| 1  | A bill to be entitled                           |
|----|---|
| 2  | An act relating to implementing the 1999-2000   |
| 3  | General Appropriations Act; providing           |
| 4  | legislative intent; amending s. 239.115, F.S.;  |
| 5  | suspending certain funding provisions for       |
| 6  | workforce development education; amending s.    |
| 7  | 239.117, F.S.; suspending certain postsecondary |
| 8  | student fee provisions for workforce            |
| 9  | development education; amending s. 239.301,     |
| 10 | F.S.; suspending certain provisions relating to |
| 11 | evaluation and funding of adult basic and       |
| 12 | secondary education and vocational-preparatory  |
| 13 | courses; amending s. 240.3341, F.S.;            |
| 14 | authorizing community colleges to lease their   |
| 15 | incubator facilities for small business         |
| 16 | concerns; amending s. 409.9115, F.S.;           |
| 17 | specifying how the Agency for Health Care       |
| 18 | Administration shall make payments for the      |
| 19 | Medicaid disproportionate share program for     |
| 20 | mental health hospitals; requiring the Agency   |
| 21 | for Health Care Administration to use a         |
| 22 | specified disproportionate share formula,       |
| 23 | specified audited financial data, and a         |
| 24 | specified Medicaid per diem rate in fiscal year |
| 25 | 1999-2000 for qualifying hospitals; amending s. |
| 26 | 409.9116, F.S.; providing a formula for rural   |
| 27 | hospital disproportionate share payments;       |
| 28 | amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the      |
| 29 | Department of Children and Family Services and  |
| 30 | the Department of Health to advance certain     |
| 31 | moneys for certain contract services; directing |
|    | 1   |
|    | l   |

| 1  | the Agency for Health Care Administration to    |
|----|---|
| 2  | include health maintenance organization         |
| 3  | recipients in the county billing for a          |
| 4  | specified purpose; authorizing the Departments  |
| 5  | of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor |
| 6  | and Employment Security, and Health and the     |
| 7  | Agency for Health Care Administration to        |
| 8  | transfer positions and funds to comply with the |
| 9  | 1998-1999 General Appropriations Act or the     |
| 10 | WAGES Act; amending s. 216.181, F.S.;           |
| 11 | authorizing the Department of Children and      |
| 12 | Family Services to use certain funds for fixed  |
| 13 | capital outlay expenditures to meet certain     |
| 14 | federal standards; requiring the Agency for     |
| 15 | Health Care Administration to take necessary    |
| 16 | actions to ensure that expenditures for         |
| 17 | Medicaid transportation do not exceed the       |
| 18 | amount budgeted and to take certain steps if    |
| 19 | that becomes impossible; amending s. 409.912,   |
| 20 | F.S.; requiring the Agency for Health Care      |
| 21 | Administration to develop a program on          |
| 22 | prescription practice patterns; amending s.     |
| 23 | 402.3015, F.S.; expanding eligibility for       |
| 24 | subsidized child care to certain children;      |
| 25 | amending s. 39.3065, F.S.; providing for the    |
| 26 | Broward County sheriff to conduct all child     |
| 27 | protective investigations in that county;       |
| 28 | amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the      |
| 29 | Department of Law Enforcement to transfer some  |
| 30 | positions and associated budget and a certain   |
| 31 | percentage of salary rate between budget        |
|    |   |

2

| 1              | entities and providing requirements with   |
|----------------|--|
| 2              | respect thereto; authorizing the Correctional  |
| 3              | Privatization Commission and the Department of   |
| 4              | Juvenile Justice to make certain expenditures  |
| 5              | to defray costs incurred by a municipality or  |
| 6              | county as a result of opening and operating a  |
| 7              | facility of the commission or the department;  |
| 8              | amending s. 403.7095, F.S.; revising the   |
| 9              | expiration date of the solid waste management  |
| 10             | grant program; requiring a specified level of  |
| 11             | funding for counties receiving solid waste   |
| 12             | management and recycling grants; providing for   |
| 13             | allocation of funds for innovative programs to   |
| 14             | address recycling practices and procedures;  |
| 15             | authorizing the Administration Commission to   |
| 16             | approve exceptions to state personnel, payroll,  |
| 17             | and benefit rules, policies, and practices and   |
| 18             | exemptions from certain statutory provisions   |
| 19             | relating to state employees for a specified  |
| 20             | pilot project; amending s. 110.1239, F.S.;   |
| 21             | providing requirements for the funding of the  |
| 22             | state group health insurance program; amending   |
| 23             | s. 259.032, F.S.; authorizing the appropriation  |
| 24             | of certain funds in the Conservation and   |
| 25             | Recreation Lands Trust Fund for outdoor  |
|                | recreation grants; amending s. 373.59, F.S.;   |
| 26             |  |
| 26<br>27       | requiring release of certain moneys by the   |
|                | requiring release of certain moneys by the<br>Secretary of Environmental Protection to water   |
| 27             |  |
| 27<br>28       | Secretary of Environmental Protection to water   |
| 27<br>28<br>29 | Secretary of Environmental Protection to water management districts, upon request; amending s. |

| 1  | funding provided to the state NPDES program;    |
|----|---|
| 2  | amending s. 287.161, F.S.; requiring the        |
| 3  | Department of Management Services to charge all |
| 4  | persons receiving transportation from the       |
| 5  | executive aircraft pool a specified rate;       |
| 6  | providing for deposit and use of such fees;     |
| 7  | providing for employment rights and benefits of |
| 8  | pari-mutuel laboratory employees under certain  |
| 9  | circumstances; amending s. 216.181, F.S.;       |
| 10 | authorizing the Department of Transportation to |
| 11 | transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget     |
| 12 | entity to facilitate transfer of personnel to   |
| 13 | the new turnpike headquarters; amending s.      |
| 14 | 253.034, F.S.; authorizing the Department of    |
| 15 | Transportation to sell certain property         |
| 16 | utilized by the Department of Highway Safety    |
| 17 | and Motor Vehicles; amending s. 334.0445, F.S.; |
| 18 | extending authorization for the model career    |
| 19 | service classification and compensation system; |
| 20 | amending s. 15.09, F.S.; authorizing the        |
| 21 | appropriation of funds from the Public Access   |
| 22 | Data Systems Trust Fund for the operations of   |
| 23 | the Department of State; amending s. 252.373,   |
| 24 | F.S.; providing for the transfer of certain     |
| 25 | funds for the purchase of radios for use by     |
| 26 | state and local entities in emergencies;        |
| 27 | providing for future repeal of various          |
| 28 | provisions; providing performance measures and  |
| 29 | standards for individual programs in specific   |
| 30 | agencies for the 1999-2000 fiscal year;         |
| 31 | adopting performance measures for certain       |
|    |   |

4

1 agencies to use in preparing their fiscal year 2 2000-2001 legislative budget requests; 3 requiring such agencies to propose standards 4 and associated costs for such measures; 5 providing effect of veto of specific 6 appropriation or proviso to which implementing 7 language refers; providing applicability to other legislation; providing severability; 8 9 providing an effective date. 10 11 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 12 13 Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that 14 the implementing and administering provisions of this act 15 apply to the fiscal year 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act. 16 Section 2. In order to implement Specific 17 Appropriation 148 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 18 paragraph (a) of subsection (1) and paragraphs (a) and (b) of 19 subsection (6) of section 239.115, Florida Statutes, 1998 20 Supplement, are amended to read: 21 239.115 Funds for operation of adult general education 22 and vocational education programs. --23 (1) As used in this section, the terms "workforce development education" and "workforce development program" 24 include: 25 26 (a) Adult general education programs designed to 27 improve the employability skills of the state's workforce 28 through adult basic education, adult secondary education, GED 29 preparation, and vocational-preparatory education. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the provisions of this paragraph 30 31 shall not apply. 5

(6) State funding and student fees for workforce 1 2 development instruction funded through the Workforce 3 Development Education Fund shall be established as follows: 4 (a) For a continuing workforce education course, state 5 funding shall equal 50 percent of the cost of instruction, 6 with student fees, business support, quick-response training 7 funds, or other means making up the remaining 50 percent. For 8 the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the provisions of this 9 paragraph shall not apply. (b) For all other workforce development education 10 funded through the Workforce Development Education Fund, state 11 12 funding shall equal 75 percent of the average cost of instruction with the remaining 25 percent made up from student 13 14 fees. Fees for courses within a program shall not vary 15 according to the cost of the individual program, but instead shall be based on a uniform fee calculated and set at the 16 17 state level, as adopted by the State Board of Education, 18 unless otherwise specified in the General Appropriations Act. 19 For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the provisions of this 20 paragraph shall not apply. 21 Section 3. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 148 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 22 23 paragraph (a) of subsection (6) of section 239.117, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 24 239.117 Postsecondary student fees.--25 26 (6)(a) The Commissioner of Education shall provide to the State Board of Education no later than December 31 of each 27 28 year a schedule of fees for workforce development education 29 for school districts and community colleges. The fee schedule shall be based on the amount of student fees necessary to 30 produce 25 percent of the prior year's average cost of a 31 6

course of study leading to a certificate or diploma and 50 1 percent of the prior year's cost of a continuing workforce 2 3 education course. At the discretion of a school board or a 4 community college, this fee schedule may be implemented over a 5 3-year period, with full implementation in the 1999-2000 school year. In years preceding that year, if fee increases б 7 are necessary for some programs or courses, the fees shall be 8 raised in increments designed to lessen their impact upon 9 students already enrolled. Fees for students who are not residents for tuition purposes must offset the full cost of 10 instruction. Fee-nonexempt students enrolled in 11 12 vocational-preparatory instruction shall be charged fees equal to the fees charged for certificate career education 13 14 instruction. Each community college that conducts 15 college-preparatory and vocational-preparatory instruction in 16 the same class section may charge a single fee for both types 17 of instruction. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 18 provisions of this paragraph shall not apply. 19 Section 4. In order to implement Specific 20 Appropriation 148 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 21 paragraph (a) of subsection (4) of section 239.301, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 22 23 239.301 Adult general education.--(4)(a) Adult basic and secondary education and 24 vocational-preparatory courses shall be evaluated and funded 25 26 as provided in s. 239.115. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply. 27 28 Section 5. In order to implement Specific 29 Appropriation 162A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (3) of section 240.3341, Florida Statutes, is 30 amended to read: 31 7

1 240.3341 Incubator facilities for small business 2 concerns.--3 (3)(a) The incubator facility and any improvements to 4 the facility shall be owned by the community college. The 5 community college may charge residents of the facility all or 6 part of the cost for facilities, utilities, and support 7 personnel and equipment. No small business concern shall 8 reside in the incubator facility for more than 5 calendar 9 years. The state shall not be liable for any act or failure to act of any small business concern residing in an incubator 10 facility pursuant to this section or of any such concern 11 12 benefiting from the incubator facilities program. 13 (b) Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (a) to 14 the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 15 incubator facility may be leased by the community college. 16 This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000. 17 Section 6. In order to implement Specific 18 Appropriation 268 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 19 subsection (3) of section 409.9115, Florida Statutes, 1998 20 Supplement, is amended to read: 21 409.9115 Disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals.--The Agency for Health Care Administration 22 23 shall design and implement a system of making mental health disproportionate share payments to hospitals that qualify for 24 25 disproportionate share payments under s. 409.911. This system 26 of payments shall conform with federal requirements and shall distribute funds in each fiscal year for which an 27 appropriation is made by making quarterly Medicaid payments. 28 29 Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for 30 patients. 31

8

| 1   | (3) For the <u>1999-2000</u> <del>1998-1999</del> fiscal year only, the |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for           |
| 3   | the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health           |
| 4   | hospitals on a monthly basis. If the amounts appropriated for           |
| 5   | the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health           |
| 6   | hospitals are increased or decreased during the fiscal year             |
| 7   | pursuant to the requirements of chapter 216, the required               |
| 8   | adjustment shall be prorated over the remaining payment                 |
| 9   | periods. This subsection is repealed on July 1, $2000 = 1999$ .         |
| 10  | Section 7. During the 1999-2000 fiscal year, the                        |
| 11  | Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the 1992-1993           |
| 12  | disproportionate share formula, the 1989 audited financial              |
| 13  | data, and the Medicaid per diem rate as of January 1, 1992,             |
| 14  | for those hospitals that qualify for the hospital                       |
| 15  | disproportionate share program funded in Specific                       |
| 16  | Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act.          |
| 17  | This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.                               |
| 18  | Section 8. In order to implement Specific                               |
| 19  | Appropriation 236 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,          |
| 20  | subsection (6) of section 409.9116, Florida Statutes, 1998              |
| 21  | Supplement, is amended to read:   |
| 22  | 409.9116 Disproportionate share/financial assistance                    |
| 23  | program for rural hospitalsIn addition to the payments made             |
| 24  | under s. 409.911, the Agency for Health Care Administration             |
| 25  | shall administer a federally matched disproportionate share             |
| 26  | program and a state-funded financial assistance program for             |
| 27  | statutory rural hospitals. The agency shall make                        |
| 28  | disproportionate share payments to statutory rural hospitals            |
| 29  | that qualify for such payments and financial assistance                 |
| 30  | payments to statutory rural hospitals that do not qualify for           |
| 31  | disproportionate share payments. The disproportionate share             |
|     | 9   |
| 007 |   |

program payments shall be limited by and conform with federal 1 requirements. In fiscal year 1993-1994, available funds shall 2 3 be distributed in one payment, as soon as practicable after 4 the effective date of this act. In subsequent fiscal years, 5 funds shall be distributed quarterly in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made. Notwithstanding the provisions 6 7 of s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward 8 the cost of this special reimbursement for hospitals serving a 9 disproportionate share of low-income patients. (6) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the 10 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the following 11 formula for distribution of the funds in Specific 12 Appropriation 236 240 of the 1999-2000 1998-1999 General 13 14 Appropriations Act for the disproportionate share/financial 15 assistance program for rural hospitals. (a) The agency shall first determine a preliminary 16 17 payment amount for each rural hospital by allocating all 18 available state funds using the following formula: 19 20  $PDAER = (TAERH \times TARH) / STAERH$ 21 22 Where: 23 PDAER = preliminary distribution amount for each rural 24 hospital. TAERH = total amount earned by each rural hospital. 25 26 TARH = total amount appropriated or distributed under this section. 27 28 STAERH = sum of total amount earned by each rural 29 hospital. 30 31 10

