

Community Issue Performance Evaluation

1. State Agency: Department of Legal Affairs, Office of the Attorney General

2. State Program (or Type of Program): Crime Prevention and Intervention Program

3. Project Title: Youth Crime Prevention and Intervention Program and Black-on-Black Crime Prevention Program

4. Recipient name and address: Urban League of Broward County, Inc., Lead Affiliate for the Florida Consortium of Urban Leagues and the Derrick Brooks Charities

Location county/counties: Pinellas, Tallahassee/Leon, Orange/Orlando, Miami, Jacksonville, Broward, Palm Beach, Tampa/Hillsborough

5. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity: A private non-profit entity

6. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 Recurring General Revenue	FY 2012-13 Recurring Trust Funds	FY 2012-13 Recurring TOTAL FUNDS	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$2,870,247	\$0.00	\$2,870,247	ch. 2012-1334, 1333, O.A.G

7. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any): N/A

8. Project Purpose/Description: To provide a statewide minority crime prevention and intervention program to deter young crime victims and their families, first time offenders, at-risk youth, and school dropouts from a life of crime.

9. Number of years this project has received state funding: approximately 26 years

10. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain): Yes. This program is housed within the Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Criminal Justice Programs. This section provides education on crime prevention and other various efforts to promote crime prevention throughout the state.

11. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met?

(Explain): Yes, Through intervention and education recipients are reaching out to provide at-risk students and young adults the opportunities to turn their lives around and the opportunities to achieve a better life than one of crime.

12. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project? Better grades, decrease in school dropout rates, positive attitudes, resistant to gang participation, crime free life. In all, to provide a better chance at being a productive member of society and providing a means to work towards dreams for a better life with sound goals and objectives.

13. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

☐ Output data (e.g., number of clients served, students educated, units produced); Enumerate:

The entities collect sign-in sheets for each unit of deliverables which include signature, printed name, age, gender and ethnicity. The Program reached 57,162 community residents with specifics as noted in question #18.

☐ Outcome data (data on the effectiveness or quality of services, e.g., percentage of clients successfully completing treatment); Enumerate:

Follow-up surveys and data are collected by a consulting company. This data is used to produce two yearly reports on the success and achievements of the program deliverables with some specifics noted under question #18.

☐ Unit cost data (e.g., cost per unit produced); Enumerate:

At this time the unit cost data has not been a major part of the reporting, while expenses are being submitted as part of the reporting, the actual cost analysis of the expenses, as they relate to the deliverables have not reached that point at this time. The program has continued to work and strive to provide better reporting and accountability regarding expenditures and deliverables

☐ Other (Explain): None

14. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness?

The data is collected from each of the affiliates and reported to the Urban League of Broward County, the lead affiliate. This information is transferred to Q-Q Consultants, an independent company, analyzed, and reported in two reports that are provided to the OAG every six months.

15. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient? YES

16. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency

and the recipient? YES

17. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY): The major portion of the deliverables, appear to be very labor intense and require contracted services to complete the deliverables. Compared to the Crime Stoppers program with salaries topped at 30-35%, the grant to the Urban League indicates the salaries are charged only 5% of the grant and operating expenses are charged only 2% of the grant funds. The majority, 93%, of the grant funds are used to provide the deliverables as noted in the grant

18. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain): Yes. The program reached 81% of Black youth. The target grade levels reached were 54% in Middle Schools and 40% in High Schools. Of the youths targeted in reading skills, 75% maintained a "C" or above on their report card; math skills were at 63%; science skills were at 64%; and language arts skills were at 57%. The report card grades indicated an improvement of 43% in reading, 35% in math, 42% in reading and 57% in language arts. Those participating in the surveys indicated that a majority, 76%, reported 38% had made a fair amount of positive changes in their lives, and 38% had also made a great deal of positive changes in their lives. These changes included making better choices, stopped stealing, improved academic performance, decreased behavioral issues while in school and had adopted a more positive attitude.

