Bill No. CS/CS/SB 1210, 1st Eng. (2013)

Amendment No.

CHAMBER ACTION

Senate House

.

Representative Workman offered the following:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove line 197 and insert:

Section 7. Section 61.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.071 Alimony pendente lite; suit money.—In every proceeding for dissolution of the marriage, a party may claim alimony and suit money in the petition or by motion, and if the petition is well founded, the court shall allow alimony calculated in accordance with s. 61.08 and a reasonable sum of suit money therefor. If a party in any proceeding for dissolution of marriage claims alimony or suit money in his or her answer or by motion, and the answer or motion is well founded, the court shall allow alimony calculated in accordance with s. 61.08 and a reasonable sum of suit money therefor.

983349

Approved For Filing: 5/2/2013 1:10:13 PM Page 1 of 31

Section 8. Paragraph (a) of subsection (6) and subsection (10) of section 61.075, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

- 61.075 Equitable distribution of marital assets and liabilities.—
 - (6) As used in this section:
 - (a) 1. "Marital assets and liabilities" include:
- a. Assets acquired and liabilities incurred during the marriage, individually by either spouse or jointly by them.
- b. The enhancement in value and appreciation of nonmarital assets resulting either from the efforts of either party during the marriage or from the contribution to or expenditure thereon of marital funds or other forms of marital assets, or both.
- c. The paydown of principal of a note and mortgage secured by nonmarital real property and a portion of any passive appreciation in the property, if the note and mortgage secured by the property are paid down from marital funds during the marriage. The portion of passive appreciation in the property characterized as marital and subject to equitable distribution shall be determined by multiplying a coverture fraction by the passive appreciation in the property during the marriage.
- (I) The passive appreciation shall be determined by subtracting the gross value of the property on the date of the marriage or the date of acquisition of the property, whichever is later, from the value of the property on the valuation date in the dissolution action, less any active appreciation of the property during the marriage, pursuant to sub-subparagraph b., and less any additional encumbrances secured by the property

during the marriage in excess of the first note and mortgage on which principal is paid from marital funds.

- (II) The coverture fraction shall consist of a numerator, defined as the total paydown of principal from marital funds of all notes and mortgages secured by the property during the marriage, and a denominator, defined as the value of the subject real property on the date of the marriage, the date of acquisition of the property, or the date the property was encumbered by the first note and mortgage on which principal was paid from marital funds, whichever is later.
- (III) The passive appreciation shall be multiplied by the coverture fraction to determine the marital portion of the passive appreciation in the property.
- (IV) The total marital portion of the property shall consist of the marital portion of the passive appreciation, pursuant to subparagraph 3., the mortgage principal paid during the marriage from marital funds, and any active appreciation of the property, pursuant to sub-subparagraph b., not to exceed the total net equity in the property at the date of valuation.
- (V) The court shall apply this formula unless a party shows circumstances sufficient to establish that application of the formula would be inequitable under the facts presented.
 - <u>d.e.</u> Interspousal gifts during the marriage.
- <u>e.d.</u> All vested and nonvested benefits, rights, and funds accrued during the marriage in retirement, pension, profitsharing, annuity, deferred compensation, and insurance plans and programs.

- 2. All real property held by the parties as tenants by the entireties, whether acquired prior to or during the marriage, shall be presumed to be a marital asset. If, in any case, a party makes a claim to the contrary, the burden of proof shall be on the party asserting the claim that the subject property, or some portion thereof, is nonmarital.
- 3. All personal property titled jointly by the parties as tenants by the entireties, whether acquired prior to or during the marriage, shall be presumed to be a marital asset. In the event a party makes a claim to the contrary, the burden of proof shall be on the party asserting the claim that the subject property, or some portion thereof, is nonmarital.
- 4. The burden of proof to overcome the gift presumption shall be by clear and convincing evidence.
- (10) (a) To do equity between the parties, the court may, in lieu of or to supplement, facilitate, or effectuate the equitable division of marital assets and liabilities, order a monetary payment in a lump sum or in installments paid over a fixed period of time.
- (b) If installment payments are ordered, the court may require security and a reasonable rate of interest, or otherwise recognize the time value of money in determining the amount of the installments. If security or interest is required, the court shall make written findings relating to any deferred payments, the amount of any security required, and the interest. This subsection does not preclude the application of chapter 55 to any subsequent default.

