1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	CONGRESSIONAL REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING
12	MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2011
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Transcribed by:
21	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
22	Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	

1	TAPED PROCEEDINGS
2	REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Members, let's call
3	the Congressional Redistricting Subcommittee to
4	order.
5	Katie, will you call the roll?
6	THE CLERK: Representatives Abruzzo?
7	REPRESENTATIVE ABRUZZO: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Albritton?
9	REPRESENTATIVE ALBRITTON: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Brodeur?
11	REPRESENTATIVE BRODEUR: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Burgin?
13	REPRESENTATIVE BURGIN: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Chestnut?
15	REPRESENTATIVE CHESTNUT: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Fullwood?
17	Goodson?
18	REPRESENTATIVE GOODSON: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Holder?
20	Horner?
21	REPRESENTATIVE HORNER: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Passidomo?
23	REPRESENTATIVE PASSIDOMO: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Plakon?
25	REPRESENTATIVE PLAKON: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Reed?
2	REPRESENTATIVE REED: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Taylor?
4	REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Trujillo?
6	Chair Legg?
7	REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Quorum is present.
9	REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Thank you, Katie.
10	Members, as a reminder, if you would turn
11	off your cell phones since they interfere with
12	the mike, and I hope you are enjoying this
13	weather that we brought down from Tampa for you
14	guys to enjoy here.
15	So, members, much like our last meeting,

16 the bulk of today's meeting will consist of 17 staff presentation and opportunities for your 18 feedback and input regarding public testimony 19 for redistricting.

At our last meeting, staff summarized for us what was heard in our first four regions we visited this summer, including written input about those same four regions and any partial maps that have been submitted which impact those regions.

1 Today's meetings will look similar to 2 that, with staff presenting public input 3 received from the fifth region, southwest 4 Florida, and any complete or near complete maps 5 submitted by the public.

6 Members, regarding that packet, you have 7 it in front of you right now. This is the same 8 packet of information that other subcommittees will receive today. In tab two will be the 9 10 subject of what our analyst, Jeff Takacs, is 11 presenting today. As a reminder, all this information will also be available on 12 www.floridaredistricting.org for anyone in the 13 public to review. 14

With that, Mr. Takacs, you are recognized
to present the summary of the public input from
southwest Florida.

18 MR. TAKACS: Thank you very much, Mr.19 Chairman.

20 What I would like to do before we get 21 started walking through the --

22 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Is your mike on?23 Hello? There you go.

24 MR. TAKACS: I have to get closer to it.
25 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: You're still not on.

MR. TAKACS: How about now? Hello, hello,
 testing.

3 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: There you go. 4 MR. TAKACS: It is on. What I would like to do -- thank 5 Okay. you, Mr. Chairman. What I would like to do 6 before we start walking through the fifth and 7 8 final region of the public input is to kind of 9 talk to you a little bit about -- as you are 10 looking at the screen, if you are following 11 along in the book, then we can coordinate 12 together.

As you look at this very first example, you will see in the upper left corner of the slide, it says "SW-1." If you are following along in the book, you will look to that code in the upper left corner as we kind of go through the presentation.

We are actually not going through all of the actual items in your packet, but for the sake of time, we're going to kind of skip and go through some of the highlights. So if you are following along in the book, if you will look to that upper left code; in this instance, SW-1 is the first one. Of course, if you are

following along with what is on the screen, you can just kind of watch as we move forward.

1

2

So with the first example here, we are 3 looking at two Congressional districts in 4 Hillsborough County. The testimony that was 5 6 given suggested I-75 as the dividing line 7 between east and west Hillsborough County, with 8 also the suggestion for that eastern portion to 9 actually continue up into eastern Pasco County 10 to complete that district.

11 Thinking about the districts as they appear on the screen, that western district, 12 the green district, is actually too big for the 13 ideal population of a Congressional district, 14 while the eastern district, the brown district 15 16 there, is actually too small for the ideal population of a Congressional district. So 17 adjustments would have to be made to both 18 districts to meet the ideal population for a 19 20 Congressional district.

21 Moving along to the next item, which is 22 SW-3, this addresses the 11th Congressional 23 District. There was testimony supporting that 24 Manatee and Pinellas Counties both be removed 25 from the district. You can see here on the

screen where the Pinellas County and Manatee
 County portions, one kind of scoops up, the
 other kind of scoops down.

You will also notice on the screen there 4 are three communities that are circled there, 5 6 the Westchase community, the Carrollwood 7 community, as well as Brandon. It was 8 suggested in the testimony that, you know, 9 thinking of removing Pinellas and Manatee 10 Counties from the district, you would need to 11 have more population for that district.

12 So it was suggested that those three 13 communities be absorbed into the 11th 14 Congressional District as a possible way to 15 meet that population.

16 Next also talks about the Brandon 17 community. There was testimony supporting that Brandon actually be linked with a Congressional 18 district. That is in eastern Hillsborough 19 20 County. As you can see on the screen, they are 21 currently in the 12th Congressional District, which is more linked towards Polk County. 22 So as you can see, there was testimony 23

there supporting that actually Brandon beincorporated into eastern Hillsborough County.

