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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BILL NO.</th>
<th>INTRODUCER</th>
<th>BILL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS</th>
<th>COMMITTEE ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB 88</td>
<td>Stewart</td>
<td>Child Care Facilities; Citing this act at the &quot;Child Safety Alarm Act&quot;; requiring that, by a specified date, vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children be equipped with a reliable alarm system that prompts the driver to inspect the vehicle for children before exiting the vehicle; requiring the Department of Children and Families to adopt by rule minimum safety standards for such systems and to maintain a list of approved alarm manufacturers and alarm systems, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>CF 11/05/2019 Favorable IS 01/21/2020 Favorable RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 158</td>
<td>Perry</td>
<td>Child Restraint Requirements; Increasing the age of children for whom operators of motor vehicles must provide protection by using a crash-tested, federally approved child restraint device; increasing the age of children for whom a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a child booster seat may be used, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>CF 10/15/2019 Favorable IS 01/21/2020 Favorable RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB 290</td>
<td>Hooper</td>
<td>School Bus Safety; Revising civil penalties for certain violations relating to stopping for a school bus, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020 Favorable JU RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAB</td>
<td>BILL NO. and INTRODUCER</td>
<td>SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS</td>
<td>COMMITTEE ACTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SB 378</td>
<td></td>
<td>Favorable Yeas 6 Nays 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>(Similar H 771, Compare H 731)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Insurance; Repealing provisions which comprise the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law; revising the motor vehicle insurance coverages that an applicant must show to register certain vehicles with the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; revising garage liability insurance requirements for motor vehicle dealer applicants; revising minimum liability coverage requirements for motor vehicle owners or operators, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020 Favorable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BI AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SB 538</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diaz</td>
<td>(Identical H 865)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Reporting; Requiring a county or municipality to report certain incidents to the State Watch Office within the Division of Emergency Management; authorizing the division to establish guidelines to specify additional information that must be provided by a reporting county or municipality, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020 Fav/CS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CA RC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SB 636</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stargel</td>
<td>(Similar H 435, Compare H 1007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; Authorizing the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles or its authorized agents to collect electronic mail addresses and use electronic mail for certain purposes; limiting the applications the department may accept by electronic or telephonic means; requiring that certain records made or kept by the department be subject to inspection and copying; authorizing the department, instead of the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, to accept certain applications by electronic or telephonic means, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020 Fav/CS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATD AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAB</th>
<th>BILL NO. and INTRODUCER</th>
<th>BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS</th>
<th>COMMITTEE ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>SB 676</strong> Mayfield</td>
<td>High-speed Passenger Rail Safety: Designating the “Florida High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act”; requiring the Department of Transportation to regulate railroads when that authority is not federally preempted; requiring railroad companies to be responsible for ensuring that impacted roadbed meets specified transition requirements under certain circumstances; requiring the department’s railroad inspectors, in accordance with a specified program, to meet certain certification requirements and to coordinate their activities with those of federal inspectors in the state in compliance with certain federal regulations, etc.</td>
<td>Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Identical H 465)</td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020</td>
<td>ATD AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>SB 966</strong> Gainer</td>
<td>Public Records/Disaster Recovery Assistance; Providing an exemption from public records requirements for certain records and information provided to the Department of Economic Opportunity, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, a county, a municipality, or a local housing finance agency by or on behalf of an applicant for or a participant in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemption; providing a statement of public necessity, etc.</td>
<td>Favorable Yeas 7 Nays 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Similar H 1035)</td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GO RC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><strong>SB 1030</strong> Stargel</td>
<td>Public Records/Vessel Title or Registration/Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; Creating public records exemptions for certain information contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; providing exemptions from public records requirements for e-mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the department; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemptions; providing statements of public necessity, etc.</td>
<td>Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Similar H 1007, Compare H 7001, S 7022)</td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GO RC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAB</td>
<td>BILL NO. and INTRODUCER</td>
<td>BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS</td>
<td>COMMITTEE ACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SB 1086</td>
<td>Vehicle and Vessel Registration Data and Functionality: Requiring the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to provide tax collectors and their approved agents and vendors with real-time access to certain vehicle and vessel registration data and functionality in the same manner as provided to other third parties; authorizing the department to require a memorandum of understanding, etc.</td>
<td>Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diaz</td>
<td></td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020 Fav/CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Identical H 571)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATD AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SB 1500</td>
<td>Specialty License Plate Fees; Creating a uniform annual use fee collected for a specialty license plate, etc.</td>
<td>Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broxson</td>
<td></td>
<td>IS 01/21/2020 Fav/CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Compare H 1135, S 412, S 414, S 862)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATD AP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I. Summary:

SB 88 creates the “Child Safety Alarm Act” and requires that after January 1, 2021, vehicles used by child care facilities and large child care homes to transport children must be equipped with an approved alarm system that prompts the driver to inspect the vehicle for the presence of children before leaving the area. The bill requires the Department of Children and Families (DCF) to adopt by rule minimum safety standards for reliable alarm systems and maintain a list of alarm manufacturers and alarm systems that are approved to be installed in vehicles.

The bill is expected to have a significant fiscal impact on private entities and has an effective date of October 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Death by hyperthermia or vehicular heat stroke deaths have become more prevalent since federal law required that children ride in the backseat due to the danger of front passenger seat airbags.¹ The national average number of these deaths is 38 per year.² Fifty-four percent of hyperthermia deaths involve children under the age of one.³ Between 1998 and 2019, Florida has the second highest number of child deaths from vehicular heat stroke.⁴ To date, 50 children have fallen

victims to vehicular heat stroke deaths nationwide in 2019 alone.\(^5\) 5 of the 50 deaths in 2019 have occurred in Florida.\(^6\)

**Technology Based Prevention**

**Automobile Manufacturers**

The auto industry has been aware of the problem for years. General Motors (GM) tried over ten years ago to find a solution, but found the results were unreliable. At the 2002 New York Auto Show, GM unveiled a system that would be able to detect the heartbeat of a child left in a car and then measure the vehicle’s temperature. If it was becoming dangerously hot, it would sound the horn to alert a parent or passersby. GM later reported that the system was abandoned after it was found "not reliable enough to put into production."\(^7\)

Ford was among the other automakers who also expressed interest in developing such a system, but a decade later, the technology isn’t available on any automobile as a factory standard feature or option. Auto safety groups have called for manufacturers to do more, but for several reasons including cost, technology, liability and privacy issues, there is still no foolproof way of preventing overheating deaths or warning of the possibility before they happen.\(^8\)

In 2016, GM announced it would introduce a new safety system to remind drivers to check for children in the rear seats, and that it could eventually develop features to detect forgotten children.\(^9\) The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) said it has no plans to require automakers to add in-vehicle technology that would alert those who leave young children behind in hot cars.\(^10\)

**Aftermarket Systems**

There are numerous aftermarket warning systems that alert a parent to a child left in a safety seat, shopping cart, or elsewhere, but federal regulators have questioned their efficacy.

A preliminary assessment performed on technology devices aimed at helping to prevent a child from being unintentionally left in a hot car concluded that they are not reliable and limited in their effectiveness, according to a study by NHTSA and the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.\(^11\)

---


\(^6\)Id.


\(^8\)Id.


\(^10\)Id.

The study found several limitations in these products after conducting tests, including inconsistencies in arming sensitivity, variations in warning signal distance, potential interference from other electronic devices, children inadvertently disarming the device by slumping over or sleeping out of position, and limitations in the products' susceptibility to misuse or other common scenarios, such as a beverage spill. Many of the products tested require extensive setup work by caregivers and parents, potentially giving them a false sense of security. Moreover, since the devices are restraint-based, they wouldn't address the 20 to 40 percent of children who are killed in hot cars when they enter a vehicle without adult permission.12

**Licensing Standards for Child Care Facilities and Large Family Child Care Homes**

The DCF establishes licensing standards that each licensed child care facility in the state must meet.13 A child care facility is defined in Florida law as “any child care center or child care arrangement which provides child care for more than five children unrelated to the operator and which receives a payment, fee, or grant for any of the children receiving care, wherever operated, and whether or not operated for profit.”14

A large family child care home is defined as an occupied residence in which child care is regularly provided for children from at least two unrelated families, which receives a payment, fee, or grant for any of the children receiving care, whether or not operated for profit, and which has at least two full-time child care personnel on the premises during the hours of operation.15

The DCF currently oversees 6,016 licensed child care entities including child care facilities, large family child care homes and family day care homes.16 In addition, there are homes that are only registered by the agency, facilities that are exempt from licensure due to a religious affiliation,17 and homes currently licensed by five counties in the state.18 Of these homes, 1,979 child care facilities and large family child care homes regulated by the DCF reported that they transport children as of August 2019.19

Statutory licensing standards for child care facilities are extensive and reference transportation and vehicles, including the requirement that minimum standards include accountability for children being transported.20 The Florida Administrative Code provides requirements for licensed child care facilities and large family child care homes to follow in relation to vehicles

---


13 Section 402.305, F.S.

14 Section 402.302(2), F.S.

15 Section 402.302(11), F.S.


17 Section 402.316, F.S.

18 Section 402.306, F.S. Those five counties are Broward, Hillsborough, Palm Beach, Pinellas and Sarasota.

19 Florida Department of Children and Families, *Agency Analysis of 2020 Senate Bill 88* (August 16, 2019). On file with the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs

20 Section 402.305, F.S
that are owned, operated, or regularly used by the facility or home, as well as vehicles that provide transportation through a contract or agreement with an outside entity.\textsuperscript{21}

Providers are required to maintain a driver’s log for all children being transported. This log must include the child’s name, date, time of departure, time of arrival, signature of driver, and signature of second staff member to verify the driver’s log and that all children have left the vehicle. Upon arrival at the destination, the driver of the vehicle must mark each child off the log as the child departs the vehicle, conduct a physical inspection and visual sweep of the vehicle, and sign, date, and record the driver’s log immediately to verify all children were accounted for and that the sweep was conducted. Upon arrival at the destination, a second staff member must also conduct a physical inspection and visual sweep of the vehicle and sign, date, and record the driver’s log to verify all children were accounted for and that the driver’s log is complete.\textsuperscript{22}

Current standards for child care facilities and large family child care homes do not address alarm systems in vehicles, however, Palm Beach County and Broward County have requirements similar to the one proposed in the bill.\textsuperscript{23}

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** provides a short title for the bill — the “Child Safety Alarm Act.”

**Section 2** amends s. 402.305, F.S., relating to licensing standards for child care facilities, to require that on or after January 1, 2020, vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children must have an approved alarm system that prompts the driver to inspect the vehicle for the presence of children before leaving the area.

The bill requires the DCF to adopt by rule minimum safety standards for reliable alarm systems and maintain a list of alarm manufacturers and alarm systems that are approved to be installed in vehicles. The bill also modifies existing minimum safety standards in statute pertaining to transportation for child care facilities. Under the bill, these standards must include:

- The required use of seat belts in all vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children;
- Annual inspections for all such vehicles;
- Limitations on the number of children that may be transported within each vehicle;
- Procedures to ensure that children are not inadvertently left in vehicles when transported by the facility; and
- Relevant accountability measures for each facility.

The bill also clarifies that child care facilities and large family child care homes are not responsible for the safe transport of children when they are being transported by a parent or guardian.

**Section 3** provides an effective date of October 1, 2020.

\textsuperscript{21} See 65C-22.001(6) and 65C-20.13(8), F.A.C.
\textsuperscript{22} Id.
\textsuperscript{23} Florida Department of Children and Families, Agency Analysis of 2020 Senate Bill 88 (August 16, 2019). On file with the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs.
IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:
None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:
None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:
None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:
None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:
None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:
None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The DCF reported approximately 1,979 child care providers currently offer a transportation service. These programs would be required to purchase, at a minimum, one of the alarm systems required by this bill.  

The fiscal impact on individual providers will vary based on unit cost, installation costs, and possible future warranty fees. As of 2019, the DCF anticipates the unit costs to vary from $130 to $156. Installation costs may range from $100 to $450 depending on the unit and installer.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The DCF advised there is a workload increase in establishing and maintaining a list of approved alarm manufacturers. In addition, there is a cost of approximately $6,500 for rule promulgation to adopt minimum safety standards for the alarm systems. However,

---

24 Florida Department of Children and Families, Agency Analysis of 2020 Senate Bill 88 (August 16, 2019). On file with the Senate Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs.

25 Id.
according to the DCF this minimal fiscal impact can be absorbed through existing resources.26

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

The DCF notes that the language “safe transport” at line 44 regarding child care facilities not bearing responsibility for children being transported by parents or guardians may require further clarification, as it is unclear what specific duties are imposed on providers and when they would apply to a particular child care facility or large family home.27

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 402.305 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.

26 Id.
27 Id.
An act relating to child care facilities; providing a short title; amending s. 402.305, F.S.; requiring that, by a specified date, vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children be equipped with a reliable alarm system that prompts the driver to inspect the vehicle for children before exiting the vehicle; requiring the Department of Children and Families to adopt by rule minimum safety standards for such systems and to maintain a list of approved alarm manufacturers and alarm systems; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. This act may be cited as the "Child Safety Alarm Act."

Section 2. Subsection (10) of section 402.305, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

402.305 Licensing standards; child care facilities.—
(10) TRANSPORTATION SAFETY.—
(a) Minimum standards shall include all of the following:
1. Requirements for child restraints or seat belts in vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children.
2. Requirements for annual inspections of such the vehicles.
3. Limitations on the number of children that may be transported in such the vehicles.

(b) By January 1, 2021, all vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children must be equipped with a reliable alarm system approved by the department which prompts the driver to inspect the vehicle for children before exiting the vehicle. The department shall adopt by rule minimum safety standards for such systems and shall maintain a list of approved alarm manufacturers and alarm systems that meet or exceed those standards.

(c) A child care facility or large family child care home is not responsible for the safe transport of children when they are being transported by a parent or guardian.

Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2020.
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I. Summary:

SB 158 amends current law relating to child restraint requirements while transporting a child in a motor vehicle. The bill increases from age five years or younger, to age six years or younger, the age of children which must use a crash-tested, federally-approved child restraint device. The bill also increases from age four through five years, to age four through six years, the age of a child for which use of a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a child booster seat is authorized.

The fiscal impact on private sector sales of child restraint devices is indeterminate. See the “Fiscal Impact Statement” for additional information. The bill will likely not have a fiscal impact on the public sector.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Child Passenger Safety

According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), “Child Passenger Safety: Fact Sheet,” motor vehicle injuries are a leading cause of death among children in the U.S.

- Use of a car seat reduces the risk for death to infants (aged less than 1 year) by 71 to 84 percent in passenger vehicles.
- Use of a booster seat reduces the risk for serious injury by 45 percent for children aged 4-8 years when compared with seat belt use alone).
- For older children and adults, use of a seat belt reduces the risk for death and serious injury by approximately one-half.
A study of five states that increased the age requirement to 7 or 8 years for car seat/booster seat use found that the rate of children using car seats and booster seats increased nearly three times and the rate of children who sustained fatal or incapacitating injuries decreased by 17 percent.\(^1\)

The CDC has produced the following guidelines for parents and caregivers:

**Child Seat Stages:**

- *Birth up to age 2*—Rear-facing car seat.
- *Age 2 up to at least age 5*—Forward-facing car seat. When a child outgrows a rear-facing seat, he or she should be buckled in a forward-facing car seat, in the back seat, until at least age 5 or when they reach the upper weight or height limit of seat.
- *Age 5 up until seat belts fit properly*—booster seat. Once a child outgrows a forward-facing seat, (by reaching the upper height or weight limit of their seat) he or she should be buckled in a belt positioning booster seat until seat belts fit properly.
- *Once seat belts fit properly without a booster seat*—Child no longer needs to use a booster seat once seat belts fit them properly. The seat belt fits properly when the lap belt lays across the upper thighs (not the stomach) and the shoulder belt lays across the chest (not the neck). The recommended height for proper seat belt fit is 57 inches tall.\(^2\)

**Child Restraint Devices or “Car Seats” and U.S.D.O.T. Recommendations**

Car seats available on the market offer a variety of choices. The best choice, according to NHTSA, is a selection based on a given child’s age and size, which complies with the specific car seat manufacturer’s instructions for height and weight limits, and is properly installed in accordance with the vehicle’s owner’s manual. Further, for maximum safety, NHTSA recommends keeping a child in a car seat for as long as possible, provided the child does not exceed the manufacturer’s height and weight limitations. NHTSA also recommends keeping a child in the back seat at least through the age of 12.\(^3\)

Car seats are generally available in four types, with variations in each type:

- Rear-facing car seats have a harness and, in a crash, cradles and moves with a child to reduce the stress to the child’s neck and spinal cord,
- Forward-facing car seats have a harness and tether that limits a child’s forward movement during a crash,
- Booster seats position the seat belt so that it fits properly over the stronger parts of a child’s body, and
- Seat belts.\(^4\)

NHTSA recommends that a child from birth through 12 months should always ride in a rear-facing car seat, noting that convertible and all-in-one versions of these seats usually have higher

---


\(^2\) Id.


\(^4\) Id.
height and weight limits for the rear-facing position, which facilitates keeping a child in a rear-facing position for a longer period of time.\(^5\)

For children one through three years old, NHTSA suggests keeping a child in a rear-facing seat until the child reaches the top height or weight limit indicated by the car seat’s manufacturer. Once either limit is exceeded, NHTSA recommends a forward-facing seat with a harness and tether.\(^6\)

For children four through seven years, NHTSA advises a child should be kept in a forward-facing car seat with a harness and tether until the child reaches the top height or weight limit set by the car seat’s manufacturer. Again, once either limit is exceeded, the child should be transported in a booster seat, but NHTSA recommends the booster seat still be installed properly in the back seat of the vehicle.\(^7\)

For children eight through 12 years, NHTSA recommends keeping a child in a booster seat until the child is big enough to fit in a seat belt properly. Proper fit in a seat belt means that the lap belt lies snugly across the upper thighs, not the stomach, and the shoulder belt lies snugly across the shoulder and chest, not across the neck or face. NHTSA notes the child should still ride in the back seat of the vehicle “because it’s safer there.”\(^8\)

**Florida Law**

**Safety Belt Use Under 18**

Section 316.614(4)(a), F.S., prohibits a person from operating a motor vehicle or autocycle in this state unless each passenger and the operator of the vehicle or autocycle under the age of 18 years are restrained by a safety belt or by a child restraint device, if applicable.

**Child Restraint Requirements**

Section 316.613, F.S., requires every operator of a motor vehicle\(^9\) operated on the roadways, streets, or highways of this state to provide for protection of a child who is five years of age or younger by properly using a crash-tested, federally approved child restraint device:

- For children through three years of age, the device must be a separate carrier or a vehicle manufacturer’s integrated child seat.

---

\(^5\) Id.
\(^6\) Id.
\(^7\) Id.
\(^8\) Id.
\(^9\) Section 316.003(42), F.S., defines “motor vehicle,” except for purposes of the payment of tolls, as “a self-propelled vehicle not operated upon rails or guideway, but not including any bicycle, motorized scooter, electric personal assistive mobility device, mobile carrier, personal delivery device, swamp buggy, or moped.” As used in s. 316.613, F.S., the term “motor vehicle” does not include:
  - A school bus as defined in s. 316.003, F.S.
  - A bus used for the transportation of persons for compensation, other than a bus regularly used to transport children to or from school, as defined in s. 316.615(1)(b), F.S., or in conjunction with school activities.
  - A farm tractor or implement of husbandry.
  - A truck having a gross vehicle weight rating of more than 26,000 pounds.
  - A motorcycle, moped, or bicycle.
For children aged four through five years, a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a child booster seat may be used. However, the requirement does not apply when a safety belt is used as required in s. 316.614(4)(a), F.S., and the child:

- Is being transported gratuitously by an operator who is not a member of the child’s immediate family;
- Is being transported in a medical emergency situation involving the child; or
- Has a medical condition that necessitates an exception as evidenced by appropriate documentation from a health care professional.

A person who violates the provisions of s. 316.613, F.S., commits a moving violation punishable by a penalty of $60 plus any applicable local court costs. In addition, the violator will have three points assessed against his or her driver license. In lieu of the monetary penalty and the assessment of points, a violator may elect to participate in a child restraint safety program, with the approval of the court with jurisdiction over the violation. After completing the program, the court may waive the monetary penalty, and must waive the assessment of points.

Current law also addresses use of safety belts or other restraint systems on school buses and on child-care facility vehicles.

**School Buses**

Section 316.6145, F.S., requires each school bus purchased new after December 31, 2000, and used to transport students in grades pre-K through 12 be equipped with safety belts or with any other federally approved restraint system in a number sufficient to allow each student being transported to use a separate safety belt or restraint system. Enacted in 1999, the statute requires each school district to prioritize the allocation of buses equipped with safety belts or restraint systems to children in elementary schools. However, the provisions of s. 316.613, F.S., relating to child safety restraints, do not apply to school buses, as they are excluded from the definition of “motor vehicle” for purposes of that section.

**Child Care Facility Vehicles**

Section 402.305(1), F.S., requires the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF) to establish licensing standards that each licensed child care facility must meet regardless of the origin or source of the fees used to operate the facility or the type of children served. Section 402.305(10), F.S., requires the minimum standards, among other items, to include requirements

---

10 Section 318.18(3)(a), F.S.
11 As used in that section, “school bus” means one that is owned, leased, operated, or contracted by a school district.
12 Section 1006.25(2), F.S., requires each school bus regularly used for the transportation of prekindergarten disability program and K-12 public school students to and from school or to and from school activities, and owned, operated, rented, contracted, or leased by any district school board to comply with the applicable federal motor vehicle safety standards. Subsection (4) of that section requires students be transported only in designated seating positions, except in specified emergency situations, and use the occupant crash protection system provided by the manufacturer. The Department of Education posts on its website guidelines providing “clarification and interpretation of the NHTSA Guidelines, and additional background and Department of Education recommendations regarding technical and operational issues associated with transporting pre-school age students.” See Florida Department of Education, Florida Guidelines for Seating of Pre-school Age Children in School Buses, available at http://www.fldoe.org/schools/healthy-schools/transportation/ (last viewed October 2, 2019).
13 Section 316.613(2)(a), F.S.
for child restraints or seat belts in vehicles used by child care facilities and large family child care homes to transport children.

Pursuant to that direction, DCF’s Florida Administrative Code Rule 65C-22.001(6)(e) requires each child transported in a child care facility vehicle or a large family child care home vehicle to be in an individual, factory-installed seat belt or a federally approved child restraint.

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 316.613, F.S., by increasing from five years of age or younger, to six years of age or younger, the requirement to provide for protection of a child by properly using a crash-tested, federally approved child restraint device. The bill also increases from age four through five years, to age four through six years, the authorization to use a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a child booster seat. Children being transported in a child restraint device in compliance with the current provisions of s. 316.613(1)(a) and (1)(a)2., F.S., must be kept in that (or another) compliant device for one additional year. Because Florida’s child restraint requirements are based solely on the child’s age, the result may or may not always be consistent with NHTSA’s recommendations, which instead focus on the actual weight and height of the child being transported.

The requirement to protect children aged through three years with a separate carrier or a vehicle manufacturer’s integrated child seat remains unchanged.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2020.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

---

14 Section 402.302(1), F.S., defines “child care” to mean “the care, protection, and supervision of a child, for a period of less than 24 hours a day on a regular basis, which supplements parental care, enrichment, and health supervision for the child, in accordance with his or her individual needs, and for which a payment, fee, or grant is made for care.” Subsection (2) of that section defines “child care facility” to include “any child care center or child care arrangement which provides child care for more than five children unrelated to the operator and which receives a payment, fee, or grant for any of the children receiving care, wherever operated, and whether or not operated for profit.”

15 Section 402.302, F.S., defines “large family child care home” to mean “an occupied resident in which child care is regularly provided for children from at least two unrelated families, which receives a payment, fee, or grant for any of the children receiving care, whether or not operated for profit, and which has at least two full-time child care personnel on the premises during the hours of operation, with one of the two personnel being the owner or occupant of the residence.”

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Currently compliant child restraint devices may have to be replaced due to a defect occurring within the additional year of use required by the bill, or new devices may be purchased, for example, to replace a worn restraint device. However, the fiscal impact on private sector sales of child restraint devices is indeterminate.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill is not expected to have a fiscal impact on the government sector.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 316.613 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.
B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
A bill to be entitled  

An act relating to child restraint requirements; amending s. 316.613, F.S.; increasing the age of children for whom operators of motor vehicles must provide protection by using a crash-tested, federally approved child restraint device; increasing the age of children for whom a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a child booster seat may be used; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 316.613, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

316.613 Child restraint requirements.—

(1)(a) Every operator of a motor vehicle as defined in this section, while transporting a child in a motor vehicle operated on the roadways, streets, or highways of this state, shall, if the child is 6 years of age or younger, provide for protection of the child by properly using a crash-tested, federally approved child restraint device.

1. For children aged through 3 years, such restraint device must be a separate carrier or a vehicle manufacturer’s integrated child seat.

2. For children aged 4 through 6 years, a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a child booster seat may be used. However, the requirement to use a child restraint device under this subparagraph does not apply when a safety belt is used as required in ss. 316.614(4)(a) and the child:

a. Is being transported gratuitously by an operator who is not a member of the child’s immediate family;

b. Is being transported in a medical emergency situation involving the child; or

c. Has a medical condition that necessitates an exception as evidenced by appropriate documentation from a health care professional.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
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I. Summary:

SB 290 increases the minimum civil penalty for failure to stop for a school bus from $100 to $200. For a subsequent offense within five years, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) must suspend the driver license of the driver for not less than 180 days and not more than one year instead of the current suspension of 90 days to 6 months.

The bill also increases the minimum civil penalty for passing a school bus on the side that children enter and exit, from $200 to $400. For a subsequent offense within five years, the DHSMV must suspend the driver license of the driver for not less than 360 days and not more than two years, instead of the current suspension of 180 days to 1 year.

The bill may have an indeterminate, positive fiscal impact on state and local government revenues as a result of increasing the civil penalties for failing to stop for a school bus and passing a stopped school bus. The DHSMV estimates an insignificant negative fiscal impact due to required programming and implementation costs. See Fiscal Comments.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

School buses are required to stop as far to the right of the street as possible and display warning lights and stop signals before discharging or loading passengers. When possible, school buses should not stop where visibility is obscured for a distance of 200 feet either way from the bus.

---

1 Section 316.172(3), F.S.
2 Id.
Other drivers are required to bring their vehicles to a full stop when approaching a stopped school bus displaying a stop signal, until the signal has been withdrawn. However, a driver is not required to stop if the vehicle is traveling in the opposite direction of a stopped school bus upon a divided highway with an unpaved space of at least 5 feet, a raised median, or a physical barrier.

A person cited for failing to stop for a school bus displaying the stop signal commits a moving violation and can pay the civil penalty, or can request a hearing to contest the citation. A driver who passes a school bus on the side that children enter and exit while the school bus displays a stop signal also commits a moving violation. However, the driver must attend a mandatory hearing at a specified time and location.

The minimum civil penalty for failing to stop for a school bus displaying the stop signal is $100. For a second or subsequent offense within a period of five years, the DHSMV must suspend the driver license of the driver for not less than 90 days and not more than six months. Including

---

3 Section 316.172(1)(a), F.S.
4 Section 316.172(2), F.S.
6 Section 318.14, F.S.
7 Section 316.172(1)(b), F.S.
8 Sections 316.172(1)(b) and 318.19(3), F.S.
9 Section 318.18(5)(a), F.S.
various fees and service charges, the total fine for this violation is up to $263, which is distributed to various funds.\(^{10}\)

The minimum civil penalty for passing a school bus on the side that children enter and exit when the school bus displays a stop signal is $200. For a second or subsequent offense within a period of five years, the DHSMV must suspend the driver license of the driver for not less than 180 days and not more than one year.\(^{11}\) Including various fees and service charges, the total fine for this violation is up to $363, which is distributed to various funds.\(^{12}\)

In addition to the above penalties, a driver who illegally passes a stopped school bus, but does not cause serious bodily injury to or death of another, will receive four points on his or her driver license record.\(^{13}\) A driver who illegally passes a stopped school bus and causes serious bodily injury to or death of another will receive six points on his or her driver license record.\(^{14}\) A driver who illegally passes a school bus on either side and causes serious bodily injury to or death of another person must serve 120 community service hours in a trauma center or hospital that regularly receives victims of vehicle accidents, and must participate in a victim’s impact panel session.\(^{15}\) If such panel does not exist, the driver must attend a DHSMV-approved driver improvement course.\(^{16}\) In addition, the driver must pay a fine of $1,500 and will have his or her driver license suspended by the DHSMV for not less than one year.\(^{17}\)

If the driver receives a traffic citation for illegally passing a stopped school bus and the court withholds adjudication, the DHSMV will require him or her to complete a driver improvement course. If the course is not completed within 90 days of receiving a notice of the requirement to attend, the driver’s license will be canceled until the improvement course is successfully completed.\(^{18}\)

According to the DHSMV data, in Fiscal Year 2018-2019, 3,760 traffic citations were issued for failing to stop for a school bus or passing a stopped school bus and 38 citations were issued for passing a school bus on the side children enter and exit.\(^{19}\)

The Department of Education created a statewide survey for bus drivers to complete one day each year regarding the illegal passing of their school buses. The survey results from 2018 show that on a single day 10,937 illegal passes were made based on 9,009 school bus drivers completing the survey. Of these illegal passes, 447 were made on the right side of the bus where

\(^{10}\) Florida Court Clerks and Comptrollers, Distribution Schedule of Court-Related Filing Fees, Service Charges, Costs, and Fines, Including a Fee Schedule for Recording, effective July 1, 2019, available at:

\(^{11}\) Section 318.18(5)(b), F.S.

\(^{12}\) Florida Court Clerks, supra, at FN 10, p. 35.

\(^{13}\) Section 322.27(3)(d)4.a., F.S.

\(^{14}\) Section 322.27(3)(d)4.b., F.S.

\(^{15}\) Section 316.027(4)(b), F.S.

\(^{16}\) Id.

\(^{17}\) Section 318.18(5)(d), F.S.

\(^{18}\) Section 322.0261(4)(c), F.S.

\(^{19}\) Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, Senate Bill 290 Bill Analysis (October 22, 2019) (on file with the Senate Committee on Infrastructure and Security).
children generally enter and exit the vehicle, 10,018 were made on the left side, and for 472 of the passes the side was unknown.\textsuperscript{20}

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration indicates that from 2007 to 2016, 98 school-age pedestrians (18 and younger) died in school-transportation-related crashes. Sixty percent were struck by school buses, 2 percent by vehicles functioning as school buses, and 38 percent by other vehicles involved in the crashes.\textsuperscript{21}

**III. Effect of Proposed Changes:**

The bill amends s. 318.18(5)(a), F.S., increasing the minimum civil penalty for failure to stop for a school bus from $100 to $200; and for a subsequent offense within five years, the DHSMV must suspend the driver license of the driver for not less than 180 days and not more than one year.

The bill amends s. 318.18(5)(b), F.S., increasing the minimum civil penalty for passing a school bus on the side that children enter and exit, from $200 to $400; and for a subsequent offense within five years, the DHSMV must suspend the driver license of the driver for not less than 360 days and not more than two years.

**IV. Constitutional Issues:**

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

   None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

   None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

   None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

   None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

   None.


V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill increases penalties for persons failing to stop for a school bus.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Funds collected as civil penalties for traffic violations are distributed to various state and local funds:

- The bill may likely have an insignificant positive fiscal impact on the General Revenue Fund due to the increase in penalties for failing to stop for a school bus or passing a stopped school bus. The number of drivers who may be subjected to the additional $100 or $200 penalty is unknown; therefore the impact is indeterminate.

- The bill may have an insignificant positive fiscal impact to local government revenues. The number of drivers who may be subjected to the additional $100 or $200 fine is unknown; therefore the impact is indeterminate.

The DHSMV estimates that approximately 72 hours of technology programming will be required as a result of this bill. These hours are estimated to have a fiscal impact to the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund of $3,120 in FTE and contracted resources. All costs related to programming and implementation can be absorbed within existing resources.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following section of the Florida Statutes: 318.18
IX. **Additional Information:**

A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:**
   (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

   None.

B. **Amendments:**

   None.

---

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
A bill to be entitled An act relating to school bus safety; amending s. 318.18, F.S.; revising civil penalties for certain violations relating to stopping for a school bus; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (5) of section 318.18, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

318.18. The penalties required for a noncriminal disposition pursuant to s. 318.14 or a criminal offense listed in s. 318.17 are as follows:

(5) (a) Two hundred dollars for a violation of s. 316.172(1)(a), failure to stop for a school bus. If, at a hearing, the alleged offender is found to have committed this offense, the court shall impose a minimum civil penalty of $200. In addition to this penalty, for a second or subsequent offense within a period of 5 years, the department shall suspend the driver license of the person for not less than 180 days and not more than 2 years.

(b) Four hundred dollars for a violation of s. 316.172(1)(b), passing a school bus on the side that children enter and exit when the school bus displays a stop signal. If, at a hearing, the alleged offender is found to have committed this offense, the court shall impose a minimum civil penalty of $400. In addition to this penalty, for a second or subsequent offense within a period of 5 years, the department shall suspend the driver license of the person for not less than 180 days and not more than 2 years.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
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I. Summary:

SB 378 repeals the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law (No-Fault Law), which requires every owner and registrant of a motor vehicle in this state to maintain Personal Injury Protection (PIP) coverage. Beginning January 1, 2020, the bill enacts financial responsibility requirements for liability for damages that result from accidents arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle that is not a commercial motor vehicle, nonpublic sector bus, or for-hire passenger transportation vehicle, as follows:

- For bodily injury (BI) or death of one person in any one crash, $25,000, and
- Subject to that limit for one person, $50,000 for BI or death of two or more people in any one crash.

The bill retains the existing $10,000 financial responsibility requirement for property damage (PD).

The bill also revises required coverage amounts for garage liability and commercial motor vehicle insurance, and increases the cash deposit amount required for a certificate of self-insurance establishing financial responsibility for owners and operators of motor vehicles that are not for-hire vehicles.

The bill replaces the PIP coverage mandate with optional medical payments coverage which must provide coverage of at least $5,000 for medical expenses incurred due to bodily injury, sickness, or disease arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle. The coverage also includes a death benefit of at least $5,000. Medical payments coverage protects the named insured, resident relatives, all passengers and operators of the insured vehicle, and all persons struck by the motor vehicle while not occupying a self-propelled motor vehicle.
The insurer must offer medical payments coverage at limits of $5,000 and $10,000, with an option for no deductible or a $500 deductible. Insurers may also offer other limits greater than $5,000, and other deductibles not exceeding $500. Policies are presumed to include medical payments coverage with a limit of $10,000 with no deductible unless the insured declines medical payments coverage or selects coverage at a different limit or with a deductible.

The bill also requires the insurer to reserve $5,000 of benefits for payment to specified physicians or dentists who provide emergency services and care or who provide hospital inpatient care for 30 days after the date the insurer receives notice of the accident.

Medical payments coverage insurers are authorized to include provisions in their policies allowing for subrogation for payment of medical payments benefits to an insured if the payments resulted from the wrongful act of another. However, the bill makes this subrogation right inferior to the rights of the injured insured and available only after all of the insured’s damages are recovered. Once fully recovered, if the insured delivers a release or satisfaction that impairs an insurer’s subrogation right, the insured is made liable to the insurer for repayment of the benefits, less certain costs and expenses.

The repeal of the No-Fault Law eliminates the limitations on recovering pain and suffering damages from PIP insureds, which currently require bodily injury that causes death or significant and permanent injury. Under the bill, the legal liability of an uninsured motorist insurer includes damages in tort for pain, suffering, disability or physical impairment, disfigurement, mental anguish, inconvenience, and the loss of past and future capacity for the enjoyment of life.

Additionally, the bill authorizes the exclusion of a specifically named individual from specified insurance coverages under a private passenger motor vehicle policy, with the written consent of the policyholder.

The bill appropriates $83,651 in nonrecurring funds from the Insurance Regulatory Trust Fund to the Office of Insurance Regulation to implement the act.

The bill takes effect January 1, 2021, except as otherwise provided, and except that provisions relating to application of the laws during the transition from PIP coverage to the new financial responsibility requirements, and the effective date section, take effect upon becoming a law.

II. Present Situation:

Under the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law (No-Fault Law), owners or registrants of motor vehicles are required to purchase personal injury protection (PIP) insurance which compensates persons injured in accidents regardless of fault. Policyholders are indemnified by their own insurer. The intent of no-fault insurance is to provide prompt medical treatment without regard to fault. This coverage also provides policyholders with immunity from liability for economic damages up to the policy limits and limits tort suits for non-economic damages (pain and

---

1 Sections 627.730-627.7405, F.S.
2 Section 627.733, F.S.
3 See s. 627.731, F.S.
suffering) below a specified injury threshold. In contrast, under a tort liability system, the negligent party is responsible for damages caused and an accident victim can sue the at-fault driver to recover economic and non-economic damages.

Florida drivers are required to purchase both PIP and property damage liability (PD) insurance. The personal injury protection must provide a minimum benefit of $10,000 for bodily injury to any one person who sustains an emergency medical condition, which is reduced to a $2,500 limit for medical benefits if a treating medical provider does not determine an emergency medical condition existed. PIP coverage provides reimbursement for 80 percent of reasonable medical expenses, 60 percent of loss of income, and 100 percent of replacement services, for bodily injury sustained in a motor vehicle accident, without regard to fault. The property damage liability coverage must provide a $10,000 minimum benefit. A $5,000 death benefit is also provided.

**PIP Medical Benefits**

The 2012 Legislature revised the provision of PIP medical benefits under the No-Fault Law, effective January 1, 2013. To receive PIP medical benefits, insureds must receive initial services and care within 14 days after the motor vehicle accident. Initial services and care are only reimbursable if lawfully provided, supervised, ordered or prescribed by a licensed physician, licensed osteopathic physician, licensed chiropractic physician, licensed dentist, or must be rendered in a hospital, a facility that owns or is owned by a hospital, or a licensed emergency transportation and treatment provider. Follow-up services and care require a referral from such providers and must be consistent with the underlying medical diagnosis rendered when the individual received initial services and care.

PIP medical benefits have two different coverage limits, based upon the severity of the medical condition of the individual. An insured may receive up to $10,000 in medical benefits for services and care if a physician, osteopathic physician, dentist, physician’s assistant or advanced registered nurse practitioner has determined that the injured person had an emergency medical condition. An emergency medical condition is defined as a medical condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in serious jeopardy to patient health, serious impairment to bodily functions, or serious dysfunction of a body organ or part. If a provider who rendered treatment or services does not determine that the insured had an emergency medical condition,
the PIP medical benefit limit is $2,500.\(^{17}\) Massage and acupuncture are not reimbursable, regardless of the type of provider rendering such services.\(^ {18}\)

The $5,000 PIP death benefit is provided in addition to medical and disability benefits, effective January 1, 2013. Previously, the death benefit was the lesser of the unused PIP benefits, up to a limit of $5,000.

**Medical Fee Limits for PIP Reimbursement**

Section 627.736(5), F.S., authorizes insurers to limit reimbursement for benefits payable from PIP coverage to 80 percent of the following schedule of maximum charges:

- For emergency transport and treatment (ambulance and emergency medical technicians), 200 percent of Medicare;
- For emergency services and care provided by a hospital, 75 percent of the hospital’s usual and customary charges;
- For emergency services and care and related hospital inpatient services rendered by a physician or dentist, the usual and customary charges in the community;
- For hospital inpatient services, 200 percent of Medicare Part A;
- For hospital outpatient services, 200 percent of Medicare Part A;
- For services supplies and care provided by ambulatory surgical centers and clinical laboratories, 200 percent of Medicare Part B;
- For durable medical equipment, 200 percent of the Durable Medical Equipment Prosthetics/Orthotics and Supplies fee schedule of Medicare Part B;
- For all other medical services, supplies, and care, 200 percent of the participating physicians fee schedule of Medicare Part B; and
- For medical care not reimbursable under Medicare, 80 percent of the workers’ compensation fee schedule. If the medical care is not reimbursable under either Medicare or workers’ compensation then the insurer is not required to provide reimbursement.

The insurer may not apply any utilization limits that apply under Medicare or workers’ compensation.\(^ {19}\) In addition, the insurer must reimburse a health care provider rendering services under the scope of his or her license, regardless of any restriction under Medicare that restricts payments to certain types of health care providers for specified procedures. Medical providers are not allowed to bill the insured for any excess amount when an insurer limits payment as authorized in the fee schedule, except for amounts that are not covered due to the PIP coinsurance amount (the 20 percent copayment) or for amounts that exceed maximum policy limits.\(^ {20}\)

In 2012, the Legislature enacted chapter 2012-197, Laws of Florida, to revise the PIP medical fee schedule in an effort to resolve alleged ambiguities that led to conflicts and litigation between claimants and insurers. The law clarified the reimbursement levels for care provided by ambulatory surgical centers and clinical laboratories and for durable medical equipment. The law also provided that Medicare fee schedule in effect on March 1, is applicable for the remainder of

---

\(^{17}\) Section 627.736(1)(a)4., F.S.
\(^{18}\) Section 627.736(1)(a)5., F.S.
\(^{19}\) Section 627.736(5)(a)3., F.S.
\(^{20}\) Section 627.736(5)(a)4., F.S.
that year. Insurers were authorized to use Medicare coding policies and payment methodologies of the Centers for Medicare and Medicare Services, including applicable modifiers, when applying the fee schedule if they do not constitute a utilization limit. The law also required insurers to include notice of the fee schedule in their policies.

Attorney Fees

Section 627.428, F.S., requires an insurer to pay the insured’s or beneficiary’s reasonable attorney fees upon a judgment against the insurer and in favor of the insured or named beneficiary under an insurance policy, and applies to disputes under the No-Fault Law. Chapter 2012-197, L.O.F., amended provisions related to attorney fee awards in No-Fault disputes. The law prohibited the application of attorney fee multipliers. The law also required that the attorney fees awarded must comply with prevailing professional standards, not overstate or inflate the number of hours reasonably necessary for a case of comparable skill or complexity, and represent legal services that are reasonable to achieve the result obtained. The offer of judgment statute, s. 768.79, F.S., is applied to No-Fault cases, providing statutory authority for insurers to recover fees if the plaintiff’s recovery does not exceed the insurer’s settlement offer by a statutorily specified percentage.

Mandatory Rate Filings and Data Call

Chapter 2012-197, L.O.F., required the Office of Insurance Regulation to contract with a consulting firm to calculate the expected savings from the act. The OIR retained Pinnacle Actuarial Resources, Inc., which released an August 20, 2012, report estimating an indicated statewide average savings in PIP premiums of 14 percent to 24.6 percent and an average overall motor vehicle insurance premium reduction ranging from 2.8 percent to 4.9 percent. The report noted that if insurers’ current PIP rates were inadequate they would likely offset the savings from Chapter 2012-197, L.O.F., against their indicated PIP rates. By October 1, 2012, each insurer writing private passenger automobile PIP insurance was required to submit a rate filing providing at least a 10 percent reduction of its PIP rate or explain in detail its reasons for failing to achieve those savings. The Legislature required a second mandatory rate filing due January 1, 2014, that provided at least a 25 percent reduction of the insurer’s July 1, 2012, PIP rate or explained in detail its reasons for failing to achieve those savings.

The Office of Insurance Regulation performed a comprehensive PIP data call on January 1, 2015, that analyzed the impact of the 2012 act’s reforms on the PIP insurance market. The top 25 personal lines automobile insurers generally failed to achieve a 25 percent rate reduction
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21 Section 627.736(5)(a)2., F.S.
22 Section 627.736(5)(a)3., F.S.
23 Section 627.736(5)(a)5., F.S.
24 Section 627.736(8), F.S.
25 See id.
26 See id.
27 See id.
28 Section 15, Ch. 2012-197, L.O.F.
30 On an earned premium basis.
and instead reduced PIP rates an average of 13.6 percent. Rates were only reduced an average of 0.1 percent for a full auto insurance premium consisting of PIP, property damage, bodily injury, uninsured motorists, collision and comprehensive coverages. The OIR noted that though the required rate filings were on the low end of 2012 Pinnacle report, prior to the 2012 act, the statewide average approved rate changes were a 46.3 percent increase in PIP rates, and a 12.9 percent rate increase for full auto insurance.