1 (b) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 2 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 3 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (a). 4 (c) The state-funds-only payment amount is then 5 calculated for each hospital using the formula: 6 7 SFOER = Maximum value of (1) SFOL - PDAER or (2) 0 8 9 Where: 10 SFOER = state-funds-only payment amount for each rural hospital. 11 12 SFOL = state-funds-only payment level, which is set at 13 4 percent of TARH. 14 (d) The adjusted total amount allocated to the rural 15 disproportionate share program shall then be calculated using 16 the following formula: 17 18 ATARH = (TARH - SSFOER)19 20 Where: 21 ATARH = adjusted total amount appropriated or 22 distributed under this section. 23 SSFOER = sum of the state-funds-only payment amount calculated under paragraph (c) for all rural hospitals. 24 25 (e) The determination of the amount of rural 26 disproportionate share hospital funds is calculated by the 27 following formula: 28 29 TDAERH = [(TAERH x ATARH)/STAERH]30 31 Where: 11 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

TDAERH = total distribution amount for each rural 1 2 hospital. 3 (f) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 4 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 5 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (e). 6 (g) State-funds-only payment amounts calculated under 7 paragraph (c) are then added to the results of paragraph (f) 8 to determine the total distribution amount for each rural 9 hospital. (h) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 10 Section 9. In order to implement Specific 11 12 Appropriations 292 through 425 and 445 through 540 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of 13 14 subsection (15) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 15 16 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 17 capital outlay .--18 (15)19 (c) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 20 funds appropriated to the Department of Children and Family 21 Services in Specific Appropriations 292 293 through 425 446A and the Department of Health in Specific Appropriations 445 22 23 466 through 540 555 of the 1999-2000 1998-1999 General Appropriations Act may be advanced, unless specifically 24 25 prohibited in such General Appropriations Act, for those 26 contracted services that were approved for advancement by the Comptroller in fiscal year 1993-1994, including those services 27 contracted on a fixed-price or unit cost basis. 28 This 29 paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 30 Section 10. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 31 12

and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health 1 2 Care Administration shall include health maintenance 3 organization recipients in the county billing for inpatient 4 hospital stays for the purpose of shared costs with counties 5 in accordance with the Florida Statutes. This section is 6 repealed on July 1, 2000. 7 Section 11. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 8 Departments of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor 9 and Employment Security, and Health and the Agency for Health Care Administration may transfer positions and general revenue 10 funds as necessary to comply with any provision of the 11 12 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or WAGES Act which requires or specifically authorizes the transfer of positions 13 14 and general revenue funds between these agencies. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 15 Section 12. In order to implement Specific 16 Appropriations 420 through 425 of the 1999-2000 General 17 Appropriations Act, subsection (16) of section 216.181, 18 19 Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 20 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 21 capital outlay .--22 (16) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to 23 the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Department of Children and Family Services is authorized 24 25 to use operating funds budgeted for Developmental Services 26 Institutions for fixed capital outlay expenditures as needed to bring any currently unlicensed beds up to Federal 27 Intermediate Care Facility for the Developmentally Disabled 28 29 licensure standards. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 30 2000 <del>1999</del>. 31 13

Section 13. In order to implement Specific 1 2 Appropriation 255 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 3 the Agency for Health Care Administration shall take any necessary lawfully authorized action to ensure that total 4 5 expenditures for Medicaid transportation remain within the 6 amount budgeted in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act. 7 In the event that the agency finds that it is impossible to 8 constrain Medicaid transportation expenditures to within the 9 budgeted amount, it shall notify the Legislature of this and provide suggestions for statutory revisions necessary to 10 alleviate future deficits as well as a description of all 11 12 action taken under its current authority. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 13 14 Section 14. In order to implement Specific 15 Appropriation 261 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 16 subsection (13) of section 409.912, Florida Statutes, 1998 17 Supplement, is amended to read: 18 409.912 Cost-effective purchasing of health care.--The 19 agency shall purchase goods and services for Medicaid 20 recipients in the most cost-effective manner consistent with the delivery of quality medical care. The agency shall 21 maximize the use of prepaid per capita and prepaid aggregate 22 23 fixed-sum basis services when appropriate and other alternative service delivery and reimbursement methodologies, 24 including competitive bidding pursuant to s. 287.057, designed 25 26 to facilitate the cost-effective purchase of a case-managed 27 continuum of care. The agency shall also require providers to minimize the exposure of recipients to the need for acute 28 29 inpatient, custodial, and other institutional care and the 30 inappropriate or unnecessary use of high-cost services. 31 14

| 1  | (13)(a) The agency shall identify health care                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | utilization and price patterns within the Medicaid program     |
| 3  | which are not cost-effective or medically appropriate and      |
| 4  | assess the effectiveness of new or alternate methods of        |
| 5  | providing and monitoring service, and may implement such       |
| 6  | methods as it considers appropriate. Such methods may include  |
| 7  | disease management initiatives, an integrated and systematic   |
| 8  | approach for managing the health care needs of recipients who  |
| 9  | are at risk of or diagnosed with a specific disease by using   |
| 10 | best practices, prevention strategies, clinical-practice       |
| 11 | improvement, clinical interventions and protocols, outcomes    |
| 12 | research, information technology, and other tools and          |
| 13 | resources to reduce overall costs and improve measurable       |
| 14 | outcomes.  |
| 15 | (b)1. The agency shall develop:                                |
| 16 | a. A program to identify practice patterns based on            |
| 17 | national and regional practice guidelines. The program shall   |
| 18 | evaluate practitioner prescribing patterns by peer group,      |
| 19 | according to guidelines developed in conjunction with a panel  |
| 20 | comprised of six actively practicing physicians, one dentist   |
| 21 | who is an oral surgeon, and two pharmacists. The agency may    |
| 22 | require prior authorization on their prescription of medicines |
| 23 | for practitioners whose prescribing patterns fall repeatedly   |
| 24 | outside the guidelines.  |
| 25 | b. Patient and provider educational initiatives                |
| 26 | designed to promote proper use of medications.                 |
| 27 | c. Methods to assess general patient compliance with           |
| 28 | prescribed treatments.   |
| 29 | d. A pharmacy fraud, waste, and abuse prevention and           |
| 30 | detection program. The program may include, but is not limited |
| 31 | to, surety bond or letter of credit requirements for           |
|    | 15   |
|    |  |

participating pharmacies, enhanced provider auditing 1 2 practices, computer monitoring systems, recipient management 3 for beneficiaries who use benefits inappropriately, and 4 measures to eliminate use of counterfeit prescriptions. 5 e. Beneficiary case management programs. 6 2. The agency may apply for any federal waivers 7 necessary to implement this paragraph. 8 This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000. 3. 9 Section 15. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 372 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 10 paragraph (c) of subsection (1) of section 402.3015, Florida 11 12 Statutes, is amended to read: 13 402.3015 Subsidized child care program; purpose; fees; 14 contracts.--15 (1) The purpose of the subsidized child care program is to provide quality child care to enhance the development, 16 17 including language, cognitive, motor, social, and self-help skills of children who are at risk of abuse or neglect and 18 19 children of low-income families, and to promote financial self-sufficiency and life skills for the families of these 20 children, unless prohibited by federal law. Priority for 21 22 participation in the subsidized child care program shall be 23 accorded to children under 13 years of age who are: (c)1. Children of working families whose family income 24 is equal to or greater than 100 percent, but does not exceed 25 26 150 percent, of the federal poverty level. 27 2. Eligibility under this paragraph may be expanded to children of working families whose family income does not 28 29 exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level and who are 30 enrolled in the Child Care Executive Partnership Program 31 16

established in s. 409.178. This subparagraph is repealed on 1 July 1, 2000. 2 3 Section 16. In order to implement Specific 4 Appropriation 360 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 5 subsection 4 is added to section 39.3065, Florida Statutes, to б read: 7 39.3065 Sheriffs of Pasco, Manatee, and Pinellas 8 Counties to provide child protective investigative services; 9 procedures; funding.--10 (4) (a) As described in this section, and in addition to 11 12 the requirements of subsection (1), the Department of Children and Family Services shall, by the end of fiscal year 13 14 1999-2000, transfer all responsibility for child protective 15 investigations for Broward County to the sheriff of that county who is responsible for the provision of all child 16 17 protective investigations in that county. Each individual who 18 provides these services must complete the training provided to 19 and required of protective investigators employed by the 20 Department of Children and Family Services. 21 (b) In fiscal year 1999-2000, the sheriff of Broward County has the responsibility to provide all child protective 22 investigations in that county. The sheriff shall operate, at 23 a minimum, in accordance with the performance standards 24 25 established by the Legislature for protective investigations 26 conducted by the Department of Children and Family Services. 27 Funds for providing child protective investigations in Broward 28 County must be be identified in the annual appropriation made 29 to the Department of Children and Family Services, which shall 30 award a grant for the full amount identified to the sheriff's office. Funds for the child protective investigations may not 31 17

be integrated into the sheriff's regular budget. Budgetary 1 2 data and other data relating to the performance of child 3 protective investigations must be maintained separately from 4 all other records of the sheriff's office. (c) Notwithstanding paragraph (3)(d), program 5 6 performance evaluation shall be based on criteria mutually 7 agreed upon by the respective sheriffs and a committee of nine 8 persons appointed by the Governor and selected from those 9 persons serving on the Department of Children and Family Services District 5 Health and Human Services Board, District 10 6 Health and Human Services Board and District 10 Health and 11 12 Human Services Board. Two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pasco County, two of the Governor's appointees 13 14 must be residents of Manatee County, two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pinellas County, and two of 15 the Governor's appointees must be residents of Broward County. 16 17 Such appointees shall serve at the pleasure of the Governor. The individuals appointed must have demonstrated experience in 18 19 outcome evaluation, social service areas of protective 20 investigation, or child welfare supervision. The committee 21 shall submit a report regarding quality performance, outcome-measure attainment and cost efficiency, to the 22 23 President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and to the Governor no later than January 31, 24 25 2000. 26 (d) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000. 27 Section 17. In order to implement Specific 28 Appropriations 973 through 996 of the 1999-2000 General 29 Appropriations Act, subsection (17) of section 216.181, 30 Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 31 18

216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 1 2 capital outlay .--3 (17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this 4 section to the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 5 fiscal year only, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement 6 may transfer up to 20 positions and associated budget between 7 budget entities, provided the same funding source is used throughout each transfer. The department may also transfer up 8 9 to 10 percent of the initial approved salary rate between budget entities, provided the same funding source is used 10 throughout each transfer. The department must provide notice 11 12 to the Executive Office of the Governor, the chair of the Senate Ways and Means Committee, and the chair of the House 13 14 Committee on Criminal Justice Appropriations for all transfers of positions or salary rate. This subsection is repealed on 15 July 1, 2000 <del>1999</del>. 16 17 Section 18. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 573 and 949 of the 1999-2000 General 18 19 Appropriations Act, the Correctional Privatization Commission 20 and the Department of Juvenile Justice may expend appropriated 21 funds to assist in defraying the costs of impacts that are 22 incurred by a municipality or county and associated with 23 opening and operating a facility under the authority of the Correctional Privatization Commission or a facility under the 24 25 authority of the Department of Juvenile Justice which is 26 located within that municipality or county. The amount that is 27 to be paid under this section for any facility may not exceed 28 1 percent of the facility construction cost, less building 29 impact fees imposed by the municipality, or by the county if 30 the facility is located in the unincorporated portion of the 31 county. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 19

1 Section 19. In order to implement Specific 2 Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General 3 Appropriations Act, subsections (8) and (9) of section 4 403.7095, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, are amended to 5 read: 6 403.7095 Solid waste management grant program.--7 (8) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999, the 8 department shall provide counties with populations under 9 100,000 with at least 80 percent of the level of funding they received in fiscal year 1998-1999 1997-1998 for solid waste 10 11 management and recycling grants. 12 (9) For fiscal year 1999-2000 <del>1998-1999</del>, the 13 department shall provide 10 percent of the total funds 14 available after the requirements of subsection (8) are met for recycling grants available to all counties on a competitive 15 basis for innovative programs. The department may consider one 16 17 or more of the following criteria in determining whether a 18 grant proposal is innovative: 19 (a) Demonstrate advanced technologies or processes. 20 (b) Collect and recycle materials targeted by the 21 department. 22 (c) Demonstrate substantial improvement in program 23 cost-effectiveness and efficiency as measured against statewide average costs for the same or similar programs. 24 25 (d) Demonstrate transferability of technology and 26 processes used in program. 27 (e) Demonstrate and implement multicounty or regional 28 recycling programs. 29 Section 20. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 30 Administration Commission may approve exceptions to the 31 20

state's personnel, payroll, and benefit rules, policies, and 1 practices and may approve exemptions from: 2 3 (1) Statutory provisions relating to state employment 4 in chapter 110, Florida Statutes; 5 (2) Statutory provisions relating to state employees 6 in parts I and II of chapter 112, Florida Statutes; and 7 (3) Salary rate and position control provisions in ss. 8 216.181, 216.251, and 216.262, Florida Statutes, 1998 9 Supplement. 10 Such exceptions and exemptions may only be approved in order 11 12 to take advantage of or to demonstrate the best practices 13 inherent in purchased commercial off-the-shelf software for 14 human resources, payroll, and benefits and shall be granted 15 only after review and approval by those agencies whose 16 statutory responsibilities or rule requirements are affected. 17 The Administration Commission shall follow the notice, review, and exception procedures set forth in s. 216.177(2), Florida 18 19 Statutes, and public employee collective bargaining agreements 20 established pursuant to s. 447.309, Florida Statutes, prior to 21 granting an exception or exemption. Exceptions and exemptions under this section are limited to only those organizations 22 23 selected by the Florida Financial Management Information System Coordinating Council to serve as pilot sites in the 24 25 proof-of-concept pilot project authorized in Specific 26 Appropriation 1535 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 27 Act. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 28 Section 21. In order to implement Specific 29 Appropriation 1535A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 110.1239, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is 30 amended to read: 31

1 110.1239 State group health insurance program 2 funding.--For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, it is 3 the intent of the Legislature that the state group health 4 insurance program be managed, administered, operated, and 5 funded in such a manner as to maximize the protection of state 6 employee health insurance benefits. Inherent in this intent is 7 the recognition that the health insurance liabilities 8 attributable to the benefits offered state employees should be 9 fairly, orderly, and equitably funded. Accordingly: (1) The division shall determine the level of premiums 10 necessary to fully fund the state group health insurance 11 12 program for the next fiscal year. Such determination shall be made after each revenue estimating conference on health 13 14 insurance as provided in s. 216.136(1), but not later than 15 December 1 and April 1 of each fiscal year. (2) The Governor, in the Governor's recommended 16 17 budget, shall provide premium rates necessary for full funding 18 of the state group health insurance program, and the 19 Legislature shall provide in the General Appropriations Act 20 for a premium level necessary for full funding of the state 21 group health insurance program. 22 (3) For purposes of funding, any additional 23 appropriation amounts allocated to the state group health insurance program by the Legislature shall be considered as a 24 25 state contribution and thus an increase in the state premiums. 26 (4) This section is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. Section 22. In order to implement Specific 27 28 Appropriation 1326 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 29 Act, subsection (15) of section 259.032, Florida Statutes, 30 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 31 2.2 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

