HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/CS/HB 839 Driver Licenses

SPONSOR(S): Economic Affairs Committee; Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee; Broxson

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1366

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee	12 Y, 1 N, As CS	Davy	Miller
2) Economic Affairs Committee	17 Y, 0 N	Davy	Creamer

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law requires any person whose record as maintained by Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV), in a five year period, has three or more convictions for specific offenses to be designated a "habitual traffic offender" (HTO). The law further provides any person who, in a five year period, has fifteen convictions for moving traffic offenses for which points may be assessed must also be designated a HTO. The law requires DHSMV to revoke the license of any person designated a HTO and such a person is not eligible to be relicensed for a minimum of 5 years from the date of revocation. Currently, there is no provision for HTOs to provide proof of compliance and have their HTO designation removed.

The bill authorizes a person who has received a HTO designation and whose driver license has been revoked as a result of a third violation of driving a motor vehicle while his or her license is suspended or revoked (DWLSR), to provide proof of compliance to the clerk of court before the designated court date. Proof of compliance includes a valid, renewed, or reinstated driver license or registration certificate and proper proof of maintenance of security as required by s. 316.646, F.S. Upon receipt of proof of compliance, the bill requires the clerk of court to submit an amended disposition to remove the HTO designation.

Current law requires that DHSMV not issue any individual a new driver license if such an individual has an outstanding suspension or revocation of a driver license or driving privilege in any other state. Florida must enforce suspensions and revocations from other states for underlying offenses that would not result in suspensions or revocations under Florida law.

The bill provides that DHSMV may, in its discretion, issue a driver license, if the applicant's driving privilege or driver license is suspended or revoked in another state for an offense committed in that state which would not have been grounds for suspension or revocation of the person's driving privilege or driver license in this state.

Currently, DHSMV will suspend a driver license for up to 7 years for noncompliance with the civil penalties provided in s. 318.18, F.S. After the expiration of the original 7 years, the suspension may be resubmitted by a clerk of court and will last for an additional 7 years if the license is not reinstated.

The bill provides that the department may not accept the resubmission of a suspension authorized for noncompliance with the civil penalties provided in s. 318.18, F.S.

To the extent that individuals provide proof of compliance, the bill may have an insignificant positive impact on state fee revenue associated with court costs. Similarly, to the extent that the department issues new licenses to individuals with suspensions or revocations from other states, the bill may have an insignificant positive impact on state driver license fee revenue. DHSMV is expected to incur an insignificant negative fiscal impact implementing changes. See fiscal comments.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2014.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0839c.EAC

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Resubmissions of Suspensions Relating to Civil Penalties (Section 1)

Present Situation

Currently, s. 318.15, F.S., provides that individuals will have their driver license suspended for the following offenses:

- failure to comply with the civil penalties provided in s. 318.18, F.S., within 30 days as specified in s. 318.14(4), F.S.;
- failure to enter into or comply with the terms of a penalty payment plan with the clerk of the court in accordance with ss. 318.14 and 28.246, F.S.;
- failure to attend driver improvement school; or
- failure to appear at a scheduled hearing.

In such instances of failure to comply, the clerk of the court shall notify DHSMV of such failure within 10 days. The department must issue an order to suspend the driver's license and privilege to drive effective 20 days after the order has been issued. Any such suspension of the driving privilege which has not been reinstated, including a similar suspension imposed outside Florida, shall remain on the records of the department for a period of 7 years from the date imposed and shall be removed from the records after the expiration of 7 years from the date it is imposed.

Effect of the Proposed Changes

The bill provides that DHSMV may not accept the resubmission of a suspension authorized under s. 318.15, F.S., after such a suspension is removed from the records after the expiration of 7 years from the date it is imposed.

Habitual Traffic Offenders (Section 2)

Present Situation

Currently, s. 322.264(1), F.S., requires any person whose record as maintained by DHSMV shows three or more convictions in a five year period for specific offenses to be designated as a HTO. These offenses include:

- voluntary or involuntary manslaughter resulting from the operation of a motor vehicle;
- any violation of Driving Under the Influence in violation of s. 316.193, F.S.;
- any felony in the commission of which a motor vehicle is used;
- driving a motor vehicle while his or her license is suspended or revoked;
- failing to stop and render aid as required under the laws of the state in the event of a motor vehicle crash resulting in the death or personal injury of another; or
- driving a commercial motor vehicle while his or her privilege is disqualified.¹

Section 322.264(2), F.S., further provides any person who, in a five year period, has fifteen convictions for moving traffic offenses for which points may be assessed is also designated as a HTO.²

A HTO who drives a motor vehicle while his or her license is revoked is guilty of a felony of the third degree, punishable by imprisonment of up to five years and a fine up to \$5,000.3

¹ Section 322.264(1), F.S.

