1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to private property rights protection; 3 amending s. 70.001, F.S.; revising legislative intent; revising notice of claim requirements for property 4 5 owners; revising procedures for determination of 6 compensation; creating a presumption that certain 7 settlements of claims apply to all similarly situated 8 residential properties within a political subdivision 9 under certain circumstances; authorizing property 10 owners to bring claims against governmental entities 11 in certain circumstances; providing that property 12 owners are not required to submit formal development applications or proceed through formal application 13 14 processes to bring claims in specified circumstances; amending s. 70.45, F.S.; providing a definition; 15 16 authorizing property owners to bring actions to declare prohibited exactions invalid; amending s. 17 70.51, F.S.; providing and revising definitions; 18 19 providing for resolution of disputes concerning comprehensive plan amendments under the Florida Land 20 21 Use and Environmental Dispute Resolution Act; revising requirements for initiating a proceeding under the 22 23 act; providing for an award of attorney fees and costs 24 to property owners who successfully bring actions to 25 compel a governmental entity to participate in certain

Page 1 of 37

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

proceedings; revising provisions concerning tolling of certain administrative proceedings; revising the time periods for a governmental entity to respond to a request for relief; requiring mediations to be conducted according to specified provisions; requiring the governmental entity's conduct in dispute resolution to be considered in determining whether regulatory efforts were unreasonable or unfairly burdened use of the property; revising the deadline for a magistrate to prepare and file a written recommendation; revising provisions concerning settlement agreements; specifying that a governmental entity has authority to rehear and reconsider certain actions pursuant to a special magistrate's recommendation; providing requirements for such rehearing and reconsideration; revising provisions concerning other remedies that may be pursued by a property owner; providing requirements for guidelines adopted by governmental entities for dispute resolution proceedings; specifying that certain settlement discussions are confidential; requiring that actions on proposed settlements be taken at open meetings; deleting obsolete language; amending s. 163.3181, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 337.25, F.S.; requiring the

Page 2 of 37

Department of Transportation to afford a right of first refusal to the previous property owner before disposing of property in certain circumstances; providing an effective date.

5556

51

52

53

54

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

5758

Section 1. Subsections (1), (4), (5), (6), and (11) of section 70.001, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

This act may be cited as the "Bert J. Harris, Jr.,

60 61

59

70.001 Private property rights protection.-

62 63

64 65 66

67 68 69

70

717273

74

75

Private Property Rights Protection Act." The Legislature recognizes that some laws, regulations, and ordinances of the state and political entities in the state, as applied, may inordinately burden, restrict, or limit private property rights without amounting to a taking under the State Constitution or the United States Constitution. The Legislature determines that there is an important state interest in protecting the interests of private property owners from such inordinate burdens. The Legislature further recognizes that it is in the public interest to ensure that all similarly situated residential properties are subject to the same rules and regulations. Therefore, it is the intent of the Legislature that, as a separate and distinct cause of action from the law of takings, the Legislature herein provides for relief, or payment of compensation, when a new law,

Page 3 of 37

rule, regulation, or ordinance of the state or a political entity in the state, as applied, unfairly affects real property.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

- Not less than 90 150 days before prior to filing an action under this section against a governmental entity, a property owner who seeks compensation under this section must present the claim in writing to the head of the governmental entity, except that if the property is classified as agricultural pursuant to s. 193.461, the notice period is 90 days. The property owner must submit, along with the claim, a bona fide, valid appraisal that supports the claim and demonstrates the loss in fair market value to the real property. If the action of government is the culmination of a process that involves more than one governmental entity, or if a complete resolution of all relevant issues, in the view of the property owner or in the view of a governmental entity to whom a claim is presented, requires the active participation of more than one governmental entity, the property owner shall present the claim as provided in this section to each of the governmental entities.
- (b) The governmental entity shall provide written notice of the claim to all parties to any administrative action that gave rise to the claim, and to owners of real property contiguous to the owner's property at the addresses listed on the most recent county tax rolls. Within 15 days after the claim is presented, the governmental entity shall report the claim in

writing to the Department of Legal Affairs, and shall provide the department with the name, address, and telephone number of the employee of the governmental entity from whom additional information may be obtained about the claim during the pendency of the claim and any subsequent judicial action.

- (c) During the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period, unless extended by agreement of the parties, the governmental entity shall make a written settlement offer to effectuate:
- 1. An adjustment of land development or permit standards or other provisions controlling the development or use of land.
- 2. Increases or modifications in the density, intensity, or use of areas of development.
 - 3. The transfer of developmental rights.
 - 4. Land swaps or exchanges.

