# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/CS/HB 643 FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION:

SPONSOR(S): Government Operations 117 Y's 0 N's

Subcommittee; Criminal Justice Subcommittee and La Rosa

**COMPANION** CS/CS/CS/HB 641; CS/CS/CS/SB 364; CS/SB 366

**GOVERNOR'S ACTION:** Approved

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

CS/CS/SB 643 passed the House on April 28, 2014, as CS/SB 366.

Current law provides a public record exemption for data, programs, or supporting documentation that is a trade secret and that resides or exists internal or external to a computer, computer system, or computer network. Such trade secrets are confidential and exempt from public record requirements when held by an agency.

This bill, which is linked to the passage of CS/CS/CS/HB 641, expands the public record exemption for data, programs, or supporting documentation that is a trade secret, to include such information when it resides or exists internal or external to an electronic device.

The bill provides for repeal of the exemption on October 2, 2019, unless reviewed and saved from repeal by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

The bill could create a minimal fiscal impact on state and local governments. See FISCAL COMMENTS section.

The bill was approved by the Governor on June 20, 2014, ch. 2014-177, L.O.F., and the effective date of this bill is on the same date that CS/CS/CS/HB 641 or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes a law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0643z1.CRJS.docx

**DATE**: June 30, 2014

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

## A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

# **Background**

# **Public Records**

Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. This section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. The Legislature, however, may provide by general law for the exemption of records from the requirements of Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.<sup>1</sup>

Public policy regarding access to government records is addressed further in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect and copy any state, county, or municipal record. Furthermore, the Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>2</sup> provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a
  governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the
  exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would
  jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted
  under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

#### House Bill 641 (2014)

Chapter 815, F.S., entitled the "Florida Computer Crimes Act," was created in 1978 in recognition of growing computer-related crime. The chapter establishes legislative intent, and a variety of computer-related offenses and definitions.

House Bill 641 adds legislative intent language that recognizes that the proliferation of new technologies impact computer-related crimes. To this end, the bill amends the definition of computer network and creates a definition of the term "electronic device," which means a device that is capable of communicating across a computer network with other computers or devices for the purpose of transmitting, receiving, or storing data.

# Public Record Exemption for Trade Secrets

Section 815.04(3)(a), F.S., provides a public record exemption for data, programs, or supporting documentation that is a trade secret<sup>3</sup> and that resides or exists internal or external to a computer, computer system, or computer network. Such trade secrets are confidential and exempt<sup>4</sup> from public record requirements when held by an agency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 24(c), Art. I of the State Constitution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See s. 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 812.081, F.S., defines a "trade secret" as the whole or any portion or phase of any formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information which is for use, or is used, in the operation of a business and which provides the business an advantage, or an opportunity to obtain an advantage, over those who do not know or use it. "Trade secret" includes any scientific, technical, or commercial information, including any design, process, procedure, list of suppliers, list of customers, business code, or improvement thereof. Irrespective of novelty, invention, patentability, the state of the prior art, and the level of skill in the business, art, or field to which the subject matter pertains, a trade secret is considered to be: a secret; of value; for use or in use by the business; and of advantage to the business, or providing an opportunity to obtain an advantage, over those who do not know or use it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004);

For purposes of the public record exemption, agency is defined to mean:

[A]ny state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.<sup>5</sup>

#### Effect of the Bill

This bill, which is linked to the passage of House Bill 641, expands the current public record exemption for trade secrets to include such information when it resides or exists internal or external to an electronic device.

The bill provides that the public record exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2019, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

## **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

1. Revenues:

The bill does not spear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991) If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. See Attorney General Opinion 85-62 (August 1, 1985).

<sup>5</sup> Section 119.011(2), F.S.

**DATE**: June 30, 2014

The bill could create a minimal fiscal impact on state and local agencies. Staff responsible for complying with public record requests could require training related to the expansion of the current public record exemption. In addition, such agencies could incur costs associated with redacting the confidential and exempt information prior to releasing a record. The costs, however, would be absorbed, as they are part of the day-to-day responsibilities of the agencies.

STORAGE NAME: h0643z1.CRJS.docx

**DATE**: June 30, 2014