Whether that would be in the 9th Congressional
 District or another district altogether wasn't
 specified.

4 It is also important to note, as we think about the last meeting that we had, there were 5 6 several communities that we didn't have 7 parameters for, thinking about, you know, 8 someone would say, "Well, I think the community 9 of Brandon should be into a district." We 10 don't have actually what the parameters of that 11 community might be. That is why it is kind of circled here on the slide. 12

And, members, obviously, we would love to have your input if you represent that community or know more about that community, would love to get a better understanding of what that -what that community might look like, so that is what that example would look like.

Moving on, this is a pretty unique testimony that we received in the Largo meeting. It is talking about keeping small cities together within districts. This was actually someone from a small city, it was actually the Mayor of South Pasadena who made this recommendation.

1 The challenge here for us is that the actual definition of a small city wasn't given 2 in the testimony. The Mayor talked about maybe 3 4 cities with 50 or less employees. You know, obviously, we are all census driven here in 5 6 redistricting, so we might need to look towards 7 populations as far as how to define small 8 cities, but that was a suggestion, keeping 9 multiple cities together within a district.

10 Moving along to SW-12, this was to keep 11 Sarasota and Manatee Counties together within a 12 Congressional district. It is important to 13 note that when you do combine both of those 14 counties, you actually do go over the ideal 15 population for a Congressional district by 16 5,937.

17 So you would have to make some minor 18 adjustments to meet that population for that 19 district, but that's what those two counties 20 combined together would look like.

21 Next is the concept of keeping barrier 22 islands together within a district. As you can 23 see here on the slide, this is the barrier 24 island chain of Manatee County. It is kind of 25 in that red box there. Thinking about the

barrier islands, if you were to create a district like that using these functional, compact communities, it would create, you know, kind of a longer, thin district that might cross several county boundary lines, but that, you know, obviously is an option that would be there for you.

8 Next is a district that was talked about 9 quite a bit during several meetings, and this 10 is the idea of connecting Charlotte County with 11 western coastal communities as opposed to an 12 eastern coastal community.

On the screen, you will see Congressional 13 14 District 16. This is more of an issue --15 thinking about Charlotte County specifically, 16 if you notice in western Charlotte County, there are a couple of Congressional districts 17 18 that do encompass western Charlotte County, but this would be more of the eastern Charlotte 19 20 County portion that would prefer to be linked 21 to the county -- to the districts north or 22 south of them versus a district that, you know, 23 spans the state and goes into the eastern 24 coastal communities.

25 Next, thinking about SW-22, this is the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 10

community of Lakewood Ranch. They would like
 to be whole within this process. Lakewood
 Ranch is actually located east of I-75 in
 Manatee County. You will notice on the actual
 slide there, there is a circle there on the map
 as we were looking to do research for today's
 meeting.

8 Looking in the map, the actual Lakewood 9 Ranch could not be found. We know it exists, 10 and, again, this would be where, if you were 11 representing that area or knew more about that 12 specific community, we would love to get input 13 as to what the parameters of Lakewood Ranch 14 would look like.

We do know it is east of I-75, south of
301 and north of 64 in eastern Pasco -- I mean,
eastern Manatee County.

18 Next is talking about having more Hispanic representation in Collier County. Currently 19 20 thinking about the Congressional districts, 21 there is one member of Congress in Collier 22 County that is Hispanic. The county as a whole, the Hispanic voting age population is 23 24 21.9 percent. What you will see on the screen 25 is looking at VTDs, these are all of the VTDs

that have a Hispanic voting age population of
 30 percent or greater.

3 So you can see the various concentrations 4 there across the county. Thinking about 5 Collier County, it is important to note that 6 the county is a covered jurisdiction under 7 Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act.

8 Next is SW-29, which is keep Lehigh Acres 9 whole. This was a kind of a real popular theme 10 that we had in the Lehigh Acres meeting, which 11 was the concept of feeling the separation 12 between east and west Lee County. Lehigh Acres 13 is a high growth area, and, again, they asked 14 to remain whole in this process.

15 It is an unincorporated community within 16 Lee County, so, again, looking for parameters 17 of how to actually define Lehigh Acres would be 18 greatly appreciated.

Moving next, we did talk a little bit about Congressional District 16. There was a lot of testimony in favor of eliminating districts that cross the state. The one on this screen is actually Senate District 27, but, again, thinking about Congressional

25 District 16 was a district that was spoken of

quite a bit in this context. It may sound a
 little silly, but the definition of crossing
 the state wasn't given.

4 Someone who wanted to get really technical could say, well, this doesn't actually cross 5 6 the entire state, there are pieces on both the 7 east and west extremities that are not within 8 that district, but thinking about generally 9 what the folks were discussing was Congressional District 16 or districts that 10 11 look like Senate District 27.

12 Next is connecting the community of Estero with the City of Bonita Springs in Lee County. 13 14 You can see here on the screen, that southern area where we have the green district started 15 16 is all of Bonita Springs kept whole, and you will see just to the north of it there on 45 is 17 18 the Estero community; again, another example of a community where we are looking for the 19 parameters of what that would look like. 20 But 21 that would be the beginning of a district if one were to go that route. 22

The next couple of slides are talking
about Hendry County, which is a covered
jurisdiction under Section 5 of the Voting

Rights Act. The first piece of testimony we
 received would actually recommend that the
 county be split into at least two districts.
 One would have the City of Clewiston connected
 with an eastern district, while the City of
 LaBelle would be connected to a western
 district.

