1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to coastal management; amending s. 3 161.101, F.S.; revising the criteria the Department of 4 Environmental Protection must consider in determining 5 and assigning annual funding priorities for beach 6 management and erosion control projects; specifying 7 tiers for such criteria; requiring tiers to be given 8 certain weight; requiring the department to update 9 active project lists on its website; redefining the term "significant change"; revising the department's 10 reporting requirements; specifying allowable uses for 11 12 certain surplus funds; revising the requirements for a specified summary; requiring that funding for certain 13 14 projects remain available for a specified period; amending s. 161.143, F.S.; specifying the scope of 15 certain projects; revising the list of projects 16 included as inlet management projects; requiring that 17 certain projects be considered separate and apart from 18 19 other specified projects; revising the ranking criteria to be used by the department to establish 20 21 certain funding priorities for certain inlet-caused beach erosion projects; revising provisions 22 23 authorizing the department to spend certain appropriated funds for the management of inlets; 24 25 deleting a provision authorizing the department to

Page 1 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26 spend certain appropriated funds for specified inlet 27 studies; revising the required elements of the 28 department's report of prioritized inlet management 29 projects; revising the funds that the department must 30 make available to certain inlet management projects; 31 requiring the department to include specified 32 activities on the inlet management project list; 33 deleting provisions requiring the department to make available funding for specified projects; deleting a 34 35 requirement that the Legislature designate a project 36 as an Inlet of the Year; requiring the department to 37 update and maintain a report regarding the progress of certain inlet management projects; deleting certain 38 39 temporary provisions relating to specified appropriations; revising the requirements for the 40 41 report; amending s. 161.161, F.S.; revising 42 requirements for the comprehensive long-term 43 management plan; requiring the plan to include a strategic beach management plan, a critically eroded 44 beaches report, and a statewide long-range budget 45 plan; providing for the development and maintenance of 46 47 such plans; deleting a requirement that the department 48 submit a certain beach management plan on a certain 49 date each year; requiring the department to hold a 50 public meeting before finalization of the strategic

Page 2 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

beach management plan; requiring the department to 51 52 submit a 3-year work plan and a related forecast for 53 the availability of funding to the Legislature; 54 providing effective dates. 55 56 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 57 58 Section 1. Effective July 1, 2020, subsection (14) of section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 59 State and local participation in authorized 60 161.101 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 61 62 control.-The intent of the Legislature in preserving and 63 (14)64 protecting Florida's sandy beaches pursuant to this act is to 65 direct beach erosion control appropriations to the state's most 66 severely eroded beaches, and to prevent further adverse impact 67 caused by improved, modified, or altered inlets, coastal 68 armoring, or existing upland development. In establishing annual 69 project funding priorities, the department shall seek formal 70 input from local coastal governments, beach and general 71 government interest groups, and university experts. The 72 department shall implement a scoring system for annual project funding priorities that consists of criteria equally weighted 73 74 within the following specified tiers criteria to be considered 75 by the department in determining annual funding priorities shall

Page 3 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

76 include:

77 Tier 1 must account for 20 percent of the total score (a) 78 and consist of the tourism-related return on investment and the 79 economic impact of the project. The return on investment of the 80 project is the ratio of the tourism-related tax revenues for the 81 most recent year to the amount of state funding requested for 82 the proposed project. The economic impact of the project is the 83 ratio of the tourism-related tax revenues for the most recent year to all county tax revenues for the most recent year. The 84 85 department must calculate these ratios using state sales tax and tourism development tax data of the county having jurisdiction 86 87 over the project area. If multiple counties have jurisdiction over the project area, the department must assess each county 88 89 individually using these ratios. The department shall calculate 90 the mean average of these ratios to determine the final overall 91 assessment for the multicounty project the severity of crosion 92 conditions, the threat to existing upland development, and 93 recreational and/or economic benefits. 94 Tier 2 must account for 45 percent of the total score (b) 95 and consist of all of the following criteria: 96 The availability of federal matching dollars, 1. 97 considering federal authorization, the federal cost-share 98 percentage, and the status of the funding award. 99 2. The storm damage reduction benefits of the project 100 based on the following considerations:

