2022 Regular Session

#### The Florida Senate

**COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA** 

#### SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT Senator Burgess, Chair

MEETING DATE:	Monday, January 10, 2022
TIME:	12:30—3:30 p.m.
PLACE:	Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building

MEMBERS: Senator Burgess, Chair; Senators Bracy, Gibson, Rodriguez, and Stargel

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	Workshop on State Legislative Maps		Presented
2	Public Comment		Discussed
	Other Related Meeting Documents		

# SUBCOMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT

min minimati

WORKSHOP ON LEGISLATIVE MAPS

JANUARY 10<sup>TH</sup>, 2022



- Committee staff has produced another series of redistricting plans.
- Staff followed the instruction from the select subcommittee, and looked for improvements and consistency in the application of the various trade-offs presented in the maps.
- Districts retained the same numbers from the original maps.



- Plans being presented today:
  - Present policy choices for the Select Subcommittee;
  - Show improvements in Tier Two metrics; and
  - Do not retrogress and maintain the ability to for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and elect candidates of their choice.
    - S000S8044 Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.
    - S000S8046 Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.
    - S000S8048 Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.
    - S000S8050 Five African-American districts and five Hispanic districts.



- Consistent application among the various trade-offs results in a narrowing of some of the options previously workshopped.
- Co-equal criteria outlined in the tier-two standards of Article III, Section 21 of the Florida Constitution and the directives issued by the Committee on October 18, 2021 were balanced to be consistent and to apply similar treatment across different areas of the state.
- As with the plans previously workshopped, a functional analysis of the minority districts in each plan confirms that they do not diminish the ability for racial and language minorities to elect candidates of their choice.



- Plans are published and available on <u>www.floridaredistricting.gov</u>, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the <u>Submitted Plans</u> page for independent analysis:
  - <u>S000S8044</u>
  - <u>S000S8046</u>
  - <u>S000S8048</u>
  - <u>S000S8050</u>
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
  - <u>Select Subcommittee on Legislative Reapportionment</u>



### Senate Districts 1 and 2





## Senate Districts 1 and 2

							Plans S	000S8044,	S000S80	46, S <b>OO</b> OS	3048, and	\$000\$805	50						
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries	:
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	176	0.03%	Black	Hisp.	9,105	708	0.80	0.43	0.46	8	2	45	4	1%	86%	9%	59%	0%	0%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.46	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%

									Plan S	00058028									
	Devi	ation	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Citi	ies:		Politi	cal and Ge	ographic Bo	oundaries:	
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,122	0.10%	13.45%	6.41%	9,105	746	0.79	0.40	0.47	8	2	47	0	3%	86%	4%	59%	0%	1%
1	1,314	0.24%	15.28%	5.61%	2,796	310	0.80	0.37	0.44	2	1	6	0	3%	83%	4%	56%	0%	1%
2	-192	-0.04%	11.62%	7.21%	6,309	436	0.77	0.42	0.50	6	1	41	0	3%	88%	3%	61%	0%	1%



### Northeast Florida





### Senate Districts 4 and 6





# Senate Districts 4 and 6

							Plans	S000S8044	4, SOOOS8	046, S000	S8048, an	d S000S8	050						
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	undaries:	:
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	903	0.17%	Black	Hisp.	1,644	339	0.81	0.45	0.56	1	2	7	2	21%	46%	51%	28%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%

								Plai	ns S000S8	8026 and S	5000S8028	3							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	nties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries	:
Dist.	Total	%			(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	199	0.04%	Black	Hisp.	1,644	352	0.79	0.44	0.49	1	2	7	2	44%	70%	24%	29%	0%	3%
4	4,405	0.82%	12.69%	9.67%	1,191	258	0.65	0.22	0.44	1	1	6	1	32%	84%	12%	48%	0%	1%
6	4,604	0.86%	42.66%	9.93%	454	94	0.93	0.65	0.53	0	1	1	1	55%	55%	35%	9%	0%	4%



### Senate Districts 5 and 8





## Senate Districts 5 and 8

								Plai	ns S000S8	8044 and S	0005804	3							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	es:		Politic	cal and Geo	ographic Bo	undaries:	
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	877	0.16%	Black	Hisp.	7,162	659	0.84	0.41	0.51	8	2	36	6	10%	85%	15%	30%	0%	0%
5	-990	-0.18%	15.84%	9.47%	2,970	294	0.84	0.43	0.53	5	1	17	3	14%	83%	17%	11%	0%	0%
8	-113	-0.02%	12.66%	11.75%	4,192	365	0.84	0.39	0.48	3	1	19	3	5%	87%	13%	49%	0%	0%

								Pla	ns S000S8	046 and S	0005805	)							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries:	
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,835	0.34%	Black	Hisp.	7,162	662	0.86	0.41	0.47	8	2	36	6	11%	87%	13%	33%	0%	0%
5	366	0.07%	14.64%	8.79%	3,433	335	0.83	0.38	0.50	6	1	21	3	14%	87%	13%	20%	0%	0%
8	-1,469	-0.27%	13.85%	12.39%	3,728	327	0.89	0.44	0.44	2	1	15	3	7%	87%	13%	45%	0%	0%



# I-4 Corridor





## Tampa Bay





# Senate Districts 10, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 24

				Dist	ricts 10, 16	6, 18, 19, <b>20</b>	, 21, and 2	4 in the Tar	npa Bay Re	egion					
	Devi	ation	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Ge	ographic Bo	undaries	:
Plan	Total	%	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
Revised Drafts	7,655	1.42%	0.79	0.48	0.48	3	11	42	12	16%	50%	27%	40%	0%	7%
S000S8026	9,332	1.73%	0.78	0.46	0.48	3	11	41	14	13%	49%	24%	42%	2%	10%
S000S8028	9,926	1.84%	0.78	0.46	0.48	3	11	41	14	15%	49%	26%	40%	2%	9%
S000S8030	8,856	1.64%	0.79	0.47	0.48	3	11	41	14	13%	50%	26%	42%	0%	8%
S000S8034	9,450	1.76%	0.79	0.47	0.48	3	11	41	14	15%	50%	27%	40%	0%	8%



#### Senate Districts 22 and 26





### Senate Districts 22 and 26

							Plans	S000S804	4, S000S8	046, S000	S8048, an	d S000S8	050						
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	nties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries	:
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,497	0.28%	Black	Hisp.	4,426	566	0.69	0.32	0.36	3	3	18	9	6%	73%	22%	23%	3%	3%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%

								Plai	ns SOOOS8	3028 and S	000S803	0							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries:	
Dist.	Total	% Population: (sq.mi.)				(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	1,547	0.29%	Black	Hisp.	4,426	556	0.73	0.34	0.43	3	3	19	7	7%	73%	15%	23%	0%	10%
22	-3,719	-0.69%	15.67%	24.23%	861	172	0.84	0.37	0.47	0	1	8	3	5%	68%	17%	16%	0%	12%
26	-2,172	-0.40%	9.54%	16.14%	3,565	384	0.61	0.30	0.39	3	2	11	4	8%	77%	12%	30%	0%	8%



### South Florida





#### Senate Districts 29, 30, and 31





# Senate Districts 29, 30, and 31

							Plans	S000S804	4, S000S8	046, S000	S8048, an	d S000S80	050						
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ities:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries	:
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	3,393	0.63%	Black	Hisp.	3,191	421	0.90	0.60	0.53	1	4	40	8	14%	42%	31%	39%	2%	9%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%

								Plans SOO	0S8028, S	00058030	, and S00	0S8034							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries:	
Dist.	Total	%			(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	2,674	0.50%	Black	Hisp.	3,191	402	0.86	0.53	0.52	1	4	38	12	26%	37%	24%	36%	1%	15%
29	4,043	0.75%	11.45%	16.06%	2,704	247	0.88	0.56	0.55	1	2	12	5	10%	61%	14%	32%	4%	13%
30	3,800	0.71%	24.77%	29.58%	263	80	0.85	0.52	0.54	0	1	16	5	37%	20%	16%	35%	0%	28%
31	1,369	0.25%	15.66%	16.52%	224	75	0.86	0.50	0.46	0	1	10	2	32%	29%	42%	40%	0%	3%



### Senate Districts 32, 33, 34, and 38





# Senate Districts 32, 33, 34, and 38

								Plai	ns S000S8	044 and S	00058046	6							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:	Citi	ies:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	oundaries	
Dist.	Total	%	Popul	ation:	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	3,306	0.61%	Black	Hisp.	1,351	321	0.88	0.51	0.49	0	6	25	21	39%	32%	34%	15%	1%	5%
32	3,679	3,679 0.68% 20.78% 45.26%		955	136	0.94	0.65	0.54	0	1	3	5	20%	76%	16%	0%	0%	1%	
33	3,596	0.67%	46.15%	23.13%	88	48	0.88	0.48	0.59	0	1	6	3	59%	0%	41%	0%	3%	3%
34	973	0.18%	21.29%	22.81%	122	50	0.91	0.60	0.53	0	2	4	3	52%	7%	39%	14%	0%	16%
38	4,279	0.79%	15.13%	29.79%	186	87	0.79	0.31	0.29	0	2	12	10	25%	43%	39%	44%	2%	0%

								Pla	ns S000S8	3048 and S	000S805	0							
	Devi	ation	Votin	g Age	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	ities:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and Geo	ographic Bo	undaries:	:
Dist.	Total			(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo	
	1,204 0.22% Black Hisp. 1,35		1,351	330	0.83	0.46	0.51	0	6	24	24	31%	32%	40%	19%	0%	6%		
32	2,468	0.46%	19.30%	42.69%	961	138	0.94	0.64	0.56	0	1	3	5	19%	74%	16%	0%	0%	3%
33	2,960	0.55%	50.41%	21.85%	89	49	0.80	0.46	0.52	0	1	5	6	40%	0%	66%	0%	0%	3%
34	3,427	0.64%	15.33%	21.53%	148	72	0.74	0.36	0.50	0	2	7	5	40%	18%	38%	31%	0%	13%
38	3,672	0.68%	18.24%	34.73%	153	70	0.84	0.39	0.45	0	2	9	8	23%	34%	38%	43%	0%	6%



# Comparison of Workshopped Senate Plans

					State	ewide Me	trics for All	Worksho	oped Sena	ate Plans						
	Deviatio	on	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:		Cities:		Politi	cal and Ge	ographic Bo	oundaries	s:
Plan	Total	%	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Whole (by Pop)	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
S000S8010	10,457 1	1.94%	197.3	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	350	360	14%	60%	20%	39%	3%	6%
S000S8012	10,549 1	1.96%	198.5	0.81	0.45	0.46	51	48	359	367	16%	60%	21%	38%	2%	6%
S000S8014	10,457 1	1.94%	196.6	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	357	366	16%	59%	21%	38%	2%	6%
S000S8016	10,549 1	1.96%	197.2	0.81	0.45	0.46	51	48	355	364	15%	59%	23%	38%	2%	5%
S000S8026	10,463 1	1.94%	197.5	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	355	363	15%	60%	20%	39%	3%	6%
S000S8028	10,498 1	1.95%	198.6	0.81	0.45	0.47	51	48	361	369	16%	60%	22%	38%	2%	5%
S000S8030	10,362 1	1.92%	196.7	0.82	0.46	0.47	51	48	358	368	15%	59%	23%	38%	1%	5%
S000S8034	10,498 1	1.95%	197.2	0.81	0.45	0.46	51	48	356	366	14%	59%	24%	38%	1%	5%
S000S8044	10,362 1	1.92%	197.5	0.82	0.47	0.46	51	48	360	368	15%	59%	24%	38%	2%	4%
S000S8046	10,362 1	1.92%	197.5	0.82	0.47	0.46	51	48	360	368	15%	60%	24%	38%	2%	4%
S000S8048	10,362 1	1.92%	197.6	0.82	0.46	0.47	51	48	359	367	14%	59%	25%	39%	1%	4%
S000S8050	10,362 1	1.92%	197.7	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	359	367	14%	60%	25%	39%	1%	4%



# Comparison of Workshopped Senate Plans

	Count of Districts v	with Non-Political or Geog	raphic Boundary Usage Ra	ites of
Plan	Equal to 0%	Less than or Equal to 2%	Less than or Equal to 5%	Less than or Equal to 10%
S000S8010	8	17	25	31
S000S8012	6	18	24	33
S000S8014	10	19	25	32
S000S8016	10	20	26	35
S000S8026	10	20	27	32
S000S8028	8	19	24	33
\$000\$8030	12	21	26	34
S000S8034	12	20	26	36
S000S8044	14	22	28	37
S000S8046	14	22	28	37
S000S8048	13	20	27	37
S000S8050	13	20	27	37



#### Census and Boundary Statistics

	Devia	tion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Cour	nties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and G	eographic I	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.4	0.82	0.47	0.46	51	48	360	111	15%	59%	24%	38%	2%	4%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.46	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
5	-990	-0.18%	15.84%	9.47%	2,970	294	0.84	0.43	0.53	5	1	17	3	14%	83%	17%	11%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%
8	-113	-0.02%	12.66%	11.75%	4,192	365	0.84	0.39	0.48	3	1	19	3	5%	87%	13%	49%	0%	0%
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	278	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.46	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.36	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	8%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%
19	-3,007	-0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.36	0.36	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.86	0.55	0.56	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.46	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%
28	-960	-0.18%	7.68%	26.59%	4,027	326	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%
32	3,679	0.68%	20.78%	45.26%	955	136	0.94	0.65	0.54	0	1	3	5	20%	76%	16%	0%	0%	1%
33	3,596	0.67%	46.15%	23.13%	88	48	0.88	0.48	0.59	0	1	6	3	59%	0%	41%	0%	3%	3%
34	973	0.18%	21.29%	22.81%	122	50	0.91	0.60	0.53	0	2	4	3	52%	7%	39%	14%	0%	16%
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.46	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%
38	4,279	0.79%	15.13%	29.79%	186	87	0.79	0.31	0.29	0	2	12	10	25%	43%	39%	44%	2%	0%
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.39%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%

				of c		eral nty c				ts:				
In Plan	S000S8044	67	51	16	16	51	48	48	412	360	52	368	111	103
District lines and	City and County Boundaries	Number of Counties	Counties with only one district	Districts with only one county	Counties split into more than one district	Counties with all population in a single district	Aggregate number of county splits	Aggregate number of splits with population	Number of Cities	Cities with only one district	Cities split into more than one district	Cities with all population in only one district	Aggregate number of city splits	Aggregate number of splits with population