8 Obviously that is something that is at 9 your disposal as far as review, but that was 10 the concept of how that county could be split 11 into two if you wanted to make that policy 12 decision.

Counter to that, we also did receive 13 testimony that would ask to keep Hendry County 14 15 whole in this process. That is what Hendry 16 County looks like. Hendry County's population is 39,140, obviously far short of the ideal 17 population for a Congressional district, but 18 obviously it could be kept whole in this 19 20 process if you chose to do so and connect it 21 with other communities.

22 Next is the concept of keeping the City of 23 Dunedin whole within one district. You can see 24 there on your screen what the City of Dunedin 25 looks like in Pinellas County. Like we talked

about in our last meeting, when you think about
having an entire city within a district,
sometimes doing so creates holes or
non-contiguous pieces of land that would have
to be addressed if it were to be completed as a
legislative district, and this would happen
here with the City of Dunedin.

8 Next, SW-47 is maintaining the 9 representation for the black community in St. 10 Petersburg and Pinellas County. You can see 11 here on the screen, this is all of the VTDs 12 with a 35 percent black VAP or higher. Specifically this testimony was talking about 13 St. Petersburg, so if you look there on the 14 screen, you can see the southern St. Petersburg 15 16 area there where the VTDs are shaded, showing the concentration of that community within that 17 18 city.

And lastly is SW-53, which was a partial map that we received from a member of the public, which kind of deals with multiple southwest and southern congressional districts. If you look at the screen, you know, of the six districts that are drawn, the blue district to the top, the brown one just to the southeast of

1 it and then the very southern district -- on their map is Districts 2, 3 and 5 -- those were 2 the districts that came the closest to the 3 4 ideal population as far as population deviation, while the other three were 5 6 probably -- the thought process was just to get 7 those districts started. Those populations are 8 far off of what the ideal population would be, 9 but that is what that map would look like as 10 submitted by a member of the public. 11 Mr. Chairman, that concludes my 12 presentation. 13 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Thank you very much. Questions from members? Questions? 14 15 Representative. 16 REPRESENTATIVE CHESTNUT: Thank you, Mr. 17 Chair. Did we receive any comments in regards to Port Charlotte, the Punta Gorda area? 18 19 MR. TAKACS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 Specifically those cities, I would have to 21 go back and look at the packet. There is 22 nothing that is jumping out at me at this moment, but I would love to go back and review 23 24 the entire packet for you and we can certainly 25 get back with you.

REPRESENTATIVE CHESTNUT: Thank you, Mr.
 Chair.
 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Further questions?

Further questions? Representative Goodson. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE GOODSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 7 Have you received a map that -- any map 8 that has the correct number in that map that's been turned in as far as voters? 9 10 MR. TAKACS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Are you talking about the ideal population 12 in this region? REPRESENTATIVE GOODSON: Yes, in this 13 14 region. MR. TAKACS: I believe that we have, and I 15 16 know that -- thinking about more presentations, 17 we are actually going to have another 18 presentation following me. Mr. Bob West, our policy chief, is going to talk about all of the 19 20 statewide submitted maps that have been 21 submitted to us. We are just kind of -- these 22 are the partially submitted maps, and he is going to go into detail of maps that were 23

24 submitted that encompass the entire state of 25 Florida.

1 REPRESENTATIVE GOODSON: Thank you. 2 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: With that, seeing no further questions, I will seque right into our 3 4 policy chief, Mr. Bob West. He is going to give us a presentation regarding the complete 5 congressional maps that were submitted to the 6 7 Legislature thus far. We have heard 8 presentations remarked thus far regarding 9 partial maps, so now we are going to spend some 10 devoted time to those maps that Florida 11 residents took time to complete in full. So with that, members, if you can turn to tab 12 three in your packet, and Mr. West, the floor 13 14 is yours.

15 MR. WEST: Thank you.

16 Well, today what I am going to do is go 17 through the different maps and just give you a 18 little highlight from each map, and then at the 19 end we will sort of bring together some --20 summarize some of that material at the end.

21 Up to this point, we've had 68 maps. Over 22 the weekend, we had several other maps, maybe 23 about four maps, and then we also have three 24 maps that are not in this presentation that 25 came in after we did the presentation.

And also, as you go through the maps, you will see where we list the person's name and where they are from, and sometimes that helps you know the perspective that they are coming from for each of the maps.

6 Our first map that we have here is from 7 Mr. Ortiz. He created this map -- it looks 8 like he did not -- he favored compactness over 9 whole counties. He is one of the only maps to 10 create all three minority-majority districts 11 for the black community.

12 And also in this map, if you look -- in 13 the past there's been quite a bit of thought 14 about keeping the Space Coast, Port Everglades 15 and the tourist areas in Orlando together. He 16 doesn't do that in this map.

He also creates three majority-minority Hispanic seats in this map. And then in Hendry County, he takes in just a little piece of it without actually taking in any population, and he drops that out, which Hendry County is a Section 5 county, and that is something that I think we are going to have to look at.

