1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

A bill to be entitled An act relating to alimony; amending s. 61.071, F.S.; requiring that alimony pendente lite be calculated in accordance with s. 61.08, F.S.; amending s. 61.075, F.S.; requiring the court to provide written findings regarding certain payments; amending s. 61.08, F.S.; providing definitions; providing for the priority of different forms of alimony; requiring a court to make written findings regarding the basis for awarding a combination of forms of alimony; providing that the party seeking alimony has the burden of proof of certain factors; revising and adding specified factors to be considered when determining alimony; revising provisions relating to the protection of awards of alimony; revising provisions for an award of durational alimony; specifying criteria related to the rebuttable presumption to award or not to award alimony; specifying criteria for awarding rehabilitative alimony; removing the authorization of permanent alimony; providing that a retired party does not have to pay alimony under certain circumstances; providing for imputation of income to the obligor or obligee; amending s. 61.09, F.S.; providing for the calculation of alimony; amending s. 61.13, F.S.; providing that equal time-sharing for each minor child

Page 1 of 32

is in the best interest of the child; providing exceptions; providing prospective applicability of the act; amending s. 61.14, F.S.; authorizing the termination of alimony; requiring that an alimony award be modified upon certain conditions; creating a rebuttable presumption that a modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date the petition was filed; providing for an award of attorney fees and costs under certain circumstances; providing that an alimony award may not be modified solely because of the later modification or termination of child support payments; providing that an obligor's subsequent remarriage or cohabitation is not a basis for modification of alimony; providing that attaining retirement age is considered a substantial change in circumstances; providing factors to be considered in determining whether the retirement is reasonable; amending s. 61.19, F.S.; authorizing separate adjudication of issues in a dissolution of marriage case in certain circumstances; providing for temporary orders to protect the parties and their children; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

48 49

50

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Page 2 of 32

Section 1. Section 61.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.071 Alimony pendente lite; suit money.—In every proceeding for dissolution of the marriage, a party may claim alimony and suit money in the petition or by motion, and if the petition is well founded, the court shall allow alimony calculated in accordance with s. 61.08 and a reasonable sum of suit money therefor. If a party in any proceeding for dissolution of marriage claims alimony or suit money in his or her answer or by motion, and the answer or motion is well founded, the court shall allow alimony calculated in accordance with s. 61.08 and a reasonable sum of suit money therefor.

Section 2. Paragraph (b) of subsection (10) of section 61.075, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.075 Equitable distribution of marital assets and liabilities.—

(10)

(b) If installment payments are ordered, the court may require security and a reasonable rate of interest or may otherwise recognize the time value of the money to be paid in the judgment or order. If security or interest is required, the court shall make written findings relating to any deferred payments, the amount of any security required, and the interest.

Section 3. Section 61.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to

Page 3 of 32

76 read:

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

8687

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

- 61.08 Alimony.-
- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Alimony" means a court-ordered payment of support by an obligor spouse to an obligee spouse.
- (b) "Long-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration of 20 years or more, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (c) "Mid-term marriage" means a marriage having a duration of more than 11 years but less than 20 years, as measured from the date of marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (d) "Net income" means net income as determined in accordance with s. 61.30.
- (e) "Short term marriage" means a marriage having a duration equal to or less than 11 years, as measured from the date of the marriage to the date of filing the petition for dissolution.
- (2) (a) In a proceeding for dissolution of marriage, the court may grant alimony to either party in the form of, which alimony may be bridge-the-gap, rehabilitative, or durational alimony, or permanent in nature or any combination of these forms of alimony, but shall prioritize an award of bridge-the-gap alimony, followed by rehabilitative alimony, over any other form of alimony. In an any award of alimony, the court may order

Page 4 of 32

periodic payments, or payments in lump sum, or both.