Section 9. Section 61.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 61.08 Alimony.—
- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Alimony" means a court-ordered payment of support by an obligor spouse to an obligee spouse.
- (b) "Long-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration of 20 years or more, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (c) "Mid-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration of more than 11 years but less than 20 years, as measured from the date of marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (d) "Net income" means net income as determined in accordance with s. 61.30.
- (e) "Short term marriage" means a marriage having a duration equal to or less than 11 years, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (2) (a) (1) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, the court may grant alimony to either party in the form of, which alimony may be bridge-the-gap, rehabilitative, or durational alimony, or a permanent in nature or any combination of these forms of alimony, but shall prioritize an award of bridge-the-gap alimony, followed by rehabilitative alimony, over any other form of alimony. In an any award of alimony, the court may order periodic payments, or payments in lump sum, or both.

- (b) The court shall make written findings regarding the basis for awarding a combination of forms of alimony, including the type of alimony and the length of time for which it is awarded. The court may award only a combination of forms of alimony to provide greater economic assistance in order to allow the recipient to achieve rehabilitation.
- (c) The court may consider the adultery of either party spouse and the circumstances thereof in determining the amount of alimony, if any, to be awarded.
- $\underline{\text{(d)}}$ In all dissolution actions, the court shall include $\underline{\text{written}}$ findings of fact relative to the factors enumerated in subsection (3) $\underline{\text{(2)}}$ supporting an award or denial of alimony.
- (3)(2) The party seeking alimony has the burden of proof of demonstrating a need for alimony in accordance with subsection (8) and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony. In determining whether to award alimony or maintenance, the court shall first make, in writing, a specific factual determination as to whether the other either party has an actual need for alimony or maintenance and whether either party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance. If the court finds that the aparty seeking alimony has met its burden of proof in demonstrating a need for alimony or maintenance and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance, then in determining the proper type and amount of alimony or maintenance under subsections (5)-(9) (5)-(8), the court shall consider all relevant factors, including, but not limited to:
 - (a) The standard of living established during the marriage.
 - (a) (b) The duration of the marriage.

- (b) (c) The age and the physical and emotional condition of each party.
- (c) (d) The financial resources of each party, including the portion of nonmarital assets that were relied upon by the parties during the marriage and the marital assets and liabilities distributed to each.
- (d) (e) The earning capacities, educational levels, vocational skills, and employability of the parties and, when applicable, the time necessary for either party to acquire sufficient education or training to enable such party to find appropriate employment.
- (e) (f) The contribution of each party to the marriage, including, but not limited to, services rendered in homemaking, child care, education, and career building of the other party.
- $\underline{\text{(f)}}$ The responsibilities each party will have with regard to any minor children $\underline{\text{that the parties}}$ they have in common.
- (g) (h) The tax treatment and consequences to both parties of an any alimony award, which must be consistent with applicable state and federal tax laws and may include including the designation of all or a portion of the payment as a nontaxable, nondeductible payment.
- (h)(i) All sources of income available to either party, including income available to either party through investments of any asset held by that party which was acquired during the marriage or acquired outside the marriage and relied upon during the marriage.

- (i) The needs and necessities of life after dissolution of marriage, taking into account the lifestyle of the parties during the marriage but subject to the presumption in paragraph (j).
- (j) The net income and standard of living available to each party after the application of the alimony award. There is a rebuttable presumption that both parties will have a lower standard of living after the dissolution of marriage than the standard of living they enjoyed during the marriage. This presumption may be overcome by a preponderance of the evidence.
- $\underline{\text{(k)}}$ Any other factor necessary to do equity and justice between the parties, if that factor is specifically identified in the award with findings of fact justifying the application of the factor.
- (4)(3) To the extent necessary to protect an award of alimony, the court may order any party who is ordered to pay alimony to purchase or maintain a life insurance policy that may be decreasing or another form of term life insurance at the option of the obligor or a bond, or to otherwise secure such alimony award with any other assets that which may be suitable for that purpose, in an amount adequate to secure the alimony award. Any such security may be awarded only upon a showing of special circumstances. If the court finds special circumstances and awards such security, the court must make specific evidentiary findings regarding the availability, cost, and financial impact on the obligated party. Any security may be modifiable in the event that the underlying alimony award is

modified and shall be reduced in an amount commensurate with any reduction in the alimony award.

