

	Deviation	n	Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Coun	ties:	Citi	es:	Fol	low polit	ical and ge	eographic	boundari	es:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	12,497 2	2.7%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	61.2	203.2	1,643.9	0.42	0.78	0.38	52	45	390	46	58%	23%	74%	20%	36%	93%
1	4,099 0).9%	15.9%	4.2%	0.3%	1	75	257	2,368	0.53	0.86	0.45	2	1	5	0	77%	2%	79%	11%	53%	93%
2	4,112 0).9%	11.1%	4.5%	0.2%	1	118	364	5,095	0.46	0.80	0.48	5	1	40	0	84%	3%	85%	9%	40%	95%
3	4,375 0).9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-214 0	0.0%	17.1%	6.6%	0.3%	1	110	436	5,368	0.57	0.82	0.35	9	0	27	1	100%	3%	100%	1%	33%	100%
5	-3,561 -0	0.8%	10.1%	6.2%	0.4%	1	59	304	1,400	0.52	0.74	0.19	1	1	7	1	70%	26%	70%	16%	40%	86%
6	1,072 0	0.2%	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	1	24	100	244	0.54	0.74	0.31	0	1	0	1	8%	8%	8%	47%	6%	57%
7	6,567 1	L.4%	8.2%	6.0%	0.3%	1	83	264	2,036	0.37	0.69	0.37	3	0	11	1	100%	29%	100%	3%	40%	100%
8	-1,171 -0	0.2%	5.4%	6.1%	0.3%	1	106	368	3,390	0.38	0.69	0.31	4	1	17	1	96%	7%	97%	5%	44%	99%
9	-1,648 -0	0.4%	10.8%	9.1%	0.4%	1	80	330	3,214	0.55	0.83	0.37	2	2	12	0	84%	11%	89%	7%	32%	95%
10	-2,795 -0	0.6%	10.3%	9.1%	0.5%	1	57	201	1,110	0.44	0.75	0.35	0	1	15	1	85%	17%	88%	6%	63%	95%
11	-5,336 -1	1.1%	4.3%	9.8%	0.4%	1	56	142	868	0.35	0.92	0.54	1	0	6	0	100%	7%	100%	13%	29%	100%
12	-3,229 -0	0.7%	11.9%	11.3%	0.5%	1	72	230	1,195	0.29	0.75	0.29	0	2	13	0	53%	27%	72%	16%	20%	91%
13	-2,849 -0	0.6%	36.1%	19.7%	1.4%	1	29	104	296	0.46	0.81	0.35	0	1	5	1	48%	34%	76%	27%	25%	97%
14	-2,228 -0	0.5%	10.9%	14.9%	0.8%	1	30	110	363	0.52	0.81	0.38	1	1	9	0	83%	28%	99%	3%	59%	100%
15	-2,722 -0	0.6%	9.3%	31.1%	1.7%	1	32	121	318	0.39	0.78	0.27	0	1	5	1	36%	36%	64%	42%	7%	97%
16	-1,894 -0	0.4%	9.5%	13.3%	0.7%	1	55	175	1,349	0.56	0.82	0.55	0	2	8	2	72%	4%	76%	16%	44%	95%
17	4,665 1	L.0%	5.5%	7.4%	0.3%	1	29	84	307	0.48	0.90	0.54	0	1	13	0	66%	28%	80%	8%	58%	92%
18	6,174 1	L.3%	10.1%	23.3%	1.2%	1	39	134	405	0.34	0.77	0.28	0	1	1	1	42%	28%	61%	18%	11%	82%
19	-4,266 -0	0.9%	14.5%	30.0%	1.7%	1	58	229	1,199	0.44	0.74	0.29	0	2	14	0	42%	22%	62%	19%	37%	91%
20	-5,518 -1	1.2%	9.1%	11.9%	0.6%	1	85	250	2,480	0.43	0.90	0.50	1	2	13	1	75%	4%	78%	4%	54%	95%
21	2,165 0).5%	5.2%	8.1%	0.3%	1	35	119	363	0.37	0.70	0.32	0	2	10	2	28%	30%	53%	25%	65%	90%
22	4,748 1	L.0%	34.6%	24.2%	1.8%	1	37	129	365	0.33	0.68	0.27	0	2	0	2	12%	23%	33%	44%	31%	84%
