The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT Senator Bradley, Chair

Monday, November 29, 2021 **MEETING DATE:**

TIME:

10:00 a.m.—1:00 p.m.

Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building PLACE:

MEMBERS: Senator Bradley, Chair; Senators Bean, Harrell, Rouson, and Stewart

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	Workshop on Congressional Maps		Discussed
2	Public Comment		Discussed
	Other Related Meeting Documents		





Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Committee staff has revised the redistricting plans that were published on November 10 and workshopped the week of November 15.
- In revising the plans, staff followed the instruction from the select subcommittee, and looked for improvements and consistency in the application of the various trade-offs presented in the maps.
- Impacts of the revisions are mainly to the utilization of political and geographic boundaries, with some improvements in visual compactness and cities kept whole.
- Districts retained the same numbers from the original maps.



Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Changes may not be visible from space, but are measureable.
- S000C8002—S000C8018 (26 districts changed)
- S000C8004—S000C8020 (25 districts changed)
- S000C8006—S000C8022 (26 districts changed)
- S000C8008—S000C8024 (25 districts changed)





4















7









q





10



Plans S000C8002/S000C8018

	Overal	l (Range)						Average	2				
Name	Dev	iation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
S000C8002	1	0.00%	2,550	270	0.80	0.41	0.44	10%	59%	20%	37%	3%	11%
S000C8018	1	0.00%	2,550	269	0.80	0.42	0.44	12%	60%	20%	37%	2%	9%

District lines and	In	In	Diffo	rence
City and County Boundaries	S000C8002	S000C8018	Dille	rence
Number of Counties	67	67		
Counties with only one district	46	46	0	0%
Districts with only one county	5	5	0	0%
Counties split into more than one district	21	21	0	0%
Counties with all population in a single district	46	46	0	0%
Aggregate number of county splits	54	54	0	0%
Aggregate number of splits with population	54	54	0	0%
Number of Cities	412	412		
Cities with only one district	351	356	5	1%
Cities split into more than one district	61	56	-5	-8%
Cities with all population in only one district	361	361	0	0%
Aggregate number of city splits	132	121	-11	-8%
Aggregate number of splits with population	121	116	-5	-4%



Plans S000C8002/S000C8018

Use of Non-political & Geographic Boundaries

District	S000C8002	S000C8018	Diff.
1	4%	4%	0%
2	3%	3%	0%
3	5%	5%	0%
4	4%	3%	-1%
5	2%	2%	0%
6	11%	10%	-1%
7	39%	39%	0%
8	10%	9%	-1%
9	6%	6%	0%
10	5%	4%	-1%
11	11%	10%	-1%
12	2%	2%	0%
13	7%	7%	0%
14	7%	7%	0%

District	S000C8002	S000C8018	Diff.
15	5%	5%	0%
16	5%	5%	0%
17	3%	2%	-1%
18	14%	13%	-1%
19	9%	8%	-1%
20	23%	19%	-4%
21	45%	38%	-7%
22	9%	9%	0%
23	25%	14%	-11%
24	21%	20%	-1%
25	9%	8%	-1%
26	1%	1%	0%
27	6%	6%	0%
28	6%	6%	0%



Plans S000C8004/S000C8020

	Overal	l (Range)		Average									
Name	Deviation		Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
S000C8004	1	0.00%	2,550	269	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	3%	11%
S000C8020	1	0.00%	2,550	267	0.80	0.42	0.45	12%	60%	20%	38%	3%	9%

District lines and	In	In	Diffo	rence
City and County Boundaries	S000C8004	S000C8020	Dille	rence
Number of Counties	67	67		
Counties with only one district	47	47	0	0%
Districts with only one county	6	6	0	0%
Counties split into more than one district	20	20	0	0%
Counties with all population in a single district	47	47	0	0%
Aggregate number of county splits	52	52	0	0%
Aggregate number of splits with population	52	52	0	0%
Number of Cities	412	412		
Cities with only one district	352	357	5	1%
Cities split into more than one district	60	55	-5	-8%
Cities with all population in only one district	361	361	0	0%
Aggregate number of city splits	128	117	-11	-9%
Aggregate number of splits with population	118	113	-5	-4%



Plans S000C8004/S000C8020

Use of Non-political & Geographic Boundaries

District	S000C8004	S000C8020	Diff.
1	2%	2%	0%
2	4%	4%	0%
3	9%	9%	0%
4	4%	3%	-1%
5	2%	2%	0%
6	11%	10%	-1%
7	39%	39%	0%
8	10%	9%	-1%
9	6%	6%	0%
10	5%	4%	-1%
11	10%	9%	-1%
12	2%	2%	0%
13	7%	7%	0%
14	7%	7%	0%

District	S000C8004	S000C8020	Diff.
15	5%	5%	0%
16	5%	5%	0%
17	3%	2%	-1%
18	14%	13%	-1%
19	9%	8%	-1%
20	23%	19%	-4%
21	27%	27%	0%
22	22%	16%	-6%
23	25%	14%	-11%
24	21%	20%	-1%
25	9%	8%	-1%
26	1%	1%	0%
27	6%	6%	0%
28	6%	6%	0%



Plans S000C8006/S000C8022

	Overal	l (Range)						Average	2				
Name	Dev	iation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
S000C8006	1	0.00%	2,550	269	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	2%	10%
S000C8022	1	0.00%	2,550	268	0.80	0.42	0.45	12%	60%	20%	38%	2%	9%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In S000C8006	In S000C8022	Diffe	rence
Number of Counties	67	67		
Counties with only one district	46	46	0	0%
Districts with only one county	6	6	0	0%
Counties split into more than one district	21	21	0	0%
Counties with all population in a single district	46	46	0	0%
Aggregate number of county splits	53	53	0	0%
Aggregate number of splits with population	53	53	0	0%
Number of Cities	412	412		
Cities with only one district	350	355	5	1%
Cities split into more than one district	62	57	-5	-8%
Cities with all population in only one district	359	359	0	0%
Aggregate number of city splits	132	121	-11	-8%
Aggregate number of splits with population	122	117	-5	-4%



Plans S000C8006/S000C8022

Use of Non-political & Geographic Boundaries

District	S000C8006	S000C8022	Diff.
1	4%	4%	0%
2	3%	3%	0%
3	5%	5%	0%
4	4%	3%	-1%
5	2%	2%	0%
6	11%	10%	-1%
7	34%	34%	0%
8	10%	9%	-1%
9	8%	8%	0%
10	2%	2%	0%
11	11%	10%	-1%
12	2%	2%	0%
13	7%	7%	0%
14	7%	7%	0%

District	S000C8006	S000C8022	Diff.
15	5%	5%	0%
16	5%	5%	0%
17	3%	2%	-1%
18	14%	13%	-1%
19	9%	8%	-1%
20	23%	19%	-4%
21	27%	27%	0%
22	22%	16%	-6%
23	25%	14%	-11%
24	21%	20%	-1%
25	9%	8%	-1%
26	1%	1%	0%
27	6%	6%	0%
28	6%	6%	0%



Plans S000C8008/S000C8024

	Overall	(Range)						Average	9				
Name	Deviation		Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
S000C8008	1	0.00%	2,550	269	0.80	0.42	0.44	10%	60%	21%	37%	2%	10%
S000C8024	1	0.00%	2,550	267	0.80	0.42	0.44	12%	60%	21%	37%	2%	9%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In S000C8008	In S000C8024	Diffe	rence
Number of Counties	67	67		
Counties with only one district	47	47	0	0%
Districts with only one county	5	5	0	0%
Counties split into more than one district	20	20	0	0%
Counties with all population in a single district	47	47	0	0%
Aggregate number of county splits	53	53	0	0%
Aggregate number of splits with population	53	53	0	0%
Number of Cities	412	412		
Cities with only one district	351	356	5	1%
Cities split into more than one district	61	56	-5	-8%
Cities with all population in only one district	361	361	0	0%
Aggregate number of city splits	132	121	-11	-8%
Aggregate number of splits with population	121	116	-5	-4%




Plans S000C8008/S000C8024

Use of Non-political & Geographic Boundaries

District	S000C8008	S000C8024	Diff.
1	2%	2%	0%
2	4%	4%	0%
3	9%	9%	0%
4	4%	3%	-1%
5	2%	2%	0%
6	11%	10%	-1%
7	34%	34%	0%
8	10%	9%	-1%
9	8%	8%	0%
10	2%	2%	0%
11	10%	9%	-1%
12	2%	2%	0%
13	7%	7%	0%
14	7%	7%	0%

District	S000C8008	S000C8024	Diff.
15	5%	5%	0%
16	5%	5%	0%
17	3%	2%	-1%
18	14%	13%	-1%
19	9%	8%	-1%
20	23%	19%	-4%
21	45%	38%	-7%
22	9%	9%	0%
23	25%	14%	-11%
24	21%	20%	-1%
25	9%	8%	-1%
26	1%	1%	0%
27	6%	6%	0%
28	6%	6%	0%



	Deviati	ion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and Ge	eographic	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1	0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	268.8	0.80	0.42	0.44	46	54	356	121	12%	60%	20%	37%	2%	9%
1	0	0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	338	0.87	0.49	0.54	3	1	16	0	5%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0	0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	871	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0	0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,553	332	0.66	0.18	0.34	1	2	6	2	24%	73%	17%	54%	2%	3%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	12%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.70%	11.04%	2,770	332	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	4	6%	74%	7%	54%	2%	10%
7	0	0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.43	0.66	1	2	7	5	8%	41%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0	0.00%	9.58%	10.30%	2,301	272	0.75	0.39	0.32	1	2	21	0	0%	85%	6%	46%	0%	9%
9	0	0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.37	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0	0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	16%	65%	25%	22%	5%	4%
11	0	0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,545	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	18	5	9%	64%	22%	40%	0%	10%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	16%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	2%
18	0	0.00%	12.12%	14.82%	1,741	224	0.75	0.43	0.45	2	2	13	9	3%	70%	11%	47%	0%	13%
19	0	0.00%	4.37%	15.06%	1,894	236	0.79	0.43	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	61%	1%	8%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	337	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	20	17%	39%	24%	10%	7%	19%
21	0	0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	259	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	6	26%	5%	43%	2%	6%	38%
22	0	0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	33%	42%	12%	9%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.53	0.57	0	1	4	7	66%	15%	32%	0%	1%	14%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	16	7	24%	35%	28%	45%	2%	20%
25	0	0.00%	7.96%	76.83%	3,680	364	0.67	0.35	0.40	1	2	10	3	7%	68%	16%	20%	0%	8%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	591	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	8	1	1%	88%	7%	86%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	9%	18%	35%	59%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,343	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 46 5 21
46 5 21
21
21
46
54
54
412
356
26
361
121
116

		ed in more t			
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.7	16.2%
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.8	66.5%
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	71.9	5.5%
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.4	11.0%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.6	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	639.5	24.6%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,965.6	75.5%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.8	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.6	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	609.1	98.7%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	7.8	1.3%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	379.1	32.8%
Lake	11	304,723	79.4%	550.1	47.6%
Lake	28	43,837	11.4%	227.6	19.7%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	3	194,667	51.8%	1,102.6	66.3%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	560.1	33.7%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	525.2	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.5	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.6	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.4	7.9%
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	371.9	45.3%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	449.6	54.7%
Sumter	11	129,414	99.7%	474.3	81.8%
Sumter	28	338	0.3%	105.5	18.2%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.4	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.1	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district		Counties	included in m	ore thar	n one distr	rict		ll	Counties included in more than one district					
County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area9	,	County	Dist. Total I	Pop I	Pop% 1	Total Area	Area%	Ιſ	County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