- (4) For purposes of determining alimony, there is a rebuttable presumption that a short-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of less than 7 years, a moderate-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of greater than 7 years but less than 17 years, and long-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of 17 years or greater. The length of a marriage is the period of time from the date of marriage until the date of filing of an action for dissolution of marriage.
- (5) Bridge-the-gap alimony may be awarded to assist a party by providing support to allow the party to make a transition from being married to being single. Bridge-the-gap alimony is designed to assist a party with legitimate identifiable short-term needs, and the length of an award may not exceed 2 years. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony is shall not be modifiable in amount or duration.
- (6)(a) Rehabilitative alimony may be awarded to assist a party in establishing the capacity for self-support through either:
 - 1. The redevelopment of previous skills or credentials; or
- 2. The acquisition of education, training, or work experience necessary to develop appropriate employment skills or credentials.

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

- (b) In order to award rehabilitative alimony, there must be a specific and defined rehabilitative plan which shall be included as a part of any order awarding rehabilitative alimony.
- (c) An award of rehabilitative alimony may be modified or terminated only during the rehabilitative period in accordance with s. 61.14 based upon a substantial change in circumstances, upon noncompliance with the rehabilitative plan, or upon completion of the rehabilitative plan.
- (7) Durational alimony may be awarded when permanent periodic alimony is inappropriate. The purpose of durational alimony is to provide a party with economic assistance for a set period of time following a short-term, mid-term, or long-term marriage of short or moderate duration or following a marriage of long duration if there is no ongoing need for support on a permanent basis. When awarding durational alimony, the court must make written findings that an award of another form of alimony or a combination of the other forms of alimony is not appropriate. An award of durational alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. The amount of an award of durational alimony shall may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14. However, The length of an award of durational alimony may not be modified except under exceptional circumstances and may not exceed 50 percent of the length of the marriage, unless the party seeking alimony proves by a preponderance of the evidence the circumstances justifying

the need for a longer award of alimony, which circumstances must be set out in writing by the court the length of the marriage.

(8) (a) There is a rebuttable presumption against awarding alimony for a short-term marriage. A party seeking bridge-the-gap or rehabilitative alimony may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence a need for alimony. A party seeking durational alimony may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence a need for alimony. If the court finds that the party has met its burden in demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly award of alimony that may not exceed 25 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s.

61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include, consistent with paragraph (3)(h), sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage.

(b) There is no presumption in favor of either party to an award of alimony for a mid-term marriage. A party seeking such alimony must prove by a preponderance of the evidence a need for alimony. If the court finds that the party has met its burden in demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly award of alimony that may not exceed 35 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s. 61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include, consistent with paragraph (3)(h), sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage.

- (c) There is a rebuttable presumption in favor of awarding alimony for a long-term marriage. A party against whom alimony is sought may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence that there is no need for alimony. If the court finds that the party against whom alimony is sought fails to meet its burden to demonstrate that there is no need for alimony and that the party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly award of alimony which may not exceed 38 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s. 61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include, consistent with paragraph (3)(h), sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage.
- (d) Notwithstanding subsections (8) and (9), the combination of an award of rehabilitative alimony and another form of alimony may be awarded up to a maximum of 40 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income during the temporary period in which rehabilitative alimony has been awarded, as calculated under s. 61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include, consistent with paragraph (3)(h), sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage.
- (9) The court may order alimony exceeding the monthly income limits established in subsection (8) if the court determines, in accordance with the factors in subsection (3), that there is a need for additional alimony, which determination must be set out in writing Permanent alimony may be awarded to provide for the needs and necessities of life as they were

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

established during the marriage of the parties for a party who lacks the financial ability to meet his or her needs and necessities of life following a dissolution of marriage. Permanent alimony may be awarded following a marriage of long duration if such an award is appropriate upon consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), following a marriage of moderate duration if such an award is appropriate based upon clear and convincing evidence after consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), or following a marriage of short duration if there are written findings of exceptional circumstances. In awarding permanent alimony, the court shall include a finding that no other form of alimony is fair and reasonable under the circumstances of the parties. An award of permanent alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14.