- (b) The court shall make written findings regarding the basis for awarding a combination of forms of alimony, including the type of alimony and the length of time for which it is awarded. The court may award only a combination of forms of alimony to provide greater economic assistance in order to allow the recipient to achieve rehabilitation.
- (c) The court may consider the adultery of either <u>party</u> spouse and the circumstances thereof in determining the amount of alimony, if any, to be awarded.
- $\underline{\text{(d)}}$ In all dissolution actions, the court shall include $\underline{\text{written}}$ findings of fact relative to the factors enumerated in subsection $\underline{\text{(3)}}$ supporting an award or denial of alimony.
- of demonstrating a need for alimony in accordance with subsection (8) and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony. In determining whether to award alimony or maintenance, the court shall first make, in writing, a specific factual determination as to whether the other either party has an actual need for alimony or maintenance and whether either party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance. If the court finds that the a party seeking alimony has met its burden of proof in demonstrating a need for alimony or maintenance and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony or maintenance, then in determining the proper type and amount of alimony or

126 maintenance under subsections (5)-(9) (5)-(8), the court shall 127 consider all relevant factors, including, but not limited to: 128 (a) The standard of living established during the 129 marriage. 130 (a) (b) The duration of the marriage. 131 (b) (c) The age and the physical and emotional condition of 132 each party. 133 (c) (d) The financial resources of each party, including 134 the portion of nonmarital assets that were relied upon by the 135 parties during the marriage and the marital assets and 136 liabilities distributed to each. 137 (d) (e) The earning capacities, educational levels, vocational skills, and employability of the parties and, when 138 139 applicable, the time necessary for either party to acquire 140 sufficient education or training to enable such party to find 141 appropriate employment. 142 (e) (f) The contribution of each party to the marriage, 143 including, but not limited to, services rendered in homemaking, 144 child care, education, and career building of the other party. 145 (f) (g) The responsibilities each party will have with 146 regard to any minor children that the parties they have in 147 common. 148 (q) (h) The tax treatment and consequences to both parties

Page 6 of 32

applicable state and federal tax laws and may include including

of an any alimony award, which must be consistent with

the designation of all or a portion of the payment as a nontaxable, nondeductible payment.

- (h) (i) All sources of income available to either party, including income available to either party through investments of any asset held by that party which was acquired during the marriage or acquired outside the marriage and relied upon during the marriage.
- (i) The needs and necessities of life after dissolution of marriage, taking into account the lifestyle of the parties during the marriage but subject to the presumption in paragraph (j).
- (j) The net income and standard of living available to each party after the application of the alimony award. There is a rebuttable presumption that both parties will have a lower standard of living after the dissolution of marriage than the standard of living they enjoyed during the marriage. This presumption may be overcome by a preponderance of the evidence.
- $\underline{\text{(k)}}$ Any other factor necessary to do equity and justice between the parties, if that factor is specifically identified in the award with findings of fact justifying the application of the factor.
- $\underline{(4)}$ To the extent necessary to protect an award of alimony, the court may order any party who is ordered to pay alimony to purchase or maintain a life insurance policy that may be decreasing or another form of term life insurance at the

Page 7 of 32

option of the obligor or a bond, or to otherwise secure such alimony award with any other assets that which may be suitable for that purpose, in an amount adequate to secure the alimony award. Any such security may be awarded only upon a showing of special circumstances. If the court finds special circumstances and awards such security, the court must make specific evidentiary findings regarding the availability, cost, and financial impact on the obligated party. Any security may be modifiable in the event that the underlying alimony award is modified and shall be reduced in an amount commensurate with any reduction in the alimony award.

- (4) For purposes of determining alimony, there is a rebuttable presumption that a short-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of less than 7 years, a moderate-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of greater than 7 years but less than 17 years, and long-term marriage is a marriage having a duration of 17 years or greater. The length of a marriage is the period of time from the date of marriage until the date of filing of an action for dissolution of marriage.
- (5) Bridge-the-gap alimony may be awarded to assist a party by providing support to allow the party to make a transition from being married to being single. Bridge-the-gap alimony is designed to assist a party with legitimate identifiable short-term needs, and the length of an award may not exceed 2 years. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony

terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award of bridge-the-gap alimony \underline{is} shall not be modifiable in amount or duration.

