The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepare	ed By: The Professional Sta	Iff of the Committee	e on Criminal Justice
BILL:	SB 1734			
INTRODUCER:	Senator Flores			
SUBJECT:	Public Rec Victims	ords Exemption/Expung	ged Criminal His	tory Records of Human Trafficking
DATE:	March 26,	2013 REVISED:		
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
. Dugger		Cannon	CJ	Pre-meeting
···			GO	
			RC	

I. Summary:

SB 1734, which is linked to the passage of Senate Bill 1644, creates a public record exemption for a criminal history record of a victim of human trafficking that is ordered expunged. Specifically, such record retained by the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) is confidential and exempt from public record requirements and cannot be disclosed to any person or entity except upon order of a court of competent jurisdiction.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the Florida Constitution.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a public record exemption; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

This bill substantially amends a nonexistent section of the Florida Statutes that is created by the linked bill, SB 1644.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Laws

The Florida Constitution provides every person the right to inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or of persons acting on their behalf.¹ The records of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches are specifically included.²

The Florida Statutes also specify conditions under which public access must be provided to government records. The Public Records Act³ guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any state or local government public record⁴ at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.⁵

Only the Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements. Such an exemption must be created by general law and must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption. Further, the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. A bill enacting an exemption may not contain other substantive provisions and must pass by a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature.

The Open Government Sunset Review Act¹⁰ requires a newly created or expanded public records exemption to be repealed on October 2 of the fifth year after enactment, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature.¹¹ It further provides that a public records exemption may be created

¹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

² *Id*.

³ Chapter 119, F.S.

⁴ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public records" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency." The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records (*see Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So.2d 32 (Fla. 1992)).

⁵ Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

⁶ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c). There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public records requirements and those the Legislature designates *confidential and* exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances (*see WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 2004); and *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption (*see* Attorney General Opinion 85-62, August 1, 1985).

⁷ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

⁸ The bill may, however, contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.

⁹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁰ Section 119.15, F.S.

¹¹ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. 12

Public Record Exemption for Expunged Criminal History Records

A criminal history record of a minor or an adult that is ordered expunged must be physically destroyed by any criminal justice agency having custody of such record, except that the FDLE must retain criminal history records in all cases. Current law provides that a criminal history record ordered expunged that is retained by FDLE is confidential and exempt¹³ from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and Article I, Section 24(a) of the Florida Constitution, and is not available to any person or entity except upon order of the court with jurisdiction.¹⁴

In addition, information relating to the existence of an expunged criminal history record is confidential and exempt from public record requirements, except that FDLE must disclose the existence of such record to specified entities for their respective licensing, access authorization, and employment purposes as well as to criminal justice agencies for their respective criminal justice purposes. ¹⁵ Disclosure of the existence of such record to unauthorized persons is a first degree misdemeanor. ¹⁶

SB 644 (Linked to this bill)

SB 1644 creates s. 943.0583, F.S., entitled "human trafficking victim expunction." The bill authorizes a victim of human trafficking to petition the court for the expunction of any conviction for an offense, except an offense listed in s. 775.084(1)(b)1., F.S., committed while he or she was a victim of human trafficking, which offense was committed as a part of the human trafficking scheme of which he or she was a victim, or at the direction of an operator of the scheme. A "victim of human trafficking" is defined as a person subjected to coercion for the purpose of being used in human trafficking, a child under 18 years of age subjected to human trafficking, or an individual subjected to human trafficking as defined by federal law.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill, which is linked to the passage of Senate Bill 1644, creates a public record exemption for a criminal history record of a victim of human trafficking that is ordered expunged and for information relating to the existence of such expunged criminal history record. Specifically, such record retained by FDLE is confidential and exempt from public record requirements and cannot

¹² Section 119.15(5)(b), F.S.

¹³ There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62 (August 1, 1985).

¹⁴ Section 943.0585(4), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 943.0585(4)(c), F.S.

¹⁶ Id. A first degree misdemeanor is punishable by serving up to one year in county jail and/or paying a fine not exceeding \$1,000. Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

be disclosed to any person or entity except upon order of a court of competent jurisdiction. A criminal justice agency may retain a notation indicating compliance with an order to expunge.

Information related to the existence of an expunged criminal history record that is provided under paragraph (8) (b) is also confidential and exempt except that the department must disclose the existence of the expunged record to specified entities for their respective licensing, access authorization, and employment purposes as well as to criminal justice agencies for their respective criminal justice purposes. Any violation of this nondisclosure provision is a first degree misdemeanor under the bill.

The bill also provides the following statement of public necessity as required by the Florida Constitution: ¹⁷

The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that persons who are victims of human trafficking and who have been convicted of crimes committed at the behest of their traffickers are themselves victims of crimes. Such victims face barriers to employment and other life opportunities as long as these criminal convictions remain on record and accessible to potential employers and others. It is necessary that these records be made confidential in order for human trafficking victims to have the chance to rebuild their lives and reenter society.

Finally, the bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2018, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a public record exemption; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a public record exemption; thus, it includes a public necessity statement.

¹⁷ Article 1, Sec. 24(c), FLA. CONST.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a newly created public record or public meeting exemption to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The bill creates a public record exemption limited to expunged criminal records of victims of human trafficking.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

There may be a positive impact on victims of human trafficking to the extent that they are able to get their criminal history records expunged under the bill.

C. Government Sector Impact:

According to the FDLE, there is no fiscal impact as a result of this bill. 18

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

It is unclear what the references to "(8) (b) 1., 4., 5., 6., and 7." refer to on lines 34, 38, 39, and 40.

VII. Related Issues:

The First Amendment Foundation is neutral on this bill.

VIII. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

¹⁸ FDLE Legislative Analysis for SB 1734, dated March 14, 2013, on file with the Senate Criminal Justice Committee.