The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

| pared By: The | Profession | al Staff of the C | ommittee on Childr | en, Families, and Elder Affairs | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| SB 604 | | | | | |
| Senator Bean | | | | | |
| Servicemembers Civil Relief Act | | | | | |
| January 17, | 2020 | REVISED: | | | |
| ANALYST | | DIRECTOR | REFERENCE | ACTION | |
| 1. Preston | | า | CF | Pre-meeting | |
| <u> </u> | | | JU | | |
| | | | RC | | |
| | SB 604 Senator Bea Servicemen January 17, | SB 604 Senator Bean Servicemembers Civi January 17, 2020 | SB 604 Senator Bean Servicemembers Civil Relief Act January 17, 2020 REVISED: | Senator Bean Servicemembers Civil Relief Act January 17, 2020 REVISED: OST STAFF DIRECTOR REFERENCE Hendon CF JU | |

I. Summary:

SB 604 amends current child welfare laws to address the federal Servicemenbers Civil Relief Act (SCRA). The bill amends the definition of the term "abandoned" or "abandonment" in ch. 39, F.S., to prohibit the absence of a parent, legal custodian, or caregiver responsible for a child's welfare who is a servicemember and who is deployed or anticipates being deployed from being considered or used as a factor in determining abandonment of a child.

The bill also amends current provisions to include the SCRA as one of the specific federal laws which ch. 39, F.S., does not supersede and requires the Department of Children and Families (DCF or department) to ensure that the SCRA is observed in cases where a parent is unable to take custody of his or her child or appear at a court proceeding in person because of his or her military service.

The bill does not have a fiscal impact on state or local governments and has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Abandonment

A child is considered abandoned if the parent, legal custodian, or caregiver, while being able, has made no significant contribution to the child's care and maintenance or has failed to establish or maintain a substantial and positive relationship with the child. The incarceration, repeated incarceration, or extended incarceration of a parent, legal custodian, or caregiver responsible for

¹ Section 39.01(1), F.S. Establish or maintain a substantial positive relationship," includes, but is not limited to, frequent and regular contact with the child through frequent and regular visitation or frequent and regular communication to or with the child, and the exercise of parental rights and responsibilities. Marginal efforts and incidental or token visits or communications are not sufficient to establish a substantial and positive relationship with the child.

a child's welfare may support a finding of abandonment. A surrendered newborn, a "child in need of services," or a "family in need of services" is not considered abandonment and will not meet the statutory definition required for a child protective investigation.² The incarceration, repeated incarceration, or extended incarceration of a parent, legal custodian, or caregiver responsible for a child's welfare may support a finding of abandonment. Currently, Florida's statutory definition of abandonment does not explicitly exclude deployment or anticipated deployment of a parent or caregiver from being considered when determining whether a child has been abandoned.

Upon verification that a child has been abandoned, the department may file a dependency petition and require the parents to engage in services to ameliorate the state's concerns and protect the child. During the delivery of services and with the court's supervision, the department may place the child in out-of-home care until reunification with the parent is in the child's best interest. Because a verified finding of abandonment establishes grounds for termination of parental rights, the department has the option to petition the court to terminate parental rights at any time.³

Federal Law vs. State Law

While primary responsibility for child welfare rests with states, a number of federal laws have been enacted that affect how states operate their child welfare systems. Section 39.0137, F.S., specifically provides that ch. 39, F.S., does not supersede the requirements of the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA)⁴ and the Multi-Ethnic Placement Act (MEPA).⁵

Legal Provisions Protecting Servicemembers

The Servicemembers Civil Relief Act is a federal law that applies to civil proceedings. The act protects servicemembers by allowing for the temporary suspension of judicial and administrative proceedings and transactions that may adversely affect the civil rights of servicemembers during their military service. The SCRA allows a servicemember to request a delay in court or agency proceeding that may affect his or her rights if harm would result if the case were not delayed.

While the SCRA applies to all civil proceedings, the SCRA was amended in 2014 and 2016 to provide servicemembers with additional protections in child custody proceedings. Child custody may become an issue in a child welfare proceeding if the court is determining which parent should have custody over the child when the other parent cannot protect the child's safety and well-being. The act protects servicemembers from losing custody of their children due to military deployment and prohibits state courts from considering current or future deployments as the sole factor in determining the best interest of the child when contemplating a permanent change in child custody.⁷

² Section 39.01(1), F.S.

