HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 101 Public Construction

SPONSOR(S): Commerce Committee, Andrade and others **TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** CS/SB 246

FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION: 118 Y's 1 N's GOVERNOR'S ACTION: Approved

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/101 passed the House on January 22, 2020, and subsequently passed the Senate on February 26, 2020.

Retainage is a common construction practice that allows a portion of an agreed upon contract price to be withheld until the work is substantially complete to assure that a contractor or subcontractor will complete the construction project.

Current law sets limits on how much retainage can be withheld on state and local government contracts. For construction contracts with the state *for \$200,000* or *less*, the state may withhold up to ten percent of a payment to a contractor. For construction contracts with the state or local governments that are for *more than \$200,000*, the maximum amount that may be retained in a payment is:

- Ten percent of a payment to a contractor before half of the project is complete;
- Five percent of a payment to a contractor after half of the project is complete; and
- Ten percent of a payment to a contractor for the entire project, if the project is for a municipality with a population of 25,000 or less or a county with a population of 100,000 or less.

The bill changes the limits on retainage permitted to be withheld on state and local government contracts.

For contracts less than \$200,000, the bill reduces the maximum amount the state may retain from a payment to a contractor *from ten percent to five percent*.

For contracts more than \$200,000, the bill reduces the maximum amount that may be retained from a payment to a contractor:

- From ten percent before half of the project is complete, and five percent after half of the project is complete
 - o To five percent for the entire project; and
- From ten percent for the entire project if the project is for a municipality with a population of 25,000 or less or a county with a population of 100,000 or less
 - o To five percent for the entire project.

The bill repeals:

- The ability of a contractor to request the state or local government to release up to half of the retained amount after half of the project is complete; and
- The ability of a contractor to withhold more than five percent of each payment to his or her subcontractors after half of a project for a state or local government is complete.

The provisions of the bill do not apply to FDOT construction projects authorized by ch. 337, F.S., or any contract for construction services entered into, pending approval, or advertised by a government entity, on or before October 1, 2020.

The bill may have a fiscal impact on state government and local governments. See Fiscal Comments.

The bill was approved by the Governor on September 18, 2020, ch. 2020-173, L.O.F., and will become effective on October 1, 2020.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0101z1.DOCX

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Current Situation

Construction Contractors

Construction contractors are certified or registered by the Construction Industry Licensing Board (CILB) housed within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR). The CILB consists of 18 members who are appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. 1 Certified construction contractors are licensed and regulated by the CILB and can practice statewide. Registered contractors are those that choose to practice only within the specific locality where they have chosen to apply for licensure and may only practice within that locality.²

Retainage

Payments for construction services are usually made incrementally as progress is made. Retainage is a construction practice whereby a project owner withholds a certain percentage of a payment from the contractor who in turn withholds a certain percentage from the subcontractors until the project is completed. The retained funds are generally paid out when the project is completed.³

The purpose of retainage is provide leverage for the property owner and the contractor to ensure the project is completed in a timely fashion. Retainage also serves as a safeguard against possible overpayment to a contractor or subcontractor when the estimated percentage of project completion, used for periodic progress payments, exceeds the actual percentage completed.⁴

Florida Retainage Rates for Construction Contracts with Government Entities

Section 218.72, F.S., defines a "local government entity" to mean a county or municipal government, school board, school district, authority, special taxing district, other political subdivision, or any office, board, bureau, commission, department, branch, division, or institution thereof.

Section 255.072, F.S., defines a "public entity" to mean the state, or any office, board, bureau, commission, department, branch, division, or institution thereof, but the term does not include a local government entity as defined above.

Current law provides that for any construction service contract with a local government entity or a public entity (government entities) that is worth more than \$200,000:

- the maximum amount that a government entity may withhold from a progress payment to a contractor is ten percent, until half of the project is completed.
- the maximum amount that a government entity may withhold from a progress payment to a contractor is reduced to five percent, after half of the project is completed.

However, a municipality with a population of 25,000 or less and a county with a population of 100,000 or less may withhold ten percent until the project is completed.5

DATE: 9/21/2020

STORAGE NAME: h0101z1.DOCX PAGE: 2

¹ S. 489.107, F.S.

² See generally s. 489.105, F.S.

³ OPPAGA, Inflexibility in Contracting and Retainage Practices Could Hurt Construction Industry, p. 1 (Dec. 2000) http://www.oppaga.state.fl.us/MonitorDocs/Reports/pdf/0026rpt.pdf (last visited on Oct. 20, 2019).

⁴ Id.; Bausman, Dennis C., Retainage Practice In The Construction Industry, Foundation of the American Subcontractors Association, Inc., p. 1 (Nov. 2004)

https://www.asacolorado.com/images/stories/documents/legislation/retainageclemsonstudy.pdf (last visited on Oct. 20,

⁵ Ss. 218.735 and 255.078, F.S.

