2022 Regular Session

#### The Florida Senate

**COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA** 

#### SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT Senator Bradley, Chair

MEETING DATE:	Tuesday, November 16, 2021
TIME:	1:30—4:30 p.m.
PLACE:	Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building

MEMBERS: Senator Bradley, Chair; Senators Bean, Harrell, Rouson, and Stewart

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	Workshop on Congressional Maps		Discussed
2	Public Comment		Discussed
	Other Related Meeting Documents		

## SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT WORKSHOP ON CONGRESSIONAL MAPS

NA MININE IN

# **NOVEMBER 16, 2021**



- Committee staff has produced a series of redistricting plans per the directives received on October 18, 2021.
- Districts are numbered to be roughly analogous to their predecessor districts, with the exception of the 28<sup>th</sup> district.
- In drawing plans, staff relied on the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and existing judicial precedent to ensure that plans comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards.
- Districts were drawn to balance co-equal Tier Two standards of Article III, Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, unless doing so would conflict with Tier One standards.



- To comply with Tier-One standards:
  - Districts were drawn without reviewing any political data other than where a review of the political data was required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether or not a district denied or abridged a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process or diminished their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
  - Districts were drawn without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress.
  - Districts were drawn without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.



- To comply with Tier-Two standards, districts were drawn:
  - To be as nearly equal in population as practicable, with district population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221.
  - To be visually compact in relation to their shape and geography. Mathematical scores were used where appropriate.
  - To use county boundaries where feasible. In less populated areas, whole counties were grouped together to make a district or set of districts. In more populated areas where it was feasible to do so, districts were kept wholly within a county.
  - To use geographic features that are easily recognizable and readily ascertainable as district boundaries where feasible. The Boundary Analysis for each plan illustrates the rate at which railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies were used as district boundaries for each district.
  - To keep cities whole where doing so was feasible, while recognizing the impermanent and irregular shapes of municipal boundaries. If or when a city was split, static geographic features were sought out for usage as district boundaries.
- Accordingly, plans contain trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two criteria, and are presented for consideration and exercise of legislative discretion.



- Plans are published and available on <u>www.floridaredistricting.gov</u>, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the <u>Submitted Plans</u> page for independent analysis:
  - <u>S000C8002</u>
  - <u>S000C8004</u>
  - <u>S000C8006</u>
  - <u>S000C8008</u>
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
  - <u>Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment</u>



## Plan Packets

- Plan packets contain everything used to analyze a redistricting plan. Data comes from the Redistricting Application, and is reformatted for easier consumption.
  - Statewide map, with insets of South Florida, Jacksonville, Tampa Bay, and Orlando.
  - Census and Boundary Statistics
  - Split Counties and Cities
  - Functional Analysis of districts protected from non-diminishment standards in Tier-One of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution.



#### Census and Boundary Statistics

- Census and Boundary Statistics
  - District's population deviation from the ideal, also expressed as a percentage
  - Voting Age Population for
    - BVAP respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
    - HVAP respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
  - District Area in square miles, Perimeter in miles, and Compactness scores (Convex Hull, Polsby-Popper, and Reock).
  - Counts of whole and partial counties and cities within each district.
  - Counts of counties and cities that have all their population in only one district.
  - Each district's boundary coincidence with certain types of features identified by the US Census Bureau in their TIGER layers. These include those recognized by the Florida Supreme Court as political and geographic boundaries:
    - City boundaries
    - County boundaries
    - Primary and Secondary Roads (Interstates, US Highways, and State Highways)
    - Railroads
    - Water features with a contiguous area of greater than ten acres.
  - The portion of each district's boundary that does not coincide with these features.



### Split Cities and Counties

- Split Counties
  - List of counties that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.
- Split Cities
  - List of cities that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.



- Functional Analysis Summary
  - Lists only the districts for which it is necessary to evaluate whether or not a district denies or abridges a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process, or diminishes their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
  - BVAP respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
  - HVAP respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
  - 2020 General Election Voter Registration Information for:
    - Registration by Party
    - Registration by Race or Ethnicity
    - Registration by Race or Ethnicity and Party
    - Registration by Party and Race or Ethnicity



#### Functional Analysis – Summary

- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 Primary Elections
  - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 General Elections
  - Turnout by Party
  - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
  - Turnout by Race or Ethnicity and Party
- General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020
  - Average Performance (Vote Share) for the Democrat and Republican candidate
  - Count of Wins in Statewide contests for Democrat and Republican candidates
  - Margins
    - Maximum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
    - Minimum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
    - Average margin of victory in statewide contests for either the Democrat or Republican candidate



Functional Analysis – Returns


- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide primary election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018).
- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide General Election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020).





### Plan S000C8002


					Pla	n S000	C8002					
Overall	(Range)						Avera	ge				
Devi	iation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock		Politic	al and Ge	ographic Bo	undaries	;:
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	270.3	0.80	0.41	0.44	10%	59%	20%	37%	3%	11%


District lines and						
City and County Boundaries						
Number of Counties	67					
Counties with only one district	46					
Districts with only one county	5					
Counties split into more than one district	21					
Counties with all population in a single district	46					
Aggregate number of county splits	54					
Aggregate number of splits with population	54					
Number of Cities	412					
Cities with only one district	351					
Cities split into more than one district	61					
Cities with all population in only one district	362					
Aggregate number of city splits	132					
Aggregate number of splits with population	121					



















#### S000C8004


					Pla	n S000	C8004					
Overall	(Range)						Avera	ge				
Devi	ation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	undaries	:
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	268.5	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	3%	11%


District lines and							
City and County Boundaries							
Number of Counties	67						
Counties with only one district	47						
Districts with only one county	6						
Counties split into more than one district	20						
Counties with all population in a single district	47						
Aggregate number of county splits	52						
Aggregate number of splits with population	52						
Number of Cities	412						
Cities with only one district	352						
Cities split into more than one district	60						
Cities with all population in only one district	362						
Aggregate number of city splits	128						
Aggregate number of splits with population	118						



















#### S000C8006


					Pla	n S000	C8006					
Overall	(Range)						Avera	ge				
Devi	ation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock		Politic	al and Ge	ographic Bo	undaries	:
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	269.2	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	2%	10%


District lines and	
City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	6
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	350
Cities split into more than one district	62
Cities with all population in only one district	360
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	122



















#### S000C8008


						Pla	n S000	8008					
(	Overall	(Range)						Avera	ge				
	Devi	ation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock		Politic	al and Ge	ographic Bo	undaries	5:
	Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1	0.00%	2,550.1	268.8	0.80	0.42	0.44	10%	60%	21%	37%	2%	10%


District lines and	
City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	351
Cities split into more than one district	61
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	121
















# THE FLORIDA SENATE

## **COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT**

Location 2000 The Capitol

*Mailing Address* 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, Chair Senator Doug Broxson, Vice Chair

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, Staff Director

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

# MEMORANDUM

To:	Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
From:	Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair
Subject:	Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
Date:	October 18, 2021

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 2

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are


Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 3


not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.





# Census and Boundary Statistics

Page	2
------	---

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

Counties with all population in a single district Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

67 5 5 118 49 49 50 50 50 412 373 373 339 88 88 67

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan FLCD2016

District lines and City and County Boundaries

## Plan FLCD2016

	Deviation	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and G	eographic	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total %	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	228,137 29.66%	15.54%	24.99%	2,644.6	289.8	0.77	0.36	0.44	49	50	373	84	18%	56%	10%	39%	1%	14%
1	38,660 5.03%	13.23%	6.60%	5,393	412	0.82	0.40	0.40	4	1	21	0	3%	94%	0%	60%	0%	6%
2	-41,365 -5.38%	12.42%	6.68%	14,594	942	0.68	0.21	0.31	14	5	51	3	7%	75%	11%	48%	1%	10%
3	-3,088 -0.40%	16.10%	10.29%	3,844	303	0.89	0.53	0.71	5	1	27	1	19%	75%	14%	25%	0%	7%
4	102,663 13.35%	10.36%	8.84%	1,963	379	0.72	0.17	0.37	1	2	9	1	9%	58%	18%	51%	1%	15%
5	-20,311 -2.64%	46.20%	9.14%	3,910	711	0.71	0.10	0.12	4	4	15	3	7%	59%	17%	10%	2%	16%
6	27,033 3.51%	10.12%	12.07%	2,682	316	0.77	0.34	0.44	2	2	22	3	8%	82%	4%	62%	0%	4%
7	19,297 2.51%	12.19%	24.65%	436	122	0.81	0.37	0.57	1	1	7	4	16%	65%	10%	51%	0%	19%
8	14,532 1.89%	9.68%	10.35%	2,412	271	0.76	0.41	0.34	2	1	21	0	0%	89%	2%	41%	0%	10%
9	186,381 24.23%	14.26%	41.53%	2,620	268	0.87	0.46	0.63	1	2	12	4	17%	49%	14%	5%	6%	17%
10	104,583 13.60%	26.70%	28.95%	516	115	0.89	0.49	0.49	0	1	9	4	19%	70%	15%	21%	0%	11%
11	51,614 6.71%	7.22%	10.12%	3,202	375	0.74	0.29	0.42	3	2	16	8	14%	66%	14%	40%	0%	12%
12	37,916 4.93%	5.83%	12.50%	1,288	187	0.82	0.46	0.38	1	2	8	3	11%	77%	11%	36%	0%	9%
13	-41,756 -5.43%	11.88%	9.81%	610	106	0.93	0.68	0.66	0	1	19	3	38%	74%	2%	89%	0%	4%
14	18,226 2.37%	17.89%	30.15%	366	101	0.82	0.45	0.48	0	1	0	2	43%	38%	10%	32%	1%	28%
15	50,632 6.58%	15.39%	22.74%	1,170	240	0.76	0.26	0.33	0	3	2	8	25%	28%	13%	17%	0%	24%
16	114,826 14.93%	9.33%	15.94%	1,910	213	0.90	0.53	0.58	1	2	7	0	12%	61%	10%	56%	0%	6%
17	10,734 1.40%	7.15%	13.26%	6,546	433	0.77	0.44	0.51	6	3	15	1	4%	69%	9%	28%	3%	9%
18	25,503 3.32%	12.95%	15.60%	1,889	228	0.82	0.45	0.50	2	1	14	4	10%	65%	3%	45%	0%	20%
19	65,791 8.55%	6.78%	18.08%	1,972	249	0.79	0.40	0.34	0	2	8	0	4%	66%	9%	60%	0%	15%
20	7,062 0.92%	52.37%	26.75%	2,406	387	0.75	0.20	0.48	0	2	14	12	30%	35%	10%	11%	1%	33%
21	18,786 2.44%	14.97%	22.58%	353	123	0.64	0.29	0.37	0	1	16	5	29%	24%	12%	30%	1%	37%
22	16,535 2.15%	15.22%	21.37%	253	119	0.73	0.22	0.46	0	2	12	5	25%	28%	12%	32%	2%	32%
23	135 0.02%	15.21%	39.74%	252	112	0.65	0.25	0.35	0	2	12	6	58%	15%	13%	29%	3%	17%
24	-26,679 -3.47%	43.62%	44.87%	115	69	0.77	0.30	0.47	0	2	9	2	64%	13%	15%	29%	7%	19%
25	2,213 0.29%	4.86%	74.37%	3,674	357	0.68	0.36	0.41	1	2	11	1	8%	70%	12%	22%	0%	7%
26	18,693 2.43%	11.34%	72.44%	6,710	594	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	6%	87%	0%	1%
27	-29,396 -3.82%	5.95%	70.35%	317	91	0.88	0.48	0.50	0	1	9	1	21%	26%	25%	61%	0%	8%
28		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

#### Plan FLCD2016

C	ounties include	ed in more th	nan one die	trict			ounties include	d in more than on	e district			Counties included in	more than one	district	1	Counti	ies included in mo	re than one	district	
County				Total Area	Area%	County		Total Pop Pop		Area%	County		I Pop Pop%		60	ounty	Dist. Total Po			a Area%
Broward	20	519,827	26.7%	890.2	68.0%	County	Dist.	Total Fop Fop	10tal Alea	AICd/0	County	DISt. 1018	пгор горљ	Total Alea //		Junty	Dist. Total Pt	р гори	TOtal Alt	a Alea/o
Broward	20	645,095	33.2%	187.0	14.3%															
Broward	23	691,006	35.5%	218.0	16.7%															
Broward	24	88,447	4.6%	13.2	1.0%															
Collier	19	168,394	44.8%	636.6	24.4%															
Collier	25	207,358	55.2%	1,968.6	75.6%															
Columbia	2	58,045	83.3%	431.0	53.8%															
Columbia	5	11,653	16.7%	370.3	46.2%															
Duval	4	542,200	54.5%	647.5	70.5%															
Duval	5	453,367	45.5%	271.0	29.5%															
Hillsborough	12	13,604	0.9%	21.4	1.6%															
Hillsborough	14	787,447	53.9%	366.3	27.5%															
Hillsborough	15	408,739	28.0%	376.5	28.3%															
Hillsborough	16	249,972	17.1%	567.8	42.6%															
Holmes	1	11,003	56.0%	388.6	79.5%															
Holmes	2	8,650	44.0%	100.4	20.5%															
Jefferson	2	1,154	8.0%	245.9	36.6%															
Jefferson	5	13,356	92.1%	426.7	63.4%															
Lake	6	93,240	24.3%	446.2	38.6%															
Lake	11	171,836	44.8%	413.0	35.7%															
Lake	15	118,880	31.0%	297.6	25.7%															
Lee	17	94,204	12.4%	179.0	11.8%															
Lee	19	666,618	87.6%	1,335.8	88.2%															
Leon	2	125,721	43.0%	211.6	30.1%															
Leon	5	166,477	57.0%	490.2	69.9%															
Marion	2	53,370	14.2%	275.6	16.6%															
Marion	3	151,649	40.3%	854.4	51.4%															
Marion	11	170,889	45.5%	532.7	32.0%															
Miami-Dade	23	78,350	2.9%	33.8	1.4%															
Miami-Dade	24	654,095	24.2%	102.0	4.3%															
Miami-Dade	25	524,457	19.4%	516.3	21.6%															
Miami-Dade	26	705,040	26.1%	1,420.0	59.4%															
Miami-Dade	27	739,825	27.4%	317.3	13.3%															
Orange	7	317,662	22.2%	90.3	9.0%															
Orange	8	17,353	1.2%	238.1	23.7%															
Orange	9	221,089	15.5%	158.9	15.8%															
Orange Balm Baash	10	873,804	61.1%	516.1	51.4%															
Palm Beach	18 20	307,067	20.6%	448.3	18.8%															
Palm Beach Palm Beach	20	256,456 788,007	17.2% 52.8%	1,515.8 353.0	63.6% 14.8%															
Palm Beach	21	140,661	52.8% 9.4%	66.2	2.8%															
Paim Beach Pinellas	12	231,642	9.4% 24.2%	252.3	2.8%															
Pinellas	12	727,465	24.2% 75.9%	610.2	70.8%															
Polk	9	345,857	47.7%	955.2	47.5%															
Polk	15	292,234	40.3%	496.1	24.7%															
Polk	15	86,955	12.0%	559.1	24.7%															
Sarasota	16	234,365	54.0%	378.3	38.8%															
Sarasota	10	199,641	46.0%	597.3	61.2%															
St. Johns	4	239,332	87.5%	589.2	71.7%															
St. Johns	6	34,093	12.5%	232.3	28.3%															
	0	5 .,055	12.570	202.0	20.070															