² Section 322.264(2), F.S.

³ Section 322.24(5), F.S.

Section 322.27(5), F.S., requires DHSMV to revoke the license of any person designated a HTO and such a person is not eligible to be relicensed for a minimum of 5 years from the date of revocation.⁴

Proof of Compliance

Currently, a person who does not hold a commercial driver license or commercial learner's permit and who is cited while driving a noncommercial motor vehicle for the following offenses, may, in lieu of payment of fine or court appearance, elect to enter a plea of nolo contendere and provide proof of compliance to the clerk of the court, designated official, or authorized operator of a traffic violations bureau:

- operating a motor vehicle without a valid driver license in violation of s. 322.03, F.S., s. 322.065, F.S., or s. 322.15(1), F.S., or operating a motor vehicle with a license that has been suspended for failure to appear, failure to pay civil penalty, or failure to attend a driver improvement course pursuant to s. 322.291, F.S.;
- operating a motor vehicle without a valid registration in violation of s. 320.0605, F.S., s. 320.07, F.S., or s. 320.131, F.S.;
- operating a motor vehicle without proof of security in violation of s. 316.646, F.S.;
- operating a motor vehicle with a license that has been suspended under s. 61.13016, F.S., or s. 322.245, F.S., for failure to pay child support or for failure to pay any other financial obligation as provided in s. 322.245, F.S.; however, this subparagraph does not apply if the license has been suspended pursuant to s. 322.245(1), F.S.; and
- operating a motor vehicle with a license that has been suspended under s. 322.091, F.S., for failure to meet school attendance requirements.⁵

In such case, adjudication is withheld. A person may not make an election under this subsection if the person has made an election under this subsection in the preceding 12 months. A person may not make more than three elections under this subsection. Currently, if a defendant in a civil case of unknowingly DWLSR provides proof of compliance and pleas nolo contendre, the court will withhold adjudication, and the citation will not count toward the three total offenses resulting in a HTO designation. Currently, a withhold of adjudication for a criminal DWLSR offense constitutes a conviction and, therefore, counts toward one of the three offenses to receive an HTO designation.

During the 2010 regular legislative session, CS/HB 795 was passed and signed into law, which among other things authorized individuals who had received a HTO designation and whose driver license has been revoked as a result of a third violation of driving a motor vehicle while his or her license is suspended or revoked to provide proof of compliance. The provision was only effective between July 1, 2010 and July 1, 2011.^{8, 9}

Currently, any individual who pays a citation of DWLSR or provides proof of compliance for the underlying cause of the suspension receives one of the three convictions needed to receive a HTO designation. The offender has no incentive to take care of their outstanding obligations causing the suspension and provide proof of compliance. A HTO designation cannot be removed by taking care of the outstanding obligations causing the suspension and providing proof of compliance.

Many of the underlying license suspensions in DWLSR cases generally relate to financial concerns, not the driver's actual ability to operate a motor vehicle. As a result, they can create a "snowball" effect for repeat offenders unable to fully-pay a non-criminal traffic fine or other legal financial obligations. A driver who is unable to pay a traffic fine, but needs to operate his or her vehicle in order to remain employed, may be subsequently cited for driving with a suspended or revoked license, thereby incurring an additional fine. By granting these fiscally-challenged HTOs an opportunity to provide "proof

⁹ Chapter 2010-107, Laws of Florida. **STORAGE NAME**: h0839c.EAC

⁴ Section 322.27 (5), F.S.

⁵ Section 318.14(10)(a), F.S.

[°] Id.

⁷ Raulerson v. State, 763 So. 2nd 285 (Fla 2000)

⁸ According to DHSMV, during the effective period 4,046 records were reviewed, due to customers calling/writing into DHSMV. Out of the 4,046 reexaminations, 1,549 were approved for reinstatement, 1,711 were not eligible for reinstatement, and 786 reinstated their license. Information received from DHSMV (12/13/13), on file with the Transportation and Highway Safety Subcommittee.

of compliance," it is possible that HTOs currently driving on revoked licenses will attempt to stop the "snowball" effect by paying their overdue fines, acquiring proper insurance, and taking care of other legal obligations.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill authorizes a person who has received a HTO designation and whose license has been revoked under s. 322.27(5), F.S., as a result of a third violation of driving with a motor vehicle while his or her license is suspended or revoked, to provide proof of compliance, to the clerk of court before their designated court date as provided in s. 318.14(10)(a), F.S. Proof of compliance consists of a valid, renewed, or reinstated driver license registration certificate and proper proof of maintenance of security as required by s. 316.646, F.S., before the scheduled court appearance date as provided in statute. The bill provides that if a driver labeled a Habitual Traffic Offender is able to resolve fines through this process, he or she will have their HTO status removed. In such an instance, the clerk of court will submit an amended disposition to remove the HTO designation, which will allow the person to apply for reinstatement of their driver license.

