Amendment No.

$$
\text { Senate } \quad \text { House }
$$

Representative Moskowitz offered the following:

## Amendment (with schedule, ballot and title amendments)

Remove lines 75-83 and insert:
(c) The name of a district court of appeal judge may not appear on the ballot for retention if, by the end of his or her current term of office, the judge will have served in that office for twenty consecutive years. A judge who is ineligible for retention under

## SCHEDULEAMENDMENT

Remove lines 90-97 and insert:
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> Applicability of limitations on the terms of judges.-The amendment to Section 10 of Article $V$ takes effect on January 9, 2019, and applies to each district court judge in office on that date and to each district court judge who assumes office thereafter. When determining whether a district court judge in office on January 9, 2019, may appear on the ballot for retention, time served by the district court judge in that office

## BALLOTAMENDMENT

Remove lines 107-113 and insert:
TERM LIMITS FOR JUDGES.-Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to prohibit the name of a district court of appeal judge from appearing on a ballot for retention if he or she has served more than 20 consecutive years in the same office and prohibit reappointment of a judge for one year after leaving office. The term limit applies to judges in office on January

## TITLEAMENDMENT

Remove line 5 and insert:
limits for judges of the

221391
Approved For Filing: 3/24/2017 3:16:35 PM
Page 2 of 2