#### Plan FLCD2016

	Cities included	d in more than	one district	_			Cities included	in more that	n one distric	+		Cities included in more than one district Cities included in more than one district
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area% City Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%
Auburndale	9	15,606	99.9%	20.4	99.2%	Pompano Beach	22	76,608	68.4%	16.1	65.2%	
Auburndale	15	10	0.1%	0.2	0.8%	Riviera Beach	18	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Boca Raton	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Riviera Beach	20	37,604	100.0%	9.7	100.0%	
Boca Raton	22	97,422	100.0%	31.6	100.0%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,526	42.5%	5.6	47.6%	
Clearwater	12	19,795	16.9%	5.3	14.8%	Royal Palm Beach	20	22,346	57.4%	6.1	51.8%	
Clearwater	13	97,497	83.1%	30.6	85.2%	Royal Palm Beach	21	60	0.2%	0.1	0.6%	
Clermont	11	76	0.2%	0.4	2.0%	Safety Harbor	12	17,072	100.0%	5.1	100.0%	
Clermont	15	42,945	99.8%	18.7	98.0%	Safety Harbor	13	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Dania Beach	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Sunrise	20	45,532	46.8%	6.4	35.1%	
Dania Beach	23	31,723	100.0%	8.3	100.0%	Sunrise	23	51,803	53.2%	11.8	64.9%	
Eatonville	7	0	0.0%	0.1	4.0%	Tallahassee	2	77,828	39.7%	54.4	52.4%	
Eatonville	10	2,349	100.0%	1.1	96.1%	Tallahassee	5	118,341	60.3%	49.4	47.6%	
Eustis	6	23,189 0	100.0%	12.8	99.7%	Tampa	14	384,959 0	100.0%	175.8	100.0%	
Eustis Fort Loudordalo	11 20		0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Tampa	15 6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Fort Lauderdale Fort Lauderdale	20	59,474 123,208	32.5% 67.4%	12.3 23.9	33.9% 65.7%	Tavares Tavares	11	19,003	0.0% 100.0%	0.0 13.8	0.1% 99.9%	
Fort Lauderdale	22	125,208	0.0%	0.1	0.4%	Temple Terrace	11	19,005	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Groveland	11	46	0.3%	4.8	18.3%	Temple Terrace	14	26,684	100.0%	7.6	100.0%	
Groveland	15	18,459	99.8%	21.4	81.7%	Wellington	20	112	0.2%	0.1	0.1%	
Hollywood	23	86,010	56.2%	21.5	69.8%	Wellington	21	61,525	99.8%	45.4	99.9%	
Hollywood	24	67,057	43.8%	9.3	30.2%	West Palm Beach	18	27,356	23.3%	36.1	62.3%	
Jacksonville	4	496,244	52.3%	603.5	69.0%	West Palm Beach	20	57,443	48.9%	15.3	26.4%	
Jacksonville	5	453,367	47.7%	271.0	31.0%	West Palm Beach	21	32,616	27.8%	6.6	11.3%	
Lake City	2	8,072	65.5%	7.5	61.5%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.1	0.4%	
Lake City	5	4,257	34.5%	4.7	38.5%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	26.0	99.7%	
Maitland	7	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Winter Haven	9	49,219	100.0%	41.1	100.0%	
Maitland	10	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Haven	17	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	
Mascotte	11	6,609	100.0%	18.1	100.0%	Winter Park	7	29,774	99.9%	10.4	100.0%	
Mascotte	15	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Park	10	21	0.1%	0.0	0.0%	
Miami	24	147,747	33.4%	18.9	33.7%							
Miami Miami	25 27	6,034 288,460	1.4% 65.2%	0.6 36.6	1.1% 65.2%							
Minneola	11	13,825	99.9%	11.4	99.8%							
Minneola	11	15,625	0.1%	0.0	0.2%							
Mount Dora	6	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.8%							
Mount Dora	11	10,541	0.0%	0.0	0.2%							
Oakland Park	20	10,353	23.4%	2.4	29.3%							
Oakland Park	22	33,876	76.6%	5.8	70.7%							
Ocala	2	0	0.0%	0.2	0.3%							
Ocala	3	63,566	100.0%	45.6	96.5%							
Ocala	11	25	0.0%	1.5	3.2%							
Oldsmar	12	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%							
Oldsmar	13	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%							
Orlando	7	70,064	22.8%	16.4	13.8%							
Orlando	9	74,391	24.2%	48.7	41.0%							
Orlando	10	163,118	53.0%	53.7	45.2%							
Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%							
Palm Beach	21	9,245	100.0%	7.8	99.8%							
Palm Beach Gardens	18 20	59,182 0	100.0%	59.3	100.0%							
Palm Beach Gardens Plantation	20 20	0	0.0% 0.0%	0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%							
Plantation	20	91,750	100.0%	22.1	100.0%							
Polk City	25	91,750	0.3%	0.1	2.0%							
Polk City	15	2,705	99.7%	5.0	98.0%							
Pompano Beach	20	35,438	31.6%	8.6	34.8%							
				2.5								


Plan Fl	CD2016
---------	--------

	2020 (	Census								2020 Genera	I Election Re	gistered Vot	ters						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	io are:	Black	k Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	46.20%	9.14%	56.62%	24.04%	19.34%	46.07%	4.78%	84.27%	2.69%	13.03%	44.76%	19.87%	34.91%	68.56%	3.78%	5.15%	3.95%	31.03%	8.62%
9	14.26%	41.53%	39.35%	27.53%	33.12%	10.82%	36.46%	74.89%	3.67%	21.41%	45.38%	13.86%	40.75%	20.60%	42.06%	1.44%	18.35%	6.99%	44.86%
10	26.70%	28.95%	45.12%	24.69%	30.19%	23.22%	21.39%	77.55%	3.27%	19.17%	46.15%	15.09%	38.74%	39.90%	21.88%	3.07%	13.07%	14.74%	27.45%
20	52.37%	26.75%	62.04%	12.78%	25.18%	49.67%	18.33%	81.53%	2.49%	15.95%	43.62%	19.47%	36.81%	65.28%	12.89%	9.69%	27.93%	31.47%	26.80%
24	43.62%	44.87%	61.55%	12.12%	26.34%	46.16%	31.90%	82.85%	2.45%	14.68%	42.06%	21.48%	36.45%	62.14%	21.80%	9.35%	56.56%	25.72%	44.13%
25	4.86%	74.37%	27.57%	40.22%	32.21%	3.13%	62.61%	73.13%	5.88%	20.88%	27.47%	37.80%	34.73%	8.29%	62.39%	0.46%	58.84%	2.03%	67.50%
26	11.34%	72.44%	34.64%	32.40%	32.96%	10.02%	62.59%	78.51%	3.29%	18.16%	28.59%	35.99%	35.41%	22.71%	51.67%	1.02%	69.53%	5.52%	67.25%
27	5.95%	70.35%	35.31%	32.02%	32.67%	4.60%	58.79%	75.92%	4.30%	19.74%	29.35%	37.54%	33.10%	9.89%	48.88%	0.62%	68.94%	2.78%	59.56%

	2020 0	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Elect	on Turnou	i i						G	ieneral Elec	tion Perforn	nance in Sta	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	020
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:	DEM wi	no are:	REP w	ho are:	Va	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	no are:	Hisp.	Voters who	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	46.20%	9.14%	66.89%	1.10%	3.18%	1.60%	60.90%	26.03%	13.05%	67.14%	2.42%	3.77%	2.87%	27.66%	6.62%	89.83%	2.15%	7.94%	46.26%	24.61%	27.94%	60.9%	37.6%	14	0	D +37%	D +11.8%	D +23.7%
9	14.26%	41.53%	21.78%	25.89%	0.79%	8.48%	41.14%	33.73%	25.13%	21.65%	33.88%	1.08%	12.62%	6.39%	34.24%	81.78%	3.31%	14.78%	52.01%	15.84%	32.10%	51.2%	47.0%	11	3	D +24.6%	D +1.7%	D +4.5%
10	26.70%	28.95%	49.28%	11.19%	2.05%	6.42%	46.21%	30.41%	23.38%	43.09%	17.79%	2.27%	9.37%	13.12%	22.22%	84.01%	2.91%	13.03%	50.49%	17.46%	31.98%	58.6%	39.8%	14	0	D +32.1%	D +1%	D +19.3%
20	52.37%	26.75%	71.18%	4.86%	7.69%	16.30%	67.65%	13.26%	19.08%	66.96%	10.00%	8.22%	23.91%	30.92%	23.72%	86.57%	2.08%	11.27%	46.24%	22.14%	31.26%	80.3%	18.9%	14	0	D +68.4%	D +55.2%	D +61.6%
24	43.62%	44.87%	72.58%	10.82%	8.04%	53.14%	68.80%	11.56%	19.63%	66.18%	17.39%	8.49%	52.65%	27.10%	41.06%	87.88%	1.89%	10.21%	45.45%	23.64%	30.88%	82.2%	16.9%	14	0	D +72.4%	D +51.4%	D +65.4%
25	4.86%	74.37%	9.41%	48.39%	0.28%	56.85%	27.94%	45.66%	26.40%	9.02%	56.83%	0.35%	56.62%	1.74%	63.79%	80.09%	5.11%	14.74%	27.06%	44.21%	28.72%	39.7%	59.0%	0	14	R +32.8%	R +2.2%	R +19.1%
26	11.34%	72.44%	27.03%	33.42%	0.62%	65.65%	36.69%	36.43%	26.88%	24.64%	44.68%	0.85%	66.14%	5.39%	63.18%	83.69%	2.86%	13.40%	28.38%	42.08%	29.53%	51.4%	47.4%	9	5	D +16.2%	R +1.2%	D +4.3%
27	5.95%	70.35%	12.14%	36.09%	0.35%	72.64%	36.60%	36.49%	26.92%	10.67%	43.66%	0.48%	68.13%	2.60%	58.06%	81.50%	3.71%	14.68%	28.21%	44.12%	27.66%	51.9%	47.0%	11	3	D +19.5%	D +0.6%	D +5.5%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan FLCD2016	BVAP	46.20%	14.26%	26.70%	52.37%	43.62%	4.86%	11.34%	5.95%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.14%	41.53%	28.95%	26.75%	44.87%	74.37%	72.44%	70.35%
		R_Baldauf	0.67%	0.60%	0.73%	1.46%	2.49%	1.72%	1.79%	1.49%
		R_DeSantis	50.91%	43.76%	53.04%	60.72%	63.42%	66.96%	67.81%	67.52%
		R_Devine	1.16%	1.26%	1.61%	2.07%	3.63%	2.79%	3.35%	3.02%
	Governor (REP)	R_Langford	1.21%	1.03%	1.61%	1.66%	2.18%	1.40%	1.69%	1.59%
	Governor (KEF)	R_Mercadante	0.47%	0.75%	0.86%	1.54%	2.92%	1.78%	1.98%	2.15%
		R_Nathan	0.75%	0.66%	0.86%	1.49%	2.13%	1.02%	1.36%	1.55%
		R_Putnam	42.67%	49.98%	38.99%	25.91%	17.92%	21.75%	18.18%	19.02%
		R_White	1.63%	1.83%	2.10%	2.92%	4.65%	2.52%	3.59%	3.42%
		D_Gillum	58.61%	30.20%	45.10%	57.43%	53.26%	22.99%	34.50%	25.61%
		D_Graham	22.66%	31.00%	27.10%	11.98%	10.90%	23.62%	20.26%	23.07%
		D Greene	5.55%	13.26%	9.56%	9.05%	10.02%	11.00%	10.07%	7.50%
	Governor (DEM)	_ D_King	1.33%	3.87%	3.50%	0.79%	0.88%	2.82%	1.97%	1.52%
		D Levine	10.24%	18.68%	13.36%	19.91%	23.97%	36.52%	31.17%	40.79%
		_ D Lundmark	0.45%	1.15%	0.47%	0.27%	0.46%	1.50%	0.89%	0.71%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.79%	1.60%	0.82%	0.32%	0.40%	1.43%	0.95%	0.62%
		R Moody	58.32%	57.66%	55.16%	55.28%	51.96%	51.91%	54.79%	54.98%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	41.59%	42.32%	44.85%	44.03%	47.82%	48.07%	45.11%	45.03%
-		D Shaw	79.03%	62.16%	72.79%	82.42%	82.19%	62.60%	71.18%	73.79%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Torrens	20.91%	37.82%	27.22%	17.55%	17.80%	37.41%	28.82%	26.23%
-		R Caldwell	36.46%	28.61%	35.26%	42.40%	40.96%	42.29%	42.31%	39.76%
		R Grimsley	22.86%	27.50%	31.60%	26.92%	30.65%	28.78%	31.19%	32.65%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R McCalister	9.16%	11.88%	15.39%	20.21%	17.38%	12.73%	16.58%	17.11%
		R Troutman	30.93%	31.82%	17.64%	8.43%	10.37%	16.18%	9.67%	10.38%
-		D Fried	60.02%	53.62%	55.07%	62.94%	57.49%	50.87%	53.09%	61.30%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D Porter	19.67%	18.77%	18.25%	16.26%	18.80%	19.65%	20.63%	13.91%
	Agriculture commissioner (BEIII)	D Walker	20.19%	27.55%	26.67%	20.75%	23.70%	29.42%	26.23%	24.76%
-		R De La Fuente	11.04%	9.15%	11.06%	14.74%	17.08%	9.41%	12.22%	13.20%
	US Senate (REP)	R Scott	88.77%	90.79%	88.92%	84.33%	82.85%	90.58%	87.73%	86.78%
		R Beruff	21.35%	19.39%	17.64%	13.07%	8.02%	9.59%	6.37%	6.02%
		R Rivera	3.83%	3.05%	2.52%	4.45%	3.64%	2.20%	2.81%	1.99%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rubio	5.85% 68.10%	70.54%	74.37%	72.02%	79.92%	84.44%	86.01%	88.05%
			6.23%		5.36%	8.29%	79.92%	3.74%	4.77%	3.79%
2016		R_Young	4.17%	6.82% 12.55%	4.89%	3.29%	6.37%	20.68%		11.64%
2010		D_De La Fuente		39.05%	4.89%				12.73%	
		D_Grayson	18.26%			9.52%	10.12%	12.29%	11.19%	11.78%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	14.98%	10.52%	12.27%	14.91%	13.73%	14.57%	15.83%	17.86%
		D_Luster	11.29%	1.42%	2.23%	2.35%	2.89%	1.72%	1.85%	1.30%
		D_Murphy	50.92%	36.18%	37.83%	69.44%	66.77%	50.65%	58.14%	57.19%
	(252)	R_Adeshina	1.50%	1.58%	1.64%	2.45%	3.30%	1.26%	1.82%	1.88%
	Governor (REP)	R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.71%	10.78%	9.92%	15.26%	15.62%	10.21%	14.79%	13.77%
		R_Scott	89.43%	87.50%	88.32%	80.79%	80.75%	88.46%	83.24%	84.26%
2014	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	75.18%	74.85%	80.06%	84.62%	84.92%	74.04%	78.65%	73.25%
_	• •	D_Rich	24.65%	24.92%	19.90%	15.25%	15.09%	25.79%	21.27%	26.63%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	61.06%	59.89%	50.11%	37.37%	44.20%	67.24%	58.97%	69.29%
		D_Thurston	38.89%	39.98%	49.91%	62.53%	55.81%	32.69%	40.88%	30.61%
		R_Mack	56.41%	47.68%	57.84%	65.27%	71.55%	73.61%	73.54%	76.92%
	US Senate (REP)	R_McCalister	18.56%	12.36%	11.36%	12.26%	7.28%	8.49%	7.35%	5.34%
2012		R_Stuart	6.21%	6.68%	5.24%	7.54%	13.33%	11.71%	13.34%	12.65%
2012		R_Weldon	17.97%	32.91%	25.43%	12.50%	7.17%	6.17%	5.61%	4.86%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	21.27%	22.72%	13.74%	13.71%	14.80%	22.81%	18.27%	14.18%
	OS SCHOLE (DLIM)	D Nelson	78.59%	77.05%	86.18%	86.04%	85.18%	77.05%	81.63%	85.74%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan FLCD2016	BVAP	46.20%	14.26%	26.70%	52.37%	43.62%	4.86%	11.34%	5.95%
	General Elections	HVAP	9.14%	41.53%	28.95%	26.75%	44.87%	74.37%	72.44%	70.35%
2020	President	D_Biden	62.59%	52.99%	62.01%	77.32%	75.42%	37.85%	46.86%	51.18%
2020	President	R_Trump	36.27%	46.05%	37.02%	22.12%	24.00%	61.61%	52.56%	48.27%
	Governor	D_Gillum	64.88%	54.67%	63.26%	82.18%	83.57%	41.38%	53.02%	54.60%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	34.20%	43.91%	35.72%	17.25%	15.65%	57.27%	45.81%	44.34%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	61.58%	51.49%	59.61%	80.60%	81.90%	39.54%	51.46%	53.50%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	36.88%	46.64%	38.78%	18.20%	16.58%	58.45%	46.41%	44.58%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	62.80%	54.02%	61.48%	81.86%	83.36%	40.97%	52.49%	54.18%
2010	Chief Financial Officer	R_Patronis	37.19%	45.98%	38.52%	18.14%	16.63%	59.03%	47.51%	45.82%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	63.83%	55.52%	63.22%	82.12%	83.61%	42.21%	53.96%	56.28%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Caldwell	36.16%	44.48%	36.78%	17.86%	16.38%	57.78%	46.04%	43.73%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	64.78%	53.96%	62.76%	81.94%	83.27%	41.92%	54.00%	55.96%
	03 Senate	R_Scott	35.22%	46.05%	37.24%	18.07%	16.73%	58.08%	46.00%	44.03%
	President	D_Clinton	60.92%	54.79%	61.76%	80.18%	82.88%	47.70%	56.76%	58.46%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	36.19%	41.90%	34.88%	18.09%	15.39%	49.90%	40.56%	38.98%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	55.43%	49.67%	55.92%	77.24%	77.67%	37.44%	48.20%	49.24%
	05 Senate	R_Rubio	41.30%	46.03%	40.32%	20.99%	20.18%	60.34%	49.43%	48.63%
	Governor	D_Crist	59.33%	47.91%	55.49%	81.52%	84.02%	37.15%	52.07%	51.41%
	Governor	R_Scott	37.10%	46.26%	40.09%	16.60%	14.28%	60.11%	45.06%	46.13%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	55.70%	43.06%	52.45%	78.03%	81.43%	32.54%	46.83%	47.62%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	41.85%	54.00%	44.81%	20.66%	17.08%	65.13%	50.81%	50.30%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	55.92%	43.89%	50.63%	77.67%	81.51%	33.79%	46.85%	44.48%
	chief financial officer	R_Atwater	44.08%	56.11%	49.36%	22.32%	18.49%	66.20%	53.13%	55.52%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	57.80%	40.71%	50.50%	79.21%	81.92%	33.60%	47.07%	45.47%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Putnam	42.18%	59.29%	49.50%	20.77%	18.07%	66.39%	52.92%	54.53%
	President	D_Obama	63.57%	55.67%	60.78%	82.50%	85.78%	44.91%	55.48%	53.07%
2012	Fresident	R_Romney	35.62%	43.46%	38.48%	17.12%	13.88%	54.57%	43.97%	46.40%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	67.35%	60.89%	65.14%	83.62%	85.64%	47.11%	57.03%	55.41%
	us senate	R_Mack	30.31%	36.34%	33.01%	15.26%	13.24%	50.65%	41.37%	43.23%



