# Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability 



## I. Summary:

This bill resets, beginning July 1, 2016, the annual salary of the presiding officers and other members of the Florida Legislature to $\$ 57,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ annually, respectively. Beginning July 1, 2017, these amounts will be adjusted based on the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the preceding fiscal year.

The fiscal impact of the adjustments is roughly $\$ 4.9$ million annually from the General Revenue Fund.

## II. Present Situation:

## Salaries for the Florida Legislature

The legislative power of the state is vested in the Legislature, ${ }^{1}$ consisting of between 30-40 senators and 80-120 members of the House of Representatives. ${ }^{2}$

By law, the annual salaries of the President of the Senate (President) and the Speaker of the House of Representatives (Speaker) are set at $\$ 25,000$ each. The annual salaries of all other legislators are set at $\$ 18,000$ each. ${ }^{3}$ Beginning July 1, 1986, the legislator salaries are adjusted each July 1 based on the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the fiscal year just concluded. ${ }^{4}$

Although the statutory structure of legislators' salaries has automatic annual adjustments, the Legislature has periodically avoided the automatic adjustments. Since 1985, the legislators’

[^0]salaries have been reduced twice ${ }^{5}$, held constant in nine other years ${ }^{6}$, and adjusted upward less than the statutory formula would have authorized. ${ }^{7}$ Table 1 below shows the history of the annual salaries paid to the members of the Florida Legislature. ${ }^{8}$

Table 1. Historical Base Salaries of Members

| Year | Members | Presiding <br> Officers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pre-1969 | $\$ 1,200$ | $\$ 1,200$ |
| $1969-1984$ | $\$ 12,000$ | $\$ 12,000$ |
| 1985 | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ |
| 1986 | $\$ 18,900$ | $\$ 26,250$ |
| 1987 | $\$ 19,848$ | $\$ 27,564$ |
| 1988 | $\$ 20,748$ | $\$ 28,812$ |
| 1989 | $\$ 21,684$ | $\$ 30,120$ |
| $1990-1993$ | $\$ 22,560$ | $\$ 31,322$ |
| 1994 | $\$ 23,244$ | $\$ 32,280$ |
| 1995 | $\$ 24,180$ | $\$ 33,576$ |
| 1996 | $\$ 24,912$ | $\$ 34,584$ |
| 1997 | $\$ 25,668$ | $\$ 35,628$ |
| 1998 | $\$ 26,388$ | $\$ 36,624$ |
| 1999 | $\$ 27,132$ | $\$ 37,644$ |
| 2000 | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 38,700$ |
| 2001 | $\$ 28,608$ | $\$ 39,672$ |
| 2002 | $\$ 29,328$ | $\$ 40,668$ |
| $2003-2005$ | $\$ 29,916$ | $\$ 41,484$ |
| 2006 | $\$ 30,996$ | $\$ 42,984$ |
| 2007 | $\$ 31,932$ | $\$ 44,280$ |
| 2008 | $\$ 30,336$ | $\$ 42,072$ |
| $2009-2016$ | $\$ 29,697$ | $\$ 41,181$ |

## Comparison with Other States

In 1999, the Florida House of Representatives commissioned a study (MGT study) to compare the compensation for the members of the Florida Legislature to that of other states. ${ }^{9}$ That study compared Florida's annual salaries to that of: (a) its population peers; (b) state with similar

[^1]"professionalism" of the members; and (c) states with similar authority vis-à-vis the executive branch.

## Population Peers

Table 2 compares Florida salaries to that of its population peers. The MGT study noted that the southern states (noted in italics in the table) were the only states that paid less than Florida. The two most populous states (California and New York) paid the most. Texas, the third most populous state, paid the least. ${ }^{10}$ Those comparisons continue based on the 2015 data. ${ }^{11}$ The average annual legislator salary of Florida's population peers grew about 21.5 percent from 1999 to 2015 . Florida's annual legislator salary grew only 12.5 percent during that same period.

Table 2. Population Peers

| State | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Salary $^{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> Salary $^{\mathbf{1 3}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| California | $\$ 99,000$ | $\$ 97,197$ |
| Georgia | $\$ 11,348$ | $\$ 17,342$ |
| Illinois | $\$ 50,803$ | $\$ 67,836$ |
| Massachusetts | $\$ 46,410$ | $\$ 60,033$ |
| Michigan | $\$ 55,054$ | $\$ 71,685$ |
| New Jersey | $\$ 35,000$ | $\$ 49,000$ |
| New York | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 79,500$ |
| North Carolina | $\$ 13,951$ | $\$ 13,951$ |
| Ohio | $\$ 42,427$ | $\$ 60,584$ |
| Pennsylvania | $\$ 59,245$ | $\$ 85,339$ |
| Tennessee | $\$ 16,500$ | $\$ 20,884$ |
| Texas | $\$ 7,200$ | $\$ 7,200$ |
| Virginia | $\$ 17,640$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |
|  | $\$ 18,000$ |  |
| $\mathbf{H} \$ 17,640$ |  |  |
| Wisconsin | $\$ 41,809$ | $\$ 50,950$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{\$ 4 1 , 1 3 5}$ | $\$ \mathbf{4 9 , 9 6 4}$ |
| Florida | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 , 3 8 8}$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 9 , 6 9 7}$ |