259.032 Conservation and Recreation Lands Trust Fund; 1 2 purpose.--3 (15) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999 only, moneys 4 credited to the fund may be appropriated to provide grants to 5 qualified local governmental entities pursuant to the 6 provisions of s. 375.075. This subsection is repealed on July 7 1, 2000 <del>1999</del>. 8 Section 23. In order to implement Specific 9 Appropriation 1205 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (17) of section 373.59, Florida Statutes, 1998 10 11 Supplement, is amended to read: 12 373.59 Water Management Lands Trust Fund.--(17) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to 13 14 the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 15 the governing board of a water management district may 16 request, and the Secretary of Environmental Protection shall 17 release upon such request, moneys allocated to the districts 18 pursuant to subsection (8) for the purpose of carrying out the 19 provisions of ss. 373.451-373.4595. No funds may be used pursuant to this subsection until necessary debt service 20 obligations and requirements for payments in lieu of taxes 21 that may be required pursuant to this section are provided 22 23 for. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. Section 24. In order to implement Specific 24 25 Appropriations 1210, 1212, 1222, and 1223B of the 1999-2000 26 General Appropriations Act, section 86 of chapter 93-213, Laws 27 of Florida, as amended by section 28 of chapter 98-46, Laws of 28 Florida, is amended to read: 29 Section 86. The Department of Environmental Regulation 30 is authorized 54 career service positions for administering the state NPDES program. Twenty-five career service positions 31 23 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

are authorized for startup of the program beginning July 1, 1 1993, and the remaining 29 career service positions beginning 2 3 January 1, 1994. The state NPDES program staffing shall start 4 July 1, 1993, with completion targeted for 6 months following 5 United States Environmental Protection Agency authorization to 6 administer the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 7 Implementation of positions is subject to review and program. 8 final approval by the secretary of the Department of 9 Environmental Regulation. The sum of \$3.2 million is hereby appropriated from the Pollution Recovery Trust Fund to cover 10 program startup costs. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, 11 12 such funds need not be repaid. Section 25. In order to implement Specific 13 14 Appropriations 1928 through 1931 of the 1999-2000 General 15 Appropriations Act, subsection (4) of section 287.161, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 16 287.161 Executive aircraft pool; assignment of 17 aircraft; charge for transportation. --18 19 (4) Notwithstanding the requirements of subsections 20 (2) and (3) and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 21 the Department of Management Services shall charge all persons receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a 22 23 rate not less than the mileage allowance fixed by the Legislature for the use of privately owned vehicles. Fees 24 collected for persons traveling by aircraft in the executive 25 26 aircraft pool shall be deposited into the Bureau of Aircraft Trust Fund and shall be expended for costs incurred to operate 27 the aircraft management activities of the department. It is 28 29 the intent of the Legislature that the executive aircraft pool be operated on a full cost recovery basis, less available 30 funds. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 31

24

Section 26. In order to implement Specific 1 2 Appropriation 1617 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 3 Act: 4 (1) For purposes of this section, "eligible employee" 5 means any employee of the University of Florida College of 6 Veterinary Medicine Pari-mutuel Laboratory on June 30, 1999, 7 who had permanent status in the Career Service System on June 8 30, 1997, as an employee of the Department of Business and 9 Professional Regulation in the Pari-mutuel Laboratory and who subsequently transferred to the State University System during 10 the 1997-1998 fiscal year. 11 (2) If the laboratory is relocated to Gainesville and 12 the eligible employee is no longer employed by the state, the 13 14 eligible employee may hold applicable sick and annual leave balances inactive without automatic payout for a period of 1 15 year from the effective date of termination of state 16 17 employment, until the effective date of other state employment or the effective date of private employment, whichever is 18 19 earlier. At that time, the leave balances shall be transferred 20 to the eligible employee's account or paid to the employee 21 pursuant to applicable law and rules. (3) An eligible employee may elect to participate in 22 the new employer's sick leave pool immediately upon 23 commencement of employment if such employee participated in 24 25 the University of Florida's sick leave pool during the year 26 immediately preceding termination of employment. No eligible employee shall be required to make an initial donation or 27 28 additional donation of sick leave as a condition of 29 participation in an agency sick leave pool for a period of 1 30 year. 31 25

| 1  | (4) Eligible employees shall be given preference, if           |
|----|--|
| 2  | qualified, for similar employment within the Career Service    |
| 3  | System or the State University System. The Department of       |
| 4  | Management Services shall assist eligible employees in         |
| 5  | identifying similar employment opportunities and determining   |
| 6  | position eligibility. The department shall also assist         |
| 7  | eligible employees with resume writing preparation and career  |
| 8  | counseling training.   |
| 9  | (5) Eligible employees reemployed by the Department of         |
| 10 | Business and Professional Regulation by June 30, 2000, shall   |
| 11 | retain all retention points earned during prior employment     |
| 12 | with the agency, plus the retention points the eligible        |
| 13 | employee would have accrued had the operation of the           |
| 14 | pari-mutuel laboratory not been transferred from the agency.   |
| 15 | (6) This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.                  |
| 16 | Section 27. In order to implement Specific                     |
| 17 | Appropriations 1467 through 1483 of the 1999-2000 General      |
| 18 | Appropriations Act, subsection (18) is added to section        |
| 19 | 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, to read:           |
| 20 | 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed              |
| 21 | capital outlay   |
| 22 | (18) Notwithstanding any other provision of this               |
| 23 | chapter to the contrary, the Florida Department of             |
| 24 | Transportation, in order to facilitate the transfer of         |
| 25 | personnel to the new turnpike headquarters location in Orange  |
| 26 | County, may transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity |
| 27 | from other departmental budget entities. The department must   |
| 28 | provide documentation of all transfers to the Executive Office |
| 29 | of the Governor, the chair of the Senate Ways and Means        |
| 30 | Committee, and the chair of the House Committee on             |
| 31 |  |
|    | 26   |
|    | 20   |

Transportation and Economic Development Appropriations. This 1 2 subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000. 3 Section 28. In order to implement Specific 4 Appropriations 1492 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General 5 Appropriations Act, subsection (9) of section 253.034, Florida 6 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 7 253.034 State-owned lands; uses.--(9) Notwithstanding any provision of this section or 8 9 s. 253.111 to the contrary, the Department of Transportation may sell, at fair market value, the following described state 10 real property utilized by the Department of Highway Safety and 11 Motor Vehicles: 12 13 14 From the NW Corner of Section 28 Township 22 South, Range 30 East, run North 89 degrees 21 15 minutes 24 seconds East 1900 feet; thence run 16 South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 17 59.45 feet for a point of beginning, said point 18 19 being on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 20 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence 21 North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 22 23 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters 24 edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said 25 26 Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds West along the North line of 27 said Section 28, to a 4-inch concrete monument 28 29 on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Road No. 50, being North 89 degrees 21 minutes 30 24 seconds East 2315.27 feet from the NW Corner 31

27

| 1   | of said Section 28; thence run Westerly 419.59                                    |
|-----|---|
| 2   | feet along the arc of a 0 degree 44 minutes 25                                    |
| 3   | seconds curve concave to the Northwesterly,                                       |
| 4   | (having a central angle of 3 degrees 6 minutes                                    |
| 5   | 22 seconds, the long chord bearing South 81                                       |
| 6   | degrees 08 minutes 37 seconds West 419.50 feet)                                   |
| 7   | to the point of beginning. All of the above                                       |
| 8   | described land being in the NE $1/4$ of the NW                                    |
| 9   | 1/4 of said Section 28, Orange County,  |
| 10  | Florida.  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Proceeds from the sale shall be deposited in the State                            |
| 13  | Transportation Trust Fund. The Board of Trustees of the                           |
| 14  | Internal Improvement Trust Fund shall execute and deliver a                       |
| 15  | deed of conveyance for the purpose of carrying into effect a                      |
| 16  | contract or agreement of sale. This subsection is repealed on                     |
| 17  | July 1, <u>2000</u> <del>1999</del> .   |
| 18  | Section 29. In order to implement Specific  |
| 19  | Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General                         |
| 20  | Appropriations Act, subsection (1) of section 334.0445,                           |
| 21  | Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:                            |
| 22  | 334.0445 Model career service classification and                                  |
| 23  | compensation plan   |
| 24  | (1) Effective July 1, 1994, the Legislature grants to                             |
| 25  | the Department of Transportation in consultation with the                         |
| 26  | Department of Management Services, the Executive Office of the                    |
| 27  | Governor, legislative appropriations committees, legislative                      |
| 28  | personnel committees, and the affected certified bargaining                       |
| 29  | unions, the authority on a pilot basis to develop and                             |
| 30  | implement a model career service classification and                               |
| 31  | compensation system. Such system shall be developed for use by                    |
|     | 28  |
| COD | 20<br>ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions |
|     |   |

all state agencies. Authorization for this program will be 1 2 through June 30, 2000 for 3 fiscal years beginning July 1, 3 1994, and ending June 30, 1997; however, the department may 4 elect or be directed by the Legislature to return to the 5 current system at anytime during this period if the model 6 system does not meet the stated goals and objectives. This 7 subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000. 8 Section 30. In order to implement Specific 9 Appropriations 2037 through 2096 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (b) of subsection (5) of section 10 15.09, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 11 12 15.09 Fees.--(5) 13 14 (b) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 15 funds from the Public Access Data Systems Trust Fund may be 16 appropriated for the operations of the department. This 17 paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 18 Section 31. In order to implement Specific 19 Appropriation 1114 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 20 Act, paragraph (d) is added to subsection (1) of section 21 252.373, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, to read: 22 252.373 Allocation of funds; rules.--23 (1) Funds appropriated from the Emergency Management, Preparedness, and Assistance Trust Fund shall be allocated by 24 25 the Department of Community Affairs as follows: 26 (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section to the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year 27 28 only, the Department of Community Affairs shall transfer \$1 million to the Department of Management Services for the 29 30 purchase of 800-MHz radios for use by state and local entities 31 29

HB 1791, First Engrossed

during emergencies. This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 1 2 2000. 3 Section 32. The performance measures and standards 4 established in this section for individual programs in 5 specific agencies shall be applied to those programs for the 6 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and 7 standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in 8 the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 and 9 are to be used to maintain accountability related to those 10 appropriations. (1) STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM.--The performance measures 11 12 established in this subsection for the State University System 13 are directly linked to Specific Appropriations 180 through 183 14 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act and are to be used 15 to maintain accountability related to those appropriations. By January 5, 2000, the State University System shall report the 16 17 most recent data available on each of the following measures 18 to the appropriate legislative committees: 19 20 INSTRUCTION: 21 22 Graduation rate for first time in college students, using a 6-year rate. 23 24 Retention rate for first time in college 25 26 students, using a 6-year rate. 27 28 Graduation rate for Associate of Arts transfer 29 students, using a 4-year rate. 30 31 30 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1 Retention rate for Associate of Arts transfer 2 students, using a 4-year rate. 3 4 Pass rate on licensure certification 5 examinations for those sitting for the 6 examination for the first time. 7 8 Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled 9 in graduate school upon completion of the baccalaureate degree. 10 11 12 Percentage of classes taught by state-funded 13 ranked faculty members. 14 15 Percent of qualified Florida students who meet 16 the Board of Regents admission standards and 17 are admitted as first time in college students. 18 19 Percent of first time in college students 20 admitted as alternative admissions. 21 22 Percent of alternative admissions that are 23 nonresidents. 24 25 **RESEARCH:** 26 Externally generated research per state-funded 27 28 ranked faculty full-time equivalent positions. 29 30 Number of patents and trademarks generated. 31 31 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1  | Ratio of state-funded research to externally                   |
|----|--|
| 2  | funded contracts and grants generated research                 |
| 3  | and training grant dollars to state research                   |
| 4  | dollars.   |
| 5  |  |
| б  | Average number of articles in refereed journals                |
| 7  | per ranked faculty.  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | These measures shall be reported and maintained                |
| 10 | at both the institutional and systemwide                       |
| 11 | levels. The Board of Regents shall use standard                |
| 12 | definitions for the application of these                       |
| 13 | measures. Performance measures for the medical                 |
| 14 | schools and the Institute of Food and                          |
| 15 | Agricultural Sciences shall be reported                        |
| 16 | separately for the research performance                        |
| 17 | measures.  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | The Board of Regents is directed to incorporate these measures |
| 20 | as program performance measures in the program reviews         |
| 21 | conducted pursuant to s. 240.209 (5)(b), Florida Statutes,     |
| 22 | 1998 Supplement, and use this information in decisions         |
| 23 | regarding degree program approval, termination, and            |
| 24 | modification.  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 | (2) DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES                        |
| 27 | (a) Aging and Adult Services ProgramThe following              |
| 28 | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided  |
| 29 | in Specific Appropriations 334 through 341:                    |
| 30 |  |
| 31 | Performance Measures Standards                                 |
|    | 32   |
|    | I  |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Adults with Disabilities and Frail Elderly Who                                 |
| 3   | Are Victims of Abuse, Neglect, or Exploitation                                 |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Percent of protective supervision cases in                                     |
| 8   | which no report alleging abuse, neglect, or                                    |
| 9   | exploitation is received while the case is open                                |
| 10  | (from beginning of protective supervision for a                                |
| 11  | maximum of 1 year)   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percent of clients satisfied90%  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percent of case closures for proposed confirmed                                |
| 16  | within 60 days for each district100%   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of investigations   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number of cases closed for proposed  |
| 23  | confirmed  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of persons receiving protective   |
| 26  | supervision services   |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Number of protective supervision cases in which                                |
| 29  | no report alleging abuse, neglect, or  |
| 30  | exploitation is received while the case is open                                |
| 31  |  |
|     | 33   |
| COT | Joing:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COL | ANG. WOLDS SULLARED ALE GELECIONS, WOLDS <u>UNDERLINED</u> ALE AUGILIONS.      |