23	6,203 1	L.3%	9.4%	15.0%	0.5%	1	61	192	1,127	0.36	0.73	0.39	0	2	6	0	46%	13%	56%	28%	21%	86%
24	· ·	0.3%	9.0%	15.2%	0.5%	1	104	317	2,943	0.35	0.74	0.37	3	2	8	0	67%	25%	83%	9%	20%	91%
25	2,297 0).5%	7.1%	21.9%	0.4%	1	134	408	5,588	0.39	0.82	0.42	4	0	9	0	100%	3%	100%	1%	44%	100%
26	-5,930 -1	1.3%	12.7%	13.1%	0.5%	1	83	251	2,333	0.43	0.90	0.47	3	0	8	0	100%	2%	100%	0%	62%	100%
27	· ·	0.8%	4.4%	7.5%	0.2%	1	51	134	925	0.45	0.92	0.65	1	1	4	0	65%	23%	68%	26%	43%	97%
28	•	0.6%	6.2%	13.4%	0.4%	1	54	160	965	0.42	0.89	0.48	0	1	5	0	69%	28%	89%	12%	49%	99%
29		0.3%	22.4%	19.8%	0.8%	1	33	110	305	0.36	0.77	0.32	0	1	23	0	24%	56%	78%	20%	33%	98%
30		0.1%	15.3%	20.9%	0.7%	1	69	239	1,909	0.51	0.88	0.42	0	1	12	0	62%	25%	84%	14%	22%	96%
31		0.0%	9.1%	11.1%	0.4%	1	26	79	192	0.35	0.78	0.39	0	2	4	1	23%	32%	49%	49%	25%	89%
32).4%	15.4%	29.5%	1.0%	1	48	153	945	0.52	0.90	0.51	0	1	3	7	67%	25%	86%	15%	7%	95%
33		0.5%	50.6%	30.8%	2.7%	1	16	59	83	0.43	0.72	0.30	0	2	5	3	13%	76%	76%	37%	10%	92%
34).7%	10.3%	22.4%	0.9%	1	29	106	227	0.33	0.66	0.25	0	1	10	5	31%	49%	78%	27%	32%	91%
35	•).2%	14.5%	65.4%	2.8%	1	37	122	564	0.52	0.79	0.48	0	1	6	2	44%	23%	63%	28%	59%	96%
36	•	0.4%	7.0%	76.7%	1.5%	1	22	58	93	0.25	0.76	0.34	0	1	5	2	0%	48%	48%	77%	19%	97%
37		0.1%	6.8%	89.9%	2.6%	1	18	52	80	0.32	0.72	0.38	0	1	5	1	18%	60%	60%	48%	40%	97%
38	-	0.4%	6.9%	70.4%	1.4%	2	185	573	5,248	0.19	0.46	0.20	1	1	5	0	89%	4%	91%	5%	80%	99%
39		0.4%	32.4%	39.0%	2.2%	1	18	59	143	0.55	0.86	0.51	0	1	13	2	43%	23%	60%	22%	53%	94%
40	-1,015 -0	0.2%	50.0%	16.7%	1.2%	1	17	61	84	0.39	0.75	0.29	0	1	4	7	3%	38%	38%	55%	0%	73%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

	relative to benchmark:													
and % change from 2002		136%	%29-	-65%	-64%		37%	-84%	-85%	-83%				
and % chang	29	52	15	45	45	410	390	20	46	46				
Plan (2002)	29	22	45	129	126	410	284	126	300	277				
City and County Boundaries	Number of Counties	Counties with only one district	Counties split into more than one district	Aggregate number of county splits	Aggregate number of splits with population	Number of Cities	Cities with only one district	Cities split into more than one district	Aggregate number of city splits	Aggregate number of splits with population				

Со	unties in	cluded in mo	re than on	e district	
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	16	301,765	55.5%	977.3	62.8%