Rate filings by top 25 auto insurers from January 1, 2015, to January 18, 2017, reversed the entirety of the rate reductions achieved post the 2012 act, resulting in average premiums higher than those charged before that act became law. Generally, motor vehicle insurance rates increased nationally. Recent data from the United States Department of Labor indicates that the consumer price index for motor vehicle insurance (U.S. city average for urban consumers) remained unchanged from December of 2018 to December of 2019. The number of crashes and crashes involving injuries reported to the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles in the most recent 4 years is shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>TotalCrashes</th>
<th>InjuryCrashes</th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>395,785</td>
<td>165,940</td>
<td>3,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>402,385</td>
<td>166,612</td>
<td>3,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>403,626</td>
<td>167,219</td>
<td>3,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>399,087</td>
<td>158,999</td>
<td>3,137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Motor Vehicle Insurance Fraud**

Motor vehicle insurance fraud is a long-standing problem in Florida. In November 2005, the Senate Banking and Insurance Committee issued a report entitled “Florida’s Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law”, which was a comprehensive review of Florida’s No-Fault system. The report indicated that fraud was at an “all-time” high at the time, noting:

---


32 Id.

33 Id. at pg. 41.


37 See email from the DHSMV to committee staff, January 17, 2020 (on file in the Senate Infrastructure and Security Committee).

“Florida’s no-fault laws are being exploited by sophisticated criminal organizations in schemes that involve health care clinic fraud, staging (faking) car crashes, manufacturing false crash reports, adding occupants to existing crash reports, filing PIP claims using contrived injuries, colluding with dishonest medical treatment providers to fraudulently bill insurance companies for medically unnecessary or non-existent treatments, and patient-brokering…”

Fraudulent claims are a major cost-driver and result in higher motor vehicle insurance premium costs for Florida policyholders. The 2012 act contained numerous provisions designed to curtail PIP fraud. A health care practitioner found guilty of insurance fraud under s. 817.234, F.S., loses his or her license for 5 years and may not receive PIP reimbursement for 10 years. Insurers are provided an additional 60 days (90 total) to investigate suspected fraudulent claims, however, an insurer that ultimately pays the claim must also pay an interest penalty. 39 All entities seeking reimbursement under the No-Fault Law must obtain health care clinic licensure except for hospitals, ambulatory surgical centers, entities owned or wholly owned by a hospital, clinical facilities affiliated with an accredited medical school and practices wholly owned by a physician, dentist, or chiropractic physician or by such physicians and specified family members. 40 The act also defined failure to pay PIP claims within the time limits of s. 627.736(4)(b), F.S., as an unfair and deceptive practice.

Financial Responsibility Law

Florida’s financial responsibility law requires proof of ability to pay monetary damages for bodily injury and property damage liability arising out of a motor vehicle accident or serious traffic violation. 41 The owner and operator of a motor vehicle need not demonstrate financial responsibility, i.e., obtain BI and PD coverages, until after the accident. 42 At that time, a driver’s financial responsibility is proved by the furnishing of an active motor vehicle liability policy. The minimum amounts of liability coverages required are $10,000 in the event of bodily injury to, or death of, one person, $20,000 in the event of injury to, or death of, two or more persons, and $10,000 in the event of damage to property of others, or $30,000 combined BI/PD policy. 43 The driver’s license and registration of the driver who fails to comply with the security requirement to maintain PIP and PD insurance coverage is subject to suspension. 44 A driver’s license and registration may be reinstated by obtaining a liability policy and by paying a fee to the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles. 45

Review of Auto Insurance Systems

Two auto insurance systems are utilized throughout the country: the tort system and the no-fault system, with certain variations. Thirty-eight states utilize the tort system in which the at-fault party is liable for damages (medical, economic, property damage and pain and suffering) to other
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39 Section 627.736(4)(i), F.S.
40 Section 627.736(5)(h), F.S.
41 See ch. 324, F.S.
42 Section 324.011, F.S.
43 Section 324.022, F.S.
44 Section 324.0221(2), F.S.
45 Section 324.0221(3), F.S.
parties in an accident.\textsuperscript{46} Parties seeking redress for their injuries do so from the at-fault driver, and must prove negligence on the part of that individual. Nine of the 38 tort states, known as “add-on” states, require auto insurers to offer PIP coverage, but unlike no-fault states, do not restrict the right to pursue a liability claim or lawsuit.\textsuperscript{47} Benefits are generally either offered in a PIP coverage form similar to that in no-fault states or as additional wage replacement benefits to medical payments coverage. Three tort add-on states require the purchase of PIP coverage; six do not, but require insurers to offer PIP coverage.

Twelve states (including Florida) have a no-fault system and mandate first-party PIP coverage for medical benefits, wage loss, and death benefits, with a limitation on pain and suffering lawsuits.\textsuperscript{48} All 12 jurisdictions take different approaches to no-fault legislation in that coverage amounts, deductibles, mandated coverages, tort thresholds for pain and suffering claims, and the use of fee schedules or treatment protocols vary widely among these entities. Each state has either a “verbal” or “monetary” threshold regarding the seriousness of a person’s injuries that must be met prior to the filing of a tort suit for noneconomic damages against an at-fault driver. Florida and the four most populous no-fault states use a verbal threshold, which is a statutory description of the severity of an injury. The seven remaining no-fault states have monetary thresholds ranging from $1,000 to $5,000. Three of the 12 no-fault states (Kentucky, New Jersey and Pennsylvania) are known as “choice” states and offer consumers a choice between purchasing PIP coverage and traditional tort liability coverage, which does not include PIP benefits.

\textbf{Tort-Based Motor Vehicle Insurance Jurisdictions}

In a tort-based liability system, auto injury claimants seek payment from the at-fault driver for both economic and non-economic damages from dollar one. A tort-based system represents a more traditional legal philosophy of holding persons responsible for injuries caused by their negligent operation of a vehicle. In theory, this encourages safer operation of automobiles and is generally viewed by the public as consistent with the concept of personal responsibility.

If Florida repeals PIP and mandates BI coverage, it will be important for drivers to appreciate coverage applications under the tort system. For the most common type of accident (with one party at-fault), the at-fault party’s BI coverage would pay for injuries to the not at-fault driver, unless the at-fault party was uninsured. If the at-fault party is uninsured (or underinsured), the not at-fault party would utilize his/her Uninsured Motorist (UM) coverage, if purchased, to pay for injuries sustained in an accident. The at-fault party’s PD coverage would compensate for physical damages to the not at-fault driver’s vehicle. If the not-at-fault party has Med Pay coverage, it can be used to cover his or her own medical expenses, which could then be subrogated into the BI claim by the not at-fault driver’s insurer.

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{46}Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.
\item \textsuperscript{47}Arkansas, Delaware, Maryland, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.
\item \textsuperscript{48}Hawaii, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, and Utah are the other No-Fault states.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
With respect to the at-fault party, that driver’s own health insurance, if available, would cover his or her own expenses. Med Pay coverage, if purchased, would pay for his/her medical expenses up to the Med Pay limits, at which point health insurance would apply. In the event the at-fault party did not have health insurance, then the medical costs would not be reimbursed and the individual would be responsible for these costs or such costs would be assumed by the health care provider.

For single car accidents, the driver of the vehicle is presumed to be the at-fault party and therefore will be essentially in the same situation as the at-fault party described above. Occupants in the vehicle can sue the driver of the vehicle for their injuries and are in a similar circumstance to the not at-fault party’s situation, previously described. Family members are precluded from suing the driver because of the intra-family exclusion resulting in the fact that only non-family occupants can pursue a tort claim. Pedestrians who are injured in an accident are in a similar situation as the not at-fault party.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Repeal of the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law

Section 1 repeals ss. 627.730-627.7405, F.S., which constitute the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law.

Two of the most significant provisions repealed are the requirement to maintain PIP coverage under s. 627.736, F.S., and the tort exemption in s. 627.737, F.S., which prohibits tort actions to recover pain and suffering damages from PIP insureds unless death or significant and permanent injury causes such damages, and coverage for disability and death benefits under PIP.

Section 2 repeals s. 627.7407, F.S., which explained how the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law was to be applied after being reinstated by ch. 2007-324, Laws of Florida.

Mandatory Bodily Injury Liability Coverage Requirements

Chapter 324, F.S., requires the owners and operators of motor vehicles to demonstrate the ability to respond to damages for liability because of crashes arising out of the use of a motor vehicle. This requirement is usually met through the purchase of motor vehicle insurance.

Sections 12 and 13 amend ss. 324.021 and 324.022, F.S., respectively, to require beginning January 1, 2021, every owner or operator of a motor vehicle registered in this state to maintain the ability to respond to damages for liability that results from accidents arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle that is not a commercial motor vehicle, nonpublic sector bus, or for-hire passenger transportation vehicle as follows:

- For BI or death of one person in any one crash, $25,000.
- Subject to that limit for one person, $50,000 for BI or death of two or more people in any one crash.

Owners and operators of motor vehicles may satisfy financial responsibility requirements by alternate means, such as depositing security with the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles pursuant to s. 324.161, F.S., or qualifying as a self-insurer pursuant to s. 324.171, F.S.
The bill retains current law that requires drivers to maintain the ability to respond to damages of $10,000 for damage to, or the destruction of, other’s property in a crash.

Financial responsibility may be met through motor vehicle insurance that provides BI and PD coverage in at least the minimum amounts required to meet responsibility or through insurance that provides BI and PD with a combined single coverage limit that equals the BI requirement for more than one person plus the PD requirement. Beginning January 1, 2021, the minimum combined single limit will be $60,000.

Section 36 amends s. 627.0651, F.S., providing that initial rate filings for motor vehicle liability policies submitted to the OIR on or after January 1, 2021, must reflect the financial responsibility requirements of the amended s. 324.022, F.S., and may be approved only through the file and use process for making rates for motor vehicle insurance set out in that section of law.

Required Provisions in Motor Vehicle Liability Policies

Section 22 amends s. 324.151, F.S., which requires motor vehicle liability insurance policies that serve as proof of financial responsibility to contain certain provisions. The bill requires policies issued to the owner of a motor vehicle that is required to be registered in this state to insure all named insureds, except for a named driver excluded pursuant to new section 627.747, F.S., discussed below; and to also insure:

- Any resident relative 50 of a named insured, and
- Any operator using the vehicle with the permission of the owner of the vehicle insured by the policy from liability resulting from the use of the motor vehicle referenced in the policy.

The bill authorizes an insurer to include provisions in its policy excluding coverage for a motor vehicle not designated as an insured vehicle on the policy if such motor vehicle does not qualify as a newly acquired vehicle, 51 does not qualify as a temporary substitute vehicle, 52 and was owned by the insured or furnished for an insured’s regular use for more than 30 consecutive days before an event giving rise to a claim.

A motor vehicle liability insurance policy issued to a person who does not own a motor vehicle must insure the named insureds against liability for damages arising out of the use of any motor vehicle not owned by the named insureds.

All motor vehicle liability policies providing coverage for accidents occurring within the United States or Canada must provide liability coverage with the minimum limits of $25,000 for BI or death of one person in any one crash; $50,000 for BI or death of two or more people in any one crash; and $10,000 for PD.

---

50 Defined by the bill to mean “a person related to a named insured by any degree by blood, marriage, or adoption, including a ward or foster child, who usually makes his or her home in the same family unit or residence as the named insured, whether or not he or she temporarily live elsewhere.”

51 Defined by the bill to mean “a vehicle owned by a named insured or resident relative of the named insured which was acquired within 30 days before an accident.”

52 Defined by the bill to mean “any motor vehicle, as defined in s. 320.01(1) which is not owned by the named insured and which is temporarily used with the permission of the owner as a substitute for the owned motor vehicle designated on the policy when the owned vehicle is withdrawn from normal use because of breakdown, repair, servicing, loss, or destruction.”
Section 43 amends s. 627.7275, F.S., to require all motor vehicle insurance policies delivered or issued in Florida for a motor vehicle registered or principally garaged in this state to include the minimum limits of BI liability coverage and PD liability coverage as required by s. 324.022, F.S.

Motor vehicle insurance under policies made available to applicants seeking reinstatement of the applicant’s driving privileges after such privileges were revoked or suspended for driving under the influence must provide coverage of at least the minimum limits of BI and PD liability coverage under s. 324.021(7),53 or s. 324.023,54 F.S., which requires drivers who plead guilty or nolo contendere to a charge of driving under the influence to meet additional liability insurance requirements.

Meeting Financial Responsibility through a Certificate of Self-Insurance

Section 17 amends s. 324.031, F.S., which allows owners and operators of motor vehicles that are not for-hire vehicles to prove financial responsibility by providing evidence of holding a motor vehicle liability policy covering the motor vehicle being operated. Two alternatives are also available under the statute. A person may prove financial responsibility by furnishing a certificate of self-insurance that shows a deposit of cash with a financial institution, or furnishing a certificate of self-insurance issued by the DHSMV based on demonstrating sufficient net unencumbered worth.

A person furnishing a certificate of self-insurance showing a deposit of cash must, beginning January 1, 2021, furnish a certificate of deposit equal to the number of vehicles owned times $60,000, to a maximum of $240,000. Current law requires a deposit equal to the number of vehicles times $30,000, to a maximum of $120,000. The bill retains current law that all persons using this method shall maintain excess coverage of the amount deposited with limits of at least $125,000/$250,000/$50,000 BI/PD or a $300,000 BI/PD combined single limit.

Under Section 23 of the bill amending s. 324.161, F.S., the proof of a certificate of deposit must be provided annually, and must be from a financial institution insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the National Credit Union Administration.

The second alternative method is obtaining a certificate of self-insurance issued by the DHSMV. Section 24 amends s. 324.171, F.S., effective January 1, 2021, to provide that a certificate of self-insurance from the DHSMV pursuant to this section may be obtained by a private individual with private passenger vehicles by demonstrating sufficient net unencumbered worth of at least $100,000. Current law requires a net unencumbered worth of at least $40,000. A person other than a natural person may obtain a certificate of self-insurance from the DHSMV by possessing a net unencumbered worth of at least $100,000 for the first motor vehicle and $50,000 for each additional vehicle. Current law requires a net unencumbered worth of $40,000 for the first motor vehicle and $20,000 for each additional motor vehicle. The bill retains current law that authorizes the DHSMV to promulgate by rule an alternative net worth requirement for persons other than natural persons.

53 $10,000/$20,000 for BI or death and $10,000 for PD.
54 $100,000/$300,000 for BI or death and $50,000 for PD.
Garage Liability Insurance Requirement

Section 7 amends s. 320.27, F.S., which requires the licensure of motor vehicle dealers. The bill defines “garage liability insurance” to mean, beginning January 1, 2021, combined single-limit liability coverage, including PD and BI liability coverage, of at least $60,000.

Current law only requires at least $25,000 in such coverage and requires $10,000 of PIP coverage.

Section 8 amends s. 320.771, F.S., and applies the same garage liability insurance requirement to recreational vehicle dealers.

Financial Responsibility Requirement for For-Hire Vehicles

Section 18 amends s. 324.032, F.S., which provides the financial responsibility requirements for for-hire passenger vehicles. The bill retains current law requiring the owner or lessee to meet the financial responsibility requirement and retains the minimum limits of coverage, which are $125,000/$250,000 of BI and $50,000 of PD. The bill amends current law by specifying the coverage must be purchased by an insurer that is a member of the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association.

Optional Medical Payments Coverage

Medical Payments Coverage Benefits

Section 41 creates s. 627.7265, F.S., which authorizes the inclusion of medical payments coverage of at least $5,000 in each motor vehicle liability insurance policy used to meet the financial responsibility requirements of s. 324.031, F.S. Medical payments coverage must provide coverage of at least $5,000 for medical expense incurred due to bodily injury, sickness, or disease arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle. Medical payments coverage must pay for reasonable expenses for necessary medical, diagnostic, and rehabilitative services lawfully provided, supervised, ordered, or prescribed by specified physicians, dentists, or chiropractic physicians, or that are provided in a hospital or in a facility that owns, or is wholly owned by, a hospital. The coverage also includes a death benefit of at least $5,000. Medical payments coverage protects the named insured, resident relatives, all passengers and operators of the insured vehicle, and all persons struck by the motor vehicle while not occupying a self-propelled motor vehicle.

Before issuing a motor vehicle liability policy furnished as proof of financial responsibility, an insurer must offer medical payments coverage at limits of $5,000 and $10,000, with an option for no deductible or a $500 deductible. Insurers may also offer such coverage at any limit greater than $5,000, and deductibles not exceeding $500.
Each motor vehicle liability policy furnished as proof of financial responsibility is deemed to have:

- Medical payments coverage to a limit of $10,000, unless the policyholder, in writing on an approved form, refuses the coverage or selects coverage at a limit other than $10,000.
- No medical payments coverage deductible, unless the policyholder, in writing on an approved form, selects a deductible of up to $500.  

The forms must be approved by the OIR and fully advise the applicant of the nature of the coverage being rejected or the policy limit or deductible being selected. The named insured’s signature on such form constitutes a conclusive presumption of an informed, knowing rejection or selection. If the policyholder does not request in writing the specified coverage, the coverage need not be provided in any other policy that renews, insures, extends, changes, supersedes, or replaces an existing policy if the policyholder has rejected the coverage or has selected an alternative coverage limit or deductible. An insurer must provide at least annually a notice of availability of coverage, which must be attached to the notice of premium and provide a means allowing the insured to request medical payments coverage at the limits and deductibles specified. Receipt of the notice does not constitute a waiver of an insured’s right to medical payments coverage if the insured has not signed a selection or rejection form.

Upon receiving notice of an accident potentially covered by medical payments coverage benefits, the insurer must reserve $5,000 for payment to licensed physicians and licensed dentists who provide emergency services and care or who provide hospital indigent care. The reserve amount may be used only to pay claims from such physicians or dentists until 30 days after the date the insurer receives notice of the accident. After the 30-day period, any amount of the reserve for which the insurer has not received notice may be used by the insurer to pay other claims.

An insurer providing medical payments coverage benefits may not have a:

- Lien on any recovery in tort by judgment, settlement, or otherwise for medical payments coverage benefits, whether suit has been filed or settlement has been reached; or
- Cause of action against a person to whom or for whom medical payments coverage benefits were paid, except when benefits are paid by reason of fraud by such person.

The bill authorizes an insurer providing medical payments coverage to include provisions in its policy allowing for subrogation for payment of medical payments coverage benefits if the payments resulted from the wrongful act or omission of another who is not also insured under the policy paying the benefits. However, the bill makes this subrogation right inferior to the rights of the injured insured and available only after all of the insured’s damages are recovered and the insured is made whole.  

---

55 These provisions are similar to current law applicable to selection or rejection of uninsured motorist vehicle coverage in s. 627.727, F.S., which provisions are retained.
56 Subrogation is the principle establishing that when an insurance company pays an insured’s claim of loss caused by a third party’s negligence, the insurance company stands in the place of the insured with respect to the insured’s right to sue the negligent third party for damages.
57 This appears to be a codification of the “made whole” doctrine acknowledged by the Florida Supreme Court in Insurance Co. of North America v. Lexow, 602 So.2d 528 (Fla. 1992). See also Magsipock v. Larsen, 639 So.2d 1038 (Fla. App. 1994). Generally, the principle is that an insurer does not have a common law right to subrogation, or reimbursement, against a third party causing the damages sustained by the insured unless the insured has been compensated for all of the insured’s damages.
Under the bill, if an insured obtains a recovery from a third party of the full amount of the damages sustained and delivers a release or satisfaction that impairs an insurer’s subrogation right, the insured is liable to the insurer for repayment of the medical payments benefits, less any expenses of acquiring the recovery, including a prorated share of attorney fees and costs, and the insured is required to hold that net recovery in trust to be delivered to the medical payments insurer. The bill prohibits an insurer from including any provision in its policy allowing for subrogation for any death benefit paid.

Section 26 amends s. 400.9905, F.S., providing that an entity is deemed a “clinic” and must be licensed in order to receive medical payments coverage reimbursement under s. 627.7265, F.S., unless the entity is:
- Wholly owned by a licensed physician, a licensed dentist, or a licensed chiropractic physician; or by the physician, dentist, or chiropractic physician and the spouse, parent, child, or sibling of the physician, dentist, or chiropractic physician;
- A licensed hospital or ambulatory surgical center;
- An entity that wholly owns or is wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by a licensed hospital or hospitals;
- A clinical facility affiliated with an accredited medical school at which training is provided for medical students, residents, or fellows;
- A clinic certified under federal law to provide outpatient physical therapy and speech pathology services; or
- Owned by a publicly traded corporation which has $250 million or more in total annual sales of health care services provided by licensed health care practitioners, if one or more of the persons responsible for operations of the entity are licensed health care practitioners in this state and are responsible for supervising the business and the entity’s compliance with state law.

This section of the bill also revises the definition of a “clinic” contained in s. 400.9905, F.S., of the Health Care Clinic Act, to replace references to PIP coverage and the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law with references to medical payments coverage.

Uninsured and Underinsured Motor Vehicle Insurance Coverage

Section 42 amends s. 627.727, F.S., which governs uninsured and underinsured motor vehicle insurance coverage. Current law specifies that the legal liability of an uninsured motorist insurer does not include damages in tort for pain, suffering, mental anguish, and inconvenience unless the injury or disease is of sufficient severity under “verbal threshold” provisions in s. 627.737(2), F.S. Under PIP, a person cannot recover “pain and suffering” damages from the at-fault driver’s bodily injury coverage unless the person’s injuries exceed a certain severity threshold, commonly referred to as the “verbal threshold.” Personal injury protection is considered a no-
fault coverage because the injured person trades a limitation on the ability to recover pain and suffering damages for the ability to get PIP benefits even if the injured person is at fault in the accident. Uninsured motorist coverage generally provides the policyholder with benefits if the at-fault driver does not have sufficient bodily injury coverage. The bill repeals the “verbal threshold” provisions contained in the No-Fault Law in s. 627.737, F.S.

Under the bill, the legal liability of an uninsured motorist insurer includes damages in tort for pain, suffering, disability or physical impairment, disfigurement, mental anguish, inconvenience, and the loss of past and future capacity for the enjoyment of life.

**Named Driver Exclusion**

Section 48 creates s. 627.747, F.S., authorizing a private passenger motor vehicle policy to exclude an identified individual from coverages. Currently, the OIR requires insurers to provide exceptions to named driver exclusions up to statutorily required minimum limits for PIP coverage, BI liability coverage if the policy is used to meet financial responsibility requirements, UM coverage, and property damage liability coverage. 

Under the bill, if an identified individual is specifically excluded by name on the policy declarations page or by endorsement, and a policyholder consents to such exclusion in writing, a private passenger motor vehicle policy may exclude an identified individual from the following coverages:

- Property damage liability coverage.
- Bodily injury liability coverage.
- Uninsured motorist coverage for any damages sustained by the identified excluded individual, if the policyholder has purchased such coverage.
- Any coverage the policyholder is not required by law to purchase.

However, a private passenger motor vehicle policy may not exclude coverage when:

- The identified excluded individual is injured while not operating a motor vehicle;
- The exclusion is unfairly discriminatory under the Florida Insurance Code, as determined by the Office of Insurance Regulation; or
- The exclusion is inconsistent with the underwriting rules filed by the insurer.

An individual would not be covered for damages that occur while operating a motor vehicle that is insured under a policy that excludes the individual, under the conditions specified, from any or all of the specified coverages, unless the individual is injured while not operating a motor vehicle, the exclusion is unfairly discrimination, or if the exclusion is inconsistent with the insurer’s underwriting rules.

**Commercial Motor Vehicle Coverage Requirements**

Section 47 amends s. 627.7415, F.S., to increase the minimum levels of combined BI liability and PD liability coverage that commercial motor vehicles must have.

---

Beginning January 1, 2021, a commercial motor vehicle that weighs 26,000 pounds or more but less than 35,000 pounds must have coverage of no less than $60,000. Current law requires $50,000 of coverage.

A commercial motor vehicle that weighs 35,000 pounds or more but less than 44,000 pounds must have coverage of no less than $120,000 per occurrence beginning January 1, 2021. Current law requires $100,000 of coverage.

Technical and Conforming Changes

Section 3 amends s. 316.646, F.S., which requires drivers to maintain and be able to display proof of security demonstrating compliance with financial responsibility requirements. The bill makes conforming changes necessitated by the bill’s amendment or repeal of other sections of law and inserts a cross-reference to the revised s. 324.071(7), F.S., containing the minimum insurance requirements for purposes of proof of financial responsibility beginning January 1, 2021.

Section 4 amends s. 318.18(2), F.S., regarding nonmoving traffic violations, to remove a reference to PIP and conform cross references.

Section 5 amends s. 320.02, F.S., which contains the requirements to register a motor vehicle. The bill amends the section to require proof of motor vehicle insurance that meets the minimum limits of BI and PD liability, remove references to PIP, and make other conforming changes.

Section 6 amends s. 320.0609, F.S., regarding transfer and exchange of registration license plates to eliminate a reference to PIP.

Section 9 amends s. 322.251, F.S., regarding notice of cancellation, suspension, or revocation of a driver’s license to repeal references to the No-Fault Law.

Section 10 amends s. 322.34, F.S., regarding driving on a suspended, revoked, canceled, or disqualified driver’s license, to delete a reference to the No-Fault Law.

Section 11 amends s. 324.011, F.S., which provides the purpose of ch. 324, F.S., to specify that under the chapter all owners or operators of a motor vehicle required to be registered in this state must establish, maintain and show proof of financial responsibility. Currently, financial responsibility requirements only apply after an operator is involved in a crash or convicted of certain traffic offenses.

Section 14 amends s. 324.0221, F.S., which requires insurers to report motor vehicle insurance cancellations to the DHSMV, to remove references to PIP and PD coverage, insert references to BI liability coverage, and conform cross references.

Section 16 corrects cross references in s. 324.023, F.S., which requires drivers who plead guilty or nolo contendere to a charge of driving under the influence to meet additional liability insurance requirements.
Section 19 amends s. 324.051, F.S., regarding crash reports, to refer to motor vehicle liability policies rather than automobile liability policies.

Section 20 amends s. 324.071, F.S., to provide stylistic changes to provisions governing the reinstatement of a suspended license.

Section 21 amends s. 324.091, F.S., which requires owners and operators involved in a crash or conviction case to furnish evidence of liability insurance, by deleting references to an automobile liability policy while retaining references to a motor vehicle liability policy.


Sections 27 and 28 amend s. 400.991, F.S., and s. 400.9935, F.S., respectively, of the Health Care Clinic Act to remove references to PIP and the No-Fault Law and insert references to medical payments coverage.

Section 29 revises the definition of a “third party benefit” in s. 409.901, F.S., for purposes of Medicaid to refer to medical payments coverage rather than PIP coverage.

Section 30 amends s. 409.910(11), F.S., to specify that the Agency for Health Care Administration may recoup the total amount of medical assistance provided by Medicaid from motor vehicle insurance coverage benefits provided to a Medicaid beneficiary. Current law refers to PIP.

Section 31 amends s. 456.057, F.S., regarding patient records, to correct a cross-reference.

Section 32 amends s. 456.072, F.S., which allows the Department of Health to discipline licensees for submitting claims for PIP reimbursement when treatment was not rendered or that are intentionally upcoded, to relocate from the repealed s. 627.732, F.S., the existing definition of “upcoded” and refer instead to medical payments coverage.

Section 33 amends s. 626.9541(1)(i) and (o), F.S., regarding unfair insurance trade practices related to motor vehicle insurance. The bill deletes the unfair trade practice in paragraph (i) for failing to pay claims within statutory time periods required under the No-Fault Law to conform to the repeal of those time frames by the bill. The section makes a technical amendment to paragraph (o) to reference BI liability coverage, PD liability coverage, and medical payments coverage, rather than PIP, in the prohibitions against the unfair insurance trade practice of increasing premium or cancelling a motor vehicle insurance policy solely because the insured was involved in a motor vehicle accident without having information the insured was substantially at fault.

Section 34 amends s. 626.989, F.S., to revise the “fraudulent insurance acts” detailed in the section to refer to medical payments coverage, rather than the No-Fault Law.
Section 35 amends s. 627.06501, F.S., regarding insurance discounts for completing a driver improvement course, to delete a reference to PIP and insert a reference to medical payments.

Sections 37 and 38 amend s. 627.0652, F.S., and s. 627.0653, F.S., respectively, relating to insurance discounts for motor vehicle coverage, by replacing references to PIP with references to medical payments coverage.

Section 39 amends s. 627.4132, F.S., regarding the general prohibition against stacking of motor vehicle coverages, to refer to BI and PD instead of PIP or other coverage.

Section 40 amends s. 627.7263, F.S., which generally makes the rental and leasing driver’s insurance primary, to delete references to PIP and insert references to medical payments coverage.

Section 45 amends s. 627.728, F.S., which governs cancellations of motor vehicle insurance policies, to delete a reference to PIP in the definition of “policy.”

Section 46 amends s. 627.7295, F.S., to revise definitions relating to motor vehicle insurance contracts by deleting references to PIP and inserting references to BI liability coverage and make other conforming and editorial changes.

Section 49 amends s. 627.748, F.S., relating to insurance requirements for transportation network companies, to remove references to PIP required under the repealed No-Fault law and insert a cross-reference to the revised financial responsibility requirements for for-hire passenger transportation vehicles in section 17 of the bill.

Section 50 amends s. 627.749, F.S., relating to insurance requirements for autonomous vehicles, to delete a reference to PIP in those insurance requirements.

Section 51 amends s. 627.8405, F.S., regarding prohibited acts of premium finance companies, to replace a reference to a PIP/PD only policy with a reference to a policy that only provides BI/PD.

Section 52 amends s. 627.915, F.S., which requires private passenger automobile insurers to report annually information to the office, to remove references to PIP.

Section 53 amends s. 628.909, F.S., which applies certain provisions of the Insurance Code to captive insurance companies, to delete references to the No-Fault Law.

Section 54 amends s. 705.184, F.S., which governs derelict or abandoned motor vehicles on the premises of public-use airports, to delete references to s. 627.736, F.S., which is repealed by the bill.

Section 55 amends s. 713.78, F.S., regarding liens for recovering, towing, or storing vehicles and vessels, to delete references to s. 627.736, F.S., which is repealed by the bill.
**Section 56** amends s. 817.234, F.S., regarding false and fraudulent insurance claims, to delete references to PIP and replace them with references to medical payments coverage.

**Application of Bill and Effective Date**

**Section 44** creates s. 627.7278, F.S., applying financial responsibility requirements and optional medical payments coverage created by the bill as follows:

- **Effective January 1, 2021:**
  - All motor vehicle insurance policies issued or renewed may not include PIP.
  - All persons must maintain at least minimum security requirements, which is the ability to respond to damages for liability because of motor vehicle crashes in the amounts required in s. 324.021(7), F.S., for private use motor vehicles, for-hire passenger transportation vehicles, commercial motor vehicles, and nonpublic sector buses.
  - Any new or renewal motor vehicle insurance policy delivered or issued in this state must provide coverage that complies with minimum security requirements.
  - An existing motor vehicle insurance policy that provides PIP and property damage liability coverage but does not meet the new bodily injury liability requirements is deemed to meet the bodily injury requirements until the policy is renewed, non-renewed or cancelled on or after January 1, 2021, and the provisions of the No-Fault law and other related statutes remain in full force and effect for motor vehicle accidents covered under a policy issued under the No-Fault law before that date, until the policy is renewed, nonrenewed, or canceled.

- Insurers must allow each insured who has a policy providing PIP which is effective before January 1, 2021, and whose policy does not meet minimum security requirements, to eliminate PIP coverage and obtain coverage providing minimum security requirements effective on or after January 1, 2021. The insurer is also required to offer each insured the optional medical payments coverage required by the bill. Insurers may not impose additional fees solely to change coverage, but may charge an additional premium that is actuarially indicated.

- **By September 1, 2020,** each motor vehicle insurer shall provide notice that:
  - The Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law is repealed effective January 1, 2021, and that PIP coverage is no longer required or available for purchase.
  - Effective January 1, 2021, a person subject to the financial security requirements of s. 324.022, F.S., must maintain minimum security requirements for BI and PD liability in the following amounts:
    - $25,000 for BI or death of one person in any one crash and, subject to such limits, $50,000 for BI or death of two or more persons in any one crash, and
    - $10,000 for PD in any one crash.
  - BI liability coverage protects the insured, up to the coverage limits, against loss if the insured is legally responsible for the death of or bodily injury to others in a motor vehicle accident.
  - Effective January 1, 2021, each holder of a motor vehicle liability insurance policy purchased as proof of financial responsibility must be offered the optional medical payments coverage benefits at limits of $5,000 and $10,000 without a deductible, may be offered such coverage at limits greater than $5,000, and may be offered coverage with a deductible of up to $500. Medical payments coverage pays covered medical expenses, up to the limits, for injuries sustained in a motor vehicle crash by the named insured,
resident relatives, persons operating the insured motor vehicle, passengers in the insured motor vehicle, and persons who are struck by the insured motor vehicle and suffer bodily injury while not an occupant of a self-propelled motor vehicle. Medical payments coverage pays for reasonable expenses for necessary medical, diagnostic, and rehabilitative services that are lawfully provided, supervised, ordered, or prescribed by a licensed physician, a licensed dentist, or a licensed chiropractic physician, or that are provided in a hospital or in a facility that owns, or is wholly owned by, a hospital. Medical payments coverage also provides a death benefit of at least $5,000.

- A policyholder may obtain uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage, which provides benefits to a policyholder entitled to recover bodily injury damages resulting from a motor vehicle accident with an uninsured or underinsured owner or operator of a motor vehicle.

- A policy effective before January 1, 2021, is deemed to meet minimum security requirements until it is renewed, non-renewed, or canceled on or after January 1, 2021.

- A policyholder may change coverages to eliminate PIP protection and obtain coverage providing minimum security requirements.

- If the policyholder has any questions, he or she should contact the person named at the telephone number provided in the notice.

This section is effective upon the act becoming a law.

**Section 15** creates s. 324.0222, F.S., requiring all driver license and motor vehicle registration suspensions for failure to maintain security as required by law in effect before January 1, 2021, to remain in full force and effect after January 1, 2021. A driver may reinstate a suspended driver’s license or registration as provided under s. 324.0221, F.S.

**Section 57** appropriates for the 2020-2021 fiscal year $83,651 in nonrecurring funds from the Insurance Regulatory Trust Fund to the Office of Insurance Regulation for the purpose of implementing the act.

**Section 58** provides that except as otherwise expressly provided in the act and this section, which take effect upon this act becoming a law, the act is effective January 1, 2021.

**IV. Constitutional Issues:**

A. **Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

   None.

B. **Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:**

   None.

C. **Trust Funds Restrictions:**

   None.
D. State Tax or Fee Increases:
None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:
None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

Bodily injury coverage is not a required coverage under Florida law unless a person is involved in certain accidents causing bodily injury, convicted of certain offenses, or is otherwise required to maintain BI liability coverage in statute. Failure to maintain BI coverage, when required, can result in the suspension of a license or registration. The reinstatement fee under s. 324.071, F.S., for such suspension under current law is $15. The bill retains this reinstatement fee for a license suspension based upon a crash report under s. 324.051(2), F.S.; a registration suspension under s. 324.072, F.S., based on a license suspension pursuant to s. 322.26, F.S., or s. 322.27, F.S.; suspension of the operating privileges of a nonresident driver under s. 324.081, F.S.; or suspension of license and registration under s. 324.121, F.S., for failure to satisfy a judgment.

The bill retains the current reinstatement fees under s. 324.0221, F.S., for a suspended license or registration for failure to maintain required insurance based on a report by an insurer. The reinstatement fee for such suspensions under s. 324.0221, F.S., is $150 for a first reinstatement, while second and subsequent reinstatements within 3 years of the first reinstatement require fees of $250 and $500, respectively.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The fiscal impact to policyholders, health insurers, health care providers, and injured claimants is indeterminate. However, in a 2016 report, Florida Office of Insurance Regulation: Review of Personal Injury Protection Legislation, provided, among other information, actuarial estimates of the savings expected from repealing the No-Fault Law. The report concludes, based only on repeal of the No-Fault Law with financial responsibility limits of $25,000/$50,000, that a 5.6 percent savings would be realized in the statewide average premium charge. The 2016 PIP Study estimated that health insurers would cover approximately $469.7 million of current PIP loss if No-Fault were repealed. Health care providers would cover approximately $32.8 million of current PIP losses. Injured claimants would cover approximately $82.9 million of current PIP losses.

---

61 That is the average premium savings for a driver purchasing BI, UM, PD, Comprehensive, and Collision coverages.
62 See Office of Insurance Regulation fn. 52 at pg. 68.
63 See id.
64 See id.
The actuarial consulting firm Milliman, Inc., estimated the impact of similar, but not identical, legislation in 2018, on behalf of the Property and Casualty Insurers Association of America. The Milliman report, dated January 25, 2018, estimated that repealing PIP and mandating BI coverage of at least $25,000/$50,000 would increase premiums on average by $67 (5.3 percent), increase premiums on average for drivers that currently purchase full coverage by $105 (7.2 percent), and increase premiums on average $230 (50.1 percent) for drivers who currently purchase only PIP and PD at the minimum mandatory limits.\(^{65}\) The report estimates that mandating $5,000 of MedPay in addition to mandating BI coverage of at least $25,000/$50,000 would increase premiums on average by $115.85 (9.2 percent).\(^{66}\) The report identifies as cost-drivers increasing premium the elimination of the No-Fault verbal threshold for noneconomic damages and the elimination of the PIP co-insurance provisions (20 percent for medical expenses and 40 percent for loss of income expenses).\(^{67}\)

Policyholders who reside in the same household as a high-risk individual who is of driving age could see a decrease in their rates if they exclude such drivers from one or more of the specified coverages.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill appropriates for the 2020-2021 fiscal year $83,651 in nonrecurring funds from the Insurance Regulatory Trust Fund to the Office of Insurance Regulation to implement the act. The fiscal impact to state and local governments is otherwise indeterminate.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 316.646, 318.18, 320.02, 320.0609, 320.27, 320.771, 322.251, 322.34, 324.011, 324.021, 324.022, 324.0221, 324.023, 324.031, 324.032, 324.051, 324.071, 324.091, 324.151, 324.161, 324.171, 324.251, 400.9905, 400.991, 400.9935, 409.901, 409.910, 456.057, 456.072, 626.9541, 626.989, 627.06501, 627.0651, 627.0652, 627.0653, 627.4132, 627.7263, 627.727, 627.7275, 627.728, 627.7295, 627.7415, 627.748, 627.8405, 627.915, 628.909, 705.184, 713.78, and 817.234.

---


\(^{66}\) See Milliman at pg. 6.

\(^{67}\) See Milliman at pgs. 9-10.
This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 324.0222, 627.7265, 627.7278, and 627.747.

This bill repeals the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 627.730, 627.731, 627.7311, 627.732, 627.733, 627.734, 627.736, 627.737, 627.739, 627.7401, 627.7403, 627.7405, and 627.7407.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:
   (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

   None.

B. Amendments:

   None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
A bill to be entitled An act relating to motor vehicle insurance; repealing ss. 627.730, 627.731, 627.732, 627.733, 627.734, 627.736, 627.737, 627.739, 627.7401, 627.7403, and 627.7405, F.S., which comprise the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law; repealing s. 627.7407, F.S., relating to application of the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law; amending s. 316.646, F.S.; revising a requirement for proof of security on a motor vehicle and the applicability of the requirement; amending s. 318.18, F.S.; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 320.02, F.S.; revising the motor vehicle insurance coverages that an applicant must show to register certain vehicles with the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; revising construction; amending s. 320.0609, F.S.; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 320.27, F.S.; defining the term "garage liability insurance"; revising garage liability insurance requirements for motor vehicle dealer applicants; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 320.771, F.S.; revising garage liability insurance requirements for recreational vehicle dealer license applicants; amending ss. 322.251 and 322.34, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 324.011, F.S.; revising legislative intent; amending s. 324.021, F.S.; revising definitions of the terms "motor vehicle" and "proof of financial responsibility"; revising minimum coverage requirements for proof of financial responsibility for specified motor vehicles; defining the term "for-hire passenger transportation vehicle"; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 324.022, F.S.; revising minimum liability coverage requirements for motor vehicle owners or operators; revising authorized methods for meeting such requirements; deleting a provision relating to an insurer’s duty to defend certain claims; revising the vehicles that are excluded from the definition of the term "motor vehicle"; providing security requirements for certain excluded vehicles; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; conforming cross-references; amending s. 324.0221, F.S.; revising coverages that subject a policy to certain insurer reporting and notice requirements; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; creating s. 324.0222, F.S.; providing that driver license or registration suspensions for failure to maintain required security which were in effect before a specified date remain in full force and effect; providing that such suspended licenses or registrations may be reinstated as provided in a specified section; amending s. 324.023, F.S.; conforming cross-references; amending s. 324.031, F.S.; specifying a method of proving financial responsibility; revising the amount of a certificate...
of deposit required to elect a certain method of proof of financial responsibility; revising excess liability coverage requirements for a person electing to use such method; amending s. 324.032, F.S.; revising financial responsibility requirements for owners or lessees of for-hire passenger transportation vehicles; amending ss. 324.051, 324.071, and 324.091, F.S.; making technical changes; amending s. 324.151, F.S.; revising requirements for motor vehicle liability insurance policies relating to coverage, and exclusion from coverage, for certain drivers and vehicles; defining terms; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 324.161, F.S.; revising requirements for a certificate of deposit that is required if a person elects a certain method of proving financial responsibility; amending s. 324.171, F.S.; revising the minimum net worth requirements to qualify certain persons as self-insurers; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 324.251, F.S.; revising the short title and an effective date; amending s. 400.9005, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "clinic"; amending ss. 400.991 and 400.9935, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 409.901, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "third-party benefit"; amending s. 409.910, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "medical coverage"; amending s. 456.057, F.S.; conforming a cross-reference; amending s. 456.072, F.S.; revising specified grounds for discipline for certain health professions; amending s. 626.9541, F.S.; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; revising the type of insurance coverage applicable to a certain prohibited act; amending s. 626.989, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "fraudulent insurance act"; amending s. 627.06501, F.S.; revising coverages that may provide for a reduction in motor vehicle insurance policy premium charges under certain circumstances; amending s. 627.0651, F.S.; specifying requirements for initial rate filings for motor vehicle liability policies submitted to the Office of Insurance Regulation beginning on a specified date; amending s. 627.0652, F.S.; revising coverages that must provide a premium charge reduction under certain circumstances; amending s. 627.0653, F.S.; revising coverages subject to premium discounts for specified motor vehicle equipment; amending s. 627.4132, F.S.; revising the coverages of a motor vehicle policy which are subject to a stacking prohibition; amending s. 627.7263, F.S.; revising coverages subject to premium discounts for specified motor vehicle equipment; amending s. 627.7265, F.S.; specifying persons whom medical payments coverage must protect; requiring medical payments coverage to cover reasonable expenses for certain medical services provided by specified providers and facilities and to provide a death
amending s. 627.7275, F.S.; revising required coverages for a motor vehicle insurance policy; 
conforming provisions to changes made by the act; 
creating s. 627.7278, F.S.; defining the term “minimum security requirements”; providing requirements, 
applicability, and construction relating to motor vehicle insurance policies as of a certain date; 
requiring insurers to allow certain insureds to make certain coverage changes, subject to certain conditions; requiring an insurer to provide, by a specified date, a specified notice to policyholders relating to requirements under the act; amending s. 627.728, F.S.; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 627.7295, F.S.; revising the definitions of the terms “policy” and “binder”; 
revising the coverages of a motor vehicle insurance policy for which a licensed general lines agent may charge a specified fee; conforming a provision to changes made by the act; amending s. 627.7415, F.S.; revising additional liability insurance requirements for commercial motor vehicles; creating s. 627.747, F.S.; providing that private passenger motor vehicle policies may exclude certain identified individuals from specified coverages under certain circumstances; providing that such policies may not exclude coverage under certain circumstances; amending s. 627.748, F.S.; revising insurance requirements for transportation network company drivers; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s.
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Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Sections 627.730, 627.731, 627.7311, 627.732, 627.733, 627.734, 627.736, 627.737, 627.739, 627.7401, 627.7403, and 627.7405, Florida Statutes, are repealed.

Section 2. Section 627.7407, Florida Statutes, is repealed.

Section 3. Subsection (1) of section 316.646, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

316.646 Security required; proof of security and display thereof.—

(1) Any person required by s. 324.022 to maintain liability security for property damage, liability security, required by s. 324.022 to maintain liability security for bodily injury, or death, or required by s. 627.733 to maintain personal injury protection security on a motor vehicle shall have in his or her immediate possession at all times while operating such motor vehicle proper proof of maintenance of the required security required under s. 324.021(7).

(a) Such proof must be in a uniform paper or electronic format, as prescribed by the department, a valid insurance policy, an insurance policy binder, a certificate of insurance, or such other proof as may be prescribed by the department.

