By the Committee on Appropriations; and Senators Hukill, Book, Hutson, Mayfield, and Taddeo

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to coastal management; amending s.
3	161.101, F.S.; revising the criteria to be considered
4	by the Department of Environmental Protection in
5	determining and assigning annual funding priorities
6	for beach management and erosion control projects;
7	specifying tiers for such criteria; requiring tiers to
8	be given certain weight; requiring the department to
9	update active project lists on its website; redefining
10	the term "significant change"; revising the
11	department's reporting requirements; specifying
12	allowable uses for certain surplus funds; revising the
13	requirements for a specified summary; requiring that
14	funding for certain projects remain available for a
15	specified period; amending s. 161.143, F.S.;
16	specifying the scope of certain projects; revising the
17	list of projects that are included as inlet management
18	projects; requiring that certain projects be
19	considered separate and apart from other specified
20	projects; revising the ranking criteria to be used by
21	the department to establish certain funding priorities
22	for certain inlet-caused beach erosion projects;
23	revising provisions authorizing the department to
24	spend certain appropriated funds for the management of
25	inlets; deleting a provision authorizing the
26	department to spend certain appropriated funds for
27	specified inlet studies; revising the required
28	elements of the department's report of prioritized
29	inlet management projects; revising the funds that the

Page 1 of 20

i	576-02688-18 2018174c1
30	department must make available to certain inlet
31	management projects; requiring the department to
32	include specified activities on the inlet management
33	project list; deleting provisions requiring the
34	department to make available funding for specified
35	projects; deleting a requirement that the Legislature
36	designate a project as an Inlet of the Year; requiring
37	the department to update and maintain a report
38	regarding the progress of certain inlet management
39	projects; revising the requirements for the report;
40	deleting certain temporary provisions relating to
41	specified appropriations; amending s. 161.161, F.S.;
42	revising requirements for the comprehensive long-term
43	management plan; requiring the plan to include a
44	strategic beach management plan, a critically eroded
45	beaches report, and a statewide long-range budget
46	plan; providing for the development and maintenance of
47	such plans; deleting a requirement that the department
48	submit a certain beach management plan on a certain
49	date each year; requiring the department to hold a
50	public meeting before finalization of the strategic
51	beach management plan; requiring the department to
52	submit a 3-year work plan and a related forecast for
53	the availability of funding to the Legislature;
54	providing effective dates.
55	
56	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
57	
58	Section 1. Effective July 1, 2019, subsection (14) of
	Page 2 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 59 section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 60 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 61 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 62 control.-63 (14) The intent of the Legislature in preserving and protecting Florida's sandy beaches pursuant to this act is to 64 65 direct beach erosion control appropriations to the state's most 66 severely eroded beaches, and to prevent further adverse impact 67 caused by improved, modified, or altered inlets, coastal 68 armoring, or existing upland development. In establishing annual 69 project funding priorities, the department shall seek formal 70 input from local coastal governments, beach and general government interest groups, and university experts. The 71 72 department shall adopt by rule a scoring system to determine 73 annual project funding priorities. The scoring system must 74 consist of the following criteria equally weighted within the 75 following specified tiers criteria to be considered by the 76 department in determining annual funding priorities shall 77 include: 78 (a) Tier 1 must account for 20 percent of the total score

79 and consist of the tourism-related return on investment and the 80 severity of crosion conditions, the threat to existing upland 81 development, and recreational and/or economic impact of the project. The return on investment of the project is the ratio of 82 the tourism-related tax revenues for the most recent year to the 83 84 amount of state funding requested for the proposed project. The 85 economic impact of the project is the ratio of the tourism-86 related tax revenues for the most recent year to all county tax 87 revenues for the most recent year. The department must calculate

Page 3 of 20

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
88	these ratios using state sales tax and tourism development tax
89	data of the county having jurisdiction over the project area. If
90	multiple counties have jurisdiction over the project area, the
91	department must assess each county individually using these
92	ratios. The department shall calculate the mean average of these
93	ratios to determine the final overall assessment for the
94	multicounty project benefits.
95	(b) <u>Tier 2 must account for 45 percent of the total score</u>
96	and consist of the following criteria:
97	<u>1.</u> The availability of federal matching dollars <u>,</u>
98	considering federal authorization, the federal cost-share
99	percentage, and the status of the funding award;-
100	2. The storm damage reduction benefits of the project based
101	on the following considerations:
102	a. The current conditions of the project area, including
103	any recent storm damage impact, as a percentage of volume of
104	sand lost since the most recent beach nourishment event or most
105	recent beach surveys. If the project area has not been
106	previously restored, the department must use the historical
107	background erosion rate;
108	b. The overall potential threat to existing upland
109	development, including public and private structures and
110	infrastructure, based on the percentage of vulnerable shoreline
111	within the project boundaries; and
112	c. The value of upland property benefiting from the
113	protection provided by the project and its subsequent
114	maintenance. A property must be within one-quarter mile of the
115	project boundaries to be considered under the criterion
116	specified in this sub-subparagraph; and

