### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/CS/HB 7127 (PCB THSS 13-02) Department of Transportation

SPONSOR(S): Economic Affairs Committee; Transportation & Economic Development Appropriations

Subcommittee; Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee; Artiles

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee	13 Y, 0 N	Johnson	Miller
Transportation & Economic Development     Appropriations Subcommittee	13 Y, 0 N, As CS	Proctor	Davis
2) Economic Affairs Committee	14 Y, 0 N, As CS	Johnson	Creamer

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The bill is a comprehensive bill relating to the Department of Transportation (DOT). Among the revisions, the bill:

- Repeals the "Florida Transportation Corporation Act" and related auditing authority.
- Provides for Florida Transportation Commission's (FTC) oversight over Regional Transportation
   Finance Authorities and the Mid-Bay Bridge Authority.
- Revises provisions related to utility relocation on the right-of-way.
- Implements Space Florida's request to further integrate space transportation programs with DOT's programs and processes and annually sets aside \$15 million for spaceport projects.
- Creates the Strategic Airport Investment Initiative.
- Prohibits DOT from entering into any new lease-purchase agreements with various authorities.
- Authorizes DOT to enter into contracts with community development districts for routine maintenance work on the State Highway System within district boundaries.
- Extends DOT's authority to improve and maintain roads that provide access to state parks.
- Modifies the terms and conditions under which DOT may sell or lease properties acquired for rights-ofway.
- Clarifies DOT's authority and responsibilities when DOT receives an unsolicited lease proposal.
- Directs the FTC to study the potential for the state to share revenue generated from parking meters and other time limit devices on state roads.
- Revises certain membership requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations.
- Broadens the eligibility for intercity bus companies to compete for federal and state program funding.
- Provides that public transit block grants cannot be used for actions leading to the promotion of new taxes through public referenda.
- Provides for the siting of communications facilities within a high speed rail system.
- Creates the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority (RTFA) Act.
- Authorizes the Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority to enter into 99 year leases.
- Provides some additional exemptions to environmental permitting requirements.
- Revises provisions related to environmental mitigation for transportation projects.

This bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact to both state and local government revenues and expenditures. See the Fiscal Analysis & Economic Impact Statement of this analysis for specific details.

Unless otherwise provided, the bill has an effective date of July 1, 2013.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill is a comprehensive bill related to the Department of Transportation (DOT). For ease of understanding, this analysis is arranged by topic.

## **Transportation Corporations** (Sections 1 and 26)

### **Current Situation**

Sections 339.401 through 339.421, F.S., set out the Florida Transportation Corporation Act. The Act was created in 1988 to allow certain corporations authorized by the DOT to secure and obtain rights-ofway for transportation systems and to assist in the planning and design of such systems. According to legislative findings, the following factors contributed to the creation of the Act:

- New transportation facilities and systems were needed to combat present and future traffic congestion:
- Because state funds were limited, design of these facilities and systems required new and alternative means; and
- Authorizing nonprofit corporations to act on behalf of DOT was essential to the continued economic growth of the state.2

The Act contains various statutory provisions related to the formation, operation, and dissolution of these corporations. According to DOT, this act has never been used.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill repeals the Florida Transportation Corporation Act in ss. 339.401 through 339.421, F.S. The bill also repeals s. 11.45(3)(m), F.S., authorizing the Auditor General to audit these corporations.

# Florida Transportation Commission Review of Transportation Authorities (Section 2)

### **Current Situation**

The Florida Transportation Commission (FTC) is responsible for monitoring the efficiency, productivity, and management of authorities created under chs. 348 and 349, F.S., and any authority created under ch. 343, F.S., which is not monitored by the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission. There is no state entity currently charged with monitoring the Mid-Bay Bridge Authority which was created by special law.6

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 20.23(2)(b)8., F.S., giving the FTC oversight authority over regional transportation finance authorities created under the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority Act. 7 and the Mid-Bay Bridge Authority.

## Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission (Section 2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S. 3, ch. 88-271, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S. 339.403, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The transportation authorities created pursuant to ch. 348, F.S., are the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority, Tampa-Hillsborough County Expressway Authority, Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, Santa Rosa Bay Bridge Authority, and the Osceola County Expressway Authority, The Jacksonville Transportation Authority is created under ch. 349, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The transportation authorities created under ch. 343, F.S. are the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority, Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority, Northwest Florida Transportation Corridor Authority, and Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> S. 20.23(2)(b)8., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ch. 2000-411, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The bill creates the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority Act in ch. 345, F.S.

### **Current Situation**

The Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission was created in 2009.8 The primary functions of the

- 1. Monitor the efficiency, productivity, and management of all publicly-funded passenger rail systems in the state.
- 2. Advise DOT on policies and strategies used in the planning, designing, building, operating, financing, and maintaining a coordinated statewide system for passenger rail service.
- 3. Evaluate passenger rail policies and provide advice and recommendations on passenger rail operations in the state.

DOT currently provides administrative support and service to the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission. 10 The commission last met in July 2012.

## Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 20.23(3)(d), F.S., removing provisions that reasonable expenses of the commission are subject to the approval by the Secretary of Transportation and that DOT is to provide administrative support to the commission.

The bill also provides that the executive director and the assistant executive director of the FTC are to serve as the executive director and assistant executive director of the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission. Additionally, the staff of the FTC is to provide administrative support and service to the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission.

## **Position Title Change (Section 3)**

## Current Situation

DOT requested approval from the Department of Management Service (DMS) to change the title of an existing Senior Management Services (SMS) class, State Public Transportation and Modal Administrator to State Freight and Logistics Administrator. DMS approved this title change on September 2, 2011, but the statutes do not reflect this title change.

### **Proposed Change**

The bill amends s. 110.205(2)(j), F.S., changing the position of State Public Transportation and Modal Administrator to State Freight and Logistics Administrator to reflect the title change approved by DMS.

### County Authorization to Lease Real and Personal Property (Section 4)

## **Current Situation**

Section 125.35(1)(a), F.S., authorizes the board of county commissioners to sell or convey any real or personal property, and to lease real property, belonging to the county, whenever the board determines that it is to the best interest of the county to do so, to the highest and best bidder for the particular use that board deems to be the highest and best, for such length of term and such conditions as the governing body may in its discretion determine.

Notwithstanding the provisions above, s. 125.35(1)(b), F.S. authorizes the board of county commissioners to:

- Negotiate the lease of an airport or seaport facility;
- Modify or extend an existing lease of real property for an additional term not to exceed 25 years, when the improved value of the lease has an appraised value in excess of \$20 million; or
- Lease a professional sports franchise facility financed by revenues received pursuant to the tourist development tax<sup>11</sup> or the disposition of sales tax.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ch. 2009-271, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> This includes authorities created under chs. 343, 349, or 163, F.S. Part V of ch. 163, F.S. allows for two or more contiguous counties, municipalities, or political subdivisions to develop a charter for a regional transportation authority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Currently an assistant secretary of DOT serves as the executive director of the Statewide Passenger Rail Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> S. 125.0104, F.S.

These transactions may be conducted under such terms and conditions as negotiated by the county commission.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 125.35(1)(b), F.S. providing that a county may lease real or personal property that is owned by the county for economic development purposes<sup>13</sup> under such terms and conditions as negotiated by the board of county commissioners.

### **Utility Relocation (Sections 5 and 19)**

## **Current Situation**

Section 125.42(5), F.S., provides that in the event of widening, repair, or reconstruction of any county road or highway, the utility is required to remove the utility lines except as provided in s. 337.403(1)(d)-(i).

Section 337.403, F.S., provides that, other than the exceptions below, if an authority determines that a utility upon, under, over, or along a public road or publicly-owned rail corridor, is interfering with the safe continuous use, maintenance, improvement, extension or expansion of the road or rail corridor, the utility, upon 30 days written notice, is required to remove or relocate the utility at its own expense. The exceptions are:

- when the project is on the federal aid interstate system and federal funding is identified for at least 90 percent of the cost, DOT pays for the removal or relocation with federal funds;
- where the cost of the utility improvement, installation, or removal exceeds DOT's official cost
  estimates for such work by 10 percent, DOT participation is limited to the difference between the
  official estimate of all the work in the agreement plus 10 percent and the amount awarded for
  the work in the construction contract;
- when relocation of the utility takes place before construction commences, DOT may participate
  in the cost of clearing and grubbing (i.e., the removal of stumps and roots) necessary for the
  relocation;
- if the utility facility being removed or relocated was initially installed to benefit DOT, its tenants, or both, DOT bears the cost of removal or relocation, but DOT is not responsible for bearing the cost of removal or relocation of any subsequent additions to the facility for the purpose of serving others;
- if, pursuant to an agreement between a utility and the authority (DOT and local governments) entered into after July 1, 2009, the utility conveys, subordinates, or relinquishes a compensable property right to the authority for the purpose of accommodating the acquisition or use of the right-of-way by the authority without the agreement expressly addressing future responsibility for cost of removal or relocation of the utility, the authority bears the cost of such removal or relocation, but nothing impairs or restricts, or may be used to interpret, the terms of any agreement entered into prior to July 1, 2009; and
- if the utility is an electric facility being relocated underground in order to enhance vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian safety and in which ownership of the electric facility to be placed underground has been transferred from a private to a public utility within the past five years, DOT bears all costs of the relocation.

#### Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 125.42(5), F.S., providing that the utility is responsible for removing the utility lines if the lines are found by the county to be unreasonably interfering.

The bill amends s, 337.403(1)(g)2., F.S., providing that the authority may bear the cost of utility work if the utility demonstrates it has a compensable property right in adjacent properties along the alignment of the utility or after due diligence, certifies that the utility does not have evidence to prove or disprove that it has a compensable property right in the particular property where the utility is located.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> S. 212.20, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> S. 125.045, F.S.

The bill creates s. 337.403(1)(h), F.S., providing that if the relocation of utility facilities is needed for the construction of a commuter rail service project or an intercity passenger rail service project, and the cost of the project is reimbursable by the Federal Government, then the utility that owns or operates the facilities located by permit on a DOT owned rail corridor shall perform all necessary utility relocation work after notice from DOT, and DOT must pay the expense for the utility relocation work in the same proportion as Federal funds are expended on the rail project after deducting any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility. In no event is the state required to use state dollars for such utility relocation work. These provisions do not apply to any phase of the Central Florida Rail Corridor project known as SunRail.

The bill creates s. 337.403(1)(i), F.S., providing that if a city-owned or county-owned utility is located in a rural area of critical economic concern<sup>14</sup> and DOT's comptroller determines that the utility is not able, and will not within the following 10 years be able, to pay for the cost of utility work necessitated by a DOT state highway project, DOT may pay the cost of the utility work performed by DOT or DOT's contractor, in whole or in part.

## **Vehicular Access to State Universities (Section 6)**

# **Current Situation**

Florida law does not specifically address the regulation of motor vehicle access on transportation facilities into or out of state universities.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill creates s. 316.01, F.S. providing that a local governmental entity as defined in s. 334.03(13), <sup>15</sup> may not prevent vehicular ingress or egress on a transportation facility into or out of a state university facility that is regulated by the Board of Governors of the State University System. <sup>16</sup>

## Wreckers (Section 7)

## **Current Situation**

Section 316.515(8), F.S., allows wreckers to tow disabled vehicles where the combination of wrecker and towed vehicle are over legal weight, provided that the wrecker is operating under a special use permit. This provision was passed in 1997 after agreement with the wrecker industry that in exchange for the ability to tow disabled vehicles to a location of their choice (instead of the closest repair facility), overweight permits would be obtained. Also in 1997, s. 316.550(4), F.S., authorized DOT to issue such overweight permits.