Brevard	20	241,611	44.5%	579.7	37.2%
Broward	31	89,465	5.1%	23.0	1.7%
Broward	32	472,110	27.0%	945.0	71.4%
Broward	33	244,277	14.0%	43.5	3.3%
Broward	34	473,196	27.1%	227.3	17.2%
Broward	40	469,018	26.8%	84.1	6.4%
Duval	5	393,158	45.5%	674.0	73.4%
Duval	6	471,105	54.5%	244.5	26.6%
Hillsborough	18	476,207	38.7%	404.7	32.0%
Hillsborough	21	108,061	8.8%	123.9	9.8%
Hillsborough	22	397,074	32.3%	303.2	24.0%
Hillsborough	23	247,884	20.2%	434.0	34.3%
Lake	8	20,627	6.9%	35.5	3.1%
Lake	9	35,368	11.9%	402.2	34.8%
Lake	12	241,057	81.2%	719.3	62.2%
Lee	24	151,621	24.5%	247.6	20.4%
Lee	28	467,133	75.5%	964.9	79.6%
Manatee	23	228,352	70.7%	693.3	77.7%
Manatee	27	94,481	29.3%	199.4	22.3%
Miami-Dade	33	223,208	8.9%	40.0	1.6%
Miami-Dade	35	471,078	18.9%	564.1	23.2%
Miami-Dade	36	468,317	18.8%	93.3	3.8%
Miami-Dade	37	470,557	18.9%	80.2	3.3%
Miami-Dade	38	395,131	15.8%	1,511.2	62.2%
Miami-Dade	39	468,144	18.8%	142.5	5.9%
Okaloosa	1	25,141	13.9%	319.2	29.5%
Okaloosa	2	155,681	86.1%	762.9	70.5%
Orange	13	467,184	40.8%	296.3	29.5%
Orange	14	45,087	3.9%	17.5	1.7%
Orange	15	467,311	40.8%	318.0	31.7%
Orange	16	166,374	14.5%	371.5	37.0%
Osceola	19	183,809	68.4%	223.0	14.8%
Osceola	20	84,876	31.6%	1,282.9	85.2%
Palm Beach	29	468,764	35.5%	304.7	12.8%
Palm Beach	30	470,678	35.7%	1,909.2	80.1%
Palm Beach	31	380,692	28.8%	169.2	7.1%
Pinellas	17	474,698	51.8%	307.4	50.6%
Pinellas	21	364,137	39.7%	238.6	39.2%
Pinellas	22	77,707	8.5%	62.1	10.2%
Polk	12	225,747	37.5%	475.3	23.6%
Polk	19	281,958	46.8%	975.9	48.5%
Polk	24	94,390	15.7%	559.4	27.8%
Volusia	9	27,355	5.5%	322.6	22.5%
Volusia	10	467,238	94.5%	1,109.9	77.5%

Coun	ties in	cluded in m	ore than on	e district	
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Citi		uded in more	than one o	listrict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Coral Gables	35	14,251	30.5%	31.5	84.5%
Coral Gables	36	32,529	69.5%	5.8	15.5%
Deerfield Beach	31	35,995	48.0%	9.5	58.7%
Deerfield Beach	34	11,939	15.9%	2.4	14.5%
Deerfield Beach	40	27,084	36.1%	4.4	26.89
Fanning Springs	4	278	36.4%	1.7	44.49
Fanning Springs	8	486	63.6%	2.2	55.69
Flagler Beach	7	4,424	98.7%	4.0	99.39
Flagler Beach	10	60	1.3%	0.0	0.79
Fort Lauderdale	34	93,094	56.2%	23.0	59.69
Fort Lauderdale	40	72,427	43.8%	15.6	40.49
Jacksonville	5	350,679	42.7%	630.2	72.19
Jacksonville	6	471,105	57.3%	244.5	28.09
Margate	32	38,270	71.8%	6.8	74.89