#### 1/5/2022

#### Split Counties and Cities

	Counties inclu	ded in more t	han one dis	trict		C	ounties include	d in more thar	n one distr	rict		Count	ies included in mor	re than o	one district		Cou	nties incl	uded in mo	re than one	district	
County		t. Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	County					Area%	 County	Dist. Total Po		p% Total A	ea Area%	County		st. Total P			a Area%
Alachua	5		63.5%		39.8%																	
Alachua	8	101,655	36.5%	583.1	60.2%																	
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%																	
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%																	
Broward	32	542,134	27.9%	955.0	73.0%																	
Broward	33	542,051	27.9%	88.5	6.8%																	
Broward	34	407,113	20.9%	89.0	6.8%																	
Broward	38	453,077	23.3%	175.9	13.4%																	
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%																	
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%		27.0%																	
Hillsborough	18		36.7%		21.1%																	
Hillsborough	19		29.0%		21.1%																	
Hillsborough	20		18.2%	301.6	22.6%																	
Hillsborough	21		16.1%		35.2%																	
Lee	26		13.1%		12.6%																	
Lee	27		70.8%		72.1%																	
Lee	28		16.1%		15.3%																	
Manatee	21		75.1%		82.7%																	
Manatee	23		24.9%		17.3%																	
Miami-Dade	35		19.8%	143.5	6.0%																	
Miami-Dade	36		19.8%	169.6	7.1%																	
Miami-Dade	37		20.0%		2.5%																	
Miami-Dade	38		3.3%		0.4%																	
Miami-Dade	39		17.0%		69.6%																	
Miami-Dade	40		20.1%		14.4%																	
Okaloosa	1	29,023	13.7%		27.4%																	
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3% 4.5%		72.6% 2.1%																	
Orange	11	64,579 534,207	4.5%		2.1%																	
Orange Orange	11		10.7%		13.3%																	
Orange	12		37.3%		48.7%																	
Orange	15		10.1%	71.8	7.2%																	
Palm Beach	29		18.5%		35.0%																	
Palm Beach	30		36.4%	196.8	8.3%																	
Palm Beach	31		36.2%		55.4%																	
Palm Beach	34		8.9%		1.4%																	
Pasco	10		10.8%		14.5%																	
Pasco	16		41.2%		31.1%																	
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%																	
Pinellas	16		31.7%		39.4%																	
Pinellas	19		11.7%	69.2	8.0%																	
Pinellas	24		56.6%		52.6%																	
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%																	
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%																	
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%																	
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%																	
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%																	
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%																	
		,		,																		

#### 1/5/2022

#### Split Counties and Cities

	Cities included	d in more than o	one district			C	ities included	in more tha	n one distric	t			Cities included in more	e than one dist	trict		(	Cities included in more than one distric	
City	Dist.	Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop			Area%	City	Dist. Total P		Total Area Area	1%	City		otal Area Area%
Alachua	5	9,387	88.8%	27.6	75.4%	Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%	Winter Park	11	21 0.1%	6 0.0	0.3%	,		
Alachua	8	1,187	11.2%	9.0	24.6%	Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%								
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%	Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%								
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%	Miami Beach	35	40,306	48.6%	8.2	53.8%								
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%	Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%								
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%	Miramar	32	117,135	87.0%	28.9	93.0%								
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%	Miramar	38	17,586	13.1%	2.2	7.0%								
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%	North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%								
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%	North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%								
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%	North Miami Beach	35 38	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%								
Clearwater Clearwater	16 24	83,819 33,473	71.5% 28.5%	29.4 6.4	82.0% 18.0%	North Miami Beach Oakland	38 11	8,376 3,516	19.2% 100.0%	1.1 2.3	20.1% 99.1%								
Clearwater Coral Gables	24 37	33,473 25,018	28.5% 50.8%	6.4 3.9	18.0%	Oakland	11	3,516	0.0%	2.3	99.1% 0.9%								
Coral Gables	40	25,018	49.2%	33.5	10.5% 89.7%	Ocoee	12	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%								
Crestview	40	10,214	49.2% 37.6%	8.7	51.9%	Ocoee	11	7,686	16.3%	14.9	10.8%								
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%	Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%								
Davie	32	103,272	97.7%	33.4	93.4%	Oldsmar	24	11,007	0.1%	0.0	0.0%								
Davie	33	1,148	1.1%	0.3	0.9%	Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%								
Davie	38	1,271	1.2%	2.1	5.7%	Opa-locka	36	0	0.0%	0.2	5.4%								
Daytona Beach	7	7,058	9.7%	2.4	3.6%	Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%								
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%	Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%								
Deerfield Beach	34	71,094	81.9%	13.2	81.6%	Orlando	13	159,416	51.8%	75.8	63.7%								
Deerfield Beach	38	15,765	18.2%	3.0	18.4%	Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%								
Eagle Lake	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%								
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%	Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%								
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%	Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%								
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%	Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%								
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%	Pembroke Pines	32	171,062	99.9%	34.7	99.9%								
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%	Pembroke Pines	38	116	0.1%	0.1	0.1%								
Fort Lauderdale	33	84,222	46.1%	15.8	43.5%	Pompano Beach	34	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%								
Fort Lauderdale	38	98,538	53.9%	20.5	56.5%	Pompano Beach	38	62,231	55.5% 48.8%	11.2	45.3%								
Fort Myers Fort Myers	26 27	4,870 68,996	5.6% 79.9%	5.9 35.4	11.9% 72.1%	Port St. Lucie Port St. Lucie	25 29	99,884 104,967	48.8% 51.2%	50.7 70.2	41.9% 58.1%								
Fort Myers	27	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%	Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.2	91.1%								
Gainesville	5	135,843	96.3%	58.2	90.5%	Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	10.7	8.9%								
Gainesville	8	5,242	3.7%	6.1	9.5%	St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%								
High Springs	5	2,855	45.9%	8.2	37.3%	St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%								
High Springs	8	3,360	54.1%	13.7	62.7%	Sunrise	32	10,939	11.2%	6.2	34.3%								
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%	Sunrise	33	86,396	88.8%	11.9	65.7%								
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%	Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%								
Hollywood	32	28,649	18.7%	3.5	11.3%	Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%								
Hollywood	38	124,418	81.3%	27.3	88.7%	Tampa	18	201,035	52.2%	120.7	68.7%								
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%	Tampa	19	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%								
Jacksonville	6	543,411	57.2%	248.1	28.4%	Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%								
Lake Wales	22	12,921	79.0%	14.8	73.8%	Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%								
Lake Wales	26	3,440	21.0%	5.3	26.2%	Temple Terrace	20	0	0.0%	0.1	1.0%								
Lakeland	22	100,638	89.3%	64.0	85.2%	Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%								
Lakeland	26	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%	Titusville	17	656	1.3%	6.7	19.4%								
Laurel Hill	1	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%	West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%								
Laurel Hill	2	335	57.4%	2.2	50.5%	West Palm Beach	30	101,196	86.2%	25.5	43.9%								
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%	Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%								
Longboat Key	23 9	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%	Winter Garden	12	23,076	49.1%	10.3	57.7%								
Maitland	5	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Winter Haven	22	49,169	99.9%	36.8	89.6%								
Maitland Miami	11 35	0 67,844	0.0% 15.3%	0.0 11.3	0.0% 20.1%	Winter Haven Winter Park	26 9	50 29.774	0.1% 99.9%	4.3 10.4	10.4% 99.7%								
wiidiili	55	07,044	10.5%	11.5	20.1%	willer Pdik	э	29,174	33.3%	10.4	99.1%								

#### Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan	S000S8044	
------	-----------	--

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	l	RV who are:		RV wh	o are:	Black	Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	/ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.56%	21.20%	41.17%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	53.12%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.95%	14.48%	79.63%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%
33	46.15%	23.13%	60.44%	14.57%	24.98%	41.35%	16.05%	81.34%	2.51%	16.14%	46.69%	17.51%	35.81%	55.65%	12.40%	7.12%	19.28%	26.71%	23.01%
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	26.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%
36	5.40%	90.13%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%
37	6.23%	78.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.26%	4.50%	69.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%

#### Functional Analysis - Summary

	2020	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Elect	ion Turnou	i i						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforn	nance in Sta	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	020
Dist.	VAP w	/ho are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP wi	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	io are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	Wi	ns		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	46.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.5%
33	46.15%	23.13%	55.29%	5.24%	5.38%	10.12%	64.77%	15.71%	19.52%	54.88%	9.76%	5.72%	14.99%	24.39%	19.63%	86.22%	2.18%	11.55%	50.28%	18.88%	30.68%	76.9%	22.2%	14	0	D +60.6%	D +47.9%	D +55%
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.61%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	8	6	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.67%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8044	BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
		R_Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.45%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%
		R_DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	67.41%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%
		R_Devine	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.16%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%
	(252)	R Langford	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.07%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%
	Governor (REP)	R Mercadante	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.67%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%
		R Nathan	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.47%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%
		R Putnam	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	19.33%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%
		R White	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.44%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%
		D Gillum	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	48.67%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%
		D Graham	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	14.03%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%
		D Greene	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.20%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%
	Governor (DEM)	D King	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.83%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%
		D_Levine	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.55%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%
		D Lundmark	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.28%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%
-		R Moody	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	53.68%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	46.06%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%
		D Shaw	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	40.00% 80.84%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Snaw D_Torrens	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	19.90%	19.16%	17.25%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%
-								40.22%				
		R_Caldwell	31.90%	35.81%	36.73%	24.01%	42.37%		40.66%	38.20%	42.11%	41.92%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	27.39%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%
		R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	20.93%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%
		R_Troutman	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.46%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%
		D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.85%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.49%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%
_		D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.62%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	16.28%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%
		R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.48%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%
		R_Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.17%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.57%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%
	os senate (ner)	R_Rubio	67.37%	72.47%	70.85%	64.29%	69.70%	80.09%	91.88%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%
		R_Young	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	9.80%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%
2016		D_De La Fuente	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.48%	5.49%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%
		D_Grayson	11.29%	43.04%	46.55%	10.34%	10.14%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	15.68%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%
		D_Luster	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	1.99%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%
		D_Murphy	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.49%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%
		R Adeshina	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.54%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%
	Governor (REP)	R Cuevas-Neunder	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	13.93%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%
		R Scott	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.85%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%
2014		D_Crist	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	79.62%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%
	Governor (DEM)	D Rich	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	20.26%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%
		D_Sheldon	58.74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	35.94%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Thurston	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	64.02%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%
		R Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	69.24%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%
		R McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	45.59%	12.49%	10.08%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%
	US Senate (REP)	-	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	6.39%	6.59% 13.73%	4.21%	4.57% 14.91%	12.83%	6.35% 11.12%
2012		R_Stuart R Weldon		26.25%	6.92% 35.94%	8.22% 24.93%	13.22%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%		6.21%
-		_	14.43%								5.81%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.69%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%
		D_Nelson	79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.18%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8044	BVA	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	General Elections	HVA	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	75.13%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%
2020	President	R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	24.31%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%
	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	78.59%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	20.80%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	77.45%	83.59%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	21.23%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	78.50%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%
2010	Chief Thiancial Officer	R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	21.50%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	79.26%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	20.74%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.05%	60.89%	71.22%	79.18%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%
	03 Senate	R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	20.82%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%
	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	76.38%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	21.75%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	74.63%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%
	03 Senate	R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	23.43%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%
	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	78.36%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%
	Governor	R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	19.46%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	75.08%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	23.36%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	73.97%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%
	Chief Thiancial Officer	R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	26.02%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	75.08%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	24.93%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%
	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	78.11%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%
2012	resident	R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	21.43%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	79.68%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%
	00 Schute	R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	19.07%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%



#### Census and Boundary Statistics

	Devia	tion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:	Citi	es:		es:				
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.5	0.82	0.47	0.46	51	48	360	111	15%	60%	24%	38%	2%	4%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.46	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
5	366	0.07%	14.64%	8.79%	3,433	335	0.83	0.38	0.50	6	1	21	3	14%	87%	13%	20%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%
8	-1,469	-0.27%	13.85%	12.39%	3,728	327	0.89	0.44	0.44	2	1	15	3	7%	87%	13%	45%	0%	0%
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	278	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.46	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.36	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	8%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%
19	-3,007	-0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.36	0.36	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.86	0.55	0.56	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.46	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%
28	-960	-0.18%	7.68%	26.59%	4,027	326	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%
32	3,679	0.68%	20.78%	45.26%	955	136	0.94	0.65	0.54	0	1	3	5	20%	76%	16%	0%	0%	1%
33	3,596	0.67%	46.15%	23.13%	88	48	0.88	0.48	0.59	0	1	6	3	59%	0%	41%	0%	3%	3%
34	973	0.18%	21.29%	22.81%	122	50	0.91	0.60	0.53	0	2	4	3	52%	7%	39%	14%	0%	16%
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.46	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%
38	4,279	0.79%	15.13%	29.79%	186	87	0.79	0.31	0.29	0	2	12	10	25%	43%	39%	44%	2%	0%
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.39%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:														
In Plan S00058046	29	51	16	16	51	48	48	412	360	52	368	111	103	
District lines and City and County Boundaries	Number of Counties	Counties with only one district	Districts with only one county	Counties split into more than one district	Counties with all population in a single district	Aggregate number of county splits	Aggregate number of splits with population	Number of Cities	Cities with only one district	Cities split into more than one district	Cities with all population in only one district	Aggregate number of city splits	Aggregate number of splits with population	

#### 1/5/2022

#### Split Counties and Cities

C	Counties included in more than one district						unties included in mo	e than one distri	ct	Count	ies included in mor	Counties included in more than one district							
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	County	Dist. Total Po	p Pop% T	otal Area Area%	County	Dist. Total Po	op Pop% Tota	al Area Area%		County	Dist. Tota	I Pop Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	160,305	57.6%	494.0	51.0%				•				·						
Alachua	8	118,163	42.4%	474.8	49.0%														
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%														
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%														
Broward	32	542,134	27.9%	955.0	73.0%														
Broward	33	542,051	27.9%	88.5	6.8%														
Broward	34	407,113	20.9%	89.0	6.8%														
Broward	38	453,077	23.3%	175.9	13.4%														
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%														
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%														
Hillsborough	18	535,992	36.7%	280.8	21.1%														
Hillsborough	19	422,943	29.0%	281.4	21.1%														
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%														
Hillsborough	21	234,827	16.1%	468.2	35.2%														
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%														
Lee	27	538,875	70.8%	1,091.7	72.1%														
Lee	28	122,124	16.1%	232.0	15.3%														
Manatee	21	300,240	75.1%	796.9	82.7%														
Manatee	23	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%														
Miami-Dade	35	533,571	19.8%	143.5	6.0%														
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%														
Miami-Dade Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0% 3.3%	59.9 10.1	2.5% 0.4%														
	38 39	89,657 459,658																	
Miami-Dade Miami-Dade	40	439,638 543,674	17.0% 20.1%	1,663.5 342.8	69.6% 14.4%														
Okaloosa	40	29,023	13.7%	342.8	27.4%														
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%														
Orange	9	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%														
Orange	11	534,207	37.4%	288.1	28.7%														
Orange	12	152,678	10.7%	133.6	13.3%														
Orange	13	533,788	37.3%	489.0	48.7%														
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%														
Palm Beach	29	276,199	18.5%	834.1	35.0%														
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%														
Palm Beach	31	540,142	36.2%	1,319.6	55.4%														
Palm Beach	34	132,315	8.9%	32.8	1.4%														
Pasco	10	60,429	10.8%	146.8	14.5%														
Pasco	16	231,325	41.2%	315.5	31.1%														
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%														
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%														
Pinellas	19	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%														
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%														
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%														
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%														
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%														
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%														
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%														
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%														
## Split Counties and Cities

