# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Rules								
BILL:	SB 7002							
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee							
SUBJECT:	OGSR/Donor Information/Publicly Owned Performing Arts Center							
DATE:	April 20, 2	017 REVISED:						
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION				
Present		Yeatman		CA Submitted as Committee Bill				
1. Peacock		Ferrin	GO	Favorable				
2. Present		Phelps	RC	Favorable				

## I. Summary:

SB 7002 eliminates the scheduled repeal of the current public records exemption for identifying information provided by a donor or a prospective donor to a publicly owned performing arts center if the donor or prospective donor wishes to remain anonymous. Such information includes the name, address, or telephone number of the donor or prospective donor. As a result, if the bill passes, this information will continue to be exempt from public disclosure.

The bill requires a majority vote for passage and takes effect on October 1, 2017.

### II. Present Situation:

### **Public Records Law**

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.<sup>1</sup> This applies to the official business of any public body, officer or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities and any person acting on behalf of the government.<sup>2</sup>

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provide that the public may access legislative and executive branch records.<sup>3</sup> Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws, and is known as the Public Records Act.<sup>4</sup> The Public Records Act states that:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature's records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislature are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

it is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>5</sup>

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.<sup>6</sup> The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being "any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type."<sup>7</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>8</sup>

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements.<sup>9</sup> An exemption must pass by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate.<sup>10</sup> An exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.<sup>11</sup> A statutory exemption which does not meet these criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved.<sup>12</sup>

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is 'confidential and exempt' or 'exempt.'<sup>13</sup> Records designated as 'confidential and exempt' may be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature. Records designated as 'exempt' may be released at the discretion of the records custodian under certain circumstances.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>9</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

 $^{10}$  *Id*.

<sup>11</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp.*, 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999). In *Halifax Hospital*, the Florida Supreme Court found that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption. *Id.* at 570. The Florida Supreme Court also declined to narrow the exemption in order to save it. *Id.* In *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004), the court found that the intent of a statute was to create a public records exemption. *Id.* at 196. <sup>13</sup> If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

## **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

In addition to the constitutional requirements relating to the enactment of a public records exemption, the Legislature may subject the new or broadened exemption to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (OGSR) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records.<sup>15</sup> The OGSR provides that an exemption automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption.<sup>16</sup> In practice, many exemptions are continued by repealing the sunset date rather than reenacting the exemption. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.<sup>17</sup>

The OGSR provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than necessary.<sup>18</sup> An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivision to effectively and efficiently administer a program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;<sup>19</sup>
- Releasing sensitive personal information would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;<sup>20</sup> or
- It protects trade or business secrets.<sup>21</sup>

In addition, the Legislature must find that the purpose of the exemption overrides the Florida's public policy strongly favoring open government.

Under the OGSR the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption are reviewed. Specific questions are enumerated for consideration under the review.<sup>22</sup> The specified questions are:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 119.15, F.S. According to s. 119.15(4)(b), F.S., a substantially amended exemption is one that is expanded to include more information or to include meetings. The OGSR does not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System pursuant to s. 119.15(2), F.S. The OGSR process is currently being followed, however, the Legislature is not required to continue to do so. The Florida Supreme Court has found that one Legislature cannot bind a future Legislature. *Scott v. Williams*, 107 So. 3d 379 (Fla. 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 119.15(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Section 119.15(5)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S.

- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

If the Legislature expands an exemption, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>23</sup> If the exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless otherwise provided for by law.<sup>24</sup>

## **Examples of Existing Exemptions for Donors or Prospective Donors**

Entity	Exemption	Florida Statute	Status
Enterprise Florida, Inc.	Identity of donor or	s. 11.45(3)(i)	Confidential and exempt
	prospective donor who desires		from s. 119.07(1), F.S.,
	to remain anonymous and all		and s. 24(a), Art. I, State
	identifying information		Constitution.
Florida Development	Identity of donor or	s. 11.45(3)(j)	Confidential and exempt
Finance Corporation, Inc.	prospective donor who desires		from s. 119.07(1), F.S.,
	to remain anonymous and all		and s. 24(a), Art. I, State
	identifying information		Constitution.
Cultural Endowment	Information which, if released,	s. 265.605(2)	Confidential and exempt
Program	would identify donors and		from s. 119.07(1), F.S.
(Department of State)	amounts contributed.		
	Information which, if released,		
	would identify prospective		
	donors.		
Direct Support	Identity of donor or	s. 267.1732(8)	Confidential and exempt
Organization (DSO) (University of West Florida)	prospective donor of property to a DSO who desires to		from s. 119.07(1), F.S.,
(University of west Fiorida)	remain anonymous, and all		and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.
	identifying information.		Constitution.
Citizen Support	Identity of donor or	s. 379.223(3)	Confidential and exempt
Organization (CSO)	prospective donor to a CSO	8. 379.223(3)	from s. 119.07(1), F.S.,
(Fish and Wildlife Conservation	who desires to remain		and s. 24(a), Art. I, State
Commission)	anonymous and all identifying		Constitution.
	information.		Constitution.
Florida Agricultural	Identity of donor if requested	s. 570.686	Confidential and exempt
Center and Horse Park	by the donor in writing.		from s. 119.07(1), F.S.,
Authority			and s. 24(a), Art. I, State
(Department of Agriculture and			Constitution.
Consumer Services)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 119.15(7), F.S.