Margate	40	15,014	28.2%	2.3	25.39
Melbourne	16	7,689	10.1%	4.1	10.39
Melbourne	20	68,379	89.9%	35.5	89.79
Miami	35	185,016	46.3%	27.7	49.49
Miami	36	44,661	11.2%	3.5	6.39
Miami	37	60,804	15.2%	5.2	9.39
Miami	39	108,976	27.3%	19.6	35.09
Miramar	32	33,661	27.6%	14.2	45.39
Miramar	33	88,380	72.4%	17.1	54.79
North Miami	33	31,301	53.3%	3.3	33.19
North Miami	39	27,485	46.8%	6.7	66.99
Orlando	13	113,189	47.5%	33.8	30.69
Orlando	15	124,835	52.4%	76.1	68.89
Orlando Orlando	16	276	0.1%	0.8	0.79
Pembroke Pines	32	56,224	36.3%	17.0	48.89
Pembroke Pines	33	98,526	63.7%	17.8	51.29
	32	,			
Plantation Plantation	_	56,474 15,100	66.5%	13.7	62.39
	34	15,100	17.8%	5.6	25.49
Plantation	40	13,381	15.8%	2.7	12.39
Pompano Beach	34	20,589	20.6%	4.3	16.99
Pompano Beach	40	79,256	79.4%	21.1	83.19
Southwest Ranches	32	5,287	72.0%	8.8	67.19
Southwest Ranches	34	2,058	28.0%	4.3	33.09
St. Petersburg	21	167,062	68.3%	75.6	54.99
St. Petersburg	22	77,707	31.8%	62.1	45.19
Sunrise	32	44,235	52.4%	12.8	69.99
Sunrise –	40	40,204	47.6%	5.5	30.19
Tamarac –	32	27,420	45.4%	6.0	49.89
Tamarac	40	33,007	54.6%	6.1	50.29
Tampa	18	49,588	14.8%	37.8	21.5%
Tampa	21	108,061	32.2%	84.5	48.29
Tampa	22	178,060	53.0%	53.0	30.29

Citi	es incl	uded in mor	e than one	district	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

			2012 General Election Registered Voters																								
		2010 Censu	S					2012 Ge	eneral Electic	n Registere	d Voters									2012 (General Elect	ion Voter T	urnout				
Dist.	\	VAP who are	e:		RV who are	:	RV wl	no are:	Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		V	oters who a	re:	Voters v	/ho are:	Dems w	ho are:	Reps w	ho are:	Blks who	Hisp. w	ho are:
	₹ Black ₩	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
33	50.6%	30.8%	2.7%	65.4%	13.3%	21.3%	48.4%	22.8%	62.6%	15.5%	9.7%	41.5%	84.5%	44.4%	24.1%	69.4%	12.6%	18.0%	53.2%	20.8%	66.6%	13.7%	9.2%	42.6%	86.9%	45.7%	25.8%
40	50.0%	16.7%	1.2%	65.0%	13.6%	21.4%	47.2%	10.0%	61.4%	8.0%	9.7%	11.6%	84.6%	51.8%	15.7%	69.0%	13.2%	17.8%	51.5%	9.0%	65.1%	7.0%	8.6%	11.3%	87.1%	53.9%	16.7%
6	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	54.6%	27.5%	17.9%	44.2%	3.7%	69.5%	2.8%	5.0%	3.3%	85.9%	41.3%	24.5%	56.6%	29.4%	14.1%	46.0%	3.0%	72.1%	2.3%	4.0%	2.9%	88.7%	42.9%	27.7%
13	36.1%	19.7%	1.4%	51.0%	24.8%	24.2%	33.6%	13.6%	54.9%	13.4%	4.3%	8.5%	83.3%	50.2%	15.5%	52.5%	27.6%	19.9%	35.3%	11.2%	58.0%	11.2%	3.5%	6.9%	86.2%	52.3%	17.0%