However, s. 316.530(3), F.S., <sup>17</sup> allowing wreckers to tow disabled vehicles where the combination of wrecker and towed vehicle are over the legal weight without a special use permit, was inadvertently overlooked and still remains in current law, despite the direct conflict with subsequently passed legislation.

As the 1997 changes rendered the provisions of s. 316.530(3), F.S., obsolete, the last-passed provisions of s. 316.515(8), F.S., and 316.550(4), F.S., have since been enforced, as they relate to wreckers towing vehicles and the penalties to be assessed for violations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 388.0656(2)(d) defines "rural area of critical economic concern" as "a rural community, or a region composed of rural communities, designated by the Governor, that has been adversely affected by an extraordinary economic event, severe or chronic distress, or a natural disaster or that presents a unique economic development opportunity of regional impact."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Section 334.03(13), F.S., defines "local government entity" as "a unit of government with less than statewide jurisdiction, or any officially designated public agency or authority of such a unit of government, that has the responsibility for planning, construction, operation, or maintenance of, or jurisdiction over, a transportation facility; the term includes, but is not limited to, a county, an incorporated municipality, a metropolitan planning organization, an expressway or transportation authority, a road and bridge district, a special road and bridge district, and a regional governmental unit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The Board of Governors of the State University System is provided for in s. 20.155, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> This provision was originally passed as s. 306.205(3), F.S., in 1976.

With respect to federal law, states are authorized to permit nondivisible loads and vehicles exceeding the Federal maximum weight limits upon the issuance of special permits in accordance with state law. Federal regulations<sup>18</sup> authorize states to treat emergency response vehicles as nondivisible. As a result, states are authorized to issue special permits to wreckers and tow trucks that are responding to actual road emergencies, authorizing these vehicles to operate in excess of the maximum weight limits.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill repeals obsolete s. 316.530(3), F.S., which allows wreckers to tow disabled vehicles where the combination of wrecker and towed vehicle are over the legal weight limit, thereby eliminating the direct conflict in state law.

## **CMV/Auxiliary Power Units** (Section 8)

#### **Current Situation**

Section 756 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, "Idle Reduction and Energy Conservation Deployment Program," amended Title 23 U.S.C. 127(a)(12) to allow for a national 400 pound exemption on the maximum weight limit on the interstate system for the additional weight of idling reduction technology (auxiliary power units or "APUs")<sup>19</sup> on heavy-duty vehicles. Section 316.545(3)(c), F.S., was created in 2010<sup>20</sup> to provide for a 400-pound reduction in the gross weight of commercial motor vehicles equipped with idling reduction technology when calculating a penalty for exceeding maximum weight limits. The reauthorized Federal-aid highway program, Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (MAP-21) further amended Title 23 U.S.C. 127(a)(12) to increase from 400 to 550 pounds the allowable exemption for additional weight from APUs.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 316.545(3)(c), F.S., increasing the maximum weight limit for APUs from 400 to 550 pounds to conform to federal law.

## **Spaceports** (Sections 9 and 27)

### **Current Situation**

Spaceports have been considered a transportation mode in Florida Statutes since 1999. Since that time, DOT has worked closely with Space Florida to provide space transportation infrastructure. DOT programmed \$16 million in spaceport projects in both fiscal years 2011-2012 and 2012-2013.

As part of Space Florida's mission to support and promote commercial space industry in the state, it has provided DOT with proposals designed to better integrate space transportation programs with DOT's programs and processes and provide a consistent source of funding for infrastructure projects.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 331.360, F.S., relating to the spaceport system plan.

The bill amends Space Florida's requirements for a spaceport system plan to require that the plan address statewide spaceport goals and the need for expansion and modernization of space transportation facilities within spaceport territories.<sup>21</sup> The bill authorizes DOT to include relevant portions of the plan in DOT's 5-year work program. In addition, the bill sets out parameters for DOT's promotion of aerospace transportation facilities development and improvement.

Beginning in Fiscal Year 2013-2014, a minimum of \$15 million annually is authorized from the State Transportation Trust Fund (STTF) to fund space transportation projects. The funds are to come from

<sup>18 23</sup> CFR 658.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> An APU is a portable, truck-mounted system that can provide climate control and power for trucks without idling, keeping drivers comfortable during rest periods while reducing negative economic impact (fuel costs) and environmental impact (greenhouse gases and other pollutants ,as well as noise).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ch. 2010-255, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 331.303(18), F.S., defines "spaceport territory" as" the geographical area designated in s. 331.304 and as amended or changed in accordance with s. 331.329."

the funds dedicated to public transportation projects.<sup>22</sup> However, DOT is prohibited from funding Space Florida's administrative or operational costs.

Before executing an agreement with DOT for funding, Space Florida must provide DOT specific project information in order to demonstrate that the project includes transportation and aerospace benefits. Project information to be provided includes, but is not limited to:

- Project description, characteristics, and scope.
- Project funding sources and costs.
- Project financing considerations with emphasis on federal, local, and private participation.
- Financial feasibility and risk analysis, including efforts to protect the state's investment and ensure project goals are realized.
- Demonstration that the project will encourage, enhance, or create economic benefits.

The bill authorizes DOT to fund up to 50 percent of eligible project costs. If the project meets the following criteria DOT may fund up to 100 percent of eligible project costs:

- Provides important access and on-spaceport capacity improvements;
- Provides capital improvements to strategically position the state to maximize opportunities in the aerospace industry or foster growth and development of a sustainable and world-leading aerospace industry in Florida;
- Meets state goals of an integrated intermodal transportation system; and
- Demonstrates the feasibility and availability of matching funds through federal, local, or private partners.

The bill amends s. 339.55(2), F.S., authorizing the state-funded infrastructure bank to lend capital costs or provide credit enhancements for transportation facilities that provide connectivity to spaceports and for emergency loans for damages incurred to public-use spaceports. It also adds connectivity to spaceports in the criteria to be used in evaluating projects for assistance from the infrastructure bank.

# **Strategic Airport Investment Initiative (Section 10)**

### **Current Situation**

In 2012, the Legislature created a strategic investment initiative program within DOT's seaport program.<sup>23</sup> DOT does not have a similar investment initiative or authority for its aviation program.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill creates s. 332.007(11), F.S., authorizing DOT to fund strategic airport investment projects that meet the following criteria:

- Provide important access and on-airport capacity improvements;
- Provide capital improvements to strategically position the state to maximize opportunities in international trade logistics, and the aviation industry:
- Achieve state goals of an integrated intermodal transportation system; and
- Demonstrate the feasibility and availability of matching funds through federal, local, or private partners.

Strategic airport investment projects meeting these requirements may be funded at up to 100 percent of the project's cost.

## **Lease Purchase Agreements (Section 11)**

## **Current Situation**

Ch. 2012-174, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> This is pursuant to s. 206.46(3), F.S. DOT is required by this section to program a minimum of 15 percent of non-exempt STTF revenues for public transportation projects.

DOT is authorized to enter into lease-purchase agreements with regional transportation authorities and expressway authorities by which DOT may agree to pay, from state funds other than the revenues of an expressway authority or other facility, the costs of operations, maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation of authority facilities.

#### Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 344.044(16), F.S., providing that effective July 1, 2013, and notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, DOT may not enter into any lease-purchase agreement with any expressway authority, regional transportation authority, or other entity. This does not invalidate any lease-purchase agreement authorized and existing as of July 1, 2013, and does not limit DOT's authority under the public private partnership statute.2

# Landscaping (Section 11)

## **Current Situation**

DOT is responsible for enhancing environment benefits, preventing roadside erosion, conserving natural roadside growth and scenery, and providing for the implementation and maintenance of roadside conservation, enhancement, and stabilization programs.<sup>25</sup> DOT is required to purchase all plant materials from Florida commercial nursery stock on a uniform competitive basis. This provision conflicts with federal requirements that specify a state transportation department cannot require the use of materials produced in state or restrict the use of materials produced out of state.<sup>26</sup> Failure to comply with federal requirements for purchases of plant material for roadside landscaping may subject the DOT to a significant federal funds penalty, generally 10 percent of annual highway constructions funds.27

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 334.044 (26), F.S., to provide that DOT purchase all plant materials from Florida commercial nursery stock in this state on a uniform competitive bid basis, except as prohibited by applicable federal law or regulation.

# Maintenance Contracts/Community Development Districts (Section 12)

## **Current Situation**

Section 335.055, F.S., authorizes DOT to enter into contracts with counties and municipalities for routine maintenance work on the State Highway System (SHS) within the geographical boundaries of the county or municipality, but does not have the authority to do so with community development districts. A community development district is a local unit of special-purpose government limited to the performance of certain specialized functions.<sup>28</sup>

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 335.055, F.S., authorizing DOT to enter into contracts with community development districts for routine maintenance work on the SHS within their geographic boundaries.

## **Access to State Parks (Section 13)**

# **Current Situation**

Section 335.06, F.S. requires DOT to maintain roads that provide access to state parks if the roads are part of the SHS. If the access road is part of the county road or city street system, the appropriate local government is required to maintain the road.

## **Proposed Changes**

**DATE**: 4/19/2013

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC PAGE: 8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> S. 334.30, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> S. 334.044(26), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 23 C.F.R. s. 635.409.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> 23 U.S.C. s. 131(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> S. 190.003 (6), F.S.

The bill amends s. 335.06, F.S. authorizing DOT to improve and maintain roads that are part of the county road system or city street system if they provide access to a state park. If DOT does not maintain the road, the appropriate county or municipality shall maintain the road.

## **Contractor Vehicle Registration** (Section 14)

### **Current Situation**

Section 337.11(13), F.S., requires each road or bridge construction or maintenance contract let by DOT to contain a provision requiring the contractor to provide proof to DOT, in the form of a notarized affidavit from the contractor, that all motor vehicles that he or she operates or causes to be operated in this state are registered in compliance with ch. 320, F.S.<sup>29</sup>

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 337.11(13), F.S., requiring each road or bridge construction contract or maintenance contract let by DOT to require all motor vehicles operated by the contractor in this state to be registered in compliance with ch. 320, F.S, thereby eliminating the requirement of proof to DOT in the form a notarized affidavit from the contractor.

# **Bid Qualification** (Section 15)

## **Current Situation**

Section 337.14(1), F.S., requires that persons "desiring to bid for the performance of any construction contract in excess of \$250,000 which the department proposes to let must first be certified by the department as qualified...." Section 337.14(2), F.S. provides: "Certification shall be necessary in order to bid on a road, bridge, or public transportation construction contract of more than \$250,000." The purpose of certification is to ensure professional and financial competence relating to the performance of construction contracts by evaluating bidders "...with respect to equipment, past record, experience, financial resources, and organizational personnel of the applicant necessary to perform the specific class of work for which the person seeks certification."

This law could be interpreted as being tied to a bid amount; i.e., so long as the bid is not in excess of \$250,000, a person would not be required to first be certified prior to bidding. Such an interpretation could result in a non-qualified person being the low bidder at \$249,999, thereby providing that person a competitive advantage over other bidders who are certified as qualified to perform the required construction services.