	Cities include	d in more than	one district			Cit	ies included	in more tha	n one district	t			Cities include	d in more th	an one distri	ict				0	ities included in more than one district
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		Fotal Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		Total A	rea Area	%	Cit		Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%	Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%	Winter Park	11	21				.3%		.,	and the state of t
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%	Miami Beach	35	40,306	48.6%	8.2	53.8%										
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%	Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%										
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%	Miramar	32	117,135	87.0%	28.9	93.0%										
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%	Miramar	38	17,586	13.1%	2.2	7.0%										
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%	Newberry	5	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.6%										
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%	Newberry	8	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%										
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%	North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%										
Clearwater	16	83,819	71.5%	29.4	82.0%	North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%										
Clearwater	24	33,473	28.5%	6.4	18.0%	North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%										
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%	North Miami Beach	38	8,376	19.2%	1.1	20.1%										
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%	Oakland	11	3,516	100.0%	2.3	99.1%										
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%	Oakland	12	0	0.0%	0.0	0.9%										
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%	Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%										
Davie	32	103,272	97.7%	33.4	93.4%	Ocoee	12	7,686	16.3%	1.8	10.8%										
Davie	33	1,148	1.1%	0.3	0.9%	Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%										
Davie Daytona Beach	38	1,271 7,058	1.2% 9.7%	2.1 2.4	5.7% 3.6%	Oldsmar Opa-locka	24 35	11 16.463	0.1% 100.0%	0.0 4.2	0.0% 94.6%										
Daytona Beach	, 14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%	Opa-locka Opa-locka	36	10,405	0.0%	4.2	5.4%										
Deerfield Beach	34	71,094	81.9%	13.2	81.6%	Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%										
Deerfield Beach	34	15,765	18.2%	3.0	18.4%	Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%										
Eagle Lake	22	15,705	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Orlando	13	159,416	51.8%	75.8	63.7%										
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%	Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%										
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%	Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%										
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%	Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%										
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%	Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%										
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%	Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%										
Fanning Springs	5	478	40.4%	1.9	38.4%	Pembroke Pines	32	171,062	99.9%	34.7	99.9%										
Fanning Springs	8	704	59.6%	3.1	61.6%	Pembroke Pines	38	116	0.1%	0.1	0.1%										
Fort Lauderdale	33	84,222	46.1%	15.8	43.5%	Pompano Beach	34	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%										
Fort Lauderdale	38	98,538	53.9%	20.5	56.5%	Pompano Beach	38	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%										
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%	Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%										
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%	Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%										
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%	Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%										
Gainesville	5	93,662	66.4%	48.3	75.1%	Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%										
Gainesville	8	47,423	33.6%	16.0	24.9%	St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%										
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%	St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%										
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%	Sunrise	32	10,939	11.2%	6.2	34.3%										
Hollywood	32	28,649	18.7%	3.5	11.3%	Sunrise	33	86,396	88.8%	11.9	65.7%										
Hollywood	38	124,418	81.3%	27.3	88.7%	Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%										
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%	Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%										
Jacksonville Lake Wales	6 22	543,411	57.2% 79.0%	248.1 14.8	28.4% 73.8%	Tampa	18	201,035 147,959	52.2% 38.4%	120.7 39.9	68.7%										
		12,921				Tampa	19				22.7%										
Lake Wales Lakeland	26 22	3,440 100,638	21.0% 89.3%	5.3 64.0	26.2%	Tampa Tampla Torraça	20 19	35,965	9.3% 100.0%	15.3	8.7% 99.0%										
Lakeland	22 26	-	89.3% 10.7%	64.0 11.1	85.2% 14.8%	Temple Terrace	19 20	26,690 0	100.0%	7.6 0.1	99.0% 1.0%										
Lakeland Laurel Hill	20	12,003 249	42.6%	2.1	14.8% 49.5%	Temple Terrace Titusville	20 14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%										
Laurel Hill	1	335	42.6% 57.4%	2.1	49.5% 50.5%	Titusville	14	48,133	98.7% 1.3%	6.7	80.6% 19.4%										
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%	West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%										
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%	West Palm Beach	30	101,196	86.2%	25.5	43.9%										
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Winter Garden	11	23.888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%										
Maitland	11	13,515	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Garden	12	23,076	49.1%	10.3	57.7%										
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%	Winter Haven	22	49,169	99.9%	36.8	89.6%										
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%	Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%										
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%	Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%										

Plan	S000S8046	
------	-----------	--

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:	l	RV who are:		RV wh	o are:	Black	Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	/ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.56%	21.20%	41.17%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	53.12%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.95%	14.48%	79.63%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%
33	46.15%	23.13%	60.44%	14.57%	24.98%	41.35%	16.05%	81.34%	2.51%	16.14%	46.69%	17.51%	35.81%	55.65%	12.40%	7.12%	19.28%	26.71%	23.01%
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	26.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%
36	5.40%	90.13%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%
37	6.23%	78.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.26%	4.50%	69.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%

Plan S000S8046

	2020	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Elect	ion Turnou	:						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforn	nance in St	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	.020
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:	DEM wi	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	no are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	W	ins		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	46.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.5%
33	46.15%	23.13%	55.29%	5.24%	5.38%	10.12%	64.77%	15.71%	19.52%	54.88%	9.76%	5.72%	14.99%	24.39%	19.63%	86.22%	2.18%	11.55%	50.28%	18.88%	30.68%	76.9%	22.2%	14	0	D +60.6%	D +47.9%	D +55%
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.61%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	8	6	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.67%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8046	BVAF	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	Primary Elections	HVAF	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
		R_Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.45%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%
		R_DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	67.41%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%
		R_Devine	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.16%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%
	(252)	R Langford	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.07%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%
	Governor (REP)	R Mercadante	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.67%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%
		R Nathan	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.47%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%
		R Putnam	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	19.33%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%
		R White	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.44%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%
		D Gillum	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	48.67%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%
		D Graham	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	14.03%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%
		D Greene	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.20%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%
	Governor (DEM)	D_King	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.83%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%
		D Levine	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.55%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%
		D Lundmark	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.28%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%
-		R Moody	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	53.68%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	46.06%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%
-		-	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	80.84%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw D Torrens	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	80.12% 19.90%	80.84% 19.16%	82.74%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%
-		-										
		R_Caldwell	31.90%	35.81%	36.73%	24.01%	42.37%	40.22%	40.66%	38.20%	42.11%	41.92%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	27.39%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%
		R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	20.93%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%
		R_Troutman	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.46%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%
		D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.85%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.49%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%
		D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.62%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	16.28%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%
		R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.48%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%
		R_Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.17%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.57%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%
	os senate (ner)	R_Rubio	67.37%	72.47%	70.85%	64.29%	69.70%	80.09%	91.88%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%
		R_Young	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	9.80%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%
2016		D_De La Fuente	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.48%	5.49%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%
		D_Grayson	11.29%	43.04%	46.55%	10.34%	10.14%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	15.68%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%
		D_Luster	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	1.99%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%
		D_Murphy	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.49%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%
		R Adeshina	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.54%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%
	Governor (REP)	R Cuevas-Neunder	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	13.93%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%
		R Scott	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.85%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%
2014	- ()	D Crist	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	79.62%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%
	Governor (DEM)	D Rich	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	20.26%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%
		D_Sheldon	58,74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	35.94%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Thurston	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	64.02%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%
		R Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	69.24%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%
		R McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	10.08%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%
	US Senate (REP)	R Stuart	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	6.39%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%
2012		R Weldon	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	13.22%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%
		D Burkett	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.22%	14.59%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	15.85%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett D_Nelson										
			79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.18%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8046	BVA	P 41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	46.15%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	General Elections	HVA	P 10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	23.13%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	75.13%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%
2020	President	R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	24.31%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%
	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	78.59%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	20.80%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	77.45%	83.59%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	21.23%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	78.50%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	21.50%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	79.26%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%
	Agriculture Commissioner	R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	20.74%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.05%	60.89%	71.22%	79.18%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%
	03 Senate	R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	20.82%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%
	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	76.38%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%
2016	Fresident	R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	21.75%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	74.63%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%
	05 Senate	R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	23.43%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%
	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	78.36%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%
	Governor	R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	19.46%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	75.08%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	23.36%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	73.97%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%
	Chief Financial Officer	R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	26.02%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	75.08%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%
	Agriculture Commissioner	R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	24.93%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%
	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	78.11%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%
2012	riesident	R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	21.43%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	79.68%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%
	03 Senate	R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	19.07%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%



## Census and Boundary Statistics

	Devia	tion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	ties:	Citi	es:		Politic	al and G	eographic	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.6	0.82	0.46	0.47	51	48	359	114	14%	59%	25%	39%	1%	4%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.46	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
5	-990	-0.18%	15.84%	9.47%	2,970	294	0.84	0.43	0.53	5	1	17	3	14%	83%	17%	11%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%
8	-113	-0.02%	12.66%	11.75%	4,192	365	0.84	0.39	0.48	3	1	19	3	5%	87%	13%	49%	0%	0%
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	278	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.46	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.36	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	8%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%
19	-3,007	-0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.36	0.36	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.86	0.55	0.56	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.46	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%
28	-960	-0.18%	7.68%	26.59%	4,027	326	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%
32	2,468	0.46%	19.30%	42.69%	961	138	0.94	0.64	0.56	0	1	3	5	19%	74%	16%	0%	0%	3%
33	2,960	0.55%	50.41%	21.85%	89	49	0.80	0.46	0.52	0	1	5	6	40%	0%	66%	0%	0%	3%
34	3,427	0.64%	15.33%	21.53%	148	72	0.74	0.36	0.50	0	2	7	5	40%	18%	38%	31%	0%	13%
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.46	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%
38	3,672	0.68%	18.24%	34.73%	153	70	0.84	0.39	0.45	0	2	9	8	23%	34%	38%	43%	0%	6%
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.39%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%

			of c			l nu Ind		ers spli	ts:				
In Plan S00058048	67	51	16	16	51	48	48	412	359	53	367	114	106
District lines and City and County Boundaries	Number of Counties	Counties with only one district	Districts with only one county	Counties split into more than one district	Counties with all population in a single district	Aggregate number of county splits	Aggregate number of splits with population	Number of Cities	Cities with only one district	Cities split into more than one district	Cities with all population in only one district	Aggregate number of city splits	Aggregate number of splits with population

## Split Counties and Cities

0	ounties include	d in more th	an one dist	trict		Co	unties included in mo	ore than one di	istrict		Countie	es included in mor	e than one	e district		Count	ies includ	ed in more th	nan one distr	rict	
County		Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	County	Dist. Total P			Area%	County	Dist. Total Po			a Area%	County		Total Pop			Area%
Alachua	5	176,813	63.5%	385.8	39.8%						 		P								
Alachua	8	101,655	36.5%	583.1	60.2%																
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%																
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%																
Broward	32	540,923	27.8%	961.2	73.5%																
Broward	33	541,415	27.9%	89.0	6.8%																
Broward	34	409,567	21.1%	114.9	8.8%																
Broward	38	452,470	23.3%	143.2	11.0%																
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%																
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%																
Hillsborough	18	535,992	36.7%	280.8	21.1%																
Hillsborough	19	422,943	29.0%	281.4	21.1%																
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%																
Hillsborough	21	234,827	16.1%	468.2	35.2%																
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%																
Lee	27	538,875	70.8%	1,091.7	72.1%																
Lee	28	122,124	16.1%	232.0	15.3%																
Manatee	21	300,240	75.1%	796.9	82.7%																
Manatee	23	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%																
Miami-Dade	35	533,571	19.8%	143.5	6.0%																
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%																
Miami-Dade Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0%	59.9	2.5%																
Miami-Dade	38	89,657	3.3%	10.1	0.4%																
Miami-Dade	39 40	459,658 543,674	17.0% 20.1%	1,663.5 342.8	69.6% 14.4%																
Okaloosa	40	29,023	13.7%	342.8	27.4%																
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%																
Orange	2	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%																
Orange	11	534,207	37.4%	288.1	28.7%																
Orange	12	152,678	10.7%	133.6	13.3%																
Orange	13	533,788	37.3%	489.0	48.7%																
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%																
Palm Beach	29	276,199	18.5%	834.1	35.0%																
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%																
Palm Beach	31	540,142	36.2%	1,319.6	55.4%																
Palm Beach	34	132,315	8.9%	32.8	1.4%																
Pasco	10	60,429	10.8%	146.8	14.5%																
Pasco	16	231,325	41.2%	315.5	31.1%																
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%																
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%																
Pinellas	19	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%																
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%																
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%																
Polk	26	188,788	26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%																
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%																
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%																
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%																
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%																

## Split Counties and Cities

	Cities included	d in more than	one district			C	ities included	in more that	n one district	•			Cities included	d in more th	an one distric			Cities included in more than one district
City	Dist.	Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		otal Area	Area%	City		Total Pop		otal Area	Area%	
Alachua	5	9,387	88.8%	27.6	75.4%	Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%	Winter Haven	22	49,169	99.9%	36.8	89.6%	
Alachua	8	1,187	11.2%	9.0	24.6%	Miami Beach	35	40,306	48.6%	8.2	53.8%	Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3	10.4%	%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%	Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%	Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%	%
Aventura	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%	Miramar	32	101,471	75.3%	27.1	87.3%	Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%	1%
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%	Miramar	38	33,250	24.7%	4.0	12.7%							
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%	North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%							
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%	North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%							
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%	North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%							
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%	North Miami Beach	38	8,376	19.2%	1.1	20.1%							
Bonita Springs Clearwater	28 16	10,530 83,819	19.6% 71.5%	14.1 29.4	30.3% 82.0%	Oakland Oakland	11 12	3,516 0	100.0% 0.0%	2.3 0.0	99.1% 0.9%							
	24	33,819	28.5%	29.4 6.4	82.0% 18.0%	Oakland Park	33	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%							
Clearwater Coconut Creek	33	25,596	28.5% 44.3%	4.8	39.9%	Oakland Park	34	21,661	49.0%	3.5	43.0% 39.5%							
Coconut Creek	34	32,237	55.7%	7.2	60.1%	Oakland Park	34	7,531	17.0%	1.4	17.5%							
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%	Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%							
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%	Ocoee	12	7,686	16.3%	1.8	10.8%							
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%	Oldsmar	16	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%							
Crestview	2	16,920	62.4%	8.1	48.1%	Oldsmar	24	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%							
Davie	32	78,079	73.9%	28.3	79.2%	Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%							
Davie	38	27,612	26.1%	7.4	20.8%	Opa-locka	36	0	0.0%	0.2	5.4%							
Daytona Beach	7	7,058	9.7%	2.4	3.6%	Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%							
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%	Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%							
Eagle Lake	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Orlando	13	159,416	51.8%	75.8	63.7%							
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%	Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%							
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%	Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%							
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%	Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%							
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%	Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%							
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%	Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%							
Fort Lauderdale Fort Lauderdale	33 34	65,524 17,711	35.9% 9.7%	13.5 2.9	37.2% 7.9%	Pembroke Pines Pembroke Pines	32 38	154,578 16,600	90.3% 9.7%	31.8 3.0	91.4% 8.6%							
Fort Lauderdale	34	99,525	54.5%	19.9	54.9%	Plantation	32	65,908	71.8%	16.9	76.8%							
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%	Plantation	33	25,842	28.2%	5.1	23.2%							
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%	Pompano Beach	33	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%							
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%	Pompano Beach	34	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%							
Gainesville	5	135,843	96.3%	58.2	90.5%	Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%							
Gainesville	8	5,242	3.7%	6.1	9.5%	Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%							
High Springs	5	2,855	45.9%	8.2	37.3%	Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%							
High Springs	8	3,360	54.1%	13.7	62.7%	Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%							
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%	St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%							
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%	St. Petersburg	24	145,803	56.5%	62.1	47.3%							
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%	Sunrise	32	30,754	31.6%	9.0	49.8%							
Jacksonville	6	543,411	57.2%	248.1	28.4%	Sunrise	33	66,581	68.4%	9.1	50.2%							
Lake Wales	22	12,921	79.0%	14.8	73.8%	Sweetwater	36 37	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%							
Lake Wales Lakeland	26 22	3,440 100,638	21.0% 89.3%	5.3 64.0	26.2% 85.2%	Sweetwater	37 18	1,762 201,035	9.1% 52.2%	0.3 120.7	11.1% 68.7%							
Lakeland	22	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%	Tampa Tampa	18	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%							
Laurel Hill	20	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%	Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%							
Laurel Hill	2	335	42.0% 57.4%	2.1	49.5% 50.5%	Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%							
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%	Temple Terrace	20	20,050	0.0%	0.1	1.0%							
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%	Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%							
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Titusville	17	656	1.3%	6.7	19.4%							
Maitland	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%							
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%	West Palm Beach	30	101,196	86.2%	25.5	43.9%							
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%	Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%							
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%	Winter Garden	12	23,076	49.1%	10.3	57.7%							

