#### The Florida Senate

## **COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA**

**REGULATED INDUSTRIES** Senator Hutson, Chair Senator Hukill, Vice Chair

**MEETING DATE:** Wednesday, January 17, 2018

TIME:

1:30—3:30 p.m.

Toni Jennings Committee Room, 110 Senate Office Building PLACE:

**MEMBERS:** Senator Hutson, Chair; Senator Hukill, Vice Chair; Senators Benacquisto, Bracy, Brandes, Braynon,

Gibson, Steube, Thurston, and Young

4Β	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	SB 840 Hutson (Compare H 223, CS/S 374)	Gaming; Authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility; authorizing such thoroughbred racing permitholder's facility to remain an eligible facility, to continue to be eligible for a slot machine license, to be exempt from certain provisions to be eligible as a guest track for intertrack wagering and simulcasting, and to remain eligible for a cardroom license; authorizing the division to establish a reasonable period to respond to certain requests from a licensed cardroom, etc.  RI 01/17/2018 Favorable AFT	Favorable Yeas 7 Nays 2
2	SB 674 Young (Identical H 463, Compare H 1433, S 1774)	Steroid Use in Racing Greyhounds; Providing that a positive test result for anabolic steroids in certain samples taken from a greyhound violates the prohibition on the racing of animals that are impermissibly medicated or determined to have a prohibited substance present, etc.  RI 01/17/2018 Favorable AG RC	Favorable Yeas 7 Nays 2

S-036 (10/2008) Page 1 of 1

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The Pr	ofessional Staff	of the Committee or	n Regulated Indu	stries
BILL:	SB 840					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Hu	tson				
SUBJECT:	Gaming					
DATE:	January 17,	2018	REVISED:			
ANALYST		STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
. Kraemer		McSwain		RI	<b>Favorable</b>	
•				AFT		
i				AP		

# I. Summary:

SB 840 revises Florida law concerning gaming. The bill:

- Creates s. 546.13, F.S., to authorize certain fantasy contests in which participants pay an entry fee, fantasy contest operators and their employees and agents may not be participants in a fantasy contest, prizes and awards must be established and disclosed before a contest, winning outcomes must reflect knowledge and skill of participants and be determined predominantly by statistical results of performances of individuals, including athletes in sporting events, and winning outcomes may not be based on performances in collegiate, high school, or youth sporting events.
- Provides that the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) may not regulate and certain gambling laws set forth in Ch. 849, F.S., do not apply to a fantasy contest conducted by a fantasy contest operator or a commissioner who participates in fewer than ten contests each calendar year and distributes all contest entry fees as prizes.
- Allows, subject to eligibility requirements, greyhound racing permitholders, harness horse racing permitholders, quarter horse racing permitholders, and thoroughbred horse racing permitholders to stop conducting live performances but continue to operate slot machine facilities or cardrooms (decoupling).
- Requires permitholders licensed to conduct slot machine gaming or cardrooms that choose to
  discontinue live racing or games, (i.e., decouple), to make annual payments for the benefit of
  live thoroughbred horse racing purses.
- Reduces the tax rate on slot machines from 35 percent to 30 percent effective January 1, 2019, and to 25 percent effective July 1, 2020.
- Provides that if, in any year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by slot machine licensees in Broward and Miami-Dade counties which were licensed before January 1, 2017, is less than the amount paid in the 2017-2018 state fiscal year, any of those licensees that paid less in that year than it paid in the 2017-2018 fiscal year must pay a surcharge equal to the difference between the amount of tax it paid in the 2017-18 fiscal year and the amount

paid during the applicable state fiscal year (limited to an amount not to exceed 35 percent of a licensee's slot machine revenue in the applicable state fiscal year).

- Provides that a Designated Player Game is not a banking game and sets certain requirements and limitations for a Designated Player Game.
- Limits the number of Designated Player Game tables in a cardroom to not more than 50 percent of the cardroom's total licensed tables.
- Grants additional rulemaking authority to the DBPR's Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering
  (division) relating to requests from licensed cardrooms and imposes deadlines for response
  by the division to submissions by cardroom licensees relating to rules for new authorized
  games, revisions to internal controls, and revisions to rules for games.

SB 840 may have a significant negative fiscal impact. See Section V, Fiscal Impact Statement.

SB 840 is effective upon becoming a law.

## **II.** Present Situation:

## **Background**

In general, gambling is illegal in Florida.<sup>1</sup> Chapter 849, F.S., prohibits keeping a gambling house,<sup>2</sup> running a lottery,<sup>3</sup> or the manufacture, sale, lease, play, or possession of slot machines.<sup>4</sup> However, the following gaming activities are authorized by law and regulated by the state:

- Pari-mutuel<sup>5</sup> wagering at licensed greyhound and horse tracks and jai alai frontons;<sup>6</sup>
- Slot machine gaming at certain licensed pari-mutuel locations in Miami-Dade County and Broward County;<sup>7</sup> and
- Cardrooms<sup>8</sup> at certain pari-mutuel facilities.<sup>9</sup>

A license to offer pari-mutuel wagering, slot machine gambling, or a cardroom at a pari-mutuel facility is a privilege granted by the state. 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See s. 849.08, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See s. 849.01, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See s. 849.09, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 849.16, F.S., defines slot machines for purposes of ch. 849, F.S. Section 849.15(2), F.S., provides an exemption to the transportation of slot machines for the facilities that are authorized to conduct slot machine gaming under ch. 551, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Pari-mutuel" is defined in Florida law as "a system of betting on races or games in which the winners divide the total amount bet, after deducting management expenses and taxes, in proportion to the sums they have wagered individually and with regard to the odds assigned to particular outcomes. *See* s. 550.002(22), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See ch. 550, F.S., relating to the regulation of pari-mutuel activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See ch. 551, F.S., relating to the regulation of slot machine gaming at pari-mutuel locations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 849.086, F.S., and *see* s. 849.086(2)(c), F.S., which defines "cardroom" to mean "a facility where authorized card games are played for money or anything of value and to which the public is invited to participate in such games and charged a fee for participation by the operator of such facility."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) has issued licenses to permitholders with 2017-2018 Operating Licenses to operate 25 cardrooms. *See* <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/PMW-PermitholderOperatingLicenses--2017-2018.html">http://www.myfloridalicenses.com/dbpr/pmw/PMW-PermitholderOperatingLicenses--2017-2018.html</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See s. 550.1625(1), F.S., "...legalized pari-mutuel betting at dog tracks is a privilege and is an operation that requires strict supervision and regulation in the best interests of the state." See also Solimena v. State, 402 So.2d 1240, 1247 (Fla. 3d DCA 1981), review denied, 412 So.2d 470, which states "Florida courts have consistently emphasized the special nature of

The 1968 State Constitution states that "[1]otteries, other than the types of pari-mutuel pools authorized by law as of the effective date of this constitution . . ." are prohibited. <sup>11</sup> A constitutional amendment approved by the voters in 1986 authorized state-operated lotteries. Net proceeds are paid by the lottery to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund (EETF) for uses pursuant to annual appropriations by the Legislature. Lottery operations are self-supporting and function as an entrepreneurial business enterprise. <sup>12</sup>

Chapter 849, F.S., also authorizes, under specific and limited conditions, the conduct of pennyante games, <sup>13</sup> bingo, <sup>14</sup> charitable drawings, game promotions (sweepstakes), <sup>15</sup> and bowling tournaments. <sup>16</sup> The Family Amusement Games Act was enacted in 2015 and authorizes skill-based amusement games and machines at specified locations. <sup>17</sup>

# Gaming Compacts with Seminole Tribe of Florida

In 2010, a gaming compact (2010 Gaming Compact) between the Seminole Tribe of Florida (Seminole Tribe) and the State of Florida (State) was ratified by the Legislature. <sup>18</sup> Pursuant to Chapter 285, F.S., it is not a crime for a person to participate in raffles, drawings, slot machine gaming, or banked card games (e.g., blackjack or baccarat) at a tribal facility operating under the 2010 Gaming Compact. <sup>19</sup>

The 2010 Gaming Compact provides for revenue sharing in consideration for the exclusive authority granted to the Seminole Tribe to offer banked card games on tribal lands and to offer slot machine gaming outside Miami-Dade and Broward counties. The Division of Pari-mutuel

legalized racing, describing it as a privilege rather than as a vested right," citing *State ex rel. Mason v. Rose*, 122 Fla. 413, 165 So. 347 (1936).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The pari-mutuel pools that were authorized by law on the effective date of the Florida Constitution, as revised in 1968, include horseracing, greyhound racing, and jai alai games. The revision was ratified by the electorate on November 5, 1968. <sup>12</sup> The Department of the Lottery is authorized by s. 15, Art. X, Florida Constitution. Chapter 24, F.S., was enacted by ch. 87-65, Laws of Fla., to establish the state lottery. Section 24.102, F.S., states the legislative purpose and intent for the operations of the state lottery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See s. 849.085, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See s. 849.0931, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See s. 849.094, F.S., authorizes game promotions in connection with the sale of consumer products or services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See s. 849.141, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See s. 546.10, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The 2010 Gaming Compact was executed by the Governor and the Seminole Tribe on April 7, 2010, ratified by the Legislature, effective April 28, 2010, and approved by U.S. Secretary of the Interior, pursuant to the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988, on June 24, 2010. It took effect when published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2010. The 20-year term of the 2010 Gaming Compact expires July 31, 2030, unless renewed. Section 285.710(1)(f), F.S., designates the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation as the "state compliance agency" having authority to carry out the state's oversight responsibilities under the 2010 Gaming Compact. *See* <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010 Compact-Signed1.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010 Compact-Signed1.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>19</sup> *See* s. 285.710, F.S., especially subsections (3), (13), and (14). The seven tribal locations where gaming is authorized by the 2010 Gaming Compact are: (1) Seminole Hard Rock Hotel & Casino—Hollywood (Broward); (2) Seminole Indian Casino—Coconut Creek (Broward); (3) Seminole Indian Casino—Hollywood (Broward); (4) Seminole Hard Rock Hotel & Casino—Tampa (Hillsborough); (5) Seminole Indian Casino—Immokalee (Collier); (6) Seminole Indian Casino—Brighton (Glades); and (7) Seminole Indian Casino—Big Cypress (Hendry).

Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) carries out the State's oversight responsibilities under the 2010 Gaming Compact.<sup>20</sup>

A proposed gaming compact with the Seminole Tribe transmitted by the Governor for consideration by the Legislature (the Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact) has not been ratified. The Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact would have: (1) authorized the Seminole Tribe also to offer table games, such as craps and roulette, at its seven tribal facilities; (2) authorized banked card games, including blackjack, chemin de fer, and baccarat, at those facilities; (3) been for a term of 20 years, through June 30, 2036; and (4) included a \$3 billion guarantee of revenue sharing payments to the State for the first seven years (the Guarantee Period), with specific payment amounts during each year of the Guarantee Period and subsequent payments based on varying percentage rates of the amounts of the Seminole Tribe's net win. 22

Except for gaming facilities operating in accordance with the 2010 Gaming Compact with the Seminole Tribe, free-standing, commercial casinos are not authorized, and gaming activity, other than what is expressly authorized, is illegal.

# **III. Effect of Proposed Changes:**

For ease of reference to each of the topics addressed in the bill, the Present Situation for each topic will be described, followed immediately by an associated section detailing the Effect of Proposed Changes.

## **Fantasy Contests**

## Present Situation:

The operation of fantasy sports activities in Florida has recently received significant publicity, much like the operation of internet cafes in recent years. Many states are now evaluating the status of fantasy gaming activities in their jurisdictions, <sup>23</sup> as there are millions of participants. <sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See s. 285.710(1)(f), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See s. 285.712, F.S. The Governor is the designated state officer responsible for negotiating and executing tribal-state gaming compacts with federally recognized Indian tribes. To be effective, a proposed gaming compact must be ratified by the Senate and by the House, by a majority vote of the members present. See s. 285.712(3), F.S. The Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact, comparison chart, and transmittal letter from Governor Scott, are available for review on the Florida Senate Regulated Industries Committee website. See <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015">http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015</a> Gaming Compact, Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>22</sup> See the proposed 2015 Gaming Compact, Comparison Chart and transmittal letter from Governor Scott, at <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-">http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-</a>

<sup>2018/</sup>RI/Links/2015 Gaming Compact, Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

23 See Marc Edelman, A Short Treatise on Fantasy Sports and the Law: How America Regulates its New National Pastime, Journal of Sports & Entertainment Law, Harvard Law School Vol. 3 (Jan. 2012) (Edelman Treatise), at <a href="http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1907272">http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1907272</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018), and Jonathan Griffin, The Legality of Fantasy Sports, National Conference of State Legislatures Legisbrief (Sep. 2015) (on file with the Committee on Regulated Industries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> According to the Fantasy Sports Trade Association, which states it represents the interests of 57 million fantasy sports players, fantasy sports leagues were originally referred to as "rotisserie leagues" with the development of Rotisserie League Baseball in 1980, by magazine writer/editor Daniel Okrent, who met and played it with friends at a New York City restaurant La Rotisserie Française. *See* http://fsta.org/about/history-of-fsta/ (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

A fantasy game typically has multiple players who select and manage imaginary teams whose players are actual professional sports players. Fantasy game players compete against one another in various formats, including weekly leagues among friends and colleagues, season-long leagues, and on-line contests (daily and weekly) entered by using the Internet through personal computers or mobile telephones and other communications devices. There are various financial arrangements among players and game operators. The term "commissioner" has been used in the context of fantasy baseball leagues to denote a person who manages a fantasy baseball league, establishes league rules, resolves disputes over rule interpretations, publishes league standings, or selects the Internet service for publication of league standings.<sup>25</sup>

Florida law does not specifically address fantasy contests. Section 849.14, F.S.,<sup>26</sup> provides that a person who wagers any "thing of value" upon the result of a contest of skill or endurance of human or beast, or who receives any money wagered, or who knowingly becomes the custodian of money or other thing of value that is wagered, is guilty of a second degree misdemeanor.<sup>27</sup>

In 2013, Spectrum Gaming Group, as part of a Gambling Impact Study prepared for the Florida Legislature, analyzed data related to participation by adults in selected activities. Based on 2012 U.S. Census data, participation in fantasy sports leagues in the prior 12 months (nearly nine million adults), and those who participate two or more times weekly (nearly three million adults), was greater than attendance at horse races in the prior 12 months (6,654,000 adults) with 159,000 attending two or more times weekly.

# Florida Attorney General Opinions on Fantasy Sports Leagues and Contests Involving Skill

In 1991, Florida Attorney General Robert A. Butterworth issued a formal opinion<sup>30</sup> evaluating the legality of groups of football fans (contestants) paying for the right to manage a team under certain specified conditions. The Attorney General stated:

You ask whether the formation of a fantasy football league by a group of football fans in which contestants pay \$100 for the right to "manage" one of eight teams violates the state's gambling laws. You state that these teams are created by contestants by "drafting" players from all current eligible National Football League (NFL) members. Thus, these fantasy teams consist of members of various NFL teams.

According to your letter, each week the performance statistics of the players in actual NFL games are evaluated and combined with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See Bernhard & Eade, Gambling in a Fantasy World: An Exploratory Study of Rotisserie Baseball Games, 9 UNLV Gaming Research & Review Journal Issue 1, at 30, at <a href="http://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/grrj/vol9/iss1/3/">http://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/grrj/vol9/iss1/3/</a>, (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Fla. AGO 91-03 (Jan. 8, 1991), at <a href="http://myfloridalegal.com/">http://myfloridalegal.com/</a>... 91-03 (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> A conviction for a second degree misdemeanor may subject the violator to a definite term of imprisonment not exceeding 60 days, and a fine not exceeding \$500. *See* ss. 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See Spectrum Gaming Group Gambling Impact Study (Gambling Impact Study), at <a href="http://www.leg.state.fl.us/gamingstudy/docs/FGIS\_Spectrum\_28Oct2013.pdf">http://www.leg.state.fl.us/gamingstudy/docs/FGIS\_Spectrum\_28Oct2013.pdf</a> (Oct. 28, 2013) (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Id., Figure 22 at page 119 (equivalent to page 67 of Part 1A of the printed Gambling Impact Study).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See Fla. AGO 91-03 (Jan. 8, 1991), at <a href="http://myfloridalegal.com/...91-03">http://myfloridalegal.com/...91-03</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

statistics of the other players on the fantasy team to determine the winner of the fantasy game and their ranking or standing in the fantasy league. No games are actually played by the fantasy teams; however, all results depend upon performance in actual NFL games. Following completion of the season, the proceeds are distributed according to the performance of the fantasy team.

In the contest described in the opinion, each contestant paid \$100 to participate in the fantasy football league and manage one of eight teams. The resulting \$800 in proceeds were used for prizes. The prizes were based upon the performance of the individual professional football players in actual games. Attorney General Butterworth determined the proceeds qualified as a "stake, bet or wager" on the result of a contest of skill and, as a result, the operation of the fantasy sports leagues violated s. 849.14, F.S., relating to unlawful betting on the result of a trial or contest of skill.<sup>31</sup>

The 1991 opinion cited *Creash v. State*, 179 So. 149, 152 (Fla. 1938). In *Creash*, the Florida Supreme Court held:

In gamblers' lingo, 'stake, bet or wager' are synonymous and refer to the money or other thing or value put up by the parties thereto with the understanding that one or the other gets the whole for nothing but on the turn of a card, the result of a race, or some trick of magic. A 'purse, prize, or premium' has a broader significance. If offered by one (who in no way competes for it) to the successful contestant in a [feat] of mental or physical skill, it is not generally condemned as gambling, while if contested for in a game of cards or other games of chance, it is so considered. [Citation omitted.] It is also banned as gambling if created as in this case by paying admissions to the game, purchasing certificates, or otherwise contributing to a fund from which the 'purse, prize, or premium' contested for is paid, and wherein the winner gains, and the other contestants lose all.<sup>32</sup> [Emphasis added.]

However, in a 1990 opinion, Attorney General Butterworth, again citing *Creash v. State*, determined that a contest of skill (such as a hole-in-one golf contest) "where the contestant pays an entry fee, which *does not make up* (i.e., create) *the prize*, for the opportunity to win a valuable prize by the exercise of skill, *did not violate the gambling laws of [Florida]*." (Emphasis in original.) That 1990 opinion reasoned, "[t]hus, the payment of an entry fee to participate in a contest of skill when the sponsor of the contest does not participate in the contest of skill and where the prize money does not consist of entry fees would *not* appear to be a 'stake, bet or wager" in violation of s. 849.14, F.S., relating to gambling. (Emphasis added.)<sup>34</sup>

<sup>31</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See Creash v. State, 179 So. 149, 152 (Fla. 1938). Because SB 840 requires entry fees (rather than a bet or wager) be paid by fantasy contest participants, the *Creash* case suggests that such fantasy contests do not constitute gaming.

<sup>33</sup> See Fla. AGO 90-58 (Jul. 27 1990) at

http://www.myfloridalegal.com/ago.nsf/Opinions/DEF7C36F0D75C323852563D2007AA34C (last visited Jan. 11, 2018). <sup>34</sup> *Id*.

## Class III Gaming under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act

Fantasy contests, if classified as Class III gaming, also could impact the revenue sharing provisions of both the 2010 Gaming Compact<sup>35</sup> and the Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact.<sup>36</sup> Under both compacts if fantasy contests are a form of new Class III gaming in Florida, payments due to the State under the compacts would cease.<sup>37</sup>

Gambling on Indian lands is regulated by the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (IGRA).<sup>38</sup> The 2010 Gaming Compact authorizes the Seminole Tribe to conduct specified Class III gaming activities at its seven tribal facilities in Florida.<sup>39</sup>

Under IGRA, gaming is categorized in three classes:

- Class I gaming means social games for minimal value or traditional forms of Indian gaming engaged in by individuals for tribal ceremonies or celebrations;
- Class II gaming includes bingo and pull-tabs, lotto, punch boards, tip jars, instant bingo, other games similar to bingo, and certain non-banked card games if not explicitly prohibited by the laws of the state and if played in conformity with state law; and
- Class III gaming includes all forms of gaming that are not Class I or Class II gaming, such as banked card games (such as baccarat, chemin de fer, and blackjack(21), casino games such as craps and roulette, electronic or electromechanical facsimiles of games of chance, slot machines, and pari-mutuel wagering.<sup>40</sup>

If fantasy contests are gaming, constitute Class III gaming under federal law, and constitute, under the 2010 Gaming Compact and the Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact, *new* Class III gaming in Florida (i.e., gaming not in operation as of February 1, 2010, or July 1, 2015, respectively), authorizing fantasy contests in Florida (i.e., additional Class III gaming) would violate the exclusivity provisions in the 2010 Gaming Compact and the Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact. As a result, certain revenue sharing requirements would not apply and the Tribe would be authorized to offer similar internet/on-line gaming.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010</a> Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2015 Gaming Compact at <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015">http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015</a> Gaming Compact, Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact at pages 39-40 at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010</a> Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See Pub. L. 100-497, 102 Stat. 2467, codified at 18 U.S.C. ss. 1166-1168 and 25 U.S.C. s. 2701 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See paragraph F of Part III of the 2010 Gaming Compact at <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015">http://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015</a> Gaming Compact, Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018). The Tribe has three gaming facilities in Broward County (The Seminole Indian Casinos at Coconut Creek and Hollywood, and the Seminole Hard Rock Hotel & Casino-Hollywood), and gaming facilities in Collier County (Seminole Indian Casino-Immokalee), Glades County (Seminole Indian Casino-Brighton), Hendry County (Seminole Indian Casino-Big Cypress), and Hillsborough County (Seminole Hard Rock Hotel & Casino-Tampa). The 2010 Gaming Compact was approved by the U.S. Department of the Interior effective July 6, 2010. See 75 Fed. Reg. 38833-38834 at <a href="https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2010-07-06/pdf/2010-16213.pdf">https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2010-07-06/pdf/2010-16213.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018). See <a href="https://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015">https://www.flsenate.gov/PublishedContent/Committees/2016-2018/RI/Links/2015</a> Gaming Compact, Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>40</sup> See Pub. L. 100-497, 102 Stat. 2467, codified at 18 U.S.C. ss. 1166-1168 and 25 U.S.C. s. 2701 et seq.

