# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 115 FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION:

SPONSOR(S): Government Operations 83 Y's 33 N's

Subcommittee; Pigman

COMPANION CS/SB 318 GOVERNOR'S ACTION: Approved

BILLS:

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/HB 115 passed the House on March 27, 2014, and subsequently passed the Senate on April 25, 2014.

The bill creates a public meeting exemption for any portion of a meeting of the board of directors of a university's direct-support organization (DSO), or of a committee of the DSO, in which the board or committee discusses a proposal seeking research funding from the DSO or a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research. The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2019, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

University DSOs are subject to public record and public meeting laws. Current law provides that certain records held by a DSO are confidential and exempt from public records requirements. However, there was no similar public meeting exemption for DSO board meetings during which confidential and exempt records are discussed.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill was approved by the Governor on May 12, 2014, ch. 2014-27, L.O.F., and will become effective on October 1, 2014.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: h0115z1.HEWS.docx

**DATE**: May 14, 2014

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

#### A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

#### **Present Situation**

### State Constitution: Open Meetings

Article I, s. 24(b) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government meetings. The section requires that all meetings of any collegial public body of the executive branch of state government or of any collegial public body of a county, municipality, school district, or special district, at which official acts are to be taken or at which public business of such body is to be transacted or discussed, be open and noticed to the public.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution authorizes the Legislature to provide exemptions from the open meeting requirements upon a two-thirds vote of both legislative chambers, in a bill that specifies the public necessity giving rise to the exemption.

#### Government in the Sunshine Law

Public policy regarding access to government meetings also is addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 286.011, F.S., known as the "Government in the Sunshine Law" or "Sunshine Law," further requires that all meetings of any board or commission of any state agency or authority or of any agency or authority of any county, municipal corporation, or political subdivision, at which official acts are to be taken be open to the public at all times.<sup>1</sup> The board or commission must provide reasonable notice of all public meetings.<sup>2</sup> Public meetings may not be held at any location that discriminates on the basis of sex, age, race, creed, color, origin or economic status or which operates in a manner that unreasonably restricts the public's access to the facility.<sup>3</sup> Minutes of a public meeting must be promptly recorded and be open to public inspection.<sup>4</sup>

## Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>5</sup> provides that a public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and the "[I]egislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption." However, the exemption may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a
  governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the
  exemption;
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would
  jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted
  under this provision; or
- Protects trade or business secrets.<sup>7</sup>

#### **University Direct-support Organizations**

Current law defines "university direct-support organization" (DSO) to mean an organization that is:

• A Florida corporation not for profit incorporated under the provisions of chapter 617, F.S., and approved by the Department of State;

**STORAGE NAME**: h0115z1.HEWS.docx **DATE**: May 14, 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 286.011(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 286.011(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 286.011(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

- Organized and operated exclusively to receive, hold, invest, and administer property and to
  make expenditures to or for the benefit of a state university in Florida or for the benefit of a
  research and development park or research and development authority affiliated with a state
  university and organized under part V of chapter 159, F.S.; and
- An organization that a state university board of trustees, after review, has certified to be operating in a manner consistent with the goals of the university and in the best interest of the state. Any organization that is denied certification by the board of trustees shall not use the name of the university that it serves.<sup>8</sup>

The DSO serves a role in raising private support for university academic, research, and athletic activities. The DSO may establish accounts with the State Board of Administration for investment of funds pursuant to part IV of chapter 218, F.S. The DSO is prohibited from giving any gift to a political committee or committee of continuous existence for any purpose other than those certified by a majority roll call vote of the governing board of the DSO at a regularly scheduled meeting as being directly related to the educational mission of the university. The DSO is prohibited from giving any gift to a political committee or committee or continuous existence for any purpose other than those certified by a majority roll call vote of the governing board of the DSO at a regularly scheduled meeting as being directly related to the educational mission of the university.

DSOs are subject to public record and public meeting laws.<sup>12</sup> Current law provides that the following records held by the DSO are confidential and exempt<sup>13</sup> from public record requirements:

- The identity of a donor who desires to remain anonymous; and
- All records of the DSO other than the auditor's report,<sup>14</sup> management letter, and any supplemental data required by the Board of Governors, the university board of trustees, the Auditor General, and the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability.<sup>15</sup>

There is no similar exemption for DSO board meetings during which confidential and exempt records are discussed.

#### **Effect of Proposed Changes**

This bill creates a public meeting exemption for meetings of the university DSO. Any portion of a meeting of the board of directors for the DSO, or of the executive committee or other committee of such board, in which the board or committee discusses a proposal seeking research funding from the organization or a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research is discussed is exempt from public meeting requirements.

STORAGE NAME: h0115z1.HEWS.docx

**DATE**: May 14, 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 1004.28(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> State University System Board of Governors, 2013 Legislative Bill Analysis for HB 359 (Feb. 14, 2013) (on file with the Higher Education and Workforce Subcommittee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 1004.28(2)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 1004.28(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Palm Beach Community College Foundation, Inc. v. WTFT, Inc., 611 So.2d 588 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993). The Florida Attorney General opined that community college direct-support organizations are subject to Sunshine Law. Op. Att'y Gen. Fla. 05-27 (2005). See also Op. Att'y Gen. Fla. 92-53 (1992) (providing that John and Mable Ringling Museum of Art Foundation, Inc., established pursuant to statute as a not-for-profit corporation to assist the museum in carrying out its functions by raising funds for the museum, is subject to Sunshine Law by virtue of its substantial ties with the museum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62 (August 1, 1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Current law requires a DSO to provide for an annual financial audit of its accounts and records conducted by an independent certified public accountant in accordance with certain requirements. The annual audit report must be submitted to the Auditor General and the Board of Governors for review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 1004.28(5), F.S.

The bill provides that the exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2019, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. It provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

# **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

|    | 1.                                  | Revenues:                                 |  |
|----|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|    |                                     | None.                                     |  |
|    | 2.                                  | Expenditures:                             |  |
|    |                                     | None.                                     |  |
| В. | FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS: |   |  |
|    | 1.                                  | Revenues:                                 |  |
|    |                                     | None.                                     |  |
|    | 2.                                  | Expenditures:                             |  |
|    |                                     | None.                                     |  |
| C. | DII                                 | DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: |  |
|    | None.                               |   |  |
| D. | FIS                                 | SCAL COMMENTS:                            |  |
|    | None.                               |   |  |

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

STORAGE NAME: h0115z1.HEWS.docx

**DATE**: May 14, 2014