Pla	n S(	000	S80	48
-----	------	-----	-----	----

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP wi	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	io are:	Black	Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	/ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.56%	21.20%	41.17%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	53.12%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.95%	14.48%	79.63%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%
33	50.41%	21.85%	62.60%	12.82%	24.58%	46.25%	15.25%	81.54%	2.46%	15.99%	48.01%	16.15%	35.80%	60.25%	11.69%	8.89%	19.20%	30.08%	22.21%
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	26.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%
36	5.40%	90.13%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%
37	6.23%	78.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.26%	4.50%	69.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%

	2020	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Electi	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforn	nance in Sta	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	2020
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:	DEM wi	ho are:	REP wi	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	no are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	Wi	ns		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	46.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.5%
33	50.41%	21.85%	60.43%	4.94%	6.62%	9.91%	67.42%	13.58%	19.00%	59.42%	9.17%	7.23%	14.82%	27.77%	18.72%	86.43%	2.12%	11.39%	52.33%	17.19%	30.27%	79.4%	19.8%	14	0	D +65.4%	D +54.3%	D +59.9%
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.61%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	8	6	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.67%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8048	BVAP	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	Primary Elections	HVAP	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
		R_Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.63%	2.21%	2.60%	2.03%	1.73%	1.25%
		R_DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	68.56%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%
		R_Devine	1.28%	1.60%	2.03%	1.40%	2.55%	3.75%	3.98%	3.81%	3.15%	2.69%
	(250)	R Langford	1.04%	1.69%	1.30%	1.16%	2.16%	1.99%	1.41%	1.52%	1.75%	1.60%
	Governor (REP)	R Mercadante	0.48%	0.78%	1.39%	0.92%	1.91%	2.91%	2.87%	2.61%	1.87%	1.86%
		R Nathan	0.82%	0.89%	1.04%	0.93%	1.63%	2.44%	1.37%	1.61%	1.43%	1.33%
		R Putnam	35.94%	41.61%	38.76%	48.05%	17.20%	17.61%	16.75%	17.35%	18.07%	20.19%
		R White	1.64%	2.14%	2.70%	2.15%	3.42%	4.38%	3.21%	3.88%	3.50%	3.21%
		D Gillum	55.30%	49.62%	27.89%	50.55%	51.01%	53.41%	30.91%	25.09%	26.44%	35.23%
		D Graham	20.91%	24.50%	29.03%	25.83%	12.23%	10.18%	19.67%	20.22%	23.87%	20.92%
		D Greene	6.84%	9.38%	15.05%	6.46%	11.06%	9.99%	10.03%	8.84%	11.04%	7.25%
	Governor (DEM)	D_King	1.62%	3.34%	4.31%	2.04%	0.85%	0.79%	2.35%	2.08%	2.24%	1.19%
		D Levine	13.96%	11.96%	20.50%	14.21%	24.09%	24.83%	34.22%	41.22%	33.88%	34.00%
		D Lundmark	0.46%	0.47%	1.26%	0.33%	0.30%	0.41%	1.58%	1.24%	0.91%	0.53%
2018		D Wetherbee	0.76%	0.66%	1.94%	0.43%	0.36%	0.33%	1.15%	0.90%	1.03%	0.57%
-		R Moody	57.69%	54.40%	53.73%	60.10%	52.59%	53.31%	53.90%	53.78%	55.28%	55.08%
	Attorney General (REP)	R White	42.33%	45.63%	46.26%	39.83%	47.01%	46.69%	46.02%	46.18%	44.63%	44.94%
		D Shaw	76.42%	74.97%	59.28%	80.12%	81.10%	82.74%	65.34%	66.05%	67.89%	78.69%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Snaw D_Torrens	23.57%	25.08%	40.72%	19.90%	18.88%	17.25%	34.60%	34.01%	32.00%	21.27%
-		-	31.90%		36.73%	24.01%	42.58%	40.22%	40.66%		42.11%	41.92%
		R_Caldwell		35.81%						38.20%		
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley	16.70%	30.51%	32.77%	25.11%	26.07%	31.08%	34.15%	34.76%	31.11%	30.92%
		R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	21.45%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	16.94%
-		R_Troutman	43.41%	18.25%	14.58%	39.09%	8.92%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%
		D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.89%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%
	Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.82%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%
		D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.27%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%
	US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	15.68%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%
		R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.94%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%
		R_Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.59%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%
	US Senate (REP)	R_Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%	3.80%	4.67%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%
		R_Rubio	67.37%	72.47%	70.85%	64.29%	68.77%	80.09%	91.88%	90.92%	84.98%	86.01%
_		R_Young	5.17%	5.56%	8.43%	6.52%	10.31%	8.09%	1.92%	2.38%	5.15%	4.95%
2016		D_De La Fuente	3.36%	4.07%	16.91%	4.71%	3.42%	5.49%	25.49%	21.33%	13.16%	7.50%
		D_Grayson	11.29%	43.04%	46.55%	10.34%	9.82%	10.28%	10.29%	11.27%	11.17%	11.16%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Keith	15.35%	12.36%	9.25%	17.17%	16.00%	13.92%	12.60%	14.45%	15.45%	18.85%
		D_Luster	18.18%	2.60%	1.30%	2.15%	2.18%	3.02%	2.05%	1.67%	1.43%	1.70%
		D_Murphy	51.70%	37.81%	25.94%	65.32%	68.32%	67.16%	49.37%	50.86%	58.18%	60.38%
		R_Adeshina	1.00%	1.93%	1.74%	2.19%	2.86%	3.23%	1.58%	1.56%	1.74%	2.24%
	Governor (REP)	R Cuevas-Neunder	7.10%	10.27%	12.08%	13.78%	14.11%	16.59%	12.30%	12.98%	14.83%	14.43%
		R Scott	91.82%	87.71%	86.16%	83.60%	82.23%	79.86%	86.02%	85.31%	83.17%	83.15%
2014		D Crist	70.51%	81.59%	76.66%	84.23%	81.22%	85.35%	77.33%	73.67%	77.27%	76.16%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Rich	29.39%	18.39%	23.28%	15.73%	18.68%	14.63%	22.55%	26.10%	22.27%	23.65%
		D_Sheldon	58.74%	48.19%	61.64%	61.25%	34.75%	44.72%	60.88%	69.45%	65.48%	59.92%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D Thurston	41.22%	51.82%	38.35%	38.66%	65.14%	55.28%	38.96%	30.18%	33.94%	39.96%
		R Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	66.99%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%
		R McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	11.76%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%
	US Senate (REP)	R Stuart	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	7.52%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%
2012		R Weldon	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	12.59%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%
-		D Burkett	20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.58%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett D_Nelson	79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.28%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%
			79.92%	00.73%	19.31%	05.57%	00.28%	65.40%	02.91%	05.74%	05.05%	04.02%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8048	BVA	P 41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	General Elections	HVA	P 10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	77.15%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%
2020	President	R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	22.32%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%
	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	80.93%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	18.45%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	79.82%	83.59%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	18.93%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	80.93%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%
2010	Chief Thancial Officer	R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	19.06%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	81.33%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	18.66%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.05%	60.89%	71.22%	81.35%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%
	03 Senate	R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	18.65%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%
	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	78.64%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%
2016	rresident	R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	19.67%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	77.05%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%
	05 Senate	R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	21.08%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%
	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	80.55%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%
	Governor	R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	17.39%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	77.41%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	21.18%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	77.17%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%
	Chief Thancial Officer	R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	22.82%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	78.12%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%
	Agriculture commissioner	R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	21.88%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%
	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	80.90%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%
2012	resident	R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	18.68%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	82.09%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%
	05 Schute	R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	16.68%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%



## Census and Boundary Statistics

	Devia	tion	Voting Age	Population:	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Coun	nties:	Citi	es:		Politic	cal and G	eographic I	Boundari	es:
Dist.	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	10,362	1.92%	15.54%	24.99%	1,785.1	197.7	0.82	0.46	0.46	51	48	359	114	14%	60%	25%	39%	1%	4%
1	473	0.09%	15.63%	5.70%	2,690	291	0.81	0.40	0.42	2	1	5	2	1%	83%	10%	56%	0%	0%
2	649	0.12%	11.28%	7.12%	6,415	417	0.78	0.46	0.50	6	1	40	2	1%	88%	7%	61%	0%	0%
3	2,687	0.50%	27.43%	7.06%	10,909	574	0.80	0.42	0.44	13	0	27	0	2%	100%	0%	58%	0%	0%
4	4,053	0.75%	13.54%	9.18%	1,396	270	0.74	0.24	0.52	1	1	7	1	28%	77%	21%	45%	0%	0%
5	366	0.07%	14.64%	8.79%	3,433	335	0.83	0.38	0.50	6	1	21	3	14%	87%	13%	20%	0%	0%
6	4,956	0.92%	41.62%	10.43%	248	69	0.87	0.66	0.60	0	1	0	1	14%	14%	80%	10%	0%	0%
7	3,122	0.58%	7.81%	7.85%	2,533	280	0.79	0.41	0.49	3	1	13	3	14%	86%	13%	54%	0%	0%
8	-1,469	-0.27%	13.85%	12.39%	3,728	327	0.89	0.44	0.44	2	1	15	3	7%	87%	13%	45%	0%	0%
9	-3,020	-0.56%	12.53%	20.15%	366	109	0.81	0.39	0.50	1	1	7	3	20%	83%	7%	57%	0%	2%
10	84	0.02%	4.88%	8.19%	2,403	278	0.75	0.39	0.39	3	1	9	0	9%	88%	11%	48%	0%	0%
11	-4,248	-0.79%	37.48%	25.35%	288	89	0.82	0.46	0.45	0	1	2	7	15%	53%	27%	25%	6%	6%
12	-1,821	-0.34%	9.89%	15.73%	1,290	226	0.72	0.32	0.36	1	1	17	3	12%	89%	10%	34%	0%	2%
13	-4,667	-0.87%	11.80%	38.03%	489	112	0.94	0.49	0.52	0	1	0	3	12%	74%	7%	27%	5%	7%
14	2,235	0.42%	11.51%	13.23%	1,475	222	0.84	0.37	0.37	0	2	12	4	8%	64%	24%	66%	6%	0%
15	-5,143	-0.96%	12.77%	52.56%	1,578	233	0.84	0.37	0.43	1	1	2	2	5%	89%	9%	29%	0%	2%
16	-3,250	-0.60%	5.22%	10.61%	655	114	0.89	0.64	0.55	0	2	6	2	12%	45%	28%	54%	0%	1%
17	1,557	0.29%	10.06%	10.14%	1,201	188	0.78	0.43	0.43	0	1	15	1	1%	79%	10%	53%	7%	0%
18	-2,463	-0.46%	9.42%	32.62%	281	89	0.78	0.44	0.47	0	1	0	1	45%	48%	19%	41%	1%	7%
19	-3,007	-0.56%	33.20%	21.78%	351	111	0.69	0.36	0.36	0	2	0	3	19%	6%	39%	31%	1%	18%
20	-2,318	-0.43%	11.89%	21.41%	854	140	0.86	0.55	0.56	0	2	5	2	5%	58%	46%	3%	0%	7%
21	-3,388	-0.63%	10.97%	17.22%	1,265	194	0.72	0.42	0.41	0	2	5	1	1%	53%	33%	33%	0%	9%
22	-2,197	-0.41%	16.13%	24.30%	993	205	0.78	0.30	0.31	0	1	7	4	3%	71%	25%	25%	3%	2%
23	-4,979	-0.92%	4.33%	9.59%	1,143	147	0.92	0.67	0.49	1	1	3	1	19%	73%	24%	46%	0%	2%
24	4,267	0.79%	6.40%	9.70%	453	104	0.86	0.53	0.63	0	1	17	3	24%	52%	16%	71%	0%	8%
25	-2,706	-0.50%	13.34%	15.46%	4,203	307	0.83	0.56	0.49	4	1	12	1	2%	92%	2%	31%	4%	1%
26	-3,694	-0.69%	9.05%	16.04%	3,433	362	0.60	0.33	0.40	3	2	11	5	9%	74%	18%	21%	2%	4%
27	420	0.08%	6.26%	17.18%	1,092	142	0.94	0.68	0.46	0	1	3	3	5%	71%	29%	60%	0%	0%
28	-960	-0.18%	7.68%	26.59%	4,027	326	0.83	0.48	0.48	2	1	5	3	2%	89%	6%	43%	0%	3%
29	2,445	0.45%	11.02%	15.57%	1,674	182	0.90	0.63	0.60	1	2	12	4	8%	47%	31%	48%	6%	5%
30	5,080	0.94%	25.10%	32.22%	197	63	0.91	0.63	0.53	0	1	18	2	22%	30%	33%	46%	0%	14%
31	1,687	0.31%	15.81%	14.53%	1,320	176	0.88	0.54	0.45	0	1	10	2	11%	48%	30%	24%	0%	8%
32	2,468	0.46%	19.30%	42.69%	961	138	0.94	0.64	0.56	0	1	3	5	19%	74%	16%	0%	0%	3%
33	2,960	0.55%	50.41%	21.85%	89	49	0.80	0.46	0.52	0	1	5	6	40%	0%	66%	0%	0%	3%
34	3,427	0.64%	15.33%	21.53%	148	72	0.74	0.36	0.50	0	2	7	5	40%	18%	38%	31%	0%	13%
35	-4,884	-0.91%	50.07%	37.96%	144	69	0.81	0.37	0.46	0	1	9	6	24%	37%	38%	47%	0%	4%
36	-3,933	-0.73%	5.40%	90.13%	170	58	0.90	0.63	0.58	0	1	7	3	30%	17%	72%	4%	0%	5%
37	2,230	0.41%	6.23%	78.49%	60	42	0.82	0.43	0.28	0	1	1	4	12%	0%	64%	27%	1%	9%
38	3,672	0.68%	18.24%	34.73%	153	70	0.84	0.39	0.45	0	2	9	8	23%	34%	38%	43%	0%	6%
39	4,077	0.76%	8.23%	71.50%	6,953	637	0.54	0.22	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	5%	80%	2%	0%
40	5,219	0.97%	13.01%	66.39%	343	91	0.85	0.52	0.43	0	1	5	2	13%	17%	18%	51%	15%	6%

			of c		eral ity c			ers spli	ts:				
In Plan S00058050	29	51	16	16	51	48	48	412	359	53	367	114	106
District lines and City and County Boundaries	Number of Counties	Counties with only one district	Districts with only one county	Counties split into more than one district	Counties with all population in a single district	Aggregate number of county splits	Aggregate number of splits with population	Number of Cities	Cities with only one district	Cities split into more than one district	Cities with all population in only one district	Aggregate number of city splits	Aggregate number of splits with population