In a letter to Senator Travis Hutson and Representative Mike La Rosa dated December 5, 2017, <sup>41</sup> Jim Shore, General Counsel for the Seminole Tribe, indicated:

The Tribe believes the games permitted by these bills [HB 223 and SB 374 (Fantasy Contests), and SB 840 (Gaming)] would violate the Tribe's exclusivity, as set forth in Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact between the State and Tribe. By providing this notice, the Tribe hopes to avoid a situation where the State enacts legislation that inadvertently violates the Tribe's exclusivity. That said, the Tribe and the State have discussed the issue of fantasy sports contests in previous compact negotiations and the Tribe remains willing to do so now. However, federal law requires that any reduction in the Tribe's exclusivity must be balanced by some additional consideration from the State. Without such an agreement, the 2010 Gaming Compact would allow the Tribe to cease all revenue sharing payments to the State based on the expanded gaming contemplated by these bills.

The National Indian Gaming Commission (commission) issued an opinion dated March 13, 2001,<sup>42</sup> relating to a sports betting game proposed for future play in Arizona and California via the Internet. In that sports betting game, players could wager upon various sporting *events*, including NFL football, baseball, golf, and the Olympics. The commission determined that game to be Class III gaming because it was not included within the definitions of Class I or Class II gaming under IGRA.

# The Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act of 1992 (PASPA)

In 1992, the U.S. Congress enacted the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act (PASPA),<sup>43</sup> which provides that it is unlawful for a governmental entity or any person to sponsor, operate, advertise, or promote:

a lottery, sweepstakes, or other betting, gambling, or wagering scheme based . . . on one or more competitive games in which amateur or professional athletes participate, or are intended to participate, or on one or more performances of such athletes in such games.<sup>44</sup>

The prohibited activity is generally known as "sports betting." Governmental entities are also prohibited from licensing such activities or authorizing them by law or compact. 45 However,

<sup>45</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *See* Letter from Jim Shore, General Counsel for the Seminole Tribe, to Senator Travis Hutson and Representative La Rosa (Dec. 5, 2017) (on file with the Senate Committee on Regulated Industries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> See <a href="https://www.nigc.gov/images/uploads/game-opinions/WIN%20Sports%20Betting%20Game-Class%20III.pdf">https://www.nigc.gov/images/uploads/game-opinions/WIN%20Sports%20Betting%20Game-Class%20III.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> *See* 28 U.S.C. ss. 3701-3704 (2015), at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28.htm">https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28.htm</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>See 28 U.S.C. s. 3702 (2015), at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28/html">https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-2015-title28/html</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

PASPA does not apply to pari-mutuel animal racing or jai alai games.<sup>46</sup> It does not apply to a lottery, sweepstakes, or other betting, gambling, or wagering conducted by a governmental entity between January 1, 1976, and August 31, 1990.<sup>47</sup>

The prohibition against sports betting also does not apply to a lottery, sweepstakes, or other betting, gambling, or wagering lawfully conducted, where such activity was authorized by law on October 2, 1991, and was conducted in a state or other governmental entity at any time between September 1, 1989, and October 2, 1991. 48

In a case pending before the United States Supreme Court, the State of New Jersey has challenged the constitutionality of PASPA, on the basis that PASPA "commandeers" or impermissibly controls the regulatory power of states relating to the legalization of sports betting, thereby violating the Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.<sup>49</sup> The respondents (the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the National Basketball Association, the National Football League, the National Hockey League, and the Office of the Commissioner of Baseball) defend PASPA's pre-emption of state laws that authorize sports gambling as a valid exercise of congressional power to regulate commerce.<sup>50</sup> The Court's decision in the case is anticipated no later than June 29, 2018.

# The Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act of 2006 (UIGEA)

The Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act of 2006 (UIGEA)<sup>51</sup> was signed into law by President George W. Bush on October 13, 2006.<sup>52</sup> Internet gambling is not determined to be legal in a state, nor illegal. Instead, UIGEA targets financial institutions in an attempt to prevent the flow of money from an individual to an internet gaming company. Congress found that enforcement of gambling laws through new mechanisms "are necessary because traditional law enforcement mechanisms are often inadequate for enforcing gambling prohibitions or regulations on the Internet, especially where such gambling crosses State or national borders."<sup>53</sup> UIGEA expressly states that none of its provisions "shall be construed as altering, limiting, or extending any Federal or State law or Tribal-State compact prohibiting, permitting, or regulating gambling within the United States."<sup>54</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> See 28 U.S.C. s. 3704(a)(4) (2015), at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> See 28 U.S.C. s. 3704(a)(1) (2015), at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See 28 U.S.C. s. 3704(a)(2) (2015), at <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-2015-title28/html/USCODE-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> See Christie v. National Collegiate Athletic Association, Docket No. 16-476, (Christie) at <a href="http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/christie-v-national-collegiate-athletic-association-2/">http://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/christie-v-national-collegiate-athletic-association-2/</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018). Oral argument in the case was held on December 4, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> *See* the respondents' Brief in Opposition at <a href="http://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/16-476-16-477-BIO.pdf">http://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/16-476-16-477-BIO.pdf</a> at page 17 (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2011-title31/pdf/USCODE-2011-title31-subtitleIV-chap53.pdf, (UIGEA online) at page 46 (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> The provisions of UIGEA were adopted in Conference Committee as an amendment to H.R. 4954 by Representative Daniel E. Lungren (CA-3), "The SAFE Ports Act of 2006."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> See 31 U.S.C. s. 5361(a)(4), <u>UIGEA online</u>, at page 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> See 31 U.S.C. s. 5361(b).

"Unlawful internet gambling" prohibited by UIGEA includes the placement, receipt, or transmission of certain bets or wagers. However, the definition of the term "bet or wager" specifically excludes any fantasy game or contest in which a fantasy team is not based on the current membership of a professional or amateur sports team, and:

- All prizes and awards are established and made known to the participants in advance of the game or contest;
- Prize amounts are not based on the number of participants or the amount of entry fees;
- Winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of the participants and are determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of individuals or athletes in multiple "real-world sporting or other events;" and
- No winning outcome is based:
  - On the score, point-spread, or any performance or performances of any single "real-world" team or combination of teams; or
  - Solely on any single performance of an individual athlete in any single "real-world sporting or other event."<sup>56</sup>

While UIGEA excludes bets or wagers of participants in certain fantasy sports games and contests,<sup>57</sup> it does not, however, authorize fantasy contests and activities in Florida.

## Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1** of the bill creates s. 546.13, F.S., to authorize certain fantasy contests in which participants must pay an entry fee. Section 546.13(1), F.S., provides requirements for fantasy contests and associated definitions.

"Entry fee" means cash or a cash equivalent required to be paid by a person for the ability to participate in a fantasy contest offered by a fantasy contest operator.

"Fantasy contest operator" means a person or entity, including any employee or agent, that offers fantasy contests with an entry fee for a cash prize, but is not a participant in the fantasy contest. The term does not include an individual who serves as the commissioner of no more than 10 fantasy contests in a calendar year. The term "commissioner" is not defined in the bill, but has been used in the context of fantasy baseball leagues to denote a person who manages a fantasy baseball league, establishes league rules, resolves disputes over rule interpretations, and publishes league standings or selects the Internet service for publication of league standings.<sup>58</sup>

A "fantasy contest" is a fantasy or simulated game in which:

• The value of all prizes and awards offered to winning participants must be established and disclosed to the participants in advance of the contest;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> See 31 U.S.C. s. 5362(10), <u>UIGEA online</u>, at page 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See 31 U.S.C. s. 5362(E)(ix), UIGEA online, at page 47.

<sup>57</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> See Bernhard & Eade, Gambling in a Fantasy World: An Exploratory Study of Rotisserie Baseball Games, 9 UNLV Gaming Research & Review Journal Issue 1, at 30, at <a href="http://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/grrj/vol9/iss1/3/">http://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/grrj/vol9/iss1/3/</a>, (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

All winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of contest participants and are
determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of
individuals, including athletes in the case of sporting events; and

- No winning outcome is based:
  - On the score, point spread, or any performance or performances of any single actual team or combination of teams;
  - Solely on any single performance of an individual athlete or player in any single actual event; or
  - On the performances of participants in collegiate, high schools, or youth sporting events.

The bill provides that the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) may not regulate and the offenses in ss. 849.01, 849.08, 849.09, 849.11, 849.14, or 849.25, F.S., relating to gambling, lotteries, games of chance, contests of skill, or bookmaking do not apply to a fantasy contest operated or conducted by:

- A fantasy contest operator; or
- A natural person who is a participant in the fantasy contest, serves as the commissioner of
  not more than ten contests in a calendar year, and distributes all contest entry fees as prizes or
  awards to the participants in that fantasy contest.

## **Regulation of Pari-Mutuel Wagering**

#### **Present Situation:**

Pari-mutuel wagering is regulated by the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering (division) in the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR). The division has regulatory oversight of permitted and licensed pari-mutuel wagering facilities, cardrooms located at pari-mutuel facilities, and slot machines at pari-mutuel facilities located in Miami-Dade and Broward counties. According to the division, there were 10 license suspensions, and \$107,655 in fines assessed for violations of all pari-mutuel statutes and administrative rules in Fiscal Year 2015-2016.<sup>59</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> See the 85th Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2015-2016 (the most current report) issued by the division *available at* <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/AnnualReports/AnnualReport-2015-2016--85th--20170125.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/AnnualReports/AnnualReport-2015-2016--85th--20170125.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018) at page 5 (equivalent to page 3 of the printed Annual Report).

According to the latest information available from the DBPR, as of February 2017, there were 39 pari-mutuel permitholders with operating licenses<sup>60</sup> in Florida, operating at 12 greyhound tracks, six jai alai frontons, five quarter horse tracks, three thoroughbred tracks, and one harness track.<sup>61</sup> One jai alai permitholder voluntarily relinquished its permit in 2016.<sup>62</sup> Jai alai games were conducted pursuant to a new permitholder license beginning in June 2017 at a new jai alai fronton in Florida City (Miami-Dade County).<sup>63</sup>

Of the 19 greyhound racing permitholders with operating licenses during Fiscal Year 2016-2017, six permitholders conducted races at leased facilities.<sup>64</sup> Five pari-mutuel facilities have two permits operating at those locations.<sup>65</sup> One greyhound racing permitholder's operating license was suspended late in 2014.<sup>66</sup>

There are 11 permitholders that do not have operating licenses for Fiscal Year 2017-2018: two greyhound,<sup>67</sup> three jai alai,<sup>68</sup> one limited thoroughbred,<sup>69</sup> and five quarter horse.<sup>70</sup>

# **Issuance of Pari-Mutuel Permits and Annual Licenses**

Section 550.054, F.S., provides that any person meeting the qualification requirements of ch. 550, F.S., may apply to the division for a permit to conduct pari-mutuel wagering. Upon approval, a permit must be issued to the applicant that indicates:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> See Pari-Mutuel Wagering Permitholders With 2016-2017 Operating Licenses map dated Feb. 10, 2017, (on file with Senate Committee on Regulated Industries).

<sup>62</sup> Id. at page 8 (equivalent to page 6 of the printed Annual Report), and *see* the Stipulation and Consent Order, *available at* <a href="http://www.floridagamingwatch.com/wp-content/uploads/Hamilton-Jai-Alai-Consent-Order.pdf">http://www.floridagamingwatch.com/wp-content/uploads/Hamilton-Jai-Alai-Consent-Order.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).
63 *See* <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/Licenses/2017-2018-j/284--License--KingsCourtKey--2017-2018--2017-03-15.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/Licenses/2017-2018-j/284--License--KingsCourtKey--2017-2018--2017-03-15.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> According to information in the 2015-2016 Annual Report from the Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering, *available at* <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/AnnualReports/AnnualReport-2015-2016--85th--20170125.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/AnnualReports/AnnualReport-2015-2016--85th--20170125.pdf</a>, at pp. 29 - 33 of the online Annual Report (equivalent to pp. 25- - 29 the printed Annual Report), (last visited Jan. 11, 2018), both Jacksonville Kennel Club and Bayard Raceways (St. Johns Greyhound Park) conduct races at Orange Park Kennel Club; H&T Gaming conducts racing at Mardi Gras; Palm Beach Greyhound Racing conducts racing at Palm Beach Kennel Club; Tampa Greyhound conducts races at St. Petersburg Kennel Club (Derby Lane); West Volusia Racing conducts races at Daytona Beach Kennel Club; Dania Summer Ja Alai conducts games at Dania Jai Alai; Tropical Park conducts races at Gulfstream Park.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> The division indicated that H & T Gaming @ Mardi Gras and Mardi Gras operate at a facility in Hallandale Beach, Daytona Beach Kennel Club and West Volusia Racing-Daytona operate at a facility in Daytona Beach, Palm Beach Kennel Club and License Acquisitions-Palm Beach operate at a facility in West Palm Beach, Miami Jai Alai and Summer Jai Alai operate at a facility in Miami, and Sanford-Orlando Kennel Club and Penn Sanford at SOKC operate at a facility in Longwood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> See <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/CurrentPermitholdersList.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/CurrentPermitholdersList.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018) for a list of current permitholders and their licensing status. For information about permitholders for Fiscal Years 2013-2014, 2014-2015, and 2015-2016, see <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/track.html">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/track.html</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Jefferson County Kennel Club (Monticello) and North American Racing Association (Key West).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Gadsden Jai-alai (Chattahoochee), Tampa Jai Alai, and West Flagler Associates (Miami).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Under s. 550.3345, F.S., during Fiscal Year 2010-2011 only, holders of quarter horse racing permits were allowed to convert their permits to a thoroughbred racing permit, conditioned upon specific use of racing revenues for enhancement of thoroughbred purses and awards, promotion of the thoroughbred horse industry, and the care of retired thoroughbred horses. Two conversions occurred, Gulfstream Park Thoroughbred After Racing Program (GPTARP) (Hallandale, Broward County), and Ocala Thoroughbred Racing (Marion County).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> ELH Jefferson (Jefferson County), DeBary Real Estate Holdings (Volusia County), North Florida Racing (Jacksonville), Pompano Park Racing (Pompano Beach), and St. Johns Racing (St. Johns County).

- The name of the permitholder;
- The location of the pari-mutuel facility;
- The type of pari-mutuel activity to be conducted; and
- A statement showing qualifications of the applicant to conduct pari-mutuel performances under ch. 550, F.S.

A permit does not authorize any pari-mutuel performances until approved by a majority of voters in a ratification election in the county in which the applicant proposes to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities. An application may not be considered, nor may a permit be issued by the division or be voted upon in any county, for the conduct of:

- Harness horse racing, quarter horse racing, thoroughbred horse racing, or greyhound racing at a location within 100 miles of an existing pari-mutuel facility; or
- Jai alai games within 50 miles of an existing pari-mutuel facility.

Distances are measured on a straight line from the nearest property line of one pari-mutuel facility to the nearest property line of the other facility.<sup>71</sup>

After issuance of the permit and a ratification election, the division may issue an annual operating license for wagering at the specified location in a county, indicating the time, place, and number of days during which pari-mutuel operations may be conducted at the specified location.<sup>72</sup>

## The Definition of a "Full Schedule of Live Racing or Games"

Current law provides complex requirements for what constitutes of a "full schedule of live racing or games:"

- For a greyhound or jai alai permitholder, at least 100 live evening or matinee performances during the preceding year;
- For a permitholder who has a converted permit . . . at least 100 live evening and matinee wagering performances during either of the two preceding years;
- For a jai alai permitholder who does not operate slot machines . . ., who has conducted at least 100 live performances per year for at least 10 years after December 31, 1992, and whose handle on live jai alai games . . . has been less than \$4 million per state fiscal year for at least two consecutive years after June 30, 1992, . . . at least 40 live evening or matinee performances during the preceding year;
- For a jai alai permitholder who operates slot machines . . ., at least 150 performances during the preceding year;
- For a harness permitholder, the conduct of at least 100 live regular wagering performances during the preceding year;
- For a quarter horse permitholder at its facility unless an alternative schedule of at least 20 live regular wagering performances is agreed upon by the permitholder and either the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the horsemen's association representing the majority of the quarter horse owners and trainers at the facility and filed with the division along with its annual date application, in the Fiscal Year 2010-2011, . . . at least 20 regular wagering

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> See s. 550.054(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> See s. 550.054(9)(a), F.S.

performances, in Fiscal Year 2011-2012 and Fiscal Year 2012-2013, . . . at least 30 live regular wagering performances, and for every fiscal year after Fiscal Year 2012-2013, . . . at least 40 live regular wagering performances;

- For a quarter horse permitholder leasing another licensed racetrack, the conduct of 160 events at the leased facility;
- For a thoroughbred permitholder, the conduct of at least 40 live regular wagering performances during the preceding year; and
- For a permitholder restricted by statute to certain operating periods within the year when other similar permitholders are authorized to operate throughout the year, the specified number of live performances which constitute a full schedule of live racing or games is calculated pro rata based on the authorized operating period and the full calendar year, and the resulting number of live performances is the full schedule of live games for such permitholder and all other permitholders of the same class within 100 air miles of such permitholder.<sup>73</sup>

A "performance" is a minimum of eight consecutive live races.<sup>74</sup> At least three live performances must be held at a track each week.<sup>75</sup> When a permitholder conducts at least three live performances in a week,<sup>76</sup> it must pay purses (cash prizes to participants) on wagers accepted at the track on certain greyhound races run at other tracks (in Florida or elsewhere).<sup>77</sup> In order to receive an operating license, permitholders must have conducted a full schedule of live racing during the preceding year.<sup>78</sup>

If a permitholder does not conduct all of the performances specified in its operating license, the division may determine whether to fine the permitholder or suspend<sup>79</sup> the license, unless the failure is due to certain events beyond the permitholder's control. Financial hardship itself is not an acceptable basis to avoid a fine or suspension.<sup>80</sup>

The conduct of a full schedule of live racing or games is a condition of licensure for a slot machine licensee, <sup>81</sup> and the conduct of a minimum number of live races is a condition of renewal for a cardroom license. <sup>82</sup>

http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/Licenses/PMW--ConsentOrder--

JEFFERSON\_COUNTY\_KENNEL\_CLUB\_INC--146--2014-09-23--20141023.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> See s. 550.002(11), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Section 550.002(25), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Section 550.002(11), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> The performances may be during the day or in the evenings, as set forth in the schedule that is part of the operating license issued by the division.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Section 550.09514(2)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Section 550.002(11), F.S. In accordance with s. 550.002(38), F.S., a full schedule of live racing is calculated from July 1 to June 30, the state fiscal year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> After Jefferson County Kennel Club failed to conduct scheduled performances, its operating license was suspended September 22, 2014 under a consent order a*vailable at* 

<sup>80</sup> Section 550.01215(4), F.S.

<sup>81</sup> Section 551.104(4)(c), F.S.

<sup>82</sup> Section. 849.086(5)(b), F.S.

# Effect of Proposed Changes:

# License Applications by Permitholders and Decoupling

**Section 2** of the bill amends s. 550.01215, F.S., dealing with operating license applications filed annually with the division by pari-mutuel permitholders for licenses for the next fiscal year (July 1 through June 30).

In general, permitholders, including those that do not conduct live performances, are required to file an application for a license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering, including intertrack wagering and simulcast wagering. Permitholders accepting wagers on intertrack and simulcast events are required to disclose the dates of all those events in their license application. For the 2018-2019 Fiscal Year only, the division may approve changes in racing dates for permitholders, if the requests are received before May 31, 2018.

## Greyhound Racing Permitholders

Certain greyhound racing permitholders<sup>83</sup> are authorized to specify in their operating license applications that they will not conduct live racing or will conduct less than a full schedule of live racing or games (i.e., decouple), while they continue to operate their licensed slot machine facilities and/or cardrooms, if any, pursuant to ch. 551, F.S., and s. 849.086, F.S., as amended by the bill.

Decoupled greyhound permitholders retain their pari-mutuel permits, are pari-mutuel facilities as defined in s. 550.002(23), and remain eligible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting.

## Thoroughbred Horse Racing Permitholders

Thoroughbred horse racing permitholders that have conducted live racing for at least five years may discontinue live racing (i.e., decouple), if the permitholder irrevocably elects to discontinue live racing during the 30-day period after the effective date of the bill.

A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that makes the irrevocable election to decouple may retain its permit, must specify in its future operating license applications that it does not intend to conduct live racing, may retain its permit, is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23), F.S., and is eligible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting.

SB 840 specifies the circumstances under which a decoupled thoroughbred horse racing permitholder with a slot machine license may continue to operate its slot machine facility, if any, and cardroom, if any, pursuant to ch. 551, F.S., and s. 849.086, F.S., as amended by the bill. The bill requires a thoroughbred permitholder that has elected to decouple to:

Comply with all contracts regarding contributions by such permitholder to thoroughbred
horse purse supplements or breeders' awards entered into before the effective date of the bill;
and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Those that conducted a full schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the state Fiscal Year 1996-1997, or that converted a permit to a permit to conduct greyhound racing after that state fiscal year.

• File with the division, at the time of the election, an irrevocable consent that such contributions be allowed to be used for purses and awards on live races at other thoroughbred horse racing facilities in this state.<sup>84</sup>

### Harness Horse Racing and Quarter Horse Racing Permitholders

The bill provides that harness horse racing permitholders and quarter horse racing permitholders that have conducted live racing for at least five years may discontinue live racing (i.e., decouple), if the irrevocable election to discontinue live racing is made during the 30-day period after the effective date of the bill.

A harness horse racing permitholder or quarter horse racing permitholder that makes the irrevocable election to decouple may retain its permit and is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23), F.S.

A decoupled harness horse racing permitholder is eligible, but not required, to be a *host* track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting; a decoupled quarter horse racing permitholder is eligible, but not required, to be a *guest* track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting.

SB 840 provides that a decoupled harness horse racing permitholder or a decoupled quarter horse racing permitholder may continue to operate its slot machine facility, if any, and cardroom, if any, pursuant to ch. 551, F.S., and s. 849.086, F.S., as amended by the bill.