	Cities included	in more than	one district			Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	219	3.1%	0.2	4.5%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%
Belle Isle	10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	95.5%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.8%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.2%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.8%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.2%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.0	77.2%
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.1	22.8%
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.8%	Newberry	2	3,695	50.3%	35.5	60.5%
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Newberry	3	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.5%
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	North Palm Beach	20	13,102	0.0%	0.0	0.6%
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%
	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	Oakland Park	20	29,192	66.0%	3.5 4.7	43.0% 57.0%
Deerfield Beach	20 21		33.8% 48.0%	4.7 8.5			3				93.2%
Deerfield Beach		41,698			52.6%	Ocala		63,183	99.4%	44.0	
Deerfield Beach	22	15,811	18.2% 0.0%	3.0 0.0	18.2%	Ocala Ona locka	11 24	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8% 34.0%
Delray Beach	21	0			0.0%	Opa-locka		9,537	57.9%	1.5	
Delray Beach	22	66,846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Orlando	7	22,957	7.5%	5.7	4.8%
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Orlando	9	103,806	33.8%	68.7	57.7%
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Orlando	10	180,810	58.8%	44.5	37.5%
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Palm Beach	22	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%
Gainesville	2	199	0.1%	1.0	1.5%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%
Gainesville	3	140,886	99.9%	63.4	98.5%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%
Groveland	11	16,884	91.2%	25.3	96.9%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%
Groveland	28	1,621	8.8%	0.8	3.1%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pompano Beach	21	3,713	3.3%	3.7	14.8%
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Pompano Beach	22	54,801	48.9%	10.5	42.6%
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.9	29.5%
Hypoluxo	22	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	412.8	47.2%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.6	52.8%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.1%
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%
Lake Worth Beach	22	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%
Lantana	22	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.5%
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.4	12.5%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	44.0%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%
Maitland	7	13,655	69.9%	4.8	73.3%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%
	,	13,033	05.570	7.0	75.576		13	10,740	72.070	5.0	32.070

1.7 26.7% Tarpon Springs

30.1%

Maitland

Cities included in more than one district											
City		Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%					
Jmatilla		6	1,415	38.4%	1.9	45.5%					
Jmatilla		11	2,270	61.6%	2.3	54.5%					
/enice		16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%					
/enice		17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%					
West Palm Beach		18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%					
West Palm Beach		20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%					
West Palm Beach		22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%					
Westlake		18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%					
Westlake		20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%					
Winter Park		7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%					
Winter Park		10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%					


Cities included in more than one district										
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%					

	2020 (Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ters						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	no are:	Black	k Voters who	are:	Hisp.	. Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.54%	21.04%	34.19%	67.38%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%
10	28.58%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.85%	45.56%	15.95%	38.44%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.62%	16.84%	36.49%	64.39%	10.52%	9.20%	17.79%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.88%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.03%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.59%	43.10%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.96%	76.83%	31.43%	36.54%	32.03%	6.97%	64.09%	79.64%	3.94%	16.25%	29.23%	35.98%	34.77%	17.66%	59.60%	0.75%	63.10%	3.54%	69.57%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.74%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.61%	3.67%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.67%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 0	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	neral Elect	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforr	nance in St	atewide Elec	ions 2012-2	.020
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	DEM wh	no are:	REP wi	no are:	Vo	ters who a	ire:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	rho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	o are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.13%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.83%	25.94%	6.78%	89.70%	2.22%	8.05%	45.53%	25.72%	28.15%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.69%	1.94%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.94%	12.67%	2.19%	7.01%	14.00%	16.58%	84.31%	2.85%	12.79%	49.40%	19.08%	31.35%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.94%	6.66%	9.17%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.09%	7.88%	7.54%	13.66%	29.97%	17.25%	86.84%	2.03%	11.06%	50.70%	18.02%	30.85%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.60%	7.02%	47.18%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.60%	7.57%	42.24%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.90%	10.61%	45.30%	22.78%	31.87%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.4%	D +61.7%
25	7.96%	76.83%	26.60%	42.55%	0.43%	61.13%	32.92%	41.38%	25.70%	20.79%	53.26%	0.57%	61.19%	3.39%	66.94%	85.82%	2.98%	11.07%	29.10%	42.27%	28.61%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.4%	D +2.6%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.57%	0.77%	66.17%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.33%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.02%	3.19%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.93%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

Plan SOUCEOB18				5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
Raidauf Colore		Plan S000C8018	BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
R		Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
Governor (REP)			R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.37%	1.92%	1.93%	1.83%	1.50%
Governor (REP)			R_DeSantis	52.44%	52.06%	52.08%	61.27%	66.56%	65.93%	67.74%	67.69%
Governor (REP) R. Mercadante 0.42% 1.30% 0.75% 1.52% 2.13% 1.93% 2.06% 2.14% 1.84 1.30% 1.72% 1.00% 0.80% 1.53% 2.73% 1.32			R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.42%	2.08%	3.25%	2.92%	3.34%	3.09%
R Mercadante 0.42% 1.30% 0.75% 1.52% 2.13% 1.93% 2.06% 2.14% 1.84 1.8		Governor (RED)	R_Langford	1.13%	1.53%	1.64%	1.73%	1.97%	1.41%	1.72%	1.53%
R		dovernor (KEF)	R_Mercadante	0.42%	1.30%	0.75%	1.52%	2.13%	1.93%	2.06%	2.14%
R. White			R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.53%	2.73%	1.13%	1.42%	1.39%
D. Gillum S81.39% 29.37% 45.52% 54.51% 50.42% 32.88% 31.83% 28.95%			R_Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.48%	17.06%	21.84%	18.17%	18.84%
Part			R_White	1.63%	2.66%	2.07%	2.65%	3.92%	2.63%	3.54%	3.46%
Price			_			45.52%	54.51%	50.42%			
Part			D_Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.19%	11.16%	19.31%	21.15%	22.65%
Devine 10.71% 19.37% 12.44% 20.39% 27.51% 32.70% 32.23% 37.17% 20.46% 2			D_Greene								
D_Lundmark D. Wetherbee D. Sas D. T. T./% D. C. S.		Governor (DEM)	D_King								
Attorney General (REP) R. Moody S-7.78% S-5.38% S-6.36% S-3.22% S-2.08% S-4.82% S-4.79% Attorney General (DEM) D. Shaw R. Solow			D_Levine								37.17%
Attorney General (REP) Attorney General (REP) Attorney General (DEM) D. Torrens 21.31% 39.52% 44.62% 44.62% 44.62% 43.02% 46.69% 47.88% 43.11% 67.77% 69.58% 74.09% 78.66% 60.47% 74.45% 81.48% 82.11% 67.77% 69.58% 74.09% 72.00% 72.	2018		_								
Attorney General (REP) Attorney General (DEM) D. Shaw P. 8.66% 60.47% 74.45% 81.45% 81.45% 82.11% 87.77% 69.58% 74.09% 74.69% 17.89% 32.10% 30.30% 30.33% 25.91% 17.89% 32.10% 30.30% 30.33% 25.91% 17.89% 32.10% 30.30% 30.30% 3	2010										
Attorney General (DEM) D. Shaw Attorney General (DEM) D. Shaw D. Torrens 21.31% 39.52% 25.57% 18.50% 17.89% 32.10% 30.43% 25.91% 40.18% Agriculture Commissioner (REP) R. Grimsley R. McCalister R. Troutman 34.11% 15.35% 31.45% 32.56% 31.45% 32.56% 31.45% 32.56% 31.45% 32.70% 32.70% 32.70% 32.70% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 32.70% 40.18% 32.70% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20% 40.18% 40.20%		Attorney General (REP)	- :								
Attorney General (DEM) Agriculture Commissioner (REP) Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) D_Fried 60.09% 54.87% 55.24% 63.09% 54.87% 55.24% 63.09% 63.00% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.00% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.09% 63.00% 63.09% 63.00% 63.09% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00% 63.00			-								
Agriculture Commissioner (REP) R. Caldwell R. Grimsley R. Grimsley R. M. Caldwell R. M. Caldwell R. Grimsley R. M. Caldwell R		Attorney General (DEM)	_								
Agriculture Commissioner (REP) R_McCalister R_R Carimsley R_McCalister R_R Troutman		,	-								
Agriculture Commissioner (REP) R_McCalister R_Troutman R_McCalister R_R_McCalister R_McCalister R_R_McCalister R_McCalister R_McCalist			_								
R_McCalister 8.68% 16.31% 15.40% 20.36% 17.11% 12.78% 16.62% 16.76% 17.38% 20.02% 20.45% 15.13% 15.13% 10.56% 17.36		Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	- :								
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) D_Porter 20.04% 18.96% 17.52% 16.50% 17.38% 20.02% 20.45% 15.13% 20.03% 23.61% 27.59% 26.21% 24.88% 10.25% 11.19% 14.63% 15.75% 9.81% 12.28%			_								
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)											
US Senate (REP) R De La Fuente R Scott R R, Beruff R			_								
US Senate (REP) R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Seruff R_Scott R_Seruff R_Scott R_Seruff R_Reivera R_Reivera R_Reivera R_Reubio R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Reivera R_Reivera R_Reivera R_Reubio R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Scott R_Reivera R_Reivera R_Reivera R_Reubio R_Scott R_S		Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	_								
No.											
US Senate (REP) R_Beuriff 22.30% 17.31% 17.63% 17.64% 14.34% 8.71% 8.85% 6.43% 5.58% 88.7% 84.9% 89.09% 87.66% 87.32% 18.85% 88.57% 84.9% 89.09% 87.66% 87.32% 18.85% 18.85% 6.43% 5.58% 18.85% 18.85% 6.43% 5.58% 18.85%		US Senate (REP)	_								
US Senate (REP) R_Rivera R_Rubio R_Noung R_No											
US Senate (REP) R_Rubio R_Young S.81% S.82% R_Young S.81%			-								
R_Young		US Senate (REP)	_								
D_De La Fuente 4.12% 15.25% 3.90% 2.89% 5.51% 19.30% 13.76% 12.16% D_Grayson 17.53% 45.90% 40.69% 9.83% 10.82% 11.17% 11.16% 11.19% 11.19% 15.18% 9.58% 12.67% 14.50% 13.85% 13.73% 15.63% 17.86% 12.08% 12.08% 2.28% 2.28% 2.38% 2.68% 2.02% 1.68% 15.46% 12.08% 12.08% 27.88% 40.26% 69.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 12.32% 12.67% 14.69% 16.32% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 12.32% 9.54% 14.69% 16.32% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 13.26% 12.32% 9.54% 14.69% 16.32% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 13.26% 12.32% 9.54% 14.69% 16.32% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 12.32% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26			_								
US Senate (DEM) D_Grayson 17.53% 45.90% 40.69% 9.83% 10.82% 11.17% 11.16% 11.19% D_keith 15.18% 9.58% 12.67% 14.50% 13.85% 13.73% 15.63% 17.86% D_Luster 12.08% 12.66% 2.28% 2.38% 2.68% 2.02% 1.68% 1.54% D_Murphy 50.94% 27.88% 40.26% 69.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 40.26% 69.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 66.92%	2016		<u> </u>								
US Senate (DEM) D_Keith 15.18% 9.58% 12.67% 14.50% 13.85% 13.73% 15.63% 17.86% D_Luster 12.08% 1.26% 2.28% 2.38% 2.68% 2.02% 1.68% 1.54% D_Murphy 50.94% 27.88% 40.26% 69.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 56.90% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 66.92% 66.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 66.92% 66.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90% 66.92%	2016		_								
D_Luster 12.08% 1.26% 2.28% 2.38% 2.68% 2.02% 1.68% 1.54% 0.46%		110.0 (0.514)	_ '								
D_Murphy S0.94% 27.88% 40.26% 69.92% 66.92% 53.19% 57.51% 56.90%		US Senate (DEM)	_								
R_Adeshina 1.30% 1.74% 1.64% 2.76% 2.97% 1.46% 1.77% 1.80% 1.23% 9.54% 14.69% 16.32% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.61% 15.19% 13.26% 10.01% 15.19% 13.26% 10.01% 15.19%											
Governor (REP)											
R_Scott 90.48% 85.83% 88.60% 80.96% 80.33% 87.73% 82.95% 84.83%		(DED)	l -								
2014 Governor (DEM) D_Crist D_Rich 25.59% 23.71% 21.01% 15.99% 15.59% 22.84% 21.48% 25.89% 25.59% 23.71% 21.01% 15.99% 15.59% 22.84% 21.48% 25.89% 25.59% 23.71% 21.01% 15.99% 15.59% 22.84% 21.48% 25.89% 25		Governor (REP)	_								
Governor (DEM)	2014		_								
Attorney General (DEM)	2014	Governor (DEM)	_								
Attorney General (DEM) D_Thurston 39.16% 39.12% 50.43% 61.00% 53.26% 40.91% 38.48% 34.37% R_Mack 57.59% 48.40% 58.29% 64.13% 77.72% 73.46% 73.64% 77.15% R_McCalister 18.65% 12.02% 10.84% 13.18% 6.84% 8.01% 7.36% 5.18% R_Stuart 5.93% 6.84% 4.87% 7.15% 13.14% 12.37% 13.26% 12.99% R_Weldon 17.46% 32.53% 25.74% 13.36% 7.99% 5.92% 5.67% 4.46% US Senate (DEM) D_Burkett 22.03% 19.85% 13.56% 14.19% 14.02% 21.21% 18.40% 14.76%	-										
US Senate (REP) R_Mack R_McCalister R_Stuart R_Weldon R_Weldon R_Weldon R_Weldon R_Beldon R_Weldon R_Beldon R_		Attorney General (DEM)	_								
US Senate (REP) R_MCCalister R_Stuart 5.93% 6.84% 4.87% 7.15% 13.14% 12.37% 13.26% 12.99% R_Weldon 17.46% 32.53% 25.74% 13.36% 7.99% 5.92% 5.67% 4.46% US Senate (DFM) D_Burkett 22.03% 19.85% 13.56% 14.19% 14.02% 21.21% 18.40% 14.76%			+ =								
US Senate (REP)			l -								
Total Control Contro		US Senate (REP)	_								
US Senate (DFM) D_Burkett 22.03% 19.85% 13.56% 14.19% 14.02% 21.21% 18.40% 14.76%	2012		_								
US Senate (DEM)	-										
P_Neisuii		US Senate (DEM)	_								
			יואפוצטוו	77.90%	80.11%	00.33%	65.00%	85.54%	70.30%	81.49%	65.11%