Page 4 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 117 3. The cost-effectiveness of the project based on the yearly cost per volume per mile of proposed beach fill 118 119 placement. The department shall also consider the following when 120 assessing cost-effectiveness pursuant to this subparagraph: 121 a. The existence of projects with proposed structural or 122 design components to extend the beach nourishment interval; 123 b. Existing beach nourishment projects that reduce upland 124 storm damage costs by incorporating new or enhanced dune 125 structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation 126 projects; 127 c. Proposed innovative technologies designed to reduce 128 project costs; and 129 d. Regional sediment management strategies and coordination 130 to conserve sand source resources and reduce project costs. (c) Tier 3 must account for 20 percent of the total score 131 132 and consist of the following criteria: The extent of local 133 government sponsor financial and administrative commitment to the project, including a long-term financial plan with a 134 135 designated funding source or sources for initial construction 136 and periodic maintenance. 137 1.(d) Previous state commitment and involvement in the 138 project, considering previously funded phases, the total amount 139 of previous state funding, and previous partial appropriations 140 for the proposed project; 2. The recreational benefits of the project based on: 141 142 a. The accessible beach area added by the project; and 143 b. The percentage of linear footage within the project 144 boundaries that is zoned: 145 (I) As recreational or open space;

Page 5 of 20

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
146	(II) For commercial use; or
147	(III) To otherwise allow for public lodging
148	establishments;-
149	(e) The anticipated physical performance of the proposed
150	project, including the frequency of periodic planned
151	nourishment.
152	<u>3.(f)</u> The extent to which the proposed project mitigates
153	the adverse impact of improved, modified, or altered inlets on
154	adjacent beaches <u>; and</u> .
155	(g) Innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally
156	sensitive applications to reduce erosion.
157	(h) Projects that provide enhanced habitat within or
158	adjacent to designated refuges of nesting sea turtles.
159	(i) The extent to which local or regional sponsors of beach
160	erosion control projects agree to coordinate the planning,
161	design, and construction of their projects to take advantage of
162	identifiable cost savings.
163	4.(j) The degree to which the project addresses the state's
164	most significant beach erosion problems as a function of the
165	linear footage of the project shoreline and the cubic yards of
166	sand placed per mile per year.
167	(d) Tier 4 must account for 15 percent of the total score
168	and consist of the following criteria:
169	1. Increased prioritization of projects that have been on
170	the department's ranked project list for successive years and
171	that have not previously secured state funding for project
172	implementation;
173	2. Environmental habitat enhancement, recognizing state or
174	federal critical habitat areas for threatened or endangered

Page 6 of 20

1	576-02688-18 2018174c1
175	species which may be subject to extensive shoreline armoring or
176	recognizing areas where extensive shoreline armoring threatens
177	the availability or quality of habitat for such species. Turtle-
178	friendly designs, dune and vegetation projects for areas with
179	redesigned or reduced fill templates, proposed incorporation of
180	best management practices and adaptive management strategies to
181	protect resources, and innovative technologies designed to
182	benefit critical habitat preservation may also be considered;
183	and
184	3. The overall readiness of the project to proceed in a
185	timely manner, considering the project's readiness for the
186	construction phase of development, the status of required
187	permits, the status of any needed easement acquisition, the
188	availability of local funding sources, and the establishment of
189	an erosion control line. If the department identifies specific
190	reasonable and documented concerns that the project will not
191	proceed in a timely manner, the department may choose not to
192	include the project in the annual funding priorities submitted
193	to the Legislature.
194	
195	If In the event that more than one project qualifies equally
196	under the provisions of this subsection, the department shall
197	assign funding priority to those projects <u>shown to be most</u> that
198	are ready to proceed.
199	Section 2. Subsection (20) of section 161.101, Florida
200	Statutes, is amended to read:
201	161.101 State and local participation in authorized
202	projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion
203	control
1	