# **Slot Machine Gaming and Decoupling**

### Present Situation:

Chapter 551, F.S., authorizes slot machine gaming at the location of certain licensed pari-mutuel locations in Miami-Dade County or Broward County and provides for state regulation.<sup>85</sup> Currently eight facilities in Miami-Dade and Broward counties are authorized to operate slot machines. Voters in each county approved slot machine facilities after an amendment to the State Constitution was approved in 2004.<sup>86</sup>

Section 550.475, F.S., allows a pari-mutuel permitholder with a valid permit for the conduct of any jai alai games, greyhound racing, or thoroughbred and harness (Standardbred) horse racing in this state to lease any and all of its facilities to any other permitholder of a same class with a valid permit, when located within a 35-mile radius of each other, and the lessee is entitled to a permit and license to operate its race meet or jai alai games at the leased premises.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> SB 840 provides that these requirements, and the provisions of s. 551.104(10(a), F.S., relating to mandatory agreements with the Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association, Inc. governing the payment of purses on live thoroughbred races, do not apply after December 31, 2020, to a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that has elected to decouple. *See* lines 217 to 227 of the bill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> See ch. 551, F.S., relating to the regulation of slot machine gaming at pari-mutuel locations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> See FLA. CONST., art. IX, s. 23 (1968).

## Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 3** of the bill revises conditions for licensure and for maintaining continued authority for conducting slot machine gaming to reflect that certain pari-mutuel permitholders are authorized to discontinue conducting live racing or games (i.e., decouple). The bill provides that a permitholder with a slot machine license is authorized to receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another pari-mutuel facility, if the permitholder has operated its live races or games by lease for at least five consecutive years immediately prior to the permitholder's application for a slot machine license; however, the permitholders must be located within 35 miles of each other.<sup>87</sup>

The bill requires a slot machine licensee that chooses not to run a full schedule of live racing or games, (i.e., decouple), to make annual payments for the benefit of live thoroughbred horse racing purses. If a slot machine licensee is not running a full schedule of live racing or games under its pari-mutuel permit, then the licensee must contribute the lesser of \$2 million or three percent of the permitholder's prior fiscal year slots revenue to a slot machine licensee that conducts not fewer than 160 days of thoroughbred racing. A slot machine licensee that receives those funds must remit ten percent of the funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards, subject to the fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3), F.S. <sup>88</sup> If no slot machine licensee is licensed for at least 160 days of live thoroughbred racing, no payments for purses are required. There is a dollar-for-dollar credit for payments made to a horsemen's association under a binding written agreement entered into by the permitholder pursuant to s. 551.104(10), F.S.

#### **Slot Machines Tax Rate Reduction**

#### **Present Situation:**

The tax rate on slot machine revenues is 35 percent under s. 550.106(2), F.S. If, during any state fiscal year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties is less than the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year, each slot machine licensee must pay to the state, within 45 days after the end of the state fiscal year, a surcharge equal to its pro rata share of an amount equal to the difference between the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year and the amount of tax paid during the fiscal year that resulted in the revenue shortfall. All revenue from slot machine gaming is deposited into the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund of the Department of Education.

# Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 4** of the bill amends s. 551.106, F.S., to:

• Reduce the tax rate for slot machine revenues to 30 percent, effective January 1, 2018, and to 25 percent effective July 1, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> See s. 550.475, F.S., and lines 267 to 285 of the bill.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Section 550.2625(3), F.S, states the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association has the right to withhold up to 10 percent of a permitholder's payments under that section as a fee for administering the payments of awards and for general promotion of the horse racing industry.

• Require that if, in any year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by slot machine licensees in Broward and Miami-Dade counties which were licensed before January 1, 2017, is less than the amount paid in the 2017-2018 state fiscal year, any of those licensees that paid less in that year than it paid in the 2017-2018 fiscal year must pay a surcharge equal to the difference between the amount of tax it paid in the 2017-18 fiscal year and the amount paid during the applicable state fiscal year.

• Remove obsolete language relative to the slot machine license fee for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

# **Cardrooms and Designated Player Games**

#### Present Situation:

Chapter 849, F.S., authorizes cardrooms at certain pari-mutuel facilities. <sup>89</sup> In Fiscal Year 2017-2018, 25 cardrooms are authorized to operate. <sup>90</sup> Cardrooms are operated by 14 greyhound permitholders, five jai alai permitholders, one harness horse racing permitholder, three quarter horse racing permitholders, and two thoroughbred racing permitholders. <sup>91</sup> A license to offer parimutuel wagering, slot machine gaming, or a cardroom at a pari-mutuel facility is a privilege granted by the state. <sup>92</sup>

Section 849.086, F.S., provides that a licensed pari-mutuel permitholder that holds a valid parimutuel permit and license to conduct a full schedule of live racing or games may hold a cardroom license authorizing the operation of a cardroom and the conduct of authorized games at the cardroom. An authorized game is a game or series of games of poker or dominoes. Such games must be played in a non-banking manner, here the participants play against each other, instead of against the house (cardroom). At least four percent of the gross cardroom receipts of greyhound racing permitholders and jai alai permitholders must be used to supplement greyhound purses, and quarter horse permitholders must also have a contract with a horsemen's association governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races conducted by the permitholder.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Section 849.086, F.S. Section 849.086(2)(c), F.S., defines "cardroom" to mean a facility where authorized games are played for money or anything of value and to which the public is invited to participate in such games and charges a fee for participation by the operator of such facility.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> See <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/PMW-PermitholderOperatingLicenses--2017-2018.html">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/PMW-PermitholderOperatingLicenses--2017-2018.html</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Cardroom locations, by class of permit held are: (1) greyhound racing: Bonita Springs (Lee Co.), Daytona Beach (Volusia Co.), Ebro (Washington Co.), Hallandale Beach (Broward Co.), Melbourne (Brevard Co.), Miami (Miami-Dade Co.) Orange Park (Clay Co.), Pensacola (Escambia Co.), St. Petersburg (Pinellas Co.), and West Palm Beach (Palm Beach. Co.); (2) jai alai: Dania Beach (Broward Co.), Ft. Pierce (St. Lucie Co.), Florida City and Miami (Miami-Dade Co.), and Reddick (Marion Co.); (3) quarter horse: Gretna (Gadsden), Hialeah (Miami-Dade Co.) and Summerfield (Marion Co.); and (4) thoroughbred racing: Hallandale Beach (Broward Co.), and Tampa (Hillsborough Co.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> See s. 550.1625(1), F.S., "...legalized pari-mutuel betting at dog tracks is a privilege and is an operation that requires strict supervision and regulation in the best interests of the state." See also Solimena v. State, 402 So.2d 1240, 1247 (Fla. 3d DCA 1981), review denied, 412 So.2d 470, which states "Florida courts have consistently emphasized the special nature of legalized racing, describing it as a privilege rather than as a vested right," citing State ex rel. Mason v. Rose, 122 Fla. 413, 165 So. 347 (1936).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> See s. 849.086(2)(a), F.S.

<sup>94</sup> Id.

<sup>95</sup> See s. 849.086(13)(d), F.S.

Renewal of a cardroom license requires that a permitholder must, in its annual pari-mutuel license application, request to conduct at least 90 percent of the performances conducted either (1) in the year in which its first cardroom license was issued, or (2) in the state fiscal year immediately prior to the application if a full schedule of live racing was conducted ("90 percent rule"). If more than one permitholder is operating at a facility, each permitholder must have applied for a license to conduct a full schedule of live racing. 97

Eleven of the 12 greyhound racing locations have cardrooms. As a result of the "90 percent rule," the required minimum of live performances varies among greyhound racing permitholders, from 93 to 394 performances. 98

There is only one harness horse racing permitholder, and it has a cardroom. The permitholder must request authorization to conduct a minimum of 140 live performances during the state fiscal year immediately prior to its application for an operating license. As a result of the "90 percent rule," the required minimum of live performances for the harness horse racing permitholder is 126 performances.

Five of the six jai alai permitholders have cardrooms. As a result of the "90 percent rule," the required minimum of live performances varies among jai alai permitholders, from 36 to 150 performances. <sup>101</sup>

Three of the five quarter horse permitholders have cardrooms. As a result of the "90 percent rule," the required minimum of live performances varies among quarter horse permitholders, from 18 to 40 performances. <sup>102</sup>

Two of the three thoroughbred permitholders have cardrooms. As a result of the "90 percent rule," the required minimum of live performances varies among thoroughbred racing permitholders, from 40 to 81 performances. <sup>103</sup>

If more than one permitholder is operating at a facility, each permitholder must have applied for a license to conduct a full schedule of live racing. 104

# State Litigation Challenging DBPR's Administrative Rules Relating to Designated Player Games

In July 2014, the Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering (division) of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) adopted two administrative rules relating to the play of

```
<sup>96</sup> See s. 849.086(5)(b), F.S.
```

<sup>97</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Telephone interview with division staff (Jan. 23, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> See s. 849.086(5)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> *Id*.

 $<sup>^{102}</sup>$  *Id*.

 $<sup>^{103}</sup>$  Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> See s. 849.086(5)(b), F.S.

Designated Player Games.<sup>105</sup> Under the rules, a designated player game is not authorized if it is not played in compliance with house rules required to be available for review by players or the division, which must:

- Establish uniform requirements to be a designated player;
- Ensure that the dealer button rotates clockwise around the card table for each hand, so that all players desiring to be a designated player have the opportunity to do so; and
- Not require the designated player to cover all potential wagers. 106

Banking games are defined in current law as those in which the house is a participant. Designated player games, if conducted as defined in Rule 61D-11.002(5), Florida Administrative Code, were not considered by the DBPR to be banking games.

The division pursued additional rulemaking concerning Designated Player Games in September 2014, to "address issues discovered in the implementation and practical application of [the July 2014] cardroom rules." <sup>109</sup> In October 2015, the division proposed to repeal the rule defining the term "designated player" as "the player identified by the button in the dealer position" and the rule establishing the standards for designated player games. <sup>110</sup>

The repeal of the rules was challenged by various cardroom operators in December 2015. In August 2016, Administrative Law Judge Gary Early of the Division of Administrative Hearings found:

The evidence is conclusive that, by its repeal of rule 61D-11.002(5), Respondent simply changed its mind as to whether playing with a designated player constituted the establishment of a prohibited banking game. [Footnote omitted.] It previously determined that such games were lawful under the terms of section 849.086 [F.S.]; it has now determined they are not.<sup>111</sup>

Judge Early determined the division:

Has taken divergent views of the statute in a manner that has substantially affected the interests of [cardroom operators]. For [the division] to suggest that its repeal of the rules is a clarification, a simplification, or reflection of the unambiguous terms of the statute, and that [the cardrooms] should just tailor their actions to the statute without any interpretive guidance from [the division], works contrary to the role of government to provide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-11.001(17) and R. 61D-002(5) (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=61D-11">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=61D-11</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> *Id.* and *see* Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-11.002(3) and (5) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Section 849.086(2)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Rule 61D-11.001(17), F.A.C., defines "designated player" as the "player identified by the button as the dealer in the player position."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> See Dania Entertainment Center, LLC. v. Dep't of Bus. and Prof. Reg., Div. of Pari-mutuel Wagering, (Dania Entertainment) Case No. 15-7010RP (Fla DOAH 2016) at page 17.at <a href="https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ROS/2015/15007010.pdf">https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ROS/2015/15007010.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> *Id.* at p. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> See Dania Entertainment at pp. 24-25.

> meaningful and understandable standards for the regulation of business in Florida. [The division] cannot, with little more than a wave and wellwishes, expect regulated businesses to expose themselves to liability through their actions under a statute that is open to more than more one interpretation, when the agency itself has found it problematic to decipher the statute under which it exercises its regulatory authority. 112

In November 2017, the Florida First District Court of Appeal (DCA) affirmed Judge Early's ruling that the proposed repeal of the Designated Player Games rules was invalid. 113 The DCA stated the ruling correctly found that repeal of the rules was a rule itself because it was a change of the DBPR's policy on Designated Player Games<sup>114</sup> However, the DCA declined to adopt Judge Early's finding that the division "lacked the authority to either promulgate or to repeal rules" on Designated Player Games, noting that the role of the division "is to provide meaningful and understandable standards for cardrooms, particularly where a statute is ambiguous." <sup>115</sup>

# Federal Litigation Concerning the 2010 Gaming Compact and Banked Card Games (including Player Banked Card Games with a Designated Player)

The State and the Seminole Tribe were parties to litigation in federal court (federal litigation) relating to the offering of table games by the Seminole Tribe after July 31, 2015. Separate lawsuits were filed by each party against the other, and the cases were consolidated. The Seminole Tribe alleged in its complaint that:

- It had authority to conduct banked card games for the 2010 Gaming Compact's full 20-year term; and
- The State breached its duty to negotiate with the Seminole Tribe in good faith.

The State alleged that the Seminole Tribe's:

- Conduct of banked card games violated the 2010 Gaming Compact; and
- Conducting the games violated the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA) though this claim was later dropped by the State.

On November 9, 2016, U.S. District Court Judge Robert L. Hinkle issued an Opinion on the Merits, which held the Seminole Tribe may operate banked card games at all seven of its facilities (rather than the five facilities at which banked card games had been allowed since 2010) through the entire 20-year term of the 2010 Gaming Compact (i.e., until 2030) because the State permitted others to offer banked card games (i.e., pari-mutuel cardrooms). 116

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> *Id*. at page 25.

<sup>113</sup> See Dep't of Bus. and Prof. Reg., Div. of Pari-Mutuel Wagering v. Dania Entertainment Center, et al. 229 So.3d 1259 (Fla. 1st DCA 2017) at https://edca.1dca.org/DCADocs/2016/4275/164275\_1284\_11082017\_08460223\_i.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Id. The DCA also affirmed the finding that the Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering (division) of the DBPR failed to follow required rulemaking procedures by not preparing a statement of estimated regulatory costs (SERC). *Id.* at pp. 11-12. <sup>115</sup> *Id.* at page 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> See Seminole Tribe of Florida v. State of Florida, 219 F.Supp. 3d 1177 (N.D. Fla. Nov. 9, 2016), Case No.: 4:15-cv-516-RH/CAS, Document 103. In addition to the holding as to banked card games, Judge Hinkle held that sovereign immunity barred the court from considering whether the State had failed to negotiate in good faith as to authorizing roulette and craps, and that a ruling on whether electronic forms of blackjack are also a banked card game was unnecessary as that issue was too close to resolve and was not essential to the outcome of the case.

As to the banked card games issue, Judge Hinkle found:

• The 2010 Gaming Compact defines 'Covered Games' to include 'banking or banked card games, including baccarat, chemin de fer, and blackjack (21);<sup>117</sup>

- Under s. 849.086, F.S., licensed pari-mutuel facilities may operate cardrooms, but the statute explicitly forbids "banking" card games; 118
- Baccarat, chemin de fer, and blackjack are all games in which there is no common pot, and the players do not compete against one another;
- A bank pays the winners and collects from the losers;
- In baccarat and blackjack, the bank is most often a dealer employed by the facility in effect, the facility itself, commonly denominated the 'house;'
- In chemin de fer, the bank is always one of the players; and
- Under the 2010 Gaming Compact and [Indian Game Regulatory Act], banked games include both house banked games and player-banked games.<sup>119</sup>

Section 849.086(2)(b), F.S., defines a 'banking game' as a game in which:

- [1] the house is a participant in the game, taking on players, paying winners, and collecting from losers; or
- [2] the cardroom establishes a bank against which participants play.

#### The court found that:

- The first part of the definition in [1] describes a house banked game, one played in the manner that is typical for blackjack and baccarat;
- The second part of the definition in [2] describes a game banked by anyone else, including a player; that is, a game played in the manner of chemin de fer;<sup>120</sup>
- When the cardroom devises and runs the game and sets the rules, including the requirement that a player act as the bank, the cardroom 'establishes' a bank;
- Florida law does not state that a game that is not 'banked' when the bank is a player rather than the house;
- There were no player-banked card games at pari-mutuel cardrooms when the parties entered into the 2010 Gaming Compact;
- The parties did not expect the Seminole Tribe to have to compete against such games; and
- The DBPR permitted cardrooms to conduct banked games as early as 2011, formally approved the practice by adopting a rule in 2014, continues to permit the games, and asserts the rule is currently valid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> *Id*. at pp. 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> *Id.* at p. 5, and *see* s. 849.086(12)(a), F.S. The court further held "[b]ecause of this statute, the Tribe's authority under the Compact to conduct banked card games afforded the Tribe the right to conduct bank card games without competition from cardrooms. This was perhaps the most important benefit the Tribe obtained under the Compact. **The most important benefit to the State was more than a billion dollars.** Because IGRA prohibits a state from receiving a share of a tribe's gaming revenue except to defray expenses or in exchange for a benefit conferred on the tribe, **the Tribe's billion-dollars-plus payments to the State under the Compact were justified in large part as compensation for the exclusive right to conduct banked card games – exclusive, that is, except for any competition from other tribes or other types of games."** *Id.* **at pp. 5-6. (Emphasis added.)** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> See Seminole Tribe of Florida v. State of Florida, Case No.: 4:15-cv-516-RH/CAS (U.S.D.C. N.D. Fla.), Document 103, filed Nov. 9, 2016, at p. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> *Id*. at p. 10.

Because of the finding that others had been allowed to conduct banked card games, the court found that the 2010 Gaming Compact allows the Seminole Tribe to conduct banked card games at all seven of its gaming facilities, for the Compact's full 20-year term (through July 31, 2030). 121

# Settlement of the Federal Litigation and Establishment of Forbearance Period

After the DBPR's appeal of Judge Hinkle's decision, <sup>122</sup> the Seminole Tribe and the DBPR entered into a Settlement Agreement and Stipulation (2017 Settlement) on July 5, 2017. <sup>123</sup> The parties agreed to undertake certain actions.

The State agreed to dismiss the pending appeal, and, upon issuance of the final order of dismissal of the appeal, the Seminole Tribe agreed to release the State from all claims by the Tribe for past Revenue Share Payments, <sup>124</sup> based on the operation of player-banked games which use a designated player (Designated Player Games) or electronic forms of blackjack (Electronic Table Games) in Florida. The State and the Seminole Tribe also agreed that the findings of fact and conclusions of law in Judge Hinkle's decision are binding on the parties. <sup>125</sup>

The Seminole Tribe also agreed it would not seek the return of funds associated with tribal gaming paid to and segregated by the State during the pendency of the federal litigation, granting the State unencumbered use of the segregated funds.<sup>126</sup>

As to the continued operation of banked card games (i.e., Designated Player Games operated as described in Judge Hinkle's decision), the Seminole Tribe agreed to delay taking certain actions until after the last day of the month that the Legislature adjourns<sup>127</sup> its 2018 legislative session (the Forbearance Period). The Seminole Tribe agreed not to:

- Suspend Revenue Share Payments; or
- Deposit Revenue Share Payments into an escrow account in accordance with Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact.

The Seminole Tribe also agreed not to initiate an action asserting that it is entitled, based on the continued operation of Designated Player Games or Electronic Table Games in the State, to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> *Id.* at p. 19, and see Judgment issued in *Seminole Tribe of Florida v. State of Florida*, Case No.: 4:15-cv-516-RH/CAS (U.S.D.C. N.D. Fla.), Document 104, filed Nov. 16, 2016, at p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> See Seminole Tribe of Florida v. State of Florida, 219 F.Supp. 3d 1177 (N.D. Fla. Jan. 19, 2017), Case No.: 4:15-cv-516-RH/CAS, Document 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> See Settlement Agreement and Stipulation (2017 Settlement) (July 5, 2017) (on file with the Senate Committee on Regulated Industries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Revenue Share Payments are the periodic payments to the State by the Seminole Tribe, based on the Tribe's Net Win. Net Win is defined as total receipts from the play of authorized tribal gaming in Florida, less all prizes, free play, or promotional credits. *See* paragraphs U and X of Part III of the 2010 Gaming Compact at page 11 at

http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010 Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> See 2017 Settlement at page 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> See the 2017 Settlement at page 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Should the 2018 legislative session be adjourned as anticipated on March 9, 2018, the Forbearance Period will end on March 31, 2018.

deposit Revenue Share Payments into an escrow account in accordance with Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact, provided:

the State takes aggressive enforcement action [Aggressive Enforcement Requirement] against the continued operation of banked card games, including Designated Player Games that are operated in a banked game manner, as described in [Judge Hinkle's decision], and no other violations of the Tribe's exclusivity occur during the Forbearance Period. 128

The Aggressive Enforcement Requirement is also imposed upon the State respecting Revenue Share Payments made by the Seminole Tribe during the Forbearance Period. The deposit of such payments into the General Revenue Fund, allowing unencumbered use by the State without the Seminole Tribe seeking the return of such payments, is contingent upon meeting the Aggressive Enforcement Requirement.<sup>129</sup>

The 2017 Settlement does not define the term "aggressive enforcement action." Subsequent to the Settlement, the DBPR filed five administrative complaints against cardroom operators alleging the violation of s. 849.086(12)(a), F.S., due to the operation of a banking game or a game not specifically authorized by Florida law. <sup>130</sup> In each case, the parties have temporarily delayed pursuit of administrative hearings in favor of informal conferences to resolve the pending enforcement actions. <sup>131</sup>

## **The Gaming Compacts**

Authorization of Designated Player Games in Florida (i.e., player banked card games with a designated player) could impact the revenue sharing provisions of the 2010 Gaming Compact<sup>132</sup> (as well as the Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact). Judge Hinkle found designated player games to be banked card games, a form of Class III gaming. The Settlement Agreement that the State entered with the Seminole Tribe provides that Judge Hinkle's findings of fact and conclusions of law are binding on the State and the Seminole Tribe. Accordingly, payments due to the State under the 2010 Compact could cease.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> The Seminole Tribe agreed to follow the process set forth in paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact, to address any new violation of the Tribe's exclusivity occurring during the Forbearance Period, due to a court decision or administrative agency ruling or decision. *See* the 2017 Settlement at page 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> See the 2017 Settlement at page 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> The respondent, filing date, and DBPR Case No. for each complaint are: 1) Pensacola Greyhound Park, LLP (8.17.2017; Case No. 2017-040490); 2) Sarasota Kennel Club, Inc. (8.24.2017; Case No. 2017-041784); 3) Tampa Bay Downs, Inc. (9.15.2017; Case No. 2017-044518); 4) Dania Entertainment Center, LLC (9.25.2017; Case No. 2017-045538); and 5) Investment Corporation of Palm Beach (10.25.2017; Case No. 2017-050956) (on file with the Committee on Regulated Industries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> E-mail from J. Morris, Legislative Affairs Director, DBPR, to R. McSwain, Staff Director, Committee on Regulated Industries (Nov. 2, 2017) (on file with the Committee on Regulated Industries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact at <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/...RI/Links/Gaming Compact">http://www.flsenate.gov/...RI/Links/Gaming Compact</a> between The Seminole Tribe of Florida and the State of Florida.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>133</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2015 Gaming Compact at <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/">http://www.flsenate.gov/</a>. . . Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact, Comparison Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact at pages 39-40 at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010</a> Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

Additionally, the Tribe would also be authorized to offer Designated Player Games, because each compact provides the Tribe is authorized to offer "any new game authorized by Florida law for any person for any purpose.<sup>135</sup>

# Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 5 of the bill amends s. 849.086, F.S., to:

- Provide that a Designated Player Game is not a banking game, and that a designated player is
  the player in the dealer position seated at a traditional player position who pays winning
  players and collects from losing players.
- Define "Designated Player Game" as "a game in which the players compare their cards only to the cards of the designated player or to a combination of cards held by the designated player and cards common and available for play by all players."
- Repeal the "90 percent rule" in existing law mandating the minimum number of races that must be conducted by a permitholder to renew a cardroom license.
- Require that a permitholder conducting less than a full schedule of live racing or games have a contract with a thoroughbred permitholder that conducts live racing and does not possess a slot machine gaming license under which the (decoupled) permitholder will pay four percent of gross cardroom receipts to the thoroughbred permitholder for use as purses during its next racing meet. A thoroughbred racing permitholder receiving those funds must pay ten percent of the funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards, subject to the fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3), F.S.
- Require the division to respond to requests from a licensed cardroom within 45 days for approval of a cardroom's internal controls or the rules for a new authorized game, or provide a list of deficiencies. The division has ten days after receipt of revised internal controls or rules for a new game addressing the deficiencies identified by the division to approve or reject the revised internal controls or rules.<sup>136</sup>
- Authorize cardroom operators to offer Designated Player Games, at not more than 50 percent of the total licensed tables in a cardroom.
- Provide a cardroom operator may not serve as a designated player but may collect a table rake as posted at the table.
- Provide, if there are multiple designated players at a table, the dealer button must be rotated clockwise after each hand.
- Provide that a cardroom operator may not allow a designated player to pay an opposing player who holds a lower ranked hand.
- Provide that any designated player may not be required by the rules of a game or by the rules of a cardroom to cover more than 10 times the maximum wager for opposing players.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> See subparagraph 4 of paragraph F of Part III of the 2010 Gaming Compact at page 4 at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010</a> Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018) and see subparagraph 5 of paragraph G of Part XII of the 2015 Gaming Compact at <a href="http://www.flsenate.gov/">http://www.flsenate.gov/</a>. Proposed 2015 Gaming Compact, Comparison Chart, and Letter from Governor Scott.pdf (last visited Jan. 1, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> According to the DBPR's Office of General Counsel, the terms "requests from a licensed cardroom" and "submission" in the bill "may create ambiguity in their application." *See 2018 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis (AGENCY: Department of Business and Professional Regulation)* for SB 840, dated Jan. 9, 2018 (on file with Senate Committee on Regulated Industries) at page 8.

