

STORAGE NAME: h3255s1a.cj

DATE: April 3, 1998

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
AS FURTHER REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON
CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS
BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

BILL #: CS/HB 3255

RELATING TO: Court Costs/Crime Stoppers

SPONSOR(S): Committee on Law Enforcement and Public Safety, Representative Sindler and Others

COMPANION BILL(S): CS/CS/SB 502

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) LAW ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC SAFETY YEAS 6 NAYS 0
- (2) FINANCE AND TAXATION YEAS 12 NAYS 1
- (3) CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS YEAS 8 NAYS 0
- (4)
- (5)

I. SUMMARY:

Committee Substitute for House Bill 3255 creates a new \$20 surcharge on any criminal fine imposed. The clerks of court are to collect the costs and to forward the money collected to the Department of Legal Affairs for deposit into the Crime Stoppers Trust Fund. The clerks may retain \$3 per assessment as a service charge.

Within the Crime Stoppers Trust Fund, the funds are to be designated according to the judicial circuit in which they were collected. Any county may apply to the Department for a grant from the funds collected in the judicial circuit in which the county is located. However, grants may be awarded only to counties which are served by an official member of the Florida Association of Crime Stoppers. The grants may be used only to support Crime Stoppers and their crime fighting programs.

It is estimated by the Department of Legal Affairs that the \$20 fee will raise approximately \$5.5 million for the Crime Stoppers Trust Fund for FY 1998-99.

The bill also provides for a \$2 court cost for each noncriminal traffic infraction when assessed by a municipality or county, which is used to fund local law enforcement agency training. Currently, the \$2 may only be assessed for criminal offenses.

The amount of funds that would be raised by the additional \$2 fee is indeterminate at this time.

II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

A. Crime Stoppers Programs.

Crime Stoppers is a citizen, media, and police co-operative program designed to involve the public in the fight against crime. Crime Stoppers programs typically will allow citizens to anonymously supply the police with information about an unsolved crime or a future crime. Cash rewards are given for information which leads to prosecution of the criminal.

Crime Stoppers began in Albuquerque, New Mexico in 1976. A homicide detective with the Albuquerque Police asked a local television station to broadcast a re-enactment of an unsolved murder on its newscast. A reward was offered and a caller contacted the police the next day with a tip that led the police to the two men who were responsible. The success of this concept launched a program which is now internationally known as "Crime Stoppers." There are now hundreds of Crime Stoppers programs worldwide.

Soon after the Albuquerque program was created, Orlando became the second city to create a program. Now, in Florida, there are over 20 Crime Stoppers programs located in every region of the state. In 1981, the programs created a non-profit organization known as the Florida Association of Crime Stoppers. The Association holds quarterly meetings and currently has 21 members. According to the Association's President, there are some Crime Stoppers programs in the state that are not members of the Association.

Crime Stoppers programs are run by a volunteer board of directors. The day to day management is left to a coordinator who is a law enforcement officer. The coordinator serves as the liaison between the board, the public, the media, and the law enforcement community.

In Florida, Crime Stoppers programs are funded almost exclusively by private business and organizations. Local law enforcement agencies contribute by providing an officer who serves as a coordinator and by providing some support staff. The programs use the funds primarily to set up rewards for unsolved crimes.

Section 16.555, F.S., provides that the Department of Legal Affairs shall establish a trust fund to administer grants to fund Crime Stoppers and its crime fighting programs. The statute defines Crime Stoppers as members of the Florida Association of Crime Stoppers. § 16.555(1)(c), F.S. The Department of Legal Affairs is required to make application for all federal, state, or private grants which meet the purposes of advancing Florida Crime Stoppers. However, according to the Attorney General's Office, no grants have been received and thus a trust fund has never been established.

B. Court Costs.

In 1997, s. 318.18, F.S., which provides the required penalties for noncriminal traffic infractions, was amended to provide a \$3 court cost for each infraction to be distributed as provided in s. 943.25(3), F.S. s. 12, ch. 97-225, Laws of Fla. At the time, s. 943.25(3), F.S., provided for the distribution of a \$3 court cost assessed against every person convicted for violation of a state penal or criminal statute or convicted for violation of a municipal or county ordinance. However, another bill passed in 1997 transferred this subsection to newly created s. 938.01, F.S. s. 3, ch. 97-271, Laws of Fla. Thus, the cross-reference in s. 318.18, F.S., was made incorrect.

Section 938.15, F.S., provides that municipalities and counties may assess an additional \$2 court cost against each person convicted for violation of a state penal or criminal statute or convicted for violation of a municipal or county ordinance. The costs are to be used for expenditures for criminal justice education degree programs and training courses.

In 1997, the Legislature created Chapter 938, Florida Statutes, to consolidate and categorize all court costs imposed on offenders. In the past, these provisions were scattered throughout various chapters. Chapter 938 was designed to assist "the judiciary and other court participants to identify and locate applicable law relating to court costs and thereby facilitating the uniform imposition and collection of court costs." § 1, Ch. 97-271, Laws of Fla.

The first category, mandatory costs in all cases, currently contains four provisions as follows:

- ▶ *Additional Court Costs Clearing Trust Fund.* This is a \$3 cost, the majority of which goes to the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Trust Fund. A small percentage of the \$3 is disbursed to the Department of Community Affairs, Bureau of Public Safety Management.
- ▶ *Crime Compensation Trust Fund.* This is a \$50 cost which is deposited in the Crimes Compensation Trust Fund administered by the Department of Legal Affairs.
- ▶ *Surcharge on Costs.* This is an additional 5-percent surcharge on court costs which is to be deposited in the Crimes Compensation Trust Fund.
- ▶ *Local Government Criminal Justice Trust Fund.* This is a \$200 cost for felonies and a \$50 cost for misdemeanors and criminal traffic offenses, deposited in a special trust fund for counties in order to defray some of their Article V costs.