Workshops on gangs and their impact resulted in 28% saying the workshops helped them to be able to resist gangs a fair amount, while 42% said it helped them a great deal.

The employability skills workshops provided a very positive outcome with 81% learning how to complete an employment application; 86% learned tips for how to interview for a job; 96% learned proper hygiene and grooming for jobs and job interviews; 94 % learned proper work behavior; and 83% learned trends in the jobs market and career choices.

While the above are but a few of the positive outcomes this program is providing to various communities throughout Florida, the long term effect will be felt for many years to come in the form of productive, educated, and self-sufficient citizens.

19. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated: The information provided above was obtained from the "Program Evaluation Report" for contract year 2011-2012. Its further validation will be in the form of support documents that will be reviewed during the on-site performance review scheduled before June 30, 2013.

20. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources? While the Urban League of Broward County, raises funds, and has various other grants, from Broward County, Federal Government grants and other State grants, there is no match required for this project that I am familiar with. However, based on the yearly Program Evaluation Report , Contract Year 2011-2012, the program secured in-kind support totaling \$323,096 which included media (e.g. PSAs, radio advertisements, etc.), volunteer hours, and business related

items (e.g., supplies, facility and equipment, food, etc.)

21. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available): Program Evaluation Report, Contract Year 2011-2012
Audit Report available for Year Ended June 30, 2012

22. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project: Quarterly Reports – 2011-2012

23. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Edna Smith

Title: Program Administrator

Phone number and email address: Phone (850) 414-3357: Email Address: edna.smith@myfloridalegal.com

Date: January 7, 2013

Community Issue Performance Evaluation

1. State Agency: Department of Legal Affairs

2. State Program (or Type of Program): The Florida Council Against Sexual Violence (FCASV) is a statewide nonprofit organization committed to victims and survivors of sexual violence and the sexual assault crisis programs who serve them.

3. Project Title: Florida Council Against Sexual Violence (FCASV)

4. Recipient name and address: 1820 East Park Avenue, Suite 100, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-2874

Location county/counties: Leon

5. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:
Private not for profit

6. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 Recurring General Revenue	FY 2012-13 Recurring Trust Funds	FY 2012-13 Recurring TOTAL FUNDS	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	1332

7. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any): The recurring funds in Specific Appropriation 1332 are provided to the Florida Council Against Sexual Violence. At least 95 percent of the funds provided shall be distributed to certified rape crisis centers to provide services statewide for victims of sexual assault.

8. Project Purpose/Description: At least 95 percent of the funds provided shall be distributed to certified rape crisis centers to provide services statewide for victims of sexual assault.

9. Number of years this project has received state funding: Pursuant to Chapter 2005-70, Laws of Florida, line 1276A, the funding started in the 2005 funding cycle.

10. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain): Yes, the Attorney General's Office, through the Division of Victim Services, and Criminal Justice Programs, manages various programs to assist victims of crime.

11. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? Yes.

(Explain): The funding offers needed resources to provide sexual battery recovery services to primary and secondary victims of sexual battery served by certified rape crisis centers.

12. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project? FCASV has entered into sub-contracts with thirty certified rape crisis centers that provide services to sexual assault victims. Through these subcontracts 1,875 victims will be served during the 2012-2013 fiscal year. Each sub-contracted agency has individual performance expectations in order to meet the total victims served for the project.

13. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

☒ Output data (e.g., number of clients served, students educated, units produced); Enumerate:

☐ Outcome data (data on the effectiveness or quality of services, e.g., percentage of clients successfully completing treatment); Enumerate:

☐ Unit cost data (e.g., cost per unit produced); Enumerate:

☐ Other (Explain):

14. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness? FCASV shall provide the Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Advocacy and Grants Management with quarterly reports, which shall verify payments to the sub-recipient agencies as outlined in agreement, and demonstrate the funds are being used for the purpose as intended as specified in the agreement. The financial information is accompanied by appropriate financial documentation to support the expenses. Also, the performance information provided is detailed by each sub-recipient and compared to the performance expectations outlined in the agreement. All of the information provided in the reports is reviewed by staff in the Bureau of Advocacy and Grants Management.

15. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient? Yes

16. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient? Yes

17. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY): The costs associated with the project fund, in large part, portions of staff salary expenses that provide services to crime victims at each of the sub-contracted agencies. Each of the sub-contractor budgets have been reviewed by Bureau of Advocacy and Grants Management staff. All the expenses listed in the budgets are allowable and reasonable compared to other similar types of service providers.

18. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain): Yes, the project is currently meeting the performance expectations outlined in the agreement. The first quarter performance report demonstrated that 864 victims were served between July 1, 2012 and September 30, 2012. This exceeds the performance expectation of 450 victims for the first quarter.

19. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated: The information above was received, from FCASV, in the required report documenting the financial and programmatic activities for the first quarter.

20. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources? None, there is not a matching requirement for this funding.

21. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available): None

22. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:
None

23. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: Christina Harris

Title: Chief, Bureau of Advocacy and Grants Management

Phone number and email address: 850-414-3300, christina.harris@myfloridalegal.com

Date: 1/7/2013

Community Issue Performance Evaluation

1. State Agency: Department of Legal Affairs

2. State Program (or Type of Program): Adults Mankind is a Minority Crime Prevention Program that is designed to serve and assist at-risk youths between the ages of 15 to 21, targeting low-income neighborhoods, in comprehensive life skills/employability and on-the-job training, and job placement.

3. Project Title: Adults Mankind, Inc.

4. Recipient name and address: 4343 West Flagler Street, #300, Miami, Florida 33134

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade

5. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:
Private not for profit

6. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 Recurring General Revenue	FY 2012-13 Recurring Trust Funds	FY 2012-13 Recurring TOTAL FUNDS	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$950,000	\$0	\$950,000	1334

7. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any): N/A

8. Project Purpose/Description: This program is an early prevention and intervention/diversionary program that operates by providing job training and placement, vocational training and job shadowing to youth. The youth targeted for this program are considered to be at low risk of entering the juvenile justice system or who are diverted for non-judicial handling. Participation in the program is voluntary and youth typically have experienced a history of low grades or have dropped out of school.

9. Number of years this project has received state funding: Pursuant to Chapter 2005-70, Laws of Florida, line 1277, the funding started in the 2005 funding cycle.

10. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain): Yes. One of the core functions as directed by the legislature has been to provide education on crime prevention and other various efforts to promote crime prevention throughout the state.

11. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? Yes
(Explain): . Through intervention and education recipients are reaching out to provide at-risk students and young adults the opportunities to better their life and reduce the probability of turning to crime.

12. What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project? The intended outcome is to target at-risk youths between the ages of 15 and 21 not receiving life skills and employability skills training. This training has demonstrated that at least 85 percent of the participants improve social values and increase their personal pre-employment skills when measured by a pre-test and post-test.

13. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?

X Output data: Number of clients served, students educated, number of youth employed

X Outcome data: Improved social value based on pre and post tests

X Unit cost data The unit cost of Adults Mankind is estimated at \$1,862 per client based on the prior year number of youths served.

☐ Other (Explain):

14. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness? Agency is required to report quarterly on the number of at risk youth being served.

15. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient? Yes

16. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient? Yes

17. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY): To our knowledge there is no similar program to which to make a comparison.

18. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain): Yes, the project is currently meeting the performance expectations

outlined in the agreement.

19. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated: The project continues to meet the performance expectations contracted for and validated by the registration data provide to the agency and by site visits by grant management staff.

20. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources? None, there is not a matching requirement for this funding.

21. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available): Site visit in 2012 by agency staff.

22. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:
None

23. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: John L. Hamilton

Title: Director of Administration

Phone number and email address: 850-414-3300, john.hamilton@myfloridalegal.com

Date: 1/14/2013

Community Issue Performance Evaluation

1. State Agency: Department of Legal Affairs

2. State Program (or Type of Program): Community Coalition is a Minority Crime Prevention Program that serves minority or at risk youth between the ages of 16 to 21. The program targets older youths that currently exhibit signs of community or school troubles.