- (6)(a) Rehabilitative alimony may be awarded to assist a party in establishing the capacity for self-support through either:
 - 1. The redevelopment of previous skills or credentials; or
- 2. The acquisition of education, training, or work experience necessary to develop appropriate employment skills or credentials.
- (b) In order to award rehabilitative alimony, there must be a specific and defined rehabilitative plan which shall be included as a part of any order awarding rehabilitative alimony.
- (c) An award of rehabilitative alimony may be modified or terminated only during the rehabilitative period in accordance with s. 61.14 based upon a substantial change in circumstances, upon noncompliance with the rehabilitative plan, or upon completion of the rehabilitative plan.
- (7) Durational alimony may be awarded when permanent periodic alimony is inappropriate. The purpose of durational alimony is to provide a party with economic assistance for a set period of time following a short-term, mid-term, or long-term marriage of short or moderate duration or following a marriage of long duration if there is no ongoing need for support on a permanent basis. When awarding durational alimony, the court

Page 9 of 32

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248249

250

must make written findings that an award of another form of alimony or a combination of the other forms of alimony is not appropriate. An award of durational alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. The amount of an award of durational alimony shall may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14. However, The length of an award of durational alimony may not be modified except under exceptional circumstances and may not exceed 50 percent of the length of the marriage, unless the party seeking alimony proves by a preponderance of the evidence the circumstances justifying the need for a longer award of alimony, which circumstances must be set out in writing by the court the length of the marriage. (8) (a) There is a rebuttable presumption against awarding alimony for a short-term marriage. A party seeking bridge-thegap or rehabilitative alimony may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence a need for alimony. A party seeking durational alimony may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence a need for alimony. If the court finds that the party has met its

Page 10 of 32

burden in demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other

obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s.

party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine

a monthly award of alimony that may not exceed 25 percent of the

61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage.

- (b) There is no presumption in favor of either party to an award of alimony for a mid-term marriage. A party seeking such alimony must prove by a preponderance of the evidence a need for alimony. If the court finds that the party has met its burden in demonstrating a need for alimony and that the other party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly award of alimony that may not exceed 35 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s. 61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage.
- (c) There is a rebuttable presumption in favor of awarding alimony for a long-term marriage. A party against whom alimony is sought may overcome this presumption by demonstrating by clear and convincing evidence that there is no need for alimony. If the court finds that the party against whom alimony is sought fails to meet its burden to demonstrate that there is no need for alimony and that the party has the ability to pay alimony, the court shall determine a monthly award of alimony which may not exceed 38 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s. 61.30(2)(a), with the exception that gross income does not include sources of income acquired outside of

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298299

300

the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage. Notwithstanding this subsection and subsection (9), the combination of an award of rehabilitative alimony and another form of alimony may be awarded up to a maximum of 40 percent of the obligor's gross monthly income, as calculated under s. 61.30(2)(a), during the temporary period in which rehabilitative alimony has been awarded, with the exception that gross income does not include sources of income acquired outside of the marriage which were not relied upon during the marriage. The court may order alimony exceeding the monthly income limits established in subsection (8) if the court determines, in accordance with the factors in subsection (3), that there is a need for additional alimony, which determination must be set out in writing Permanent alimony may be awarded to provide for the needs and necessities of life as they were established during the marriage of the parties for a party who lacks the financial ability to meet his or her needs and necessities of life following a dissolution of marriage. Permanent alimony may be awarded following a marriage of long duration if such an award is appropriate upon consideration the factors set forth in subsection (2), following a marriage of moderate duration if such an award is appropriate based upon clear and convincing evidence after consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2), or following a marriage of short duration if there are written findings of exceptional

Page 12 of 32

circumstances. In awarding permanent alimony, the court shall include a finding that no other form of alimony is fair and reasonable under the circumstances of the parties. An award of permanent alimony terminates upon the death of either party or upon the remarriage of the party receiving alimony. An award may be modified or terminated based upon a substantial change in circumstances or upon the existence of a supportive relationship in accordance with s. 61.14.

- (10) A party against whom alimony is sought who has met the requirements for retirement in accordance with s. 61.14(12) before the filing of the petition for dissolution is not required to pay alimony unless the party seeking alimony proves by clear and convincing evidence that the other party has the ability to pay alimony, in addition to all other requirements of this section.
- (11) (9) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, alimony may not be awarded to a party who has a monthly net income that is equal to or more than the other party. Except in the case of a long-term marriage, in awarding alimony, the court shall impute income to the obligor and obligee as follows:
- (a) In the case of the obligor, social security retirement benefits may not be imputed to the obligor, as demonstrated by a social security retirement benefits entitlement letter.
 - (b) In the case of the obligee, if the obligee:
 - 1. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has

Page 13 of 32

been unemployed for less than 1 year before the time the
petition is filed, the obligee's monthly net income shall be
imputed at 90 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.

