**The Florida Senate**

# COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

**SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT**
**Senator Burgess, Chair**

**MEETING DATE:** Wednesday, November 17, 2021
**TIME:** 10:00 a.m.—1:00 p.m.
**PLACE:** *Pat Thomas Committee Room,* 412 Knott Building

**MEMBERS:** Senator Burgess, Chair; Senators Bracy, Gibson, Rodriguez, and Stargel

| TAB | BILL NO. and INTRODUCER | BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS | COMMITTEE ACTION |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Workshop on State Senate Maps | | Discussed |
| 2 | Public Comment | | Discussed |
| | Other Related Meeting Documents | | |

Senate Committee on
Reapportionment
Workshop on
State Senate Maps
November 17, 2021

# State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Committee staff has produced a series of redistricting plans per the directives received on October 18, 2021.
- Districts are numbered to be roughly analogous to their predecessor districts, and may be renumbered.
- In drawing plans, staff relied on the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and existing judicial precedent to ensure that plans comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards.
- Districts were drawn to balance co-equal Tier Two standards of Article III, Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, unless doing so would conflict with Tier One standards.

# State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- To comply with Tier-One standards:
  - Districts were drawn without reviewing any political data other than where a review of the political data was required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether or not a district denied or abridged a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process or diminished their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
  - Districts were drawn without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress.
  - Districts were drawn without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

# State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- To comply with Tier-Two standards, districts were drawn:
  - To be as nearly equal in population as practicable, with district population deviations of less than 1% of the ideal population of 538,455.
  - To be visually compact in relation to their shape and geography. Mathematical scores were used where appropriate.
  - To use county boundaries where feasible. In less populated areas, whole counties were grouped together to make a district or set of districts. In more populated areas where it was feasible to do so, districts were kept wholly within a county.
  - To use geographic features that are easily recognizable and readily ascertainable as district boundaries where feasible. The Boundary Analysis for each plan illustrates the rate at which railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies were used as district boundaries for each district.
  - To keep cities whole where doing so was feasible, while recognizing the impermanent and irregular shapes of municipal boundaries. If or when a city was split, static geographic features were sought out for usage as district boundaries.
- Accordingly, plans contain trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two criteria, and are presented for consideration and exercise of legislative discretion.

# State Senate Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Plans are published and available on www.floridaredistricting.gov, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the Submitted Plans page for independent analysis:
  - S000S8010
  - S000S8012
  - S000S8014
  - S000S8016
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
  - Select Subcommittee on Legislative Reapportionment

# Plan Packets

- Plan packets contain everything used to analyze a redistricting plan. Data comes from the Redistricting Application, and is reformatted for easier consumption.
  - Statewide map, with insets of South Florida, Jacksonville, Tampa Bay, and Orlando.
  - Census and Boundary Statistics
  - Split Counties and Cities
  - Functional Analysis of districts protected from non-diminishment standards in Tier-One of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution.

# Census and Boundary Statistics

- Census and Boundary Statistics
  - District's population deviation from the ideal, also expressed as a percentage
  - Voting Age Population for
    - BVAP – respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
    - HVAP – respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
  - District Area in square miles, Perimeter in miles, and Compactness scores (Convex Hull, Polsby-Popper, and Reock).
  - Counts of whole and partial counties and cities within each district.
  - Counts of counties and cities that have all their population in only one district.
  - Each district's boundary coincidence with certain types of features identified by the US Census Bureau in their TIGER layers. These include those recognized by the Florida Supreme Court as political and geographic boundaries:
    - City boundaries
    - County boundaries
    - Primary and Secondary Roads (Interstates, US Highways, and State Highways)
    - Railroads
    - Water features with a contiguous area of greater than ten acres.
  - The portion of each district's boundary that does not coincide with these features.

# Split Cities and Counties

- Split Counties
  - List of counties that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.
- Split Cities
  - List of cities that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.

# Functional Analysis – Summary

- Functional Analysis - Summary
  - Lists only the districts for which it is necessary to evaluate whether or not a district denies or abridges a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process, or diminishes their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
  - BVAP – respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
  - HVAP – respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
  - 2020 General Election Voter Registration Information for:
    - Registration by Party
    - Registration by Race or Ethnicity
    - Registration by Race or Ethnicity and Party
    - Registration by Party and Race or Ethnicity

# Functional Analysis – Summary

- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 Primary Elections
  - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 General Elections
  - Turnout by Party
  - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
  - Turnout by Race or Ethnicity and Party
- General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020
  - Average Performance (Vote Share) for the Democrat and Republican candidate
  - Count of Wins in Statewide contests for Democrat and Republican candidates
  - Margins
    - Maximum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
    - Minimum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
    - Average margin of victory in statewide contests for either the Democrat or Republican candidate

# Functional Analysis – Returns

- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide primary election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018).
- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide General Election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020).

# Plan S000S8010

## Plan S000S8010

| Overall (Range) | | Average | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Deviation | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
| Total | % | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| 10,457 | 1.94% | 1,785.1 | 197.3 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 14% | 60% | 20% | 39% | 3% | 6% |

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | |
|---|---|
| **Number of Counties** | 67 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 |
| **Number of Cities** | 412 |
| Cities with only one district | 350 |
| Cities split into more than one district | 62 |
| Cities with all population in only one district | 360 |
| Aggregate number of city splits | 131 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 121 |


1
2
3
4
6
5
8
7
10
9
11
14
12
13
20
17
16
18
22
15
24
19
26
25
21
23
29
30
27
31
28
34
33
32
38
36
35
37
40
39
S000S8010
0 20 40 80
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS


HOLMES
JACKSON
SANTA ROSA
OKALOOSA
WALTON
WASHINGTON
ESCAMBIA
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
MADISON
HAMILTON
JEFFERSON
LIBERTY
SUWANNEE
BAY
WAKULLA
COLUMBIA
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
DIXIE
LEVY
CITRUS
1
2
3
5
8
10
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

# S000S8010


JACKSON
2
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
LIBERTY
WAKULLA
3
SUWANNEE
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
FRANKLIN
DIXIE
BAKER
COLUMBIA
UNION
5
BRADFORD
NASSAU
4
DUVAL
6
CLAY
ST. JOHNS
GILCHRIST
ALACHUA
7
PUTNAM
FLAGLER
8
LEVY
MARION
VOLUSIA
10
CITRUS
0 5 10 20
Miles
12
14
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8010


DIXIE
3
LEVY
8
MARION
7
FLAGLER
VOLUSIA
14
CITRUS
10
SUMTER
LAKE
12
SEMINOLE
9
11
HERNANDO
ORANGE
13
PASCO
20
BREVARD
17
16
22
OSCEOLA
15
18
POLK
PINELLAS
HILLSBOROUGH
24
19
21
26
INDIAN RIVER
25
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8010


16
18
20
22
BREVARD
17
OSCEOLA
15
24
HILLSBOROUGH
PINELLAS
19
POLK
INDIAN RIVER
21
MANATEE
HARDEE
OKEECHOBEE
ST. LUCIE
HIGHLANDS
26
25
23
SARASOTA
DESOTO
MARTIN
GLADES
29
CHARLOTTE
PALM BEACH
30
27
LEE
HENDRY
31
34
28
33
BROWARD
32
COLLIER
38
36
35
37
MIAMI-DADE
40
MONROE
39
0 10 20 40
Miles
University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8010


SARASOTA
23
CHARLOTTE
26
GLADES
25
HENDRY
LEE
27
28
COLLIER
MONROE
PALM BEACH
29
30
31
34
33
32
BROWARD
38
36
35
37
39
MIAMI-DADE
40
0 5 10 20
Miles
University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8010

# Plan S000S8012

## Plan S000S8012

| Overall (Range) | | Average | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Deviation | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
| Total | % | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| 10,549 | 1.96% | 1,785.1 | 198.5 | 0.81 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 16% | 60% | 21% | 38% | 2% | 6% |

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | |
|---|---|
| **Number of Counties** | 67 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 |
| **Number of Cities** | 412 |
| Cities with only one district | 359 |
| Cities split into more than one district | 53 |
| Cities with all population in only one district | 367 |
| Aggregate number of city splits | 113 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 105 |


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
S000S8012
0 20 40 80
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS


HOLMES
JACKSON
SANTA ROSA
1
OKALOOSA
WALTON
WASHINGTON
2
ESCAMBIA
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
MADISON
HAMILTON
JEFFERSON
LIBERTY
SUWANNEE
COLUMBIA
5
BAY
WAKULLA
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
3
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
8
DIXIE
LEVY
CITRUS
10
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8012


JACKSON
2
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
NASSAU
4
BAKER
DUVAL
6
LIBERTY
COLUMBIA
SUWANNEE
WAKULLA
3
5
UNION
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
CLAY
BRADFORD
ST. JOHNS
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
ALACHUA
7
PUTNAM
DIXIE
8
FLAGLER
LEVY
MARION
VOLUSIA
14
12
LAKE
10
CITRUS
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS
S000S8012


DIXIE
3
LEVY
8
MARION
7
FLAGLER
VOLUSIA
14
CITRUS
10
SUMTER
LAKE
12
SEMINOLE
9
11
HERNANDO
ORANGE
13
PASCO
20
BREVARD
17
16
22
OSCEOLA
15
18
POLK
PINELLAS
HILLSBOROUGH
24
19
21
26
INDIAN RIVER
25
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8012


16
18
20
22
PINELLAS
24
HILLSBOROUGH
19
POLK
OSCEOLA
15
BREVARD
17
INDIAN RIVER
21
MANATEE
HARDEE
OKEECHOBEE
ST. LUCIE
HIGHLANDS
26
25
23
SARASOTA
DESOTO
MARTIN
GLADES
29
CHARLOTTE
PALM BEACH
30
27
LEE
HENDRY
31
34
28
33
BROWARD
32
COLLIER
38
36
35
37
MIAMI-DADE
40
MONROE
39
0 10 20 40
Miles
University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8012


SARASOTA
23
CHARLOTTE
26
GLADES
25
HENDRY
LEE
27
28
COLLIER
PALM BEACH
29
30
31
34
33
32
BROWARD
38
36
35
37
39
MIAMI-DADE
40
MONROE
S000S8012
0 5 10 20
Miles
University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

# Plan S000S8014

## Plan S000S8014

| Overall (Range) | | Average | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Deviation | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
| Total | % | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| 10,457 | 1.94% | 1,785.1 | 196.6 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 16% | 59% | 21% | 38% | 2% | 6% |

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | |
|---|---|
| **Number of Counties** | 67 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 |
| **Number of Cities** | 412 |
| Cities with only one district | 357 |
| Cities split into more than one district | 55 |
| Cities with all population in only one district | 366 |
| Aggregate number of city splits | 116 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 107 |


1
2
3
4
6
5
8
7
9
10
11
14
12
13
20
22
17
16
18
15
24
19
21
26
25
23
29
30
27
31
28
34
32
33
38
36
35
37
40
39
N
0 20 40 80
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8014


HOLMES
JACKSON
SANTA ROSA
OKALOOSA
WALTON
WASHINGTON
ESCAMBIA
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
MADISON
HAMILTON
JEFFERSON
LIBERTY
SUWANNEE
WAKULLA
BAY
COLUMBIA
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
DIXIE
LEVY
CITRUS
1
2
3
5
8
10
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

# S000S8014


JACKSON
2
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
LIBERTY
WAKULLA
3
SUWANNEE
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
FRANKLIN
DIXIE
BAKER
COLUMBIA
UNION
5
BRADFORD
NASSAU
4
DUVAL
6
CLAY
ST. JOHNS
7
PUTNAM
FLAGLER
GILCHRIST
ALACHUA
8
LEVY
MARION
VOLUSIA
10
CITRUS
0 5 10 20
Miles
12
14
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

# S000S8014


DIXIE
3
LEVY
8
MARION
7
FLAGLER
VOLUSIA
14
CITRUS
10
SUMTER
LAKE
12
SEMINOLE
9
11
HERNANDO
ORANGE
13
PASCO
20
BREVARD
17
16
22
OSCEOLA
15
18
POLK
PINELLAS
HILLSBOROUGH
24
21
26
19
INDIAN RIVER
25
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8014


16
18
20
22
24
PINELLAS
HILLSBOROUGH
19
POLK
OSCEOLA
15
BREVARD
17
INDIAN RIVER
21
MANATEE
HARDEE
OKEECHOBEE
ST. LUCIE
HIGHLANDS
26
25
23
SARASOTA
DESOTO
MARTIN
GLADES
29
CHARLOTTE
PALM BEACH
30
27
LEE
HENDRY
31
34
28
BROWARD
33
32
COLLIER
38
36
35
37
MIAMI-DADE
40
MONROE
39
0 10 20 40
Miles
University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8014


SARASOTA
23
CHARLOTTE
26
GLADES
25
HENDRY
LEE
27
28
PALM BEACH
29
30
31
34
33
32
BROWARD
38
COLLIER
36
35
37
39
MIAMI-DADE
MONROE
40
0 5 10 20
Miles
University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

# S000S8014

# Plan S000S8016

## Plan S000S8016

| Overall (Range) | | Average | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Deviation | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
| Total | % | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| 10,549 | 1.96% | 1,785.1 | 197.2 | 0.81 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 15% | 59% | 23% | 38% | 2% | 5% |

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | |
|---|---|
| **Number of Counties** | 67 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 |
| **Number of Cities** | 412 |
| Cities with only one district | 355 |
| Cities split into more than one district | 57 |
| Cities with all population in only one district | 364 |
| Aggregate number of city splits | 121 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 112 |


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
S000S8016
0 20 40 80
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS


HOLMES
JACKSON
SANTA ROSA
OKALOOSA
WALTON
WASHINGTON
ESCAMBIA
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
MADISON
HAMILTON
JEFFERSON
LIBERTY
SUWANNEE
COLUMBIA
BAY
WAKULLA
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
DIXIE
LEVY
CITRUS
1
2
3
5
8
10
S000S8016
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS


JACKSON
2
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
NASSAU
4
BAKER
DUVAL
6
LIBERTY
COLUMBIA
SUWANNEE
WAKULLA
3
5
UNION
TAYLOR
LAFAYETTE
CLAY
BRADFORD
ST. JOHNS
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
ALACHUA
7
PUTNAM
DIXIE
8
FLAGLER
LEVY
MARION
VOLUSIA
14
12
LAKE
10
CITRUS
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS
S000S8016


DIXIE
3
LEVY
8
MARION
7
FLAGLER
VOLUSIA
14
CITRUS
10
SUMTER
LAKE
12
SEMINOLE
9
11
HERNANDO
ORANGE
13
PASCO
20
BREVARD
17
16
22
OSCEOLA
15
18
POLK
PINELLAS
HILLSBOROUGH
24
21
26
19
INDIAN RIVER
25
0 5 10 20
Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8016


16
18
20
22
BREVARD
17
PINELLAS
24
HILLSBOROUGH
19
POLK
OSCEOLA
15
INDIAN RIVER
21
MANATEE
HARDEE
OKEECHOBEE
ST. LUCIE
HIGHLANDS
26
25
23
SARASOTA
DESOTO
MARTIN
GLADES
29
CHARLOTTE
PALM BEACH
30
27
LEE
HENDRY
31
34
28
33
BROWARD
32
COLLIER
38
36
35
37
MIAMI-DADE
40
MONROE
39
0 10 20 40
Miles
University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000S8016


SARASOTA
23
CHARLOTTE
26
GLADES
25
HENDRY
LEE
27
28
COLLIER
PALM BEACH
29
30
31
34
33
32
BROWARD
38
36
35
37
39
MIAMI-DADE
40
MONROE
S000S8016
0 5 10 20
Miles
University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS

# THE FLORIDA SENATE

**COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT**

***Location***
2000 The Capitol

***Mailing Address***
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100
(850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, *Chair*
Senator Doug Broxson, *Vice Chair*

***Professional Staff:*** Jay Ferrin, *Staff Director*

Senate's Website: *www.flsenate.gov*


# MEMORANDUM

**To:** Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
**From:** Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair
**Subject:** Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
**Date:** October 18, 2021

---

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are

not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.


Population Deviations of
Florida State Senate Districts
Relative to the 2020 Census
* * * * *
Ideal Population (538,455)
More than 20% underpopulated
15% to 20% underpopulated
10% to 15% underpopulated
6% to 10% underpopulated
2% to 6% underpopulated
+/- 2% of ideal population
2% to 6% overpopulated
6% to 10% overpopulated
10% to 15% overpopulated
15% to 20% overpopulated
More than 20% overpopulated
Legend
County boundary
Interstate
US Highway
Major road
Sea, lake, or river
District boundary & number
Scale bar for statewide map
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles
Scale bar for insets
0 5 10 20 Miles
South Florida
Jacksonville Area
Tampa Bay Area
Orlando Area
Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting


South Florida
Jacksonville Area
Tampa Bay Area
Orlando Area
Legend
County boundary
Interstate
US Highway
Major road
Sea, lake, or river
District boundary & number
Scale bar for statewide map
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles
Scale bar for insets
0 5 10 20 Miles
2016-2022
Florida
State Senate Districts
* * * * *
Court Ordered on
December 30, 2015
* * * * *
See League of Women Voters
of Fla. v. Detzner,
No. 2012-CA-2842 (Fla. 2d Cir.)
Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: https://www.floridaredistricting.gov

# Census and Boundary Statistics

## Plan FLSD2016

| Dist. | Deviation | | Voting Age Population: | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Counties: | | Cities: | | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 227,616 | 42.27% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 1,785.1 | 208.4 | 0.81 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 50 | 52 | 357 | 115 | 22% | 53% | 17% | 37% | 1% | 11% |
| 1 | -621 | -0.12% | 15.76% | 6.01% | 2,627 | 281 | 0.84 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6% | 79% | 11% | 53% | 0% | 2% |
| 2 | -11,905 | -2.21% | 11.07% | 6.88% | 5,904 | 446 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 5 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 5% | 87% | 7% | 44% | 0% | 1% |
| 3 | -52,124 | -9.68% | 29.23% | 6.84% | 9,200 | 545 | 0.79 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 1% | 100% | 0% | 41% | 0% | 0% |
| 4 | 20,662 | 3.84% | 13.07% | 9.25% | 1,404 | 286 | 0.74 | 0.22 | 0.52 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 27% | 74% | 17% | 43% | 1% | 8% |
| 5 | -30,539 | -5.67% | 13.13% | 7.80% | 6,934 | 570 | 0.72 | 0.27 | 0.56 | 10 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 12% | 96% | 0% | 37% | 0% | 3% |
| 6 | -11,653 | -2.16% | 43.06% | 10.39% | 240 | 81 | 0.83 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10% | 10% | 55% | 7% | 3% | 26% |
| 7 | 54,605 | 10.14% | 9.83% | 7.99% | 2,014 | 280 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 23% | 80% | 8% | 64% | 0% | 3% |
| 8 | -22,284 | -4.14% | 16.82% | 11.38% | 2,717 | 287 | 0.82 | 0.41 | 0.55 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 9% | 78% | 10% | 26% | 0% | 9% |
| 9 | -16,349 | -3.04% | 12.18% | 20.46% | 430 | 130 | 0.85 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 33% | 65% | 8% | 41% | 0% | 9% |
| 10 | 7,984 | 1.48% | 4.65% | 10.52% | 2,200 | 283 | 0.73 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8% | 74% | 9% | 59% | 0% | 14% |
| 11 | 17,609 | 3.27% | 36.40% | 23.55% | 306 | 105 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 26% | 55% | 21% | 22% | 0% | 11% |
| 12 | 46,214 | 8.58% | 8.82% | 10.65% | 2,051 | 324 | 0.72 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 17% | 62% | 15% | 36% | 0% | 14% |
| 13 | 10,098 | 1.88% | 12.09% | 36.40% | 319 | 98 | 0.90 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10% | 48% | 44% | 21% | 1% | 1% |
| 14 | -17,336 | -3.22% | 9.40% | 12.60% | 1,503 | 268 | 0.79 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 23% | 49% | 14% | 54% | 0% | 11% |
| 15 | 175,492 | 32.59% | 11.43% | 45.59% | 1,884 | 253 | 0.87 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3% | 76% | 16% | 32% | 0% | 4% |
| 16 | -31,412 | -5.83% | 5.86% | 11.17% | 580 | 103 | 0.96 | 0.68 | 0.62 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 18% | 44% | 3% | 65% | 0% | 15% |
| 17 | 4,862 | 0.90% | 9.25% | 10.85% | 1,398 | 178 | 0.89 | 0.55 | 0.47 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 5% | 82% | 2% | 46% | 0% | 4% |
| 18 | -14,409 | -2.68% | 9.08% | 32.93% | 263 | 82 | 0.83 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 42% | 52% | 21% | 43% | 1% | 5% |
| 19 | 41,964 | 7.79% | 31.33% | 21.41% | 352 | 131 | 0.67 | 0.26 | 0.42 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 26% | 8% | 24% | 39% | 0% | 30% |
| 20 | 16,061 | 2.98% | 14.27% | 20.79% | 713 | 154 | 0.87 | 0.38 | 0.71 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 24% | 15% | 27% | 5% | 1% | 38% |
| 21 | 49,088 | 9.12% | 8.51% | 16.57% | 1,438 | 220 | 0.71 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4% | 71% | 10% | 33% | 0% | 14% |
| 22 | 73,556 | 13.66% | 15.69% | 25.06% | 1,065 | 257 | 0.71 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 38% | 36% | 8% | 15% | 0% | 19% |
| 23 | 3,626 | 0.67% | 4.19% | 8.06% | 1,333 | 166 | 0.91 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8% | 87% | 1% | 63% | 0% | 3% |
| 24 | -47,791 | -8.88% | 6.14% | 9.40% | 450 | 112 | 0.83 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 30% | 52% | 3% | 80% | 0% | 11% |
| 25 | -6,821 | -1.27% | 15.33% | 16.15% | 2,106 | 221 | 0.85 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 15% | 64% | 14% | 40% | 0% | 8% |
| 26 | -40,826 | -7.58% | 9.95% | 16.82% | 6,052 | 390 | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.66 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 15% | 68% | 11% | 26% | 0% | 6% |
| 27 | 41,364 | 7.68% | 7.73% | 18.28% | 1,117 | 152 | 0.93 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4% | 44% | 16% | 58% | 0% | 20% |
| 28 | 25,102 | 4.66% | 6.97% | 26.33% | 4,098 | 320 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2% | 78% | 3% | 43% | 0% | 9% |
| 29 | -10,332 | -1.92% | 12.00% | 18.45% | 1,224 | 203 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 30% | 42% | 31% | 14% | 0% | 5% |
| 30 | -9,285 | -1.72% | 21.19% | 20.54% | 363 | 101 | 0.88 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 38% | 35% | 10% | 41% | 0% | 19% |
| 31 | -3,792 | -0.70% | 18.70% | 25.26% | 168 | 69 | 0.87 | 0.44 | 0.67 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 37% | 16% | 33% | 42% | 0% | 8% |
| 32 | -25,268 | -4.69% | 15.89% | 38.31% | 950 | 150 | 0.93 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 22% | 60% | 11% | 1% | 0% | 9% |
| 33 | -16,860 | -3.13% | 50.90% | 22.51% | 83 | 61 | 0.76 | 0.28 | 0.55 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 69% | 0% | 28% | 3% | 8% | 9% |
| 34 | -27,012 | -5.02% | 15.43% | 26.37% | 185 | 89 | 0.71 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 50% | 46% | 24% | 39% | 6% | 3% |
| 35 | -31,326 | -5.82% | 47.79% | 41.89% | 96 | 69 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 61% | 13% | 38% | 3% | 0% | 15% |
| 36 | -37,766 | -7.01% | 6.02% | 89.40% | 153 | 55 | 0.92 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 35% | 15% | 40% | 9% | 4% | 22% |
| 37 | -29,762 | -5.53% | 6.58% | 72.24% | 241 | 73 | 0.86 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 37% | 21% | 19% | 59% | 0% | 8% |
| 38 | -50,255 | -9.33% | 28.04% | 44.17% | 127 | 62 | 0.89 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 35% | 36% | 17% | 45% | 0% | 15% |
| 39 | 3,328 | 0.62% | 11.52% | 69.78% | 7,021 | 639 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1% | 88% | 4% | 79% | 0% | 4% |
| 40 | -45,900 | -8.52% | 8.02% | 79.62% | 92 | 42 | 0.94 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 26% | 0% | 46% | 4% | 0% | 43% |

***Overall numbers of county and city splits:***

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | In Plan FLSD2016 |
|---|---|
| Number of Counties | 67 |
| Counties with only one district | 50 |
| Districts with only one county | 15 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 17 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 52 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 51 |
| Number of Cities | 412 |
| Cities with only one district | 357 |
| Cities split into more than one district | 55 |
| Cities with all population in only one district | 375 |
| Aggregate number of city splits | 115 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 97 |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan FLSD2016

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Brevard | 14 | 223,083 | 36.8% | 776.1 | 49.8% |
| Brevard | 17 | 383,529 | 63.2% | 781.0 | 50.2% |
| Broward | 29 | 143,742 | 7.4% | 37.5 | 2.9% |
| Broward | 32 | 513,187 | 26.4% | 950.4 | 72.6% |
| Broward | 33 | 521,595 | 26.8% | 83.4 | 6.4% |
| Broward | 34 | 511,443 | 26.3% | 185.1 | 14.2% |
| Broward | 35 | 254,408 | 13.1% | 52.0 | 4.0% |
| Charlotte | 23 | 108,075 | 57.8% | 357.8 | 37.8% |
| Charlotte | 26 | 78,772 | 42.2% | 589.4 | 62.2% |
| Duval | 4 | 468,765 | 47.1% | 678.3 | 73.9% |
| Duval | 6 | 526,802 | 52.9% | 240.2 | 26.2% |
| Hillsborough | 18 | 524,046 | 35.9% | 263.5 | 19.8% |
| Hillsborough | 19 | 453,240 | 31.1% | 285.8 | 21.5% |
| Hillsborough | 20 | 294,643 | 20.2% | 308.5 | 23.2% |
| Hillsborough | 21 | 187,833 | 12.9% | 474.2 | 35.6% |
| Lake | 12 | 261,417 | 68.1% | 850.1 | 73.5% |
| Lake | 22 | 122,539 | 31.9% | 306.7 | 26.5% |
| Lee | 26 | 32,817 | 4.3% | 94.9 | 6.3% |
| Lee | 27 | 579,819 | 76.2% | 1,116.9 | 73.7% |
| Lee | 28 | 148,186 | 19.5% | 303.1 | 20.0% |
| Manatee | 21 | 399,710 | 100.0% | 964.0 | 100.0% |
| Manatee | 23 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.0% |
| Marion | 5 | 18,026 | 4.8% | 120.3 | 7.2% |
| Marion | 8 | 164,382 | 43.7% | 921.7 | 55.4% |
| Marion | 12 | 193,500 | 51.5% | 620.7 | 37.3% |
| Miami-Dade | 35 | 252,721 | 9.4% | 44.4 | 1.9% |
| Miami-Dade | 36 | 500,689 | 18.5% | 153.3 | 6.4% |
| Miami-Dade | 37 | 508,693 | 18.8% | 240.7 | 10.1% |
| Miami-Dade | 38 | 488,200 | 18.1% | 127.4 | 5.3% |
| Miami-Dade | 39 | 458,909 | 17.0% | 1,731.5 | 72.5% |
| Miami-Dade | 40 | 492,555 | 18.2% | 92.0 | 3.9% |
| Okaloosa | 1 | 27,929 | 13.2% | 278.9 | 22.4% |
| Okaloosa | 2 | 183,739 | 86.8% | 967.8 | 77.6% |
| Orange | 11 | 556,064 | 38.9% | 306.4 | 30.5% |
| Orange | 13 | 548,553 | 38.4% | 318.8 | 31.8% |
| Orange | 15 | 325,291 | 22.8% | 378.3 | 37.7% |
| Palm Beach | 25 | 43,977 | 3.0% | 665.0 | 27.9% |
| Palm Beach | 29 | 384,381 | 25.8% | 1,186.7 | 49.8% |
| Palm Beach | 30 | 529,170 | 35.5% | 363.5 | 15.3% |
| Palm Beach | 31 | 534,663 | 35.8% | 168.0 | 7.1% |
| Pasco | 10 | 198,081 | 35.3% | 523.9 | 51.6% |
| Pasco | 16 | 165,779 | 29.5% | 234.7 | 23.1% |
| Pasco | 20 | 198,031 | 35.2% | 256.2 | 25.2% |
| Pinellas | 16 | 341,264 | 35.6% | 345.7 | 40.1% |
| Pinellas | 19 | 127,179 | 13.3% | 66.6 | 7.7% |
| Pinellas | 24 | 490,664 | 51.2% | 450.2 | 52.2% |
| Polk | 20 | 61,842 | 8.5% | 148.3 | 7.4% |
| Polk | 22 | 489,472 | 67.5% | 758.0 | 37.7% |
| Polk | 26 | 173,732 | 24.0% | 1,104.1 | 54.9% |
| Volusia | 7 | 204,257 | 36.9% | 621.7 | 43.4% |
| Volusia | 9 | 51,250 | 9.3% | 84.3 | 5.9% |
| Volusia | 14 | 298,036 | 53.8% | 726.5 | 50.7% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan FLSD2016