101102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

- 5. Mitigation, including payments in lieu of onsite mitigation.
- 6. Location on the least sensitive portion of the property.
- 7. Conditioning the amount of development or use permitted.
- 8. A requirement that issues be addressed on a more comprehensive basis than a single proposed use or development.
- 9. Issuance of the development order, a variance, \underline{a} special exception, or \underline{any} other extraordinary relief.

Page 5 of 37

126 10. Purchase of the real property, or an interest therein, 127 by an appropriate governmental entity or payment of 128 compensation.

- 11. No changes to the action of the governmental entity.
- If the property owner accepts a settlement offer, either before or after filing an action, the governmental entity may implement the settlement offer by appropriate development agreement; by issuing a variance, a special exception, or any other extraordinary relief; or by any other appropriate method,

129

130

136

137

138139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

subject to paragraph (d).

- (d)1. When a governmental entity enters into a settlement agreement under this section which would have the effect of a modification, variance, or a special exception to the application of a rule, regulation, or ordinance as it would otherwise apply to the subject real property, the relief granted shall protect the public interest served by the regulations at issue and be the appropriate relief necessary to prevent the governmental regulatory effort from inordinately burdening the real property. Settlement offers made pursuant to paragraph (c) shall be presumed to protect the public interest.
- 2. When a governmental entity enters into a settlement agreement under this section which would have the effect of contravening the application of a statute as it would otherwise apply to the subject real property, the governmental entity and

Page 6 of 37

the property owner shall jointly file an action in the circuit court where the real property is located for approval of the settlement agreement by the court to ensure that the relief granted protects the public interest served by the statute at issue and is the appropriate relief necessary to prevent the governmental regulatory effort from inordinately burdening the real property.

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

3. When a residential property owner submits a claim under this section which is based on a governmental entity's application of a regulation or ordinance to more than one residential parcel, and the governmental entity reaches a settlement of such claim or the property owner secures a judgment declaring an inordinate burden under paragraph (6)(a), there shall be a presumption, rebuttable only by clear and convincing evidence, that similarly situated residential parcels, as evaluated on a parcel-by-parcel basis, have been inordinately burdened and are entitled to equivalent terms of settlement or a judicial determination of an inordinate burden. In such cases, the similarly situated residential property owners must submit the appraisal specified in paragraph (a) not less than 120 days before a trial on the merits of the damages portion of the proceedings pursuant to paragraph (6)(b). During the 90-day-notice period of such claims, the governmental entity is encouraged to negotiate terms of settlement consistent with settlement agreements for similarly situated residential

176 parcels.

This paragraph applies to any settlement reached between a property owner and a governmental entity regardless of when the settlement agreement was entered so long as the agreement fully resolves all claims asserted under this section.

- notice period, unless a settlement offer is accepted by the property owner, each of the governmental entities provided notice pursuant to subsection (4) paragraph (4)(a) shall issue a written statement of allowable uses identifying the allowable uses to which the subject property may be put. The failure of the governmental entity to issue a statement of allowable uses during the applicable 90-day-notice period or 150-day-notice period shall be deemed a denial for purposes of allowing a property owner to file an action in the circuit court under this section. If a written statement of allowable uses is issued, it constitutes the last prerequisite to judicial review for the purposes of the judicial proceeding created by this section, notwithstanding the availability of other administrative remedies.
- (b) If the property owner rejects the settlement offer and the statement of allowable uses of the governmental entity or entities, the property owner may file a claim for compensation in the circuit court, a copy of which shall be served

Page 8 of 37

contemporaneously on the head of each of the governmental entities that made a settlement offer and a statement of allowable uses that was rejected by the property owner. Actions under this section shall be brought only in the county where the real property is located.

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223224

225

(6)(a) The circuit court shall determine whether an existing use of the real property or a vested right to a specific use of the real property existed and, if so, whether, considering the settlement offer and statement of allowable uses, the governmental entity or entities have inordinately burdened the real property. If the actions of more than one governmental entity, considering any settlement offers and statement of allowable uses, are responsible for the action that imposed the inordinate burden on the real property of the property owner, the court shall determine the percentage of responsibility each such governmental entity bears with respect to the inordinate burden. A governmental entity may take an interlocutory appeal of the court's determination that the action of the governmental entity has resulted in an inordinate burden. An interlocutory appeal does not automatically stay the proceedings; however, the court may stay the proceedings during the pendency of the interlocutory appeal. If the governmental entity does not prevail in the interlocutory appeal, the court shall award to the prevailing property owner the costs and a reasonable attorney fee incurred by the property owner in the

interlocutory appeal.