- 2. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 1 year but less than 2 years before the time the petition is filed, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 80 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 3. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 2 years but less than 3 years before the time the petition is filed, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 70 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 4. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 3 years but less than 4 years before the time the petition is filed, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 60 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 5. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 4 years but less than 5 years before the time the petition is filed, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 50 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income.
- 6. Is unemployed at the time the petition is filed and has been unemployed for at least 5 years before the time the

Page 14 of 32

petition is filed, the obligee's monthly net income shall be imputed at 40 percent of the obligee's prior monthly net income, or the monthly net income of a minimum wage earner at the time the petition is filed, whichever is greater.

- 7. Proves by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she does not have the ability to earn the imputed income through reasonable means, the court shall reduce the imputation of income specified in this paragraph. If the obligee alleges that a physical disability has impaired his or her ability to earn the imputed income, such disability must meet the definition of a disability as determined by the Social Security Administration The award of alimony may not leave the payor with significantly less net income than the net income of the recipient unless there are written findings of exceptional circumstances.
- (12) (a) (10) (a) With respect to any order requiring the payment of alimony entered on or after January 1, 1985, unless the provisions of paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies apply, the court shall direct in the order that the payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.
- (b) With respect to any order requiring the payment of alimony entered before January 1, 1985, upon the subsequent appearance, on or after that date, of one or both parties before the court having jurisdiction for the purpose of modifying or enforcing the order or in any other proceeding related to the

order, or upon the application of either party, unless the provisions of paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies apply, the court shall modify the terms of the order as necessary to direct that payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.

- (c) If there is no minor child, alimony payments need not be directed through the depository.
- (d)1. If there is a minor child of the parties and both parties so request, the court may order that alimony payments need not be directed through the depository. In this case, the order of support <u>must shall</u> provide, or be deemed to provide, that either party may subsequently apply to the depository to require that payments be made through the depository. The court shall provide a copy of the order to the depository.
- 2. If the provisions of subparagraph 1. applies apply, either party may subsequently file with the depository an affidavit alleging default or arrearages in payment and stating that the party wishes to initiate participation in the depository program. The party shall provide copies of the affidavit to the court and the other party or parties. Fifteen days after receipt of the affidavit, the depository shall notify all parties that future payments shall be directed to the depository.
- 3. In IV-D cases, the IV-D agency <u>has</u> shall have the same rights as the obligee in requesting that payments be made

Page 16 of 32

401 through the depository.

Section 4. Section 61.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 61.09 Alimony and child support unconnected with dissolution.—If a person having the ability to contribute to the maintenance of his or her spouse and support of his or her minor child fails to do so, the spouse who is not receiving support may apply to the court for alimony and for support for the child without seeking dissolution of marriage, and the court shall enter an order as it deems just and proper. Alimony awarded under this section shall be calculated in accordance with s. 61.08.
- Section 5. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 61.13, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing; powers of court.—

(2)

- (c) The court shall determine all matters relating to parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in accordance with the best interests of the child and in accordance with the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, except that modification of a parenting plan and time-sharing schedule requires a showing of a substantial, material, and unanticipated change of circumstances.
 - 1. It is the public policy of this state that each minor

Page 17 of 32

child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or the marriage of the parties is dissolved and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. There is no presumption for or against the father or mother of the child or for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the parenting plan of the child. Equal time-sharing with a minor child by both parents is in the best interest of the child unless the court finds that:

- a. The safety, well-being, or physical, mental, or emotional health of the child would be endangered by equal time-sharing, that visitation would be presumed detrimental consistent with s. 39.0139(3), or that supervised visitation is appropriate;
- b. Clear and convincing evidence of extenuating circumstances justify a departure from equal time-sharing and the court makes written findings justifying the departure from equal time-sharing;
 - c. A parent is incarcerated;

- d. The distance between parental residences makes equal time-sharing impracticable;
- e. A parent does not request at least 50-percent timesharing;
- f. A permanent injunction has been entered or is warranted against a parent or household member relating to contact between

Page 18 of 32

the subject of the injunction and the parent or household member; or

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460 461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

- g. Domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28, has occurred.
- The court shall order that the parental responsibility for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child. Evidence that a parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28 and chapter 775, or meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d), creates a rebuttable presumption of detriment to the child. If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child, and decisions made regarding the child, may not be granted to the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the court determines that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic violence, the court shall consider evidence of

domestic violence or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the child.