## Census and Boundary Statistics

Page	2
------	---

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 5 51 21 46 54 54

> Counties split into more than one district Counties with all population in a single district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

Aggregate number of county splits

412 351 61 362 362 132 121

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan S000C8002

District lines and City and County Boundaries

	Deviation	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Ge	eographic	Boundar	ies:
Dist.	Total %	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1 0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	270.3	0.80	0.41	0.44	46	54	351	132	10%	59%	20%	37%	3%	11%
1	0 0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	339	0.87	0.48	0.54	3	1	16	0	4%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0 0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	872	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0 0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0 0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0 0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0 0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0 0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.42	0.66	1	2	7	5	7%	40%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0 0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0 0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.36	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0 0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	17%	65%	24%	21%	5%	5%
11	0 0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,551	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	6	9%	65%	21%	40%	0%	11%
12	0 0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0 0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1 0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0 0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0 0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0 0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0 0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0 0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0 0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0 0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	260	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	7	16%	5%	38%	2%	6%	45%
22	0 0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	32%	42%	13%	9%
23	0 0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0 0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0 0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0 0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0 0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0 0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

C	Counties include	ed in more th	an one distri	ct		Counties included in more than one district	Counties included in more than one district	Counties included in more than one district
County		Total Pop			Area%	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Ar
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.6	16.2%			
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%			
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%			
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	72.1	5.5%			
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.5	11.1%			
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%			
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%			
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%			
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%			
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%			
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%			
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%			
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%			
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%			
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%			
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%			
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%			
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%			
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%			
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%			
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%			
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%			
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%			
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%			
Lee	19 2	553,643	72.8% 49.7%	1,254.9 488.5	82.8% 69.6%			
Leon		145,318						
Leon Marion	5	146,880 194,667	50.3% 51.8%	213.3 1,102.6	30.4% 66.3%			
Marion	11	194,007	48.2%	560.1	33.7%			
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	48.2%	163.3	6.8%			
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%			
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%			
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%			
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%			
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%			
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%			
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%			
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%			
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%			
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.5	7.9%			
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%			
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%			
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%			
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%			
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%			
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%			
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%			
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%			
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%			
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%			
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%			
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%			
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.1	58.3%			
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.3	41.7%			