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

Following its determination of the percentage of responsibility of each governmental entity, and following the resolution of any interlocutory appeal, the court shall impanel a jury to determine the total amount of compensation to the property owner for the loss in value due to the inordinate burden to the real property. The property owner retains the option to forego a jury and elect to have the court determine the award of compensation. The award of compensation shall be determined by calculating the difference in the fair market value of the real property, as it existed at the time of the governmental action at issue, as though the owner had the ability to attain the reasonable investment-backed expectation or was not left with uses that are unreasonable, whichever the case may be, and the fair market value of the real property, as it existed at the time of the governmental action at issue, as inordinately burdened, considering the settlement offer together with the statement of allowable uses, of the governmental entity or entities. In determining the award of compensation, consideration may not be given to business damages relative to any development, activity, or use that the action of the governmental entity or entities, considering the settlement offer together with the statement of allowable uses has restricted, limited, or prohibited. The award of compensation shall include a reasonable award of prejudgment interest from

Page 10 of 37

the date the claim was presented to the governmental entity or entities as provided in subsection (4).

- (c)1. In any action filed pursuant to this section, the property owner is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees incurred by the property owner, from the governmental entity or entities, according to their proportionate share as determined by the court, from the date of the claim with the governmental entity pursuant to paragraph (4) (a) filing of the circuit court action, if the property owner prevails in the action and the court determines that the settlement offer, including the statement of allowable uses, of the governmental entity or entities did not constitute a bona fide offer to the property owner which reasonably would have resolved the claim, based upon the knowledge available to the governmental entity or entities and the property owner during the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period.
- 2. In any action filed pursuant to this section, the governmental entity or entities are entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees incurred by the governmental entity or entities from the date of the filing of the circuit court action, if the governmental entity or entities prevail in the action and the court determines that the property owner did not accept a bona fide settlement offer, including the statement of allowable uses, which reasonably would have resolved the claim fairly to the property owner if the settlement offer had

Page 11 of 37

been accepted by the property owner, based upon the knowledge available to the governmental entity or entities and the property owner during the 90-day-notice period or the 150-day-notice period.

- 2.3. The determination of total reasonable costs and attorney fees pursuant to this paragraph shall be made by the court and not by the jury. Any proposed settlement offer or any proposed decision, except for the final written settlement offer or the final written statement of allowable uses, and any negotiations or rejections in regard to the formulation either of the settlement offer or the statement of allowable uses, are inadmissible in the subsequent proceeding established by this section except for the purposes of the determination pursuant to this paragraph.
- (d) Within 15 days after the execution of any settlement pursuant to this section, or the issuance of any judgment pursuant to this section, the governmental entity shall provide a copy of the settlement or judgment to the Department of Legal Affairs.
- (11) A cause of action may not be commenced under this section if the claim is presented more than 1 year after a law or regulation is first applied by the governmental entity to the property at issue.
- (a) For purposes of determining when this 1-year claim period accrues:

Page 12 of 37

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321322

323

324

325

1.a. A law or regulation is first applied upon enactment and notice as provided for in this sub-subparagraph subparagraph if the impact of the law or regulation on the real property is clear and unequivocal in its terms and notice is provided by mail to the affected property owner or registered agent at the address referenced in the jurisdiction's most current ad valorem tax records. The fact that the law or regulation could be modified, varied, or altered under any other process or procedure does not preclude the impact of the law or regulation on a property from being clear or unequivocal pursuant to this sub-subparagraph subparagraph. Any notice under this subsubparagraph shall be provided after the enactment of the law or regulation and shall inform the property owner or registered agent that the law or regulation may impact the property owner's existing property rights and that the property owner may have only 1 year from receipt of the notice to pursue any rights established under this section.

b. If the notice required in sub-subparagraph a. is not provided to the property owner, the property owner may at any time after enactment notify the governmental entity in writing that the property owner deems the impact of the law or regulation on the property owner's real property to be clear and unequivocal in its terms and, as such, restrictive of uses allowed on the property before the enactment. Within 45 days after receipt of a notice under this sub-subparagraph, the

Page 13 of 37

governmental entity in receipt of the notice must respond in writing to state whether the law or regulation is applicable to the real property in question and provide a description of the limitations imposed on the property by the law or regulation. If the governmental entity concludes that the law or regulation is applicable by imposing new limitations on the uses of the property, the property owner is not required to formally pursue an application for a development order, development permit, or building permit as such will be deemed a waste of resources and shall not be a prerequisite to bringing a claim pursuant to paragraph (4)(a). However, any such claim must be filed within 1 year after the date of the property owner's receipt of the notice from the governmental entity of the limitations on use imposed on the real property.