(b)1. The act of presenting to a law enforcement officer an electronic device displaying proof of insurance in an electronic format does not constitute consent for the officer to access any information on the device other than the displayed proof of insurance.

2. The person who presents the device to the officer assumes the liability for any resulting damage to the device.

(2) Thirty dollars for all nonmoving traffic violations and:

(b) For all violations of ss. 320.0605, 320.07(1), 322.065, and 322.15(1). A person who is cited for a violation of s. 320.07(1) shall be charged a delinquent fee pursuant to s. 320.07(4).

1. If a person who is cited for a violation of s. 320.0605 or s. 320.07 can show proof of having a valid registration at the time of arrest, the clerk of the court may dismiss the case.
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and may assess a dismissal fee of up to $10, from which
clerk shall remit $2.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit
into the General Revenue Fund. A person who finds it impossible
or impractical to obtain a valid registration certificate must
submit an affidavit detailing the reasons for the impossibility
or impracticality. The reasons may include, but are not limited
to, the fact that the vehicle was sold, stolen, or destroyed;
that the state in which the vehicle is registered does not issue
a certificate of registration; or that the vehicle is owned by
another person.

2. If a person who is cited for a violation of s. 322.03,
s. 322.065, or s. 322.15 can show a driver license issued to him
or her and valid at the time of arrest, the clerk of the court
may dismiss the case and may assess a dismissal fee of up to
$10, from which the clerk shall remit $2.50 to the Department of
Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund.

3. If a person who is cited for a violation of s. 316.646
can show proof of security as required by s. 324.021(7) or
s. 327.733, issued to the person and valid at the time of arrest,
the clerk of the court may dismiss the case and may assess a
dismissal fee of up to $10, from which the clerk shall remit
$2.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General
Revenue Fund. A person who finds it impossible or impractical to
obtain proof of security must submit an affidavit detailing the
reasons for the impracticality. The reasons may include, but are
not limited to, the fact that the vehicle has since been sold,
stolen, or destroyed; that the owner or registrant of the
vehicle is not required by s. 627.733 to maintain personal
injury protection insurance; or that the vehicle is owned by

CODING: Words **stricken** are deletions; words *underlined* are additions.
Under penalty of perjury, I ...(Name of insured)... do hereby certify that I have ...(bodily injury liability and Personal Injury Protection, property damage liability, and, if required, Bodily Injury Liability)... insurance currently in effect with ...(Name of insurance company)... under ...(policy number)... covering ...(make, year, and vehicle identification number of vehicle).... ...(Signature of Insured)...

Such affidavit must include the following warning:

WARNING: GIVING FALSE INFORMATION IN ORDER TO OBTAIN A VEHICLE REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE UNDER FLORIDA LAW. ANYONE GIVING FALSE INFORMATION ON THIS AFFIDAVIT IS SUBJECT TO PROSECUTION.

If an application is made through a licensed motor vehicle dealer as required under s. 319.23, the original or a photocopy of such card, insurance policy, insurance policy binder, or certificate of insurance or the original affidavit from the insured must shall be forwarded by the dealer to the tax collector of the county or the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles for processing. By executing the aforesaid affidavit, a no licensed motor vehicle dealer is not will be liable in damages for any inadequacy, insufficiency, or falsification of any statement contained therein. A card must also indicate the existence of any bodily injury liability insurance voluntarily purchased.

(d) The verifying of proof of personal injury protection insurance, proof of property damage liability insurance, proof of combined bodily liability insurance and property damage liability insurance, or proof of financial responsibility insurance and the issuance or failure to issue the motor vehicle registration under the provisions of this chapter may not be construed in any court as a warranty of the reliability or accuracy of the evidence of such proof, or as meaning that the provisions of any insurance policy furnished as proof of financial responsibility comply with state law. Neither the department nor any tax collector is liable in damages for any inadequacy, insufficiency, falsification, or unauthorized modification of any item of the proof of personal injury protection insurance, proof of property damage liability insurance, proof of combined bodily liability insurance and property damage liability insurance, or proof of financial responsibility before insurance prior to, during, or subsequent to the verification of the proof. The issuance of a motor vehicle registration does not constitute prima facie evidence or a presumption of insurance coverage.

Section 6. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 320.0609, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

320.0609 Transfer and exchange of registration license plates; transfer fee.—

(1)

(b) The transfer of a license plate from a vehicle disposed...
of to a newly acquired vehicle does not constitute a new
registration. The application for transfer must shall be
accepted without requiring proof of personal injury protection
or liability insurance.

Section 7. Paragraph (g) is added to subsection (1) of
section 320.27, Florida Statutes, and subsection (3) of that
section is amended, to read:

320.27 Motor vehicle dealers.—
(1) DEFINITIONS.—The following words, terms, and phrases
when used in this section have the meanings respectively
ascribed to them in this subsection, except where the context
clearly indicates a different meaning:

(g) “Garage liability insurance” means, beginning January
1, 2021, combined single-limit liability coverage, including
property damage and bodily injury liability coverage, in the
amount of at least $60,000.

(3) APPLICATION AND FEE.—The application for the license
application must shall be in such form as may be prescribed by
the department and is shall be subject to such rules with
respect thereto as may be so prescribed by the department it.
Such application must shall be verified by oath or affirmation
and must shall contain a full statement of the name and birth
date of the person or persons applying for the license therefor;
the name of the firm or copartnership, with the names and places
of residence of all members thereof, if such applicant is a firm
or copartnership; the names and places of residence of the
principal officers, if the applicant is a body corporate or
other artificial body; the name of the state under whose laws
the corporation is organized; the present and former place of
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places of residence of the applicant; and the prior business in
which the applicant has been engaged and its the location
thereof. The such application must shall describe the exact
location of the place of business and must shall state whether
the place of business is owned by the applicant and when
acquired, or, if leased, a true copy of the lease must shall be
attached to the application. The applicant shall certify that
the location provides an adequately equipped office and is not a
residence; that the location affords sufficient unoccupied space
upon and within which adequately to store all motor vehicles
offered and displayed for sale; and that the location is a
suitable place where the applicant can in good faith carry on
such business and keep and maintain books, records, and files
necessary to conduct such business, which must shall be
available at all reasonable hours to inspection by the
department or any of its inspectors or other employees. The
applicant shall certify that the business of a motor vehicle
dealer is the principal business that will which shall be
conducted at that location. The application must shall contain a
statement that the applicant is either franchised by a
manufacturer of motor vehicles, in which case the name of each
motor vehicle that the applicant is franchised to sell must
shall be included, or an independent (nonfranchised) motor
vehicle dealer. The application must shall contain other
relevant information as may be required by the department. The
applicant shall furnish, including evidence, in a form approved
by the department, that the applicant is insured under a garage
liability insurance policy or a general liability insurance
policy coupled with a business automobile policy having the
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coverages and limits of the garage liability insurance coverage in accordance with paragraph (1)(g), which shall include, as a minimum, $25,000 combined single-limit liability coverage including bodily injury and property damage protection and $10,000 personal injury protection. However, a salvage motor vehicle dealer as defined in subparagraph (1)(c)5. is exempt from the requirements for garage liability insurance and personal injury protection insurance on those vehicles that cannot be legally operated on roads, highways, or streets in this state. Franchise dealers must submit a garage liability insurance policy, and all other dealers must submit a garage liability insurance policy or a general liability insurance policy coupled with a business automobile policy. Such policy must be for the license period, and evidence of a new or continued policy must be delivered to the department at the beginning of each license period. Upon making an initial application, the applicant shall pay to the department a fee of $300 in addition to any other fees required by law. Applicants may choose to extend the licensure period for 1 additional year for a total of 2 years. An initial applicant shall pay to the department a fee of $300 for the first year and $75 for the second year, in addition to any other fees required by law. An applicant for renewal shall pay to the department $75 for a 1-year renewal or $150 for a 2-year renewal, in addition to any other fees required by law. Upon making an application for a change of location, the applicant shall pay a fee of $50 in addition to any other fees now required by law. The department shall, in the case of every application for initial licensure, verify whether certain facts set forth in the...
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The department shall, if it deems necessary, cause an investigation to be made to ascertain if the facts set forth in the application are true and shall not issue a license to the applicant until it is satisfied that the facts set forth in the application are true.

Section 9. Subsections (1) and (2) of section 322.251, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

322.251 Notice of cancellation, suspension, revocation, or disqualification of license.—

(1) All orders of cancellation, suspension, revocation, or disqualification issued under the provisions of this chapter, chapter 318, or chapter 324 must, or ss. 627.732, 627.733 shall be given either by personal delivery thereof to the licensee whose license is being canceled, suspended, revoked, or disqualified or by deposit in the United States mail in an envelope, first class, postage prepaid, addressed to the licensee at his or her last known mailing address furnished to the department. Such mailing by the department constitutes notification, and any failure by the person to receive the mailed order will not affect or stay the effective date or term of the cancellation, suspension, revocation, or disqualification of the licensee’s driving privilege.

(2) The giving of notice and an order of cancellation, suspension, revocation, or disqualification by mail is complete upon expiration of 20 days after deposit in the United States mail for all notices except those issued under chapter 324 which are complete 15 days after deposit in the United States mail. Proof of the giving of notice and an order of cancellation, suspension, revocation, or disqualification in either manner must be made by entry in the records of the department that such notice was given. The entry is admissible in the courts of this state and constitutes sufficient proof that such notice was given.

Section 10. Paragraph (a) of subsection (8) of section 322.34, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

322.34 Driving while license suspended, revoked, canceled, or disqualified.—

(8)(a) Upon the arrest of a person for the offense of driving while the person’s driver license or driving privilege is suspended or revoked, the arresting officer shall determine:

1. Whether the person’s driver license is suspended or revoked, or the person is under suspension or revocation equivalent status.

2. Whether the person’s driver license has remained suspended or revoked, or the person has been under suspension or revocation equivalent status, since a conviction for the offense of driving with a suspended or revoked license.

3. Whether the suspension, revocation, or suspension or revocation equivalent status was made under s. 316.646, relating to failure to maintain required security, or under s. 322.264, relating to habitual traffic offenders.

4. Whether the driver is the registered owner or co-owner of the vehicle.

Section 11. Section 324.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.011 Legislative intent and purpose of chapter.—It is the Legislature’s intent of this chapter to ensure that the...
vehicles, except traction engines, road rollers, farm tractors, power shovels, and well drillers, and every vehicle that is propelled by electric power obtained from overhead wires but not operated upon rails, but not including any personal delivery device or mobile carrier as defined in s. 316.003, bicycle, or moped. However, the term "motor vehicle" does not include a motor vehicle as defined in s. 627.732(1) when the owner of such vehicle has complied with the requirements of ss. 627.730, 627.7405, inclusive, unless the provisions of s. 324.051 apply and, in such case, the applicable proof of insurance provisions of s. 322.02 apply.

(7) PROOF OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY.—That proof of ability to respond in damages for liability on account of crashes arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle:

(a) Beginning January 1, 2021, with respect to a motor vehicle that is not a commercial motor vehicle, nonpublic sector bus, or for-hire passenger transportation vehicle, in the amount of:

1. Twenty-five thousand dollars for $10,000 because of bodily injury to, or the death of, one person in any one crash and:

   (b) Four subject to such limits for one person, in the amount of $50,000 for $20,000 because of bodily injury to, or the death of, two or more persons in any one crash; and

2. Ten thousand dollars for damage to or destruction of, property of others in any one crash and:

(b) One with respect to commercial motor vehicles and
Beginning January 1, 2021, every owner or operator commercial activity in the owner’s ordinary course of business, other than a rental company that rents or leases motor vehicles. For purposes of this paragraph, the term “rental company” includes only an entity that is engaged in the business of renting or leasing motor vehicles to the general public and that rents or leases a majority of its motor vehicles to persons with no direct or indirect affiliation with the rental company. The term also includes a motor vehicle dealer that provides temporary replacement vehicles to its customers for up to 10 days. The term “rental company” also includes:

a. A related rental or leasing company that is a subsidiary of the same parent company as that of the renting or leasing company that rented or leased the vehicle.

b. The holder of a motor vehicle title or an equity interest in a motor vehicle title if the title or equity interest is held pursuant to or to facilitate an asset-backed securitization of a fleet of motor vehicles used solely in the business of renting or leasing motor vehicles to the general public and under the dominion and control of a rental company, as described in subparagraph 12. For purposes of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Authorization Act of 1994, as amended, 49 U.S.C. ss. 5101 et seq., and that is required pursuant to such act to carry placards warning others of the hazardous cargo, unless at the time of lease or rental either:

a. The lessee indicates in writing that the vehicle will not be used to transport materials found to be hazardous for the purposes of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Authorization Act of 1994, as amended, 49 U.S.C. ss. 5101 et seq.; or

b. The lessee or other operator of the commercial motor vehicle has in effect insurance with limits of at least $5 million ($,000,000) combined property damage and bodily injury liability.

(12) FOR-HIRE PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION VEHICLE.—Every for-hire vehicle as defined in s. 320.01(15) which is offered or used to provide transportation for persons, including taxicabs, limousines, and jitneys.

Section 13. Section 324.022, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.022 Financial responsibility requirements for property damage.—

(a) Beginning January 1, 2021, every owner or operator...
of a motor vehicle required to be registered in this state shall establish and continuously maintain the ability to respond in damages for liability on account of accidents arising out of the use of the motor vehicle in the amount of:

1. Twenty-five thousand dollars for bodily injury to, or the death of, one person in any one crash and, subject to such limits for one person, in the amount of $50,000 for bodily injury to, or the death of, two or more persons in any one crash; and

2. Ten thousand dollars for $10,000 because of damage to, or destruction of, property of others in any one crash.

(b) The requirements of paragraph (a) of this section may be met by one of the methods established in s. 324.031; by self-insuring as authorized by s. 768.28(16); or by maintaining a motor vehicle liability insurance policy that:

1. Provides property damage liability coverage in the amount of at least $10,000 because of damage to, or destruction of, property of others in any one accident arising out of the use of the motor vehicle. The requirements of this section may also be met by having a policy which provides combined property damage liability and bodily injury liability coverage for any one crash arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle that conforms to the requirements of s. 324.151 in the amount of at least $10,000 for property damage and $50,000 for bodily injury for combined property damage liability and bodily injury liability for any one crash arising out of the use of the motor vehicle. The policy, with respect to coverage for property damage liability, must meet the applicable requirements of s. 324.032.

2. Provides a commercial motor vehicle as defined in s. 320.01 and any trailer or semitrailer designed for use with such vehicle. The term "commercial motor vehicle" means any self-propelled vehicle that has four or more wheels and that is of a type designed and required to be licensed for use on the highways of this state, and any trailer or semitrailer designed for use with such vehicle. The term does not include the following:

(a) A nonpublic sector bus, which must maintain security as required under s. 316.615.

(b) A school bus as defined in s. 1006.25, which must maintain security as required under s. 316.615.

(c) A commercial motor vehicle as defined in s. 207.002 or s. 320.01, which must maintain security as required under ss. 324.031 and 627.7415.

(d) A vehicle as defined in s. 320.01, which must maintain security as required under ss. 324.031 and 627.742.

(e) A vehicle providing for-hire passenger transportation, which must maintain security as required under ss. 324.031 and 627.7411.

(f) A personal delivery device as defined in s. 316.003.

(2) As used in this section, the term:

(a) "Motor vehicle" means any self-propelled vehicle that has four or more wheels and that is of a type designed and required to be licensed for use on the highways of this state, and any trailer or semitrailer designed for use with such vehicle. The term does not include the following:

1. A mobile home as defined in s. 320.01.

2. A motor vehicle that is used in mass transit and designed to transport more than five passengers, exclusive of the operator of the motor vehicle, and that is owned by a municipality, transit authority, or political subdivision of the state.

3. A school bus as defined in s. 1006.25, which must maintain security as required under s. 316.615.

4. A nonpublic sector bus, which must maintain security as required under s. 316.615.

5. A motor vehicle as defined in s. 320.01, which must maintain security as required under ss. 324.031 and 627.7415.

6. A vehicle providing for-hire passenger transportation, which must maintain security as required under ss. 324.031 and 627.742.

7. A personal delivery device as defined in s. 316.003.

(b) "Owner" means the person who holds legal title to a motor vehicle required to be registered in this state that is subject to the financial responsibility required in paragraph (a) of this section.
motor vehicle or the debtor or lessee who has the right to
possession of a motor vehicle that is the subject of a security
agreement or lease with an option to purchase.
(3) Each nonresident owner or registrant of a motor vehicle
that, whether operated or not, has been physically present
within this state for more than 90 days during the preceding 365
days shall maintain security as required by subsection (1). The
security must be that is in effect continuously throughout the
period the motor vehicle remains within this state.
(4) An owner or registrant of a motor vehicle who is
exempt from the requirements of this section if he or she is a
member of the United States Armed Forces and is called to or on
active duty outside the United States in an emergency situation
is exempt from this section while he or she. The exemption
provided by this subsection applies only as long as the member
of the Armed Forces is on such active duty. This exemption
covered by the security is not operated by any person. Upon
receipt of a written request by the insured to whom the
exemption provided in this subsection applies, the insurer shall
cancel the coverages and return any unearned premium or suspend
the security required by this section. Notwithstanding
324.0221(2) - 324.0221(3), the department may not suspend the
registration or operator’s license of an owner or registrant
of a motor vehicle during the time she or he qualifies for the
exemption under this subsection. An owner or registrant
of a motor vehicle who qualifies for the exemption under this
subsection shall immediately notify the department before and at the end of the expiration of the exemption.

Section 14. Subsections (1) and (2) of section 324.0221,
Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
324.0221 Reports by insurers to the department; suspension
of driver license and vehicle registrations; reinstatement.—
(1)(a) Each insurer that has issued a policy providing
personal injury protection coverage or property damage liability
coverage shall report the cancellation or nonrenewal thereof to
the department within 10 days after the processing date or
effective date of each cancellation or nonrenewal. Upon the
issuance of a policy providing personal injury protection
coverage or property damage liability coverage to a named
insured not previously insured by the insurer during that
calendar year, the insurer shall report the issuance of the new
policy to the department within 10 days. The report must shall
be in the form and format and contain any information required
by the department and must be provided in a format that is
compatible with the data processing capabilities of the
department. Failure by an insurer to file proper reports with
the department as required by this subsection violates the
Florida Insurance Code. These records may shall
be used by the department only for enforcement and regulatory
purposes, including the generation by the department of data
regarding compliance by owners of motor vehicles with the
requirements for financial responsibility coverage.
(b) With respect to an insurance policy providing personal
injury protection coverage or property damage liability
coverage, each insurer shall notify the named insured, or the
first-named insured in the case of a commercial fleet policy, in
writing that any cancellation or nonrenewal of the policy will
be reported by the insurer to the department. The notice must also inform the named insured that failure to maintain bodily injury liability personal injury protection coverage and property damage liability coverage on a motor vehicle when required by law may result in the loss of registration and driving privileges in this state and inform the named insured of the amount of the reinstatement fees required by this section. This notice is for informational purposes only, and an insurer is not civilly liable for failing to provide this notice.

(2) The department shall suspend, after due notice and an opportunity to be heard, the registration and driver license of any owner or registrant of a motor vehicle for which security is required under s. 324.022, s. 324.032, s. 627.7415, or s. 627.742 as provided under s. 324.0221.

(a) The department’s records showing that the owner or registrant of such motor vehicle did not have the required security in full force and effect that complies with the requirements of ss. 324.022 and 627.733 upon:

(b) Notification by the insurer to the department, in a form approved by the department, of cancellation or termination of the required security.

Section 16. Section 324.023, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.023 Financial responsibility for bodily injury or death.—In addition to any other financial responsibility required by law, every owner or operator of a motor vehicle that is required to be registered in this state, or that is located within this state, and who, regardless of adjudication of guilt, has been found guilty of or entered a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to a charge of driving under the influence under s. 316.193 after October 1, 2007, shall, by one of the methods established in s. 324.031(1)(a) or (b) or s. 324.031(1)(c), establish and maintain the ability to respond in damages for liability on account of accidents arising out of the use of a motor vehicle in the amount of $100,000 because of bodily injury to, or death of, one person in any one crash and, subject to such limits for one person, in the amount of $300,000 because of bodily injury to, or death of, two or more persons in any one crash and in the amount of $50,000 because of property damage in any one crash. If the owner or operator chooses to establish and maintain such ability by furnishing a certificate of deposit pursuant to s. 324.031(1)(b) or s. 324.031(1)(c), such certificate of deposit must be at least $350,000. Such higher limits must be carried for a minimum period of 3 years. If the owner or operator has not been convicted of driving under the influence or a felony traffic offense for a period of 3 years from the date of reinstatement of driving privileges for a violation of s. 316.193, the owner or operator is exempt from this section.

Section 17. Section 324.031, Florida Statutes, is amended...
Manner of proving financial responsibility.—

(1) The owner or operator of a taxicab, limousine, jitney, or any other for-hire passenger transportation vehicle may prove financial responsibility by providing satisfactory evidence of holding a motor vehicle liability policy as defined in s. 324.021(8) or s. 324.151, which policy is issued by an insurance carrier which is a member of the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association. The operator or owner of a motor vehicle other than a for-hire passenger transportation vehicle may prove his or her financial responsibility by:

(a) Furnishing satisfactory evidence of holding a motor vehicle liability policy as defined in s. 324.021(8) and s. 324.151 which provides liability coverage for the motor vehicle being operated;

(b) Furnishing a certificate of self-insurance showing a deposit of cash in accordance with s. 324.161; or

(c) Furnishing a certificate of self-insurance issued by the department in accordance with s. 324.171.

(2) (a) Beginning January 1, 2021, any person, including any firm, partnership, association, corporation, or other person, other than a natural person, electing to use the method of proof specified in paragraph (1)(b) subsection (2) shall furnish a certificate of deposit equal to the number of vehicles owned times $60,000 = $240,000, to a maximum of $240,000, $250,000.

(b) In addition, any such person, other than a natural person, shall maintain insurance providing coverage conforming to the requirements of s. 324.151 in excess of the amount of the certificate of deposit, with limits of at least:

$125,000/$250,000/$50,000 or $300,000 combined single limit.
required to maintain insurance under s. 627.733(1)(b) and who
operates one or more taxicabs, limousines, jitneys, or any other
due passenger transportation vehicles may prove financial
responsibility by furnishing satisfactory evidence of holding a
motor vehicle liability policy, with minimum limits of
$125,000/$250,000/$50,000.

(b) Fifty thousand dollars for damage to, or destruction
of, property of others in any one crash. A person who is either
the owner or a lessee required to maintain insurance under s.
324.021(9)(b) and who operates limousines, jitneys, or any other
due passenger vehicles, other than taxicabs, may prove
financial responsibility by furnishing satisfactory evidence of
holding a motor vehicle liability policy as defined in s.
324.121.

(2) Except as provided in subsection (3), the requirements
of this section must be met by the owner or lessee providing
satisfactory evidence of holding a motor vehicle liability
policy conforming to the requirements of s. 324.151 which is
issued by an insurance carrier that is a member of the Florida
Insurance Guaranty Association.

(3)(1) An owner or a lessee who is required to maintain
insurance under s. 324.021(9)(b) and who operates at least 300
taxicabs, limousines, jitneys, or any other due passenger
transportation vehicles may provide financial responsibility by
complying with the provisions of s. 324.171, which must such
compliance to be demonstrated by maintaining at its principal
place of business an audited financial statement, prepared in
accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and
providing to the department a certification issued by a
certified public accountant that the applicant’s net worth is at
least equal to the requirements of s. 324.171 as determined by
the Office of Insurance Regulation of the Financial Services
Commission, including claims liabilities in an amount certified
as adequate by a Fellow of the Casualty Actuarial Society.

Upon request by the department, the applicant shall provide
the department at the applicant’s principal place of business in
this state access to the applicant’s underlying financial
information and financial statements that provide the basis of
the certified public accountant’s certification. The applicant
shall reimburse the requesting department for all reasonable
costs incurred by it in reviewing the supporting information.
The maximum amount of self-insurance permissible under this
subsection is $300,000 and must be stated on a per-occurrence
basis, and the applicant shall maintain adequate excess
insurance issued by an authorized or eligible insurer licensed
or approved by the Office of Insurance Regulation. All risks
self-insured shall remain with the owner or lessee providing it,
and the risks are not transferable to any other person, unless a
policy complying with subsections (1) and (2) subsection (1) is
obtained.

Section 19. Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of section
324.051, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
324.051 Reports of crashes; suspensions of licenses and
registrations.—

(b) This subsection does not apply:

1. To such operator or owner if such operator or owner had
in effect at the time of such crash or traffic conviction a motor vehicle automobile liability policy with respect to all of the registered motor vehicles owned by such operator or owner.

2. To such operator, if not the owner of such motor vehicle, if there was in effect at the time of such crash or traffic conviction a motor vehicle automobile liability policy or bond with respect to his or her operation of motor vehicles not owned by him or her.

3. To such operator or owner if the liability of such operator or owner for damages resulting from such crash is, in the judgment of the department, covered by any other form of liability insurance or bond.

4. To any person who has obtained from the department a certificate of self-insurance, in accordance with s. 324.171, or to any person operating a motor vehicle for such self-insurer.

No such policy or bond shall be effective under this subsection unless it contains limits of not less than those specified in s. 324.021(7).

Section 20. Section 324.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.071 Reinstatement; renewal of license; reinstatement fee.—An operator or owner whose license or registration has been suspended pursuant to s. 324.051(2), s. 324.072, s. 324.081, or s. 324.121 may effect its reinstatement upon compliance with the provisions of s. 324.051(2)(a)3. or 4., or s. 324.081(2) and (3), as the case may be, and with one of the provisions of s. 324.031 and upon payment to the department of a nonrefundable reinstatement fee of $15. Only one such fee may be paid by any one person regardless of the number of licenses and registrations to be then reinstated or issued to such person. All such fees must be deposited to a department trust fund. If the reinstatement of any license or registration is effected by compliance with s. 324.051(2)(a)3. or 4., the department may not renew the license or registration within a period of 3 years after from such reinstatement, nor may any other license or registration be issued in the name of such person, unless the operator continues to continue to comply with any other provisions of s. 324.031.

Section 21. Subsection (1) of section 324.091, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.091 Notice to department; notice to insurer.—

(1) Each owner and operator involved in a crash or traffic conviction case within the purview of this chapter shall furnish evidence of automobile liability insurance or motor vehicle liability insurance within 14 days after the date of the mailing of notice of crash by the department in the form and manner as it may designate. Upon receipt of evidence that an automobile liability policy or motor vehicle liability policy was in effect at the time of the crash or conviction case, the department shall forward to the insurer such information for verification in a method as determined by the department. The insurer shall respond to the department within 20 days after the notice as to whether or not such information is valid. If the department determines that an automobile liability policy or motor vehicle liability policy was not in effect and did not provide...
coverage for both the owner and the operator, it must take action as it is authorized to do under this chapter.

Section 22. Section 324.151, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.151 Motor vehicle liability policies; required provisions.—

(1) A motor vehicle liability policy that serves as proof of financial responsibility under s. 324.031(1)(a) must, shall be issued to owners or operators of motor vehicles under the following provisions:

(a) A motor vehicle liability insurance policy issued to an owner of a motor vehicle required to be registered in this state must designate by explicit description or by appropriate reference all motor vehicles for which coverage is thereby granted. The policy must also insure the person or persons named therein and, except for a named driver excluded pursuant to s. 627.747, must insure any resident relative of a named insured other than the operator using such motor vehicle or motor vehicles with the express or implied permission of such owner against loss from the liability imposed by law for damage arising out of the use, ownership, maintenance, or use of any such motor vehicle or motor vehicle within the United States or the Dominion of Canada, subject to limits, exclusive of interest and costs with respect to each such motor vehicle as is provided for under s. 324.021(1). Except for a named driver excluded pursuant to s. 627.747, the policy must also insure any person operating an insured motor vehicle with the express or implied permission of a named insured against loss from the liability imposed by law for

(b) A motor vehicle liability insurance policy issued to a person who does not own a motor vehicle must provide liability coverage for a motor vehicle not designated as an insured vehicle on the policy if such motor vehicle does not qualify as a newly acquired vehicle, does not qualify as a temporary substitute vehicle, and was owned by the insured or was furnished for an insured’s regular use for more than 30 consecutive days before the event giving rise to the claim. Insurers may make available, with respect to property damage liability coverage, a deductible amount not to exceed $500. In the event of a property damage loss covered by a policy containing a property damage deductible provision, the insurer shall pay to the third-party claimant the amount of any property damage liability settlement or judgment, subject to policy limits, as if no deductible existed.

(c) All such motor vehicle liability policies must provide liability coverage with limits, exclusive of interest and costs, as specified under s. 324.021(7) for accidents occurring within the United States or Canada. The policies must state the name and address of the named insured, the coverage afforded by
(c) "Temporary substitute vehicle" means any motor vehicle not he or she temporarily lives elsewhere.

(a) "Newly acquired vehicle" means a vehicle owned by a named insured or resident relative of the named insured which was acquired within 30 days before an accident.

(b) "Resident relative" means a person related to a named insured by any degree by blood, marriage, or adoption, including a ward or foster child, who usually makes his or her home in the same family unit or residence as the named insured, whether or not he or she temporarily lives elsewhere..

(c) "Temporary substitute vehicle" means any motor vehicle
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Section 24. Subsections (1) and (2) of section 324.171, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

(1) A person may qualify as a self-insurer by obtaining a certificate of self-insurance from the department, which may, in its discretion and upon application of such a person, the department may issue a said certificate of self-insurance to an applicant who satisfies the requirements of this section. Effective January 1, 2021 to qualify as a self-insurer under this section:

(a) A private individual with private passenger vehicles shall possess a net unencumbered worth of at least $100,000.

(b) A person, including any firm, partnership, association, corporation, or other person, other than a natural person, shall:

1. Possess a net unencumbered worth of at least $100,000 for the first motor vehicle and $50,000 for each additional motor vehicle; or

2. Maintain sufficient net worth, in an amount determined by the department, to be financially responsible for potential losses. The department annually shall determine the minimum net worth sufficient to satisfy this subparagraph as determined annually by the department, pursuant to rules adopted promulgated by the department, with the assistance of the Office of Insurance Regulation of the Financial Services Commission, to be financially responsible for potential losses. The rules must consider any shall take into consideration excess insurance carried by the applicant. The department’s determination shall take into consideration excess insurance carried by the applicant. The department’s determination must be based upon reasonable actuarial principles considering the frequency, severity, and loss development of claims incurred by casualty insurers writing coverage on the type of motor vehicles for which a certificate of self-insurance is desired. The owner of a commercial motor vehicle, as defined in s. 207.002 or s. 320.01, may qualify as a self-insurer subject to the standards provided for in subparagraph (b)2.

(2) The self-insurance certificate must provide limits of liability insurance in the amounts specified under s. 324.021(7) or s. 627.7415 and shall provide personal injury protection coverage under s. 627.733(1)(b).

Section 25. Section 324.251, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.251 Short title.—This chapter may be cited as the "Financial Responsibility Law of 2020" and is shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., January 1, 2021.

Section 26. Subsection (4) of section 400.9905, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

400.9905 Definitions.—

(4)"Clinic" means an entity where health care services are provided to individuals and which tenders charges for reimbursement for such services, including a mobile clinic and a portable equipment provider. As used in this part, the term does not include and the licensure requirements of this part do not apply to:

1. Entities licensed or registered by the state under chapter 395; entities licensed or registered by the state and providing only health care services within the scope of services authorized under their respective licenses under ss. 383.30—
Entities that are owned, directly or indirectly, by an entity licensed or registered by the state pursuant to chapter 395; entities that are owned, directly or indirectly, by an entity licensed or registered by the state and providing only health care services within the scope of services authorized pursuant to their respective licenses under ss. 383.30-383.332, chapter 390, chapter 394, chapter 429, chapter 463, chapter 465, chapter 466, chapter 467, chapter 468, or chapter 651; end-stage renal disease providers authorized under 42 C.F.R. part 405, subpart U; providers certified under 42 C.F.R. part 485, subpart B or subpart H; providers certified by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services under the federal Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments and the federal rules adopted thereunder; or any entity that provides neonatal or pediatric hospital-based health care services by licensed practitioners solely within a hospital licensed under chapter 395.

Entities that own, directly or indirectly, entities licensed or registered by the state pursuant to chapter 395; entities that own, directly or indirectly, entities licensed or registered by the state and providing only health care services within the scope of services authorized pursuant to their respective licenses under ss. 383.30-383.332, chapter 390, chapter 394, chapter 397, this chapter except part X, chapter 429, chapter 463, chapter 465, chapter 466, chapter 467, chapter 468, or chapter 651; end-stage renal disease providers authorized under 42 C.F.R. part 405, subpart U; providers certified under 42 C.F.R. part 485, subpart B or subpart H; providers certified by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services under the federal Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments and the federal rules adopted thereunder; or any entity that provides neonatal or pediatric hospital-based health care services by licensed practitioners solely within a hospital licensed under chapter 395.
An entity that is exempt from federal taxation under 26 U.S.C. s. 501(c)(3) or (4), an employee stock ownership plan under 26 U.S.C. s. 409 that has a board of trustees at least two-thirds of which are Florida-licensed health care practitioners and provides only physical therapy services under physician orders, any community college or university clinic, and any entity owned or operated by the federal or state government, including agencies, subdivisions, or municipalities thereof.

A sole proprietorship, group practice, partnership, or corporation that provides health care services by physicians covered by s. 627.419, that is directly supervised by one or more of such physicians, and that is wholly owned by one or more of those physicians or by a physician and the spouse, parent, child, or sibling of that physician.

A sole proprietorship, group practice, partnership, or corporation that provides health care services by licensed health care practitioners under chapter 457, chapter 458, chapter 459, chapter 460, chapter 461, chapter 462, chapter 463, chapter 464, chapter 466, chapter 467, chapter 480, chapter 484, chapter 486, chapter 490, chapter 491, or part I, part III, part X, part XIII, or part XIV of chapter 468, or s. 464.012, and that is wholly owned by one or more licensed health care practitioners, and that is wholly owned by one or more licensed health care practitioners, and is legally responsible for the entity's compliance with all federal and state laws. However, a health care practitioner may not supervise services beyond the scope of the practitioner's license, except that, for the purposes of this part, a clinic owned by a licensee in s. 456.053(3)(b) which provides only services authorized pursuant to s. 456.053(3)(b) may be supervised by a licensee specified in s. 456.053(3)(b).

Clinical facilities affiliated with an accredited medical school at which training is provided for medical students, residents, or fellows.

Clinical facilities affiliated with a college of chiropractic accredited by the Council on Chiropractic Education at which training is provided for chiropractic students.

Entities that provide licensed practitioners to staff emergency departments or to deliver anesthesia services in facilities licensed under chapter 395 and that derive at least 90 percent of their gross annual revenues from the provision of such services. Entities claiming an exemption from licensure.
under this subparagraph must provide documentation demonstrating compliance.

1278  
1279 Orthotic, prosthetic, pediatric cardiology, or perinatology clinical facilities or anesthesia clinical facilities that are not otherwise exempt under subparagraph 1.
1280 or subparagraph 11, paragraph (a) or paragraph (b), and that are a publicly traded corporation or are wholly owned, directly or indirectly, by a publicly traded corporation. As used in this subparagraph a publicly traded corporation is a corporation that issues securities traded on an exchange registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission as a national securities exchange.
1288  
1289 Entities that are owned by a corporation that has $250 million or more in total annual sales of health care services provided by licensed health care practitioners where one or more of the persons responsible for the operations of the entity is a health care practitioner who is licensed in this state and who is responsible for supervising the business activities of the entity and is responsible for the entity’s compliance with state law for purposes of this part.
1299  
1300 Entities that employ 50 or more licensed health care practitioners licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 where the billing for medical services is under a single tax identification number. The application for exemption under this subsection must include shall contain information that includes:
1301 the name, residence, and business address and telephone number of the entity that owns the practice; a complete list of the names and contact information of all the officers and directors of the corporation; the name, residence address, business address, and medical license number of each licensed health care practitioner employed by the entity; the corporate tax identification number of the entity seeking an exemption; a listing of health care services to be provided by the entity at the health care clinics owned or operated by the entity; and a certified statement prepared by an independent certified public accountant which states that the entity and the health care clinics owned or operated by the entity have not received payment for health care services under medical payments personal injury protection insurance coverage for the preceding year. If the agency determines that an entity that which is exempt under this subsection has received payments for medical services under medical payments personal injury protection insurance coverage, the agency may deny or revoke the exemption from licensure under this subsection.
1310  
1311 (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) this subsection, an entity is shall be deemed a clinic and must be licensed under this part in order to receive medical payments coverage reimbursement under s. 627.7265 unless the entity is: the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, ss. 627.730, 627.740, unless exempted under s. 627.736(15)(b).
1314  
1315 1. Wholly owned by a physician licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459, or by the physician and the spouse, parent, child, or sibling of the physician;
1316  
1317 2. Wholly owned by a dentist licensed under chapter 466, or by the dentist and the spouse, parent, child, or sibling of the dentist;
1319  
1320 3. Wholly owned by a chiropractic physician licensed under chapter 460, or by the chiropractic physician and the spouse,
INSURANCE FRAUD NOTICE.—A person commits a fraudulent insurance act, as defined in s. 626.989, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Section 27. Subsection (5) of section 400.991, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

(5) All agency forms for licensure application or exemption from licensure under this part must contain the following statement:

INSURANCE FRAUD NOTICE.—A person commits a fraudulent insurance act, as defined in s. 626.989, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, commits a fraudulent insurance act, as defined in s. 626.989, Florida Statutes. A person who presents a claim for personal injury protection benefits knowingly submitted a false, misleading, or fraudulent application or other document when applying for licensure as a health care clinic, seeking an exemption from licensure as a health care clinic, or demonstrating compliance with part X of chapter 400, Florida Statutes, with the intent to use the license, exemption from licensure, or demonstration of compliance to provide services or seek reimbursement under a motor vehicle liability insurance policy's medical payments coverage the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law, commits a fraudulent insurance act, as defined in s. 626.989, Florida Statutes.
After attorney fees and taxable costs as defined by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, one-half of the remaining recovery shall be paid to the agency up to the total.

Paragraph (f) of subsection (11) of section 409.910, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

(11) The agency may, as a matter of right, in order to enforce its rights under this section, institute, intervene in, or join any legal or administrative proceeding in its own name in one or more of the following capacities: individually, as subrogee of the recipient, as assignee of the recipient, or as lienholder of the collateral.

(f) Notwithstanding any provision in this section to the contrary, in the event of an action in tort against a third party in which the recipient or his or her legal representative is a party which results in a judgment, award, or settlement from a third party, the amount recovered shall be distributed as follows:

1. After attorney fees and taxable costs as defined by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, one-half of the remaining recovery shall be paid to the agency up to the total.
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Section 33. Paragraphs (i) and (o) of subsection (1) of section 626.9541, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

"section to maintain those documents required by the part or segment or subsection or section to maintain those documents required by the part or chapter under which they are licensed or regulated:

(k) Persons or entities practicing under s. 627.7265 et seq., intentionally submitting a claim, statement, or bill that has been upcoded. As used in this paragraph, the term "upcoded" means an action that submits a billing code that would result in a greater payment amount than would be paid using a billing code that accurately describes the services performed. The term does not include an otherwise lawful bill by a magnetic resonance imaging facility, which globally combines both technical and professional components, if the amount of the global bill is not more than the components if billed separately; however, payment of such a bill constitutes payment in full for all components of such service "upcoded" as defined in s. 627.722.

(ff) With respect to making a medical payments coverage personal injury protection claim under s. 627.7265 et seq., intentionally submitting a claim, statement, or bill for payment of services that were not rendered.

Section 33. Paragraphs (i) and (o) of subsection (1) of section 626.9541, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
626.9541 Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices defined.—
(1) UNFAIR METHODS OF COMPETITION AND UNFAIR OR DECEPTIVE ACTS.—The following are defined as unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices:
(i) Unfair claim settlement practices.—
1. Attempting to settle claims on the basis of an application, when serving as a binder or intended to become a part of the policy, or any other material document which was altered without notice to, or knowledge or consent of, the insured;
2. A material misrepresentation made to an insured or any other person having an interest in the proceeds payable under such contract or policy, for the purpose and with the intent of effecting settlement of such claims, loss, or damage under such contract or policy on less favorable terms than those provided in, and contemplated by, such contract or policy;
3. Committing or performing with such frequency as to indicate a general business practice any of the following:
   a. Failing to adopt and implement standards for the proper investigation of claims;
   b. Misrepresenting pertinent facts or insurance policy provisions relating to coverages at issue;
   c. Failing to acknowledge and act promptly upon communications with respect to claims;
   d. Denying claims without conducting reasonable investigations based upon available information;
   e. Failing to affirm or deny full or partial coverage of claims, and, as to partial coverage, the dollar amount or extent

f. Failing to promptly provide a reasonable explanation in writing to the insured of the basis in the insurance policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for denial of a claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement;
g. Failing to promptly notify the insured of any additional information necessary for the processing of a claim; or
h. Failing to clearly explain the nature of the requested information and the reasons why such information is necessary.

1. Failing to pay personal injury protection insurance claims within the time periods required by s. 627.736(4)(b). The office may order the insurer to pay restitution to policyholder, medical provider, or other claimant, including interest at a rate consistent with the amount set forth in s. 55.03(1), for the time period within which an insurer fails to pay claims as required by law. Restitution is in addition to any other penalties allowed by law, including, but not limited to, the suspension of the insurer’s certificate of authority.

2. Failing to pay undisputed amounts of partial or full benefits owed under first-party property insurance policies within 90 days after an insurer receives notice of a residential property insurance claim, determines the amounts of partial or full benefits, and agrees to coverage, unless payment of the undisputed benefits is prevented by an act of God, prevented by the impossibility of performance, or due to actions by the insured or claimant that constitute fraud, lack of cooperation,
or intentional misrepresentation regarding the claim for which benefits are owed.

(o) Illegal dealings in premiums; excess or reduced charges for insurance.—

1. Knowingly collecting any sum as a premium or charge for insurance, which is not then provided, or is not in due course to be provided, subject to acceptance of the risk by the insurer, by an insurance policy issued by an insurer as permitted by this code.

2. Knowingly collecting as a premium or charge for insurance any sum in excess of or less than the premium or charge applicable to such insurance, in accordance with the applicable classifications and rates as filed with and approved by the office, and as specified in the policy; or, in cases when classifications, premiums, or rates are not required by this code to be so filed and approved, premiums and charges collected from a Florida resident in excess of or less than those specified in the policy and as fixed by the insurer.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, this provision shall not be deemed to prohibit the charging and collection, by surplus lines agents licensed under part VIII of this chapter, of the amount of applicable state and federal taxes, or fees as authorized by s. 626.916(4), in addition to the premium required by the insurer or the charging and collection, by licensed agents, of the exact amount of any discount or other such fee charged by a credit card facility in connection with the use of a credit card, as authorized by subparagraph (q)3., in addition to the premium required by the insurer. This subparagraph shall not be construed to prohibit collection of a premium for a policy made in accordance with the terms of the contract.

3.a. Imposing or requesting an additional premium for bodily injury liability coverage, property damage liability coverage in a policy of motor vehicle liability, personal injury protection, medical payments coverage, payment, or collision coverage in a motor vehicle liability insurance policy or any combination thereof or refusing to renew the policy solely because the insured was involved in a motor vehicle accident unless the insurer’s file contains information from which the insurer in good faith determines that the insured was substantially at fault in the accident.

b. An insurer which imposes and collects such a surcharge or which refuses to renew such policy shall, in conjunction with the notice of premium due or notice of nonrenewal, notify the named insured that he or she is entitled to reimbursement of such amount or renewal of the policy under the conditions listed below and will subsequently reimburse him or her or renew the policy, if the named insured demonstrates that the operator involved in the accident was:

   (I) Lawfully parked;

   (II) Reimbursed by, or on behalf of, a person responsible for the accident or has a judgment against such person;

   (III) Struck in the rear by another vehicle headed in the same direction and was not convicted of a moving traffic violation in connection with the accident;

   (IV) Hit by a “hit-and-run” driver, if the accident was reported to the proper authorities within 24 hours after discovering the accident;
b. A violation of s. 316.183, when such violation is a result of exceeding the lawful speed limit by more than 15 miles per hour.

5. Upon the request of the insured, the insurer and licensed agent shall supply to the insured the complete proof of fault or other criteria which justifies the additional charge or cancellation.