Image         Image <th< th=""><th></th><th>Cities included</th><th>d in more thar</th><th>one district</th><th></th><th></th><th>(</th><th>Cities included</th><th>l in more tha</th><th>n one distric</th><th>t</th><th></th><th></th><th>Cities included</th><th>l in more tha</th><th>n one distric</th><th>t</th><th></th><th></th><th>Cities</th><th>included in more</th><th>e than one</th><th>district</th><th></th></th<>		Cities included	d in more thar	one district			(	Cities included	l in more tha	n one distric	t			Cities included	l in more tha	n one distric	t			Cities	included in more	e than one	district	
Bale Method         Bo         Bo         Bo         Book         Book <t< th=""><th>City</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Total Area</th><th>Area%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Area%</th><th>City</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Area%</th><th>City</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>a Area%</th></t<>	City				Total Area	Area%						Area%	City					Area%	City					a Area%
Important         1        1         1         1<	Belle Isle	9	219	3.1%		4.5%	Maitland	10	5,888	30.1%	1.7	26.7%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%			• •		•	
Bernsen         12 <t< td=""><td>Belle Isle</td><td>10</td><td>6,813</td><td>96.9%</td><td>5.0</td><td>95.5%</td><td>Margate</td><td>20</td><td>12,910</td><td>22.0%</td><td>1.9</td><td>20.8%</td><td>Tallahassee</td><td>5</td><td>114,062</td><td>58.1%</td><td>34.8</td><td>33.6%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Belle Isle	10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	95.5%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%						
Charler         1         Constr         Constr <t< td=""><td>Boynton Beach</td><td>20</td><td>19,290</td><td>24.0%</td><td>2.6</td><td>15.9%</td><td>Margate</td><td>21</td><td>45,802</td><td>78.0%</td><td>7.2</td><td>79.2%</td><td>Tampa</td><td>14</td><td>263,534</td><td>68.5%</td><td>126.1</td><td>71.7%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%						
Owner         1         None         9.500         9.50	Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Mascotte		4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%						
Control         1         Bible         Pice         La         Matrix         Pice         Pice        Pice         Pice	Clearwater						Mascotte					10.9%	Tarpon Springs											
Cerement         2         1.11         7.14         1.25         4.24         Main         7         Main         7         Main	Clearwater						Miami																	
Camber Ale         P        P         P																								
Camber Camber Camber Camber Camber Camber Camber Camber Camber111																								
Chale Mode         No         No      <																								
Diarbard         P         Res         P        P     <																								
Data Bach         2         9.20         <	,																							
Danie Beach         P         Point Beach         P	,		-						-						-									
Delay         7         7.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>								-	-															
Defend         Constraint         Constraint<																								
bernef         bernef<															-									
Dending         1         4         6         5         6         0        0         0         0 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>		-						-																
Decircipation         1         <																								
Detary Bach         D         O          O         O <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>15.037</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									15.037						0									
being106,4340.000.100															68.107									
Debins67.5.378.1.483.1.77.2.400.2.400.2.400.2.2.402.2.402.2.41/4Debins70.5.605.4	,		66,846	100.0%		100.0%	Ocala				44.0	93.2%			23,065									
rot Lauderabie         2         6.660         3.78	Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Ocala	11	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8%	Winter Park	10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%						
International         Part and Part Additional         Par	Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%												
Instance         Image	Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%												
fort Myers         i         Value         Value </td <td>Fort Lauderdale</td> <td>22</td> <td>108,057</td> <td>59.1%</td> <td>20.5</td> <td>56.5%</td> <td>Orlando</td> <td>7</td> <td>22,957</td> <td>7.5%</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>4.8%</td> <td></td>	Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Orlando	7	22,957	7.5%	5.7	4.8%												
Ford Meyers         19         54.21         6.28         92.0         91.00         10         Paine Bach         18         2.6         9.5         9.5           Gainewille         3         10.684         91.95         10.5         Paine Bach         Gainewille         18         2.6         6.6           Groveland         11         15.64         91.15         2.5         Paine Bach         6.6         6.6           Groveland         18         16.84         91.15         2.5         Paine Bach         6.6         6.6           Groveland         18         16.846         91.0         2.5         91.0         92.5	Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Orlando	9	103,806	33.8%	68.6	57.7%												
Galanswile         3         100         10	Fort Myers						Orlando																	
Gameshife         3         14.08         99.94         63.4         99.94         63.1         99.54           Groweland         12         15.664         93.8         93.8         93.8         93.8         93.8           Groweland         28         0.32         3.53         0.03         0.53           Hallanda Baach         24         0.32         2.55         1.4         2.90         0.00         0.10         93.8           Hallanda Baach         24         0.325         2.55         1.4         2.90         Pontoke Park         2.90         93.95           Hallanda Baach         24         0.32         0.05         1.00         0.05         1.00         0.05         1.00           Holpwood         20         7.55         1.00																								
Groweland         11         15.64         9.15         25.3         9.64         27.2         0.5%         0.3           Groweland         16.4         16.41         95.3         3.2%         Permbrake Park         23         0         0.0%         0.3         0.5%           Hallandale Beach         22         30.25         73.5%         3.2         Permbrake Park         24         6.30         0.0%         1.7         99.2%           Hallandale Beach         24         10.2         25.5%         1.4         29.9%         Permbrake Park         24         6.30         0.0%         0.0           Hollywood         23         126.28         76.1%         18.6         0.5%         Permbrake Park         14         0         0.0%         0.0         0.0%           Hollywood         24         45.8         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%         0.0%           Jacksonville         4         45.18         48.47         48.0         9.7%         9.8%         9.1%         9.00           Jacksonville         4         45.18         44.9         9.0%         Pompano Beach         25         9.6%         24.8%         4.13         5.																								
Grouend         28         0.41         8.98         0.28         0.008         0.008           Hallandale Baech         20         0.025         3.12         0.018         0.0108         0.018           Hallandale Baech         20         0.025         3.12         0.018         0.0108         0.018           Hollywood         22         0.128         0.128         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018           Hollywood         23         11.552         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018           Hollywood         24         0.158         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018           Hollywood         24         0.58         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018           Hollywood         24         0.58         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018         0.018           Jackson/loo         24         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.18         0.																								
Hallandele Beach         2         30.25         73.25         31.2         70.15         Pentroke Pines         24         6.260         10.00%         1.7         99.28           Hallandele Beach         22         34.83         26.55         1.2         23.95         Pentroke Pines         24         43.3         0.3%         0.00%         1.4         99.95           Hollywood         23         16.58         70.55         18.45         Pentroke Pines         24         43.3         0.3%         0.00         0.1%           Hollywood         23         16.58         70.57         16.81         0.00         0.00         0.00%           Hypolux         20         2.14         Pinelis Part         14         0.00%         0.00         0.00%           Jacksonville         4         48.84         41.00         2.0%         55.52         47.87         15.83         3.7         2.8         16.8         10.00%           Jacksonville         4         48.84         41.00         2.55.52         47.87         2.8         2.55         2.64%           Jacksonville         4         48.94         10.2         5.55         47.87         2.8         2.55         2.64% </td <td></td>																								
Hallandie Beach2410,92225,8'1.429,9'Pemboke Pines23170,2''99,7''99,7''Hollywood2234,81'22,9''18,6''18,6''60,5''Pinelas Park1353,0''10,0''Hollywood24115,5''76,1''18,6''0,0''10,0''10,0''10,0''Hollywood2415,5''10,5''0,0''10,0''10,0''10,0''Hypolux2252,4''73,0''0,5''14,1''14,1''00,0''15,5''Jacksonville446,14'45,6''13,0''14,1''14,1''14,1''14,1''15,5'''14,1'''Jacksonville565,5''64,9''PinanaBeach24,1''3,3''3,7''14,8''Jacksonville565,5''51,8''90,9''PinanaBeach24,1''3,3''3,5''14,8''Jacksonville655,5''51,8''61,4''90,9''90,9''14,9'''14,8''15,5'''14,5'''Jacksonville765,5''51,8''61,4'''90,9'''90,8'''14,8''''14,5''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''			-						-															
Hollywood2234 98122.934 98112.038.99Pherboke Prines2453.090.030.01Hollywood2316.52876.1610.6660.56Pinellas Park1400.00%Hollywood2054.6810.06Pinellas Park1400.00%0.08Hypoluxo2054.680.330.3335.19Pinetion2384.0591.069.01Jacksonville464.1843.043.023.247.8413.559.8Jacksonville564.18444.0552.88Pompano Beach213.733.7414.85Jacksonville565.7554.3461.150.18Pompano Beach213.7314.85Lake Park1354.989.09Pompano Beach213.733.7414.85Lake Park1354.989.09Pompano Beach2254.4042.1823.55Lake Park1354.989.09Pompano Beach1354.9824.25%Lake Park1354.989.09Pompano Beach1354.9824.25%Lake Park1354.989.099.079.079.28.9213.8554.98Lake Park1354.9844.981354.9845.9545.9645.96Lake Park1354.9814.9554.9814.9554.9842.1554.9842.15Lake																								
Hollywood23116,5276.1%16.660.5%Pinellas Park1350.03100.%16.8100.%Hollywood241.5581.5580.030.33.100.040.050.05KHypolux222.1417.770.564.9%Plantation2384.039.103.5389.10KJacksonville446.1848.8425.14841.3042.059.13733.739.169.158Jacksonville564.875.14941.3061.379.13733.733.7314.88Lake City55.65745.9761.49.078Pomponebech2348.9721.4825.86Lake City55.65745.9761.49.10K8.67721.4848.9825.8714.88Lake City55.65745.9761.49.10K8.67721.484.5842.98Lake City55.65745.9761.49.10K42.185.64.20%Lake City55.65745.9761.49.10K42.185.64.20%Lake City55.65745.9761.49.10K42.185.64.20%Lake City55.6575.4677.478.04817.8642.145.64.20%Lake City55.6575.477.478.04817.865.6574.20%Lake City55.4875.484.168 <td></td>																								
Holpwood241,581.0%0.020.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Hypoluxo205460.3%0.56.4%Plantation207.6978.4%1.35.9%Jackonville44461,18448.6%41.3047.2%Pompano Beach205.5524.7%1.054.64%Jackonville444.61,18446.55.3%Pompano Beach205.3,5324.7%1.054.64%Jackonville26.67%5.6674.5%6.19.0%0.02.55.4%1.33.3%Lake City55.6574.5%6.19.0%Pompano Beach2.25.4%1.33.3%1.5%Lake Park205.6574.5%6.18.0%Pompano Beach1.86.6772.1%2.5%Lake Park207.8%6.2%8.0%1.86.772.1%5.664.6%Lake Park207.8%6.9%8.941.1%6.6%2.1%5.6%4.6%Lake Park207.8%6.9%8.9%1.1%4.6%1.1%5.6%4.6%Lake Park208.0%2.1%4.6%1.1%4.6%1.1%5.6%4.6%Lake Park208.0%8.0%8.1%4.1%5.6%4.6%Lake Park208.0%8.1%4.1%1.1%5.6%4.6%Lake Park208.0%8.1% <td></td>																								
Hypoluxo2054620.3%0.335.3%Plantation207.6978.4%1.35.9%Hypoluxo222,1417.7%0.564.9%Plantation238.4039.16%20.39.16%Jackonville441.8448.6441.307.7%0.564.9%Plantation238.4039.16%0.2024.8%Jackonville548.84751.4%46.1552.8%Pompano Beach213.7133.3%3.74.8%Lake City266.7745.9%61.19.0%Pompano Beach213.7133.3%3.74.8%Lake Park1812.4513.8%61.49.0%Pompano Beach218.1%4.8%4.139.5%Lake Park1814.2513.8%61.49.1%Pompano Beach218.1%4.8%4.139.5%Lake Park1814.2513.8%61.49.1%Pompano Beach2028.9277.5%6.69.5%Lake Park1814.2513.8%0.0%0.812.1%12.1%12.1%12.1%Lake Park129.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%Lake Park129.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%Lake Park129.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%9.4%Lake Park <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																								
Hypoluxo222,14179.7%0.564.9%Plantation2384.05391.6%20.894.1%Jacksonville4461.18448.6%413.047.2%Pompano Beach2053.5247.8%10.542.6%Lake Oriville266.67254.1%61.19.9%Pompano Beach2254.303.7133.3%3.714.8%Lake City565.5745.9%61.150.1%Riviera Beach2088.9723.1%42.6%Lake Park207.80286.2%20.8Riviera Beach2088.9723.1%42.8%Lake Park207.80286.2%80.91 Palm Beach2087.9723.1%42.8%Lake Vorth Beach207.80280.92 Palm Beach207.7%42.1%50.9Lake Vorth Beach207.80280.92 Palm Beach207.7%42.1%50.9Lake Vorth Beach2019.6%80.91 Palm Beach207.7%42.1%50.9Lake Vorth Beach2080.8270.3%1.487.7%51.40g stille52.4%51.6Lake Vorth Beach2080.8270.3%1.487.7%51.40g stille52.4%51.6Lake Vorth Beach2080.8270.3%1.487.7%51.40g stille52.4%51.6%Lake Vorth Beach2080.8270.3%1.487.7%51.40g stille52.4%51.6%Lake Vo																								
Jacksonville4461,18448.6%413.047.2%Pompano Beach2053,53247.8%10.542.6%Jacksonville5458,42751.4%461.552.8%Pompano Beach2143.733.33.714.8%Lake City556.5745.9%61.149.9%Pompano Beach2254,6148.9%23.1%42.6%Lake City556.5745.9%61.150.1%Riviera Beach2023.1%23.1%23.1%23.1%Lake Park1812.4513.8%0.417.1%Riviera Beach2042.1%23.1%23.1%Lake Park1919.5%45.9%6.116.0%42.1%43.1%43.1%43.1%43.1%Lake Park1919.5%45.9%6.120.1%10.1%10.1%10.1%10.1%Lake Park1919.5%45.9%6.120.1%10.1%10.1%10.1%Lake Park1919.5%45.9%56.648.0%Lake Park2019.5%45.9%56.648.0%Lake Park2034.2%19.1%10.1%10.1%Lake Park2034.2%19.1%10.1%10.1%Lake Park2034.2%13.8%14.2%19.1%Lake Park2034.2%13.8%14.2%19.1%Lake Park2034.2%13.8%14.8%37.9%Lake Park21.4% <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																								
Jacksonville5488,42751.4%461.552.8%Pompan Beach213,713.3%3.714.8%Lake City26,67254.1%6.149.9%Pompano Beach2254,80148.9%10.542.6%Lake City55.65745.9%6.150.1%Rivera Beach188,67723.1%2.829.5%Lake Park181,24513.8%0.417.1%Rivera Beach186,6776.87.5%Lake Park207,80286.2%0.082.9%Royal Palm Beach1816,40742.1%5.042.9%Lake Worth Beach2019,65646.6%2.13.0%Royal Palm Beach1816,4071.1%41.9%Lake Worth Beach2019,65646.6%2.13.0%Royal Palm Beach144.1%5.042.9%Lake Worth Beach208,0827.3%1.652.4%St. Augustine42,4471.1%9.1%Largo137,5119.1%1.78.7.8%5.1.81.1.8%1.21.9%Largo147,349.0%2.55.6%St. Augustine41.7.3%6.7%5.1%Loxahatche Groves161.76%5.2.%5.6%St. Augustine41.7.4%5.1%Loxahatche Groves161.76%5.6%St. Augustine5.6%5.1%5.1%Loxahatche Groves161.76%5																								
Lake Cirv55,65745.9%6.150.1%Riviera Beach188,67723.1%2.829.5%Lake Park181,24513.8%0.417.1%Riviera Beach2028,92776.9%6.870.5%Lake Park207,96586.2%2.082.9%Royal Palm Beach1816,40742.1%5.042.9%Lake Worth Beach2019,65646.6%2.13.0%Royal Palm Beach2017,8142.5%5.648.0%Lake Worth Beach208.2%70.3%1.65.2%1.7%1.61.21%Lantana208.0%70.3%1.65.2.4%S.t. Augustine42.44717.1%1.61.21%Largo1375,10191.1%1.7%S.t. Augustine42.44717.1%1.61.21%Largo147.3849.0%2.51.2.5%S.t. Augustine61.8228.2%1.138.7%Largo147.3849.0%2.51.2.5%S.t. Petersburg132.41,059.3%1.2.1%Loxahatche Groves147.785.7%S. Onrise203.6,0%3.7%5.7%Loxahatche Groves201.5886.7%5.0%6.1%5.1%6.1%5.7%Loxahatche Groves210.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%		5																						
Lake Cir55,65745.9%6.150.1%Riviera Beach188,67723.1%2.829.5%Lake Park181.241.38%0.417.1%Riviera Beach2028,92776.9%6.870.5%Lake Park207.80286.2%2.082.9%Royal Palm Beach1228.2976.9%6.870.5%Lake Worth Beach2019.56546.6%2.13.0%Royal Palm Beach2017.8142.1%5.042.9%Lake Worth Beach2022,52353.4%4.769.4%Royal Palm Beach214.6412.0%11.9.1%Lantana208.02%70.3%1.652.4%52.4ugustine42.44717.1%1.612.1%Largo1375.109.1%51.Augustine42.44717.1%1.612.1%Largo147.389.0%52.552.7 Fersburg1324.1009.3%12.469.3%Loxahatche Groves147.7852.7%53.6%53.7%53.7%53.7%Loxahatche Groves157.5%7.0%53.6%53.7%53.7%Loxahatche Groves107.5%54.7%53.6%53.7%Loxahatche Groves200.5%54.7%53.6%54.7%54.3%Loxahatche Groves210.5%54.7%53.6%54.7%54.3%Loxahatche Groves210.5%54.7% <td></td> <td>2</td> <td></td>		2																						
Lake Park207,80286.2%82.0%80.2080.29Royal Palm Beach1816,40742.1%5.042.9%Lake Worth Beach2019,65546.6%2.130.6%Royal Palm Beach2017,86145.9%5.648.0%Lake Worth Beach2222,56353.4%4.769.4%Royal Palm Beach214,66412.0%1.19.1%Lantana208,08270.3%1.652.4%St. Augustine44,24417.1%1.612.1%Lartana208,42229.8%1.447.7%St. Augustine611,88282.9%11.387.9%Largo1375,10191.1%17.187.5%St. Petersburg13241.00593.3%12.4694.9%Loxahatchee Groves147,3849.0%2.512.5%St. Petersburg1417.336.7%5.1%Loxahatchee Groves2015.8847.3%S.0Sunrise236.0437.0%4.424.4%Loxahatchee Groves2100.0%0.08.0%0.00.0%0.00.0%	Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Riviera Beach		8,677	23.1%	2.8	29.5%												
Lake Worth Beach2019,65646.6%2.130.6%Royal Palm Beach2017,86145.9%5.648.0%Lake Worth Beach2222,56353.4%4.769.4%Royal Palm Beach214,66412.0%1.19.1%Lantana208,08270.3%1.652.4%St. Augustine42,44717.1%1.612.1%Lantana208,08270.3%1.652.4%St. Augustine42,44717.1%1.612.1%Lartana208,42229.8%1.187.5%St. Augustine611,82282.9%11.387.9%Largo1375,10191.1%17.187.5%St. Petersburg13241,00593.3%124.694.9%Loxahatchee Groves141,7849.0%2.512.5%St. Petersburg1417,306.7%5.1%Loxahatchee Groves201,56847.3%5.443.7%Sunrise206.0,3137.0%4.424.4%Loxahatchee Groves210.0%0.0%0.4%Swetwater236.1,316.3%17.6%Loxahatchee Groves210.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%	Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%												
Lake Worth Beach2222,56353.4%4.769.4%Royal Palm Beach214,66412.0%1.19.1%Lantana208,08270.3%1.652.4%St. Augustine42,44717.1%1.612.1%Lantana203,42229.8%1.447.7%St. Augustine611,82282.9%11.387.9%Largo1375,10191.1%1.787.5%St. Petersburg1324.10593.5%12.694.9%Largo147,3849.0%2.512.5%St. Petersburg1417.336.7%6.7%5.1%Loxahatche Groves181.76752.7%7.056.0%Sunrise2036.0437.0%4.42.44%Loxahatche Groves2100.0%0.08.0%36.116.7%57.6%Loxahatche Groves2100.0%0.00.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%	Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%												
Lantana       20       8,082       70.3%       1.6       52.4%       St. Augustine       4       2,447       17.1%       1.6       12.1%         Lantana       22       3,422       29.8%       1.4       47.7%       St. Augustine       6       13,82       87.9%         Largo       13       75,101       91.1%       1.7.8       52.7%       13.3       87.9%         Largo       14       7,384       9.0%       2.5       12.5%       St. Petersburg       13       87.9%         Losahatche Groves       14       7,384       9.0%       2.5       12.5%       St. Petersburg       13       87.9%         Losahatchee Groves       14       7,384       9.0%       2.5       87.9%       14       17.3%       12.4%         Losahatchee Groves       14       7,384       9.0%       2.5       87.9%       14       17.3%       6.7%       51.7%         Losahatchee Groves       2       15.8%       50.0%       Sumrise       2       6.1%       37.0%       37.6%         Losahatchee Groves       2       0       0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0% <td>Lake Worth Beach</td> <td>20</td> <td>19,656</td> <td>46.6%</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>30.6%</td> <td>Royal Palm Beach</td> <td>20</td> <td>17,861</td> <td>45.9%</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>48.0%</td> <td></td>	Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.0%												
Lantana       22       3,422       29.8%       1.4       47.7%       St. Augustine       6       11,82       82.9%       11.3       87.9%         Largo       13       75,101       91.1%       17.1       87.5%       St. Petersburg       13       241,005       93.3%       12.4       94.9%         Largo       14       7,38       9.0%       2.5       12.5%       St. Petersburg       14       17,33       6.7%       5.1%         Loxahatche Groves       1       7.67       5.0%       Sumrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24,4%         Loxahatche Groves       2       15.8%       4.7%       Sumrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24,4%         Loxahatche Groves       2       15.8%       4.7%       Sumrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24.4%         Loxahatche Groves       2       0       0.6%       0.3%       0.0%	Lake Worth Beach		22,563		4.7	69.4%	Royal Palm Beach	21		12.0%	1.1	9.1%												
Largo       13       75,101       91.1%       17.1       87.5%       St. Petersburg       13       241,005       93.3%       12.46       94.9%         Largo       14       7,384       9.0%       2.5       12.5%       St. Petersburg       14       17,303       6.7%       6.7       5.1%         Loxahatchee Groves       18       17.6       52.7%       7.0       56.0%       Sumrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24.4%         Loxahatchee Groves       20       15.88       47.3%       55.4       43.7%       Sumrise       23       61.31       63.7%       52.6%         Loxahatchee Groves       21       0       0.0%       0.3.4%       93.3%       12.46       94.9%         Loxahatchee Groves       20       15.88       47.3%       Sumrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24.4%         Loxahatchee Groves       21       0       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%       0.0%							St. Augustine	4																
Largo       14       7,38       9.0%       2.5       12.5%       St. Petersburg       14       17,303       6.7%       5.1%         Loxabatchee Groves       18       1,767       52.7%       7.0       56.0%       Sunrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24.4%         Loxabatchee Groves       20       1,58       47.3%       5.5       43.7%       Sunrise       23       61,311       63.0%       13.7       75.6%         Loxabatchee Groves       21       0       0.0%       0.0%       0.0       0.8%	Lantana						St. Augustine	6																
Loxahatchee Groves       18       1,767       52.7%       7.0       56.0%       Sunrise       20       36,024       37.0%       4.4       24.4%         Loxahatchee Groves       20       1,588       47.3%       5.5       43.7%       Sunrise       23       61,311       63.0%       13.7       75.6%         Loxahatchee Groves       21       0       0.0%       0.0       0.4%       Sweetwater       25       0       0.0%       0.0       0.8%	-						-																	
Loxahatchee Groves 20 1,588 47.3% 5.5 43.7% Sunrise 23 61,311 63.0% 13.7 75.6% Loxahatchee Groves 21 0 0.0% 0.0 0.4% Sweetwater 25 0 0.0% 0.0 0.8%	-						•																	
Loxahatchee Groves 21 0 0.0% 0.0 0.4% Sweetwater 25 0 0.0% 0.0 0.8%			-						-															
viaituano / 15,055 b9.9% 4.8 /3.3% Sweetwater 2b 19,563 100.0% 2.3 99.3%			0						•															
	iviaitiand	/	13,655	69.9%	4.8	/3.3%	Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%												