2. Otherwise, the law or regulation is first applied to

- 2. Otherwise, the law or regulation is first applied to the property when there is a formal denial of a written request for development or variance.
- Section 2. Paragraphs (c) through (e) of subsection (1) of section 70.45, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as paragraphs (d) through (f), respectively, a new paragraph (c) is added to that subsection, and subsections (2), (4), and (5) of that section are amended, to read:
 - 70.45 Governmental exactions.-

- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (c) "Imposed" or "imposition" as it relates to a

Page 14 of 37

prohibited exaction or condition of approval refers to the time at which the property owner must comply with the prohibited exaction or condition of approval.

- equity, a property owner may bring an action in a court of competent jurisdiction under this section to <u>declare a</u> <u>prohibited exaction invalid and</u> recover damages caused by a prohibited exaction. Such action may not be brought until a prohibited exaction is actually imposed or required in writing as a final condition of approval for the requested use of real property. The right to bring an action under this section may not be waived. This section does not apply to impact fees adopted under s. 163.31801 or non-ad valorem assessments as defined in s. 197.3632.
- (4) For each claim filed under this section, the governmental entity has the burden of proving that the challenged exaction has an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose and is roughly proportionate to the impacts of the proposed use that the governmental entity is seeking to avoid, minimize, or mitigate. The property owner has the burden of proving damages that result from a prohibited exaction.
- (5) The court may award attorney fees and costs to the prevailing party; however, if the court determines that the challenged exaction which is the subject of the claim lacks an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose, the court shall

Page 15 of 37

award attorney fees and costs to the property owner.

Section 3. Subsections (2), (3), and (4), paragraph (b) of subsection (5), paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of subsection (6), subsections (8), (10), (11), (12), and (13), paragraph (a) of subsection (15), paragraph (a) of subsection (16), and subsections (17), (18), (19), (20), (21), (24), (25), (26), (28), and (30) of section 70.51, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

- 70.51 Land use and environmental dispute resolution.-
- (2) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Comprehensive plan amendment" means a governmental action subject to s. 163.3181(4).

(b) (a) "Development order" means any order, or notice of proposed state or regional governmental agency action, which is or will have the effect of granting, denying, or granting with conditions an application for a development permit, and includes the rezoning of a specific parcel. Actions by the state or a local government on comprehensive plan amendments are not development orders.

(c) (b) "Development permit" means any building permit, zoning permit, subdivision approval, certification, special exception, variance, or any other similar action of local government, as well as any permit authorized to be issued under state law by state, regional, or local government which has the effect of authorizing the development of real property

Page 16 of 37

including, but not limited to, programs implementing chapters 125, 161, 163, 166, 187, 258, 372, 373, 378, 380, and 403.

- (d) "Enforcement action" means any civil or administrative action by a governmental entity intended to enforce any law, ordinance, regulation, rule, or policy related to the development or use of real property. The term includes, but is not limited to, any action taken under chapter 162, such as a notice of violation, order, or placement of a lien, or the service of a notice of violation or an order to correct a condition, or an equivalent action, by a state agency.
- (e)(f) "Governmental entity" includes an agency of the state, a regional or a local government created by the State Constitution or by general or special act, any county or municipality, or any other entity that independently exercises governmental authority. The term does not include the United States or any of its agencies.
- $\underline{\text{(f)}}$ "Land" or "real property" means land and includes any appurtenances and improvements to the land, including any other relevant real property in which the owner had a relevant interest.
- <u>(g) (d)</u> "Owner" means a person with a legal or equitable interest in real property who filed an application for a development permit for the property at the state, regional, or local level and who received a development order, who filed a comprehensive plan amendment, or who holds legal title to or who

Page 17 of 37

has a legal or equitable interest in real property that is subject, or is otherwise a person subject to, to an enforcement action of a governmental entity.

- (h) (e) "Proposed Use of the property" means the proposal filed by the owner to develop his or her real property or the actual use of the property giving rise to an enforcement action.
- (i) (e) "Special magistrate" means a person selected by the parties to perform the duties prescribed in this section. The special magistrate must be a resident of the state and possess experience and expertise in mediation and at least one of the following disciplines and a working familiarity with the others: land use and environmental permitting, land planning, land economics, local and state government organization and powers, and the law governing the same. A special magistrate is not required to be a certified mediator.
- (3) Any owner who believes that a development order, either separately or in conjunction with other development orders, a comprehensive plan amendment, or an enforcement action of a governmental entity τ is unreasonable or unfairly burdens the use of the owner's real property τ may apply within 30 days after receipt of the order or notice of the governmental action for relief under this section.
- (4) To initiate a proceeding under this section, an owner must file a request for relief with the elected or appointed head of the governmental entity that issued the development

Page 18 of 37

order or orders, denied the comprehensive plan amendment, or that initiated the enforcement action. Filing may be by electronic mail to the official email address of the head of the governmental entity, by hand delivery to such person, or by United States mail to such person at his or her official address. Formal service of process is not required for such filing. The process shall be considered initiated as of the date the petition is filed with the head of the governmental entity pursuant to this subsection. The head of the governmental entity may not charge the owner for the request for relief and must forward the request for relief to the special magistrate who is mutually agreed upon by the owner and the governmental entity within 10 days after receipt of the request.