Page 7 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 204 (20) The department shall maintain active project lists, 205 updated at least quarterly, listings on its website by fiscal 206 year in order to provide transparency regarding those projects 207 receiving funding and the funding amounts, and to facilitate legislative reporting and oversight. In consideration of this 208 209 intent: 210 (a) The department shall notify the Executive Office of the 211 Governor and the Legislature regarding any significant changes in the funding levels of a given project as initially requested 212 in the department's budget submission and subsequently included 213 214 in approved annual funding allocations. The term "significant 215 change" means a project-specific change or cumulative changes that exceed the project's original allocation by \$500,000 or 216 217 that exceed those changes exceeding 25 percent of the a 218 project's original allocation. 219 1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., if there is 220 surplus funding, the department must provide a notification and supporting justification shall be provided to the Executive 221 222 Office of the Governor and the Legislature to indicate whether 223 surplus additional dollars are intended to be used for inlet 224 management projects pursuant to s. 161.143 or for beach 225 restoration and beach nourishment projects, offered for 226 reversion as part of the next appropriations process, or used 227 for other specified priority projects on active project lists. 228 2. For surplus funds for projects that do not have a 229 significant change, the department may use such funds for the 230 same purposes identified in subparagraph 1. The department shall post the uses of such funds on the project listing web page of 231 232 its website. No other notice or supporting justification is

Page 8 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 233 required before the use of surplus funds for a project that does 234 not have a significant change. 235 (b) The department shall prepare a summary of specific 236 project activities for the current fiscal year, their funding 237 status, and changes to annual project lists for the current and 238 preceding fiscal year. shall be prepared by The department shall 239 include the summary and included with the department's 240 submission of its annual legislative budget request. (c) Funding for specific projects on annual project lists 241 242 approved by the Legislature must remain available for such 243 projects for 18 months. A local project sponsor may at any time release, in whole or in part, appropriated project dollars by 244 formal notification to the department. The department, which 245 246 shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the Legislature of such release and. Notification must indicate in 247 248 the notification how the project dollars are recommended 249 intended to be used after such release. 250 Section 3. Subsections (2) through (5) of section 161.143, 251 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 252 161.143 Inlet management; planning, prioritizing, funding, 253 approving, and implementing projects.-254 (2) The department shall establish annual funding 255 priorities for studies, activities, or other projects concerning 256 inlet management. Such inlet management projects constitute the intended scope of this section and s. 161.142 and consist of 257 258 include, but are not limited to, inlet sand bypassing, 259 improvement of infrastructure to facilitate sand bypassing, modifications to channel dredging, jetty redesign, jetty repair, 260 disposal of spoil material, and the development, revision, 261

Page 9 of 20

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 174

576-02688-18 2018174c1 262 adoption, or implementation of an inlet management plan. 263 Projects considered for funding pursuant to this section shall 264 be considered separate and apart from projects reviewed and 265 prioritized in s. 161.101(14). The funding priorities 266 established by the department under this section must be 267 consistent with the requirements and legislative declaration in 268 ss. 161.101(14), 161.142, and 161.161(1)(b). In establishing 269 funding priorities under this subsection and before transmitting 270 the annual inlet project list to the Legislature under 271 subsection (4) (5), the department shall seek formal input from local coastal governments, beach and general government 272 273 associations and other coastal interest groups, and university 274 experts concerning annual funding priorities for inlet 275 management projects. In order to maximize the benefits of 276 efforts to address the inlet-caused beach erosion problems of 277 this state, the ranking criteria used by the department to 278 establish funding priorities for studies, activities, or other 279 projects concerning inlet management must include equal 280 consideration of: 281 (a) An estimate of the annual quantity of beach-quality

sand reaching the updrift boundary of the improved jetty or inlet channel.

(b) The severity of the erosion to the adjacent beaches
caused by the inlet and the extent to which the proposed project
mitigates the erosive effects of the inlet.