## Split Counties and Cities

	Counties inclu	ded in more t	han one dis	trict		C	unties included in mo	re than one d	district			Countie	es included in more	e than one dist	rict		Cou	inties inclu	uded in mor	e than one d	istrict	
County		. Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	County	Dist. Total P			Area%	Cou	unty	Dist. Total Pop		Total Area Area%	6	County		t. Total Po		Total Area	Area%
Alachua	5	160,305	57.6%	494.0	51.0%							,										
Alachua	8	118,163	42.4%	474.8	49.0%																	
Brevard	14	66,600	11.0%	356.0	22.9%																	
Brevard	17	540,012	89.0%	1,201.0	77.1%																	
Broward	32	540,923	27.8%	961.2	73.5%																	
Broward	33	541,415	27.9%	89.0	6.8%																	
Broward	34	409,567	21.1%	114.9	8.8%																	
Broward	38	452,470	23.3%	143.2	11.0%																	
Duval	4	452,156	45.4%	670.4	73.0%																	
Duval	6	543,411	54.6%	248.1	27.0%																	
Hillsborough	18		36.7%	280.8	21.1%																	
Hillsborough	19		29.0%	281.4	21.1%																	
Hillsborough	20	266,000	18.2%	301.6	22.6%																	
Hillsborough	21		16.1%	468.2	35.2%																	
Lee	26	99,823	13.1%	191.1	12.6%																	
Lee Lee	27 28	538,875 122,124	70.8% 16.1%	1,091.7 232.0	72.1% 15.3%																	
Manatee	28		75.1%	796.9	82.7%																	
Manatee	21	99,470	24.9%	167.2	17.3%																	
Miami-Dade	35		19.8%	143.5	6.0%																	
Miami-Dade	36	534,522	19.8%	169.6	7.1%																	
Miami-Dade	37	540,685	20.0%	59.9	2.5%																	
Miami-Dade	38	89,657	3.3%	10.1	0.4%																	
Miami-Dade	39	459,658	17.0%	1,663.5	69.6%																	
Miami-Dade	40	543,674	20.1%	342.8	14.4%																	
Okaloosa	1	29,023	13.7%	342.0	27.4%																	
Okaloosa	2	182,645	86.3%	904.7	72.6%																	
Orange	9	64,579	4.5%	20.9	2.1%																	
Orange	11		37.4%	288.1	28.7%																	
Orange	12		10.7%	133.6	13.3%																	
Orange	13		37.3%	489.0	48.7%																	
Orange	15	144,656	10.1%	71.8	7.2%																	
Palm Beach	29		18.5%	834.1	35.0%																	
Palm Beach	30	543,535	36.4%	196.8	8.3%																	
Palm Beach Palm Beach	31 34	540,142 132,315	36.2% 8.9%	1,319.6 32.8	55.4% 1.4%																	
Pain Beach Pasco	10		10.8%	146.8	1.4%																	
Pasco	16		41.2%	315.5	31.1%																	
Pasco	20	270,137	48.1%	552.4	54.4%																	
Pinellas	16	303,880	31.7%	339.9	39.4%																	
Pinellas	10	112,505	11.7%	69.2	8.0%																	
Pinellas	24	542,722	56.6%	453.5	52.6%																	
Polk	22	536,258	74.0%	993.4	49.4%																	
Polk	26		26.0%	1,017.0	50.6%																	
St. Lucie	25	222,956	67.7%	600.5	87.3%																	
St. Lucie	29	106,270	32.3%	87.3	12.7%																	
Volusia	7	79,453	14.4%	313.8	21.9%																	
Volusia	14	474,090	85.7%	1,118.7	78.1%																	

## Split Counties and Cities

City Aventura Aventura	Dist.	d in more than o Total Pop					es included												Cities included in more than one district
Aventura Aventura			Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop		Cotal Area	Area%	City	Cities included Dist.	Total Pop		Total Area	Area%	City	Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area%
Aventura	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%	Miami Beach	37	42,584	51.4%	7.0	46.2%	Winter Haven	22	49,169	99.9%	36.8	89.6%	City	
	38	40,242	100.0%	3.5	99.2%	Miramar	32	101,471	75.3%	27.1	87.3%	Winter Haven	26	50	0.1%	4.3			
Belle Isle	13	7,032	100.0%	5.0	97.0%	Miramar	38	33,250	24.7%	4.0	12.7%	Winter Park	9	29,774	99.9%	10.4	99.7%		
Belle Isle	15	0	0.0%	0.2	3.0%	Newberry	5	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.6%	Winter Park	11	21	0.1%	0.0	0.3%		
Boca Raton	31	50,013	51.3%	19.2	60.8%	Newberry	8	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%								
Boca Raton	34	47,409	48.7%	12.4	39.2%	North Miami	35	60,191	100.0%	10.0	100.0%								
Bonita Springs	27	43,114	80.4%	32.4	69.7%	North Miami	38	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%								
Bonita Springs	28	10,530	19.6%	14.1	30.3%	North Miami Beach	35	35,300	80.8%	4.3	79.9%								
Clearwater	16	83,819	71.5%	29.4	82.0%	North Miami Beach	38	8,376	19.2%	1.1	20.1%								
Clearwater	24	33,473	28.5%	6.4	18.0%	Oakland	11	3,516	100.0%	2.3	99.1%								
Coconut Creek	33	25,596	44.3%	4.8	39.9%	Oakland	12	0	0.0%	0.0	0.9%								
Coconut Creek	34	32,237	55.7%	7.2	60.1%	Oakland Park	33	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%								
Coral Gables	37	25,018	50.8%	3.9	10.3%	Oakland Park	34	21,661	49.0%	3.2	39.5%								
Coral Gables	40	24,230	49.2%	33.5	89.7%	Oakland Park	38	7,531	17.0%	1.4	17.5%								
Crestview	1	10,214	37.6%	8.7	51.9%	Ocoee	11	39,609	83.8%	14.9	89.2%								
Crestview Davie	2 32	16,920 78,079	62.4% 73.9%	8.1 28.3	48.1% 79.2%	Ocoee Oldsmar	12 16	7,686 14.887	16.3% 99.9%	1.8 10.1	10.8% 100.0%								
Davie	32	27,612	26.1%	28.5	20.8%	Oldsmar	24	14,007	0.1%	0.0	0.0%								
Daytona Beach	7	7,012	9.7%	2.4	3.6%	Opa-locka	35	16,463	100.0%	4.2	94.6%								
Daytona Beach	14	65,589	90.3%	65.8	96.4%	Opa-locka	35	10,403	0.0%	0.2	5.4%								
Eagle Lake	22	05,565	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Orlando	9	3,835	1.3%	1.7	1.4%								
Eagle Lake	26	3,008	100.0%	3.7	99.8%	Orlando	11	141,201	45.9%	36.7	30.9%								
Edgewood	11	1,482	55.2%	0.8	55.9%	Orlando	13	159,416	51.8%	75.8	63.7%								
Edgewood	13	1,203	44.8%	0.7	44.1%	Orlando	15	3,121	1.0%	4.7	4.0%								
Estero	27	27,095	73.4%	18.5	72.8%	Ormond Beach	7	36,557	84.9%	36.2	93.2%								
Estero	28	9,844	26.7%	6.9	27.2%	Ormond Beach	14	6,523	15.1%	2.7	6.8%								
Fanning Springs	5	478	40.4%	1.9	38.4%	Palm Beach Gardens	29	42,837	72.4%	53.4	90.0%								
Fanning Springs	8	704	59.6%	3.1	61.6%	Palm Beach Gardens	30	16,345	27.6%	5.9	10.0%								
Fort Lauderdale	33	65,524	35.9%	13.5	37.2%	Pembroke Pines	32	154,578	90.3%	31.8	91.4%								
Fort Lauderdale	34	17,711	9.7%	2.9	7.9%	Pembroke Pines	38	16,600	9.7%	3.0	8.6%								
Fort Lauderdale	38	99,525	54.5%	19.9	54.9%	Plantation	32	65,908	71.8%	16.9	76.8%								
Fort Myers	26	4,870	5.6%	5.9	11.9%	Plantation	33	25,842	28.2%	5.1	23.2%								
Fort Myers	27	68,996	79.9%	35.4	72.1%	Pompano Beach	33	49,815	44.5%	13.5	54.7%								
Fort Myers	28	12,529	14.5%	7.8	16.0%	Pompano Beach	34	62,231	55.5%	11.2	45.3%								
Gainesville	5	93,662	66.4%	48.3	75.1%	Port St. Lucie	25	99,884	48.8%	50.7	41.9%								
Gainesville	8	47,423	33.6%	16.0	24.9%	Port St. Lucie	29	104,967	51.2%	70.2	58.1%								
Holly Hill	7	12,929	99.8%	4.4	96.9%	Royal Palm Beach	29	34,268	88.0%	10.7	91.1%								
Holly Hill	14	29	0.2%	0.1	3.1%	Royal Palm Beach	31	4,664	12.0%	1.1	8.9%								
Jacksonville	4	406,200	42.8%	626.4	71.6%	St. Petersburg	19	112,505	43.6%	69.2	52.7%								
Jacksonville Lake Wales	22	543,411 12,921	57.2% 79.0%	248.1 14.8	28.4% 73.8%	St. Petersburg	24 32	145,803 30,754	56.5% 31.6%	62.1 9.0	47.3% 49.8%								
Lake Wales	22	3,440	21.0%	5.3	26.2%	Sunrise Sunrise	33	50,754 66,581	68.4%	9.0	49.8% 50.2%								
Lakeland	20	100,638	89.3%	64.0	85.2%	Sweetwater	36	17,601	90.9%	2.0	88.9%								
Lakeland	26	12,003	10.7%	11.1	14.8%	Sweetwater	37	1,762	9.1%	0.3	11.1%								
Laurel Hill	1	249	42.6%	2.1	49.5%	Tampa	18	201,035	52.2%	120.7	68.7%								
Laurel Hill	2	335	57.4%	2.2	50.5%	Tampa	19	147,959	38.4%	39.9	22.7%								
Longboat Key	21	2,746	36.6%	8.3	51.7%	Tampa	20	35,965	9.3%	15.3	8.7%								
Longboat Key	23	4,759	63.4%	7.7	48.3%	Temple Terrace	19	26,690	100.0%	7.6	99.0%								
Maitland	9	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Temple Terrace	20	0	0.0%	0.1	1.0%								
Maitland	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Titusville	14	48,133	98.7%	27.7	80.6%								
Miami	35	67,844	15.3%	11.3	20.1%	Titusville	17	656	1.3%	6.7	19.4%								
Miami	36	701	0.2%	0.1	0.1%	West Palm Beach	29	16,219	13.8%	32.5	56.1%								
Miami	37	343,415	77.7%	32.5	57.9%	West Palm Beach	30	101,196	86.2%	25.5	43.9%								
Miami	40	30,281	6.9%	12.3	21.9%	Winter Garden	11	23,888	50.9%	7.6	42.3%								
Miami Beach	35	40,306	48.6%	8.2	53.8%	Winter Garden	12	23,076	49.1%	10.3	57.7%								

Plan	S000S8050	
------	-----------	--

	2020 0	Census								2020 Genera	l Election Re	gistered Vot	ers						
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:		RV who are:		RV wh	io are:	Black	Voters who	are:	Hisp.	Voters who	are:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
6	41.62%	10.43%	51.25%	27.56%	21.20%	41.17%	5.42%	82.43%	3.25%	14.31%	41.41%	21.57%	36.92%	66.22%	4.38%	4.85%	4.24%	27.80%	9.44%
11	37.48%	25.35%	50.09%	21.58%	28.34%	33.81%	17.44%	78.45%	3.10%	18.41%	46.99%	13.95%	39.06%	52.96%	16.36%	4.85%	11.27%	21.97%	24.03%
15	12.77%	52.56%	42.72%	22.11%	35.18%	9.20%	47.32%	72.17%	4.02%	23.79%	47.05%	13.47%	39.49%	15.54%	52.12%	1.67%	28.83%	6.22%	53.12%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.29%	19.77%	27.94%	32.95%	14.48%	79.63%	2.88%	17.47%	45.79%	15.30%	38.81%	50.18%	12.68%	4.80%	11.21%	20.61%	20.12%
33	50.41%	21.85%	62.60%	12.82%	24.58%	46.25%	15.25%	81.54%	2.46%	15.99%	48.01%	16.15%	35.80%	60.25%	11.69%	8.89%	19.20%	30.08%	22.21%
35	50.07%	37.96%	64.26%	10.65%	25.08%	52.03%	26.16%	83.07%	2.42%	14.51%	43.17%	20.66%	36.17%	67.25%	17.58%	11.81%	50.73%	30.10%	37.72%
36	5.40%	90.13%	29.59%	35.46%	34.95%	4.32%	80.65%	80.10%	3.86%	15.94%	26.82%	38.01%	35.16%	11.70%	73.10%	0.47%	86.45%	1.97%	81.13%
37	6.23%	78.49%	34.79%	30.95%	34.26%	4.50%	69.00%	74.44%	4.24%	21.19%	30.87%	35.55%	33.58%	9.62%	61.23%	0.62%	79.26%	2.78%	67.64%
39	8.23%	71.50%	31.79%	34.84%	33.37%	5.85%	62.89%	74.85%	3.99%	20.99%	27.85%	36.89%	35.26%	13.77%	55.11%	0.67%	66.59%	3.68%	66.45%
40	13.01%	66.39%	38.82%	29.94%	31.23%	12.33%	54.54%	80.56%	3.05%	16.36%	28.87%	36.81%	34.32%	25.58%	40.56%	1.25%	67.05%	6.46%	59.93%

	2020	Census	Avera	ge Primary E	lection Tur	nout							Average Ge	eneral Electi	ion Turnou	t						G	eneral Elec	tion Perforn	nance in Sta	atewide Elec	tions 2012-2	2020
Dist.	VAP w	ho are:	DEM wi	ho are:	REP wi	ho are:	Vo	ters who a	re:	DEM w	ho are:	REP w	ho are:	NPAOth	who are:	Black	Voters wh	no are:	Hisp.	Voters wh	o are:	Avg.	Perf.	Wi	ns		Margins	
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN	AVG
6	41.62%	10.43%	66.82%	1.43%	2.70%	1.63%	52.83%	32.61%	14.56%	66.63%	2.81%	3.34%	2.85%	24.16%	6.72%	88.40%	2.73%	8.84%	42.91%	27.68%	28.81%	56.2%	42.2%	13	1	D +27.2%	D +0.4%	D +14.5%
11	37.48%	25.35%	60.48%	7.46%	2.94%	5.14%	52.13%	26.69%	21.17%	55.33%	12.57%	3.36%	7.75%	19.61%	18.67%	85.04%	2.63%	12.29%	51.96%	16.44%	31.42%	63.3%	35.1%	14	0	D +41.3%	D +12.7%	D +28.6%
15	12.77%	52.56%	18.29%	37.57%	1.02%	14.65%	44.99%	27.64%	27.36%	16.96%	46.05%	1.37%	20.76%	5.86%	43.99%	79.34%	3.93%	16.72%	53.93%	14.78%	31.27%	58.4%	39.9%	13	1	D +38.2%	D +1.1%	D +18.7%
19	33.20%	21.78%	52.82%	5.31%	3.13%	5.36%	56.51%	22.22%	21.26%	52.65%	9.14%	3.75%	7.97%	18.23%	14.45%	86.24%	2.41%	11.30%	51.24%	17.80%	30.65%	67.6%	30.6%	14	0	D +52.7%	D +19.5%	D +37.5%
33	50.41%	21.85%	60.43%	4.94%	6.62%	9.91%	67.42%	13.58%	19.00%	59.42%	9.17%	7.23%	14.82%	27.77%	18.72%	86.43%	2.12%	11.39%	52.33%	17.19%	30.27%	79.4%	19.8%	14	0	D +65.4%	D +54.3%	D +59.9%
35	50.07%	37.96%	74.75%	9.43%	9.44%	50.99%	70.89%	10.21%	18.90%	70.39%	14.09%	10.24%	48.79%	30.56%	35.03%	88.00%	1.84%	10.14%	45.89%	23.41%	30.66%	83.8%	15.4%	14	0	D +74.5%	D +56.6%	D +68.6%
36	5.40%	90.13%	21.46%	59.84%	0.29%	88.68%	30.75%	40.89%	28.35%	14.62%	69.19%	0.39%	86.57%	2.10%	82.07%	85.44%	3.08%	11.42%	26.61%	44.32%	29.07%	45.3%	53.6%	3	11	R +21.6%	D +2.3%	R +8.1%
37	6.23%	78.49%	9.97%	55.82%	0.30%	86.37%	34.80%	36.94%	28.26%	9.21%	60.20%	0.41%	81.23%	2.22%	69.24%	80.06%	3.81%	15.85%	29.72%	42.55%	27.73%	50.9%	47.9%	8	6	D +21.8%	R +0%	D +3.4%
39	8.23%	71.50%	13.98%	36.47%	0.39%	61.88%	33.26%	39.06%	27.68%	13.99%	48.49%	0.55%	63.25%	3.38%	62.33%	80.02%	3.68%	16.05%	27.67%	42.63%	29.68%	48.1%	50.6%	7	7	R +13.8%	D +0.8%	R +2.1%
40	13.01%	66.39%	30.07%	24.28%	0.83%	63.61%	41.51%	33.34%	25.15%	27.43%	33.28%	1.03%	62.79%	6.34%	55.25%	85.41%	2.57%	11.93%	28.15%	43.29%	28.56%	56.0%	42.9%	14	0	D +24.4%	D +0.5%	D +13.5%