- (5) The governmental entity with whom a request has been filed shall also serve a copy of the request for relief by United States mail or by hand delivery to:
- (b) Any substantially affected party who submitted oral or written testimony, sworn or unsworn, of a substantive nature which stated with particularity objections to or support for any development order, comprehensive plan amendment, at issue or enforcement action at issue. Notice under this paragraph is required only if that party indicated a desire to receive notice of any subsequent special magistrate proceedings occurring on the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action. Each governmental entity must maintain in

its files relating to <u>each</u> particular development <u>order</u>, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, <u>or enforcement action</u> orders a mailing list of persons who have presented oral or written testimony and who have requested notice.

(6) The request for relief must contain:

- (a) A brief statement of the owner's proposed use of the property.
- (b) A summary of the development order or comprehensive plan amendment or a description of the enforcement action. A copy of the development order or comprehensive plan amendment or the documentation of an enforcement action at issue must be attached to the request.
- (c) A brief statement of the impact of the development order, denial of the comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action on the ability of the owner to achieve the proposed use of the property.
- determine whether a request for relief is complete and was timely filed and may conduct a hearing on whether the request for relief should be dismissed for failing to include the information required in subsection (6). If the special magistrate dismisses the case, the special magistrate shall allow the owner to amend the request and refile. Failure to file an adequate amended request within the time specified shall result in a dismissal with prejudice as to this proceeding. A

Page 20 of 37

property owner who is successful in a suit to require a governmental entity to participate in a proceeding under this section shall be awarded attorney fees and costs.

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

(10)(a) Before initiating a special magistrate proceeding to review a local development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or local enforcement action, the owner must exhaust all nonjudicial local government administrative appeals if the appeals take no longer than 4 months. Once nonjudicial local administrative appeals are exhausted and the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is final, or within 4 months after issuance of the development order, denial of the comprehensive plan amendment, or notice of the enforcement action if the owner has pursued local administrative appeals even if the appeals have not been concluded, the owner may initiate a proceeding under this section. Initiation of a proceeding tolls the rendition or effectiveness of the development order, denial of the comprehensive plan amendment, time for seeking judicial review of a local government development order or enforcement action until the special magistrate's recommendation is acted upon by the local government. Election by the owner to file for judicial review of a local government development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action before prior to initiating a proceeding under this section waives any right to a special magistrate proceeding.

Page 21 of 37

- (b) If an owner requests special magistrate relief from a development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action issued by a state or regional agency, the time for challenging agency action under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 is tolled until the agency acts upon the recommendation of the special magistrate or the proceeding is terminated by the owner. If an owner chooses to bring a proceeding under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 before initiating a special magistrate proceeding, then the owner waives any right to a special magistrate proceeding unless all parties consent to proceeding to mediation.
- (11) The initial party to the proceeding is the governmental entity that issues the development order or comprehensive plan amendment to the owner or that is taking the enforcement action. In those instances when the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is the culmination of a process involving more than one governmental entity or when a complete resolution of all relevant issues would require the active participation of more than one governmental entity, the special magistrate may, upon application of a party, join those governmental entities as parties to the proceeding if it will assist in effecting the purposes of this section, and those governmental entities so joined shall actively participate in the procedure.
- (12) Within 21 days after the date of notice provided under subsection (5) receipt of the request for relief, any

Page 22 of 37

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

owner of land contiquous to the owner's property and any substantially affected person who submitted oral or written testimony, sworn or unsworn, of a substantive nature which stated with particularity objections to or support for the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action at issue may make a written request to participate in the hearing by transmitting such request to the official who signed the notice proceeding. Those persons may be permitted to participate in the hearing but shall not be granted party or intervenor status. The participation of such persons is limited to addressing issues raised regarding alternatives, variances, and other types of adjustment to the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action which may impact their substantial interests, including denial of the development order or comprehensive plan amendment or application of an enforcement action.