Another interpretation is that current law requires that a person must be certified as qualified to bid on construction contracts in excess of \$250,000 as determined by DOT's proposed budget estimate. Consistent with that interpretation, DOT's Bid Solicitation Notices currently advise: "A prequalified contractor must have a current certificate of qualification in accordance with Rule 14-22, F.A.C. on the date of the letting to bid on construction projects over \$250,000 as established by the Department's budget."

Revisions to s. 337.14(1), F.S., in 2012, with respect to financial statements submitted in connection with the performance of construction contracts of less than \$1 million expressly tied that submission to proposed budget estimates and have highlighted the need to clarify that the determining factor regarding bidders for projects in excess of \$250,000 should likewise be expressly tied to DOT's proposed budget estimate.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 337.14, F.S., clarifying that the determining factor regarding bidders for projects in excess of \$250,000 is tied to DOT's budget estimate. This provides internal statutory consistency and consistency between the statute and rule.

## **Identification of Potential Bidders (Section 16)**

<sup>29</sup> Chapter 320, F.S., relates to motor vehicle licenses. **STORAGE NAME**: h7127c.EAC

### **Current Situation**

Section 337.168(2), F.S., currently provides that a document revealing the identity of persons who have requested or obtained bid packages, plans, or specifications pertaining to any project to be let by the department is confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) for the period which begins two working days prior to the deadline for obtaining bid packages, plans, or specifications and ends with the letting of the bid. DOT maintains a website that posts a list of persons who have requested or obtained bid packages, plans, or specifications for a given project. 30 Accordingly, DOT takes the lists down two working days prior to the deadline for obtaining bid packages, plans, or specifications. However, the lists include the identity of persons who requested or obtained bid packages, plans, or specifications before the two-day period of exemption begins.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 337.168(2), F.S., clarifying an existing public records exemption by providing that a document that reveals the identity of a person who has requested or obtained from DOT, a bid package, plan, or specifications pertaining to any project to be let by DOT before the two working days before the deadline for obtaining such materials remains a public record.

## **Surplus Property (Section 17)**

### **Current Situation**

DOT is authorized to sell property acquired as right-of-way which is no longer needed for the construction, operation, and maintenance of a transportation facility. Sale of properties valued at \$10,000 or less may be sold by negotiated sale. Properties valued at more than \$10,000 are to be sold by sealed bid or public auction, unless such sale would create an inequity. A public auction is required to be held at the site of the improvement being sold.

DOT is also authorized to convey a leasehold interest in any property acquired as right-of-way. All leases are required to be by competitive bid except when the lease is with 1) the owner from whom the property was acquired, 2) a holder of a leasehold estate existing at the time of acquisition, or 3) the owner holding title to privately owned abutting property where public bidding would create an inequity. Leases are restricted to a 5-year term with one 5-year renewal term.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill provides that DOT may contract for auction services used in the conveyance of real or personal property or the conveyance of leasehold interest.<sup>31</sup> The contractor may retain a portion of the proceeds as compensation for its services.

The bill provides that the inventory of real and personal property include a statement of each piece of reality, structure, or severable item.

The bill provides that property may be disposed of through negotiations, sealed competitive bids, auctions, or by any other means DOT determines to be in its best interest. Prior to disposal of property valued by DOT at greater than \$10,000, due advertisement<sup>32</sup> must be given. No sale can occur at a price less than DOT's current estimate of value, except as provided below. DOT may afford right of first refusal to the local government or other political subdivision in the jurisdiction in which the parcel is situated except in certain transactions.

The bill provides that no lease can occur at a price less than DOT's current estimate of value.

All leases are to be through negotiations, sealed competitive bids, auctions, or by any other means DOT deems to be in its best interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> http://www.dot.state.fl.us/cc-admin/Letting\_Project\_Info.shtm: To access a list, click on a letting date in the near future under "2013" Lettings" and then choose "Proposal Holders" under "Important Letting Documents." (Last visited March 12, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> This is pursuant to s. 287.055, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Section 337.25(8), F.S., defines "due advertisement" as "an advertisement in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the improvements of not less than 14 calendar days before the receipt of bids or the date on which a public auction is to be held." STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC

If, at DOT's discretion, a lease to anyone other than the abutting property owner or tenant would be inequitable, the property may be leased to the abutting owner or tenant for no less than DOT's current estimate of value.

The bill clarifies that a lease may be extended for an additional 5 years, except if the property is being used for a public purpose, then the lease is exempt from the term limits.

The bill provides that DOT's estimates of value are to be prepared in accordance with DOT guidelines and rules for the valuation of real property. When the value of the property exceeds \$50,000, as determined by DOT estimate, the sale will be at a negotiated price not less than fair market value as determined by an independent appraisal prepared in accordance with DOT procedures, guidelines and rules for the valuation of property, the cost of which shall be paid by the party seeking the purchase of the property. If the estimated value of the property is \$50,000 or below, DOT may use its own staff appraiser or obtain an independent appraisal.

The bill provides that nothing contained in s. 337.25, F.S., modifies the requirements of s. 73.013, F.S.<sup>33</sup>

## **Unsolicited Lease Proposals** (Section 18)

## **Current Situation**

DOT may request proposals for the lease of its property for joint public-private development or commercial development. DOT may also receive and consider unsolicited proposals for such uses. The statute provides little guidance concerning the process to be followed for consideration of unsolicited proposals, providing that only DOT publish notice of receipt of the proposal and inform affected local governments.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 337.251(2), F.S. providing statutory guidance regarding unsolicited lease proposals. It changes the time period in which DOT will accept other proposals for the lease of a particular property from 60 days to 120 days. It requires DOT to establish an application fee for the submission of proposals by rule. The fee must be limited to the amount needed to pay for the anticipated costs of evaluating the proposals. DOT may engage the services of private consultants to assist in the evaluation. Before approval, DOT must determine that the proposed lease:

- Is in the public's best interest;
- Would not require state funds to be used;
- Would have adequate safeguards in place to ensure that no additional costs or service disruptions would be realized by the traveling public and residents of the state in the event of default by the private lessee or upon termination or expiration of the lease.

# **Parking Meters** (Section 20)

## **Current Situation**

Fee based parking spaces and parking meters or other parking time limit devices currently exist within the right-of-way limits of state roads under DOT's jurisdiction. The fees collected from these sources currently benefit the local government and are not shared with the state. The extent of this practice is unknown.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill directs the Florida Transportation Commission (FTC) to conduct a study of the potential for the state to obtain revenue from any parking meters or other parking time-limit devices that regulate designated parking spaces located within or along the right-of-way limits of a state road. The FTC is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Chapter 73.013, F.S. relates to conveyance of property taken by eminent domain; preservation of government entity communications services eminent domain limitation; exception to restrictions on power of eminent domain. **STORAGE NAME**: h7127c.EAC

allowed to retain any additional staff that may be reasonably necessary to complete the study, and DOT is directed to pay the expenses associated with those staff.

The bill provides that on or before August 31, 2013, each municipality and county that receives revenue from any parking meters or other parking time-limit devices that regulate designated parking spaces located within or along the right-of-way limits of a state road, will provide the FTC a written inventory of the location of each meter or device and the total revenue collected from those locations during the last three fiscal years. In addition to the written inventory, each municipality and county will inform the FTC of any pledge or commitment by the municipality or county of revenues to the payment of debt service on any bonds or other debt issued by the municipality or county.

The bill provides that the FTC is to develop policy recommendations regarding the manner and extent that revenues generated by regulating parking within the right-of-way limits of a state road may be allocated between the department and municipalities and counties. The FTC is to develop specific recommendations concerning the allocation of revenues generated by meters or devices regulating such parking that were installed prior to July 1, 2013, and the allocation of revenues that may be generated by meters or devices installed thereafter.

The bill provides that the FTC is to complete the study and provide a written report of its findings and conclusions to the Governor, the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the chairs of each of the appropriations committees of the Legislature by October 31, 2013.

The bill provides that if by August 31, 2013, a municipality or county does not provide the information requested by the FTC, DOT may remove the parking meters or parking time-limit devices that regulate designated parking spaces locates within or along the right-of-way limits of a state road, and all costs incurred in connection with the removal will be assessed against and collected from the municipality or county.

The bill provides that from July 1, 2013, through July 1, 2014, no county or municipality will install any parking meters or other parking time-limit devices that regulate designated parking spaces located within or along the right-of-way limits of a state road. The bill does not prohibit the replacement of meters or similar devices installed before July 1, 2013, with new devices that regulate the same designated parking spaces.

This section is effective upon becoming law.

#### **Toll Interoperability (Section 21)**

## **Current Situation**

HB 599<sup>34</sup> and SB 1998<sup>35</sup> both passed in 2012 and contained language relating to DOT's authority to enter into agreements with public or private transportation facility owners (whose systems become interoperable with DOT's systems) for the use of DOT systems to collect and enforce tolls, fares, administrative fees, and other applicable charges due in connection with use of the owner's facility. However, the bills were not identical. Language contained in HB 599 (the last passed bill) is potentially ambiguous as to whether DOT is collecting tolls, fares, and fees on behalf of the facility owner or whether the facility owner would be collecting them on behalf of DOT, leading to more than one possible interpretation.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 338.161(5), F.S., replacing potentially ambiguous language passed in HB 599 to clarify that DOT will collect and enforce tolls, fares, administrative fees, and other applicable charges due in connection with use of the public or private transportation facility.

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Ch. 2012-174, L.O.F.

<sup>35</sup> Ch. 2012-128, L.O.F.

## **Beeline East/Navarre Bridge (Section 22)**

### **Current Situation**

Section 338.165(4), F.S., authorizes DOT to request the Division of Bond Finance to issue bonds secured by toll revenues collected on the Alligator Alley, the Sunshine Skyway Bridge, the Beeline-East Expressway, the Navarre Bridge, and the Pinellas Bayway to fund transportation projects located within the county or counties in which the project is located and contained in DOT's adopted work program. The Navarre Bridge is now county-owned and no longer uses toll revenue. The Beeline-East Expressway (renamed the Beachline East Expressway) became part of the Turnpike Enterprise on July 1, 2012.<sup>36</sup> The references to these facilities in s. 338.165(4), F.S., are now obsolete.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 338.165(4), F.S., removing the Beeline-East Expressway and the Navarre Bridge from the list of facilities where DOT may request the Division of Bond Finance to issue bonds secured by toll revenues.

## **Alligator Alley (Section 23)**

#### **Current Situation**

Section 338.28, F.S., provides that any excess funds after facility operation and maintenance, contractual obligations, reconstruction and restoration, and the development and operation of a fire station at mile marker 63 of Alligator Alley may be transferred to the Everglades Trust Fund of the South Florida Water Management District.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s 338.26(3), F.S., providing that Alligator Alley toll revenues shall be used to *design* and construct instead of *develop* and operate a fire station at mile marker 63 on Alligator Alley. The fire station may be used by Collier County or another appropriate local government entity. Additionally, any excess funds may be transferred to the Everglades Fund of the South Florida Water Management District.

The bill also deletes s. 338.26(4), F.S., authorizing the South Florida Water Management District to issue revenue bonds using Alligator Alley toll revenue as security for the bonds. It does not appear that there are any bonds outstanding secured with Alligator Alley toll revenues.

### **Metropolitan Planning Organizations (Section 24)**

# **Current Situation**

Federal law and rule<sup>37</sup> require a metropolitan planning organization (MPO) be designated in each urbanized area<sup>38</sup> or contiguous urbanized areas. In addition, federal law and rules specifies the requirements for MPO transportation planning and programming activities. These requirements are updated after each federal transportation authorization bill enacted by Congress.