Pl	an	S000C80	)02
----	----	---------	-----

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	I Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	no are:	Black	Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%
10	28.58%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.84%	45.57%	15.95%	38.45%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.57%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 0	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	Election Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Elect	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforr	nance in St	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	2020
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	DEM wi	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	Va	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	o are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.68%	1.93%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.93%	12.67%	2.18%	7.01%	14.00%	16.57%	84.30%	2.85%	12.79%	49.39%	19.08%	31.34%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.06%	3.92%	6.62%	9.04%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.67%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8002	BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.06%	52.08%	61.25%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.41%	2.05%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
	(252)	R Langford	1.15%	1.53%	1.63%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
	Governor (REP)	R Mercadante	0.43%	1.30%	0.74%	1.48%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.51%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.48%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
			1.64%	2.66%	2.06%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%
-		D Gillum	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D Greene	5.74%	14.10%	8.69%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
	Governor (DEM)	D King	1.45%	4.42%	3.73%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
	,	D_Levine	10.70%	19.37%	12.44%	20.37%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D Lundmark	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.84%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
-		R Moody	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.38%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.22%	45.85%	44.61%	43.05%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
-		D Shaw	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	43.05%	40.37%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Snaw D Torrens	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.49%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
-		R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.39%	34.81%	43.34%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
						43.34%		42.50%		
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	21.36%	31.75% 16.31%	31.44% 15.41%	25.53%	31.18% 16.84%		31.58%	32.69%
		R_McCalister	8.71%					12.68%	16.61%	16.76%
-		R_Troutman	34.14%	15.47%	18.25%	8.87%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%
		D_Fried	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.04%	18.96%	17.51%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%
-		D_Walker	19.85%	26.15%	27.25%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.25%	11.19%	14.51%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%
		R_Scott	89.70%	89.72%	88.75%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%
		R_Beruff	22.32%	17.31%	17.64%	14.23%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rivera	3.73%	3.23%	2.40%	4.62%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.67%	74.47%	70.06%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.84%	7.69%	5.25%	9.13%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
2016		D_De La Fuente	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.87%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.80%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%
		R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.74%	1.63%	2.72%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
	Governor (REP)	R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.32%	9.55%	14.51%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
		R_Scott	90.46%	85.83%	88.59%	80.82%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
2014	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.23%	78.97%	83.85%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
	Governor (DEIVI)	D_Rich	25.59%	23.71%	21.01%	15.96%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
	Attornou Conoral (DEAA)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.81%	49.60%	38.93%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Thurston	39.16%	39.12%	50.42%	60.97%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%
		R_Mack	57.58%	48.40%	58.28%	64.02%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		_ R_McCalister	18.69%	12.02%	10.83%	13.00%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
	US Senate (REP)	R Stuart	5.97%	6.84%	4.85%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
2012		R Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.11%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
-		D Burkett	22.04%	19.85%	13.56%	14.16%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
	US Senate (DEM)	D Nelson	77.91%	80.11%	86.31%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%
			77.5270	50.11/0	50.01/0	55.0570	55.5570	,0.00,0	51.10,0	55.12.75

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8002	BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	General Elections	HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%
2020	Fresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%
	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.47%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.29%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%
2010	Chief Financial Officer	R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%
	US Senate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.94%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%
	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.67%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%
	05 Senate	R_Rubio	43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%
	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%
	Governor	R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.08%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%
	chief financial officer	R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Putnam	44.42%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%
	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%
2012	riesident	R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%
	US Senate	R_Mack	32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%



## Census and Boundary Statistics

#### Page 2

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 47 6 6 47 47 52 52

Counties with all population in a single district

Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

412 352 60 362 128 118

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan S000C8004

District lines and City and County Boundaries

	Deviation	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and G	eographic	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total %	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1 0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	268.5	0.80	0.42	0.45	47	52	352	128	10%	60%	20%	38%	3%	11%
1	0 0.00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0 0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0 0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0 0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0 0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0 0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0 0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.42	0.66	1	2	7	5	7%	40%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0 0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0 0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.36	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0 0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	17%	65%	24%	21%	5%	5%
11	0 0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,546	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	5	11%	64%	20%	40%	1%	10%
12	0 0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0 0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1 0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0 0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0 0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0 0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0 0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0 0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0 0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0 0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	361	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	9	9%	19%	32%	30%	10%	27%
22	0 0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	122	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	16%	33%	21%	36%	13%	22%
23	0 0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0 0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0 0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0 0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0 0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0 0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Cou	nties include	d in more th	an one distri	ict		Counties included in more than one district	Counties included in more than o	one district	Counties included in more than one district
County		Total Pop		otal Area	Area%	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%		p% Total Area Area%	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%	County Dist. Total Top Top Total Areas		phi TotarAica Aican	county bist rotarrop ropid rotarrica
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.6	16.6%				
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%				
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%				
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%				
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%				
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%				
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%				
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%				
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%				
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%				
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%				
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%				
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%				
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%				
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%				
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%				
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%				
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%				
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%				
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%				
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%				
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%				
Leon	5 2	146,880	50.3% 10.6%	213.3 233.6	30.4%				
Marion Marion	2	39,930 154,737	41.2%	235.0 874.2	14.1% 52.6%				
Marion	11	134,737	41.2%	554.9	33.4%				
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%				
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%				
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%				
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%				
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%				
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%				
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%				
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%				
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%				
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%				
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.5	15.1%				
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%				
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%				
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%				
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%				
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%				
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%				
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%				
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%				
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%				
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%				
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%				
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%				
Walton Walton		47,648	63.3% 26.7%	821.3	58.3%				
waiton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.1	41.7%				


	Cities include	d in more than	n one district			Ci	ties included	l in more tha	n one distric	t			Cities included	in more tha	n one distric	t			Cities in	cluded in more	than one dist	rict	
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City	Citico II	Dist. Total P			Area%
Belle Isle	9	219	3.1%	0.2	4.5%	Maitland	7	13,655	69.9%	4.8	73.3%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%						
Belle Isle	10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	95.5%	Maitland	10	5,888	30.1%	1.7	26.7%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%						
Boca Raton	21	44,619	45.8%	17.3	54.7%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%						
Boca Raton	22	52,803	54.2%	14.3	45.3%	Margate	22	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%						
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%						
Boynton Beach	21	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%						
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%						
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%						
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%						
Clermont Coconut Creek	28 20	3,171 673	7.4% 1.2%	2.8 0.6	14.8% 4.6%	Miami Gardens Miami Gardens	24 25	90,649 20,991	81.2% 18.8%	15.2 3.8	79.8% 20.2%	West Palm Beach West Park	21 23	15,082 0	12.9% 0.0%	3.5 0.0	6.0% 0.3%						
Coconut Creek	20	57,160	98.8%	11.4	4.0% 95.4%	Miramar	23	76,591	56.9%	24.1	77.4%	West Park	23	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%						
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Miramar	23	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%						
Cutler Bay	20	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%	Mount Dora	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%						
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Mount Dora	11	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.9%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%						
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%						
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%	Winter Park	7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%						
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%	Winter Park	10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%						
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%												
Deerfield Beach	22	57,509	66.2%	11.5	70.7%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%												
DeFuniak Springs	1	860	14.5%	3.7	25.7%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%												
DeFuniak Springs	2	5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.3%	Orlando	7	22,957	7.5%	5.7	4.8%												
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Orlando	9	103,806	33.8%	68.6	57.7%												
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Orlando	10	180,810	58.8%	44.5	37.5%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%												
Fort Lauderdale Fort Lauderdale	22 23	108,057 13,043	59.1% 7.1%	20.5 2.6	56.5% 7.2%	Palm Beach Palm Beach Gardens	21 18	6,611 58,910	71.5% 99.5%	5.1 59.1	65.6% 99.5%												
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%												
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Pembroke Park	20	2/2	0.0%	0.0	0.3%												
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.3%	Pembroke Park	24	6,260	100.0%	1.7	99.2%												
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.8	19.8%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%												
Groveland	11	16,864	91.1%	25.3	96.8%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%												
Groveland	28	1,641	8.9%	0.8	3.2%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%												
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%												
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%												
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%												
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Pompano Beach	22	58,514	52.2%	14.2	57.4%												
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.8	29.5%												
Hypoluxo	21 4	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	Riviera Beach	20 18	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%												
Jacksonville Jacksonville	4	461,184 488,427	48.6% 51.4%	413.0 461.5	47.2% 52.8%	Royal Palm Beach Royal Palm Beach	20	16,407 17,861	42.1% 45.9%	5.0 5.6	42.9% 48.0%												
Lake City	2	468,427	54.1%	401.5	49.9%	Royal Palm Beach	20	4,664	43.9%	1.1	48.0%												
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%												
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%												
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%												
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%												
Lake Worth Beach	21	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%												
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%												
Lantana	21	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Sweetwater	25	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%												
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%												
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.5	12.5%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%												
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%												
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	43.7%	Tampa _	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%												
Loxahatchee Groves	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.4%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%												

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ters						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are	:	RV wh	no are:	Black	Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%
10	28.58%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.84%	45.57%	15.95%	38.45%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 (	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	neral Elect	ion Turnou	:						General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020						
Dist.	VAP who are:		DEM wh	DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:		DEM who are:		REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black Voters who are:		Hisp. Voters who are:		o are:	Avg. Perf.		W	ins	Margins			
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.68%	1.93%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.93%	12.67%	2.18%	7.01%	14.00%	16.57%	84.30%	2.85%	12.79%	49.39%	19.08%	31.34%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.93%	6.62%	9.08%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.68%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	5 D +61.7%
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8004	BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.06%	52.08%	61.24%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.41%	2.06%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
	Governor (REP)	R_Langford	1.15%	1.53%	1.63%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
	Governor (REF)	R_Mercadante	0.43%	1.30%	0.74%	1.50%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.52%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.47%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
		R_White	1.64%	2.66%	2.06%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%
		D_Gillum	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D Greene	5.74%	14.10%	8.69%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
	Governor (DEM)	_ D_King	1.45%	4.42%	3.73%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D Levine	10.70%	19.37%	12.44%	20.38%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		_ D Lundmark	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.84%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
		R Moody	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.36%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.22%	45.85%	44.61%	43.07%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
-		D Shaw	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Torrens	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.48%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
-		R Caldwell	35.65%	36.39%	34.81%	43.36%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
		R Grimsley	21.36%	31.75%	31.44%	25.56%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R McCalister	8.71%	16.31%	15.41%	20.28%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%
		R Troutman	34.14%	15.47%	18.25%	8.89%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%
-		D Fried	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D Porter	20.04%	18.96%	17.51%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%
	Agriculture commissioner (DEW)	D Walker	19.85%	26.15%	27.25%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%
-		R De La Fuente	19.83%	10.25%	11.19%	14.55%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%
	US Senate (REP)	R Scott	89.70%	89.72%	88.75%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%
-		R Beruff	22.32%	17.31%	17.64%	14.27%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
		R Rivera	3.73%	3.23%	2.40%	4.63%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
	US Senate (REP)	-	68.00%	5.23% 71.67%	74.47%	70.05%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Rubio					7.31%			
2016		R_Young	5.84%	7.69%	5.25%	9.17%	5.45%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
2010		D_De La Fuente	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.88%		19.42%	13.76%	
	LIC Counts (DEM)	D_Grayson	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.81%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%
		R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.74%	1.63%	2.74%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
	Governor (REP)	R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.32%	9.55%	14.53%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
-		R_Scott	90.46%	85.83%	88.59%	80.91%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
2014	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.23%	78.97%	83.86%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
_		D_Rich	25.59%	23.71%	21.01%	15.97%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.81%	49.60%	38.92%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
		D_Thurston	39.16%	39.12%	50.42%	60.98%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%
		R_Mack	57.58%	48.40%	58.28%	64.03%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
	US Senate (REP)	R_McCalister	18.69%	12.02%	10.83%	13.05%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
2012	05 Senate (http://	R_Stuart	5.97%	6.84%	4.85%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
2012		R_Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.16%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.04%	19.85%	13.56%	14.17%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
	US Senate (DEIVI)	D_Nelson	77.91%	80.11%	86.31%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8004	BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	General Elections	HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%
2020	Fresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%
	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.47%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.29%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%
2010	chief i mancial officer	R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%
	03 Senate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.94%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%
	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%
	03 Senate	R_Rubio	43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%
	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%
	Governor	R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.09%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%
	chief i mandial officer	R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Putnam	44.42%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%
	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%
2012	riesident	R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%
	US Senate	R_Mack	32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%