## Peers Based on Legislative Professionalism

The third way of grouping the states for comparison is based on the level of legislative professionalism - the degree to which legislative work is the full time vocation of the legislative members. In the MGT study, Florida was grouped in the "hybrid legislature" category, exhibiting some characteristics of both the "professional legislature" and the "citizen legislature." In 1999, 8 of the other 24 states paid more than Florida. Today, ten of the 24 states pay more than Florida. The average salary for other hybrid states has grown 38 percent from

[^2]1999 to 2015 while Florida's legislator salary has grown roughly 12.5 percent during that same time period.

Table 4. Hybrid Legislatures

| State | $\begin{gathered} 1999 \\ \text { Salary }^{14} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 \\ \text { Salary }^{15} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | \$1,030 | \$42,849 |
| Alaska | \$24,012 | \$50,400 |
| Arizona | \$24,000 | \$24,000 |
| Colorado | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |
| Connecticut | \$21,788 | \$28,000 |
| Delaware | \$29,574 | \$44,541 |
| Hawaii | \$32,000 | \$59,004 |
| Iowa | \$20,758 | \$25,000 |
| Kansas | \$9,720 | \$7,979 ${ }^{16}$ |
| Kentucky | \$7,852 | \$11,293 ${ }^{17}$ |
| Louisiana | \$16,800 | \$22,800 |
| Maryland | \$30,591 | \$45,207 |
| Minnesota | \$31,140 | \$31,141 |
| Mississippi | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| Missouri | \$29,080 | \$35,915 |
| Nebraska | \$12,000 | \$12,000 |
| North Carolina | \$13,951 | \$13,951 |
| Oklahoma | \$38,400 | \$38,400 |
| Oregon | \$14,496 | \$23,052 |
| South Carolina | \$10,400 | \$6,000 |
| Tennessee | \$16,500 | \$20,884 |
| Texas | \$7,200 | \$7,200 |
| Virginia | \$17,640 | S \$18,000 |
|  |  | H \$17,640 |
| Washington | \$28,300 | \$42,106 |
| Average | \$19,895 | \$27,466 |
| Florida | \$26,388 | \$29,697 |

## Peers Based on Functional Similarity

The MGT study also compared Florida to other states based on functional similarity - the amount of power vis-à-vis the executive branch and the amount of influence in the appointment and budget processes. ${ }^{18}$ Table 3 shows that in 1999 only two states in this group paid higher salaries than Florida. Today, five of these states exceed the Florida salary level. The average

[^3]salary for similar "functional" states has grown about 70 percent from 1999 to 2015 while Florida's legislator salary has grown roughly 12.5 percent during that same time period.

Table 3. Similar States based on Functionality

| State | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> Salary $^{\mathbf{1 9}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> Salary $^{\mathbf{2 0}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Alabama | $\$ 1,030$ | $\$ 42,849$ |
| Alaska | $\$ 24,012$ | $\$ 50,400$ |
| Arizona | $\$ 12,500$ | $\$ 24,000$ |
| Arkansas | $\$ 16,800$ | $\$ 22,800$ |
| Louisiana | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Mississippi | $\$ 7,800$ | $\$ 17,555^{21}$ |
| Nevada | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| New Mexico | $\$ 38,400$ | $\$ 38,400$ |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 10,768$ | $\$ 15,171$ |
| Rhode Island | $\$ 10,400$ | $\$ 10,400$ |
| South Carolina | $\$ 28,300$ | $\$ 42,106$ |
| Washington | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 3 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 , 0 5 7}$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 , 6 9 7}$ |
| Florida |  |  |

## III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill resets, beginning July 1, 2016, the annual salary of the presiding officers and other members of the Florida Legislature to $\$ 57,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ annually, respectively. Beginning July 1, 2017, these amounts will be adjusted based on the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the preceding fiscal year.

## IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.
B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.
C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

[^4]
## V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.
B. Private Sector Impact:

None.
C. Government Sector Impact:

The fiscal impact of the salary adjustments, taking into account the salary, associated employer-paid federal tax liabilities, and the associated employer-paid Florida Retirement System contributions, is estimated to be roughly $\$ 4.9$ million annually from the General Revenue Fund.

## VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

## VII. Related Issues:

The $\$ 50,000$ annual salary proposed by this bill is comparable to the $\$ 18,000$ annual salary paid in 1985 and grown an average $3.35 \%$ annually through 2015.

## VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 11.13 of the Florida Statutes.

## IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute - Statement of Changes:
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)
None.
B. Amendments:

None.
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