State law also includes provisions governing MPO activities. Section 339.175, F.S., paraphrases or restates some key federal requirements (e.g., for MPO designation, planning boundaries, responsibilities). In addition, state law includes provisions that go beyond the federal requirements. For example, federal requirements regarding MPO membership are very general, while state law is more specific. State law requires the voting membership of MPOs include no fewer than 5 and no more than 19 apportioned members. The exact number is to be determined on an equitable geographic-population ratio basis by the Governor based on agreement among the affected local governments. There are other provisions in state law concerning MPO voting membership, such as the minimum number of county commissioners. The Governor reviews the composition of each MPO's membership

<sup>37</sup> 23 U.S.C. 134, 23C.F.R. 450 Part C

<sup>38</sup> An urbanized area is defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and has a population of 50,000 or more.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Ch. 2012-128, L.O.F.

in conjunction with the decennial census and reapportions the MPO membership as needed to comply with state law.

Florida is unique in having more MPOs than any state.<sup>39</sup> In numerous regions in Florida there are multiple MPOs designated for a single urbanized area (Southeast, Southwest, Central, Tampa Bay, and Panhandle). In those instances where MPOs have reached the 19 member cap as prescribed in state law and the desire is to: 1) consolidate two or more MPOs or 2) expand the MPO planning boundary of an existing MPO to include an expanded urbanized area boundary the current membership cap restricts the ability of the MPO to add additional members. Current law also provides that in metropolitan areas in which authorities or other agencies have been or may be created by law to perform transportation functions and are performing transportation functions that are not under the jurisdiction of a general-purpose local government represented on the MPO, the authority or agency must be provided voting membership on the MPO. This limits the MPO's ability to provide for appropriate membership to transportation agencies while remaining under the statutory membership cap.

## Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 339.175, F.S., relating to MPOs. It provides that the voting membership of an MPO redesignated after the effective date of the act as a result of an expansion of an MPO to include a new urbanized area or the consolidation of two or more MPOs may consist of no more than 25 members.

The bill provides that at the request of a majority of the affected units of general-purpose local government comprising an MPO, the Governor and a majority of units of general-purpose local government shall apportion the voting membership on the applicable MPO among the various governmental entities within the metropolitan planning area.

The bill also provides that any county operating under a home rule charter adopted pursuant to s. 11, Art. VIII of the Constitution of 1885, as preserved by s. 6(e), Art. VIII of the Constitution of 1968, is to be designated a separate MPO coterminous with the boundaries of the county.

# **Economic Development Transportation Projects** (Section 25)

## **Current Situation**

Florida has a number of economic development incentive programs used to recruit industry to Florida, or to persuade existing businesses to expand their operations. One such incentive is for economic development transportation projects (commonly referred to as the "Road Fund"), which is funded by the STTF and used to assist local government in paying for highway or other transportation infrastructure improvements that will benefit a relocating or expanding company. The amount appropriated for this transfer varies from year to year. The Legislature in 2012 repealed s. 288.063, F.S., in which the Road Fund was statutorily placed, and created s. 339.2821, F.S. The revisions did not change the purpose of the Road Fund but moved oversight of the fund from the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) to DOT.<sup>40</sup>

DOT, in consultation with DEO, is authorized under s. 339.2821, F.S., to make and approve expenditures and contract with the appropriate government body for the direct costs of transportation projects. Current law specifies that as part of the contractual agreement between the DOT and a governmental body, that the DOT may only transfer funds on a quarterly basis, the governmental body must expend funds received in a timely manner, and the DOT may only transfer funds after construction has begun on the facility of a business on whose behalf the award was made.

# **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 339.2821, F.S., to include Enterprise Florida, Inc., as an entity DOT will consult with in making and approving economic development transportation project contracts. The bill also provides

http://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2012/1998/Analyses/M6TO2qtoNCs60=PL=Y=PL=DT9BT2bnWNo=%7C11/Public/Bills/1900-1999/1998/Analysis/s1998z2.TEDAS.PDF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Florida currently has 26 MPOs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Budget Committee Final Analysis of SB 1998:

authority for DOT to terminate a grant award if construction of the transportation project does not begin within four years after the date of the initial grant award; and expands the type of authorized transportation facility projects eligible for the program to include spaceports.

## **Definition of Intercity Bus Service (Section 28)**

## **Current Situation**

The Federal Transit Administration's Intercity Bus Program<sup>41</sup> is administered by DOT. Its purpose is to support and maintain intercity bus service in order to preserve service through rural areas. DOT provides matching funds as required by s. 339.135(4), F.S. Intercity bus service means regularly scheduled bus service for the general public which:

- operates with limited stops on fixed routes connecting two or more urban areas not in close proximity;
- has the capacity for transporting passenger baggage;
- makes meaningful connections with scheduled intercity bus service to more distant points, if such service is available:
- maintains scheduled information in the National Official Bus Guide; and
- provides package express service incidental to passenger transportation.<sup>42</sup>

Florida's statutory definition for "intercity bus service" is more restrictive than the federal definition. limiting the number of companies able to compete for funding in Florida.<sup>43</sup>

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 341.031(11), F.S., revising the definition of "intercity bus service" removing the requirements that the bus service maintain scheduled information in the National Official Bus Guide and provide package express service incidental to passenger transportation.

# **Public Transit Block Grant (Section 29)**

## **Current Situation**

Under current law<sup>44</sup>, a county, municipality, school district, or other political subdivision of the state, and any department, agency, board, bureau, district, commission, authority, or similar body of a county, municipality, school district, or other political subdivision of the state, or a person acting on such entity's behalf, is prohibited from spending or authorizing expenditure of any moneys under the jurisdiction or control of such entity for a political advertisement or electioneering communication concerning an issue, referendum, or amendment, including any state questions, that is subject to a vote of the electors. The prohibition does not apply to an electioneering communication from a local government or a person acting on behalf of a local government which is limited to factual information, nor does it preclude an elected official of the local government from expressing an opinion on any issue.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill creates s. 341.052(3)(d), F.S., providing that notwithstanding any law to the contrary, no eligible public transit provide shall use public transit block grant funds in pursuit of strategies or actions leading to or promoting or levying of new or additional taxes through public referenda. To the extent that a public transit provider uses other public funds in pursuit of strategies or actions leading to or promoting the levying of new or additional taxes through public referenda, the amount of the provider's grant must be reduced by the same amount.

The bill defines "public funds" as all moneys under the jurisdiction or control of a federal agency, the state, a county, or a municipality, including any district, authority, commission, board, or agency thereof for any public purpose.

<sup>44</sup> S. 106.113,F.S.

**DATE**: 4/19/2013

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC **PAGE: 15** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> 49 U.S.C. 5311(f)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> S. 341.031(11), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Currently only one company qualifies for funding.

## **Intermodal Development Program (Section 30)**

## **Current Situation**

Section 341.053 was originally enacted in 1990.<sup>45</sup> DOT was later required to develop an intermodal development plan, which, among other things, prioritized statewide infrastructures investment found by the Freight Stakeholders Task Force to be priority projects. The Freight Stakeholder Task Force was dissolved in 1999. Subsection 341.053(6), F.S. defines the types of projects DOT is authorized to fund from this program.

While there was a Central Office funding component the first few years of the program's existence, it has been entirely district-directed for at least the past 10 years with funds allocated to the districts based on the statutory formula of equal parts of population and fuel tax collections. There is currently no procedure or policy guiding use of the funds, relying instead on district implementation of this statute. There are some differences in interpretation between districts concerning the allowable use of funds.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 341.053, F.S., relating to intermodal development programs. It adds spaceports to the intermodal development program. The bill deletes language in s. 341.053(2), F.S., requiring the creation of an intermodal development plan, and provides that the Intermodal Development Program will be used to support statewide goals as outlined in the Florida Transportation Plan, the Freight Mobility and Trade Plan, or the appropriate DOT modal plan. The bill also deletes current 341.053(5), F.S., which provided a limitation on funding levels for particular transportation authorities or systems; and modifies existing 341.053(6), F.S., to clarify that program funds can be used for planning studies and for constructing freight facility projects. Program funds may also be used to fund projects that connect spaceports and intermodal logistic centers with other transportation modes and terminals. The bill also allows the use of program funds on projects that assist in the movement of people or goods at airports, spaceports, intermodal logistics centers and seaports.

# Rail Communications Facilities (Sections 31 through 34)

### **Current Situation**

In November 2000, Florida voters approved a constitutional amendment<sup>46</sup> mandating the construction of a high speed transportation system for the state. The amendment required the use of train technologies that operate at speeds in excess of 120 miles per hour. The high speed rail system was to link the five largest urban areas in Florida, and construction was mandated to begin by November 1, 2003. To implement the constitutional amendment, the Florida Legislature enacted the Florida High Speed Rail Authority Act<sup>47</sup> and created the Florida High Speed Rail Authority in 2001. In November 2004, the 2000 constitutional amendment was repealed.

In 2009, the Florida High Speed Rail Authority Act was substantially amended and became the Florida Rail Enterprise Act. <sup>48</sup> This act created the Florida Rail Enterprise (enterprise) within DOT, which was given the responsibility for developing and operating the state owned passenger rail systems in Florida including high speed rail, funding passenger rail systems, and coordinating publicly-funded passenger rail operations, including interoperability issues with freight rail.

There is currently nothing in the Florida Rail Enterprise Act regarding the siting of communications facilities on the high-speed rail system.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 341.8203, F.S., defining the term "Communications Facilities" to mean the communications systems related to high-speed passenger rail operations, including those that are built,

**DATE**: 4/19/2013

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC

PAGE: 16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Ch. 90-136, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Section 19, Article X of the State Constitution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Sections 341.8201 through 341.842, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Ch. 2009-271, L.O.F.

installed, used, or established for the planning, building, managing, and operating of a high-speed rail system.

The bill creates s. 341.822(2)(c), F.S., requiring the enterprise to establish a process to issue permits to railroad companies for the construction of communication facilities within a new or existing public or private high speed rail system. The enterprise may adopt rules<sup>49</sup> to administer these permits, including rules regarding the form, content, and necessary supporting documentation for permit applications, the process for submitting applications, and the application fee for a permit.

The bill creates s. 341.825, F.S. relating to communications facilities. It provides that the Legislature intends to:

- Establish a streamlined process to authorize communication facilities within new and existing high-speed rail system.
- Expedite the expansion of the high-speed rail system's wireless voice data coverage and capacity for the safe and efficient operation of the high-speed rail system and use by its crew and passengers.

The bill provides that a railroad company may submit an application to the enterprise to obtain a permit to construct communication facilities within a high speed rail system. The application includes an application fee limited to the amount needed to pay the costs of reviewing the application not to exceed \$10,000, to be deposited into the (STTF). The application must also include the following information:

- The location of the proposed communication facilities.
- A description of the proposed communication facilities.
- Any other information reasonably required by the enterprise.

The enterprise has 30 days to review the application for completeness. If the enterprise determines that an application is not complete, it notifies the applicant in writing of any errors or omissions. An applicant has 30 days to correct the errors or omissions.

If the enterprise determines that an application is complete, it has 60 days to approve in whole, approve with conditions, or deny the application, and state the reason for issuance or denial. In determining if the application shall be approved the enterprise considers the extent to which the proposed communications facilities:

- Are located in a manner that is appropriate for the communication technology.
- Serve an existing or future need for communication facilities.
- Provide sufficient wireless voice and data coverage and capacity for the safe and efficient operation of the high-speed rail system and the use of its crew and passengers.