He did not appear to draw the map with VTDs, he sort of ignored VTDs completely, but

he did obtain a deviation of just plus or minus
 one person, which is -- takes a lot of time to
 do.

This is one of two maps by John Libby. He has the lowest number of counties split at 19, and also one of the lower numbers of VTDs at 84, so he's really tried to keep those communities together, and he only has a deviation of three people. But this map does eliminate two of the majority black seats.

11 Now, the next map, this was also another map by Mr. Kelly. He said in his intent for 12 this map is he wanted to avoid retrogression, 13 but he did eliminate two of the black seats in 14 this map. His map five creates three black 15 16 seats that are all in the 30s in terms of black Between the two maps, five -- four here 17 VAP. that you see, and five, the deviation -- he 18 does not split any VTDs. And he also tried to 19 link together similar communities and to keep 20 21 communities whole.

In five, he does something that is rather unique, and if you look in the center of the state, he has a district that holds Marion and Alachua together in a set of -- in one

1 district, and then Lake County in a district of 2 its own, which when you are building maps, a lot of times as you come into the center, that 3 is where you break up your districts. 4 Number six: This map was drawn 5 6 exclusively with VTDs, but the deviation on 7 this map is like 20,000 people, so he didn't do 8 a real good job of keeping the districts really 9 the same. This plan also reduces the black 10 majority-minority districts, and it tries to 11 locate a lot of your districts -- the plan appears to try to locate districts entirely or 12 at least the majority of the districts in each 13 14 major city. In the southwest, the plan uniquely creates a district that goes from 15 16 Naples through most of the rural counties of In the southwest, the plan also 17 south Florida. 18 creates another unique district that goes from the Keys up through the more rural communities 19 of Miami-Dade, Palm Beach and Broward County. 20 21 Plan 20: This plan has a number of 22 non-contiguous districts. The plan would

almost certainly have some Voting Rights Act
problems. It significantly diminishes the
three seats represented by African-Americans

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

21

1 today. It also illustrates something to watch 2 out for. The plan keeps three Hispanic majority-minority seats, but one of them is 3 4 52 percent Hispanic VAP, which oftentimes Hispanic seats with a low VAP would only have a 5 6 35 to 40 percent Hispanic voter registration. 7 So this plan may have accidentally eliminated 8 an opportunity for Hispanic residents to elect 9 a candidate of their choice. The plan's 10 author -- author's districts were much more 11 regionally compact in north and central Florida versus the south Florida seats, of which a 12 13 couple are much more spread out.

14 This is plan 26. The plan's author used VTDs exclusively. This map had the most 15 16 continuity issues. Generally speaking, the plan seemed to be more focused on regional 17 interests, more so than keeping geography 18 together. The plan definitely makes a 19 distinction between rural versus urban, and 20 21 rural versus coastal in some cases, including a 22 very large rural district starting in Polk County and going south -- south, east and west, 23 24 and you can see the big district in the middle 25 The plan creates seats that are located there.

1 wholly in Pinellas and Hillsborough each. You 2 see in the one little map there. The plan also appears to keep The Villages community whole in 3 Lake, Marion and Sumter Counties. 4 The plan does leave one majority-minority seat in place, 5 6 though it diminishes the voting age population of the other seats. 7

8 This plan only splits four incorporated 9 cities, which is really quite remarkable, 10 almost -- I would say very -- almost impossible 11 to do. It does -- is remarkable. The plan's author commented on or illustrated on how the 12 terminology of majority-minority can be 13 confusing. The author believes he created new 14 15 majority-minority districts when he actually 16 eliminated two African-American majority-minority seats. People often 17 18 mistakenly add together minority populations and think that they are a majority-minority 19 20 The author definitely had a focus on seat. 21 ensuring that while the major cities he 22 divided, that they stayed -- that they still had dedicated or relatively dedicated voice 23 24 from one or more of the districts that 25 represented them. The plan's continuity was

1

perfect and it split only 19 counties.

In the next, we are going to take 36, 41 2 and 44 together. Thirty-six, 41 and 44 are all 3 submitted by a Manatee resident. 4 The author noted that he used county lines and then VTDs 5 6 to create his maps, with a goal in mind of 7 creating compact districts, and you can see as 8 it sort of progresses, how he worked it. You 9 can see the evolution of his deviations getting 10 smaller from one submission to the other. All 11 the maps are contiguous. The maps split 12 exactly 19 counties, which the city splits vary -- but the city splits vary. 13

14 And then another thing, drive times for several of the author's districts would likely 15 16 be significant. And what I mean by that is as a district is spread out more, even though it 17 may be compact, it may be circle, it can take a 18 long time to go from one end of the district, 19 20 and we are looking to create a compactness 21 measure that will actually measure what those drive times will be within a district. 22

Forty-two: This was drawn exclusively with VTDs, it is perfectly contiguous, and the author seems to be less occupied with the city

1 and county boundaries, in most of the state -in the state, more concern will -- with -- and 2 in most of the state, more concern with 3 maintaining regional interests. This map here 4 only splits 17 counties. The deviations are 5 6 significantly off, though, and that is a lot of 7 times when you split counties and all and 8 try -- or try to bring those together so you 9 don't split, then you have to balance that out 10 with all the other things, and here he gave up 11 his deviations in order to achieve that. The 12 plan eliminates two majority-minority black VAP districts, although it is -- although it is 13 14 another plan that manages to create a new 15 majority-minority Hispanic district, although it is at the expense of one of the black 16 districts. And when you create Hispanic 17 districts, you have to look to make sure that 18 you are really creating one that will elect a 19 20 Hispanic.