³ Section 39.806(1), F.S.

⁴ 25 U.S.C. ss. 1901, et seq.

⁵ Pub. L. No. 103-382.

⁶ Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, 50 U.S.C. s. 3902.

⁷ Servicemembers Civil Relief Act, 50 U.S.C. s. 3938

Servicemembers must also receive notice both annually and prior to deployment of the child custody protections under the SCRA and courts are to construe the SCRA liberally in favor of servicemembers.

States may provide more protections to servicemembers⁸ than what is provided under the SCRA, and Florida law provides for some. For example, because the SCRA only applies to call-ups by the president, Florida law expands SCRA protections to governor-ordered National Guard call-ups if the service exceeds 17 days. In these situations, the court may stay any civil action or proceeding up to 30 days on its own motion, and must stay the proceeding upon motions of either party, unless it finds the ability to prosecute or defend the action is not materially affected by reason of the active duty status. Florida law also allows active duty servicemembers to terminate real estate contracts if certain requirements are met.⁹

As a federal law, the SCRA supersedes state law even if not explicitly referenced in state law. Currently, the SCRA is explicitly cited in several Florida statutes that provide:

- If one of the parties to a divorce has performed at least 10 years of credible service in the military and the division of marital property includes division of military retirement benefits, then the final judgement must include certification that the SCRA was observed if the decree was issued while the member was on active duty and was not represented in court. 10
- Unless prohibited by the SCRA, the court may issue a temporary order granting custodial responsibility after a deploying parent receives notice of deployment; however, the temporary custody may only last until the deployment terminates.¹¹
- A court may modify or terminate a temporary grant of custodial responsibility on motion of a deploying parent if modification or termination is consistent with the SCRA and is in child's best interest.¹²
- If any other provision of law conflicts with the SCRA or the provisions of Florida law related to military affairs, the SCRA or the provisions of Florida law related to military affairs, whichever is applicable, shall control.¹³

Currently, Florida's child welfare statutes do not specifically state that the SCRA prevails over state law. However, because the SCRA is a federal law, it will supersede state law even if it is not explicitly stated in Florida's child welfare law.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 39.01, F.S., related to definitions, to amend the definition of the term "abandoned" or "abandonment", to add that the absence of a parent, legal custodian, or caregiver responsible for a child's welfare, who is a servicemember by reason of deployment or anticipated

⁸ Florida statutes define "servicemember" as any person serving as a member of the United States Armed Forces on active duty or state active duty and all members of the Florida National Guard and United States Reserve Forces.

⁹ Section 250.5201, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 61.016(2), F.S.

¹¹ Section 61.733(1), F.S.

¹² Section 61.749(1), F.S.

¹³ Section 250.83, F.S..

deployment, as defined in 50 U.S.C. s. 3938(e)¹⁴ may not be considered or used as a factor in determining abandonment of a child.

Section 2 amends s. 39.0137, F.S., relating to federal law and rulemaking authority, to include the SCRA as one of the specific federal laws which ch. 39, F.S., does not supersede and requires the department to ensure that the SCRA is observed in cases where a parent is unable to take custody of his or her child or appear at a court proceeding in person because of his or her military service. While dependency attorneys and judges are currently obligated to abide by the SCRA, including this language in statute may ensure that compliance with the SCRA happens sooner or to a greater degree.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2020.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.

| | None. |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| B. | Public Records/Open Meetings Issues: |
| | None. |
| C. | Trust Funds Restrictions: |

Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

_

¹⁴ 50 U.S.C. s. 3938(e) defines "deployment" as the movement or mobilization of a servicemember to a location for a period of longer than 60 days and not longer than 540 days pursuant to temporary or permanent official orders that are designated as unaccompanied for which dependent travel is not authorized or that otherwise do not permit the movement of family members to that location.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

While the current definition of "abandoned" or "abandonment" applies to parents, legal custodians, and caregivers, the new provisions in lines 53-56 of the bill only refer to parents.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends ss. 39.01 and 39.0137 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.