Subject to the permit's conditions, a permit issued by the enterprise constitutes the sole permit of the state and any agency as the approval of the communication facilities within the high speed rail system. A permit authorizes the permitee to locate, construct, operate, and maintain the communication facilities within a new or existing high speed rail system, subject only to the permit's conditions. These activities are not subject to local government land use or zoning regulations.

A permit may include conditions that constitute variances and exemptions from rules of the enterprise or any other agency, which would otherwise be applicable to the communication facilities within the new or existing high speed rail system. The permit is in lieu of any license or permit, required by any state, regional, or local agency.

If this law conflicts with any other provision, limitation, or restriction under any rule, regulation, or ordinance of the state or any political subdivision, municipality, or agency, this law controls and such law, rule, regulation, or ordinance is superseded. The bill is not intended to impose procedures or restrictions on railroad companies that are subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the federal Surface Transportation Board pursuant to the Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act of 1995. 50

<sup>50</sup> 49 USC ss. 10101, et. seq. **STORAGE NAME**: b71276 FAC

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 49}$  The procedural rules are adopted pursuant to ss. 120.536(1) and 120.54, F.S.

After issuance, an applicant may modify a permit by filing of a petition with the enterprise. A petition for modification must set out the proposed modification and the factual reasons for the modification. The enterprise has 30 days to approve or deny the application and state the reason for the issuance or denial.

The bill amends s. 341.840(2)(b), F.S. conforming a cross-reference.

## Toll Facilities Revolving Trust Fund (Sections 35 and 36)

## **Current Situation**

The Toll Facilities Revolving Trust Fund was repealed in 2012. 51 However, references to the trust fund remain in statute.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends ss. 343.82(3)(d) and 343.922(4), F.S., deleting references to the repealed Toll Facilities Revolving Trust Fund.

# Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority Act (Section 37)

## **Current Situation**

Regionally significant transportation corridor infrastructure improvements have historically been accomplished by DOT through traditional transportation financing methods or Turnpike Enterprise bond financing. Expressway and bridge authorities generally act solely within the limits of a single county and are focused on transportation in and around the urbanized area which they serve.

## **Proposed Changes**

#### **Short Title**

The bill creates the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority (RTFA) Act as ch. 345, F.S.

#### **Definitions**

The bill provides definitions related to the act. Of note are the following definitions:

- Regional system or system generally, a modern highway system of roads, bridges. causeways, and tunnels within any area of the authority, with access limited or unlimited as an authority may determine, and such buildings and structures and appurtenances and facilities related thereto, including all approaches, streets, roads, bridges, and avenues of access for such system.
- Revenues all tolls, revenues, rates, fees, charges, receipts, rentals, contributions, and other income derived from or in connection with the operation or ownership of a regional system. including the proceeds of any use and occupancy insurance on any portion of the system but excluding any state funds available to an authority and any other city or county funds available to an authority under any agreement with a city or county.

## **Transportation Finance Authority Formation and Membership**

The bill provides that any county, or two or more contiguous counties, may form a RTFA in order to finance, construct, maintain, and operate transportation projects. A RTFA may not be created without Legislative approval and the approval of the county commission of each participating county. Only one RTFA may be created and operating within a given area.

The governing body of a RTFA consists of a board of voting members, as follows:

The county commission of each county in the RTFA appoints one member who is a resident of the county. For counties with a population of more than 250,000 the county commission

Ch. 2012-128, L.O.F.

- appoints a second member who is a resident of the county. Members should represent the business and civic interests of the community.
- The Governor appoints an equal number of members as those appointed by the county commissions, who are residents of the area served by the RTFA.
- The secretary of DOT appoints a district secretary, or designee, for the districts within which the area served by the RTFA.

Each member serves a four year term or until a successor has been appointed and may not hold an elected office. Vacancies will be filled in the same manner as the original appointment. Members of a RTFA may be removed from office by the Governor for cause. Members of the RTFA are not entitled to compensation, but will receive their travel and other necessary expenses.<sup>52</sup>

### **Powers and Duties**

The bill provides that an RTFA may plan, develop, finance, construct, reconstruct, improve, own, operate, and maintain a regional system. A RTFA may not exercise its powers with respect to an existing system which is owned by another entity without that entity's consent. If a RTFA acquires an existing entity, it assumes all rights, assets, and obligations of the existing entity.

Each RTFA may exercise all powers necessary to carry out of its purposes, including the following:

- To sue and be sued.
- To have the power of eminent domain.
- To acquire, purchase, hold, lease, and use any property for carrying out its purposes.
- To sell, convey, exchange, lease, or otherwise dispose of any real or personal property which the RTFA and DOT have determined is not needed.
- To fix, charge, and collect rates, and other charges for the use of any RFTA system, however this power may be delegated to DOT.
- To borrow money in order to finance all or part of the system improvements.
- To make contracts and to execute all instruments for the carrying on of its business.
- To cooperate with, accept grants from, and to enter into contracts, or other transactions with any public body.
- To employ an executive director, attorney, staff, and consultants. Upon a RTFA's request, DOT shall furnish the services of a DOT employee to act as the RTFA's executive director.
- To accept funds or other property from private donations.
- To do all things necessary or convenient to conduct its business and the general welfare of the RTFA.

A RTFA may not pledge the credit or taxing power of the state or any political subdivision or agency. A RTFA's obligations are not deemed to be obligations of the state or of any other political subdivision. Only the RTFA is liable for the payment of the principal of or interest on such obligations.

A RFTA shall have no power to enter into any agreement which would legally prohibit the construction of any road by a county or a city, without the consent of the affected county or city.

#### **Bonds**

The bill empowers the Authority to issue revenue bonds, either on its own or through the state Division of Bond Finance.

Bonds must be sold at public sale as provided in the State Bond Act and the term of the bonds may not exceed 30 years. Any bonds issued are negotiable instruments, and have all the qualities and incidents of negotiable instruments.

Any resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds and pledging the revenues of the system shall require that revenues of the system be periodically deposited into appropriate accounts in sums sufficient to pay the costs of operation and maintenance of the system for the current fiscal year and to reimburse DOT for any unreimbursed costs of operation and maintenance of the system from prior fiscal years

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Travel and other necessary expenses are provided for in s. 112.061, F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h7127c.EAC

before revenues of the system are deposited into accounts for the payment of interest or principal on such bonds.

State funds shall not be used or pledged to pay the principal or interest of any RTFA bonds and all such bonds shall contain a statement on their face to this effect.

### **Remedies of Bondholders**

The bill provides that rights and the remedies conferred upon or granted to RTFA bondholders are in addition to any rights and remedies lawfully granted to bondholders. If a RTFA defaults on any bonds, or in the event that the RTFA fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of this act or any bondholder agreement, the bill provides a process for appointment of a trustee to represent the bondholders.

A trustee may appoint a receiver, who may take possession of the system, the revenues and other pledged moneys, on behalf of, the RTFA and the bondholders. The trustee will have all powers necessary or appropriate for representation of the bondholders in the enforcement and protection of their rights. Nothing authorizes any receiver appointed to operate and maintain the system or any of its facilities, to sell, assign, mortgage or otherwise dispose of any of the RTFA's assets.

# **Department to Construct, Operate, and Maintain Facilities**

The bill provides that DOT is each RTFA's agent for performing all phases of a project, including the planning, surveying, design, and actual construction of the system. After the issuance of bonds to finance construction of any improvements or additions to the system, the RTFA transfers to the credit of an account of DOT in the State Treasury the necessary funds for construction. With DOT's consent and approval, a RTFA may elect to appoint a local agency certified by DOT to administer federal aid projects as its agent for the purpose of performing all phases of a project.

DOT is each RTFA's agent for operating and maintaining the system with DOT's costs reimbursed from system revenues. This does not create an independent obligation of DOT to operate and maintain a RTFA's system. Each RTFA remains obligated as principal to operate and maintain its system and bondholders have no independent right to compel DOT to operate or maintain the RTFA's system.

Each RTFA will establish and collect tolls, and other charges for its facilities.

#### **Department Contributions to Authority Projects**

The bill provides that DOT may agree with a RTFA to pay for the costs of financial or engineering and traffic feasibility studies and the design, financing, acquisition, or construction of a RTFA project or system, included in the 10-year Strategic Intermodal Plan, subject to Legislative appropriation.

Any issue for funding the payment of costs of financial or engineering and traffic feasibility studies, and the design, financing, acquisition, or construction of a RTFA project or system must be included in DOT's legislative budget request. The funding request may be included as part of DOT's 5-year Tentative Work Program; however, it will be decided upon as a distinct funding item. DOT must include a financial feasibility test to accompany the legislative budget request for funding any RTFA project. As determined in the General Appropriations Act, funding provided for RTFA projects will be appropriated in a specific Fixed Capital Outlay appropriation category that clearly identifies the RTFA project.

DOT may not request legislative approval of a proposed RTFA project unless the proposed project's estimated net revenues will be sufficient to pay at least 50 percent of the annual debt service on the bonds associated with the project by the end of the 12th year of operation and to pay at least 100 percent of the debt service on the bonds by the end of the 30th year of operation.

DOT may use its engineering and other personnel to conduct feasibility studies. DOT may participate in a RTFA funded projects that, at a minimum:

- Serve national, statewide, or regional functions and function as part of an integrated regional transportation system.
- Are identified in the capital improvements element of a comprehensive plan and complies with local government comprehensive plan policies relative to corridor management.

- Are consistent with the Strategic Intermodal System Plan.<sup>53</sup>
- Have a commitment for matching funds as a percentage of the overall project cost.

Before approval, DOT must determine that the proposed project:

- Is in the public's best interest;
- Would not require the use of state funds unless the project is on the State Highway System;
- Would have adequate safeguards in place to ensure that no additional costs or service disruptions would be realized by the traveling public and residents in the event of default or cancellation of the agreement by DOT; and
- Would have adequate safeguards in place to ensure that DOT and the RTFA have the opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project and other transportation facilities serving similar origins and destinations.

An obligation or expense incurred by DOT is a part of the cost of the RTFA project. DOT may require money it contributes to be repaid from tolls of the project, other revenue of the RTFA, or other sources of funds.

DOT shall receive from a RTFA a share of the RTFA's net revenues equal to the ratio of the DOT's total contributions to the RTFA to the sum of: DOT's total contributions; contributions by any local government to the cost of revenue producing RTFA projects; and the sale proceeds of RTFA bonds after payment of costs of issuance. Net revenues are gross revenues of a RTFA after payment of debt service, administrative expenses, operations and maintenance expenses, and all reserves required to be established under any bond resolution.

# **Acquisition of Lands and Property**

The bill provides that an RTFA may acquire property and property rights by gift, devise, purchase, condemnation by eminent domain proceedings, or transfer from another political subdivision.

When a RTFA acquires property for a transportation facility it is not subject to any liability imposed by ch. 376, F.S., or ch. 403, F.S., <sup>54</sup> for preexisting soil or groundwater contamination due solely to its ownership. A RTFA and the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) may enter into interagency agreements for investigative and remedial acts necessary for property acquired by the RTFA.

## Cooperation with other Units, Boards, Agencies, and Individuals

The bill provides that any political subdivision may make and enter into agreements with a RTFA. Each RTFA may enter into agreements with any political subdivision and any and all federal agencies, corporations, and individuals.