After half of the project is completed, a contractor may request the government entity to release up to half of the retained amount. The government entity must release the requested amount unless the amount is subject to a dispute.⁶

After a contractor completes a project, he or she may submit a request to the government entity for all remaining retained funds. The government entity must release the funds unless there is a good faith dispute that the contractor has not completed an item or items on the government entity's list of items required to complete the project. If there is a good faith dispute, the government entity may withhold an amount not to exceed 150% of the cost to complete the item or items.⁷

When a contractor receives payment from a government entity for work or materials provided by a subcontractor, the contractor must provide the payment to the subcontractor within 10 days of receiving the payment. However, after half of a project with a government entity is completed, a contractor may withhold more than five percent of each progress payment to a subcontractor even though the government entity may not retain more than five percent of each payment to a contractor for work and materials provided by the contractor and his or her subcontractors.⁸

A contractor may withhold more than five percent of each payment based on the contractor's assessment of a subcontractor's past performance, the likelihood that such performance will continue, and the contractor's ability to rely on other safeguards such as a performance bond. If a contractor elects to retain more than five percent, the contractor must notify the subcontractor in writing of his or her determination to withhold more than five percent and the reasons for making that determination, and the contractor may not request the release of such retained funds from the government entity.⁹

For contracts for construction services with the state that are for \$200,000 or less, current law requires the Department of Management Services (DMS) to establish rules that allow the state to retain up to ten percent of each payment made to the contractor. In order to comply, DMS enacted Rule 60D-5.0041, F.A.C., which provides that for public works contracts with the state that are worth more than \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000, a state agency may request DMS to exempt the requirement for a performance and payment bond as long as the state agency retains ten percent of each payment made to the contractor. If the contractor defaults, the agency will use the retained payments to pay any claims of unpaid bills made by laborers, materialmen, and subcontractors.¹⁰

Chapter 337, F.S., provides that when the Department of Transportation (DOT) uses flexible start and finish times for construction projects, DOT may retain ten percent when a contractor fails to timely commence work or falls behind at any time prior to completion of the contract.¹¹

Additional Requirements for Construction Contracts with Government Entities

Current law requires a contractor who contracts with the state, a local government, or another public authority to construct a public building or complete some other public works project that is worth more than \$200,000 to obtain a payment bond with an authorized surety insurer equal to the contract price, and a performance bond with an authorized surety insurer. In lieu of a bond, a contractor may file an alternative form of security in the form of cash, a money order, a certified check, a cashier's check, or an irrevocable letter of credit.¹²

⁶ Ss. 218.735 and 255.078, F.S.

⁷ Ss. 218.735 and 255.077, F.S.

⁸ Ss. 218.735, 255.073, and 255.078, F.S.

⁹ Ss. 218.735 and 255.078, F.S.

¹⁰ S. 255.05(1)(f), F.S.; Rule. 60D-5.0041, F.A.C.

¹¹ S. 337.015(5), F.S.

¹² S. 255.05(1), and (7), F.S.

A performance bond issued by a surety guarantees that the contractor or surety will complete the work required under the bonded contract.¹³ A payment bond issued by a surety guarantees that the contractor or surety will pay the subcontractors, suppliers, and materialmen associated with the construction project.¹⁴

Before beginning work, a contractor must execute and record both bonds in the public records of the county where the improvement is located and provide a certified copy of the bonds to the public body commissioning the project. A contractor cannot receive payment until the contractor has provided a certified copy of the bonds.¹⁵

OPPAGA Study of Retainage in December 2000

During the 2000 Legislative Session, the Legislature directed the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Governmental Accountability (OPPAGA) to conduct a study to evaluate retainage and other construction practices to determine if Florida construction law needed to be revised. At the time, the only contracts that had a statutory cap on retainage were contracts with the state that were worth \$200,000 or less, which was set at ten percent.

OPPAGA determined that putting a statutory cap on the amount a property owner could retain could become unfavorable to contractors and subcontractors because property owners and contractors may:

- Seek to protect their property by using alternate forms of leverage that are less favorable than the current system of retainage;
- Be less willing to take the risk associated with working with a new contractor or subcontractor; and
- Not allow an existing contractor or subcontractor to take on a project of an increased size.¹⁸

Proponents for lower retainage rates argue that requiring a performance bond is a reasonable substitute for retainage because a performance bond ensures the contractor will complete the project to the property owner's satisfaction, and will screen out contractors who are unable to perform a project.¹⁹

OPPAGA found that a performance bond was not a reasonable substitute for retainage because retainage served as an incentive for a contractor or subcontractor to complete their work in a timely fashion, and a performance bond only guarantees that a project will eventually be completed if a contractor defaults.²⁰

OPPAGA also found that a greater portion of risk associated with contracting had shifted from the contractor to the subcontractor. If a subcontractor performed work on a construction project, there could be delays, entirely outside of the subcontractor's control, which prevented the subcontractor from receiving retained money. This could result in the subcontractor waiting for an unreasonable amount of time to receive payment for work the subcontractor completed.²¹

OPPAGA indicated that subcontractors could try to mitigate this risk by planning for these funds not being immediately available or requiring that retained funds be placed in an interest bearing account.²²

¹³ American Home Assurance Co. v. Larkin General Hospital, Ltd., 593 So. 2d 195, 198 (Fla. 1992).