Plan S000C8018 BVAP 43.73% 12.72% 28.58% 51.29% 42.14% 7.96% 10.32% 7.07%				5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
D_Biden R_Trump 38.62% 40.04% 37.39% 22.65% 25.01% 58.48% 52.99% 50.01%		Plan S000C8018	BVAI	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
President		General Elections	HVAI	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
R_Trump	2020	Drosidont	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.98%	46.43%	49.44%
Attorney General	2020	Fresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.65%	25.01%	58.48%	52.99%	50.01%
R_DeSantis 36.60% 36.40% 36.74% 18.30% 17.69% 52.44% 46.31% 45.75%		Covernor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.17%	52.49%	53.18%
Attorney General R_Moody R_Moody R_Patronis R_Patronis R_Patronis R_Patronis R_Patronis R_Patronis R_Caldwell R_Caldwell R_Scott R_Scott R_Tump R_Tump R_Tump R_Tump R_Rubio R		Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.44%	46.31%	45.75%
R_Moody		Attornou Conoral	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.46%	79.41%	80.18%	44.45%	50.86%	51.99%
Chief Financial Officer R_Patronis 39.62% 38.64% 39.70% 19.13% 18.33% 54.17% 48.07% 47.41%		Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.25%	53.53%	46.94%	46.10%
R_Patronis 39.62% 38.64% 39.70% 19.13% 18.33% 54.17% 48.07% 47.41%	2010	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.30%	80.85%	81.65%	45.82%	51.93%	52.59%
Agriculture Commissioner R_Caldwell 38.63% 37.24% 37.81% 18.99% 17.84% 53.06% 46.56% 45.38% US Senate D_Nelson 62.25% 60.97% 62.06% 80.85% 81.53% 46.47% 53.46% 54.47% R_Scott 37.75% 39.02% 37.93% 19.15% 18.47% 53.52% 46.54% 45.52% President President R_Trump 38.61% 33.71% 36.40% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% R_Trump D_Murphy 52.82% 55.80% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio 43.90% 40.13% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% Governor D_Crist Agriculture Commissioner D_Rankin R_Atwater Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton D_Hamilto	2018	Ciliei Filianciai Officei	R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.17%	48.07%	47.41%
R_Caldwell 38.63% 37.24% 37.81% 18.99% 17.84% 53.06% 46.56% 45.38%		Agricultura Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.15%	46.93%	53.44%	54.63%
US Senate R_Scott 37.75% 39.02% 37.93% 19.15% 18.47% 53.52% 46.54% 45.52% President D_Clinton R_Trump 38.61% 33.71% 36.40% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% US Senate D_Murphy D_Crist R_Rubio A3.90% 40.13% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% Governor D_Crist R_Scott 39.85% 41.58% 40.71% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55% Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Chief Financial Officer Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton D_S8.55% 62.85% 60.06% 78.80% 81.14% 52.56% 56.46% 40.05% D.88.07% 40.48% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% D_Hamilton D_Hami		Agriculture Commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.06%	46.56%	45.38%
R_Scott 37.75% 39.02% 37.93% 19.15% 18.47% 53.52% 46.54% 45.52% President D_Clinton 58.51% 62.85% 60.06% 78.80% 81.14% 52.56% 56.46% 57.42% R_Trump 38.61% 33.71% 36.40% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% D_Murphy 52.82% 55.80% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio 43.90% 40.13% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% D_Crist 56.54% 53.29% 54.73% 80.78% 82.30% 43.00% 51.20% 50.00% R_Scott 39.85% 41.58% 40.71% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55% Attorney General R_Bondi 44.31% 47.53% 45.25% 21.46% 18.65% 58.94% 51.75% 51.96% Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin R_Atwater 46.43% 50.39% 50.66% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% 49.31% 48.31% 49.39% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31% 44.31% 47.39% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%		LIC Conneto	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.47%	53.46%	54.47%
President R_Trump 38.61% 33.71% 36.40% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% US Senate D_Murphy 52.82% 55.80% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio 43.90% 40.13% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% Governor D_Crist 56.54% 53.29% 54.73% 80.78% 82.30% 43.00% 51.20% 50.00% R_Scott 39.85% 41.58% 40.71% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55% Attorney General Attorney General Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Chief Financial Officer Chief Financial Officer R_Atwater 46.43% 50.39% 50.66% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton 55.57% 48.36% 49.39% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%		OS Senate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.93%	19.15%	18.47%	53.52%	46.54%	45.52%
R_Trump 38.61% 33.71% 36.40% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05%		Drosidont	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.56%	56.46%	57.42%
US Senate D_Murphy	2016	riesident	R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.19%	45.16%	40.81%	40.05%
R_Rubio	2010	LIS Conato	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.42%	47.69%	47.78%
Attorney General D_Sheldon S3.20% 49.61% 51.87% 77.11% 79.92% 38.72% 45.82% 46.03%		O3 Sellate	R_Rubio	43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.35%	49.92%	50.17%
R_Scott 39.85% 41.58% 40.71% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55%		Covernor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.00%	51.20%	50.00%
Attorney General R_Bondi 44.31% 47.53% 45.25% 21.46% 18.65% 58.94% 51.75% 51.96% Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin R_Atwater 46.43% 50.39% 50.66% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton 55.57% 48.36% 49.39% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%		Governor	R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.09%	16.12%	54.28%	45.89%	47.55%
R_Bondi		Attornou Conoral	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.92%	38.72%	45.82%	46.03%
Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin 53.56% 49.60% 49.35% 76.50% 79.12% 40.24% 45.88% 43.49%	2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.94%	51.75%	51.96%
R_Atwater 46.43% 50.39% 50.66% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton 55.57% 48.36% 49.39% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%	2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.24%	45.88%	43.49%
Agriculture Commissioner		Ciliei Filianciai Officei	R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.75%	54.12%	56.53%
		Agricultura Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.24%	79.88%	39.79%	46.04%	44.31%
		Agriculture Commissioner	R_Putnam	44.41%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.19%	53.95%	55.69%
President D_Obama 61.03% 62.43% 59.08% 81.97% 82.87% 51.07% 54.83% 52.22%		Drasidant	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.07%	54.83%	52.22%
R_Romney 38.14% 36.74% 40.13% 17.61% 16.77% 48.44% 44.61% 47.27%	2012	President	R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.44%	44.61%	47.27%
US Senate D_Nelson 65.00% 66.89% 63.71% 83.37% 83.54% 52.79% 56.33% 54.47%	2012	LIC Consta	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.79%	56.33%	54.47%
US Senate R_Mack 32.61% 30.61% 34.42% 15.43% 15.43% 45.07% 42.03% 44.15%		us senate	R_Mack	32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.07%	42.03%	44.15%