6. No insurer shall impose or request an additional premium for motor vehicle insurance, cancel or refuse to issue a policy, or refuse to renew a policy because the insured or the applicant is a handicapped or physically disabled person, so long as such handicap or physical disability does not substantially impair such person’s mechanically assisted driving ability.

7. No insurer may cancel or otherwise terminate any insurance contract or coverage, or require execution of a consent to rate endorsement, during the stated policy term for the purpose of offering to issue, or issuing, a similar or identical contract or coverage to the same insured with the same exposure at a higher premium rate or continuing an existing contract or coverage with the same exposure at an increased premium.

8. No insurer may issue a nonrenewal notice on any insurance contract or coverage, or require execution of a consent to rate endorsement, for the purpose of offering to issue, or issuing, a similar or identical contract or coverage to the same insured at a higher premium rate or continuing an existing contract or coverage at an increased premium without meeting any applicable notice requirements.

9. No insurer shall, with respect to premiums charged for...
motor vehicle insurance, unfairly discriminate solely on the
basis of age, sex, marital status, or scholastic achievement.

10. Imposing or requesting an additional premium for motor
vehicle comprehensive or uninsured motorist coverage solely
because the insured was involved in a motor vehicle accident or
was convicted of a moving traffic violation.

11. No insurer shall cancel or issue a nonrenewal notice on
any insurance policy or contract without complying with any
applicable cancellation or nonrenewal provision required under
the Florida Insurance Code.

12. No insurer shall impose or request an additional
premium, cancel a policy, or issue a nonrenewal notice on any
insurance policy or contract because of any traffic infraction
when adjudication has been withheld and no points have been
assessed pursuant to s. 318.14(9) and (10). However, this
subparagraph does not apply to traffic infractions involving
accidents in which the insurer has incurred a loss due to the
fault of the insured.

Section 34. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section
626.989, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

626.989 Investigation by department or Division of
Investigative and Forensic Services; compliance; immunity;
confidential information; reports to division; division
investigator’s power of arrest.—

(1) For the purposes of this section:

(a) A person commits a “fraudulent insurance act” if the
person:

1. Knowingly and with intent to defraud presents, causes to
be presented, or prepares with knowledge or belief that it will
be presented, to or by an insurer, self-insurer, self-insurance
fund, servicing corporation, purported insurer, broker, or any
agent thereof, any written statement as part of, or in support
of, an application for the issuance of, or the rating of, any
insurance policy, or a claim for payment or other benefit
pursuant to any insurance policy, which the person knows to
contain materially false information concerning any fact
material thereto or if the person conceals, for the purpose of
misleading another, information concerning any fact material
thereto.

2. Knowingly submits:

a. A false, misleading, or fraudulent application or other
document when applying for licensure as a health care clinic, or
seeking an exemption from licensure as a health care clinic, or
demonstrating compliance with part X of chapter 400 with an
intention to use the license, exemption from licensure, or
demonstration of compliance to provide services or seek
reimbursement under a motor vehicle liability insurance policy’s
medical payments coverage: the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault
Law.

b. A claim for payment or other benefit under medical
payments coverage: pursuant to a personal injury protection
insurance policy under the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law if
the person knows that the payee knowingly submitted a false,
unlawful, or fraudulent application or other document when
applying for licensure as a health care clinic, seeking an
exemption from licensure as a health care clinic, or
demonstrating compliance with part X of chapter 400.

Section 35. Subsection (1) of section 627.06501, Florida
Florida Senate - 2020 SB 378
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Statutes, is amended to read:

627.06501 Insurance discounts for certain persons completing driver improvement course.—

(1) Any rate, rating schedule, or rating manual for the liability, medical payments personal injury protection, and collision coverages of a motor vehicle insurance policy filed with the office may provide for an appropriate reduction in premium charges as to such coverages if when the principal operator on the covered vehicle has successfully completed a driver improvement course approved and certified by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles which is effective in reducing crash or violation rates, or both, as determined pursuant to s. 318.1451(5). Any discount, not to exceed 10 percent, used by an insurer is presumed to be appropriate unless credible data demonstrates otherwise.

Section 36. Subsection (15) is added to section 627.0651, Florida Statutes, to read:

627.0651 Making and use of rates for motor vehicle insurance.—

(15) Initial rate filings for motor vehicle liability policies which are submitted to the office on or after January 1, 2021, must reflect the financial responsibility requirements in s. 324.022, as amended, and may be approved only through the file and use process under s. 627.0651(1)(a).

Section 37. Subsection (1) of section 627.0652, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.0652 Insurance discounts for certain persons completing safety course.—

(1) Any rates, rating schedules, or rating manuals for the
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(1) To uninsured motorist coverage that which is separately governed by s. 627.727.
(2) To reduce the coverage available by reason of insurance policies insuring different named insureds.

(1) To uninsured motorist coverage that which is separately governed by s. 627.727.
(2) To reduce the coverage available by reason of insurance policies insuring different named insureds.
2. Unless the policyholder requests in writing the coverage specified in this section, it need not be provided in or supplemental to any other policy that renews, insures, extends, changes, supersedes, or replaces an existing policy if the policyholder has rejected the coverage specified in this section or has selected an alternative coverage limit or deductible. At least annually, the insurer shall provide the policyholder with a notice of the availability of such coverage in a form approved by the office. The notice must be part of, and attached to, the notice of premium and must provide for a means to allow the insured to request medical payments coverage at the limits and deductibles required to be offered under this section. The notice must be given in a manner approved by the office. Receipt of this notice does not constitute an affirmative waiver of the insured’s right to medical payments coverage if the insured has not signed a selection or rejection form.

(e) This section may not be construed to limit any other coverage made available by an insurer.

(a) Before issuing a motor vehicle liability insurance policy that is furnished as proof of financial responsibility under s. 324.031, the insurer must offer medical payments coverage at limits of $5,000 and $10,000. The insurer may also offer medical payments coverage at any limit greater than $5,000.

(b) The medical payments coverage must be offered with an option with no deductible. The insurer may also offer medical payments coverage with a deductible not to exceed $500.

(c) Each motor vehicle liability insurance policy that is furnished as proof of financial responsibility under s. 324.031 is deemed to have:

1. Medical payments coverage to a limit of $10,000, unless the insurer obtains the policyholder’s written refusal of medical payments coverage or written selection of medical payments coverage at a limit other than $10,000. The rejection or selection of coverage at a limit other than $10,000 must be made on a form approved by the office.

2. No medical payments coverage deductable, unless the insurer obtains the policyholder’s written selection of a deductible of up to $500. The selection of a deductible must be made on a form approved by the office.

(d)(1) The forms in subparagraphs (c)(1) and (2) must fully advise the applicant of the nature of the coverage being rejected or the policy limit or deductible being selected. If the form is signed by a named insured, it is conclusively presumed that there was an informed, knowing rejection of the coverage or election of the policy limit or deductible selected.
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(2) Upon receiving notice of an accident that is potentially covered by medical payments coverage benefits, the insurer must reserve $5,000 of medical payments coverage benefits for payment to physicians licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459 or dentists licensed under chapter 466 who provide emergency services and care, as defined in s. 395.002, or who provide hospital inpatient care. The amount required to be held in reserve may be used only to pay claims from such physicians or dentists until 30 days after the date the insurer receives notice of the accident. After the 30-day period, any amount of the reserve for which the insurer has not received notice of such claims may be used by the insurer to pay other claims. This subsection does not require an insurer to establish a claim reserve for insurance accounting purposes.

(3) An insurer providing medical payments coverage benefits may not have a:

(a) Lien on any recovery in tort by judgment, settlement, or otherwise for medical payments coverage benefits, whether suit has been filed or settlement has been reached without suit; or

(b) Cause of action against a person to whom or for whom medical payments coverage benefits were paid, except when the person commits

(4) An insurer providing medical payments coverage may include provisions in its policy allowing for subrogation for medical payments benefits paid if the expenses giving rise to the payments were caused by the wrongful act or omission of another who is not also an insured under the policy paying the medical payments benefits. However, this subrogation right is inferior to the rights of the injured insured and is available only after all the insured's damages are recovered and the insured is made whole. An insured who obtains a recovery from a third party of the full amount of the damages sustained and delivers a release or satisfaction that impair a medical payments insurer's subrogation right is liable to the insurer for repayment of medical payments benefits less any expenses of acquiring the recovery, including a prorated share of attorney fees and costs, and shall hold that net recovery in trust to be delivered to the medical payments insurer. The insurer may not include any provision in its policy allowing for subrogation for any death benefit paid.

Section 42. Subsections (1) and (7) of section 627.727, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

627.727 Motor vehicle insurance; uninsured and underinsured vehicle coverage; insolvent insurer protection.—

(1) A motor vehicle liability insurance policy that provides bodily injury liability coverage may not allow a deliver or issued for delivery in this state with respect to any specifically insured or identified motor vehicle registered or principally garaged in this state, unless uninsured motor vehicle coverage is provided therein or supplemental thereto for the protection of persons insured thereunder who are legally entitled to recover damages from owners or operators of uninsured motor vehicles because of bodily injury, sickness, or disease, including death, resulting therefrom. However, the coverage required under this section is not applicable if when, or to the extent that, an insured named in the policy makes a
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written rejection of the coverage on behalf of all insureds under the policy. If the motor vehicle is leased for a period of 1 year or longer and the lessor of such vehicle, by the terms of the lease contract, provides liability coverage on the leased vehicle, the lessee of such vehicle has the sole privilege to reject uninsured motorist coverage or to select lower limits than the bodily injury liability limits, regardless of whether the lessor is qualified as a self-insurer pursuant to s. 324.171. Unless an insured, or a lessee having the privilege of rejecting uninsured motorist coverage, requests such coverage or requests higher uninsured motorist limits in writing, the coverage or such higher uninsured motorist limits need not be provided in or supplemental to any other policy that which renews, extends, changes, supersedes, or replaces an existing policy with the same bodily injury liability limits when an insured or lessee has initially selected limits of uninsured motorist coverage lower than her or his bodily injury liability limits, higher limits of uninsured motorist coverage need not be provided in or supplemental to any other policy that which renews, extends, changes, supersedes, or replaces an existing policy with the same bodily injury liability limits unless an insured requests higher uninsured motorist coverage in writing. The rejection or selection of lower limits must be made on a form approved by the office. The form must fully advise the applicant of the nature of the coverage and must state that the coverage is equal to bodily injury liability limits unless lower limits are requested or the coverage is rejected. The heading of the form must be in 12-point bold type and underlined.
any coverage, including liability insurance. Such coverage does not inure directly or indirectly to the benefit of any workers’ compensation or disability benefits carrier or any person or organization qualifying as a self-insurer under any workers’ compensation or disability benefits law or similar law.

(7) The legal liability of an uninsured motorist coverage insurer excludes does not include damages in tort for pain, suffering, disability or physical impairment, disfigurement, mental anguish, and inconvenience, and the loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life experienced in the past and to be experienced in the future unless the injury or disease is described in one or more of paragraphs (a)–(d) of s. 627.732(2).

Section 43. Subsection (1) and paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (2) of section 627.7275, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

627.7275 Motor vehicle liability.–

(1) A motor vehicle insurance policy providing personal injury protection as set forth in s. 627.736 may not be delivered or issued for delivery in this state for a vehicle specifically insured or identified motor vehicle registered or principally garaged in this state must provide bodily injury liability coverage and unless the policy also provides coverage for property damage liability coverage as required under s. 324.022.

(2) (a) Insurers writing motor vehicle insurance in this state shall make available, subject to the insurers’ usual underwriting restrictions:

1. Coverage under policies as described in subsection (1) to an applicant for private passenger motor vehicle insurance who is seeking the coverage in order to reinstate the applicant’s driving privileges in this state if the driving privileges were revoked or suspended pursuant to s. 316.646 or s. 324.0221 due to the failure of the applicant to maintain required security.

2. Coverage under policies as described in subsection (1), which includes bodily injury also provides liability coverage and property damage liability coverage, for bodily injury, death, and property damage arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of the motor vehicle in an amount not less than the minimum limits required under described in s. 324.021(7) or s. 324.023 and which conforms to the requirements of s. 324.151, to an applicant for private passenger motor vehicle insurance coverage who is seeking the coverage in order to reinstate the applicant’s driving privileges in this state after such privileges were revoked or suspended under s. 316.193 or s. 322.26(2) for driving under the influence.

(b) The policies described in paragraph (a) must shall be issued for at least 6 months and, as to the minimum coverages required under this section, may not be canceled by the insurer for any reason or by the insurer after 60 days, during which period the insurer is completing the underwriting of the policy. After the insurer has completed underwriting the policy, the insurer shall notify the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles that the policy is in full force and effect and is not cancelable for the remainder of the policy period. A premium shall be collected and the coverage is in effect for the 60-day period during which the insurer is completing the underwriting of the policy, whether or not the person’s driver
license, motor vehicle tag, and motor vehicle registration are in effect. Once the noncancelable provisions of the policy become effective, the bodily injury liability and property damage liability coverages for bodily injury, property damage, and personal injury protection may not be reduced below the minimum limits required under s. 324.021 or s. 324.023 during the policy period.

Section 44. Effective upon this act becoming a law, section 627.7278, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

627.7278 Applicability and construction; notice to policyholders.—

(1) As used in this section, the term “minimum security requirements” means security that enables a person to respond in damages for liability on account of crashes arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle, in the amounts required by s. 324.021(7).

(2) Effective January 1, 2021:

(a) Motor vehicle insurance policies issued or renewed on or after that date may not include personal injury protection.

(b) All persons subject to s. 324.022, s. 324.032, s. 627.7415, or s. 627.742 must maintain at least minimum security requirements.

(c) Any new or renewal motor vehicle insurance policy delivered or issued for delivery in this state must provide coverage that complies with minimum security requirements.

(d) An existing motor vehicle insurance policy issued before that date which provides personal injury protection and property damage liability coverage that meets the requirements of s. 324.022 on December 31, 2020, but which does not meet minimum security requirements on or after January 1, 2021, is deemed to meet the security requirements of s. 324.022 until such policy is renewed, nonrenewed, or canceled on or after January 1, 2021. Sections 627.730-627.7405, 400.9905, 400.991, 456.057, 456.072, 627.7263, 627.727, 627.748, 627.9541(1)(i), and 817.234, Florida Statutes 2019, remain in full force and effect for motor vehicle accidents covered under a policy issued under the Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law before January 1, 2021, until the policy is renewed, nonrenewed, or canceled.

(3) Each insurer shall allow each insured who has a new or renewal policy providing personal injury protection which becomes effective before January 1, 2021, and whose policy does not meet minimum security requirements on or after January 1, 2021, to change coverages so as to eliminate personal injury protection and obtain coverage providing minimum security requirements, which shall be effective on or after January 1, 2021. The insurer is not required to provide coverage complying with minimum security requirements in such policies if the insured does not pay the required premium, if any, by January 1, 2021, or such later date as the insurer may allow. The insurer must also offer each insured medical payments coverage pursuant to s. 627.7265. Any reduction in the premium must be refunded by the insurer. The insurer may not impose on the insured an additional fee or charge that applies solely to a change in coverage; however, the insurer may charge an additional required premium that is actuarially indicated.

(4) By September 1, 2020, each motor vehicle insurer shall provide notice of this section to each motor vehicle policyholder who is subject to this section. The notice is...
subject to approval by the office and must clearly inform the policyholder that:

(a) The Florida Motor Vehicle No-Fault Law is repealed effective January 1, 2021, and that on or after that date, the insured is no longer required to maintain personal injury protection insurance coverage, that personal injury protection coverage is no longer available for purchase in this state, and that all new or renewal policies issued on or after that date will not contain that coverage.

(b) Effective January 1, 2021, a person subject to the financial responsibility requirements of s. 324.022 must maintain minimum security requirements that enable the person to respond to damages for liability on account of accidents arising out of the use of a motor vehicle in the following amounts:

1. Twenty-five thousand dollars for bodily injury to, or the death of, one person in any one crash and, subject to such limits for one person, in the amount of $50,000 for bodily injury to, or the death of, two or more persons in any one crash; and

2. Ten thousand dollars for damage to, or destruction of, the property of others in any one crash.

(c) Bodily injury liability coverage protects the insured, up to the coverage limits, against loss if the insured is legally responsible for the death of or bodily injury to others in a motor vehicle accident.

(d) Effective January 1, 2021, each policyholder of motor vehicle liability insurance purchased as proof of financial responsibility must be offered medical payments coverage benefits that comply with s. 627.7265. The insurer must offer medical payments coverage at limits of $5,000 and $10,000 without a deductible. The insurer may also offer medical payments coverage at other limits greater than $5,000, and may offer coverage with a deductible of up to $500. Medical payments coverage pays covered medical expenses, up to the limits of such coverage, for injuries sustained in a motor vehicle crash by the named insured, resident relatives, persons operating the insured motor vehicle, passengers in the insured motor vehicle, and persons who are struck by the insured motor vehicle and suffer bodily injury while not an occupant of a self-propelled motor vehicle as provided in s. 627.7265. Medical payments coverage pays for reasonable expenses for necessary medical, diagnostic, and rehabilitative services that are lawfully provided, supervised, ordered, or prescribed by a physician licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459, by a dentist licensed under chapter 466, or by a chiropractic physician licensed under chapter 460 or that are provided in a hospital or in a facility that owns, or is wholly owned by, a hospital. Medical payments coverage also provides an additional death benefit of at least $5,000.

(e) The policyholder may obtain uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage, which provides benefits, up to the limits of such coverage, to a policyholder or other insured entitled to recover damages for bodily injury, sickness, disease, or death resulting from a motor vehicle accident with an uninsured or underinsured owner or operator of a motor vehicle.

(f) If the policyholder’s new or renewal motor vehicle insurance policy is effective before January 1, 2021, and contains personal injury protection and property damage liability coverage as required by state law before January 1,
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2021, but does not meet minimum security requirements on or after January 1, 2021, the policy is deemed to meet minimum security requirements until it is renewed, nonrenewed, or canceled on or after January 1, 2021.

(g) A policyholder whose new or renewal policy becomes effective before January 1, 2021, but does not meet minimum security requirements on or after January 1, 2021, may change coverages under the policy so as to eliminate personal injury protection and to obtain coverage providing minimum security requirements, including bodily injury liability coverage, which are effective on or after January 1, 2021.

(h) If the policyholder has any questions, he or she should contact the person named at the telephone number provided in the notice.

Section 45. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 627.728, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.728 Cancellations; nonrenewals.—

(1) As used in this section, the term:

(a) "Policy" means the bodily injury and property damage liability, personal injury protection, medical payments, comprehensive, collision, and uninsured motorist coverage portions of a policy of motor vehicle insurance delivered or issued for delivery in this state:

1. Insuring a natural person as named insured or one or more related individuals who are residents of the same household; and

2. Insuring only a motor vehicle of the private passenger type or station wagon type which is not used as a public or livery conveyance for passengers or rented to others; or
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(a) This subsection does not apply:

1. If an insured or member of the insured’s family is renewing or replacing a policy or a binder for such policy written by the same insurer or a member of the same insurer group. This subsection does not apply.

2. To an insurer that issues private passenger motor vehicle coverage primarily to active duty or former military personnel or their dependents. This subsection does not apply.

3. If all policy payments are paid pursuant to a payroll deduction plan, an automatic electronic funds transfer payment plan from the policyholder, or a recurring credit card or debit card agreement with the insurer.

(b) This subsection and subsection (4) do not apply if:

1. All policy payments to an insurer are paid pursuant to an automatic electronic funds transfer payment plan from an agent, a managing general agent, or a premium finance company and if the policy includes, at a minimum, bodily injury liability coverage and personal injury protection pursuant to s. 627.730, 627.7315, motor vehicle property damage liability coverage pursuant to s. 627.725; or bodily injury liability in at least the amount of $10,000 because of bodily injury to, or death of, one person in any one accident and in the amount of $20,000 because of bodily injury to, or death of, two or more persons in any one accident. This subsection and subsection (4) do not apply if

2. An insured has had a policy in effect for at least 6 months, the insured’s agent is terminated by the insurer that issued the policy, and the insured obtains coverage on the policy’s renewal date with a new company through the terminated agent.

Section 47. Section 627.7415, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.7415 Commercial motor vehicles; additional liability insurance coverage.—Beginning January 1, 2021, commercial motor vehicles, as defined in s. 207.002 or s. 320.01, operated upon the roads and highways of this state must be insured with the following minimum levels of combined bodily liability insurance and property damage liability insurance in addition to any other insurance requirements:

(1) Sixty five thousand dollars per occurrence for a
commercial motor vehicle with a gross vehicle weight of 26,000 pounds or more, but less than 35,000 pounds.

(2) One hundred **twenty** thousand dollars per occurrence for a commercial motor vehicle with a gross vehicle weight of 35,000 pounds or more, but less than 44,000 pounds.

(3) Three hundred thousand dollars per occurrence for a commercial motor vehicle with a gross vehicle weight of 44,000 pounds or more.

(4) All commercial motor vehicles subject to regulations of the United States Department of Transportation, 49 C.F.R. part 387, subpart A, and as may be hereinafter amended, shall be insured in an amount equivalent to the minimum levels of financial responsibility as set forth in such regulations.

A violation of this section is a noncriminal traffic infraction, punishable as a nonmoving violation as provided in chapter 318.

Section 48. Section 627.747, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

627.747 Named driver exclusion.—

(1) A private passenger motor vehicle policy may exclude an identified individual from the following coverages while the identified individual is operating a motor vehicle, provided that the identified individual is specifically excluded by name on the declarations page or by endorsement, and the policyholder consents in writing to the exclusion:

(a) Property damage liability coverage.

(b) Bodily injury liability coverage.

(c) Uninsured motorist coverage for any damages sustained by the identified excluded individual, if the policyholder has purchased such coverage.

(d) Any coverage the policyholder is not required by law to purchase.

(2) A private passenger motor vehicle policy may not exclude coverage when:

(a) The identified excluded individual is injured while not operating a motor vehicle;

(b) The exclusion is unfairly discriminatory under the Florida Insurance Code, as determined by the office; or

(c) The exclusion is inconsistent with the underwriting rules filed by the insurer pursuant to s. 627.0651(13)(a).

Section 49. Paragraphs (b), (c), and (g) of subsection (7) and paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (8) of section 627.748, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

627.748 Transportation network companies.—

(7) TRANSPORTATION NETWORK COMPANY AND TNC DRIVER INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS.—

(b) The following automobile insurance requirements apply while a participating TNC driver is logged on to the digital network but is not engaged in a prearranged ride:

1. Automobile insurance that provides:

   a. A primary automobile liability coverage of at least $50,000 for death and bodily injury per person, $100,000 for death and bodily injury per incident, and $25,000 for property damage; and

   b. Personal injury protection benefits that meet the minimum coverage amounts required under ss. 627.730, 627.7405, and

   c. Uninsured and underinsured vehicle coverage as required by the United States Department of Transportation, 49 C.F.R. part 387, subpart A, and as may be hereinafter amended, shall be
(8) TRANSPORTATION NETWORK COMPANY AND INSURER; DISCLOSURE; EXCLUSIONS.—

(a) Before a TNC driver is allowed to accept a request for a prearranged ride on the digital network, the TNC must disclose in writing to the TNC driver:

1. The insurance coverage, including the types of coverage and the limits for each coverage, which the TNC provides while the TNC driver uses a TNC vehicle in connection with the TNC’s digital network.

2. That the TNC driver’s own automobile insurance policy might not provide any coverage while the TNC driver is logged on to the digital network or is engaged in a prearranged ride, depending on the terms of the TNC driver’s own automobile insurance policy.

3. That the provision of rides for compensation which are not prearranged rides subjects the driver to the coverage requirements imposed under s. 324.032(1) and (2) and that failure to meet such coverage requirements subjects the TNC driver to penalties provided in s. 324.221, up to and including a misdemeanor of the second degree.

(b)1. An insurer that provides an automobile liability insurance policy under this part may exclude any and all coverage afforded under the policy issued to an owner or operator of a TNC vehicle while driving that vehicle for any loss or injury that occurs while a TNC driver is logged on to a digital network or while a TNC driver provides a prearranged ride. Exclusions imposed under this subsection are limited to coverage while a TNC driver is logged on to a digital network or while a TNC driver provides a prearranged ride. This right to exclude all coverage may apply to any coverage included in an automobile insurance policy, including, but not limited to:
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a. Liability coverage for bodily injury and property damage;

b. Uninsured and underinsured motorist coverage;

c. Medical payments coverage;

d. Comprehensive physical damage coverage; and

e. Collision physical damage coverage.

2. The exclusions described in subparagraph 1. apply notwithstanding any requirement under chapter 324. These exclusions do not affect or diminish coverage otherwise available for permissive drivers or resident relatives under the personal automobile insurance policy of the TNC driver or owner of the TNC vehicle who are not occupying the TNC vehicle at the time of loss. This section does not require that a personal automobile insurance policy provide coverage while the TNC driver is logged on to a digital network, while the TNC driver is engaged in a prearranged ride, or while the TNC driver otherwise uses a vehicle to transport riders for compensation.

3. This section must not be construed to require an insurer to use any particular policy language or reference to this section in order to exclude any and all coverage for any loss or injury that occurs while a TNC driver is logged on to a digital network or while a TNC driver provides a prearranged ride.

4. This section does not preclude an insurer from providing primary or excess coverage for the TNC driver’s vehicle by contract or endorsement.

Section 50. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 627.749, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.749 Autonomous vehicles; insurance requirements.—

627.749 Autonomous vehicles; insurance requirements.—

(a) A fully autonomous vehicle with the automated driving system engaged while logged on to an on-demand autonomous vehicle network or engaged in a prearranged ride must be covered by a policy of automobile insurance which provides:

1. Primary liability coverage of at least $1 million for death, bodily injury, and property damage.

2. Personal injury protection benefits that meet the minimum coverage amounts required under ss. 627.730, 627.745.

Uninsured and underinsured vehicle coverage as required by s. 627.727.

Section 51. Section 627.8405, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.8405 Prohibited acts; financing companies.—A premium finance company shall, in a premium finance agreement or other agreement, may not finance the cost of or otherwise provide for the collection or remittance of dues, assessments, fees, or other periodic payments of money for the cost of:

1. A membership in an automobile club. The term "automobile club" means a legal entity that (a) a "full service" automobile insurance policy is not required, but (b) a "full service" automobile insurance policy that is issued by an insurance affiliate of an automobile club, as defined in this section, is available for permissive drivers or resident relatives under the personal automobile insurance policy of the TNC driver or owner of the TNC vehicle who are not occupying the TNC vehicle at the time of loss. This section does not require that a personal automobile insurance policy provide coverage while the TNC driver is logged on to a digital network, while the TNC driver is engaged in a prearranged ride, or while the TNC driver otherwise uses a vehicle to transport riders for compensation.

2. This section must not be construed to require an insurer to use any particular policy language or reference to this section in order to exclude any and all coverage for any loss or injury that occurs while a TNC driver is logged on to a digital network or while a TNC driver provides a prearranged ride.

3. This section does not preclude an insurer from providing primary or excess coverage for the TNC driver’s vehicle by contract or endorsement.

Section 50. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 627.749, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.749 Autonomous vehicles; insurance requirements.—

627.749 Autonomous vehicles; insurance requirements.—

(a) A fully autonomous vehicle with the automated driving system engaged while logged on to an on-demand autonomous vehicle network or engaged in a prearranged ride must be covered by a policy of automobile insurance which provides:

1. Primary liability coverage of at least $1 million for death, bodily injury, and property damage.

2. Personal injury protection benefits that meet the minimum coverage amounts required under ss. 627.730, 627.745.

Uninsured and underinsured vehicle coverage as required by s. 627.727.

Section 51. Section 627.8405, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.8405 Prohibited acts; financing companies.—A premium finance company shall, in a premium finance agreement or other agreement, may not finance the cost of or otherwise provide for the collection or remittance of dues, assessments, fees, or other periodic payments of money for the cost of:

1. A membership in an automobile club. The term "automobile club" means a legal entity that (a) a "full service" automobile insurance policy is not required, but (b) a "full service" automobile insurance policy that is issued by an insurance affiliate of an automobile club, as defined in this section, is available for permissive drivers or resident relatives under the personal automobile insurance policy of the TNC driver or owner of the TNC vehicle who are not occupying the TNC vehicle at the time of loss. This section does not require that a personal automobile insurance policy provide coverage while the TNC driver is logged on to a digital network, while the TNC driver is engaged in a prearranged ride, or while the TNC driver otherwise uses a vehicle to transport riders for compensation.

2. This section must not be construed to require an insurer to use any particular policy language or reference to this section in order to exclude any and all coverage for any loss or injury that occurs while a TNC driver is logged on to a digital network or while a TNC driver provides a prearranged ride.

3. This section does not preclude an insurer from providing primary or excess coverage for the TNC driver’s vehicle by contract or endorsement.
duration of such particular events. The term "motor vehicle" used herein has the same meaning as defined in chapter 320.

(2) An accidental death and dismemberment policy sold in combination with a policy providing only bodily injury liability and personal injury protection and property damage liability coverage only policy.

(3) Any product not regulated under the provisions of this insurance code.

This section also applies to premium financing by any insurance agent or insurance company under part XVI. The commission shall adopt rules to assure disclosure, at the time of sale, of the coverages financed with personal injury protection and shall prescribe the form of such disclosure.

Section 52. Subsection (1) of section 627.915, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.915 Insurer experience reporting.—

(1) Each insurer transacting private passenger automobile insurance in this state shall report certain information annually to the office. The information will be due on or before July 1 of each year. The information must be divided into the following categories: bodily injury liability; property damage liability; uninsured motorist; personal injury protection benefits; medical payments; and comprehensive and collision. The information given must be on direct insurance writings in the state alone and represent total limits data. The information set forth in paragraphs (a)-(f) is applicable to voluntary private passenger and Joint Underwriting Association.
Section 54. Subsections (2), (6), and (7) of section 705.184, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

705.184 Derelict or abandoned motor vehicles on the premises of public-use airports.—

(2) The airport director or the director's designee shall contact the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to notify that department that the airport has possession of the abandoned or derelict motor vehicle and to determine the name and address of the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of ss. 627.730, 627.7305, when no-fault coverage is provided.

(6) The airport pursuant to this section or, if used, a licensed independent wrecker company pursuant to s. 713.78 shall have a lien on an abandoned or derelict motor vehicle for all reasonable towing, storage, and accrued parking fees, if any, except that no storage fee may be charged if the motor vehicle is less than 5 years of age or after 50 calendar days after the time the motor vehicle is stored if any prior liens on the motor vehicle are 5 years of age or less.

Notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of ss. 627.730, 627.7305, and 627.7405, when no fault coverage is provided.

The notice shall state the fact of possession of the motor vehicle, that charges for reasonable towing, storage, and accrued parking fees, if any, have accrued and the amount thereof, that a lien as provided in subsection (6) will be claimed, that the lien is subject to enforcement pursuant to law, that the owner or lienholder, if any, has the right to a hearing as set forth in subsection (4), and that any motor vehicle which, at the end of 30 calendar days after receipt of the notice, has not been removed from the airport upon payment in full of all accrued charges for reasonable towing, storage, and parking fees, if any, may be disposed of as provided.

The airport director or the director's designee shall remove any abandoned or derelict motor vehicle found at any motor vehicle which, at the end of 30 calendar days after receipt of the notice, has not been removed from the airport upon payment in full of all accrued charges for reasonable towing, storage, and parking fees, if any, may be disposed of as provided.

The airport director or the director's designee shall notify the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to notify that department that the airport has possession of the abandoned or derelict motor vehicle and to determine the name and address of the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of ss. 627.730, 627.7305, when no-fault coverage is provided.

The airport director or the director's designee shall notify the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to notify that department that the airport has possession of the abandoned or derelict motor vehicle and to determine the name and address of the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of ss. 627.730, 627.7305, when no-fault coverage is provided.
vehicle is stored less than 6 hours. As a prerequisite to perfecting a lien under this section, the airport director or the director’s designee must serve a notice in accordance with subsection (2) on the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 627.736, and all persons of record claiming a lien against the motor vehicle. If attempts to notify the owner, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 627.736, or lienholders are not successful, the requirement of notice by mail shall be considered met. Serving of the notice does not dispense with recording the claim of lien.

(7)(a) For the purpose of perfecting its lien under this section, the airport shall record a claim of lien which shall state:

1. The name and address of the airport.
2. The name of the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 627.736, and all persons of record claiming a lien against the motor vehicle.
3. The costs incurred from reasonable towing, storage, and parking fees, if any.

(b) The claim of lien must be signed and sworn to or affirmed by the airport director or the director’s designee.

(c) The claim of lien is sufficient if it is in substantially the following form:

(c) The claim of lien is sufficient if it is in substantially the following form:

4. The negligent inclusion or omission of any information in this claim of lien which does not prejudice the owner does not constitute a default that operates to defeat an otherwise valid lien.

(d) The claim of lien must be served on the owner of the motor vehicle, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 627.736, and all persons of record claiming a lien against the motor vehicle.

Before me, the undersigned notary public, personally appeared ........, who was duly sworn and says that he/she is the ........ of ..........., whose address is ........; and that the following described motor vehicle:

...(Description of motor vehicle)...

owned by ........, whose address is ........, has accrued ........ in fees for a reasonable tow, for storage, and for parking, if applicable; that the lienor served its notice to the owner, the insurance company insuring the motor vehicle notwithstanding the provisions of s. 627.736, Florida Statutes, and all persons of record claiming a lien against the motor vehicle on ...., ...(year)...., by........

...(Signature)...

Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me this .... day of ...., ...(year)...., by ...(name of person making statement)....

...(Signature of Notary Public)......(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned name of Notary Public)...

Personally Known....OR Produced....as identification.

However, the negligent inclusion or omission of any information in this claim of lien which does not prejudice the owner does not constitute a default that operates to defeat an otherwise valid lien.

4. The description of the motor vehicle sufficient for identification.

5. The costs incurred from reasonable towing, storage, and parking fees, if any.

6. A description of the motor vehicle sufficient for identification.

7. The costs incurred from reasonable towing, storage, and parking fees, if any.
(b) Whenever a law enforcement agency authorizes the removal of a vehicle or vessel or whenever a towing service, garage, repair shop, or automotive service, storage, or parking place notifies the law enforcement agency of possession of a vehicle or vessel pursuant to s. 715.07(2)(a)2., the law enforcement agency of the jurisdiction where the vehicle or vessel is stored shall contact the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, or the appropriate agency of the state of registration, if known, within 24 hours through the medium of electronic communications, giving the full description of the vehicle or vessel. Upon receipt of the full description of the vehicle or vessel, the department shall search its files to determine the owner’s name, the insurance company insuring the vehicle or vessel, and whether any person has filed a lien upon the vehicle or vessel as provided in s. 319.27(2) and (3) and notify the applicable law enforcement agency within 72 hours. The person in charge of the towing service, garage, repair shop, or automotive service, storage, or parking place shall obtain such information from the applicable law enforcement agency within 5 days after the date of storage and shall give notice pursuant to paragraph (a). The department may release the insurance company information to the requestor notwithstanding s. 627.736.

(c) The notice of lien must be sent by certified mail to the registered owner, the insurance company insuring the vehicle notwithstanding s. 627.736, and all other persons claiming a lien thereon within 7 business days, excluding Saturday and Sunday, after the date of storage of the vehicle or vessel. However, in no event shall the notice of lien be sent less than 7 days after the date of storage of the vehicle or vessel.
30 days before the sale of the vehicle or vessel. The notice must state:

1. If the claim of lien is for a vehicle, the last 8 digits of the vehicle identification number of the vehicle subject to the lien, or, if the claim of lien is for a vessel, the hull identification number of the vessel subject to the lien, clearly printed in the delivery address box and on the outside of the envelope sent to the registered owner and all other persons claiming an interest therein or lien thereon.

2. The name, physical address, and telephone number of the lienor, and the entity name, as registered with the Division of Corporations, of the business where the towing and storage occurred, which must also appear on the outside of the envelope sent to the registered owner and all other persons claiming an interest in or lien on the vehicle or vessel.

3. The fact of possession of the vehicle or vessel.

4. The name of the person or entity that authorized the lienor to take possession of the vehicle or vessel.

5. That a lien as provided in subsection (2) is claimed.

6. That charges have accrued and include an itemized statement of the amount thereof.

7. That the lien is subject to enforcement under law and that the owner or lienholder, if any, has the right to a hearing as set forth in subsection (5).

8. That any vehicle or vessel that remains unclaimed, or for which the charges for recovery, towing, or storage services remain unpaid, may be sold free of all prior liens 35 days after the vehicle or vessel is stored by the lienor if the vehicle or vessel is more than 3 years of age or less.

9. The address at which the vehicle or vessel is stored by the lienor if the vehicle or vessel is more than 3 years of age or less.

9. The address at which the vehicle or vessel is stored by the lienor if the vehicle or vessel is more than 3 years of age or less.

(d) The notice of lien may not be sent to the registered owner, the insurance company insuring the vehicle or vessel, and all other persons claiming a lien thereon less than 30 days before the sale of the vehicle or vessel.

(e) If attempts to locate the name and address of the owner or lienholder prove unsuccessful, the towing-storage operator shall, after 7 business days, excluding Saturday and Sunday, after the initial tow or storage, notify the public agency of jurisdiction where the vehicle or vessel is stored in writing by certified mail or acknowledged hand delivery that the towing-storage company has been unable to locate the name and address of the owner or lienholder and a physical search of the vehicle or vessel has disclosed no ownership information and a good faith effort has been made, including records checks of the National Motor Vehicle Title Information System or an equivalent commercially available system. For purposes of this paragraph and subsection (9), the term “good faith effort” means that the following checks have been performed by the company to establish the prior state of registration and for title:

1. A check of the department’s database for the owner and any lienholder.

2. A check of the electronic National Motor Vehicle Title Information System or an equivalent commercially available system to determine the state of registration when there is not
2814 a person commits insurance fraud punishable as
2815 mechanism.
2816 Section 56. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1), paragraph (c)
2817 of subsection (7), paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of subsection
2818 (8), and subsections (9) and (10) of section 817.234, Florida
2819 Statutes, are amended to read:
2820 817.234 False and fraudulent insurance claims.—
2821 (1)(a) A person commits insurance fraudpunishable as
2822 provided in subsection (11) if that person, with the intent to
2823 injure, defraud, or deceive any insurer:
2824 1. Presents or causes to be presented any written or oral
2825 statement as part of, or in support of, a claim for payment or
2826 other benefit pursuant to an insurance policy or a health
2827 maintenance organization subscriber or provider contract,
2828 knowing that such statement contains false, incomplete, or
2829 misleading information concerning any fact or thing material to
2830 such claim;
2831 2. Prepares or makes any written or oral statement that is
2832 intended to be presented to an insurer in connection with,
2833 or in support of, any claim for payment or other benefit
2834 pursuant to an insurance policy or a health maintenance
2835 organization subscriber or provider contract, knowing that such
2836 statement contains false, incomplete, or misleading
2837 information concerning any fact or thing material to such claim;
2838 3.a. Knowingly presents, causes to be presented, or
2839 prepares or makes with knowledge or belief that it will be
2840 presented to an insurer, purported insurer, servicing
2841 corporation, insurance broker, or insurance agent, or any
2842 employee or agent thereof, false, incomplete, or misleading
2843 information or a written or oral statement as part of, or in
2844
support of, an application for the issuance of, or the rating
of, any insurance policy, or a health maintenance organization
subscriber or provider contract; or
b. Knowingly conceals information concerning any fact
material to such application; or
4. Knowingly presents, causes to be presented, or prepares
or makes with knowledge or belief that it will be presented to
any insurer a claim for payment or other benefit under medical
payments coverage in a motor vehicle personal injury protection insurance policy if the person knows that the payee
knowingly submitted a false, misleading, or fraudulent
application or other document when applying for licensure as a
health care clinic, seeking an exemption from licensure as a
health care clinic, or demonstrating compliance with part X of
chapter 400.
(7)
(a) An insurer, or any person acting at the direction of or
on behalf of an insurer, may not change an opinion in a mental
or physical report prepared under s. 627.736(7) or direct the
physician preparing the report to change such opinion; however,
this provision does not preclude the insurer from calling to the
attention of the physician errors of fact in the report based
upon information in the claim file. Any person who violates this
paragraph commits a felony of the third degree, punishable as
provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.
(8)(a) It is unlawful for any person intending to defraud
any other person to solicit or cause to be solicited any
business from a person involved in a motor vehicle accident
for the purpose of making, adjusting, or settling motor vehicle tort
claims or claims for benefits under medical payments coverage in
a motor vehicle insurance policy personal injury protection
benefits required by s. 627.736. Any person who violates the
provisions of this paragraph commits a felony of the second
degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s.
775.084. A person who is convicted of a violation of this
subsection shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment
of 2 years.
(b) A person may not solicit or cause to be solicited any
business from a person involved in a motor vehicle accident by
any means of communication other than advertising directed to
the public for the purpose of making motor vehicle tort claims
or claims for benefits under medical payments coverage in a
motor vehicle insurance policy personal injury protection
benefits required by s. 627.736, within 60 days after the
occurrence of the motor vehicle accident. Any person who
violates this paragraph commits a felony of the third degree,
punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.
(c) A lawyer, health care practitioner as defined in s.
456.001, or owner or medical director of a clinic required to be
licensed pursuant to s. 400.9905 may not, at any time after 60
days have elapsed from the occurrence of a motor vehicle
accident, solicit or cause to be solicited any business from a
person involved in a motor vehicle accident by means of in
person or telephone contact at the person’s residence; for the
purpose of making motor vehicle tort claims or claims for
benefits under medical payments coverage in a motor vehicle
insurance policy personal injury protection benefits required by
s. 627.736. Any person who violates this paragraph commits a
felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

(9) A person may not organize, plan, or knowingly participate in an intentional motor vehicle crash or a scheme to create documentation of a motor vehicle crash that did not occur for the purpose of making motor vehicle tort claims or claims for benefits under medical payments coverage in a motor vehicle insurance policy personal injury protection benefits as required by s. 627.736. Any person who violates this subsection commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. A person who is convicted of a violation of this subsection shall be sentenced to a minimum term of imprisonment of 2 years.

(10) A licensed health care practitioner who is found guilty of insurance fraud under this section for an act relating to a motor vehicle personal injury protection insurance policy loses his or her license to practice for 5 years and may not receive reimbursement under medical payments coverage in a motor vehicle insurance policy personal injury protection benefits for 10 years.

Section 57. For the 2020-2021 fiscal year, the sum of $83,651 in nonrecurring funds is appropriated from the Insurance Regulatory Trust Fund to the Office of Insurance Regulation for the purpose of implementing this act.

Section 58. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this act and except for this section, which shall take effect upon this act becoming a law, this act shall take effect January 1, 2021.
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I. **Summary:**

CS/SB 538 creates mandatory reporting of any incidents listed in the State Watch Office (SWO) Reportable Incidents List to the SWO within the Division of Emergency Management (DEM). Such incidents may include:

- Major fire incidents and search and rescue operations;
- Law enforcement incidents;
- Natural hazards;
- Population protective actions;
- Technical hazards or environmental concerns;
- Transportation incidents;
- Incidents involving utilities or infrastructure; and
- Military events.

The CS may have a local mandate and require the approval of two-thirds of the membership in each house of the Legislature. See Section IV.