- a. In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities between the parties based on the best interests of the child. Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a particular family.
- b. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for a minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with the other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor child.
- 3. Access to records and information pertaining to a minor child, including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and school records, may not be denied to either parent. Full rights under this subparagraph apply to either parent unless a court order specifically revokes these rights, including any restrictions on these rights as provided in a domestic violence injunction. A parent having rights under this subparagraph has the same rights upon request as to form, substance, and manner of access as are available to the other parent of a child, including, without limitation, the right to in-person communication with medical, dental, and education providers.

Section 6. The amendments made by this act to s. 61.13, Florida Statutes, providing for equal time-sharing, apply prospectively to initial final custody orders made on or after July 1, 2019, and do not constitute a substantial change in circumstances that warrant the modification of a final custody order entered before July 1, 2019.

Section 7. Subsection (1) of section 61.14, Florida Statutes, is amended, paragraphs (c) and (d) are added to subsection (11) of that section, and a new subsection (12) is added to that section, to read:

61.14 Enforcement and modification of support, maintenance, or alimony agreements or orders.—

(1) (a) When the parties enter into an agreement for payments for, or instead of, support, maintenance, or alimony, whether in connection with a proceeding for dissolution or separate maintenance or with any voluntary property settlement, or when a party is required by court order to make any payments, and the circumstances or the financial ability of either party changes or the child who is a beneficiary of an agreement or court order as described herein reaches majority after the execution of the agreement or the rendition of the order, either party may apply to the circuit court of the circuit in which the parties, or either of them, resided at the date of the execution of the agreement or reside at the date of the application, or in which the agreement was executed or in which the order was

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

rendered, for an order terminating, decreasing, or increasing the amount of support, maintenance, or alimony, and the court has jurisdiction to make orders as equity requires, with due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child, decreasing, increasing, or confirming the amount of separate support, maintenance, or alimony provided for in the agreement or order. A finding that medical insurance is reasonably available or the child support guidelines schedule in s. 61.30 may constitute changed circumstances. Except as otherwise provided in s. 61.30(11)(c), the court may modify an order of support, maintenance, or alimony by terminating, increasing, or decreasing the support, maintenance, or alimony retroactively to the date of the filing of the action or supplemental action for modification as equity requires, giving due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child.

(b) 1. If the court has determined that an existing alimony award as determined by the court at the time of dissolution is insufficient to meet the needs of the obligee, and that such need continues to exist, an alimony order shall be modified upward and upon a showing by a preponderance of the evidence of increased ability to pay alimony. Absent a finding of fraud, an increase in an obligor's income may not be considered permanent in nature unless the increase has been maintained without interruption for at least 1 year, taking into account the

obligor's ability to sustain his or her income.

- 2. Notwithstanding subparagraph 1., the court shall may reduce or terminate an award of alimony upon specific written findings by the court that since the granting of a divorce and the award of alimony, a supportive relationship has existed between the obligee and another a person, except upon a showing by clear and convincing evidence by the oblige that his or her long-term need for alimony, taking into account the totality of the circumstances, has not been reduced by the supportive relationship with whom the obligee resides. On the issue of whether alimony should be reduced or terminated under this paragraph, the burden is on the obligor to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a supportive relationship exists.
- 3.2. In determining whether an existing award of alimony should be reduced or terminated because of an alleged supportive relationship between an obligee and a person who is not related by consanguinity or affinity and with whom the obligee resides, the court shall elicit the nature and extent of the relationship in question. The court shall give consideration, without limitation, to circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following, in determining the relationship of an obligee to another person:
- a. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have held themselves out as a married couple by engaging in

Page 23 of 32

conduct such as using the same last name, using a common mailing address, referring to each other in terms such as "my husband" or "my wife," or otherwise conducting themselves in a manner that evidences a permanent supportive relationship.