	Deviation	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Cit	ies:		Politic	al and G	eographic	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total %	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1 0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	267.1	0.80	0.42	0.45	47	52	357	117	12%	60%	20%	38%	3%	9%
1	0 0.00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0 0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0 0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0 0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,553	332	0.66	0.18	0.34	1	2	6	2	24%	73%	17%	54%	2%	3%
5	0 0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	12%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0 0.00%	9.70%	11.04%	2,770	332	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	4	6%	74%	7%	54%	2%	10%
7	0 0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.43	0.66	1	2	7	5	8%	41%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0 0.00%	9.58%	10.30%	2,301	272	0.75	0.39	0.32	1	2	21	0	0%	85%	6%	46%	0%	9%
9	0 0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.37	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0 0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	16%	65%	25%	22%	5%	4%
11	0 0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,540	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	18	4	11%	64%	21%	40%	1%	9%
12	0 0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0 0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1 0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0 0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0 0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	16%	53%	2%	5%
17	0 0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	2%
18	0 0.00%	12.12%	14.82%	1,741	224	0.75	0.43	0.45	2	2	13	9	3%	70%	11%	47%	0%	13%
19	0 0.00%	4.37%	15.06%	1,894	236	0.79	0.43	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	61%	1%	8%
20	0 0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	337	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	20	17%	39%	24%	10%	7%	19%
21	0 0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	360	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	8	12%	19%	33%	30%	10%	27%
22	0 0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	121	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	20%	33%	25%	37%	12%	16%
23	0 0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.53	0.57	0	1	4	7	66%	15%	32%	0%	1%	14%
24	0 0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	16	7	24%	35%	28%	45%	2%	20%
25	0 0.00%	7.96%	76.83%	3,680	364	0.67	0.35	0.40	1	2	10	3	7%	68%	16%	20%	0%	8%
26	0 0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	591	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	8	1	1%	88%	7%	86%	0%	1%
27	0 0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	9%	18%	35%	59%	0%	6%
28	0 0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,343	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8020
Number of Counties	29
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	9
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	52
Aggregate number of splits with population	52
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	357
Cities split into more than one district	55
Cities with all population in only one district	361
Aggregate number of city splits	117
Aggregate number of splits with population	113

Counties	includ	ed in more th	nan one dis	trict	
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.8	66.5%
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.3	16.5%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.6	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	639.5	24.6%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,965.6	75.5%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.8	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.6	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	609.1	98.7%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	7.8	1.3%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	379.1	32.8%
Lake	11	304,723	79.4%	550.1	47.6%
Lake	28	43,837	11.4%	227.6	19.7%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	2	39,930	10.6%	233.6	14.1%
Marion	3	154,737	41.2%	874.2	52.6%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	554.9	33.4%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	525.2	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.5	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
	7				8.2%
Orange		262,969	18.4%	82.2	
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.6	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.4	15.1%
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	371.9	45.3%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	449.6	54.7%
Sumter	11	129,414	99.7%	474.3	81.8%
Sumter	28	338	0.3%	105.5	18.2%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.5	58.3%
147-14	2	27.057	20 70/	E00.0	44 70/

2 27,657 36.7%

588.0 41.7%

Walton

											_						
Counties	es included in more	than one d	istrict		Co	unties includ	ed in more t	han one di	strict			Counties	includ	ed in more t	han one dis	strict	
County	Dist. Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%		County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

	C11: 1 1 1	11 0	10.00						15.5		
		d in more than			Aron9/			in more than			Aron9/
City Belle Isle	Dist.	Total Pop 219	Pop% 3.1%	Total Area 0.2	Area% 4.5%	City Maitland	Dist.	Total Pop 5,888	Pop% 30.1%	Total Area 1.7	Area% 26.7%
Belle Isle	9 10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	4.5% 95.5%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.7	20.8%
Boca Raton	21	44,619	45.8%	17.3	54.7%	Margate	22	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%
Boca Raton	22	52,803	54.2%	14.3	45.3%	Mascotte	11	45,802	62.4%	16.1	89.1%
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%
Boynton Beach	21	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.2%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.0%	25.4	70.8%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.8%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.0	77.2%
Coconut Creek	20	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.2%	Miramar	23	58,127	43.2%	7.1	22.8%
	26	37,100	0.0%	0.0	0.2%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%
Cutler Bay								13,162			
Cutler Bay	27 22	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.8%	North Palm Beach	20		0.0%	0.0	0.6%
Dania Beach		9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%
Dania Beach	23 6	22,050	69.5% 42.5%	5.9 8.0	70.4%	Oakland Park	22 24	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0% 34.0%
DeBary		9,468			36.7%	Opa-locka		9,537	57.9%	1.5	
DeBary Deerfield Beach	7 20	12,792 29,350	57.5% 33.8%	13.8 4.7	63.4% 29.3%	Opa-locka Orlando	25 7	6,926 22,957	42.1%	3.0 5.7	66.0% 4.8%
	20					Orlando			7.5%		
Deerfield Beach		57,509	66.2%	11.5	70.7%		9	103,806	33.8%	68.7	57.7%
DeFuniak Springs	1 2	860	14.5%	3.7	25.9%	Orlando	10	180,810	58.8%	44.5	37.5%
DeFuniak Springs		5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.1%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Palm Beach	21	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%
Deltona	7 20	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6% 36.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2		Palm Beach Gardens Pembroke Pines	20 23	272 170,725	0.5%	0.3	0.5%
Fort Lauderdale Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1% 7.1%	20.5 2.6	56.5% 7.2%	Pembroke Pines Pembroke Pines	23 24	453	99.7% 0.3%	34.7 0.0	99.9% 0.1%
		13,043									
Fort Myers	17 19	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093 0	100.0%	16.8	100.0%
Fort Myers		54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Pinellas Park	14		0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.4%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.7	19.6%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%
Groveland	11	16,884	91.2%	25.3	96.9%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%
Groveland	28	1,621	8.8%	0.8	3.1%	Pompano Beach	22	58,514	52.2%	14.2	57.4%
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.9	29.5%
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.1%
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%
Hypoluxo	21	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	412.8	47.2%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.6	52.8%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.5%
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%
Lake Worth Beach	21	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%
Lantana	21	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.4	12.5%	Umatilla	6	1,415	38.4%	1.9	45.5%
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Umatilla	11	2,270	61.6%	2.3	54.5%
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	44.0%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%

13,655

Cities in	cluded	I in more tha	n one distr	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Vest Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%
Vest Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%
Vest Palm Beach	21	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%
Vestlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%
Vestlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%
Vinter Park	7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%
Vinter Park	10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%


Cities in	cluded	I in more tha	an one distr	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

	2020 (Census								2020 Genera	I Election Re	gistered Vot	ters						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are	:	RV wh	o are:	Black	k Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth 1	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.54%	21.04%	34.19%	67.38%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%
10	28.58%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.85%	45.56%	15.95%	38.44%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.62%	16.84%	36.49%	64.39%	10.52%	9.20%	17.79%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.88%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.03%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.59%	43.10%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.96%	76.83%	31.43%	36.54%	32.03%	6.97%	64.09%	79.64%	3.94%	16.25%	29.23%	35.98%	34.77%	17.66%	59.60%	0.75%	63.10%	3.54%	69.57%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.74%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.61%	3.67%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.67%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 Census Average Primary Election Turnout			nout	Average General Election Turnout									General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020														
Dist.	VAP who are:		no are: DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:		re:	DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:		Hisp. Voters who are:		are:	Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.13%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.83%	25.94%	6.78%	89.70%	2.22%	8.05%	45.53%	25.72%	28.15%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.69%	1.94%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.94%	12.67%	2.19%	7.01%	14.00%	16.58%	84.31%	2.85%	12.79%	49.40%	19.08%	31.35%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.94%	6.66%	9.17%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.09%	7.88%	7.54%	13.66%	29.97%	17.25%	86.84%	2.03%	11.06%	50.70%	18.02%	30.85%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.60%	7.02%	47.18%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.60%	7.57%	42.24%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.90%	10.61%	45.30%	22.78%	31.87%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.4%	D +61.7%
25	7.96%	76.83%	26.60%	42.55%	0.43%	61.13%	32.92%	41.38%	25.70%	20.79%	53.26%	0.57%	61.19%	3.39%	66.94%	85.82%	2.98%	11.07%	29.10%	42.27%	28.61%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.4%	D +2.6%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.57%	0.77%	66.17%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.33%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.02%	3.19%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.93%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8020	BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.37%	1.92%	1.93%	1.83%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.44%	52.06%	52.08%	61.27%	66.56%	65.93%	67.74%	67.69%
		R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.42%	2.08%	3.25%	2.92%	3.34%	3.09%
	Governor (REP)	R_Langford	1.13%	1.53%	1.64%	1.73%	1.97%	1.41%	1.72%	1.53%
	Governor (KEF)	R_Mercadante	0.42%	1.30%	0.75%	1.52%	2.13%	1.93%	2.06%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.53%	2.73%	1.13%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.48%	17.06%	21.84%	18.17%	18.84%
		R_White	1.63%	2.66%	2.07%	2.65%	3.92%	2.63%	3.54%	3.46%
		D_Gillum	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.51%	50.42%	32.88%	31.83%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.19%	11.16%	19.31%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.73%	14.10%	8.70%	10.09%	9.35%	9.66%	10.62%	7.94%
	Governor (DEM)	D_King	1.44%	4.42%	3.73%	0.97%	0.75%	2.33%	2.11%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.71%	19.37%	12.44%	20.39%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
2040		D Lundmark	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.30%	0.38%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.83%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.32%	1.27%	0.97%	0.68%
		R Moody	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.36%	53.22%	52.08%	54.82%	54.79%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.22%	45.85%	44.62%	43.02%	46.69%	47.88%	45.11%	45.20%
		D Shaw	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	81.48%	82.11%	67.77%	69.58%	74.09%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Torrens	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.50%	17.89%	32.10%	30.43%	25.91%
		R Caldwell	35.66%	36.39%	34.81%	43.37%	39.76%	42.29%	42.07%	40.18%
		R Grimsley	21.35%	31.75%	31.44%	25.63%	31.45%	29.71%	31.57%	32.70%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R McCalister	8.68%	16.31%	15.40%	20.36%	17.11%	12.78%	16.62%	16.76%
		R Troutman	34.11%	15.47%	18.25%	8.98%	11.08%	15.05%	9.61%	10.37%
		D Fried	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.09%	59.01%	52.18%	53.25%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D Porter	20.04%	18.96%	17.52%	16.50%	17.38%	20.02%	20.45%	15.13%
	,	D Walker	19.86%	26.15%	27.25%	20.39%	23.61%	27.59%	26.21%	24.88%
		R De La Fuente	10.21%	10.25%	11.19%	14.63%	15.75%	9.81%	12.28%	12.63%
	US Senate (REP)	R Scott	89.71%	89.72%	88.75%	84.79%	84.04%	90.09%	87.66%	87.32%
		R Beruff	22.30%	17.31%	17.64%	14.34%	8.71%	8.85%	6.43%	5.58%
		R Rivera	3.71%	3.23%	2.40%	4.70%	3.26%	2.20%	2.94%	1.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R Rubio	67.99%	71.67%	74.47%	70.07%	80.21%	85.24%	85.70%	88.87%
		R Young	5.81%	7.69%	5.27%	9.26%	7.44%	3.59%	4.86%	3.46%
2016		D De La Fuente	4.12%	15.25%	3.90%	2.89%	5.51%	19.30%	13.76%	12.16%
2010		D Grayson	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.83%	10.82%	11.17%	11.16%	11.19%
	US Senate (DEM)	D Keith	15.18%	9.58%	12.67%	14.50%	13.85%	13.73%	15.63%	17.86%
	os senate (sem)	D Luster	12.08%	1.26%	2.28%	2.38%	2.68%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.92%	53.19%	57.51%	56.90%
		R Adeshina	1.30%	1.74%	1.64%	2.76%	2.97%	1.46%	1.77%	1.80%
	Governor (REP)	R Cuevas-Neunder	8.10%	12.32%	9.54%	14.69%	16.32%	10.61%	15.19%	13.26%
	GOVERNOI (NEF)	R Scott	90.48%	85.83%	88.60%	80.96%	80.33%	87.73%	82.95%	84.83%
2014		_	74.34%	76.23%	78.96%	83.88%	84.40%	76.74%	78.42%	73.98%
2014	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist								
-		D_Rich	25.59% 60.85%	23.71%	21.01% 49.60%	15.99%	15.59%	22.84%	21.48%	25.89% 65.55%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon				38.96%	46.71%	58.73%	61.40%	
		D_Thurston	39.16%	39.12%	50.43%	61.00%	53.26%	40.91%	38.48%	34.37%
		R_Mack	57.59%	48.40%	58.29%	64.13%	71.72%	73.46%	73.64%	77.15%
	US Senate (REP)	R_McCalister	18.65%	12.02%	10.84%	13.18%	6.84%	8.01%	7.36%	5.18%
2012		R_Stuart	5.93%	6.84%	4.87%	7.15%	13.14%	12.37%	13.26%	12.99%
		R_Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.36%	7.99%	5.92%	5.67%	4.46%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.03%	19.85%	13.56%	14.19%	14.02%	21.21%	18.40%	14.76%
		D_Nelson	77.90%	80.11%	86.33%	85.66%	85.94%	78.58%	81.49%	85.11%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8020	BVA	P 43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
	General Elections	HVA	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.98%	46.43%	49.44%
2020	rresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.65%	25.01%	58.48%	52.99%	50.01%
	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.17%	52.49%	53.18%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.44%	46.31%	45.75%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.46%	79.41%	80.18%	44.45%	50.86%	51.99%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.25%	53.53%	46.94%	46.10%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.30%	80.85%	81.65%	45.82%	51.93%	52.59%
2018	Chief i mancial Officer	R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.17%	48.07%	47.41%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.15%	46.93%	53.44%	54.63%
	Agriculture Commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.06%	46.56%	45.38%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.47%	53.46%	54.47%
	O3 Seriate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.93%	19.15%	18.47%	53.52%	46.54%	45.52%
	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.56%	56.46%	57.42%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.19%	45.16%	40.81%	40.05%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.42%	47.69%	47.78%
	O3 Seriate	R_Rubio	43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.35%	49.92%	50.17%
	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.00%	51.20%	50.00%
	dovernor	R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.09%	16.12%	54.28%	45.89%	47.55%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.92%	38.72%	45.82%	46.03%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.94%	51.75%	51.96%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.24%	45.88%	43.49%
	Ciliei Filialiciai Officei	R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.75%	54.12%	56.53%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.24%	79.88%	39.79%	46.04%	44.31%
	Agriculture Commissioner	R_Putnam	44.41%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.19%	53.95%	55.69%
	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.07%	54.83%	52.22%
2012	riesidelli	R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.44%	44.61%	47.27%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.79%	56.33%	54.47%
	US Senate	R_Mack	32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.07%	42.03%	44.15%