This one is the second lowest in the parameter test, and we are doing a compactness test. What it will do, it will measure around each -- as if you had walked around each of the districts in the plan and adds all those

numbers together, and so the one that has the
 least amount of walking is the lower number,
 and this is the second lowest.

4 Forty-nine: Like others, the plan author tried to create new districts -- I guess I 5 6 should show it to you -- new districts with 7 county lines in mind, using VTDs as the binding 8 block when below the county level. She makes 9 distinctions between coastal communities and 10 inland communities in multiple cases, 11 specifically in what she has referred to as the 12 I-4 corridor. The map creates a dedicated seat in Pinellas, Hillsborough, Orange and each of 13 the three major southeast Florida counties. 14 She acknowledges that she did not consider 15 16 racial data in building these districts.

Fifty-one: The plan -- the plan author 17 18 stated that he was trying to make sure southwest Florida had the foundation of another 19 20 congressional district. This map only splits 21 14 counties, has very low population deviation, and so may be why it only splits 14 counties --22 very high, I'm sorry. The map had the best 23 24 results for parameter compactness test, and it 25 also seemed to minimize geographic splits.

1 Generally speaking, the plan's author kept districts within the particular region of a 2 The plan also very much keeps the 3 state. impact of major cities to their immediate area. 4 This next map was drawn exclusively with 5 6 VTDs. It has a high number of city and county splits. It does maintain one majority-minority 7 8 black district, but eliminates the others. 9 Took a distinctively different approach to 10 Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach, which are 11 drawn very compactly, versus St. Lucie and Martin County, which are drawn over to 12 Charlotte and Manatee, as you can see up there, 13 which is -- and splits Orange County into six 14 different districts. 15

16 Okay. This is another -- this is the third plan by John Libby. In it, he wanted to 17 avoid regression of minority districts in his 18 explanation, and then draw compact districts 19 around them. He still does reduce one black 20 21 district to less than 50 percent VAP, voting 22 age population, but all three of his black districts are at least 48 percent black VAP. 23 24 He creates a fourth majority-minority district. 25 Districts going -- district going from Brevard

1 to Palm Beach County is unique, one of many 2 maps that splits the southern Polk County from the northern Polk County, does a split there. 3 4 He leaves Volusia County whole, but by splitting Monroe and Lake Counties. And that 5 6 is kind of unique in the keeping Volusia whole, 7 because he does recreate the District 3 8 district.

9 This person submitted a lengthy 10 district-by-district explanation of his map. 11 He is the third best in county splits at 18, 12 second in city splits at 45, but he does diminish the African-American seats by two. 13 14 And his unique comment was he was trying to shorten the boundary lines, which is the 15 16 parameter test.

The next one is number 68. 17 He significantly reduces the black VAP for the 18 three African-American seats, splits the most 19 counties at 36, splits the third most cities at 20 21 45. He creates a coastal district in the 22 northeast, southwest and central Florida, but they -- but he drew the coastal seats very 23 24 differently in southeast Florida. He split 25 Hillsborough County into four districts, splits

1 Seminole into three districts.

2 Now, also in your packet, you will find some statistics that we have put together for 3 you, and these are just some of the numbers so 4 5 that you can compare plans. And we have gone 6 through -- first in that packet, you will see 7 at the beginning of their section is on 8 deviations, and that is -- how we get a 9 deviation is you take the largest -- the 10 maximum district from the smallest district and 11 you subtract them, and that is what your 12 deviation is that you see here. The other one is non-contiguous districts. 13 That is just -- in every map, you will have --14 that's a full map, you will have one 15 16 non-contiguous district. The Dry Tortugas will always be non-contiguous. So anything higher 17 18 than that is a problem that we will have to go in and fix in the districts. 19 And then the next -- the next one is 20 county splits, and what that is is how many 21 22 times -- how many counties were split by 23 districts. In the report that we gave you last 24 time, we said how many times the districts 25 split counties. This one is if this county was

split three, four, up to six times like we saw
 with the one in Orange County, would count as
 one split county in this number.

And then the same thing with the city splits. It counts how many cities were split in the same way, and it may have been split once, it may have been split two, three, four times.

9 And then VTD splits, and the thing with 10 congressional seats is if you are going to 11 achieve the plus or minus one person, you are 12 probably going to have to split a few VTDs. So 13 the ones that kept the maps whole and had the 14 best deviation and had the lowest numbers are 15 what you are looking for there.