¹⁴ Everett Painting Company, Inc. v. Padula & Wadsworth Construction, Inc., 856 So. 2d 1059, 1062 (Fla. 4th DCA 2003).

¹⁵ S. 255.05(1), F.S.

¹⁶ OPPAGA supra note 3, at 1; Ch. 2000-372, Laws of Florida.

¹⁷ See generally Ch. 255, F.S. (2000).

¹⁸ OPPAGA supra note 3, at 3,

¹⁹ Bausman *supra* note 4, at 10.

²⁰ OPPAGA *supra* note 3, at 4.

²¹ OPPAGA supra note 3, at 2.

²² *Id.* at 2 and 7.

However, proponents for lower retainage rates argue that this reduces competition because smaller subcontractors cannot compete for projects because even though they might be capable of doing a project, they do not have the funds to plan for a high percentage of their earned money being retained. Proponents for lower retainage rates also argue that high retainage rates increase the cost of construction projects because contractors and subcontractors are required to charge more in order to ensure they can finance a project.²³

After the OPPAGA study was completed, the 2005 Legislature passed and the Governor signed into law the current rate of retainage for government entities. The maximum amount a government entity could retain from a progress payment was set at ten percent because that was the industry norm at that time.²⁴

Retainage Rates for Other States

According to the American Subcontractors Association, 43 states specify the maximum retainage percentage that the government may withhold from a payment to a contractor.²⁵ At least ten states, including Florida, Pennsylvania, and Georgia, cap the retainage percentage at ten percent.²⁶ At least twenty states, including North Carolina, New York, and Virginia, cap the retainage percentage at five percent.²⁷ South Carolina caps the retainage percentage rate at 3.5 percent.²⁸

Retainage Rate for the Federal Government

Federal law requires the federal government to pay a contractor the full amount of a progress payment. Retainage is not used without cause. However, a contracting officer may withhold a percentage of payment based on the officer's assessment of a contractor's past performance and the likelihood such performance will continue. If the officer determines a contractor has not made satisfactory progress, then the officer may retain a maximum of 10 percent of a payment or payments.²⁹

²³Bausman *supra* note 4, at 6.

²⁴ See House Analysis of 2005 House Bill 509 (April 22, 2005).

²⁵ American Subcontractors Association, Inc., *Retainage Law in the 50 States*, https://www.keglerbrown.com/content/uploads/2018/09/Retainage-Law-in-the-50-States-2018.pdf (last visited Nov. 13, 2019).

²⁶ Id.; 62 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 3921(a); Ga. Code Ann. § 13-10-80(b)(2)(A).

²⁷ *Id.*; N.C. Gen. Stat. § 143-134.1(b1); N.Y. State. Fin. Law § 9-139(f) (New York caps the retainage rate at 5% if the contractor obtains a performance bond); Va. Code Ann. § 2.2-4301 & 4333.

²⁸ *Id.*; S.C. Code Ann. § 11-35-3030(4).

²⁹ 48 C.F.R. § 32.103.

Effect of the Bill

The bill reduces the amount that a government entity may retain from a progress payment to a contractor for contracts worth more than \$200,000:

- from ten percent, when less than 50 percent of the project is complete, and five percent, when 50 percent or more of the project is complete,
- to five percent for the entire project.

The bill reduces the amount that the state may retain from a progress payment to a contractor, for contracts worth \$200,000 or less, from ten percent to five percent.

The bill reduces the amount that a municipality with a population of 25,000 or less and a county with a population of 100,000 or less may retain from a progress payment to a contractor, for contracts worth more than \$200,000, from ten percent to five percent.

The bill repeals:

- The ability of a contractor to request the government entity to release up to half of the retained amount after half of the project is completed; and
- The ability of a contractor to withhold more than five percent of each progress payment to his or her subcontractors after half of a project with a government entity is completed.

The bill specifies that the provisions do not apply to:

- DOT construction contracts authorized under ch. 337, F.S.; and
- Any contract for construction services entered into, pending approval, or advertised by a government entity, on or before October 1, 2020.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Revenues: None.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See Fiscal Comments.

STORAGE NAME: h0101z1.DOCX

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

See Fiscal Comments.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill may result in contractors and subcontractors who provide services in the construction of public projects for the state and local governments receiving more money in progress payments, which could result in more competition for public works projects and lower costs for the state and local governments.

According to DMS, there is no expected financial impact on the state because construction projects under \$100,000 would still require the state to retain 5% and DMS will still require contractors to obtain a performance bond for construction projects over \$100,000.30

³⁰ Florida Department of Management Services, Agency Analysis of 2020 House Bill 101, p. 4 (Oct. 5, 2020).