- b. The period of time that the obligee has resided with the other person in a permanent place of abode.
- c. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have pooled their assets or income or otherwise exhibited financial interdependence.
- d. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has supported the other, in whole or in part.
- e. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other.
- f. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other's company or employer.
- g. Whether the obligee and the other person have worked together to create or enhance anything of value.
- h. Whether the obligee and the other person have jointly contributed to the purchase of any real or personal property.
- i. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an express agreement regarding property sharing or support.
- j. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an implied agreement regarding property sharing or support.

Page 24 of 32

k. Whether the obligee and the other person have provided support to the children of one another, regardless of any legal duty to do so.

- 4.3. This paragraph does not abrogate the requirement that every marriage in this state be solemnized under a license, does not recognize a common law marriage as valid, and does not recognize a de facto marriage. This paragraph recognizes only that relationships do exist that provide economic support equivalent to a marriage and that alimony terminable on remarriage may be reduced or terminated upon the establishment of equivalent equitable circumstances as described in this paragraph. The existence of a conjugal relationship, though it may be relevant to the nature and extent of the relationship, is not necessary for the application of the provisions of this paragraph.
- 5. There is a rebuttable presumption that any modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date the petition was filed. In an action under this section, if it is determined that the obligee or obligor unnecessarily or unreasonably litigated the underlying petition for modification or termination, the court may award the other party his or her reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to s. 61.16 and applicable case law.
- (c) For each support order reviewed by the department as required by s. 409.2564(11), if the amount of the child support

Page 25 of 32

award under the order differs by at least 10 percent but not less than \$25 from the amount that would be awarded under s. 61.30, the department shall seek to have the order modified and any modification shall be made without a requirement for proof or showing of a change in circumstances.

(d) The department $\underline{\text{may}}$ shall have authority to adopt rules to administer $\underline{\text{implement}}$ this section.

(11)

- (c) If the court orders alimony payable concurrent with a child support order, the alimony award may not be modified solely because of a later reduction or termination of child support payments, unless the court finds that the obligor has the ability to pay the modified alimony award, the existing alimony award as determined by the court at the time of dissolution is insufficient to meet the needs of the obligee, and such need continues to exist.
- (d) An obligor's subsequent remarriage or cohabitation does not constitute a basis for a modification of alimony. The income and assets of the obligor's subsequent spouse or person with whom the obligor resides is not relevant in a modification action except under exceptional circumstances.
- (12) The fact that an obligor has reached a reasonable retirement age for his or her profession, has retired, and has no intent to return to work is considered a substantial change in circumstances as a matter of law. In determining whether the

Page 26 of 32

| 551 | obligor's retirement age is reasonable, the court shall consider |
|-----|---|
| 552 | the obligor's: |
| 553 | (a) Age. |
| 554 | (b) Health. |
| 555 | (c) Motivation for retirement. |
| 556 | (d) Type of work. |
| 557 | (e) Normal retirement age for that type of work. |
| 558 | |
| 559 | In anticipation of retirement, the obligor may file a petition |
| 560 | for termination or modification of the alimony award effective |
| 561 | upon the retirement date. The court shall terminate or modify |
| 562 | the alimony award based on the circumstances of the parties |
| 563 | after retirement of the obligor and based on the factors in s. |
| 564 | 61.08(3), unless the court makes findings of fact that a |
| 665 | termination or modification of an alimony award is not |
| 566 | warranted. |
| 567 | Section 8. Section 61.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to |
| 568 | read: |
| 569 | 61.19 Entry of judgment of dissolution of marriage $\underline{:}_{\mathcal{T}}$ delay |
| 570 | period; separate adjudication of issues |
| 571 | $\underline{\text{(1)}}$ A $\underline{\text{No}}$ final judgment of dissolution of marriage may $\underline{\text{not}}$ |
| 572 | be entered until at least 20 days have elapsed from the date of |
| 573 | filing the original petition for dissolution of marriage $\underline{\prime}$ \div but |
| 574 | the court, on a showing that injustice would result from this |
| 575 | delay, may enter a final judgment of dissolution of marriage at |

Page 27 of 32

an earlier date.

(2) (a) During the first 180 days after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, the court may not grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues unless the court makes written findings that there are exceptional circumstances that make the use of this process clearly necessary to protect the parties or their children and that granting a final dissolution will not cause irreparable harm to either party or the children. Before granting a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues, the court shall enter temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until all other issues can be adjudicated by the court. The desire of one party to remarry does not justify the use of this process.