(c) The overall significance and anticipated success of the
proposed project in <u>mitigating the erosive effects of the inlet</u>,
balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent beaches,
and addressing the sand deficit along the inlet-affected

Page 10 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 291 shorelines. 292 (d) The extent to which existing bypassing activities at an 293 inlet would benefit from modest, cost-effective improvements 294 when considering the volumetric increases from the proposed 295 project, the availability of beach-quality sand currently not 296 being bypassed to adjacent eroding beaches, and the ease with 297 which such beach-quality sand may be obtained. 298 (e) The cost-effectiveness of sand made available by a 299 proposed inlet management project or activity relative to other sand source opportunities that would be used to address inlet-300 301 caused beach erosion The interest and commitment of local 302 governments as demonstrated by their willingness to coordinate 303 the planning, design, construction, and maintenance of an inlet 304 management project and their financial plan for funding the 305 local cost share for initial construction, ongoing sand 306 bypassing, channel dredging, and maintenance. 307 (f) The existence of a proposed or recently updated The 308 previous completion or approval of a state-sponsored inlet 309 management plan or a local-government-sponsored inlet study 310 addressing concerning the inlet addressed by the proposed 311 project, the ease of updating and revising any such plan or 312 study, and the adequacy and specificity of the plan's or study's

313 recommendations concerning the mitigation of an inlet's erosive 314 effects on adjacent beaches.

(g) The degree to which the proposed project will enhance the performance and longevity of proximate beach nourishment projects, thereby reducing the frequency of such periodic nourishment projects.

319

(h) The project-ranking criteria in s. 161.101(14) to the

Page 11 of 20

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 174

576-02688-18 2018174c1 320 extent such criteria are applicable to inlet management studies, projects, and activities and are distinct from, and not 321 322 duplicative of, the criteria listed in paragraphs (a)-(g). 323 (3) The department may pay from legislative appropriations 324 up to 75 percent of the construction costs of an initial major 325 inlet management project component for the purpose of mitigating 326 the erosive effects of the inlet to the shoreline and balancing 327 the sediment budget. The remaining balance of such construction 328 costs must be paid from other funding sources, such as local 329 sponsors. All project costs not associated with an initial major 330 inlet management project component must be shared equally by 331 state and local sponsors in accordance with, pursuant to s. 332 161.101 and notwithstanding s. 161.101(15), pay from legislative 333 appropriations provided for these purposes 75 percent of the 334 total costs, or, if applicable, the nonfederal costs, of a 335 study, activity, or other project concerning the management of 336 an inlet. The balance must be paid by the local governments or 337 special districts having jurisdiction over the property where 338 the inlet is located. 339 (4) Using the legislative appropriation to the statewide 340 beach-management-support category of the department's fixed 341 capital outlay funding request, the department may employ 342 university-based or other contractual sources and pay 100 343 percent of the costs of studies that are consistent with the 344 legislative declaration in s. 161.142 and that: 345 (a) Determine, calculate, refine, and achieve general 346 consensus regarding net annual sediment transport volumes to be 347 used for the purpose of planning and prioritizing inlet 348 management projects; and

Page 12 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 349 (b) Appropriate, assign, and apportion responsibilities 350 between inlet beneficiaries for the erosion caused by a 351 particular inlet on adjacent beaches. 352 (4) (4) (5) The department shall annually provide an inlet 353 management project list, in priority order, to the Legislature 354 as part of the department's budget request. The list must 355 include studies, projects, or other activities that address the 356 management of at least 10 separate inlets and that are ranked 357 according to the criteria established under subsection (2). 358 (a) The department shall designate for make available at 359 least 10 percent of the total amount that the Legislature 360 appropriates in each fiscal year for statewide beach management 361 for the three highest-ranked projects on the current year's inlet management project list, in priority order, an amount that 362 is at least equal to the greater of: 363 364 1. Ten percent of the total amount that the Legislature 365 appropriates in the fiscal year for statewide beach management; 366 or 367 2. The percentage of inlet management funding requests from 368 local sponsors as a proportion of the total amount of statewide 369 beach management dollars requested in a given year. 370 (b) The department shall include inlet monitoring 371 activities ranked on the inlet management project list as one 372 aggregated subcategory on the overall inlet management project 373 list make available at least 50 percent of the funds 374 appropriated for the feasibility and design category in the 375 department's fixed capital outlay funding request for projects 376 on the current year's inlet management project list which involve the study for, or design or development of, an inlet 377