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bartow | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Bartow | 26 | 19,309 | 100.0% | 52.7 | 100.0% |
| Belle Glade | 25 | 20 | 0.1% | 0.5 | 7.2% |
| Belle Glade | 29 | 16,678 | 99.9% | 6.5 | 92.9% |
| Belleair | 16 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Belleair | 24 | 4,273 | 100.0% | 2.5 | 99.9% |
| Bonita Springs | 27 | 350 | 0.7% | 0.5 | 1.0% |
| Bonita Springs | 28 | 53,294 | 99.4% | 46.1 | 99.0% |
| Clearwater | 16 | 117,279 | 100.0% | 35.8 | 99.9% |
| Clearwater | 24 | 13 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Coral Springs | 29 | 50,644 | 37.7% | 9.5 | 39.4% |
| Coral Springs | 32 | 83,750 | 62.3% | 14.5 | 60.6% |
| Cutler Bay | 37 | 45,400 | 99.9% | 10.3 | 100.0% |
| Cutler Bay | 39 | 25 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Dade City | 10 | 6 | 0.1% | 0.1 | 0.8% |
| Dade City | 20 | 7,269 | 99.9% | 6.3 | 99.2% |
| Daytona Beach | 7 | 72,562 | 99.9% | 67.9 | 99.6% |
| Daytona Beach | 14 | 85 | 0.1% | 0.3 | 0.4% |
| Daytona Beach Shores | 7 | 5,175 | 99.9% | 0.9 | 99.9% |
| Daytona Beach Shores | 14 | 4 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| DeLand | 7 | 376 | 1.0% | 0.7 | 3.4% |
| DeLand | 14 | 36,975 | 99.0% | 18.6 | 96.6% |
| Delray Beach | 29 | 98 | 0.2% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Delray Beach | 31 | 66,748 | 99.9% | 16.5 | 99.9% |
| Deltona | 9 | 42 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Deltona | 14 | 93,650 | 100.0% | 40.8 | 99.9% |
| Eatonville | 11 | 2,349 | 100.0% | 1.1 | 96.1% |
| Eatonville | 13 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 4.0% |
| Estero | 27 | 21,505 | 58.2% | 13.4 | 52.7% |
| Estero | 28 | 15,434 | 41.8% | 12.0 | 47.3% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 32 | 5,737 | 3.1% | 1.0 | 2.7% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 33 | 64,497 | 35.3% | 13.4 | 37.0% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 34 | 112,526 | 61.6% | 21.9 | 60.4% |
| Fort Walton Beach | 1 | 17 | 0.1% | 0.1 | 1.5% |
| Fort Walton Beach | 2 | 20,905 | 99.9% | 7.6 | 98.5% |
| Groveland | 12 | 13 | 0.1% | 4.9 | 18.8% |
| Groveland | 22 | 18,492 | 99.9% | 21.2 | 81.2% |
| Hollywood | 32 | 78 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Hollywood | 34 | 152,989 | 100.0% | 30.8 | 100.0% |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 422,809 | 44.5% | 634.3 | 72.5% |
| Jacksonville | 6 | 526,802 | 55.5% | 240.2 | 27.5% |
| Jupiter | 25 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.4% |
| Jupiter | 30 | 61,047 | 100.0% | 23.0 | 99.6% |
| Lake Wales | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Lake Wales | 26 | 16,361 | 100.0% | 20.1 | 99.9% |
| Lakeland | 20 | 34 | 0.0% | 0.2 | 0.3% |
| Lakeland | 22 | 112,607 | 100.0% | 75.0 | 99.7% |
| Lakeland | 26 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Largo | 16 | 148 | 0.2% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Largo | 24 | 82,337 | 99.8% | 19.5 | 99.9% |
| Longboat Key | 21 | 2,746 | 36.6% | 8.3 | 51.7% |
| Longboat Key | 23 | 4,759 | 63.4% | 7.7 | 48.3% |
| Maitland | 11 | 19,543 | 100.0% | 6.5 | 99.9% |
| Maitland | 13 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Mascotte | 12 | 6,609 | 100.0% | 18.0 | 99.7% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mascotte | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.3% |
| Miami | 37 | 311,297 | 70.4% | 38.1 | 68.0% |
| Miami | 38 | 130,944 | 29.6% | 18.0 | 32.0% |
| Miami Beach | 37 | 18 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Miami Beach | 38 | 82,872 | 100.0% | 15.2 | 99.7% |
| Minneola | 12 | 13,825 | 99.9% | 11.4 | 99.4% |
| Minneola | 22 | 18 | 0.1% | 0.1 | 0.6% |
| North Miami | 35 | 590 | 1.0% | 0.1 | 0.6% |
| North Miami | 38 | 59,601 | 99.0% | 10.0 | 99.4% |
| Oakland Park | 33 | 44,229 | 100.0% | 8.2 | 100.0% |
| Oakland Park | 34 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Ocala | 8 | 63,566 | 100.0% | 45.8 | 96.8% |
| Ocala | 12 | 25 | 0.0% | 1.5 | 3.2% |
| Oldsmar | 16 | 14,887 | 99.9% | 10.1 | 100.0% |
| Oldsmar | 24 | 11 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Orange City | 9 | 12,632 | 100.0% | 7.9 | 99.9% |
| Orange City | 14 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Orlando | 11 | 139,814 | 45.5% | 35.9 | 30.2% |
| Orlando | 13 | 131,835 | 42.9% | 35.6 | 30.0% |
| Orlando | 15 | 35,924 | 11.7% | 47.4 | 39.9% |
| Palm Beach | 30 | 9,140 | 98.9% | 7.8 | 99.9% |
| Palm Beach | 31 | 105 | 1.1% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Palm Springs | 30 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Palm Springs | 31 | 26,890 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 100.0% |
| Pembroke Pines | 32 | 72,881 | 42.6% | 17.8 | 51.3% |
| Pembroke Pines | 35 | 98,297 | 57.4% | 16.9 | 48.7% |
| Plantation | 32 | 91,750 | 100.0% | 22.0 | 99.9% |
| Plantation | 33 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Pompano Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Pompano Beach | 33 | 58,013 | 51.8% | 14.3 | 58.0% |
| Pompano Beach | 34 | 54,033 | 48.2% | 10.4 | 42.0% |
| Port Orange | 7 | 15 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Port Orange | 14 | 62,581 | 100.0% | 28.8 | 100.0% |
| Rockledge | 14 | 27,678 | 100.0% | 14.9 | 99.8% |
| Rockledge | 17 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.2% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 30 | 38,932 | 100.0% | 11.7 | 100.0% |
| San Antonio | 10 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.8% |
| San Antonio | 20 | 1,297 | 100.0% | 1.4 | 99.2% |
| South Daytona | 7 | 12,850 | 99.9% | 5.1 | 99.9% |
| South Daytona | 14 | 15 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| St. Petersburg | 19 | 114,831 | 44.5% | 62.6 | 47.7% |
| St. Petersburg | 24 | 143,477 | 55.5% | 68.7 | 52.3% |
| Sunrise | 32 | 35,497 | 36.5% | 9.9 | 54.9% |
| Sunrise | 33 | 61,838 | 63.5% | 8.2 | 45.1% |
| Sweetwater | 36 | 167 | 0.9% | 1.0 | 43.7% |
| Sweetwater | 39 | 19,196 | 99.1% | 1.3 | 56.3% |
| Tampa | 18 | 198,284 | 51.5% | 110.2 | 62.7% |
| Tampa | 19 | 126,022 | 32.7% | 35.5 | 20.2% |
| Tampa | 20 | 60,653 | 15.8% | 30.2 | 17.2% |
| Temple Terrace | 19 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.4 | 5.0% |
| Temple Terrace | 20 | 26,690 | 100.0% | 7.3 | 95.0% |
| Wellington | 29 | 61,291 | 99.4% | 45.4 | 99.9% |
| Wellington | 30 | 346 | 0.6% | 0.1 | 0.1% |
| Westlake | 25 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.2 | 34.0% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Westlake | 30 | 906 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 66.0% |
| Winter Garden | 11 | 46,934 | 99.9% | 17.8 | 99.2% |
| Winter Garden | 15 | 30 | 0.1% | 0.1 | 0.8% |
| Winter Park | 11 | 21 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Winter Park | 13 | 29,774 | 99.9% | 10.4 | 100.0% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan FLSD2016

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | 2020 General Election Registered Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | RV who are: | | | RV who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | |
| | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 6 | 43.06% | 10.39% | 52.28% | 26.50% | 21.22% | 42.97% | 5.40% | 82.56% | 3.23% | 14.20% | 41.64% | 21.20% | 37.06% | 67.86% | 4.30% | 5.24% | 4.32% | 28.75% | 9.42% |
| 11 | 36.40% | 23.55% | 49.00% | 22.92% | 28.09% | 32.58% | 15.99% | 78.46% | 3.10% | 18.43% | 46.43% | 14.68% | 38.88% | 52.18% | 15.15% | 4.41% | 10.24% | 21.38% | 22.13% |
| 15 | 11.43% | 45.59% | 40.11% | 25.37% | 34.51% | 8.41% | 39.66% | 71.81% | 4.13% | 23.98% | 46.07% | 14.35% | 39.57% | 15.05% | 45.55% | 1.37% | 22.43% | 5.84% | 45.48% |
| 19 | 31.33% | 21.41% | 49.43% | 22.16% | 28.42% | 30.09% | 14.72% | 79.33% | 2.96% | 17.69% | 44.45% | 16.50% | 38.91% | 48.29% | 13.24% | 4.02% | 10.96% | 18.73% | 20.15% |
| 33 | 50.90% | 22.51% | 63.18% | 12.45% | 24.37% | 47.26% | 15.14% | 81.70% | 2.43% | 15.86% | 48.54% | 15.76% | 35.66% | 61.12% | 11.63% | 9.24% | 19.16% | 30.75% | 22.16% |
| 35 | 47.79% | 41.89% | 60.72% | 13.19% | 26.09% | 47.26% | 31.56% | 82.19% | 2.45% | 15.38% | 39.49% | 24.19% | 36.31% | 63.97% | 20.53% | 8.77% | 57.90% | 27.85% | 43.92% |
| 36 | 6.02% | 89.40% | 30.32% | 34.97% | 34.71% | 5.47% | 79.31% | 82.40% | 3.41% | 14.14% | 26.79% | 37.97% | 35.23% | 14.87% | 70.08% | 0.53% | 86.13% | 2.23% | 80.50% |
| 37 | 6.58% | 72.24% | 35.72% | 31.16% | 33.12% | 4.86% | 59.98% | 75.75% | 4.29% | 19.92% | 30.20% | 36.00% | 33.79% | 10.31% | 50.72% | 0.67% | 69.29% | 2.92% | 61.19% |
| 39 | 11.52% | 69.78% | 34.10% | 34.03% | 31.88% | 10.02% | 59.46% | 78.71% | 3.23% | 17.96% | 28.12% | 37.40% | 34.48% | 23.14% | 49.03% | 0.95% | 65.35% | 5.65% | 64.31% |
| 40 | 8.02% | 79.62% | 33.27% | 33.55% | 33.18% | 7.27% | 69.96% | 78.47% | 3.54% | 17.91% | 27.47% | 37.94% | 34.59% | 17.14% | 57.77% | 0.77% | 79.11% | 3.92% | 72.95% |

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan FLSD2016

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | Average Primary Election Turnout | | | | Average General Election Turnout | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | Avg. Perf. | | Wins | | Margins | | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 6 | 43.06% | 10.39% | 69.22% | 1.39% | 3.02% | 1.64% | 54.29% | 31.17% | 14.54% | 68.47% | 2.76% | 3.66% | 2.90% | 25.40% | 6.72% | 88.48% | 2.71% | 8.79% | 43.33% | 27.03% | 28.84% | 57.5% | 40.9% | 14 | 0 | D +29.6% | D +2.6% | D +17% |
| 11 | 36.40% | 23.55% | 59.53% | 6.78% | 2.76% | 4.79% | 50.56% | 28.28% | 21.15% | 54.49% | 11.69% | 3.09% | 7.24% | 18.91% | 17.28% | 84.89% | 2.68% | 12.38% | 50.68% | 17.62% | 31.42% | 61.9% | 36.6% | 14 | 0 | D +38.2% | D +9.6% | D +25.8% |
| 15 | 11.43% | 45.59% | 18.37% | 32.14% | 0.92% | 11.51% | 41.12% | 31.69% | 27.18% | 16.61% | 40.39% | 1.14% | 16.16% | 5.50% | 37.05% | 78.52% | 4.14% | 17.24% | 52.32% | 15.96% | 31.67% | 54.5% | 43.9% | 11 | 3 | D +28% | D +4.1% | D +11% |
| 19 | 31.33% | 21.41% | 51.41% | 5.34% | 2.60% | 5.20% | 53.20% | 25.09% | 21.71% | 50.58% | 9.45% | 3.20% | 7.87% | 16.60% | 14.48% | 85.79% | 2.55% | 11.55% | 49.13% | 19.55% | 30.88% | 63.9% | 34.3% | 14 | 0 | D +46.1% | D +11.6% | D +30% |
| 33 | 50.90% | 22.51% | 61.89% | 4.85% | 6.60% | 9.84% | 68.06% | 13.17% | 18.77% | 60.71% | 9.17% | 7.47% | 14.86% | 28.49% | 18.79% | 86.65% | 2.06% | 11.23% | 52.91% | 16.76% | 30.08% | 80.1% | 19.1% | 14 | 0 | D +66.5% | D +55.6% | D +61.3% |
| 35 | 47.79% | 41.89% | 75.65% | 8.84% | 8.38% | 51.94% | 67.40% | 13.10% | 19.50% | 67.94% | 16.25% | 8.17% | 54.07% | 30.28% | 40.17% | 86.84% | 2.03% | 11.11% | 41.94% | 27.76% | 30.27% | 81.1% | 18.2% | 14 | 0 | D +68.7% | D +50.9% | D +63% |
| 36 | 6.02% | 89.40% | 25.47% | 56.24% | 0.30% | 88.26% | 31.83% | 40.07% | 28.10% | 17.81% | 66.11% | 0.41% | 86.11% | 2.31% | 81.42% | 87.29% | 2.61% | 10.01% | 26.81% | 44.06% | 29.13% | 46.1% | 52.8% | 3 | 11 | R +19.2% | R +2.8% | R +6.5% |
| 37 | 6.58% | 72.24% | 11.19% | 38.62% | 0.36% | 72.76% | 36.35% | 36.29% | 27.36% | 10.66% | 45.72% | 0.49% | 68.03% | 2.69% | 59.62% | 80.62% | 3.74% | 15.43% | 28.77% | 42.94% | 28.28% | 52.2% | 46.7% | 11 | 3 | D +21.6% | D +1.7% | D +6% |
| 39 | 11.52% | 69.78% | 23.00% | 31.37% | 0.48% | 63.03% | 35.04% | 39.23% | 25.73% | 23.73% | 42.05% | 0.70% | 62.73% | 4.94% | 59.37% | 84.24% | 2.79% | 12.88% | 26.84% | 45.22% | 27.94% | 48.3% | 50.4% | 6 | 8 | R +13.7% | R +0.7% | R +1.7% |
| 40 | 8.02% | 79.62% | 25.88% | 38.96% | 0.58% | 78.06% | 35.80% | 37.04% | 27.16% | 19.98% | 50.75% | 0.71% | 76.67% | 4.20% | 70.85% | 83.49% | 3.07% | 13.27% | 27.49% | 43.29% | 29.23% | 51.3% | 47.6% | 9 | 5 | D +17.6% | R +3.1% | D +4% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns

| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan FLSD2016 | | BVAP | 43.06% | 36.40% | 11.43% | 31.33% | 50.90% | 47.79% | 6.02% | 6.58% | 11.52% | 8.02% |
| Primary Elections | | HVAP | 10.39% | 23.55% | 45.59% | 21.41% | 22.51% | 41.89% | 89.40% | 72.24% | 69.78% | 79.62% |
| 2018 | Governor (REP) | R_Baldauf | 0.82% | 0.78% | 0.78% | 0.68% | 1.52% | 2.19% | 2.64% | 1.59% | 1.75% | 1.61% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 58.03% | 50.72% | 54.24% | 43.67% | 67.85% | 67.35% | 67.57% | 66.63% | 68.02% | 68.37% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.31% | 1.51% | 1.69% | 1.26% | 2.55% | 3.48% | 3.93% | 3.13% | 3.31% | 3.19% |
| | | R_Langford | 1.06% | 1.60% | 1.28% | 1.01% | 2.28% | 1.82% | 1.38% | 1.68% | 1.77% | 1.40% |
| | | R_Mercadante | 0.45% | 0.70% | 1.13% | 0.80% | 1.92% | 2.45% | 2.80% | 2.25% | 1.85% | 2.28% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.83% | 0.82% | 0.89% | 0.94% | 1.65% | 1.88% | 1.44% | 1.55% | 1.37% | 1.31% |
| | | R_Putnam | 35.45% | 41.45% | 37.39% | 48.94% | 17.25% | 16.63% | 16.87% | 19.27% | 18.21% | 18.12% |
| | | R_White | 1.67% | 2.00% | 2.40% | 1.99% | 3.50% | 3.61% | 3.23% | 3.65% | 3.55% | 3.32% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Gillum | 56.47% | 49.33% | 29.68% | 49.48% | 51.68% | 58.73% | 32.09% | 25.63% | 33.28% | 32.42% |
| | | D_Graham | 19.91% | 25.14% | 30.72% | 26.30% | 12.19% | 9.90% | 19.25% | 24.17% | 22.10% | 19.51% |
| | | D_Greene | 6.75% | 9.02% | 13.36% | 6.58% | 11.04% | 8.58% | 9.46% | 7.88% | 10.82% | 8.75% |
| | | D_King | 1.59% | 3.43% | 4.18% | 2.02% | 0.92% | 0.63% | 2.22% | 1.77% | 2.22% | 1.43% |
| | | D_Levine | 13.94% | 11.84% | 19.15% | 14.52% | 23.46% | 21.56% | 34.13% | 38.82% | 29.40% | 36.02% |
| | | D_Lundmark | 0.45% | 0.42% | 1.06% | 0.32% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 1.58% | 0.86% | 0.83% | 0.83% |
| | | D_Wetherbee | 0.72% | 0.60% | 1.65% | 0.43% | 0.35% | 0.30% | 1.14% | 0.64% | 1.11% | 0.71% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R_Moody | 57.33% | 54.93% | 54.83% | 58.88% | 52.91% | 51.77% | 53.68% | 55.19% | 55.24% | 54.09% |
| | | R_White | 42.69% | 45.06% | 45.18% | 40.95% | 46.72% | 48.06% | 46.29% | 44.81% | 44.72% | 45.94% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Shaw | 76.59% | 75.36% | 61.91% | 79.41% | 81.04% | 84.95% | 66.49% | 72.98% | 69.32% | 73.73% |
| | | D_Torrens | 23.40% | 24.65% | 38.04% | 20.56% | 18.94% | 15.04% | 33.42% | 27.02% | 30.65% | 26.30% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R_Caldwell | 31.87% | 35.87% | 35.85% | 24.88% | 42.06% | 40.92% | 40.59% | 38.87% | 41.99% | 41.94% |
| | | R_Grimsley | 16.16% | 31.05% | 33.35% | 23.52% | 26.24% | 29.63% | 34.15% | 33.57% | 31.77% | 31.43% |
| | | R_McCalister | 7.98% | 14.83% | 15.70% | 11.56% | 21.49% | 18.10% | 14.52% | 16.97% | 16.33% | 16.73% |
| | | R_Troutman | 43.86% | 18.05% | 14.93% | 39.31% | 8.99% | 10.72% | 10.58% | 10.55% | 9.71% | 9.81% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D_Fried | 63.03% | 55.12% | 54.74% | 62.90% | 65.07% | 61.91% | 52.20% | 61.17% | 51.36% | 57.46% |
| | | D_Porter | 20.81% | 18.86% | 18.34% | 15.90% | 15.05% | 16.51% | 20.25% | 13.88% | 21.55% | 17.49% |
| | | D_Walker | 16.15% | 25.93% | 26.88% | 21.00% | 19.86% | 21.57% | 27.41% | 24.81% | 27.06% | 24.93% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_De La Fuente | 10.15% | 11.28% | 9.53% | 13.19% | 15.52% | 15.77% | 10.57% | 13.26% | 11.95% | 12.20% |
| | | R_Scott | 89.74% | 88.67% | 90.43% | 86.56% | 83.84% | 84.18% | 89.36% | 86.65% | 87.98% | 87.70% |
| 2016 | US Senate (REP) | R_Beruff | 24.87% | 18.60% | 16.45% | 25.00% | 15.77% | 8.85% | 4.16% | 6.15% | 6.52% | 5.19% |
| | | R_Rivera | 3.47% | 2.50% | 2.96% | 3.73% | 4.66% | 3.41% | 1.98% | 2.02% | 2.71% | 2.29% |
| | | R_Rubio | 66.16% | 73.34% | 73.20% | 64.17% | 67.79% | 79.65% | 91.83% | 87.82% | 85.80% | 88.94% |
| | | R_Young | 5.34% | 5.31% | 7.14% | 6.30% | 10.57% | 7.86% | 1.95% | 3.91% | 4.87% | 3.37% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_De La Fuente | 3.32% | 3.81% | 14.79% | 4.70% | 3.40% | 5.40% | 24.66% | 13.36% | 12.99% | 12.41% |
| | | D_Grayson | 11.24% | 42.25% | 44.27% | 10.14% | 9.58% | 9.60% | 10.23% | 11.01% | 11.28% | 11.17% |
| | | D_Keith | 15.23% | 12.55% | 10.04% | 17.33% | 16.08% | 12.66% | 12.44% | 17.81% | 15.80% | 16.73% |
| | | D_Luster | 18.62% | 2.55% | 1.27% | 2.14% | 2.20% | 2.43% | 2.13% | 1.29% | 1.65% | 1.87% |
| | | D_Murphy | 51.43% | 38.66% | 29.34% | 65.18% | 68.42% | 69.79% | 50.25% | 56.34% | 57.86% | 57.37% |
| 2014 | Governor (REP) | R_Adeshina | 1.03% | 1.80% | 1.40% | 2.20% | 2.58% | 2.91% | 1.65% | 1.97% | 1.65% | 1.76% |
| | | R_Cuevas-Neunder | 7.26% | 9.98% | 10.33% | 12.61% | 13.96% | 16.26% | 12.08% | 13.28% | 13.67% | 15.37% |
| | | R_Scott | 91.55% | 87.96% | 88.06% | 84.45% | 82.37% | 80.59% | 86.07% | 84.54% | 84.59% | 82.68% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 71.51% | 81.42% | 76.07% | 83.98% | 81.48% | 85.20% | 77.56% | 73.05% | 77.14% | 77.52% |
| | | D_Rich | 28.35% | 18.53% | 23.75% | 15.88% | 18.45% | 14.73% | 22.18% | 26.96% | 22.73% | 22.24% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Sheldon | 58.79% | 48.23% | 59.93% | 61.40% | 33.85% | 40.19% | 58.88% | 69.87% | 60.57% | 60.55% |
| | | D_Thurston | 41.14% | 51.84% | 39.80% | 38.41% | 66.16% | 59.80% | 40.77% | 30.13% | 39.15% | 39.23% |
| 2012 | US Senate (REP) | R_Mack | 61.78% | 58.01% | 50.25% | 50.83% | 67.71% | 70.97% | 76.15% | 77.11% | 74.96% | 74.99% |
| | | R_McCalister | 17.14% | 10.79% | 11.29% | 13.79% | 11.30% | 7.50% | 4.38% | 5.14% | 6.79% | 6.24% |
| | | R_Stuart | 5.71% | 4.80% | 6.03% | 8.05% | 7.46% | 12.64% | 16.22% | 12.75% | 12.60% | 13.88% |
| | | R_Weldon | 15.01% | 26.01% | 32.05% | 26.02% | 12.07% | 8.63% | 3.09% | 4.73% | 5.51% | 4.61% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 19.89% | 12.85% | 19.45% | 14.99% | 13.65% | 14.95% | 16.58% | 14.16% | 18.71% | 16.27% |
| | | D_Nelson | 80.06% | 86.94% | 80.41% | 84.69% | 86.24% | 85.05% | 83.31% | 85.73% | 81.13% | 83.56% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns



| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan FLSD2016 | | BVAP | 43.06% | 36.40% | 11.43% | 31.33% | 50.90% | 47.79% | 6.02% | 6.58% | 11.52% | 8.02% |
| General Elections | | HVAP | 10.39% | 23.55% | 45.59% | 21.41% | 22.51% | 41.89% | 89.40% | 72.24% | 69.78% | 79.62% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 61.39% | 64.97% | 56.96% | 64.55% | 77.84% | 75.23% | 40.25% | 52.17% | 45.21% | 46.89% |
| | | R_Trump | 37.30% | 34.06% | 42.09% | 34.20% | 21.63% | 24.28% | 59.28% | 47.26% | 54.16% | 52.60% |
| 2018 | Governor | D_Gillum | 63.12% | 66.17% | 58.98% | 67.69% | 81.71% | 82.56% | 47.54% | 55.43% | 50.26% | 52.81% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 35.96% | 32.87% | 39.77% | 31.12% | 17.66% | 16.79% | 51.08% | 43.47% | 48.52% | 46.11% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 59.41% | 62.46% | 55.70% | 62.87% | 80.55% | 81.14% | 46.14% | 54.20% | 48.55% | 51.53% |
| | | R_Moody | 38.95% | 36.00% | 42.48% | 35.38% | 18.21% | 17.64% | 51.57% | 43.82% | 49.26% | 46.49% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Ring | 60.72% | 64.42% | 57.86% | 66.35% | 81.65% | 82.12% | 47.21% | 54.75% | 49.67% | 52.32% |
| | | R_Patronis | 39.29% | 35.57% | 42.12% | 33.66% | 18.35% | 17.87% | 52.78% | 45.25% | 50.32% | 47.68% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 61.39% | 65.97% | 59.48% | 68.79% | 82.05% | 82.50% | 48.59% | 56.99% | 51.28% | 53.88% |
| | | R_Caldwell | 38.61% | 34.03% | 40.51% | 31.20% | 17.94% | 17.50% | 51.40% | 43.01% | 48.73% | 46.12% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 61.91% | 65.73% | 57.90% | 67.55% | 82.08% | 82.32% | 48.06% | 56.55% | 51.27% | 54.05% |
| | | R_Scott | 38.09% | 34.26% | 42.11% | 32.44% | 17.93% | 17.68% | 51.94% | 43.45% | 48.73% | 45.95% |
| 2016 | President | D_Clinton | 58.59% | 63.95% | 59.52% | 64.02% | 79.35% | 81.54% | 55.38% | 59.54% | 53.82% | 57.49% |
| | | R_Trump | 38.31% | 32.81% | 37.11% | 32.24% | 19.00% | 16.79% | 42.45% | 37.90% | 43.42% | 39.93% |
| | US Senate | D_Murphy | 50.44% | 58.81% | 52.55% | 60.66% | 77.74% | 76.84% | 43.72% | 49.78% | 46.12% | 47.42% |
| | | R_Rubio | 46.06% | 37.42% | 43.59% | 34.86% | 20.38% | 21.42% | 54.35% | 48.10% | 51.44% | 50.50% |
| 2014 | Governor | D_Crist | 52.50% | 59.42% | 49.71% | 64.21% | 81.11% | 82.74% | 43.80% | 50.75% | 47.91% | 52.68% |
| | | R_Scott | 43.33% | 36.18% | 45.60% | 30.31% | 16.80% | 15.71% | 53.87% | 46.82% | 49.16% | 44.69% |
| | Attorney General | D_Sheldon | 50.25% | 56.29% | 46.30% | 58.39% | 78.23% | 80.05% | 39.40% | 47.03% | 43.15% | 47.32% |
| | | R_Bondi | 46.99% | 41.03% | 50.96% | 38.36% | 20.34% | 18.80% | 58.41% | 50.87% | 54.46% | 50.54% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 51.29% | 54.82% | 45.61% | 56.67% | 77.81% | 80.59% | 40.96% | 43.98% | 43.14% | 46.41% |
| | | R_Atwater | 48.71% | 45.18% | 54.39% | 43.32% | 22.18% | 19.40% | 59.04% | 56.02% | 56.86% | 53.60% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 54.38% | 54.85% | 44.42% | 55.78% | 78.89% | 81.14% | 40.38% | 44.77% | 43.28% | 46.95% |
| | | R_Putnam | 45.61% | 45.15% | 55.58% | 44.22% | 21.11% | 18.87% | 59.61% | 55.21% | 56.71% | 53.06% |
| 2012 | President | D_Obama | 59.97% | 64.16% | 57.98% | 68.32% | 81.59% | 83.69% | 51.83% | 53.31% | 52.29% | 54.54% |
| | | R_Romney | 39.25% | 35.14% | 41.24% | 30.68% | 18.00% | 16.03% | 47.75% | 46.16% | 47.13% | 44.97% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 63.46% | 68.20% | 62.80% | 71.85% | 82.60% | 83.83% | 53.49% | 55.60% | 53.86% | 56.36% |
| | | R_Mack | 33.87% | 30.03% | 34.83% | 25.75% | 16.14% | 15.17% | 44.64% | 42.98% | 44.44% | 42.26% |