(b) If more than 180 days have elapsed after the date of service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, the court may grant a final dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently determine all other substantive issues only if the court enters temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children, which orders remain effective until such time as all other issues can be adjudicated by the court, and makes a written finding that no irreparable harm will result from granting a final dissolution.

| (c) If more than 365 days have elapsed after the date of |
|---|
| service of the original petition for dissolution of marriage, |
| absent a showing by either party that irreparable harm will |
| result from granting a final dissolution, the court shall, upon |
| request of either party, immediately grant a final dissolution |
| of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to subsequently |
| determine all other substantive issues. Before granting a final |
| dissolution of marriage with a reservation of jurisdiction to |
| subsequently determine all other substantive issues, the court |
| shall enter temporary orders necessary to protect the parties |
| and their children, which orders remain effective until all |
| other issues can be adjudicated by the court. |

- (d) The temporary orders necessary to protect the parties and their children entered before granting a dissolution of marriage without an adjudication of all substantive issues may include, but are not limited to, temporary orders that:
 - 1. Restrict the sale or disposition of property.
 - $\underline{\text{2.}}$ Protect and preserve the marital assets.
 - 3. Establish temporary support.

- 4. Provide for maintenance of health insurance.
- 5. Provide for maintenance of life insurance.
- (e) The court is not required to enter temporary orders to protect the parties and their children if the court enters a final judgment of dissolution of marriage that adjudicates substantially all of the substantive issues between the parties

Page 29 of 32

but reserves jurisdiction to address ancillary issues such as
the entry of a qualified domestic relations order or the
adjudication of attorney fees and costs.

- Section 9. (1)(a) The amendments made by this act to chapter 61, Florida Statutes, apply to:
- 731 <u>1. Final judgments of alimony awards entered before July</u>
 732 1, 2019.
 - 2. Final orders entered before July 1, 2019, that incorporate an agreement between the parties for alimony, if the duration of the marriage was equal to or less than 15 years and the duration of the alimony agreement exceeds the duration of the marriage.
 - (b) For such judgments or orders, the amendments made by this act to chapter 61, Florida Statutes, constitute a substantial change in circumstances for which an obligor may seek, in accordance with s. 61.14, Florida Statutes, a modification of the amount or duration of alimony, except for an order incorporating an agreement that is expressly nonmodifiable.
 - (2) (a) For final orders entered before July 1, 2019, that incorporate an agreement between the parties for alimony, but otherwise do not meet the criteria set forth in subparagraph (1) (a) 2., the amendments made by this act to chapter 61, Florida Statutes, apply if the obligor proves, by clear and convincing evidence, that:

Page 30 of 32

1. The obligor did not execute the agreement voluntarily;

2. The agreement was the product of fraud, duress, coercion, or overreaching; or

- 3. The agreement was unconscionable when it was executed and, before execution of the agreement, the obligor:
- a. Was not provided a fair and reasonable disclosure of the property or financial obligations of the other party.
- b. Did not voluntarily and expressly waive, in writing, any right to disclosure of the property or financial obligations of the other party beyond the disclosure provided.
- c. Did not have or reasonably could not have had an adequate knowledge of the property or financial obligations of the other party.
- (b) For such orders, the amendments made by this act to chapter 61, Florida Statutes, constitute a substantial change in circumstances for which an obligor may seek, in accordance with s. 61.14, Florida Statutes, a modification of the amount or duration of alimony, except for an order incorporating an agreement that is expressly nonmodifiable.
- (3) Final judgments and orders for which the amendments made by this act to chapter 61, Florida Statutes, constitute a substantial change in circumstances under subsection (1) and (2) may be the subject of a modification action according to the following schedule:
 - (a) An obligor who is subject to alimony of 15 years or

Page 31 of 32

HB 1325 2019

| 776 | more may file a modification action on or after July 1, 2019. |
|-----|---|
| 777 | (b) An obligor who is subject to alimony of 8 years of |
| 778 | more, but less than 15 years, may file a modification action on |
| 779 | or after July 1, 2020. |
| 780 | (c) An obligor who is subject to alimony of less than 8 |
| 781 | years may file a modification action on or after July 1, 2021. |
| 782 | Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1, 2019. |