	Devia	tion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and G	eographic	Boundari	ies:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1	0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	267.7	0.80	0.42	0.45	46	53	355	121	12%	60%	20%	38%	2%	9%
1	0	0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	338	0.87	0.49	0.54	3	1	16	0	5%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0	0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	871	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0	0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,553	332	0.66	0.18	0.34	1	2	6	2	24%	73%	17%	54%	2%	3%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	12%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.70%	11.04%	2,770	332	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	4	6%	74%	7%	54%	2%	10%
7	0	0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.49	0.66	1	2	7	6	7%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
8	0	0.00%	9.58%	10.30%	2,301	272	0.75	0.39	0.32	1	2	21	0	0%	85%	6%	46%	0%	9%
9	0	0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
10	0	0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
11	0	0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,545	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	18	5	9%	64%	22%	40%	0%	10%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	16%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	2%
18	0	0.00%	12.12%	14.82%	1,741	224	0.75	0.43	0.45	2	2	13	9	3%	70%	11%	47%	0%	13%
19	0	0.00%	4.37%	15.06%	1,894	236	0.79	0.43	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	61%	1%	8%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	337	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	20	17%	39%	24%	10%	7%	19%
21	0	0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	360	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	8	12%	19%	33%	30%	10%	27%
22	0	0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	121	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	20%	33%	25%	37%	12%	16%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.53	0.57	0	1	4	7	66%	15%	32%	0%	1%	14%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	16	7	24%	35%	28%	45%	2%	20%
25	0	0.00%	7.96%	76.83%	3,680	364	0.67	0.35	0.40	1	2	10	3	7%	68%	16%	20%	0%	8%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	591	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	8	1	1%	88%	7%	86%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	9%	18%	35%	59%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,343	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	In Plan S000C8022
Number of Counties	29
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	9
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	355
Cities split into more than one district	57
Cities with all population in only one district	359
Aggregate number of city splits	121
Aggregate number of splits with population	117

Alachua 3 238,538 85.7% 812.2 83.8% Broward 20 451,192 23.2% 869.8 66.5% Broward 20 451,192 23.2% 869.8 66.5% Broward 22 631,512 32.5% 216.3 16.5% Broward 24 92,450 4.8% 12.6 1.0% Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Duval 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 5 18,361 26.3% 451.0 56.3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% lefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% lefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 250.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 16.6 63.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 12 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 12 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.	Counties	includ	ed in more th	an one dis	trict	
Alachua 3 238,538 85.7% 812.2 83.8% Broward 20 451,192 23.2% 869.8 66.5% Broward 20 451,192 23.2% 869.8 66.5% Broward 22 631,512 32.5% 216.3 16.5% Broward 24 92,450 4.8% 12.6 1.0% Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Duval 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 5 18,361 26.3% 451.0 56.3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% lefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% lefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 250.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 16.6 63.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 12 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 12 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.	County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward 20 451,192 23.2% 869.8 66.5% Broward 22 631,512 32.5% 216.3 16.5% Broward 23 769,221 39.6% 209.6 16.0% Broward 24 92,450 4.8% 12.6 1.0% Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Collumbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Duval 5 489,823 49.2% 463.6 50.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Lefferson 2 4410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 125.8 60.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 53.8% 453.0 6.3% Miami-Dade 29 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.9 39.9 Miami-Dade 21 769,221 52.7% 260.0 17.2% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 3 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 4 766,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 3 80,565 26.6% 334.2 33.4% Miami-Dade 4 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 5 146,880 50.3% 213.3 30.4% Miami-Dade 6 6 58,47 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Miami-Dade 10 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Miami-Dade 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 10 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sc. Johns 14 177,071 18.5% 132.3 31.4% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 16 518,147 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 18 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.7	16.2%
Broward 22 631,512 32.5% 216.3 16.5% Broward 23 769,221 39.6% 209.6 16.0% Broward 24 92,450 4.8% 12.6 1.0% Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Collumbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 451.0 56.3% Duval 5 489,823 49.2% 463.6 50.5% Hillisborough 16 98,392 6.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillisborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 145,352 9.0% 7.8 1.3% 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.26 1.26	Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%
Broward 23 769,221 39.6% 209.6 16.0% Broward 24 92,450 4.8% 12.6 1.0% Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Columbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 5 18,361 26.3% 451.0 56.3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49.5% 141lilsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillisborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillisborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 13.3% 10fian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% 1efferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 595.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 28.8% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Marion 24 66,634 72.54 20.0% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Marion 12 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 53.8% 453.0 451.5% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 151.5% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.	Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.8	66.5%
Broward Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Collumbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 5 18,361 26.3% 451.0 56.3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49.5% Duval 5 489,823 49.2% 463.6 50.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 143,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Lefferson 2 4410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 22.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.3 30.4% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.3 30.4% Marion 12 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.3 30.4% Marion 13 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 14 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Mami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 24.8% Pinellas 13 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 24.8% Pinellas 13 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 24.8% Pinellas 13 769,221 50.5% 449.6 54.7% Palm Beach 24 177,071 18.5% 133.3 30.9 3.9% Pinellas 25 1338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.3	16.5%
Collier 19 215,578 57.4% 639.5 24.6% Collier 25 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Collumbia 2 5 160,174 42.6% 1,965.6 75.5% Collumbia 2 5 13,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% 350.2 45.3% 451.0 56.3% 451.0	Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Collier	Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.6	1.0%
Columbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 5 18,361 26.3% 451.0 56.3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49.5% Duval 5 489,823 49.2% 463.6 50.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Hillsborough 18 145,456 91.0% 609.1 98.7% Indian River 18 145,352 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Lefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7%	Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	639.5	24.6%
Columbia 2 51,337 73.7% 350.3 43.7% Columbia 5 18,361 26.3% 451.0 56.3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49.5% Duval 5 489,823 49.2% 463.6 50.5% Hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 145,456 91.0% 609.1 98.7% Indian River 18 143,32 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Jefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 13 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 14 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6%	Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,965.6	75.5%
Columbia 5 18,361 26,3% 451.0 56,3% Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 454.8 49,5% Duval 5 48,82.3 49,2% 463.6 50.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6,7% 356.2 26,7% Indian River 18 145,456 91.0% 609.1 98,7% Indian River 18 145,352 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Jefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Jefferson 5 10,100 69,6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8%	Columbia	2		73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Duval 4 505,744 50.8% 45.8 49.5% Duval 5 489,823 49.2% 463.6 50.5% Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 8 145,456 91.0% 609.1 98.7% Indian River 18 143,322 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Jefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Jefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8%	Columbia	5		26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% hillsborough 16 98.392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% formulation of the control of th	Duval	4		50.8%	454.8	49.5%
Hillsborough 14 592,149 40.6% 299.1 22.5% hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% hillsborough 16 98.392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% formulation of the control of th	Duval	5	489.823	49.2%	463.6	50.5%
Hillsborough 15 769,221 52.7% 676.6 50.8% Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 8 145,456 91.0% 609.1 98.7% 1ndian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% 1efferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% 1efferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% 1ake 28 43,837 11.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% 1ee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% 1eon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% 1eon 5 146,880 50.3% 213.3 30.4% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 17 69,221 53.8% 453.0 451.8% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% 342.2 33.3% 360.3% 360.4 360						
Hillsborough 16 98,392 6.7% 356.2 26.7% Indian River 18 145,456 91.0% 609.1 98.7% Indian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Iefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Iefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 12 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Marion 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Mami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 31 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 31 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 31 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Sumter 31 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 32 33.80 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	•		-			
Indian River India	-		-			
Indian River 18 14,332 9.0% 7.8 1.3% Lefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Lefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 68.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 22 137,009 9.2% 57.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 12,119 52.5% 61.3% 13.3% 13.3% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36,7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	•		-			
Jefferson 2 4,410 30.4% 400.1 59.5% Jefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Leon 5 146,880 50.3% 1,254.9 82.8% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Mlami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 1,60.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7%			-			
Jefferson 5 10,100 69.6% 272.5 40.5% Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49,7% 488.5 69.6% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 552.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7%						
Lake 6 35,396 9.2% 379.1 32.8% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.5% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 68.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 8 17,153 1.2% 134.4 13.4% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 22 137,009 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Palm Beach 22 137,009 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Palm Beach 22 137,009 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Starasota 16 271,119 62,58 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36,7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Volusia			-			
Lake 11 304,723 79.4% 550.1 47.6% Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% 1,264.9 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% 1,264.9 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% 1,264.9 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 18.8% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 66.3% 1,102.6 1,						
Lake 28 43,837 11.4% 227.6 19.7% Lee Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 82.% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%						
Lee 17 207,179 27.2% 260.0 17.2% Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Leon 5 146,880 50.3% 213.3 30.4% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.4 33.4 23.4 34.3 45.1% 48.18 8.2% 20.3 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>						
Lee 19 553,643 72.8% 1,254.9 82.8% Leon 2 145,318 49,7% 488.5 69.6% Leon 5 146,880 50.3% 213.3 30.4% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 451.7% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1%						
Leon 2 145,318 49.7% 488.5 69.6% Leon 5 146,880 50.3% 213.3 30.4% Marion 31 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1%						
Leon 5 146,880 50.3% 213.3 30.4% Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 451.3% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 15.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Marion 3 194,667 51.8% 1,102.6 66.3% Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 552.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 451.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			-			
Marion 11 181,241 48.2% 560.1 33.7% Mlami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 82.8% Orange 8 17,153 1.2% 134.4 13.4% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.						
Miami-Dade 24 676,771 25.1% 163.3 6.8% Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9			-			
Miami-Dade 25 569,428 21.1% 525.2 22.0% Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3			-			
Miami-Dade 26 686,347 25.4% 1,420.5 59.5% Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 8 17,153 1.2% 134.4 13.4% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 649.2 66.			-			
Miami-Dade 27 769,221 28.5% 280.3 11.7% Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 8 17,153 1.2% 134.4 13.4% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 409.2 66.5% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Orange 7 262,969 18.4% 81.8 8.2% Orange 8 17,153 1.2% 134.4 13.4% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 333.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1,3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			-			
Orange 8 17,153 1.2% 134.4 13.4% Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3%			-			
Orange 9 380,565 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6	•					
Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 453.0 45.1% Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
Palm Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 292.6 12.3% Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 122.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2						
Palm Beach 20 318,029 21.3% 1,672.6 70.2% Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6%<	•					
Palm Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 360.4 15.1% Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99,7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 82.2% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%						
Palm Beach 22 137,709 9.2% 57.6 2.4% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 82.2% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%						
Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%			-			
Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36,7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%						
Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99,7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 81.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Pinellas		-			
Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Pinellas					
Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36,7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Pinellas		-			
St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Sarasota		-			
St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Sumter 11 129,414 99,7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Sarasota		-			
Sumter 11 129,414 99.7% 474.3 81.8% Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	St. Johns					
Sumter 28 338 0.3% 105.5 18.2% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	St. Johns		100,300			
Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Sumter		129,414	99.7%	474.3	81.8%
Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4%	Sumter	28	338	0.3%	105.5	18.2%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.4 58.3%	Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
	Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.4	58.3%