South Florida
Jacksonville Area
Tampa Bay Area
Orlando Area
Legend
County boundary
Interstate
US Highway
Major road
Sea, lake, or river
District boundary & number
Scale bar for statewide map
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles
Scale bar for insets
0 5 10 20 Miles
Florida
State Senate Districts
S000S8010
* * * * *
Produced by
Florida Senate
Committee on Reapportionment
* * * * *
November 10, 2021
Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: https://www.floridaredistricting.gov

# Census and Boundary Statistics

## Plan S000S8010

| Dist. | Deviation | | Voting Age Population: | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Counties: | | Cities: | | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 10,457 | 1.94% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 1,785.1 | 197.3 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 51 | 48 | 350 | 131 | 14% | 60% | 20% | 39% | 3% | 6% |
| 1 | 473 | 0.09% | 15.63% | 5.70% | 2,690 | 292 | 0.81 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1% | 83% | 10% | 56% | 0% | 0% |
| 2 | 649 | 0.12% | 11.28% | 7.12% | 6,415 | 418 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 6 | 1 | 40 | 2 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 61% | 0% | 0% |
| 3 | 2,687 | 0.50% | 27.43% | 7.06% | 10,909 | 574 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2% | 100% | 0% | 58% | 0% | 0% |
| 4 | 4,405 | 0.82% | 12.69% | 9.67% | 1,191 | 258 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 32% | 83% | 12% | 48% | 0% | 1% |
| 5 | 347 | 0.06% | 14.64% | 8.79% | 3,433 | 335 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 14% | 87% | 13% | 20% | 0% | 0% |
| 6 | 4,604 | 0.86% | 42.66% | 9.93% | 454 | 94 | 0.93 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 55% | 55% | 35% | 9% | 0% | 4% |
| 7 | 3,122 | 0.58% | 7.81% | 7.85% | 2,533 | 280 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 14% | 86% | 13% | 54% | 0% | 0% |
| 8 | -1,450 | -0.27% | 13.85% | 12.39% | 3,729 | 327 | 0.89 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 7% | 87% | 13% | 45% | 0% | 0% |
| 9 | -4,249 | -0.79% | 12.73% | 20.12% | 366 | 105 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 13% | 86% | 7% | 58% | 0% | 6% |
| 10 | 84 | 0.02% | 4.88% | 8.19% | 2,403 | 278 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9% | 88% | 10% | 48% | 0% | 1% |
| 11 | -4,479 | -0.83% | 37.00% | 25.05% | 288 | 86 | 0.82 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10% | 54% | 28% | 22% | 6% | 11% |
| 12 | -3,071 | -0.57% | 10.13% | 16.03% | 1,290 | 225 | 0.72 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 11% | 88% | 10% | 33% | 0% | 3% |
| 13 | -1,963 | -0.36% | 11.79% | 37.95% | 489 | 110 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8% | 75% | 6% | 28% | 6% | 10% |
| 14 | 2,235 | 0.42% | 11.51% | 13.23% | 1,476 | 222 | 0.84 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8% | 65% | 24% | 66% | 4% | 2% |
| 15 | -5,137 | -0.95% | 12.77% | 52.56% | 1,578 | 233 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5% | 89% | 9% | 29% | 1% | 2% |
| 16 | -2,656 | -0.49% | 5.34% | 10.76% | 640 | 116 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 10% | 46% | 21% | 61% | 0% | 3% |
| 17 | 1,557 | 0.29% | 10.06% | 10.14% | 1,199 | 188 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1% | 79% | 9% | 54% | 5% | 2% |
| 18 | -2,463 | -0.46% | 9.42% | 32.62% | 281 | 89 | 0.78 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44% | 48% | 17% | 41% | 1% | 9% |
| 19 | -2,912 | -0.54% | 33.80% | 21.49% | 338 | 118 | 0.64 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 15% | 2% | 32% | 29% | 7% | 27% |
| 20 | -2,632 | -0.49% | 11.86% | 21.42% | 887 | 143 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4% | 59% | 37% | 3% | 6% | 8% |
| 21 | -4,236 | -0.79% | 10.59% | 17.53% | 1,245 | 197 | 0.72 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1% | 49% | 24% | 32% | 8% | 14% |
| 22 | -2,241 | -0.42% | 16.13% | 24.30% | 993 | 205 | 0.78 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3% | 71% | 25% | 25% | 3% | 2% |
| 23 | -5,000 | -0.93% | 4.33% | 9.59% | 1,143 | 147 | 0.92 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 19% | 73% | 23% | 46% | 0% | 2% |
| 24 | 4,761 | 0.88% | 6.20% | 9.56% | 469 | 102 | 0.88 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 10% | 51% | 10% | 78% | 0% | 12% |
| 25 | -2,708 | -0.50% | 13.34% | 15.46% | 4,203 | 307 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2% | 92% | 2% | 31% | 3% | 2% |
| 26 | -3,633 | -0.67% | 9.05% | 16.04% | 3,433 | 362 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 9% | 74% | 18% | 21% | 2% | 4% |
| 27 | 403 | 0.07% | 6.26% | 17.18% | 1,092 | 142 | 0.94 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5% | 71% | 29% | 60% | 0% | 0% |
| 28 | -960 | -0.18% | 7.68% | 26.59% | 4,027 | 326 | 0.83 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2% | 89% | 6% | 43% | 0% | 3% |
| 29 | 4,045 | 0.75% | 11.45% | 16.06% | 2,704 | 247 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 10% | 61% | 14% | 32% | 3% | 14% |
| 30 | 3,800 | 0.71% | 24.77% | 29.58% | 263 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 37% | 20% | 16% | 35% | 0% | 28% |
| 31 | -1,399 | -0.26% | 15.62% | 16.48% | 223 | 75 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 31% | 29% | 40% | 40% | 0% | 5% |
| 32 | 2,134 | 0.40% | 20.86% | 43.25% | 957 | 133 | 0.97 | 0.68 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 17% | 78% | 15% | 1% | 0% | 3% |
| 33 | -3,253 | -0.60% | 50.23% | 22.29% | 86 | 42 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 12% | 0% | 38% | 2% | 23% | 25% |
| 34 | 4,621 | 0.86% | 16.74% | 21.53% | 149 | 63 | 0.84 | 0.47 | 0.51 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 31% | 21% | 18% | 33% | 6% | 27% |
| 35 | -561 | -0.10% | 49.38% | 38.12% | 144 | 69 | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 29% | 37% | 34% | 50% | 1% | 1% |
| 36 | -4,077 | -0.76% | 5.63% | 89.86% | 163 | 61 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 41% | 17% | 66% | 0% | 1% | 4% |
| 37 | 5,320 | 0.99% | 6.26% | 78.58% | 67 | 45 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22% | 0% | 56% | 22% | 2% | 12% |
| 38 | 4,524 | 0.84% | 16.17% | 33.41% | 158 | 70 | 0.80 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 19% | 32% | 31% | 44% | 9% | 6% |
| 39 | 4,077 | 0.76% | 8.23% | 71.50% | 6,953 | 637 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1% | 88% | 5% | 80% | 2% | 0% |
| 40 | 5,219 | 0.97% | 13.01% | 66.39% | 343 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 12% | 17% | 18% | 52% | 15% | 6% |

***Overall numbers of county and city splits:***

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | In Plan S000S8010 | |
|---|---|---|
| Number of Counties | 67 | 412 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 | 350 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 | 62 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 | 360 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 | 131 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 | 121 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 | |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8010

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Alachua | 5 | 160,286 | 57.6% | 493.8 | 51.0% |
| Alachua | 8 | 118,182 | 42.4% | 475.1 | 49.0% |
| Brevard | 14 | 66,600 | 11.0% | 357.8 | 23.0% |
| Brevard | 17 | 540,012 | 89.0% | 1,199.3 | 77.0% |
| Broward | 32 | 540,589 | 27.8% | 957.3 | 73.2% |
| Broward | 33 | 535,202 | 27.5% | 86.2 | 6.6% |
| Broward | 34 | 407,993 | 21.0% | 115.8 | 8.9% |
| Broward | 38 | 460,591 | 23.7% | 149.0 | 11.4% |
| Duval | 4 | 452,508 | 45.5% | 464.9 | 50.6% |
| Duval | 6 | 543,059 | 54.6% | 453.5 | 49.4% |
| Hillsborough | 18 | 535,992 | 36.7% | 281.1 | 21.1% |
| Hillsborough | 19 | 424,126 | 29.1% | 268.7 | 20.2% |
| Hillsborough | 20 | 265,686 | 18.2% | 334.2 | 25.1% |
| Hillsborough | 21 | 233,958 | 16.0% | 448.0 | 33.6% |
| Lee | 26 | 99,840 | 13.1% | 191.2 | 12.6% |
| Lee | 27 | 538,858 | 70.8% | 1,091.7 | 72.1% |
| Lee | 28 | 122,124 | 16.1% | 232.0 | 15.3% |
| Manatee | 21 | 300,261 | 75.1% | 796.9 | 82.7% |
| Manatee | 23 | 99,449 | 24.9% | 167.1 | 17.3% |
| Miami-Dade | 35 | 537,894 | 19.9% | 144.2 | 6.0% |
| Miami-Dade | 36 | 534,378 | 19.8% | 162.9 | 6.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 37 | 543,775 | 20.1% | 66.9 | 2.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 38 | 82,388 | 3.1% | 9.3 | 0.4% |
| Miami-Dade | 39 | 459,658 | 17.0% | 1,663.5 | 69.6% |
| Miami-Dade | 40 | 543,674 | 20.1% | 342.6 | 14.3% |
| Okaloosa | 1 | 29,023 | 13.7% | 341.9 | 27.4% |
| Okaloosa | 2 | 182,645 | 86.3% | 904.8 | 72.6% |
| Orange | 9 | 63,350 | 4.4% | 20.9 | 2.1% |
| Orange | 11 | 533,976 | 37.3% | 287.6 | 28.7% |
| Orange | 12 | 151,428 | 10.6% | 133.4 | 13.3% |
| Orange | 13 | 536,492 | 37.5% | 489.4 | 48.8% |
| Orange | 15 | 144,662 | 10.1% | 72.2 | 7.2% |
| Palm Beach | 29 | 277,797 | 18.6% | 1,863.8 | 78.2% |
| Palm Beach | 30 | 542,255 | 36.3% | 263.0 | 11.0% |
| Palm Beach | 31 | 537,056 | 36.0% | 223.1 | 9.4% |
| Palm Beach | 34 | 135,083 | 9.1% | 33.3 | 1.4% |
| Pasco | 10 | 60,429 | 10.8% | 146.7 | 14.5% |
| Pasco | 16 | 231,325 | 41.2% | 315.5 | 31.1% |
| Pasco | 20 | 270,137 | 48.1% | 552.6 | 54.5% |
| Pinellas | 16 | 304,474 | 31.8% | 324.4 | 37.6% |
| Pinellas | 19 | 111,417 | 11.6% | 69.4 | 8.0% |
| Pinellas | 24 | 543,216 | 56.6% | 468.8 | 54.4% |
| Polk | 22 | 536,214 | 74.0% | 993.4 | 49.4% |
| Polk | 26 | 188,832 | 26.0% | 1,017.0 | 50.6% |
| St. Lucie | 25 | 222,954 | 67.7% | 600.5 | 87.3% |
| St. Lucie | 29 | 106,272 | 32.3% | 87.3 | 12.7% |
| Volusia | 7 | 79,453 | 14.4% | 313.8 | 21.9% |
| Volusia | 14 | 474,090 | 85.7% | 1,118.7 | 78.1% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8010

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Belle Isle | 13 | 7,029 | 100.0% | 5.0 | 96.0% |
| Belle Isle | 15 | 3 | 0.0% | 0.2 | 4.0% |
| Boca Raton | 31 | 47,245 | 48.5% | 18.7 | 59.3% |
| Boca Raton | 34 | 50,177 | 51.5% | 12.9 | 40.7% |
| Bonita Springs | 27 | 43,114 | 80.4% | 32.4 | 69.7% |
| Bonita Springs | 28 | 10,530 | 19.6% | 14.1 | 30.3% |
| Clearwater | 16 | 88,686 | 75.6% | 27.5 | 76.6% |
| Clearwater | 24 | 28,606 | 24.4% | 8.4 | 23.4% |
| Coconut Creek | 33 | 16,776 | 29.0% | 3.3 | 27.8% |
| Coconut Creek | 34 | 41,057 | 71.0% | 8.7 | 72.3% |
| Coral Gables | 37 | 25,018 | 50.8% | 3.9 | 10.3% |
| Coral Gables | 40 | 24,230 | 49.2% | 33.5 | 89.7% |
| Coral Springs | 32 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Coral Springs | 34 | 134,394 | 100.0% | 24.0 | 99.9% |
| Crestview | 1 | 10,214 | 37.6% | 8.7 | 51.9% |
| Crestview | 2 | 16,920 | 62.4% | 8.1 | 48.1% |
| Davie | 32 | 67,866 | 64.2% | 25.3 | 70.8% |
| Davie | 38 | 37,825 | 35.8% | 10.4 | 29.2% |
| Daytona Beach | 7 | 7,058 | 9.7% | 2.4 | 3.6% |
| Daytona Beach | 14 | 65,589 | 90.3% | 65.8 | 96.4% |
| Eagle Lake | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Eagle Lake | 26 | 3,008 | 100.0% | 3.7 | 99.8% |
| Eatonville | 9 | 1,481 | 63.1% | 0.7 | 63.3% |
| Eatonville | 11 | 868 | 37.0% | 0.4 | 36.7% |
| Edgewood | 11 | 1,482 | 55.2% | 0.8 | 55.9% |
| Edgewood | 13 | 1,203 | 44.8% | 0.7 | 44.1% |
| Estero | 27 | 27,095 | 73.4% | 18.5 | 72.8% |
| Estero | 28 | 9,844 | 26.7% | 6.9 | 27.2% |
| Fanning Springs | 5 | 478 | 40.4% | 1.9 | 38.4% |
| Fanning Springs | 8 | 704 | 59.6% | 3.1 | 61.6% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 33 | 62,113 | 34.0% | 13.4 | 36.8% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 38 | 120,647 | 66.0% | 22.9 | 63.2% |
| Fort Myers | 26 | 4,870 | 5.6% | 5.9 | 11.9% |
| Fort Myers | 27 | 68,996 | 79.9% | 35.4 | 72.1% |
| Fort Myers | 28 | 12,529 | 14.5% | 7.8 | 16.0% |
| Gainesville | 5 | 93,643 | 66.4% | 48.2 | 75.0% |
| Gainesville | 8 | 47,442 | 33.6% | 16.1 | 25.0% |
| Greenacres | 30 | 5,661 | 12.9% | 0.7 | 11.2% |
| Greenacres | 31 | 38,329 | 87.1% | 5.3 | 88.8% |
| Gulfport | 19 | 5,617 | 47.7% | 1.7 | 44.4% |
| Gulfport | 24 | 6,166 | 52.3% | 2.2 | 55.6% |
| Holly Hill | 7 | 12,929 | 99.8% | 4.4 | 96.9% |
| Holly Hill | 14 | 29 | 0.2% | 0.1 | 3.1% |
| Hollywood | 32 | 4,185 | 2.7% | 0.5 | 1.5% |
| Hollywood | 38 | 148,882 | 97.3% | 30.3 | 98.5% |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 407,948 | 43.0% | 423.0 | 48.4% |
| Jacksonville | 6 | 541,663 | 57.0% | 451.5 | 51.6% |
| Lake Wales | 22 | 12,877 | 78.7% | 14.8 | 73.7% |
| Lake Wales | 26 | 3,484 | 21.3% | 5.3 | 26.3% |
| Lakeland | 22 | 100,638 | 89.3% | 64.0 | 85.2% |
| Lakeland | 26 | 12,003 | 10.7% | 11.1 | 14.8% |
| Lauderdale-by-the-Sea | 34 | 2,747 | 44.3% | 0.6 | 37.2% |
| Lauderdale-by-the-Sea | 38 | 3,451 | 55.7% | 1.0 | 62.8% |
| Laurel Hill | 1 | 249 | 42.6% | 2.1 | 49.5% |
| Laurel Hill | 2 | 335 | 57.4% | 2.2 | 50.5% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Longboat Key | 21 | 2,746 | 36.6% | 8.3 | 51.7% |
| Longboat Key | 23 | 4,759 | 63.4% | 7.7 | 48.3% |
| Margate | 33 | 28,014 | 47.7% | 4.8 | 53.0% |
| Margate | 34 | 30,698 | 52.3% | 4.3 | 47.0% |
| Miami | 35 | 67,844 | 15.3% | 11.3 | 20.1% |
| Miami | 37 | 344,116 | 77.8% | 32.6 | 58.0% |
| Miami | 40 | 30,281 | 6.9% | 12.3 | 21.9% |
| Miami Beach | 35 | 40,306 | 48.6% | 8.2 | 53.8% |
| Miami Beach | 37 | 42,584 | 51.4% | 7.0 | 46.2% |
| Miami Springs | 36 | 13,859 | 100.0% | 3.0 | 99.7% |
| Miami Springs | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Miramar | 32 | 117,135 | 87.0% | 28.9 | 93.0% |
| Miramar | 38 | 17,586 | 13.1% | 2.2 | 7.0% |
| Newberry | 5 | 3,647 | 49.7% | 23.2 | 39.6% |
| Newberry | 8 | 3,695 | 50.3% | 35.4 | 60.4% |
| North Miami Beach | 35 | 42,569 | 97.5% | 5.2 | 96.3% |
| North Miami Beach | 38 | 1,107 | 2.5% | 0.2 | 3.7% |
| Oakland | 11 | 1,695 | 48.2% | 1.5 | 65.2% |
| Oakland | 12 | 1,821 | 51.8% | 0.8 | 34.8% |
| Oakland Park | 33 | 38,302 | 86.6% | 7.0 | 84.9% |
| Oakland Park | 38 | 5,927 | 13.4% | 1.2 | 15.1% |
| Ocoee | 11 | 38,548 | 81.5% | 14.2 | 85.3% |
| Ocoee | 12 | 8,747 | 18.5% | 2.5 | 14.7% |
| Oldsmar | 16 | 14,887 | 99.9% | 10.1 | 100.0% |
| Oldsmar | 24 | 11 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Orlando | 9 | 3,835 | 1.3% | 1.7 | 1.4% |
| Orlando | 11 | 141,201 | 45.9% | 36.7 | 30.9% |
| Orlando | 13 | 159,416 | 51.8% | 75.7 | 63.7% |
| Orlando | 15 | 3,121 | 1.0% | 4.8 | 4.0% |
| Ormond Beach | 7 | 36,557 | 84.9% | 36.2 | 93.2% |
| Ormond Beach | 14 | 6,523 | 15.1% | 2.7 | 6.8% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 29 | 34,869 | 58.9% | 47.7 | 80.5% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 30 | 24,313 | 41.1% | 11.6 | 19.6% |
| Palm Springs | 30 | 26,890 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 100.0% |
| Palm Springs | 31 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Pembroke Pines | 32 | 164,600 | 96.2% | 33.9 | 97.4% |
| Pembroke Pines | 38 | 6,578 | 3.8% | 0.9 | 2.6% |
| Plantation | 32 | 50,223 | 54.7% | 11.8 | 53.6% |
| Plantation | 33 | 28,582 | 31.2% | 5.7 | 25.7% |
| Plantation | 38 | 12,945 | 14.1% | 4.6 | 20.6% |
| Pompano Beach | 33 | 47,546 | 42.4% | 11.1 | 44.8% |
| Pompano Beach | 34 | 64,500 | 57.6% | 13.6 | 55.2% |
| Port St. Lucie | 25 | 99,884 | 48.8% | 50.7 | 42.0% |
| Port St. Lucie | 29 | 104,967 | 51.2% | 70.1 | 58.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 30 | 38,932 | 100.0% | 11.7 | 100.0% |
| St. Petersburg | 19 | 105,800 | 41.0% | 67.7 | 51.5% |
| St. Petersburg | 24 | 152,508 | 59.0% | 63.7 | 48.5% |
| Sunrise | 32 | 26,447 | 27.2% | 8.8 | 48.5% |
| Sunrise | 33 | 70,888 | 72.8% | 9.3 | 51.5% |
| Tamarac | 32 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.2 | 1.4% |
| Tamarac | 33 | 71,897 | 100.0% | 11.9 | 98.6% |
| Tampa | 18 | 201,035 | 52.2% | 120.8 | 68.7% |
| Tampa | 19 | 147,959 | 38.4% | 39.9 | 22.7% |
| Tampa | 20 | 35,965 | 9.3% | 15.1 | 8.6% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Temple Terrace | 19 | 26,690 | 100.0% | 7.6 | 99.0% |
| Temple Terrace | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 1.0% |
| Titusville | 14 | 48,133 | 98.7% | 27.7 | 80.6% |
| Titusville | 17 | 656 | 1.3% | 6.7 | 19.4% |
| Virginia Gardens | 36 | 2,364 | 100.0% | 0.3 | 98.9% |
| Virginia Gardens | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 1.2% |
| Wellington | 29 | 60,951 | 98.9% | 45.3 | 99.8% |
| Wellington | 30 | 686 | 1.1% | 0.1 | 0.2% |
| West Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.4 | 4.1% |
| West Palm Beach | 30 | 117,415 | 100.0% | 55.6 | 95.9% |
| Westlake | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.2 | 34.0% |
| Westlake | 30 | 906 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 66.0% |
| Wilton Manors | 33 | 8,642 | 75.6% | 1.5 | 73.8% |
| Wilton Manors | 38 | 2,784 | 24.4% | 0.5 | 26.2% |
| Winter Garden | 11 | 18,031 | 38.4% | 6.4 | 35.7% |
| Winter Garden | 12 | 28,933 | 61.6% | 11.5 | 64.3% |
| Winter Haven | 22 | 49,169 | 99.9% | 36.8 | 89.6% |
| Winter Haven | 26 | 50 | 0.1% | 4.3 | 10.4% |
| Winter Park | 9 | 28,190 | 94.6% | 9.7 | 93.7% |
| Winter Park | 11 | 21 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Winter Park | 13 | 1,584 | 5.3% | 0.6 | 6.1% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8010

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | 2020 General Election Registered Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | RV who are: | | | RV who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | |
| | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 6 | 42.66% | 9.93% | 51.19% | 28.06% | 20.75% | 42.00% | 5.18% | 82.64% | 3.25% | 14.10% | 41.35% | 21.83% | 36.75% | 67.81% | 4.18% | 4.86% | 4.03% | 28.55% | 9.17% |
| 11 | 37.00% | 25.05% | 49.63% | 22.01% | 28.36% | 33.15% | 17.23% | 78.37% | 3.11% | 18.47% | 46.84% | 14.13% | 38.97% | 52.35% | 16.26% | 4.68% | 11.06% | 21.58% | 23.68% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 42.71% | 22.11% | 35.18% | 9.20% | 47.32% | 72.17% | 4.02% | 23.79% | 47.05% | 13.47% | 39.49% | 15.54% | 52.12% | 1.67% | 28.83% | 6.22% | 53.12% |
| 19 | 33.80% | 21.49% | 52.42% | 19.60% | 27.99% | 33.37% | 14.50% | 79.53% | 2.87% | 17.58% | 45.65% | 15.74% | 38.47% | 50.63% | 12.63% | 4.89% | 11.65% | 20.96% | 19.94% |
| 33 | 50.23% | 22.29% | 63.38% | 12.52% | 24.11% | 46.08% | 15.14% | 81.72% | 2.45% | 15.83% | 48.68% | 15.94% | 35.34% | 59.41% | 11.63% | 9.01% | 19.28% | 30.26% | 22.19% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 63.86% | 10.86% | 25.28% | 51.35% | 26.39% | 83.01% | 2.43% | 14.56% | 43.01% | 20.78% | 36.20% | 66.75% | 17.78% | 11.48% | 50.48% | 29.58% | 37.80% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 29.80% | 35.38% | 34.82% | 4.76% | 80.25% | 80.99% | 3.67% | 15.32% | 26.74% | 38.11% | 35.15% | 12.92% | 72.01% | 0.49% | 86.43% | 2.09% | 81.00% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 34.88% | 30.84% | 34.28% | 4.51% | 69.03% | 74.46% | 4.23% | 21.14% | 30.99% | 35.40% | 33.61% | 9.62% | 61.34% | 0.62% | 79.24% | 2.78% | 67.69% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 31.79% | 34.84% | 33.37% | 5.85% | 62.89% | 74.86% | 3.99% | 20.99% | 27.85% | 36.89% | 35.26% | 13.77% | 55.11% | 0.67% | 66.59% | 3.68% | 66.45% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 38.82% | 29.94% | 31.23% | 12.33% | 54.54% | 80.56% | 3.05% | 16.36% | 28.87% | 36.81% | 34.32% | 25.58% | 40.56% | 1.25% | 67.05% | 6.46% | 59.93% |