Page 4 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101 The current condition of the project area, including a. 102 any recent storm damage impact, as a percentage of volume of 103 sand lost since the most recent beach nourishment event or most 104 recent beach surveys. If the project area has not been previously restored, the department must use the historical 105 106 background erosion rate; 107 b. The overall potential threat to existing upland 108 development, including public and private structures and 109 infrastructure, based on the percentage of vulnerable shoreline 110 that exists within the project boundaries; and 111 c. The value of upland property benefiting from the 112 protection provided by the project and its subsequent 113 maintenance. A property must be within one-quarter mile of the 114 project boundaries to be considered under the criterion 115 specified in this sub-subparagraph. 116 3. The cost-effectiveness of the project based on the 117 yearly cost per volume per mile of proposed beach fill 118 placement. The department shall also consider the following when 119 assessing cost-effectiveness pursuant to this subparagraph: 120 a. The existence of projects with proposed structural or 121 design components that could extend the beach nourishment 122 interval; b. Existing beach nourishment projects that reduce upland 123 124 storm damage costs by incorporating new or enhanced dune 125 structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation

Page 5 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0325-01-c1

126	projects;
127	c. Proposed innovative technologies designed to reduce
128	project costs; and
129	d. Regional sediment management strategies and
130	coordination to conserve sand source resources and reduce
131	project costs.
132	(c) <u>Tier 3 must account for 20 percent of the total score</u>
133	and consist of all of the following criteria: The extent of
134	local government sponsor financial and administrative commitment
135	to the project, including a long-term financial plan with a
136	designated funding source or sources for initial construction
137	and periodic maintenance.
138	1.(d) Previous state commitment and involvement in the
139	project, considering previously funded phases, the total amount
140	of previous state funding, and previous partial appropriations
141	for the proposed project.
142	2. The recreational benefits of the project based on:
143	a. The accessible beach area added by the project; and
144	b. The percentage of linear footage within the project
145	boundaries which is zoned:
146	(I) As recreational or open space;
147	(II) For commercial use; or
148	(III) To otherwise allow for public lodging
149	establishments.
150	(e) The anticipated physical performance of the proposed
	Page 6 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

project, including the frequency of periodic planned 151 152 nourishment. 153 3.(f) The extent to which the proposed project mitigates 154 the adverse impact of improved, modified, or altered inlets on 155 adjacent beaches. 156 (g) Innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally 157 sensitive applications to reduce erosion. 158 (h) Projects that provide enhanced habitat within or 159 adjacent to designated refuges of nesting sea turtles. 160 (i) The extent to which local or regional sponsors of 161 beach erosion control projects agree to coordinate the planning, 162 design, and construction of their projects to take advantage of 163 identifiable cost savings. 164 4.(i) The degree to which the project addresses the 165 state's most significant beach erosion problems as a function of the linear footage of the project shoreline and the cubic yards 166 167 of sand placed per mile per year. 168 Tier 4 must account for 15 percent of the total score (d) 169 and consist of all of the following criteria: 170 1. Increased prioritization of projects that have been on 171 the department's ranked project list for successive years and 172 that have not previously secured state funding for project 173 implementation. 2. Environmental habitat enhancement, recognizing state or 174 federal critical habitat areas for threatened or endangered 175

Page 7 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2019

176	species which may be subject to extensive shoreline armoring, or
177	recognizing areas where extensive shoreline armoring threatens
178	the availability or quality of habitat for such species. Turtle-
179	friendly designs, dune and vegetation projects for areas with
180	redesigned or reduced fill templates, proposed incorporation of
181	best management practices and adaptive management strategies to
182	protect resources, and innovative technologies designed to
183	benefit critical habitat preservation may also be considered.
184	3. The overall readiness of the project to proceed in a
185	timely manner, considering the project's readiness for the
186	construction phase of development, the status of required
187	permits, the status of any needed easement acquisition, the
188	availability of local funding sources, and the establishment of
189	an erosion control line. If the department identifies specific
190	reasonable and documented concerns that the project will not
191	proceed in a timely manner, the department may choose not to
192	include the project in the annual funding priorities submitted
193	to the Legislature.
194	
195	<u>If</u> In the event that more than one project qualifies equally
196	under the provisions of this subsection, the department shall
197	assign funding priority to those projects <u>shown to be most</u> that
198	are ready to proceed.
199	Section 2. Subsection (20) of section 161.101, Florida
200	Statutes, is amended to read:
	Page 8 of 23

Page 8 of 23

201 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 202 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 203 control.-