Page 13 of 20

```
576-02688-18
                                                               2018174c1
378
     management project.
          (c) The department shall make available all statewide beach
379
380
     management funds that remain unencumbered or are allocated to
381
     non-project-specific activities for projects on legislatively
382
     approved inlet management project lists. Funding for local-
383
     government-specific projects on annual project lists approved by
384
     the Legislature must remain available for such purposes for a
385
     period of 18 months pursuant to s. 216.301(2)(a). Based on an
386
     assessment and the department's determination that a project
387
     will not be ready to proceed during this 18-month period, such
388
     funds shall be used for inlet management projects on
389
     legislatively approved lists.
390
          (5) (d) The Legislature shall designate one of the three
391
     highest projects on the inlet management project list in any
392
     year as the Inlet of the Year. The department shall update and
393
     maintain an annual annually report on its website to the
394
     Legislature concerning the extent to which each inlet project
395
     designated by the Legislature as Inlet of the Year has succeeded
396
     in balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent
397
     beaches and in_{\overline{t}} mitigating the inlet's erosive effects on
398
     adjacent beaches. The report must provide an estimate of the
399
     quantity of sediment bypassed, transferred, and transferring or
400
     otherwise placed placing beach quality sand on adjacent eroding
401
     beaches, or in such beaches' nearshore area, for the purpose of
402
     offsetting the erosive effects of inlets on the beaches of this
403
     state.
404
          (e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a) and (b), and for the
```

405 2016-2017 fiscal year only, the amount allocated for inlet

406 management funding is provided in the 2016-2017 General

Page 14 of 20

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
407	Appropriations Act. This paragraph expires July 1, 2017.
408	Section 4. Effective July 1, 2019, subsection (1) and
409	present subsection (2) of section 161.161, Florida Statutes, are
410	amended, a new subsection (2) is added to that section, and
411	present subsections (2) through (7) are redesignated as
412	subsections (3) through (8), respectively, to read:
413	161.161 Procedure for approval of projects
414	(1) The department shall develop and maintain a
415	comprehensive long-term <u>beach</u> management plan for the
416	restoration and maintenance of the state's critically eroded
417	beaches fronting the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Straits
418	of Florida. In developing and maintaining this the beach
419	management plan, the department shall:
420	(a) Address long-term solutions to the problem of
421	critically eroded beaches in this state.
422	(b) Evaluate each improved, modified, or altered inlet and
423	determine whether the inlet is a significant cause of beach
424	erosion. With respect to each inlet determined to be a
425	significant cause of beach erosion, the plan shall include \div
426	$rac{1}{\cdot}$ the extent to which such inlet causes beach erosion and
427	recommendations to mitigate the erosive impact of the inlet,
428	including, but not limited to, recommendations regarding inlet
429	sediment bypassing; improvement of infrastructure to facilitate
430	sand bypassing; modifications to channel dredging, jetty design,
431	and disposal of spoil material; establishment of feeder beaches;
432	and beach restoration and beach nourishment ; and
433	2. Cost estimates necessary to take inlet corrective
434	measures and recommendations regarding cost sharing among the
435	beneficiaries of such inlet.

Page 15 of 20

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
436	(c) <u>Evaluate</u> Design criteria for beach restoration and
437	beach nourishment projects, including, but not limited to <u>,</u> \div
438	1. dune elevation and width and revegetation and
439	stabilization requirements <u>,</u> + and
440	2. beach <u>profiles</u> profile .
441	(d) <u>Consider</u> Evaluate the establishment of <u>regional</u>
442	sediment management alternatives for one or more individual
443	beach and inlet sand bypassing projects feeder beaches as an
444	alternative to direct beach restoration <u>when appropriate and</u>
445	cost-effective, and recommend the location of such regional
446	sediment management alternatives feeder beaches and the source
447	of beach-compatible sand.
448	(e) Identify causes of shoreline erosion and change,
449	determine calculate erosion rates, and maintain an updated list
450	of critically eroded sandy beaches based on data, analyses, and
451	investigations of shoreline conditions and project long-term
452	erosion for all major beach and dune systems by surveys and
453	profiles .
454	(f) Identify shoreline development and degree of density
455	and Assess impacts of development and coastal protection
456	shoreline protective structures on shoreline change and erosion.
457	(g) Identify short-term and long-term economic costs and
458	benefits of beaches to the state of Florida and individual beach
459	<u>communities, including recreational value to user groups, tax</u>
460	base, revenues generated, and beach acquisition and maintenance
461	costs.
462	(h) Study dune and vegetation conditions, identify existing
463	beach projects without dune features or with dunes without
464	adequate elevations, and encourage dune restoration and