(10) A party against whom alimony is sought who has met the requirements for retirement in accordance with s. 61.14(12) before the filing of the petition for dissolution is not required to pay alimony unless the party seeking alimony proves by clear and convincing evidence the other party has the ability to pay alimony, in addition to all other requirements of this section.

(11) (9) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, alimony may not be awarded to a party who has a monthly net income that is equal to or more than the other party. Except in the case of

- a long-term marriage, in awarding alimony, the court shall impute income to the obligor and obligee as follows:
 - (a) In the case of the obligor, social security retirement benefits may not be imputed to the obligor, as demonstrated by a social security retirement benefits entitlement letter.
 - (b) In the case of the obligee, if the obligee:
 - 1. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for less than 1 year before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 90 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
 - 2. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 1 year but less than 2 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 80 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
 - 3. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 2 years but less than 3 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 70 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
 - 4. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 3 years but less than 4 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 60 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
 - 5. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 4 years but less than 5 years

before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 50 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.

- 6. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 5 years before the time of the filing of the petition, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 40 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income, or the monthly net income of a minimum wage earner at the time of the filing of the petition, whichever is greater.
- 7. Proves by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she does not have the ability to earn the imputed income through reasonable means, the court shall reduce the imputation of income specified in this paragraph. If the obligee alleges that a physical disability has impaired his or her ability to earn the imputed income, such disability must meet the definition of disability as determined by the Social Security Administration. The award of alimony may not leave the payor with significantly less net income than the net income of the recipient unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.
- (12) (a) (10) (a) With respect to any order requiring the payment of alimony entered on or after January 1, 1985, unless the provisions of paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies apply, the court shall direct in the order that the payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.
- (b) With respect to any order requiring the payment of alimony entered before January 1, 1985, upon the subsequent appearance, on or after that date, of one or both parties before

the court having jurisdiction for the purpose of modifying or enforcing the order or in any other proceeding related to the order, or upon the application of either party, unless the provisions of paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies apply, the court shall modify the terms of the order as necessary to direct that payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.

- (c) If there is no minor child, alimony payments need not be directed through the depository.
- (d)1. If there is a minor child of the parties and both parties so request, the court may order that alimony payments need not be directed through the depository. In this case, the order of support <u>must</u> shall provide, or be deemed to provide, that either party may subsequently apply to the depository to require that payments be made through the depository. The court shall provide a copy of the order to the depository.
- 2. If the provisions of subparagraph 1. applies apply, either party may subsequently file with the depository an affidavit alleging default or arrearages in payment and stating that the party wishes to initiate participation in the depository program. The party shall provide copies of the affidavit to the court and the other party or parties. Fifteen days after receipt of the affidavit, the depository shall notify all parties that future payments shall be directed to the depository.
- 3. In IV-D cases, the IV-D agency $\underline{\text{has}}$ shall have the same rights as the obligee in requesting that payments be made through the depository.

Bill No. CS/CS/SB 1210, 1st Eng. (2013)

Amendment No.

Section 10. Section 61.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.09 Alimony and child support unconnected with dissolution.—If a person having the ability to contribute to the maintenance of his or her spouse and support of his or her minor child fails to do so, the spouse who is not receiving support may apply to the court for alimony and for support for the child without seeking dissolution of marriage, and the court shall enter an order as it deems just and proper. Alimony awarded under this section shall be calculated in accordance with s. 61.08.

Section 11. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 61.13, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing; powers of court.—

(2)

- (c) The court shall determine all matters relating to parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in accordance with the best interests of the child and in accordance with the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, except that modification of a parenting plan and time-sharing schedule requires a showing of a substantial, material, and unanticipated change of circumstances.
- 1. It is the public policy of this state that each minor child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or the marriage of the parties is dissolved and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. There is no

presumption for or against the father or mother of the child or for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the parenting plan of the child. Equal time-sharing with a minor child by both parents is in the best interest of the child unless the court finds that:

- a. The safety, well-being, and physical, mental, and emotional health of the child would be endangered by equal time-sharing, that visitation would be presumed detrimental consistent with s. 39.0139(3), or that supervised visitation is appropriate, if any is appropriate;
- b. Clear and convincing evidence of extenuating circumstances justify a departure from equal time-sharing and the court makes written findings justifying the departure from equal time-sharing;
 - c. A parent is incarcerated;
- d. The distance between parental residences makes equal time-sharing impracticable;
- e. A parent does not request at least 50-percent time-sharing;
- f. A permanent injunction has been entered or is warranted against a parent or household member relating to contact between the subject of the injunction and the parent or household member; or
- g. Domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28, has occurred.
- 2. The court shall order that the parental responsibility for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509510

511

to the child. Evidence that a parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28 and chapter 775, or meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d), creates a rebuttable presumption of detriment to the child. If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child, and decisions made regarding the child, may not be granted to the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the court determines that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic violence, the court shall consider evidence of domestic violence or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the child.

a. In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities between the parties based on the best interests of the child. Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a particular family.

- b. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for a minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with the other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor child.
- 3. Access to records and information pertaining to a minor child, including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and school records, may not be denied to either parent. Full rights under this subparagraph apply to either parent unless a court order specifically revokes these rights, including any restrictions on these rights as provided in a domestic violence injunction. A parent having rights under this subparagraph has the same rights upon request as to form, substance, and manner of access as are available to the other parent of a child, including, without limitation, the right to in-person communication with medical, dental, and education providers.

Section 12. The amendments made by this act to s. 61.13, Florida Statutes, providing for equal time-sharing, apply prospectively to initial final custody orders made on or after July 1, 2013. The amendments do not constitute a substantial change in circumstances that warrant the modification of a final custody order entered before July 1, 2013.

Section 13. Subsection (1) of section 61.14, Florida Statutes, is amended, paragraphs (c) and (d) are added to subsection (11) of that section, and subsection (12) is added to that section, to read:

- 61.14 Enforcement and modification of support, maintenance, or alimony agreements or orders.—
- (1) (a) When the parties enter into an agreement for payments for, or instead of, support, maintenance, or alimony,

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565566

whether in connection with a proceeding for dissolution or separate maintenance or with any voluntary property settlement, or when a party is required by court order to make any payments, and the circumstances or the financial ability of either party changes or the child who is a beneficiary of an agreement or court order as described herein reaches majority after the execution of the agreement or the rendition of the order, either party may apply to the circuit court of the circuit in which the parties, or either of them, resided at the date of the execution of the agreement or reside at the date of the application, or in which the agreement was executed or in which the order was rendered, for an order terminating, decreasing, or increasing the amount of support, maintenance, or alimony, and the court has jurisdiction to make orders as equity requires, with due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child, decreasing, increasing, or confirming the amount of separate support, maintenance, or alimony provided for in the agreement or order. A finding that medical insurance is reasonably available or the child support guidelines schedule in s. 61.30 may constitute changed circumstances. Except as otherwise provided in s. 61.30(11)(c), the court may modify an order of support, maintenance, or alimony by terminating, increasing, or decreasing the support, maintenance, or alimony retroactively to the date of the filing of the action or supplemental action for modification as equity requires, giving due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child.

- (b) 1. If the court has determined that an existing alimony award as determined by the court at the time of dissolution is insufficient to meet the needs of the obligee, and that such need continues to exist, an alimony order shall be modified upward and upon a showing by a preponderance of the evidence of increased ability to pay alimony. Absent a finding of fraud, an increase in an obligor's income may not be considered permanent in nature unless the increase has been maintained without interruption for at least 1 year, taking into account the obligor's ability to sustain his or her income.
- 2.1. Notwithstanding subparagraph 1., the court shall may reduce or terminate an award of alimony upon specific written findings by the court that since the granting of a divorce and the award of alimony, a supportive relationship has existed between the obligee and another a person, except upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence by the obligee that his or her long-term need for alimony, taking into account the totality of the circumstances, has not been reduced by the supportive relationship with whom the obligee resides. On the issue of whether alimony should be reduced or terminated under this paragraph, the burden is on the obligor to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a supportive relationship exists.
- 3.2. In determining whether an existing award of alimony should be reduced or terminated because of an alleged supportive relationship between an obligee and a person who is not related by consanguinity or affinity and with whom the obligee resides, the court shall elicit the nature and extent of the relationship

in question. The court shall give consideration, without limitation, to circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following, in determining the relationship of an obligee to another person:

- a. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have held themselves out as a married couple by engaging in conduct such as using the same last name, using a common mailing address, referring to each other in terms such as "my husband" or "my wife," or otherwise conducting themselves in a manner that evidences a permanent supportive relationship.
- b. The period of time that the obligee has resided with the other person in a permanent place of abode.
- c. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have pooled their assets or income or otherwise exhibited financial interdependence.
- d. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has supported the other, in whole or in part.
- e. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other.
- f. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other's company or employer.
- g. Whether the obligee and the other person have worked together to create or enhance anything of value.
- h. Whether the obligee and the other person have jointly contributed to the purchase of any real or personal property.
- i. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an express agreement regarding property sharing or support.