The fiscal impact of this CS on counties and municipalities is indeterminate.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.
II. Present Situation:

The SWO is located in the State Emergency Operations Center in Tallahassee, FL, and is manned by the DEM’s Operations Officers. The SWO is Florida’s official State Warning Point with the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and maintains communication systems and warning capabilities to ensure that the state’s population and emergency management agencies are warned of developing emergency situations and can communicate emergency response decisions.¹, ²

The SWO is manned 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and its primary purpose is to record, analyze and share information with local, county, state and federal partners to aid in their appropriate response. The SWO is not a dispatch center but a clearinghouse of information to be shared with other government entities who can independently act within their own agency authority and protocols.³ DEM’s mission is to provide members of the State Emergency Response Team with the most accurate information available relating to ongoing or impending hazardous situations throughout the State and region.⁴

The SWO also maintains a direct relationship with the Florida Fusion Center⁵, which allows both Emergency Management and Law Enforcement officials to have the most complete and up-to-date intelligence available to better serve citizens, businesses, and visitors.⁶

The SWO takes between 8,000 and 9,000 incidents a year.⁷ They include simple fuel spills, radiological emergencies, damages from severe weather, and rocket launches from Cape Canaveral. A list of potential hazards that are called in and monitored by the SWO are:⁸

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural Hazards</th>
<th>Technological Hazards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hurricanes</td>
<td>Terrorism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tornadoes</td>
<td>Mass Migration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

¹ Section 252.35, F.S.
⁴ *Supra*, note 2.
⁵ The Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-458, 118 Stat. 3638 (Dec. 17, 2004), provided guidance on the need for each state to designate a single fusion center to serve as a hub for information sharing, access and collaboration at all levels. The Florida Fusion Center is housed within the Florida Department of Law Enforcement with a mission to protect citizens, visitors, resources, and critical infrastructure of Florida by gathering, processing, analyzing, and disseminating of terrorism, law enforcement, and homeland security information for all local, state, and federal agencies in accordance with Florida’s Domestic Security Strategy.
⁶ *Supra*, note 2.
⁸ *Id.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flooding</th>
<th>Radiological</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wildfire</td>
<td>Hazardous Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Thunderstorms</td>
<td>Special Events (2012 Republican National Convention, Super Bowl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Hot and Cold</td>
<td>Transportation Accidents (Rail, Aircraft, Motor Vehicle, Marine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquakes</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Incidents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The information for these incidents is generally given to the SWO from a county Public Safety Answering Point\(^9\), and sometimes from the general public. The collected information is logged into an incident tracking system and then disseminated to local, state, tribal, federal, and private partners to aid in their response actions.\(^{10}\)

Counties and municipalities currently have no statutory direction on informing the State about localized emergency events or incidents in their jurisdiction(s). However, local governments currently share information regularly with the SWO regarding natural and technological hazards, so that the SWO is consistently provided with incident reports from across the state.\(^{11}\) Only wastewater and chemical spills, are required by law to be reported to the SWO.\(^{12}\)

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The CS creates s. 252.351, F.S., for mandatory reporting of certain incidents by counties and municipalities. The CS provides that:

- As soon as practicable following its initial response to an incident, a county or a municipality must provide notification to the SWO within the DEM of any incidents listed in the SWO Reportable Incidents List which occur within the geographic boundaries of the county or municipality;
- The DEM will annually provide the SWO Reportable Incidents List to county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate; and
- The DEM will maintain the SWO Reportable Incidents List, and will annually notify county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate when the list is amended by the division director.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

---


\(^{10}\) Supra, note 7.

\(^{11}\) Division of Emergency Management, FDEM Legislative Priorities 2019-2020 (Fla. Stat. § 252), on file with the Senate Committee on Infrastructure and Security.

\(^{12}\) Section 403.077(2), F.S., see also Chapter 62-620, F.A.C.
IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Article VII, subsection (a) of section 18 of the Florida Constitution provides that cities and counties are not bound by general laws requiring them to spend funds or take action that requires the expenditure of funds unless certain specified exemptions or exceptions are met.

Under this CS, cities and counties may incur costs relating to reporting of certain incidents. However, the mandate requirements do not apply to laws having an insignificant impact, which, for Fiscal Year 2020-2021, is forecast at slightly over $2.1 million. The impact of this CS on cities and counties is indeterminate.

If such costs were determined to exceed $2.1 million in the aggregate, the CS may be binding on cities and counties if the CS contains a finding of important state interest and meets one of the exceptions specified in State Constitution (e.g., provision of funding or a funding mechanism or enactment by vote of two-thirds of the membership of each house).

However, this provision does not apply if the law has an insignificant fiscal impact.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None.

13 FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 18(d).
14 An insignificant fiscal impact is the amount not greater than the average statewide population for the applicable fiscal year times $0.10. See Florida Senate Committee on Community Affairs, Interim Report 2012-115: Insignificant Impact, (Sept. 2011), available at http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Session/2012/InterimReports/2012-115ca.pdf (last visited January 17, 2020).
16 Article VII, s. 18(d) of the Florida Constitution
V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

There may be an insignificant negative fiscal impact to local governments for implementation of the CS. With the exception of wastewater and chemical spills\textsuperscript{17}, counties and municipalities have no statutory direction on informing the State about localized emergency events or incidents in their jurisdiction(s). However, local governments currently share information regularly with the SWO regarding natural and technological hazards, so that the SWO is consistently provided with incident reports from across the state.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This CS creates the following section of the Florida Statutes: 252.351

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

( Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill. )

\textbf{CS by Infrastructure and Security on January 21, 2020:}

- Provides the DEM shall annually provide the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List to county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate; and

- Provides the DEM will maintain the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List, and shall annually notify county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate when the list is amended by the division director.

\textsuperscript{17}Supra, note 12.
B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Diaz) recommended the following:

**Senate Amendment (with title amendment)**

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 252.351, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

252.351 Mandatory reporting of certain incidents by counties and municipalities.—

(1) As soon as practicable following its initial response to an incident, a county or a municipality shall provide
notification to the State Watch Office within the division of any incidents listed in the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List which occur within the geographic boundaries of the county or municipality.

(2) The division shall annually provide the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List to county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate.

(3) The division shall maintain the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List, and shall annually notify county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate when the list is amended by the division director.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.

And the title is amended as follows:
Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled An act relating to emergency reporting; creating s. 252.351, F.S.; requiring a county or municipality to report certain incidents to the State Watch Office within the Division of Emergency Management; requiring the division to annually provide the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List to local emergency managers and the Legislature; requiring the division to maintain the reportable incidents list; requiring the division to notify local emergency managers and
40 the Legislature of any amendments to the reportable
41 incidents list; providing an effective date.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Diaz) recommended the following:

**Senate Amendment (with title amendment)**

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 252.351, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

252.351 Mandatory reporting of certain incidents by counties and municipalities.—

(1) As soon as practicable following its initial response to an incident, a county or a municipality shall provide
notification to the State Watch Office within the division of any incidents listed in the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List which occur within the geographic boundaries of the county or municipality. 

(2) The division shall annually provide the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List to county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate. 

(3) The division shall maintain the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List, and shall annually notify county and municipal emergency managers, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the President of the Senate when the list is amended by the division director. 

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.

================= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =================
And the title is amended as follows: 

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert: 

A bill to be entitled An act relating to emergency reporting; creating s. 252.351, F.S.; requiring a county or municipality to report certain incidents to the State Watch Office within the Division of Emergency Management; requiring the division to annually provide the State Watch Office Reportable Incidents List to local emergency managers and the Legislature; requiring the division to maintain the reportable incidents list; requiring the division to notify local emergency managers and
the Legislature of any amendments to the reportable incidents list; providing an effective date.
By Senator Diaz

A bill to be entitled An act relating to emergency reporting; creating s. 252.351, F.S.; requiring a county or municipality to report certain incidents to the State Watch Office within the Division of Emergency Management; authorizing the division to establish guidelines to specify additional information that must be provided by a reporting county or municipality; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 252.351, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

252.351 Mandatory reporting of certain incidents by counties and municipalities.—

(1) As soon as practicable following its initial response to an incident, a county or a municipality shall provide notification to the State Watch Office within the division of any of the following incidents that occur within the geographic boundaries of the county or municipality:

(a) Major fire incidents and search and rescue operations, including wildfires, multiunit commercial or residential fires, industrial accidents, structure collapses, urban search and rescue responses, and transportation incidents requiring a search and rescue response.

(b) Law enforcement incidents and other suspicious activity, including bomb threats, the report of a threat to inflict harm on large numbers of people or significant damage to critical infrastructure, a device detonation, the discovery of any suspicious device, civil events or disturbances, rioting, any law enforcement search or manhunt for a violent felony suspect, active shooter or active shooting situations, looting, poisoning, any incidents involving a suspicious powder, correctional facility incidents, a cyber-related infrastructure breach, a lockdown, and a security breach.

(c) Natural hazards, including earthquakes, ground subsidence or sinkholes, severe weather reports, and severe weather damage.

(d) Population protective actions, including any public health hazards, the establishment of shelter-in-place orders or evacuation orders, emergency shelter openings, hazards involving animals or agriculture, and food supply contamination or recalls.

(e) Technical hazards or environmental concerns, including petroleum spills; wastewater releases; hazardous material spills and releases; chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear incidents; nuclear power plant events; and environmental crimes.

(f) Transportation incidents, including incidents involving aircraft or airports, railroad accidents or derailments, major road or bridge closings, and incidents involving marine vessels that block a navigable channel of a major waterway.

(g) Incidents involving utilities or infrastructure, including dam failure or overtopping, a drinking water facility breach, a water quality issue or boil water advisory, and utility disruptions or major outages involving transmission lines or substations.

(h) Military events, when information regarding such
activity is provided to local officials.

(2) The division may establish guidelines specifying
additional information that a county or municipality must
provide to the State Watch Office when reporting an incident
pursuant to subsection (1).

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
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I. Summary:

CS/SB 636 provides authority for the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) and its agents (Tax Collectors) to collect and use electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers to contact customers for providing information other than renewal notifications.

The CS removes the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission (FWC) as an entity eligible to accept applications by electronic or telephonic means for vessel registration and titling.

The CS provides that all records made or kept by the DHSMV for vessel registration are subject to inspection and copying as provided in chapter 119.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Collection and Use of Cellular Telephone Numbers

The DHSMV lacks statutory authority to collect and use cellular telephone numbers as a method to communicate with customers in an expedited manner. Florida Statutes already allows for the collection of email addresses and the use of email, in lieu of the United State Postal Service (USPS), to provide renewal notices, including driver license renewal notices, registration renewal notices, and vessel registration renewal notices. However, current law does not allow the
Electronic or Telephonic Vessel Applications

The DHSMV is responsible for titling and registering vessels under chapter 328, F.S. The DHSMV is authorized to accept applications by electronic or telephonic means under s. 328.30, F.S., for titling and registering vessels; however, s. 328.80, F.S., also authorizes the FWC to do so. This inconsistency was the result of the passing of two laws in 1999 that amended the same section of statute.

Public Record Law

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. This applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provide that the public may access legislative and executive branch records. Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws, and is known as the Public Records Act. The Public Records Act states that:

[i]t is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted. The Florida Supreme

---

1 Section 319.40, F.S.
2 Section 320.95, F.S.
3 Section 322.08(10), F.S.
4 Section 328.30, F.S.
5 Section 328.80, F.S.
6 Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, Senate Bill 636 Bill Analysis (January 13, 2020) (on file with the Senate Committee on Infrastructure and Security).
7 In 1999, the Legislature transferred responsibility for the registration of vessels from the Department of Environmental Protection (“DEP”) to the DHMSV (Ch. 99-289, L.O.F.). During the same 1999 regular session, the Legislature transferred various other duties of DEP to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (“FWC”) (Ch. 99-245, L.O.F.). Although the DHSMV is responsible for the titling and registration of vessels, s. 328.80, F.S., authorizes FWC to accept applications by electronic or telephonic means under chapter 328, despite FWC having no statutory authority to provide titling and registration services for vessels under chapter 328.
8 Fla. Const., art. I, s. 24(a).
9 Id.
10 The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. Locke v. Hawkes, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see Times Pub. Co. v. Ake, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature’s records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislature are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.
11 Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.
12 Section 119.01(1), F.S.
13 Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form,
Court has interpreted public records as being “any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type.”\(^\text{14}\) A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.\(^\text{15}\)

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The CS amends ss. 319.40, F.S., to allow authorized agents of the DHSMV to collect electronic mail addresses and use electronic mail for title certificates, including, but not limited to, use of electronic mail in lieu of the USPS as a method of notification. It further requires all electronic mail addresses to be mutually shared between the DHSMV and its authorized agents upon request. However, any notice regarding the potential forfeiture or foreclosure of an interest in property must be sent via the USPS.

The CS amends ss. 320.95, 322.08, 328.30, and 328.80, F.S., to allow the DHSMV or its authorized agents to collect electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers to contact customers for business reasons other than a vessel title, vessel registration, motor vehicle license, driver license and identification card renewal notice. They may use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the USPS for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, and website information. It further requires all electronic mail addresses to be mutually shared between the DHSMV and its authorized agents upon request.

The CS further amends s. 328.80, F.S., to remove the FWC as an entity eligible to accept applications by electronic or telephonic means for vessel registration and titling.

The CS amends s. 328.40, F.S., to provide that all records made or kept by the DHSMV for vessel registration are subject to inspection and copying as provided in chapter 119.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

**A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

None.

\(^{14}\) *Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc.*, 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

\(^{15}\) Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.
B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:
None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:
None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:
None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:
None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:
None.

B. Private Sector Impact:
None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Local governments may incur indeterminate expenditures relating to information technology programming costs should authorized agents of the DHSMV pursue collection of cellular telephone numbers.\textsuperscript{16}

The DHSMV may incur indeterminate programming costs related to modifying existing systems to enable the capture of a cellular telephone number should the DHSMV pursue that activity.\textsuperscript{17}

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

\textsuperscript{16} Supra, note 6.
\textsuperscript{17} Id.
VIII. **Statutes Affected:**

This CS substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 319.40, 320.95, 322.08, 328.30, 328.40, and 328.80.

IX. **Additional Information:**

A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:**
   (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

   **CS by Infrastructure and Security on January 21, 2020:**
   - Changes the term “verified texting numbers” to “cellular telephone numbers”; and
   - Replaces “all electronic mail addresses shall be made available to the department or its authorized agents upon request” with “all electronic mail addresses shall be mutually shared between the department and its authorized agents upon request.”

B. **Amendments:**

   None.

---

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Stargel) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete lines 50 - 121
and insert:
mutually shared between the department and its authorized agents
upon request. However, any notice regarding the potential
forfeiture or foreclosure of an interest in property must be
sent via the United States Postal Service.

Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 320.95, Florida
Statutes, is amended to read:
320.95 Transactions by electronic or telephonic means.—
(2) The department or its authorized agents may collect electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, and website information. All electronic mail addresses shall be mutually shared between the department and its authorized agents upon request renewal notices.

Section 3. Subsection (10) of section 322.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
322.08 Application for license; requirements for license and identification card forms.—
(10) The department or its authorized agents may collect electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, and website information. All electronic mail addresses shall be mutually shared between the department and its authorized agents upon request renewal notices.

Section 4. Section 328.30, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
328.30 Transactions by electronic or telephonic means.—
(1) The Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles may
accept any application provided for under this part chapter by electronic or telephonic means.  
(2) The department may issue an electronic certificate of title in lieu of printing a paper title.  
(3) The department or its authorized agents may collect electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, and website information. All electronic mail addresses shall be mutually shared between the department and its authorized agents upon request renewal notices.  
   Section 5. Subsection (3) of section 328.40, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:  
328.40 Administration of vessel registration and titling laws; records.—  
(3) All records made or kept by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles under this part are subject to inspection and copying as provided in chapter 119 law are public records except for confidential reports.  
   Section 6. Section 328.80, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:  
328.80 Transactions by electronic or telephonic means.—  
(1) The Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles commission is authorized to accept any application provided for under this part chapter by electronic or telephonic means.  
(2) The department or its authorized agents may collect
electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers and use
electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States
Postal Service for the purpose of providing information under
this part, including, but not limited to, renewal notices,
appointment scheduling information, tax collector office
locations, hours of operation, and contact information. All
electronic mail addresses shall be mutually shared between the
department and its authorized agents upon request.

And the title is amended as follows:
Delete lines 8 - 37
and insert:
addresses be mutually shared between the department
and its authorized agents upon request; amending ss.
320.95 and 322.08, F.S.; authorizing the department or
its authorized agents to collect electronic mail
addresses or cellular telephone numbers and use
electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United
States Postal Service for certain purposes; requiring
that all electronic mail addresses be mutually shared
between the department and its authorized agents upon
request; amending s. 328.30, F.S.; limiting the
applications the department may accept by electronic
or telephonic means; authorizing the department or its
authorized agents to collect electronic mail addresses
or cellular telephone numbers and use electronic mail
or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal
Service for certain purposes; requiring that all
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electronic mail addresses be mutually shared between
the department and its authorized agents upon request;
amending s. 328.40, F.S.; requiring that certain
records made or kept by the department be subject to
inspection and copying; amending s. 328.80, F.S.;
authorizing the department, instead of the Fish and
Wildlife Conservation Commission, to accept certain
applications by electronic or telephonic means;
authorizing the department or its authorized agents to
collect electronic mail addresses or cellular
telephone numbers and use electronic mail or text
messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service
for certain purposes; requiring that all electronic
mail addresses be mutually shared between the
department and its authorized
An act relating to the Department of Highway Safety
and Motor Vehicles; amending s. 319.40, F.S.;
authorizing the Department of Highway Safety and Motor
Vehicles or its authorized agents to collect
electronic mail addresses and use electronic mail for
certain purposes; requiring that all electronic mail
addresses be made available to the department or its
authorized agents upon request; amending ss. 320.95
and 322.08, F.S.; authorizing the department or its
authorized agents to collect electronic mail addresses
or verified texting numbers and use electronic mail or
text messages in lieu of the United States Postal
Service for certain purposes; requiring that all
electronic mail addresses be made available to the
department or its authorized agents upon request;
amending s. 328.30, F.S.; limiting the applications
the department may accept by electronic or telephonic
means; authorizing the department or its authorized
agents to collect electronic mail addresses or
verified texting numbers and use electronic mail or
text messages in lieu of the United States Postal
Service for certain purposes; requiring that all
electronic mail addresses be made available to the
department or its authorized agents upon request;
amending s. 328.40, F.S.; requiring that certain
records made or kept by the department be subject to
inspection and copying; amending s. 328.80, F.S.;
authorizing the department, instead of the Fish and
Wildlife Conservation Commission, to accept certain
applications by electronic or telephonic means;
authorizing the department or its authorized agents to
collect electronic mail addresses or verified texting
numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in
lieu of the United States Postal Service for certain
purposes; requiring that all electronic mail addresses
be made available to the department or its authorized
agents upon request; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (3) of section 319.40, Florida
Statutes, is amended to read:

319.40 Transactions by electronic or telephonic means.—
(3) The department or its authorized agents may collect
electronic mail addresses and use electronic mail for purposes
of this chapter, including, but not limited to, use of
electronic mail in lieu of the United States Postal Service as a
method of notification. All electronic mail addresses shall be
made available to the department or its authorized agents upon
request. However, any notice regarding the potential forfeiture
or foreclosure of an interest in property must be sent via the
United States Postal Service.

Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 320.95, Florida
Statutes, is amended to read:

320.95 Transactions by electronic or telephonic means.—
(2) The department or its authorized agents may collect
electronic mail addresses or verified texting numbers and use
The department or its authorized agents may collect electronic mail addresses or verified texting numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, and website information. All electronic mail addresses shall be made available to the department or its authorized agents upon request.

Section 3. Subsection (10) of section 322.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

322.08 Application for license; requirements for license and identification card forms.—

(10) The department or its authorized agents may collect electronic mail addresses or verified texting numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, and website information. All electronic mail addresses shall be made available to the department or its authorized agents upon request.

Section 4. Section 328.30, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

328.30 Transactions by electronic or telephonic means.—

(1) The Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles may accept any application provided for under this part chapter by electronic or telephonic means.

(2) The department may issue an electronic certificate of title in lieu of printing a paper title.

(3) The department or its authorized agents may collect electronic mail addresses or verified texting numbers and use electronic mail or text messages in lieu of the United States Postal Service for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, contact information, and website information. All electronic mail addresses shall be made available to the department or its authorized agents upon request.
this part, including, but not limited to, renewal notices, appointment scheduling information, tax collector office locations, hours of operation, and contact information. All electronic mail addresses shall be made available to the department or its authorized agents upon request.

Section 7. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
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I. Summary:

CS/SB 676 creates the Florida High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act. Specifically, the bill provides a short title, definitions relating to the act, Legislative intent, and applicability; and requires the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to regulate certain railroad companies in this state to the extent not preempted by federal law. By January 1, 2021, the bill requires the FDOT to adopt minimum standards for public railroad-highway grade crossing design and installation of safety equipment, use of sealed corridors at such crossings, and field surveys for determining areas where fencing is necessary to protect the public. The bill authorizes the FDOT to impose an administrative penalty of up to $10,000 for each violation of the FDOT rules.

The bill imposes certain accident-related reporting requirements on railroad companies and the FDOT, as well as railroad reporting requirements, Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) training responsibilities, and FDOT rulemaking duties with respect to unplanned releases of liquefied natural gas. The bill specifies that the railroad reporting requirements are for informational purposes only and may not be used to economically regulate a railroad company.

The bill also assigns to railroad companies responsibility for certain costs incurred due to installation of safety improvements; but provides the newly created section of law may not be construed to impair existing contractual agreements between a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system and a governmental entity within the state.
The bill raises a number of federal preemption issues as discussed in more detail throughout the remainder of this analysis.

The bill may have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on the private sector and on state governments, and an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on local governments to the extent that future costs are avoided. See Section V. Fiscal Impact Statement for details.

II. Present Situation:

Following general discussion of current and relevant federal and state provisions of law, the present situation for each section of the bill is discussed below in conjunction with the Effect of Proposed Changes.

The Federal Regulatory Framework for Railroad Activities

The reach of federal law and regulations relating to various aspects of rail activities is extensive. Recognition of the need to regulate railroad operations at the federal level to provide uniformity, and Congress’ authority under the Commerce Clause\(^1\) to regulate the railroads, is well established.\(^2\) The U.S. Supreme Court has on numerous occasions recognized the preemptive effect of federal regulation of railroads, a scheme that is “among the most pervasive and comprehensive.”\(^3\) State and local regulation is often, but not always, preempted. A number of federal laws apply, but the following relevant federal provisions often involve questions of preemption of state and local efforts to regulate railroad activities.

The Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act of 1995

The Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act of 1995 (ICCTA)\(^4\) granted to the Surface Transportation Board (STB) exclusive jurisdiction, previously exercised by the Interstate Commerce Commission,\(^5\) over:

- Transportation by rail carriers\(^6\) and the remedies provided with respect to rates, classifications, rules (including car service, interchange, and other operating rules), practices, routes, services, and facilities of such carriers; and
- The construction, acquisition, operation, abandonment, or discontinuance of spur, industrial, team, switching, or side tracks, or facilities, even if the tracks are located, or intended to be located, entirely in one state.

Except as otherwise provided, the remedies “with respect to regulation of rail transportation are exclusive and preempt the remedies provided under Federal or State law.”\(^7\)

---

\(^1\) U.S. Const. art. VI.
\(^2\) See City of Auburn v. United States, 154 F.3d 1025 (9th Circuit 1998).
\(^4\) 49 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.
\(^5\) ICCTA abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission.
\(^6\) Defined to mean a person providing common carrier railroad transportation for compensation, but does not include street, suburban, or interurban electric railways not operated as part of the general system of rail transportation. 49 U.S.C. 10102(5).
\(^7\) 49 U.S.C. 10501(b).
State or local attempts to intrude into matters directly regulated by the STB; e.g., railroad rates, services, construction, or abandonment, are categorically preempted. ICCTA also prevents state or local imposition of requirements that could be used to deny a railroad the right to conduct rail operations or proceed with activities authorized by the STB. Even if a state or local requirement is not categorically preempted, state and local attempts to impose requirements on railroads may be preempted as applied; i.e., if the requirements unreasonably burden or interfere with rail transportation.\(^8\)

Thus, ICCTA preempts regulations that unreasonably interfere with railroad operations that come within the STB’s jurisdiction, regardless of whether the STB actively regulates the particular activity involved. ICCTA is broad and far-reaching, but “state and local actions taken under their retained police powers” are not preempted “as long as they do not unreasonably interfere with railroad operations or the Board’s regulatory programs.”\(^9\)

“States and towns may exercise traditional police powers over the development of railroad property, at least to the extent that the regulations protect the public health and safety, are settled and defined, can be obeyed with reasonable certainty, entail no extended or open-ended delays, and can be approved (or rejected) without the exercise of discretion on subjective questions.”\(^10\)

A conclusion as to whether a state or local regulation is preempted “requires a factual assessment of whether that action would have the effect of preventing or unreasonably interfering with railroad transportation.”\(^11\)

### The Federal Railroad Safety Act

The purpose of the federal rail safety program is to promote safety in every area of railroad operations and reduce railroad-related accidents and incidents.\(^12\) The program is implemented through mandatory federal safety requirements and through joint efforts of FRA and state inspections to determine compliance of railroads, shippers, and manufacturers with the federal requirements.\(^13\)

---

\(^8\) Surface Transportation Board Decision, Docket No. FD 35792, Decided October 29, 2014 (citations omitted), available at: [https://www.stb.gov/decisions/readingroom.nsf/cac42df635267da4852572b80041558c/2c4e7a01a148e0a385257d8200477be9?OpenDocument](https://www.stb.gov/decisions/readingroom.nsf/cac42df635267da4852572b80041558c/2c4e7a01a148e0a385257d8200477be9?OpenDocument) (last visited January 17, 2020).

\(^9\) ICCTA preempts more than explicit economic regulation. While “Congress was particularly concerned about state economic regulation of railroads when it enacted the ICCTA[,]” “[w]hat matters is the degree to which the challenged regulation burdens rail transportation…,” not the label placed on the regulation, economic or otherwise. “The ICCTA ‘completely preempts state laws (and remedies based on such laws) that directly attempt to manage or govern a railroad’s decisions in the economic realm.’” See [Town of Atherton v. California High-Speed Rail Authority](https://www.stb.gov/Decisions/readingroom.nsf/WEBUNID/3742BD042B141CAA85257ADB0079675B) (last visited January 17, 2020).


\(^11\) Id.

\(^12\) 49 U.S.C. 20101.

\(^13\) See 49 C.F.R. 212.101.
The general rule with respect to railroad safety and security calls for national uniformity to the extent practicable. Like the ICCTA, the Federal Railroad Safety Act (FRSA) may also preempt state and local actions. The FRSA in 49 U.S.C. s. 20106 contains an express preemption provision authorizing a state to adopt or continue in force a law, regulation, or order related to rail safety or security until the Secretary of Transportation (as to railroad safety) or the Secretary of Homeland Security (as to railroad security) issues a regulation or order covering the subject matter of the state requirement.

Additionally, a state may adopt or continue a more stringent law, regulation or order relating to railroad safety or security if the law, regulation, or order:

- Is necessary to eliminate or reduce an essentially local safety hazard;
- Is not incompatible with a law, regulation, or order of the United States Government; and
- Does not unreasonably burden interstate commerce.\(^{14}\)

**The Federal Hazardous Material Transportation Law**

The purpose of the Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation Law (HMTL)\(^{15}\) “is to protect against the risks to life, property, and the environment that are inherent in the transportation of hazardous material in intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce.”\(^ {16}\) The United State Department of Transportation (U.S.D.O.T.) secretary is charged with prescribing regulations for the safe transportation, including security, of hazardous material in intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce. A number of federal agencies share enforcement. One of the FRA’s primary emphases is on the transportation or shipment of hazardous material by rail.

The HMTL also contains express preemption provisions. Except as otherwise provided, a state or local requirement relating to rail safety or security is preempted if:

- Complying with the state or local requirement and a federal requirements is not possible;\(^{18}\)
- A state or local requirement, as applied or enforced, is an obstacle to carrying out a federal safety requirement or regulation or security regulation or directive;\(^ {19}\)
- A state or local requirement relating to any of the following is not substantively the same as a federal requirement:
  - The designation, description, and classification of hazardous material;
  - The packing, repacking, handling, labeling, marking, and placarding of hazardous material;

---

\(^{14}\) The FRSA was amended in 2007 to clarify that the preemption provision does not preempt an action under state law seeking damages for personal injury, death, or property damage alleging a party failed to comply with the Federal standard of care established by the Transportation or Homeland Security secretaries covering the subject matter; failed to comply with its own plan, rule, or standard that it created pursuant to a regulation or order issued by either of the secretaries; or has failed to comply with a state law, regulation, or order not incompatible with 49 U.S.C. s. 20106(a)(2).

\(^{15}\) 49 U.S.C. 5101-5128.

\(^{16}\) 49 U.S.C. 5101.

\(^{17}\) 49 U.S.C. 5103


\(^{19}\) Labeled the “obstacle” test. Id.
The preparation, execution, and use of shipping documents related to hazardous material and requirement related to the number, contents, and placement of those documents;

The written notification, recording, and reporting of the unintentional release in transportation of hazardous material and other written hazardous materials transportation incident reporting involving State or local emergency responders in the initial response to the incident; and

The designing, manufacturing, fabricating, inspecting, marking, maintaining, reconditioning, repairing, or testing a package, container, or packaging component that is represented, marked, certified, or sold as qualified for use in transportation hazardous material in commerce.20

Section 5125(d) of 49 U.S.C. authorizes a person (including a state, political subdivision of a state, or Indian tribe) directly affected by a requirement of the state, political subdivision or Indian tribe to apply to the U.S.D.O.T. secretary for a determination of whether such a requirement is preempted.

A state, political subdivision, or Indian tribe may also in some cases apply to the secretary for a waiver of preemption, and the secretary may waive preemption if the given requirement provides the public at least as much protection as do the federal HMTL provisions and regulations and is not an unreasonable burden on commerce.21

Recent Federal Railroad Administration Rule

Effective in January of 2019, the FRA issued a final rule amending the FRA’s passenger equipment safety standards governing the construction of conventional and high-speed passenger rail equipment. Among other items, the rule adds a new tier of passenger equipment safety standards for interoperable high-speed passenger rail service at speeds up to 220 mph. Under the rule, these trainsets are required to operate in exclusive rights-of-way without grade crossings at speeds above 125 mph, but these trains are authorized to share the right-of-way with freight trains and other tiers of passenger equipment at speeds not exceeding 125 mph.22

Rail Programs and Activity in Florida

Section 341.302, F.S., prescribes the duties and responsibilities of the FDOT in relation to Florida’s rail program. The FDOT, in conjunction with other governmental units and the private sector, is directed to develop and implement a statewide rail program ensuring “the proper maintenance, safety, revitalization, and expansion of the rail system” necessary to respond to statewide mobility needs.23 The rail system plan must identify the priorities, programs, and funding levels required to meet statewide needs and assure the maximum use of existing facilities along with the integration and coordination of the various modes of transportation in the most cost-effective manner possible.24 The FDOT is required to update the rail system plan every

20 Labeled the “substantively the same as” test. Supra note 18.
23 Section 341.302, F.S.
24 Section 341.302(3), F.S.
two years and to include plans for both passenger and freight rail service. The FDOT is also directed to promote and facilitate the implementation of advanced rail systems, including high-speed rail.

**Commuter Rail**

In 1988, the FDOT and CSX Transportation, Inc., (CSX) entered into an agreement under which the department bought approximately 81 miles of CSX track and right-of-way in order to operate commuter rail in South Florida. Today, the commuter rail system (Tri-Rail) is operated by the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority and continues to serve Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach counties.

In addition, in 2007, the FDOT entered into an agreement with CSX to purchase 61.5 miles of track or right-of-way in Central Florida to provide commuter rail service. Known as SunRail, the first phase of the project opened in 2014, connecting DeBary in Volusia County to Sand Lake Road in Orange County and featuring 12 Central Florida stations. The FDOT operates the SunRail system, and CSX continues to operate freight trains in the corridor.

SunRail’s southern expansion into Osceola County began in 2016 and opened in 2018, connecting Sand Lake Road in Orange County to Poinciana in Osceola County, with a 17.2-mile segment featuring four additional stations. Northern expansion plans are expected to link DeBary to DeLand in Volusia County. This project is a 12-mile segment, adding one station to the existing system.

**High-Speed Rail/Florida Rail Enterprise**

In November of 2000, the Florida voters approved a constitutional amendment mandating the construction of a high-speed transportation system for the state. The amendment required the use of train technologies that operate at speeds in excess of 120 miles per hour. The high-speed rail system was to link the five largest urban areas in Florida, and construction was mandated to begin by November 1, 2003. To implement the constitutional amendment, the Florida Legislature enacted the Florida High-Speed Rail Authority Act and created the Florida High-Speed Rail Authority in 2002. In November 2004, Florida voters approved repeal of the high-speed rail constitutional amendment.

In 2009, the Legislature repealed the Florida High-Speed Rail Authority and re-named the Florida High-Speed Rail Act as the Florida Rail Enterprise Act. In place of the Authority, the

---

25 Id.
26 Section 341.302(2), F.S.
31 Section 19, Article X of the State Constitution.
33 Chapter 2009-271, L.O.F.
Legislature established the Florida Rail Enterprise as part of the FDOT\(^3\) and directed the Enterprise to locate, plan, design, finance, construct, maintain, own, operate, administer, and manage the high-speed rail system in the state.\(^4\) The Legislature also created the Florida Statewide Passenger Rail Commission to advise the FDOT on policies and strategies for a coordinated statewide system of passenger rail services, and evaluating passenger rail policies and provided advice and recommendations. The Commission was abolished in 2014.\(^5\)

Section 341.822, F.S., authorizes the Rail enterprise to plan, construct, maintain, repair, and operate a high-speed rail system, to acquire corridors, and to coordinate the development and operation of publicly funded passenger rail systems in the state. The FDOT is the only governmental entity authorized to acquire, construct, maintain, or operate the high-speed rail system.\(^6\)

**The All Aboard Florida Project**

Florida East Coast Industries (FECI) was incorporated in 1983 and became the holding company for the Florida East Coast Railway (FECR).\(^7\) In 2007, Fortress Investment Group (Fortress) acquired FECI.\(^8\) All Aboard Florida (AAF) is part of FECI.\(^9\) In 2017, Japanese-based SoftBank agreed to purchase Fortress, and Grupo Mexico acquired the FECR.\(^10\)

AAF is an express train service, called “Brightline,”\(^11\) which uses the existing FECR corridor between Miami and Cocoa. AAF will build new track along State Road 528 between Cocoa and Orlando.\(^12\) Brightline recently announced a partnership and trademark licensing agreement with the Virgin Group, under which Brightline has been renamed to Virgin Trains USA.\(^13\) Currently, the service operates with three stations, one each in Miami, Fort Lauderdale, and West Palm.

\(^3\) See s. 20.23(4)(a), F.S.

\(^4\) Section 341.822, F.S.

\(^5\) Chapter 2014-223, L.O.F.

\(^6\) Defined in s. 341.8203(4), F.S., to mean any high-speed fixed guideway system for transporting people or goods, which system is, by definition of the United States Department of Transportation, reasonably expected to reach speeds of at least 110 miles per hour, including but not limited to, a monorail system, dual track rail system, suspended rail system, magnetic levitation system, pneumatic repulsion system, or other system approved by the enterprise. The term is broadly defined and includes a long list of additional items in the definition.

\(^7\) See the Florida East Coast Railway website available at: https://fecrwy.com/history/ (last visited January 17, 2020).


\(^9\) See the brightline website available at: https://www.gobrightline.com/about-us (last visited January 17, 2020).


\(^12\) See the brightline website available at: https://www.gobrightline.com/routes-stations (last visited January 17, 2020).

\(^13\) *Infra* note 46.

Beach, with future plans for an additional station in Orlando. Brightline also submitted an unsolicited proposal to the FDOT for a high-speed rail connection from Orlando to Tampa.  

According to AAF, Brightline will travel at speeds between 79 and 125 miles per hour. Between Miami and West Palm, the trains will travel up to 79 mph; between West Palm to Cocoa, up to 110 mph; and from Cocoa to Orlando, up to 125 mph, with actual speed varying depending on corridor conditions and configurations.  

Cities and counties along Florida’s east coast reportedly have existing crossing agreements with Florida East Coast Railway. Under those agreements, the local governments usually have financial responsibility for crossing signal installations, capital improvements for track beds and roadway surfaces, crossing maintenance costs, and pedestrian gates and sidewalks. AAF reportedly wishes to be named a third-party beneficiary in those agreements already in place and reportedly has accomplished that goal, at least in some cases. At least one local government has reportedly entered into new agreements with AAF identifying responsibility for safety upgrades and maintenance. 

**OPPAGA Study - Florida Passenger Rail System Study**

The 2018 General Appropriations Act directed the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) to contract with an independent consultant to study existing and planned passenger rail, including high speed passenger rail, in the state. To complete this study, OPPAGA contracted with CPCS Transcom Inc., a company that provides consulting services in the areas of transportation and infrastructure, including rail operations and safety. The study examined both various aspects of Florida’s passenger rail systems and the FDOT’s role in oversight of passenger rail with respect to maintenance, safety, revitalization, and expansion.

---


The OPPAGA study examined passenger rail systems in Florida under the jurisdiction of the Federal Railroad Administration, including Amtrak, Brightline, SunRail, and Tri-Rail.\textsuperscript{53} The study included three components:

- A detailed inventory and description of the Florida Passenger Rail System focusing on operating passenger railroad companies and planned passenger rail projects.
- An analysis of incident data involving passenger rail operations and grade crossings.
- An overview of jurisdictions that regulate passenger rail operations on a federal, state, regional and local level, including the establishment and expansion of services; reporting of railroad incidents and rectification of safety issues; and maintenance of tracks, crossing and safety equipment.

The report contained various broad recommendations related to passenger rail operations, safety, and railroad policy in Florida with accompanying legislative and FDOT considerations that are summarized in the report, under six categories:

- Updating FDOT’s Mandate,
- Setting New Regulations for Higher Speed Rail,
- Implementing State of the Art Practices,
- Enforcing Railroad Trespass Violations,
- Reviewing Rail Safety Funding Resources, and
- Continuing Research to Promote Public Safety Along Railroads.\textsuperscript{54}

The report additionally focuses on specific “gaps” in existing regulations with respect to certain aspects of operation of passenger service at speeds between 81 and 125 mph. Noting that responsibility for the gaps does or could rest with the FDOT, the report identifies those gaps as follows:

- Grade crossing minimum design standards,
- Certification of new passenger rail lines,
- Fencing,
- Sealed corridor regulations, and
- Railroad noise and quiet zones.\textsuperscript{55}

\section*{III. Effect of Proposed Changes:}

The bill implements some, but not all of the OPPAGA rail study recommendations for filling in the regulatory “gaps” identified in the report, along with related provisions. The bill creates the Florida High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act, requiring the FDOT to regulate railroad companies within the state to the extent that such authority is not preempted by federal law or regulation. Generally, the bill requires the FDOT to adopt certain minimum standards or criteria for regulation in specified areas and authorizes the FDOT to impose up to a $10,000 administrative penalty for each violation of the required rules. The bill assigns various duties to

\textsuperscript{53} The complete study is available at: http://www.oppaga.state.fl.us/MonitorDocs/Reports/pdf/18-RAILrpt.pdf (last visited January 17, 2020)
\textsuperscript{54} \textit{Id} at pp. x-xi
\textsuperscript{55} \textit{Id.} at p. 78.
railroad companies, the FDOT, and the FDEM related to certain privately owned high-speed passenger rail (HSPR) operations.

**Short Title, Definitions, Legislative Intent, and Applicability (Sections 1-4)**

**Present Situation**

While Florida law does contain definitions relating to a publicly funded passenger rail system and a number of provisions relating to high-speed rail, Florida law currently does not specifically contain a “High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act” nor any definitions, Legislative intent, or applicability provisions specific to such an act.

**Effect of Proposed Changes**

**Section 1** of the bill creates s. 341.601, F.S., providing a short title for the act, the “Florida High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act,” including ss. 341.601 through 341.611, F.S.

**Section 2** of the bill creates s. 341.602, F.S., providing the following definitions as used in the act:

- “Department” means the Department of Transportation;
- “Freight railroad carrier” means any person, railroad corporation, or other legal entity in the business of providing freight rail transportation;
- “Governmental entity” means the state, any of its agencies, or any of its political subdivisions;
- “Hazardous materials” includes all materials, wastes, or substances designated or defined as hazardous by 49 C.F.R. parts 100-199 and their implementing regulations, by 42 U.S.C. s. 9601, or in any state law, rule, or program that regulates handling or transporting of such materials, wastes, or substances;
- “High-speed passenger rail system” (HSPR system) means any intrastate passenger rail system that operates or proposes to operate its passenger trains at a maximum speed in excess of 80 miles per hour.
- “Public railroad-highway grade crossing” means a location at which a railroad track is crossed at grade by a public road.
- “Rail corridor” means a linear, continuous strip of real property that is used for rail service. The term includes the corridor and structures essential to railroad operations, including the land, buildings, improvements, rights-of-way, easements, rail lines, roadbeds, guideway structures, switches, yards, parking facilities, power relays, switching houses, rail stations, any ancillary developments, and any other facilities or equipment used for the purposes of construction, operation, or maintenance of a railroad that provides rail service.
- “Railroad company” means any individual, partnership, association, corporation, or company and its respective lessees, trustees, or court-appointed receivers which develops or provides ground transportation that runs on rails, including, but not limited to, any of the following:
  - A HSPR system;
  - A freight railroad carrier; or
  - A company that owns a rail corridor.
- “Sealed corridor” means a railroad corridor that uses safety measures to block all lanes of travel where a roadway crosses a railroad track and that uses pedestrian treatments at grade crossings and controls between crossings to prevent trespassing.
**Section 3** of the bill creates s. 341.603, F.S., expressing the Legislature’s intent to encourage the creation of safe and economical transportation options for this state’s residents and visitors, including HSPR systems; and to promote and enhance the safe operation of HSPR systems within the state to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

**Section 4** of the bill creates s. 341.604, F.S., applying the act to any railroad company that operates a HSPR system and any railroad company that allows a HSPR system to operate on or within its rail corridor.

**FDOT Powers, Duties, and Rulemaking (Section 5)**

**Present Situation**

**FDOT Authority to Regulate Railroad Companies/Obtain Information/Keep Records:** Except for specific areas referred to in state law (such as rail crossings and federally delegated safety inspections), the FDOT’s regulatory authority over railroad companies is limited in scope. Under the federal regulatory scheme, state or local attempts to regulate railroad companies, including obligating a railroad to provide information and requiring a railroad to keep records, may or may not be preempted under one or more federal laws.

Whether federal preemption applies is dependent upon the particular regulation, the information sought, and the record-keeping requirement. For example, if the regulation or requirement is already addressed in one or more federal provisions, an analysis under those provisions must be conducted to determine whether preemption, or any exception to preemption, applies. Research reveals numerous examples of litigation involving such questions, with results turning on the specific words of, and sometimes their placement in, any given regulation. To the extent that any state regulation or record-keeping requirement is not preempted, and the FDOT has state-granted legal authority, the FDOT may exercise such authority. The same analysis would apply to any FDOT rule adopted pursuant to the bill’s rulemaking requirements.

**Railroad-Highway Grade Crossing Responsibility:** The FDOT is granted regulatory authority over all public railroad-highway grade crossings in the state, including issuance of permits required to open and close any such crossing. The FDOT is directed, in cooperation with railroads operating in the state, to develop and adopt a program for the expenditure of funds available for the construction of projects to reduce hazards at public railroad-highway grade crossings. Section 335.141(2)(b), F.S., requires every railroad company maintaining a public railroad-highway grade crossing, upon reasonable notice from the FDOT, to install, maintain, and operate at such crossing traffic control devices to provide motorists with warning of the approach of trains. The FDOT’s notice must be based on its adopted hazard reduction program and on construction efficiency considerations relating to the geographical proximity of crossings included in the program. The FDOT must approve the design of the traffic control devices, and the costs of purchase and installation must be paid from the funds in the adopted program.

A railroad company must maintain at its own expense any public railroad crossing opened prior to July 1, 1972, unless the maintenance has been provided for through a contractual agreement.

---

56 Section 335.141, F.S.
entered into prior to October 1, 1982. If the railroad fails to maintain a crossing, the
governmental entity with jurisdiction, after notice to the railroad of needed repairs and 30 days
after the railroad’s receipt of the notice, is required to make the repairs. The repair cost becomes
a lien on the railroad and its rolling stock, enforceable by filing suit, and any judgment includes a
reasonable attorney’s fee.\textsuperscript{57}

Pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 130, federal funds are available to states for projects that eliminate rail-
highway crossing hazards to both vehicles and pedestrians. State laws requiring railroads to share
in the cost of work for the elimination of hazards at rail-highway crossings do not apply to
projects using federal funds.\textsuperscript{58} The applicable regulation sets out a railroad’s required share of
costs in such projects and, in many cases, the railroad has no required share. If a project is not
funded through the federal hazard reduction program, it appears state laws requiring a railroad’s
participation in the cost of rail-highway grade crossing improvements may be permissible, in the
absence of any applicable contractual agreement otherwise providing for such costs.