3. Project Title: Community Coalition, Inc.

4. Recipient name and address: 2100 Coral Way, Suite 402, Miami, Florida 33145

Location county/counties: Miami-Dade

5. Is the recipient a governmental entity, a private non-profit entity, or a private for-profit entity:
Private not for profit

6. <u>FUNDING:</u> FY 2012-13 Recurring General Revenue	FY 2012-13 Recurring Trust Funds	FY 2012-13 Recurring TOTAL FUNDS	GAA Specific Appropriation Number (ch. 2012-118, L.O.F.)
\$950,000	\$0	\$950,000	1334

7. FY 2012-13 GAA proviso specifically associated with the project (if any): N/A

8. Project Purpose/Description: This program is an early prevention and intervention/diversionary program that operates by providing job training and placement, vocational training and job shadowing to youth. The youth targeted for this program are considered to be at low risk of entering the juvenile justice system or who are diverted for non-judicial handling. Participation in the program is voluntary and youth typically have experienced a history of low grades or have dropped out of school.

9. Number of years this project has received state funding: Pursuant to Chapter 2005-70, Laws of Florida, line 1277, the funding started in the 2005 funding cycle.

10. Does this project align with the core missions of the agency or the program area in which it is funded? (Explain): Yes. One of the core functions as directed by the legislature has been to provide education on crime prevention and other various efforts to promote crime prevention throughout the state.

11. Does the program meet a demonstrated need in the community that is not otherwise being met? Yes
(Explain): Through intervention and education recipients are reaching out to provide at-risk students and young adults the opportunities to better their life and reduce the probability of turning to crime.

What are the intended outcomes/impacts and benefits of the project? Community Coalition designed to assist at risk youths with tutoring, employability skills and on-the-job training, and direct job placement. They also assist by offering study courses for individuals who have not attained their high school diploma. This program provides services to target the needs of youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system or are at risk of becoming involved due to various high risk criteria. The focus of the program is to reduce juvenile crime by training the youth for the world of work. The program provides a pre-vocational assessment in order to determine the level of need of each participant, and then helps the youth focus on their futures through counseling and training in employment skills.

12. What performance data does the agency/entity regularly collect and report that demonstrates the value of the program to the State of Florida?
☒ Output data: number of clients served, students educated, number of youth employed
☒ Outcome data: Improved social value based on pre and post tests
☒ Unit cost data: The unit cost of Community Coalition is estimated at \$2,375 per client based on the prior year number of youths served.
☐ Other (Explain):

13. How is program data collected and has it been independently validated for accuracy and completeness? Agency is required to report quarterly on the number of at risk youth being served.

14. Is there an executed contract between the agency and the recipient? Yes

15. If there is a contract, are the outputs, measures and costs specified in a contract between the agency and the recipient? Yes

16. How do the unit costs compare to those of comparable or alternative projects or services? (EXPLAIN AND SPECIFY): To our knowledge there is no similar program to which to make a

comparison.

17. Based on performance data, is this project meeting the expected outputs and having the intended outcomes? (Explain): Yes, the project is currently meeting the performance expectations outlined in the agreement.

18. Describe how the information upon which the answer above is based was obtained and validated: The project continues to meet the performance expectations contracted for and validated by the registration data provide to the agency and by site visits by grant management staff.

19. How much additional funding or matching funding from non-state sources is available for this project and what are the sources? None, there is not a matching requirement for this funding.

20. List any audits or evaluative reports that have been published for this project (including website links, if available): Site visit in 2012 by agency staff.

21. Provide any other information that can be used to evaluate the performance of this project:
None

22. CONTACT INFORMATION for person completing this form:

Name: John L. Hamilton

Title: Director of Administration

Phone number and email address: 850-414-3300, john.hamilton@myfloridalegal.com

Date: 1/14/2013