- j. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an implied agreement regarding property sharing or support.
- k. Whether the obligee and the other person have provided support to the children of one another, regardless of any legal duty to do so.
- 4.3. This paragraph does not abrogate the requirement that every marriage in this state be solemnized under a license, does not recognize a common law marriage as valid, and does not recognize a de facto marriage. This paragraph recognizes only that relationships do exist that provide economic support equivalent to a marriage and that alimony terminable on remarriage may be reduced or terminated upon the establishment of equivalent equitable circumstances as described in this paragraph. The existence of a conjugal relationship, though it may be relevant to the nature and extent of the relationship, is not necessary for the application of the provisions of this paragraph.
- 5. There is a rebuttable presumption that any modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition. In an action under this section, if it is determined that the obligee or obligor unnecessarily or unreasonably litigated the underlying petition for modification or termination, the court may award the other party his or her reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to s. 61.16 and applicable case law.
- (c) For each support order reviewed by the department as required by s. 409.2564(11), if the amount of the child support

award under the order differs by at least 10 percent but not less than \$25 from the amount that would be awarded under s. 61.30, the department shall seek to have the order modified and any modification shall be made without a requirement for proof or showing of a change in circumstances.

(d) The department $\underline{\text{may}}$ shall have authority to adopt rules to administer $\underline{\text{implement}}$ this section.

(11)

- (c) If the court orders alimony payable concurrent with a child support order, the alimony award may not be modified solely because of a later reduction or termination of child support payments, unless the court finds the obligor has the ability to pay the modified alimony award, the existing alimony award as determined by the court at the time of dissolution is insufficient to meet the needs of the obligee, and such need continues to exist.
- (d) An obligor's subsequent remarriage or cohabitation does not constitute a basis for a modification of alimony. The income and assets of the obligor's subsequent spouse or person with whom the obligor resides is not relevant in a modification action except under exceptional circumstances.
- (12) The fact that an obligor has reached a reasonable retirement age for his or her profession, has retired, and has no intent to return to work shall be considered a substantial change in circumstances as a matter of law. In determining whether the obligor's retirement age is reasonable, the court shall consider the obligor's:
 - (a) Age.

- (b) Health.
 - (c) Motivation for retirement.
 - (d) Type of work.
 - (e) Normal retirement age for that type of work.

In anticipation of retirement, the obligor may file a petition for termination or modification of the alimony award effective upon the retirement date. The court shall terminate or modify the alimony award based on the circumstances of the parties after retirement of the obligor and based on the factors in s.

61.08(3), unless the court makes findings of fact that a termination or modification of an alimony award is not warranted.

Section 14. Section 61.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 61.19 Entry of judgment of dissolution of marriage: $_{\underline{i}\tau}$ delay period; separate adjudication of issues.—
- $\underline{(1)}$ A No final judgment of dissolution of marriage may not be entered until at least 20 days have elapsed from the date of filing the original petition for dissolution of marriage, $\underline{\cdot}$ but the court, on a showing that injustice would result from this delay, may enter a final judgment of dissolution of marriage at an earlier date.
- (2) (a) During the first 180 days after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, the court may not grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues unless the court makes written findings that there are

exceptional circumstances that make the use of this process clearly necessary to protect the parties or their children and that granting a final dissolution will not cause irreparable harm to either party or the children. Before granting a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues, the court shall enter temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until all other issues can be adjudicated by the court. The desire of one party to remarry does not justify the use of this process.