(20) The department shall maintain active project <u>lists</u>, <u>updated at least quarterly</u>, listings on its website by fiscal year in order to provide transparency regarding those projects receiving funding and the funding amounts τ and to facilitate legislative reporting and oversight. In consideration of this intent:

The department shall notify the Executive Office of 210 (a) the Governor and the Legislature regarding any significant 211 212 changes in the funding levels of a given project as initially 213 requested in the department's budget submission and subsequently included in approved annual funding allocations. The term 214 "significant change" means a project-specific change or 215 216 cumulative changes that exceed the project's original allocation 217 by \$500,000 or that exceed those changes exceeding 25 percent of 218 the a project's original allocation.

219 <u>1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2.,</u> if there is 220 surplus funding, <u>the department must notify and provide</u> 221 <u>supporting justification notification shall be provided</u> to the 222 Executive Office of the Governor and the Legislature to indicate 223 whether <u>surplus</u> additional dollars are intended to be used for 224 inlet management <u>projects</u> pursuant to s. 161.143 <u>or for beach</u> 225 restoration and beach nourishment projects, offered for

Page 9 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb0325-01-c1

226 reversion as part of the next appropriations process, or used 227 for other specified priority projects on active project lists.

228 2. The department may use surplus funds for projects 229 identified in subparagraph 1. that do not have a significant 230 change. The department must post the uses of such funds on the 231 project listing web page of its website. The department is not 232 required to post any other notice or supporting justification 233 before it uses the surplus funds for a project that does not 234 have a significant change.

(b) <u>The department shall prepare</u> a summary of specific
project activities for the current fiscal year, their funding
status, and changes to annual project lists for the current and
<u>preceding fiscal year</u>. shall be prepared by The department shall
<u>include the summary and included</u> with the department's
submission of its annual legislative budget request.

241 Funding for specific projects on annual project lists (C) 242 approved by the Legislature must remain available for such 243 projects for 18 months. A local project sponsor may at any time 244 release, in whole or in part, appropriated project dollars by 245 formal notification to the department. The department, which 246 shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the 247 Legislature of such release and. Notification must indicate in 248 the notification how the project dollars are recommended intended to be used after such release. 249

250

Section 3. Subsections (2) through (5) of section 161.143,

Page 10 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

251 Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

252 161.143 Inlet management; planning, prioritizing, funding,
253 approving, and implementing projects.-

254 The department shall establish annual funding (2) 255 priorities for studies, activities, or other projects concerning 256 inlet management. Such inlet management projects constitute the 257 intended scope of this section and s. 161.142 and consist of 258 include, but are not limited to, inlet sand bypassing, 259 improvement of infrastructure to facilitate sand bypassing, modifications to channel dredging, jetty redesign, jetty repair, 260 261 disposal of spoil material, and the development, revision, 262 adoption, or implementation of an inlet management plan. Projects considered for funding pursuant to this section must be 263 264 considered separate and apart from projects reviewed and 265 prioritized in s. 161.101(14). The funding priorities 266 established by the department under this section must be 267 consistent with the requirements and legislative declaration in ss. 161.101(14), 161.142, and 161.161(1)(b). In establishing 268 269 funding priorities under this subsection and before transmitting 270 the annual inlet project list to the Legislature under subsection (4) (5), the department shall seek formal input from 271 272 local coastal governments, beach and general government associations and other coastal interest groups, and university 273 274 experts concerning annual funding priorities for inlet 275 management projects. In order to maximize the benefits of

Page 11 of 23

efforts to address the inlet-caused beach erosion problems of this state, the ranking criteria used by the department to establish funding priorities for studies, activities, or other projects concerning inlet management must include <u>equal</u> consideration of:

(a) An estimate of the annual quantity of beach-quality
 sand reaching the updrift boundary of the improved jetty or
 inlet channel.

(b) The severity of the erosion to the adjacent beaches
caused by the inlet and the extent to which the proposed project
mitigates the erosive effects of the inlet.

(c) The overall significance and anticipated success of
the proposed project in <u>mitigating the erosive effects of the</u>
<u>inlet</u>, balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent
beaches, and addressing the sand deficit along the inletaffected shorelines.

(d) The extent to which existing bypassing activities at an inlet would benefit from modest, cost-effective improvements when considering the volumetric increases from the proposed project, the availability of beach-quality sand currently not being bypassed to adjacent eroding beaches, and the ease with which such beach-quality sand may be obtained.