2 27,657 36.7% 588.1 41.7%

Walton

Counties included in more than one district		Counties	included in more	than one di	strict		Counties i	include	ed in more t	han one dis	trict	
Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Ar	%	County	Dist. Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cir	ties included	l in more than	one distric	t		Citi	es included	in more tha	n one distri	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.7%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.3%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%
Boca Raton	21	44,619	45.8%	17.3	54.7%	Margate	22	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%
Boca Raton	22	52,803	54.2%	14.3	45.3%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%
Boynton Beach	21	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.2%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.8%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.0	77.2%
Coconut Creek	22	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.2%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.1	22.8%
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%	Newberry	2	3,695	50.3%	35.5	60.5%
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.8%	Newberry	3	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.5%
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	Ocala	3	63,183	99.4%	44.0	93.2%
Deerfield Beach	22	57,509	66.2%	11.5	70.7%	Ocala	11	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8%
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%
Eatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Orlando	7	35,474	11.5%	9.1	7.6%
Eatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Orlando	9	86,183	28.0%	63.9	53.7%
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.7%
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Palm Beach	21	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%
Gainesville	2	199	0.1%	1.0	1.5%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%
Gainesville	3	140,886	99.9%	63.4	98.5%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%
Groveland	11	16,884	91.2%	25.3	96.9%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%
Groveland	28	1,621	8.8%	0.8	3.1%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pompano Beach	22	58,514	52.2%	14.2	57.4%
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.9	29.5%
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%
Hypoluxo	21	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	412.8	47.2%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.1%
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.6	52.8%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%
Lake Worth Beach	21	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%
Lantana	21	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.5%
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.4	12.5%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	44.0%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%
	_										

4.8 73.6% Tarpon Springs

2,787 11.1%

Maitland

13,664

1	Cities included	d in more tha	n one distr	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Umatilla	6	1,415	38.4%	1.9	45.5%
Umatilla	11	2,270	61.6%	2.3	54.5%
Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%
Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%
West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%
West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%
West Palm Beach	21	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%
Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%
Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%
Winter Park	7	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.5%
Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.5%


Cities in	cluded	in more tha	an one distr	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

	2020 (Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	o are:	Blac	k Voters who	are:	Hisp.	. Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.54%	21.04%	34.19%	67.38%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.95%	38.45%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.62%	16.84%	36.49%	64.39%	10.52%	9.20%	17.79%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.88%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.03%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.59%	43.10%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.96%	76.83%	31.43%	36.54%	32.03%	6.97%	64.09%	79.64%	3.94%	16.25%	29.23%	35.98%	34.77%	17.66%	59.60%	0.75%	63.10%	3.54%	69.57%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.74%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.61%	3.67%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.67%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 (Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	neral Elect	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforr	mance in Sta	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	2020
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:	DEM wi	no are:	REP wi	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	ire:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	rho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	o are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.13%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.83%	25.94%	6.78%	89.70%	2.22%	8.05%	45.53%	25.72%	28.15%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.80%	45.72%	32.01%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.11%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.94%	6.66%	9.17%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.09%	7.88%	7.54%	13.66%	29.97%	17.25%	86.84%	2.03%	11.06%	50.70%	18.02%	30.85%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.60%	7.02%	47.18%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.60%	7.57%	42.24%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.90%	10.61%	45.30%	22.78%	31.87%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.4%	D +61.7%
25	7.96%	76.83%	26.60%	42.55%	0.43%	61.13%	32.92%	41.38%	25.70%	20.79%	53.26%	0.57%	61.19%	3.39%	66.94%	85.82%	2.98%	11.07%	29.10%	42.27%	28.61%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.4%	D +2.6%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.57%	0.77%	66.17%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.33%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.02%	3.19%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.93%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8022	BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.37%	1.92%	1.93%	1.83%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.44%	52.75%	52.09%	61.27%	66.56%	65.93%	67.74%	67.69%
		R_Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.43%	2.08%	3.25%	2.92%	3.34%	3.09%
	Governor (REP)	R_Langford	1.13%	1.44%	1.64%	1.73%	1.97%	1.41%	1.72%	1.53%
	Governor (REP)	R_Mercadante	0.42%	1.28%	0.76%	1.52%	2.13%	1.93%	2.06%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.53%	2.73%	1.13%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.48%	17.06%	21.84%	18.17%	18.84%
		R_White	1.63%	2.61%	2.10%	2.65%	3.92%	2.63%	3.54%	3.46%
		D_Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.49%	54.51%	50.42%	32.88%	31.83%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.40%	13.19%	11.16%	19.31%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.73%	13.96%	8.69%	10.09%	9.35%	9.66%	10.62%	7.94%
	Governor (DEM)	D_King	1.44%	4.29%	3.75%	0.97%	0.75%	2.33%	2.11%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.71%	19.18%	12.45%	20.39%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
2040		D Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.30%	0.38%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D_Wetherbee	0.83%	1.64%	0.66%	0.35%	0.32%	1.27%	0.97%	0.68%
	Attana Cana and (DED)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.46%	56.36%	53.22%	52.08%	54.82%	54.79%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.02%	46.69%	47.88%	45.11%	45.20%
	Attanana Cananal (DEAA)	D_Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.44%	81.48%	82.11%	67.77%	69.58%	74.09%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.50%	17.89%	32.10%	30.43%	25.91%
		R_Caldwell	35.66%	36.42%	34.83%	43.37%	39.76%	42.29%	42.07%	40.18%
		R Grimsley	21.35%	31.97%	31.49%	25.63%	31.45%	29.71%	31.57%	32.70%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R McCalister	8.68%	16.25%	15.43%	20.36%	17.11%	12.78%	16.62%	16.76%
		R Troutman	34.11%	15.22%	18.23%	8.98%	11.08%	15.05%	9.61%	10.37%
		D Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.25%	63.09%	59.01%	52.18%	53.25%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.02%	20.45%	15.13%
		D Walker	19.86%	26.32%	27.30%	20.39%	23.61%	27.59%	26.21%	24.88%
	LIC County (DED)	R_De La Fuente	10.21%	10.06%	11.28%	14.63%	15.75%	9.81%	12.28%	12.63%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Scott	89.71%	89.89%	88.71%	84.79%	84.04%	90.09%	87.66%	87.32%
		R_Beruff	22.30%	17.11%	17.63%	14.34%	8.71%	8.85%	6.43%	5.58%
	UC County (DED)	R_Rivera	3.71%	3.21%	2.45%	4.70%	3.26%	2.20%	2.94%	1.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rubio	67.99%	71.92%	74.54%	70.07%	80.21%	85.24%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.81%	7.56%	5.30%	9.26%	7.44%	3.59%	4.86%	3.46%
2016		D_De La Fuente	4.12%	14.95%	3.92%	2.89%	5.51%	19.30%	13.76%	12.16%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.73%	9.83%	10.82%	11.17%	11.16%	11.19%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.18%	9.79%	12.71%	14.50%	13.85%	13.73%	15.63%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.08%	1.26%	2.28%	2.38%	2.68%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.28%	69.92%	66.92%	53.19%	57.51%	56.90%
		R_Adeshina	1.30%	1.69%	1.67%	2.76%	2.97%	1.46%	1.77%	1.80%
	Governor (REP)	R Cuevas-Neunder	8.10%	12.04%	9.60%	14.69%	16.32%	10.61%	15.19%	13.26%
		R Scott	90.48%	86.09%	88.63%	80.96%	80.33%	87.73%	82.95%	84.83%
2014	Course (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.88%	84.40%	76.74%	78.42%	73.98%
	Governor (DEM)	D Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.99%	15.59%	22.84%	21.48%	25.89%
		D Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.68%	38.96%	46.71%	58.73%	61.40%	65.55%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	61.00%	53.26%	40.91%	38.48%	34.37%
		R Mack	57.59%	49.35%	58.32%	64.13%	71.72%	73.46%	73.64%	77.15%
	110 0 (252)	R_McCalister	18.65%	11.93%	10.92%	13.18%	6.84%	8.01%	7.36%	5.18%
2015	US Senate (REP)	R_Stuart	5.93%	6.58%	4.87%	7.15%	13.14%	12.37%	13.26%	12.99%
2012		R Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.36%	7.99%	5.92%	5.67%	4.46%
		D Burkett	22.03%	19.38%	13.66%	14.19%	14.02%	21.21%	18.40%	14.76%
	US Senate (DEM)	D Nelson	77.90%	80.61%	86.30%	85.66%	85.94%	78.58%	81.49%	85.11%