Permacy Stocksoft   B/VM   10.25   27.75   32.205   50.415   50.775   -6.807   5.407   6.237   6.236   6.236   6.236   7.237   7.236   7.237   7.236   7.237   7.236   7.237   7.236   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.237   7.2377   7.23				6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
Bit State   R, Desait   0.77%   0.85%   0.90%   0.68%   1.16%   2.21%   2.60%   6.23%   6.74%   6.75%   7.75%   3.85%   6.85%   6.75%   1.75%   1.65%   7.75%   7.75%		Plan S000S8050	BVA	P 41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
2018   R, Polsnits   97,7%   0.85%   0.90%   0.68%   1.63%   2.21%   2.60%   2.03%   1.73%   1.23%     Governor (REP)   R, Desinits   97,26%   50,22%   51,30%   75,00%   2.55%   3.75%   3.98%   3.81%   3.15%   2.69%     R, Langford   1.04%   1.64%   1.24%   1.97%   1.64%   1.97%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.75%   1.64%   1.43%   1.35%   1.24%   1.63%   2.44%   1.37%   1.63%   1.43%   1.35%   1.25%   2.64%   3.25%   2.15%   2.64%   3.25%   2.05%   1.018%   1.95%   2.05%   3.23%   3.00%   2.14%   2.07%   2.05%   3.23%   2.08%   3.23%   2.08%   3.23%   2.08%   3.23%   2.08%   3.23%   2.08%   3.23%   2.08%   3.23% <td< td=""><td></td><td>Primary Elections</td><td>HVA</td><td>P 10.43%</td><td>25.35%</td><td>52.56%</td><td>21.78%</td><td>21.85%</td><td>37.96%</td><td>90.13%</td><td>78.49%</td><td>71.50%</td><td>66.39%</td></td<>		Primary Elections	HVA	P 10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
Governor (REP)   1.28%   1.00%   2.03%   1.40%   2.55%   3.75%   3.98%   3.81%   3.15%   1.65%     Audrocadante   0.48%   0.78%   1.39%   0.02%   1.91%   2.15%   1.97%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.41%   1.37%   1.61%   1.41%   1.37%   1.61%   1.41%   1.22%   3.38%   3.21%   3.42%   3.43%   1.01%   2.56%   2.36%   1.21%   3.41%   1.01%   2.56%   1.21%   3.41%   1.01%   1.23%   3.42%   3.42%   3.42%   3.42%   3.42%   3.42%   1.35%   1.19%   1.21%   2.35%   1.01%   2.55%   5.25%   5.25%   5.25%   5.25%		·	R Baldauf	0.77%	0.85%	0.90%	0.68%	1.63%	2.21%		2.03%	1.73%	1.25%
Governor (REP)   R_ungford R_ungford   1.28%   1.00%   2.03%   1.40%   2.15%   1.97%   3.98%   3.81%   3.15%   2.69%     R_Natroclarate R_Nathan   0.28%   0.78%   1.39%   0.92%   1.91%   2.91%   2.87%   2.63%   1.87%   1.64%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.37%   1.61%   1.43%   1.37%   1.61%   1.43%   1.21%   1.37%   1.61%   1.21%   3.41%   1.02%   3.41%   1.21%   3.41%   1.21%   3.42%   3.41%   1.03%   1.19%   1.02%   3.41%   1.03%   1.19%   1.02%   3.41%   1.03%   1.19%   1.02%   3.41%   1.03%   1.19%   1.02%   3.41%   1.03%   1.19%   2.03%   1.01%   2.25% <td></td> <td></td> <td>R DeSantis</td> <td>57.78%</td> <td>50.22%</td> <td>51.86%</td> <td>44.19%</td> <td>68.56%</td> <td>64.39%</td> <td>67.84%</td> <td>66.97%</td> <td>68.13%</td> <td>67.60%</td>			R DeSantis	57.78%	50.22%	51.86%	44.19%	68.56%	64.39%	67.84%	66.97%	68.13%	67.60%
Governor (REP)   E. Jercadante R. Nathan   0.48%   0.78%   1.39%   0.92%   1.91%   2.21%   2.25%   1.27%   1.66%     R. Purtnam   135.44%   4.16.15%   38.76%   48.05%   172.06%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.21%   3.42%   4.23%   3.21%   3.20%   2.21%   3.83%   3.20%   2.64%   3.28%   1.02%   3.44%   4.31%   2.01%   1.01%   0.21%   2.23%   1.02%   3.44%   4.31%   2.01%   1.02%   3.83%   3.00%   0.14%   1.02%   3.34%   4.31%   2.01%   2.23%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   3.03%   0.35%   0.33%   0.33%   0.33%   0.33%   0.33% <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-										
Governor (REP)   E. Jercadante R. Nathan   0.48%   0.78%   1.39%   0.92%   1.91%   2.21%   2.25%   1.27%   1.66%     R. Purtnam   135.44%   4.16.15%   38.76%   48.05%   172.06%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.33%   1.61%   1.21%   3.42%   4.23%   3.21%   3.20%   2.21%   3.83%   3.20%   2.64%   3.28%   1.02%   3.44%   4.31%   2.01%   1.01%   0.21%   2.23%   1.02%   3.44%   4.31%   2.01%   1.02%   3.83%   3.00%   0.14%   1.02%   3.34%   4.31%   2.01%   2.23%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   2.03%   3.03%   0.35%   0.33%   0.33%   0.33%   0.33%   0.33% <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-										
Particle   R. Nathan   0.82%   1.03%   1.03%   2.44%   1.37%   1.13%   1.43%   1.34%     R. White   1.64%   2.14%   2.15%   3.42%   5.43%   3.21%   3.88%   3.25%   3.28%		Governor (REP)		0.48%	0.78%		0.92%	1.91%		2.87%			1.86%
R_Putnam   35.94%   41.61%   38.76%   48.05%   17.20%   17.61%   15.75%   17.33%   18.07%   20.39%   20.33%   32.11%   30.91%   27.33%   32.11%   30.91%   25.09%   32.11%   30.91%   25.09%   32.11%   30.91%   25.09%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.37%   20.92%   23.38%   30.05%   0.33%   31.51%   20.92%   23.38%   40.01%   23.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%   40.02%   43.38%			-										
2018			-										
D   Oldium   55.30%   496.2%   72.99%   50.55%   51.01%   53.41%   0.01%   25.04%   20.22%     Governor (DEM)   D_Greene   0.91%   24.50%   29.03%   25.83%   12.02%   0.018%   19.67%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87%   20.22%   23.87% <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-										
2018   0   Gracham   20   29   24   25   23   23   20.23%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.2%   23.3%   20.3%   23.3%   20.3%   23.2%   23.3%	-				-								
Governor (DEM)   D   General   6.84%   9.33%   15.05%   6.46%   11.05%   9.99%   10.03%   8.84%   11.04%   7.25%     2018   D_Levine   13.96%   11.96%   20.50%   2.04%   0.85%   0.79%   2.25%   2.08%   2.26%   2.05%   2.34%   12.28%   12.28%   0.33%   1.5%   1.24%   0.93%   0.33%   1.5%   1.24%   0.93%   0.33%   0.5%   0.93%   0.33%   0.5%   0.93%   0.33%   0.5%   0.93%   0.83%   0.93%   0.93%   0.83%   0.93%   0.93%   0.93%   0.93%   0.93%   0.93%   0.93%   0.93%			-										
Governor (DEM)   D_King   1.62%   3.4%   4.31%   2.04%   0.85%   0.7%   2.35%   2.20%   2.20%   1.9%     2018   D_Levine   13.96%   11.96%   20.5%   0.33%   0.30%   0.41%   1.58%   1.24%   0.91%   0.53%   0.30%   0.41%   1.58%   1.24%   0.91%   0.53%   0.53%   0.33%   1.15%   0.90%   1.03%   0.51%   0.57%   5.13%   3.05%   0.53%   0.51%   0.52%   1.55%   1.63%   1.05%   1.12%   0.05%   1.25%   1.55%   1.63%   1.05%   1.05%   1.05%   1.05%   1.05%   1.05%			-										
Dilevine   13.9%   11.9%   20.50%   14.21%   24.09%   24.83%   24.22%   41.22%   23.88%   34.00%     Dilundmark   0.46%   0.47%   1.26%   0.33%   0.30%   0.41%   1.58%   1.24%   0.91%   0.53%     Attorney General (REP)   R. Moody   57.69%   54.40%   53.73%   60.10%   69.983   47.01%   66.09%   63.34%   1.66.0%   60.25%   53.31%   53.90%   53.78%   66.03%   62.26%   46.18%   44.63%   44.94%     Attorney General (DEM)   D. Shaw   76.42%   74.97%   59.28%   80.12%   71.25%   34.60%   34.01%   32.00%   21.07%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R. Caldwell   31.00%   35.81%   35.73%   24.01%   42.55%   40.22%   40.66%   38.20%   31.11%   30.92%   34.60%   34.11%   30.26%   21.11%   41.92%   14.95%   14.24%   84.35%   34.76%   31.11%   30.92%   54.30%   50.93%   54.01%		Coverner (DEM)											
2018   D   Lundmark   0.4%   1.26%   0.33%   0.30%   0.41%   1.58%   0.91%   0.91%   0.57%     Attorney General (REP)   R, Moody   57.69%   54.40%   53.73%   60.10%   52.59%   53.31%   53.00%   53.78%   55.09%     Attorney General (DEM)   D, Shaw   76.42%   47.47%   59.28%   80.12%   81.10%   82.74%   44.63%   42.00%   81.8%   17.25%   34.60%   34.01%   32.00%   82.00%   78.69% <t< td=""><td></td><td>dovernor (DEIW)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		dovernor (DEIW)											
2018   D   Wetherbee   0.76%   0.66%   1.94%   0.43%   0.33%   1.15%   0.90%   1.03%   0.57%     Attorney General (REP)   R, Mvhite   42.33%   45.63%   46.26%   33.83%   47.01%   46.69%   64.02%			-										
Attorney General (REP)   R, Moody R, White   97.69% 42.33%   64.0% 45.63%   63.73% 45.63%   60.10% 42.58%   53.29% 83.31%   53.29% 53.28%   55.28% 55.08%   55.28% 44.93%     Attorney General (DEM)   D, Shaw   76.42%   74.97%   59.28%   80.12%   81.10%   82.74%   65.34%   65.05%   74.93%   59.28%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R, Caidwell   31.0%   32.73%   25.08%   40.72%   19.90%   18.88%   17.25%   34.60%   34.01%   32.00%   21.17%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R, Caidwell   31.0%   35.81%   35.73%   25.01%   26.07%   31.08%   34.15%   34.07%   31.11%   30.92%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D, Fried   62.33%   54.91%   54.45%   30.10%   55.89%   57.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.30%   55.39%     US Senate (REP)   R, Beruff   23.66%   19.23%   11.37%   15.68%   31.43%   77.44%   85.23%     US Senate (REP)   R, Beruff   23.66%	2018		-								-		
Attorney General (REP)   R_ White   42.33%   45.23%   45.26%   39.83%   47.01%   46.60%   46.02%   46.13%   44.63%   44.94%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_ Torrens   23.57%   25.08%   40.72%   19.90%   18.88%   17.25%   34.60%   34.01%   32.07%   23.57%   24.01%   42.58%   40.22%   40.66%   38.20%   42.11%   41.92%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_ Caldwell   31.90%   35.51%   32.77%   24.01%   42.58%   40.22%   40.66%   38.20%   47.66%   11.11%   30.20%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   P. Fried   62.93%   54.91%   54.44%   63.01%   65.89%   57.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.87%   34.30%   20.24%   15.86%   18.38%   16.27%   16.94%   50.95%   75.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.39%   49.66%   47.11%   15.86%   11.87%   14.82%   12.85%   15.58%   17.97%   4.13%   44.63%   65.24%   65.34%   27	-		-										
Attorney General (DEM)   D_Shaw D_Torrens   76.42%   74.97%   59.28%   80.12%   81.10%   82.74%   65.34%   66.05%   67.89%   78.69%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_Grimsley   15.70%   35.81%   36.73%   24.01%   22.55%   25.01%   34.05%   34.01%   32.00%   14.19%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_Grimsley   15.70%   30.51%   32.77%   25.11%   26.07%   31.08%   34.15%   34.07%   31.11%   30.20%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Fried   62.93%   54.91%   54.45%   63.01%   65.84%   57.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.30%   59.97%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.30%   56.93%   77.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%   57.95%   52.44%		Attorney General (REP)											
Attorney General (JEW)   D_Torrens   23.57%   25.08%   40.72%   19.90%   18.88%   17.25%   34.00%   32.00%   21.27%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_Cafdwell   31.90%   30.51%   36.73%   22.01%   42.58%   40.22%   40.66%   38.20%   42.11%   41.92%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_Grimsley   16.70%   30.51%   32.77%   25.11%   62.07%   11.08%   31.55%   34.76%   16.69%   16.94%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Fried   62.93%   54.91%   57.85%   14.83%   10.47%   10.59%   9.68%   9.87%     US Senate (REP)   R_Det la Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   15.68%   11.73%   10.43%   11.40%   32.04%   26.44%   63.03%   27.97%   4.14%   14.82%   18.83%   10.73%   11.40%   32.66%   39.34%   81.44%   76.43%   65.27%   32.94%   32.04%   12.44%   13.43%   20.44%   57.97%   41.96%   57.95% <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-										
Particulture Commissioner (REP)   R. Caldwell   31.00%   35.81%   30.73%   25.08%   40.72%   10.90%   12.25%   34.60%   34.01%   32.00%   24.11%   41.92%     Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_Grimsley   16.70%   30.51%   32.77%   25.11%   26.07%   31.08%   34.15%   34.76%   31.11%   30.92%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Fried   62.93%   54.91%   54.45%   63.01%   65.89%   57.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.30%   59.01%     D_Walker   16.49%   25.81%   21.75%   14.82%   10.43%   11.20%   11.85%   10.47%   10.56%   18.83%   16.92%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   15.59%   7.79%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%   3.04%   82.44%   82.34%   85.34%   85.25%   11.37%   12.66%   83.20%   9.032%   86.08%   83.94%   2.24%   83.94%   2.24%   8.18%   66.1% </td <td></td> <td>Attorney General (DEM)</td> <td></td>		Attorney General (DEM)											
Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_Grimsley R_MCCalister Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   R_Grimsley R_MCCalister Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   R_Grimsley R_MCCalister Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   R_Grimsley R_Troutman   16.70% 43.11%   30.27% 15.43%   25.11% 12.00%   21.45% 13.20%   16.63% 16.63%   34.15% 16.27%   34.15% 15.28%   34.15% 12.27%   34.05% 15.28%   32.44%   34.05% 15.28%   32.44%   34.05% 15.28%   32.44%   34.05% 15.28%   32.43% 20.24%   34.30% 15.83%   11.79% 12.40%   12.40% 13.40%   34.06%   33.28% 13.26%   32.64%   89.33%   88.14%   82.48%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%   83.34%   82.44%	_												
Agriculture Commissioner (REP)   R_McCalister R_Troutman   7.91% 43.41%   15.92% 18.25%   11.20% 43.92%   21.45% 8.92%   16.56%   14.63% 10.47%   16.27% 10.59%   16.69% 9.68%   16.94% 9.68%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Fried   62.93%   54.91%   54.45%   63.01%   65.89%   79.55%   52.44%   57.07%   56.30%   90.93%   59.01%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   17.15%   14.82%   18.43%   20.24%   15.56%   12.31%   10.63%   11.79%   12.40%   13.40%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente   10.33%   17.33%   15.59%   7.77%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     R_Scott   89.70%   88.69%   90.32%   86.08%   83.94%   82.64%   89.33%   88.14%   87.48%   86.52%     US Senate (REP)   R_Reurin   7.33%   7.247%   70.85%   64.29%   68.77%   80.09%   9.18%   90.92%   84.98%   86.01%     US Senate (DEM)													
R_mccalister   7.91%   15.43%   15.28%   11.20%   21.45%   16.56%   14.63%   16.27%   16.59%   16.59%   16.57%   16.59%   16.57%   16.59%   16.57%   16.59%   16.57%   16.57%   16.59%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   16.57%   10.47%   10.57%   52.44%   57.07%   54.30%   59.01%     Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Porter   20.56%   19.28%   19.74%   15.57%   14.82%   18.43%   20.24%   15.56%   18.83%   16.92%     US Senate (REP)   R_Det La Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   15.66%   17.21%   10.63%   11.79%   12.40%   13.40%     US Senate (REP)   R_Bruiff   23.36%   27.37%   72.47%   70.85%   64.29%   68.77%   80.09%   19.28%   10.33%   2.97%   2.17%     US Senate (DEM)   D_be La Fuente   3.36%   17.7%   5.56%   64.29%   68.7		Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Grimsley			32.77%		26.07%					
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Fried D_Porter   62.93% 20.56%   54.45%   63.01%   65.89% 57.95%   52.44%   57.07%   54.30%   59.01%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente R_De La Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   14.82%   18.43%   20.24%   15.86%   18.83%   16.23%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente R_Scott   89.70%   88.69%   90.32%   86.08%   87.95%   27.14%   15.86%   11.79%   12.40%   13.40%     US Senate (REP)   R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.47%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     R_Rivera   3.38%   2.73%   3.23%   3.80%   4.67%   3.52%   2.00%   19.3%   2.97%   2.17%     R_Noing   5.17%   5.56%   4.29%   64.7%   80.09%   91.83%   90.92%   84.98%   86.01%     US Senate (DEM)   D_Ce La Fuente   3.36%   12.66%   13.76%   17.17%   10.09%   13.29%		Agriculture commissioner (REF)	R_McCalister	7.91%	15.43%	15.92%	11.20%	21.45%	16.56%	14.63%	16.27%	16.69%	
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)   D_Porter   20.56%   19.28%   19.74%   15.78%   14.82%   18.43%   20.24%   15.86%   15.86%   15.86%   12.704%   25.81%   21.15%   19.27%   23.63%   27.19%   27.04%   25.86%   23.34%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   15.68%   17.21%   10.63%   11.79%   12.40%   13.40%     R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.45%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.45%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     R_Rivera   3.38%   2.73%   3.23%   3.80%   4.67%   3.52%   2.00%   1.93%   2.97%   2.17%     QD be La Fuente   3.65%   4.07%   16.91%   4.71%   5.49%   25.49%   12.33%   15.16%   1.77%   11.17%   11.16%   D_Grayson			R_Troutman	43.41%			39.09%	8.92%	11.85%	10.47%	10.59%	9.68%	9.87%
D_Walker   16.49%   25.81%   21.15%   19.27%   23.63%   27.19%   27.04%   26.58%   23.94%     US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   15.68%   17.21%   10.63%   11.79%   12.40%   13.40%     R_Beruff   23.96%   88.69%   90.32%   86.08%   83.94%   82.64%   89.33%   88.14%   87.48%   86.52%     US Senate (REP)   R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.45%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   66.67%   6.71%     R_Rubio   67.37%   72.47%   3.23%   3.80%   46.77%   3.52%   2.00%   1.93%   2.97%   2.17%     R_Rubio   67.37%   72.47%   0.809%   1.82%   0.02%   84.98%   86.01%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   25.49%   21.33%   13.16%   7.57%   4.55%   10.31%   3.26%   10.29%   1.32%   1.26%   14.34%   15.6%   13.36%   <			D_Fried	62.93%	54.91%	54.45%	63.01%	65.89%	57.95%	52.44%	57.07%	54.30%	59.01%
US Senate (REP)   R_De La Fuente R_Scott   10.23%   11.30%   9.66%   13.76%   15.68%   17.21%   10.63%   11.79%   12.40%   13.40%     US Senate (REP)   R_Scott   89.70%   88.69%   90.32%   86.08%   89.34%   82.64%   89.33%   88.14%   87.48%   86.52%     US Senate (REP)   R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.45%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     R_Rubio   67.37%   72.47%   70.85%   64.29%   68.77%   80.09%   91.88%   90.92%   84.98%   86.01%     R_Nuici   67.37%   72.47%   70.85%   64.29%   68.77%   80.09%   19.3%   13.76%   13.76%   13.76%   13.76%   13.76%   14.85%   10.33%   11.27%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17%   11.17% <td></td> <td>Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)</td> <td>D_Porter</td> <td>20.56%</td> <td>19.28%</td> <td>19.74%</td> <td>15.78%</td> <td>14.82%</td> <td>18.43%</td> <td>20.24%</td> <td>15.86%</td> <td>18.83%</td> <td>16.92%</td>		Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Porter	20.56%	19.28%	19.74%	15.78%	14.82%	18.43%	20.24%	15.86%	18.83%	16.92%
US Senate (REP)   R_Scott   89.70%   88.69%   90.32%   86.08%   83.94%   82.64%   89.33%   88.14%   87.48%   86.52%     US Senate (REP)   R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.45%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     R_Rivera   3.38%   2.73%   3.23%   3.80%   4.67%   3.52%   2.00%   1.93%   2.97%   2.17%     R_Rubio   67.37%   72.47%   70.85%   64.29%   68.77%   80.09%   91.88%   90.92%   84.89%   86.01%     Q_De La Fuente   3.36%   4.07%   16.91%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   21.33%   13.16%   7.50%     D_Grayson   11.29%   43.04%   46.55%   10.34%   9.82%   10.28%   10.29%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   3.23%   1.58%   1.67%   1.43%   1.70%   1.69%   12.98%   14.45%   15.43%   12.98			D_Walker	16.49%	25.81%	25.81%	21.15%	19.27%	23.63%	27.19%	27.04%	26.58%	23.94%
Partial   R_scott   88.0%   88.69%   90.32%   82.64%   89.33%   88.14%   87.48%   86.52%     US Senate (REP)   R_Beruff   23.96%   19.03%   17.45%   24.85%   15.59%   7.97%   4.19%   4.71%   6.66%   6.71%     2016   R_Bubio   67.37%   72.47%   70.85%   64.29%   68.77%   80.09%   1.93%   5.15%   4.95%     0_De La Fuente   3.36%   4.07%   16.91%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   21.33%   13.16%   7.50%     US Senate (DEM)   D_Grayson   11.29%   43.04%   46.55%   10.34%   9.82%   10.28%   10.29%   11.27%   11.16%     D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   14.43%   1.7%   16.00%   14.32%   1.66%   1.43%   1.7%   12.36%   14.45%   1.43%   1.7%   1.16%   1.43%   1.7%   1.26%   1.44.5%   1.67%   1.43%			R_De La Fuente	10.23%	11.30%	9.66%	13.76%	15.68%	17.21%	10.63%	11.79%	12.40%	13.40%
US Senate (REP)   R_Rivera R_Rubio R_Voung   3.38%   2.73%   3.23%   3.80%   4.67%   3.52%   2.00%   1.93%   2.97%   2.17%     2016   R_Rubio R_Voung   5.17%   5.56%   8.43%   6.52%   10.31%   80.09%   91.88%   90.92%   84.98%   86.01%     0_De La Fuente   3.36%   4.71%   16.91%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   12.3%   11.36%   7.50%     0_Grayson   11.29%   43.04%   46.55%   10.34%   9.82%   10.28%   10.28%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     0_Uster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   1.43%   1.70%     0_Murphy   51.70%   37.81%   2.54%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   60.38%     2014   Governor (REP)   R_Cuevas-Neunder   7.10%   10.27%   12.08%   13.78%   14.11%   16.59%   12.38%   15.6%   12.43%<		US Senate (REP)	R_Scott	89.70%	88.69%	90.32%	86.08%	83.94%	82.64%	89.33%	88.14%	87.48%	86.52%
US Senate (REP)   R_Rivera R_Rubio R_Voung   3.38%   2.73%   3.23%   3.80%   4.67%   3.52%   2.00%   1.93%   2.97%   2.17%     2016   R_Rubio R_Young   5.17%   5.26%   8.43%   6.52%   10.31%   80.09%   91.83%   90.92%   84.98%   86.01%     0_De La Fuente   3.36%   4.07%   16.91%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   12.3%   13.16%   7.50%     0_Grayson   11.29%   43.04%   46.55%   10.34%   9.82%   10.28%   10.45%   10.28%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     0_Grayson   11.29%   43.04%   46.55%   10.34%   3.02%   2.05%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     0_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43%   1.43			R Beruff	23.96%	19.03%	17.45%	24.85%	15.59%	7.97%	4.19%	4.71%	6.66%	6.71%
US Senate (REP)   R_Rubio R_Young   67.37% 5.17%   72.47% 5.56%   70.85% 8.43%   64.29% 6.52%   80.09% 10.31%   91.88% 8.09%   90.92% 1.92%   84.98% 2.38%   86.01% 5.15%     2016   D_D La Fuente D_Grayson   3.36%   4.07%   16.91%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   25.49%   21.33%   13.16%   7.50%     US Senate (DEM)   D_C keth   15.35%   12.36%   9.25%   17.17%   16.00%   13.92%   12.60%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   1.43%   1.70%     D_Murphy   51.70%   37.81%   25.94%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   60.38%     2014   Governor (REP)   R_Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     4.00*   D_Crist   70.51%   91.88%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   <			R Rivera	3.38%	2.73%	3.23%		4.67%	3.52%	2.00%	1.93%	2.97%	2.17%
Participant   R_Young   5.17%   5.56%   8.43%   6.52%   10.31%   8.09%   1.92%   2.38%   5.15%   4.95%     2016		US Senate (REP)	-										
2016   D_De La Fuente   3.36%   4.07%   16.91%   4.71%   3.42%   5.49%   25.49%   21.33%   13.16%   7.50%     US Senate (DEM)   D_Keith   15.35%   12.36%   9.25%   17.17%   16.00%   13.92%   12.60%   11.27%   11.17%   11.17%   11.16%     D_Keith   15.35%   12.36%   9.25%   17.17%   16.00%   13.92%   12.60%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   16.03%   14.34%			-										
US Senate (DEM)   D_Grayson   11.29%   43.04%   46.55%   10.34%   9.82%   10.28%   10.29%   11.27%   11.17%   11.16%     US Senate (DEM)   D_Keith   15.35%   12.36%   9.25%   17.17%   16.00%   13.92%   12.60%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   14.43%   1.70%     D_Murphy   51.70%   37.81%   25.94%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   60.38%     Governor (REP)   R_Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.58%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     Governor (REP)   R_Adeshina   1.00%   19.3%   17.17%   16.66%   84.23%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   14.83%   14.43%     M_Cervas-Neunder   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.55% <td< td=""><td>2016</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2016												
US Senate (DEM)   D_Keith   15.35%   12.36%   9.25%   17.17%   16.00%   13.92%   12.60%   14.45%   15.45%   18.85%     D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   1.43%   1.70%     D_Murphy   51.70%   37.81%   25.94%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   60.38%     Governor (REP)   R_Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.58%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     2014   Governor (REP)   R_Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.58%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   70.51%   87.17%   86.16%   83.60%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   83.17%   83.15%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   34.	2010		-										
D_Luster   D_Luster   18.18%   2.60%   1.30%   2.15%   2.18%   3.02%   2.05%   1.67%   1.43%   1.70%     D_Murphy   51.70%   37.81%   25.94%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   60.38%     Governor (REP)   R_Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.58%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     Governor (REP)   R_Cuevas-Neunder R_Scott   91.82%   87.71%   86.16%   83.60%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   83.17%   83.17%   83.15%     Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.35%   77.33%   73.67%   77.27%   76.16%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   34.75%   33.94%   39.96%     US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.73%   16.66% <td< td=""><td></td><td>LIS Senate (DEM)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		LIS Senate (DEM)											
D_Murphy   51.70%   37.81%   25.94%   65.32%   68.32%   67.16%   49.37%   50.86%   58.18%   60.38%     A   Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.58%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     A   Adeshina   1.00%   1.93%   1.74%   2.19%   2.86%   3.23%   1.58%   1.56%   1.74%   2.24%     A   Governor (REP)   R_Cuevas-Neunder R_Scott   91.82%   87.71%   86.16%   83.60%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   83.17%   83.15%     2014   Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.35%   77.33%   73.67%   77.27%   76.16%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   34.75%   33.94%   39.96%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%<		os senare (DEM)	-										
Best Product Science   R_Adeshina R_Cuevas-Neunder R_Scott   1.00% 7.10%   1.93% 10.27%   1.74% 12.08%   2.19% 13.78%   2.86% 14.11%   3.23% 16.59%   1.56% 12.30%   1.74% 12.98%   2.24%     2014   Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   91.82%   87.71%   86.16%   83.60%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   83.17%   83.15%     2014   Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   87.713%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.35%   77.367%   77.727%   76.16%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>													
Governor (REP)   R_Cuevas-Neunder R_Scott   7.10%   10.27%   12.08%   13.78%   14.11%   16.59%   12.30%   12.98%   14.83%   14.43%     2014   Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   91.82%   87.71%   86.16%   83.60%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   83.17%   83.15%     Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.35%   77.33%   73.67%   77.27%   76.16%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   39.96%   59.92%   38.35%   38.66%   65.14%   55.28%   38.96%   30.18%   39.96%   59.82%   39.96%													
R_Scott   91.82%   87.71%   86.16%   83.60%   82.23%   79.86%   86.02%   85.31%   83.17%   83.15%     2014   Governor (DEM)   D_Crist   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.35%   77.33%   73.67%   77.27%   76.16%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Jo_Thurston   41.22%   51.82%   38.35%   38.66%   65.14%   55.28%   38.96%   30.18%   33.94%   39.96%     US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   51.42%   11.66%   14.43%   7.50%   6.59%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   6.31%     US Senate (REP)   R_McCalister   16.46%   11.35%			-										
2014   Governor (DEM)   D_Crist D_Rich   70.51%   81.59%   76.66%   84.23%   81.22%   85.35%   77.33%   73.67%   77.27%   76.16%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Justice   Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   73.56%   75.85%     US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.50%   63.75.8%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   52.65%   6.92%   7.52%   13.73%   16.06%   14.91%   12.83%   11.12%     R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%   35.94%   24.29%		Governor (REP)											
Governor (DEM)   D_Rich   29.39%   18.39%   23.28%   15.73%   18.68%   14.63%   22.55%   26.10%   22.27%   23.65%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   41.22%   51.82%   38.35%   38.66%   65.14%   55.28%   38.96%   30.18%   33.94%   39.96%     VS Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   73.66%   75.85%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   73.66%   75.85%     2014   US Senate (REP)   R_McCalister   16.46%   11.35%   11.68%   12.49%   11.76%   65.96%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   63.51%     R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%	2011												
D_Rich   29.39%   18.39%   23.28%   15.73%   18.68%   14.63%   22.55%   26.10%   22.27%   23.65%     Attorney General (DEM)   D_Sheldon   58.74%   48.19%   61.64%   61.25%   34.75%   44.72%   60.88%   69.45%   65.48%   59.92%     D_Thurston   41.22%   51.82%   38.35%   38.66%   65.14%   55.28%   38.96%   30.18%   33.94%   39.96%     US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   73.56%   75.85%     QUS Senate (REP)   R_Mackaiter   16.46%   11.35%   11.68%   12.49%   11.76%   6.59%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   6.33%   11.12%     R_Stuart   5.63%   5.26%   6.92%   8.22%   7.52%   13.73%   16.60%   14.91%   12.8%   11.12%     R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%   35.94%   24.93%   12.59%   7.75%   3.01% <td< td=""><td>2014</td><td>Governor (DEM)</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2014	Governor (DEM)	-										
Attorney General (DEM)   D_Thurston   41.22%   51.82%   38.35%   38.66%   65.14%   55.28%   38.96%   30.18%   33.94%   39.96%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   73.56%   75.85%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_McCalister   16.46%   11.35%   11.68%   12.49%   11.76%   6.59%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   6.35%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_McCalister   16.46%   11.35%   11.68%   12.49%   11.76%   6.59%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   6.35%     4			-										
D_Thurston   41.22%   51.82%   38.35%   38.66%   65.14%   55.28%   38.96%   30.18%   33.94%   39.96%     2012   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   53.43%   66.99%   71.73%   76.65%   77.16%   75.65%   75.85%     2012   US Senate (REP)   R_Mack   63.23%   56.92%   45.39%   51.42%   11.76%   65.99%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   6.35%     R_Stuart   5.63%   5.26%   6.92%   8.22%   7.52%   13.73%   16.06%   14.91%   12.83%   11.12%     R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%   35.94%   24.93%   12.59%   7.5%   3.01%   3.15%   5.81%   6.21%     UIS Senate (DEM)   D_Burkett   20.09%   13.16%   20.62%   14.24%   13.58%   14.59%   16.93%   16.24%   16.71%   15.85%		Attorney General (DEM)	-										
2012   R_MCcalister R_Stuart   16.46%   11.35%   11.68%   12.49%   11.76%   6.59%   4.21%   4.57%   7.50%   6.35%     2012   R_Stuart   5.63%   5.26%   6.92%   8.22%   7.52%   13.73%   16.06%   14.91%   12.83%   11.12%     R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%   35.94%   24.93%   12.59%   7.75%   3.01%   3.15%   5.81%   6.21%     UIS Senste (DFM)   D_Burkett   20.09%   13.16%   20.62%   14.24%   13.58%   14.59%   16.93%   16.24%   16.71%   15.85%			-										
2012			R_Mack	63.23%	56.92%	45.39%	53.43%	66.99%	71.73%	76.65%	77.16%	73.56%	75.85%
Z012   R_Stuart   5.63%   5.26%   6.92%   8.22%   7.52%   13.73%   16.06%   14.91%   12.83%   11.12%     R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%   35.94%   24.93%   12.59%   7.75%   3.01%   3.15%   5.81%   6.21%     US Senate (DEM)   D_Burkett   20.09%   13.16%   20.62%   14.24%   13.58%   14.59%   16.93%   16.24%   15.55%		LIS Senate (RED)	R_McCalister	16.46%	11.35%	11.68%	12.49%	11.76%	6.59%	4.21%	4.57%	7.50%	6.35%
R_Weldon   14.43%   26.25%   35.94%   24.93%   12.59%   7.75%   3.01%   3.15%   5.81%   6.21%     US Senate (DEM)   D_Burkett   20.09%   13.16%   20.62%   14.24%   13.58%   14.59%   16.93%   16.24%   15.85%	2012	US Senate (NEF)	R_Stuart	5.63%	5.26%	6.92%	8.22%	7.52%	13.73%	16.06%	14.91%	12.83%	11.12%
LIS Senate (DEM) D_Burkett 20.09% 13.16% 20.62% 14.24% 13.58% 14.59% 16.93% 16.24% 16.71% 15.85%	2012		R_Weldon	14.43%	26.25%	35.94%	24.93%	12.59%	7.75%	3.01%	3.15%	5.81%	6.21%
US Senate (DEIXI) D. Nolcon 70 020/ 05 720/ 05 720/ 05 720/ 05 720/ 05 720/ 02 020/ 02 020/ 02 020/ 02 020/		LIC Canada (DEMA)		20.09%	13.16%	20.62%	14.24%	13.58%	14.59%	16.93%	16.24%	16.71%	15.85%
D_ineison /9.92% 86.73% /9.37% 85.57% 86.28% 85.40% 82.97% 83.74% 83.05% 84.02%		US Senate (DEM)	_ D_Nelson	79.92%	86.73%	79.37%	85.57%	86.28%	85.40%	82.97%	83.74%	83.05%	84.02%