### **Covenant of the State**

The bill provides that the state pledges that it will not limit or alter the bondholder rights vested by this act until all bonds are fully paid and discharged. The state further pledges to the United States that in the event any federal agency constructs or contributes any funds for the system, the state will not alter or limit the rights and powers of the RTFA and DOT in any manner which would be inconsistent with the continued maintenance and operation of the system or the completion, extension or improvement of the system.

## **Exemption from Taxation**

The bill provides that because a RTFA will perform essential governmental functions, a RTFA is not required to pay any taxes or assessments upon any property acquired or used by it for such purposes, or upon any rates, fees, rentals, receipts, income or charges it receives. The bonds issued by the RTFA, their transfer and the income, including any profits made on the sale will be free from taxation. The exemption is not applicable to any tax imposed by ch. 220, F.S.<sup>55</sup> on interest, income, or profits on debt obligations owned by corporations.

<sup>55</sup> Chapter 220, F.S. is the income tax code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> The Strategic Intermodal System Plan is developed under s. 339.64, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Chapter 376, F.S., relates to pollutant discharge removal and prevention. Chapter 403, F.S., relates to environmental control.

## **Eligibility for Investments and Security**

The bill provides that any bonds or other obligations constitute:

- Legal investments for banks, savings banks, trustees, executors, administrators, and all other fiduciaries, and for all state, municipal and other public funds; and
- Securities eligible for deposit as security for all state, municipal or other public funds.

# **Complete and Additional Authority**

The bill provides that the powers conferred by this act are in addition and supplemental to the powers conferred by other laws, and shall not be construed as repealing any other law, but to supersede such other laws in the exercise of the powers provided, and to provide a complete method for the exercise of the powers granted. The extension and improvement of a RTFA system, and the issuance of bonds to finance all or part of the cost, may be accomplished upon compliance with the provisions of this act without regard to compliance with the provisions, limitations, or restrictions contained in any other general, special or local law, including, but not limited to, s. 215.821<sup>56</sup>. Voter approval is not required for the issuance of RTFA bonds.

The bill does not repeal, rescind, or modify any other laws relating to the State Board of Administration, DOT, or relating to authorities created pursuant to chs. 343, 348, or 349, F.S., or the Division of Bond Finance of the State Board of Administration, nor does it supersede any provision of chs. 343, .348, or 349, F. S., but supersedes any other law that is inconsistent with the provisions of ch. 345, F.S., including, but not limited to s. 215.821, F.S.

Nothing in this section should be construed to supersede any requirements of part II of ch. 163,  $^{58}$  ss.  $339.155^{59}$  or 339.175, F.S.  $^{60}$ 

## Orlando Orange County Expressway Authority Lease (Section 38)

# **Current Situation**

Section 348.754 (2)(d), F.S., authorizes the Orlando Orange County Expressway Authority (OOCEA) to enter into and make leases for terms not exceeding 40 years.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 348.754(2)(d), F.S., authorizing the OOCEA to enter into and make leases for terms not exceeding 99 years. This 99 year maximum lease term is consistent with DOT's current authority to make leases.

### **Environmental Permitting (Section 39)**

## **Current Situation**

Generally, the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) Environmental Resource Permitting program regulates most alterations to land surfaces in the state, which are not specifically exempt from regulation or rule.

Specifically, part IV of Ch. 373, F.S., regulates the construction, alteration, operation, maintenance or repair, abandonment and removal of stormwater management systems, dams, impoundments, reservoirs, appurtenant work and works. This includes items such as clearing of land, building a structure, removing a road, digging a pond, crossing a ditch, developing a subdivision and any work in wetlands and other surface waters such as filling a wetland, constructing or modifying a dock, boardwalk or bulkhead, installing or repairing utility and transmission lines and dredging of channels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Section 215.821, F. S., relates to the issuance of bonds by state agencies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Chapter 343, F.S., creates regional transportation authorities, chapter 348, F.S., creates expressway and bridge authority, and chapter 349, F.S., creates the Jacksonville Transportation Authority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Part II of Ch. 163, F.S., relates to Growth policy; county and municipal planning; ;land development regulation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Section 339.155, F.S., relates to transportation planning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Section 339.175, F.S., relates to metropolitan planning organizations.

and canals. Section 373.406, F.S., contains a number of exemptions from DEP regulations including certain agricultural activities and activities with a minimal environmental impact.

### **Proposed Change**

The bill creates s. 373.406(13), F.S., providing that nothing in part IV of ch. 373, F.S. or any rule, regulation, or order adopted pursuant to part IV of ch. 373, F.S., applies to the construction, alteration, operation, or maintenance of any wholly owned, manmade excavated farm pond<sup>61</sup> constructed entirely in uplands. Alteration or maintenance may not involve any work to connect the farm pond to, or expand the farm pond into, other wetlands or other surface waters.

The bill creates s. 373.406(14), F.S., providing that nothing in part IV of ch. 373, F.S. or any rule, regulation, or order adopted pursuant to part IV of ch. 373, F.S., may require a permit for activities affecting wetlands creates solely by the unauthorized flooding or interference with the natural flow of surface water caused by an unaffiliated adjoining landowner. Requests to qualify for this exemption must be made within seven years after the cause of such unauthorized flooding or unauthorized flow of natural surface water and must be submitted in writing to the water management district (WMD) or DEP. Such activities may not begin without a written determination from the WMD or DEP confirming that the activity qualifies for the exemption. This exemption does not expand the jurisdiction of DEP or WMDs and does not apply to activities that discharge dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States, subject to federal jurisdiction under s. 404 of the federal Clean Water Act. 62

The bill also creates s. 373.406(15), F.S., providing that any independent water control district created and operating pursuant to ch. 298, F.S. for which a valid environmental resource permit for management and storage of surface waters permit has been issued pursuant to part IV of ch. 373, F.S., is exempt from further wetlands regulation imposed pursuant to chs. 125, 163, and 166. 63

## **Environmental Mitigation (Section 40)**

#### **Current Situation**

Under existing law, DOT and participating transportation authorities offset adverse environmental impacts of transportation projects through the use of mitigation banks and other mitigation options, including the payment of funds to water management districts (WMDs) to develop and implement mitigation plans. The mitigation plan is developed by the WMDs and is ultimately approved by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). The ability to exclude a project from the mitigation plan is provided to DOT, a participating transportation authority, or a WMD.

More specifically s. 373.4137, F.S., enacted in 1996,<sup>64</sup> created mitigation requirements for specified transportation projects. Historically, the statute directed DOT and transportation authorities<sup>65</sup> to fund, and the WMD to develop and implement, mitigation plans to mitigate these impacts. In 2012, HB 599<sup>66</sup> modified the statute to reflect that adverse impacts be offset by the use of mitigation banks and any other option that satisfies state and federal requirements. "Other" mitigation options include DOT's payment of funds to develop and implement mitigation plans. The mitigation plan is based on an environmental impact inventory created by DOT reflecting habitats that would be adversely impacted by transportation projects listed in the next three years of DOT's tentative work program. DOT provides funding in its work program to DEP or WMDs for its mitigation requirements. To fund the programs, the statute directs DOT and the authorities to pay \$75,000, as adjusted by a calculation using the CPI, per impacted acre.<sup>67</sup>

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Section 403.927, F.S. defines "farm pond" as "a pond located on a farm, used for farm purposes, as determined by water management district rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> 33 U.S.C. s. 1344

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Chapter 125, F.S., relates to county government, chapter 163, F.S., relates to intergovernmental programs, and chapter 166, F.S., relates to municipalities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Ch. 96-238, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> The statute applies to transportation authorities created in ch. 348 or 349, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Ch. 2012-174, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> The current cost per acre is \$107,457.

The statute provides that WMD developed mitigation plans should use sound ecosystem management to address significant water resource needs and focus on activities of DEP and WMDs in wetlands and surface waters, including preservation, restoration and enhancement, as well as control of invasive and exotic vegetation. WMDs must also consider the purchase of credits from public and private mitigation banks when such purchase provides equal benefit to water resources and is the most cost effective option. Before each transportation project is added to the WMD mitigation plan, DOT must investigate the use of mitigation bank credits considering cost-effectiveness, time saved, transfer of liability and long-term maintenance. Final approval of the mitigation plan rests with DEP.

DOT and the participating expressway authorities are required to transfer funds to pay for mitigation of that year's projected impact acreage resulting from projects identified in the inventory. Quarterly, the projected impact acreage and costs are reconciled with the actual impact acreage, and costs and the balances are adjusted.

Under existing law, the statute provides for exclusion of specific transportation projects from the mitigation plan at the discretion of DOT, participating transportation authorities and the WMDs.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill provides that mitigation should take place in a manner that promotes efficiency, timeliness in project delivery, and cost effectiveness.

Environmental impact inventories for transportation projects proposed by DOT or a transportation authority<sup>68</sup> shall be developed as follows:

- By July 1 of each year, DOT or a transportation authority submits to the WMDs a list of its projects in its adopted work program and an environmental impact inventory of habitat impacts and the anticipated amount of mitigation needed to offset impacts. The environmental impact inventory is based on the rules adopted pursuant to part IV of ch. 373, F.S., <sup>69</sup> s. 404 of the Clean Water Act<sup>70</sup> and DOT's plan of construction for transportation projects in the next three years of the tentative work program. DOT or a transportation authority may also include in its environmental impact inventory the habitat impacts and anticipated amount of mitigation needed for any future transportation project. DOT and each transportation authority may use current year funds to fund mitigation activities for future projects.
- The environmental impact inventory describes habitat impacts, including location, acreage, and type; the anticipated amount of mitigation needed based on the functional loss as determined using the Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method (UMAM),<sup>71</sup> identification of the proposed mitigation option, state water quality classification of impacted wetlands and other surface waters; any other state or regional designations for these habitats; and a list of threatened species, endangered species, and species of special concern affected by the proposed project.

Before projects are identified for inclusion in a WMD mitigation plan, DOT must consider using credits from a proposed mitigation bank. DOT must consider criteria related to:

- Availability of suitable and sufficient mitigation bank credits within the transportation project's area,
- Ability to satisfy commitments to regulatory and resource agencies, availability of suitable and sufficient mitigation purchased or developed,
- ability to complete existing WMD or DEP suitable mitigation sites initiated with DOT mitigation funds, and
- Ability to satisfy state and federal requirements including long-term maintenance and liability.

. .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> The statute applies to transportation authorities established pursuant to ch. 348 or ch. 349, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Part IV of ch. 373, F.S., relates to the management and storage of surface waters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> 33 USC s. 1344

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> UMAM is adopted in ch. 62-345, F.A.C. Information on UMAM is available at: <a href="http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/wetlands/mitigation/umam.htm">http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/wetlands/mitigation/umam.htm</a> (Last visited February 18, 2013).

To implement the mitigation option identified in the environmental impact inventory, DOT may purchase credits for current and future use directly from a mitigation bank, purchase mitigation services through WMDs or DEP; conduct its own mitigation; or use other mitigation options that meet state and federal requirements. Funding for the identified mitigation option as described in the environmental impact inventory shall be included in DOT's work program. The amount programmed each year by DOT and participating transportation authorities corresponds to an estimated cost per credit of \$150,000 multiplied by the projected number of credits identified in the environmental impact inventory. Every two years, DOT will adjust the estimated cost per credit based on the average cost per UMAM credit paid.