Entity	Exemption	Florida Statute	Status
John and Mable Ringling Museum of Art Direct Support Organization (Florida State University)	Information that, if released, would identify donors who wish to remain anonymous or prospective donors who wish to remain anonymous when the DSO has identified the prospective donor and has not obtained the name in another manner.	s. 1004.45(2)(h)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S.
Florida Prepaid College Board Direct Support Organization	Identity of donors who wish to remain anonymous. Any sensitive, personal information regarding contract beneficiaries, including identity.	s. 1009.983(4)	Confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I, State Constitution.

## **Publicly Owned Performing Arts Centers in Florida**

Section 265.7015(1), F.S., defines the term "publicly owned performing arts center" as:

a facility consisting of at least 200 seats, owned and operated by a county, municipality, or special district, which is used and occupied to promote development of any or all of the performing, visual, or fine arts or any or all matters relating thereto and to encourage and cultivate public and professional knowledge and appreciation of the arts.

If a donor or prospective donor of a donation made for the benefit of a publicly owned performing arts center desires to remain anonymous, information that would identify the name, address, or telephone number of that donor or prospective donor is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.<sup>25</sup>

If s. 265.7015, F.S., is not reenacted by the Legislature, it will be repealed on October 2, 2017.<sup>26</sup>

Florida has dozens of performing arts centers located throughout the state, and their ownership, management, and financing varies widely according to information on their websites.

A statewide study completed in 2009<sup>27</sup> found that Florida's nonprofit arts and culture industry generated \$3.1 billion in economic activity, including:

• 88,236 full time equivalent jobs;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Section 265.7015(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 265.7015(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Division of Cultural Affairs of the Department of State, Arts and Economic Prosperity III: The Economic Impact of Nonprofit Arts and Culture Organizations and Their Audiences in the State of Florida, *available at* <u>http://dos.myflorida.com/cultural/info-and-opportunities/resources-by-topic/economic-impact-of-the-arts</u>. (Last visited January 17, 2017)

- \$2.057 billion in resident household income;
- \$196 million in local government revenue; and
- \$249 million in state government revenue.<sup>28</sup>

## **OGSR Survey and Results**

From June to September of 2016, Senate and House professional staff, in conjunction with the Division of Cultural Affairs of the Department of State, sent out a survey to publicly owned performing arts centers to ascertain if s. 265.7015, F.S., remains necessary, pursuant to the OGSR.<sup>29</sup> Four publicly owned performing arts centers responded to the survey.

The surveys revealed that publicly owned performing arts centers normally received requests for anonymity at the time of donation and that donors and prospective donors had chosen anonymity on several occasions. Most publicly owned performing arts centers appeared to collect only contact information from the donors and prospective donors such as their name, address, or phone number. One publicly owned performing arts center defined a donor as "one who is making or has made a contribution" and a prospective donor as "one who is or has been identified as one with the potential to make a contribution."

Each of the publicly owned performing arts centers that responded to the survey believed that the exemption encouraged donations by ensuring the information provided by the donor or prospective donor remained confidential and exempt and stated that the public records exemption should be reenacted.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** amends s. 265.7015, F.S., by deleting the scheduled repeal of the public records exemption. As a result, the covered records will remain exempt from disclosure.

Section 2 provides an effective date of October 1, 2017.

# IV. Constitutional Issues:

# A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce the counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

# B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public records exemption. If an exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The surveys are on file with the Senate Committee on Community Affairs.

required. The bill does not create or expand a public records exemption, therefore it does not require a two-thirds vote for final passage.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

## V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

### B. Private Sector Impact:

Donors or prospective donors to publicly owned performing arts centers have the option of requesting anonymity, which may encourage more private entities to donate to these facilities.

### C. Government Sector Impact:

This public records exemption may encourage donations and therefore result in a financial gain to counties and municipalities that own and operate publicly owned performing arts centers.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

## VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 265.7015 of the Florida Statutes.

## IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.