1 (from beginning of protective supervision for a 2 maximum of 1 year).....490 3 4 Adults with Disabilities Who Need Assistance to 5 Remain in the Community 6 7 OUTCOMES: 8 9 Percent of adults with disabilities receiving 10 services who are not placed in a nursing 11 12 13 Percent of clients satisfied......95% 14 15 OUTPUTS: 16 17 Number of adults with disabilities to be 18 served: Community Care for Disabled Adults...1,051 19 20 Home Care for Disabled Adults.....1,428 21 Number of Medicaid waiver clients 22 served.....1,397 23 24 Number of persons receiving OSS case management 25 services (Elderly and Disabled) excluding 26 27 28 Number of persons placed in an Assisted Living 29 Facility, Adult Family-Care Home or Nursing 30 Home (Elderly and Disabled).....Report % by 1/5/2000 31 34

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        | (b) People with Mental Health and Substance Abuse                                     |
| 3        | Problems ProgramThe following measures and standards shall                            |
| 4        | be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations                           |
| 5        | 342 through 356:  |
| 6        |   |
| 7        | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 8        |   |
| 9        | Children Incompetent to Proceed in Juvenile   |
| 10       | Justice   |
| 11       |   |
| 12       | OUTCOMES:   |
| 13       |   |
| 14       | Percent of children restored to competency and  |
| 15       | recommended to proceed with a judicial hearing:                                       |
| 16       | With mental illness   |
| 17       | With mental retardation54%  |
| 18       |   |
| 19       | Percent of community partners satisfied with  |
| 20       | program based upon a survey   |
| 21<br>22 | Percent of children returned to court for   |
| 22<br>23 |   |
| 23<br>24 | competency hearings, and the court concurs with the recommendation of the provider95% |
| 24<br>25 |   |
| 26       | Percent of children with mental illness either  |
| 27       | restored to competency or determined  |
| 28       | unrestorable in less than 180 days  |
| 29       |   |
| 30       |   |
| 31       |   |
|          |   |
|          | 35  |
| COD      | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.      |

| 1   | Percent of children with mental retardation  |
|-----|--|
|     |  |
| 2   | either restored to competency or determined  |
| 3   | unrestorable in less than 365 days90%  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Number of children served who are incompetent  |
| 8   | to proceed   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Children with Serious Emotional Disturbance  |
| 11  | (SED)  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Average number of days per year SED children   |
| 16  | (excluding those in juvenile justice   |
| 17  | facilities) spend in the community338  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percent of commitments or recommitments to   |
| 20  | Juvenile JusticeBaseline   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Percent of available school days SED children  |
| 23  | attended during the last 30 days85%  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Percent of families satisfied with the services  |
| 26  | received as measured by the Family Centered  |
| 27  | Behavior Scale   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Percent of community partners satisfied based  |
| 30  | on a survey90%   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 36   |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |
| 1    | Average functional level score SED children                                     |
|------|---|
| 2    | will have achieved on the Global Assessment of                                  |
| 3    | Functioning scale   |
| 4    |   |
| 5    | Percent of improvement of the emotional   |
| 6    | condition or behavior of the child or   |
| 7    | adolescent evidenced by resolving the presented                                 |
| 8    | problem and symptoms of the serious emotional                                   |
| 9    | disturbance recorded in the initial   |
| 10   | assessment  |
| 11   |   |
| 12   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 13   |   |
| 14   | SED children to be served22,104   |
| 15   |   |
| 16   | Children with Emotional Disturbances (ED)                                       |
| 17   |   |
| 18   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 19   |   |
| 20   | Average number of days per year ED children                                     |
| 21   | (excluding those in juvenile justice  |
| 22   | facilities) spent in the community350   |
| 23   |   |
| 24   | Percent of available days ED children attended                                  |
| 25   | school during the last 30 days  |
| 26   |   |
| 27   | Percent of commitments or recommitments to                                      |
| 28   | Juvenile JusticeReport % by   |
| 29   | 1/5/2000  |
| 30   |   |
| 31   |   |
|      | 37  |
| പ്പാ | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions.    |
|      | <u>individual perioden die derectomp, words</u> <u>inderrined</u> die duittoms. |

| 1   | Percent of families satisfied with the services                                   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | received as measured by the Family Centered                                       |
| 3   | Behavior Scale  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percent of community partners satisfied based                                     |
| 6   | on a survey   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Average functional level score ED children will                                   |
| 9   | have achieved on the Global Assessment of   |
| 10  | Functioning scale   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number of ED children to be served13,101  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Children At Risk of Emotional Disturbance   |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Percent of families satisfied with the services                                   |
| 21  | received as measured by the Family Centered                                       |
| 22  | Behavior Scale  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | At risk children to be served10,390   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Children with Substance Abuse Problems  |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 38  |
| COD | I<br>ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   | Percent of children discharged for completing   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | treatment having no alcohol or other drug use   |
| 3   | during the month prior to discharge72%  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percent of parents of children receiving  |
| 6   | services reporting average or above average   |
| 7   | level of satisfaction on Family Centered  |
| 8   | Behavior Scale95%   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Percent of children receiving services who are  |
| 11  | satisfied based on survey   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Percent of children under the supervision of  |
| 14  | the state receiving substance abuse treatment   |
| 15  | who are not committed or recommitted to the   |
| 16  | Department of Juvenile Justice during the 12  |
| 17  | months following treatment completion85%  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Percent of community partners satisfied based   |
| 20  | on survey90%  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Number of children completing treatment4,500  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Number of children served   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Children At Risk of Substance Abuse Problems  |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 20  |
| 005 | 39  |
|     | $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}$ |

| 1   | Percent of children in targeted prevention  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | programs who achieve expected level of  |
| 3   | improvement in reading75%   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percent of children in targeted prevention  |
| б   | programs who achieve expected level of  |
| 7   | improvement in math   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Percent of children who receive targeted  |
| 10  | prevention services who are not admitted to   |
| 11  | substance abuse services during the 12 months                                       |
| 12  | after completion of prevention services96%  |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Percent of children in targeted prevention  |
| 15  | programs who perceive substance use to be   |
| 16  | harmful at the time of discharge when compared                                      |
| 17  | to admission76%   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of children served in targeted   |
| 22  | prevention  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Adults with Substance Abuse Problems  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Percent of clients completing treatment who are                                     |
| 29  | not readmitted for substance abuse services   |
| 30  | during the 12 months following discharge96%   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 40  |
| COL | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Percent of adults employed upon discharge from                                    |
|-----|---|
| 2   | treatment services61%   |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Percent of adult women pregnant during  |
| 5   | treatment who give birth to substance free  |
| б   | newborns  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Percent change in the number of clients with                                      |
| 9   | arrests within 90 days following discharge  |
| 10  | compared to number with arrests within 90 days                                    |
| 11  | prior to admission  |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Average level of satisfaction on the Behavioral                                   |
| 14  | Healthcare Rating Scale of satisfaction138  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Percent of community partners satisfied based                                     |
| 17  | on surveys  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of adults served141,832  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Adults with a Serious and Persistent Mental                                       |
| 24  | Illness in the Community  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Average annual number of days spent in the  |
| 29  | community (not in institutions or other   |
| 30  | facilities)   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 41  |
| 005 | <br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COL | And: words stricken are detectors, words <u>underlined</u> are additions.         |

| 1   | Average functional level based on Global  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Assessment of Functioning score   |
| 3   | <u>_</u>  |
| 4   | Average client satisfaction score on the  |
| 5   | Behavioral Healthcare Rating Scale140   |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Average annual days worked for pay  |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Total average monthly income in last 30   |
| 10  | days\$550   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percent of community partners satisfied based                                   |
| 13  | on survey   |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Number of Adults with a Serious and Persistent                                  |
| 18  | Mental Illness served   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Adults in Mental Health Crisis  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Average Global Assessment of Functioning scale                                  |
| 25  | change score14.7%   |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Percent of community partners satisfied based                                   |
| 28  | on survey   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Average client satisfaction score on the  |
| 31  | Behavioral Healthcare Rating Scale130   |
|     | 42  |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additio |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of Adults in Mental Health Crisis  |
| 5   | served68,553  |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Adults with Forensic Involvement  |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Average functional level based on Global  |
| 12  | Assessment of Functioning score   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Average client satisfaction score on the  |
| 15  | Behavioral Healthcare Rating Scale134   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Percent of persons who violate their Chapter  |
| 18  | 916, F.S., conditional release and are  |
| 19  | recommitted4%   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Percent of community partners satisfied based   |
| 22  | on survey   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Average annual number of days spent in the  |
| 25  | community (not in institutions or other   |
| 26  | facilities)216  |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Number of Adults with Forensic Involvement  |
| 31  | served  |
|     | 43  |
| COD | •<br><b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | (c) People with Developmental Disabilities-Community                             |
| 3   | ProgramThe following measures and standards shall be                             |
| 4   | applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 376                     |
| 5   | through 390:   |
| б   |  |
| 7   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percent of people who have a quality of life                                     |
| 12  | score of 19 out of 25 or greater on the Outcome                                  |
| 13  | Based Performance Measures Assessment at annual                                  |
| 14  | reassessment   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Percent of adults living in homes of their                                       |
| 17  | own16.25%  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percent of people who are employed in  |
| 20  | integrated settings  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Percent of clients satisfied with services95%                                    |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Children and adults provided case  |
| 27  | management   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Children and adults provided residential care                                    |
| 30  | <u>4,764</u>   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 44   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Children and adults provided individualized                                  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | supports and services  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | (d) Developmental Services-Institutions ProgramThe                           |
| 5   | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds               |
| б   | provided in Specific Appropriations 420 through 425:                         |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Annual number of significant reportable                                      |
| 13  | incidents per 100 persons with developmental                                 |
| 14  | disabilities living in developmental services                                |
| 15  | institutions26   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Percent of people discharged as planned100%                                  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percent of clients satisfied with services95%                                |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Adults receiving services in developmental                                   |
| 24  | services institutions1,357   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Adults incompetent to proceed provided                                       |
| 27  | competency training and custodial care in the                                |
| 28  | Mentally Retarded Defendants Program141                                      |
| 29  |  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 45   |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |  |

| 1   | (e) Economic Self-Sufficiency ProgramThe following                          |
|-----|---|
| 2   | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided               |
| 3   | in Specific Appropriations 391 through 404:                                 |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | WAGES/Adults and Families Who Need Assistance                               |
| 8   | to Become Employed  |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percentage of applications processed within                                 |
| 13  | time standards (total)100%  |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Percentage of Food Stamp applications processed                             |
| 16  | within 30 days100%  |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Percentage of cash assistance applications                                  |
| 19  | processed within 45 days 100%   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Percentage of Medicaid applications processed                               |
| 22  | within 45 days100%  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Percentage of Food Stamp benefits determined                                |
| 25  | accurately  |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Percentage of WAGES cash assistance benefits                                |
| 28  | determined accurately   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Percentage of Medicaid benefits determined                                  |
| 31  | accurately100%  |
|     | 46  |
| COD | INC.Words <del>strictor</del> are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Percentage of Benefit Recovery claims   |
| 3   | established within 90 days100%  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percentage of dollars collected for established                                   |
| 6   | Benefit Recovery claims50%  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Percentage of suspected fraud cases referred                                      |
| 9   | that result in Front-end Fraud Prevention   |
| 10  | savings   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percentage of WAGES sanctions referred by the                                     |
| 13  | local WAGES coalitions that are executed within                                   |
| 14  | <u>10 days100%</u>  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Percentage of work eligible WAGES participants                                    |
| 17  | accurately referred to the local WAGES  |
| 18  | coalitions within one work day100%  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Percentage of Refugee Assistance cases  |
| 21  | accurately closed at 8 months or less100%   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Percentage of clients satisfied with  |
| 24  | eligibility services: WAGES   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Percentage of clients satisfied with  |
| 27  | eligibility services: All other programs95%                                       |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | Total number of applications2,575,690   |
|     | 47  |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of WAGES participants referred to the  |
| 3   | local WAGES coalitions125,000   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of Front-end Fraud Prevention  |
| 6   | investigations completed25,200  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Dollars saved through Front-end Fraud   |
| 9   | Prevention\$17,900,000  |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Dollars collected through Benefit   |
| 12  | Recovery\$21,000,000  |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number of refugee cases closed5,600   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | (f) People in Need of Family Safety and Preservation                                |
| 17  | Services ProgramThe following measures and standards shall                          |
| 18  | be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations                         |
| 19  | 357 through 374:  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Families with Children in Child Care  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Percent of 4-year-old children placed with  |
| 28  | contracted providers in care for 9 months who                                       |
| 29  | enter kindergarten ready to learn as determined                                     |
| 30  | by DOE or local school systems' readiness   |
| 31  | assessment  |
|     | 48  |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | 1   |
|--------|---|
| ⊥<br>2 | Percent of non-WAGES, working poor clients who                                    |
| 2<br>3 | need child care that receive subsidized child                                     |
| _      | care services:  |
| 4<br>5 |   |
| -      | $\frac{0 \text{ to age } 592\%}{2\pi\hbar^2}$                                     |
| 6      | School Age  |
| 7      | All children63%   |
| 8      | Developt of lightered shild some unovident the                                    |
| 9      | Percent of licensed child care providers who                                      |
| 10     | are satisfied with the licensing process90%                                       |
| 11     | Deveent of clients versions subsidized shild                                      |
| 12     | Percent of clients receiving subsidized child                                     |
| 13     | care services who are satisfied95%  |
| 14     |   |
| 15     | Percent of licensed child care facilities and                                     |
| 16     | homes with no class 1 (serious) violations  |
| 17     | during their licensure year   |
| 18     |   |
| 19     | Number of provisional licenses as a result of                                     |
| 20     | noncompliance with child care standards375  |
| 21     |   |
| 22     | Number of verified incidents of abuse and/or                                      |
| 23     | neglect in licensed child care  |
| 24     | <u>arrangements62</u>   |
| 25     |   |
| 26     | Percent of WAGES clients who need child care                                      |
| 27     | that receive subsidized child care  |
| 28     | <u>services100%</u>   |
| 29     |   |
| 30     | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 31     |   |
|        | 49  |
| COL    | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1  | Number served: Working Poor53,739              |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | Number served: At Risk13,250                   |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | Number served: Migrants                        |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | Number served: WAGES/Transitional Child        |
| 8  | Care   |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | Total number served:                           |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | Families Known to the Department with Children |
| 13 | at Risk of Abuse                               |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | OUTCOMES:                                      |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Percent of children in families who complete   |
| 18 | intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 |
| 19 | months or more who are not abused or neglected |
| 20 | within 6 months of program completion95%       |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Percent of children in families who complete   |
| 23 | intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 |
| 24 | months or more who are not abused or neglected |
| 25 | within 12 months of program completion95%      |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | Percent of children in families who complete   |
| 28 | intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 |
| 29 | months or more who are not abused or neglected |
| 30 | within 18 months of program completion95%      |
| 31 |  |
|    | 50   |
|    | 50   |