22	34.6%	24.2%	1.8%	56.5%	19.1%	24.5%	36.1%	14.7%	53.7%	12.8%	5.8%	13.3%	84.1%	49.1%	17.2%	59.5%	20.3%	20.2%	38.7%	12.7%	56.4%	11.1%	4.7%	11.8%	86.7%	51.9%	18.8%
39	32.4%	39.0%	2.2%	59.2%	15.3%	25.5%	33.7%	27.6%	48.9%	20.3%	6.1%	43.3%	85.8%	43.5%	24.0%	61.2%	15.8%	23.1%	34.4%	27.0%	49.5%	19.4%	4.8%	43.8%	88.0%	43.9%	25.5%
		F Hisp. ↓																									
37	6.8%	89.9%	2.6%	32.5%	39.1%	28.5%	6.2%	79.0%	16.2%	68.4%	0.6%	86.1%	84.5%	28.1%	42.6%	32.3%	42.8%	24.9%	6.6%	79.3%	17.8%	66.6%	0.4%	87.0%	87.3%	27.1%	46.9%
36	7.0%	76.7%	1.5%	33.5%	37.8%	28.7%	7.0%	65.3%	17.1%	50.8%	0.7%	76.1%	82.0%	26.1%	44.0%	33.8%	40.6%	25.6%	7.1%	65.4%	17.8%	49.0%	0.5%	77.0%	84.7%	25.3%	47.8%
38	6.9%	70.4%	1.4%	32.3%	36.6%	31.1%	4.8%	60.3%	11.0%	52.6%	0.7%	65.2%	74.9%	28.2%	39.6%	32.8%	39.9%	27.3%	5.1%	58.6%	12.1%	49.0%	0.6%	64.1%	78.6%	27.4%	43.6%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

		2010 Primary Turnout 2010 General Election Registered Voters																2010 General Election Voter Turnout										
	2010	Primary Tu	ırnout					2010 Ge	eneral Election	on Registered	d Voters									2010	General Elect	tion Voter Tu	ırnout					
Dist.	Dems w	vho are:	Reps who		RV who are	:	RV wł	no are:	Dems who are: Reps who are:			vho are:	Blks who	Hisp. w	ho are:	V	oters who a	re:	Voters v	vho are:	Dems w	/ho are:	Reps w	ho are:	Blks who	Hisp. w	ho are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	
33	72.5%	4.7%	34.5%	65.8%	14.1%	20.1%	47.9%	21.5%	61.6%	14.6%	10.3%	40.2%	84.5%	44.4%	26.3%	72.2%	14.7%	13.0%	55.5%	15.1%	68.7%	8.6%	8.3%	38.1%	89.4%	41.3%	37.1%	
40	63.5%	2.7%	6.1%	64.7%	14.6%	20.7%	45.5%	9.3%	59.4%	7.5%	9.8%	10.7%	84.6%	52.0%	16.9%	69.2%	17.1%	13.7%	48.6%	5.9%	63.3%	4.5%	6.6%	8.2%	90.0%	53.0%	23.7%	
6	66.5%	0.8%	1.6%	55.8%	27.5%	16.7%	43.6%	3.4%	67.7%	2.5%	5.2%	3.2%	86.8%	41.4%	25.9%	55.7%	34.0%	10.3%	41.2%	2.0%	67.6%	1.4%	3.1%	2.3%	91.6%	38.4%	37.8%	
13	54.0%	5.5%	3.7%	51.2%	25.9%	22.8%	32.5%	12.5%	53.2%	12.3%	4.4%	8.1%	84.1%	50.4%	16.9%	49.6%	35.7%	14.7%	31.0%	7.5%	55.6%	7.7%	2.7%	5.3%	88.9%	50.8%	25.0%	
22	52.7%	3.6%	6.3%	57.2%	20.1%	22.7%	35.3%	13.3%	52.4%	11.3%	5.9%	12.5%	84.9%	48.5%	18.9%	59.2%	25.9%	14.9%	35.1%	7.8%	53.7%	6.4%	3.5%	8.6%	90.4%	48.5%	28.6%	
39	56.0%	9.3%	47.9%	60.5%	16.0%	23.5%	34.2%	26.1%	48.8%	18.6%	6.7%	43.6%	86.2%	43.2%	26.7%	63.4%	19.1%	17.5%	34.6%	23.1%	49.3%	14.4%	4.2%	44.7%	90.5%	39.4%	36.8%	