Page 16 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 465 revegetation to be incorporated as part of storm damage recovery projects or future dune maintenance events. 466 467 (i) Identify beach areas used by marine turtles and develop 468 strategies for protection of the turtles and their nests and nesting locations. 469 470 (j) Identify alternative management responses to preserve 471 undeveloped beach and dune systems and τ to restore damaged beach and dune systems. In identifying such management responses, the 472 473 department shall consider, at a minimum, and to prevent 474 inappropriate development and redevelopment on migrating 475 beaches, and consider beach restoration and nourishment, 476 armoring, relocation and abandonment, dune and vegetation 477 restoration, and acquisition. 478 (k) Document procedures and policies for preparing post-479 storm damage assessments and corresponding recovery plans, 480 including repair cost estimates Establish criteria, including 481 costs and specific implementation actions, for alternative 482 management techniques. 483 (1) Identify and assess Select and recommend appropriate 484 management measures for all of the state's critically eroded 485 sandy beaches in a beach management program. 486 (m) Establish a list of beach restoration and beach 487 nourishment projects, arranged in order of priority, and the funding levels needed for such projects. 488 489 (2) The comprehensive long-term management plan developed and maintained by the department pursuant to subsection (1) must 490 491 include, at a minimum, a strategic beach management plan, a 492 critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range 493 budget plan. The long-range budget plan must include a 3-year

Page 17 of 20

576-02688-18 2018174c1 494 work plan for beach restoration, beach nourishment, and inlet 495 management projects that lists planned projects for each of the 496 3 fiscal years addressed in the work plan. 497 (a) The strategic beach management plan must identify and 498 recommend appropriate measures for all of the state's critically 499 eroded sandy beaches and may incorporate plans be prepared at 500 the regional level, taking into account based upon areas of 501 greatest need and probable federal and local funding. Upon 502 approval in accordance with this section, such regional plans, 503 along with the 3-year work plan identified in subparagraph 504 (c)1., shall be components of the statewide beach management 505 plan and shall serve as the basis for state funding decisions 506 upon approval in accordance with chapter 86-138, Laws of 507 Florida. In accordance with a schedule established for the 508 submission of regional plans by the department, any completed 509 plan must be submitted to the secretary of the department for 510 approval no later than March 1 of each year. These regional plans shall include, but shall not be limited to, 511 512 recommendations of appropriate funding mechanisms for 513 implementing projects in the beach management plan, giving 514 consideration to the use of single-county and multicounty taxing 515 districts or other revenue generation measures by state and 516 local governments and the private sector. Prior to finalizing 517 the strategic beach management presenting the plan to the 518 secretary of the department, the department shall hold a public 519 meeting in the region areas for which the plan is prepared or 520 through a publicly noticed webinar. The plan submission schedule 521 shall be submitted to the secretary for approval. Any revisions 522 to such schedule must be approved in like manner.

Page 18 of 20

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
523	(b) The critically eroded beaches report must be developed
524	and maintained based primarily on the requirements specified in
525	paragraph (1)(e).
526	(c) The statewide long-range budget plan must include at
527	least 5 years of planned beach restoration, beach nourishment,
528	and inlet management project funding needs as identified, and
529	subsequently refined, by local government sponsors. This plan
530	shall consist of two components:
531	1. A 3-year work plan that identifies beach restoration,
532	beach nourishment, and inlet management projects viable for
533	implementation during the next 3 fiscal years, as determined by
534	available cost-sharing, local sponsor support, regulatory
535	considerations, and the ability of the project to proceed as
536	scheduled. The 3-year work plan must, for each fiscal year,
537	identify proposed projects and their current development status,
538	listing them in priority order based on the applicable criteria
539	established in ss. 161.101(14) and 161.143(2). Specific funding
540	requests and criteria ranking, pursuant to ss. 161.101(14) and
541	161.143(2), may be modified as warranted in each successive
542	fiscal year, and such modifications must be documented and
543	submitted to the Legislature with each 3-year work plan. Year
544	one projects shall consist of those projects identified for
545	funding consideration in the ensuing fiscal year.
546	2. A long-range plan that identifies projects for inclusion
547	in the fourth and fifth ensuing fiscal years. These projects may
548	be presented by region and do not need to be presented in
549	priority order; however, the department should identify issues
550	that may prevent successful completion of such projects and
551	recommend solutions that would allow the projects to progress

Page 19 of 20

	576-02688-18 2018174c1
552	into the 3-year work plan.
553	(3) (2) Annually, The secretary shall <u>annually</u> present <u>the</u>
554	<u>3-year work plan</u> to the Legislature. The work plan must be
555	accompanied by a 3-year financial forecast for the availability
556	of funding for the projects recommendations for funding beach
557	erosion control projects prioritized according to the criteria
558	established in s. 161.101(14).
559	Section 5. Except as otherwise provided in this act, this
560	act shall take effect July 1, 2018.