| 1      | Percent of families receiving parent education  |
|--------|---|
| 2      | and other parent skill building services,   |
| 3      | lasting 6 weeks or longer, who show improved  |
| 4      | family skills and capacity to care for their  |
| 5      | childrenBaseline data available 6/99  |
| 6      |   |
| 7      | Percent of clients satisfied95%   |
| ,<br>8 |   |
| 9      | OUTPUTS:  |
| 10     |   |
| 11     | Number receiving information and referral   |
| 12     | services  |
| 13     | ·   |
| 14     | Number of persons served153,005   |
| 15     |   |
| 16     | Children Who Have Been Abused or Neglected by   |
| 17     | Their Families  |
| 18     |   |
| 19     | OUTCOMES:   |
| 20     |   |
| 21     | Percent of children who have been abused or   |
| 22     | neglected by their families who will have no  |
| 23     | subsequent findings of child maltreatment   |
| 24     | within 1 year of case closure   |
| 25     |   |
| 26     | Percent of families receiving ongoing services  |
| 27     | who show improved scores on the child   |
| 28     | well-being scalesBaseline data  |
| 29     | available 6/99  |
| 30     |   |
| 31     |   |
|        | 51  |
| COD    | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1  | Percent of clients receiving services that are                                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | satisfied based on a customer satisfaction                                     |
| 3  | survey95%  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | Percent of children reunified with family who                                  |
| 6  | return to foster care within 1 year of case                                    |
| 7  | closureBaseline data available 6/99  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Percent of children given exit interviews who                                  |
| 10 | were satisfied with their foster care placement                                |
| 11 | Baseline data available 6/99   |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Percent of children who are not abused or                                      |
| 14 | neglected during services  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 | Percentage of abandoned calls made to the                                      |
| 17 | Florida Abuse Hotline2%  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | OUTPUTS:   |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | Percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours                                |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | Percent of children who exited out-of-home care                                |
| 25 | by the 15th monthBaseline  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | Children identified as abused/neglected during                                 |
| 28 | year   |
| 29 | <u> </u>   |
| 30 | Percent of investigations completed within 30                                  |
| 31 | days   |
|    | <u></u>  |
|    | 52   |
|    | <b>G</b> .Words <del>strictor</del> are deletions: words underlined are additi |

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Number of children served in relative           |
| 3  | care  |
| 4  |   |
| 5  | Number of children served in foster             |
| 6  | care16,313                                      |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | Number of families served by Protective         |
| 9  | Supervision                                     |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | Number of families served by Intensive Crisis   |
| 12 | Counseling Program, Family Builders6,767        |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | Calls answered                                  |
| 15 |   |
| 16 | Percent of calls answered within 3              |
| 17 | minutes   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 | Number of cases reviewed by supervisors in      |
| 20 | accordance with department timeframes for early |
| 21 | warning systemBaseline                          |
| 22 |   |
| 23 | Number of individuals under the department's    |
| 24 | protective supervision who have case plans      |
| 25 | requiring substance abuse treatment who are     |
| 26 | receiving treatmentBaseline                     |
| 27 |   |
| 28 | Percent of cases reviewed by supervisors in     |
| 29 | accordance with department timeframes for early |
| 30 | warning systemBaseline                          |
| 31 |   |
|    |   |
|    | 53  |

| 1    | Percent of individuals under the department's                   |
|------|---|
| 2    | protective supervision who have case plans                      |
| 3    | requiring substance abuse treatment who are                     |
| 4    | receiving treatmentBaseline                                     |
| 5    |   |
| б    | Ratio of certified workers to                                   |
| 7    | childrenBaseline  |
| 8    |   |
| 9    | Reports of child abuse/neglect126,735                           |
| 10   |   |
| 11   | Victims of Domestic Violence                                    |
| 12   |   |
| 13   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 14   |   |
| 15   | Ratio of incidents reported resulting in injury                 |
| 16   | or harm to clients as a result of inadequate                    |
| 17   | security procedures per 1,000 shelter                           |
| 18   | daysBaseline  |
| 19   |   |
| 20   | Percent of clients satisfied95%                                 |
| 21   |   |
| 22   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 23   |   |
| 24   | Number of individuals receiving case management                 |
| 25   | services  |
| 26   |   |
| 27   | Number of children counseled20,340                              |
| 28   |   |
| 29   | Number of individuals served in emergency                       |
| 30   | shelters15,775  |
| 31   |   |
|      | 54  |
| CODI | NG:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

| 1Percent of adult and child victims in shelter2more than 72 hours having a plan for family |
|--|
| 2 more than 72 hours having a plan for family  |
|  |
| 3 safety and security when they leave  |
| 4 shelter  |
| 5  |
| 6 Number of adults counseled108,442  |
| 7  |
| 8 Child Victims of Abuse or Neglect Who Become   |
| 9 Eligible for Adoption  |
| 10   |
| 11 OUTCOMES:   |
| 12   |
| 13 Percent of children who are adopted of the  |
| 14 number of children legally available for  |
| 15 <u>adoption90%</u>  |
| 16   |
| 17 Percent of clients satisfied95%   |
| 18   |
| 19 <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 20   |
| 21 <u>Children receiving subsidies12,454</u>   |
| 22   |
| 23 <u>Children receiving adoptive services4,454</u>  |
| 24   |
| 25 <u>Number of children placed in</u>   |
| 26 <u>adoptionBaseline</u>   |
| 27   |
| 28 (g) Mental Health-Institutions ProgramThe following                                     |
| 29 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                           |
| 30 in Specific Appropriations 413 through 419:   |
| 31   |
| 55   |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.                       |

| 1 Performance Measures Standards                                  |     |
|---|-----|
| 2   |     |
| 3 Adults in Civil Commitment                                      |     |
| 4   |     |
| 5 OUTCOMES:   |     |
| 6   |     |
| 7 Percent of residents who improve mental health                  |     |
| 8 based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome                     |     |
| 9 Scale   |     |
| 10  |     |
| 11 Percent of community partners satisfied based                  |     |
| 12 <u>on survey90%</u>  |     |
| 13  |     |
| 14 Percent of people served who are discharged to                 |     |
| 15 <u>the community50%</u>  |     |
| 16  |     |
| 17 Percent of patients satisfied based on                         |     |
| 18 survey90%  |     |
| 19  |     |
| 20 <u>Annual number of harmful events per 100</u>                 |     |
| 21 residents in each mental health                                |     |
| 22 <u>institution20</u>   |     |
| 23  |     |
| 24 <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |     |
| 25  |     |
| 26 Number of people served  |     |
| 27  |     |
| 28 Adults in Forensic Commitment                                  |     |
| 29  |     |
| 30 OUTCOMES:  |     |
| 31  |     |
| 56  |     |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additio | ns. |

Average number of days to restore Percent of residents who improve mental health based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents in each mental health institution.1.5 Percent of residents satisfied based on Percent of community partners satisfied based OUTPUTS: Number served.....1,742 (h) Florida Abuse Hotline Program.--The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 322 through 325: Performance Measures Standards Children Who Have Been Abused or Neglected by Their Families OUTCOMES: CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Percentage of abandoned calls made to the OUTPUTS: Percent of calls answered within 3 (3) AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION. --(a) Medicaid Health Services Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 224 through 279: Standards Performance Measures Health Services to Pregnant Women, Newborns, and Women Who Want Family Planning Services OUTCOMES: Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal Neonatal mortality rate (per 1,000).....4.86 Percent of vaginal deliveries with no CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | Average length of time between pregnancies for  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | those receiving family planning services  |
| 3   | (months)  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Number of women receiving prenatal  |
| 8   | <u>care137,130</u>  |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Number of vaginal deliveries64,152  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Number of women receiving family planning   |
| 13  | services  |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Health Services to Children   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Percent of eligible children who received all   |
| 20  | required components of EPSDT screen64%  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | Percent of hospitalizations for conditions  |
| 23  | preventable with good ambulatory care7.53%  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Ratio of children hospitalized for mental   |
| 26  | health care to those receiving mental health  |
| 27  | services6.8   |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 59  |
| COD | <b>J</b><br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |   |

| 1      | Number of children ages 1-20 enrolled in  |
|--------|---|
| 2      |   |
| 3      | ii  |
| 4      | Number of children receiving mental health                                      |
| 5      | services  |
| 6      |   |
| 7      | Number of children receiving EPSDT  |
| 8      | services  |
| 9      |   |
| 10     | Number of services by major type of service:                                    |
| 11     | Hospital inpatient services   |
| 12     | Physician services  |
| 13     | Prescribed drugs2,875,949   |
| 14     |   |
| 15     | Health Services to Working Age Adults   |
| 16     | (Nondisabled)   |
| 17     |   |
| 18     | OUTCOMES:   |
| 19     |   |
| 20     | Percent of hospitalizations for conditions                                      |
| 21     | preventable with good ambulatory care13.3%                                      |
| 22     |   |
| 23     | OUTPUTS:  |
| 24     |   |
| 25     | Percent of nondisabled adults receiving a                                       |
| 26     | service   |
| 27     |   |
| 28     | Health Services to Disabled Working Age Adults                                  |
| 29     |   |
| 30     | OUTCOMES:   |
| 31     |   |
|        | 60  |
| CODING | Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Percent of hospitalizations for conditions                                       |
|-----|--|
| 2   | preventable with good ambulatory care13.9%                                       |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Percent of enrolled disabled adults receiving a                                  |
| 7   | service  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Health Services to Elders  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percent of hospital stays for elder recipients                                   |
| 14  | exceeding length of stay criteria  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Percent of elder recipients in long term care                                    |
| 17  | who improve or maintain activities of daily                                      |
| 18  | living (ADL) functioning to those receiving                                      |
| 19  | health servicesReport % by 1/5/2000  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number enrolled in long-term care  |
| 24  | waivers9,766   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of elders receiving mental health care                                    |
| 27  | <u>7,688</u>   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of services by major type of service:                                     |
| 30  | Hospital inpatient services89,048  |
| 31  | Physician services1,285,488  |
|     | 61   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1     | Prescribed drugs   |
|-------|--|
| 2     |  |
| 3     | Assure Compliance with Medicaid Policy   |
| 4     |  |
| 5     | OUTCOMES:  |
| 6     |  |
| 7     | Percent of new recipients voluntarily selecting                                      |
| 8     | managed care plan  |
| 9     |  |
| 10    | Percent of programs with cost effectiveness  |
| 11    | determined annually  |
| 12    |  |
| 13    | OUTPUTS:   |
| 14    |  |
| 15    | Number of new provider applications10,600  |
| 16    |  |
| 17    | Number of new enrollees provided choice  |
| 18    | counseling   |
| 19    |  |
| 20    | Number of providers  |
| 21    |  |
| 22    | Process Medicaid Provider Claims   |
| 23    |  |
| 24    | OUTCOMES:  |
| 25    |  |
| 26    | Average length of time between receipt of clean                                      |
| 27    | claim and payment (days)16   |
| 28    |  |
| 29    | Percent increase in dollars recovered  |
| 30    | annually   |
| 31    |  |
|       | 62   |
| C O D | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD   | <b><u>undertitied</u></b> are detectors, words <u>undertitied</u> are dualcions.     |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| i    |  |
|------|--|
| 1    | Amount of recoveries\$19,275,043   |
| 2    |  |
| 3    | Cost avoided because of identification of third                              |
| 4    | party coverage:  |
| 5    | Commercial Coverage\$197,493,244   |
| 6    | Medicare\$694,234,790  |
| 7    |  |
| 8    | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 9    |  |
| 10   | Number of claims received96,398,352  |
| 11   |  |
| 12   | Number of claims processed65,400,797   |
| 13   |  |
| 14   | Number of claims denied  |
| 15   |  |
| 16   | Number of fraud and abuse cases opened3,776                                  |
| 17   |  |
| 18   | Number of fraud and abuse cases closed4,683                                  |
| 19   |  |
| 20   | Number of referrals to the Medicaid Fraud                                    |
| 21   | Control Unit/Attorney General's Office175                                    |
| 22   |  |
| 23   | (b) Health Services Quality Assurance ProgramThe                             |
| 24   | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds               |
| 25   | provided in Specific Appropriations 280 through 291:                         |
| 26   |  |
| 27   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 28   |  |
| 29   | State Regulation of Health Care Practitioners                                |
| 30   |  |
| 31   | OUTCOMES:  |
|      | 63   |
| പ്പാ | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|      | <u>matrimed</u> are detections, words <u>matrimed</u> are additions.         |

| 1   |
|---|
| 2 <u>Percentage of Priority I practitioner</u>                                      |
| 3 <u>investigations resulting in emergency</u>                                      |
| 4 <u>action</u>   |
| 5   |
| 6 Average length of time (in days) to take  |
| 7 <u>emergency action on Priority I practitioner</u>                                |
| 8 investigations60  |
| 9   |
| 10 Percentage of cease and desist orders issued to                                  |
| 11 <u>unlicensed practitioners in which another</u>                                 |
| 12 <u>complaint of unlicensed activity is</u>                                       |
| 13 subsequently filed against the same  |
| 14 practitioner   |
| 15  |
| 16 Percentage of licensed practitioners involved                                    |
| 17 <u>in:</u>   |
| 18 Serious incidents0.33%   |
| 19 Peer review discipline reports0.02%  |
| 20  |
| 21 <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 22  |
| 23 Number of complaints determined legally  |
| 24 sufficient7,112  |
| 25  |
| 26 <u>Number of legally sufficient complaints</u>                                   |
| 27 resolved by:   |
| 28 A. Findings of no probable cause, including:                                     |
| 29 Nolle prosse   |
| 30 Letters of Guidance  |
| 31 Notice of noncompliance35  |
| 64  |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| i   |   |
|-----|---|
| 1   | B. Probable Cause-Issuance of citation for  |
| 2   | minor violations  |
| 3   | C. Stipulations or informal hearings662   |
| 4   | D. Formal hearings44  |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Percentage of investigations completed by   |
| 7   | priority within timeframe:  |
| 8   | Priority I-45 days100%  |
| 9   | Priority II-180 days100%  |
| 10  | Other-180 days  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Average number of practitioner complaint  |
| 13  | investigations per FTE  |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Number of inquiries to the call center  |
| 16  | regarding practitioner licensure and  |
| 17  | disciplinary information113,293   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | State Licensure and Federal Certification of  |
| 20  | Health Care Facilities  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Percentage of investigations of alleged   |
| 25  | unlicensed facilities and programs that have  |
| 26  | been previously issued a cease and desist   |
| 27  | order, that are confirmed as repeated   |
| 28  | unlicensed activity   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 65  |
| COP | <b>UING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | <b>underined</b> are detectors, words <u>underined</u> are additions.                 |