Plan S000C8022 BVAP 43.73% 12.81% 28.33% 51.29% 42.14% 7.96% 10.32% 7.07% 7.67% 7.68% 10.32% 7.07% 7.68% 7.07% 7.68% 7.07% 7.68% 7.07% 7.68% 7.07%				5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
D_Biden Go.23% S8.79% G1.66% 76.73% 74.46% 40.98% 46.43% 49.44% 49.44% 49.45% 40.22% 37.34% 22.65% 25.01% 58.48% 52.99% 50.01%		Plan S000C8022	BVA	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
President		General Elections	HVA	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
R_Trump 38.62% 40.22% 37.34% 22.65% 25.01% 58.48% 52.99% 50.01%	2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.98%	46.43%	49.44%
Attorney General	2020	rresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.34%	22.65%	25.01%	58.48%	52.99%	50.01%
R_DeSantis 36.60% 36.87% 36.70% 18.30% 17.69% 52.44% 46.31% 45.75%		Covernor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.17%	52.49%	53.18%
Attorney General R_Moody R_Moody R_1000 R_1		Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.44%	46.31%	45.75%
R_Moody		Attornou Conoral	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.45%	50.86%	51.99%
Chief Financial Officer R_Patronis 39.62% 39.19% 39.67% 19.13% 18.33% 54.17% 48.07% 47.41%		Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.25%	53.53%	46.94%	46.10%
R_Patronis 39.62% 39.19% 39.67% 19.13% 18.33% 54.17% 48.07% 47.41%	2010	Chief Einancial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.65%	45.82%	51.93%	52.59%
Agriculture Commissioner R_Caldwell 38.63% 37.73% 37.77% 18.99% 17.84% 53.06% 46.56% 45.38% US Senate D_Nelson R_Scott 37.75% 39.48% 37.89% 19.15% 18.47% 53.52% 46.54% 45.52% President R_Trump 38.61% 34.53% 36.37% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% R_Trump S2.82% 54.92% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio Attorney General Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Chief Financial Officer Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton S8.53% 37.73% 37.77% 18.99% 17.84% 53.06% 46.56% 45.38% A9.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31% Agriculture Commissioner Accident 38.63% 37.73% 37.77% 18.99% 17.84% 53.06% 46.47% 53.46% 54.47% B.R.Scott Agriculture Commissioner Accident 38.63% 37.73% 37.77% 18.99% 17.84% 53.56% 45.47% B.R.Scott Agriculture Commissioner Agriculture Commissioner Accident 38.63% 37.73% 37.77% 18.99% 17.84% 53.66% 46.58% 45.88% 49.22% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31% Accident 37.75% 47.75% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%	2018	Ciliei Filianciai Officei	R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.67%	19.13%	18.33%	54.17%	48.07%	47.41%
R_Caldwell 38.63% 37.73% 37.77% 18.99% 17.84% 53.06% 46.56% 45.38%		Agricultura Commissionar	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.15%	46.93%	53.44%	54.63%
US Senate R_Scott 37.75% 39.48% 37.89% 19.15% 18.47% 53.52% 46.54% 45.52% President R_Trump 38.61% 34.53% 36.37% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% US Senate D_Murphy 52.82% 54.92% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio 43.90% 41.03% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% Governor D_Crist 56.54% 52.80% 54.65% 80.78% 82.30% 43.00% 51.20% 50.00% R_Scott 39.85% 42.13% 40.78% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55% Attorney General Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Chief Financial Officer Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton D_Ham		Agriculture Commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.06%	46.56%	45.38%
R_Scott 37.75% 39.48% 37.89% 19.15% 18.47% 53.52% 46.54% 45.52% President D_Clinton 58.51% 61.95% 60.09% 78.80% 81.14% 52.56% 56.46% 57.42% R_Trump 38.61% 34.53% 36.37% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% D_Murphy 52.82% 54.92% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio 43.90% 41.03% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% Governor R_Scott 39.85% 42.13% 40.78% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55% Attorney General R_Bondi 44.31% 48.13% 45.30% 21.46% 18.65% 58.94% 51.75% 51.96% Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin R_Atwater 46.43% 51.12% 50.78% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31% 44.31% 48.27% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31% 44.31% 44.31% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%		LIC Conneto	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.11%	80.85%	81.53%	46.47%	53.46%	54.47%
President R_Trump 38.61% 34.53% 36.37% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05% US Senate D_Murphy 52.82% 54.92% 54.84% 76.66% 76.07% 42.42% 47.69% 47.78% R_Rubio 43.90% 41.03% 41.35% 21.34% 21.84% 55.35% 49.92% 50.17% Governor D_Crist 56.54% 52.80% 54.65% 80.78% 82.30% 43.00% 51.20% 50.00% R_Scott 39.85% 42.13% 40.78% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55% Attorney General R_Bondi 44.31% 48.13% 45.30% 21.46% 18.65% 58.94% 51.75% 51.96% Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin R_Atwater 46.43% 51.12% 50.78% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton 55.57% 47.75% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%		O3 Sellate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.89%	19.15%	18.47%	53.52%	46.54%	45.52%
R_Trump 38.61% 34.53% 36.37% 19.45% 17.19% 45.16% 40.81% 40.05%		Drosidont	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.56%	56.46%	57.42%
US Senate D_Murphy	2016	rresident	R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.19%	45.16%	40.81%	40.05%
R_Rubio	2010	LIS Conato	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.42%	47.69%	47.78%
Attorney General D_Sheldon S3.20% 49.01% 51.80% 77.11% 79.92% 38.72% 45.82% 46.03%		O3 Senate	R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.35%	49.92%	50.17%
R_Scott 39.85% 42.13% 40.78% 17.09% 16.12% 54.28% 45.89% 47.55%		Covernor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.00%	51.20%	50.00%
Attorney General R_Bondi 44.31% 48.13% 45.30% 21.46% 18.65% 58.94% 51.75% 51.96% Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin R_Atwater 46.43% 51.12% 50.78% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton 55.57% 47.75% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%		Governor	R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.09%	16.12%	54.28%	45.89%	47.55%
R_Bondi		Attornou Conoral	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.80%	77.11%	79.92%	38.72%	45.82%	46.03%
Chief Financial Officer D_Rankin 53.56% 48.88% 49.22% 76.50% 79.12% 40.24% 45.88% 43.49%	2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.94%	51.75%	51.96%
R_Atwater 46.43% 51.12% 50.78% 23.50% 20.88% 59.75% 54.12% 56.53% Agriculture Commissioner D_Hamilton 55.57% 47.75% 49.27% 78.24% 79.88% 39.79% 46.04% 44.31%	2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.24%	45.88%	43.49%
Agriculture Commissioner		Ciliei Filianciai Officei	R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.75%	54.12%	56.53%
		Agricultura Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.24%	79.88%	39.79%	46.04%	44.31%
1.1.1.7. SELESTO SOLUTION ESTE SOLUTION		Agriculture Commissioner	R_Putnam	44.41%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.19%	53.95%	55.69%
President D_Obama 61.03% 61.43% 58.97% 81.97% 82.87% 51.07% 54.83% 52.22%		Drasidant	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.07%	54.83%	52.22%
R_Romney 38.14% 37.76% 40.24% 17.61% 16.77% 48.44% 44.61% 47.27%	2012	President	R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.44%	44.61%	47.27%
US Senate D_Nelson 65.00% 65.98% 63.62% 83.37% 83.54% 52.79% 56.33% 54.47%	2012	LIC Consta	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.79%	56.33%	54.47%
US Senate R_Mack 32.61% 31.57% 34.51% 15.43% 15.43% 45.07% 42.03% 44.15%		us senate	R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.07%	42.03%	44.15%



	Devia	tion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	nties:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and G	eographic I	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1	0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	267.4	0.80	0.42	0.44	47	53	356	121	12%	60%	21%	37%	2%	9%
1	0	0.00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0	0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0	0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,553	332	0.66	0.18	0.34	1	2	6	2	24%	73%	17%	54%	2%	3%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	12%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.70%	11.04%	2,770	332	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	4	6%	74%	7%	54%	2%	10%
7	0	0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.49	0.66	1	2	7	6	7%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
8	0	0.00%	9.58%	10.30%	2,301	272	0.75	0.39	0.32	1	2	21	0	0%	85%	6%	46%	0%	9%
9	0	0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
10	0	0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
11	0	0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,540	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	18	4	11%	64%	21%	40%	1%	9%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	16%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	2%
18	0	0.00%	12.12%	14.82%	1,741	224	0.75	0.43	0.45	2	2	13	9	3%	70%	11%	47%	0%	13%
19	0	0.00%	4.37%	15.06%	1,894	236	0.79	0.43	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	61%	1%	8%
20	0	0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	337	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	20	17%	39%	24%	10%	7%	19%
21	0	0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	259	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	6	26%	5%	43%	2%	6%	38%
22	0	0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	33%	42%	12%	9%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.53	0.57	0	1	4	7	66%	15%	32%	0%	1%	14%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	16	7	24%	35%	28%	45%	2%	20%
25	0	0.00%	7.96%	76.83%	3,680	364	0.67	0.35	0.40	1	2	10	3	7%	68%	16%	20%	0%	8%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	591	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	8	1	1%	88%	7%	86%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	9%	18%	35%	59%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,343	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

District lines and	In Plan
City and County Boundaries	S000C8024
Number of Counties	29
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	2
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	356
Cities split into more than one district	26
Cities with all population in only one district	361
Aggregate number of city splits	121
Aggregate number of splits with population	116

Counties	includ	ed in more tl	han one dis	trict	
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.8	66.5%
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	71.9	5.5%
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.4	11.0%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.6	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	639.5	24.6%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,965.6	75.5%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.8	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.6	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	609.1	98.7%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	7.8	1.3%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	379.1	32.8%
Lake	11	304,723	79.4%	550.1	47.6%
Lake	28	43,837	11.4%	227.6	19.7%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	2	39,930	10.6%	233.6	14.1%
Marion	3	154,737	41.2%	874.2	52.6%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	554.9	33.4%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	-	21.1%	525.2	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	569,428			
Miami-Dade Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4% 28.5%	1,420.5 280.3	59.5% 11.7%
		769,221			
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	81.8	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.1%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.6	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.4	7.9%
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	371.9	45.3%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	449.6	54.7%
Sumter	11	129,414	99.7%	474.3	81.8%
Sumter	28	338	0.3%	105.5	18.2%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.5	58.3%

2 27,657 36.7% 588.0 41.7%

Walton

Counties included in more than one district Counties included in more than one district								Counties	includ	ed in more t	han one di	strict				
nty	Dist. Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	County	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%					County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Citie	es include	d in more than	one district	t		Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.7%	Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.3%	Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	44.0%
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.8%	Maitland	7	13,664	69.9%	4.8	73.6%
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.2%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.8%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.2%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.8%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.0	77.2%
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.1	22.8%
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%
Deerfield Beach	21	41,698	48.0%	8.5	52.6%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%
Deerfield Beach	22	15,811	18.2%	3.0	18.2%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%
DeFuniak Springs	1	860	14.5%	3.7	25.9%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%
DeFuniak Springs	2	5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.1%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%
Delray Beach	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Orlando	7	35,474	11.5%	9.1	7.6%
Delray Beach	22	66,846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Orlando	9	86,183	28.0%	63.9	53.7%
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.7%
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%
Eatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Palm Beach	22	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%
Eatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.4%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.7	19.6%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%
Groveland	11	16,884	91.2%	25.3	96.9%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%
Groveland	28	1,621	8.8%	0.8	3.1%	Pompano Beach	21	3,713	3.3%	3.7	14.8%
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pompano Beach	22	54,801	48.9%	10.5	42.6%
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.9	29.5%
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.1%
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%
Hypoluxo	22	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	412.8	47.2%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.6	52.8%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.5%
Lake Worth Beach	22	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%
Lantana	22	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%