# Census and Boundary Statistics

Page	2
------	---

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

> Counties split into more than one district Counties with all population in a single district Aggregate number of county splits

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

67 6 6 21 21 21 415 53 53 350 62 62 53 350 132

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan S000C8006

District lines and City and County Boundaries

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

	Deviation	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Citi	es:	Political and Geographic Boundaries:						
Dist.	Total %	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo	
	1 0.00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	269.2	0.80	0.42	0.45	46	53	350	132	10%	60%	20%	38%	2%	10%	
1	0 0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	339	0.87	0.48	0.54	3	1	16	0	4%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%	
2	0 0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	872	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%	
3	0 0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%	
4	0 0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%	
5	0 0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%	
6	0 0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%	
7	0 0.00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.48	0.66	1	2	7	6	6%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%	
8	0 0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%	
9	0 0.00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%	
10	0 0.00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%	
11	0 0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,551	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	6	9%	65%	21%	40%	0%	11%	
12	0 0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%	
13	0 0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%	
14	-1 0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%	
15	0 0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%	
16	0 0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%	
17	0 0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%	
18	0 0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%	
19	0 0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%	
20	0 0.00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%	
21	0 0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	361	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	9	9%	19%	32%	30%	10%	27%	
22	0 0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	122	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	16%	33%	21%	36%	13%	22%	
23	0 0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%	
24	0 0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%	
25	0 0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%	
26	0 0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%	
27	0 0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%	
28	0 0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%	

	ounties include	nd in more th	an one di-t	rict		Counties included in more than one district		Court	es included in more than o	uno district	Counties included in more than one district				
County	Dist.			Total Area	Area%		Area%	County	Dist. Total Pop Po		County	Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%			
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.6	Area% 16.2%	County Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area	Alea /0	county	Dist. Total Pop Po	p% Total Area Area%	County	Dist. Total Pop Pop/6 Total Area Area%			
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%										
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%										
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.6	16.6%										
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%										
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%										
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%										
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%										
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%										
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%										
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%										
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%										
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%										
Hillsborough Hillsborough	15 16	769,221 98,392	52.7% 6.7%	676.6 356.2	50.8% 26.7%										
Indian River	16	98,392 145,456	91.0%	499.4	26.7% 81.0%										
Indian River	° 18	143,430	91.0%	499.4	19.1%										
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%										
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%										
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%										
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%										
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%										
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%										
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%										
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%										
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%										
Marion	3	194,667	51.8%	1,102.6	66.3%										
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	560.1	33.7%										
Miami-Dade Miami-Dade	24 25	676,771 569,428	25.1% 21.1%	163.3 524.8	6.8% 22.0%										
Miami-Dade	25	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%										
Miami-Dade	20	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%										
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	81.8	8.2%										
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%										
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%										
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.2%										
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%										
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%										
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.5	15.1%										
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%										
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%										
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%										
Pinellas	14	177,071 271,119	18.5%	123.3	14.3%										
Sarasota Sarasota	16 17	271,119 162,887	62.5% 37.5%	649.2 326.4	66.5% 33.5%										
Sarasota St. Johns	4	162,887	63.3%	326.4 384.1	33.5% 46.8%										
St. Johns	4	100,300	36.7%	437.5	40.8% 53.3%										
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	437.3	81.9%										
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%										
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%										
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%										
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.1	58.3%										
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.3	41.7%										

	Cities include	d in more thar	n one district			Ci	ties included	l in more tha	n one distric	t			Cities included	in more that	n one distric	t	Cities included in more than one district				
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		rotal Area	Area%		Area%		
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.6%	Maitland	7	13,664	69.9%	4.8	73.6%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%				
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.4%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%				
Boca Raton	21	44,619	45.8%	17.3	54.7%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%				
Boca Raton	22	52,803	54.2%	14.3	45.3%	Margate	22	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%				
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%				
Boynton Beach	21	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%				
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%				
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%				
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6% 7.4%	16.3	85.3%	Miami Miami Candana	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%				
Clermont Coconut Creek	28 20	3,171 673	1.2%	2.8 0.6	14.8% 4.6%	Miami Gardens Miami Gardens	24 25	90,649 20,991	81.2% 18.8%	15.2 3.8	79.8% 20.2%	Venice Venice	16 17	12,178 13,285	47.8% 52.2%	10.9 6.7	61.9% 38.1%				
Coconut Creek	20	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.4%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.1	77.4%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%				
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Miramar	23	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%				
Cutler Bay	20	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%	Mount Dora	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	West Palm Beach	20	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%				
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Mount Dora	11	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.9%	West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%				
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Newberry	2	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%	West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%				
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Newberry	3	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.6%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%				
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%				
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%				
Deerfield Beach	22	57,509	66.2%	11.5	70.7%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%				
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%	Winter Park	7	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.6%				
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Ocala	3	63,183	99.4%	44.0	93.2%	Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.4%				
Eatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Ocala	11	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8%										
Eatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%										
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Opa-locka	25 7	6,926 35,474	42.1%	3.0	66.0%										
Fort Lauderdale Fort Lauderdale	22 23	108,057 13,043	59.1% 7.1%	20.5 2.6	56.5% 7.2%	Orlando Orlando	9	35,474 86,183	11.5% 28.0%	9.1 63.9	7.6% 53.8%										
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.6%										
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Palm Beach	10	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%										
Gainesville	2	199	0.1%	1.0	1.5%	Palm Beach	21	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%										
Gainesville	3	140,886	99.9%	63.4	98.5%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%										
Groveland	11	16,864	91.1%	25.3	96.8%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%										
Groveland	28	1,641	8.9%	0.8	3.2%	Pembroke Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%										
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pembroke Park	24	6,260	100.0%	1.7	99.2%										
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%										
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%										
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%										
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%										
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%										
Hypoluxo Jacksonville	21 4	2,141 461,184	79.7% 48.6%	0.5 413.0	64.9% 47.2%	Plantation Pompano Beach	23 20	84,053 53.532	91.6% 47.8%	20.8 10.5	94.1% 42.6%										
Jacksonville	4 5	488,427	48.6% 51.4%	413.0	47.2% 52.8%	Pompano Beach	20	58,552	52.2%	10.5	42.6% 57.4%										
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	401.5	49.9%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.8	29.5%										
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%										
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%										
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.0%										
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	9.1%										
Lake Worth Beach	21	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%										
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%										
Lantana	21	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%										
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%										
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.5	12.5%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%										
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%										
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	43.7%	Sweetwater	25	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%										
Loxahatchee Groves	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.4%	Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%										


Ρl	an	S0	00	C8	00	6
----	----	----	----	----	----	---

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	I Election Re	gistered Vot	ters						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are	:	RV wh	no are:	Black	k Voters who	are:	Hisp	Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.94%	38.46%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 0	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Elect	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforr	nance in St	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	2020
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	DEM wi	no are:	REP wi	ho are:	Va	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	o are:	Hisp.	Voters who	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.81%	45.72%	32.02%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.12%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.07%	3.93%	6.62%	9.08%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.68%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8006	BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.75%	52.11%	61.24%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.44%	2.06%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
	(252)	R Langford	1.15%	1.44%	1.64%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
	Governor (REP)	R Mercadante	0.43%	1.28%	0.76%	1.50%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.52%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.47%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
			1.64%	2.61%	2.10%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%
-		D Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.50%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.41%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D Greene	5.74%	13.96%	8.70%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
	Governor (DEM)	D King	1.45%	4.29%	3.75%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.18%	12.46%	20.38%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.45%	1.64%	0.44%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
-		R Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.45%	56.36%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.07%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
-		D Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.45%	81.47%	40.37%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Snaw D Torrens		38.88%		18.48%	17.90%			25.91%
-		_	21.31%		25.57%			32.18%	30.42%	
		R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.42%	34.83%	43.36%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	21.36%	31.97%	31.49%	25.56%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%
		R_McCalister	8.71%	16.25%	15.43%	20.28%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%
-		R_Troutman	34.14%	15.22%	18.23%	8.89%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%
		D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.26%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%
-		D_Walker	19.85%	26.32%	27.30%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.06%	11.29%	14.55%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%
	,	R_Scott	89.70%	89.89%	88.72%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%
		R_Beruff	22.32%	17.11%	17.63%	14.27%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rivera	3.73%	3.21%	2.45%	4.63%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
	03 Senate (NEP)	R_Rubio	68.00%	71.92%	74.53%	70.05%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.84%	7.56%	5.31%	9.17%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
2016		D_De La Fuente	4.13%	14.95%	3.92%	2.88%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.72%	9.81%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.17%	9.79%	12.72%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.27%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%
		R Adeshina	1.32%	1.69%	1.67%	2.74%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
	Governor (REP)	R Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.04%	9.61%	14.53%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
		R Scott	90.46%	86.09%	88.64%	80.91%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
2014		D Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.86%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
	Governor (DEM)	D Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.97%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
		D Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.66%	38.92%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	60.98%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%
		R Mack	57.58%	49.35%	58.31%	64.03%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		R McCalister	18.69%	49.35%	10.92%	13.05%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
	US Senate (REP)	-	18.69% 5.97%	6.58%	4.87%	7.03%	6.70% 13.09%	7.94%	13.26%	5.19% 13.00%
2012		R_Stuart								
		R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.16%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.04%	19.38%	13.66%	14.17%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
		D_Nelson	77.91%	80.61%	86.28%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
	Plan S000C8006	BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	General Elections	HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%
2020	Fresident	R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.35%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%
	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%
2010	Chief Financial Officer	R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.68%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.10%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%
	05 Senate	R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.90%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%
	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%
	05 Senate	R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%
	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%
	Governor	R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.09%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.79%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%
	chief financial officer	R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Putnam	44.42%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%
	President	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%
2012	riesident	R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%
	US Senate	R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%



## Census and Boundary Statistics

Page	2
------	---

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 47 5 20 47 53 53

> Counties split into more than one district Counties with all population in a single district Aggregate number of county splits

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

412 351 61 362 132 132

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan S000C8008

District lines and City and County Boundaries

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

	Deviation	ı	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Ge	eographic	Boundar	ies:
Dist.	Total 9	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1 0.0	00%	15.54%	24.99%	2,550.1	268.8	0.80	0.42	0.44	47	53	351	132	10%	60%	21%	37%	2%	10%
1	0 0.0	00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0 0.0	00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0 0.0	00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0 0.0	00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0 0.0	00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0 0.0	00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0 0.0	00%	12.32%	25.39%	490	113	0.88	0.48	0.66	1	2	7	6	6%	43%	19%	32%	0%	34%
8	0 0.0	00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0 0.0	00%	12.81%	50.24%	1,840	253	0.86	0.36	0.49	1	1	2	2	2%	76%	17%	31%	0%	8%
10	0 0.0	00%	28.33%	23.38%	453	103	0.89	0.54	0.51	0	1	8	5	15%	68%	31%	22%	0%	2%
11	0 0.0	00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,546	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	5	11%	64%	20%	40%	1%	10%
12	0 0.0	00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0 0.0	00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1 0.0	00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0 0.0	00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0 0.0	00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0 0.0	00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0 0.0	00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0 0.0	00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0 0.0	00%	51.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0 0.0	00%	15.76%	24.36%	260	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	7	16%	5%	38%	2%	6%	45%
22	0 0.0	00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	32%	42%	13%	9%
23	0 0.0	00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0 0.0	00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0 0.0	00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0 0.0	00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0 0.0	00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0 0.0	00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