| 1   | Percentage of Priority I consumer complaints                        |
|-----|---|
| 2   | about licensed facilities and programs that are                     |
| 3   | investigated within 48 hours  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percentage of accredited hospitals and                              |
| 6   | ambulatory surgical centers cited for not                           |
| 7   | complying with life safety, licensure, or                           |
| 8   | emergency access standardsReport % by                               |
| 9   | 1/5/2000  |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Percentage of accreditation validation surveys                      |
| 12  | that result in findings of licensure                                |
| 13  | deficienciesReport % by 1/5/2000                                    |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Percentage of facilities in which deficiencies                      |
| 16  | are found which pose a serious threat to the                        |
| 17  | health, safety, or welfare of the public by                         |
| 18  | type:   |
| 19  | Nursing Homes   |
| 20  | Assisted Living Facilities5%  |
| 21  | Home Health AgenciesReport % by                                     |
| 22  | 1/5/2000  |
| 23  | Clinical LaboratoriesReport % by                                    |
| 24  | 1/5/2000  |
| 25  | Ambulatory Surgical CentersReport % by                              |
| 26  | 1/5/2000  |
| 27  | HospitalsReport % by 1/5/2000                                       |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Percentage of failures by hospitals to report:                      |
| 30  | Serious incidents (agency   |
| 31  | identified)Report % by 1/5/2000                                     |
|     | 66  |
| 005 | 00<br>NNC.Worda atmister are deletional words underlined are additi |

| 1   | Peer review disciplinary actions (agency   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | identified)Report % by 1/5/2000  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Number of facility emergency actions taken51                                     |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Total number of full facility quality-of-care                                    |
| 9   | surveys conducted and by type:6,171  |
| 10  | Nursing Homes  |
| 11  | Assisted Living Facilities1,600  |
| 12  | Home Health Agencies1,282  |
| 13  | Clinical Laboratories1,082   |
| 14  | Hospitals  |
| 15  | Other  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Average processing time (in days) for statewide                                  |
| 18  | panel cases  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of hospitals that the agency determines                                   |
| 21  | have not reported:   |
| 22  | Serious incidents (agency  |
| 23  | identified)Report % by 1/5/2000  |
| 24  | Peer review disciplinary actions (agency   |
| 25  | identified)Report % by 1/5/2000  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Health Facility Plans and Construction Review                                    |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 67   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1  |  |
|--|--|
| 1  | Number of plans and construction review  |
| 2  | performed by type:   |
| 3  | Nursing Homes1,200   |
| 4  | Hospitals  |
| 5  | Ambulatory Surgical Centers400   |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | Average number of hours for plans and  |
| 8  | construction survey and review:  |
| 9  | Nursing Homes  |
| 10   | Hospitals  |
| 11   | Ambulatory Surgical Centers  |
| 12   |  |
| 13   | (4) DEPARTMENT OF ELDER AFFAIRS  |
| 14   | (a) Services to Elders ProgramThe following  |
| 15   | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided  |
| 16   | in Specific Appropriations 426 through 443:  |
| 17   |  |
| 18   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 19   |  |
| 20   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 21   |  |
| 22   | Percentage of elders CARES determined to be  |
| 23   | eligible for nursing home placement who are  |
| 25   |  |
| 24   | diverted15.1%  |
| _  | diverted15.1%  |
| 24   | diverted15.1%<br>Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals   |
| 24<br>25                                     |  |
| 24<br>25<br>26                               | Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27                         | Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28                   | Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals<br>served95%   |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29             | Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals<br>served95%<br>Percentage of elders whose environment has been  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30       | Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals<br>served  |
| 24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31 | Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals<br>served95%<br>Percentage of elders whose environment has been<br>maintained or improved based on the |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Percentage of elders whose further decline in                            |
| 3   | social isolation has been prevented as a result                          |
| 4   | of receiving services73%   |
| 5   |  |
| б   | Percentage of people placed in jobs after                                |
| 7   | participating in the Older Worker Program77%                             |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Average wage at placement for Older Worker                               |
| 10  | Program participants\$7.07   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Percent of Adult Protective Services referrals                           |
| 13  | served   |
| 14  | 1/5/2000   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Percent of CARES imminent risk referrals                                 |
| 17  | servedReport % by 1/5/2000   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Satisfaction with the quality and delivery of                            |
| 20  | home and community-based care for service                                |
| 21  | recipients is equal to or greater than previous                          |
| 22  | periods  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | The cost of home and community-based care                                |
| 25  | (including non-DOEA programs) is less than                               |
| 26  | nursing home care for comparable client                                  |
| 27  | groups   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Percent of elders with high or moderate risk                             |
| 30  | environments who improved their environment                              |
| 31  | score100%  |
|     | 69   |
| COL | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| - 1  |  |
|------|--|
| 1    |  |
| 2    | Percent of elders with a high social isolation                                   |
| 3    | score* who have improved in this area as a                                       |
| 4    | result of receiving services (*score above 15                                    |
| 5    | out of 24)100%   |
| б    |  |
| 7    | Percent of new service recipients with high                                      |
| 8    | risk nutrition scores whose nutritional status                                   |
| 9    | has improvedReport % by 1/5/2000   |
| 10   |  |
| 11   | Percent of new service recipients whose ADL                                      |
| 12   | assessment score has been maintained or  |
| 13   | improved   |
| 14   |  |
| 15   | Percent of new service recipients whose IADL                                     |
| 16   | assessment score has been maintained or  |
| 17   | improvedReport % by 1/5/2000   |
| 18   |  |
| 19   | Percent of family and family-assisted care                                       |
| 20   | givers who self-report they are very likely to                                   |
| 21   | continue to provide care   |
| 22   |  |
| 23   | Percent of caregivers at risk who self-report                                    |
| 24   | they are very likely to continue to provide                                      |
| 25   | care   |
| 26   |  |
| 27   | Percent of new service recipients (congregate                                    |
| 28   | meal sites) whose nutritional status has been                                    |
| 29   | maintained or improvedReport % by 1/5/2000                                       |
| 30   |  |
| 31   |  |
|      | 70   |
|      |  |
| COD. | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Percent of Elder Helplines with an excellent                                     |
|-----|--|
| 2   | rating on the Elder Helpline evaluation  |
| 3   | assessment   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Percent of people who rate the Memory Disorder                                   |
| 6   | Clinic assessment conference as very   |
| 7   | helpfulReport % by 1/5/2000  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Percent of clients satisfied with the quality                                    |
| 10  | of insurance counseling and information  |
| 11  | received   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Total number of CARES assessments77,410  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Percentage of Community Care for the Elderly                                     |
| 18  | clients defined as "probable Medicaid  |
| 19  | eligibles" who remain in state-funded  |
| 20  | programs13.50%   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Percent of copayment goal collected100%  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Percent of caregivers assessed100%   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of new congregate meal service  |
| 27  | recipients (assessed)Report % by   |
| 28  | <u>1/5/2000</u>  |
| 29  |  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 71   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | The number of elders who enter DOEA service  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | programs each year with a risk score above the                                     |
| 3   | 1997-1998 average  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | The number of elders who enter DOEA service  |
| 6   | programs each year with a frailty level above                                      |
| 7   | the 1997-1998 average  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of people evaluated for memory loss by                                      |
| 10  | Memory Disorder ClinicsReport % by 1/5/2000  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of volunteer hoursReport % by 1/5/2000                                      |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of volunteersReport % by 1/5/2000   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number of people served by   |
| 17  | volunteersReport by 1/5/2000   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Number of people served127,589   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of people trained in the Older Worker                                       |
| 22  | Program  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | (5) DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS  |
| 25  | (a) Office of Attorney GeneralThe following  |
| 26  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                      |
| 27  | in Specific Appropriations 997 through 1013:                                       |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | OUTCOMES:  |
|     | 72   |
| COT | /2<br>ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | ing. words stricken are deretions, words <u>underrined</u> are additions.          |
| 1            |  |
|--------------|--|
| 2            | Dispute Resolution                               |
| 3            |  |
| 4            | Average number of days for opinion response29    |
| 5            |  |
| 6            | Percent of mediated cases resolved in 3 weeks    |
| 7            | or less75%                                       |
| 8            |  |
| 9            | Percent of Lemon Law cases resolved in less      |
| 10           | than 1 year99%                                   |
| 11           |  |
| 12           | OUTPUTS:   |
| 13           |  |
| 14           | Civil Litigation Defense                         |
| 15           |  |
| 16           | Cases closed                                     |
| 17           |  |
| 18           | Criminal Litigation Defense                      |
| 19           |  |
| 20           | Capital cases-briefs/state & federal             |
| 21           | responses/oral arguments                         |
| 22           |  |
| 23           | Noncapital cases-briefs/state & federal          |
| 24           | responses/oral arguments11,289                   |
| 25           |  |
| 26           | Civil Enforcement                                |
| 27           |  |
| 28           | Number of cases closed:                          |
| 29           | Antitrust  |
| 30           | Economic crime                                   |
| 31           | Medicaid fraud625                                |
|              | 70   |
| <b>a</b> = - | 73   |
|              | UNLOWORDS STRICTIONS WORDS UNDERLINED ARE ADDITE |

| 1   | Children's legal services (uncontested   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | disposition orders entered)700   |
| 3   | Ethics15   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Dispute Resolution   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Opinions issued  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number/percent of disputes resolved through                                      |
| 10  | mediation105/76%   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES                                     |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Average number of days from application to                                       |
| 17  | payment  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle                                      |
| 20  | theft grant funds that experienced a reduction                                   |
| 21  | in motor vehicle theft incidents below 1994                                      |
| 22  | levels   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of victim compensation claims   |
| 27  | eligibility determinations   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of claims paid7,000   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 74   |
| 005 |  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of victim compensation final orders                        |
|-----|---|
| 2   | issued170   |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of sexual battery examination claims                       |
| 5   | paid5,200   |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Number of appellate services provided800                          |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Number of information and referral services                       |
| 10  | provided  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Number of VOCA grants funded                                      |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number of victims served through                                  |
| 15  | contract100,000   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Number of motor vehicle theft grants                              |
| 18  | funded40  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | POLICY ANALYSIS   |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES                           |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Number and percent of civil cases resolved in                     |
| 25  | favor of the state  |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Number of legal audits conducted for state                        |
| 28  | agencies  |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Dollar amount of claims against the state                         |
| 31  |   |
|     | 75  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

1 Average length of time to resolve civil 2 litigation against the state 3 4 Average length of time that unresolved civil 5 cases have been pending 6 7 Dollar amount of attorney fees assessed against the state to prevailing parties in civil cases, 8 9 including cases where the state agrees to settlements which provide for attorney fees as 10 11 if the opposing party prevailed 12 13 Actual cost per legal hour for private sector 14 (risk management): 15 North Florida 16 South Florida 17 Capped cost per legal hour for state agency use 18 19 of private sector: 20 Specialized 21 Other 22 23 Dispute Resolution 24 Lemon Law consumers/cases approved for 25 state-run arbitration.....1,400/1,700 26 27 28 Criminal Litigation Defense 29 30 Number of capital cases opened 31 76 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | Number of noncapital cases opened   |
|-----|---|
| 2   |   |
| 3   | Civil Litigation Defense  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of state agencies represented                                      |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Cases opened  |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Civil Enforcement   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Children's Legal Services: dependency                                     |
| 12  | petitions filed   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Termination of parental rights final judgments                            |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Child Support Enforcement-Court Orders                                    |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Child Support Enforcement-Cases referred from                             |
| 19  | Department of Revenue   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Civil Litigation Defense  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Actual cost per legal hour for state agency                               |
| 24  | representation  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Criminal Litigation Defense   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Cost per brief/state & federal responses/oral                             |
| 29  | arguments:  |
| 30  | Capital   |
| 31  | Noncapital  |
|     | 77  |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