(e) <u>The cost-effectiveness of sand made available by a</u>
 proposed inlet management project or activity relative to other
 <u>sand source opportunities that would be used to address inlet-</u>

Page 12 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

301 <u>caused beach erosion</u> The interest and commitment of local 302 governments as demonstrated by their willingness to coordinate 303 the planning, design, construction, and maintenance of an inlet 304 management project and their financial plan for funding the 305 local cost share for initial construction, ongoing sand 306 bypassing, channel dredging, and maintenance.

307 (f) The existence of a proposed or recently updated The previous completion or approval of a state-sponsored inlet 308 309 management plan or a local-government-sponsored inlet study addressing concerning the inlet addressed by the proposed 310 311 project, the ease of updating and revising any such plan or 312 study, and the adequacy and specificity of the plan's or study's 313 recommendations concerning the mitigation of an inlet's erosive 314 effects on adjacent beaches.

(g) The degree to which the proposed project will enhance the performance and longevity of proximate beach nourishment projects, thereby reducing the frequency of such periodic nourishment projects.

(h) The project-ranking criteria in s. 161.101(14) to the
extent such criteria are applicable to inlet management studies,
projects, and activities <u>and are distinct from, and not</u>
<u>duplicative of, the criteria listed in paragraphs (a)-(g)</u>.

(3) The department may pay from legislative appropriations
 up to 75 percent of the construction costs of an initial major
 inlet management project component for the purpose of mitigating

Page 13 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2019

326	the erosive effects of the inlet to the shoreline and balancing
327	the sediment budget. The remaining balance of such construction
328	costs must be paid from other funding sources, such as local
329	sponsors. All project costs not associated with an initial major
330	inlet management project component must be shared equally by
331	state and local sponsors in accordance with, pursuant to s.
332	161.101 and notwithstanding s. 161.101(15), pay from legislative
333	appropriations provided for these purposes 75 percent of the
334	total costs, or, if applicable, the nonfederal costs, of a
335	study, activity, or other project concerning the management of
336	an inlet. The balance must be paid by the local governments or
337	special districts having jurisdiction over the property where
338	the inlet is located.
339	(4) Using the legislative appropriation to the statewide
340	beach-management-support category of the department's fixed
341	capital outlay funding request, the department may employ
342	university-based or other contractual sources and pay 100
343	percent of the costs of studies that are consistent with the
344	legislative declaration in s. 161.142 and that:
345	(a) Determine, calculate, refine, and achieve general
346	consensus regarding net annual sediment transport volumes to be
347	used for the purpose of planning and prioritizing inlet
348	management projects; and
349	(b) Appropriate, assign, and apportion responsibilities
350	between inlet beneficiaries for the erosion caused by a

Page 14 of 23

2019

351	particular inlet on adjacent beaches.
352	(4) (5) The department shall annually provide an inlet
353	management project list, in priority order, to the Legislature
354	as part of the department's budget request. The list must
355	include studies, projects, or other activities that address the
356	management of at least 10 separate inlets and that are ranked
357	according to the criteria established under subsection (2).
358	(a) The department shall <u>designate for</u> make available at
359	least 10 percent of the total amount that the Legislature
360	appropriates in each fiscal year for statewide beach management
361	for the three highest-ranked projects on the current year's
362	inlet management project list, in priority order, an amount that
363	is at least equal to the greater of:
364	1. Ten percent of the total amount that the Legislature
365	appropriates in the fiscal year for statewide beach management;
366	or
367	2. The percentage of inlet management funding requests
368	from local sponsors as a proportion of the total amount of
369	statewide beach management dollars requested in a given year.
370	(b) The department shall include inlet monitoring
371	activities ranked on the inlet management project list as one
372	aggregated subcategory on the overall inlet management project
373	list make available at least 50 percent of the funds
374	appropriated for the feasibility and design category in the
375	department's fixed capital outlay funding request for projects

Page 15 of 23

376 on the current year's inlet management project list which 377 involve the study for, or design or development of, an inlet 378 management project. 379 (c) The department shall make available all statewide 380 beach management funds that remain unencumbered or are allocated 381 to non-project-specific activities for projects on legislatively 382 approved inlet management project lists. Funding for local-

383 government-specific projects on annual project lists approved by 384 the Legislature must remain available for such purposes for a 385 period of 18 months pursuant to s. 216.301(2)(a). Based on an 386 assessment and the department's determination that a project 387 will not be ready to proceed during this 18-month period, such 388 funds shall be used for inlet management projects on 389 legislatively approved lists.

