The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepa	red By: The Pro	ofessional	Staff of the Com	mittee on Governm	ental Oversight and Accountability
BILL:	SB 712				
INTRODUCER:	Senator Joyner				
SUBJECT:	Compensation of Members of the Legislature				
DATE:	February 1	5, 2015	REVISED:		
ANALYST		STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
I. McVaney		McVa	ney	GO	Pre-meeting
2.				EE	
3.				RC	

I. Summary:

This bill resets, beginning July 1, 2016, the annual salary of the presiding officers and other members of the Florida Legislature to \$57,000 and \$50,000 annually, respectively. Beginning July 1, 2017, these amounts will be adjusted based on the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the preceding fiscal year.

The fiscal impact of the adjustments is roughly \$4.9 million annually from the General Revenue Fund.

II. Present Situation:

Salaries for the Florida Legislature

The legislative power of the state is vested in the Legislature,¹ consisting of between 30-40 senators and 80-120 members of the House of Representatives.²

By law, the annual salaries of the President of the Senate (President) and the Speaker of the House of Representatives (Speaker) are set at \$25,000 each. The annual salaries of all other legislators are set at \$18,000 each.³ Beginning July 1, 1986, the legislator salaries are adjusted each July 1 based on the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the fiscal year just concluded.⁴

Although the statutory structure of legislators' salaries has automatic annual adjustments, the Legislature has periodically avoided the automatic adjustments. Since 1985, the legislators'

¹ FLA. CONST. art. III, s. 1.

² FLA. CONST. art. III, s. 16.

³ Section 11.13(1)(a), F.S.

⁴ Section 11.13(1)(b), F.S.

salaries have been reduced twice⁵, held constant in nine other years⁶, and adjusted upward less than the statutory formula would have authorized.⁷ Table 1 below shows the history of the annual salaries paid to the members of the Florida Legislature.⁸

Year	Members	Presiding	
		Officers	
Pre-1969	\$1,200	\$1,200	
1969-1984	\$12,000	\$12,000	
1985	\$18,000	\$25,000	
1986	\$18,900	\$26,250	
1987	\$19,848	\$27,564	
1988	\$20,748	\$28,812	
1989	\$21,684	\$30,120	
1990-1993	\$22,560	\$31,322	
1994	\$23,244	\$32,280	
1995	\$24,180	\$33,576	
1996	\$24,912	\$34,584	
1997	\$25,668	\$35,628	
1998	\$26,388	\$36,624	
1999	\$27,132	\$37,644	
2000	\$27,900	\$38,700	
2001	\$28,608	\$39,672	
2002	\$29,328	\$40,668	
2003-2005	\$29,916	\$41,484	
2006	\$30,996	\$42,984	
2007	\$31,932	\$44,280	
2008	\$30,336	\$42,072	
2009-2016	\$29,697	\$41,181	

Table 1. Historical Base Salaries of Members

Comparison with Other States

In 1999, the Florida House of Representatives commissioned a study (MGT study) to compare the compensation for the members of the Florida Legislature to that of other states.⁹ That study compared Florida's annual salaries to that of: (a) its population peers; (b) state with similar

⁷ Section 44, Chapter 2003-399, Laws of Florida, authorized an adjustment of 2% beginning July 1, 2003.

⁵ Section 52, Chapter 2008-153, Laws of Florida, reduced the salaries by 5 percent when compared to the 2007 salary. Section 48, Chapter 2009-82, Laws of Florida, reduced the salaries an additional 2 percent when compared to the 2007 salary.

⁶ Section 41, Chapter 91-157, Laws of Florida, Section 45, Chapter 92-326, L.O.F.; Section 34, Chapter 2004-269, L.O.F.; Section 58, Chapter 2010-153, L.O.F.; Section 62, Chapter 2011-47, L.O.F.; Section 41, Chapter 2012-119, L.O.F.; Section 40, Chapter 2013-41, L.O.F.; Section 54, Chapter 2014-53, L.O.F.; and Section 76, Chapter 2015-222, L.O.F.

⁸ Office of Legislative Service, The Florida Legislature, *Legislative Fact Sheet 2015-2016* (on file with the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability).

⁹ MGT of America, Inc., *Review of the Compensatory Package for the Florida Legislature*, dated May 15, 2000 (on file with the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability).

"professionalism" of the members; and (c) states with similar authority vis-à-vis the executive branch.

Population Peers

Table 2 compares Florida salaries to that of its population peers. The MGT study noted that the southern states (noted in italics in the table) were the only states that paid less than Florida. The two most populous states (California and New York) paid the most. Texas, the third most populous state, paid the least.¹⁰ Those comparisons continue based on the 2015 data.¹¹ The average annual legislator salary of Florida's population peers grew about 21.5 percent from 1999 to 2015. Florida's annual legislator salary grew only 12.5 percent during that same period.