- (13) Each party must make efforts to assure that those persons qualified by training or experience necessary to address issues raised by the request or by the special magistrate and further qualified to address alternatives, variances, and other types of modifications to the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action are present at the hearing.
- (15) (a) The special magistrate shall hold a hearing within $60 \frac{45}{2}$ days after his or her receipt of the request for relief

Page 23 of 37

unless a different date is agreed to by all the parties. The hearing must be held in the county in which the property is located.

- magistrate is selected, or 21 days after the date on which the special magistrate is selected, or 21 days after the date on which the petition is served Fifteen days following the filing of a request for relief, whichever is earlier, the governmental entity that issued the development order or comprehensive plan amendment or that is taking the enforcement action shall file a response to the request for relief with the special magistrate together with a copy to the owner. The response must set forth in reasonable detail the position of the governmental entity regarding the matters alleged by the owner. The response must include a brief statement explaining the public purpose of the regulations on which the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is based.
- (17) In all respects, the hearing must be informal and open to the public and does not require the use of an attorney. The hearing must operate at the direction and under the supervision of the special magistrate. The object of the hearing is to focus attention on the impact of the governmental action giving rise to the request for relief and to explore alternatives to the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action and other regulatory efforts by the governmental entities in order to recommend relief, when

appropriate, to the owner.

- (a) The first responsibility of the special magistrate is to facilitate a resolution of the conflict between the owner and governmental entities to the end that some modification of the owner's proposed use of the property or adjustment in the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action or regulatory efforts by one or more of the governmental parties may be reached. Accordingly, the special magistrate shall act as a facilitator or mediator between the parties in an effort to effect a mutually acceptable solution. The parties shall be represented at the mediation by persons with authority to bind their respective parties to a solution, or by persons with authority to recommend a solution directly to the persons with authority to bind their respective parties to a solution. The mediation shall be conducted according to ss. 44.401-44.406.
- (b) If an acceptable solution is not reached by the parties after the special magistrate's attempt at mediation, the special magistrate shall consider the facts and circumstances set forth in the request for relief and any responses and any other information produced at the hearing in order to determine whether the action by the governmental entity or entities is unreasonable or unfairly burdens the real property.
- (c) In conducting the hearing, the special magistrate may hear from all parties and witnesses that are necessary to an understanding of the matter. The special magistrate shall weigh

Page 25 of 37

626 all information offered at the hearing.

- whether the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, or the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action in conjunction with regulatory efforts of other governmental parties, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens use of the property may include, but are not limited to:
- (a) The history of the real property, including when it was purchased, how much was purchased, where it is located, the nature of the title, the composition of the property, and how it was initially used.
- (b) The history or development and use of the real property, including what was developed on the property and by whom, if it was subdivided and how and to whom it was sold, whether plats were filed or recorded, and whether infrastructure and other public services or improvements may have been dedicated to the public.
- (c) The history of environmental protection and land use controls and other regulations, including how and when the land was classified, how use was proscribed, and what changes in classifications occurred.
- (d) The present nature and extent of the real property, including its natural and altered characteristics.
 - (e) The reasonable expectations of the owner at the time

Page 26 of 37

of acquisition, or immediately <u>before</u> prior to the implementation of the regulation at issue, whichever is later, under the regulations then in effect and under common law.

- (f) The public purpose sought to be achieved by the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, including the nature and magnitude of the problem addressed by the underlying regulations on which the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is based; whether the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action is necessary to the achievement of the public purpose; and whether there are alternative development orders, comprehensive plan amendments, or enforcement action conditions that would achieve the public purpose and allow for reduced restrictions on the use of the property.
- (g) Uses authorized for and restrictions placed on similar property.
- (h) Whether the governmental entity attempted to resolve the dispute in good faith, including, but not limited to, adhering to the deadlines provided in this section.
- $\underline{\text{(i)}}$ Any other information determined relevant by the special magistrate.
- (19) Within 14 days after the conclusion of the hearing, or when the parties propose a settlement agreement for entry by the special magistrate pursuant to subsection (22), the special

Page 27 of 37

magistrate shall prepare and file with all parties a written recommendation.

- (a) If the special magistrate finds <u>and concludes</u> that the development order at issue, or the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action in combination with the actions or regulations of other governmental entities, is not unreasonable or does not unfairly burden the use of the owner's property, the special magistrate must recommend that the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action remain undisturbed and the proceeding shall end, subject to the owner's retention of all other available remedies.
- (b) If the special magistrate finds <u>and concludes</u> that the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action, or the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action in combination with the actions or regulations of other governmental entities, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens use of the owner's property, the special magistrate, with the owner's consent to proceed, may recommend one or more alternatives that protect the public interest served by the development order, <u>comprehensive plan amendment</u>, or enforcement action and regulations at issue but allow for reduced restraints on the use of the owner's real property, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. An adjustment of land development or permit standards

Page 28 of 37

701 or other provisions controlling the development or use of land.