390 (5) (d) The Legislature shall designate one of the three 391 highest projects on the inlet management project list in any 392 year as the Inlet of the Year. The department shall update and 393 maintain an annual annually report on its website to the 394 Legislature concerning the extent to which each inlet project 395 designated by the Legislature as Inlet of the Year has succeeded in balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent 396 397 beaches and in_{τ} mitigating the inlet's erosive effects on adjacent beaches. The report must estimate the quantity of 398 399 sediment bypassed, transferred, and transferring or otherwise 400 placed placing beach-quality sand on adjacent eroding beaches,

Page 16 of 23

2019

401 or in such beaches' nearshore area, for the purpose of 402 offsetting the erosive effects of inlets on the beaches of this 403 state. 404 Section 4. Effective July 1, 2020, subsections (2) through 405 (7) of section 161.161, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as 406 subsections (3) through (8), respectively, subsection (1) and 407 present subsection (2) are amended, and a new subsection (2) is 408 added to that section, to read: 409 161.161 Procedure for approval of projects.-The department shall develop and maintain a 410 (1)comprehensive long-term beach management plan for the 411 412 restoration and maintenance of the state's critically eroded 413 beaches fronting the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Straits 414 of Florida. In developing and maintaining this the beach 415 management plan, the department shall: Address long-term solutions to the problem of 416 (a) 417 critically eroded beaches in this state. Evaluate each improved, modified, or altered inlet and 418 (b) determine whether the inlet is a significant cause of beach 419 420 erosion. With respect to each inlet determined to be a 421 significant cause of beach erosion, the plan shall include: 422 1. the extent to which such inlet causes beach erosion and recommendations to mitigate the erosive impact of the inlet, 423 424 including, but not limited to, recommendations regarding inlet sediment bypassing; improvement of infrastructure to facilitate 425 Page 17 of 23

426 sand bypassing; modifications to channel dredging, jetty design, 427 and disposal of spoil material; establishment of feeder beaches; 428 and beach restoration and beach nourishment; and 429 Cost estimates necessary to take inlet corrective 2. 430 measures and recommendations regarding cost sharing among the 431 beneficiaries of such inlet. 432 (C) Evaluate Design criteria for beach restoration and 433 beach nourishment projects, including, but not limited to, + 1. dune elevation and width and revegetation and 434 435 stabilization requirements; and 436 2. beach profiles profile. 437 Consider Evaluate the establishment of regional (d) 438 sediment management alternatives for one or more individual 439 beach and inlet sand bypassing projects feeder beaches as an 440 alternative to direct beach restoration when appropriate and 441 cost-effective, and recommend the location of such regional 442 sediment management alternatives feeder beaches and the source 443 of beach-compatible sand. 444 Identify causes of shoreline erosion and change, (e) determine calculate erosion rates, and maintain an updated list 445 of critically eroded sandy beaches based on data, analyses, and 446 447 investigations of shoreline conditions and project long-term 448 erosion for all major beach and dune systems by surveys and 449 profiles. 450 (f) Identify shoreline development and degree of density

Page 18 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2019

451	and Assess impacts of development and coastal protection
452	shoreline protective structures on shoreline change and erosion.
453	(g) Identify short-term and long-term economic costs and
454	benefits of beaches to the state and individual beach
455	communities, including recreational value to user groups, tax
456	base, revenues generated, and beach acquisition and maintenance
457	costs .
458	(h) Study dune and vegetation conditions, identify
459	existing beach projects without dune features or with dunes
460	without adequate elevations, and encourage dune restoration and
461	revegetation to be incorporated as part of storm damage recovery
462	projects or future dune maintenance events.
463	(i) Identify beach areas used by marine turtles and
464	develop strategies for protection of the turtles and their nests
465	and nesting locations.
466	(j) Identify alternative management responses to preserve
467	undeveloped beach and dune systems $\underline{ ext{and}}_{m{ au}}$ to restore damaged beach
468	and dune systems. In identifying such management responses, the
469	department shall consider, at a minimum, and to prevent
470	inappropriate development and redevelopment on migrating
471	beaches, and consider beach restoration and nourishment,
472	armoring, relocation and abandonment, dune and vegetation
473	restoration, and acquisition.
474	(k) Document procedures and policies for preparing post-
475	storm damage assessments and corresponding recovery plans,

