# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Rules								
BILL:	SB 7008							
INTRODUCER:	Education Committee							
SUBJECT:	OGSR/Animal Medical Records/State College of Veterinary Medicine							
DATE:	February 2	28, 2020	REVISED:					
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE	ACTION			
Brick		Sikes			<b>ED Submitted as Committee Bill</b>			
. Ponder		McVaney		GO	Favorable			
2. Brick		Phelps		RC	Pre-meeting			

# I. Summary:

SB 7008 amends s. 474.2167, Florida Statutes, to save from repeal the current public records exemption for animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association Council on Education, by removing the scheduled October 2, 2020, repeal date.

The bill continues to maintain certain medical records as confidential and exempt from public inspection and copying. The affected records include a medical record generated by or transferred to an accredited state college of veterinary medicine which relates to diagnosing the medical condition of an animal; prescribing, dispensing, or administering drugs, medicine, appliances, applications, or treatment of whatever nature for the prevention, cure, or relief of a wound, fracture, bodily injury, or disease of an animal; or performing a manual procedure for the diagnosis of or treatment for pregnancy, fertility, or infertility of an animal. These confidential medical records may be disclosed to another governmental entity in the performance of its duties and responsibilities, or to others with consent of the client.

The bill is not expected to impact state and local revenues and expenditures.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### **Access to Public Records - Generally**

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.<sup>1</sup> The right to inspect or copy applies

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.<sup>2</sup>

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.<sup>3</sup> Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.<sup>4</sup> Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

# **Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act**

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.<sup>5</sup>

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.<sup>6</sup> The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of "public record" to include "material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.<sup>7</sup>

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.<sup>8</sup> A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.<sup>9</sup>

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate. <sup>10</sup> The exemption must state

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Rule 1.48, Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate, (2018-2020) and Rule 14.1, Rules of the Florida House of Representatives, Edition 2, (2018-2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.<sup>11</sup>

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. <sup>12</sup> Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program. <sup>13</sup>

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "exempt" or "confidential and exempt." Custodians of records designated as "exempt" are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record. Custodians of records designated as "confidential and exempt" may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature. 15

## **Open Government Sunset Review Act**

The Open Government Sunset Review Act<sup>16</sup> (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended<sup>17</sup> public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions.<sup>18</sup> It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.<sup>19</sup>

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. <sup>20</sup> An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

• It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.,* 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.,* 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 119.15(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

• It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;<sup>22</sup> or

• It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets. <sup>23</sup>

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.<sup>24</sup> In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.<sup>25</sup> If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.<sup>26</sup>

#### **Confidentiality of Animal Medical Records**

Section 474.2165, F.S., prohibits the disclosure of records or information concerning the medical condition of a patient of veterinary medical services to any person other than the client or the client's legal representative or other veterinarians involved in the care or treatment of the patient, except upon written authorization of the client. However, such records may be furnished without written authorization under the following circumstances:<sup>27</sup>

- To any person, firm, or corporation that has procured or furnished such examination or treatment with the client's consent.
- In any civil or criminal action, unless otherwise prohibited by law, upon the issuance of a subpoena from a court of competent jurisdiction and proper notice to the client or the client's legal representative by the party seeking such records.
- For statistical and scientific research, provided the information is abstracted in such a way as to protect the identity of the patient and the client, or provided written permission is received from the client or the client's legal representative.

Section 474.2167, F.S., provides an exemption from public record disclosure requirements for animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See generally s. 119.15, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 119.15(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Section 474.2165(4), F.S.

accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Council on Education.<sup>28</sup> Confidential and exempt animal medical records may be disclosed to another governmental entity in the performance of its duties and responsibilities and in accordance with the existing laws governing veterinary medical records at a private clinic.

Section 474.2167, F.S., provides for future review and repeal of the public records exemption on October 2, 2020.

Chapter 2015-62, L.O.F., which established the exemption from public record disclosure requirements for animal medical records, included a public necessity statement that provided the rational for the exemption. This rationale recognized that the release of such animal medical records compromises the confidentiality protections otherwise afforded the owners of such animals treated by licensed veterinarians in this state. Furthermore, this exemption permits a state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education to effectively and efficiently carry out its mission to educate students in veterinary medicine.<sup>29</sup>

## **Open Government Sunset Review Findings and Recommendations**

In June 2019, the Senate Education Committee and the House Oversight, Transparency & Public Management Subcommittee, in consultation with the Florida Board of Governors, sent an Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire to the University of Florida (UF) College of Veterinary Medicine, which is the only state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AVMA Council on Education.<sup>30</sup>

The UF College of Veterinary Medicine responded that it achieves its core business of training the next generation of veterinarians through clinical teaching material provided by the animals that visit the UF Veterinary Hospital.<sup>31</sup> If the exemption is repealed, the UF Veterinary Hospital would be the only veterinary medical practice in the State of Florida without confidentiality protections for records and information concerning veterinary medical services. The UF College of Veterinary Medicine recommended the exemption be reenacted to enable the continued training of the next generation of veterinarians who will meet the future needs of animal owners in Florida.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 7008 saves from repeal the current public records exemption relating to animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The AVMA Council on Education is recognized by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation as the accrediting body for schools and programs that offer the professional Doctor of Veterinary Medicine degree (or its equivalent) in the US and Canada, and may also approve foreign veterinary colleges. *See* 

https://www.avma.org/professionaldevelopment/education/accreditation/colleges/pages/coe-pp-overview-of-the-coe.aspx (last visited Aug. 2, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Ch. 2015-62, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Email, Florida Board of Governors (June 27, 2019); and University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, *Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire (Animal Medical Records)* (July 8, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, *Open Government Sunset Review Questionnaire* (*Animal Medical Records*) (July 8, 2019), on file with the Committee on Education.

AVMA Council on Education, by removing the scheduled repeal date. These records will continue to be confidential and exempt from public disclosure beyond October 2, 2020.

The bill takes effect October 1, 2020.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

# A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The bill does not require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

# B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

#### Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill continues a current public records exemption beyond its current date of repeal. The bill does not create or expand an exemption. Thus, the bill does not require an extraordinary vote for enactment.

# **Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. This bill continues a current public records exemption without expansion. Thus, a statement of public necessity is not required.

#### Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect the confidentiality of animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education. This bill exempts only animal medical records held by or transferred to any state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AVMA Council on Education from the public records requirements. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

$\sim$	Truct	Eundo	$D \sim c$	triationa
U.	Hust	runus	Res	trictions:

None.

#### D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

_			_
E.	O+h ~ "	Constitutional	100
_	CHUCK	t .ongiiiiiiionai	1661146

None identified.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

#### A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

# B. Private Sector Impact:

The private sector will continue to be subject to the cost, to the extent imposed, associated with a state college of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education making redactions and/or making copies in response to public records requests.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

State colleges of veterinary medicine accredited by the AMVA Council on Education will continue to incur costs related to the redaction of records and copying costs associated with responding to public records requests.

## VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 474.2167 of the Florida Statutes.

## IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.