- (b) If more than 180 days have elapsed after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, the court may grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues only if the court enters temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until such time as all other issues can be adjudicated by the court, and makes a written finding that no irreparable harm will result from granting a final dissolution.
- (c) If more than 365 days have elapsed after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, absent a showing by either party that irreparable harm will result from granting a final dissolution, the court shall, upon request of either party, immediately grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues. Before granting a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues, the court

735

736737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

	shall	ente	er t	empo	orai	ry orde	ers nec	essary	to	protect	the	part	ies
	and t	heir	chi	ldre	en,	which	orders	remair	n ei	fective	unti	l al	.1
other issues can be adjudicated by the court.													

- (d) The temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children entered before granting a dissolution of marriage without an adjudication of all substantive issues may include, but are not limited to, temporary orders that:
 - 1. Restrict the sale or disposition of property.
 - 2. Protect and preserve the marital assets.
 - 3. Establish temporary support.
 - 4. Provide for maintenance of health insurance.
 - 5. Provide for maintenance of life insurance.
- (e) The court is not required to enter temporary orders to protect the parties and their children if the court enters a final judgment of dissolution of marriage that adjudicates substantially all of the substantive issues between the parties but reserves jurisdiction to address ancillary issues such as the entry of a qualified domestic relations order or the adjudication of attorney fees and costs.

Section 15. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove line 22 and insert:

dating violence, or stalking; amending s. 61.071,

F.S.; requiring that alimony pendente lite be

calculated in accordance with s. 61.08, F.S.; amending

s. 61.075, F.S.; redefining the term "marital assets

983349

Approved For Filing: 5/2/2013 1:10:13 PM Page 28 of 31

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788 789

790

and liabilities" for purposes of equitable distribution in dissolution of marriage actions; providing that the term includes the paydown of principal of notes and mortgages secured by nonmarital real property and certain passive appreciation in such property under certain circumstances; providing formulas and guidelines for determining the amount of such passive appreciation; requiring security and interest relating to the installment payment of such assets; providing exceptions; permitting the court to provide written findings regarding any installment payments; amending s. 61.08, F.S.; defining terms; providing for the priority of bridge-the-gap alimony, followed by rehabilitative alimony, over any other form; requiring a court to make written findings regarding the basis for awarding a combination of forms of alimony, including the type of alimony and length of time for which it is awarded; providing that the party seeking alimony has the burden of proof of demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony; requiring the court to consider specified relevant factors when determining the proper type and amount of alimony; revising provisions relating to the protection of awards of alimony; revising provisions for an award of durational alimony; specifying criteria related to the rebuttable presumption to award or not to award alimony; specifying criteria for awarding

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816817

818

rehabilitative alimony; deleting a provision authorizing permanent alimony; providing for retirement of a party against whom alimony is sought; providing for imputation of income to the obligor or obligee in certain circumstances; amending s. 61.09, F.S.; providing for the calculation of alimony; amending s. 61.13, F.S.; establishing a presumption that it is in the best interest of the child for the court to order equal time-sharing for each minor child; providing exceptions; providing prospective applicability of the presumption; amending s. 61.14, F.S.; authorizing a party to apply for an order to terminate the amount of support, maintenance, or alimony; requiring that an alimony order be modified upward upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence of an increased ability to pay alimony by the other party; prohibiting an increase in an obligor's income from being considered permanent in nature until it has been maintained for a specified period without interruption; providing an exemption from the reduction or termination of an alimony award in certain circumstances; providing that there is a rebuttable presumption that any modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition; providing for an award of attorney fees and costs if it is determined that an obligee unnecessarily or unreasonably litigates a petition for modification or termination

Bill No. CS/CS/SB 1210, 1st Eng. (2013)

Amendment No.

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

of an alimony award; prohibiting an alimony award from being modified providing that if the court orders alimony concurrent with a child support order, the alimony award may not be modified because of the later modification or termination of child support payments; providing that an obligor's subsequent remarriage or cohabitation is not a basis for modification of alimony; providing that income and assets of obligor's subsequent spouse or person with whom the obligor is residing are generally not relevant to modification; providing that the attaining of retirement age is a substantial change in circumstances; requiring the court to consider certain factors in determining whether the obligor's retirement is reasonable; requiring a court to terminate or reduce an alimony award based on certain factors; amending s. 61.19, F.S.; authorizing separate adjudication of issues in a dissolution of marriage case in certain circumstances; providing for temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children; providing an effective