Driver License Reciprocity (Section 3)

Present Situation

Driver License Compact¹⁰

The Driver License Compact was created between states to provide uniformity among the member jurisdictions when exchanging information with other members on convictions, records, licenses, withdrawals, and other data pertinent to the licensing process. Uniformity eases administrative costs consistent with the concept which forms the basic tenet with the agreement that each driver, nationwide, have only one driver license and one driver control record.¹¹

Florida entered the Driver License Compact in 1967. Upon application for a license to drive, DHSMV must ascertain whether the applicant has ever held, or is the holder of, a license to drive issued by any other party state. DHSMV must not issue a license to drive to the applicant if¹²:

- The applicant has held such a license, but the same has been suspended by reason, in whole
 or in part, of a violation and if such suspension period has not terminated.
- The applicant has held such a license, but the same has been revoked by reason, in whole or in part, of a violation and if such revocation has not terminated, except that after the expiration of 1 year from the date the license was revoked, such person may make application for a new license if permitted by law. The licensing authority may refuse to issue a license to any such applicant if, after investigation, the licensing authority determines that it will not be safe to grant to such person the privilege of driving a motor vehicle on the public highways.

Some states, will suspend driver licenses for non-driving related offenses that Florida will not suspend for. For example, 26 states will suspend a license for minor possession/consumption of alcohol, while Florida will not.¹³ In addition, 36 states automatically suspend driver licenses and driving privileges for reckless driving and 6 states suspend for careless driving while Florida will not automatically suspend for either.¹⁴ Rather, these driving related offenses in Florida will result in points that upon a licensee's accumulation of ¹⁵:

- 12 points within a 12-month period, the period of suspension will be for no more than 30 days.
- 18 points, within an 18-month period, the suspension will be for no more than 3 months.

¹⁵ Section 322.27(3), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0839c.EAC DATE: 4/7/2014

¹⁰ Section 322.44, F.S.

¹¹ Information found at: http://www.aamva.org/drivers-license-compacts/ (last visited on 2/28/2014)

¹² Section 322.44, Article V, F.S.

¹³ Pg 20, AAMVA, 2013 Best Practices Guide to Reducing Suspended Drivers. Found at: http://www.aamva.org/workarea/downloadasset.aspx?id=3723 (last visited on 3/7/14)

¹⁴ Pg. 8, NHTSA, 2009 Reasons for Driver License Suspension, Recidivism, and Crash Involvement among driver's with suspended/revoked licenses. Found at:

http://www.nhtsa.gov/DOT/NHTSA/Traffic%20Injury%20Control/Articles/Associated%20Files/811092.pdf (last visited on 3/7/14)

24 points, within a 36-month period, the suspension will be for no more than 1 year.

National Driver Registry

NDR is a computerized database of information about drivers who have had their licenses revoked or suspended, or who have been convicted of serious traffic violations such as driving while impaired by alcohol or drugs. State motor vehicle agencies provide the National Driver Registry (NDR) with the names of individuals who have lost their privileges or who have been convicted of a serious traffic violation. When a person applies for a driver's license, the State DMV checks to see if the name is on the NDR database. If a person has been reported to the NDR as a problem driver, the license may be denied. Florida uses the database to check a driver's record for suspensions and revocations and enforce compliance with the national Driver License Compact.

The NDR is populated with the following "pointer" information 17:

- first, last, and middle name, alias names (if any);
- date of birth, license number, and social security number (if allowed by State law);
- sex, height, weight, eye color (if collected by States); and
- the State that added the pointer, also referred to as State-of-Record (SOR).

In addition, the NDR includes the national driver register status that reflects an individual as 18:

- no match: The individual does not have a record on the NDR;
- licensed: Licensed means the individual holds a license in that State and the privilege to drive is valid:
- eligible: The individual privilege to drive or apply for a license in a State(s) is valid;
- not: The individual privilege to drive in a State(s) is invalid; or
- NEN: The individual privilege to drive in a State(s) is invalid due to a non-moving violation.

This information is supplied and maintained by State as a result of convictions and license withdrawals pertaining to highway safety violations. No driver history information is maintained in the NDR¹⁹ and, at the driver license examiner level, there is no automated method to determine the nature of the reported stop, suspension, or revocation in the other state.²⁰

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill provides that the department may, in its discretion, issue a driver license, with any required restrictions, if the applicant's driving privilege or driver license is suspended or revoked in another state for an offense committed in that state which would not have been grounds for suspension or revocation of the person's driving privilege or driver license in Florida.