			6	11	15	19	33	35	36	37	39	40
	Plan S000S8050	BVAI	41.62%	37.48%	12.77%	33.20%	50.41%	50.07%	5.40%	6.23%	8.23%	13.01%
	General Elections	HVAI	10.43%	25.35%	52.56%	21.78%	21.85%	37.96%	90.13%	78.49%	71.50%	66.39%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.46%	65.93%	58.69%	68.01%	77.15%	78.01%	39.91%	49.58%	44.58%	53.23%
2020	President	R_Trump	38.21%	33.12%	40.36%	30.72%	22.32%	21.43%	59.62%	49.85%	54.83%	46.22%
	Governor	D_Gillum	61.82%	67.52%	62.28%	71.52%	80.93%	85.22%	46.91%	54.14%	49.83%	57.99%
	Governor	R_DeSantis	37.24%	31.46%	36.34%	27.34%	18.45%	14.11%	51.71%	44.54%	49.00%	41.08%
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	58.02%	63.91%	59.18%	66.61%	79.82%	83.59%	45.47%	52.77%	48.24%	56.79%
	Attorney General	R_Moody	40.34%	34.52%	38.89%	31.65%	18.93%	14.96%	52.21%	45.03%	49.55%	41.45%
2018	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	59.38%	65.96%	61.67%	70.03%	80.93%	85.21%	46.53%	53.58%	49.27%	57.43%
2018		R_Patronis	40.62%	34.04%	38.32%	29.98%	19.06%	14.78%	53.46%	46.42%	50.73%	42.58%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	60.12%	67.33%	62.88%	72.40%	81.33%	85.31%	47.96%	55.44%	50.95%	59.29%
	Agriculture Commissioner	R_Caldwell	39.89%	32.67%	37.11%	27.59%	18.66%	14.69%	52.03%	44.58%	49.04%	40.71%
	US Senate	D_Nelson	60.67%	67.05%	60.89%	71.22%	81.35%	84.80%	47.38%	54.84%	51.15%	59.19%
	US Senate	R_Scott	39.33%	32.95%	39.11%	28.77%	18.65%	15.20%	52.61%	45.15%	48.85%	40.82%
	President	D_Clinton	57.58%	65.34%	63.18%	68.26%	78.64%	84.48%	54.86%	59.69%	53.71%	60.94%
2016	President	R_Trump	39.25%	31.48%	33.59%	28.01%	19.67%	13.94%	42.88%	37.91%	43.49%	36.50%
2010	US Senate	D_Murphy	49.36%	60.34%	56.29%	64.47%	77.05%	79.47%	43.17%	48.89%	45.19%	52.71%
	US Senate	R_Rubio	47.15%	35.74%	39.63%	31.14%	21.08%	18.44%	54.88%	48.93%	52.44%	45.14%
	Governor	D_Crist	51.10%	60.74%	53.72%	68.10%	80.55%	85.52%	42.78%	47.33%	48.96%	57.29%
	Governor	R_Scott	44.72%	34.73%	41.21%	26.90%	17.39%	12.98%	54.86%	50.29%	48.01%	40.23%
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	48.83%	57.52%	50.03%	62.16%	77.41%	83.15%	38.29%	43.60%	43.25%	53.33%
2014	Attorney General	R_Bondi	48.41%	39.76%	47.18%	34.83%	21.18%	15.49%	59.50%	54.14%	54.25%	44.76%
2014	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	49.72%	56.42%	50.56%	60.34%	77.17%	82.82%	39.80%	42.94%	43.08%	50.25%
		R_Atwater	50.28%	43.58%	49.44%	39.66%	22.82%	17.18%	60.19%	57.07%	56.90%	49.75%
	Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	52.86%	56.35%	48.97%	59.77%	78.12%	83.42%	39.21%	43.13%	43.38%	51.30%
	Agriculture Commissioner	R_Putnam	47.14%	43.65%	51.03%	40.23%	21.88%	16.57%	60.76%	56.85%	56.61%	48.70%
	President	D_Obama	58.61%	65.92%	63.43%	72.29%	80.90%	86.93%	50.94%	54.44%	51.70%	57.68%
2012	President	R_Romney	40.60%	33.37%	35.78%	26.69%	18.68%	12.78%	48.65%	45.05%	47.69%	41.82%
2012	US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	69.71%	67.79%	75.23%	82.09%	86.78%	52.52%	55.87%	53.42%	59.92%
	US Selidle	R_Mack	35.08%	28.45%	29.62%	22.52%	16.68%	12.23%	45.63%	42.46%	44.93%	38.84%