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8010

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | Average Primary Election Turnout | | | | Average General Election Turnout | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | Avg. Perf. | | Wins | | Margins | | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 6 | 42.66% | 9.93% | 68.62% | 1.35% | 2.81% | 1.55% | 53.02% | 32.79% | 14.19% | 68.06% | 2.66% | 3.41% | 2.68% | 25.16% | 6.53% | 88.50% | 2.73% | 8.75% | 42.93% | 27.59% | 28.78% | 55.8% | 42.6% | 13 | 1 | D +26.7% | D +0.2% | D +13.7% |
| 11 | 37.00% | 25.05% | 59.61% | 7.41% | 2.78% | 5.07% | 51.40% | 27.33% | 21.26% | 54.54% | 12.49% | 3.21% | 7.60% | 19.06% | 18.29% | 84.98% | 2.65% | 12.33% | 51.62% | 16.72% | 31.33% | 62.6% | 35.8% | 14 | 0 | D +39.8% | D +11% | D +27.3% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 18.29% | 37.57% | 1.02% | 14.65% | 45.00% | 27.64% | 27.36% | 16.96% | 46.05% | 1.37% | 20.76% | 5.86% | 43.99% | 79.34% | 3.93% | 16.72% | 53.93% | 14.78% | 31.27% | 58.4% | 39.9% | 13 | 1 | D +38.2% | D +1.1% | D +18.7% |
| 19 | 33.80% | 21.49% | 53.77% | 5.32% | 3.32% | 5.70% | 56.91% | 21.81% | 21.28% | 53.31% | 9.16% | 3.90% | 8.38% | 18.84% | 14.53% | 86.09% | 2.41% | 11.45% | 51.06% | 18.15% | 30.50% | 68.3% | 29.9% | 14 | 0 | D +53.9% | D +21.8% | D +38.7% |
| 33 | 50.23% | 22.29% | 58.52% | 4.95% | 6.40% | 10.12% | 68.07% | 13.27% | 18.65% | 58.45% | 9.11% | 7.21% | 14.89% | 27.72% | 18.75% | 86.59% | 2.08% | 11.27% | 52.88% | 16.99% | 29.96% | 80.0% | 19.2% | 14 | 0 | D +66.3% | D +55.3% | D +61% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 74.24% | 9.59% | 9.24% | 50.90% | 70.49% | 10.43% | 19.08% | 69.85% | 14.29% | 9.97% | 48.68% | 29.99% | 35.18% | 87.96% | 1.85% | 10.18% | 45.69% | 23.58% | 30.71% | 83.5% | 15.7% | 14 | 0 | D +74% | D +55.8% | D +67.9% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 23.50% | 58.29% | 0.30% | 88.69% | 31.06% | 40.74% | 28.20% | 16.08% | 67.96% | 0.40% | 86.57% | 2.22% | 81.94% | 86.19% | 2.88% | 10.89% | 26.54% | 44.43% | 29.03% | 45.4% | 53.4% | 3 | 11 | R +21% | D +2.7% | R +7.7% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 10.00% | 55.80% | 0.30% | 86.34% | 34.88% | 36.82% | 28.29% | 9.22% | 60.25% | 0.41% | 81.21% | 2.22% | 69.26% | 80.09% | 3.79% | 15.83% | 29.82% | 42.41% | 27.76% | 51.0% | 47.8% | 9 | 5 | D +22.1% | R +0.1% | D +3.7% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 13.97% | 36.47% | 0.39% | 61.89% | 33.26% | 39.06% | 27.68% | 13.99% | 48.49% | 0.55% | 63.25% | 3.38% | 62.33% | 80.03% | 3.66% | 16.06% | 27.67% | 42.63% | 29.68% | 48.1% | 50.6% | 7 | 7 | R +13.8% | D +0.8% | R +2.1% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 30.07% | 24.28% | 0.83% | 63.61% | 41.51% | 33.34% | 25.15% | 27.43% | 33.28% | 1.03% | 62.79% | 6.34% | 55.25% | 85.41% | 2.57% | 11.93% | 28.15% | 43.29% | 28.56% | 56.0% | 42.9% | 14 | 0 | D +24.4% | D +0.5% | D +13.5% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns

| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8010 | | BVAP | 42.66% | 37.00% | 12.77% | 33.80% | 50.23% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| Primary Elections | | HVAP | 9.93% | 25.05% | 52.56% | 21.49% | 22.29% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2018 | Governor (REP) | R_Baldauf | 0.76% | 0.84% | 0.90% | 0.73% | 1.58% | 2.16% | 2.58% | 2.03% | 1.73% | 1.25% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 57.38% | 50.60% | 51.86% | 44.58% | 67.93% | 64.52% | 67.84% | 66.95% | 68.13% | 67.60% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.25% | 1.57% | 2.03% | 1.42% | 2.46% | 3.70% | 3.93% | 3.83% | 3.15% | 2.69% |
| | | R_Langford | 1.04% | 1.64% | 1.30% | 1.14% | 2.27% | 2.00% | 1.42% | 1.50% | 1.75% | 1.60% |
| | | R_Mercadante | 0.44% | 0.75% | 1.39% | 0.91% | 1.79% | 2.92% | 2.88% | 2.60% | 1.87% | 1.86% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.81% | 0.86% | 1.04% | 0.96% | 1.78% | 2.45% | 1.37% | 1.61% | 1.43% | 1.33% |
| | | R_Putnam | 36.47% | 41.23% | 38.76% | 47.48% | 17.84% | 17.55% | 16.81% | 17.29% | 18.06% | 20.19% |
| | | R_White | 1.63% | 2.10% | 2.70% | 2.20% | 3.58% | 4.42% | 3.18% | 3.90% | 3.50% | 3.21% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Gillum | 56.18% | 49.21% | 27.89% | 51.42% | 49.82% | 53.07% | 31.75% | 25.13% | 26.44% | 35.23% |
| | | D_Graham | 20.18% | 24.83% | 29.03% | 25.05% | 12.73% | 10.26% | 19.50% | 20.11% | 23.86% | 20.92% |
| | | D_Greene | 6.71% | 9.29% | 15.05% | 6.38% | 11.23% | 10.05% | 9.99% | 8.80% | 11.03% | 7.25% |
| | | D_King | 1.61% | 3.30% | 4.31% | 2.00% | 0.85% | 0.79% | 2.30% | 2.07% | 2.24% | 1.19% |
| | | D_Levine | 13.94% | 12.01% | 20.50% | 14.20% | 24.63% | 25.04% | 33.79% | 41.27% | 33.88% | 34.00% |
| | | D_Lundmark | 0.47% | 0.46% | 1.26% | 0.32% | 0.29% | 0.41% | 1.55% | 1.24% | 0.91% | 0.53% |
| | | D_Wetherbee | 0.76% | 0.66% | 1.94% | 0.41% | 0.35% | 0.34% | 1.14% | 0.90% | 1.03% | 0.57% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R_Moody | 57.30% | 54.39% | 53.73% | 59.88% | 53.27% | 53.09% | 53.99% | 53.68% | 55.28% | 55.08% |
| | | R_White | 42.70% | 45.63% | 46.26% | 40.04% | 46.59% | 46.91% | 45.94% | 46.25% | 44.63% | 44.94% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Shaw | 76.50% | 74.93% | 59.28% | 79.98% | 80.98% | 82.65% | 65.92% | 66.00% | 67.90% | 78.69% |
| | | D_Torrens | 23.47% | 25.05% | 40.72% | 20.02% | 19.05% | 17.34% | 34.03% | 34.06% | 32.00% | 21.27% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R_Caldwell | 32.30% | 35.60% | 36.73% | 24.35% | 42.96% | 40.25% | 40.68% | 38.16% | 42.12% | 41.92% |
| | | R_Grimsley | 16.15% | 30.63% | 32.77% | 25.02% | 26.63% | 31.09% | 34.13% | 34.80% | 31.12% | 30.92% |
| | | R_McCalister | 7.72% | 15.19% | 15.92% | 11.30% | 21.09% | 16.53% | 14.62% | 16.26% | 16.68% | 16.94% |
| | | R_Troutman | 43.73% | 18.12% | 14.58% | 38.71% | 8.67% | 11.82% | 10.47% | 10.56% | 9.68% | 9.87% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D_Fried | 62.86% | 54.86% | 54.45% | 62.69% | 65.15% | 58.06% | 52.33% | 57.04% | 54.30% | 59.01% |
| | | D_Porter | 20.89% | 19.16% | 19.74% | 15.85% | 14.89% | 18.37% | 20.34% | 15.81% | 18.84% | 16.92% |
| | | D_Walker | 16.24% | 25.85% | 25.81% | 21.34% | 19.94% | 23.58% | 27.22% | 27.07% | 26.59% | 23.94% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_De La Fuente | 9.78% | 11.15% | 9.66% | 14.02% | 15.98% | 17.12% | 10.62% | 11.78% | 12.39% | 13.40% |
| | | R_Scott | 90.15% | 88.76% | 90.32% | 85.84% | 83.67% | 82.74% | 89.36% | 88.10% | 87.48% | 86.52% |
| 2016 | US Senate (REP) | R_Beruff | 24.73% | 18.63% | 17.45% | 24.80% | 15.96% | 7.94% | 4.18% | 4.70% | 6.66% | 6.71% |
| | | R_Rivera | 3.41% | 2.65% | 3.23% | 3.84% | 4.74% | 3.50% | 2.03% | 1.90% | 2.97% | 2.17% |
| | | R_Rubio | 66.55% | 72.89% | 70.85% | 64.00% | 68.26% | 80.31% | 91.84% | 90.91% | 84.98% | 86.01% |
| | | R_Young | 5.22% | 5.40% | 8.43% | 6.70% | 10.32% | 7.95% | 1.91% | 2.38% | 5.15% | 4.95% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_De La Fuente | 3.27% | 4.03% | 16.91% | 4.76% | 3.37% | 5.54% | 25.07% | 21.34% | 13.15% | 7.50% |
| | | D_Grayson | 11.36% | 42.81% | 46.55% | 10.44% | 9.77% | 10.36% | 10.23% | 11.28% | 11.16% | 11.16% |
| | | D_Keith | 15.35% | 12.28% | 9.25% | 17.22% | 15.60% | 13.94% | 12.62% | 14.38% | 15.44% | 18.85% |
| | | D_Luster | 18.66% | 2.58% | 1.30% | 2.17% | 2.15% | 3.03% | 2.11% | 1.66% | 1.43% | 1.70% |
| | | D_Murphy | 51.22% | 37.95% | 25.94% | 65.10% | 68.87% | 67.09% | 49.86% | 50.87% | 58.19% | 60.38% |
| 2014 | Governor (REP) | R_Adeshina | 1.00% | 1.84% | 1.74% | 2.19% | 3.05% | 3.22% | 1.58% | 1.54% | 1.74% | 2.24% |
| | | R_Cuevas-Neunder | 6.99% | 9.97% | 12.08% | 14.13% | 13.88% | 16.55% | 12.29% | 12.98% | 14.82% | 14.43% |
| | | R_Scott | 91.93% | 88.01% | 86.16% | 83.02% | 82.26% | 79.92% | 86.06% | 85.29% | 83.18% | 83.15% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 71.12% | 81.35% | 76.66% | 84.54% | 80.55% | 85.27% | 77.66% | 73.61% | 77.27% | 76.16% |
| | | D_Rich | 28.81% | 18.55% | 23.28% | 15.41% | 19.35% | 14.71% | 22.29% | 26.09% | 22.26% | 23.65% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Sheldon | 58.73% | 48.17% | 61.64% | 60.78% | 34.77% | 44.95% | 60.08% | 69.39% | 65.51% | 59.92% |
| | | D_Thurston | 41.26% | 51.72% | 38.35% | 39.10% | 65.20% | 55.04% | 39.84% | 30.28% | 33.96% | 39.96% |
| 2012 | US Senate (REP) | R_Mack | 62.11% | 57.14% | 45.39% | 52.78% | 68.33% | 71.50% | 76.64% | 77.14% | 73.57% | 75.85% |
| | | R_McCalister | 17.15% | 11.21% | 11.68% | 12.84% | 10.89% | 6.74% | 4.23% | 4.56% | 7.49% | 6.35% |
| | | R_Stuart | 5.67% | 5.10% | 6.92% | 8.32% | 6.71% | 13.92% | 16.03% | 14.95% | 12.83% | 11.12% |
| | | R_Weldon | 14.79% | 25.97% | 35.94% | 24.89% | 12.79% | 7.67% | 3.01% | 3.14% | 5.81% | 6.21% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 20.26% | 13.15% | 20.62% | 14.25% | 13.37% | 14.59% | 16.90% | 16.19% | 16.72% | 15.85% |
| | | D_Nelson | 79.71% | 86.65% | 79.37% | 85.56% | 86.48% | 85.40% | 82.99% | 83.69% | 83.05% | 84.02% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns

| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8010 | | BVAP | 42.66% | 37.00% | 12.77% | 33.80% | 50.23% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| General Elections | | HVAP | 9.93% | 25.05% | 52.56% | 21.49% | 22.29% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 59.61% | 65.54% | 58.69% | 68.27% | 77.85% | 77.62% | 40.03% | 49.67% | 44.58% | 53.23% |
| | | R_Trump | 39.13% | 33.51% | 40.36% | 30.44% | 21.62% | 21.83% | 59.50% | 49.76% | 54.83% | 46.22% |
| 2018 | Governor | D_Gillum | 61.23% | 66.93% | 62.28% | 71.99% | 81.53% | 84.85% | 47.04% | 54.28% | 49.83% | 57.99% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 37.88% | 32.06% | 36.33% | 26.86% | 17.88% | 14.48% | 51.60% | 44.40% | 49.00% | 41.08% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 57.59% | 63.34% | 59.18% | 67.18% | 80.40% | 83.23% | 45.60% | 52.90% | 48.24% | 56.79% |
| | | R_Moody | 40.81% | 35.11% | 38.89% | 31.05% | 18.37% | 15.31% | 52.10% | 44.89% | 49.55% | 41.45% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Ring | 58.82% | 65.36% | 61.67% | 70.51% | 81.49% | 84.86% | 46.65% | 53.72% | 49.27% | 57.43% |
| | | R_Patronis | 41.18% | 34.64% | 38.32% | 29.50% | 18.50% | 15.13% | 53.34% | 46.28% | 50.73% | 42.58% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 59.50% | 66.76% | 62.88% | 72.88% | 81.89% | 84.97% | 48.08% | 55.57% | 50.95% | 59.29% |
| | | R_Caldwell | 40.50% | 33.25% | 37.11% | 27.12% | 18.10% | 15.02% | 51.92% | 44.45% | 49.04% | 40.71% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 60.08% | 66.51% | 60.89% | 71.67% | 81.94% | 84.45% | 47.50% | 54.97% | 51.15% | 59.19% |
| | | R_Scott | 39.92% | 33.48% | 39.11% | 28.33% | 18.05% | 15.55% | 52.50% | 45.02% | 48.85% | 40.82% |
| 2016 | President | D_Clinton | 56.93% | 64.79% | 63.18% | 68.75% | 79.28% | 84.16% | 54.93% | 59.83% | 53.71% | 60.94% |
| | | R_Trump | 40.04% | 32.02% | 33.59% | 27.49% | 19.04% | 14.26% | 42.82% | 37.78% | 43.49% | 36.50% |
| | US Senate | D_Murphy | 49.05% | 59.77% | 56.29% | 65.02% | 77.75% | 79.14% | 43.27% | 49.02% | 45.19% | 52.71% |
| | | R_Rubio | 47.49% | 36.36% | 39.63% | 30.59% | 20.42% | 18.76% | 54.79% | 48.79% | 52.44% | 45.14% |
| 2014 | Governor | D_Crist | 50.94% | 59.98% | 53.72% | 68.86% | 81.10% | 85.24% | 43.08% | 47.42% | 48.96% | 57.29% |
| | | R_Scott | 44.99% | 35.54% | 41.21% | 26.14% | 16.86% | 13.25% | 54.59% | 50.21% | 48.01% | 40.23% |
| | Attorney General | D_Sheldon | 48.77% | 56.80% | 50.04% | 62.93% | 78.15% | 82.87% | 38.58% | 43.72% | 43.25% | 53.33% |
| | | R_Bondi | 48.54% | 40.48% | 47.18% | 34.01% | 20.46% | 15.77% | 59.22% | 54.02% | 54.25% | 44.76% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 49.71% | 55.55% | 50.56% | 61.51% | 77.62% | 82.49% | 40.08% | 43.07% | 43.08% | 50.25% |
| | | R_Atwater | 50.30% | 44.45% | 49.44% | 38.48% | 22.37% | 17.50% | 59.91% | 56.94% | 56.91% | 49.75% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 52.75% | 55.49% | 48.97% | 60.88% | 78.58% | 83.09% | 39.49% | 43.26% | 43.38% | 51.30% |
| | | R_Putnam | 47.24% | 44.51% | 51.03% | 39.12% | 21.42% | 16.91% | 60.49% | 56.71% | 56.61% | 48.70% |
| 2012 | President | D_Obama | 58.34% | 65.05% | 63.43% | 73.01% | 81.42% | 86.59% | 51.14% | 54.55% | 51.70% | 57.68% |
| | | R_Romney | 40.89% | 34.23% | 35.78% | 25.99% | 18.15% | 13.12% | 48.45% | 44.95% | 47.69% | 41.82% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 61.99% | 68.98% | 67.79% | 75.83% | 82.53% | 86.51% | 52.71% | 55.97% | 53.42% | 59.92% |
| | | R_Mack | 35.33% | 29.20% | 29.62% | 21.96% | 16.22% | 12.50% | 45.45% | 42.36% | 44.93% | 38.84% |




*Map produced by professional staff:*
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *https://www.floridaredistricting.gov*

# Census and Boundary Statistics

## Plan S000S8012

| Dist. | Deviation | | Voting Age Population: | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Counties: | | Cities: | | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 10,549 | 1.96% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 1,785.1 | 198.5 | 0.81 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 51 | 48 | 359 | 113 | 16% | 60% | 21% | 38% | 2% | 6% |
| 1 | 1,314 | 0.24% | 15.28% | 5.61% | 2,795 | 311 | 0.80 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3% | 83% | 4% | 56% | 0% | 1% |
| 2 | -192 | -0.04% | 11.62% | 7.21% | 6,310 | 436 | 0.77 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 6 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 3% | 88% | 3% | 62% | 0% | 1% |
| 3 | 2,687 | 0.50% | 27.43% | 7.06% | 10,909 | 574 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2% | 100% | 0% | 58% | 0% | 0% |
| 4 | 4,405 | 0.82% | 12.69% | 9.67% | 1,191 | 258 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 32% | 83% | 12% | 48% | 0% | 1% |
| 5 | -990 | -0.18% | 15.84% | 9.47% | 2,970 | 294 | 0.84 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14% | 83% | 17% | 11% | 0% | 0% |
| 6 | 4,604 | 0.86% | 42.66% | 9.93% | 454 | 94 | 0.93 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 55% | 55% | 35% | 9% | 0% | 4% |
| 7 | 3,122 | 0.58% | 7.81% | 7.85% | 2,533 | 280 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 14% | 86% | 13% | 54% | 0% | 0% |
| 8 | -113 | -0.02% | 12.66% | 11.75% | 4,192 | 365 | 0.84 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 5% | 87% | 13% | 49% | 0% | 0% |
| 9 | -3,020 | -0.56% | 12.53% | 20.15% | 366 | 109 | 0.81 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 20% | 83% | 7% | 57% | 0% | 2% |
| 10 | 84 | 0.02% | 4.88% | 8.19% | 2,403 | 278 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9% | 88% | 10% | 48% | 0% | 1% |
| 11 | -5,194 | -0.96% | 37.27% | 25.03% | 288 | 88 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 14% | 53% | 27% | 23% | 6% | 9% |
| 12 | -875 | -0.16% | 10.11% | 16.04% | 1,291 | 225 | 0.72 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 11% | 89% | 10% | 33% | 0% | 3% |
| 13 | -4,673 | -0.87% | 11.79% | 38.03% | 489 | 112 | 0.95 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11% | 74% | 7% | 27% | 6% | 7% |
| 14 | 2,235 | 0.42% | 11.51% | 13.23% | 1,476 | 222 | 0.84 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8% | 65% | 24% | 66% | 4% | 2% |
| 15 | -5,137 | -0.95% | 12.77% | 52.56% | 1,578 | 233 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5% | 89% | 9% | 29% | 1% | 2% |
| 16 | -3,250 | -0.60% | 5.22% | 10.61% | 655 | 114 | 0.89 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 12% | 45% | 27% | 54% | 0% | 1% |
| 17 | 1,557 | 0.29% | 10.06% | 10.14% | 1,199 | 188 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1% | 79% | 9% | 54% | 5% | 2% |
| 18 | -2,463 | -0.46% | 9.42% | 32.62% | 281 | 89 | 0.78 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44% | 48% | 17% | 41% | 1% | 9% |
| 19 | -2,912 | -0.54% | 33.80% | 21.49% | 338 | 118 | 0.64 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 15% | 2% | 32% | 29% | 7% | 27% |
| 20 | -2,632 | -0.49% | 11.86% | 21.42% | 887 | 143 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4% | 59% | 37% | 3% | 6% | 8% |
| 21 | -4,236 | -0.79% | 10.59% | 17.53% | 1,245 | 197 | 0.72 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1% | 49% | 24% | 32% | 8% | 14% |
| 22 | -3,966 | -0.74% | 15.68% | 24.25% | 861 | 171 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5% | 69% | 17% | 16% | 0% | 13% |
| 23 | -5,000 | -0.93% | 4.33% | 9.59% | 1,143 | 147 | 0.92 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 19% | 73% | 23% | 46% | 0% | 2% |
| 24 | 5,355 | 0.99% | 6.32% | 9.70% | 453 | 104 | 0.86 | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 20% | 52% | 16% | 71% | 0% | 10% |
| 25 | -2,708 | -0.50% | 13.34% | 15.46% | 4,203 | 307 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2% | 92% | 2% | 31% | 3% | 2% |
| 26 | -1,908 | -0.35% | 9.53% | 16.13% | 3,565 | 383 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 8% | 77% | 11% | 30% | 0% | 9% |
| 27 | 403 | 0.07% | 6.26% | 17.18% | 1,092 | 142 | 0.94 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5% | 71% | 29% | 60% | 0% | 0% |
| 28 | -960 | -0.18% | 7.68% | 26.59% | 4,027 | 326 | 0.83 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2% | 89% | 6% | 43% | 0% | 3% |
| 29 | 4,045 | 0.75% | 11.45% | 16.06% | 2,704 | 247 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 10% | 61% | 14% | 32% | 3% | 14% |
| 30 | 3,800 | 0.71% | 24.77% | 29.58% | 263 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 37% | 20% | 16% | 35% | 0% | 28% |
| 31 | 1,369 | 0.25% | 15.66% | 16.52% | 224 | 75 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 32% | 29% | 42% | 40% | 0% | 3% |
| 32 | 2,468 | 0.46% | 19.30% | 42.69% | 961 | 138 | 0.94 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 18% | 74% | 16% | 0% | 0% | 4% |
| 33 | 2,960 | 0.55% | 50.41% | 21.85% | 89 | 49 | 0.80 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 36% | 0% | 66% | 0% | 0% | 8% |
| 34 | -4,034 | -0.75% | 15.32% | 21.44% | 146 | 71 | 0.75 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 37% | 19% | 38% | 30% | 0% | 14% |
| 35 | -561 | -0.10% | 49.38% | 38.12% | 144 | 69 | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 29% | 37% | 34% | 50% | 1% | 1% |
| 36 | -4,077 | -0.76% | 5.63% | 89.86% | 163 | 61 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 41% | 17% | 66% | 0% | 1% | 4% |
| 37 | 5,320 | 0.99% | 6.26% | 78.58% | 67 | 45 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22% | 0% | 56% | 22% | 2% | 12% |
| 38 | 3,864 | 0.72% | 18.39% | 34.41% | 154 | 70 | 0.84 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 22% | 35% | 34% | 45% | 1% | 6% |
| 39 | 4,077 | 0.76% | 8.23% | 71.50% | 6,953 | 637 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1% | 88% | 5% | 80% | 2% | 0% |
| 40 | 5,219 | 0.97% | 13.01% | 66.39% | 343 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 12% | 17% | 18% | 52% | 15% | 6% |

***Overall numbers of county and city splits:***

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | In Plan S000S8012 | |
|---|---|---|
| Number of Counties | 67 | 412 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 | 359 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 | 53 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 | 367 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 | 113 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 | 105 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 | |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8012

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Alachua | 5 | 176,813 | 63.5% | 385.5 | 39.8% |
| Alachua | 8 | 101,655 | 36.5% | 583.4 | 60.2% |
| Brevard | 14 | 66,600 | 11.0% | 357.8 | 23.0% |
| Brevard | 17 | 540,012 | 89.0% | 1,199.3 | 77.0% |
| Broward | 32 | 540,923 | 27.8% | 961.1 | 73.5% |
| Broward | 33 | 541,415 | 27.9% | 89.1 | 6.8% |
| Broward | 34 | 402,106 | 20.7% | 113.4 | 8.7% |
| Broward | 38 | 459,931 | 23.7% | 144.8 | 11.1% |
| Duval | 4 | 452,508 | 45.5% | 464.9 | 50.6% |
| Duval | 6 | 543,059 | 54.6% | 453.5 | 49.4% |
| Hillsborough | 18 | 535,992 | 36.7% | 281.1 | 21.1% |
| Hillsborough | 19 | 424,126 | 29.1% | 268.7 | 20.2% |
| Hillsborough | 20 | 265,686 | 18.2% | 334.2 | 25.1% |
| Hillsborough | 21 | 233,958 | 16.0% | 448.0 | 33.6% |
| Lee | 26 | 99,840 | 13.1% | 191.2 | 12.6% |
| Lee | 27 | 538,858 | 70.8% | 1,091.7 | 72.1% |
| Lee | 28 | 122,124 | 16.1% | 232.0 | 15.3% |
| Manatee | 21 | 300,261 | 75.1% | 796.9 | 82.7% |
| Manatee | 23 | 99,449 | 24.9% | 167.1 | 17.3% |
| Miami-Dade | 35 | 537,894 | 19.9% | 144.2 | 6.0% |
| Miami-Dade | 36 | 534,378 | 19.8% | 162.9 | 6.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 37 | 543,775 | 20.1% | 66.9 | 2.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 38 | 82,388 | 3.1% | 9.3 | 0.4% |
| Miami-Dade | 39 | 459,658 | 17.0% | 1,663.5 | 69.6% |
| Miami-Dade | 40 | 543,674 | 20.1% | 342.6 | 14.3% |
| Okaloosa | 1 | 29,864 | 14.1% | 447.1 | 35.9% |
| Okaloosa | 2 | 181,804 | 85.9% | 799.6 | 64.1% |
| Orange | 9 | 64,579 | 4.5% | 20.9 | 2.1% |
| Orange | 11 | 533,261 | 37.3% | 287.9 | 28.7% |
| Orange | 12 | 153,624 | 10.7% | 133.8 | 13.3% |
| Orange | 13 | 533,782 | 37.3% | 488.7 | 48.7% |
| Orange | 15 | 144,662 | 10.1% | 72.2 | 7.2% |
| Palm Beach | 29 | 277,797 | 18.6% | 1,863.8 | 78.2% |
| Palm Beach | 30 | 542,255 | 36.3% | 263.0 | 11.0% |
| Palm Beach | 31 | 539,824 | 36.2% | 223.6 | 9.4% |
| Palm Beach | 34 | 132,315 | 8.9% | 32.8 | 1.4% |
| Pasco | 10 | 60,429 | 10.8% | 146.7 | 14.5% |
| Pasco | 16 | 231,325 | 41.2% | 315.5 | 31.1% |
| Pasco | 20 | 270,137 | 48.1% | 552.6 | 54.5% |
| Pinellas | 16 | 303,880 | 31.7% | 339.9 | 39.4% |
| Pinellas | 19 | 111,417 | 11.6% | 69.4 | 8.0% |
| Pinellas | 24 | 543,810 | 56.7% | 453.3 | 52.6% |
| Polk | 22 | 534,489 | 73.7% | 861.0 | 42.8% |
| Polk | 26 | 190,557 | 26.3% | 1,149.4 | 57.2% |
| St. Lucie | 25 | 222,954 | 67.7% | 600.5 | 87.3% |
| St. Lucie | 29 | 106,272 | 32.3% | 87.3 | 12.7% |
| Volusia | 7 | 79,453 | 14.4% | 313.8 | 21.9% |
| Volusia | 14 | 474,090 | 85.7% | 1,118.7 | 78.1% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8012