Florida does not have access to driver history information through the NDR, which is what is currently used to check a driver license applicant's driving record for outstanding driving privilege and license suspensions and revocations. As a result, DHSMV will need to communicate with other states on a case by case basis to determine the underlying issues or offenses causing suspensions or revocations of applicants' driving privilege or driver license. The bill grants DHSMV discretion in how it implements the bill.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 318.15, F.S., providing that DHSMV may not accept resubmissions from the clerks of the court of certain 7 year driver license suspensions.

STORAGE NAME: h0839c.EAC

¹⁶ Information found at: http://www.nhtsa.gov/Data/National+Driver+Register+(NDR) (last visited on 3/3/2014)

¹⁷ *Id*.

¹⁸ *Id*.

¹⁹ *Id*.

²⁰ DHSMV Agency Analysis for HB 839.

Amends s. 322.27, F.S., providing for the removal of a habitual traffic offender Section 2: designation upon proof of compliance with statutory provisions by certain offenders.

Creates s. 322.276, F.S., providing for DHSMV to issue a driver license to a person Section 3:

whose license is suspended or revoked in certain circumstances.

Section 4: Provides the bill takes effect July 1, 2014.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

See Fiscal Comments.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill will likely have a positive impact on HTOs and individuals with suspensions and revocations from other states for offenses not resulting in the same penalty under Florida law who regain their driving privileges. While not necessarily a measurable or "direct" impact, if the ultimate result of the bill is a decrease in the number of drivers who operate motor vehicles on a suspended license (and by definition, also without insurance), the public at large may see a positive economic impact. As a result, individuals who regain their privilege to drive will be able to have greater access to employment opportunities and play a more active role in the economy.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Any person establishing proof of compliance will be assessed court costs of \$25. The \$25 court cost assessment is distributed as follows:

- One dollar of such costs shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Child Welfare Training Trust Fund of the Department of Children and Family Services.
- One dollar of such costs shall be distributed to the Department of Juvenile Justice for deposit into the Juvenile Justice Training Trust Fund.
- Fourteen dollars of such costs shall be distributed to the municipality and \$9 shall be deposited by the clerk of the court into the fine and forfeiture fund established pursuant to s. 142.01, F.S., if the offense was committed within the municipality.²¹

If the offense was committed in an unincorporated area of a county or if the citation was for a violation of s. 316.646(1)-(3), F.S.²², the entire amount shall be deposited by the clerk of the court into the fine

²¹ Section 318.14 (10)(b), F.S.

²² Section 316.646 (1)-(3), F.S., mandates the requirement for an owner and operator of a motor vehicle to maintain proof of property damage liability security, liability security for bodily injury or death, or personal injury protection security. A law enforcement officer STORAGE NAME: h0839c.EAC

and forfeiture fund established pursuant to s. 142.01, F.S., except for the moneys to be deposited into the Child Welfare Training Trust Fund and the Juvenile Justice Training Trust Fund.²³

To the extent that HTOs provide proof of compliance and pay the \$25 court cost, the bill could have a positive fiscal impact on the state trust funds and local governments to whom the funds are distributed.

Any person who applies for reinstatement following the end of their HTO designation and revocation of the person's driver license must pay a service fee of \$75, which is in addition to the fee for a license. Of the \$75 fee received from a licensee for reinstatement following a revocation or disqualification, the department must deposit \$35 in the General Revenue Fund and \$40 in the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund. ²⁴

An original Class E or motor cycle driver license is \$48, which includes the fee for driver education provided by s. 1003.48, F.S.²⁵ To the extent that the department issues new licenses to individuals with suspensions or revocations from other states, the bill may have an insignificant positive impact on state driver license fee revenue which is deposited into the General Revenue Fund.²⁶

DHSMV indicates that it will take 160 hours and a negative fiscal impact of \$6,400 to implement the changes this will be absorbed within existing resources.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditures of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 18, 2014, the Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee adopted one amendment to HB 839 and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendment removed the dates in the bill, which provided that only HTOs who received the designation before July 1, 2014 qualify to provide proof of compliance and have their designation removed, and which provided that the proof of compliance must be provided to the clerk of court before July 1, 2016. As a result, the amendment makes the provision permanent and includes all HTOs who received the designation as a result of three convictions of DWSLR regardless of when the designation was received.

may require the operator display the required proper proof of security. A person who fails to provide the required proof of security commits a moving violation subject to the penalty provided in chapter 318, F.S., and will be required to furnish proof of security.

23 *Id.*

²⁴ Section 322.21(8), F.S.

²⁵ Section 322.21 (1), F.S.

²⁶ Section 322.21(5), F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h0839c.EAC

On April 4, 2014, the Economic Affairs Committee adopted one amendment to CS/HB 839 and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendment provided that the DHSMV may not accept resubmissions of driver license and driving privilege suspensions authorized under s. 318.15, F.S.

This analysis is drafted to the committee substitute as reported by the Economic Affairs Committee.

STORAGE NAME: h0839c.EAC