Chapter 351, F.S., contains additional relevant provisions:
- Every railroad company is responsible for erecting and maintaining crossbuck warning signs
  at all public or private crossings in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control
  Devices (MUTCD).\textsuperscript{59, 60}
- The governmental entity with jurisdiction or maintenance responsibility must install and
  maintain advance railroad warning signs and pavement markings at public crossings in
  accordance with the MUTCD.\textsuperscript{61}

Prior to the work on the grade or the highway approaches at a public railroad-highway crossing,
the railroad or the governmental entity initiating the work must notify the other party to promote
coordination and ensure a safe crossing with smooth pavement transitions from the grade of the
railroad to the highway approaches.\textsuperscript{62}

Remote Health Monitoring (RHM): RHM systems provide a variety of uses and are designed to
monitor various functions of railroad operations. They generate data related to fuel consumption;
engineer compliance with train operation protocols; train speeds, locations, and direction; control
system fault detection; and more. These systems can be customized to fit specific requirements.\textsuperscript{63}
In its diagnostic safety review of the FECR grade crossings for the All Aboard Florida project in
Brevard and Indian River Counties, the FRA recommended that “four-quadrant gate systems

\begin{itemize}
\item Section 335.141(2)(c), F.S.
\item Section 351.03(1), F.S.
\item The MUTCD is the national standard for all traffic control devices installed on any street, highway, bikeway, or private
  road open to travel and is intended to obtain basic uniformity of traffic control devices. The FDOT has adopted the MUTCD
  as directed by s. 316.0745, F.S. Per guidance in the manual, “The appropriate traffic
  control system to be used at a highway-rail grade crossing should be determined by an engineering study involving both the
  highway agency and the railroad company.” See the MUTCD introduction, p. 748, available at: https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part8.pdf (last
  visited January 17, 2020).
\item Section 351.03(2), F.S.
\item Section 351.141(2)(d), F.S.
\item See article Multi-Purpose Monitoring Technology, October 6, 2014, available at:
\end{itemize}
should include remote health (status) monitoring capable of automatically notifying railroad or signal maintenance personnel when anomalies have occurred within the system.”\textsuperscript{64} The MUTCD similarly provides that four-quadrant gate systems should include RHM but it does not mandate RHM inclusion.\textsuperscript{65}

Traffic Signal Preemption Systems: The MUTCD, among other relevant provisions, contains an entire Part 8 dedicated to traffic control for railroad and light rail transit grade crossings, including numerous provisions relating to traffic signal preemption, along with supporting references to industry publications.

Sealed Corridors: According to the FRA, it has “advocated for a minimum of active warning systems with gates, controlled by constant warning time circuitry, on rail lines with speeds of 80 mph and greater,”\textsuperscript{66} but new developments have pointed to additional strategies. “The State of North Carolina has pioneered many of the subsequent advances on the North Carolina Railroad under the concept of a ‘sealed corridor.’” According to the FRA, sealed corridor treatment provides an additional layer of safety by blocking all lanes of travel, preventing left turns from parallel roadways that inadvertently result in driving around the tip of the gate arm, and by discouraging those who might attempt to go around the lowered gate. Blocking travel lanes can be accomplished by using one or more of the following:

- Four-quadrant gates,
- Median arrangements, and
- Paired one-way streets with gate arms extending across all lanes of travel.\textsuperscript{66}

These improvements “can be paired with selective use of barrier gates at particularly troublesome crossings.” Further, “It should be noted that sealed corridor treatments are also appropriate at crossings with more than two tracks, regardless of speed, and particularly near passenger stations. Additional warning time will be required at these locations to ensure that all road traffic clears the crossing. Pedestrian gates and effective channelization should be provided.”\textsuperscript{67}

Crossing Gate Installation Maintenance of Roadbed/Track/Culverts/Streets/Sidewalks: Cities and counties along Florida’s east coast reportedly have existing crossing agreements with Florida East Coast Railway. Under those agreements, the local governments usually have financial responsibility for crossing signal installations, capital improvements for track beds and roadway surfaces, crossing maintenance costs, and pedestrian gates and sidewalks.\textsuperscript{68}

\textsuperscript{64} See the FRA On-Site Engineering Field Report – Part 2. (on file in the Senate Infrastructure and Security Committee.)
\textsuperscript{65} See the MUTCD, Part 8, s. 8C.06, available at: https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/part8.pdf (last visited January 17, 2020).
\textsuperscript{67} Id. As noted in the preface, the FRA Guidelines are not regulations and do not establish a standard of care; i.e., compliance with the guidelines is not required. For more information on North Carolina’s sealed corridor program, see the North Carolina Department of Transportation website available at https://www.ncdot.gov/divisions/rail/projects/Pages/sealed-corridor-program.aspx (last visited January 17, 2020).
\textsuperscript{68} Supra note 48.
Fencing Requirements: Research reveals that while the federal government has studied the use of fencing to restrict access to railroad right-of-way by pedestrians, federal law apparently does not require railroads to install such fencing. A 2014 U.S.D.O.T. technical report expresses the view that fencing along an entire railroad right-of-way would not be reasonable due to the size of the U.S. rail system and necessary access points. The report notes that targeting high-risk areas for fencing may be possible and acknowledges an ongoing debate as to the effectiveness of fencing as a method for increasing rail safety. 69

Other state jurisdictions do have laws relating to fencing of railroad right-of-way and making railroads liable for damages resulting from the failure to do so. For example, Minnesota requires every railroad company to build and maintain fences on each side of all lines of its railroad, with certain exceptions. 70 New York requires every railroad to erect and maintain a fence along the boundary line of its right-of-way if, after a hearing, a determination is made that fencing is necessary. The New York transportation commissioner is authorized to prescribe by order the height, length, materials and design of the fencing. 71 Research reveals no challenge to these state requirements.

Effect of Proposed Changes

Section 5 of the bill creates s. 341.605, F.S., providing the FDOT shall, to the extent that such authority is not preempted by federal law or regulation:

- Regulate railroad companies in this state;
- Obtain from any party all information necessary to enable it to perform its duties and carry out the act’s requirements;
- Keep a record of all its findings, decisions, and determinations made, and investigations conducted, under the act; and
- By January 1, 2021, adopt rules to administer the act, which rules must include minimum standards or criteria for:
  - Public railroad-highway grade crossing design, including, but not limited to, installation of appropriate safety equipment, such as remote health monitoring and traffic signal preemption systems;
  - Implementation of sealed corridors and of safety measures to be used at sealed corridors;
  - Installation or realignment of crossing gates at severely skewed, acute-angled grade crossings along the rail corridor; and
  - Field surveys of the rail corridor to be conducted for the purpose of identifying areas where fencing is necessary to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public, including, but not limited to, minimum requirements for construction and materials.

Railroad-Highway Grade Crossing Responsibility: The rulemaking requirements address the regulatory “gaps” identified in the OPPAGA report and recommended as considerations for the FDOT to consider under authority the department does or could have; i.e., setting minimum grade crossing design standards, setting requirements for fencing along railroad corridors, and

---

70 Section 219.31, Minnesota Statutes.
71 RRD, Article 3, s. 52-B, Laws of New York.
creating guidelines for sealed corridor treatment along railroad corridors. FDOT rules setting minimum standards as required in the bill generally appear to be valid and enforceable under its existing statutory responsibility.

Remote Health Monitoring: While the FRA has recommended RHM for grade crossings that will have four-quadrant gates in Brevard and Indian River Counties, research reveals no federal requirement for such monitoring systems as part of warning systems at grade crossings. On the one hand, preemption may not apply under the theory that federal law and regulations have not “covered the subject matter,” thus, allowing a state to enact such a requirement. Additionally, the effect may also turn on whether such installation is funded through the federal hazard reduction program. If not, an FDOT rule containing minimum criteria for installation of RHM may be valid for HSPR systems that are not already covered by a contractual agreement that imposes responsibility for such costs.

Traffic Signal Preemption Systems: As the MUTCD already addresses minimum standards for preemption of traffic signals near railroad crossings and is already incorporated into Florida law under s. 316.0745, F.S., whether the FDOT would need to adopt additional standards is unclear.

Sealed Corridors: While the FRA has published guidelines relating to sealed corridor treatments, it has not mandated any such requirements and points to the State of North Carolina as an example of best practices. An FDOT rule including minimum standards for sealed corridor implementation as required in the bill is apparently not preempted by federal law or regulation.

Crossing Gate Installation/Realignment: To the extent that existing contractual agreements place financial responsibility for crossing signal installations, capital improvements for track beds and roadway surfaces, crossing maintenance costs, and pedestrian gates and sidewalks on cities and counties (and to the extent that no such work is a part of the FDOT’s federally-funded grade crossing hazard reduction program), the bill likely has no effect. Those existing contracts remain in place and are not impaired.

To the extent that no agreements are in place covering a HSPR system, the bill may make railroad companies responsible for these costs (unless funded by the federal hazard reduction program, which provides in many cases that railroads do not share in costs).

Fencing Requirements: To the extent that existing contractual agreements do not address costs related to fencing requirements, and given that other states have imposed fencing requirements without challenge, an FDOT rule containing minimum standards for fencing to protect the public health, safety, and welfare, may survive a challenge on grounds of preemption.

72 Id. at pp. x-xi (Groups 2. and 3.) and p. 78.
73 Supra note 60.
Hazardous Materials Training (Section 6)

Present Situation

Hazardous material employers are required to train their hazardous material employees and to keep certain records related to that training.\(^{74}\) Federal law allows training to be provided by the hazardous material employer or by other public or private sources.\(^{75}\) Computer-based training programs are also available.\(^{76}\) Florida law charges the Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) with coordinating federal, state, and local emergency management activities to ensure the availability of adequately trained and equipped forces of emergency management personnel before, during, and after emergencies and disasters. Additionally, the FDEM is responsible for implementing training programs to improve the ability of state and local emergency management personnel to prepare and implement emergency management plans and programs.\(^{77}\)

Effect of Proposed Changes

Section 6 of the bill creates s. 341.606, F.S., requiring the FDEM, if a HSPR system operates on a rail corridor or on a set of tracks which is also used to transport hazardous materials, to offer the local communities and local agencies located along the corridor training specifically designed to help them respond to an accident involving rail passengers or hazardous materials.

A review of the FDEM’s website suggests that similar training may already be available.\(^{78}\)

Reporting Requirements (Section 7)

Present Situation

Florida law does not currently address railroad company reporting requirements related to accident reports, liquefied natural gas (LNG) shipments, or worst-case LNG release impacts.

Accident Reports: With certain exceptions, each railroad is required to submit to the FRA a monthly report of all railroad accidents or incidents that are:

- Highway-rail grade crossing accidents;
- Rail equipment accidents (collisions, derailments, fires, explosions, acts of God, and other events involving the operation of on-track equipment resulting in specified damages); and
- Death, injury, or occupational illness.\(^{79}\)

Federal regulations prescribe the forms to be used, which must be completed in accordance with the current FRA Guide and submitted within 30 days after expiration of the month during which

\(^{74}\) See 49 C.F.R. 172, Subpart H.

\(^{75}\) See 49 C.F.R. 172.702. See also 49 C.F.R. 172.704 for specific training requirements.


\(^{77}\) Section 252.35(2)(l) and (n), F.S.


\(^{79}\) 49 C.F.R. 225.11 and 225.19.
the accidents occur.\textsuperscript{80} The FRA Office of Safety Analysis makes available railroad safety information, including accidents and incidents, inventory, and highway-rail crossing data, on a website that allows queries for accident, casualty, and crossing accident data by state.\textsuperscript{81} Federal regulation authorizes any state to require railroads, for occurrences within that state, to submit to the state copies of accident/incident and injury/illness reports filed with the FRA.\textsuperscript{82}

LNG Shipment by Rail: LNG is classified as a hazardous material.\textsuperscript{83} Current federal regulations prohibit transportation of bulk packaging (e.g., portable tanks, intermediate bulk containers, large packaging, cargo tanks, multi-unit tank car tanks) containing a hazardous material in container-on-flatcar (COFC) or trailer-on-flatcar (TOFC) service except as authorized by 49 C.F.R. 174.63\textsuperscript{84} or unless approved for transportation by the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety. The FECR reportedly “has already received approval, and has begun transporting LNG between Port Miami and Port Everglades.”\textsuperscript{85}

Worst-Case Release Calculation: The State of Washington reportedly looked to federal rule making by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration and the FRA, and to the tank-car derailment and leakage of some 1.6 million gallons of oil in Lac-Megantic, Quebec, in arriving at its regulations with respect to an unplanned crude oil release.\textsuperscript{86} Among other information, the regulations require extensive insurance and financial information sufficient to demonstrate the railroad company’s ability to pay the costs to clean up a reasonable worst-case spill of oil. Research reveals no legal challenge to the Washington regulation. It is therefore unknown whether the regulation would withstand a challenge on grounds it is preempted by federal law. With respect to onshore oil pipelines, 49 C.F.R part 194 requires such pipeline operators to submit a response plan. Each operator is required to determine the worst-case discharge, providing the methodology and calculations used to arrive at the discharge volume.

\textit{Effect of Proposed Changes}

Section 7 of the bill creates s. 341.607, F.S., requiring the following:

- A railroad company operating a HSPR system must provide to the FDOT copies of accident reports filed with the FRA for each train accident that occurs within the rail corridor;

\textsuperscript{80} 49 C.F.R. 225.11.
\textsuperscript{82} 49 C.F.R. 225.1.
\textsuperscript{84} This section of the federal regulations lays out very specific conditions under which bulk-packaged hazardous materials may be transported on the specified cars, based on factors such as the type of transport vehicle, restraint system, container support system, and load configuration. However, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration issued a notice in October of 2019 proposing changes to the regulations to authorize bulk transport of LNG in certain rail tank cars. See the Federal Register, Vol. 84, No. 206, p. 56964, October 24, 2019, available at http://www.puntocal.com/notifc_otros_miembros/usa1544_t.pdf (last visited January 17, 2020).
- The FDOT must annually publish on its website a compendium of the reports that include any fatalities, injuries, and accidents occurring within the reporting timeframe which have occurred within a rail corridor where a HSPR system operates; and
- A railroad company that transports LNG on the same tracks or within the same rail corridor used by a HSPR system must annually submit a report to the FDOT containing the size of the average and largest LNG train, as measured in metric tons, operated in the state by the railroad company in the previous calendar year.

This section of the bill also requires the FDOT, in coordination with the FRA and other public and private entities, as necessary, to adopt by rule criteria to determine a reasonable worst-case unplanned release of LNG.

Additionally, the bill provides that the reporting requirements are for informational purposes only and may not be used to economically regulate the railroad company.

**Accident Reports:** Requiring a railroad company to furnish to the FDOT copies of accident reports filed with the FRA for each accident occurring within this state is authorized by federal law.\(^{87}\) Whether it is permissible under federal law to require the FDOT to take the additional step of preparing a compendium of the reports on fatalities, injuries, and accidents during the specified reporting period for publication on the FDOT’s website, in addition to simply publishing the FRA-required accident/incident reports on the FDOT website, is unclear.\(^{88}\)

**LNG Annual Disclosure:** Whether the bill’s provisions that the reporting requirements are for informational purposes only and may not be used to economically regulate the railroad company would enable it to withstand a challenge based on preemption is likewise unclear given the absence of any challenge to the Washington statute, which requires extensive financial and insurance information in addition to the more limited disclosure of the size of the average and largest LNG train operated in the previous year, as required by the bill. However, the bill imposes no penalty against a railroad company, even if, for example, a railroad company made no report at all.\(^{89}\)

**Minimum Safety Standards for HSPR Systems (Section 8)**

**Present Situation**

**Compliance with Federal Law and Regulation:** Railroad companies are currently required to comply with any applicable federal law or regulation.

---

\(^{87}\) *Supra* note 84.

\(^{88}\) If these reports contain confidential commercial information as defined under federal law (trade secrets and confidential, privileged, and/or proprietary business or financial information submitted to the [U.S. Department of Transportation] by any person), a Freedom of Information Act request to the FRA may be required. See the FDOT 2020 Legislative Bill Analysis for SB 676 available at [http://abar.laspbs.state.fl.us/ABAR/ABAR.aspx](http://abar.laspbs.state.fl.us/ABAR/ABAR.aspx) (last visited January 17, 2020). The FDOT may be unable to comply with the bill’s requirement in such cases.

\(^{89}\) Under the bill, the authorized administrative penalty applies only to violations of the rules adopted under the new s. 341.605, F.S. The bill creates no penalty with respect to the required LNG annual report.
**Effect of Proposed Changes**

**Section 8** of the bill creates s. 341.608, F.S., titled “Minimum safety standards for high-speed passenger rail systems.”

**Compliance with Federal Law and Regulation:** This section of the bill requires a railroad company operating a HSPR system to comply with federal law and FRA regulations, mirroring current federal law, and additionally requires compliance with the rules adopted by the FDOT pursuant to the bill’s direction.

**Section 9** of the bill creates s. 341.609, F.S., to impose the following requirements on a railroad company that constructs or operates a HSPR system:

- If the railroad company is required to install safety improvements that modify the width of a roadbed, the railroad is responsible for ensuring the impacted roadbed meets the FDOT’s transition requirements as set forth in the most recent edition of the FDOT’s Design Standards and the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction, and Maintenance for Streets and Highways.90

The bill provides that this newly created s. 341.609, F.S., as is the case under current law, may not be construed to impair any existing contractual agreements between the railroad company operating the HSPR system and a governmental entity within the state.

**Safety Inspections and Inspectors (Section 10)**

**Present Situation**

Section 341.302(8), F.S., authorizes the FDOT to conduct inspections of track and rolling stock, train signals and related equipment, hazardous materials transportation, and train operating practices.

The federal State Rail Safety Participation program uses state safety inspectors in rail safety inspection disciplines. The program emphasizes routine compliance inspections but authorizes states to undertake additional investigative and surveillance activities under certain circumstances. Each state agency is required to enter into an agreement with the FRA that delegates to the state investigative and surveillance authority for federal railroad safety laws. The program includes federal funding to reimburse states for costs of related rail safety inspector technical training.91

---

90 The purpose of the manual, adopted by the FDOT as directed in s. 336.045, F.S., “is to provide uniform minimum standards and criteria for the design, construction, and maintenance of public streets, roads, highways, bridges, sidewalks, curbs and curb ramps, cross walks, bicycle facilities, underpasses, and overpasses used by the public for vehicular and pedestrian traffic.” See the FDOT’s website available at: [http://www.fdot.gov/roadway/FloridaGreenbook/FGB.shtm](http://www.fdot.gov/roadway/FloridaGreenbook/FGB.shtm) (last visited January 17, 2020).
The FDOT has a long-standing agreement with the FRA for participation in the federal program, which is periodically renewed. The agreement lists the FDOT’s five certified railroad safety inspectors and their areas of responsibility. The agreement calls for the FRA and the FDOT certified inspectors to singly and jointly conduct investigative, surveillance, and enforcement activities within Florida under the FRSA and sets out the following safety areas or disciplines for surveillance: track, motive power and equipment, signals and train control, operations, and hazardous materials. These inspectors must be capable of composing narrative reports and recording data on standard report forms for submission to the FRA.

**Effect of Proposed Changes**

Section 10 of the bill creates s. 341.6101, F.S., requiring the FDOT’s railroad inspectors to be certified by the FRA in accordance with the State Rail Safety Participation Program. The inspectors must coordinate their activities with those of federal rail inspectors in compliance with 49 C.F.R. part 212 and any other federal regulations governing state safety participation. Unless otherwise confidential under state or federal law, the FDOT inspectors must report in writing the results of their inspections in the manner and on forms prescribed by the FDOT. The reports must be made available on the FDOT’s website for the public to access.

Research reveals no provisions of federal or state law that expressly address the confidentiality of rail inspection reports. Under Florida law, these reports appear to fall within the definition in s. 119.07(12), F.S., of “public records.”\(^92\) Such reports may be available from the FRA if requested under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).\(^93\) The FOIA expressly exempts, for example, trade secrets and commercial or financial information from its application.\(^94\)

The FDOT appears to be in compliance with the requirements of this section of the bill, except that it currently does not publish the reports on its website.\(^95\) To the extent that federal law prescribes the forms that the FDOT’s inspectors must use in completing their inspection reports, any FDOT rule relating to forms may be preempted. Whether publication of the reports on the FDOT’s website is permissible under federal law is unclear. See discussion above under the heading, “Accident Reports.”

**Severability and Effective Date (Sections 11 - 13)**

Section 11 creates s. 341.611, F.S., providing for severability of invalid provisions or applications of the act.

Section 12 recites that sections 341.601-341.611 are remedial in nature and apply retroactively.

Section 13 of the bill provides the act take effect on July 1, 2020.

---

\(^92\) “All documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.”

\(^93\) 5 U.S.C. 552.

\(^94\) Id.

\(^95\) See the FDOT’s email to committee staff, January 21, 2020 (on file in the Senate Infrastructure and Security Committee.)
IV. **Constitutional Issues:**

A. **Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

None.

B. **Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:**

None.

C. **Trust Funds Restrictions:**

None.

V. **Fiscal Impact Statement:**

A. **Tax/Fee Issues:**

None.

B. **Private Sector Impact:**

The fiscal impact to railroads is largely indeterminate, depending on whether given provisions in the bill are federally preempted, or whether existing contractual agreements covering cost allocation apply. Railroads may incur costs associated with the following:

- Compliance with the FDOT rules and potential associated penalties for violations (section 5);
- Reporting requirements (section 7); and
- Railroad-highway grade crossing responsibilities (section 9).

Railroads may experience increased litigation costs related to preemption, regulatory compliance, and impairment of contract issues.

C. **Government Sector Impact:**

To the extent that sections 5 and 9 allow a local government to avoid future costs that would be incurred for railroad-highway grade crossing construction, maintenance and repairs, the local government would have an indeterminate positive fiscal impact.

An indeterminate negative fiscal impact to the FDOT is expected for expenses associated with:

- Adopting and enforcing rules (sections 5 and 7), and
- Publishing accident and inspection reports (sections 7 and 10).

And indeterminate positive fiscal impact may be realized if violations of the required rules occur and the FDOT imposes the authorized administrative penalties. An indeterminate negative fiscal impact to the FDEM is expected for expenses associated with providing the required hazardous material training (section 6).
To the extent that there is litigation involving any of the regulatory provisions of this bill, governmental entities may experience increased litigation costs.

VI. **Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

VII. **Related Issues:**

None.

VIII. **Statutes Affected:**

This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 341.601, 341.602, 341.603, 341.604, 341.605, 341.606, 341.607, 341.608, 341.609, 341.6101, and 341.611, 341.61CS 2.

IX. **Additional Information:**

A. **Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:**

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

**CS by Infrastructure and Security on January 21, 2020:**

The CS substantially revises the original bill as follows:

- Requires the FDOT to adopt minimum standards for public railroad-highway grade crossing design and installation of safety equipment, use of sealed corridors at such crossings, and field surveys for determining areas where fencing is necessary to protect the public, to the extent not prohibited by federal law;
- Authorizes the FDOT to impose against a railroad company an administrative penalty of up to $10,000 for each violation of the FDOT rules, eliminating reference to enforcement under s. 316.640, F.S., relating to enforcement of the state’s traffic laws;
- Eliminates the requirement that railroad companies provide detailed financial information related to ability to respond to a worst-case unplanned release of LNG, leaving only an annual report to the FDOT regarding LNG shipments in the previous calendar year; and
- Narrows the conditions under which a railroad company is assigned responsibility for certain maintenance, repair, or upgrade costs in the absence of a contractual agreement covering such costs

B. **Amendments:**

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Mayfield) recommended the following:

**Senate Amendment (with title amendment)**

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 341.601, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.601 Short title.—Sections 341.601-341.611 may be cited as the “Florida High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act.”

Section 2. Section 341.602, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
341.602 Definitions.—As used in ss. 341.601–341.611, the term:

(1) “Department” means the Department of Transportation.

(2) “Freight railroad carrier” means any person, railroad corporation, or other legal entity engaged in the business of providing freight rail transportation.

(3) “Governmental entity” means the state, any of its agencies, or any of its political subdivisions.

(4) “Hazardous materials” includes all materials, wastes, or substances designated or defined as hazardous by 49 C.F.R. parts 100–199 and their implementing regulations, by 42 U.S.C. s. 9601, or in any state law, rule, or program that regulates the handling or transporting of such materials, wastes, or substances.

(5) “High-speed passenger rail system” means any intrastate passenger rail system that operates or proposes to operate its passenger trains at a maximum speed in excess of 80 miles per hour.

(6) “Public railroad-highway grade crossing” means a location at which a railroad track is crossed at grade by a public road.

(7) “Rail corridor” means a linear, continuous strip of real property that is used for rail service. The term includes the corridor and structures essential to railroad operations, including the land, buildings, improvements, rights-of-way, easements, rail lines, roadbeds, guideway structures, switches, yards, parking facilities, power relays, switching houses, rail stations, any ancillary developments, and any other facilities or equipment used for the purposes of construction, operation,
or maintenance of a railroad that provides rail service.

(8) “Railroad company” means any individual, partnership, association, corporation, or company and its respective lessees, trustees, or court-appointed receivers which develops or provides ground transportation that runs on rails, and includes, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(a) A high-speed passenger rail system.
(b) A freight railroad carrier.
(c) A company that owns a rail corridor.

(9) “Sealed corridor” means a rail corridor that uses safety measures to block all lanes of travel where a roadway crosses a railroad track and that uses pedestrian treatments at grade crossings and controls between crossings to prevent trespassing.

Section 3. Section 341.603, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.603 Legislative intent.—It is the intent of the Legislature to:

(1) Encourage the creation of safe and economical transportation options, including high-speed passenger rail systems, for this state’s residents and visitors.
(2) Promote and enhance the safe operation of high-speed passenger rail systems within this state to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

Section 4. Section 341.604, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.604 Applicability.—This act applies to any railroad company that operates a high-speed passenger rail system and any railroad company that allows a high-speed passenger rail system
to operate on or within its rail corridor.

Section 5. Section 341.605, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.605 Powers and duties of the department; rules.—

(1) To the extent that such authority is not preempted by federal law or regulation, the department shall:

(a) Regulate railroad companies in this state.

(b) Obtain from any party all necessary information to enable it to perform its duties and carry out the requirements of this act.

(c) Keep a record of its findings, decisions, and determinations made, and investigations conducted, under this act.

(d) Adopt rules by January 1, 2021, to administer this act. Such rules must include minimum standards or criteria for:

1. Public railroad-highway grade crossing design, including, but not limited to, installation of appropriate safety equipment, such as remote health monitoring and traffic signal preemption systems;

2. Implementation of sealed corridors and of safety measures to be used at sealed corridors;

3. Installation or realignment of crossing gates at severely skewed, acute-angled public railroad-highway grade crossings along the rail corridor; and

4. Field surveys of the rail corridor to be conducted for the purpose of identifying areas where fencing is necessary to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public, including, but not limited to, minimum requirements for construction and materials.
(2) The department may impose on a railroad company an administrative penalty not exceeding $10,000 for each violation of the rules adopted by the department as provided in this section. Each violation constitutes a separate violation.

Section 6. Section 341.606, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.606 Training for local communities and local agencies.— If a high-speed passenger rail system operates on a rail corridor or on a set of tracks which is also used to transport hazardous materials, the Division of Emergency Management must offer the local communities and local agencies located along the rail corridor training specifically designed to help them respond to an accident involving rail passengers or hazardous materials.

Section 7. Section 341.607, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.607 Reporting requirements; rulemaking.—

(1) A railroad company that operates a high-speed passenger rail system shall furnish to the department a copy of the accident reports filed with the Federal Railroad Administration for each train accident that occurs within the rail corridor.

(2) The department shall annually publish on its website a compendium of the reports that include any fatalities, injuries, or accidents during the reporting timeframe which occurred within a rail corridor where a high-speed passenger rail system operates, unless notified by the Federal Government that the compendium is inconsistent with federal requirements.

(3) A railroad company that transports liquefied natural gas on the same tracks, or within the same rail corridor, used...
by a high-speed passenger rail system within this state shall submit an annual report to the department containing the size of the average and largest liquefied natural gas train, as measured in metric tons, operated in this state by the railroad company in the previous calendar year.

(4) All reporting requirements are for informational purposes only. The information reported may not be used to economically regulate the railroad company.

(5) The department, in coordination with the Federal Railroad Administration and other public and private entities, as necessary, shall adopt by rule criteria to determine a reasonable worst-case unplanned release of liquefied natural gas.

Section 8. Section 341.608, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.608 Minimum safety standards for high-speed passenger rail systems.—In addition to complying with federal law, Federal Railroad Administration regulations, and other applicable federal regulations, a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system shall comply with the rules adopted by the department pursuant to s. 341.605.

Section 9. Section 341.609, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.609 Maintenance and repair of roadbeds, tracks, culverts, and certain streets and sidewalks.—

(1) If the railroad company that constructs or operates a high-speed passenger rail system is required to install safety improvements that modify the width of a roadbed, the company is responsible for ensuring that the impacted roadbed meets the

(2) This section may not be construed to impair any existing contractual agreements between a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system and a governmental entity within the state.

Section 10. Section 341.6101, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.6101 Safety inspections and inspectors.—

(1) In accordance with the State Rail Safety Participation Program, which is designed to promote safety in all areas of railroad operations to reduce deaths, injuries, and damage to railroad property, the department’s railroad inspectors must be certified by the Federal Railroad Administration and shall coordinate their activities with those of federal inspectors in this state in compliance with 49 C.F.R. part 212 and any other federal regulations governing state safety participation.

(2) Unless the results are otherwise confidential under state or federal law, the department’s railroad inspectors shall report in writing the results of their inspections in the manner and on forms prescribed by the department. The department shall make these reports available on its website for the public to access.

Section 11. Section 341.611, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.611 Severability.—If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the...
invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end, the provisions of this act are severable.

Section 12. Sections 341.601-341.611 are remedial in nature and shall apply retroactively.

Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to high-speed passenger rail safety; creating s. 341.601, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 341.602, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 341.603, F.S.; providing legislative intent; creating s. 341.604, F.S.; providing applicability; creating s. 341.605, F.S.; requiring the Department of Transportation to regulate railroad companies when that authority is not federally preempted; requiring the department to obtain information necessary to perform its duties; requiring the department to keep certain records; requiring the department to adopt rules; providing requirements for such rules; authorizing the department to impose administrative penalties; creating s. 341.606, F.S.; requiring the Division of Emergency Management to offer accident response training to certain local communities and
local agencies under certain circumstances; creating s. 341.607, F.S.; requiring certain railroad companies to furnish copies of federal accident reports to the department; requiring the department to annually publish certain information on its website; requiring railroad companies that transport liquefied natural gas on or within certain tracks or corridors to submit an annual report to the department containing specified information; prohibiting the use of reported information for the purpose of economically regulating railroad companies; requiring the department, in coordination with the Federal Railroad Administration and other necessary entities, to adopt certain criteria by rule; creating s. 341.608, F.S.; requiring certain railroad companies to comply with federal law and certain regulations; creating s. 341.609, F.S.; providing that certain railroad companies are responsible for ensuring that impacted roadbeds meet specified transition requirements under certain circumstances; providing construction; creating s. 341.6101, F.S.; requiring the department’s railroad inspectors, in accordance with a specified program, to meet certain certification requirements and to coordinate their activities with those of federal inspectors in this state in compliance with certain federal regulations; requiring the department’s inspectors to report the results of their inspections to the department, subject to certain requirements, unless the results are confidential under state or
federal law; requiring the department to make the
reports available on its website; creating s. 341.611,
F.S.; providing severability; providing for
retroactive application; providing an effective date.
A bill to be entitled An act relating to high-speed passenger rail safety; creating s. 341.601, F.S.; providing a short title; creating s. 341.602, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 341.603, F.S.; providing legislative intent; creating s. 341.604, F.S.; providing applicability; creating s. 341.605, F.S.; requiring the Department of Transportation to regulate railroads when that authority is not federally preempted; requiring the department to obtain certain information from parties; requiring the department to keep certain records; requiring the department to adopt rules; creating s. 341.606, F.S.; requiring the Division of Emergency Management to offer accident response training to certain local communities and local agencies; creating s. 341.607, F.S.; requiring certain railroad companies to furnish copies of federal accident reports to the department; requiring the department to annually publish certain information on its website; requiring railroad companies that transport liquefied natural gas on or within certain tracks or corridors to submit an annual report to the department containing specified information; prohibiting the use of reported information for the purpose of economically regulating railroad companies; requiring the department, in coordination with the Federal Railroad Administration and other necessary entities, to adopt certain rules; creating s. 341.608, F.S.; requiring certain railroad companies to comply with federal law and certain regulations and install certain safety equipment; requiring railroad companies to meet specified requirements before operating a high-speed passenger rail system; requiring sealed corridors at certain at-grade crossings; providing safety measure requirements for sealed corridors; creating s. 341.609, F.S.; requiring railroad companies to be responsible for ensuring that impacted roadbed meets specified transition requirements under certain circumstances; providing construction; creating s. 341.6101, F.S.; requiring the department’s railroad inspectors, in accordance with a specified program, to meet certain certification requirements and to coordinate their activities with those of federal inspectors in the state in compliance with certain federal regulations; requiring the department’s inspectors to report the results of their inspections to the department, subject to certain requirements, unless the results are confidential under law; requiring the department to make the reports available on its website; creating s. 341.611, F.S.; requiring the department to adopt by rule standards to be used in conducting field surveys of certain rail corridors; providing minimum requirements for the field surveys; requiring the department to hold certain public meetings; requiring certain railroad companies to construct and maintain fences under certain circumstances; providing fencing requirements; specifying that a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system is liable.
Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 341.601, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
341.601 Short title.—Sections 341.601-341.613 may be cited as the “Florida High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety Act.”

Section 2. Section 341.602, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
341.602 Definitions.—As used in ss. 341.601-341.613, the terms:
1. “Department” means the Department of Transportation.
2. “Freight railroad carrier” means any person, railroad corporation, or other legal entity engaged in the business of providing freight rail transportation.
3. “Governmental entity” means the state, any of its agencies, or any of its political subdivisions.
4. “Hazardous materials” includes all materials, wastes, or substances designated or defined as hazardous by 49 C.F.R. parts 100-199 and their implementing regulations, by 42 U.S.C. 86 or 87, or in any state law, rule, or program that regulates handling or transporting of such materials, wastes, or substances.
5. “High-speed passenger rail system” means any intrastate passenger rail system that operates or proposes to operate its passenger trains at a maximum speed in excess of 80 miles per hour and that was not carrying passengers before January 1, 2017.
6. “Public railroad-highway grade crossing” means a location at which a railroad track is crossed at grade by a public road.
7. “Rail corridor” means a linear, continuous strip of real property that is used for rail service. The term includes the corridor and structures essential to railroad operations, including the land, buildings, improvements, rights-of-way, easements, rail lines, roadbeds, guideway structures, switches, yards, parking facilities, power relays, switching houses, rail stations, any ancillary developments, and any other facilities or equipment used for the purposes of construction, operation, or maintenance of a railroad that provides rail service.
8. “Railroad company” means any individual, partnership, association, corporation, or company and its respective lessees, trustees, or court-appointed receivers which develops or provides ground transportation that runs on rails, and includes, but is not limited to, any of the following:
(a) A high-speed passenger rail system.
(b) A freight railroad carrier.
(c) A company that owns a rail corridor.
9. “Sealed corridor” means a rail corridor that uses...
Section 3. Section 341.603, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.603 Legislative intent.—It is the intent of the Legislature to:

1. Encourage the creation of safe and economical transportation options, including high-speed passenger rail systems, for this state’s residents and visitors.

2. Promote and enhance the safe operation of high-speed passenger rail systems within the state to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

Section 4. Section 341.604, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.604 Applicability.—This act applies to any railroad company that operates a high-speed passenger rail system and any railroad company that allows a high-speed passenger rail system to operate on or within its rail corridor.

Section 5. Section 341.605, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.605 Powers and duties of the department; rules.—

1. The department shall regulate railroad companies in this state to the extent that such authority is not preempted by federal law or regulation.

2. The department shall obtain from any party all necessary information to enable it to perform its duties and carry out the requirements of this act.

3. The department shall keep a record of its findings, decisions, and determinations made, and investigations conducted, under this act.

4. The department shall adopt rules to administer this act.

Section 6. Section 341.606, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.606 Training for local communities and local agencies.—If a high-speed passenger rail system operates on a rail corridor or on a set of tracks which is also used to transport hazardous materials, the Division of Emergency Management must offer the local communities and local agencies located along the rail corridor training specifically designed to help them respond to an accident involving rail passengers or hazardous materials.

Section 7. Section 341.607, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.607 Reporting requirements; rulemaking.—

1. A railroad company that operates a high-speed passenger rail system shall furnish to the department a copy of the accident reports filed with the Federal Railroad Administration for each train accident that occurs within the rail corridor.

2. The department shall annually publish on its website a compendium of the reports that include any fatalities, injuries, or accidents during the reporting timeframe which occurred within a rail corridor where a high-speed passenger rail system operates.

3. A railroad company that transports liquefied natural gas on the same tracks, or within the same rail corridor, used...
by a high-speed passenger rail system within the state shall submit an annual report to the department containing:

(a) All insurance carried by the railroad company which covers any losses resulting from a reasonable worst-case unplanned release of liquefied natural gas.

(b) Coverage amounts, limitations, and other conditions of such insurance.

(c) The size of the average and largest liquefied natural gas train, as measured in metric tons, operated in the state by the railroad company in the previous calendar year.

(d) Information sufficient to demonstrate the railroad company’s ability to remediate a reasonable worst-case unplanned release of liquefied natural gas, including, but not limited to, insurance coverage, reserve accounts, letters of credit, or other financial instruments or resources on which the company can rely for such remediation.

(4) All reporting requirements are for informational purposes only. The information reported may not be used to economically regulate the railroad company.

(5) The department, in coordination with the Federal Railroad Administration and other public and private entities, as necessary, shall adopt by rule criteria to determine a reasonable worst-case unplanned release of liquefied natural gas.

Section 8. Section 341.608, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.608 Minimum safety standards for high-speed passenger rail systems.—

(I) In addition to complying with federal law and with Federal Railroad Administration regulations, a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system shall install safety equipment that has been approved by the Federal Railroad Administration and include, at a minimum, positive train control systems as provided in 49 C.F.R. part 236.

(2) Before operating a high-speed passenger rail system, a railroad company shall also:

(a) Install or realign crossing gates, including those at severely skewed, acute-angled locations as identified by either the department or the Federal Railroad Administration, so that the gates are parallel to the tracks and in accordance with the most recent edition of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices published by the Federal Highway Administration and adopted by the department pursuant to s. 316.0745.

(b) Equip all automatic public railroad-highway grade crossing warning systems with remote health monitoring technology capable of constantly monitoring the highway-railroad crossing to:

1. Detect false activations;
2. Detect other crossing signal malfunctions; and
3. Notify the train dispatcher and railroad maintenance personnel whenever such a malfunction is detected.

(c) Construct and maintain fencing in accordance with s. 341.611.

(3)(a) Sealed corridors must be required at any at-grade crossing where a high-speed passenger rail system operates on tracks that are also used to transport hazardous materials, regardless of the speed at which the high-speed passenger rail system is operating on such at-grade crossing.
(b) Safety measures that must be used at a sealed corridor include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. A four-quadrant gate system with separate pedestrian crossing gates on the two-way streets;
2. Gate arms extending across all lanes of travel on paired one-way streets; and
3. Median arrangements.

Section 9. Section 341.609, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.609 Maintenance and repair of roadbeds, tracks, culverts, and certain streets and sidewalks.—

(1) If the railroad company that constructs or operates a high-speed passenger rail system is required to install safety improvements that modify the width of a roadbed, the company is responsible for ensuring that the impacted roadbed meets the department’s transition requirements as set forth in the most recent edition of the department’s Design Standards and the Manual of Uniform Minimum Standards for Design, Construction and Maintenance for Streets and Highways.

(2) This section does not impair any existing contractual agreements between a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system and a governmental entity within the state.

Section 10. Section 341.6101, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.6101 Safety inspections and inspectors.—

(1) In accordance with the State Rail Safety Participation Program, which is designed to promote safety in all areas of railroad operations to reduce deaths, injuries, and damage to railroad property, the department’s railroad inspectors must be certified by the Federal Railroad Administration and shall coordinate their activities with those of federal inspectors in the state in compliance with 49 C.F.R. part 212 and any other federal regulations governing state safety participation.

(2) Unless the results are otherwise confidential under state or federal law, the department’s railroad inspectors shall report in writing the results of their inspections in the manner and on forms prescribed by the department. The department must make these reports available on its website for the public to access.

Section 11. Section 341.611, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.611 Fencing and separation requirements to protect the public.—

(1) The department shall adopt by rule standards to be used by the department in conducting field surveys of the rail corridor being used by a high-speed passenger rail system. The field surveys must indicate areas where fencing is necessary to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public.

(2) At a minimum, the field surveys must identify pedestrian traffic generators, such as nearby schools and parks, and signs of current pedestrian traffic that crosses the railroad tracks. The department shall hold at least one public meeting in each community in which new or substantially modified fencing is proposed before designs and plans for such fencing are finalized.

(3) Once it has been determined that a fence is necessary, a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system...
shall construct and maintain the fence on both sides of its railroad tracks in a manner sufficient to prevent intrusion. The fencing must be:

(a) Placed 1 foot inside the edge of the railroad company's right-of-way.

(b) At least 4 1/2 feet in height. Ornamental fencing must be used within urban areas. Chain-link fencing may be used in locations outside of urban areas.

(c) Maintained by the railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system, unless a governmental entity has contractually consented to undertake the responsibility for maintaining the fence within its jurisdiction.

(4) If a railroad company operating a high-speed passenger rail system does not construct or maintain a fence as required under subsection (3), the railroad company is liable for all damages arising from its failure to construct or maintain such fence unless another entity is responsible for maintenance as provided by paragraph (3)(c).

Section 12. Section 341.612, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.612 Enforcement.—Jurisdiction to enforce ss. 341.601-341.613 is as provided in s. 316.640, and any penalty for a violation of ss. 341.601-341.613 must be imposed upon the railroad company that commits such violation.

Section 13. Section 341.613, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

341.613 Severability.—If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

Section 14. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
Cindy,

Below are a few updates from the draft 2018 crash facts, as well as preliminary crash data for 2019 (as of 1/16/2020).

Let me know if you have any questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Florida Motor Vehicle Crashes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Best,
Kevin

[2] Id.
[4] Id.
Cindy,

Yes, that is still correct.

Thank you,

John Kotyk
Deputy Legislative Affairs Director
Florida Department of Transportation
Office: (850)414-4575
Direct: (850)414-4147
John.Kotyk@dot.state.fl.us

On Jan 21, 2020, at 12:33 PM, Price, Cindy <Price.Cindy@flsenate.gov> wrote:

John: Please see below. For this year's SB 676, still accurate?

Thank you!

Cindy

From: Schuessler, Shannan <Shannan.Schuessler@dot.state.fl.us>
Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 1:13 PM
To: Price, Cindy <PRICE.CINDY@flsenate.gov>; Farrill, Cody <Cody.Farrill@dot.state.fl.us>; Marsh, Amanda <Amanda.Marsh@dot.state.fl.us>
Subject: RE: SB 572

Cindy - That's still an accurate statement.

Thanks!
Shannan
Hi, all: Hope you had a great weekend. Quick question, please? For last year’s SB 386, you all advised that the FDOT currently does not publish rail inspection reports on its website. Is that still an accurate statement?

Thanks!

Cindy
BACKGROUND

All Aboard Florida (AAF), a privately owned company, proposes to construct and operate an intrastate high-speed passenger railroad system from Miami to Orlando, going through the heart of Florida's Treasure Coast. However, the project is heavily reliant on local governments and taxpayer dollars. In fact, AAF cannot move forward without federal subsidies called Private Activity Bonds which they have been unsuccessful in selling thus far.

- Stops: MIAMI, FT. LAUDERDALE, WEST PALM BEACH, ORLANDO
  - Phase I (Miami to West Palm Beach) scheduled to begin in 2017
  - Phase II will include direct travel from West Palm Beach to Orlando Intl. Airport
- Currently there are approximately 14 freight trains per day
- The project is expected to allow at least 52 total trains per day
  - 32 will be high-speed passenger and at least 20 will be freight
- Maximum train speeds over the 159 at-grade road crossings
  - In phase II maximum speeds will INCREASE FROM 60 MPH TO 110 mph
- The trains will travel through pedestrian downtown areas adjacent to parks, shops, and restaurants and near school walking routes.
- First responders continue to voice concerns as trains and additional traffic will create obstacles near hospitals, fire stations and for law enforcement.
- Currently areas near the tracks are open and accessible to pedestrians not accustomed to high speed rail forcing counties to potentially absorb the additional costs to ensure safety of residents.