For mitigation implemented by the WMD or DEP, the amount paid each year must be based on mitigation services provided by a WMD or DEP pursuant to an approved WMD mitigation plan. The WMDs or DEP may request payment no sooner than 30 days before the funds are needed to pay for activities associated with development or implementation of permitted mitigation meeting the federal requirements<sup>73</sup> in the approved mitigation plan for the current fiscal year. Each quarter, the projected amount of mitigation will be reconciled with the actual amount of mitigation needed for projects as permitted, including permit modifications. The programming of funds will be adjusted to reflect the mitigation as permitted. If the WMD excludes a project from an approved WMD mitigation plan, cannot timely permit a mitigation site to offset the impacts of a DOT project identified in the environmental impact inventory, or if the proposed mitigation does not meet state and federal requirements, DOT may use the associated funds to purchase mitigation bank credits or any other mitigation option that satisfies state and federal requirements. Upon final payment for mitigation of a transportation project as permitted, the obligation of DOT or transportation authority is satisfied and the WMD or DEP will have continuing responsibility for the mitigation project.

Beginning with the March 2014 WMD mitigation plans, each WMD or DEP invoices DOT for mitigation services to offset only the impacts of a DOT project identified in the environmental impact inventory, including planning, design, construction, maintenance and monitoring, and other costs necessary to meet state and federal requirements. If the WMD identifies the use of mitigation bank credits to offset a DOT impact, the WMD excludes that purchase from its mitigation plan and DOT must purchase the identified mitigation bank credits.

For mitigation activities occurring on existing WMD or DEP mitigation sites initiated with DOT mitigation funds prior to July 1, 2013, the WMD or DEP invoices DOT or participating transportation authority at a cost per acre of \$75,000 multiplied by the projected acres of impact as identified in the environmental impact inventory. The cost per acre will be adjusted by the percentage change in the average of the Consumer Price Index compared to a September 30, 1996, base year. When implementing the mitigation activities necessary to offset the permitted impacts as provided in the approved mitigation plan, the WMD maintains records of the costs incurred in implementing the mitigation. The records include costs for planning, land acquisition, design, construction, staff support, long-term maintenance and monitoring of the mitigation site, and other costs necessary to meet federal requirements.

In order to prepare and implement mitigation plans to be adopted by the WMDs by March 1, 2013, for impacts based on the July 1, 2012, environmental impact inventory, the funds identified in DOT's work program or participating transportation authorities' escrow accounts shall correspond to a cost per acre of \$75,000 multiplied by the projected acres of impact as identified in the environmental impact inventory. The cost per acre is adjusted by the percent change in the Consumer Price Index compared to the September 30, 1996, base year. Payment is limited to mitigation activities identified in the first year of the 2013 mitigation plan and if the transportation project is permitted and is in DOT's adopted work program, or equivalent for a transportation authority. When implementing the mitigation activities necessary to offset the permitted transportation impacts as provided in the approved mitigation plan, the WMD shall maintain records of the costs incurred in implementing the mitigation. The records must include costs for planning, land acquisition, design, construction, staff support, long-term maintenance and monitoring of the mitigation site, and other costs necessary to meet federal requirements. To the extent monies paid to a WMD exceed the amount spent in implementing the mitigation to offset the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> DOT's work program is developed pursuant to s. 339.135, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Federal Requirements are pursuant to part 33 USC 1322 and 33 CFR 332.

permitted transportation impacts, these funds shall be refunded to DOT or the participating transportation authority. This provision expires June 30, 2014.

Before March 1 of each year, each WMD develops a mitigation plan to offset only the impacts of transportation projects in the environmental impact inventory for which a WMD is implementing mitigation that meets state and federal requirements. The WMD plan must be developed in consultation with DEP, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, DOT, participating transportation authorities and other appropriate governments, and other interested parties, including entities operating mitigation banks. In developing the plans, the WMDs must use sound ecosystem management practices to address significant water resource needs. The WMDs must also consider DEP and WMD activities such as surface water improvement management projects and lands identified for potential acquisition for preservation, restoration, or enhancements, and the control of invasive and exotic plants in wetlands and other surface waters, to the extent that the activities comply with the mitigation requirements. The WMD mitigation plan must identify each site where the WMD will mitigate for the transportation project, the scope of the mitigation activities, the functional gain at each mitigation site as determined through UMAM, describe how mitigation offsets the impacts of each transportation project, and a schedule of mitigation services. WMDs must maintain records of costs incurred and payments received for providing these services. Records must include, but are not limited to, planning, land acquisition, design, construction, staff support, long-term maintenance and monitoring of the mitigation site, and other costs necessary to meet federal requirements. To the extent monies paid to a WMD exceed the amount expended in implementing the mitigation to offset the permitted transportation impacts, these funds will be refunded. The mitigation plan shall be submitted to the WMD governing board, or its designee, for review and approval. Subsequent to governing board approval, the mitigation plan must be submitted to DEP for approval. The plan may not be implemented until it is submitted to and approved, in part or in its entirety, by DEP.

Specific projects may be excluded from the mitigation plan upon the election of DOT, a transportation authority or the appropriate WMD. Neither DOT nor a participating transportation authority shall exclude a transportation project from the mitigation plan when mitigation is scheduled in the current fiscal year, except if the transportation project is removed from DOT's work program or a transportation authority's funding plan, the mitigation cannot be timely permitted, or the proposed mitigation does not meet state or federal requirements. If a project is removed from the work program or the mitigation plan, costs expended by the WMD before removal are eligible for reimbursement from DOT or the transportation authority.

When determining which projects to include or exclude from the mitigation plan, DOT shall investigate using credits from a permitted mitigation bank before those projects are submitted for inclusion in a WMD plan. DOT shall exclude a project from the mitigation plan when the investigation results in the conclusion that the use of credits from a permitted mitigation bank promotes efficiency, timeliness in project delivery, cost effectiveness and transfers responsibility of success for long-term maintenance.

The WMD ensures that federal mitigation requirements are met for the impacts identified by the environmental impact inventory, by implementation of the approved mitigation plan to the extent funding is provided by DOT, or a transportation authority. In developing and implementing the mitigation plan, the WMD shall comply with federal permitting requirements. During the federal permitting process, the WMD may deviate from the approved mitigation plan in order to comply with federal permitting requirements upon notice and coordination with DOT or a participating transportation authority.

The WMD mitigation plans are to be annually updated to reflect the most recent DOT work program and transportation authority project list and may be amended throughout the year. Before amending the mitigation plan to include new projects, DOT shall consider mitigation banks and other available mitigation options that meet state and federal requirements. Each update and amendment of the mitigation plan shall be submitted to the governing board of the WMD or its designee for approval.

**Water Management District Designation of Positions (Section 41)** 

## **Current Situation**

As part of a multi-year trend, WMDs have been reorganizing themselves to more closely align with the structure of state agencies. Typically, only division directors and higher are classified as Senior Management Service (SMS) for state retirement under the Florida Retirement System (FRS). However, at a few WMDs, there are bureau chiefs in SMS positions and some in Select Exempt Service (SES) positions. There are also some division directors without SMS benefits because these positions are filled by bureau chiefs or others.

The WMDs have attempted to address the issue through means that did not include legislation, but the Department of Management Services (DMS) has indicated that current law does not allow for the necessary change.

### **Proposed Changes**

The bill creates s. 373.6053, F.S., providing that notwithstanding s. 121.055(2)(a), F.S., <sup>74</sup> each WMD may between July 1, 2013, and December 31, 2013, reassess its designation of positions for inclusion in the SMS class, <sup>75</sup> and may request removal from the class of any such positions that it deems appropriate. The removal of any previously designated positions would be effective on the first day of the month following written notification to DMS of the removal before January 1, 2014.

## **Effective Date** (Section 42)

Unless otherwise expressly provided, the bill has an effective date of July 1, 2013.

#### **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

- Section 1 Amends s. 11.45, F.S., relating to definitions; duties; authorities; reports; rules of the Auditor General.
- Section 2 Amends s. 20.23, F.S., relating to the Department of Transportation.
- Section 3 Amends s. 110.205, F.S., relating to career service; exemptions.
- Section 4 Amends s. 125.35, F.S., relating to county authorization to sell real and personal property and to lease real property.
- Section 5 Amends s. 125.42, F.S., relating to water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other utility, and television lines along county roads and highways.
- Section 6 Creates s. 316.01, F.S., relating to vehicular access to state universities.
- Section 7 Amends s. 316.530, F.S., relating to towing requirements.
- Section 8 Amends s. 316.545, F.S., relating to weight and load unlawful; special fuel and motor tax enforcement; inspection; penalty; review.
- Section 9 Amends s. 331.360, F.S., relating to the spaceport system plan.
- Section 10 Amends s. 332.007, F.S., relating to administration and financing of aviation and airport programs and projects; state plan.

<sup>75</sup> S. 121.055(1)(b)1.b., F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h7127c.EAC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Section 121.055(2)(a), F.S. provides that "participation in this [senior management service] class shall cease when the member terminates employment in an eligible position. Once a position is designated as eligible for inclusion in the class, that position shall not be removed from the class unless the duties and responsibilities of the position change substantially and therefore no longer meet the requirements provided in this section for participation in the class,..."

- Section 11 Amends s. 334.044, F.S., relating to DOT powers and duties.
- Section 12 Amends s. 335.055, F.S., relating to routine maintenance contracts.
- Section 13 Amends s. 335.06, F.S., relating to access roads to the state park system.
- Section 14 Amends s. 337.11, F.S., relating to the contracting authority of the department; bids; emergency repairs, supplemental agreements, and change orders; combined design and construction contracts; progress payments; records; requirements for vehicle registration.
- Section 15 Amends s. 337.14, F.S., relating to application for qualification; certificate of qualification; restrictions; request for hearing.
- Section 16 Amends s. 337.168, F.S., relating to confidentiality of official estimates, identities of potential bidders, and bid analysis and monitoring system.
- Section 17 Amends s. 337.25, F.S., relating to the acquisition, lease, and disposal of real and personal property.
- Section 18 Amends s. 337.251, F.S., relating to lease of property for joint public-private development and areas above or below DOT property.
- Section 19 Amends s. 337.403, F.S., relating to interference caused by utility; expenses.
- Section 20 Authorizes the Florida Transportation Commission to conduct a study of the potential for the state to obtain revenue from parking meters and other time limit devices on state roads under the jurisdiction of DOT.
- Section 21 Amends s. 338.161, F.S., relating to the authority of DOT or toll agencies to advertise and promote electronic toll collection; expanded use of electronic toll collection system; authority for DOT to collect tolls, fares, and fees for private and public entities.
- Section 22 Amends s. 338.165, F.S., relating to the continuation of tolls.
- Section 23 Amends s. 338.26, F.S., relating to the Alligator Alley toll road.
- Section 24 Amends s. 339.175, F.S., relating to metropolitan planning organizations.
- Section 25 Amends s. 339.2821, F.S., relating to economic development transportation projects.
- Section 26 Repeals ss. 339.401 through s. 339.421, F.S., relating to the Florida Transportation Corporation Act.
- Section 27 Amends s. 339.55, F.S., relating to state-funded infrastructure bank.
- Section 28 Amends s. 341.031, F.S., relating to definitions relating to the Florida Public Transit Act.
- Section 29 Amends s. 341.052, F.S., relating to the public transit block grant program.