## THE FLORIDA SENATE

#### **COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT**

Location 2000 The Capitol

*Mailing Address* 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, Chair Senator Doug Broxson, Vice Chair

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, Staff Director

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

# MEMORANDUM

To:	Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
From:	Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair
Subject:	Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing
Date:	October 18, 2021

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 2

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 3

not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.

The Florida Senate		
<u>Meeting Date</u> <u>Sub count</u> (egislabine) <u>Reappoint House</u> Name <u>Count</u> (egislabine) <u>Name</u> <u>Count</u> (egislabine) <u>Count</u> (egisl		
Address 512 Bunkeres Cove Rd Email <u>euscoon I@Kinology.ner</u> Street <u>Panama Ciby, FL 32401</u> City State Zip		
Speaking: For Against Information <b>OR</b> Waive Speaking: In Support Against		
PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:		
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship. I am a registered lobbyist, representing: I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:   President, League Horne		

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

## **CourtSmart Tag Report**

Room: KB 412 Case No.: -Caption: Select Subcommitee on Legislative Reapportionment Type: Judge:

#### Started: 1/10/2022 12:34:47 PM Ends: 1/10/2022 2:18:18 PM

Length: 01:43:32

12:34:46 PM Meeting called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll 12:35:40 PM Chair Burgess makes introductory remarks 12:36:50 PM Tab 1: Workshop of Legislative Maps by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director 12:44:45 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 12:45:29 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question 12:46:50 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 12:47:01 PM 12:47:27 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question 12:48:15 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 12:51:40 PM 12:52:41 PM Jav Ferrin, Staff Director responds 12:53:16 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 12:54:40 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 12:55:57 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 12:56:47 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 12:58:47 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 12:59:47 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:00:10 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:01:25 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 1:01:44 PM 1:02:06 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:03:42 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 1:03:50 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds Sen. Bracy asks a question 1:04:09 PM 1:04:32 PM Jav Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:04:51 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question 1:05:00 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:05:35 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question 1:05:52 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:06:25 PM Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question 1:06:31 PM Jav Ferrin. Staff Director responds 1:25:33 PM Presentation concludes, Chair Burgess makes a comment Chair Burgess asks for guestions and discussion from members 1:27:12 PM Sen. Gibson makes a comment 1:28:12 PM 1:31:27 PM Chair Burgess responds to Sen. Gibson's comment 1:32:04 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 1:33:20 PM Chair Burgess responds 1:34:21 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director also responds 1:34:47 PM Sen. Bracy makes a comment 1:35:39 PM Sen, Stargel asks a question Chair Burgess responds 1:36:04 PM 1:37:19 PM Chair Burgess gives a comment 1:38:27 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question 1:41:01 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment 1:41:35 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 1:42:01 PM Chair Burgess responds 1:42:36 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director also responds 1:44:00 PM Chair Burgess asks for questions 1:44:35 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:44:57 PM 1:46:08 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 1:46:16 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds

1:46:31 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment 1:47:19 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment 1:49:05 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment Chair Burgess asks Sen. Bracy a question 1:50:05 PM Sen. Bracy responds 1:50:16 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment 1:50:22 PM 1:51:22 PM Sen. Stargel asks a question Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:51:29 PM 1:52:08 PM Sen. Stargel gives a comment 1:52:40 PM Sen. Gibson gives a comment 1:53:39 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question 1:53:45 PM Chair Burgess repsonds 1:54:00 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question 1:54:05 PM Chair Burgess responds 1:55:11 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment Chair Burgess responds 1:56:46 PM Chair Burgess asks a question 1:56:50 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds 1:57:07 PM 1:57:39 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 1:57:52 PM Chair Burgess responds 1:58:19 PM Sen. Stargel asks a question 1:58:33 PM Chair Burgess responds Sen. Stargel asks a question 1:59:18 PM 1:59:33 PM Chair Burgess responds 1:59:38 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 2:00:28 PM Chair Burgess responds 2:00:33 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director also responds 2:01:26 PM Sen. Bracy gives a comment 2:01:53 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 2:02:21 PM Jay Ferrin, Staff Director responds Sen. Bracy asks a follow-up question 2:03:42 PM Chair Burgess responds 2:05:28 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question 2:08:07 PM Chair Burgess responds 2:08:17 PM 2:08:36 PM Sen. Gibson asks a follow-up question 2:09:12 PM Chair Burgess responds 2:09:20 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question 2:09:31 PM Chair Burgess responds 2:09:59 PM Sen. Bracy asks a question Chair Burgess responds 2:10:05 PM 2:10:14 PM Sen. Gibson asks a question 2:10:20 PM Chair Burgess responds 2:11:02 PM Chair Burgess makes a comment 2:12:01 PM Tab 2: Public Comment Cecile Scoon, President of League of Women Voters of Florida gives public comment 2:12:10 PM 2:15:01 PM Sen. Bracy makes a comment 2:16:48 PM Chair Burgess makes a comment 2:17:26 PM Chair Burgess proposes a recommendation for the Committee on Reapportionment

- 2:17:58 PM No objections
- 2:18:02 PM Meeting adjourned