CROSSINGS

- Total of 349 at-grade crossings (28 in Martin County and 31 in Indian River County)

COST RESPONSIBILITY

- Cities and counties along Florida’s east coast have existing crossing agreements with Florida East Coast Railway which require the local governments to carry the financial burden for the following:
  - Crossing signal installations
  - Capital improvements for track beds and roadway surfaces
  - Crossing Maintenance Cost
  - Pedestrian gates and sidewalks

ESTIMATED COSTS OF AAF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Installation Costs for Signal Upgrades and Pedestrian Gates**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indian River County: $11.5m to $13.8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin County: $8.6m to $10.3m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Costs based on FRA and county safety recommendations which are not being considered or funded by AAF.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indian River County: $1.4m to $1.7m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin County: $1.7m to $2.1m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crossing Maintenance Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 Crossing Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River County: $546,000 to $1.1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin County: $413,000 to $916,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 – 2030 Wear/Tear Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian River County: $7.4m to $15.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin County: $5.6m to $12.4m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Information Prepared by: Triad Railroad Consulting, LLC
COST CATEGORY I: INSTALLATION COSTS PER FRA REVIEWED PLANS

Costs associated with the initial installation of crossing signals, vehicle presence detection (VPD) technology, sidewalks and sidewalk gates, crossing panels and associated tracks, as per AAF plans reviewed by FRA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table - Cost Element</th>
<th>IRC</th>
<th>MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-Range</td>
<td>High-Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.2 - Crossing Signal Upgrades</td>
<td>$8,445,500</td>
<td>$9,957,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 - Pedestrian Gates &amp; Sidewalks</td>
<td>$245,000</td>
<td>$294,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 - New Crossing Panels</td>
<td>$2,887,500</td>
<td>$3,553,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$11,578,000</td>
<td>$13,805,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COST CATEGORY II: MAINTENANCE/RENEWAL COSTS PER FRA REVIEWED PLANS

Costs for annual fees the counties are required to pay to the railroad for crossing signal maintenance and are based on an FDOT schedule that is revised every five years. These costs also include periodic crossing rehabilitation costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table - Cost Element</th>
<th>IRC</th>
<th>MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEC Only</td>
<td>AAF &amp; FEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2 - Crossing Rehabilitation 2019</td>
<td>$546,000</td>
<td>$1,151,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.2 - Crossing Rehabilitation 2019 - 2030</td>
<td>$7,401,000</td>
<td>$15,601,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COST CATEGORY III: INSTALLATION COSTS PER INDIAN RIVER & MARTIN COUNTY REQUESTS

This Cost Category includes the costs associated with the initial installation of crossing signals, VPD technology, sidewalks and sidewalk gates requested by the counties, but not included in AAF plans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table - Cost Element</th>
<th>IRC</th>
<th>MC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-Range</td>
<td>High-Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.2 - Crossing Signal Upgrades</td>
<td>$1,075,500</td>
<td>$1,332,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.3 - Pedestrian Gates &amp; Sidewalks</td>
<td>$331,500</td>
<td>$398,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,407,000</td>
<td>$1,730,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ON-SITE ENGINEERING FIELD REPORT – Part 1

--- All Aboard Florida ---

Background:

FRA Headquarters, in conjunction with the Region 3 office, assisted in the diagnostic safety review of the Florida East Coast (FEC) Railway grade crossings between Miami-Dade to St. Lucie counties. This is due to High Speed Passenger Rail service being planned between Miami and Orlando, known as “All Aboard Florida”. Beginning February 4, 2014 and ending on March 7, 2014, a total of 263 public and private grade crossings were assessed. Participants included officials from Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), FEC, All Aboard Florida (AAF); including local city and county officials at some locations.

For the purposes of this report, Part 1 represents the diagnostic review taken place from Miami-Dade to St. Lucie Counties. Part 2 designates the diagnostic review from Indian River County to Cocoa Beach, which is expected to occur in mid-to-late June 2014. There are approximately 90 grade crossings in Part 2. The segment between Cocoa Beach and Orlando will be designed for 125 MPH, however, AAF will not be traversing over any at-grade crossings along that rail corridor.

Scope:

Crossing locations between Miami to north of West Palm Beach are being designed for a maximum authorized speed of 79 MPH. The 110 MPH segment begins/ends at 30th Street in West Palm Beach (milepost 297.40), and continues through the Private Road Crossing in Indrio (milepost 233.90). Within the 110 MPH segment, train speeds are lowered to conventional rail limits where civil constraints exist; such as curves or draw bridges, which are noted on the accompanying field design plans.

Currently the design plans are at 30%. The next reiteration will be at 90%. Therefore, the decisions for the grade crossing signaling equipment and warning devices will be determined fairly soon.

The existing crossing signaling equipment contain a mix of signal cases and relay houses, equipped with either Phase Motion Detectors (PMD-1) or HXP 3R2’s highway crossing processors.
Each crossing location will eventually consist of relay houses equipped with GE Transportation's ElectroLogIXS XP4 for constant warning time as part of this project. For 110 MPH, the crossing circuits beyond the 79 MPH standard will utilize a GE device linked through the PTC system for the advanced crossing starts. The technology will diagnose a health check to determine whether or not all roadway/pedestrian gates are in the down position.

**Results:**

Of the 263 grade crossings in Part 1, there are 57 crossing locations affected for Sealed Corridor treatments within the 110 MPH territory. Officials from All Aboard Florida passenger rail project (herein the "Project") have openly expressed that the proposed 110 MPH segment will NOT incorporate the "Sealed Corridor" concept as outlined in FRA's Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Guidelines for High-Speed Passenger Rail, Version 1.0 (November 2009). They stated that since these are "guidelines, not regulations" as quoted on page iii, in which they are not obligated to incorporate any of the described crossing treatments as illustrated in the document. The Project estimates that in doing so would incur an additional financial burden of about $47 mil.

In my professional opinion, I respectfully disagree with the Project's approach in that they are not exercising appropriate safety practices and reasonable care when designing for High Speed Passenger Rail service. I explained to the entire diagnostic team how important it was to adopt the principles of the Sealed Corridor approach. However, it was clearly evident that the Project was not pursuing such concept.

As a result, the Project has directed their signaling engineering consultants to design crossings to ONLY accommodate for the additional track while complying with the MUTCD - but not to incorporate any of the Sealed Corridor treatments. Furthermore, since there is a completely different philosophical view towards safety between the Project and I, the accompanying marked-up design plans and field notes are notably different from the Project's design plans; particularly along the 110 MPH segment. The Project has been maintaining a running log noting my Sealed Corridor recommendations.

Officials from FDOT's Rail Office are not taking a position, one way or the other, at this time.
Safety Recommendations:

The following are recommendations made to the Project based upon my on-site field assessments during the diagnostic safety review:

A. Pedestrian gates – there are certain locations along the corridor in which sidewalks are present on both sides of the railroad right-of-way, but do not follow through. Some of these sidewalks do not comply with today’s ADA’s standards, however pedestrian travel is evident due to the worn foot path on the surface, and general witnessing of usage. Typically the roadway gate covers the entrance side of the adjacent sidewalk, but there are no pedestrian gates on the opposite quadrants. The Project stated if there is no agreement with the city or county for the service and maintenance of a pedestrian gate assembly, they will not install them.

Trespassing is an epidemic along this corridor. Rather than encourage it, it is recommended per my field notes at those particular locations to equip sidewalk approaches with a visual and gated barrier. This is to provide safe passage of pedestrians through a very active rail line and prevents those from walking into an open railway corridor; or directing them onto the street – irrespective if there is an agreement or not.

B. Vehicle Presence Detection – for those public and private crossings between 80-110 MPH in Part 1 to be equipped with a Vehicle Presence Detection ("VPD") system. The entire FEC corridor is equipped with Cab Signaling control. Presence detection will serve as a long term obstacle system, where the presence of a vehicle within the crossing area for a fixed length of time would be reported as an alarm through the remote monitoring system, irrespective of the approach of a train. Subsequently, for those 3-Quadrant and 4-Quadrant gated grade crossings between 80-110 MPH (as identified further below), it is recommended that either through the activation of a loop detector and/or a vertical exit gate (indicating a roadway vehicle is occupying the crossing) that a vehicle is detected by the train as a "feedback loop" of information; resulting in a loss of cab-signals, thus placing the train in an automatic speed restriction.

Motor vehicles stalled, or trapped on a crossing due to queuing, present a derailment hazard; and in multiple track territory or where freight equipment is standing on adjacent sidings or industry tracks, derailments can result in catastrophic secondary collisions. Therefore, presence detection providing feedback to the train control system to high speed
trains traveling along this FEC corridor be active in order to minimize the possibility of derailments as well.

Recommending a VPD system is due to the following safety reasons:
1. Field observations with vehicular traffic stopping on tracks
2. Safety concerns expressed by city, county and FDOT officials
3. Several crossings with reduced or no vehicle clearance at roadway T-intersections
4. Vehicles yielding to oncoming traffic while on tracks at non-signalized T-intersections
5. Motorists / Commercial Vehicles queuing over tracks due to 4-way stop intersection, and vehicles entering adjacent driveways and parking lots
6. The multiple track surfaces enables motorists to make U-turns or cut thru's easier
7. Severely skewed crossings
8. Acute-angled crossings with main gates perpendicular to the vehicular roadway

C. Sealed Corridor Treatments - the following grade crossing locations are the recommended Sealed Corridor Treatments required by the Project to install:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30th Street</td>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>297.40</td>
<td>272 406 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Blvd.</td>
<td>Rivera Beach</td>
<td>295.45</td>
<td>272 400 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagler Street</td>
<td>Rivera Beach</td>
<td>295.15</td>
<td>272 399 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Beach Road</td>
<td>Lake Park</td>
<td>293.75</td>
<td>272 389 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Ave</td>
<td>Lake Park</td>
<td>293.30</td>
<td>272 387 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Road</td>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens</td>
<td>292.20</td>
<td>272 385 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighthouse Drive</td>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens</td>
<td>291.70</td>
<td>272 384 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA Blvd.</td>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens</td>
<td>290.30</td>
<td>272 382 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Small Road</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>286.20</td>
<td>273 020 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toney Penna Dr.</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>284.20</td>
<td>272 378 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleason Street</td>
<td>Hobe Sound</td>
<td>274.50</td>
<td>272 367 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Road</td>
<td>Hobe Sound</td>
<td>274.10</td>
<td>272 366 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettway Street</td>
<td>Hobe Sound</td>
<td>272.70</td>
<td>272 365 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossrip Street</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>271.40</td>
<td>272 362 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osprey Street</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>270.90</td>
<td>272 934 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cove Road</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>267.34</td>
<td>272 359 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broward Street</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>266.80</td>
<td>272 358 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salerno Road</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>266.60</td>
<td>272 357 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaward Street **</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>266.50</td>
<td>272 356 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Name</td>
<td>City/Town</td>
<td>Milepost</td>
<td>DOT #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Road</td>
<td>Stuart</td>
<td>263.30</td>
<td>272 353 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR A1A</td>
<td>Stuart</td>
<td>262.50</td>
<td>272 350 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Street</td>
<td>Stuart</td>
<td>262.30</td>
<td>272 349 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmetto Drive</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>257.40</td>
<td>272 342 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenson Beach Blvd.</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>256.80</td>
<td>272 340 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchford Land***</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>256.20</td>
<td>272 338 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skyline Drive</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>255.50</td>
<td>272 337 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Line Road</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>255.30</td>
<td>272 336 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walton Road</td>
<td>Walton</td>
<td>252.50</td>
<td>272 332 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midway Road</td>
<td>Walton</td>
<td>246.30</td>
<td>272 331 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah Road</td>
<td>Fort Pierce</td>
<td>243.80</td>
<td>272 330 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Bch. Causeway</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>239.80</td>
<td>272 218 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimoner Ln. ***</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>239.50</td>
<td>272 217 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarmac Road ***</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>239.20</td>
<td>272 215 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucie Lane</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>238.80</td>
<td>272 214 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain Blvd.</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>238.40</td>
<td>272 213 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Road</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>237.80</td>
<td>272 211 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torpey Road</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>237.10</td>
<td>272 210 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rouse Road</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>236.70</td>
<td>272 209 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Street</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>236.10</td>
<td>272 208 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcox Road</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>235.60</td>
<td>272 207 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor Branch Rd</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>235.10</td>
<td>272 206 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Last crossing location (northbound) for proposed Tri-Rail service
** - Recommend to be CLOSED
*** - Private Crossing

**100-foot Non-traversable Medians (7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36th Street</td>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>297.10</td>
<td>272 405 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45th Street</td>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>296.65</td>
<td>272 403 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49th Street</td>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>296.30</td>
<td>272 240 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Line Road</td>
<td>Hobe Sound</td>
<td>280.90</td>
<td>272 372 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Road</td>
<td>Hobe Sound</td>
<td>277.70</td>
<td>272 370 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR A1A **</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>268.65</td>
<td>272 360 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue A</td>
<td>Fort Pierce</td>
<td>241.30</td>
<td>272 238 F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Please note: if for any reason the Project and the respective municipality cannot agree on the median treatment, then those location(s) be equipped with exit gates.
** Medians to be at least 150-feet each approach due to severe roadway skew.
### Three-Quadrant Gates (due to a median present on the opposite side) (6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Heron Blvd.</td>
<td>Rivera Beach</td>
<td>294.90</td>
<td>272 390 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns Road</td>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens</td>
<td>290.80</td>
<td>272 383 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hood Road</td>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens</td>
<td>288.50</td>
<td>272 380 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Ross Road</td>
<td>Palm Beach Gardens</td>
<td>287.20</td>
<td>272 379 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiantown Road</td>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>283.60</td>
<td>272 377 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange Avenue</td>
<td>Fort Pierce</td>
<td>241.50</td>
<td>272 239 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Private (6 locations within 110 MPH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miracle Way *</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>257.10</td>
<td>272 341 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchford Ln **</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>256.20</td>
<td>272 338 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimoner Ln **</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>239.50</td>
<td>272 217 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarmac Road **</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>239.20</td>
<td>272 215 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Road *</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>234.50</td>
<td>272 205 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Road *</td>
<td>Indrio</td>
<td>233.90</td>
<td>272 204 L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Recommend locked gate with procedures seeking permission from R.R. dispatch to cross.

** - Recommend the Project to equip with Four-Quadrant Gates (including VPD)

### Closed (17) Please note: Officials from the city or county are not taking a position, one way or the other, at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>179th Street *</td>
<td>Aventura</td>
<td>353.60</td>
<td>272 602 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141st Street *</td>
<td>North Miami Beach</td>
<td>356.12</td>
<td>272 609 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Street</td>
<td>Hallandale</td>
<td>350.30</td>
<td>272 591 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe Street</td>
<td>Hollywood</td>
<td>349.03</td>
<td>272 588 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fillmore Street</td>
<td>Hollywood</td>
<td>348.52</td>
<td>272 585 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield Street</td>
<td>Hollywood</td>
<td>348.07</td>
<td>272 582 G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dania Blvd *</td>
<td>Dania Beach</td>
<td>345.94</td>
<td>272 574 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Street *</td>
<td>Dania Beach</td>
<td>345.81</td>
<td>272 573 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22nd Street</td>
<td>Fort Lauderdale</td>
<td>342.96</td>
<td>272 566 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th Street</td>
<td>Fort Lauderdale</td>
<td>341.80</td>
<td>272 661 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Street *</td>
<td>Fort Lauderdale</td>
<td>341.56</td>
<td>272 559 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Street *</td>
<td>Fort Lauderdale</td>
<td>341.45</td>
<td>272 558 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Street</td>
<td>Pompano Beach</td>
<td>333.31</td>
<td>272 534 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Street</td>
<td>Deerfield Beach</td>
<td>327.41</td>
<td>272 513 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Street</td>
<td>Deerfield Beach</td>
<td>326.31</td>
<td>272 511 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter Street</td>
<td>West Palm Beach</td>
<td>303.18</td>
<td>272 450 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaward Street **</td>
<td>Salerno</td>
<td>266.50</td>
<td>272 356 H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - or possible one-way

** - only crossing to be closed along 110 MPH segment
Conclusion:

Based upon my professional background and experience in regards to grade crossing safety, I strongly recommend officials from All Aboard Florida to adhere to the principles as outlined in the FRA's guidelines for Emerging High-Speed Rail (80-110 MPH). In doing so incorporates the optimum safety practices in the engineering and design of their crossing locations for the following reasons:

I. The operating dynamics are significantly changing within the existing environment of the grade crossings, along with an already an active freight operation that will include:
   - The addition of 16 round-trip trains (32 total) at 110 MPH
   - The eventual inclusion of Tri-rail Commuter Rail service, which will add 74 trains.
   - Changing from single track to multiple track configurations.

II. Densely settled neighborhoods with congested roadways

III. As many as 5 traffic lanes in the oncoming direction at T-intersections

In summary, as the travelling public begins to assimilate to a substantial increase in railroad operations – by incorporating enhanced railroad signaling technology and increased active highway warning devices are paramount to ensuring safety awareness as both entities interact with one another. Therefore, equipping crossing locations with the recommended actions, as outlined above in this report, will dramatically reduce potential safety hazards and catastrophic events.

Report Respectfully Submitted By:

Frank A. Frey, Gen. Engineer-HSR
Federal Railroad Administration | U.S. DOT
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
RRS-23 | W33-447
Washington, DC 20590
(202) 493-0130
iPhone (202) 738-2195
frank.frey@dot.gov

March 20, 2014
ON-SITE ENGINEERING FIELD REPORT – Part 2

--- All Aboard Florida ---

Background:

This diagnostic safety review of the Florida East Coast (FEC) Railway corridor, in Brevard and Indian River counties, is the second segment that completes the territory of at-grade crossing locations for this high-speed passenger rail project known as "All Aboard Florida". This report is a subsequent to that of Part 1, dated March 20, 2014.

The onsite assessment began on July 15, 2014 and concluded on July 18, 2014. A total of eighty-six (86) public and private grade crossings were evaluated. Participants included officials from Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), FEC, All Aboard Florida (AAF), and local city and county officials.

As the AAF passenger rail service route traverses through its grade crossing locations, it will begin/end at the Michigan Avenue grade crossing (milepost 170.56) in Cocoa. As the route heads northward, it splits from the FEC corridor and veers along Route 528 towards Orlando on a dedicated railroad right-of-way yet to be built. On the existing FEC corridor, there are four additional grade crossings north of the split that will be part of the signaling enhancement program for this project.

Scope:

Train speeds through Brevard and Indian River counties are being designed for 110 MPH. Beginning/ending at Dixon Boulevard in Cocoa (milepost 171.52), the 110 MPH segment continues through Highland Drive SE in Vero Beach (milepost 232.86). There are two areas along this segment where train speeds are lowered to conventional rail limits due to civil constraints of railroad bridge structures.

As in previous onsite assessments, all of the existing crossing signaling equipment along this segment will be upgraded to the newest technology as described in the Part 1 Report.

---

1 The Part 1 report incorrectly references "Cocoa Beach", where it should have stated Cocoa instead. Cocoa and Cocoa Beach are two separate municipalities. The FEC corridor traverses through Cocoa, not Cocoa Beach.

2 Although Michigan Ave is the last grade crossing along the AAF route, its maximum speed is 60 MPH due to the train slowing down and transitioning to and from the Route 528 corridor.
Currently the engineering design plans are at 30%. The next iteration for this segment will be at 90%, which is anticipated to be furnished within six months. Accordingly, FRA looks forward to reviewing the revised design plans at that time.

Results:

Of all the 86 grade crossings assessed in Brevard and Indian River counties, there are 64 crossing locations affected for Sealed Corridor treatments within the 110 MPH territory. The remaining crossings already have Sealed Corridor design elements in place; such as existing one-way streets, divided roadways, or have medians. In addition to accommodations for the second track, the remaining crossings would require their medians to be adjusted in length and be equipped with a minimum of 100-feet of non-traversable curbing for each approach.

As mentioned in the Part 1 Report, officials from All Aboard Florida passenger rail project (herein the "Project") did not initially adopt the "Sealed Corridor" concept as outlined in FRA's Highway-Rail Grade Crossing Guidelines for High-Speed Passenger Rail, Version 1.0 (November 2009). However, in a letter dated June 4, 2014 to the Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council, Florida Secretary of Transportation Ananth Prasad, P.E., stated that AAF will be required "to comply with the Federal Railroad Administration's guidelines for rail crossing safety as specified for higher speed passenger rail services." As a result of Secretary Prasad's letter, the Project has since directed its signals consultants to incorporate all of the Sealed Corridor design treatments where applicable along the entire AAF service route. The diagnostic team may have to re-visit the previous 57 grade crossings identified in the Part 1 Report to validate and verify compliance.

Safety Recommendations:

The following are recommendations made to the Project as a result of the on-site field assessments during the diagnostic safety review:

A. Pedestrian gates – there are several locations along the corridor at which sidewalks are present on both sides of the railroad right-of-way, but do not continue through the grade crossing. However, there is active collaboration between the Project and the respective municipality within Brevard and Indian River counties to correct the sidewalk continuity problems. There is a commitment on both sides to equip the existing sidewalks with pedestrian gate assemblies. Their partnership will also target existing and planned roadway
enhancement projects with adjacent sidewalks, including to pre-wire quadrants for roadway projects commencing at a later date.

FRA suggests that consideration be given to the installation of pedestrian swing gates. This would enable pedestrians on the crossing a means of egress to exit the crossing. In order to increase the effectiveness of pedestrian gates, the installation of fencing or other means of channelization should also be considered to deter pedestrians from circumventing the gates. At Four-Quadrant Gate locations, utilizing the vehicular exiting gate as a pedestrian function for sidewalks is not recommended. Separate pedestrian gates should be installed at those respective quadrants, and lowered simultaneously with the entrance gates.

B. Vehicle Presence Detection – as referenced in the Part 1 Report, Vehicle Presence Detection ("VPD") is a critical safety component for those Three-Quadrant and Four-Quadrant gated grade crossings for train speeds between 80-110 MPH. Recommending the installation of a VPD system along the FEC Railway corridor in Brevard and Indian River counties is necessary for the same safety reasons as outlined in the Part 1 Report.

C. Traffic Signal Preemption – throughout the entire diagnostic safety review for this corridor, it has been noted that Traffic Signal Preemption (herein "Preemption") will require extensive study prior to finalization of the railroad’s signal plans for this project. Preemption has become an issue of significant concern to FRA resulting in the publication of Safety Advisory SA-2010-02 and Technical Bulletin S-12-01. The following is quoted from the Technical Bulletin:

"Highway traffic signal pre-emption interconnections play a critical role in the overall proper functioning of a highway-rail grade crossing active warning system where such interconnections exist. There are two basic types of preemption: Simultaneous and Advanced. Simultaneous Preemption is that which results in the initiation of the traffic signal cycle at the same time the highway-rail grade crossing warning system is activated. Advanced Preemption results in initiation of the traffic signal cycle prior to the grade crossing warning system being activated. The type of pre-emption installed, and any additional time required for pre-emption operation, will be determined and specified by the public agency responsible for the highway traffic signal in accordance with Section 8C.09 of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices."
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In addition to the requisite for the proper design of both the crossing warning signal system and the traffic signal in terms of Preemption provisions, the FRA Safety Advisory states the need for on-going monitoring and review of grade crossings with Preemption. The Safety Advisory is grounded by two recommendations made by the National Transportation Safety Board, identified as I-96-10 and I-96-11, regarding a collision between a commuter train and a school bus in Fox River Grove, IL in 1995. The Safety Advisory makes four specific recommendations to provide for safety at Preempted locations, which can be found accompanying this report.

Due to the fact that a number of grade crossings along the corridor are proposed to be equipped with Four-Quadrant Gate warning systems, it is important to point out that the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) sets forth additional requirements for Preemption where Four-Quadrant Gates are installed. As outlined in Part 8C.06 of the MUTCD, it states the following:

"If a Four-Quadrant Gate system is used at a location that is adjacent to an intersection that could cause highway vehicles to queue within the minimum track clearance distance, the Dynamic Exit Gate Operating Mode should be used unless an engineering study indicates otherwise."

"If a Four-Quadrant Gate system is interconnected with a highway traffic signal, backup or standby power should be considered for the highway traffic signal. Also, circuitry should be installed to prevent the highway traffic signal from leaving the track clearance green interval until all of the gates are lowered."

"Four-Quadrant Gate systems should include remote health (status) monitoring capable of automatically notifying railroad or LRT signal maintenance personnel when anomalies have occurred within the system."

FRA encourages reference to Part 3.1.10 of the American Railway Engineering and Maintenance-of-Way Association (AREMA) guidelines. The information provides recommended design practices of interconnection between highway traffic signals and grade crossing warning systems. This is especially important where station stops or railroad interlockings exist within the approaches to Preempted locations.
FRA recognizes that the design and operation of preemption interconnections, from a traffic signal perspective, are outside the scope of the railroad’s direct responsibility. Yet, the safety of the railroad, its employees, and the public both on the roadway and on the train are directly impacted by these systems and their potential failure to provide sufficient time to permit a vehicle or pedestrian to clear the path of an approaching train. Therefore, FRA recommends that thorough coordination take place between the public authority responsible for the operation of the traffic signals and the railroad (which in this case is FEC/AAF).

In summary, due to the inclusion of additional tracks, increase in train speeds, station stops and restarts from sidings within approaches to traffic signal interconnected grade crossings; it is recommended that a thorough evaluation be made of the Preemption needs to determine whether Simultaneous or Advanced Preemption is required at each grade crossing location along the entire AAF service route (Miami through Cocoa). FRA also recommends that an independent consulting firm with extensive expertise in the field of Preemption be part of the assessment in all of the Preempted grade crossing locations. The consultant should have expertise in both traffic signal design and operation, as well as grade crossing signal design and operation. The consultant must also be knowledgeable in the evolving changes to both the MUTCD, and the AREMA Communication & Signal Manual of Recommended Practice.

D. **100-foot Non-traversable Medians** – for the purposes of the overall diagnostic assessment, non-traversable medians are also referred as FDOT’s “non-mountable traffic separators”. In particular, there are two State design standards; Type F which channelizes storm water runoff, and Type D which has no gutter function. Either design is acceptable as long as the curb meets the State’s minimum 6” vertical profile design to prevent motorists from driving over the median. The 100-foot minimum length is measured from the tip of the railroad gate arm and extends along the vehicular travel lane. It is recommended that “no left turn” signs (or other means of notification) are posted to advise motorists that are exiting driveways, parking lots or streets within 100 feet of the gate arm not to travel against the flow of traffic to circumvent the purpose of the median and drive around lowered gates.
E. **Sealed Corridor Treatments** - the following grade crossing recommended Sealed Corridor treatments were collectively agreed upon by the Diagnostic Team. Please note that further engineering may require a Four-Quadrant location become a Three-Quadrant layout with a median (and vice-versa); however, the Sealed Corridor design element will remain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>229.75</td>
<td>272 198 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Road</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>229.19</td>
<td>272 197 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>228.66</td>
<td>272 196 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23rd Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>227.31</td>
<td>272 191 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>227.06</td>
<td>272 189 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43rd Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>225.12</td>
<td>272 179 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49th Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>224.42</td>
<td>272 177 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69th Street</td>
<td>Winter Beach</td>
<td>221.80</td>
<td>272 172 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobart Road</td>
<td>Winter Beach</td>
<td>220.70</td>
<td>272 170 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Dixie Hwy</td>
<td>Sebastian</td>
<td>216.00</td>
<td>272 163 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malabar Road</td>
<td>Malabar</td>
<td>199.94</td>
<td>272 149 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Bay Road</td>
<td>Palm Bay</td>
<td>197.46</td>
<td>272 147 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Avenue *</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>194.07</td>
<td>272 136 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Palm Ave</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>193.83</td>
<td>272 133 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Galle Blvd.</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>190.10</td>
<td>272 112 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creel Street **</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>189.92</td>
<td>272 123 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurora Road</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>189.68</td>
<td>272 122 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masterson Street</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>189.32</td>
<td>272 121 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Washington</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>188.70</td>
<td>272 926 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Road</td>
<td>Pineda</td>
<td>186.86</td>
<td>272 117 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyster Blvd.</td>
<td>Rockledge</td>
<td>175.57</td>
<td>272 908 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peachtree Street</td>
<td>Cocoa</td>
<td>172.90</td>
<td>272 096 S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Possible one-way street, to be determined by the city's re-evaluation of a traffic study.
** - Possible Closure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highlands Drive SE</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>232.86</td>
<td>272 201 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oslo Road</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>231.31</td>
<td>272 200 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>228.02</td>
<td>272 195 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barber Street</td>
<td>Sebastian</td>
<td>218.03</td>
<td>272 974 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senne Road</td>
<td>Grant Valkaria</td>
<td>208.13</td>
<td>272 154 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valkaria Road</td>
<td>Grant Valkaria</td>
<td>203.00</td>
<td>272 151 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan Blvd.</td>
<td>Malabar</td>
<td>201.50</td>
<td>272 150 H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Please note: if for any reason the Project and the respective municipality cannot agree on the median treatment, then those location(s) are to be equipped with either a Three-Quadrant Gate with Median or a Four Quadrant Gate system.

**Three-Quadrant Gates (due to a median present on the opposite side) (26)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>230.15</td>
<td>272 199 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Street *</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>227.48</td>
<td>272 192 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32nd Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>226.65</td>
<td>272 047 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41st Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>225.46</td>
<td>272 180 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45th Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>224.94</td>
<td>272 178 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53rd Street</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>223.90</td>
<td>273 108 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Beach Rd.</td>
<td>Winter Beach</td>
<td>222.32</td>
<td>272 173 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wabasso Road</td>
<td>Winter Beach</td>
<td>219.58</td>
<td>272 168 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99th Street</td>
<td>Sebastian</td>
<td>217.61</td>
<td>272 165 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schumann Drive</td>
<td>Sebastian</td>
<td>216.59</td>
<td>272 164 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street</td>
<td>Sebastian</td>
<td>214.42</td>
<td>272 161 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micco Road</td>
<td>Micco</td>
<td>209.23</td>
<td>272 156 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barefoot Blvd.</td>
<td>Micco</td>
<td>208.99</td>
<td>272 155 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Pit Road</td>
<td>Grant Valkaria</td>
<td>207.13</td>
<td>272 153 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Street</td>
<td>Grant Valkaria</td>
<td>205.61</td>
<td>272 152 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hessey Avenue *</td>
<td>Palm Bay</td>
<td>197.36</td>
<td>272 146 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Fee Avenue</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>194.00</td>
<td>272 135 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminole Ave **</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>193.89</td>
<td>272 134 Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarno Road</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>190.58</td>
<td>272 125 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viera Blvd.</td>
<td>Bonaventure</td>
<td>180.28</td>
<td>272 976 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ansin Road</td>
<td>Bonaventure</td>
<td>179.40</td>
<td>272 110 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carver Road</td>
<td>Bonaventure</td>
<td>179.14</td>
<td>272 109 R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gus Hipp Blvd</td>
<td>Rockledge</td>
<td>177.13</td>
<td>272 926 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barton Blvd.</td>
<td>Rockledge</td>
<td>175.02</td>
<td>272 101 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland Drive</td>
<td>Cocoa</td>
<td>172.45</td>
<td>272 866 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dixon Blvd.</td>
<td>Cocoa</td>
<td>171.52</td>
<td>272 095 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Possible Closure
** - Possible one-way street, to be determined by the city's re-evaluation of a traffic study.
Closed (5) Please note: Officials from the city and county are considering closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21st Street *</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>227.48</td>
<td>272 192 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Avenue</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>227.14</td>
<td>272 190 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hessey Avenue *</td>
<td>Palm Bay</td>
<td>197.36</td>
<td>272 146 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jernigan Avenue</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>195.02</td>
<td>272 143 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creel Street **</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>189.92</td>
<td>272 123 L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Three-Quadrant Gate with Median if unable to close
** - Four-Quadrant Gate layout if unable to close

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Milepost</th>
<th>DOT #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawks Nest</td>
<td>Vero Beach</td>
<td>223.18</td>
<td>272 175 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rinker Way *</td>
<td>Rockledge</td>
<td>176.10</td>
<td>272 908 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Recommend locked gate with procedures seeking permission from the railroad’s Operations Dispatcher to enter.

Conclusion:

Once the construction of the grade crossings are completed, FEC and FDOT must immediately update the existing U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory record for each location to reflect the updated train counts, increased train speeds, additional signage, new ADDT numbers, etc., where applicable. FRA will continue to provide ongoing support and guidance while the Project looks towards achieving its goals relating to safe and reliable high-speed passenger rail service.

Report Respectfully Submitted By:

Frank A. Frey, Gen. Engineer-HSR
Federal Railroad Administration | U.S. DOT
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE
RRS-23 | W33-447
Washington, DC 20590
(202) 493-0130
frank.frey@dot.gov
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<table>
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</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Title</td>
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Lobbyist registered with Legislature: ☑ Yes ☐ No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
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Name
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Job Title
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Address
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Phone
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Waive Speaking: [ ] In Support [X] Against
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Representing
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<tr>
<td>Name</td>
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Representing
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While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.
The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date: 1/21/2020

Bill Number (if applicable): SB 6076

Amendment Barcode (if applicable):

Topic: HIGH SPEED RAIL

Name: DOMINICK MONTANARO

Job Title: COUNCILMAN

Address: 545 CASSIA BLVD
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Speaking: X For □ Against □ Information

Waive Speaking: □ In Support □ Against
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Representing: CITY OF SATELLITE BEACH

Appearing at request of Chair: □ Yes X No

Lobbyist registered with Legislature: □ Yes X No
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Bill Number (if applicable): SB 676
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Name: Mark Ryan
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Phone: 321-773-3181
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<table>
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<tr>
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State: TX
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Lobbyist registered with Legislature: □ Yes □ No
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>SB 676</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>High Speed Passenger Rail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rusty Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Vice President, Gov't Affairs - Brightline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>161 New 6th Street</td>
<td>207-604-5952</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rusty.roberts@gbrightline.com">rusty.roberts@gbrightline.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaking:</th>
<th>For</th>
<th>Against</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
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<tr>
<th>Waive Speaking:</th>
<th>In Support</th>
<th>Against</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(The Chair will read this information into the record.)

Representing | Brightline/Virgin Trains |
|--------------|--------------------------|

Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No

Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

*This form is part of the public record for this meeting.*
I. Summary:

SB 966 contains public record exemptions for records and information related to property photographs, financial documents, or financial information provided for participation in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance to:

- The Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO);
- The Florida Housing Finance Corporation (FHFC);
- A county;
- A municipality; or
- A local housing finance agency.

The bill is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature. The bill contains a public necessity statement as required by the Florida Constitution. Because this bill creates a new public records exemption, a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature is required for passage.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Access to Public Records - Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three

1 FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).
branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.\textsuperscript{2}

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.\textsuperscript{3} Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.\textsuperscript{4} Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

**Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act**

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.\textsuperscript{5}

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.\textsuperscript{6} The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of “public record” to include “material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.”\textsuperscript{7}

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person’s right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.\textsuperscript{8} A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.\textsuperscript{9}

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate.\textsuperscript{10} The exemption must state

\textsuperscript{2} Id.
\textsuperscript{4} State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4\textsuperscript{th} DCA 2018).
\textsuperscript{5} Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”
\textsuperscript{6} Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.”
\textsuperscript{7} Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).
\textsuperscript{8} Section 119.071(1)(a), F.S.
\textsuperscript{9} Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.
\textsuperscript{10} Fla. Const. art. I, s. 24(c).
with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.11

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act.12 Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program.13

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “exempt” or “confidential and exempt.” Custodians of records designated as “exempt” are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record.14 Custodians of records designated as “confidential and exempt” may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature.15

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act16 (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended17 public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions.18 It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.19

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary.20 An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes and the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;21

---

11 Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp., 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc., 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).
12 See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).
13 See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).
14 See Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).
15 WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).
16 Section 119.15, F.S.
17 An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.
18 Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provide that exemptions that are required by federal law or are applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.
19 Section 119.15(3), F.S.
20 Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.
21 Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.
• It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual’s safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;  
• It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets.

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process. In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required. If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.

**Disaster Recovery Housing Assistance Programs**

The DEO, FHFC, counties, municipalities, and local housing finance agencies have various housing programs that are designed to assist those who have been impacted by a disaster. One such program through the DEO's Office of Disaster Recovery supports communities following disasters by addressing long-term recovery needs through the Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery Program (CDBG-DR). CDBG-DR is a federally funded program designed to address housing, infrastructure, economic development and mitigation needs that remain after other assistance has been exhausted, including federal assistance as well as private insurance.

**III. Effect of Proposed Changes:**

The bill amends s. 119.071, F.S., creating public record exemptions for records and information related to property photographs, financial documents, or financial information provided for

---

22 Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.
23 Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.
24 Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:
• What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
• Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
• What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
• Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
• Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
• Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?
25 See generally s. 119.15, F.S.
26 Section 119.15(7), F.S.
participation in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance to:

- DEO;
- FHFC;
- A county;
- A municipality; or
- A local housing finance agency.

Federal, state, or local housing assistance programs for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance are not enumerated in the bill; however it appears they may include programs such as:

- Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery Program;\(^{28}\)
- Hurricane Housing Recovery Program;\(^{29}\) and
- Rental Recovery Loan Program.\(^{30}\)

The bill is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature. The bill contains a public necessity statement as required by the Florida Constitution. Because this bill creates a new public records exemption, a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature is required for passage.

The bill contains a statement of public necessity, which includes:

- The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that records and information related to property photographs, financial documents, or financial information of an applicant for or a participant in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program provided to the Department of Economic Opportunity, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, a county, a municipality, or a local housing finance agency for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance should be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24 (a), Article I of the State Constitution; and
- In response to a disaster, in an effort to determine storm damage and ascertain the estimated cost of rehabilitation, an agency may conduct a property inspection to observe and record the presence of damage. The damage assessment data collected may include interior and exterior photographs of such individual’s residence. This information may be used to locate the damaged property and identify and contact the property owner or tenant. If released, this information may be used by fraudulent contractors, predatory lenders, thieves, or individuals seeking to impose on the vulnerability of a distressed property owner or tenant following a disaster. Therefore, it is necessary to protect this information to ensure that sensitive information of people impacted by a disaster is not released.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

\(^{28}\) Section 290.044, F.S.
\(^{29}\) Chapter 2019-115, Laws of Fla.
\(^{30}\) Id.
IV. **Constitutional Issues:**

A. **Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:**

None.

B. **Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:**

**Vote Requirement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill enacts a new exemption for records and information related to property photographs, financial documents, or financial information provided for participation in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance to the DEO, FHFC, a county, a municipality, or a local housing finance agency, thus, the bill requires a two-thirds vote to be enacted.

**Public Necessity Statement**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 2 of the bill contains a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

**Breadth of Exemption**

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect records and information related to property photographs, financial documents, or financial information provided for participation in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance to the DEO, FHFC, a county, a municipality, or a local housing finance agency. This bill exempts only records and information related to property photographs, financial documents, or financial information provided for participation in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program for the purpose of disaster recovery assistance to the DEO, FHFC, a county, a municipality, or a local housing finance agency. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

C. **Trust Funds Restrictions:**

None.

D. **State Tax or Fee Increases:**

None.
E. Other Constitutional Issues:
   None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:
   A. Tax/Fee Issues:
       None.
   B. Private Sector Impact:
       None.
   C. Government Sector Impact:
       None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:
    None.

VII. Related Issues:
     None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:
    This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 119.071

IX. Additional Information:
   A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:
      (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)
      None.
   B. Amendments:
      None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (f) of subsection (5) of section 119.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

119.071 General exemptions from inspection or copying of public records.—

(5) OTHER PERSONAL INFORMATION.—

(f) Medical history records and information related to health or property insurance provided to the Department of Economic Opportunity, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, a county, a municipality, or a local housing finance agency by an applicant for or a participant in a federal, state, or local housing assistance program, are confidential and exempt from s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
(2) In response to a disaster, in an effort to determine storm damage and ascertain the estimated cost of rehabilitation, an agency may conduct a property inspection to observe and record the presence of damage. The damage assessment data collected may include interior and exterior photographs of such individual’s residence. This information may be used to locate the damaged property and identify and contact the property owner or tenant. If released, this information may be used by fraudulent contractors, predatory lenders, thieves, or individuals seeking to impose on the vulnerability of a distressed property owner or tenant following a disaster. Therefore, it is necessary to protect this information to ensure that sensitive information of people impacted by a disaster is not released.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
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S-001 (10/14/14)
I. Summary:

CS/SB 1030 is a bill relating the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV), which contains public record exemptions for:

- Personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV; and
- Electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV or its agent tax collectors.

The CS requires the DHSMV to disclose electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers to its tax collector agents to send electronic communications for the purpose of providing information.

The CS is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature. The CS contains a public necessity statement as required by the Florida Constitution. Because this CS creates a new public records exemption, a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature is required for passage.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.
II. Present Situation:

Access to Public Records - Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business.\(^1\) The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.\(^2\)

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, section 11.0431, Florida Statutes (F.S.), provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and the statutory provisions are adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.\(^3\) Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.\(^4\) Lastly, chapter 119, F.S., provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

Chapter 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.\(^5\)

A public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted.\(^6\) The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the statutory definition of “public record” to include “material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type.”\(^7\)

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person’s right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the

---

1 FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).
2 Id.
4 State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).
5 Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines “agency” as “any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.”
6 Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines “public record” to mean “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.”
7 Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).
custodian of the public record. A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate. The exemption must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption.

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program.

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is “exempt” or “confidential and exempt.” Custodians of records designated as “exempt” are not prohibited from disclosing the record; rather, the exemption means that the custodian cannot be compelled to disclose the record. Custodians of records designated as “confidential and exempt” may not disclose the record except under circumstances specifically defined by the Legislature.

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (the Act) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions. It requires the automatic repeal of such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary.
An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes and the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;\(^{21}\)
- It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual’s safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;\(^{22}\) or
- It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets.\(^{23}\)

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.\(^{24}\) In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.\(^{25}\) If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law.\(^{26}\)

**Driver Privacy Protection Act of 1994**

Motorist personal information, when held by the DHSMV in motor vehicle records, is confidential pursuant to the Driver’s Privacy Protection Act (DPPA) of 1994.\(^ {27}\) These restrictions on the disclosure of motorist personal information do not apply to vessel titles or vessel registrations. Because the personal information in vessel records comprises much of the same information contained in motor vehicle records, when personal information revealed in vessel records is made available to the public, the protections afforded by the DPPA are undermined, eroding the privacy of motorist personal information.

\(^{21}\) Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.
\(^{22}\) Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.
\(^{23}\) Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.
\(^{24}\) Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

\(^{25}\) See generally s. 119.15, F.S.
\(^{26}\) Section 119.15(7), F.S.
\(^{27}\) 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq., and s. 119.0712(2), F.S.
Personal information covered by the DPPA includes: access to your social security number, driver license or identification card number, name, address, telephone number and medical or disability information, contained in your motor vehicle and driver license records. Additionally, emergency contact information and email addresses are restricted pursuant to section 119.0712(2), F.S. 28

Information that is not covered by the DPPA is non-personal information contained in motor vehicle and driver license records such as vehicular crash records, driving violations and driver status information, and are considered public information. 29

Personal information in motor vehicle and driver license records can be released for the following purposes: 30, 31

- For use by any government agency, including any court or law enforcement agency, in carrying out its functions, or any private person or entity acting on behalf of a Federal, State, or local agency in carrying out its functions;
- For use in connection with matters of motor vehicle or driver safety and theft; motor vehicle emissions; motor vehicle product alterations, recalls, or advisories; performance monitoring of motor vehicles, motor vehicle parts and dealers; motor vehicle market research activities, including survey research; and removal of non-owner records from the original owner records of motor vehicle manufacturers;
- For use in the normal course of business by a legitimate business or its agents, employees, or contractors, but only -
  - to verify the accuracy of personal information submitted by the individual to the business or its agents, employees, or contractors; and
  - if such information as so submitted is not correct or is no longer correct, to obtain the correct information, but only for the purposes of preventing fraud by, pursuing legal remedies against, or recovering on a debt or security interest against, the individual;
- For use in connection with any civil, criminal, administrative, or arbitral proceeding in any Federal, State, or local court or agency or before any self-regulatory body, including the service of process, investigation in anticipation of litigation, and the execution or enforcement of judgments and orders, or pursuant to an order of a Federal, State, or local court;
- For use in research activities, and for use in producing statistical reports, so long as the personal information is not published, redisclosed, or used to contact individuals;
- For use by any insurer or insurance support organization, or by a self-insured entity, or its agents, employees, or contractors, in connection with claims investigation activities, antifraud activities, rating or underwriting;
- For use in providing notice to the owners of towed or impounded vehicles;
- For use by any licensed private investigative agency or licensed security service for any purpose permitted under this subsection;

29 Id.
30 Supra, note 27.
• For use by an employer or its agent or insurer to obtain or verify information relating to a holder of a commercial driver's license;
• For use in connection with the operation of private toll transportation facilities;
• For any other use in response to requests for individual motor vehicle records if the State has obtained the express consent of the person to whom such personal information pertains;
• For bulk distribution for surveys, marketing or solicitations if the State has obtained the express consent of the person to whom such personal information pertains;
• For use by any requester, if the requester demonstrates it has obtained the written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains; and
• For any other use specifically authorized under the law of the State that holds the record, if such use is related to the operation of a motor vehicle or public safety.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The CS amends s. 119.0712, F.S., creating public record exemptions for:
• Personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV. Personal information in a vessel record may be released only in the same manner provided for a motor vehicle record pursuant to the DPPA of 1994, 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq. The exemption applies to vessel records held on or after the effective date of the CS; and
• Electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV or its agent tax collectors pursuant to chapter 319, chapter 320, chapter 322, chapter 324, or chapter 328. The exemption applies to electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers held before, on, or after the effective date of the CS.