16 And then the parameter test, I explained 17 that just a minute ago. And then here you have 18 the black voting age population, and the way these numbers work, if -- it just tells you how 19 many are -- like it says 20 percent, that's how 20 21 many districts are 20 percent or more. Where 22 it says 30 percent, that's how many districts are 30 percent or more. So you will see as the 23 24 number goes up, it gets smaller, because more 25 districts drop off, and the same thing with the

1 Hispanic districts.

And with that, open to some questions.
REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Great. A lot of
numbers, good data. Questions? Representative
Taylor.

6 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. 7 Chair, and I would like to also thank and 8 commend those members who submitted the public 9 maps, but because Amendment 6 passed and it is 10 a part of our Constitution, were there any 11 public maps submitted that followed Amendment 12 6?

13 MR. WEST: Their -- I think a number of 14 people felt like they did, you know, but that 15 is where you are going to have to determine 16 whether they did or not.

REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: And if I can jump in 17 18 here, Representative, as you know, in Amendment 5 and 6, there is some broad definitions of 19 20 what is compactness, you know, there is not a 21 clear definition of that as we are aware of, 22 and we are trying to, sorry to use the pun, 23 wrap our arms around what that definition may 24 be. So --

25 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Okay, again,

1 follow-up. And I guess maybe this is a 2 procedural question on these particular maps. Now, in order for these maps to even move 3 4 forward, a member, one of us, would have to file this particular map, is that correct? 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: The way the process 7 works is obviously members of the public can do 8 maps, but we, as Chair Weatherford has asked 9 us, and I forget the date, November 14th --10 November 14th is the deadline that he requested 11 that members of the committees or the Legislature would submit maps, but we will also 12 be -- you know, depending on what we receive 13 from members, we will also be generating some 14 PCBs as well. So some of these -- I would 15 16 anticipate some of these maps will lay the foundation or the framework for whether it be a 17 member bill or some of the PCBs as they move 18 19 forward.

20 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Okay, one
21 follow-up, Mr. Chair. I just want to get a
22 point of clarification.

23 So -- and you know as well as I do that 24 there is this ongoing lawsuit with Amendment 6, 25 and how does that prohibit us or how does that

1 -- how is that going to affect our ability to 2 draw a congressional map that follows Amendment 6, or do -- or we're going to wait for a ruling 3 to occur, or could you just help me out with 4 that particular procedure? 5 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Sure, excellent 6 7 question. It has no effect on what we do here. 8 We are -- Amendment 5 and 6, and 6 9 specifically, are on the books, that is what 10 the Speaker has told us that we will follow, so 11 we are proceeding with the current Constitution as it is now, so it has no effect on us, we are 12 13 moving forward. 14 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: And one final 15 follow-up follow-up. And so our map that we draw with our 16 particular bill -- and I have been talking with 17 Vice-Chair Horner about our ability to file, 18 because a lot of the members already have their 19 20 six bill slots already taken up, and he had 21 mentioned possibly a repealer, but wouldn't we 22 have to have a repealer bill to pass through before we are able to file any additional 23 24 bills, or how would that work? 25 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Getting into the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

33

1 nuances of the repealer bills and the 2 procedural aspect, I don't want to move outside of my comfort zone and speak for Chair 3 4 Aubuchon, but as I am aware right now, that the current proposals will take up one bill slot, 5 6 so, you know, if you want more clarity than 7 that, we may have to get with Chair Aubuchon to 8 provide specificity.

9 Chair Horner.

REPRESENTATIVE HORNER: Thank you, Mr.
 Chairman.

First of all, reviewing this on the website and this presentation today, I am just so impressed by the thoughtful, hard work these people have put in. I have messed around with My District Builder, and these folks have all done a better job than I have come up with today, so it is really good stuff.

19 One thing that I have noticed, and 20 Mr. West, maybe you can help me to know whether 21 I am being too much of a homer worried about 22 central Florida, but looking at the districts, 23 particularly where they've really tried not to 24 split counties, it appears that everyone has 25 done the natural thing, they have worked from

1 the two ends, and when they meet in the middle, 2 that's where all the splits take place. Is that just my imagination, or is it -- it looks 3 4 like they do a great job, and then -- and I can't speak for south Florida, I will let 5 6 Representatives Abruzzo and Fresen decide what 7 they did good there, because -- but when it 8 gets to central Florida, that is where it seems 9 to get a little messier on the maps where they 10 are trying to hold counties whole. Is that an 11 accurate observation?

12 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Chair Horner, I will 13 add to that when we get in a couple more 14 minutes, but if you want to kind of talk about 15 the general trends of what is happening with 16 that.

That is probably very true, 17 MR. WEST: 18 because the worst thing that can happen when you are a map drawer is to start at one end and 19 20 work all the way to the other end and end up 21 with 30,000 people in Key West that you have --22 you don't know what to do with. So on drawing maps, you sort of start at the corners and work 23 24 in, and so central Florida is where everybody 25 meets.

REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Further questions?
 Representative.

A VOICE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You had 3 mentioned the deadlines on November 1st and the 4 I guess my question -- I am just trying 5 14th. 6 to seek clarity on the time lines. If -- I 7 quess if the public submit a map before 8 November 1st, will they get another opportunity 9 to submit another map after that -- we have --10 after the members have submitted maps by 11 November 14th?