2.4 12.5% Tarpon Springs

2,787 11.1%

1.8 9.9%

7,384

	Cities included	d in more tha	n one distr	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Umatilla	6	1,415	38.4%	1.9	45.5%
Umatilla	11	2,270	61.6%	2.3	54.5%
Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%
Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%
West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%
West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%
West Palm Beach	22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%
Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%
Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%
Winter Park	7	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.5%
Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.5%

Cities in	cluded	in more tha	an one distr	ict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

	2020 (Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			ho are:	REP who are:		NPAOth who are:	
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.54%	21.04%	34.19%	67.38%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.95%	38.45%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.62%	16.84%	36.49%	64.39%	10.52%	9.20%	17.79%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.88%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.03%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.59%	43.10%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.96%	76.83%	31.43%	36.54%	32.03%	6.97%	64.09%	79.64%	3.94%	16.25%	29.23%	35.98%	34.77%	17.66%	59.60%	0.75%	63.10%	3.54%	69.57%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.74%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.61%	3.67%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.67%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 0	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout	Average General Election Turnout General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 20									tions 2012-2	.020											
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	DEM wh	no are:	REP wi	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	ire:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	rho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	o are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.13%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.83%	25.94%	6.78%	89.70%	2.22%	8.05%	45.53%	25.72%	28.15%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.80%	45.72%	32.01%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.11%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.94%	6.66%	9.17%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.09%	7.88%	7.54%	13.66%	29.97%	17.25%	86.84%	2.03%	11.06%	50.70%	18.02%	30.85%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.60%	7.02%	47.18%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.60%	7.57%	42.24%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.90%	10.61%	45.30%	22.78%	31.87%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.4%	D +61.7%
25	7.96%	76.83%	26.60%	42.55%	0.43%	61.13%	32.92%	41.38%	25.70%	20.79%	53.26%	0.57%	61.19%	3.39%	66.94%	85.82%	2.98%	11.07%	29.10%	42.27%	28.61%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.4%	D +2.6%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.57%	0.77%	66.17%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.33%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.02%	3.19%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.93%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8024	BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.37%	1.92%	1.93%	1.83%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.44%	52.75%	52.09%	61.27%	66.56%	65.93%	67.74%	67.69%
		R_Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.43%	2.08%	3.25%	2.92%	3.34%	3.09%
	Governor (REP)	R_Langford	1.13%	1.44%	1.64%	1.73%	1.97%	1.41%	1.72%	1.53%
	Governor (KEF)	R_Mercadante	0.42%	1.28%	0.76%	1.52%	2.13%	1.93%	2.06%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.53%	2.73%	1.13%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.48%	17.06%	21.84%	18.17%	18.84%
		R_White	1.63%	2.61%	2.10%	2.65%	3.92%	2.63%	3.54%	3.46%
		D_Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.49%	54.51%	50.42%	32.88%	31.83%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.40%	13.19%	11.16%	19.31%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.73%	13.96%	8.69%	10.09%	9.35%	9.66%	10.62%	7.94%
	Governor (DEM)	D_King	1.44%	4.29%	3.75%	0.97%	0.75%	2.33%	2.11%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.71%	19.18%	12.45%	20.39%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
2018		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.30%	0.38%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D_Wetherbee	0.83%	1.64%	0.66%	0.35%	0.32%	1.27%	0.97%	0.68%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.46%	56.36%	53.22%	52.08%	54.82%	54.79%
	Attorney deneral (REF)	R_White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.02%	46.69%	47.88%	45.11%	45.20%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.44%	81.48%	82.11%	67.77%	69.58%	74.09%
	Attorney delieral (DEIVI)	D_Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.50%	17.89%	32.10%	30.43%	25.91%
		R_Caldwell	35.66%	36.42%	34.83%	43.37%	39.76%	42.29%	42.07%	40.18%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	21.35%	31.97%	31.49%	25.63%	31.45%	29.71%	31.57%	32.70%
	Agriculture Commissioner (NEF)	R_McCalister	8.68%	16.25%	15.43%	20.36%	17.11%	12.78%	16.62%	16.76%
		R_Troutman	34.11%	15.22%	18.23%	8.98%	11.08%	15.05%	9.61%	10.37%
		D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.25%	63.09%	59.01%	52.18%	53.25%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.02%	20.45%	15.13%
		D_Walker	19.86%	26.32%	27.30%	20.39%	23.61%	27.59%	26.21%	24.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.21%	10.06%	11.28%	14.63%	15.75%	9.81%	12.28%	12.63%
	os senate (REI)	R_Scott	89.71%	89.89%	88.71%	84.79%	84.04%	90.09%	87.66%	87.32%
		R_Beruff	22.30%	17.11%	17.63%	14.34%	8.71%	8.85%	6.43%	5.58%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rivera	3.71%	3.21%	2.45%	4.70%	3.26%	2.20%	2.94%	1.88%
	os senate (NEF)	R_Rubio	67.99%	71.92%	74.54%	70.07%	80.21%	85.24%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.81%	7.56%	5.30%	9.26%	7.44%	3.59%	4.86%	3.46%
2016		D_De La Fuente	4.12%	14.95%	3.92%	2.89%	5.51%	19.30%	13.76%	12.16%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.73%	9.83%	10.82%	11.17%	11.16%	11.19%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.18%	9.79%	12.71%	14.50%	13.85%	13.73%	15.63%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.08%	1.26%	2.28%	2.38%	2.68%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.28%	69.92%	66.92%	53.19%	57.51%	56.90%
		R_Adeshina	1.30%	1.69%	1.67%	2.76%	2.97%	1.46%	1.77%	1.80%
	Governor (REP)	R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.10%	12.04%	9.60%	14.69%	16.32%	10.61%	15.19%	13.26%
		R_Scott	90.48%	86.09%	88.63%	80.96%	80.33%	87.73%	82.95%	84.83%
2014	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.88%	84.40%	76.74%	78.42%	73.98%
	Governor (DEW)	D_Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.99%	15.59%	22.84%	21.48%	25.89%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.68%	38.96%	46.71%	58.73%	61.40%	65.55%
	Actorney General (Delvi)	D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	61.00%	53.26%	40.91%	38.48%	34.37%
		R_Mack	57.59%	49.35%	58.32%	64.13%	71.72%	73.46%	73.64%	77.15%
	US Senate (REP)	R_McCalister	18.65%	11.93%	10.92%	13.18%	6.84%	8.01%	7.36%	5.18%
2012	03 Seriate (NEF)	R_Stuart	5.93%	6.58%	4.87%	7.15%	13.14%	12.37%	13.26%	12.99%
2012		R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.36%	7.99%	5.92%	5.67%	4.46%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.03%	19.38%	13.66%	14.19%	14.02%	21.21%	18.40%	14.76%
	03 Seriale (DEIVI)	D_Nelson	77.90%	80.61%	86.30%	85.66%	85.94%	78.58%	81.49%	85.11%

Plan S000C8024 General Elections	BVAF HVAF	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42 4 40/			
	HVAF			26.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.96%	10.32%	7.07%
		9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.83%	73.35%	74.18%
President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.98%	46.43%	49.44%
rresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.34%	22.65%	25.01%	58.48%	52.99%	50.01%
Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.17%	52.49%	53.18%
Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.44%	46.31%	45.75%
Attornou Conoral	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.45%	50.86%	51.99%
Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.25%	53.53%	46.94%	46.10%
Chief Einancial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.65%	45.82%	51.93%	52.59%
Ciliei Filialiciai Officei	R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.67%	19.13%	18.33%	54.17%	48.07%	47.41%
Agricultura Commissionar	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.15%	46.93%	53.44%	54.63%
Agriculture Commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.06%	46.56%	45.38%
LIC Consts	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.11%	80.85%	81.53%	46.47%	53.46%	54.47%
03 Senate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.89%	19.15%	18.47%	53.52%	46.54%	45.52%
Dracidant	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.56%	56.46%	57.42%
rresident	R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.19%	45.16%	40.81%	40.05%
LIC Consta	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.42%	47.69%	47.78%
03 Jenate	R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.35%	49.92%	50.17%
Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.00%	51.20%	50.00%
Governor	R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.09%	16.12%	54.28%	45.89%	47.55%
Attornou Conoral	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.80%	77.11%	79.92%	38.72%	45.82%	46.03%
Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.94%	51.75%	51.96%
Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.24%	45.88%	43.49%
Ciliei Filialiciai Officei	R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.75%	54.12%	56.53%
Agricultura Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.24%	79.88%	39.79%	46.04%	44.31%
Agriculture Commissioner	R_Putnam	44.41%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.19%	53.95%	55.69%
Drocident	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.07%	54.83%	52.22%
riesidelli	R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.44%	44.61%	47.27%
LIC Conato	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.79%	56.33%	54.47%
US Senate	R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.07%	42.03%	44.15%
	Governor Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Agriculture Commissioner US Senate President US Senate Governor Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Agriculture Commissioner President US Senate	Governor Governor Governor Attorney General Attorney General Chief Financial Officer Agriculture Commissioner President Collinton R_Trump US Senate Governor Attorney General Attorney General Attorney General Attorney General Agriculture Commissioner Attorney General Agriculture Commissioner Agriculture Commissioner Agriculture Commissioner Agriculture Commissioner Agriculture Commissioner President President Agriculture Commissioner President Agriculture Commissioner President Agriculture Commissioner Agriculture Commissioner President D_Obama R_Romney D_Nelson	D_Gillum	D_Gillum	Governor	D_Gillum G2.51% G1.81% G2.29% B1.10%	Governor	Governor	Governor D_Gillum G2.51% G1.81% G2.29% 81.10% 81.60% 46.17% 52.49% 82.50% 36.87% 36.70% 18.30% 17.69% 52.44% 46.31%

THE FLORIDA SENATE



COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT

Location 2000 The Capitol

Mailing Address

404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, Chair Senator Doug Broxson, Vice Chair

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, Staff Director

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director **From:** Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair

Subject: Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing

Date: October 18, 2021

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 2

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 3

not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KB 412 Case No.: - Type: Caption: Select Subcomittee on Congressional Reapportionment Judge:

Started: 11/29/2021 10:02:13 AM

Ends: 11/29/2021 10:20:39 AM Length: 00:18:27

10:02:12 AM Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll

10:02:24 AM Chair Bradley makes introductory remarks

10:04:11 AM Tab 1: Workshop on Congressional Maps by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director

10:11:28 AM Chair Bradley asks if there are any questions; there are none

10:13:54 AM Sen. Stewart asks a question
10:14:11 AM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds
10:17:52 AM Sen. Harrell asks a question
10:18:08 AM Chair Bradley responds

10:18:49 AM Sen. Harrell makes a comment

10:19:17 AM Tab 2: Public Comment **10:20:15 AM** Meeting adjourned