State	1999	2015
	Salary ¹²	Salary ¹³
California	\$99,000	\$97,197
Georgia	\$11,348	\$17,342
Illinois	\$50,803	\$67,836
Massachusetts	\$46,410	\$60,033
Michigan	\$55,054	\$71,685
New Jersey	\$35,000	\$49,000
New York	\$79,500	\$79,500
North Carolina	\$13,951	\$13,951
Ohio	\$42,427	\$60,584
Pennsylvania	\$59,245	\$85,339
Tennessee	\$16,500	\$20,884
Texas	\$7,200	\$7,200
Virginia	\$17,640	S \$18,000
		Н \$17,640
Wisconsin	\$41,809	\$50,950
Average	\$41,135	\$49,964
Florida	\$26,388	\$29,697

 Table 2. Population Peers

Peers Based on Legislative Professionalism

The third way of grouping the states for comparison is based on the level of legislative professionalism – the degree to which legislative work is the full time vocation of the legislative members. In the MGT study, Florida was grouped in the "hybrid legislature" category, exhibiting some characteristics of both the "professional legislature" and the "citizen legislature." In 1999, 8 of the other 24 states paid more than Florida. Today, ten of the 24 states pay more than Florida. The average salary for other hybrid states has grown 38 percent from

¹⁰ Id., p. 3-3.

¹¹ National Conference of State Legislators (NCSL), 2015 State Legislator Compensation and Living Expense Allowances During Session, http://www.ncsl.org/research/about-state-legislatures/2015-state-legislator-compensation.aspx (last visited February 10, 2016).

¹² MGT, *supra* at p. 3-3.

¹³ NCSL, *supra*.

1999 to 2015 while Florida's legislator salary has grown roughly 12.5 percent during that same time period.

State	1999	2015	
	Salary ¹⁴	Salary ¹⁵	
Alabama	\$1,030	\$42,849	
Alaska	\$24,012	\$50,400	
Arizona	\$24,000	\$24,000	
Colorado	\$30,000	\$30,000	
Connecticut	\$21,788	\$28,000	
Delaware	\$29,574	\$44,541	
Hawaii	\$32,000	\$59,004	
Iowa	\$20,758	\$25,000	
Kansas	\$9,720	$7,979^{16}$	
Kentucky	\$7,852	\$11,293 ¹⁷	
Louisiana	\$16,800	\$22,800	
Maryland	\$30,591	\$45,207	
Minnesota	\$31,140	\$31,141	
Mississippi	\$10,000	\$10,000	
Missouri	\$29,080	\$35,915	
Nebraska	\$12,000	\$12,000	
North Carolina	\$13,951	\$13,951	
Oklahoma	\$38,400	\$38,400	
Oregon	\$14,496	\$23,052	
South Carolina	\$10,400	\$6,000	
Tennessee	\$16,500	\$20,884	
Texas	\$7,200	\$7,200	
Virginia	\$17,640	S \$18,000	
-		H \$17,640	
Washington	\$28,300	\$42,106	
Average	\$19,895	\$27,466	
Florida	\$26,388	\$29,697	

Table 4. Hybrid Legislatures

Peers Based on Functional Similarity

The MGT study also compared Florida to other states based on functional similarity – the amount of power vis-à-vis the executive branch and the amount of influence in the appointment and budget processes.¹⁸ Table 3 shows that in 1999 only two states in this group paid higher salaries than Florida. Today, five of these states exceed the Florida salary level. The average

¹⁴ MGT, *supra* at p. 3-5.

¹⁵ NCSL, *supra*.

¹⁶ This amount is based on the assumption that the \$88.66 per day stipend will be paid for 90 calendar days.

¹⁷ This amount is based on the assumption that the \$188.22 per day stipend will be paid for 60 legislative days.

¹⁸ MGT, *supra* at p. 3-3.

salary for similar "functional" states has grown about 70 percent from 1999 to 2015 while Florida's legislator salary has grown roughly 12.5 percent during that same time period.

Table 5. Similar States based on Functionality			
State	1999	2015	
	Salary ¹⁹	Salary ²⁰	
Alabama	\$1,030	\$42,849	
Alaska	\$24,012	\$50,400	
Arizona	\$24,000	\$24,000	
Arkansas	\$12,500	\$39,000	
Louisiana	\$16,800	\$22,800	
Mississippi	\$10,000	\$10,000	
Nevada	\$7,800	\$17,555 ²¹	
New Mexico	\$0	\$0	
Oklahoma	\$38,400	\$38,400	
Rhode Island	\$10,768	\$15,171	
South Carolina	\$10,400	\$10,400	
Washington	\$28,300	\$42,106	
Average	\$15,334	\$26,057	
Florida	\$26,388	\$29,697	

Table 3. Sin	nilar States	based on	Functionality
--------------	--------------	----------	---------------

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill resets, beginning July 1, 2016, the annual salary of the presiding officers and other members of the Florida Legislature to \$57,000 and \$50,000 annually, respectively. Beginning July 1, 2017, these amounts will be adjusted based on the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the preceding fiscal year.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

¹⁹ Id. at p 3-4.

²⁰ NCSL, supra.

²¹ This amount is based on the assumption that the \$146.29 per day stipend will be paid for 120 calendar days.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The fiscal impact of the salary adjustments, taking into account the salary, associated employer-paid federal tax liabilities, and the associated employer-paid Florida Retirement System contributions, is estimated to be roughly \$4.9 million annually from the General Revenue Fund.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

The \$50,000 annual salary proposed by this bill is comparable to the \$18,000 annual salary paid in 1985 and grown an average 3.35% annually through 2015.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 11.13 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.