12 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: My understanding of the process is November 1st is the deadline for 13 14 the members of the public to submit maps, November 14th for members. They will still 15 16 have an opportunity to submit information, but it is no longer a new map, it will be comments 17 on existing maps. So they will have 18 opportunity to provide public input, 19 20 information, but it won't be considered a new 21 submission. It would be considered kind of a 22 change of existing submissions. As you can tell, we are going to have lots of maps to look 23 24 at, so their change could be significant to 25 where it looks as though it is a new map, but
in reality, it is a change of an existing map. A VOICE: Okay. One more follow-up, Mr. Chair. Regarding, Bob, Mr. West, you had mentioned the compactness and -- can you clarify in terms of how -- what tools are we using to measure compactness, if you don't mind?

8 MR. WEST: That is really where I am 9 programming right now is in the area of 10 compactness, and the parameter measurement was 11 one of the first ones and it is one of the ones 12 that is used quite -- quite readily in a lot of cases and so forth. And it is also one of the 13 ones that -- it is not very interpretive. You 14 15 do a function, you get the parameter and that's 16 what it is. Some of the other ones we are 17 working on we hope to be coming out with soon, and, you know, as we do, we will be putting 18 those reports out so you can see how the 19 20 different districts compare.

A VOICE: One more follow-up, Mr. Chair. Is there any way that, you know, staff can tell us after they have made a decision in regards to compactness to let us know how compactness is applied and for us to -- so now they can

1 give us guidance in regards to how compact --2 the different ways to apply compactness, if you don't mind? 3

4 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: What we will be doing is that's -- the definition of 5 6 compactness really we as a committee have to 7 wrestle with. So I don't want to put it on 8 staff to come and present us and say this is 9 what their definition is. We will be working 10 hand in glove with them on what we view our 11 definition of compactness should be, looking at 12 some of their recommendations, hearing from our members, is it the parameter test, is it a 13 14 radius from a central point test, there's going to be lots of factors, and my own assumption is 15 16 there's probably not going to be a single definition, but multiple definitions to factor 17 18 into one. 19 A VOICE: Thank you.

20 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: But we will be 21

putting that together.

22 A VOICE: Thank you.

23 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Further questions?

24 Mr. West, thank you.

25 Members, as you can tell, we have a

1 monumental task in front of us that Chair Weatherford has asked us to do. He has asked 2 us to provide the full Committee with three 3 4 vetted options for congressional maps. As you remember, Chair Weatherford set out two 5 6 deadlines for us: November 1 for the public 7 input, November 14th for us as members to come 8 forward with our own bill.

9 Going forward, I think these presentations 10 have illustrated some decision points about 11 congressional maps, and I would like to spend a 12 little bit of time to hear your thoughts and 13 considerations on some of these decision 14 points.

I think Chair Horner did an excellent job 15 16 of pointing out one problem. I think some of the toughest decisions, and I am speaking 17 subjectively on this and want to get your 18 feedback, some of the more difficult times is 19 looking at some of our urban areas of our state 20 21 and how they are addressed. One potential 22 decision-making process that we could do as a committee, and I want to get your feedback, is 23 24 do we start with the urban areas and move 25 Do we perhaps look at how that outward?

1 affects maybe the growth areas of our state, such is the I-4 corridor, the southwest 2 corridor? Do we look at other issues such as 3 our existing maps and maybe start with our 4 existing maps and how they look, or do we look 5 at minority access seats and start there? 6 We 7 have several decision points that we need to 8 kind of look at first and kind of look at what 9 we are going to springboard off of, and to kind 10 of give our staff some direction to start 11 putting together some maps for us to look at 12 and to wrestle with. I kind of open it up to this Committee to hear your thoughts on where 13 14 we want to begin with. Do we want to look at urban centers? Do we want to look at coastal 15 16 communities and move inward? As Representative Horner suggested that all of those have some 17 18 positives and negatives, but we want to give staff some direction for which they can come 19 20 back to us so we can move to that next step. Representative Taylor, I see you chomping 21

22 at the bit there.

23 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Yes, because I
24 think as we are pondering over these particular
25 ideas, it is hard and difficult for me, knowing

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

40

1 that there is an ongoing lawsuit with Amendment 2 6 and not necessarily defining the framework of where we are going to start with as far as the 3 definitions of contiguous or compactness or 4 whatever it is that we are trying to 5 6 accomplish. The first thing we have to do is 7 follow the law, and if we don't understand or 8 we haven't defined the law, how -- how can we 9 begin a process of starting in the -- whether 10 it be the urban areas or starting in let's just 11 say the Key West area or the Panhandle area? 12 That is difficult for me right now to digest, because we've got to follow the letter of the 13 law, and until I know what the rule of the game 14 15 is, I can't necessarily say we should start in 16 the center of the state or the Panhandle or Key 17 West.