 Prohibit a cardroom, or any cardroom licensee, from contracting for or receiving compensation other than a posted table rake from any player to participate in any game to serve as a designated player.

• Require employees of a designated player be licensed, and a designated player pay, in addition to the cardroom business occupational fee, an employee occupational fee which may not exceed \$500.00 per employee annually.

#### **Effective Date**

SB 840 is effective upon becoming a law.

## IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

**Fantasy Contests** 

None.

## **Pari-Mutuel Decoupling**

The ending of live racing will reduce required daily license fees and taxes on wagering paid by pari-mutuel permitholders that decouple. According to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), the fiscal impact to state government revenues is indeterminate, and anticipates the Revenue Estimating Conference may assess the impact due to the multiple variables in SB 840.<sup>137</sup>

**Purse Supplements by Decoupled Slot Machine Licensees** 

None.

**Slot Machine Tax Rate Reduction** 

None.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> See 2018 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis (AGENCY: Department of Business and Professional Regulation) for SB 840, dated Jan. 9, 2018 (on file with Senate Committee on Regulated Industries) at page 6.

# **Authorization of Designated Player Games**

None.

# B. Private Sector Impact:

SB 840 authorizes certain fantasy contests to be offered by fantasy contest operators, who will retain amounts participants pay as entry fees to participate in fantasy contests. Persons who pay entry fees to participate in fantasy contests have the opportunity to win prizes and awards.

The ending of live racing will reduce required daily license fees and taxes on wagering paid by pari-mutuel permitholders that decouple.

SB 840 reduces the tax rate on slot machine gaming revenue effective January 1, 2019, but also requires that the existing Broward and Miami-Dade slot machine facilities pay, in each year, at least as much as they paid in Fiscal Year 2017-2018.

As to Designated Player Games, the bill:

- Provides a Designated Player Game is not a banking game;
- Sets requirements and limitations for a Designated Player Game.
- Limits the number of Designated Player Game tables in a cardroom to not more than 50 percent of the cardroom's total licensed tables.
- Grants additional rulemaking authority to the DBPR's Division of Pari-Mutuel
  Wagering (division) relating to requests from licensed cardrooms and imposes
  deadlines for response by the division to submissions by cardroom licensees relating
  to rules for new authorized games, revisions to internal controls, and revisions to
  rules for games.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

SB 840 could significantly impact the Revenue Share Payments<sup>138</sup> required to be paid by the Seminole Tribe of Florida under the 2010 Gaming Compact.

The Revenue Estimating Conference (REC) estimates that the revenue that will be received from the Seminole Tribe associated with the 2010 Gaming Compact during Fiscal Year 2017-2018 will be \$276 million, of which \$272 million will accrue to the General Revenue Fund and \$3.5 million will be distributed to local governments as required by s. 285.710(10), F.S. During Fiscal Year 2018-2019, the REC estimates revenue associated with the 2010 Gaming Compact will be \$288.6 million, of which \$280.1 million will accrue to the General Revenue Fund and \$8.6 million will be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Revenue Share Payments are the periodic payments to the State by the Seminole Tribe, based on the Tribe's Net Win. Net Win is defined as total receipts from the play of authorized tribal gaming in Florida, less all prizes, free play, or promotional credits. *See* paragraphs U and X of Part III of the 2010 Gaming Compact at page 11 at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010</a> Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

distributed to local governments. The REC estimates the revenue associated with the 2010 Gaming Compact will increase to \$307 million for Fiscal Year 2025-2026. 139

The REC currently classifies all future Revenue Share Payments to be paid by the Seminole Tribe to the State as nonrecurring revenue because the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulation entered on July 5, 2017, by the Seminole Tribe and the Department of Business and Professional Regulation on behalf of the State, <sup>140</sup> required the parties to take certain actions "that cannot be anticipated with sufficient certainty."

## **Fantasy Contests**

<u>If</u> fantasy contests permitted under the bill constitute gaming, are considered Class III gaming under federal law, and constitute, under the 2010 Gaming Compact, *new* Class III gaming in Florida, the payments due to the State under the 2010 Gaming Compact could end when fantasy contests begin to be offered for public or private use. <sup>142</sup>

In a letter to Senator Travis Hutson and Representative Mike La Rosa dated December 5, 2017, <sup>143</sup> Jim Shore, General Counsel for the Seminole Tribe, indicated the Tribe believes the games permitted by HB 223 and SB 374 (Fantasy Contests), and SB 840 (Gaming) would violate the Tribe's exclusivity, as set forth in Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact between the State and Tribe. The stated purpose of the letter was to avoid enactment of legislation "that inadvertently violates the Tribe's exclusivity." Mr. Shore noted "federal law requires that any reduction in the Tribe's exclusivity must be balanced by some additional consideration from the State[, and] without such an agreement, the 2010 Gaming Compact would allow the Tribe to cease all revenue sharing payments to the State based on the expanded gaming contemplated by the referenced bills."

## **Pari-Mutuel Decoupling**

Regulated Industries).

SB 840 authorizes greyhound racing, harness horse racing, quarter horse racing, and thoroughbred racing permitholders to stop conducting live racing while retaining intertrack and simulcast wagering, cardrooms, and, where relevant, slot machine facilities. The ending of live racing will reduce daily license fees and taxes on wagering payable by pari-mutuel permitholders that decouple. The Revenue Estimating Conference has not analyzed this bill, nor the impacts of ending live racing by greyhound racing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> See the estimates for multiple fiscal years in the *Conference Results, Indian Gaming Revenues* at <a href="http://www.edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/Indian-gaming/IndianGamingResults.pdf">http://www.edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/Indian-gaming/IndianGamingResults.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018). <sup>140</sup> See Settlement Agreement and Stipulation (2017 Settlement) (July 5, 2017) (on file with the Senate Committee on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> See Revenue Estimating Conference, Indian Gaming Revenues, Executive Summary at <a href="http://www.edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/Indian-gaming/IndianGamingSummary.pdf">http://www.edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/Indian-gaming/IndianGamingSummary.pdf</a> (last visited Nov. 27, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact at pages 39-40 at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010\_Compact-Signed1.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010\_Compact-Signed1.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 11, 2018); the Revenue Share Payments and the required annual donation of \$750,000 to the Florida Council on Compulsive Gaming must resume when the new Class III gaming is no longer operated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> See Letter from Jim Shore, General Counsel for the Seminole Tribe, to Senator Travis Hutson and Representative La Rosa (Dec. 5, 2017) (on file with the Senate Committee on Regulated Industries).

permitholders and the various horse racing permitholders in the manner provided in the bill.

According to the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), expenditures for licensing and sample collection may be reduced at permitholder facilities that choose to end live racing.<sup>144</sup> The DBPR's Office of General Counsel notes that rulemaking will be necessary to address revisions to permit and license application forms, as well as additional forms relating to the ending of live racing.<sup>145</sup>

#### **Slot Machine Tax Rate Reduction**

SB 840 provides for a slot machine tax rate reduction, which takes effect on January 1, 2019, combined with a requirement that the existing Broward and Miami-Dade slot machine facilities pay, in each year, at least as much as they paid in Fiscal Year 2017-2018. Therefore, the impact of the tax reduction upon General Revenue should be neutral.

### **Authorization of Designated Player Games**

Authorization of player banked card games with a designated player, which were determined to be Class III gaming in federal litigation between the State of Florida and the Seminole Tribe of Florida, could impact the revenue sharing provisions of the 2010 Gaming Compact, as payments due to the State under the compact could cease. 146

### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

SB 840 authorizes certain permitholders to end live racing while retaining their licenses to conduct slot machine gaming and operate cardrooms. A reference to "harness horse racing permitholders and quarter horse racing permitholders" is omitted from the list of the types of permitholders that may choose to end live racing, as authorized in **Section 2** of the bill, creating inconsistency with the remaining provisions of the bill. *See* line 156 of the bill.

At lines 275 and 288, the bill cross-references paragraphs (b) and (c) of s. 550.01215(1), F.S., (provisions created by the bill on decoupling by greyhound racing permitholders and thoroughbred horse racing permitholders) in connection with the requirements for a slot machine license. An amendment should be considered at those lines to also include a cross-reference to paragraph (d) of s. 550.01215(1), F.S., relating to decoupling by harness horse racing permitholders and quarter horse racing permitholders. A similar reference to paragraph (d) of s. 550.01215(1), F.S., is included at line 613 in connection with the requirements for a cardroom license by decoupled greyhound racing, thoroughbred horse racing, harness horse racing, and quarter horse racing permitholders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> See 2018 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis (AGENCY: Department of Business and Professional Regulation) for SB 840, dated Jan. 9, 2018 (on file with Senate Committee on Regulated Industries) at page 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> See paragraph A of Part XII of the 2010 Gaming Compact at pages 39-40 at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/2010</a> Compact-Signed1.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2018).

# VII. Related Issues:

None.

# VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 550.01215, 551.104, 551.106, and 849.086.

This bill creates section 546.13 of the Florida Statutes.

# IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

# B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

# The Florida Senate COMMITTEE VOTE RECORD

**COMMITTEE:** Regulated Industries **ITEM:** SB 840

ITEM: SB 840 FINAL ACTION: Favorable

MEETING DATE: Wednesday, January 17, 2018

**TIME:** 1:30—3:30 p.m.

PLACE: 110 Senate Office Building

FINAL VOTE			1/17/2018 Amendmer	1/17/2018 1 Amendment 831572		1/17/2018 2 Amendment 439272		Motion to change vote to "NAY" after Roll Call	
			Hutson		Steube		Gibson		
Yea	Nay	SENATORS	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	
Χ		Benacquisto							
Х		Bracy							
Х		Brandes							
Х		Braynon							
	VC	Gibson							
	Х	Steube							
Х		Thurston							
Х		Young							
		Hukill, VICE CHAIR							
Х		Hutson, CHAIR							
7	2	TOTALS	-	WD	-	WD	FAV	-	
Yea	Nay		Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	

CODES: FAV=Favorable

UNF=Unfavorable -R=Reconsidered

RCS=Replaced by Committee Substitute RE=Replaced by Engrossed Amendment RS=Replaced by Substitute Amendment TP=Temporarily Postponed VA=Vote After Roll Call VC=Vote Change After Roll Call WD=Withdrawn OO=Out of Order AV=Abstain from Voting 831572

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: WD	•	
01/17/2018	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Regulated Industries (Hutson) recommended the following:

#### Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2

1

3

Delete lines 156 - 290

4

and insert:

5 6

8

7

9 10 dates, and starting times of all live performances that which

racing permitholders, jai alai permitholders, harness horse racing permitholders, quarter horse racing permitholders, and thoroughbred horse racing permitholders that do not <del>to</del> conduct live performances during the next state fiscal year. Each application for live performances must shall specify the number, 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39



the permitholder intends to conduct. It must shall also specify which performances will be conducted as charity or scholarship performances.

- (a) In addition, Each application for an operating a license also must shall include: -
- 1. For each permitholder, whether the permitholder intends to accept wagers on intertrack or simulcast events.
- 2. For each permitholder that elects which elects to operate a cardroom, the dates and periods of operation the permitholder intends to operate the cardroom. or,
- 3. For each thoroughbred racing permitholder that which elects to receive or rebroadcast out-of-state races after 7 p.m., the dates for all performances which the permitholder intends to conduct.
- (b) A greyhound racing permitholder that conducted a full schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, or that converted its permit to a permit to conduct greyhound racing after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, irrevocably may elect not to conduct live racing if the election is made within 2 years after the effective date of this act. A greyhound racing permitholder may retain its permit; is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23); if such permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the facility where such permit is located remains an eligible facility as defined in s. 551.102(4), continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, and is exempt from ss. 551.104(3) and (4)(c)1. and 551.114(2) and (4); is eligible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting pursuant to ss.

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

6.3 64

65

66

67

68



40 550.3551, 550.615, and 550.6305; and, if such permitholder has been issued a cardroom license, remains eligible for a cardroom 41 license notwithstanding any requirement in s. 849.086 for the 42 43 conduct of live racing. A greyhound racing permitholder may 44 receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering 45 activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility 46 pursuant to s. 550.475.

- (c) 1. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that has conducted live racing for at least 5 years irrevocably may elect not to conduct live racing if the election is made within 30 days after the effective date of this act. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that makes such election may retain such permit, must specify in future applications for an operating license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, and is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23).
- 2. If a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder makes such election and if such permitholder holds a slot machine license when such election is made, the facility where such permit is located:
- a. Remains an eligible facility pursuant to s. 551.102(4), and continues to be eligible for a slot machine license;
- b. Is exempt from ss. 550.5251, 551.104(3) and (4)(c)1., and 551.114(2) and (4);
- c. Is eligible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting; and
- d. Remains eligible for a cardroom license, notwithstanding any requirement in s. 849.086 for the conduct of live racing.
- 3. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that makes such election shall comply with all contracts regarding contributions



69 by such permitholder to thoroughbred horse purse supplements or 70 breeders' awards entered into before the effective date of this 71 act pursuant to s. 551.104(10)(a). At the time of such election, 72 such permitholder shall file with the division an irrevocable 73 consent that such contributions shall be allowed to be used for 74 purses and awards on live races at other thoroughbred horse 75 racing facilities in this state. This subparagraph and s. 76 551.104(10)(a) do not apply after December 31, 2020, to a 77 thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that made such election. 78 (d) A jai alai permitholder, harness horse racing 79 permitholder, or a quarter horse racing permitholder that has 80 conducted live racing or games for at least 5 years irrevocably 81 may elect not to conduct live racing or games if the election is 82 made within 2 years after the effective date of this act. A 83 permitholder that makes such election may retain its permit; is 84 a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23); if such 85 permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the 86 facility where such permit is located remains an eligible 87 facility as defined in s. 551.102(4), continues to be eligible 88 for a slot machine license, and is exempt from ss. 551.104(3) 89 and (4)(c)1. and 551.114(2) and (4); is eligible, but not required, to be a guest track and, if the permitholder is a 90 91 harness horse racing permitholder, to be a host track for 92 purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting pursuant to ss. 93 550.3551, 550.615, 550.625, and 550.6305; and, if such 94 permitholder has been issued a cardroom license, remains 95 eligible for a cardroom license notwithstanding any requirement 96 in s. 849.086 to conduct live racing or games. 97 (e) Permitholders may shall be entitled to amend their

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126



applications through February 28.

(3) The division shall issue each license no later than March 15. Each permitholder shall operate all performances at the date and time specified on its license. The division shall have the authority to approve minor changes in racing dates after a license has been issued. The division may approve changes in racing dates after a license has been issued when there is no objection from any operating permitholder located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the changes in operating dates. In the event of an objection, the division shall approve or disapprove the change in operating dates based upon the impact on operating permitholders located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the change in operating dates. In making the determination to change racing dates, the division shall take into consideration the impact of such changes on state revenues. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and for the 2018-2019 fiscal year only, the division may approve changes in racing dates for permitholders if the request for such changes is received before May 31, 2018.

Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 551.104, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 551.104 License to conduct slot machine gaming.-
- (4) As a condition of licensure and to maintain continued authority for the conduct of slot machine gaming, a the slot machine licensee shall:
- (c)1. Conduct no less fewer than a full schedule of live racing or games as defined in s. 550.002(11), unless conducting less than a full schedule of live racing or games pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b), (c), or (d). A permitholder's responsibility to



conduct a full schedule such number of live races or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), shall be reduced by the number of races or games that could not be conducted due to the direct result of fire, war, hurricane, or other disaster or event beyond the control of the permitholder. A permitholder may conduct live races or games at another pari-mutuel facility pursuant to s. 550.475 if such permitholder has operated its live races or games by lease for at least 5 consecutive years immediately prior to the permitholder's application for a slot machine license.

2. If not licensed to conduct a full schedule of live racing or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b), (c), or (d), remit for the payment of purses and awards on live races an amount equal to the lesser of \$1.5 million or 2.75 percent of its slot machine revenues from the previous

143

146

148 149

150

151

152

153

154 155

127

128 129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

144 ======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== And the title is amended as follows: 145

Delete lines 7 - 42

147 and insert:

> permitholder to elect not to conduct live racing if the election is made by a specified date; providing that a greyhound racing permitholder that has been issued a slot machine license remains an eligible facility, continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, is exempt from certain provisions of ch. 551, F.S., is eligible to be a guest track for certain purposes, and remains eligible for a cardroom license;

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184



authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility; authorizing a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder to elect not to conduct live racing under certain circumstances; authorizing a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that elects not to conduct live racing to retain its permit and requiring the permitholder to specify its intention not to conduct live racing in future applications and that it is a pari-mutuel facility; authorizing such thoroughbred horse racing permitholder's facility to remain an eligible facility, to continue to be eligible for a slot machine license, to be exempt from certain provisions of chs. 550 and 551, F.S., to be eligible as a guest track for intertrack wagering and simulcasting, and to remain eligible for a cardroom license; requiring that such permitholder comply with all contracts regarding distributions to thoroughbred horse purse supplements or breeders' awards entered into before a specified date; requiring, for a specified period, that such permitholder file with the division an irrevocable consent authorizing the use of certain contributions for specified purses and awards; authorizing jai alai permitholders, harness horse racing permitholders, and quarter horse racing permitholders to elect not to conduct live racing or games under certain circumstances; authorizing a permitholder that elects not to conduct live racing or



185 games to retain its permit and

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: WD	•	
01/17/2018	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Regulated Industries (Steube) recommended the following:

## Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 546.13, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 546.13 Fantasy contests and fantasy contest operators.-
- (1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Entry fee" means cash or a cash equivalent that is required to be paid by a participant in order to participate in

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10



a fantasy contest.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- (b) "Fantasy contest" means a fantasy or simulated game or contest in which:
- 1. The value of all prizes and awards offered to winning participants is established and made known to the participants in advance of the contest;
- 2. All winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of the participants and are determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of individuals, including athletes in the case of sporting events;
- 3. No winning outcome is based on the score, point spread, or any performance or performances of any single actual team or combination of such teams, solely on any single performance of an individual athlete or player in any single actual event, or on the performances of participants in collegiate, high school, or youth sporting events.
- (c) "Fantasy contest operator" means a person or an entity, including any employee or agent, that offers or conducts a fantasy contest with an entry fee for a cash prize or award and that is not a participant in the fantasy contest.
- (2) EXEMPTIONS.—The Department of Business and Professional Regulation may not regulate and the offenses established in s. 849.01, s. 849.08, s. 849.09, s. 849.11, s. 849.14, and s. 849.25 do not include or apply to a fantasy contest operated or conducted by a:
  - (a) Fantasy contest operator.
- (b) Natural person who is a participant in the fantasy contest, serves as the commissioner of not more than 10 fantasy contests in a calendar year, and distributes all entry fees for

41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55 56

57

58

59

60

61 62

6.3 64

65

66

67

68



the fantasy contest as prizes or awards to the participants in that fantasy contest.