## Split Counties and Cities

Interface <th>Cr.</th> <th>ounties includ</th> <th>led in more th</th> <th>han one disti</th> <th>rict</th> <th></th> <th>Counties included in more than one district</th> <th>Count</th> <th>ties included in more than one district</th> <th>Counties included in more than one district</th>	Cr.	ounties includ	led in more th	han one disti	rict		Counties included in more than one district	Count	ties included in more than one district	Counties included in more than one district
Normal         10         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00           100         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00           100         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00           100         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00           100         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00         10.00           100         10.00 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Area%</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						Area%				
Name </th <th>Broward</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Councy Dist rotarrop roppo rotarrica m</th> <th>county</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Broward						Councy Dist rotarrop roppo rotarrica m	county		
Hone121212121213Control1212121212Control1212121212Control1312121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control1312121212Control1412121212Control1412121212Control13121212Control14121212Control14121212Control14121212Control13121212Control14121212Control14121212Control14121212Control14121212Contro14121212Contro14121212Contro14121212<	Broward									
NameNa	Broward									
Name </td <td>Broward</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Broward									
valueNoNoNoNoNoValueValueNoNoVal	Broward					1.0%				
symmetry23.1377.377.377.377.377.37symmetry15.1377.375.334.37symmetry15.1377.375.335.37symmetry15.1377.375.335.37symmetry115.375.375.37symmetry115.375.375.37symmetry115.375.375.37symmetry115.375.375.37symmetry115.375.37symmetry115.375.37symmetry115.375.37symmetry115.375.37symmetry115.375.37symmetry1115.37symmetry1115.37symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1111symmetry1	Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%				
SameSameSameSameSameSameSameVertice<	Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%				
Name460.760.760.760.760.760.71000100.70.00.70.01000100.00.00.01000100.00.00.01000100.00.00.01000100.00.00.01000100.00.01000100.00.01000100.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0100010.00.0	Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%				
	Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%				
NikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNoNoNoNikhorayNoNoNo	Duval		505,744							
Hilborg19702217023	Duval									
HibborgISSubjectSubjectSubjectSubjectSubjectHankmerII <t< td=""><td>Hillsborough</td><td></td><td>592,149</td><td>40.6%</td><td>299.1</td><td>22.5%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Hillsborough		592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%				
ndmnd	Hillsborough			52.7%	676.6	50.8%				
ndamNNNNNefferson7NNNNefferson7NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba1NNNaba<	Hillsborough		98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%				
efferom24.403.403.403.40efferom51.104.503.503.50aka63.507.802.503.50aka7.83.507.803.503.50abc7.83.507.807.807.80abc7.83.507.807.807.80abc7.87.807.807.80abc <td>Indian River</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Indian River									
effencesNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumaba1NumNumNumNumNumabaNumNumNumNumNumNumabaNumNumNumNumNumNumabaNumNumNumNumNumabaNumNumNumNum <td>Indian River</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Indian River									
akaf35.835.835.935.9aka775.935.935.9aka775.935.935.9aka875.975.935.9aka885.975.935.9aka775.935.935.9aka785.975.935.9aka885.975.935.9aka78.1935.9	Jefferson									
and1.180.409.409.5080.40acc1220.707.2020.007.20acc1230.807.202.202.20acc1230.807.202.203.20acc1230.807.203.203.20acc123.203.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.203.20acc123.20 <td< td=""><td>Jefferson</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Jefferson									
adeAdeAdeAdeAdeAdeade1232.432.432.4ade232.432.432.4ade232.832.432.4ade232.832.432.4ade232.832.432.4ade232.832.432.4ade332.432.432.4ade332.432.432.4ade332.432.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.432.4ade332.4ade332.4ade332.4ade332.4ade332.4ade332.4ade332.4 </td <td>Lake</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Lake									
eeinvievievievieeeVieVieVieVieVieeeVieVieVieVieVieeeVieVieVieVieViedamineVieVie<	Lake									
eeisisisisisee2isisisisee3isisisisatria2isisisisatria1isisisisatria1isisisisatria1isisisisatria1isisisisatria1isisisisatria1isisatria1isi										
endiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiandii	Lee									
endiiiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica12iiiiiiVanica12iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica2iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica3iiiiiiVanica1iiiiiiVanica1iiiiiiVanica1iiiiiiVanica1iiii<										
Manion1999.9000.70%91.9491.94Manion181.2481.2484.2482.64Maninbola281.2481.2481.2481.24Maninbola285.4781.3481.24Maninbola285.4881.2493.44Maninbola285.4881.2493.44Maninbola285.4881.4893.44Maninbola285.4881.4893.44Maninbola285.4881.4893.44Maninbola285.4881.4893.44Maninbola285.4881.4893.44Maninbola285.4881.4893.44Maninbola387.4881.4893.44Maninbola387.4883.4893.44Maninbola387.4893.4893.48Maninbola387.4893.4893.48Maninbola387.4893.4893.48Maninbola387.4893.4893.48Maninbola387.4893.4893.48Maninbola387.4893.4893.48Maninbola487.4893.4893.48Maninbola487.4893.4893.48Maninbola487.4893.4893.48Maninbola487.4893.4893.48Maninbola487.4893.4893.48Maninbol										
Manion1818,47841,2851,2852,68Manio Ada2867,7125,1853,48Manio Ada2867,8725,1853,48Manio Ada2868,34725,4813,48Manio Ada2868,34725,4813,48Manio Ada2868,34725,4813,48Manio Ada2862,2913,488,48Manio Ada2862,2913,488,48Manio Ada2882,5913,488,48Manio Ada2882,7813,488,48Manio Ada2882,7813,488,48Manio Ada2882,7813,488,48Manio Ada2882,7813,488,48Manio Ada2882,7813,4813,48Manio Ada2982,7813,4813,48Manio Ada2982,7813,4813,48Manio Ada2082,7813,4813,48Manio Ada2082,7813,8813,48Manio Ada2013,2813,4813,48Manio Ada2013,2813,4813,48Manio Ada2114,2813,4813,48Manio Ada2114,2813,4813,48Manio Ada2114,2813,4813,48Manio Ada2114,2813,4813,48Manio Ada2114,2813,4813,48Manio Ada21,5										
Marino         1         18, 24         48, 25         54, 35         3.34           Mambodo         2         567, 32         1.33         6.35           Mambodo         2         569, 32         1.43         3.55           Mambodo         2         569, 32         1.43         3.55           Mambodo         2         569, 32         1.43         3.55           Mambodo         2         769, 22         3.55         3.55           Jampeo         7         720, 23         1.52         3.55           Jampeo         7         721, 23         3.55         3.55           Jampeo         7         722, 13         3.55         3.55           Jampeo         7         723, 13         3.55         3.55           Jampeo         7         723, 13         3.55         3.55           Jampeo         1         3.52         3.55         3.55           Jampeo         2         3.52         3.55         3.55           Jambeo/         2         3.52         3.55         3.55           Jambeo/         2         3.55         3.55         3.55           Jambeo/         3.55										
Wambade46676.7281.1415.436.84Wambade2865.491.1452.4222.04Wambade2865.492.142.14Wambade782.591.848.18Yange782.591.341.34Jange776.221.383.30Jange782.591.341.34Jange99.52.521.383.30Jange176.221.383.30Jange11.35.52.611.34Jange11.35.92.531.34Jange31.35.92.531.34Jange11.35.92.531.34Jange1.35.91.35.91.34Jange1.35.91.35.91.34Jange2.45.743.543.59Jange2.45.743.543.54Jange3.76.221.35.91.34Jange3.76.221.35.93.84Jange3.76.221.35.93.84Jange4.15.253.543.54Jange3.76.253.543.54Jange4.15.253.543.54Jange3.76.253.543.54Jange3.76.253.543.54Jange3.76.253.543.54Jange3.76.253.54Jange3.75.253.54Jange3.75.253.54Jange3										
WinnbackKSouthSouthKKWinnbackKSouthSouthSouthSouthWinnbackKSouthSouthSouthSouthVanneKSouthSouthSouthSouth										
WiambadeZe686.4Ze.41.42.91.42.91.42.9ViambadeZe7.92.22.82.81.741.74.91.74.9ViambadeZeYe.2.91.841.841.84ViambadeYe.2.92.643.12.93.34ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.92.833.34ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.92.843.34ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.92.343.34ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9ViambadeYe.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.2.9Ye.	Miami-Dade									
Viende         27         76.2         28.5%         20.30         11.7%           Drange         8         0.7153         1.2%         18.4%         18.4%           Drange         8         0.7153         1.2%         13.4         13.4%           Drange         9         80.6%         26.6%         33.4         33.4           Drange         10         74.22         52.6%         33.4         45.74           Drange Alme         10         74.22         52.6%         43.5         45.74           Drange Alme Alme         10         18.02         17.5%         72.4           Drange Alme Alme         12         45.741         30.5%         13.5%           Drange Alme Alme Alme         12         45.741         30.5%         13.6%           Drange Alme Alme Alme Alme Alme Alme Alme Alm	Miami-Dade									
brane         7         82.89         18.48         61.8         62.87           brane         9         830.65         26.64         93.42         93.40           brane         10         87.27         53.85         45.30         45.30           brane         10         87.27         53.85         45.30         45.24           brane         20         85.17         37.85         37.85         37.85           brane         21         12.85         37.85         37.85         37.85           brane         21.91         12.85         37.8         37.85         37.85           brane <t< td=""><td>Miami-Dade</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Miami-Dade									
brange         8         71,73         12,43         13,44         13,44           prange         9         380,55         26,64         33,34           prange         10         763,22         13,78         23,354           palm Beach         18         27,32         17,38         18,25         12,354           palm Beach         21         451,189         32,05         13,25         73,454           palm Beach         21         13,38         13,75         73,45         13,454           palm Beach         21         13,38         13,75         73,45         13,454           palm Beach         21         13,38         13,75         73,45         13,454           palm Beach         22         13,38         13,75         73,45         13,454           palmeach         12         12,81         13,8         13,354         13,454         13,454           palmeach         12         12,81         13,3         13,354         13,454         13,454         13,454         13,454           palmeach         12         12,41         13,454         13,454         13,454         13,454         13,454         13,454         13,454	Orange		262,969	18.4%		8.2%				
brange         10         769,221         5.8.%         45.0         45.2%           brangesch         18         267,232         17.%         29.26         12.3%           brangesch         20         318,029         21.3%         25.2%         17.%           brangesch         21         41.189         20.3%         187.5         7.9%           brangesch         22         45.19         30.5%         187.5         7.9%           brangesch         2         1.2%         3.3%         3.9%         3.9%         3.9%           brangesch         2         1.2%         3.3%         3.9%         3.9%         3.9%         3.9%         3.9%           brangesch         2         1.2%         3.3%         3.8%         3.9%         3.	Orange	8								
Paim Beach         18         267,232         17.94         29.06         12.34           Paim Beach         20         318,029         13.029         13.025         70.24           Paim Beach         21         45.14         30.25         70.24           Paim Beach         22         45.741         30.56         97.94           Paim Beach         22         45.741         30.56         33.96           Paim Beach         21         12.815         31.84         3.98         3.98           Paim Beach         21         77.97         82.65         63.84         3.98         3.98           Paim Beach         21         77.97         82.66         63.98         3.98         3.98         3.98         3.98           Paim Beach         21         77.97         82.66         53.38         3.98         3.98         3.98         3.98           Paim Paim Paim Paim Paim Paim Paim Paim	Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	334.2	33.3%				
Palm Beach         20         318,029         21.3%         1.67.5         7.0%           Palm Beach         21         451,189         30.2%         1.67.5         7.0%           Palm Beach         22         451,189         23.06         7.0%         7.0%           Palm Beach         12         451,189         23.06         9.7%         7.0%           Palm Beach         12         12.81         1.3%         33.9         9.7%           Palm Beach         12         12.81         1.3%         33.9         9.7%           Palm Beach         12         12.81         1.3%         33.9         9.7%           Palm Beach         12         12.81         1.3%         33.9         3.5%           Palm Beach         12         7.6%         7.3%         3.84         3.7%           Palm Beach         12         7.6%         7.3%         3.84         3.5%           Palm Beach         16         7.1%         8.5%         7.3%         3.84         4.6%           Palm Beach         16         7.1%         8.5%         3.3%         3.3%         3.3%           Palm Beach         13         7.4%         3.3%         3.4	Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	453.0	45.2%				
Pail Beach       21       451,189       30.2%       187.5       7.9%         Pail Beach       22       455,741       30.5%       230.6       9.7%         Pinellas       12       12,815       1.3%       3.9%         Pinellas       12       12,815       1.3%       13.9         Pinellas       14       177.071       18.5%       12.3       14.3%         Siarasota       16       271,119       62.5%       33.6%         siarasota       17       16.2,87       37.5%       32.64         sit.Johns       4       173,125       63.3%       384.1       46.8%         sit.Johns       6       100,300       36.7%       43.5%         Sit.Johns       6       103,307       37.8%       33.4%         Valuet       28       295       0.2%       15.1         Sit.Johns       6       103,307       35.3%       14.1         Valuet       6       518,147       93.6%       15.1         Valuet       6       518,147       93.6%       52.3%         Valuet       1       47,48       63.3%       821.3	Palm Beach	18		17.9%	292.6	12.3%				
Paim Beach         22         455, 741         30.5%         23.06         9.7%           Vinellas         12         12,815         1.3%         3.39         3.39           Vinellas         12         12,815         1.3%         3.39         3.39           Vinellas         12         170,71         18.5%         123.3         8.13%           Vinellas         16         271,119         6.5%         4.34         1.3%           Vinelas         16         271,119         6.5%         3.5%           Vinelas         16         271,119         6.5%         3.34           Vinelas         4         173,25         6.3%         3.34           Vinelas         6         10,300         3.41         4.6%           Vinelas         6         10,300         3.43         5.3.3           Vinelas         6         518,147         9.6%         3.47           Volusi         6         518,147         9.6%         3.47           Vinelas         6.4%         5.3%         5.3%	Palm Beach		318,029	21.3%		70.2%				
Pinellas         12         12,815         1.3%         33.9         3.9%           Pinellas         13         P69,211         80.2%         P70.3         81.8%           Pinellas         14         177,071         81.5%         13.3%         34.9           Pinellas         14         177,071         81.5%         13.3%         34.9           Pinellas         14         177,071         85.5%         649.2         65.5%           Garasota         17         162,877         37.5%         32.64         33.5%           Sarasota         17         162,877         37.5%         32.64         33.5%           St.Johns         4         173,125         63.3%         33.41         64.8%           Summer         12         29.457         93.6%         47.4         15.9%           Summer         28         295         0.2%         15.1         18.1%           Oblasia         6         51.8/47         93.6%         52.4%         43.4%           Naton         1         47.6%         63.3%         82.3         53.3%	Palm Beach									
ninellas       13       769,221       80.2%       705.3       81.8%         ninellas       14       177.071       18.5%       123.3       14.3%         siarasota       16       71.19       62.5%       649.2       65.7%         siarasota       17       162.887       37.5%       326.4       33.5%         siarasota       18       173.125       63.3%       384.1       46.8%         sit. Johns       4       173.125       63.3%       384.1       46.8%         sit. Johns       6       103.00       36.7%       43.3%         siturter       12       129.457       98.8       474.7       81.9%         soutter       28       29       0.2%       15.1%       18.1%         follasi       6       518.147       93.6%       13.1%       18.1%         follasi       6       518.147       93.6%       15.4%       18.1%         Valton       1       47.4%       63.3%       821.3       58.3%	Palm Beach									
ninellas       14       177,071       18.5%       123.3       14.3%         sarasota       16       271,119       62.5%       649.2       65.5%         sarasota       17       16.2%       37.5%       32.64       33.5%         sirasota       17       16.2%       37.5%       32.64       33.5%         sirasota       17       16.2%       37.5%       32.64       33.5%         sirasota       17       16.2%       37.5%       35.3%         sirasota       12       129.5%       10.3%       31.5%         siruter       12       129.5%       10.5%       10.5%         souter       1       35.3%       5.5%         souter       3       35.3%       10.5%         souter       3       5.3%       10.5%         souter       3       35.3%       10.5%         souter	Pinellas									
iarasota       16       27,119       62,5%       649,2       66,5%         iarasota       17       162,887       37,5%       326,4       33,5%         ist. Johns       4       173,125       63,3%       384,1       46,8%         ist. Johns       6       100,00       36,7%       437,5       53,3%         ist. Johns       1       129,457       99,8%       474,7       81,9%         jumter       28       295       0.2%       105,1       18,1%         /olusia       6       518,147       93,6%       15,370       95,56%         Nalton       1       47,648       63,3%       821,3       58,3%	Pinellas									
iarasota       17       162,887       37.5%       32.6.4       33.5%         ist. Johns       4       173,125       63.3%       384.1       46.8%         ist. Johns       6       100,300       36.7%       43.5       53.3%         ist. Johns       1       129,457       99.8%       474.7       81.9%         isumter       18       129,457       93.6%       15.1       81.9%         isumter       28       295       0.2%       105.1       18.1%         iolusia       6       518,147       93.6%       15.6%         Valton       1       47.68       63.3%       821.3	Pinellas									
st. Johns       4       173,125       63.3%       384.1       46.8%         st. Johns       6       100,300       36.7%       437.5       53.3%         sumter       11       129.47       98.8%       474.7       81.9%         sumter       28       295       0.2%       105.1       18.1%         /olusia       6       518,147       93.6%       13.70.0       95.6%         /olusia       7       35,36       64.%       62.4       4.4%	Sarasota									
it. Johns       6       100,300       36.7%       437.5       53.3%         sumter       11       129,457       99.8%       474.7       81.9%         simmer       28       295       0.2%       105.1       18.1%         /olusia       6       518,147       93.6%       1,370.0       95.6%         /olusia       7       35.3%       64.4%       2.4       4.4%         Nalton       1       47,64%       63.3%       821.3       58.3%										
Sumter       11       129,457       99.8%       474.7       81.9%         Sumter       28       295       0.2%       105.1       18.1%         Jolusia       6       518,147       93.6%       1,370.0       95.6%         Olusia       7       35.396       6.4%       62.4       4.4%         Nalton       1       47,648       63.3%       821.3       58.3%										
Summer         28         295         0.2%         105.1         18.1%           Jolusia         6         518,147         93.6%         1,370.0         95.6%           Jolusia         7         35.396         6.4%         62.4         4.4%           Valton         1         47.648         63.3%         821.3         58.3%										
/olusia     6     518,147     93.6%     1,370.0     95.6%       /olusia     7     35,396     6.4%     62.4     4.4%       Nalton     1     47,648     63.3%     821.3     58.3%										
/olusia         7         35,396         6.4%         62.4         4.4%           Valton         1         47,648         63.3%         821.3         58.3%										
Nalton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.3 58.3%										
	waitUll	2	27,037	50.7%	200.1	41.770				