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alachua | 5 | 9,387 | 88.8% | 27.5 | 75.2% |
| Alachua | 8 | 1,187 | 11.2% | 9.1 | 24.8% |
| Belle Isle | 13 | 7,029 | 100.0% | 5.0 | 96.0% |
| Belle Isle | 15 | 3 | 0.0% | 0.2 | 4.0% |
| Boca Raton | 31 | 50,013 | 51.3% | 19.2 | 60.8% |
| Boca Raton | 34 | 47,409 | 48.7% | 12.4 | 39.2% |
| Bonita Springs | 27 | 43,114 | 80.4% | 32.4 | 69.7% |
| Bonita Springs | 28 | 10,530 | 19.6% | 14.1 | 30.3% |
| Clearwater | 16 | 83,819 | 71.5% | 29.4 | 82.0% |
| Clearwater | 24 | 33,473 | 28.5% | 6.4 | 18.0% |
| Coconut Creek | 33 | 25,596 | 44.3% | 4.8 | 39.8% |
| Coconut Creek | 34 | 32,237 | 55.7% | 7.2 | 60.2% |
| Coral Gables | 37 | 25,018 | 50.8% | 3.9 | 10.3% |
| Coral Gables | 40 | 24,230 | 49.2% | 33.5 | 89.7% |
| Davie | 32 | 78,079 | 73.9% | 28.3 | 79.2% |
| Davie | 38 | 27,612 | 26.1% | 7.4 | 20.8% |
| Daytona Beach | 7 | 7,058 | 9.7% | 2.4 | 3.6% |
| Daytona Beach | 14 | 65,589 | 90.3% | 65.8 | 96.4% |
| Edgewood | 11 | 1,482 | 55.2% | 0.8 | 55.9% |
| Edgewood | 13 | 1,203 | 44.8% | 0.7 | 44.1% |
| Estero | 27 | 27,095 | 73.4% | 18.5 | 72.8% |
| Estero | 28 | 9,844 | 26.7% | 6.9 | 27.2% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 33 | 65,524 | 35.9% | 13.5 | 37.2% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 34 | 17,711 | 9.7% | 2.9 | 7.9% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 38 | 99,525 | 54.5% | 19.9 | 54.9% |
| Fort Myers | 26 | 4,870 | 5.6% | 5.9 | 11.9% |
| Fort Myers | 27 | 68,996 | 79.9% | 35.4 | 72.1% |
| Fort Myers | 28 | 12,529 | 14.5% | 7.8 | 16.0% |
| Gainesville | 5 | 135,843 | 96.3% | 58.1 | 90.4% |
| Gainesville | 8 | 5,242 | 3.7% | 6.2 | 9.6% |
| Greenacres | 30 | 5,661 | 12.9% | 0.7 | 11.2% |
| Greenacres | 31 | 38,329 | 87.1% | 5.3 | 88.8% |
| Gulfport | 19 | 5,617 | 47.7% | 1.7 | 44.4% |
| Gulfport | 24 | 6,166 | 52.3% | 2.2 | 55.6% |
| High Springs | 5 | 2,855 | 45.9% | 8.1 | 37.3% |
| High Springs | 8 | 3,360 | 54.1% | 13.7 | 62.8% |
| Holly Hill | 7 | 12,929 | 99.8% | 4.4 | 96.9% |
| Holly Hill | 14 | 29 | 0.2% | 0.1 | 3.1% |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 407,948 | 43.0% | 423.0 | 48.4% |
| Jacksonville | 6 | 541,663 | 57.0% | 451.5 | 51.6% |
| Lake Wales | 22 | 151 | 0.9% | 0.2 | 1.1% |
| Lake Wales | 26 | 16,210 | 99.1% | 19.9 | 98.9% |
| Lauderdale-by-the-Sea | 34 | 4,942 | 79.7% | 1.0 | 60.4% |
| Lauderdale-by-the-Sea | 38 | 1,256 | 20.3% | 0.6 | 39.6% |
| Longboat Key | 21 | 2,746 | 36.6% | 8.3 | 51.7% |
| Longboat Key | 23 | 4,759 | 63.4% | 7.7 | 48.3% |
| Maitland | 9 | 19,543 | 100.0% | 6.5 | 100.0% |
| Maitland | 11 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Miami | 35 | 67,844 | 15.3% | 11.3 | 20.1% |
| Miami | 37 | 344,116 | 77.8% | 32.6 | 58.0% |
| Miami | 40 | 30,281 | 6.9% | 12.3 | 21.9% |
| Miami Beach | 35 | 40,306 | 48.6% | 8.2 | 53.8% |
| Miami Beach | 37 | 42,584 | 51.4% | 7.0 | 46.2% |
| Miami Springs | 36 | 13,859 | 100.0% | 3.0 | 99.7% |
| Miami Springs | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Miramar | 32 | 101,471 | 75.3% | 27.1 | 87.3% |
| Miramar | 38 | 33,250 | 24.7% | 4.0 | 12.7% |
| North Miami Beach | 35 | 42,569 | 97.5% | 5.2 | 96.3% |
| North Miami Beach | 38 | 1,107 | 2.5% | 0.2 | 3.7% |
| Oakland | 11 | 1,695 | 48.2% | 1.5 | 65.2% |
| Oakland | 12 | 1,821 | 51.8% | 0.8 | 34.8% |
| Oakland Park | 33 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3.5 | 43.0% |
| Oakland Park | 34 | 15,456 | 35.0% | 2.3 | 28.3% |
| Oakland Park | 38 | 13,736 | 31.1% | 2.4 | 28.6% |
| Ocoee | 11 | 38,548 | 81.5% | 14.2 | 85.3% |
| Ocoee | 12 | 8,747 | 18.5% | 2.5 | 14.7% |
| Oldsmar | 16 | 14,887 | 99.9% | 10.1 | 100.0% |
| Oldsmar | 24 | 11 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Orlando | 9 | 3,835 | 1.3% | 1.7 | 1.4% |
| Orlando | 11 | 141,201 | 45.9% | 36.7 | 30.9% |
| Orlando | 13 | 159,416 | 51.8% | 75.7 | 63.7% |
| Orlando | 15 | 3,121 | 1.0% | 4.8 | 4.0% |
| Ormond Beach | 7 | 36,557 | 84.9% | 36.2 | 93.2% |
| Ormond Beach | 14 | 6,523 | 15.1% | 2.7 | 6.8% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 29 | 34,869 | 58.9% | 47.7 | 80.5% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 30 | 24,313 | 41.1% | 11.6 | 19.6% |
| Palm Springs | 30 | 26,890 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 100.0% |
| Palm Springs | 31 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Pembroke Pines | 32 | 154,578 | 90.3% | 31.8 | 91.4% |
| Pembroke Pines | 38 | 16,600 | 9.7% | 3.0 | 8.6% |
| Plantation | 32 | 65,908 | 71.8% | 16.9 | 76.8% |
| Plantation | 33 | 25,842 | 28.2% | 5.1 | 23.2% |
| Pompano Beach | 33 | 49,815 | 44.5% | 13.5 | 54.7% |
| Pompano Beach | 34 | 62,231 | 55.5% | 11.2 | 45.3% |
| Port St. Lucie | 25 | 99,884 | 48.8% | 50.7 | 42.0% |
| Port St. Lucie | 29 | 104,967 | 51.2% | 70.1 | 58.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 30 | 38,932 | 100.0% | 11.7 | 100.0% |
| St. Petersburg | 19 | 105,800 | 41.0% | 67.7 | 51.5% |
| St. Petersburg | 24 | 152,508 | 59.0% | 63.7 | 48.5% |
| Sunrise | 32 | 30,754 | 31.6% | 9.0 | 49.9% |
| Sunrise | 33 | 66,581 | 68.4% | 9.1 | 50.1% |
| Tampa | 18 | 201,035 | 52.2% | 120.8 | 68.7% |
| Tampa | 19 | 147,959 | 38.4% | 39.9 | 22.7% |
| Tampa | 20 | 35,965 | 9.3% | 15.1 | 8.6% |
| Temple Terrace | 19 | 26,690 | 100.0% | 7.6 | 99.0% |
| Temple Terrace | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 1.0% |
| Titusville | 14 | 48,133 | 98.7% | 27.7 | 80.6% |
| Titusville | 17 | 656 | 1.3% | 6.7 | 19.4% |
| Virginia Gardens | 36 | 2,364 | 100.0% | 0.3 | 98.9% |
| Virginia Gardens | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 1.2% |
| Wellington | 29 | 60,951 | 98.9% | 45.3 | 99.8% |
| Wellington | 30 | 686 | 1.1% | 0.1 | 0.2% |
| West Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.4 | 4.1% |
| West Palm Beach | 30 | 117,415 | 100.0% | 55.6 | 95.9% |
| Westlake | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.2 | 34.0% |
| Westlake | 30 | 906 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 66.0% |
| Winter Garden | 11 | 18,031 | 38.4% | 6.4 | 35.7% |
| Winter Garden | 12 | 28,933 | 61.6% | 11.5 | 64.3% |
| Winter Haven | 22 | 40,601 | 82.5% | 28.3 | 68.9% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Winter Haven | 26 | 8,618 | 17.5% | 12.8 | 31.1% |
| Winter Park | 9 | 29,774 | 99.9% | 10.4 | 99.7% |
| Winter Park | 11 | 21 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.3% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8012

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | 2020 General Election Registered Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | RV who are: | | | RV who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | |
| | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 6 | 42.66% | 9.93% | 51.19% | 28.06% | 20.75% | 42.00% | 5.18% | 82.64% | 3.25% | 14.10% | 41.35% | 21.83% | 36.75% | 67.81% | 4.18% | 4.86% | 4.03% | 28.55% | 9.17% |
| 11 | 37.27% | 25.03% | 49.80% | 21.88% | 28.31% | 33.47% | 17.20% | 78.42% | 3.10% | 18.43% | 46.87% | 14.08% | 38.98% | 52.70% | 16.19% | 4.74% | 11.07% | 21.78% | 23.68% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 42.71% | 22.11% | 35.18% | 9.20% | 47.32% | 72.17% | 4.02% | 23.79% | 47.05% | 13.47% | 39.49% | 15.54% | 52.12% | 1.67% | 28.83% | 6.22% | 53.12% |
| 19 | 33.80% | 21.49% | 52.42% | 19.60% | 27.99% | 33.37% | 14.50% | 79.53% | 2.87% | 17.58% | 45.65% | 15.74% | 38.47% | 50.63% | 12.63% | 4.89% | 11.65% | 20.96% | 19.94% |
| 33 | 50.41% | 21.85% | 62.59% | 12.82% | 24.58% | 46.25% | 15.25% | 81.54% | 2.46% | 15.99% | 48.01% | 16.14% | 35.80% | 60.25% | 11.69% | 8.89% | 19.19% | 30.09% | 22.20% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 63.86% | 10.86% | 25.28% | 51.35% | 26.39% | 83.01% | 2.43% | 14.56% | 43.01% | 20.78% | 36.20% | 66.75% | 17.78% | 11.48% | 50.48% | 29.58% | 37.80% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 29.80% | 35.38% | 34.82% | 4.76% | 80.25% | 80.99% | 3.67% | 15.32% | 26.74% | 38.11% | 35.15% | 12.92% | 72.01% | 0.49% | 86.43% | 2.09% | 81.00% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 34.88% | 30.84% | 34.28% | 4.51% | 69.03% | 74.46% | 4.23% | 21.14% | 30.99% | 35.40% | 33.61% | 9.62% | 61.34% | 0.62% | 79.24% | 2.78% | 67.69% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 31.79% | 34.84% | 33.37% | 5.85% | 62.89% | 74.86% | 3.99% | 20.99% | 27.85% | 36.89% | 35.26% | 13.77% | 55.11% | 0.67% | 66.59% | 3.68% | 66.45% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 38.82% | 29.94% | 31.23% | 12.33% | 54.54% | 80.56% | 3.05% | 16.36% | 28.87% | 36.81% | 34.32% | 25.58% | 40.56% | 1.25% | 67.05% | 6.46% | 59.93% |

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8012

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | Average Primary Election Turnout | | | | Average General Election Turnout | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | Avg. Perf. | | Wins | | Margins | | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 6 | 42.66% | 9.93% | 68.62% | 1.35% | 2.81% | 1.55% | 53.02% | 32.79% | 14.19% | 68.06% | 2.66% | 3.41% | 2.68% | 25.16% | 6.53% | 88.50% | 2.73% | 8.75% | 42.93% | 27.59% | 28.78% | 55.8% | 42.6% | 13 | 1 | D +26.7% | D +0.2% | D +13.7% |
| 11 | 37.27% | 25.03% | 60.05% | 7.34% | 2.80% | 5.06% | 51.62% | 27.18% | 21.19% | 54.93% | 12.41% | 3.25% | 7.60% | 19.25% | 18.29% | 85.02% | 2.63% | 12.29% | 51.67% | 16.66% | 31.32% | 62.8% | 35.6% | 14 | 0 | D +40.1% | D +11.4% | D +27.6% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 18.29% | 37.57% | 1.02% | 14.65% | 45.00% | 27.64% | 27.36% | 16.96% | 46.05% | 1.37% | 20.76% | 5.86% | 43.99% | 79.34% | 3.93% | 16.72% | 53.93% | 14.78% | 31.27% | 58.4% | 39.9% | 13 | 1 | D +38.2% | D +1.1% | D +18.7% |
| 19 | 33.80% | 21.49% | 53.77% | 5.32% | 3.32% | 5.70% | 56.91% | 21.81% | 21.28% | 53.31% | 9.16% | 3.90% | 8.38% | 18.84% | 14.53% | 86.09% | 2.41% | 11.45% | 51.06% | 18.15% | 30.50% | 68.3% | 29.9% | 14 | 0 | D +53.9% | D +21.8% | D +38.7% |
| 33 | 50.41% | 21.85% | 60.44% | 4.94% | 6.58% | 9.88% | 67.42% | 13.58% | 19.00% | 59.42% | 9.17% | 7.21% | 14.82% | 27.77% | 18.71% | 86.43% | 2.12% | 11.40% | 52.35% | 17.21% | 30.26% | 79.4% | 19.8% | 14 | 0 | D +65.4% | D +54.3% | D +59.9% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 74.24% | 9.59% | 9.24% | 50.90% | 70.49% | 10.43% | 19.08% | 69.85% | 14.29% | 9.97% | 48.68% | 29.99% | 35.18% | 87.96% | 1.85% | 10.18% | 45.69% | 23.58% | 30.71% | 83.5% | 15.7% | 14 | 0 | D +74% | D +55.8% | D +67.9% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 23.50% | 58.29% | 0.30% | 88.69% | 31.06% | 40.74% | 28.20% | 16.08% | 67.96% | 0.40% | 86.57% | 2.22% | 81.94% | 86.19% | 2.88% | 10.89% | 26.54% | 44.43% | 29.03% | 45.4% | 53.4% | 3 | 11 | R +21% | D +2.7% | R +7.7% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 10.00% | 55.80% | 0.30% | 86.34% | 34.88% | 36.82% | 28.29% | 9.22% | 60.25% | 0.41% | 81.21% | 2.22% | 69.26% | 80.09% | 3.79% | 15.83% | 29.82% | 42.41% | 27.76% | 51.0% | 47.8% | 9 | 5 | D +22.1% | R +0.1% | D +3.7% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 13.97% | 36.47% | 0.39% | 61.89% | 33.26% | 39.06% | 27.68% | 13.99% | 48.49% | 0.55% | 63.25% | 3.38% | 62.33% | 80.03% | 3.66% | 16.06% | 27.67% | 42.63% | 29.68% | 48.1% | 50.6% | 7 | 7 | R +13.8% | D +0.8% | R +2.1% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 30.07% | 24.28% | 0.83% | 63.61% | 41.51% | 33.34% | 25.15% | 27.43% | 33.28% | 1.03% | 62.79% | 6.34% | 55.25% | 85.41% | 2.57% | 11.93% | 28.15% | 43.29% | 28.56% | 56.0% | 42.9% | 14 | 0 | D +24.4% | D +0.5% | D +13.5% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns

| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8012 | | BVAP | 42.66% | 37.27% | 12.77% | 33.80% | 50.41% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| Primary Elections | | HVAP | 9.93% | 25.03% | 52.56% | 21.49% | 21.85% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2018 | Governor (REP) | R_Baldauf | 0.76% | 0.85% | 0.90% | 0.73% | 1.63% | 2.16% | 2.58% | 2.03% | 1.73% | 1.25% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 57.38% | 50.53% | 51.86% | 44.58% | 68.59% | 64.52% | 67.84% | 66.95% | 68.13% | 67.60% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.25% | 1.57% | 2.03% | 1.42% | 2.56% | 3.70% | 3.93% | 3.83% | 3.15% | 2.69% |
| | | R_Langford | 1.04% | 1.64% | 1.30% | 1.14% | 2.12% | 2.00% | 1.42% | 1.50% | 1.75% | 1.60% |
| | | R_Mercadante | 0.44% | 0.75% | 1.39% | 0.91% | 1.88% | 2.92% | 2.88% | 2.60% | 1.87% | 1.86% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.81% | 0.86% | 1.04% | 0.96% | 1.63% | 2.45% | 1.37% | 1.61% | 1.43% | 1.33% |
| | | R_Putnam | 36.47% | 41.29% | 38.76% | 47.48% | 17.28% | 17.55% | 16.81% | 17.29% | 18.06% | 20.19% |
| | | R_White | 1.63% | 2.10% | 2.70% | 2.20% | 3.36% | 4.42% | 3.18% | 3.90% | 3.50% | 3.21% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Gillum | 56.18% | 49.35% | 27.89% | 51.42% | 51.01% | 53.07% | 31.75% | 25.13% | 26.44% | 35.23% |
| | | D_Graham | 20.18% | 24.72% | 29.03% | 25.05% | 12.23% | 10.26% | 19.50% | 20.11% | 23.86% | 20.92% |
| | | D_Greene | 6.71% | 9.27% | 15.05% | 6.38% | 11.07% | 10.05% | 9.99% | 8.80% | 11.03% | 7.25% |
| | | D_King | 1.61% | 3.29% | 4.31% | 2.00% | 0.85% | 0.79% | 2.30% | 2.07% | 2.24% | 1.19% |
| | | D_Levine | 13.94% | 11.98% | 20.50% | 14.20% | 24.08% | 25.04% | 33.79% | 41.27% | 33.88% | 34.00% |
| | | D_Lundmark | 0.47% | 0.45% | 1.26% | 0.32% | 0.29% | 0.41% | 1.55% | 1.24% | 0.91% | 0.53% |
| | | D_Wetherbee | 0.76% | 0.65% | 1.94% | 0.41% | 0.36% | 0.34% | 1.14% | 0.90% | 1.03% | 0.57% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R_Moody | 57.30% | 54.43% | 53.73% | 59.88% | 52.60% | 53.09% | 53.99% | 53.68% | 55.28% | 55.08% |
| | | R_White | 42.70% | 45.59% | 46.26% | 40.04% | 46.95% | 46.91% | 45.94% | 46.25% | 44.63% | 44.94% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Shaw | 76.50% | 74.97% | 59.28% | 79.98% | 81.08% | 82.65% | 65.92% | 66.00% | 67.90% | 78.69% |
| | | D_Torrens | 23.47% | 25.03% | 40.72% | 20.02% | 18.88% | 17.34% | 34.03% | 34.06% | 32.00% | 21.27% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R_Caldwell | 32.30% | 35.60% | 36.73% | 24.35% | 42.65% | 40.25% | 40.68% | 38.16% | 42.12% | 41.92% |
| | | R_Grimsley | 16.15% | 30.64% | 32.77% | 25.02% | 26.09% | 31.09% | 34.13% | 34.80% | 31.12% | 30.92% |
| | | R_McCalister | 7.72% | 15.17% | 15.92% | 11.30% | 21.48% | 16.53% | 14.62% | 16.26% | 16.68% | 16.94% |
| | | R_Troutman | 43.73% | 18.13% | 14.58% | 38.71% | 8.88% | 11.82% | 10.47% | 10.56% | 9.68% | 9.87% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D_Fried | 62.86% | 54.85% | 54.45% | 62.69% | 65.87% | 58.06% | 52.33% | 57.04% | 54.30% | 59.01% |
| | | D_Porter | 20.89% | 19.21% | 19.74% | 15.85% | 14.82% | 18.37% | 20.34% | 15.81% | 18.84% | 16.92% |
| | | D_Walker | 16.24% | 25.82% | 25.81% | 21.34% | 19.27% | 23.58% | 27.22% | 27.07% | 26.59% | 23.94% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_De La Fuente | 9.78% | 11.16% | 9.66% | 14.02% | 15.70% | 17.12% | 10.62% | 11.78% | 12.39% | 13.40% |
| | | R_Scott | 90.15% | 88.75% | 90.32% | 85.84% | 83.92% | 82.74% | 89.36% | 88.10% | 87.48% | 86.52% |
| 2016 | US Senate (REP) | R_Beruff | 24.73% | 18.65% | 17.45% | 24.80% | 15.64% | 7.94% | 4.18% | 4.70% | 6.66% | 6.71% |
| | | R_Rivera | 3.41% | 2.64% | 3.23% | 3.84% | 4.66% | 3.50% | 2.03% | 1.90% | 2.97% | 2.17% |
| | | R_Rubio | 66.55% | 72.87% | 70.85% | 64.00% | 68.79% | 80.31% | 91.84% | 90.91% | 84.98% | 86.01% |
| | | R_Young | 5.22% | 5.40% | 8.43% | 6.70% | 10.29% | 7.95% | 1.91% | 2.38% | 5.15% | 4.95% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_De La Fuente | 3.27% | 4.00% | 16.91% | 4.76% | 3.42% | 5.54% | 25.07% | 21.34% | 13.15% | 7.50% |
| | | D_Grayson | 11.36% | 42.82% | 46.55% | 10.44% | 9.81% | 10.36% | 10.23% | 11.28% | 11.16% | 11.16% |
| | | D_Keith | 15.35% | 12.25% | 9.25% | 17.22% | 15.99% | 13.94% | 12.62% | 14.38% | 15.44% | 18.85% |
| | | D_Luster | 18.66% | 2.57% | 1.30% | 2.17% | 2.17% | 3.03% | 2.11% | 1.66% | 1.43% | 1.70% |
| | | D_Murphy | 51.22% | 37.94% | 25.94% | 65.10% | 68.34% | 67.09% | 49.86% | 50.87% | 58.19% | 60.38% |
| 2014 | Governor (REP) | R_Adeshina | 1.00% | 1.84% | 1.74% | 2.19% | 2.81% | 3.22% | 1.58% | 1.54% | 1.74% | 2.24% |
| | | R_Cuevas-Neunder | 6.99% | 9.95% | 12.08% | 14.13% | 13.99% | 16.55% | 12.29% | 12.98% | 14.82% | 14.43% |
| | | R_Scott | 91.93% | 88.01% | 86.16% | 83.02% | 82.28% | 79.92% | 86.06% | 85.29% | 83.18% | 83.15% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 71.12% | 81.48% | 76.66% | 84.54% | 81.20% | 85.27% | 77.66% | 73.61% | 77.27% | 76.16% |
| | | D_Rich | 28.81% | 18.40% | 23.28% | 15.41% | 18.71% | 14.71% | 22.29% | 26.09% | 22.26% | 23.65% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Sheldon | 58.73% | 48.11% | 61.64% | 60.78% | 34.75% | 44.95% | 60.08% | 69.39% | 65.51% | 59.92% |
| | | D_Thurston | 41.26% | 51.79% | 38.35% | 39.10% | 65.16% | 55.04% | 39.84% | 30.28% | 33.96% | 39.96% |
| 2012 | US Senate (REP) | R_Mack | 62.11% | 57.11% | 45.39% | 52.78% | 67.02% | 71.50% | 76.64% | 77.14% | 73.57% | 75.85% |
| | | R_McCalister | 17.15% | 11.21% | 11.68% | 12.84% | 11.72% | 6.74% | 4.23% | 4.56% | 7.49% | 6.35% |
| | | R_Stuart | 5.67% | 5.10% | 6.92% | 8.32% | 7.61% | 13.92% | 16.03% | 14.95% | 12.83% | 11.12% |
| | | R_Weldon | 14.79% | 26.00% | 35.94% | 24.89% | 12.49% | 7.67% | 3.01% | 3.14% | 5.81% | 6.21% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 20.26% | 13.08% | 20.62% | 14.25% | 13.57% | 14.59% | 16.90% | 16.19% | 16.72% | 15.85% |
| | | D_Nelson | 79.71% | 86.69% | 79.37% | 85.56% | 86.25% | 85.40% | 82.99% | 83.69% | 83.05% | 84.02% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns



| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8012 | | BVAP | 42.66% | 37.27% | 12.77% | 33.80% | 50.41% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| General Elections | | HVAP | 9.93% | 25.03% | 52.56% | 21.49% | 21.85% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 59.61% | 65.68% | 58.69% | 68.27% | 77.14% | 77.62% | 40.03% | 49.67% | 44.58% | 53.23% |
| | | R_Trump | 39.13% | 33.38% | 40.36% | 30.44% | 22.32% | 21.83% | 59.50% | 49.76% | 54.83% | 46.22% |
| 2018 | Governor | D_Gillum | 61.23% | 67.09% | 62.28% | 71.99% | 80.93% | 84.85% | 47.04% | 54.28% | 49.83% | 57.99% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 37.88% | 31.90% | 36.33% | 26.86% | 18.45% | 14.48% | 51.60% | 44.40% | 49.00% | 41.08% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 57.59% | 63.50% | 59.18% | 67.18% | 79.82% | 83.23% | 45.60% | 52.90% | 48.24% | 56.79% |
| | | R_Moody | 40.81% | 34.95% | 38.89% | 31.05% | 18.93% | 15.31% | 52.10% | 44.89% | 49.55% | 41.45% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Ring | 58.82% | 65.52% | 61.67% | 70.51% | 80.94% | 84.86% | 46.65% | 53.72% | 49.27% | 57.43% |
| | | R_Patronis | 41.18% | 34.48% | 38.32% | 29.50% | 19.07% | 15.13% | 53.34% | 46.28% | 50.73% | 42.58% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 59.50% | 66.91% | 62.88% | 72.88% | 81.33% | 84.97% | 48.08% | 55.57% | 50.95% | 59.29% |
| | | R_Caldwell | 40.50% | 33.09% | 37.11% | 27.12% | 18.66% | 15.02% | 51.92% | 44.45% | 49.04% | 40.71% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 60.08% | 66.66% | 60.89% | 71.67% | 81.35% | 84.45% | 47.50% | 54.97% | 51.15% | 59.19% |
| | | R_Scott | 39.92% | 33.33% | 39.11% | 28.33% | 18.65% | 15.55% | 52.50% | 45.02% | 48.85% | 40.82% |
| 2016 | President | D_Clinton | 56.93% | 64.94% | 63.18% | 68.75% | 78.64% | 84.16% | 54.93% | 59.83% | 53.71% | 60.94% |
| | | R_Trump | 40.04% | 31.89% | 33.59% | 27.49% | 19.67% | 14.26% | 42.82% | 37.78% | 43.49% | 36.50% |
| | US Senate | D_Murphy | 49.05% | 59.92% | 56.29% | 65.02% | 77.04% | 79.14% | 43.27% | 49.02% | 45.19% | 52.71% |
| | | R_Rubio | 47.49% | 36.21% | 39.63% | 30.59% | 21.08% | 18.76% | 54.79% | 48.79% | 52.44% | 45.14% |
| 2014 | Governor | D_Crist | 50.94% | 60.16% | 53.72% | 68.86% | 80.54% | 85.24% | 43.08% | 47.42% | 48.96% | 57.29% |
| | | R_Scott | 44.99% | 35.37% | 41.21% | 26.14% | 17.40% | 13.25% | 54.59% | 50.21% | 48.01% | 40.23% |
| | Attorney General | D_Sheldon | 48.77% | 56.98% | 50.04% | 62.93% | 77.41% | 82.87% | 38.58% | 43.72% | 43.25% | 53.33% |
| | | R_Bondi | 48.54% | 40.31% | 47.18% | 34.01% | 21.18% | 15.77% | 59.22% | 54.02% | 54.25% | 44.76% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 49.71% | 55.76% | 50.56% | 61.51% | 77.17% | 82.49% | 40.08% | 43.07% | 43.08% | 50.25% |
| | | R_Atwater | 50.30% | 44.24% | 49.44% | 38.48% | 22.82% | 17.50% | 59.91% | 56.94% | 56.91% | 49.75% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 52.75% | 55.70% | 48.97% | 60.88% | 78.11% | 83.09% | 39.49% | 43.26% | 43.38% | 51.30% |
| | | R_Putnam | 47.24% | 44.29% | 51.03% | 39.12% | 21.88% | 16.91% | 60.49% | 56.71% | 56.61% | 48.70% |
| 2012 | President | D_Obama | 58.34% | 65.25% | 63.43% | 73.01% | 80.90% | 86.59% | 51.14% | 54.55% | 51.70% | 57.68% |
| | | R_Romney | 40.89% | 34.04% | 35.78% | 25.99% | 18.68% | 13.12% | 48.45% | 44.95% | 47.69% | 41.82% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 61.99% | 69.14% | 67.79% | 75.83% | 82.08% | 86.51% | 52.71% | 55.97% | 53.42% | 59.92% |
| | | R_Mack | 35.33% | 29.05% | 29.62% | 21.96% | 16.68% | 12.50% | 45.45% | 42.36% | 44.93% | 38.84% |


South Florida
Jacksonville Area
Tampa Bay Area
Orlando Area
Legend
County boundary
Interstate
US Highway
Major road
Sea, lake, or river
District boundary & number
Scale bar for statewide map
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles
Scale bar for insets
0 5 10 20 Miles
Florida
State Senate Districts
S000S8014
* * * * *
Produced by
Florida Senate
Committee on Reapportionment
* * * * *
November 10, 2021
Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: https://www.floridaredistricting.gov