Section 2. Subsection (11) of section 550.002, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.002 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term:

- (11) "Full schedule of live racing or games" means:
- (a) For a greyhound or jai alai permitholder, the conduct of a combination of at least 100 live evening or matinee performances during the preceding year. +
- (b) For a permitholder who has a converted permit or filed an application on or before June 1, 1990, for a converted permit, the conduct of a combination of at least 100 live evening and matinee wagering performances during either of the 2 preceding years. +
- (c) For a jai alai permitholder who does not operate slot machines in its pari-mutuel facility, who has conducted at least 100 live performances per year for at least 10 years after December 31, 1992, and whose handle on live jai alai games conducted at its pari-mutuel facility has been less than \$4 million per state fiscal year for at least 2 consecutive years after June 30, 1992, the conduct of a combination of at least 40 live evening or matinee performances during the preceding year.  $\div$
- (d) For a jai alai permitholder who operates slot machines in its pari-mutuel facility, the conduct of a combination of at least 150 performances during the preceding year. +
- (e) For a harness permitholder, the conduct of at least 100 live regular wagering performances during the preceding year. +
- (f) For a quarter horse permitholder using at its own facility, unless an alternative schedule of at least 20 live

70

71 72

73

74 75

76

77

78 79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88 89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97



regular wagering performances is agreed upon by the permitholder and either the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or an alternate representative organization designated pursuant to s. 550.3342 the horsemen's association representing the majority of the quarter horse owners and trainers at the facility and filed with the division along with its annual date application, in the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the conduct of at least 20 regular wagering performances, in the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 fiscal years, the conduct of at least 30 live regular wagering performances, and for every fiscal year after the 2012-2013 fiscal year, the conduct of at least 40 live regular wagering performances. +

- (q) For a quarter horse permitholder leasing another licensed racetrack, the conduct of 160 events at the leased facility.; and
- (h) For a thoroughbred permitholder, the conduct of at least 40 live regular wagering performances during the preceding year.
- (i) For a permitholder that which is restricted by statute to certain operating periods within the year when other members of its same class of permit are authorized to operate throughout the year, the specified number of live performances which constitute a full schedule of live racing or games is shall be adjusted pro rata in accordance with the relationship between its authorized operating period and the full calendar year. and The resulting specified number of live performances shall constitute the full schedule of live games for such permitholder and all other permitholders of the same class within 100 air miles of such permitholder. A live performance must consist of

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106 107

108

109 110

111

112

113 114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126



no fewer than eight races or games conducted live for each of a minimum of three performances each week at the permitholder's licensed facility under a single admission charge.

Section 3. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 550.01215, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

550.01215 License application; periods of operation; bond, conversion of permit.

- (1) Each permitholder shall annually, during the period between December 15 and January 4, file in writing with the division its application for an operating  $\frac{1}{2}$  license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering during the next state fiscal year, including intertrack and simulcast race wagering for greyhound racing permitholders and thoroughbred horse racing permitholders that do not to conduct live performances during the next state fiscal year. Each application for live performances must shall specify the number, dates, and starting times of all live performances that which the permitholder intends to conduct. It must shall also specify which performances will be conducted as charity or scholarship performances.
- (a) In addition, Each application for an operating a license also must shall include: 7
- 1. For each permitholder, whether the permitholder intends to accept wagers on intertrack or simulcast events.
- 2. For each permitholder that elects which elects to operate a cardroom, the dates and periods of operation the permitholder intends to operate the cardroom. or,
- 3. For each thoroughbred racing permitholder that which elects to receive or rebroadcast out-of-state races after 7 p.m., the dates for all performances which the permitholder



intends to conduct.

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135 136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143 144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152

153

154

155

(b) A greyhound racing permitholder that conducted a full schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, or that converted its permit to a permit to conduct greyhound racing after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, may specify in its application for an operating license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, or that it intends to conduct less than a full schedule of live racing, in the next state fiscal year. A greyhound racing permitholder may retain its permit; is a parimutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23); if such permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the facility where such permit is located remains an eliqible facility as defined in s. 551.102(4), continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, and is exempt from ss. 551.104(3) and (4)(c)1. and 551.114(2) and (4); is eliqible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting pursuant to ss. 550.3551, 550.615, and 550.6305; and, if such permitholder has been issued a cardroom license, remains eligible for a cardroom license notwithstanding any requirement in s. 849.086 for the conduct of live racing. A greyhound racing permitholder may receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility pursuant to s. 550.475.

(c) 1. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that has conducted live racing for at least 5 years irrevocably may elect not to conduct live racing if the election is made within 30 days after the effective date of this act. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that makes such election may retain such

157 158

159

160

161 162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172 173

174

175

176

177

178

179 180

181 182

183

184



permit, must specify in future applications for an operating license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, and is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23).

- 2. If a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder makes such election and if such permitholder holds a slot machine license when such election is made, the facility where such permit is located:
- a. Remains an eligible facility pursuant to s. 551.102(4), and continues to be eligible for a slot machine license;
- b. Is exempt from ss. 550.5251, 551.104(3) and (4)(c)1., and 551.114(2) and (4);
- c. Is eligible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting; and
- d. Remains eligible for a cardroom license, notwithstanding any requirement in s. 849.086 for the conduct of live racing.
- 3. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that makes such election shall comply with all contracts regarding contributions by such permitholder to thoroughbred horse purse supplements or breeders' awards entered into before the effective date of this act pursuant to s. 551.104(10) (a). At the time of such election, such permitholder shall file with the division an irrevocable consent that such contributions shall be allowed to be used for purses and awards on live races at other thoroughbred horse racing facilities in this state. This subparagraph and s. 551.104(10)(a) do not apply after December 31, 2020, to a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that made such election.
- (d) A harness horse racing permitholder or a quarter horse racing permitholder that has conducted live racing for at least 5 years irrevocably may elect not to conduct live racing if the

186

187 188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196 197

198

199

200

201

202 203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213



election is made within 30 days after the effective date of this act. A permitholder that makes such election may retain its permit; is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23); if such permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the facility where such permit is located remains an eligible facility as defined in s. 551.102(4), continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, and is exempt from ss. 551.104(3) and (4)(c)1. and 551.114(2) and (4); is eliqible, but not required, to be a guest track and, if the permitholder is a harness horse racing permitholder, to be a host track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting pursuant to ss. 550.3551, 550.615, 550.625, and 550.6305; and, if such permitholder has been issued a cardroom license, remains eligible for a cardroom license notwithstanding any requirement in s. 849.086 to conduct live racing performances.

- (e) Permitholders may shall be entitled to amend their applications through February 28.
- (3) The division shall issue each license no later than March 15. Each permitholder shall operate all performances at the date and time specified on its license. The division shall have the authority to approve minor changes in racing dates after a license has been issued. The division may approve changes in racing dates after a license has been issued when there is no objection from any operating permitholder located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the changes in operating dates. In the event of an objection, the division shall approve or disapprove the change in operating dates based upon the impact on operating permitholders located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the change in operating

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

2.2.2

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239 240

241 242



dates. In making the determination to change racing dates, the division shall take into consideration the impact of such changes on state revenues. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and for the 2018-2019 fiscal year only, the division may approve changes in racing dates for permitholders if the request for such changes is received before May 31, 2018.

Section 4. Section 550.3342, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

550.3342 Requirements for electing an alternative quarter horse representative organization.-

- (1) In the event more than 50 percent of the total horsemen who are participating in, or have participated in, quarter horse racing registered with the American Quarter Horse Association wish to designate a new representative organization, the organization:
- (a) Must be recognized by the American Quarter Horse Association as the state racing affiliate.
- (b) May not be affiliated with or under the control of any licensee.
- (c) Must be formed under guidelines approved by the division.
- (d) Must be elected by a majority of the horsemen who are participating in, or have participated in, quarter horse racing registered with the American Quarter Horse Association at Florida quarter horse racetracks that follow the American Quarter Horse Association's quarter horse racing guidelines.

Section 5. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) and paragraph (a) of subsection (10) of section 551.104, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

244

245

246

247

248

249 250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263 264

265

266

267

268

269 270

271



551.104 License to conduct slot machine gaming.-

- (4) As a condition of licensure and to maintain continued authority for the conduct of slot machine gaming, a the slot machine licensee shall:
- (c)1. Conduct no less fewer than a full schedule of live racing or games as defined in s. 550.002(11), unless conducting less than a full schedule of live racing or games pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b) or (c). A permitholder's responsibility to conduct a full schedule such number of live races or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), shall be reduced by the number of races or games that could not be conducted due to the direct result of fire, war, hurricane, or other disaster or event beyond the control of the permitholder. A permitholder may conduct live races or games at another pari-mutuel facility pursuant to s. 550.475 if such permitholder has operated its live races or games by lease for at least 5 consecutive years immediately prior to the permitholder's application for a slot machine license.
- 2. If not licensed to conduct a full schedule of live racing or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b) or (c), remit for the payment of purses and awards on live races an amount equal to the lesser of \$2 million or 3 percent of its slot machine revenues from the previous state fiscal year to a slot machine licensee licensed to conduct not fewer than 160 days of thoroughbred racing. A slot machine licensee receiving funds under this subparagraph shall remit, within 10 days after receipt, 10 percent of those funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards,

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300



subject to the fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3). If no slot machine licensee is licensed for at least 160 days of live thoroughbred racing, no payments for purses are required. A slot machine licensee that conducts no live racing and is making purse and awards supplement payments due under agreements entered pursuant to paragraph (10)(a) prior to the effective date of this act may offset the total amount paid under such agreements for purses and awards on or after July 1, 2017, against any amount due under this subparagraph until the amount paid and the amount due equal zero.

(10) (a) 1. No slot machine license or renewal thereof shall be issued to an applicant holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of thoroughbred racing unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association, Inc., governing the payment of purses on live thoroughbred races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. In addition, no slot machine license or renewal thereof shall be issued to such an applicant unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., governing the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards on live thoroughbred races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. The agreement governing purses and the agreement governing awards may direct the payment of such purses and awards from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses and awards shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550. All

302

303 304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321 322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329



sums for breeders', stallion, and special racing awards shall be remitted monthly to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of awards subject to the administrative fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3).

2. No slot machine license or renewal thereof shall be issued by the division to an applicant holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of quarter horse racing unless the applicant includes with their application has on file with the division a binding written agreement governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races to be conducted at the applicant's pari-mutuel facility for the licensure period applied for. Such agreement must be between either the applicant and the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the applicant and an alternative representative organization designated pursuant to s. 550.3342. Such agreement the association representing a majority of the horse owners and trainers at the applicant's eligible facility, governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. The agreement governing purses may direct the payment of such purses from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses are shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550.

Section 6. Subsections (1), (2), and (4) of section 551.106, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

551.106 License fee; tax rate; penalties.-

(1) LICENSE FEE.—

(a) Upon submission of the initial application for a slot machine license, and annually thereafter, on the anniversary

331

332

333

334

335

336 337

338 339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347 348

349

350

351

352 353

354 355

356

357

358



date of the issuance of the initial license, the licensee must pay to the division a nonrefundable license fee of \$3 million for the succeeding 12 months of licensure. In the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the licensee must pay the division a nonrefundable license fee of \$2.5 million for the succeeding 12 months of licensure. In the 2011-2012 fiscal year and for every fiscal vear thereafter, the licensee must pay the division a nonrefundable license fee of \$2 million for the succeeding 12 months of licensure. The license fee must shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to be used by the division and the Department of Law Enforcement for investigations, regulation of slot machine gaming, and enforcement of slot machine gaming provisions under this chapter. These payments must shall be accounted for separately from taxes or fees paid pursuant to the provisions of chapter 550.

- (b) Prior to January 1, 2007, the division shall evaluate the license fee and shall make recommendations to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives regarding the optimum level of slot machine license fees in order to adequately support the slot machine regulatory program.
  - (2) TAX ON SLOT MACHINE REVENUES.-
- (a)1. The tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is shall be 35 percent. Effective January 1, 2019, the tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is 30 percent. Effective July 1, 2020, the tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is 25 percent.
- 2.a. If, during any state fiscal year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370 371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387



Broward and Miami-Dade Counties is less than the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in those counties in the 2017-2018 <del>2008-2009</del> fiscal year, each slot machine licensee shall pay to the state within 45 days after the end of the state fiscal year a surcharge equal to its pro rata share of an amount equal to the difference between the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in the 2008-2009 fiscal year and the amount of tax paid during the fiscal year.

b. The amount of the surcharge to be paid by each such licensee must be calculated by dividing the aggregate amount of slot machine taxes paid to the state by all such slot machine licensees in the 2017-2018 fiscal year by the aggregate amount of slot machine taxes paid by all such licensees during the applicable state fiscal year, multiplying the result by the amount of slot machine taxes paid by the licensee during the applicable state fiscal year, and then subtracting from that product the amount of slot machine taxes paid by the licensee during the applicable state fiscal year. However, the sum of the taxes paid by a licensee pursuant to subparagraph 1. and any surcharge due from the licensee may not exceed 35 percent of the slot machine revenue of that licensee in the applicable state fiscal year Each licensee's pro rata share shall be an amount determined by dividing the number 1 by the number of facilities licensed to operate slot machines during the applicable fiscal year, regardless of whether the facility is operating such machines.

(b) The slot machine revenue tax imposed by this section must shall be paid to the division for deposit into the Pari-

389

390 391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416



mutuel Wagering Trust Fund for immediate transfer by the Chief Financial Officer for deposit into the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund of the Department of Education. Any interest earnings on the tax revenues must shall also be transferred to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund.

- (c)1. Funds transferred to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund under paragraph (b) must shall be used to supplement public education funding statewide.
- 2. If necessary to comply with any covenant established pursuant to s. 1013.68(4), s. 1013.70(1), or s. 1013.737(3), funds transferred to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund under paragraph (b) must shall first be available to pay debt service on lottery bonds issued to fund school construction in the event lottery revenues are insufficient for such purpose or to satisfy debt service reserve requirements established in connection with lottery bonds. Moneys available pursuant to this subparagraph are subject to annual appropriation by the Legislature.
- (4) TO PAY TAX; PENALTIES.—A slot machine licensee who fails to make tax and any applicable surcharge payments as required under this section is subject to an administrative penalty of up to \$10,000 for each day the tax payment is not remitted. All administrative penalties imposed and collected must shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation. If any slot machine licensee fails to pay penalties imposed by order of the division under this subsection, the division may deny, suspend, revoke, or refuse to renew the license of the permitholder or slot machine licensee.

418 419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433 434

435

436

437

438 439

440

441

442 443

444

445



Section 7. Present subsections (9) through (17) of section 849.086, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (10) through (18), respectively, and a new subsection (9) is added to that section, subsections (1) and (2) of that section are amended, paragraph (g) is added to subsection (4) of that section, and paragraph (b) of subsection (5), paragraph (c) of subsection (7), paragraph (a) of subsection (8), present subsection (12), and paragraphs (d) and (h) of present subsection (13) are amended, to read:

849.086 Cardrooms authorized.-

- (1) LEGISLATIVE INTENT.—It is the intent of the Legislature to provide additional entertainment choices for the residents of and visitors to the state, promote tourism in the state, provide revenues to support the continuation of live pari-mutuel activity, and provide additional state revenues through the authorization of the playing of certain games in the state at facilities known as cardrooms which are to be located at licensed pari-mutuel facilities. To ensure the public confidence in the integrity of authorized cardroom operations, this act is designed to strictly regulate the facilities, persons, and procedures related to cardroom operations. Furthermore, the Legislature finds that authorized games of poker and dominoes as herein defined are considered to be pari-mutuel style games and not casino gaming because the participants play against each other instead of against the house.
  - (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:
- (a) "Authorized game" means a game or series of games of poker or dominoes which are played in conformance with this section, including designated player games that are played in a

447

448 449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474



manner consistent with the rules and requirements specified in the 1974 edition of Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games: Rules of All the Basic Games and Popular Variations and including three card poker a nonbanking manner.

- (b) "Banking game" means a game in which the house is a participant in the game, taking on players, paying winners, and collecting from losers or in which the cardroom establishes a bank against which participants play. A designated player game is not a banking game.
- (c) "Cardroom" means a facility where authorized games are played for money or anything of value and to which the public is invited to participate in such games and charged a fee for participation by the operator of such facility. Authorized games and cardrooms do not constitute casino gaming operations if conducted at an eligible facility.
- (d) "Cardroom management company" means any individual not an employee of the cardroom operator, any proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or other entity that enters into an agreement with a cardroom operator to manage, operate, or otherwise control the daily operation of a cardroom.
- (e) "Cardroom distributor" means any business that distributes cardroom paraphernalia such as card tables, betting chips, chip holders, dominoes, dominoes tables, drop boxes, banking supplies, playing cards, card shufflers, and other associated equipment to authorized cardrooms.
- (f) "Cardroom operator" means a licensed pari-mutuel permitholder that which holds a valid permit and license issued by the division pursuant to chapter 550 and which also holds a valid cardroom license issued by the division pursuant to this

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483 484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503



section which authorizes such person to operate a cardroom and to conduct authorized games in such cardroom.

- (g) "Designated player" means the player identified for each game by a button that rotates clockwise before each game begins as the player in the dealer position and seated at a traditional player position in a designated player game who pays winning players and collects from losing players.
- (h) "Designated player game" means a game in which the players compare their cards only to the cards of the designated player or to a combination of cards held by the designated player and cards common and available for play by all players.
- (i) (g) "Division" means the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.
- (j) (h) "Dominoes" means a game of dominoes typically played with a set of 28 flat rectangular blocks, called "bones," which are marked on one side and divided into two equal parts, with zero to six dots, called "pips," in each part. The term also includes larger sets of blocks that contain a correspondingly higher number of pips. The term also means the set of blocks used to play the game.
- (k) (i) "Gross receipts" means the total amount of money received by a cardroom from any person for participation in authorized games.
- $(1)\frac{(j)}{(j)}$  "House" means the cardroom operator and all employees of the cardroom operator.
- $(m) \frac{(k)}{(k)}$  "Net proceeds" means the total amount of gross receipts received by a cardroom operator from cardroom operations less direct operating expenses related to cardroom

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520 521

522

523

524

525 526

527

528 529

530

531

532



operations, including labor costs, admission taxes only if a separate admission fee is charged for entry to the cardroom facility, gross receipts taxes imposed on cardroom operators by this section, the annual cardroom license fees imposed by this section on each table operated at a cardroom, and reasonable promotional costs excluding officer and director compensation, interest on capital debt, legal fees, real estate taxes, bad debts, contributions or donations, or overhead and depreciation expenses not directly related to the operation of the cardrooms.

- (n) (1) "Rake" means a set fee or percentage of the pot assessed by a cardroom operator for providing the services of a dealer, table, or location for playing the authorized game.
- (o) (m) "Tournament" means a series of games that have more than one betting round involving one or more tables and where the winners or others receive a prize or cash award.
- (4) AUTHORITY OF DIVISION.—The Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation shall administer this section and regulate the operation of cardrooms under this section and the rules adopted pursuant thereto, and is hereby authorized to:
- (g) Establish a reasonable period to respond to requests from a licensed cardroom; provided however, the division has a maximum of 45 days to approve:
- 1. A cardroom's internal controls or provide the cardroom with a list of deficiencies as to the internal controls.
- 2. Rules for a new authorized game submitted by a licensed cardroom or provide the cardroom with a list of deficiencies as to those rules.

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541 542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553 554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561



No later than 10 days after the submission of revised internal controls or revised rules addressing the deficiencies identified by the division, the division must review and approve or reject the revised internal controls or revised rules.

- (5) LICENSE REQUIRED; APPLICATION; FEES.—No person may operate a cardroom in this state unless such person holds a valid cardroom license issued pursuant to this section.
- (b) After the initial cardroom license is granted, the application for the annual license renewal shall be made in conjunction with the applicant's annual application for its pari-mutuel license. If a permitholder has operated a cardroom during any of the 3 previous fiscal years and fails to include a renewal request for the operation of the cardroom in its annual application for license renewal, the permitholder may amend its annual application to include operation of the cardroom. In order for a cardroom license to be renewed the applicant must have requested, as part of its pari-mutuel annual license application, to conduct at least 90 percent of the total number of live performances conducted by such permitholder during either the state fiscal year in which its initial cardroom license was issued or the state fiscal year immediately prior thereto if the permitholder ran at least a full schedule of live racing or games in the prior year. If the application is for a harness permitholder cardroom, the applicant must have requested authorization to conduct a minimum of 140 live performances during the state fiscal year immediately prior thereto. If more than one permitholder is operating at a facility, each permitholder must have applied for a license to conduct a full schedule of live racing.

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590



- (7) CONDITIONS FOR OPERATING A CARDROOM.-
- (c) A cardroom operator must at all times employ and provide a nonplaying live dealer at for each table on which authorized card games which traditionally use a dealer are conducted at the cardroom. Such dealers may not have a participatory interest in any game other than the dealing of cards and may not have an interest in the outcome of the game. The providing of such dealers by a licensee does not constitute the conducting of a banking game by the cardroom operator.
  - (8) METHOD OF WAGERS; LIMITATION.-
- (a) No Wagering may not be conducted using money or other negotiable currency. Games may only be played utilizing a wagering system whereby all players' money is first converted by the house to tokens or chips that may which shall be used for wagering only at that specific cardroom.
  - (9) DESIGNATED PLAYER GAMES AUTHORIZED.
- (a) A cardroom operator may offer designated player games consisting of players making wagers against the designated player. However, not more than 50 percent of the total licensed tables in a cardroom may offer designated player games. The designated player must be licensed pursuant to paragraph (6)(b). Employees of a designated player also must be licensed, and the designated player shall pay, in addition to the business occupational fee established pursuant to paragraph (6)(i), an employee occupational license fee that may not exceed \$500 per employee for any 12-month period.
- (b) A cardroom operator may not serve as a designated player in any game. The cardroom operator may not have a financial interest in a designated player in any game. A

592

593 594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619



cardroom operator may collect a rake in accordance with the rake structure posted at the table.

- (c) If there are multiple designated players at a table, the dealer button shall be rotated in a clockwise rotation after each hand.
- (d) A cardroom operator may not allow a designated player to pay an opposing player who holds a lower-ranked hand.
- (e) A designated player may not be required by the rules of a game or by the rules of a cardroom to cover more than 10 times the maximum wager for players participating in any one game.
- (f) The cardroom, or any cardroom licensee, may not contract with, or receive compensation other than a posted table rake from, any player to participate in any game to serve as a designated player.
  - (13) <del>(12)</del> PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.-
- (a) A No person licensed to operate a cardroom may not conduct any banking game or any game not specifically authorized by this section.
- (b) A No person who is younger than under 18 years of age may not be permitted to hold a cardroom or employee license, or to engage in any game conducted therein.
- (c) With the exception of mechanical card shufflers, No electronic or mechanical devices, except mechanical card shufflers, may not be used to conduct any authorized game in a cardroom.
- (d) No Cards, game components, or game implements may not be used in playing an authorized game unless they have such has been furnished or provided to the players by the cardroom operator.