- Section 30 Amends s. 341.053, F.S., relating to Intermodal Development Program; administration; eligible projects; limitations. Section 31 Amends s. 341.8203, F.S., relating to definitions in the Florida Rail Enterprise Act. Section 32 Amends s. 341.822, F.S., relating to powers and duties of the rail enterprise. Section 33 Amends s. 341.825, F.S., relating to communication facilities. Section 34 Amends s. 341.840, F.S., relating to a tax exemption. Section 35 Amends s. 343.82, F.S., relating to purposes and powers of the Northwest Florida Transportation Corridor Authority. Section 36 Amends s. 343.922, F.S. relating to powers and duties of the Tampa Bay Area Regional Transportation Authority. Creates ch. 345, F.S., relating to the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority Section 37. (RTFA) Act. Section 38 Amends s. 348.754, F.S., relating to purposes and powers of the Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority. Section 39 Amends s. 373.406, F.S., relating to exemptions to certain environmental permitting requirements. Section 40 Amends s. 373.4137 relating to mitigation requirements for specified transportation projects. Section 41 Creates s. 373.0653, F.S., relating to the designation of positions for water management districts.
- Section 42 Providing effective dates.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

The wrecker permit change keeps Florida in alignment with federal law and avoids any potential loss of federal funds.

The additional weight for auxiliary power units may have an insignificant but indeterminate negative fiscal impact to the STTF if there is a decrease in overweight fines due to the increased allowable weight.

Providing an exception related to federal law regarding using Florida nursery stock for landscaping on state roads keeps Florida in alignment with federal law and avoids any potential loss of federal funds.

Unsolicited lease proposals of DOT property for joint public-private development or commercial development may bring an indeterminate amount of revenue to DOT through fees DOT would be authorized to collect to defray the cost of reviewing such proposals.

After the issuance of RTFA bonds to finance construction of any improvements or additions to a transportation system, the RTFA transfers to the credit of an account of DOT in the State Treasury the necessary funds for any construction.

DOT may receive from an RTFA a share of the RTFA's net revenues equal to the ratio of the DOT's total contributions to the RTFA to the sum of: DOT's total contributions; contributions by any local government to the cost of revenue producing RTFA projects; and the sale proceeds of RTFA bonds after payment of costs of issuance.

### 2. Expenditures:

The Florida Transportation Commission may incur an indeterminate, but insignificant increase in expenses associated with its monitoring of authorities creates under the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Act and the Mid-Bay Bridge Authority. There is also expected to be an insignificant negative fiscal impact on the Florida Transportation Commission for assuming staffing responsibilities for the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission.

DOT may incur an indeterminate negative fiscal impact associated with reviewing unsolicited lease proposals for development of DOT property. However, the expenses should be offset by the fees DOT is authorized to collect.

DOT may incur additional utility relocation costs associated with the commuter rail service or intercity passenger rail service and the relocation of utilities owned by cities or counties in rural areas of critical economic concern under certain circumstances.

DOT may incur an indeterminate negative fiscal impact should the FTC retain any additional staff to complete the parking meter revenue study, for which DOT would be required to pay.

DOT may incur some costs associated with the removal of parking meters if municipalities or counties do not provide the information required for the parking meter revenue study. However, the bill requires DOT to bill the local government for removal costs.

DOT would realize an indeterminate savings from not funding the operation of the fire station on Alligator Alley.

DOT may incur an indeterminate negative fiscal impact should a RTFA request DOT provide the services of a DOT employee to act as an RTFA's executive director.

DOT may incur an indeterminate negative fiscal impact associated with the planning, surveying, design, and actual construction of an RTFA transportation system project. However, the expenses should be offset by the funds transferred by an RTFA to a DOT account in the State Treasury.

DOT may incur an indeterminate negative fiscal impact should the operation and maintenance of an RTFA transportation system exceed the revenues generated from that system in the same fiscal year. However, any resolution authorizing the issuance of RTFA bonds and pledging the revenues of the transportation system will require that revenues of the system be periodically deposited into appropriate accounts in sums sufficient to pay the costs of operation and maintenance of the system for the current fiscal year and to reimburse DOT for any unreimbursed costs of operation and maintenance of the system from prior fiscal years before revenues of the system are deposited into accounts for the payment of interest or principal on RTFA bonds.

WMDs may see reduced costs associated with removing some positions from the Senior Management Service class.

### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

#### Revenues:

If disposal of surplus DOT property becomes more efficient, there will likely be a positive impact to local governments as more of these parcels are returned to the property tax rolls.

## 2. Expenditures:

Counties may see additional revenue from leases of real or personal property under terms and conditions negotiated by the board.

The bill allows DOT the option to improve and maintain roads that are part of the county road system or city street system if they provide access to a state park. If DOT does not maintain the road, then the county or municipality shall be responsible for maintaining the roads, which is currently required. However, this could be viewed as resulting in a negative fiscal impact to local government.

Although not required to by this bill, if Collier County assumes the operating costs of the fire station on Alligator Alley the county would incur a negative fiscal impact for expenses.

The bill allows that DOT may agree with an RTFA to pay for the costs of financial or engineering and traffic feasibility studies and the design, financing, acquisition, or construction of a RTFA project or system, included in the 10-year Strategic Intermodal Plan. DOT may include these costs as part of the DOT 5-year Tentative Work Program subject to Legislative approval and appropriation.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The additional weight allowed for auxiliary power units has an indeterminate but positive fiscal impact on the trucking industry due to being able to carry a slightly heavier load.

The revised definition of "intercity bus service" has an indeterminate but positive fiscal impact on the busing industry due to more companies being eligible to participate in the Federal Transit Administration's Intercity Bus Program.

Some DEP permitting costs may be avoided due to the bill's exemptions to environmental permitting requirements, but the amount of these costs is indeterminate.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The Space Florida proposal represents a statutory funding change since it establishes a minimum amount of funds to be annually allocated for spaceport projects. Currently, there is no recurring amount dedicated to annually support spaceport funding, however, DOT's work program has provided spaceport funding of \$16 million for each of the last two fiscal years. These activities have already been programmed by DOT in its five-year work program and have no additional fiscal impact, but its inclusion in future year obligations will impact the overall total level of resources available for other projects.

DOT advised that projects to be funded as part of the strategic airport investment initiative will be included in DOT's work program budget submitted annually for Legislative approval. While this does not have the effect of an immediate fiscal impact, to the extent such strategic airport investment initiatives become projects in a tentative work program, they will impact the available resources for other projects in future years.

DOT advised that projects selected for the off system paving of local roads to state parks would be included in DOT's work program budget which is submitted annually to the Legislature for approval. While this does not have the effect of an immediate fiscal impact, to the extent such local access roads become projects in a tentative work program, this will impact the available resources for other projects in future years.

DOT advised environmental mitigation projects are currently included in DOT's work program budget submitted annually for legislative approval, and the additional tracking and accounting requirements will have no fiscal impact.

A RTFA is not required to pay any taxes or assessments upon any property acquired or used by it for such purposes, or upon any rates, fees, rentals, receipts, income or charges it receives. The bonds issued by the RTFA, their transfer and the income, including any profits made on the sale will be free from taxation. The exemption is not applicable to any tax imposed by ch. 220, F.S.<sup>76</sup> on interest, income, or profits on debt obligations owned by corporations.

### **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

2. Other:

None.

None.

#### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The repeal of the Florida Transportation Corporation Act will allow for the repeal of ch.14-35, F.A.C., which administers the act.

The bill requires DOT to establish an application fee for the submission of unsolicited lease proposals by rule.

The bill authorizes the Florida Rail Enterprise to adopt rules to administer permits associated with permits for the construction of communications facilities on the right-of-way for high speed rail service.

#### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

### IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 12, 2013, the Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee adopted nine amendments to the PCB, plus an amendment to an amendment. The amendments do the following:

- Provides that DOT is not required to fund noise mitigation projects adjacent to existing transportation facilities where no capacity improvements are being constructed.
- Provides that the funding for Space Florida will come from the funds dedicated for public transportation.
- Removes the requirement that a contractor submit an affidavit that its motor vehicles are registered in Florida.
- Clarifies that documents revealing the identity of persons requesting or obtaining a bid package remains a public record.
- Removes the maximum of 25 voting members on a combined MPO and provides that Miami-Dade County will remain its own MPO.
- Removes statutory references to the Toll Facilities Revolving Trust Fund which has been repealed.
- Authorizes the Orlando Orange County Expressway Authority to enter into 99 year leases.
- Revises provisions related to environmental mitigation and certain environmental permit exemptions.
- Addresses issues related to trespassing on railroad property.

**DATE**: 4/19/2013

**STORAGE NAME:** n/12/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Chapter 220, F.S. is the income tax code. **STORAGE NAME**: h7127c.EAC

On April 9, 2013, the Transportation & Economic Development Appropriations Subcommittee adopted seven amendments to the bill. The amendments do the following:

- Provides that application fees for unsolicited lease proposals must be limited to the amount needed to pay the anticipated costs of evaluating the proposal.
- Removes the provision authorizing the sharing of revenues generated from parking meters, but authorizes the Florida Transportation Commission to conduct a study of the potential for the state to obtain revenue from parking meters and other time limit devices on state roads under the jurisdiction of DOT.
- Removes the provision that DOT is not required to fund noise mitigation projects adjacent to existing transportation facilities where DOT is not constructing capacity improvements.
- Provides that the voting membership of an MPO that is redesignated after July 1, 2013, as a result of the expansion of the MPO to include a new urbanized area or the consolidation of two or more MPOs may consist of no more than 25 members.
- Removes the authority for DOT to undertake ancillary development that DOT determines to be appropriate as a source of revenue for the establishment, construction, operation, or maintenance of any rail corridor owned by the state.
- Provides for the creation of the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority Act.
- Removes the provision that for railroad trespassing the first offense may result in a civil citation and second or subsequent offenses a misdemeanor of the first degree.

On April 16, 2013, the Economic Affairs Committee adopted a strike-all amendment and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. This amendment:

- Provided Transportation Commission oversight over Regional Transportation Finance Authorities.
- Authorized counties to negotiate leases of real or personal property.
- Prohibited local governments from preventing vehicular ingress or egress on a transportation. facility into or out of a state university facility.
- Provided an exception for the requirement that DOT use landscaping materials from Florida nurseries if prohibited by federal law.
- Revised provisions regarding surplus property by requiring DOT to advertise the sale of property valued at over \$10,000.
- Clarified that DOT may use its own appraiser or an independent appraisal if the estimate of value for surplus property is less than \$50,000.
- Revised issues related to utility relocation as it related to where there is no evidence to prove or disprove a property right by the utility and utility relocation for commuter rail and intercity passenger rail service.
- Provided that DOT may pay for utility relocation in rural areas of critical economic concern under certain circumstances.
- Provided that DOT may remove parking meters if the municipality or county does not provide the information required for the bill's parking meter study.
- Revised provisions related to economic development transportation projects, including that funds may not be transferred unless construction has begun, and that funds must be returned if the project does not begin within four years of receiving the grant award.
- Provided that public transit block grants cannot be used for actions leading to promoting new taxes through public referenda
- Provided for the siting of communications facilities within a high speed rail system.
- Revised applicability language regarding the Florida Regional Transportation Finance Authority Act to provide that it does not impact any modify any laws related to transportation authorities created in other chapters of statute, growth management laws, DOT's work program or the metropolitan planning organization process.
- Revised provisions relating to environmental permitting exceptions.
- Provided that independent water control districts with valid state permits are exempt from local water quality regulations.

**PAGE: 33** 

Revised provisions related environmental mitigation

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC

Authorized water management districts to reassess positions in the Senior Management Service class.

This analysis is written to the committee substitute.

STORAGE NAME: h7127c.EAC