- 2. Increases or modifications in the density, intensity, or use of areas of development.
 - 3. The transfer of development rights.
 - 4. Land swaps or exchanges.

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718 719

720

721

722

723

724

725

- 5. Mitigation, including payments in lieu of onsite mitigation.
 - 6. Location on the least sensitive portion of the property.
 - 7. Conditioning the amount of development or use permitted.
- 8. A requirement that issues be addressed on a more comprehensive basis than a single proposed use or development.
- 9. Rehearing or reconsideration and issuance of the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action with or without modifications or additional stipulations, or a variance, special exception, or other extraordinary relief, including withdrawal of the enforcement action.
- 10. Purchase of the real property, or an interest therein, by an appropriate governmental entity.
- (c) If the parties reach a proposed settlement agreement at any time before the special magistrate enters a recommendation, which agreement may remain subject to approval by the governmental entity, the parties may request that the special magistrate transmit the settlement agreement to the

Page 29 of 37

726

727

728

729730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

governmental entity as the special magistrate's findings and recommendation for consideration and approval by the governmental entity, and the special magistrate need not include the findings or conclusions set forth in paragraph (a) or paragraph (b) This subsection does not prohibit the owner and governmental entity from entering into an agreement as to the permissible use of the property prior to the special magistrate entering a recommendation. An agreement for a permissible use must be incorporated in the special magistrate's recommendation. This section provides legislative authority for the governmental entity or tribunal to rehear and reconsider its prior action on a development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action pursuant to, and in consideration of, a special magistrate's recommendation regardless of whether existing statutes, rules, ordinances, or regulations provide for such a procedure. Any such rehearing or reconsideration shall be at a public hearing noticed and otherwise conducted in the same manner as the original hearing. The tribunal shall treat the special magistrate's findings, or a settlement agreement, as evidence for modification of its prior development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action, and shall provide an opportunity for any person who participated in the original hearing or the special magistrate's

Page 30 of 37

proceeding to provide additional evidence and testimony. The

tribunal's action on the special magistrate's recommendation

shall then become the final order on the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action.

- (20) The special magistrate's recommendation and findings are is a public record under chapter 119. However, actions or statements of all participants to the special magistrate mediation proceeding are evidence of an offer to compromise and inadmissible in any proceeding, judicial or administrative.
- (21) Within 45 days after receipt of the special magistrate's recommendation, the governmental entity responsible for the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action and other governmental entities participating in the proceeding must consult among themselves and each governmental entity must:
- (a) Accept or modify the recommendation of the special magistrate, including any proposed settlement agreement, as submitted and proceed to implement it by development agreement, when appropriate, by rehearing or reconsidering the development order or enforcement action, or by other method, in the ordinary course and consistent with the rules and procedures of that governmental entity. However, the decision of the governmental entity to accept the recommendation of the special magistrate with respect to rehearing or reconsidering the prior development order or enforcement action or granting a modification, variance, or special exception to the application of statutes, rules, regulations, or ordinances as they would otherwise apply

to the subject property does not require an owner to duplicate previous processes in which the owner has participated in order to effectuate the granting of the modification, variance, or special exception;

- (b) Modify the recommendation as submitted by the special magistrate and proceed to implement it by development agreement, when appropriate, or by other method, in the ordinary course and consistent with the rules and procedures of that governmental entity; or
- (b) (c) Reject the recommendation as submitted by the special magistrate. Failure to act within 45 days is a rejection unless the period is extended by agreement of the owner and issuer of the development order, comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action.
- (24) The procedure created by this section is not itself, nor does it create, a judicial cause of action. Once the governmental entity acts on the special magistrate's recommendation, the owner may <u>pursue whatever administrative or judicial remedies are applicable elect to file suit in a court of competent jurisdiction</u>. Invoking the procedures of this section is not a condition precedent to filing a civil action.
- (25) Regardless of the action the governmental entity takes on the special magistrate's <u>findings and</u> recommendation, a recommendation that the development order, comprehensive plan <u>amendment</u>, or enforcement action, or the development order,

Page 32 of 37

comprehensive plan amendment, or enforcement action in combination with other governmental regulatory actions, is unreasonable or unfairly burdens use of the owner's real property may serve as an indication of sufficient hardship to support waivers of or modification, variances, or special exceptions to the application of statutes, rules, regulations, or ordinances to the subject property, whether as a part of the implementation of the recommendation, in a subsequent application, or in an administrative or judicial challenge to the action of the governmental entity. However, the special magistrate's findings and recommendations are not preclusive to any issue or defense in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding.