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639 640

641

642

643

644

645 646

647

648



(14) <del>(13)</del> TAXES AND OTHER PAYMENTS.-

(d) 1. Each greyhound and jai alai permitholder that operates a cardroom facility shall use at least 4 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly gross receipts to supplement greyhound purses and awards or jai alai prize money, respectively, during the permitholder's next ensuing pari-mutuel meet.

2. A cardroom license or renewal thereof may not be issued to a permitholder conducting less than a full schedule of live racing or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b), (c), or (d) unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written contract with a thoroughbred permitholder that is licensed to conduct live racing and that does not possess a slot machine license. This contract must provide that the permitholder will pay an amount equal to 4 percent of its monthly cardroom gross receipts to the thoroughbred permitholder conducting the live racing for exclusive use as purses and awards during the current or ensuing live racing meet of the thoroughbred permitholder. A thoroughbred permitholder receiving funds under this subparagraph shall remit, within 10 days after receipt, 10 percent of those funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards, subject to the fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3). If there is not a thoroughbred permitholder that does not possess a slot machine license, payments for purses are not required, and the cardroom licensee shall retain such funds for its use. Each thoroughbred and harness horse racing permitholder that operates a cardroom facility shall use at

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666 667

668

669 670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677



least 50 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly net proceeds as follows: 47 percent to supplement purses and 3 percent to supplement breeders' awards during the permitholder's next ensuing racing meet.

- 3. A No cardroom license or renewal thereof may not shall be issued by the division to an applicant that holds holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of quarter horse racing and that conducts live racing unless the applicant includes with its application has on file with the division a binding written agreement governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races to be conducted at the applicant's pari-mutuel facility for the licensure period applied for. Such agreement must either be between the applicant and the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the applicant and an alternative representative organization designated pursuant to s. 550.3342. Such agreement the association representing a majority of the horse owners and trainers at the applicant's eligible facility, governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. The agreement governing purses may direct the payment of such purses from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses are shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550.
- (h) One-quarter of the moneys deposited into the Parimutuel Wagering Trust Fund pursuant to paragraph (g) shall, by October 1 of each year, be distributed to the local government that approved the cardroom under subsection (17)  $\frac{(16)}{(16)}$ ; however, if two or more pari-mutuel racetracks are located within the



same incorporated municipality, the cardroom funds shall be distributed to the municipality. If a pari-mutuel facility is situated in such a manner that it is located in more than one county, the site of the cardroom facility shall determine the location for purposes of disbursement of tax revenues under this paragraph. The division shall, by September 1 of each year, determine: the amount of taxes deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund pursuant to this section from each cardroom licensee; the location by county of each cardroom; whether the cardroom is located in the unincorporated area of the county or within an incorporated municipality; and, the total amount to be distributed to each eligible county and municipality.

Section 8. The Division of Law Revision and Information is directed to replace the phrase "the effective date of this act" wherever it occurs in this act with the date this act becomes a law.

Section 9. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

695 696

697 698

699

700

701 702

703

704

705

706

678

679

680

681

682

683

684 685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========== And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to gaming; creating s. 546.13, F.S.; defining terms; exempting a fantasy contest from certain regulations; amending s. 550.002, F.S.; providing that a quarter horse permitholder and an alternative representative organization may agree to an alternative schedule of performances; amending s.

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735



550.01215, F.S.; revising application requirements for a pari-mutuel operating license; authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to specify certain intentions on its application; providing that a greyhound racing permitholder that has been issued a slot machine license remains an eligible facility, continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, is exempt from certain provisions of ch. 551, F.S., is eligible to be a guest track for certain purposes, and remains eliqible for a cardroom license; authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility; authorizing a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder to elect not to conduct live racing under certain circumstances; authorizing a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that elects not to conduct live racing to retain its permit and requiring the permitholder to specify its intention not to conduct live racing in future applications and that it is a pari-mutuel facility; authorizing such thoroughbred horse racing permitholder's facility to remain an eligible facility, to continue to be eligible for a slot machine license, to be exempt from certain provisions of chs. 550 and 551, F.S., to be eligible as a guest track for intertrack wagering and simulcasting, and to remain eligible for a cardroom license; requiring that such permitholder comply with all contracts regarding distributions to thoroughbred horse purse supplements

737

738

739

740

741 742

743

744

745 746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764



or breeders' awards entered into before a specified date; requiring, for a specified period, that such permitholder file with the division an irrevocable consent authorizing the use of certain contributions for specified purses and awards; authorizing harness horse and quarter horse racing permitholders to elect not to conduct live racing under certain circumstances; authorizing a permitholder that elects not to conduct live racing to retain its permit and remain a pari-mutuel facility; specifying that, if such permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the permitholder's facility remains an eligible facility, continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, is exempt from certain provisions of chs. 550 and 551, F.S., is eligible to be a guest track, and if the permitholder is a harness horse racing permitholder, a host track for intertrack wagering and simulcasting, and remains eligible for a cardroom license; authorizing a harness horse racing permitholder to be a host track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting; authorizing the division to approve a change in racing dates for a permitholder if the request for a change is received before a specified date and under certain circumstances; creating s. 550.3342, F.S.; establishing the requirements for electing an alternative quarter horse representative organization; amending s. 551.104, F.S.; revising conditions of licensure and conditions for maintaining authority to

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788 789

790

791

792

793



conduct slot machine gaming; amending s. 551.106, F.S.; deleting obsolete provisions; revising the tax rate on slot machine revenue effective on specified dates; providing a formula to calculate a surcharge amount; prohibiting the surcharge from exceeding a certain amount; amending s. 849.086, F.S.; revising legislative intent; revising definitions; authorizing the division to establish a reasonable period to respond to certain requests from a licensed cardroom; providing that the division must approve certain requests within 45 days; requiring the division to review and approve or reject certain revised internal controls or revised rules within 10 days after submission; deleting provisions relating to the renewal of a cardroom license; making technical changes; authorizing certain cardroom operators to offer a certain number of certain designated player games; requiring the designated player and employees of the designated player to be licensed; requiring the designated player to pay certain fees; prohibiting a cardroom operator from serving as the designated player in a game and from having a financial interest in a designated player; authorizing a cardroom operator to collect a rake, subject to certain requirements; requiring the dealer button to be rotated under certain circumstances; prohibiting a cardroom operator from allowing a designated player to pay an opposing player under certain circumstances; prohibiting the rules of the game or of the cardroom

795

796

797

798

799

0.08

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813



to require a designated player to cover more than 10 times the maximum wager for players participating in any one game; prohibiting a cardroom or cardroom licensee from contracting with or receiving certain compensation from a player to allow that player to participate in any game as a designated player; revising requirements for a cardroom license to be issued or renewed; requiring a certain written agreement with a thoroughbred permitholder; providing contract requirements for the agreement; requiring a thoroughbred permitholder to remit a percentage of specified funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., subject to certain requirements; requiring certain applicants to include a written agreement with an alternative quarter horse representative organization, if such organization exists, in their application for a cardroom license; conforming a cross-reference; providing a directive to the Division of Law Revision and Information; providing an effective date.

By Senator Hutson

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

2829

7-00886B-18 2018840

A bill to be entitled An act relating to gaming; creating s. 546.13, F.S.; defining terms; exempting a fantasy contest from certain regulations; amending s. 550.01215, F.S.; revising application requirements for a pari-mutuel operating license; authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to specify certain intentions on its application; providing that a greyhound racing permitholder that has been issued a slot machine license remains an eligible facility, continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, is exempt from certain provisions of ch. 551, F.S., is eligible to be a guest track for certain purposes, and remains eligible for a cardroom license; authorizing a greyhound racing permitholder to receive an operating license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another permitholder's greyhound racing facility; authorizing a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder to elect not to conduct live racing under certain circumstances; authorizing a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that elects not to conduct live racing to retain its permit and requiring the permitholder to specify its intention not to conduct live racing in future applications and that it is a pari-mutuel facility; authorizing such thoroughbred racing permitholder's facility to remain an eligible facility, to continue to be eligible for a slot machine license, to be exempt from certain provisions of chs. 550 and 551, F.S., to be eligible as a guest

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48 49

50 51

52

53

54

5556

57

58

7-00886B-18 2018840

track for intertrack wagering and simulcasting, and to remain eligible for a cardroom license; requiring that such permitholder comply with all contracts regarding distributions to thoroughbred horse purse supplements or breeders' awards entered into before a specified date; requiring, for a specified period, that such permitholder file with the division an irrevocable consent authorizing the use of certain contributions for specified purses and awards; authorizing harness horse and quarter horse racing permitholders to elect not to conduct live racing under certain circumstances; authorizing a permitholder that elects not to conduct live racing to retain its permit and remain a pari-mutuel facility; specifying that, if such permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the permitholder's facility remains an eligible facility, continues to be eligible for a slot machine license, is exempt from certain provisions of chs. 550 and 551, F.S., is eligible to be a guest track, and if the permitholder is a harness horse racing permitholder, a host track for intertrack wagering and simulcasting, and remains eligible for a cardroom license; authorizing a harness horse racing permitholder to be a host track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting; authorizing the division to approve a change in racing dates for a permitholder if the request for a change is received before a specified date and under certain circumstances; amending s. 551.104, F.S.; revising

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

8485

86

87

7-00886B-18 2018840

conditions of licensure and conditions for maintaining authority to conduct slot machine gaming; amending s. 551.106, F.S.; deleting obsolete provisions; revising the tax rate on slot machine revenue effective on specified dates; providing a formula to calculate a surcharge amount; prohibiting the surcharge from exceeding a certain amount; amending s. 849.086, F.S.; revising legislative intent; revising definitions; authorizing the division to establish a reasonable period to respond to certain requests from a licensed cardroom; providing that the division must approve certain requests within 45 days; requiring the division to review and approve or reject certain revised internal controls or revised rules within 10 days after submission; deleting provisions relating to the renewal of a cardroom license; making technical changes; authorizing certain cardroom operators to offer a certain number of certain designated player games; requiring the designated player and employees of the designated player to be licensed; requiring the designated player to pay certain fees; prohibiting a cardroom operator from serving as the designated player in a game and from having a financial interest in a designated player; authorizing a cardroom operator to collect a rake, subject to certain requirements; requiring the dealer button to be rotated under certain circumstances; prohibiting a cardroom operator from allowing a designated player to pay an opposing player under certain circumstances;

7-00886B-18 2018840

prohibiting the rules of the game or of the cardroom to require a designated player to cover more than 10 times the maximum wager for players participating in any one game; prohibiting a cardroom or cardroom licensee from contracting with or receiving certain compensation from a player to allow that player to participate in any game as a designated player; revising requirements for a cardroom license to be issued or renewed; requiring a certain written agreement with a thoroughbred permitholder; providing contract requirements for the agreement; requiring a thoroughbred permitholder to remit a percentage of specified funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., subject to certain requirements; deleting provisions relating to a quarter horse racing permitholder's cardroom license; conforming a crossreference; providing a directive to the Division of Law Revision and Information; providing an effective date.

107108

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

109110

Section 1. Section 546.13, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

(1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:

111112

546.13 Fantasy contests and fantasy contest operators.-

113

114 (a) "Entry fee" means cash or a cash equivalent that is
115 required to be paid by a participant in order to participate in

115116

a fantasy contest.

7-00886B-18 2018840

(b) "Fantasy contest" means a fantasy or simulated game or contest in which:

- 1. The value of all prizes and awards offered to winning participants is established and made known to the participants in advance of the contest;
- 2. All winning outcomes reflect the relative knowledge and skill of the participants and are determined predominantly by accumulated statistical results of the performance of individuals, including athletes in the case of sporting events;
- 3. No winning outcome is based on the score, point spread, or any performance or performances of any single actual team or combination of such teams, solely on any single performance of an individual athlete or player in any single actual event, or on the performances of participants in collegiate, high school, or youth sporting events.
- (c) "Fantasy contest operator" means a person or an entity, including any employee or agent, that offers or conducts a fantasy contest with an entry fee for a cash prize or award and that is not a participant in the fantasy contest.
- (2) EXEMPTIONS.—The Department of Business and Professional Regulation may not regulate and the offenses established in s. 849.01, s. 849.08, s. 849.09, s. 849.11, s. 849.14, and s. 849.25 do not include or apply to a fantasy contest operated or conducted by a:
  - (a) Fantasy contest operator.
- (b) Natural person who is a participant in the fantasy contest, serves as the commissioner of not more than 10 fantasy contests in a calendar year, and distributes all entry fees for the fantasy contest as prizes or awards to the participants in

7-00886B-18 2018840\_\_

that fantasy contest.

Section 2. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 550.01215, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

550.01215 License application; periods of operation; bond, conversion of permit.—

- (1) Each permitholder shall annually, during the period between December 15 and January 4, file in writing with the division its application for an operating a license to conduct pari-mutuel wagering during the next state fiscal year, including intertrack and simulcast race wagering for greyhound racing permitholders and thoroughbred horse racing permitholders that do not to conduct live performances during the next state fiscal year. Each application for live performances must shall specify the number, dates, and starting times of all live performances that which the permitholder intends to conduct. It must shall also specify which performances will be conducted as charity or scholarship performances.
- (a) In addition, Each application for an operating a license also must shall include:  $\tau$
- 1. For each permitholder, whether the permitholder intends to accept wagers on intertrack or simulcast events.
- 2. For each permitholder that elects which elects to operate a cardroom, the dates and periods of operation the permitholder intends to operate the cardroom. or,
- 3. For each thoroughbred <u>racing</u> permitholder <u>that</u> which elects to receive or rebroadcast out-of-state races after 7 p.m., the dates for all performances which the permitholder intends to conduct.
  - (b) A greyhound racing permitholder that conducted a full

7-00886B-18

2018840

175 schedule of live racing for a period of at least 10 consecutive state fiscal years after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, or 176 177 that converted its permit to a permit to conduct greyhound 178 racing after the 1996-1997 state fiscal year, may specify in its 179 application for an operating license that it does not intend to 180 conduct live racing, or that it intends to conduct less than a 181 full schedule of live racing, in the next state fiscal year. A 182 greyhound racing permitholder may retain its permit; is a parimutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23); if such 183 184 permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the 185 facility where such permit is located remains an eligible 186 facility as defined in s. 551.102(4), continues to be eligible 187 for a slot machine license, and is exempt from ss. 551.104(3) 188 and (4)(c)1. and 551.114(2) and (4); is eligible, but not 189 required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack 190 wagering and simulcasting pursuant to ss. 550.3551, 550.615, and 191 550.6305; and, if such permitholder has been issued a cardroom 192 license, remains eligible for a cardroom license notwithstanding 193 any requirement in s. 849.086 for the conduct of live racing. A 194 greyhound racing permitholder may receive an operating license 195 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering activities at another 196 permitholder's greyhound racing facility pursuant to s. 550.475. 197 (c) 1. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that has 198 conducted live racing for at least 5 years irrevocably may elect not to conduct live racing if the election is made within 30 199 200 days after the effective date of this act. A thoroughbred horse 201 racing permitholder that makes such election may retain such 202 permit, must specify in future applications for an operating 203 license that it does not intend to conduct live racing, and is a

7-00886B-18 2018840

pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23).

- 2. If a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder makes such election and if such permitholder holds a slot machine license when such election is made, the facility where such permit is located:
- a. Remains an eligible facility pursuant to s. 551.102(4), and continues to be eligible for a slot machine license;
- b. Is exempt from ss. 550.5251, 551.104(3) and (4)(c)1., and 551.114(2) and (4);
- c. Is eligible, but not required, to be a guest track for purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting; and
- d. Remains eligible for a cardroom license, notwithstanding any requirement in s. 849.086 for the conduct of live racing.
- 3. A thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that makes such election shall comply with all contracts regarding contributions by such permitholder to thoroughbred horse purse supplements or breeders' awards entered into before the effective date of this act pursuant to s. 551.104(10)(a). At the time of such election, such permitholder shall file with the division an irrevocable consent that such contributions shall be allowed to be used for purses and awards on live races at other thoroughbred horse racing facilities in this state. This subparagraph and s. 551.104(10)(a) do not apply after December 31, 2020, to a thoroughbred horse racing permitholder that made such election.
- (d) A harness horse racing permitholder or a quarter horse racing permitholder that has conducted live racing for at least 5 years irrevocably may elect not to conduct live racing if the election is made within 30 days after the effective date of this act. A permitholder that makes such election may retain its

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

7-00886B-18 2018840

233 permit; is a pari-mutuel facility as defined in s. 550.002(23); 234 if such permitholder has been issued a slot machine license, the 235 facility where such permit is located remains an eligible 236 facility as defined in s. 551.102(4), continues to be eligible 237 for a slot machine license, and is exempt from ss. 551.104(3) 238 and (4)(c)1. and 551.114(2) and (4); is eligible, but not 239 required, to be a guest track and, if the permitholder is a 240 harness horse racing permitholder, to be a host track for 241 purposes of intertrack wagering and simulcasting pursuant to ss. 242 550.3551, 550.615, 550.625, and 550.6305; and, if such 243 permitholder has been issued a cardroom license, remains 244 eligible for a cardroom license notwithstanding any requirement 245 in s. 849.086 to conduct live racing performances.

- (e) Permitholders may shall be entitled to amend their applications through February 28.
- (3) The division shall issue each license no later than March 15. Each permitholder shall operate all performances at the date and time specified on its license. The division shall have the authority to approve minor changes in racing dates after a license has been issued. The division may approve changes in racing dates after a license has been issued when there is no objection from any operating permitholder located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the changes in operating dates. In the event of an objection, the division shall approve or disapprove the change in operating dates based upon the impact on operating permitholders located within 50 miles of the permitholder requesting the change in operating dates. In making the determination to change racing dates, the division shall take into consideration the impact of such

7-00886B-18 2018840

changes on state revenues. <u>Notwithstanding any other provision</u> of law, and for the 2018-2019 fiscal year only, the division may approve changes in racing dates for permitholders if the request for such changes is received before May 31, 2018.

Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 551.104, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

551.104 License to conduct slot machine gaming.-

- (4) As a condition of licensure and to maintain continued authority for the conduct of slot machine gaming,  $\underline{a}$  the slot machine licensee shall:
- (c) 1. Conduct no less fewer than a full schedule of live racing or games as defined in s. 550.002(11), unless conducting less than a full schedule of live racing or games pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b) or (c). A permitholder's responsibility to conduct a full schedule such number of live races or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), shall be reduced by the number of races or games that could not be conducted due to the direct result of fire, war, hurricane, or other disaster or event beyond the control of the permitholder. A permitholder may conduct live races or games at another pari-mutuel facility pursuant to s. 550.475 if such permitholder has operated its live races or games by lease for at least 5 consecutive years immediately prior to the permitholder's application for a slot machine license.
- 2. If not licensed to conduct a full schedule of live racing or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b) or (c), remit for the payment of purses and awards on live races an amount equal to the lesser of \$2 million or 3 percent of its slot machine revenues from the previous

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313314

315316

317

318

319

7-00886B-18 2018840\_\_

state fiscal year to a slot machine licensee licensed to conduct not fewer than 160 days of thoroughbred racing. A slot machine licensee receiving funds under this subparagraph shall remit, within 10 days after receipt, 10 percent of those funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards, subject to the fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3). If no slot machine licensee is licensed for at least 160 days of live thoroughbred racing, no payments for purses are required. A slot machine licensee that conducts no live racing and is making purse and awards supplement payments due under agreements entered pursuant to paragraph (10)(a) prior to the effective date of this act may offset the total amount paid under such agreements for purses and awards on or after July 1, 2017, against any amount due under this subparagraph until the amount paid and the amount due equal zero.

Section 4. Subsections (1), (2), and (4) of section 551.106, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

551.106 License fee; tax rate; penalties.-

(1) LICENSE FEE.—

(a) Upon submission of the initial application for a slot machine license, and annually thereafter, on the anniversary date of the issuance of the initial license, the licensee must pay to the division a nonrefundable license fee of \$3 million for the succeeding 12 months of licensure. In the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the licensee must pay the division a nonrefundable license fee of \$2.5 million for the succeeding 12 months of licensure. In the 2011-2012 fiscal year and for every fiscal year thereafter, the licensee must pay the division a

7-00886B-18 2018840

months of licensure. The license fee must shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to be used by the division and the Department of Law Enforcement for investigations, regulation of slot machine gaming, and enforcement of slot machine gaming provisions under this chapter. These payments must shall be accounted for separately from taxes or fees paid pursuant to the provisions of chapter 550.

- (b) Prior to January 1, 2007, the division shall evaluate the license fee and shall make recommendations to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives regarding the optimum level of slot machine license fees in order to adequately support the slot machine regulatory program.
  - (2) TAX ON SLOT MACHINE REVENUES. -
- (a) 1. The tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is shall be 35 percent. Effective January 1, 2019, the tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is 30 percent. Effective July 1, 2020, the tax rate on slot machine revenues at each facility is 25 percent.
- 2.a. If, during any state fiscal year, the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in Broward and Miami-Dade Counties is less than the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in those counties in the 2017-2018 2008-2009 fiscal year, each slot machine licensee shall pay to the state within 45 days after the end of the state fiscal year a surcharge equal to its pro rata share of an amount equal to the difference between the aggregate amount of tax paid to the state by all slot machine licensees in

350351

352

353

354

355

356

357358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

7-00886B-18 2018840

the 2008-2009 fiscal year and the amount of tax paid during the fiscal year.