18 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: One thing I would just comment on that is I believe the voters 19 20 have defined what the letter of the law is, and 21 now it is up to us to kind of wrestle with those definitions, and those lawsuits being out 22 there, what they may, they really have no 23 24 effect on the way this Committee moves forward 25 on those issues. We have to move forward with

1 what the voters required us, and some of that 2 language is ambiguous, and we have to, you know, look at how to address those, but 3 4 notwithstanding, I do think that we need to 5 move forward. 6 Further comments from this Committee on 7 your thoughts? Representative Passidomo. 8 REPRESENTATIVE PASSIDOMO: Thank you, Mr. 9 Chair. After spending the summer --10 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Representative, can 11 you see if your mike is on there? 12 REPRESENTATIVE PASSIDOMO: It is on. 13 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Okav. 14 REPRESENTATIVE PASSIDOMO: I am just very quiet spoken today. No, after spending the 15 16 summer traveling around the state, you know, I realize for the first time how diverse this 17 state is, and also how much it has changed 18 since the last census in terms of development 19 20 and growth. So I think your suggestion that 21 maybe we start at urban areas or, you know, the 22 agricultural areas in the center makes some sense, because, you know, those communities are 23 24 different than they were ten years ago, so 25 maybe we should look at, you know -- again,

1 look at what we did ten years ago and also how 2 it's changed, because most everybody that spoke in front of us talked about keeping their 3 4 various communities together. The question is, what is their community? Because I think it is 5 6 different now than it was ten years ago. 7 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Excellent point. 8 Further -- further comments? Further

9 comments? Representative Horner.

REPRESENTATIVE HORNER: Thank you, Mr.
 Chairman.

12 To answer your question, it is just my two 13 cents, maybe if we started with the minority 14 access seats and see if we can draw them as 15 compactly as possible to meet those standards and use that as a start -- knowing that we 16 17 need -- we cannot retrogress if we want to pass 18 legal muster, so you start there and then build out, making them as compact as legally 19 20 possible, that would just be my thought. When 21 I've played with the maps, that's been my 22 thinking.

23 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Further comments?
24 Further comments? Representative.

25 REPRESENTATIVE BERNARD: Just a quick

1 question. Are we going to be using the VTDs? Is that the plans of us, of the staff? 2 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: And I will punt this 3 to Alex, but my understanding is, as where 4 possible, we will be using the VTDs as much as 5 6 possible, but I will yield to you on the 7 technical aspect of that. 8 MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 Yes, Representative Bernard, as much as 10 possible, staff are using the VTDs. We always 11 do recommend, in using any geography, that you 12 do give a close look to the map just to make sure that the VTDs were drawn by the 13 Supervisors of Elections, and many of them did 14 a very fantastic job, but still make sure in 15 16 each county, when you are using the VTDs, that they truly do match community lines. But, in 17 general, yes, the staff -- we are using the 18 VTDs as a basic building block. 19 There are 20 situations where you would want to consider 21 deviating. For example, if you were trying to 22 wrap an entire city boundary line in or out of a district, those city boundary lines are based 23 24 on census blocks, so at that point, even if you 25 were using VTDs throughout, you may want to

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

44

consider using census blocks for that purpose,
 but, generally speaking, the VTDs match what
 the supervisors intended to be lines that
 would, A, help them administer elections in a
 way that are simple and understandable for the
 public, but B, also keep neighborhoods and
 subdivisions together.

8 REPRESENTATIVE BERNARD: Thank you.
 9 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Further questions,
 10 further questions?

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Mr. Chair?
 REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: Representative
 Taylor.

14 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Thank you. And I 15 guess I am going back to the process again and, 16 you know -- if there are let's just say 70 17 bills that are filed by the members, will those 18 bills be agenda'd and will we hear all 70, or will staff go through it and try to determine 19 20 which ones pass more of the legal muster or which ones are the best, or how will that 21 22 process go as far as the members' maps? 23 Sure, and I would REPRESENTATIVE LEGG: 24 have to get with Chair Weatherford and see how 25 he would like to go look at the maps. You

1 know, at this point, we have no bills filed by 2 members dealing with -- we have zero bills 3 filed by members dealing with maps, so I can't 4 really address that question until members 5 start filing bills.

6 With that, members, thank you very much for your thoughts. What I would like to do is 7 8 ask staff if they could start mocking up some 9 maps for us to kind of kick off next committee 10 week -- committee meeting with, so we can at a 11 minimum have the ability to create some alternatives from which we can start discussing 12 some of these issues. 13

Moreover, I would like to make it clear to each of you that you are welcome to bring forth your ideas, your thoughts, your decision points and to ask some of these tough questions.

For -- there's some additional business 18 19 that you may want to be aware of. Before we 20 go, please note that in your packet there is a memo that you received from Chair Aubuchon 21 22 regarding the rules and procedures for the redistricting, including the special deadlines. 23 24 Please ensure that you and your staff are 25 familiar with these rules and procedures.

1	I want to thank you for your hard work,
2	for coming up on a Monday early to sit on this
3	Committee and to do your work. And with that,
4	without objection, Chair Horner moves we rise.
5	(Whereupon, the proceedings were
6	concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF FLORIDA)

3 COUNTY OF LEON)

I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
is of a tape-recording taken down by the undersigned,
and the contents thereof were reduced to typewriting
under my direction;

8 That the foregoing pages 2 through 47 represent 9 a true, correct, and complete transcript of the tape-10 recording;

And I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case. Dated this 20th day of February, 2012.

19 CLARA C. ROTRUCK
20 Notary Public
21 State of Florida at Large
22 Commission Expires:
23 November 13, 2014
24

25

17

18