## Split Counties and Cities

	Cities included	l in more than	one district			Ci	ties included	in more that	n one district				Cities included	l in more thai	n one distric	t		Cities included in more than one district
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop% T	otal Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop% T	otal Area	Area%	City Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%
Belle Isle	9	216	3.1%	0.2	3.6%	Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%	6
Belle Isle	10	6,816	96.9%	5.0	96.4%	Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	43.7%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%	6
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Loxahatchee Groves	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.4%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%	6
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Maitland	7	13,664	69.9%	4.8	73.6%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%	6
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Maitland	10	5,879	30.1%	1.7	26.4%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%	6
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%	6
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%	
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Umatilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%	
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.6%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Umatilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%	
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.4%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%	
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%	
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%	
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%	
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%	West Palm Beach	22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%	
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.1	77.4%	West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	
DeBary	7 20	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Miramar Maunt Dave	24	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%	West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%	
Deerfield Beach Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8% 48.0%	4.7 8.5	29.3% 52.6%	Mount Dora Mount Dora	6 11	0 16,341	0.0% 100.0%	0.0 9.2	0.1% 99.9%	Westlake Westlake	18 20	906 0	100.0% 0.0%	4.3 2.2	66.0% 34.0%	
Deerfield Beach	21	41,698 15,811	48.0%	3.0	18.2%	North Palm Beach	11	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.9% 99.5%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%	
DeFuniak Springs	1	15,811	14.5%	3.0	25.7%	North Palm Beach	20	15,102	0.0%	0.0	0.6%	Weston	20	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%	
DeFuniak Springs	2	5,059	85.5%	10.6	74.3%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%	Winter Park	23	28,902	97.0%	10.0	96.6%	
Delray Beach	21	5,055	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Oakland Park	20	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%	Winter Park	10	893	3.0%	0.4	3.4%	
Delray Beach	22	66.846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%	thinter i din	10	000	5.070	0.1	5.170	v
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%							
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Orlando	7	35,474	11.5%	9.1	7.6%							
Eatonville	7	1,202	51.2%	0.5	41.0%	Orlando	9	86,183	28.0%	63.9	53.8%							
Eatonville	10	1,147	48.8%	0.7	59.0%	Orlando	10	185,916	60.5%	45.9	38.6%							
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%							
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Palm Beach	22	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%							
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%							
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%							
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Pembroke Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%							
Freeport	1	5,587	95.3%	15.3	80.3%	Pembroke Park	24	6,260	100.0%	1.7	99.2%							
Freeport	2	274	4.7%	3.8	19.8%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%							
Groveland	11	16,864	91.1%	25.3	96.8%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%							
Groveland	28	1,641	8.9%	0.8	3.2%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%							
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%							
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%							
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%							
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%							
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Pompano Beach	21	3,713	3.3%	3.7	14.8%							
Hypoluxo Hypoluxo	20 22	546	20.3% 79.7%	0.3 0.5	35.1% 64.9%	Pompano Beach Riviera Beach	22 18	54,801 8,677	48.9% 23.1%	10.5	42.6% 29.5%							
Jacksonville	4	2,141 461,184	79.7% 48.6%	0.5 413.0	64.9% 47.2%	Riviera Beach Riviera Beach	18 20	8,677 28,927	23.1% 76.9%	2.8 6.8	29.5% 70.5%							
Jacksonville	4	481,184	48.6%	413.0	52.8%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%							
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	401.5	49.9%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17.861	42.1%	5.6	42.9%							
Lake City	2	5,657	45.9%	6.1	49.9% 50.1%	Royal Palm Beach	20	4,664	43.9%	1.1	48.0% 9.1%							
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.1	17.1%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%							
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	St. Augustine	6	11.882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%							
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%							
Lake Worth Beach	22	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%							
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%							
Lantana	22	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%							
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	Sweetwater	25	01,511	0.0%	0.0	0.8%							
Largo	15	7,384	9.0%	2.5	12.5%	Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%							
0		,						-,										

Pl	an	S0(	00	C8(	00	8
----	----	-----	----	-----	----	---

	2020	Census								2020 Genera	I Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	no are:	Black	k Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	/ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	43.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.81%	50.24%	41.80%	23.16%	35.03%	9.28%	44.52%	71.84%	4.02%	24.11%	46.58%	13.81%	39.60%	15.95%	49.60%	1.61%	26.55%	6.39%	50.32%
10	28.33%	23.38%	45.07%	26.06%	28.86%	24.37%	16.10%	77.81%	3.25%	18.92%	45.58%	15.94%	38.46%	42.08%	16.28%	3.04%	9.85%	15.98%	21.45%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.57%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%
24	42.14%	37.69%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.92%	76.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	64.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%
26	10.32%	73.35%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	63.92%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.18%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.79%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

	2020 0	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Elect	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforr	nance in St	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	2020
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	DEM wi	no are:	REP wi	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	no are:	Hisp.	Voters who	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%
9	12.81%	50.24%	18.82%	35.24%	1.05%	14.10%	43.37%	29.02%	27.61%	17.51%	43.60%	1.32%	19.42%	6.11%	41.61%	78.50%	3.95%	17.46%	52.57%	15.53%	31.90%	57.3%	40.9%	12	2	D +34.4%	D +0.9%	D +16.7%
10	28.33%	23.38%	48.64%	7.79%	1.94%	4.81%	45.72%	32.02%	22.26%	44.50%	12.76%	2.18%	7.05%	13.88%	16.65%	84.23%	2.88%	12.87%	49.37%	19.12%	31.42%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.1%	R +1.5%	D +17.2%
20	51.29%	22.50%	67.06%	3.92%	6.62%	9.04%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.67%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +53%	D +59.9%
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	5 D +61.7%
25	7.92%	76.89%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%
27	7.07%	74.18%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
Plan \$000C8008		BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
		R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.84%	0.71%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.75%	52.11%	61.25%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R Devine	1.13%	1.98%	1.44%	2.05%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
	Governor (REP)	R Langford	1.15%	1.44%	1.64%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
		R Mercadante	0.43%	1.28%	0.76%	1.48%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.82%	1.51%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R Putnam	41.63%	37.93%	40.26%	26.48%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
			1.64%	2.61%	2.10%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%
-		D Gillum	58.39%	29.99%	45.50%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D Graham	22.26%	29.75%	28.41%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D Greene	5.74%	13.96%	8.70%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
	Governor (DEM)	D King	1.45%	4.29%	3.75%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.18%	12.46%	20.37%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D Lundmark	0.49%	1.12%	0.44%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.84%	1.64%	0.67%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
-		R Moody	57.78%	54.44%	55.45%	56.38%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.22%	45.50%	44.57%	43.05%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
-		D Shaw	78.66%	61.11%	74.45%	43.05%	40.37%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Snaw D Torrens	21.31%	38.88%	25.57%	18.49%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
-		R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.42%	34.83%	43.34%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
			21.36%	31.97%	34.83%	25.53%	39.63%	42.50% 29.61%	31.58%	32.69%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	8.71%	16.25%	15.43%	20.22%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%
		R_McCalister								
-		R_Troutman	34.14%	15.22%	18.23%	8.87%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	60.09%	55.10%	55.26%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.04%	18.57%	17.47%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%
-		D_Walker	19.85%	26.32%	27.30%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.06%	11.29%	14.51%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%
		R_Scott	89.70%	89.89%	88.72%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	22.32%	17.11%	17.63%	14.23%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
		R_Rivera	3.73%	3.21%	2.45%	4.62%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.92%	74.53%	70.06%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
-		R_Young	5.84%	7.56%	5.31%	9.13%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
2016	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.13%	14.95%	3.92%	2.87%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%
		D_Grayson	17.53%	45.27%	40.72%	9.80%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%
		D_Keith	15.17%	9.79%	12.72%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%
		D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%
		D_Murphy	50.94%	28.53%	40.27%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%
	Governor (REP)	R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.69%	1.67%	2.72%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.04%	9.61%	14.51%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
		R_Scott	90.46%	86.09%	88.64%	80.82%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
2014	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.41%	78.83%	83.85%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
		D_Rich	25.59%	23.44%	21.17%	15.96%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.66%	49.66%	38.93%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
		D_Thurston	39.16%	39.26%	50.37%	60.97%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	57.58%	49.35%	58.31%	64.02%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		_ R_McCalister	18.69%	11.93%	10.92%	13.00%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
2012		R_Stuart	5.97%	6.58%	4.87%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
2012		R_Weldon	17.46%	31.96%	25.75%	13.11%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
	US Senate (DEM)	D Burkett	22.04%	19.38%	13.66%	14.16%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
		D Nelson	77.91%	80.61%	86.28%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27
Plan S000C8008		BVAP	43.73%	12.81%	28.33%	51.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
	General Elections	HVAP	9.04%	50.24%	23.38%	22.50%	37.69%	76.89%	73.35%	74.18%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.79%	61.66%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%
2020		R_Trump	38.62%	40.22%	37.35%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%
	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	61.81%	62.29%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.87%	36.70%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.41%	58.50%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.61%	39.86%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	60.81%	60.33%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%
2018		R_Patronis	39.62%	39.19%	39.68%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.27%	62.23%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%
		R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.73%	37.77%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.52%	62.10%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%
		R_Scott	37.75%	39.48%	37.90%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%
	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	61.95%	60.09%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%
2016		R_Trump	38.61%	34.53%	36.37%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	54.92%	54.84%	76.67%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%
		R_Rubio	43.90%	41.03%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%
	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	52.80%	54.65%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%
		R_Scott	39.85%	42.13%	40.78%	17.08%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.01%	51.79%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%
2014		R_Bondi	44.31%	48.13%	45.30%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	48.88%	49.22%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%
		R_Atwater	46.43%	51.12%	50.78%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	47.75%	49.27%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%
		R_Putnam	44.42%	52.25%	50.73%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%
	President	D_Obama	61.03%	61.43%	58.97%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%
2012		R_Romney	38.14%	37.76%	40.24%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	65.98%	63.62%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%
		R_Mack	32.61%	31.57%	34.51%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%

	The Florida Senate	
11/16/2021 Meeting Date Pearmontonent - Congression	<b>APPEARANCE RECOR</b> Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Committee		Amendment Barcode (if applicable) $(853) 509 - 5450$
Address 304 West 8th Ave Street		nicholas Lvwarren@gmail.com
Tallahassee F City State	Zip Zip Information <b>OR</b> Waive Speak	<b>king:</b>
Speaking: For Against	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWIN	

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

The Florida Senate
16 Nov 21 APPEARANCE RECORD Roapporteduced
Meeting Date Deliver both copies of this form to Bill Number or Topic Bill Number or Topic Construction of the meeting
Committee       Amendment Barcode (if applicable)         Name       Cecile       Scoon       Phone       850-319-1975
Address 25 E 8th St Email Cecile@/wvfl.org
Street Lavana Caber FI 3240 City State Zip
Speaking: For Against Anformation <b>OR</b> Waive Speaking: In Support Against
PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.       I am a registered lobbyist, representing:       I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),
League of Wornen Hers of Horida
While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so

that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla, Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

# **CourtSmart Tag Report**

Room: KB 412 Case No.: -Type: Caption: Senate Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment Judge: Started: 11/16/2021 1:33:40 PM Ends: 11/16/2021 2:57:30 PM Length: 01:23:51 1:33:39 PM Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll 1:34:05 PM Chair Bradley gives opening remarks 1:36:50 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question 1:37:13 PM Chair Bradley responds 1:37:20 PM Tab 1: Workshop on Congressional Maps by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director Sen. Rouson asks a question 1:48:41 PM 1:49:22 PM Chair Bradley responds 1:54:30 PM Sen. Bean asks a question 1:55:39 PM Chair Bradley responds Sen. Rouson asks a question 2:01:05 PM 2:01:19 PM Jav Ferrin. Staff Director responds 2:01:41 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:02:02 PM 2:03:29 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question 2:03:41 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:03:56 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question 2:04:07 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:04:48 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question 2:05:01 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds Sen. Stewart asks a question 2:15:14 PM 2:16:56 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:18:19 PM Sen. Harrell asks a question 2:20:01 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds Sen. Harrell asks a follow up question 2:20:12 PM 2:20:25 PM Jav Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:21:20 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question 2:21:31 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:22:08 PM Sen. Rouson asks a follow up question 2:22:20 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:27:57 PM Sen. Harrell asks a question 2:28:19 PM Jav Ferrin. Staff Director responds 2:29:13 PM Chair Bradley asks a question Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 2:29:31 PM Sen. Stewart makes a comment 2:40:10 PM 2:41:37 PM Sen. Harrell makes a comment 2:43:51 PM Tab 2: Pubblic Comment 2:43:59 PM Cecile Scoon, President of League of Women Voters of Florida gives public comment 2:47:44 PM Sen. Rouson asks a question 2:48:44 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director repsonds 2:49:23 PM Sen. Stewart asks a question 2:49:43 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director repsonds 2:50:00 PM Sen. Stewart asks a follow-up question 2:50:20 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director repsonds 2:50:46 PM Nicholas Warren gives public comment 2:56:01 PM Sen. Rouson gives a comment 2:56:32 PM Chair Bradley closes; Sen. Harrell moves to adjoiurn; meeting adjourned