- b. The amount of the surcharge to be paid by each such licensee must be calculated by dividing the aggregate amount of slot machine taxes paid to the state by all such slot machine licensees in the 2017-2018 fiscal year by the aggregate amount of slot machine taxes paid by all such licensees during the applicable state fiscal year, multiplying the result by the amount of slot machine taxes paid by the licensee during the applicable state fiscal year, and then subtracting from that product the amount of slot machine taxes paid by the licensee during the applicable state fiscal year. However, the sum of the taxes paid by a licensee pursuant to subparagraph 1. and any surcharge due from the licensee may not exceed 35 percent of the slot machine revenue of that licensee in the applicable state fiscal year Each licensee's pro rata share shall be an amount determined by dividing the number 1 by the number of facilities licensed to operate slot machines during the applicable fiscal year, regardless of whether the facility is operating such machines.
- (b) The slot machine revenue tax imposed by this section <a href="must shall"><u>must shall</u></a> be paid to the division for deposit into the Parimutuel Wagering Trust Fund for immediate transfer by the Chief Financial Officer for deposit into the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund of the Department of Education. Any interest earnings on the tax revenues <a href="must shall"><u>must shall</u></a> also be transferred to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund.
- (c)1. Funds transferred to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund under paragraph (b) must  $\frac{1}{2}$  be used to supplement

7-00886B-18 2018840

public education funding statewide.

- 2. If necessary to comply with any covenant established pursuant to s. 1013.68(4), s. 1013.70(1), or s. 1013.737(3), funds transferred to the Educational Enhancement Trust Fund under paragraph (b) <u>must shall</u> first be available to pay debt service on lottery bonds issued to fund school construction in the event lottery revenues are insufficient for such purpose or to satisfy debt service reserve requirements established in connection with lottery bonds. Moneys available pursuant to this subparagraph are subject to annual appropriation by the Legislature.
- (4) TO PAY TAX; PENALTIES.—A slot machine licensee who fails to make tax and any applicable surcharge payments as required under this section is subject to an administrative penalty of up to \$10,000 for each day the tax payment is not remitted. All administrative penalties imposed and collected must shall be deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation. If any slot machine licensee fails to pay penalties imposed by order of the division under this subsection, the division may deny, suspend, revoke, or refuse to renew the license of the permitholder or slot machine licensee.

Section 5. Present subsections (9) through (17) of section 849.086, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (10) through (18), respectively, and a new subsection (9) is added to that section, subsections (1) and (2) of that section are amended, paragraph (g) is added to subsection (4) of that section, and paragraph (b) of subsection (5), paragraph (c) of subsection (7), paragraph (a) of subsection (8), present

7-00886B-18 2018840

subsection (12), and paragraphs (d) and (h) of present subsection (13) are amended, to read:

849.086 Cardrooms authorized.-

- (1) LEGISLATIVE INTENT.—It is the intent of the Legislature to provide additional entertainment choices for the residents of and visitors to the state, promote tourism in the state, provide revenues to support the continuation of live pari—mutuel activity, and provide additional state revenues through the authorization of the playing of certain games in the state at facilities known as cardrooms which are to be located at licensed pari—mutuel facilities. To ensure the public confidence in the integrity of authorized cardroom operations, this act is designed to strictly regulate the facilities, persons, and procedures related to cardroom operations. Furthermore, the Legislature finds that authorized games of poker and dominoes as herein defined are considered to be pari—mutuel style games and not casino gaming because the participants play against each other instead of against the house.
  - (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:
- (a) "Authorized game" means a game or series of games of poker or dominoes which are played in conformance with this section, including designated player games that are played in a manner consistent with the rules and requirements specified in the 1974 edition of Hoyle's Modern Encyclopedia of Card Games:

  Rules of All the Basic Games and Popular Variations and including three card poker a nonbanking manner.
- (b) "Banking game" means a game in which the house is a participant in the game, taking on players, paying winners, and collecting from losers or in which the cardroom establishes a

7-00886B-18 2018840

bank against which participants play. A designated player game is not a banking game.

- (c) "Cardroom" means a facility where authorized games are played for money or anything of value and to which the public is invited to participate in such games and charged a fee for participation by the operator of such facility. Authorized games and cardrooms do not constitute casino gaming operations <u>if</u> conducted at an eligible facility.
- (d) "Cardroom management company" means any individual not an employee of the cardroom operator, any proprietorship, partnership, corporation, or other entity that enters into an agreement with a cardroom operator to manage, operate, or otherwise control the daily operation of a cardroom.
- (e) "Cardroom distributor" means any business that distributes cardroom paraphernalia such as card tables, betting chips, chip holders, dominoes, dominoes tables, drop boxes, banking supplies, playing cards, card shufflers, and other associated equipment to authorized cardrooms.
- (f) "Cardroom operator" means a licensed pari-mutuel permitholder that which holds a valid permit and license issued by the division pursuant to chapter 550 and which also holds a valid cardroom license issued by the division pursuant to this section which authorizes such person to operate a cardroom and to conduct authorized games in such cardroom.
- (g) "Designated player" means the player identified for each game by a button that rotates clockwise before each game begins as the player in the dealer position and seated at a traditional player position in a designated player game who pays winning players and collects from losing players.

7-00886B-18 2018840

(h) "Designated player game" means a game in which the players compare their cards only to the cards of the designated player or to a combination of cards held by the designated player and cards common and available for play by all players.

- $\underline{\text{(i)}}_{\text{(g)}}$  "Division" means the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.
- (j) (h) "Dominoes" means a game of dominoes typically played with a set of 28 flat rectangular blocks, called "bones," which are marked on one side and divided into two equal parts, with zero to six dots, called "pips," in each part. The term also includes larger sets of blocks that contain a correspondingly higher number of pips. The term also means the set of blocks used to play the game.
- $\underline{\text{(k)}}$  "Gross receipts" means the total amount of money received by a cardroom from any person for participation in authorized games.
- $\underline{\text{(1)}}$  "House" means the cardroom operator and all employees of the cardroom operator.
- (m) (k) "Net proceeds" means the total amount of gross receipts received by a cardroom operator from cardroom operations less direct operating expenses related to cardroom operations, including labor costs, admission taxes only if a separate admission fee is charged for entry to the cardroom facility, gross receipts taxes imposed on cardroom operators by this section, the annual cardroom license fees imposed by this section on each table operated at a cardroom, and reasonable promotional costs excluding officer and director compensation, interest on capital debt, legal fees, real estate taxes, bad

7-00886B-18 2018840

debts, contributions or donations, or overhead and depreciation expenses not directly related to the operation of the cardrooms.

- (n) (1) "Rake" means a set fee or percentage of the pot assessed by a cardroom operator for providing the services of a dealer, table, or location for playing the authorized game.
- (o) (m) "Tournament" means a series of games that have more than one betting round involving one or more tables and where the winners or others receive a prize or cash award.
- (4) AUTHORITY OF DIVISION.—The Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation shall administer this section and regulate the operation of cardrooms under this section and the rules adopted pursuant thereto, and is hereby authorized to:
- (g) Establish a reasonable period to respond to requests from a licensed cardroom; provided however, the division has a maximum of 45 days to approve:
- 1. A cardroom's internal controls or provide the cardroom with a list of deficiencies as to the internal controls.
- 2. Rules for a new authorized game submitted by a licensed cardroom or provide the cardroom with a list of deficiencies as to those rules.
- No later than 10 days after the submission of revised internal controls or revised rules addressing the deficiencies identified by the division, the division must review and approve or reject the revised internal controls or revised rules.
- (5) LICENSE REQUIRED; APPLICATION; FEES.—No person may operate a cardroom in this state unless such person holds a valid cardroom license issued pursuant to this section.

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540541

542

543

544

545

546

547548

549

550

551

7-00886B-18 2018840

(b) After the initial cardroom license is granted, the application for the annual license renewal shall be made in conjunction with the applicant's annual application for its pari-mutuel license. If a permitholder has operated a cardroom during any of the 3 previous fiscal years and fails to include a renewal request for the operation of the cardroom in its annual application for license renewal, the permitholder may amend its annual application to include operation of the cardroom. In order for a cardroom license to be renewed the applicant must have requested, as part of its pari-mutuel annual license application, to conduct at least 90 percent of the total number of live performances conducted by such permitholder during either the state fiscal year in which its initial cardroom license was issued or the state fiscal year immediately prior thereto if the permitholder ran at least a full schedule of live racing or games in the prior year. If the application is for a harness permitholder cardroom, the applicant must have requested authorization to conduct a minimum of 140 live performances during the state fiscal year immediately prior thereto. If more than one permitholder is operating at a facility, each permitholder must have applied for a license to conduct a full schedule of live racing.

- (7) CONDITIONS FOR OPERATING A CARDROOM.
- (c) A cardroom operator must at all times employ and provide a nonplaying <u>live</u> dealer <u>at for</u> each table on which authorized <del>card</del> games which traditionally use a dealer are conducted at the cardroom. Such dealers may not have a participatory interest in any game other than the dealing of cards and may not have an interest in the outcome of the game.

7-00886B-18 2018840

The providing of such dealers by a licensee does not constitute the conducting of a banking game by the cardroom operator.

- (8) METHOD OF WAGERS; LIMITATION.-
- (a) No Wagering may not be conducted using money or other negotiable currency. Games may only be played utilizing a wagering system whereby all players' money is first converted by the house to tokens or chips that may which shall be used for wagering only at that specific cardroom.
  - (9) DESIGNATED PLAYER GAMES AUTHORIZED.—
- (a) A cardroom operator may offer designated player games consisting of players making wagers against the designated player. However, not more than 50 percent of the total licensed tables in a cardroom may offer designated player games. The designated player must be licensed pursuant to paragraph (6) (b). Employees of a designated player also must be licensed, and the designated player shall pay, in addition to the business occupational fee established pursuant to paragraph (6) (i), an employee occupational license fee that may not exceed \$500 per employee for any 12-month period.
- (b) A cardroom operator may not serve as a designated player in any game. The cardroom operator may not have a financial interest in a designated player in any game. A cardroom operator may collect a rake in accordance with the rake structure posted at the table.
- (c) If there are multiple designated players at a table, the dealer button shall be rotated in a clockwise rotation after each hand.
- (d) A cardroom operator may not allow a designated player to pay an opposing player who holds a lower-ranked hand.

7-00886B-18 2018840

(e) A designated player may not be required by the rules of a game or by the rules of a cardroom to cover more than 10 times the maximum wager for players participating in any one game.

- (f) The cardroom, or any cardroom licensee, may not contract with, or receive compensation other than a posted table rake from, any player to participate in any game to serve as a designated player.
  - (13) <del>(12)</del> PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.-
- (a)  $\underline{A}$  No person licensed to operate a cardroom may  $\underline{not}$  conduct any banking game or any game not specifically authorized by this section.
- (b) A No person who is younger than under 18 years of age may not be permitted to hold a cardroom or employee license, or to engage in any game conducted therein.
- (c) With the exception of mechanical card shufflers, No electronic or mechanical devices, except mechanical card shufflers, may not be used to conduct any authorized game in a cardroom.
- (d) No Cards, game components, or game implements may not be used in playing an authorized game unless they have such has been furnished or provided to the players by the cardroom operator.
  - (14) <del>(13)</del> TAXES AND OTHER PAYMENTS.-
- (d)1. Each greyhound and jai alai permitholder that operates a cardroom facility shall use at least 4 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly gross receipts to supplement greyhound purses and awards or jai alai prize money, respectively, during the permitholder's next ensuing pari-mutuel meet.

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

7-00886B-18 2018840

2. A cardroom license or renewal thereof may not be issued to a permitholder conducting less than a full schedule of live racing or games, as defined in s. 550.002(11), pursuant to s. 550.01215(1)(b), (c), or (d) unless the applicant has on file with the division a binding written contract with a thoroughbred permitholder that is licensed to conduct live racing and that does not possess a slot machine license. This contract must provide that the permitholder will pay an amount equal to 4 percent of its monthly cardroom gross receipts to the thoroughbred permitholder conducting the live racing for exclusive use as purses and awards during the current or ensuing live racing meet of the thoroughbred permitholder. A thoroughbred permitholder receiving funds under this subparagraph shall remit, within 10 days after receipt, 10 percent of those funds to the Florida Thoroughbred Breeders' Association, Inc., for the payment of breeders', stallion, and special racing awards, subject to the fee authorized in s. 550.2625(3). If there is not a thoroughbred permitholder that does not possess a slot machine license, payments for purses are not required, and the cardroom licensee shall retain such funds for its use. Each thoroughbred and harness horse racing permitholder that operates a cardroom facility shall use at least 50 percent of such permitholder's cardroom monthly net proceeds as follows: 47 percent to supplement purses and 3 percent to supplement breeders' awards during the permitholder's next ensuing racing meet.

3. No cardroom license or renewal thereof shall be issued to an applicant holding a permit under chapter 550 to conduct pari-mutuel wagering meets of quarter horse racing unless the

640

641

642

643

644

645

646647

648649

650

651

652

653654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

7-00886B-18 2018840

applicant has on file with the division a binding written agreement between the applicant and the Florida Quarter Horse Racing Association or the association representing a majority of the horse owners and trainers at the applicant's eligible facility, governing the payment of purses on live quarter horse races conducted at the licensee's pari-mutuel facility. The agreement governing purses may direct the payment of such purses from revenues generated by any wagering or gaming the applicant is authorized to conduct under Florida law. All purses shall be subject to the terms of chapter 550.

(h) One-quarter of the moneys deposited into the Parimutuel Wagering Trust Fund pursuant to paragraph (g) shall, by October 1 of each year, be distributed to the local government that approved the cardroom under subsection (17)  $\frac{(16)}{}$ ; however, if two or more pari-mutuel racetracks are located within the same incorporated municipality, the cardroom funds shall be distributed to the municipality. If a pari-mutuel facility is situated in such a manner that it is located in more than one county, the site of the cardroom facility shall determine the location for purposes of disbursement of tax revenues under this paragraph. The division shall, by September 1 of each year, determine: the amount of taxes deposited into the Pari-mutuel Wagering Trust Fund pursuant to this section from each cardroom licensee; the location by county of each cardroom; whether the cardroom is located in the unincorporated area of the county or within an incorporated municipality; and, the total amount to be distributed to each eligible county and municipality.

Section 6. The Division of Law Revision and Information is directed to replace the phrase "the effective date of this act"

1	7-00886B-18 2018840	
668	wherever it occurs in this act with the date this act becomes a	
669	<pre>law.</pre>	
670	Section 7. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.	

APPEARANCE RECORD  (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting	8/17
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Amer	ndment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Lauren Jackson	
Job Title Consultant w/ Enchs Consultants.	
Address 2055AdomS St. Phone 850	-204-0880
Street Talahasel 76 3230/ Email/aure	noencks
City State Zip	consultents, an
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In S	Support Against mation into the record.)
Representing Florida Standard Bred Breeders	association
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legisla	ture: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to	speak to be heard at this

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting)  840
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic SB 840 GAMING  Name JENNIFER HOBGOOD	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name JENNITER HOBGOOD	_
Job Title DIRECTOR, STATE LEGIS LATTON, SOUT.	HEAST REGION
Address PoBox 20554	Phone <u>4455245</u>
TALLAHASSEE FL 32306 City State Zip	_ Email_jen. hobg ood@aaspea_org
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing ASPCA (AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE	PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMAL
	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	•
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional St	aff conducting the meeting)	8 <b>4</b> 0
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic GAMING	Amend	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name VON GOUDSTEIN		
Job Title		
Address 12321 BRAMFIEW DR	Phone <u>8/3</u>	-361-7146
City State Zip	Email <u>P60LD57</u>	EINT & TOMPASSY, Ra. Con
	peaking: In Sur will read this informa	pport Against ation into the record.)
Representing GREYHOUND ROCHE & ADDONO	rs of Tam	OB BAY (GREAT)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislate	ure: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many		
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

	0000
Meeting Date (	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	dment Barcode (if applicable)
Name ONN TOWELL	
Job Title CEO à EXECUTIVE VIII	0- 1 Ch(
Address 31 E. Kark Av. Phone 850	-5081
Street Tullahasse fl 3230 Email	
City State Zip	
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In So	upportAgainst nation into the redord.)
Representing FLORIDA THORNGHBLED BREET	JERS & CHUNER
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislat	ture: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to smeeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible.	

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Sta	aff conducting the meeting) $846$
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Decoupling Cruyhond	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Elly Rfairchth	
Job Title	
Address 2707 W. Wilder Ave.	Phone 813-601-1209
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: Street  Street  33614  Zip  Waive Speaking: The Chair	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Representing	r will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes Mo

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

## APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title Phone Address 1 phasses **Email** State Information Speaking: Against Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes L \_\_No While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this

meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

1/4/17			J	840
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable
Topic				Amendment Barcode (if applicable
Name Amber Kelly				
Job Title Director of P	olicy & Cor	nmunication	S	
Address 4853 S. Orange	e Avenue,	Suite C	Phone _	
Orlando		32806	Email	
Speaking: For Against	State Information	Zip Waive Sp (The Chai		In Support Against is information into the record.)
Representing FL Family	Action			
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with L	egislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage meeting. Those who do speak may be as	-		•	
This form is part of the public record to	for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/

# APPEARANCE RECORD

SB 840

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) <sup>L</sup>Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address Street Waive Speaking: Against Information In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) GREYZK Representing Appearing at request of Chair: X Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this

meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Gamna Bill	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Kati Macfall	<del></del>
Job Title State diret for Humane	Society
Address	Phone 850 508-100/
Street	Email Kunacfalle hous, org
City State	Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking:  In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Homan Society	afthe United States
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark	may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

1-17-18	Sch O
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
	\$31572
Topic	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Marc Dunbar	-
Job Title	_
Address 215 S. Monroe St.	Phone <u>\$50-425-7800</u>
Tallahassee FL 32301	Email modun bar ejones walker, a
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing Stronach Group Gulfstream T	Park
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Education, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on the Environment and Natural Resources, Vice Chair Regulated Industries, Vice Chair Agriculture Environmental Preservation and Conservation Health Policy Transportation

JOINT COMMITTEE: Joint Committee on Public Counsel Oversight

January 17, 2018

SENATOR DOROTHY L. HUKILL 14th District

> Regulated Industries Committee 330 Knott Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Re: Voting for Senate Regulated Industries Committee on January 17, 2018

Dear Staff Director McSwain:

Due to my presence being required in the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Higher Education meeting in order to present one of my sponsored bills, SB 540, I was unable to cast my vote for the following bill before our Senate Regulated Industries Committee today. Had I been present I would have voted the following way:

1. SB 840; Gaming by Sen. Hutson - No

Sincerely,

Dorothy L. Hukill

State Senator, District 14

Lynn Koon, Committee Administrative Assistant, Senate Regulated Industries Cc:

Committee

209 Dunlawton Avenue, Unit 17, Port Orange, Florida 32127 (386) 304-7630 FAX: (888) 263-3818

☐ 434 Delannoy Avenue, Suite 204, Cocoa, Florida 32922 (321) 634-3549

☐ 406 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5014

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The Pr	ofessional Staff	of the Committee o	n Regulated Indu	stries
BILL:	SB 674					
INTRODUCER: Senator Y		ung				
SUBJECT: Steroid		e in Racin	g Greyhounds	<b>.</b>		
DATE:	January 17,	, 2018	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
<ol> <li>Kraemer</li> </ol>		McSw	ain	RI	<b>Favorable</b>	
2				AG		
3.				RC		

### I. Summary:

SB 674 amends s. 550.2415, F.S., to provide that a positive test result for anabolic steroids<sup>1</sup> in a racing greyhound based on samples taken from the greyhound before or after a race is a violation of s. 550.2415, F.S. That statute prohibits racing of an animal that has been impermissibly medicated or determined to have a prohibited substance present in urine or other samples taken from the animal before or immediately after a race. Under current law and the current rules of the Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering (division) of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), testosterone, an anabolic steroid, is permitted to be used for the control of the reproductive cycle in female greyhounds.

SB 674 has an indeterminate fiscal impact on state government. *See* Section V. Fiscal Impact Statement.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

#### **II.** Present Situation:

The racing of an animal (horse or greyhound) that has been impermissibly medicated or determined to have a prohibited substance present, is a violation of s. 550.2415, F.S. However, the Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering (division) of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR), may adopt rules specifying acceptable levels of naturally occurring substances in untreated animals, acceptable levels of environmental contaminants, and trace levels of substances in test samples.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Steroids include drugs used to relieve swelling and inflammation, such as prednisone and cortisone; vitamin D; and some sex hormones, such as testosterone and estradiol. *See http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=5556* (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See s. 550.2415(1)(b), F.S., and Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007 (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018). An administrative proceeding

BILL: SB 674 Page 2

Classification of a substance in a sample as permissible or impermissible may be dependent upon whether:

- The substance is administered within or outside the allowed time frame before a race is scheduled to begin;
- The racing animal is approved for administration of the substance, or is qualified by gender to receive it:
- The level of the substance exceeds acceptable levels set by administrative rule; and
- The method of administration of the substance is prohibited.<sup>3</sup>

Certain medications may be administered to racing greyhounds in certain dosages under limited conditions, including the administration of:

- Testosterone or testosterone-like substances, when used for the control of estrus in female racing greyhounds, subject to certain conditions;<sup>4</sup> and
- Sulfa drugs (antibiotics)<sup>5</sup> under certain conditions.<sup>6</sup>

challenging Rule 61D-6.007 as an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority and on the basis that the rule arbitrarily fails to address environmental contamination of urine samples is pending before the Florida Division of Administrative Hearings. See McClellan and Nemeth v. Dep't of Bus. and Prof. Reg., Case No. 17-005238RU at <a href="https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ALJ/searchDOAH/detail.asp">https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ALJ/searchDOAH/detail.asp</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018) (McClellan). In a Partial Summary Final Order issued December 22, 2017, Administrative Law Judge Lawrence Stevenson, found the method of collecting, handling, and testing urine samples from racing greyhounds trained by the petitioners was invalid, because the DBPR, in violation of Florida law, continued to rely on a portion of a 2010 procedural training manual relating to urine sampling "even after being ordered to cease all reliance on [the training manual's sampling procedure]" in a prior administrative proceeding. Id. at page 9, citing Dawson v. Dep't of Bus. and Prof. Reg., Case No. 14-5276RU (Fla. DOAH Jan. 29, 2015) (Dawson). In the Dawson. case, the portion of the training manual relating to urine sampling was found to be an unadopted rule, and the DBPR was ordered to immediately discontinue all reliance on it, or any substantially similar statement. See Dawson at page 32. The DBPR has appealed the McClellan ruling to the First District Court of Appeal. See Dept' of Bus. and Prof. Reg., Div. of Pari-Mutuel Wagering v. McClellan, Case No. 18-0128 (Fla. 1st DCA). at <a href="https://jweb.flcourts.org/pls/ds/ds">https://jweb.flcourts.org/pls/ds/ds</a> docket (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

Until December 2017, the ARCI Model Rules of Racing (Model Rules) completely prohibited the use of anabolic steroids in racing greyhounds at any stage of their training and racing careers; the Model Rules were amended in December 2017 to provide an exception that allows the use of the anabolic steroid, testosterone, so long as it is prescribed by a licensed veterinarian for the control of estrus in female racing greyhounds. *See* ARCI-018-020 (16) *Medications and Drugs*, Model Rules at pp. 345-347 (pp. 342-344 of the printed document), and the footnote therein relating to "added 'estrus control language" at <a href="http://arci.blob.core.windows.net/webdocs/2017%2012%20Model Rules V8.2.pdf">http://arci.blob.core.windows.net/webdocs/2017%2012%20Model Rules V8.2.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018). See <a href="http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=14498">http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=14498</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007 (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007(1)(d) (2017), track veterinarians may administer injectable testosterone to female racing greyhounds to control their reproductive cycle (estrus control) (limited to administration that occurs on the ground of the pari-mutuel permitholder). Kennel owners may use their regular Florida licensed veterinarian or may enter into a collective agreement for the services of a Florida licensed veterinarian to administer injectable testosterone as permitted. The administration of oral testosterone is permitted if it is validly prescribed and properly labeled. Veterinarians that administer injectable or oral testosterone are responsible for maintaining security, inventory, and a retrievable records/log in accordance with the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Under Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007(2) (2017), the racing greyhound must be under the care of a Florida licensed veterinarian who also holds an occupational license pursuant to s. 550.105(2)(a), F.S. The sulfa drug must be prescribed by a Florida licensed veterinarian who also holds an occupational license pursuant to s. 550.105(2)(a), F.S., and the sulfa drug may not be administered within 24 hours prior to the officially scheduled post time of the race.

