

The Florida Senate
BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Transportation

BILL: SB 264

INTRODUCER: Senators Bradley and Brandes

SUBJECT: Traffic Enforcement Agencies and Traffic Citations

DATE: February 4, 2015 REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Jones	Eichin	TR	Favorable
2.	_____	_____	ATD	_____
3.	_____	_____	FP	_____
	_____	_____	_____	_____
	_____	_____	_____	_____

I. Summary:

SB 264 prohibits a traffic enforcement agency from establishing a traffic citation quota, and creates a reporting requirement for counties and municipalities under certain circumstances.

II. Present Situation:

In current law, an agency of the state is prohibited from establishing a traffic citation quota.¹ These state agencies are listed as²:

- Florida Highway Patrol;
- Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission’s Division of Law Enforcement;
- Agents, inspectors, and officers of the Department of Law Enforcement
- University police officers;
- Florida College System police officers;
- School safety officers;
- Police officers and parking enforcement specialists employed by an airport authority; and
- The Office of Agricultural Law Enforcement

The Department of Transportation, county sheriff’s offices, and police departments of chartered municipalities are defined as traffic enforcement agencies of the state³, but are not *explicitly* prohibited in statute from establishing traffic citation quotas.

¹ Section 316.640(1)(a)2., F.S.

² Section 316.640(1), F.S.

³ Section 316.640(8), F.S.

Traffic Citation Quotas

The term “Traffic Citation Quota” is not defined in statute. However, it is commonly defined as any establishment of a predetermined or specified number of traffic citations a traffic enforcement officer must issue in a specified time. The prohibition of a traffic citation quota can also include the prohibition of any evaluation, promotion, compensation, or discipline based on a specific number of citations issued.⁴

In some instances, quotas have been used as a way to measure an officer’s performance. However, according to correspondence with The Florida’s Police Chief Association⁵, issuing traffic citations is only part of a traffic enforcement officer’s enumerated duties, and performance is more effectively measured by shifting an officer’s focus to “stopping the errant driving behavior” versus a focus on issuing traffic citations. An officer’s performance evaluation, when assessing matters dealing with traffic safety, also includes⁶:

- Providing for the safe and convenient flow of traffic and pedestrians;
- Investigating traffic crashes;
- Providing first aid;
- Conducting DUI investigations;
- Promoting vehicular and pedestrian safety; and
- Reporting unsafe road conditions.

City of Waldo Police Department

In 2012, the National Motorists Association reported the City of Waldo was voted as one of the worst speed traps in the nation.⁷ Additionally, this past year, multiple Waldo police officers disclosed they were required to meet traffic citation quotas. It was reported that the revenue from the traffic citations accounted for over one-third of the city’s entire revenue, with the police department’s budget financed over 50 percent from traffic citation revenue.⁸ The city has since disbanded its police force.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 prohibits a traffic enforcement agency from establishing traffic citation quotas. It clarifies that any agency or governmental entity vested with the powers to enforce traffic laws under the state, county, or municipality is a traffic enforcement agency.

Section 2 requires a county or municipality to submit a report to the Legislative Auditing Committee if the total revenue from traffic citations exceeds 50 percent of the expense to operate the county’s or municipality’s law enforcement agency in the same fiscal year. If required to

⁴ See La. R.S. 40:2401.1., TENN. CODE ANN. s. 39-16-516., and TEX. TRANSP. CODE ANN. s. 720.002.

⁵ Email from Chief Railey to Amy Mercer, Executive Director, The Florida Police Chief’s Association (Jan. 29, 2015) (on file with the Senate Transportation Committee)

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ National Motorists Association, *Nationwide Poll Reveals Top U.S. and Canadian Speed Traps* (Aug. 2012), <http://www.motorists.org/other/August%202012%20News%20Release--FINAL.pdf> (last visited Jan. 30, 2015)

⁸ CBS News, *Florida Town Infamous for Speed Traps Disbanding Police Force* (Oct. 2014), <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/florida-town-infamous-for-speed-traps-disbanding-police-force/> (last visited Jan. 30, 2015)

submit the report, it must be submitted within six months after the end of the fiscal year and must detail:

- The total revenue from traffic citations of the city or municipality; and
- The total expenses for law enforcement of the city or municipality.

Section 3 provides that the bill takes effect July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may have an indeterminate minimal fiscal impact on a county or municipality required to submit a report.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 316.640 and 316.660.

IX. Additional Information:

- A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:**
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

- B. **Amendments:**

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.
