
337	amending s. 380.06, F.S.; revising requirements relating to the
338	issuance of permits for development by local governments;
339	revising criteria for the determination of substantial
340	deviation; providing for extension of
	479405 Approved For Filing: 4/19/2011 1:04:23 PM