Court costs for local law enforcement training. Section 938.15 F.S., provides for a \$2 court cost when assessed by a municipality or county, in addition to the \$3 court cost described in the first bullet above. (The majority of the \$3 court cost goes to the Florida Department of Law Enforcement's Criminal Justice Standards and Training Trust Fund.) The supplemental \$2 court cost goes to fund a local law enforcement agency's criminal justice education degree programs and training courses, including basic training. § 938.15 F.S., formerly § 943.25(13), F.S.

In 1997, the Legislature amended section 318.18, F.S., and the \$3 court cost provision to require the assessment of the court cost for noncriminal traffic infractions. §§ 12, 13, ch. 97-255, Laws of Fla. However, the 1997 Legislature did not amend the \$2 local law enforcement court cost provision to allow for assessment for noncriminal traffic infractions.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

A. Crime Stoppers Programs

Committee Substitute for House Bill 3255 creates s. 938.06, F.S., providing a new court cost to fund Crime Stoppers programs within the Florida Association of Crime Stoppers. The new court cost is a \$20 surcharge on any criminal fine imposed.

This court cost must be imposed by all county and circuit courts and must be collected by the clerks of court. On a monthly basis, the clerks of court are to forward the costs collected to the Department of Legal Affairs for deposit into the Crime Stoppers Trust Fund. The clerks may retain \$3 per assessment as a service charge.

The bill also amends s. 16.555, F.S., to require that the court cost proceeds be deposited in a separate account in the Crime Stoppers Trust Fund. Further, within that separate account, the funds are to be designated according to the judicial circuit in which they were collected. Any county may apply to the Department for a grant from the funds collected in the judicial circuit in which the county is located. However, grants may be awarded only to counties which are served by an official member of the Florida Association of Crime Stoppers, and only one such official member can be eligible for support within any county. In order to aid the Department in determining eligibility, the Association is to furnish to the Department a list of authorized Crime Stoppers programs and to update the list as necessary. When more than one county is eligible within a judicial circuit, the Department is to award grants as equitably as possible, based on amounts collected within each county. The grants may be used only to support Crime Stoppers and their crime fighting programs.

B. Court Costs

Committee Substitute for House Bill 3255 amends s. 318.18, F.S., to correct an erroneous cross-reference and to impose a \$2 court cost for each noncriminal traffic infraction when assessed by a municipality or county, as is provided by s. 938.15 F.S. (Section 938.15 F.S., provides for an additional \$2 court cost to be assessed against each person convicted for violation of a state penal or criminal statute or convicted for violation of a municipal or county ordinance. The costs are to be used for local law enforcement agency training.)

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. Less Government:

a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:

(1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

N/A

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

N/A

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

N/A

b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:

(1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

N/A

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

The bill creates two new fees:

1. There will be a new fee of \$20 surcharge on any criminal fine imposed.

2. There will be an additional \$2 court cost for each non-criminal traffic infraction when assessed by a municipality or a county.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

N/A

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

N/A

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

N/A

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

N/A

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

N/A

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

N/A

5. Family Empowerment:

a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:

(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

N/A

c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

(1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

Sections 938.06, 16.555, and 318.18, F.S.

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:

N/A

III. FISCAL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

The Department of Legal Affairs estimates that it can absorb the costs of administration of the Crime Stoppers Trust Fund within existing resources. The state will collect additional revenue through increased court costs.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

N/A

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

Crimestoppers revenue associated with increased court costs imposed by 938.06 would be limited to counties that are served by an official member of the Florida Association of Crime Stoppers. Additional revenues will be available to those counties who qualify for funding.

There is no information on file with the House Criminal Justice Appropriations Committee from local governments indicating expected collection costs or revenues.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

1. Direct Private Sector Costs:

N/A

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

N/A

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

N/A

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The Crimestoppers Trust Fund was terminated on November 4, 1996 pursuant to Section 19(f), Article III of the Florida Constitution. This bill does not create a Crimestoppers Trust Fund in the Department of Legal Affairs.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

N/A

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

N/A

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

N/A

V. COMMENTS:

None.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

Amendment 1 eliminated the county or circuit court's discretion to increase the additional surcharge beyond \$20, up to \$500, depending on the severity of the crime and the financial resources of the offender.

Amendment 2 provided that the court shall impose a \$2 court cost for a noncriminal traffic infraction when assessed by a municipality or county. This \$2 court cost goes to fund local law enforcement training.

STORAGE NAME: h3255s1a.cj

DATE: April 3, 1998

PAGE 10

These amendments were incorporated into the committee substitute. The analysis reflects these changes.

The Criminal Justice Appropriations Committee adopted one amendment that creates the Crimestoppers Trust Fund.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC SAFETY:

Prepared by:

Legislative Research Director:

Kurt E. Ahrendt

Kurt E. Ahrendt

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND TAXATION:

Prepared by:

Legislative Research Director:

George T. Levesque

Keith G. Baker, Ph.D.

AS FURTHER REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE
APPROPRIATIONS:

Prepared by:

Legislative Research Director:

James P. DeBeaugrine

James P. DeBeaugrine