DATE: April 8, 1997

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON Rules, Resolutions & Ethics BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL #: HM 1963

RELATING TO: Federal Assistance to Legal Residents; Memorial

SPONSOR(S): Morse and others
STATUTE(S) AFFECTED: None
COMPANION BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) Rules, Resolutions & Ethics

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

This memorial urges the United States Congress to repeal federal legislation which removes financial assistance to legal residents.

This memorial has no fiscal impact.

DATE: April 8, 1997

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Under current law, legal immigrants, living in the United States, are precluded from receiving federal assistance. Prior to the passage of the 1996 Welfare Reform Act, immigrants legally residing in the United States were eligible to receive federal services and assistance.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This memorial urges Congress to repeal the provisions in the 1996 welfare legislation which eliminates federal assistance to legal residents that were born in a foreign country.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

- 1. Less Government:
 - a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

N/A

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

N/A

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

N/A

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
 - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

DATE: April 8, 1997

PAGE 3

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

N/A

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

N/A

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

N/A

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

N/A

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

N/A

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

N/A

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

4. <u>Individual Freedom:</u>

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

N/A

STORAGE DATE: A PAGE 4			h1963.rre 197	
		b.	Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?	
			N/A	
	5.	<u>Far</u>	mily Empowerment:	
		a.	If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:	
			(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?	
			N/A (2) Who makes the decisions?	
			(2) Who makes the decisions?	
			N/A (2) And purity rate of the reporting of the property of 2	
			(3) Are private alternatives permitted?	
			N/A	
			(4) Are families required to participate in a program?	
			N/A	
			(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?	
			N/A	
		b.	Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?	
			N/A	
		C.	If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:	
			(1) parents and guardians?	
			N/A	

STORA DATE: PAGE \$	Αp		ME : h1963.rre , 1997
			(2) service providers?
			N/A
			(3) government employees/agencies?
			N/A
	D.	SE	CTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:
		N/A	·
III.	<u>FIS</u>	CAL	RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:
	A.	FIS	CAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
		1.	Non-recurring Effects:
			None
		2.	Recurring Effects:
			None
		3.	Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:
			None
		4.	Total Revenues and Expenditures:
			None
	B.	FIS	CAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:
		1.	Non-recurring Effects:
			N/A
		2.	Recurring Effects:
			N/A
		3.	Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:
			N/A

DATE: PAGE (oril 8, 1997			
	C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:			
		<u>Direct Private Sector Costs</u> :			
		N/A			
		2. <u>Direct Private Sector Benefits</u> :			
		N/A			
		3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:			
		N/A			
	D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:			
		N/A			
IV.	<u>CO</u>	NSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:			
	A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:				
		N/A			
	B.	REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:			
		N/A			
	C.	REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:			
		N/A			
V.	<u>CO</u>	MMENTS:			
VI.	<u>AM</u>	ENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:			

	GE NAME: h1963.rre April 8, 1997 7	
VII.	SIGNATURES:	
	COMMITTEE ON Rules, Resolutions & Ethics: Prepared by:	Legislative Research Director:
	Greg Turbeville	Fred McDowell