37	31.8%	45.7%	88.4%	32.2%	41.4%	26.4%	7.1%	77.1%	18.8%	64.2%	0.7%	85.5%	85.3%	26.8%	45.9%	28.4%	54.1%	17.4%	8.0%	76.6%	25.5%	54.5%	0.4%	86.9%	90.6%	20.2%	61.5%	
36	21.6%	30.1%	79.1%	33.9%	39.8%	26.4%	7.2%	63.4%	17.6%	47.3%	0.8%	75.4%	82.5%	25.3%	47.3%	32.4%	49.2%	18.4%	7.3%	62.3%	19.9%	38.6%	0.5%	76.8%	88.1%	20.1%	60.6%	
38	13.1%	27.0%	60.3%	32.6%	38.2%	29.2%	4.9%	58.3%	11.2%	49.6%	0.8%	64.4%	75.2%	27.7%	42.2%	31.7%	48.0%	20.4%	5.0%	53.4%	13.1%	39.0%	0.5%	61.9%	82.0%	23.2%	55.6%	

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 (US Pres	2012	US Sen	2010	J Gov	2010) CFO	2010 A	ktt.Gen	2010	2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen		2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006	Cm.Ag	2006 (JS Sen
Tota	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
33	83.8%	16.2%	84.8%	15.2%	82.0%	18.0%	78.9%	21.1%	80.8%	19.2%	80.8%	19.2%	57.6%	17.0%	25.4%	81.9%	18.1%	77.8%	22.2%	82.0%	18.0%	77.9%	22.1%	77.1%	22.9%	82.6%	17.4%
40	82.8%	17.2%	84.2%	15.8%	79.4%	20.6%	74.9%	25.1%	77.7%	22.3%	77.2%	22.8%	52.9%	18.7%	28.4%	81.3%	18.7%	74.3%	25.7%	79.6%	20.4%	75.2%	24.8%	73.8%	26.2%	81.3%	18.7%
6	61.1%	38.9%	65.7%	34.3%	58.0%	42.0%	53.7%	46.3%	54.4%	45.6%	55.4%	44.6%	41.2%	41.7%	17.1%	60.8%	39.2%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.2%	50.8%	61.6%	38.4%
13	65.8%	34.2%	70.5%	29.5%	61.1%	38.9%	53.5%	46.5%	55.6%	44.4%	54.7%	45.3%	38.3%	41.4%	20.3%	65.3%	34.7%	50.7%	49.3%	59.4%	40.6%	49.6%	50.4%	47.8%	52.2%	66.8%	33.2%
22	73.9%	26.1%	77.7%	22.3%	69.7%	30.3%	61.6%	38.4%	61.6%	38.4%	60.0%	40.0%	38.6%	27.6%	33.9%	73.2%	26.8%	62.2%	37.8%	66.4%	33.6%	62.2%	37.8%	58.5%	41.5%	74.7%	25.3%
39	76.2%	23.8%	78.3%	21.7%	74.7%	25.3%	70.0%	30.0%	75.5%	24.5%	72.5%	27.5%	45.1%	24.9%	30.0%	76.2%	23.8%	73.3%	26.7%	78.0%	22.0%	74.2%	25.8%	72.7%	27.3%	79.8%	20.2%
37	50.2%	49.8%	52.7%	47.3%	39.8%	60.2%	34.8%	65.2%	37.0%	63.0%	36.0%	64.0%	18.0%	64.7%	17.4%	43.1%	56.9%	37.0%	63.0%	42.1%	57.9%	40.2%	59.8%	38.7%	61.3%	47.5%	52.5%
36	51.0%	49.0%	53.6%	46.4%	47.1%	52.9%	38.4%	61.6%	43.2%	56.8%	39.9%	60.1%	18.2%	56.8%	25.0%	46.4%	53.6%	43.5%	56.5%	49.9%	50.1%	46.0%	54.0%	43.3%	56.7%	53.8%	46.2%
38	51.4%	48.6%	53.8%	46.2%	47.2%	52.8%	39.5%	60.5%	42.3%	57.7%	40.9%	59.1%	17.5%	53.7%	28.9%	47.8%	52.2%	44.8%	55.2%	51.4%	48.6%	46.3%	53.7%	44.7%	55.3%	55.4%	44.6%