BILL: SB 674 Page 3

Certain medications at certain urinary concentrations are not reportable by the state laboratory as violations.<sup>7</sup>

All prescription medication, regardless of method of administration, must be safeguarded under lock and key when not being actively administered.<sup>8</sup>

Each racetrack permitholder must maintain a detention enclosure for securing urine, blood, or other samples from racing animals. The trainer of record for each animal is responsible for the condition of the animals he or she enters to race, and for securing all prescribed medications, over-the-counter medicines, and natural or synthetic medicinal compounds.

Samples of blood, urine, saliva, or any other bodily fluid may be collected from a race animal immediately before and immediately after it has raced. <sup>12</sup> If racing officials find, through reasonably reliable evidence, that substances other than permissible substances have been administered, or that otherwise permissible substances have been administered during prohibited periods before the time of a race, evidence of illegal or impermissible substances may be confiscated and the racing animal may be prohibited from racing in the race (scratched). <sup>13</sup>

The winner of every race is sent to the detention enclosure for examination by an authorized representative of the division and the taking of samples to monitor and detect both permissible and impermissible substances. Any other animals that participated in the race may be designated for examination and testing by the stewards, judges, racetrack veterinarian, or a division representative. 15

All samples are collected by staff of the Office of Operations of the division and sent to the University of Florida College of Medicine Racing Laboratory (state laboratory) for analysis. <sup>16</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007(3) (2017). These include the detection of: (i) caffeine at a urinary concentration less than or equal to 200 nanograms per milliliter; (ii) theophylline and theobromine at a urinary concentration less than or equal to 400 nanograms per milliliter; (iii) procaine at a urinary concentration less than or equal to 2 micrograms per milliliter; and (iv) flunixin at a urinary concentration less than or equal to 250 nanograms per milliliter. A nanogram is one billionth of a gram and a microgram is one millionth of a gram; a milliliter is one thousandth of a liter, or .03381 fluid ounces. See <a href="https://www.thefreedictionary.com/nanogram">https://www.thefreedictionary.com/nanogram</a>, <a href="https://www.thefreedictionary.com/milliliter">https://www.thefreedictionary.com/milliliter</a>, and <a href="https://www.metric-conversions.org/volume/milliliters-to-ounces.htm">https://www.thefreedictionary.com/milliliter</a>, and <a href="https://www.metric-conversions.org/volume/milliliters-to-ounces.htm">https://www.metric-conversions.org/volume/milliliters-to-ounces.htm</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007(4) (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.002(2) (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.002">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.002</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.002(1) (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.002">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.002</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.003 (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.003">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.003</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018). Prescription drugs must be prescribed by a licensed veterinarian who has a current veterinarian-patient relationship, and all substances must have a proper label.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 550.2415(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See s. 550.2415(7) and (8), F.S., and Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.005 (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.005">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.005</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.005(1) (2017).

<sup>15</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See 85th Annual Report, Fiscal Year 2015-2016, (85th Annual Report) at page 31, at <a href="http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/AnnualReports/AnnualReport-2015-2016--85th--20170125.pdf">http://www.myfloridalicense.com/dbpr/pmw/documents/AnnualReports/AnnualReport-2015-2016--85th--20170125.pdf</a> last

BILL: SB 674 Page 4

Blood specimens must be collected from racing animals by veterinarians employed by the division or any licensed veterinarian hired or retained by the division, and the collection must be witnessed by the animal's trainer, owner, or designee.<sup>17</sup>

The division, in its 85th Annual Report, noted that during Fiscal Year 2015-2016, the state laboratory processed 76,219 samples and performed 313,600 analyses: 18

Sample Type	Horse Urine/Blood	Greyhound Urine	Investigative
Samples Received	16,945	58,274	2
Samples Analyzed	17,001	39,031	2
Number of Analyses	77,268	236,332	2
Positive Results	343	18	n/a

If a prohibited substance is found in a race-day specimen, it is evidence that the substance was administered to, and was in the racing animal while racing.<sup>19</sup> Test results are confidential and exempt from public records for 10 days after the testing of all samples collected on a particular day have been completed and any positive results have been reported to the director of the division.<sup>20</sup> A prosecution by the division against a licensee for a violation must begin within ninety days after the violation.<sup>21</sup>

The division must notify the owner or trainer, the stewards, and the appropriate horsemen's association of all drug test results.<sup>22</sup> At the request of either the affected owner or trainer, the division must send the sample to an independent laboratory for analysis.

If the positive result found by the state laboratory is not confirmed by the analysis made by the independent laboratory, no further administrative or disciplinary action may be pursued by the division.<sup>23</sup> If the positive result is confirmed, or if the volume of the secondary sample is insufficient to do so, then administrative action may proceed.<sup>24</sup> There must be a good faith attempt by the division to obtain a sufficient quantity of fluid specimens to allow both a primary test to be made by the state laboratory and a secondary test to be made by an independent laboratory.<sup>25</sup>

The mere presence of a prohibited substance in a racing animal is evidence of the violation.<sup>26</sup> The fine for violations may be up to \$10,000 or the race winnings (purse or sweepstakes

visited Jan. 15, 2018). The division annually contracts with the state laboratory for these services. The DBPR has not yet issued its 86<sup>th</sup> Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.005 (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.005">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.005</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See 85th Annual Report, supra note 16, at page 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 550.2415(1)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See ss. 550.2415(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See s. 550.2415(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 550.2415(5)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 550.2415(5)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 550.2415(5)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See s. 550.2415(1)(c), F.S.

BILL: SB 674 Page 5

amount), whichever is greater.<sup>27</sup> Prosecutions must be started within ninety days of the race date.<sup>28</sup>

The penalty schedule for violations incorporates the Uniform Classification Guidelines for Foreign Substances, Version 8.0, revised December 2014 (Uniform Classification Guidelines), by ARCI.<sup>29</sup> Pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule 61D-6.012, relating to penalty guidelines for drug violations in greyhounds, penalties are imposed when the division finds certain substances have been identified by the state laboratory in a urine sample or blood sample collected from a greyhound participating in a pari-mutuel event, which substances include any drug or medication (unapproved drugs or medications) that:

- Is not approved for veterinary use in the United States by the Food and Drug Administration;
- Cannot be detected by the state laboratory in a urine or blood sample unless the medication was administered within 24 hours of the race; or
- Is detected in urine or blood concentrations that indicate a dosage level that would constitute a threat to the health and safety of the greyhound.<sup>30</sup>

A first violation may result in a fine between \$1,000 and \$2,500, and a license suspension up to one year or a license revocation. Any subsequent violation may result in a fine between \$2,500 and \$5,000 and a license revocation.<sup>31</sup>

Penalties for the presence of other medications or drugs, other than unapproved drugs or medications described above, are based upon the classification of the medication or drug found in the Uniform Classification Guidelines.<sup>32</sup>

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 674 amends s. 550.2415, F.S., to provide that a positive test result for anabolic steroids<sup>33</sup> in a racing greyhound before or after a race is a violation of s. 550.2415, F.S. That statute prohibits racing of an animal that has been impermissibly medicated or determined to have a prohibited substance present in urine or other samples taken from the animal before or immediately after a race. Anabolic steroids (testosterone) are drugs whose uses include the control of the reproductive cycle in female greyhounds.<sup>34</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See s. 550.2415(3)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See s. 550.2415(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See s. 550.2415(7)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.012(1)(a) (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.012">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.012</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018). An administrative proceeding challenging Rule 61D-6.012 as an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority and on the basis that the rule arbitrarily fails to address environmental contamination of urine samples is pending before the Florida Division of Administrative Hearings. See McClellan and Nemeth v. Dep't of Bus. and Prof. Reg., Case No. 17-005238RU at <a href="https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ALJ/searchDOAH/detail.asp">https://www.doah.state.fl.us/ALJ/searchDOAH/detail.asp</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018) and the summary of the proceeding at footnote 2 infra.

<sup>31</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.012(2) (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.012">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.012</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Steroids include drugs used to relieve swelling and inflammation, such as prednisone and cortisone; vitamin D; and some sex hormones, such as testosterone and estradiol. *See* <a href="http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=5556">http://www.medicinenet.com/script/main/art.asp?articlekey=5556</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> See Fla. Admin. Code R. 61D-6.007 (2017) at <a href="https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007">https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61D-6.007</a> (last visited Jan. 15, 2018).

BILL: SB 674 Page 6

The administration of testosterone or testosterone-like substances for the control of estrus in female racing greyhounds, is permitted by rule of the division, subject to certain conditions.<sup>35</sup> Under the bill, no such use of those substances will be permissible.

The bill does not modify the existing procedures for determining violations. Any affected licensee would have the same due process rights, including the opportunity for a hearing, which law currently affords for alleged violations under s. 550.2415, F.S.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

### V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

SB 674 will have an indeterminate impact on greyhound tracks, and the owners and trainers of greyhounds. The impact will depend on the frequency that anabolic steroids are found to be present in greyhounds engaged in racing in Florida as a result of testing of samples taken from greyhounds before or immediately after a race.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The DBPR estimates the fiscal impact to state government to be minimal but indeterminate. The fiscal impact will depend upon the extent that violations and associated fines occur as a result of a greyhounds testing positive for the presence of anabolic steroids.<sup>36</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See 2018 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis (AGENCY: Department of Business and Professional Regulation) for SB 674, dated Nov. 27, 2017 (on file with Senate Committee on Regulated Industries) at page 4.

BILL: SB 674 Page 7

The DBPR reports that additional expenditures may be needed for the necessary testing for anabolic steroids. At present, the division contracts with the University of Florida, College of Medicine Racing Laboratory (state laboratory) to provide testing services. The current appropriation for that testing is \$2,266,000.<sup>37</sup> The state laboratory has indicated to the division that its testing procedures must be amended to include detection of anabolic steroids, and it must purchase a liquid chromatography-mass spectrometer to test approximately 37,000 greyhound racing samples annually (a cost of approximately \$400,000).<sup>38</sup>

Further, the state laboratory may require building upgrades (a cost of approximately \$50,000) including electrical services, a nitrogen supply system, installation of a new venting system to accommodate additional fumes, and the purchase of a supporting bench to accommodate the additional equipment, to perform the additional testing the bill would require. Additional state laboratory personnel will be required to process samples, review data, develop methodology, and maintain equipment (a cost of approximately \$100,000), and the state laboratory may need to amend the existing contract with the division to cover the additional costs (totaling approximately \$550,000).

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

SB 674 provides that s. 550.2415, F.S., is violated if a sample from a greyhound reflects the presence of anabolic steroids, resulting in a positive test. The term "greyhound" used in the bill is more expansive than the term "racing greyhounds," defined in s. 550.002(29), F.S., to mean greyhounds used, or bred, raised, or trained to be used, in racing at a pari-mutuel facility and registered with the National Greyhound Association.

According to the DBPR, the testing of samples from male greyhounds may also result in positive tests if levels of naturally occurring anabolic steroids (e.g., testosterone) are not considered and addressed.<sup>41</sup>

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 550.2415 of the Florida Statutes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Id.* at page 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id.* at pages 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Id.* at page 5.

BILL: SB 674 Page 8

#### IX. **Additional Information:**

Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.) A.

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

# The Florida Senate COMMITTEE VOTE RECORD

**COMMITTEE:** Regulated Industries **ITEM:** SB 674

ITEM: SB 674
FINAL ACTION: Favorable

MEETING DATE: Wednesday, January 17, 2018

**TIME:** 1:30—3:30 p.m.

PLACE: 110 Senate Office Building

FINAL VOTE									
Yea	Nay	SENATORS	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	
Χ		Benacquisto							
Χ		Bracy							
Х		Brandes							
	Х	Braynon							
Χ		Gibson							
Χ		Steube							
	Х	Thurston							
Χ		Young							
		Hukill, VICE CHAIR							
Х		Hutson, CHAIR							
7	2								
Yea	Nay	TOTALS	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	

CODES: FAV=Favorable

UNF=Unfavorable -R=Reconsidered

RCS=Replaced by Committee Substitute RE=Replaced by Engrossed Amendment RS=Replaced by Substitute Amendment TP=Temporarily Postponed VA=Vote After Roll Call VC=Vote Change After Roll Call WD=Withdrawn OO=Out of Order AV=Abstain from Voting By Senator Young

18-00087-18 2018674

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to steroid use in racing greyhounds; amending s. 550.2415, F.S.; providing that a positive test result for anabolic steroids in certain samples taken from a greyhound violates the prohibition on the racing of animals that are impermissibly medicated or determined to have a prohibited substance present; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 550.2415, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

550.2415 Racing of animals under certain conditions prohibited; penalties; exceptions.—

(1) (a) The racing of an animal that has been impermissibly medicated or determined to have a prohibited substance present is prohibited. It is a violation of this section for a person to impermissibly medicate an animal or for an animal to have a prohibited substance present resulting in a positive test for such medications or substances based on samples taken from the animal before or immediately after the racing of that animal. It is a violation of this section for a greyhound to have anabolic steroids present resulting in a positive test for such steroids based on samples taken from the greyhound before or immediately after the racing of that greyhound. Test results and the identities of the animals being tested and of their trainers and owners of record are confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and from s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution for 10 days

3334

18-00087-18 2018674\_\_

30 after testing of all samples collected on a particular day has

31 been completed and any positive test results derived from such

32 samples have been reported to the director of the division or

administrative action has been commenced.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2018.

Page 2 of 2

# APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date  (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)  Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic STEROIDS IN GREYHOUND RACING Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name JENNIFER HOBGOOD
Job Title DIRECTOR OF STATE LEGISLATION
Address Po Box 20554 Phone 445 5245
TAUAHASSEE FU 32306 Email jen. hobgoodaaspear
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing ASPCA AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUSTY TO ANIMAL
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.  S-001 (10/14/14)

## The Florida Senate

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	taff conducting the meeting)	274
Meeting Date	Bill N	umber (if applicable)
Topic GREJHONNO STEROVO BILL	Amendment B	Parcode (if applicable)
Name Dow (30 LD 5727N)		
Job Title		
Address 12321 BRAMFIED Da	Phone 8/3-36	1-7146
RIVERVIEW A 33579	Email PGOLDSTEDN	10 Tones Bry
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	peaking: In Support	
Representing GREYHOUND RESCUE & ADORDONS	OF TAMPA BOY	(GREAT)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	ered with Legislature:	Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit at	l persons wishing to speak to	o be heard at this

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

## THE PLOTION OF THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECOI	RD (
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Sta	aff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Greatton Birm Connec	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name PAma (MAVRY	
Job Title	
Address 80 BOX 10245	Phone 222 1568
1ML F 32302	Email MMGGROUP EACL
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: (The Chair	peaking: In Support Against r will read this information into the record.)
Representing + Levish & Exception)	Assa
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

APPEAL	RANCE RECORD ( )4
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the	e Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Garrier & Confine	Steroid Gryhoud Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Kelly R. Fairdoff	
Job Title	
Address 2707 v. Wilder A	Phone 813-601-1209
Tayon FL	33614 Email Refaircht 20020
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
	ny, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this r remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting	S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

58 674

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Anabolic Steroids in grayhounds Job Title Phone 617-501-6276 Address Waive Speaking: | In Support Information (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: X Yes Appearing at request of Chair:

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOT	H copies of this form to the Senator or Senate I	Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applica	able)
Topic Anabolic Sterc	ins in Greyhound		:able)
Name Kati Mac	Fall		
Job Title State direc	tor		
Address 1624 Metwoold	Conle	Phone 850 508-1001	
Tallulu	FC. 32308 State	Email Kwacfelle hsus, ov	9
Speaking: For Agains	<del></del>	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)	
Representing <u>Homan</u>	re Society of the	United States	<b></b>
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No Lobby	vist registered with Legislature: Yes	No
		ot permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at to at as many persons as possible can be heard.	his
This form is part of the public rec	ord for this meeting.	S-001 (10/	/14/14)

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Health Policy, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on Pre-K - 12
Education, Vice Chair
Commerce and Tourism
Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities
Regulated Industries

JOINT COMMITTEE:
Joint Committee on Public Counsel Oversight

**SENATOR DANA YOUNG** 

18th District

November 8, 2017

Senator Travis Hutson, Chair Regulated Industries Committee 330 Knott Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Dear Chair Hutson,

My Senate Bill 674 relating to Steroid Use in Racing Greyhounds has been referred to your committee for a hearing. I respectfully request that this bill be placed on your next available agenda.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to me.

Sincerely.

State Sendtor – 18<sup>th</sup> Distr

cc: Ross McSwain, Staff Director - Regulated Industries Committee

<sup>□ 316</sup> Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5018

# **CourtSmart Tag Report**

Room: EL 110 Case No.: Type:

Caption: Regulated Industries Committee Judge:

Started: 1/17/2018 1:33:30 PM

Ends: 1/17/2018 2:05:38 PM Length: 00:32:09

1:33:31 PM Meeting called to order
1:33:35 PM Meeting called to order
1:33:52 PM Meeting called to order
1:34:07 PM Pledge of allegiance

1:34:10 PM Roll call

1:34:15 PM Quorum present

1:34:37 PM Chair turned to Senator Benaquisto

**1:34:51 PM** Tab 1 SB 840

1:35:01 PM Senator Hutson explains bill

**1:36:36 PM** Questions?

1:36:54 PM Amendment 831572 presented by Senator Hutson

**1:37:11 PM** Senator Hutson withdraws amendment

1:37:23 PM Amendment 439272 presented by Senator Steube

**1:37:40 PM** Senator Steube withdraws amendment

1:37:55 PM Back on the bill

**1:38:03 PM** Jennifer Hobgood, ASPCA, waives in support

**1:38:12 PM** Don Goldstein, Greyhound Rescue and Adoptions of Tampa Bay, waives in support Lonny Powell, Florida Thoroughbred and Owners Association, speaking in opposition

1:41:24 PM Kelly Faircloth waives in support

1:41:58 PM Jeff Kotkamp, Florida Greyhound Association, speaking in opposition

**1:44:14 PM** Amber Kelly, Florida Family Action, waives in opposition

1:44:21 PM Mike Dunbar, Stonach Group/Gulfstream Park, waives in opposition

1:44:29 PM Carey Thiel, Grey2K USA, waives in support

**1:44:36 PM** Kate Macfall, State Director of Humane Society, waives in support

1:45:03 PM Lauren Jackson, Florida Standard Bred Breeders Assocation, speaking in opposition

1:45:26 PM Debate?

1:45:34 PM Senator Thurston speaking
1:45:54 PM Senator Gibson speaking
1:46:31 PM Senator Young speaking
1:47:55 PM Senator Hutson closing

1:51:00 PM Roll call on SB 840 by Lynn Koon

1:51:14 PM Bill reported favorably

1:51:20 PM Senator Hutson taking chair

**1:51:22 PM** Tab 2 SB 674 by Senator Young **1:51:31 PM** Senator Young explains bill

**1:52:33 PM** Questions?

1:52:45 PM Question from Senator Brandes 1:52:51 PM Response from Senator Young 1:52:55 PM Question from Senator Brandes 1:53:02 PM Response from Senator Young

1:53:07 PM Public testimony

1:53:28 PM Jennifer Hobgood, ASPCA, waives in support

1:53:40 PM Don Goldstein, Greyhound Rescue and Adoptions of Tampa Bay, waives in support

1:54:05 PM Ramon Mavry, Florida Greyhound Association, speaking in opposition

1:58:17 PM
1:58:37 PM
1:59:18 PM
1:59:23 PM
2:00:05 PM
2:00:09 PM
Question from Senator Hutson
Response from Ramon Mavry
Question of Senator Hutson
Response from Ramon Mavry
Response from Ramon Mavry

**2:00:35 PM** Questions?

2:00:46 PM Kelly Faircloth waives in support

2:00:59 PM 2:01:10 PM	Carey Theil, Grey2K USA, waives in support Kate Macfall, Humane Society of the United States, waives in support
2:01:13 PM	Debate?
2:01:17 PM	Senator Young closing
2:03:03 PM	Roll call on SB 674 by Lynn Koon
2:03:23 PM	SB 674 reported favorably
2:03:45 PM	Request to change Senator Gibson's yay to a nay
2:03:51 PM	No objection- reflected in record
2:04:04 PM	Senator Hutson moves to adjourn without objection
	,