- (26) A special magistrate's <u>findings and</u> recommendation under this section constitutes data in support of, and a support document for, a comprehensive plan or comprehensive plan amendment, but is not, in and of itself, dispositive of a determination of compliance with chapter 163.
- (28) Each governmental entity may establish procedural guidelines to govern the conduct of proceedings authorized by this section, which must include, but are not limited to, payment of special magistrate fees and expenses, including the costs of providing notice and effecting service of the request for relief under this section, which shall be borne equally by the governmental entities and the owner. Such guidelines may not

Page 33 of 37

modify the requirements and relief provided by this section in any way.

- regardless of whether the parties are engaged in pending litigation presently before a court or administrative agency, a governmental entity may conduct meetings following the procedures in s. 286.011(8) at any time after the governmental entity responds in writing to a request for relief to discuss settlement strategies, but shall not take action on a proposed settlement agreement except at a noticed public meeting This section applies only to development orders issued, modified, or amended, or to enforcement actions issued, on or after October 1, 1995.
- Section 4. Subsection (4) of section 163.3181, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 163.3181 Public participation in the comprehensive planning process; intent; alternative dispute resolution.—
- (4) If a local government denies an owner's request for an amendment to the comprehensive plan which is applicable to the property of the owner, the owner may initiate a dispute resolution proceeding under s. 70.51 the local government must afford an opportunity to the owner for informal mediation or other alternative dispute resolution. The costs of the mediation or other alternative dispute resolution shall be borne equally by the local government and the owner. If the owner requests

Page 34 of 37

mediation, the time for bringing a judicial action is tolled until the completion of the mediation or 120 days, whichever is earlier.

Section 5. Subsection (4) of section 337.25, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

851

852

853854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871872

873874

875

337.25 Acquisition, lease, and disposal of real and personal property.—

The department may convey, in the name of the state, any land, building, or other property, real or personal, which was acquired under subsection (1) and which the department has determined is not needed for the construction, operation, and maintenance of a transportation facility. When such a determination has been made, property may be disposed of through negotiations, sealed competitive bids, auctions, or any other means the department deems to be in its best interest, with due advertisement for property valued by the department at greater than \$10,000. A sale may not occur at a price less than the department's current estimate of value, except as provided in paragraphs (a)-(d). The department may afford a right of first refusal to the local government or other political subdivision in the jurisdiction in which the parcel is situated, except in a conveyance transacted under paragraph (a), paragraph (c), or paragraph (e). Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary, before any conveyance under this subsection may be made, except a conveyance under paragraph (a) or paragraph (c),

Page 35 of 37

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899900

the department shall first afford a right of first refusal to the previous property owner for the department's current estimate of value of the property. The right of first refusal shall be made in writing and sent to the previous owner via certified mail or hand delivery, effective upon receipt. The right of first refusal shall provide the previous owner with a minimum of 15 days to exercise the right in writing and sent to the originator of the offer via certified mail or hand delivery, effective upon dispatch. The previous owner shall have a minimum of 60 days after exercising its right of first refusal to close. If the previous owner does not exercise its right of first refusal, the department may not deviate in any material respect from the offer made to the previous owner unless it first provides the previous owner with the right of first refusal under the new terms. The same procedure shall apply to any subsequent iterations of the sale terms.

- (a) If the property has been donated to the state for transportation purposes and a transportation facility has not been constructed for at least 5 years, plans have not been prepared for the construction of such facility, and the property is not located in a transportation corridor, the governmental entity may authorize reconveyance of the donated property for no consideration to the original donor or the donor's heirs, successors, assigns, or representatives.
 - (b) If the property is to be used for a public purpose,

Page 36 of 37

the property may be conveyed without consideration to a governmental entity.

- (c) If the property was originally acquired specifically to provide replacement housing for persons displaced by transportation projects, the department may negotiate for the sale of such property as replacement housing. As compensation, the state shall receive at least its investment in such property or the department's current estimate of value, whichever is lower. It is expressly intended that this benefit be extended only to persons actually displaced by the project. Dispositions to any other person must be for at least the department's current estimate of value.
- (d) If the department determines that the property requires significant costs to be incurred or that continued ownership of the property exposes the department to significant liability risks, the department may use the projected maintenance costs over the next 10 years to offset the property's value in establishing a value for disposal of the property, even if that value is zero.
- (e) If, at the discretion of the department, a sale to a person other than an abutting property owner would be inequitable, the property may be sold to the abutting owner for the department's current estimate of value.
 - Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.

Page 37 of 37