# Census and Boundary Statistics

## Plan S000S8014

| Dist. | Deviation | | Voting Age Population: | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Counties: | | Cities: | | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 10,457 | 1.94% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 1,785.1 | 196.6 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 51 | 48 | 357 | 116 | 16% | 59% | 21% | 38% | 2% | 6% |
| 1 | 473 | 0.09% | 15.63% | 5.70% | 2,690 | 292 | 0.81 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1% | 83% | 10% | 56% | 0% | 0% |
| 2 | 649 | 0.12% | 11.28% | 7.12% | 6,415 | 418 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 6 | 1 | 40 | 2 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 61% | 0% | 0% |
| 3 | 2,687 | 0.50% | 27.43% | 7.06% | 10,909 | 574 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2% | 100% | 0% | 58% | 0% | 0% |
| 4 | 4,053 | 0.75% | 13.54% | 9.18% | 1,397 | 270 | 0.74 | 0.24 | 0.52 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 28% | 77% | 21% | 45% | 0% | 0% |
| 5 | 347 | 0.06% | 14.64% | 8.79% | 3,433 | 335 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 14% | 87% | 13% | 20% | 0% | 0% |
| 6 | 4,956 | 0.92% | 41.62% | 10.43% | 248 | 69 | 0.87 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14% | 14% | 80% | 10% | 0% | 0% |
| 7 | 3,122 | 0.58% | 7.81% | 7.85% | 2,533 | 280 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 14% | 86% | 13% | 54% | 0% | 0% |
| 8 | -1,450 | -0.27% | 13.85% | 12.39% | 3,729 | 327 | 0.89 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 7% | 87% | 13% | 45% | 0% | 0% |
| 9 | -4,249 | -0.79% | 12.73% | 20.12% | 366 | 105 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 13% | 86% | 7% | 58% | 0% | 6% |
| 10 | 84 | 0.02% | 4.88% | 8.19% | 2,403 | 278 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9% | 88% | 10% | 48% | 0% | 1% |
| 11 | -4,479 | -0.83% | 37.00% | 25.05% | 288 | 86 | 0.82 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10% | 54% | 28% | 22% | 6% | 11% |
| 12 | -3,071 | -0.57% | 10.13% | 16.03% | 1,290 | 225 | 0.72 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 11% | 88% | 10% | 33% | 0% | 3% |
| 13 | -1,963 | -0.36% | 11.79% | 37.95% | 489 | 110 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8% | 75% | 6% | 28% | 6% | 10% |
| 14 | 2,235 | 0.42% | 11.51% | 13.23% | 1,476 | 222 | 0.84 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8% | 65% | 24% | 66% | 4% | 2% |
| 15 | -5,137 | -0.95% | 12.77% | 52.56% | 1,578 | 233 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5% | 89% | 9% | 29% | 1% | 2% |
| 16 | -2,656 | -0.49% | 5.34% | 10.76% | 640 | 116 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 10% | 46% | 21% | 61% | 0% | 3% |
| 17 | 1,557 | 0.29% | 10.06% | 10.14% | 1,199 | 188 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1% | 79% | 9% | 54% | 5% | 2% |
| 18 | -2,463 | -0.46% | 9.42% | 32.62% | 281 | 89 | 0.78 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44% | 48% | 17% | 41% | 1% | 9% |
| 19 | -4,095 | -0.76% | 33.35% | 21.81% | 351 | 112 | 0.69 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 16% | 6% | 38% | 31% | 1% | 23% |
| 20 | -2,632 | -0.49% | 11.86% | 21.42% | 887 | 143 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4% | 59% | 37% | 3% | 6% | 8% |
| 21 | -3,053 | -0.57% | 11.01% | 17.21% | 1,232 | 189 | 0.71 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1% | 53% | 27% | 34% | 5% | 10% |
| 22 | -3,966 | -0.74% | 15.68% | 24.25% | 861 | 171 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5% | 69% | 17% | 16% | 0% | 13% |
| 23 | -5,000 | -0.93% | 4.33% | 9.59% | 1,143 | 147 | 0.92 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 19% | 73% | 23% | 46% | 0% | 2% |
| 24 | 4,761 | 0.88% | 6.20% | 9.56% | 469 | 102 | 0.88 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 10% | 51% | 10% | 78% | 0% | 12% |
| 25 | -2,708 | -0.50% | 13.34% | 15.46% | 4,203 | 307 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2% | 92% | 2% | 31% | 3% | 2% |
| 26 | -1,908 | -0.35% | 9.53% | 16.13% | 3,565 | 383 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 8% | 77% | 11% | 30% | 0% | 9% |
| 27 | 403 | 0.07% | 6.26% | 17.18% | 1,092 | 142 | 0.94 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5% | 71% | 29% | 60% | 0% | 0% |
| 28 | -960 | -0.18% | 7.68% | 26.59% | 4,027 | 326 | 0.83 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2% | 89% | 6% | 43% | 0% | 3% |
| 29 | 4,045 | 0.75% | 11.45% | 16.06% | 2,704 | 247 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 10% | 61% | 14% | 32% | 3% | 14% |
| 30 | 3,800 | 0.71% | 24.77% | 29.58% | 263 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 37% | 20% | 16% | 35% | 0% | 28% |
| 31 | 1,369 | 0.25% | 15.66% | 16.52% | 224 | 75 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 32% | 29% | 42% | 40% | 0% | 3% |
| 32 | 4,827 | 0.90% | 20.74% | 45.29% | 955 | 136 | 0.94 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 25% | 76% | 11% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| 33 | 2,459 | 0.46% | 46.24% | 23.05% | 88 | 48 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 75% | 0% | 25% | 0% | 3% | 4% |
| 34 | 973 | 0.18% | 21.29% | 22.81% | 122 | 51 | 0.91 | 0.60 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 52% | 7% | 39% | 14% | 0% | 16% |
| 35 | -561 | -0.10% | 49.38% | 38.12% | 144 | 69 | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 29% | 37% | 34% | 50% | 1% | 1% |
| 36 | -4,077 | -0.76% | 5.63% | 89.86% | 163 | 61 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 41% | 17% | 66% | 0% | 1% | 4% |
| 37 | 5,320 | 0.99% | 6.26% | 78.58% | 67 | 45 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22% | 0% | 56% | 22% | 2% | 12% |
| 38 | -3,001 | -0.56% | 15.27% | 29.50% | 185 | 87 | 0.79 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 27% | 42% | 35% | 46% | 2% | 1% |
| 39 | 4,077 | 0.76% | 8.23% | 71.50% | 6,953 | 637 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1% | 88% | 5% | 80% | 2% | 0% |
| 40 | 5,219 | 0.97% | 13.01% | 66.39% | 343 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 12% | 17% | 18% | 52% | 15% | 6% |

***Overall numbers of county and city splits:***

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | In Plan S000S8014 | |
|---|---|---|
| Number of Counties | 67 | 412 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 | 357 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 | 55 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 | 366 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 | 116 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 | 107 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 | |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8014

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Alachua | 5 | 160,286 | 57.6% | 493.8 | 51.0% |
| Alachua | 8 | 118,182 | 42.4% | 475.1 | 49.0% |
| Brevard | 14 | 66,600 | 11.0% | 357.8 | 23.0% |
| Brevard | 17 | 540,012 | 89.0% | 1,199.3 | 77.0% |
| Broward | 32 | 543,282 | 27.9% | 955.4 | 73.0% |
| Broward | 33 | 540,914 | 27.8% | 88.1 | 6.7% |
| Broward | 34 | 407,113 | 20.9% | 89.0 | 6.8% |
| Broward | 38 | 453,066 | 23.3% | 175.8 | 13.4% |
| Duval | 4 | 452,156 | 45.4% | 670.8 | 73.0% |
| Duval | 6 | 543,411 | 54.6% | 247.7 | 27.0% |
| Hillsborough | 18 | 535,992 | 36.7% | 281.1 | 21.1% |
| Hillsborough | 19 | 422,943 | 29.0% | 281.3 | 21.1% |
| Hillsborough | 20 | 265,686 | 18.2% | 334.2 | 25.1% |
| Hillsborough | 21 | 235,141 | 16.1% | 435.4 | 32.7% |
| Lee | 26 | 99,840 | 13.1% | 191.2 | 12.6% |
| Lee | 27 | 538,858 | 70.8% | 1,091.7 | 72.1% |
| Lee | 28 | 122,124 | 16.1% | 232.0 | 15.3% |
| Manatee | 21 | 300,261 | 75.1% | 796.9 | 82.7% |
| Manatee | 23 | 99,449 | 24.9% | 167.1 | 17.3% |
| Miami-Dade | 35 | 537,894 | 19.9% | 144.2 | 6.0% |
| Miami-Dade | 36 | 534,378 | 19.8% | 162.9 | 6.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 37 | 543,775 | 20.1% | 66.9 | 2.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 38 | 82,388 | 3.1% | 9.3 | 0.4% |
| Miami-Dade | 39 | 459,658 | 17.0% | 1,663.5 | 69.6% |
| Miami-Dade | 40 | 543,674 | 20.1% | 342.6 | 14.3% |
| Okaloosa | 1 | 29,023 | 13.7% | 341.9 | 27.4% |
| Okaloosa | 2 | 182,645 | 86.3% | 904.8 | 72.6% |
| Orange | 9 | 63,350 | 4.4% | 20.9 | 2.1% |
| Orange | 11 | 533,976 | 37.3% | 287.6 | 28.7% |
| Orange | 12 | 151,428 | 10.6% | 133.4 | 13.3% |
| Orange | 13 | 536,492 | 37.5% | 489.4 | 48.8% |
| Orange | 15 | 144,662 | 10.1% | 72.2 | 7.2% |
| Palm Beach | 29 | 277,797 | 18.6% | 1,863.8 | 78.2% |
| Palm Beach | 30 | 542,255 | 36.3% | 263.0 | 11.0% |
| Palm Beach | 31 | 539,824 | 36.2% | 223.6 | 9.4% |
| Palm Beach | 34 | 132,315 | 8.9% | 32.8 | 1.4% |
| Pasco | 10 | 60,429 | 10.8% | 146.7 | 14.5% |
| Pasco | 16 | 231,325 | 41.2% | 315.5 | 31.1% |
| Pasco | 20 | 270,137 | 48.1% | 552.6 | 54.5% |
| Pinellas | 16 | 304,474 | 31.8% | 324.4 | 37.6% |
| Pinellas | 19 | 111,417 | 11.6% | 69.4 | 8.0% |
| Pinellas | 24 | 543,216 | 56.6% | 468.8 | 54.4% |
| Polk | 22 | 534,489 | 73.7% | 861.0 | 42.8% |
| Polk | 26 | 190,557 | 26.3% | 1,149.4 | 57.2% |
| St. Lucie | 25 | 222,954 | 67.7% | 600.5 | 87.3% |
| St. Lucie | 29 | 106,272 | 32.3% | 87.3 | 12.7% |
| Volusia | 7 | 79,453 | 14.4% | 313.8 | 21.9% |
| Volusia | 14 | 474,090 | 85.7% | 1,118.7 | 78.1% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8014

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Belle Isle | 13 | 7,029 | 100.0% | 5.0 | 96.0% |
| Belle Isle | 15 | 3 | 0.0% | 0.2 | 4.0% |
| Boca Raton | 31 | 50,013 | 51.3% | 19.2 | 60.8% |
| Boca Raton | 34 | 47,409 | 48.7% | 12.4 | 39.2% |
| Bonita Springs | 27 | 43,114 | 80.4% | 32.4 | 69.7% |
| Bonita Springs | 28 | 10,530 | 19.6% | 14.1 | 30.3% |
| Clearwater | 16 | 88,686 | 75.6% | 27.5 | 76.6% |
| Clearwater | 24 | 28,606 | 24.4% | 8.4 | 23.4% |
| Coral Gables | 37 | 25,018 | 50.8% | 3.9 | 10.3% |
| Coral Gables | 40 | 24,230 | 49.2% | 33.5 | 89.7% |
| Crestview | 1 | 10,214 | 37.6% | 8.7 | 51.9% |
| Crestview | 2 | 16,920 | 62.4% | 8.1 | 48.1% |
| Davie | 32 | 104,420 | 98.8% | 33.8 | 94.4% |
| Davie | 38 | 1,271 | 1.2% | 2.0 | 5.6% |
| Daytona Beach | 7 | 7,058 | 9.7% | 2.4 | 3.6% |
| Daytona Beach | 14 | 65,589 | 90.3% | 65.8 | 96.4% |
| Deerfield Beach | 34 | 71,094 | 81.9% | 13.2 | 81.6% |
| Deerfield Beach | 38 | 15,765 | 18.2% | 3.0 | 18.4% |
| Eatonville | 9 | 1,481 | 63.1% | 0.7 | 63.3% |
| Eatonville | 11 | 868 | 37.0% | 0.4 | 36.7% |
| Edgewood | 11 | 1,482 | 55.2% | 0.8 | 55.9% |
| Edgewood | 13 | 1,203 | 44.8% | 0.7 | 44.1% |
| Estero | 27 | 27,095 | 73.4% | 18.5 | 72.8% |
| Estero | 28 | 9,844 | 26.7% | 6.9 | 27.2% |
| Fanning Springs | 5 | 478 | 40.4% | 1.9 | 38.4% |
| Fanning Springs | 8 | 704 | 59.6% | 3.1 | 61.6% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 33 | 84,233 | 46.1% | 15.8 | 43.6% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 38 | 98,527 | 53.9% | 20.5 | 56.4% |
| Fort Myers | 26 | 4,870 | 5.6% | 5.9 | 11.9% |
| Fort Myers | 27 | 68,996 | 79.9% | 35.4 | 72.1% |
| Fort Myers | 28 | 12,529 | 14.5% | 7.8 | 16.0% |
| Gainesville | 5 | 93,643 | 66.4% | 48.2 | 75.0% |
| Gainesville | 8 | 47,442 | 33.6% | 16.1 | 25.0% |
| Greenacres | 30 | 5,661 | 12.9% | 0.7 | 11.2% |
| Greenacres | 31 | 38,329 | 87.1% | 5.3 | 88.8% |
| Gulfport | 19 | 5,617 | 47.7% | 1.7 | 44.4% |
| Gulfport | 24 | 6,166 | 52.3% | 2.2 | 55.6% |
| Holly Hill | 7 | 12,929 | 99.8% | 4.4 | 96.9% |
| Holly Hill | 14 | 29 | 0.2% | 0.1 | 3.1% |
| Hollywood | 32 | 28,649 | 18.7% | 3.5 | 11.4% |
| Hollywood | 38 | 124,418 | 81.3% | 27.3 | 88.6% |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 406,200 | 42.8% | 626.8 | 71.7% |
| Jacksonville | 6 | 543,411 | 57.2% | 247.7 | 28.3% |
| Lake Wales | 22 | 151 | 0.9% | 0.2 | 1.1% |
| Lake Wales | 26 | 16,210 | 99.1% | 19.9 | 98.9% |
| Laurel Hill | 1 | 249 | 42.6% | 2.1 | 49.5% |
| Laurel Hill | 2 | 335 | 57.4% | 2.2 | 50.5% |
| Longboat Key | 21 | 2,746 | 36.6% | 8.3 | 51.7% |
| Longboat Key | 23 | 4,759 | 63.4% | 7.7 | 48.3% |
| Miami | 35 | 67,844 | 15.3% | 11.3 | 20.1% |
| Miami | 37 | 344,116 | 77.8% | 32.6 | 58.0% |
| Miami | 40 | 30,281 | 6.9% | 12.3 | 21.9% |
| Miami Beach | 35 | 40,306 | 48.6% | 8.2 | 53.8% |
| Miami Beach | 37 | 42,584 | 51.4% | 7.0 | 46.2% |
| Miami Springs | 36 | 13,859 | 100.0% | 3.0 | 99.7% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Miami Springs | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Miramar | 32 | 117,135 | 87.0% | 28.9 | 93.0% |
| Miramar | 38 | 17,586 | 13.1% | 2.2 | 7.0% |
| Newberry | 5 | 3,647 | 49.7% | 23.2 | 39.6% |
| Newberry | 8 | 3,695 | 50.3% | 35.4 | 60.4% |
| North Lauderdale | 33 | 44,794 | 100.0% | 4.7 | 100.0% |
| North Lauderdale | 34 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| North Miami Beach | 35 | 42,569 | 97.5% | 5.2 | 96.3% |
| North Miami Beach | 38 | 1,107 | 2.5% | 0.2 | 3.7% |
| Oakland | 11 | 1,695 | 48.2% | 1.5 | 65.2% |
| Oakland | 12 | 1,821 | 51.8% | 0.8 | 34.8% |
| Oakland Park | 33 | 44,229 | 100.0% | 8.2 | 100.0% |
| Oakland Park | 38 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Ocoee | 11 | 38,548 | 81.5% | 14.2 | 85.3% |
| Ocoee | 12 | 8,747 | 18.5% | 2.5 | 14.7% |
| Oldsmar | 16 | 14,887 | 99.9% | 10.1 | 100.0% |
| Oldsmar | 24 | 11 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Orlando | 9 | 3,835 | 1.3% | 1.7 | 1.4% |
| Orlando | 11 | 141,201 | 45.9% | 36.7 | 30.9% |
| Orlando | 13 | 159,416 | 51.8% | 75.7 | 63.7% |
| Orlando | 15 | 3,121 | 1.0% | 4.8 | 4.0% |
| Ormond Beach | 7 | 36,557 | 84.9% | 36.2 | 93.2% |
| Ormond Beach | 14 | 6,523 | 15.1% | 2.7 | 6.8% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 29 | 34,869 | 58.9% | 47.7 | 80.5% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 30 | 24,313 | 41.1% | 11.6 | 19.6% |
| Palm Springs | 30 | 26,890 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 100.0% |
| Palm Springs | 31 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Pembroke Pines | 32 | 171,062 | 99.9% | 34.7 | 99.9% |
| Pembroke Pines | 38 | 116 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.1% |
| Pompano Beach | 34 | 49,815 | 44.5% | 13.5 | 54.7% |
| Pompano Beach | 38 | 62,231 | 55.5% | 11.2 | 45.3% |
| Port St. Lucie | 25 | 99,884 | 48.8% | 50.7 | 42.0% |
| Port St. Lucie | 29 | 104,967 | 51.2% | 70.1 | 58.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 30 | 38,932 | 100.0% | 11.7 | 100.0% |
| St. Petersburg | 19 | 105,800 | 41.0% | 67.7 | 51.5% |
| St. Petersburg | 24 | 152,508 | 59.0% | 63.7 | 48.5% |
| Sunrise | 32 | 10,939 | 11.2% | 6.3 | 34.5% |
| Sunrise | 33 | 86,396 | 88.8% | 11.9 | 65.5% |
| Tampa | 18 | 201,035 | 52.2% | 120.8 | 68.7% |
| Tampa | 19 | 147,959 | 38.4% | 39.9 | 22.7% |
| Tampa | 20 | 35,965 | 9.3% | 15.1 | 8.6% |
| Temple Terrace | 19 | 26,690 | 100.0% | 7.6 | 99.0% |
| Temple Terrace | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 1.0% |
| Titusville | 14 | 48,133 | 98.7% | 27.7 | 80.6% |
| Titusville | 17 | 656 | 1.3% | 6.7 | 19.4% |
| Virginia Gardens | 36 | 2,364 | 100.0% | 0.3 | 98.9% |
| Virginia Gardens | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 1.2% |
| Wellington | 29 | 60,951 | 98.9% | 45.3 | 99.8% |
| Wellington | 30 | 686 | 1.1% | 0.1 | 0.2% |
| West Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.4 | 4.1% |
| West Palm Beach | 30 | 117,415 | 100.0% | 55.6 | 95.9% |
| Westlake | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.2 | 34.0% |
| Westlake | 30 | 906 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 66.0% |
| Winter Garden | 11 | 18,031 | 38.4% | 6.4 | 35.7% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Winter Garden | 12 | 28,933 | 61.6% | 11.5 | 64.3% |
| Winter Haven | 22 | 40,601 | 82.5% | 28.3 | 68.9% |
| Winter Haven | 26 | 8,618 | 17.5% | 12.8 | 31.1% |
| Winter Park | 9 | 28,190 | 94.6% | 9.7 | 93.7% |
| Winter Park | 11 | 21 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Winter Park | 13 | 1584 | 5.3% | 0.6 | 6.1% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8014

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | 2020 General Election Registered Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | RV who are: | | | RV who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | |
| | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 6 | 41.62% | 10.43% | 51.25% | 27.56% | 21.20% | 41.17% | 5.42% | 82.43% | 3.25% | 14.31% | 41.41% | 21.55% | 36.91% | 66.22% | 4.38% | 4.85% | 4.24% | 27.80% | 9.44% |
| 11 | 37.00% | 25.05% | 49.63% | 22.01% | 28.36% | 33.15% | 17.23% | 78.37% | 3.11% | 18.47% | 46.84% | 14.13% | 38.97% | 52.35% | 16.26% | 4.68% | 11.06% | 21.58% | 23.68% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 42.71% | 22.11% | 35.18% | 9.20% | 47.32% | 72.17% | 4.02% | 23.79% | 47.05% | 13.47% | 39.49% | 15.54% | 52.12% | 1.67% | 28.83% | 6.22% | 53.12% |
| 19 | 33.35% | 21.81% | 52.39% | 19.61% | 28.00% | 33.16% | 14.51% | 79.64% | 2.88% | 17.47% | 45.81% | 15.26% | 38.84% | 50.40% | 12.68% | 4.86% | 11.28% | 20.69% | 20.13% |
| 33 | 46.24% | 23.05% | 60.47% | 14.55% | 24.97% | 41.40% | 16.02% | 81.34% | 2.51% | 16.14% | 46.71% | 17.49% | 35.81% | 55.69% | 12.37% | 7.14% | 19.24% | 26.75% | 22.97% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 63.86% | 10.86% | 25.28% | 51.35% | 26.39% | 83.01% | 2.43% | 14.56% | 43.01% | 20.78% | 36.20% | 66.75% | 17.78% | 11.48% | 50.48% | 29.58% | 37.80% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 29.80% | 35.38% | 34.82% | 4.76% | 80.25% | 80.99% | 3.67% | 15.32% | 26.74% | 38.11% | 35.15% | 12.92% | 72.01% | 0.49% | 86.43% | 2.09% | 81.00% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 34.88% | 30.84% | 34.28% | 4.51% | 69.03% | 74.46% | 4.23% | 21.14% | 30.99% | 35.40% | 33.61% | 9.62% | 61.34% | 0.62% | 79.24% | 2.78% | 67.69% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 31.79% | 34.84% | 33.37% | 5.85% | 62.89% | 74.86% | 3.99% | 20.99% | 27.85% | 36.89% | 35.26% | 13.77% | 55.11% | 0.67% | 66.59% | 3.68% | 66.45% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 38.82% | 29.94% | 31.23% | 12.33% | 54.54% | 80.56% | 3.05% | 16.36% | 28.87% | 36.81% | 34.32% | 25.58% | 40.56% | 1.25% | 67.05% | 6.46% | 59.93% |

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8014

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | Average Primary Election Turnout | | | | Average General Election Turnout | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | Avg. Perf. | | Wins | | Margins | | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 6 | 41.62% | 10.43% | 66.82% | 1.43% | 2.69% | 1.62% | 52.83% | 32.61% | 14.57% | 66.63% | 2.81% | 3.34% | 2.84% | 24.16% | 6.71% | 88.40% | 2.72% | 8.84% | 42.87% | 27.64% | 28.77% | 56.2% | 42.2% | 13 | 1 | D +27.2% | D +0.4% | D +14.5% |
| 11 | 37.00% | 25.05% | 59.61% | 7.41% | 2.78% | 5.07% | 51.40% | 27.33% | 21.26% | 54.54% | 12.49% | 3.21% | 7.60% | 19.06% | 18.29% | 84.98% | 2.65% | 12.33% | 51.62% | 16.72% | 31.33% | 62.6% | 35.8% | 14 | 0 | D +39.8% | D +11% | D +27.3% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 18.29% | 37.57% | 1.02% | 14.65% | 45.00% | 27.64% | 27.36% | 16.96% | 46.05% | 1.37% | 20.76% | 5.86% | 43.99% | 79.34% | 3.93% | 16.72% | 53.93% | 14.78% | 31.27% | 58.4% | 39.9% | 13 | 1 | D +38.2% | D +1.1% | D +18.7% |
| 19 | 33.35% | 21.81% | 53.21% | 5.30% | 3.21% | 5.46% | 56.79% | 21.95% | 21.26% | 52.92% | 9.13% | 3.83% | 8.07% | 18.43% | 14.49% | 86.24% | 2.40% | 11.31% | 51.29% | 17.76% | 30.64% | 67.8% | 30.3% | 14 | 0 | D +53.3% | D +20.4% | D +37.9% |
| 33 | 46.24% | 23.05% | 55.32% | 5.23% | 5.40% | 10.10% | 64.80% | 15.69% | 19.51% | 54.92% | 9.74% | 5.73% | 14.96% | 24.43% | 19.61% | 86.22% | 2.18% | 11.55% | 50.29% | 18.85% | 30.68% | 77.0% | 22.1% | 14 | 0 | D +60.7% | D +48% | D +55.1% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 74.24% | 9.59% | 9.24% | 50.90% | 70.49% | 10.43% | 19.08% | 69.85% | 14.29% | 9.97% | 48.68% | 29.99% | 35.18% | 87.96% | 1.85% | 10.18% | 45.69% | 23.58% | 30.71% | 83.5% | 15.7% | 14 | 0 | D +74% | D +55.8% | D +67.9% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 23.50% | 58.29% | 0.30% | 88.69% | 31.06% | 40.74% | 28.20% | 16.08% | 67.96% | 0.40% | 86.57% | 2.22% | 81.94% | 86.19% | 2.88% | 10.89% | 26.54% | 44.43% | 29.03% | 45.4% | 53.4% | 3 | 11 | R +21% | D +2.7% | R +7.7% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 10.00% | 55.80% | 0.30% | 86.34% | 34.88% | 36.82% | 28.29% | 9.22% | 60.25% | 0.41% | 81.21% | 2.22% | 69.26% | 80.09% | 3.79% | 15.83% | 29.82% | 42.41% | 27.76% | 51.0% | 47.8% | 9 | 5 | D +22.1% | R +0.1% | D +3.7% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 13.97% | 36.47% | 0.39% | 61.89% | 33.26% | 39.06% | 27.68% | 13.99% | 48.49% | 0.55% | 63.25% | 3.38% | 62.33% | 80.03% | 3.66% | 16.06% | 27.67% | 42.63% | 29.68% | 48.1% | 50.6% | 7 | 7 | R +13.8% | D +0.8% | R +2.1% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 30.07% | 24.28% | 0.83% | 63.61% | 41.51% | 33.34% | 25.15% | 27.43% | 33.28% | 1.03% | 62.79% | 6.34% | 55.25% | 85.41% | 2.57% | 11.93% | 28.15% | 43.29% | 28.56% | 56.0% | 42.9% | 14 | 0 | D +24.4% | D +0.5% | D +13.5% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns

| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8014 | | BVAP | 41.62% | 37.00% | 12.77% | 33.35% | 46.24% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| Primary Elections | | HVAP | 10.43% | 25.05% | 52.56% | 21.81% | 23.05% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2018 | Governor (REP) | R_Baldauf | 0.77% | 0.84% | 0.90% | 0.69% | 1.45% | 2.16% | 2.58% | 2.03% | 1.73% | 1.25% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 57.78% | 50.60% | 51.86% | 44.24% | 67.40% | 64.52% | 67.84% | 66.95% | 68.13% | 67.60% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.27% | 1.57% | 2.03% | 1.36% | 2.16% | 3.70% | 3.93% | 3.83% | 3.15% | 2.69% |
| | | R_Langford | 1.04% | 1.64% | 1.30% | 1.15% | 2.05% | 2.00% | 1.42% | 1.50% | 1.75% | 1.60% |
| | | R_Mercadante | 0.47% | 0.75% | 1.39% | 0.88% | 1.68% | 2.92% | 2.88% | 2.60% | 1.87% | 1.86% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.82% | 0.86% | 1.04% | 0.92% | 1.50% | 2.45% | 1.37% | 1.61% | 1.43% | 1.33% |
| | | R_Putnam | 35.93% | 41.23% | 38.76% | 48.03% | 19.28% | 17.55% | 16.81% | 17.29% | 18.06% | 20.19% |
| | | R_White | 1.64% | 2.10% | 2.70% | 2.17% | 3.42% | 4.42% | 3.18% | 3.90% | 3.50% | 3.21% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Gillum | 55.29% | 49.21% | 27.89% | 50.87% | 48.69% | 53.07% | 31.75% | 25.13% | 26.44% | 35.23% |
| | | D_Graham | 20.90% | 24.83% | 29.03% | 25.42% | 14.02% | 10.26% | 19.50% | 20.11% | 23.86% | 20.92% |
| | | D_Greene | 6.84% | 9.29% | 15.05% | 6.49% | 11.19% | 10.05% | 9.99% | 8.80% | 11.03% | 7.25% |
| | | D_King | 1.61% | 3.30% | 4.31% | 2.02% | 0.83% | 0.79% | 2.30% | 2.07% | 2.24% | 1.19% |
| | | D_Levine | 13.96% | 12.01% | 20.50% | 14.25% | 24.55% | 25.04% | 33.79% | 41.27% | 33.88% | 34.00% |
| | | D_Lundmark | 0.46% | 0.46% | 1.26% | 0.33% | 0.28% | 0.41% | 1.55% | 1.24% | 0.91% | 0.53% |
| | | D_Wetherbee | 0.75% | 0.66% | 1.94% | 0.43% | 0.36% | 0.34% | 1.14% | 0.90% | 1.03% | 0.57% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R_Moody | 57.69% | 54.39% | 53.73% | 59.85% | 53.72% | 53.09% | 53.99% | 53.68% | 55.28% | 55.08% |
| | | R_White | 42.31% | 45.63% | 46.26% | 40.08% | 46.05% | 46.91% | 45.94% | 46.25% | 44.63% | 44.94% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Shaw | 76.41% | 74.93% | 59.28% | 79.93% | 80.84% | 82.65% | 65.92% | 66.00% | 67.90% | 78.69% |
| | | D_Torrens | 23.57% | 25.05% | 40.72% | 20.06% | 19.15% | 17.34% | 34.03% | 34.06% | 32.00% | 21.27% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R_Caldwell | 31.89% | 35.60% | 36.73% | 24.17% | 42.41% | 40.25% | 40.68% | 38.16% | 42.12% | 41.92% |
| | | R_Grimsley | 16.69% | 30.63% | 32.77% | 24.87% | 27.39% | 31.09% | 34.13% | 34.80% | 31.12% | 30.92% |
| | | R_McCalister | 7.90% | 15.19% | 15.92% | 11.19% | 20.92% | 16.53% | 14.62% | 16.26% | 16.68% | 16.94% |
| | | R_Troutman | 43.40% | 18.12% | 14.58% | 39.22% | 8.46% | 11.82% | 10.47% | 10.56% | 9.68% | 9.87% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D_Fried | 62.94% | 54.86% | 54.45% | 62.77% | 65.86% | 58.06% | 52.33% | 57.04% | 54.30% | 59.01% |
| | | D_Porter | 20.56% | 19.16% | 19.74% | 15.88% | 14.48% | 18.37% | 20.34% | 15.81% | 18.84% | 16.92% |
| | | D_Walker | 16.49% | 25.85% | 25.81% | 21.25% | 19.62% | 23.58% | 27.22% | 27.07% | 26.59% | 23.94% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_De La Fuente | 10.22% | 11.15% | 9.66% | 13.76% | 16.27% | 17.12% | 10.62% | 11.78% | 12.39% | 13.40% |
| | | R_Scott | 89.70% | 88.76% | 90.32% | 86.08% | 83.46% | 82.74% | 89.36% | 88.10% | 87.48% | 86.52% |
| 2016 | US Senate (REP) | R_Beruff | 23.95% | 18.63% | 17.45% | 25.24% | 15.16% | 7.94% | 4.18% | 4.70% | 6.66% | 6.71% |
| | | R_Rivera | 3.38% | 2.65% | 3.23% | 3.78% | 4.57% | 3.50% | 2.03% | 1.90% | 2.97% | 2.17% |
| | | R_Rubio | 67.37% | 72.89% | 70.85% | 63.84% | 69.66% | 80.31% | 91.84% | 90.91% | 84.98% | 86.01% |
| | | R_Young | 5.16% | 5.40% | 8.43% | 6.56% | 9.80% | 7.95% | 1.91% | 2.38% | 5.15% | 4.95% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_De La Fuente | 3.35% | 4.03% | 16.91% | 4.73% | 3.47% | 5.54% | 25.07% | 21.34% | 13.15% | 7.50% |
| | | D_Grayson | 11.29% | 42.81% | 46.55% | 10.35% | 10.12% | 10.36% | 10.23% | 11.28% | 11.16% | 11.16% |
| | | D_Keith | 15.35% | 12.28% | 9.25% | 17.27% | 15.68% | 13.94% | 12.62% | 14.38% | 15.44% | 18.85% |
| | | D_Luster | 18.18% | 2.58% | 1.30% | 2.16% | 1.99% | 3.03% | 2.11% | 1.66% | 1.43% | 1.70% |
| | | D_Murphy | 51.69% | 37.95% | 25.94% | 65.17% | 68.50% | 67.09% | 49.86% | 50.87% | 58.19% | 60.38% |
| 2014 | Governor (REP) | R_Adeshina | 1.00% | 1.84% | 1.74% | 2.09% | 2.57% | 3.22% | 1.58% | 1.54% | 1.74% | 2.24% |
| | | R_Cuevas-Neunder | 7.09% | 9.97% | 12.08% | 13.87% | 13.95% | 16.55% | 12.29% | 12.98% | 14.82% | 14.43% |
| | | R_Scott | 91.80% | 88.01% | 86.16% | 83.46% | 82.92% | 79.92% | 86.06% | 85.29% | 83.18% | 83.15% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 70.52% | 81.35% | 76.66% | 84.26% | 79.65% | 85.27% | 77.66% | 73.61% | 77.27% | 76.16% |
| | | D_Rich | 29.39% | 18.55% | 23.28% | 15.69% | 20.23% | 14.71% | 22.29% | 26.09% | 22.26% | 23.65% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Sheldon | 58.75% | 48.17% | 61.64% | 61.05% | 35.93% | 44.95% | 60.08% | 69.39% | 65.51% | 59.92% |
| | | D_Thurston | 41.21% | 51.72% | 38.35% | 38.89% | 64.03% | 55.04% | 39.84% | 30.28% | 33.96% | 39.96% |
| 2012 | US Senate (REP) | R_Mack | 63.22% | 57.14% | 45.39% | 53.08% | 69.28% | 71.50% | 76.64% | 77.14% | 73.57% | 75.85% |
| | | R_McCalister | 16.46% | 11.21% | 11.68% | 12.58% | 10.08% | 6.74% | 4.23% | 4.56% | 7.49% | 6.35% |
| | | R_Stuart | 5.62% | 5.10% | 6.92% | 8.36% | 6.37% | 13.92% | 16.03% | 14.95% | 12.83% | 11.12% |
| | | R_Weldon | 14.42% | 25.97% | 35.94% | 24.89% | 13.24% | 7.67% | 3.01% | 3.14% | 5.81% | 6.21% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 20.08% | 13.15% | 20.62% | 14.39% | 13.66% | 14.59% | 16.90% | 16.19% | 16.72% | 15.85% |
| | | D_Nelson | 79.91% | 86.65% | 79.37% | 85.42% | 86.20% | 85.40% | 82.99% | 83.69% | 83.05% | 84.02% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns



| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8014 | | BVAP | 41.62% | 37.00% | 12.77% | 33.35% | 46.24% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| General Elections | | HVAP | 10.43% | 25.05% | 52.56% | 21.81% | 23.05% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.46% | 65.54% | 58.69% | 68.04% | 75.18% | 77.62% | 40.03% | 49.67% | 44.58% | 53.23% |
| | | R_Trump | 38.21% | 33.51% | 40.36% | 30.68% | 24.27% | 21.83% | 59.50% | 49.76% | 54.83% | 46.22% |
| 2018 | Governor | D_Gillum | 61.82% | 66.93% | 62.28% | 71.62% | 78.63% | 84.85% | 47.04% | 54.28% | 49.83% | 57.99% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 37.24% | 32.06% | 36.33% | 27.21% | 20.77% | 14.48% | 51.60% | 44.40% | 49.00% | 41.08% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 58.02% | 63.34% | 59.18% | 66.79% | 77.48% | 83.23% | 45.60% | 52.90% | 48.24% | 56.79% |
| | | R_Moody | 40.34% | 35.11% | 38.89% | 31.46% | 21.20% | 15.31% | 52.10% | 44.89% | 49.55% | 41.45% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Ring | 59.38% | 65.36% | 61.67% | 70.19% | 78.54% | 84.86% | 46.65% | 53.72% | 49.27% | 57.43% |
| | | R_Patronis | 40.62% | 34.64% | 38.32% | 29.83% | 21.46% | 15.13% | 53.34% | 46.28% | 50.73% | 42.58% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 60.12% | 66.76% | 62.88% | 72.53% | 79.29% | 84.97% | 48.08% | 55.57% | 50.95% | 59.29% |
| | | R_Caldwell | 39.89% | 33.25% | 37.11% | 27.47% | 20.70% | 15.02% | 51.92% | 44.45% | 49.04% | 40.71% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 60.68% | 66.51% | 60.89% | 71.34% | 79.21% | 84.45% | 47.50% | 54.97% | 51.15% | 59.19% |
| | | R_Scott | 39.33% | 33.48% | 39.11% | 28.65% | 20.78% | 15.55% | 52.50% | 45.02% | 48.85% | 40.82% |
| 2016 | President | D_Clinton | 57.58% | 64.79% | 63.18% | 68.31% | 76.42% | 84.16% | 54.93% | 59.83% | 53.71% | 60.94% |
| | | R_Trump | 39.24% | 32.02% | 33.59% | 27.96% | 21.72% | 14.26% | 42.82% | 37.78% | 43.49% | 36.50% |
| | US Senate | D_Murphy | 49.36% | 59.77% | 56.29% | 64.62% | 74.66% | 79.14% | 43.27% | 49.02% | 45.19% | 52.71% |
| | | R_Rubio | 47.15% | 36.36% | 39.63% | 30.95% | 23.40% | 18.76% | 54.79% | 48.79% | 52.44% | 45.14% |
| 2014 | Governor | D_Crist | 51.10% | 59.98% | 53.72% | 68.33% | 78.39% | 85.24% | 43.08% | 47.42% | 48.96% | 57.29% |
| | | R_Scott | 44.72% | 35.54% | 41.21% | 26.61% | 19.44% | 13.25% | 54.59% | 50.21% | 48.01% | 40.23% |
| | Attorney General | D_Sheldon | 48.83% | 56.80% | 50.04% | 62.36% | 75.11% | 82.87% | 38.58% | 43.72% | 43.25% | 53.33% |
| | | R_Bondi | 48.41% | 40.48% | 47.18% | 34.59% | 23.33% | 15.77% | 59.22% | 54.02% | 54.25% | 44.76% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 49.72% | 55.55% | 50.56% | 60.86% | 73.99% | 82.49% | 40.08% | 43.07% | 43.08% | 50.25% |
| | | R_Atwater | 50.28% | 44.45% | 49.44% | 39.14% | 25.99% | 17.50% | 59.91% | 56.94% | 56.91% | 49.75% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 52.86% | 55.49% | 48.97% | 60.21% | 75.10% | 83.09% | 39.49% | 43.26% | 43.38% | 51.30% |
| | | R_Putnam | 47.14% | 44.51% | 51.03% | 39.78% | 24.90% | 16.91% | 60.49% | 56.71% | 56.61% | 48.70% |
| 2012 | President | D_Obama | 58.61% | 65.05% | 63.43% | 72.61% | 78.14% | 86.59% | 51.14% | 54.55% | 51.70% | 57.68% |
| | | R_Romney | 40.60% | 34.23% | 35.78% | 26.38% | 21.40% | 13.12% | 48.45% | 44.95% | 47.69% | 41.82% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 68.98% | 67.79% | 75.50% | 79.71% | 86.51% | 52.71% | 55.97% | 53.42% | 59.92% |
| | | R_Mack | 35.08% | 29.20% | 29.62% | 22.24% | 19.04% | 12.50% | 45.45% | 42.36% | 44.93% | 38.84% |


South Florida
Jacksonville Area
Tampa Bay Area
Orlando Area
Florida
State Senate Districts
S000S8016
* * * * *
Produced by
Florida Senate
Committee on Reapportionment
* * * * *
November 10, 2021
Legend
County boundary
Interstate
US Highway
Major road
Sea, lake, or river
District boundary & number
Scale bar for statewide map
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles
Scale bar for insets
0 5 10 20 Miles
Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: https://www.floridaredistricting.gov

# Census and Boundary Statistics

## Plan S000S8016

| Dist. | Deviation | | Voting Age Population: | | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Counties: | | Cities: | | Political and Geographic Boundaries: | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 10,549 | 1.96% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 1,785.1 | 197.2 | 0.81 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 51 | 48 | 355 | 121 | 15% | 59% | 23% | 38% | 2% | 5% |
| 1 | 473 | 0.09% | 15.63% | 5.70% | 2,690 | 292 | 0.81 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1% | 83% | 10% | 56% | 0% | 0% |
| 2 | 649 | 0.12% | 11.28% | 7.12% | 6,415 | 418 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 6 | 1 | 40 | 2 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 61% | 0% | 0% |
| 3 | 2,687 | 0.50% | 27.43% | 7.06% | 10,909 | 574 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2% | 100% | 0% | 58% | 0% | 0% |
| 4 | 4,053 | 0.75% | 13.54% | 9.18% | 1,397 | 270 | 0.74 | 0.24 | 0.52 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 28% | 77% | 21% | 45% | 0% | 0% |
| 5 | -990 | -0.18% | 15.84% | 9.47% | 2,970 | 294 | 0.84 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14% | 83% | 17% | 11% | 0% | 0% |
| 6 | 4,956 | 0.92% | 41.62% | 10.43% | 248 | 69 | 0.87 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14% | 14% | 80% | 10% | 0% | 0% |
| 7 | 3,122 | 0.58% | 7.81% | 7.85% | 2,533 | 280 | 0.79 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 14% | 86% | 13% | 54% | 0% | 0% |
| 8 | -113 | -0.02% | 12.66% | 11.75% | 4,192 | 365 | 0.84 | 0.39 | 0.48 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 5% | 87% | 13% | 49% | 0% | 0% |
| 9 | -3,020 | -0.56% | 12.53% | 20.15% | 366 | 109 | 0.81 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 20% | 83% | 7% | 57% | 0% | 2% |
| 10 | 84 | 0.02% | 4.88% | 8.19% | 2,403 | 278 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9% | 88% | 10% | 48% | 0% | 1% |
| 11 | -5,194 | -0.96% | 37.27% | 25.03% | 288 | 88 | 0.82 | 0.46 | 0.45 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 14% | 53% | 27% | 23% | 6% | 9% |
| 12 | -875 | -0.16% | 10.11% | 16.04% | 1,291 | 225 | 0.72 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 11% | 89% | 10% | 33% | 0% | 3% |
| 13 | -4,673 | -0.87% | 11.79% | 38.03% | 489 | 112 | 0.95 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11% | 74% | 7% | 27% | 6% | 7% |
| 14 | 2,235 | 0.42% | 11.51% | 13.23% | 1,476 | 222 | 0.84 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8% | 65% | 24% | 66% | 4% | 2% |
| 15 | -5,137 | -0.95% | 12.77% | 52.56% | 1,578 | 233 | 0.84 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5% | 89% | 9% | 29% | 1% | 2% |
| 16 | -3,250 | -0.60% | 5.22% | 10.61% | 655 | 114 | 0.89 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 12% | 45% | 27% | 54% | 0% | 1% |
| 17 | 1,557 | 0.29% | 10.06% | 10.14% | 1,199 | 188 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1% | 79% | 9% | 54% | 5% | 2% |
| 18 | -2,463 | -0.46% | 9.42% | 32.62% | 281 | 89 | 0.78 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44% | 48% | 17% | 41% | 1% | 9% |
| 19 | -4,095 | -0.76% | 33.35% | 21.81% | 351 | 112 | 0.69 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 16% | 6% | 38% | 31% | 1% | 23% |
| 20 | -2,632 | -0.49% | 11.86% | 21.42% | 887 | 143 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4% | 59% | 37% | 3% | 6% | 8% |
| 21 | -3,053 | -0.57% | 11.01% | 17.21% | 1,232 | 189 | 0.71 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1% | 53% | 27% | 34% | 5% | 10% |
| 22 | -2,241 | -0.42% | 16.13% | 24.30% | 993 | 205 | 0.78 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3% | 71% | 25% | 25% | 3% | 2% |
| 23 | -5,000 | -0.93% | 4.33% | 9.59% | 1,143 | 147 | 0.92 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 19% | 73% | 23% | 46% | 0% | 2% |
| 24 | 5,355 | 0.99% | 6.32% | 9.70% | 453 | 104 | 0.86 | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 20% | 52% | 16% | 71% | 0% | 10% |
| 25 | -2,708 | -0.50% | 13.34% | 15.46% | 4,203 | 307 | 0.83 | 0.56 | 0.49 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 2% | 92% | 2% | 31% | 3% | 2% |
| 26 | -3,633 | -0.67% | 9.05% | 16.04% | 3,433 | 362 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 9% | 74% | 18% | 21% | 2% | 4% |
| 27 | 403 | 0.07% | 6.26% | 17.18% | 1,092 | 142 | 0.94 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5% | 71% | 29% | 60% | 0% | 0% |
| 28 | -960 | -0.18% | 7.68% | 26.59% | 4,027 | 326 | 0.83 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2% | 89% | 6% | 43% | 0% | 3% |
| 29 | 4,045 | 0.75% | 11.45% | 16.06% | 2,704 | 247 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 10% | 61% | 14% | 32% | 3% | 14% |
| 30 | 3,800 | 0.71% | 24.77% | 29.58% | 263 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 37% | 20% | 16% | 35% | 0% | 28% |
| 31 | 1,369 | 0.25% | 15.66% | 16.52% | 224 | 75 | 0.86 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 32% | 29% | 42% | 40% | 0% | 3% |
| 32 | 2,468 | 0.46% | 19.30% | 42.69% | 961 | 138 | 0.94 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 18% | 74% | 16% | 0% | 0% | 4% |
| 33 | 2,960 | 0.55% | 50.41% | 21.85% | 89 | 49 | 0.80 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 36% | 0% | 66% | 0% | 0% | 8% |
| 34 | -4,034 | -0.75% | 15.32% | 21.44% | 146 | 71 | 0.75 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 37% | 19% | 38% | 30% | 0% | 14% |
| 35 | -561 | -0.10% | 49.38% | 38.12% | 144 | 69 | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 29% | 37% | 34% | 50% | 1% | 1% |
| 36 | -4,077 | -0.76% | 5.63% | 89.86% | 163 | 61 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 41% | 17% | 66% | 0% | 1% | 4% |
| 37 | 5,320 | 0.99% | 6.26% | 78.58% | 67 | 45 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 22% | 0% | 56% | 22% | 2% | 12% |
| 38 | 3,864 | 0.72% | 18.39% | 34.41% | 154 | 70 | 0.84 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 22% | 35% | 34% | 45% | 1% | 6% |
| 39 | 4,077 | 0.76% | 8.23% | 71.50% | 6,953 | 637 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1% | 88% | 5% | 80% | 2% | 0% |
| 40 | 5,219 | 0.97% | 13.01% | 66.39% | 343 | 92 | 0.85 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 12% | 17% | 18% | 52% | 15% | 6% |

***Overall numbers of county and city splits:***

| District lines and City and County Boundaries | In Plan S000S8016 |
|---|---|
| Number of Counties | 67 |
| Counties with only one district | 51 |
| Districts with only one county | 16 |
| Counties split into more than one district | 16 |
| Counties with all population in a single district | 51 |
| Aggregate number of county splits | 48 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 48 |
| Number of Cities | 412 |
| Cities with only one district | 355 |
| Cities split into more than one district | 57 |
| Cities with all population in only one district | 364 |
| Aggregate number of city splits | 121 |
| Aggregate number of splits with population | 112 |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8016

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Alachua | 5 | 176,813 | 63.5% | 385.5 | 39.8% |
| Alachua | 8 | 101,655 | 36.5% | 583.4 | 60.2% |
| Brevard | 14 | 66,600 | 11.0% | 357.8 | 23.0% |
| Brevard | 17 | 540,012 | 89.0% | 1,199.3 | 77.0% |
| Broward | 32 | 540,923 | 27.8% | 961.1 | 73.5% |
| Broward | 33 | 541,415 | 27.9% | 89.1 | 6.8% |
| Broward | 34 | 402,106 | 20.7% | 113.4 | 8.7% |
| Broward | 38 | 459,931 | 23.7% | 144.8 | 11.1% |
| Duval | 4 | 452,156 | 45.4% | 670.8 | 73.0% |
| Duval | 6 | 543,411 | 54.6% | 247.7 | 27.0% |
| Hillsborough | 18 | 535,992 | 36.7% | 281.1 | 21.1% |
| Hillsborough | 19 | 422,943 | 29.0% | 281.3 | 21.1% |
| Hillsborough | 20 | 265,686 | 18.2% | 334.2 | 25.1% |
| Hillsborough | 21 | 235,141 | 16.1% | 435.4 | 32.7% |
| Lee | 26 | 99,840 | 13.1% | 191.2 | 12.6% |
| Lee | 27 | 538,858 | 70.8% | 1,091.7 | 72.1% |
| Lee | 28 | 122,124 | 16.1% | 232.0 | 15.3% |
| Manatee | 21 | 300,261 | 75.1% | 796.9 | 82.7% |
| Manatee | 23 | 99,449 | 24.9% | 167.1 | 17.3% |
| Miami-Dade | 35 | 537,894 | 19.9% | 144.2 | 6.0% |
| Miami-Dade | 36 | 534,378 | 19.8% | 162.9 | 6.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 37 | 543,775 | 20.1% | 66.9 | 2.8% |
| Miami-Dade | 38 | 82,388 | 3.1% | 9.3 | 0.4% |
| Miami-Dade | 39 | 459,658 | 17.0% | 1,663.5 | 69.6% |
| Miami-Dade | 40 | 543,674 | 20.1% | 342.6 | 14.3% |
| Okaloosa | 1 | 29,023 | 13.7% | 341.9 | 27.4% |
| Okaloosa | 2 | 182,645 | 86.3% | 904.8 | 72.6% |
| Orange | 9 | 64,579 | 4.5% | 20.9 | 2.1% |
| Orange | 11 | 533,261 | 37.3% | 287.9 | 28.7% |
| Orange | 12 | 153,624 | 10.7% | 133.8 | 13.3% |
| Orange | 13 | 533,782 | 37.3% | 488.7 | 48.7% |
| Orange | 15 | 144,662 | 10.1% | 72.2 | 7.2% |
| Palm Beach | 29 | 277,797 | 18.6% | 1,863.8 | 78.2% |
| Palm Beach | 30 | 542,255 | 36.3% | 263.0 | 11.0% |
| Palm Beach | 31 | 539,824 | 36.2% | 223.6 | 9.4% |
| Palm Beach | 34 | 132,315 | 8.9% | 32.8 | 1.4% |
| Pasco | 10 | 60,429 | 10.8% | 146.7 | 14.5% |
| Pasco | 16 | 231,325 | 41.2% | 315.5 | 31.1% |
| Pasco | 20 | 270,137 | 48.1% | 552.6 | 54.5% |
| Pinellas | 16 | 303,880 | 31.7% | 339.9 | 39.4% |
| Pinellas | 19 | 111,417 | 11.6% | 69.4 | 8.0% |
| Pinellas | 24 | 543,810 | 56.7% | 453.3 | 52.6% |
| Polk | 22 | 536,214 | 74.0% | 993.4 | 49.4% |
| Polk | 26 | 188,832 | 26.0% | 1,017.0 | 50.6% |
| St. Lucie | 25 | 222,954 | 67.7% | 600.5 | 87.3% |
| St. Lucie | 29 | 106,272 | 32.3% | 87.3 | 12.7% |
| Volusia | 7 | 79,453 | 14.4% | 313.8 | 21.9% |
| Volusia | 14 | 474,090 | 85.7% | 1,118.7 | 78.1% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

| Counties included in more than one district | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |

# Split Counties and Cities

## Plan S000S8016

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Alachua | 5 | 9,387 | 88.8% | 27.5 | 75.2% |
| Alachua | 8 | 1,187 | 11.2% | 9.1 | 24.8% |
| Belle Isle | 13 | 7,029 | 100.0% | 5.0 | 96.0% |
| Belle Isle | 15 | 3 | 0.0% | 0.2 | 4.0% |
| Boca Raton | 31 | 50,013 | 51.3% | 19.2 | 60.8% |
| Boca Raton | 34 | 47,409 | 48.7% | 12.4 | 39.2% |
| Bonita Springs | 27 | 43,114 | 80.4% | 32.4 | 69.7% |
| Bonita Springs | 28 | 10,530 | 19.6% | 14.1 | 30.3% |
| Clearwater | 16 | 83,819 | 71.5% | 29.4 | 82.0% |
| Clearwater | 24 | 33,473 | 28.5% | 6.4 | 18.0% |
| Coconut Creek | 33 | 25,596 | 44.3% | 4.8 | 39.8% |
| Coconut Creek | 34 | 32,237 | 55.7% | 7.2 | 60.2% |
| Coral Gables | 37 | 25,018 | 50.8% | 3.9 | 10.3% |
| Coral Gables | 40 | 24,230 | 49.2% | 33.5 | 89.7% |
| Crestview | 1 | 10,214 | 37.6% | 8.7 | 51.9% |
| Crestview | 2 | 16,920 | 62.4% | 8.1 | 48.1% |
| Davie | 32 | 78,079 | 73.9% | 28.3 | 79.2% |
| Davie | 38 | 27,612 | 26.1% | 7.4 | 20.8% |
| Daytona Beach | 7 | 7,058 | 9.7% | 2.4 | 3.6% |
| Daytona Beach | 14 | 65,589 | 90.3% | 65.8 | 96.4% |
| Eagle Lake | 22 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Eagle Lake | 26 | 3,008 | 100.0% | 3.7 | 99.8% |
| Edgewood | 11 | 1,482 | 55.2% | 0.8 | 55.9% |
| Edgewood | 13 | 1,203 | 44.8% | 0.7 | 44.1% |
| Estero | 27 | 27,095 | 73.4% | 18.5 | 72.8% |
| Estero | 28 | 9,844 | 26.7% | 6.9 | 27.2% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 33 | 65,524 | 35.9% | 13.5 | 37.2% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 34 | 17,711 | 9.7% | 2.9 | 7.9% |
| Fort Lauderdale | 38 | 99,525 | 54.5% | 19.9 | 54.9% |
| Fort Myers | 26 | 4,870 | 5.6% | 5.9 | 11.9% |
| Fort Myers | 27 | 68,996 | 79.9% | 35.4 | 72.1% |
| Fort Myers | 28 | 12,529 | 14.5% | 7.8 | 16.0% |
| Gainesville | 5 | 135,843 | 96.3% | 58.1 | 90.4% |
| Gainesville | 8 | 5,242 | 3.7% | 6.2 | 9.6% |
| Greenacres | 30 | 5,661 | 12.9% | 0.7 | 11.2% |
| Greenacres | 31 | 38,329 | 87.1% | 5.3 | 88.8% |
| Gulfport | 19 | 5,617 | 47.7% | 1.7 | 44.4% |
| Gulfport | 24 | 6,166 | 52.3% | 2.2 | 55.6% |
| High Springs | 5 | 2,855 | 45.9% | 8.1 | 37.3% |
| High Springs | 8 | 3,360 | 54.1% | 13.7 | 62.8% |
| Holly Hill | 7 | 12,929 | 99.8% | 4.4 | 96.9% |
| Holly Hill | 14 | 29 | 0.2% | 0.1 | 3.1% |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 406,200 | 42.8% | 626.8 | 71.7% |
| Jacksonville | 6 | 543,411 | 57.2% | 247.7 | 28.3% |
| Lake Wales | 22 | 12,877 | 78.7% | 14.8 | 73.7% |
| Lake Wales | 26 | 3,484 | 21.3% | 5.3 | 26.3% |
| Lakeland | 22 | 100,638 | 89.3% | 64.0 | 85.2% |
| Lakeland | 26 | 12,003 | 10.7% | 11.1 | 14.8% |
| Lauderdale-by-the-Sea | 34 | 4,942 | 79.7% | 1.0 | 60.4% |
| Lauderdale-by-the-Sea | 38 | 1,256 | 20.3% | 0.6 | 39.6% |
| Laurel Hill | 1 | 249 | 42.6% | 2.1 | 49.5% |
| Laurel Hill | 2 | 335 | 57.4% | 2.2 | 50.5% |
| Longboat Key | 21 | 2,746 | 36.6% | 8.3 | 51.7% |
| Longboat Key | 23 | 4,759 | 63.4% | 7.7 | 48.3% |
| Maitland | 9 | 19,543 | 100.0% | 6.5 | 100.0% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Maitland | 11 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Miami | 35 | 67,844 | 15.3% | 11.3 | 20.1% |
| Miami | 37 | 344,116 | 77.8% | 32.6 | 58.0% |
| Miami | 40 | 30,281 | 6.9% | 12.3 | 21.9% |
| Miami Beach | 35 | 40,306 | 48.6% | 8.2 | 53.8% |
| Miami Beach | 37 | 42,584 | 51.4% | 7.0 | 46.2% |
| Miami Springs | 36 | 13,859 | 100.0% | 3.0 | 99.7% |
| Miami Springs | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.3% |
| Miramar | 32 | 101,471 | 75.3% | 27.1 | 87.3% |
| Miramar | 38 | 33,250 | 24.7% | 4.0 | 12.7% |
| North Miami Beach | 35 | 42,569 | 97.5% | 5.2 | 96.3% |
| North Miami Beach | 38 | 1,107 | 2.5% | 0.2 | 3.7% |
| Oakland | 11 | 1,695 | 48.2% | 1.5 | 65.2% |
| Oakland | 12 | 1,821 | 51.8% | 0.8 | 34.8% |
| Oakland Park | 33 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3.5 | 43.0% |
| Oakland Park | 34 | 15,456 | 35.0% | 2.3 | 28.3% |
| Oakland Park | 38 | 13,736 | 31.1% | 2.4 | 28.6% |
| Ocoee | 11 | 38,548 | 81.5% | 14.2 | 85.3% |
| Ocoee | 12 | 8,747 | 18.5% | 2.5 | 14.7% |
| Oldsmar | 16 | 14,887 | 99.9% | 10.1 | 100.0% |
| Oldsmar | 24 | 11 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Orlando | 9 | 3,835 | 1.3% | 1.7 | 1.4% |
| Orlando | 11 | 141,201 | 45.9% | 36.7 | 30.9% |
| Orlando | 13 | 159,416 | 51.8% | 75.7 | 63.7% |
| Orlando | 15 | 3,121 | 1.0% | 4.8 | 4.0% |
| Ormond Beach | 7 | 36,557 | 84.9% | 36.2 | 93.2% |
| Ormond Beach | 14 | 6,523 | 15.1% | 2.7 | 6.8% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 29 | 34,869 | 58.9% | 47.7 | 80.5% |
| Palm Beach Gardens | 30 | 24,313 | 41.1% | 11.6 | 19.6% |
| Palm Springs | 30 | 26,890 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 100.0% |
| Palm Springs | 31 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Pembroke Pines | 32 | 154,578 | 90.3% | 31.8 | 91.4% |
| Pembroke Pines | 38 | 16,600 | 9.7% | 3.0 | 8.6% |
| Plantation | 32 | 65,908 | 71.8% | 16.9 | 76.8% |
| Plantation | 33 | 25,842 | 28.2% | 5.1 | 23.2% |
| Pompano Beach | 33 | 49,815 | 44.5% | 13.5 | 54.7% |
| Pompano Beach | 34 | 62,231 | 55.5% | 11.2 | 45.3% |
| Port St. Lucie | 25 | 99,884 | 48.8% | 50.7 | 42.0% |
| Port St. Lucie | 29 | 104,967 | 51.2% | 70.1 | 58.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Royal Palm Beach | 30 | 38,932 | 100.0% | 11.7 | 100.0% |
| St. Petersburg | 19 | 105,800 | 41.0% | 67.7 | 51.5% |
| St. Petersburg | 24 | 152,508 | 59.0% | 63.7 | 48.5% |
| Sunrise | 32 | 30,754 | 31.6% | 9.0 | 49.9% |
| Sunrise | 33 | 66,581 | 68.4% | 9.1 | 50.1% |
| Tampa | 18 | 201,035 | 52.2% | 120.8 | 68.7% |
| Tampa | 19 | 147,959 | 38.4% | 39.9 | 22.7% |
| Tampa | 20 | 35,965 | 9.3% | 15.1 | 8.6% |
| Temple Terrace | 19 | 26,690 | 100.0% | 7.6 | 99.0% |
| Temple Terrace | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 1.0% |
| Titusville | 14 | 48,133 | 98.7% | 27.7 | 80.6% |
| Titusville | 17 | 656 | 1.3% | 6.7 | 19.4% |
| Virginia Gardens | 36 | 2,364 | 100.0% | 0.3 | 98.9% |
| Virginia Gardens | 37 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 1.2% |
| Wellington | 29 | 60,951 | 98.9% | 45.3 | 99.8% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wellington | 30 | 686 | 1.1% | 0.1 | 0.2% |
| West Palm Beach | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.4 | 4.1% |
| West Palm Beach | 30 | 117,415 | 100.0% | 55.6 | 95.9% |
| Westlake | 29 | 0 | 0.0% | 2.2 | 34.0% |
| Westlake | 30 | 906 | 100.0% | 4.3 | 66.0% |
| Winter Garden | 11 | 18,031 | 38.4% | 6.4 | 35.7% |
| Winter Garden | 12 | 28,933 | 61.6% | 11.5 | 64.3% |
| Winter Haven | 22 | 49,169 | 99.9% | 36.8 | 89.6% |
| Winter Haven | 26 | 50 | 0.1% | 4.3 | 10.4% |
| Winter Park | 9 | 29,774 | 99.9% | 10.4 | 99.7% |
| Winter Park | 11 | 21 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.3% |

Cities included in more than one district

| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8016

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | 2020 General Election Registered Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | RV who are: | | | RV who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | |
| | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 6 | 41.62% | 10.43% | 51.25% | 27.56% | 21.20% | 41.17% | 5.42% | 82.43% | 3.25% | 14.31% | 41.41% | 21.55% | 36.91% | 66.22% | 4.38% | 4.85% | 4.24% | 27.80% | 9.44% |
| 11 | 37.27% | 25.03% | 49.80% | 21.88% | 28.31% | 33.47% | 17.20% | 78.42% | 3.10% | 18.43% | 46.87% | 14.08% | 38.98% | 52.70% | 16.19% | 4.74% | 11.07% | 21.78% | 23.68% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 42.71% | 22.11% | 35.18% | 9.20% | 47.32% | 72.17% | 4.02% | 23.79% | 47.05% | 13.47% | 39.49% | 15.54% | 52.12% | 1.67% | 28.83% | 6.22% | 53.12% |
| 19 | 33.35% | 21.81% | 52.39% | 19.61% | 28.00% | 33.16% | 14.51% | 79.64% | 2.88% | 17.47% | 45.81% | 15.26% | 38.84% | 50.40% | 12.68% | 4.86% | 11.28% | 20.69% | 20.13% |
| 33 | 50.41% | 21.85% | 62.59% | 12.82% | 24.58% | 46.25% | 15.25% | 81.54% | 2.46% | 15.99% | 48.01% | 16.14% | 35.80% | 60.25% | 11.69% | 8.89% | 19.19% | 30.09% | 22.20% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 63.86% | 10.86% | 25.28% | 51.35% | 26.39% | 83.01% | 2.43% | 14.56% | 43.01% | 20.78% | 36.20% | 66.75% | 17.78% | 11.48% | 50.48% | 29.58% | 37.80% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 29.80% | 35.38% | 34.82% | 4.76% | 80.25% | 80.99% | 3.67% | 15.32% | 26.74% | 38.11% | 35.15% | 12.92% | 72.01% | 0.49% | 86.43% | 2.09% | 81.00% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 34.88% | 30.84% | 34.28% | 4.51% | 69.03% | 74.46% | 4.23% | 21.14% | 30.99% | 35.40% | 33.61% | 9.62% | 61.34% | 0.62% | 79.24% | 2.78% | 67.69% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 31.79% | 34.84% | 33.37% | 5.85% | 62.89% | 74.86% | 3.99% | 20.99% | 27.85% | 36.89% | 35.26% | 13.77% | 55.11% | 0.67% | 66.59% | 3.68% | 66.45% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 38.82% | 29.94% | 31.23% | 12.33% | 54.54% | 80.56% | 3.05% | 16.36% | 28.87% | 36.81% | 34.32% | 25.58% | 40.56% | 1.25% | 67.05% | 6.46% | 59.93% |

# Functional Analysis - Summary

## Plan S000S8016

| Dist. | 2020 Census | | Average Primary Election Turnout | | | | Average General Election Turnout | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VAP who are: | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | Voters who are: | | | DEM who are: | | REP who are: | | NPAOth who are: | | Black Voters who are: | | | Hisp. Voters who are: | | | Avg. Perf. | | Wins | | Margins | | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 6 | 41.62% | 10.43% | 66.82% | 1.43% | 2.69% | 1.62% | 52.83% | 32.61% | 14.57% | 66.63% | 2.81% | 3.34% | 2.84% | 24.16% | 6.71% | 88.40% | 2.72% | 8.84% | 42.87% | 27.64% | 28.77% | 56.2% | 42.2% | 13 | 1 | D +27.2% | D +0.4% | D +14.5% |
| 11 | 37.27% | 25.03% | 60.05% | 7.34% | 2.80% | 5.06% | 51.62% | 27.18% | 21.19% | 54.93% | 12.41% | 3.25% | 7.60% | 19.25% | 18.29% | 85.02% | 2.63% | 12.29% | 51.67% | 16.66% | 31.32% | 62.8% | 35.6% | 14 | 0 | D +40.1% | D +11.4% | D +27.6% |
| 15 | 12.77% | 52.56% | 18.29% | 37.57% | 1.02% | 14.65% | 45.00% | 27.64% | 27.36% | 16.96% | 46.05% | 1.37% | 20.76% | 5.86% | 43.99% | 79.34% | 3.93% | 16.72% | 53.93% | 14.78% | 31.27% | 58.4% | 39.9% | 13 | 1 | D +38.2% | D +1.1% | D +18.7% |
| 19 | 33.35% | 21.81% | 53.21% | 5.30% | 3.21% | 5.46% | 56.79% | 21.95% | 21.26% | 52.92% | 9.13% | 3.83% | 8.07% | 18.43% | 14.49% | 86.24% | 2.40% | 11.31% | 51.29% | 17.76% | 30.64% | 67.8% | 30.3% | 14 | 0 | D +53.3% | D +20.4% | D +37.9% |
| 33 | 50.41% | 21.85% | 60.44% | 4.94% | 6.58% | 9.88% | 67.42% | 13.58% | 19.00% | 59.42% | 9.17% | 7.21% | 14.82% | 27.77% | 18.71% | 86.43% | 2.12% | 11.40% | 52.35% | 17.21% | 30.26% | 79.4% | 19.8% | 14 | 0 | D +65.4% | D +54.3% | D +59.9% |
| 35 | 49.38% | 38.12% | 74.24% | 9.59% | 9.24% | 50.90% | 70.49% | 10.43% | 19.08% | 69.85% | 14.29% | 9.97% | 48.68% | 29.99% | 35.18% | 87.96% | 1.85% | 10.18% | 45.69% | 23.58% | 30.71% | 83.5% | 15.7% | 14 | 0 | D +74% | D +55.8% | D +67.9% |
| 36 | 5.63% | 89.86% | 23.50% | 58.29% | 0.30% | 88.69% | 31.06% | 40.74% | 28.20% | 16.08% | 67.96% | 0.40% | 86.57% | 2.22% | 81.94% | 86.19% | 2.88% | 10.89% | 26.54% | 44.43% | 29.03% | 45.4% | 53.4% | 3 | 11 | R +21% | D +2.7% | R +7.7% |
| 37 | 6.26% | 78.58% | 10.00% | 55.80% | 0.30% | 86.34% | 34.88% | 36.82% | 28.29% | 9.22% | 60.25% | 0.41% | 81.21% | 2.22% | 69.26% | 80.09% | 3.79% | 15.83% | 29.82% | 42.41% | 27.76% | 51.0% | 47.8% | 9 | 5 | D +22.1% | R +0.1% | D +3.7% |
| 39 | 8.23% | 71.50% | 13.97% | 36.47% | 0.39% | 61.89% | 33.26% | 39.06% | 27.68% | 13.99% | 48.49% | 0.55% | 63.25% | 3.38% | 62.33% | 80.03% | 3.66% | 16.06% | 27.67% | 42.63% | 29.68% | 48.1% | 50.6% | 7 | 7 | R +13.8% | D +0.8% | R +2.1% |
| 40 | 13.01% | 66.39% | 30.07% | 24.28% | 0.83% | 63.61% | 41.51% | 33.34% | 25.15% | 27.43% | 33.28% | 1.03% | 62.79% | 6.34% | 55.25% | 85.41% | 2.57% | 11.93% | 28.15% | 43.29% | 28.56% | 56.0% | 42.9% | 14 | 0 | D +24.4% | D +0.5% | D +13.5% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns

| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8016 | | BVAP | 41.62% | 37.27% | 12.77% | 33.35% | 50.41% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| Primary Elections | | HVAP | 10.43% | 25.03% | 52.56% | 21.81% | 21.85% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2018 | Governor (REP) | R_Baldauf | 0.77% | 0.85% | 0.90% | 0.69% | 1.63% | 2.16% | 2.58% | 2.03% | 1.73% | 1.25% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 57.78% | 50.53% | 51.86% | 44.24% | 68.59% | 64.52% | 67.84% | 66.95% | 68.13% | 67.60% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.27% | 1.57% | 2.03% | 1.36% | 2.56% | 3.70% | 3.93% | 3.83% | 3.15% | 2.69% |
| | | R_Langford | 1.04% | 1.64% | 1.30% | 1.15% | 2.12% | 2.00% | 1.42% | 1.50% | 1.75% | 1.60% |
| | | R_Mercadante | 0.47% | 0.75% | 1.39% | 0.88% | 1.88% | 2.92% | 2.88% | 2.60% | 1.87% | 1.86% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.82% | 0.86% | 1.04% | 0.92% | 1.63% | 2.45% | 1.37% | 1.61% | 1.43% | 1.33% |
| | | R_Putnam | 35.93% | 41.29% | 38.76% | 48.03% | 17.28% | 17.55% | 16.81% | 17.29% | 18.06% | 20.19% |
| | | R_White | 1.64% | 2.10% | 2.70% | 2.17% | 3.36% | 4.42% | 3.18% | 3.90% | 3.50% | 3.21% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Gillum | 55.29% | 49.35% | 27.89% | 50.87% | 51.01% | 53.07% | 31.75% | 25.13% | 26.44% | 35.23% |
| | | D_Graham | 20.90% | 24.72% | 29.03% | 25.42% | 12.23% | 10.26% | 19.50% | 20.11% | 23.86% | 20.92% |
| | | D_Greene | 6.84% | 9.27% | 15.05% | 6.49% | 11.07% | 10.05% | 9.99% | 8.80% | 11.03% | 7.25% |
| | | D_King | 1.61% | 3.29% | 4.31% | 2.02% | 0.85% | 0.79% | 2.30% | 2.07% | 2.24% | 1.19% |
| | | D_Levine | 13.96% | 11.98% | 20.50% | 14.25% | 24.08% | 25.04% | 33.79% | 41.27% | 33.88% | 34.00% |
| | | D_Lundmark | 0.46% | 0.45% | 1.26% | 0.33% | 0.29% | 0.41% | 1.55% | 1.24% | 0.91% | 0.53% |
| | | D_Wetherbee | 0.75% | 0.65% | 1.94% | 0.43% | 0.36% | 0.34% | 1.14% | 0.90% | 1.03% | 0.57% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R_Moody | 57.69% | 54.43% | 53.73% | 59.85% | 52.60% | 53.09% | 53.99% | 53.68% | 55.28% | 55.08% |
| | | R_White | 42.31% | 45.59% | 46.26% | 40.08% | 46.95% | 46.91% | 45.94% | 46.25% | 44.63% | 44.94% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Shaw | 76.41% | 74.97% | 59.28% | 79.93% | 81.08% | 82.65% | 65.92% | 66.00% | 67.90% | 78.69% |
| | | D_Torrens | 23.57% | 25.03% | 40.72% | 20.06% | 18.88% | 17.34% | 34.03% | 34.06% | 32.00% | 21.27% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R_Caldwell | 31.89% | 35.60% | 36.73% | 24.17% | 42.65% | 40.25% | 40.68% | 38.16% | 42.12% | 41.92% |
| | | R_Grimsley | 16.69% | 30.64% | 32.77% | 24.87% | 26.09% | 31.09% | 34.13% | 34.80% | 31.12% | 30.92% |
| | | R_McCalister | 7.90% | 15.17% | 15.92% | 11.19% | 21.48% | 16.53% | 14.62% | 16.26% | 16.68% | 16.94% |
| | | R_Troutman | 43.40% | 18.13% | 14.58% | 39.22% | 8.88% | 11.82% | 10.47% | 10.56% | 9.68% | 9.87% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D_Fried | 62.94% | 54.85% | 54.45% | 62.77% | 65.87% | 58.06% | 52.33% | 57.04% | 54.30% | 59.01% |
| | | D_Porter | 20.56% | 19.21% | 19.74% | 15.88% | 14.82% | 18.37% | 20.34% | 15.81% | 18.84% | 16.92% |
| | | D_Walker | 16.49% | 25.82% | 25.81% | 21.25% | 19.27% | 23.58% | 27.22% | 27.07% | 26.59% | 23.94% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_De La Fuente | 10.22% | 11.16% | 9.66% | 13.76% | 15.70% | 17.12% | 10.62% | 11.78% | 12.39% | 13.40% |
| | | R_Scott | 89.70% | 88.75% | 90.32% | 86.08% | 83.92% | 82.74% | 89.36% | 88.10% | 87.48% | 86.52% |
| 2016 | US Senate (REP) | R_Beruff | 23.95% | 18.65% | 17.45% | 25.24% | 15.64% | 7.94% | 4.18% | 4.70% | 6.66% | 6.71% |
| | | R_Rivera | 3.38% | 2.64% | 3.23% | 3.78% | 4.66% | 3.50% | 2.03% | 1.90% | 2.97% | 2.17% |
| | | R_Rubio | 67.37% | 72.87% | 70.85% | 63.84% | 68.79% | 80.31% | 91.84% | 90.91% | 84.98% | 86.01% |
| | | R_Young | 5.16% | 5.40% | 8.43% | 6.56% | 10.29% | 7.95% | 1.91% | 2.38% | 5.15% | 4.95% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_De La Fuente | 3.35% | 4.00% | 16.91% | 4.73% | 3.42% | 5.54% | 25.07% | 21.34% | 13.15% | 7.50% |
| | | D_Grayson | 11.29% | 42.82% | 46.55% | 10.35% | 9.81% | 10.36% | 10.23% | 11.28% | 11.16% | 11.16% |
| | | D_Keith | 15.35% | 12.25% | 9.25% | 17.27% | 15.99% | 13.94% | 12.62% | 14.38% | 15.44% | 18.85% |
| | | D_Luster | 18.18% | 2.57% | 1.30% | 2.16% | 2.17% | 3.03% | 2.11% | 1.66% | 1.43% | 1.70% |
| | | D_Murphy | 51.69% | 37.94% | 25.94% | 65.17% | 68.34% | 67.09% | 49.86% | 50.87% | 58.19% | 60.38% |
| 2014 | Governor (REP) | R_Adeshina | 1.00% | 1.84% | 1.74% | 2.09% | 2.81% | 3.22% | 1.58% | 1.54% | 1.74% | 2.24% |
| | | R_Cuevas-Neunder | 7.09% | 9.95% | 12.08% | 13.87% | 13.99% | 16.55% | 12.29% | 12.98% | 14.82% | 14.43% |
| | | R_Scott | 91.80% | 88.01% | 86.16% | 83.46% | 82.28% | 79.92% | 86.06% | 85.29% | 83.18% | 83.15% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 70.52% | 81.48% | 76.66% | 84.26% | 81.20% | 85.27% | 77.66% | 73.61% | 77.27% | 76.16% |
| | | D_Rich | 29.39% | 18.40% | 23.28% | 15.69% | 18.71% | 14.71% | 22.29% | 26.09% | 22.26% | 23.65% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Sheldon | 58.75% | 48.11% | 61.64% | 61.05% | 34.75% | 44.95% | 60.08% | 69.39% | 65.51% | 59.92% |
| | | D_Thurston | 41.21% | 51.79% | 38.35% | 38.89% | 65.16% | 55.04% | 39.84% | 30.28% | 33.96% | 39.96% |
| 2012 | US Senate (REP) | R_Mack | 63.22% | 57.11% | 45.39% | 53.08% | 67.02% | 71.50% | 76.64% | 77.14% | 73.57% | 75.85% |
| | | R_McCalister | 16.46% | 11.21% | 11.68% | 12.58% | 11.72% | 6.74% | 4.23% | 4.56% | 7.49% | 6.35% |
| | | R_Stuart | 5.62% | 5.10% | 6.92% | 8.36% | 7.61% | 13.92% | 16.03% | 14.95% | 12.83% | 11.12% |
| | | R_Weldon | 14.42% | 26.00% | 35.94% | 24.89% | 12.49% | 7.67% | 3.01% | 3.14% | 5.81% | 6.21% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 20.08% | 13.08% | 20.62% | 14.39% | 13.57% | 14.59% | 16.90% | 16.19% | 16.72% | 15.85% |
| | | D_Nelson | 79.91% | 86.69% | 79.37% | 85.42% | 86.25% | 85.40% | 82.99% | 83.69% | 83.05% | 84.02% |

# Functional Analysis - Returns



| | | | 6 | 11 | 15 | 19 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 39 | 40 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Plan S000S8016 | | BVAP | 41.62% | 37.27% | 12.77% | 33.35% | 50.41% | 49.38% | 5.63% | 6.26% | 8.23% | 13.01% |
| General Elections | | HVAP | 10.43% | 25.03% | 52.56% | 21.81% | 21.85% | 38.12% | 89.86% | 78.58% | 71.50% | 66.39% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.46% | 65.68% | 58.69% | 68.04% | 77.14% | 77.62% | 40.03% | 49.67% | 44.58% | 53.23% |
| | | R_Trump | 38.21% | 33.38% | 40.36% | 30.68% | 22.32% | 21.83% | 59.50% | 49.76% | 54.83% | 46.22% |
| 2018 | Governor | D_Gillum | 61.82% | 67.09% | 62.28% | 71.62% | 80.93% | 84.85% | 47.04% | 54.28% | 49.83% | 57.99% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 37.24% | 31.90% | 36.33% | 27.21% | 18.45% | 14.48% | 51.60% | 44.40% | 49.00% | 41.08% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 58.02% | 63.50% | 59.18% | 66.79% | 79.82% | 83.23% | 45.60% | 52.90% | 48.24% | 56.79% |
| | | R_Moody | 40.34% | 34.95% | 38.89% | 31.46% | 18.93% | 15.31% | 52.10% | 44.89% | 49.55% | 41.45% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Ring | 59.38% | 65.52% | 61.67% | 70.19% | 80.94% | 84.86% | 46.65% | 53.72% | 49.27% | 57.43% |
| | | R_Patronis | 40.62% | 34.48% | 38.32% | 29.83% | 19.07% | 15.13% | 53.34% | 46.28% | 50.73% | 42.58% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 60.12% | 66.91% | 62.88% | 72.53% | 81.33% | 84.97% | 48.08% | 55.57% | 50.95% | 59.29% |
| | | R_Caldwell | 39.89% | 33.09% | 37.11% | 27.47% | 18.66% | 15.02% | 51.92% | 44.45% | 49.04% | 40.71% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 60.68% | 66.66% | 60.89% | 71.34% | 81.35% | 84.45% | 47.50% | 54.97% | 51.15% | 59.19% |
| | | R_Scott | 39.33% | 33.33% | 39.11% | 28.65% | 18.65% | 15.55% | 52.50% | 45.02% | 48.85% | 40.82% |
| 2016 | President | D_Clinton | 57.58% | 64.94% | 63.18% | 68.31% | 78.64% | 84.16% | 54.93% | 59.83% | 53.71% | 60.94% |
| | | R_Trump | 39.24% | 31.89% | 33.59% | 27.96% | 19.67% | 14.26% | 42.82% | 37.78% | 43.49% | 36.50% |
| | US Senate | D_Murphy | 49.36% | 59.92% | 56.29% | 64.62% | 77.04% | 79.14% | 43.27% | 49.02% | 45.19% | 52.71% |
| | | R_Rubio | 47.15% | 36.21% | 39.63% | 30.95% | 21.08% | 18.76% | 54.79% | 48.79% | 52.44% | 45.14% |
| 2014 | Governor | D_Crist | 51.10% | 60.16% | 53.72% | 68.33% | 80.54% | 85.24% | 43.08% | 47.42% | 48.96% | 57.29% |
| | | R_Scott | 44.72% | 35.37% | 41.21% | 26.61% | 17.40% | 13.25% | 54.59% | 50.21% | 48.01% | 40.23% |
| | Attorney General | D_Sheldon | 48.83% | 56.98% | 50.04% | 62.36% | 77.41% | 82.87% | 38.58% | 43.72% | 43.25% | 53.33% |
| | | R_Bondi | 48.41% | 40.31% | 47.18% | 34.59% | 21.18% | 15.77% | 59.22% | 54.02% | 54.25% | 44.76% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 49.72% | 55.76% | 50.56% | 60.86% | 77.17% | 82.49% | 40.08% | 43.07% | 43.08% | 50.25% |
| | | R_Atwater | 50.28% | 44.24% | 49.44% | 39.14% | 22.82% | 17.50% | 59.91% | 56.94% | 56.91% | 49.75% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 52.86% | 55.70% | 48.97% | 60.21% | 78.11% | 83.09% | 39.49% | 43.26% | 43.38% | 51.30% |
| | | R_Putnam | 47.14% | 44.29% | 51.03% | 39.78% | 21.88% | 16.91% | 60.49% | 56.71% | 56.61% | 48.70% |
| 2012 | President | D_Obama | 58.61% | 65.25% | 63.43% | 72.61% | 80.90% | 86.59% | 51.14% | 54.55% | 51.70% | 57.68% |
| | | R_Romney | 40.60% | 34.04% | 35.78% | 26.38% | 18.68% | 13.12% | 48.45% | 44.95% | 47.69% | 41.82% |
| | US Senate | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 69.14% | 67.79% | 75.50% | 82.08% | 86.51% | 52.71% | 55.97% | 53.42% | 59.92% |
| | | R_Mack | 35.08% | 29.05% | 29.62% | 22.24% | 16.68% | 12.50% | 45.45% | 42.36% | 44.93% | 38.84% |

The Florida Senate

# APPEARANCE RECORD

Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

11/17/2021
Meeting Date

Reapportionment - ~~Congressional~~ Legislative
Committee

Legislative Reapportionment
Bill Number or Topic

Amendment Barcode (if applicable)

Name NICHOLAS WARREN

Phone (850) 509-5450

Address 304 W 8TH AVE
Street

Email NICHOLASLVWARREN@GMAIL.COM

TALLAHASSEE FL 32303
City State Zip

Speaking: ☐ For ☐ Against ☒ Information **OR** Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against

**PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:**

☒ I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.

☐ I am a registered lobbyist, representing:

☐ I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

*While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022JointRules.pdf (flsenate.gov)*

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

# CourtSmart Tag Report

**Room:** KB 412 **Case No.:** - **Type:**
**Caption:** Senate Select Subcommittee on Legislative Reapportionment **Judge:**

**Started: 11/17/2021 10:02:47 AM**
**Ends: 11/17/2021 11:13:38 AM Length: 01:10:52**

| | |
|---|---|
| **10:02:46 AM** | Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll |
| **10:03:47 AM** | Chair Burgess makes opening remarks |
| **10:05:41 AM** | Tab 1: Workshop on State Senate Maps |
| **10:06:19 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director gives presentation on State Senate draft maps |
| **10:37:02 AM** | Sen. Gibson asks a question |
| **10:37:10 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **10:37:48 AM** | Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question |
| **10:38:26 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **10:38:34 AM** | Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question |
| **10:38:43 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **10:38:56 AM** | Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question |
| **10:39:04 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **10:39:25 AM** | Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question |
| **10:39:51 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **10:40:18 AM** | Sen. Bracy asks a question |
| **10:40:30 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **11:02:47 AM** | Tab 2: Public Comment |
| **11:02:58 AM** | Nicholas Warren gives public comment |
| **11:06:45 AM** | Sen. Bracy asks a question |
| **11:06:58 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **11:07:14 AM** | Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question |
| **11:07:27 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **11:07:43 AM** | Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question |
| **11:07:46 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **11:07:59 AM** | Sen. Gibson asks a question |
| **11:09:14 AM** | Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds |
| **11:11:37 AM** | Chair Burgess makes closing remarks |
| **11:12:41 AM** | Sen. Stargel moves to adjourn |
| **11:13:01 AM** | Meeting adjourned |