The CS requires the DHSMV to disclose electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers to its tax collector agents to send electronic communications to such electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers for the purpose of providing information about the issuance of titles, registrations, disabled parking permits, driver licenses, and identification cards; renewal notices; or the tax collector’s office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, appointment scheduling information, or website information.

The CS is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature. The CS contains a public necessity statement as required by the Florida Constitution. Because this CS creates a new public records exemption, a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature is required for passage.

The CS contains a statement of public necessity, which includes:
• The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution.
• Motorist personal information, when held by the DHSMV in motor vehicle records, is confidential pursuant to the DPPA of 1994, 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq., and s. 119.0712(2), Florida Statutes. These restrictions on the disclosure of motorist personal information do not apply to vessel titles or vessel registrations. Because the personal information in vessel
records comprises much of the same information contained in motor vehicle records, when personal information revealed in vessel records is made available to the public, the protections afforded by the DPPA of 1994, 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq., are significantly undermined, eroding the privacy and safety of motorists.

- The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to make personal information contained in such vessel records confidential and exempt from public records requirements.
- The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV and its tax collector agents pursuant to chapter 319, chapter 320, chapter 322, chapter 324, or chapter 328, Florida Statutes, be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and section 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution.
- In order to communicate more effectively with motorists through enhancements in information technology, including efforts of the Motorist Modernization project, the DHSMV seeks to increase communications with motorists through electronic mail and text messaging.
- If the electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers of motorists are made available to the public, the impact on motorist privacy and risk of unsolicited commercial solicitation by electronic mail or text message would have an undesirable chilling effect on motorists’ voluntary use of electronic portals to communicate with the department, thereby undermining the effective use of these enhancements in information technology.
- The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to make such electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV confidential and exempt from public records requirements.
- The Legislature further finds that the public record exemptions for electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers must be given retroactive application because it is remedial in nature.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This CS enacts a new exemption for personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV, and electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV or its agent tax collectors, thus, the CS requires a two-thirds vote to be enacted.
Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 2 of the CS contains a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV, and electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV or its agent tax collectors. This CS exempts only personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV, and electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the DHSMV or its agent tax collectors from the public records requirements. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.
VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This CS substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 119.0712

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:
(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Infrastructure and Security on January 21, 2020:
• Changes the term “e-mail” to “electronic mail”; and
• Personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the DHSMV before the effective date of the CS is not covered by the public records exemption.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Stargel) recommended the following:

**Senate Amendment (with title amendment)**

1. Delete lines 45 - 125
2. and insert:
3. records held on or after the effective date of this exemption.
4. 2. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.
5. (d)1. Electronic mail E-mail addresses and cellular
telephone numbers collected by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles or its agent tax collectors pursuant to chapter 319, chapter 320, chapter 322, chapter 324, or chapter 328 s. 319.40(3), s. 320.95(2), or s. 322.08(9) are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. 
This exemption applies to electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers held before, on, or after the effective date of this exemption retroactively.

2. The department shall disclose such electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers to its tax collector agents to send electronic communications to such electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers for the purpose of providing information, including, but not limited to, the issuance of titles, registrations, disabled parking permits, driver licenses, and identification cards; renewal notices; or the tax collector’s office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, appointment scheduling information, or website information.

3. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

(e)(d) 1. Emergency contact information contained in a motor vehicle record is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

2. Without the express consent of the person to whom such emergency contact information applies, the emergency contact information contained in a motor vehicle record may be released only to law enforcement agencies for purposes of contacting
those listed in the event of an emergency.

Section 2. (1) The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. Motorist personal information, when held by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles in motor vehicle records, is confidential pursuant to the Driver’s Privacy Protection Act of 1994, 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq., and s. 119.0712(2), Florida Statutes. These restrictions on the disclosure of motorist personal information do not apply to vessel titles or vessel registrations. Because the personal information in vessel records comprises much of the same information contained in motor vehicle records, when personal information revealed in vessel records is made available to the public, the protections afforded by the Driver’s Privacy Protection Act of 1994, 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq., are significantly undermined, eroding the privacy and safety of motorists. Therefore, the Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to make personal information contained in such vessel records confidential and exempt from public records requirements.

(2) The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and its tax collector agents pursuant to chapter 319, chapter 320, chapter 322, chapter 324, or chapter 328, Florida Statutes,
be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and section 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. In order to communicate more effectively with motorists through enhancements in information technology, including efforts of the Motorist Modernization project, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles seeks to increase communications with motorists through electronic mail and text messaging. If the electronic mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers of motorists are made available to the public, the impact on motorist privacy and risk of unsolicited commercial solicitation by electronic mail or text message would have an undesirable chilling effect on motorists’ voluntary use of electronic portals to communicate with the department, thereby undermining the effective use of these enhancements in information technology. Therefore, the Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to make such electronic mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers.

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete line 8
and insert:
requirements for electronic mail addresses and cellular
Florida Senate - 2020

By Senator Stargel

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to public records; amending s. 119.0712, F.S.; creating public records exemptions for certain information contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; providing exemptions from public records requirements for e-mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the department; providing for retroactive application; requiring disclosure of confidential information under certain circumstances; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemptions; providing statements of public necessity; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (2) of section 119.0712, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

119.0712 Executive branch agency-specific exemptions from inspection or copying of public records.—

(2) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES.—

(a) For purposes of this subsection, the term “motor vehicle record” means any record that pertains to a motor vehicle operator’s permit, motor vehicle title, motor vehicle registration, or identification card issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles.

(b) Personal information, including highly restricted personal information as defined in 18 U.S.C. s. 2725, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles is confidential and personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. Such information in a vessel record may be released only in the same manner provided for a motor vehicle record pursuant to the Driver’s Privacy Protection Act of 1994, 18 U.S.C. ss. 2721 et seq. This exemption applies to vessel records held before, on, or after the effective date of this exemption.

2. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

(d)1. E-mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles or its agent tax collectors pursuant to chapter 319, chapter 320, chapter 322, chapter 324, or chapter 328 s. 319.013(2), 320.06(2), ss. 322.08(2), 322.08(3) are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. This exemption applies to e-mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers held before, on, or after the effective date of this exemption retroactively.
2. The department shall disclose such e-mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers to its tax collector agents to send electronic communications to such e-mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers for the purpose of providing information about the issuance of titles, registrations, disabled parking permits, driver licenses, and identification cards; renewal notices; or the tax collector’s office locations, hours of operation, contact information, driving skills testing locations, appointment scheduling information, or website information.

3. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2025, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

(e) Emergency contact information contained in a motor vehicle record is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

2. Without the express consent of the person to whom such emergency contact information applies, the emergency contact information contained in a motor vehicle record may be released only to law enforcement agencies for purposes of contacting those listed in the event of an emergency.

Section 2. (1) The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that personal information, including highly restricted personal information, contained in any record that pertains to a vessel title or vessel registration issued by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. Motorist personal information, when held by the Department of Highway Safety and...
messaging. If the e-mail addresses or cellular telephone numbers of motorists are made available to the public, the impact on motorist privacy and risk of unsolicited commercial solicitation by e-mail or text message would have an undesirable chilling effect on motorists’ voluntary use of electronic portals to communicate with the department, thereby undermining the effective use of these enhancements in information technology. Therefore, the Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to make such e-mail addresses and cellular telephone numbers collected by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles confidential and exempt from public records requirements. The Legislature further finds that this public records exemption must be given retroactive application because it is remedial in nature.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
I. Summary:

CS/SB 1086 requires the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) to provide tax collectors and their approved agents\(^1\) and vendors\(^2\) with real-time access to data that other third parties receive from the DHSMV related to motor vehicle and mobile home registration certificates, registration license plates, validation stickers, and vessel registration certificates and vessel numbers and decals, including, but not limited to, the most current address information and electronic mail addresses of applicants.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Florida Real Time Vehicle Information System

The DHSMV maintains the Florida Real Time Vehicle Information System (FRVIS) that facilitates the collection of taxes and fees for tags, titles, and registrations associated with motor vehicles in the state of Florida through real-time access to vehicle registration data.

---

\(^1\) Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, Senate Bill 1086 Bill Analysis (January 13, 2020) (on file with the Senate Committee on Infrastructure and Security). “Agents are License Plate Agents who contract (MOU) with county Tax Collector offices to conduct driver and vehicle transactions.”

\(^2\) Id. “The department interprets vendors and 3rd Party providers to be interchangeable terms (external contracted entities).”
vehicles and vessels. Local tax collector and tag agent offices throughout the state process tag, title, and registration transactions through FRVIS. According to the DHSMV, FRVIS processed approximately 413.1 million transactions for the collection of approximately $3.091 billion in revenue from taxes and fees associated with tags, titles, and registrations for motor vehicles and vessels during fiscal year 2018-19, including amounts retained by local tax collector and tag agent offices. These funds, together with all other sources of the DHSMV’s revenue, are distributed through FRVIS to various state agencies, including the DHSMV, and non-state entities in accordance with governing Florida Statutes.

FRVIS is composed of two processing environments. The first is a distributed environment that consists of the servers at local tax collector and tag agent offices that process tag, title, and registration transactions throughout the state. The second environment is the host portion that consists of the back-end processing that is conducted centrally at the DHSMV’s primary data center.

In addition to residential street addresses, the DHSMV is authorized to collect and store (in FRVIS) e-mail addresses. E-mail addresses may be used, in lieu of the United States Postal Service, to provide certain renewal notices, including registration renewal notices, driver license renewal notices, and vessel registration renewal notices.

Registration Duties of Tax Collectors

Motor Vehicles
Tax collectors are authorized agents of the DHSMV that issue registration certificates, registration license plates, validation stickers, and mobile home stickers to applicants. The DHSMV may require each tax collector to give a bond, payable to the DHSMV, conditioned that the tax collector faithfully and truly perform the duties imposed upon him or her according to the requirements of law and the rules and regulations of the DHSMV. Each tax collector must keep a full and complete record and account of all validation stickers, mobile home stickers, or other properties received by him or her from the DHSMV. FRVIS must be installed in every tax collector’s and license tag agent’s office in accordance with a schedule established by the

4 Id. at 1-2.
5 Email from Kevin Jacobs, Deputy Legislative Affairs Director, Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, RE: FRVIS, (January 16, 2020).
6 Supra, note 17.
7 Id.
8 Section 319.40, F.S.
9 Section 320.95, F.S.
10 Section 322.08(10), F.S.
11 Section 328.30, F.S.
12 Section 328.80, F.S.
13 Section 320.03(1), F.S.
14 Section 320.03(2), F.S.
15 Section 320.03(3), F.S.
DHSMV in consultation with the tax collectors and contingent upon funds being made available for the system by the state.\textsuperscript{16}

\textit{Vessels}
Tax collectors must issue registration certificates and vessel numbers and decals to applicants, subject to the requirements of law and in accordance with the rules of the DHSMV.\textsuperscript{17} Each tax collector must keep a full and complete record and account of all vessel decals or other properties received by him or her from the DHSMV and must make prompt remittance of moneys collected by them at the times and in the manner prescribed by law.\textsuperscript{18}

\textbf{Registration Data Access Concerns}

The DHSMV provides tax collectors (and the tax collectors’ third-party agents) with most, but not all, access to customer data available through FRVIS. For example, tax collectors are unable to run searches on real-time bulk data in FRVIS because they are only authorized to look up customer vehicle or vessel data individually in real-time data. Tax Collectors must therefore run any bulk data searches on batched bulk data from the previous business day. This limits the tax collectors’ ability to analyze the data.

\section{Effect of Proposed Changes:}

The CS amends ss. 320.03 and 328.73, F.S., requiring the DHSMV, for the purpose of enhancing customer services provided by tax collectors on behalf of the DHSMV, to provide tax collectors acting on behalf of the DHSMV, and tax collector-approved agents and vendors real-time access to the same data and functionality that other third parties receive from the DHSMV related to motor vehicle and mobile home registration certificates, registration license plates, validation stickers, and vessel registration certificates and vessel numbers and decals, including, but not limited to, the most current address information and electronic mail addresses of applicants.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

\section{Constitutional Issues:}

\begin{enumerate}
\item \textbf{Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:}

None.

\item \textbf{Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:}

None.

\item \textbf{Trust Funds Restrictions:}

None.
\end{enumerate}

\textsuperscript{16} Section 320.03(4)(b), F.S.
\textsuperscript{17} Section 328.73(1), F.S.
\textsuperscript{18} Section 328.73(2), F.S.
D. State Tax or Fee Increases:
None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:
None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:
None.

B. Private Sector Impact:
None.

C. Government Sector Impact:
Tax collectors, and tax collector-approved agents and vendors, may see a positive indeterminate fiscal impact as a result of having real-time access to data and thus being able to provide more efficient service to customers.

The DHSMV may incur an indeterminate programming cost implementing real-time data access to tax collectors, and tax collector-approved agents and vendors.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:
None.

VII. Related Issues:
The DHSMV provided the following comments regarding the bill as originally filed:

The DHSMV does not need any further statutory authority to provide data or functionality to tax collector agents or vendors.19

The bill is written broadly and requires the DHSMV to provide tax collectors, tax collector agents, and tax collector vendors with access to the same data and functionality that all other third parties receive from the DHSMV related to motor vehicle and vessel registration. The bill also requires the DHSMV to provide customers’ residential and email addresses to tax collectors and their approved agents and vendors, which is not something the DHSMV currently does. Based on the broad language of the bill, the DHSMV requests clarification of the following:

1) Would the personal identifying information (“PII”) provided to the agents and vendors of a specific tax collector be limited to data relating to customers residing in that tax collector’s county? For example, if an agent or vendor does business on behalf of the Leon County Tax

19 Section 320.03(4), F.S.
Collector, would the DHSMV be required to provide the agent or vendor with the PII of citizens in other counties if requested?

2) Could the DHSMV continue the practice of data minimization with respect to providing PII to agents and vendors? In other words, could the DHSMV refuse to provide PII to a tax collector’s agent or vendor unless it is directly relevant and necessary to accomplish a specified purpose related to carrying out the statutorily mandated functions of the tax collector?

3) As a follow-up to question two above, could the DHSMV also limit the agent or vendor’s use of the data and functionality to services provided on behalf of the tax collector, or would the vendor be free to use the data or functionality for any lawful commercial purpose?

4) Could the DHSMV limit the data released based on a business use case provided by the tax collector?

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This CS substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 320.03 and 328.73

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:
   (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

   CS by Infrastructure and Security on January 21, 2020:
   • Removes the ability of DHSMV to require a tax collector’s approved agent or vendor that requests real-time access to DHSMV data to enter into a memorandum of understanding, which may not be more restrictive than any memorandum of understanding between the department and any other third-party vendor; and
   • Requires the DHSMV to provide tax collectors acting on behalf of the DHSMV, and tax collector-approved agents and vendors real-time access to both the same data and functionality that all other third parties receive from the department related to motor vehicle and mobile home registration certificates, registration license plates, validation stickers, and vessel registration certificates and vessel numbers and decals, including, but not limited to, the most current address information and electronic mail addresses of applicants.

B. Amendments:

   None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill’s introducer or the Florida Senate.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Diaz) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete lines 34 - 60
and insert:

pursuant to s. 320.95.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 328.73, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

328.73 Registration; duties of tax collectors.—
(1) The tax collectors in the counties of the state, as authorized agents of the department, shall issue registration
certificates and vessel numbers and decals to applicants,
subject to the requirements of law and in accordance with rules
of the department. For the purpose of enhancing customer
services provided by tax collectors on behalf of the department,
the department shall provide tax collectors and their approved
agents and vendors with real-time access to the same data and
functionality that all other third parties receive from the
department related to vessel registration certificates and
vessel numbers and decals, including, but not limited to, each
applicant’s current residential address and each applicant’s
current electronic mail address collected pursuant to s. 328.30.

================= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ================
And the title is amended as follows:
Delete lines 9 - 10
and insert:
other third parties; providing an
By Senator Diaz

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to vehicle and vessel registration

Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (4) of section 320.03, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

(b) The Florida Real Time Vehicle Information System shall be installed in every tax collector’s and license tag agent’s office in accordance with a schedule established by the department in consultation with the tax collectors and contingent upon funds being made available for the system by the state. For the purpose of enhancing customer services provided by tax collectors on behalf of the department, the department shall provide tax collectors and their approved agents and vendors with real-time access to the same data and functionality that all other third parties receive from the department related to motor vehicle and mobile home registration certificates, registration license plates, and validation stickers, including, but not limited to, each applicant’s current residential address and each applicant’s current electronic mail address collected pursuant to s. 320.95. The department may require a tax collector’s approved agent or vendor that requests data or functionality pursuant to this paragraph to enter into a memorandum of understanding, which may not be more restrictive than any memorandum of understanding between the department and any other third-party vendor.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 328.73, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

(1) The tax collectors in the counties of the state, as authorized agents of the department, shall issue registration certificates and vessel numbers and decals to applicants, subject to the requirements of law and in accordance with rules of the department. For the purpose of enhancing customer services provided by tax collectors on behalf of the department, the department shall provide tax collectors and their approved agents and vendors with real-time access to the same data and functionality that all other third parties receive from the department related to vessel registration certificates and vessel numbers and decals, including, but not limited to, each applicant’s current residential address and each applicant’s current electronic mail address collected pursuant to s. 328.30. The department may require a tax collector’s approved agent or vendor that requests data or functionality pursuant to this subsection to enter into a memorandum of understanding, which
may not be more restrictive than any memorandum of understanding between the department and any other third-party vendor.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
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I. Summary:

CS/SB 1500 establishes a uniform annual use fee of $25 per plate for all specialty license plates created in statute after July 1, 2020, except for specialty license plates for motorcycles.

The CS also provides an annual use fee of $25 for the Blue Angels license plate.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

II. Present Situation:

Specialty License Plates

Presently, there are over 120 specialty license plates available for purchase in Florida.\(^1\) Specialty license plates are available to an owner or lessee of a motor vehicle who is willing to pay an annual use fee, ranging from $15 to $25, paid in addition to required license taxes and service fees.\(^2\) The annual use fees are distributed to an organization or organizations in support of a particular cause or charity signified on the plate’s design and designated in statute.\(^3\)

---

\(^2\) Section 320.08056, F.S.
\(^3\) Section 320.08058, F.S.
The annual use fees collected by an organization and any interest earned from the fees may be expended only for use in this state unless the annual use fee is derived from the sale of specified United States Armed Forces and veterans-related specialty plates. Additionally, organizations must adhere to certain accountability requirements, including an annual audit or attestation document affirming that funds received have been spent in accordance with applicable statutes.

During the 2019 Legislative Session a Blue Angels license plate was established. However, the development of the license plate was contingent upon the enactment of legislation creating an annual use fee under s. 320.08056 for the Blue Angels license plate.

**DHSMV Costs Defrayed**

The DHSMV is authorized to retain a sufficient portion of annual use fees collected from the sale of specialty plates to defray its costs for inventory, distribution, and other direct costs associated with the specialty license plate program. The remainder of the proceeds collected are distributed as provided by law.

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The CS amends s. 320.08056, F.S., to establish a uniform annual use fee of $25 per plate for all specialty license plates created in statute after July 1, 2020. The CS does not impact the $20 uniform fee for motorcycle specialty license plates that is established in s. 320.08068, F.S.

The CS also provides an annual use fee of $25 for the Blue Angels license plate.

The CS has an effective date of July 1, 2020.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

---

4 Section 320.08056(10)(a), F.S.
5 Section 320.08062, F.S.
6 Ch. 2019-144, s. 3, Laws of Fla.
7 Id.
8 Section 320.08056(7), F.S.
D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

Section 19, Art. VII of the Florida Constitution requires “a supermajority vote” of two-thirds of the membership of each house to pass legislation which will impose or authorize a new state tax or fee.\(^9\) A “fee” is defined as “any charge or payment required by law, including any fee for service, fee or cost for licenses, and charge for service.”\(^{10}\) A state tax or fee imposed or authorized must be contained in a separate bill that contains no other subject.\(^{11}\)

The $25 annual use fee charged for a specialty license plate may be a new state fee subject to the constitutional requirements since it establishes a $25 annual use fee for all specialty license plates created in statute after July 1, 2020, as well as for the Blue Angels license plate.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Those who wish to purchase a Blue Angels license plate will have to pay a $25 annual use fee.

The Naval Aviation Museum Foundation may receive an indeterminate amount of revenue from the annual use fees from the sale of any Blue Angels license plate.

C. Government Sector Impact:

There may be an indeterminate negative fiscal impact to the DHSMV to establish the $25 annual use fee for the Blue Angels license plate due to programming requirements.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

\(^9\) FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 19(a).
\(^{10}\) FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 19(d)(1).
\(^{11}\) FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 19(e).
VIII. Statutes Affected:

This CS substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 320.08056 and 320.06

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:
   (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

   CS by Infrastructure and Security on January 21, 2020:
   • Provides a $25 annual use fee for the Blue Angels license plate; and
   • Provides that the license plate annual use fee for a specialty license plate created or established after July 1, 2020, will be $25.

B. Amendments:

   None.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Broxson) recommended the following:

**Senate Amendment (with title amendment)**

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Subsection (4) of section 320.08056, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (d) of subsection (3) of that section is republished, to read:

320.08056 Specialty license plates.—

(3) Each request must be made annually to the department or an authorized agent serving on behalf of the department,
accompanied by the following tax and fees:

(d) A license plate annual use fee as required in subsection (4).

A request may be made any time during a registration period. If a request is made for a specialty license plate to replace a current valid license plate, the specialty license plate must be issued with appropriate decals attached at no tax for the plate, but all fees and service charges must be paid. If a request is made for a specialty license plate at the beginning of the registration period, the tax, together with all applicable fees and service charges, must be paid.

(4) The following license plate annual use fees shall be collected for the appropriate specialty license plates:

(a) Manatee license plate, $25.

(b) Challenger/Columbia license plate, $25, except that a person that purchases 1,000 or more of such license plates shall pay an annual use fee of $15 per plate.

(c) Collegiate license plate, $25.

(d) Florida Salutes Veterans license plate, $15.

(e) Florida panther license plate, $25.

(f) Florida United States Olympic Committee license plate, $15.

(g) Florida Special Olympics license plate, $15.

(h) Florida educational license plate, $20.

(i) Florida Professional Sports Team license plate, $25.

(j) Florida Indian River Lagoon license plate, $15.

(k) Invest in Children license plate, $20.

(l) Florida arts license plate, $20.
(m) Bethune-Cookman University license plate, $25.
(n) Florida Agricultural license plate, $20.
(o) Police Athletic League license plate, $20.
(p) Boy Scouts of America license plate, $20.
(q) Largemouth Bass license plate, $25.
(r) Sea Turtle license plate, $23.
(s) Protect Wild Dolphins license plate, $20.
(t) Barry University license plate, $25.
(u) Everglades River of Grass license plate, $20.
(v) Keep Kids Drug-Free license plate, $25.
(w) Florida Sheriffs Youth Ranches license plate, $25.
(x) Conserve Wildlife license plate, $25.
(y) Florida Memorial University license plate, $25.
(z) Tampa Bay Estuary license plate, $15.
(aa) Florida Wildflower license plate, $15.
(bb) United States Marine Corps license plate, $15.
(cc) Choose Life license plate, $20.
(dd) Share the Road license plate, $15.
(ee) American Red Cross license plate, $25.
(ff) United We Stand license plate, $25.
(hh) Protect Florida Whales license plate, $25.
(ii) Florida Golf license plate, $25.
(jj) Florida Firefighters license plate, $20.
(kk) Police Benevolent Association license plate, $20.
(ll) Military Services license plate, $15.
(mm) Protect Our Reefs license plate, $25.
(nn) Fish Florida license plate, $22.
(oo) Child Abuse Prevention and Intervention license plate,
$25.

(pp) Hospice license plate, $25.

(qq) Stop Heart Disease license plate, $25.

(rr) Save Our Seas license plate, $25, except that for an owner purchasing the specialty license plate for more than 10 vehicles registered to that owner, the annual use fee shall be $10 per plate.

(ss) Aquaculture license plate, $25, except that for an owner purchasing the specialty license plate for more than 10 vehicles registered to that owner, the annual use fee shall be $10 per plate.

(tt) Family First license plate, $25.

(uu) Wildlife Foundation of Florida license plate, $25.

(vv) Live the Dream license plate, $25.

(ww) Florida Food Banks license plate, $25.

(xx) Discover Florida’s Oceans license plate, $25.

(yy) Family Values license plate, $25.

(zz) Parents Make A Difference license plate, $25.

(aaa) Support Soccer license plate, $25.

(bbb) Kids Deserve Justice license plate, $25.

(ccc) Animal Friend license plate, $25.

(ddd) Future Farmers of America license plate, $25.

(eee) Donate Organs-Pass It On license plate, $25.


(ggg) Homeownership For All license plate, $25.

(hhh) Florida NASCAR license plate, $25.

(iii) Protect Florida Springs license plate, $25.

(jjj) Trees Are Cool license plate, $25.

(kkk) Support Our Troops license plate, $25.
(lll) Florida Tennis license plate, $25.
(mm) Lighthouse Association license plate, $25.
(nn) In God We Trust license plate, $25.
(oo) Horse Country license plate, $25.
(pp) Autism license plate, $25.
(qq) St. Johns River license plate, $25.
(rr) Hispanic Achievers license plate, $25.
(ss) Endless Summer license plate, $25.
(tt) Fraternal Order of Police license plate, $25.
(uu) Protect Our Oceans license plate, $25.
(vv) Florida Horse Park license plate, $25.
(ww) Florida Biodiversity Foundation license plate, $25.
(xx) Freemasonry license plate, $25.
(yy) American Legion license plate, $25.
(zz) Lauren’s Kids license plate, $25.
(aaa) Big Brothers Big Sisters license plate, $25.
(bbb) Fallen Law Enforcement Officers license plate, $25.
(ccc) Florida Sheriffs Association license plate, $25.
(ddd) Keiser University license plate, $25.
(eee) Moffitt Cancer Center license plate, $25.

The license plate annual use fee for a specialty license plate created or established after July 1, 2020, is $25.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
A bill to be entitled

An act relating to specialty license plate fees;

amending s. 320.08056, F.S.; providing a license plate annual use fee to be collected for specialty license plates created or established after a specified date;

providing an effective date.
The Committee on Infrastructure and Security (Broxson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment to Amendment (204018) (with title amendment)

Between lines 117 and 118 insert:

(ffff) Blue Angels license plate, $25

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete line 129
and insert:

amending s. 320.08056, F.S.; providing a license plate annual use fee for the Blue Angels license plate;

providing a license plate
Florida Senate - 2020

SB 1500

By Senator Broxson

A bill to be entitled An act relating to specialty license plate fees; amending s. 320.08056, F.S.; creating a uniform annual use fee collected for a specialty license plate; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 320.06, F.S.; conforming a cross-reference; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (d) of subsection (3) and subsections (4) and (10) of section 320.08056, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

320.08056 Specialty license plates.—
(3) Each request must be made annually to the department or an authorized agent serving on behalf of the department, accompanied by the following tax and fees:
(d) A license plate annual use fee of $25 as required in subsection (4).

A request may be made any time during a registration period. If a request is made for a specialty license plate to replace a current valid license plate, the specialty license plate must be issued with appropriate decals attached at no tax for the plate, but all fees and service charges must be paid. If a request is made for a specialty license plate at the beginning of the registration period, the tax, together with all applicable fees and service charges, must be paid.

(4) The following license plate annual use fees shall be collected for the appropriate specialty license plates:
(a) Manatee license plate, $25.
(b) Challenger/Columbia license plate, $25, except that a person that purchases 1,000 or more of such license plates shall pay an annual use fee of $15 per plate.
(c) Collegiate license plate, $25.
(d) Florida Salutes Veterans license plate, $15.
(e) Florida panther license plate, $25.
(f) Conserve Wildlife license plate, $25.
(g) Florida Special Olympics license plate, $15.
(h) Florida educational license plate, $20.
(i) Florida Professional Sports Team license plate, $25.
(j) Florida Indian River Lagoon license plate, $15.
(k) Inves in Children license plate, $20.
(l) Florida arts license plate, $20.
(m) Bethune-Cookman University license plate, $25.
(n) Florida Agricultural license plate, $20.
(o) Police Athletic League license plate, $20.
(p) Boy Scouts of America license plate, $20.
(q) Largemouth Bass license plate, $25.
(r) Sea Turtle license plate, $23.
(s) Protect Wild Dolphins license plate, $20.
(t) Barry University license plate, $25.
(u) Everglades River of Grass license plate, $20.
(v) Keep Kids Drug-Free license plate, $25.
(w) Florida Sheriffs Youth Ranches license plate, $25.
(x) Everglades River of Grass license plate, $20.
(y) Florida Memorial University license plate, $25.

(a) Tampa Bay Estuary license plate, $15.
(b) Florida Wildflower license plate, $16.
(bb) United States Marine Corps license plate, $15.
(cc) Choose Life license plate, $20.
(dd) Share the Road license plate, $15.
(ef) American Red Cross license plate, $25.
(hh) Protect Florida Whales license plate, $25.
(ii) Florida Golf license plate, $25.
(jj) Florida Firefighters license plate, $20.
(kk) Police Benevolent Association license plate, $20.
(ll) United We Stand license plate, $25.
(mm) Protect Our Reefs license plate, $25.
(nn) Fish Florida license plate, $22.
(oo) Child Abuse Prevention and Intervention license plate, $25.
(pp) Hospice license plate, $25.
(qq) Stop Heart Disease license plate, $25.
(rr) Save Our Reefs license plate, $25, except that for an owner purchasing the specialty license plate for more than 10 vehicles registered to that owner, the annual use fee shall be $10 per plate.
(ss) Aquaculture license plate, $25, except that for an owner purchasing the specialty license plate for more than 10 vehicles registered to that owner, the annual use fee shall be $10 per plate.
(tt) Family First license plate, $25.
(uu) Wildlife Foundation of Florida license plate, $25.
(vv) Live the Dream license plate, $25.
(ww) Florida Food Banks license plate, $25.
(xx) Discover Florida’s Oceans license plate, $25.
(yy) Family Values license plate, $25.
(zz) Parents Make A Difference license plate, $25.
(aaa) Support Soccer license plate, $25.
(bbb) Kids Deserve Justice license plate, $25.
(ccc) Animal Friend license plate, $25.
(ddd) Future Farmers of America license plate, $25.
(eee) Donate Organs Pass It On license plate, $25.
(ggg) Homeownership For All license plate, $25.
(hhh) Florida NASCAR license plate, $25.
(iii) Protect Florida Springs license plate, $25.
(jjj) Trees Are Cool license plate, $25.
(kkk) Support Our Troops license plate, $25.
(lll) Florida Tennis license plate, $25.
(mmm) Lighthouse Association license plate, $25.
(nnn) In God We Trust license plate, $25.
(ooo) Horse Country license plate, $25.
(ppp) Autism license plate, $25.
(qqq) St. Johns River license plate, $25.
(rrr) Hispanic Achievers license plate, $25.
(sss) Endless Summer license plate, $25.
(ttt) Fraternal Order of Police license plate, $25.
(uuu) Protect Our Oceans license plate, $25.
(vvv) Florida Horse Park license plate, $25.
(www) Florida Biodiversity Foundation license plate, $25.
(xxx) Freemasonry license plate, $25.
(b)1. Registration license plates bearing a graphic symbol

collected and distributed under this chapter, or any interest
earned from those fees, may not be used for commercial or for-
profit activities nor for general or administrative expenses,
except as authorized by s. 320.08058 or to pay the cost of the
audit or report required by s. 320.08062(t). The fees and any
interest earned from the fees may be expended only for use in
this state unless the annual use fee is derived from the sale of
United States Armed Forces and veterans-related specialty
license plates, including the Florida Salutes Veterans license
plate, the United States Marine Corps license plate, the
Military Services license plate, the Support Our Troops license
plate, and the American Legion license plate as provided under
s. 320.08058 and the U.S. Paratroopers license plate as provided
under subsection (9)(dd), (bb), (ll), (kkk), and

Section 2. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section
320.06, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
320.06 Registration certificates, license plates, and
validation stickers generally.—
(1)
(b)1. Registration license plates bearing a graphic symbol

and the alphanumeric system of identification shall be issued
for a 10-year period. At the end of the 10-year period, upon
renewal, the plate shall be replaced. The department shall
extend the scheduled license plate replacement date from a 6-
year period to a 10-year period. The fee for such replacement is
$28, $2.80 of which shall be paid each year before the plate is
replaced, to be credited toward the next $28 replacement fee.
The fees shall be deposited into the Highway Safety Operating
Trust Fund. A credit or refund may not be given for any prior
years' payments of the prorated replacement fee if the plate is
replaced or surrendered before the end of the 10-year period,
except that a credit may be given if a registrant is required by
the department to replace a license plate under s. 320.08056(7)(a).
With each license plate, a validation sticker shall be issued showing the owner's birth
month, license plate number, and the year of expiration or the
appropriate renewal period if the owner is not a natural person.
The validation sticker shall be placed on the upper right corner
of the license plate. The license plate and validation sticker
shall be issued based on the applicant's appropriate renewal
period. The registration period is 12 months, the extended
registration period is 24 months, and all expirations occur
based on the applicant's appropriate registration period. A
vehicle that has an apportioned registration shall be issued an
annual license plate and a cab card that denote the declared
gross vehicle weight for each apportioned jurisdiction in which
the vehicle is authorized to operate.

2. In order to retain the efficient administration of the
taxes and fees imposed by this chapter, the 80-cent fee increase
Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2020.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>License Plates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Anna Higgins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Title</td>
<td>Lobbyist/Attty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>226 S. Palafox Pl., 4th floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pensacola, FL 32502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>202-384-6657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ahiggins@walkerfl.com">ahiggins@walkerfl.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaking</td>
<td>For [X] Against [ ] Information [ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waive</td>
<td>In Support [X] Against [ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representing</td>
<td>Naval Aviation Museum Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Yes [X] No [ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbyist</td>
<td>Yes [X] No [ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.
January 16, 2020

The Honorable Tom Lee
404 S. Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Dear Chair Lee,

I am writing to request to be excused from the Infrastructure and Security meeting on January 21st, 2020 at 4:30pm due to the birth of my child. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Travis Hutson
CourtSmart Tag Report
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Started:  1/21/2020 4:33:36 PM
Ends:  1/21/2020 5:54:41 PM
Length: 01:21:06

4:33:34 PM  Meeting called to order by Chair Lee
4:33:44 PM  Roll call by CAA Marilyn Hudson
4:33:54 PM  Quorum present
4:34:07 PM  Comments from Senator Cruz
4:34:37 PM  Comments from Chair Lee
4:35:16 PM  Introduction of Tab 8 by Chair Lee
4:35:27 PM  Explanation of SB 966, Public Records/ Disasters Recovery Assistance by Senator Gainer
4:37:30 PM  Comments by Chair Lee
4:37:41 PM  Response by Senator Gainer
4:37:54 PM  Nicholas Alvarez, Department of Economic Opportunity waives in support
4:38:03 PM  Laura Youmans, Florida Association of Counties waives in support
4:38:13 PM  Closure waived
4:38:19 PM  Roll call by AA
4:38:27 PM  SB 966 reported favorably
4:38:48 PM  Introduction of Tab 1 by Chair Lee
4:38:59 PM  Explanation of SB 88, Child Care Facilities by Senator Stewart
4:39:32 PM  Andrew Kolel, Office of Criminal Conflict and Civil Regional Counsel waives in support
4:39:52 PM  Closure waived
4:40:08 PM  Roll call by AA
4:40:14 PM  SB 88 reported favorably
4:40:22 PM  Introduction of Tab 2 by Chair Lee
4:40:28 PM  Explanation of SB 158, Child Restraint Requirements by Senator Perry
4:41:34 PM  Theresa Bulger waives in support
4:41:53 PM  Mary-Lynn Cullen, Advocacy Institute for Children waives in support
4:41:58 PM  Amy Datz, Environmental Caucus of Florida waives in support
4:42:07 PM  Hannah Parker McCabe, The Junior League of Tampa waives in support
4:42:18 PM  April Tisher, The Junior League of Gainesville waives in support
4:42:27 PM  Speaker Becker Holland, The Junior League of Gainesville in support
4:43:35 PM  Sara Johnson, The Junior League of Ocala waives in support
4:44:42 PM  Doug Bell, Florida Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics waives in support
4:44:58 PM  Nancy Lawthers, Florida PTA waives in support
4:45:20 PM  Senator Perry in closure
4:45:30 PM  Roll call by AA
4:45:41 PM  SB158 reported favorably
4:45:57 PM  Introduction of Tab 11 by Chair Lee
4:46:18 PM  Explanation of SB 1500, Specialty License Plate Fees by Senator Broxson
4:47:03 PM  Explanation of Late-filed Amendment Barcode Number 188528
4:47:23 PM  Question by Chair Lee
4:47:30 PM  Response by Senator Broxson
4:47:38 PM  Amendment to Amendment adopted
4:50:11 PM  Anna Higgins, Naval Aviation Museum Foundation waives in support
4:50:24 PM  Closure waived
4:50:32 PM  Roll call by AA
4:50:42 PM  CS/SB 1500 reported favorably
4:50:54 PM  Chair pass to Senator Perry
4:51:07 PM  Introduction of Tab 4 by Chair Perry
4:51:23 PM  Explanation of SB 378, Motor Vehicle Insurance by Senator Lee
4:53:59 PM  Paul Lambert, Florida Chiropractic Association in opposition
4:57:01 PM  Bonny Gordon, Geico in opposition
4:57:11 PM  Speaker Gary Guzzo, The Florida Insurance Council in opposition
4:58:30 PM  Dale Swope, Florida Justice Association waives in support
4:58:36 PM Rick Parker, Florida Justice Reform Institute
4:58:39 PM Greg Black, R Street Institute waives in support
4:59:29 PM Speaker Rick Parker, Florida Justice Reform Institute in opposition
4:59:40 PM Senator Bean in debate
5:00:37 PM Senator Stewart in debate
5:01:17 PM Senator Cruz in debate
5:02:35 PM Closure by Senator Lee
5:04:11 PM Roll call by AA
5:05:12 PM SB 378 reported favorably
5:05:31 PM Chair returned to Chair
5:05:40 PM Introduction of Tab 7 by Chair Lee
5:06:33 PM Explanation of SB 676, High-Speed Passenger Rail Safety by Senator Mayfield
5:07:26 PM Introduction of the Strike-all Amendment Barcode Number 950826 by Chair Lee
5:07:34 PM Explanation of Amendment by Senator Mayfield
5:11:25 PM Comments from Chair Lee
5:12:35 PM Closure waived
5:12:39 PM Amendment adopted
5:12:53 PM Mendy Gibson, City of Satellite Beach waives in support
5:13:14 PM Speaker Rolland Rose, Jensen Beach Chamber of Commerce in opposition
5:14:18 PM Question from Chair Lee
5:14:52 PM Response from Mr. Rose
5:15:13 PM Speaker Joe Catrambone, Stewart Martin County COC in opposition
5:16:29 PM Speaker Chris Emmanuel, Florida Chamber of Commerce in opposition
5:17:31 PM Comments by Chair Lee
5:17:38 PM Response by Mr. Emmanuel
5:17:54 PM Robert Lenoox, Florida East Coast Railway waives in opposition
5:18:04 PM Neal Johnson, Melbourne Regional Chamber waives in support
5:18:16 PM Speaker Courtney Barker, City of Satellite Beach in support
5:19:25 PM Leesa Souto, Marine Resources Council waives in support
5:19:37 PM Speaker Dominick Montanaro, City of Satellite Beach in support
5:22:06 PM Mark Ryan, City of Indian Harbour Beach waives in support
5:22:25 PM Speaker Commissioner Peter O'Bryan, Indian River County in support
5:24:40 PM Allan Butter, Brightline Trains
5:24:54 PM Question by Senator Bean
5:25:07 PM Response by Mr. Butter
5:25:29 PM Speaker Rusty Roberts, Brightline/ Virgin Trains in opposition
5:32:14 PM Question from Senator Taddeo
5:32:33 PM Response from Mr. Roberts
5:34:04 PM Follow up question from Senator Taddeo
5:34:23 PM Comments from Chair Lee
5:34:31 PM Response from Mr. Roberts
5:34:43 PM Question from Senator Stewart
5:34:55 PM Response from Mr. Roberts
5:38:18 PM Comments from Chair Lee
5:38:32 PM Closure by Senator Mayfield
5:41:03 PM Roll call by AA
5:42:06 PM CS/SB 676 reported favorably
5:42:25 PM Introduction of Tab 6 by Chair Lee
5:42:37 PM Explanation of SB 636 Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles by Senator Stargel
5:42:46 PM Introduction of Amendment Barcode Number 725100 by Chair Lee
5:42:57 PM Explanation of Amendment
5:43:31 PM Chuck Purdue, Florida Tax Collector Association waives in support
5:43:42 PM Anne Gannon, Florida Tax Collectors Association waives in support
5:43:59 PM Closure by Senator Stargel
5:44:15 PM Roll call by AA
5:44:20 PM CS/SC 636 reported favorably
5:44:31 PM Introduction of Tab 9 by Chair Lee
5:44:38 PM Explanation of SB 1030, Public Records/ Vessel Title or Registration/ Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles by Senator Stargel
5:44:59 PM Introduction of Amendment Barcode Number 740764 by Chair Lee
5:45:01 PM Explanation of Amendment by Senator Stargel
5:45:30 PM Closure waived
5:45:35 PM Roll Call by AA
5:45:38 PM CS/SB1030 reported favorably
5:45:59 PM Introduction of Tab 10 by Chair Lee
5:46:11 PM Introduction of Late-filed Amendment Barcode Number 541098 by Chair Lee
5:46:24 PM Explanation of Amendment by Senator Diaz
5:46:54 PM Question from Chair Lee
5:47:03 PM Response from Senator Diaz
5:47:50 PM Amendment adopted
5:48:06 PM Carol Jean Jordan, Indian River County Tax Collector in support
5:48:11 PM Lisa Cullen, Brevard County Tax Collectors Office waives in support
5:48:32 PM Closure waived
5:48:42 PM Roll call by AA
5:48:49 PM CS/SB 1086 reported favorably
5:49:04 PM Introduction of Tab 5 by Chair Lee
5:49:11 PM Explanation of SB 538, Emergency Reporting by Senator Diaz
5:49:26 PM Introduction of Amendment Barcode Number 784498 by Chair Lee
5:49:44 PM Explanation of Amendment by Senator Diaz
5:50:41 PM Jared Rosenstein, FDEM waives in support
5:50:50 PM Amendment adopted
5:50:57 PM Jared Moshowi, FDEM waives in support
5:51:34 PM Closure waived
5:51:41 PM Roll call by AA
5:51:46 PM CS/SB 538 reported favorably
5:52:02 PM Introduction Tab 3 by Chair Lee
5:52:12 PM Explanation of SB 290, School Bus Safety by Senator Hooper
5:52:54 PM Gary W. Hester, Florida Police Chiefs Association waives in support
5:52:57 PM Mary-Lynn Cullen, Advocacy Institute for Children in support
5:53:06 PM Chase Daniels, Pasco Sheriff's Office waives in support
5:53:12 PM Wayne Bertsch waives in support
5:53:22 PM Nancy Lawther waives in support
5:53:34 PM Comments by Chair Lee
5:53:52 PM Closure waived
5:54:02 PM Roll call by AA
5:54:06 PM SB 290 reported favorably
5:54:18 PM Senator Hooper moves to adjourn, meeting adjourned