Page 19 of 23

476 including repair cost estimates Establish criteria, including 477 costs and specific implementation actions, for alternative 478 management techniques. 479 Identify and assess Select and recommend appropriate (1)480 management measures for all of the state's critically eroded 481 sandy beaches in a beach management program. 482 (m) Establish a list of beach restoration and beach 483 nourishment projects, arranged in order of priority, and the funding levels needed for such projects. 484 485 (2) The comprehensive long-term management plan developed 486 and maintained by the department pursuant to subsection (1) must include, at a minimum, a strategic beach management plan, a 487 488 critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range 489 budget plan. The long-range budget plan must include a 3-year 490 work plan for beach restoration, beach nourishment, and inlet 491 management projects that lists planned projects for each of the 492 3 fiscal years addressed in the work plan. 493 The strategic beach management plan must identify and (a) 494 recommend appropriate measures for all of the state's critically 495 eroded sandy beaches and may incorporate plans be prepared at 496 the regional level, taking into account based upon areas of 497 greatest need and probable federal and local funding. Upon 498 approval in accordance with this section, such regional plans, 499 along with the 3-year work plan identified in subparagraph 500 (c)1., must shall be components of the statewide beach

Page 20 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

501 management plan and shall serve as the basis for state funding 502 decisions upon approval in accordance with chapter 86-138, Laws 503 of Florida. Before finalizing the strategic beach management 504 plan In accordance with a schedule established for the 505 submission of regional plans by the department, any completed 506 plan must be submitted to the secretary of the department for 507 approval no later than March 1 of each year. These regional plans shall include, but shall not be limited to, 508 509 recommendations of appropriate funding mechanisms for 510 implementing projects in the beach management plan, giving 511 consideration to the use of single-county and multicounty taxing 512 districts or other revenue generation measures by state and 513 local governments and the private sector. Prior to presenting 514 the plan to the secretary of the department, the department 515 shall hold a public meeting in the region areas for which the 516 plan is prepared or hold a publicly noticed webinar. The plan 517 submission schedule shall be submitted to the secretary for 518 approval. Any revisions to such schedule must be approved in 519 like manner. 520 (b) The critically eroded beaches report must be developed 521 and maintained based primarily on the requirements specified in 522 paragraph (1)(e). 523 The statewide long-range budget plan must include at (C) least 5 years of planned beach restoration, beach nourishment, 524 and inlet management project funding needs as identified, and 525

Page 21 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2019

526 subsequently refined, by local government sponsors. This plan 527 must consist of two components: 528 1. A 3-year work plan that identifies beach restoration, 529 beach nourishment, and inlet management projects viable for 530 implementation during the next 3 fiscal years, as determined by 531 available cost-sharing, local sponsor support, regulatory 532 considerations, and the ability of the project to proceed as scheduled. The 3-year work plan must, for each fiscal year, 533 534 identify proposed projects and their current development status, 535 listing them in priority order based on the applicable criteria 536 established in ss. 161.101(14) and 161.143(2). Specific funding requests and criteria ranking, pursuant to ss. 161.101(14) and 537 538 161.143(2), may be modified as warranted in each successive 539 fiscal year, and such modifications must be documented and 540 submitted to the Legislature with each 3-year work plan. Year 541 one projects shall consist of those projects identified for 542 funding consideration in the ensuing fiscal year. 543 2. A long-range plan that identifies projects for 544 inclusion in the fourth and fifth ensuing fiscal years. These 545 projects may be presented by region and do not need to be 546 presented in priority order; however, the department should 547 identify issues that may prevent successful completion of such 548 projects and recommend solutions that would allow the projects to progress into the 3-year work plan. 549 550 (3) (2) Annually, The secretary shall present the 3-year

Page 22 of 23

551 work plan to the Legislature annually. The work plan must be 552 accompanied by a 3-year financial forecast for the availability 553 of funding for the projects recommendations for funding beach 554 erosion control projects prioritized according to the criteria 555 established in s. 161.101(14). 556 Section 5. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this

557 act, this act shall take effect July 1, 2019.

Page 23 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.