Dispute Resolution Number/percent disputes in which litigation was filed by one of parties Cost per opinion Cost per mediation CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES Number of appeals filed with district courts of appeal Dollars paid on behalf of victims (awards to claimants) Amount of funds awarded in VOCA grants Amount of funds awarded in motor vehicle theft grants Cost per attendee for training (victims/crime prevention) Number of applications received Number of eligible applications received Number of victim compensation appeals received CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | Number of sexual battery examination claims                              |
| 3        | received   |
| 4        |  |
| 5        | Number of persons seeking appellate services                             |
| б        |  |
| 7        | Number of calls received on the toll-free                                |
| 8        | information and referral line  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       | Number of VOCA grant applications received                               |
| 11       |  |
| 12       | Number of motor vehicle theft grant                                      |
| 13       | applications received  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       | Number of robberies occurring in convenience                             |
| 16       | stores   |
| 17       |  |
| 18       | Number of convenience store security                                     |
| 19       | violations/complaints received   |
| 20       |  |
| 21       | (b) Statewide Prosecution ProgramThe following                           |
| 22       | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided            |
| 23       | in Specific Appropriations 1014 through 1019A:                           |
| 24       |  |
| 25       | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
| 26       |  |
| 27       | Number of defendants convicted (of those who                             |
| 28       | reached disposition)   |
| 29<br>20 |  |
| 30<br>21 | Conviction rate per defendant  |
| 31       |  |
|          | 79   |
| COD      | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1       Investigations handled:         Number of subjects/targets         Number of defendants charged         Counts filed         Image: Counts filed <t< th=""><th>1</th><th>POLICY ANALYSIS</th></t<>  | 1   | POLICY ANALYSIS   |
|---|-----|---|
| 4       Number of subjects/targets         5       Number of subjects/targets         6       New criminal cases filed:         7       Number of defendants charged         8       Counts filed         9       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         10       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14       Image: State of the state o  | 2   |   |
| 5       New criminal cases filed:         7       Number of defendants charged         8       Counts filed         9       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14  | 3   | Investigations handled:   |
| 6       New criminal cases filed:         7       Number of defendants charged         8       Counts filed         9       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         10       Total volume of prior years):         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14       1         15       Number of defendants convicted (of those who         16       reached disposition):         17       By plea         18       By trial         19       Dispositions:         11       Total years prison/probation         12       Total years prison/probation         13       Total monetary penalties assessed         14       1         15       Number of counts upon which defendants were         16       sentenced         17       New requests for investigative and         18       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         19       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE   | 4   | Number of subjects/targets  |
| 7       Number of defendants charged         8       Counts filed         9       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         10       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14       Image: State of the state  | 5   |   |
| 8       Counts filed         9       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14       Image: Second Seco   | 6   | New criminal cases filed:   |
| 9         10       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14   | 7   | Number of defendants charged  |
| 10       Total volume of final criminal cases handled         11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14       15         15       Number of defendants convicted (of those who<br>reached disposition):         16       reached disposition):         17       By plea         18       By trial         19       1         20       Dispositions:         21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       1         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       1         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       1         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31       1   | 8   | Counts filed  |
| 11       (inclusive of prior years):         12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14       Image: Second Sec | 9   |   |
| 12       Number of defendants charged         13       Number of counts         14  | 10  | Total volume of final criminal cases handled  |
| 13       Number of counts         14  | 11  | (inclusive of prior years):   |
| 14         15       Number of defendants convicted (of those who         16       reached disposition):         17       By plea         18       By trial         19       10         20       Dispositions:         21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       10         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       11         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       10         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31       11   | 12  | Number of defendants charged  |
| 15       Number of defendants convicted (of those who         16       reached disposition):         17       By plea         18       By trial         19       Image: Second Seco                                    | 13  | Number of counts  |
| 16       reached disposition):         17       By plea         18       By trial         19  | 14  |   |
| 17       By plea         18       By trial         19       19         20       Dispositions:         21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       10         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       10         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       10         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31       11   | 15  | Number of defendants convicted (of those who  |
| 18       By trial         19       19         20       Dispositions:         21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       10         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       10         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       10         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31       11  | 16  | reached disposition):   |
| 19         20       Dispositions:         21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       1         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       1         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       1         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31       1   | 17  | By plea   |
| 20       Dispositions:         21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       Image: Construction of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       Image: Construction of counts upon which defendants were         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       Image: Construction of counts of counts upon law enforcement         30       (6)         31       Image: Construction of counts upon law enforcement  | 18  | By trial  |
| 21       Total years prison/probation         22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23       1         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26       1         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29       1         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31       1   | 19  |   |
| 22       Total monetary penalties assessed         23         24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26         27       New requests for investigative and         28       prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement         29         30       (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE         31   | 20  | Dispositions:   |
| 23<br>24 <u>Number of counts upon which defendants were</u><br>25 <u>sentenced</u><br>26<br>27 <u>New requests for investigative and</u><br>28 <u>prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement</u><br>29<br>30 <u>(6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE</u><br>31   | 21  | Total years prison/probation  |
| 24       Number of counts upon which defendants were         25       sentenced         26  | 22  | Total monetary penalties assessed   |
| <pre>25 <u>sentenced</u> 26 27 <u>New requests for investigative and</u> 28 <u>prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement</u> 29 30 (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE 31</pre>  | 23  |   |
| 26<br>27 <u>New requests for investigative and</u><br>28 <u>prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement</u><br>29<br>30 <u>(6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE</u><br>31   | 24  | Number of counts upon which defendants were   |
| 27 <u>New requests for investigative and</u><br>28 <u>prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement</u><br>29<br>30 <u>(6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE</u><br>31   | 25  | sentenced   |
| 28 <u>prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement</u><br>29<br>30 <u>(6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE</u><br>31   | 26  |   |
| 29<br>30 <u>(6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE</u><br>31  | 27  | New requests for investigative and  |
| 30 <u>(6)</u> DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE<br>31  | 28  | prosecutorial assistance from law enforcement   |
| 31  | 29  |   |
|   | 30  | (6) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE  |
| 80  | 31  |   |
|   |     | 80  |
| CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.   | COD | •<br><b>DING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

(a) Juvenile Detention Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 942 through 957A: Performance Measures Standards SECURE DETENTION OUTCOMES: Number of escapes from secure detention facilities per 100,000 resident days .....3.3 Number of batteries (assaults requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while in secure detention: Youth on youth.....125 From home detention per 100,000 resident days, number of: New law violations ......92 OUTPUTS: Number of admissions to secure detention Number of releases from secure detention CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Average daily population for secure detention                                    |
| 3   | as compared to fixed capacity beds in secure                                     |
| 4   | detention as of June 302,567:1,842   |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Number of admissions into home   |
| 11  | detention/nonsecure detention33,684  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Average daily population for home  |
| 14  | detention2,479   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number of home detention slotsTBD  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | DETENTION  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                  |
| 21  | applicable data for the following items to the                                   |
| 22  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                  |
| 23  | next legislative session:  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number and percentage of total juvenile cases                                    |
| 26  | received that are detained in juvenile   |
| 27  | detention care prior to adjudication   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Average daily number of adjudicated juveniles                                    |
| 30  | who are detained in juvenile detention centers                                   |
| 31  | and assignment centers while awaiting a  |
|     | 82   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 residential commitment bed, by level of 2 commitment 3 4 Ratio of direct care staff per shift to youth 5 in secure detention 6 7 Ratio of nondirect care staff per shift to 8 youth in secure detention (includes food 9 service and maintenance workers, secretarial support, and superintendents) 10 11 12 Status of utilization rate as of June 30: 13 Average percentage of capacity for overall 14 system 15 16 Actual number of escapes from secure detention 17 facilities per fiscal year 18 19 Actual number of batteries requiring medical 20 attention per fiscal year for youth on youth 21 and youth on staff 22 23 From home detention per fiscal year, the actual 24 number of: 25 Absconds 26 New law violations 27 28 (b) Juvenile Offender Program.--The following measures 29 and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in 30 Specific Appropriations 942 through 957A: 31 83 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | Performance Measures Standards                                  |
|-----|---|
| 2   |   |
| 3   | RESIDENTIAL SERVICES  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or                 |
| 8   | had adjudication withheld in juvenile court or                  |
| 9   | were convicted in adult court for a crime which                 |
| 10  | occurred within 1 year of release by                            |
| 11  | restrictiveness level:  |
| 12  | Low   |
| 13  | Moderate  |
| 14  | High47.4%   |
| 15  | Maximum   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Percentage of escapes from residential                          |
| 18  | commitment programs by restrictiveness level:                   |
| 19  | Low   |
| 20  | Moderate5.3%  |
| 21  | High1.6%  |
| 22  | Maximum0%   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Percentage of residential commitment program                    |
| 25  | reviews conducted by Quality Assurance, which                   |
| 26  | indicate satisfactory or higher ratings on all                  |
| 27  | physical plant, safety, and security standards                  |
| 28  | (calendar year)   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per                 |
| 31  | 100 youth, by restrictiveness level:                            |
|     |   |
|     | 84  |
| COD | TNG Wonda atmistron and deletional wonda underlined and edition |

| 1   | Low0.18   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Moderate0.23                                    |
| 3   | High0.4   |
| 4   | <br>Maximum0                                    |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per |
| 7   | 100 youth, by restrictiveness level:            |
| 8   | Low1  |
| 9   | Moderate1.5                                     |
| 10  | High2   |
| 11  | Maximum5  |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Total number of youth served and average daily  |
| 16  | population of youth served in residential       |
| 17  | commitment programs, by restrictiveness level:  |
| 18  | Low2,200/477                                    |
| 19  | Moderate  |
| 20  | High4,030/1,969                                 |
| 21  | Maximum   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Number of residential commitment beds on line,  |
| 24  | by restrictiveness level:                       |
| 25  | Low505  |
| 26  | Moderate  |
| 27  | High2,562                                       |
| 28  | Maximum   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the |
| 31  | applicable data for the following items to the  |
|     | 85  |
| 005 |   |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 1   | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                   |
| 2   | next legislative session:   |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number and percentage of programs for which a                                     |
| 5   | quality assurance review is completed (calendar                                   |
| б   | <u>year)</u>  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Average length of stay (months) in commitment                                     |
| 9   | programs, by level of commitment, for youth                                       |
| 10  | released during the fiscal year   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percentage of residential commitment program                                      |
| 13  | reviews conducted by Quality Assurance, which                                     |
| 14  | indicate satisfactory or higher ratings on  |
| 15  | overall quality (calendar year)   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Ratio of direct care staff per shift to youth                                     |
| 18  | in state-operated programs; and the ratio of                                      |
| 19  | nondirect care staff to youth in programs   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of incidents of contraband possession by                                   |
| 22  | youth, by restrictiveness level   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | NONRESIDENTIAL SERVICES   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Youth processed at intake112,000  |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Average daily youth on supervision30,000  |
| 31  |   |
|     |   |
|     | 86  |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | Conclored water companyed to standard 2011  |
|--------|---|
| 1      | Caseload ratio compared to standard32:1   |
| 2      |   |
| 3      | (7) DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS   |
| 4      | (a) Health Services ProgramThe following measures                                   |
| 5      | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                             |
| 6<br>7 | Specific Appropriations 600 through 602A:   |
| 7<br>8 | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 8<br>9 | Periormance Measures Standards  |
| 10     | OUTCOMES:   |
| 11     |   |
| 12     | Health care grievances that are upheld:   |
| 13     | Total   |
| 14     | Number upheld   |
| 15     | Percentage upheld1.6%   |
| 16     |   |
| 17     | Number of suicides per 1,000 inmates compared                                       |
| 18     | to the national average for correctional  |
| 19     | facilities/institutions:  |
| 20     | Within DOC0.06  |
| 21     |   |
| 22     | Number of deficiencies cited by Correctional  |
| 23     | Medical AuthorityTBD  |
| 24     |   |
| 25     | Number of deficiencies that were noted as   |
| 26     | corrected on followup correction action visits,                                     |
| 27     | by level of severity:   |
| 28     |   |
| 29     | Level One (major, widespread in effect,   |
| 30     | presenting serious threat to life and health)                                       |
| 31     | Physical Health Related95%  |
|        | 87  |
| COD    | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

1 Mental Health Related......95% 2 3 Level Two (minor to moderate, limited in 4 effect, nonlife threatening) 5 Physical Health Related......85% 6 Mental Health Related......85% 7 8 POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the 9 applicable data for the following items to the appropriate legislative committees prior to the 10 next legislative session: 11 12 13 Average price per inmate per month for health 14 care 15 16 Total dollar amount of inmate medical 17 copayments collected 18 19 Comparison of average daily cost of hospital 20 stays: 21 DOC contracted hospital stays 22 HMO hospital stays 23 Statewide hospital stays Medicaid hospital stays 24 25 26 Average length (in days) of community hospital 27 stays for emergency and nonemergency inmates 28 29 Annual percentage increase in expenditure rate 30 per inmate compared to the health-related component of the Consumer Price Index: 31 88 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | Expenditure rate per inmate  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Consumer Price Index   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Total number of inpatient/inmate community                                       |
| 5   | hospital days:   |
| 6   | Emergency  |
| 7   | Scheduled (nonemergency)   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Annual cost of three most expensive illnesses                                    |
| 10  | treated in prisons:  |
| 11  | HIV/AIDS   |
| 12  | Cardiac  |
| 13  | Cancer   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Total number of inmates with the three most                                      |
| 16  | expensive illnesses treated in prisons:  |
| 17  | HIV/AIDS   |
| 18  | Cardiac  |
| 19  | Cancer   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Total number of inmates classified as:   |
| 22  | SIII   |
| 23  | SIV  |
| 24  | SV   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number and percentage of inmates treated with                                    |
| 27  | psychotropic drugs   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Average monthly cost of:   |
| 30  | Prescription drugs dispensed   |
| 31  | Nonprescription drugs dispensed  |
|     | 89   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1<br>2       | Average monthly number of inmate/offender drug                                       |
|--------------|--|
| 3            | prescriptions written  |
| 4            | prescriptions written  |
| 5            | Health Care Cost Containment Indicators  |
| 6            | (comparison of average daily cost of inmate  |
| 7            | health care):  |
| 8            | DOC costs  |
| 9            | Medicaid   |
| 10           | Commercial HMOs  |
| 11           |  |
| 12           | Average daily cost of inmates 65 years of age  |
| 13           | and older compared to Medicare population  |
| 14           |  |
| 15           | Comparison of average number of inpatient  |
| 16           | community hospital days per 1,000 inmates:   |
| 17           | DOC population   |
| 18           | Medicaid population  |
| 19           | HMO population   |
| 20           |  |
| 21           | Average number and percentage per month of   |
| 22           | inmates receiving health services:   |
| 23           | Visits per medical provider per month  |
| 24           | Number of medical provider days  |
| 25           | Number of medical providers  |
| 26           | Dental procedures per day per dental   |
| 27           | provider   |
| 28           |  |
| 29           | Average daily number of inmate sick call visits                                      |
| 30           |  |
| 31           |  |
|              | 90   |
| <br>CODING:V | 90<br>Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions |

## HB 1791, First Engrossed

Total number of community emergency room visits 1 2 per 1,000 inmates 3 4 Total number of inmate ambulatory surgeries in 5 community facilities per 1,000 inmates 6 7 (b) Community Corrections Program. -- The following 8 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided 9 in Specific Appropriations 579 through 589A: 10 11 Performance Measures Standards 12 13 OUTCOMES: 14 15 Status of offenders 2 years after the period of supervision was imposed (shown by number and 16 17 percentage): 18 A. All offenders: 19 20 21 -percentage.....4.1% 22 23 B. Offenders who did not participate in or did 24 not complete programs: 25 26 27 28 -percentage.....4.5% 29 C. Offenders who completed a secure 30 residential drug treatment program: Revoked-number.....21 31 91 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | -percentage10.20%  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Absconded-number4  |
| 3   | -percentage1.90%   |
| 4   | D. Offenders who completed a nonsecure                                   |
| 5   | residential drug treatment program:                                      |
| 6   | Revoked-number   |
| 7   | -percentage  |
| 8   | Absconded-number   |
| 9   | -percentage2.3%  |
| 10  | E. Offenders who completed a nonresidential                              |
| 11  | drug treatment program:  |
| 12  | Revoked-number   |
| 13  | -percentage18.4%   |
| 14  | Absconded-number   |
| 15  | -percentage1.3%  |
| 16  | F. Offenders who completed a program at                                  |
| 17  | Probation and Restitution Center:  |
| 18  | Revoked-number110  |
| 19  | -percentage  |
| 20  | Absconded-number13   |
| 21  | -percentage  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Offenders who successfully complete                                      |
| 24  | supervision/work release (number), but are                               |
| 25  | subsequently recommitted to DOC for committing                           |
| 26  | a new crime within 2 years (number and                                   |
| 27  | percentage):   |
| 28  | A. All offenders (38,557):   |
| 29  | To prison  |
| 30  | To supervision2,211/5.7%   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 92   |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | B. Offenders who completed Secure Residential                                    |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Drug Treatment Program (23):   |
| 3   | To prison0/0%  |
| 4   | To supervision   |
| 5   | C. Offenders who completed Nonsecure   |
| 6   | Residential Drug Treatment Program (256):  |
| 7   | To prison7/2.7%  |
| 8   | To supervision   |
| 9   | D. Offenders who completed Nonresidential Drug                                   |
| 10  | Treatment Program (2,832):   |
| 11  | <u>To prison17/0.6%</u>  |
| 12  | To supervision   |
| 13  | E. Offenders who completed Probation and   |
| 14  | Restitution Center (34):   |
| 15  | <u>To prison0/0%</u>   |
| 16  | <u>To supervision</u>  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Offenders supervised in the community who are                                    |
| 19  | ordered by the court to participate in   |
| 20  | programs, and the percentage of those that                                       |
| 21  | participate in programs as required:   |
| 22  | Educational and/or vocational  |
| 23  | programs1,988/94.2%  |
| 24  | Drug Treatment programs31,987/75.5%  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Number of monthly personal contacts with   |
| 29  | offenders supervised in the community compared                                   |
| 30  | to the department standard (based on data from                                   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 93   |
| COL | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | pilot risk classification system from 10/96 to                                   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | 1/97):   |
| 3   | Administrative   |
| 4   | Basic risk1.1/1.0  |
| 5   | Enhanced risk1.4/1.5   |
| 6   | Intensive risk1.8/2.0  |
| 7   | <u>Close risk2.4/3.0</u>   |
| 8   | Community control6.4/8.0   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Total annual dollar amount collected from  |
| 11  | offenders (on community supervision only) by                                     |
| 12  | DOC:   |
| 13  | Total collections\$65,061,512  |
| 14  | Restitution\$25,449,260  |
| 15  | Other court-ordered costs\$16,825,628  |
| 16  | Costs of supervision\$22,786,625   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence                                   |
| 19  | from offenders/inmates in:   |
| 20  | Community Correctional Centers   |
| 21  | (work release)\$7,365,753  |
| 22  | Probation and Restitution Centers.\$532,106                                      |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                  |
| 25  | applicable data for the following items to the                                   |
| 26  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                  |
| 27  | next legislative session:  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number and percentage of officers meeting their                                  |
| 30  | obligation in the number of contacts required                                    |
| 31  |  |
|     | 94   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | by the department standard (based on risk   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | classification need):   |
| 3   | Administrative  |
| 4   | Basic risk  |
| 5   | Enhanced risk   |
| 6   | Intensive risk  |
| 7   | Close risk  |
| 8   | Community control   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Status of offenders 2 years after the period of   |
| 11  | supervision was imposed (shown by number and  |
| 12  | percentage); for those terminated normally,   |
| 13  | court ordered, or early; and for those still  |
| 14  | active:   |
| 15  | All offenders:  |
| 16  | Nonparticipating and noncompleting  |
| 17  | offenders   |
| 18  | Drug treatment completers (residential  |
| 19  | secure)   |
| 20  | Drug treatment completers (residential  |
| 21  | nonsecure)  |
| 22  | Drug treatment completers   |
| 23  | (nonresidential)  |
| 24  | Probation & Restitution Center completers   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Percentage of offenders (supervised in the  |
| 27  | community) who are employable* and the  |
| 28  | percentage of those who are employed  |
| 29  | (*employable data not available)  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 95  |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Annual number of nondiscretionary  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | investigations completed:  |
| 3   | Pretrial intervention preliminary  |
| 4   | investigations   |
| 5   | Pretrial intervention background   |
| 6   | investigations   |
| 7   | Resentence investigations  |
| 8   | Sentencing guidelines scoresheet   |
| 9   | Preplea investigations   |
| 10  | Prison postsentence investigations   |
| 11  | Security investigations  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Average monthly active population of   |
| 14  | offenders/inmates supervised in the community                                    |
| 15  | (by type of supervision), for adult male, for                                    |
| 16  | adult female, for youth male, and for youth                                      |
| 17  | female:  |
| 18  | Probation (including Administrative)   |
| 19  | Drug Offender Probation  |
| 20  | Community Control  |
| 21  | Pretrial Intervention  |
| 22  | Parole   |
| 23  | Conditional Release  |
| 24  | Other Postprison Release   |
| 25  | Work Release (at Community Correctional  |
| 26  | <u>Centers)</u>  |
| 27  | Other Community Correctional Centers   |
| 28  | Sex Offender Probation   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Average monthly active population of   |
| 31  | offenders/inmates placed in community  |
|     | 96   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | residential facilities as a condition of  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | confinement or supervision (by type of  |
| 3   | supervision), for adult male, for adult female,   |
| 4   | for youth male, and for youth female:   |
| 5   | Work Release (at Community Correctional   |
| 6   | <u>Centers)</u>   |
| 7   | Probation and Restitution Centers   |
| 8   | Secure-Residential Drug Treatment Centers   |
| 9   | Nonsecure-Residential Drug Treatment  |
| 10  | Centers   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Number (and percentage) of offenders  |
| 13  | participating in a community corrections  |
| 14  | program and not transferred or administratively   |
| 15  | terminated from the program who have successful   |
| 16  | completions within 2 years of program   |
| 17  | admission:  |
| 18  | Probation and Restitution Centers   |
| 19  | Residential Drug Treatment Centers-   |
| 20  | Secure  |
| 21  | Nonsecure   |
| 22  | Nonresidential Drug Treatment Programs  |
| 23  | Work Release  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Percentage of offenders supervised in the   |
| 26  | community by risk classification, for adult   |
| 27  | male, for adult female, for youth male, and for   |
| 28  | youth female:   |
| 29  | Administrative  |
| 30  | Basic risk  |
| 31  | Enhanced risk   |
|     | 97  |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Intensive risk  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Close risk  |
| 3   | Community control   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of technical violation reports completed                                   |
| 6   | on offenders who violate a condition of   |
| 7   | supervision   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Number of new offenses committed while an   |
| 10  | offender is on community supervision or in a                                      |
| 11  | community program/facility:   |
| 12  | Probation (including Administrative)  |
| 13  | Drug Offender Probation   |
| 14  | Community Control D364  |
| 15  | Pretrial Intervention   |
| 16  | Parole  |
| 17  | Conditional Release   |
| 18  | Other Postprison Release  |
| 19  | Work Release  |
| 20  | Sex Offender Probation  |
| 21  | Community Correctional Centers  |
| 22  | Probation and Restitution Centers   |
| 23  | Residential Drug Treatment Centers:   |
| 24  | Secure  |
| 25  | Nonsecure   |
| 26  | Nonresidential Drug Treatment Centers   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Average dollar amount in restitution collected                                    |
| 29  | per offender required to pay:   |
| 30  | <u>All offenders</u>  |
| 31  |   |
|     | 98  |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1          | Offenders in Probation and Restitution                        |
|------------|---|
| 2          | Centers   |
| 3          | Community Correctional Centers                                |
| 4          |   |
| 5          | (c) Offender Work and Training ProgramThe following           |
| 6          | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided |
| 7          | in Specific Appropriations 590 through 599:                   |
| 8          |   |
| 9          | Performance Measures Standards                                |
| 10         |   |
| 11         | OUTCOMES:   |
| 12         |   |
| 13         | Number and percentage of inmates needing,                     |
| 14         | participating in, and successfully completing                 |
| 15         | programs (by program type) (Need is based on                  |
| 16         | total inmate population; participation is based               |
| 17         | on those identified with need; completion is                  |
| 18         | based on participation):                                      |
| 19         | A. Mandatory Literacy Program                                 |
| 20         | Participate   |
| 21         | Complete  |
| 22         | B. GED Education Program                                      |
| 23         | Participate   |
| 24         | Complete  |
| 25         | C. Vocational Education Program                               |
| 26         | Participate   |
| 27         | Complete  |
| 28         | D. Drug Abuse Education/Treatment                             |
| 29         | Participate12,438   |
| 30         | Complete  |
| 31         | E. Life Skills Program  |
|            | 99  |
| <b>a</b> - |   |

| _   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   | Participate10,000/63%  |
| 2   | Complete7,900/79%  |
| 3   | F. Transition Program  |
| 4   | Participate  |
| 5   | Complete2,472/81%  |
| 6   | G. Wellness Program  |
| 7   | Participate1,844   |
| 8   | Complete   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Percentage of inmates placed in a facility that                                  |
| 11  | provides at least one of inmate's primary  |
| 12  | program needs72%   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of inmates available for work   |
| 15  | assignments and the percentage of those  |
| 16  | available for work who are not assigned  |
| 17  | <u>50,971/2.3%</u>   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Number of available work assignments34,626                                       |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Average increase in grade level achieved by                                      |
| 22  | inmates participating in educational programs                                    |
| 23  | per instructional period (3 months)0.6   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of GED certificates earned by offenders                                   |
| 26  | per teacher (with number of GED/MLP teachers                                     |
| 27  | shown)   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of vocational certificates earned by                                      |
| 30  | offenders per teacher (with number of vocation                                   |
| 31  | teachers shown)16.27/142 teachers  |
|     | 100  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number and percent of transition plans                                       |
| 5   | completed for inmates released from prison                                   |
| 6   | 19,204/95%   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of mandatory literacy programs completed                              |
| 9   | by offenders per teacher (with number of                                     |
| 10  | GED/MLP teachers shown)18.27/156 teachers                                    |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of victims notified annually and the                                  |
| 13  | percentage of victim notifications that meet                                 |
| 14  | the statutory time period requirements                                       |
| 15  | 15,586/(N/A)   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of annual volunteer hours in the                                      |
| 18  | chaplaincy program, with annual percentage                                   |
| 19  | change shown   |
| 20  | <u></u>  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                              |
| 23  | applicable data for the following items to the                               |
| 24  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                              |
| 25  | next legislative session:  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Status of community work squad activity on June                              |
| 28  | <u>30:</u>   |
| 29  | Number of inmates assigned to work with                                      |
| 30  | community work squads  |
| 31  | Number of available community work squad                                     |
|     | 101  |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1      | aggigments   |
|--------|--|
| 1<br>2 | assignments  |
| 2<br>3 | Number of institutional work assignments   |
| 4      | available  |
| -<br>5 | available  |
| 6      | Annual cost avoidance realized by using inmate                                   |
| 7      | labor to support institutional operations  |
| ,<br>8 | (calculated at minimum wage of \$5.15 per hour)                                  |
| 9      | (carcaracca ac minimum wage of \$5.15 per noar)                                  |
| 10     | Annual number of inmate hours spent working for                                  |
| 11     | other state government agencies and communities                                  |
| 12     |  |
| 13     | Total dollar value of work performed by inmates                                  |
| 14     | for government entities and communities:   |
| 15     | Annual dollar value of work performed for  |
| 16     | DOT  |
| 17     | Annual dollar value of work performed for  |
| 18     | other state agencies   |
| 19     | Annual dollar value of work performed for  |
| 20     | communities  |
| 21     | Net savings for state agencies and   |
| 22     | communities that use inmate labor  |
| 23     |  |
| 24     | Number of inmate work hours in gardening   |
| 25     | operations   |
| 26     |  |
| 27     | Annual dollar value of food produced by inmates                                  |
| 28     | in gardening operations  |
| 29     |  |
| 30     | Number (and percentage) of inmates   |
| 31     | participating in PRIDE programs  |
|        | 102  |
| COL    | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 1   |   |
| 2   | Number (and percentage) of inmates  |
| 3   | participating in PRIDE programs and reoffend                                      |
| 4   | within 2 years of release from prison   |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number (and percentage) of inmates  |
| 7   | participating in PIE programs   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Number (and percentage) of inmates  |
| 10  | participating in PIE programs and reoffend  |
| 11  | within 2 years of release from prison   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Total dollar amount paid by inmates for   |
| 14  | restitution and other court-ordered payments:                                     |
| 15  | By all inmates (Work Release only)  |
| 16  | By inmates working in PRIDE programs  |
| 17  | (Contribution by PRIDE from inmate  |
| 18  | wages)?   |
| 19  | By inmates working in PIE programs  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Percentage and number of inmates completing                                       |
| 22  | mandatory literacy program who score at or  |
| 23  | above 9th grade level on next Test for Adult                                      |
| 24  | Basic Education (TABE) NOTE: Pool only  |
| 25  | includes MLP CMP's with post-CMP scores entered                                   |
| 26  | within fiscal year  |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Average number of annual infirmary visits by                                      |
| 29  | inmates who completed a wellness program  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 102   |
|     | 103   |
| COD | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000                                     |
|-----|--|
| 2   | inmates (by total and program  |
| 3   | participation/completion):   |
| 4   | A. Total for all inmates   |
| 5   | B. Total for all inmates who complete-   |
| 6   | Mandatory Literacy Program   |
| 7   | GED Education  |
| 8   | Special Education (Federal law)  |
| 9   | Vocational Education   |
| 10  | Drug Abuse Education/Treatment   |
| 11  | Life Skills Programs   |
| 12  | Transition Programs  |
| 13  | Wellness Programs  |
| 14  | Work Release Program   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number and percent of released inmates who   |
| 17  | commit a new crime within 2 years of release                                       |
| 18  | and are subsequently committed to prison or  |
| 19  | community supervision (for all inmates and by                                      |
| 20  | program type):   |
| 21  | A. Total for all inmates   |
| 22  | B. Total for all inmates who complete-   |
| 23  | Mandatory Literacy Program   |
| 24  | GED Education  |
| 25  | Special Education (Federal law)  |
| 26  | Vocational Education   |
| 27  | Drug Abuse Education/Treatment   |
| 28  | Life Skills Programs   |
| 29  | Transition Programs  |
| 30  | Wellness Programs  |
| 31  | Work Release Program   |
|     | 104  |
| COD | •<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| I   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   |  |
| 2   | Number and percentage of released inmates who                                    |
| 3   | are employed during two or more consecutive                                      |
| 4   | quarters of the calendar year (for all inmates                                   |
| 5   | and by program type): (FY 1993-1994 releases)                                    |
| б   | A. Total for all inmates   |
| 7   | B. Total for all inmates who complete:   |
| 8   | Mandatory Literacy Program   |
| 9   | GED Education  |
| 10  | Special Education (Federal law)  |
| 11  | Vocational Education   |
| 12  | Drug Abuse Education/Treatment   |
| 13  | Life Skills Programs   |
| 14  | Transition Programs  |
| 15  | Wellness Programs  |
| 16  | Work Release Program   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number and percentage of released inmates who                                    |
| 19  | are employed at or above a full quarter earning                                  |
| 20  | level, which is defined by the Florida   |
| 21  | Education and Training Placement Information                                     |
| 22  | Program as \$2,040, by total and by program                                      |
| 23  | completion:  |
| 24  | A. Total for all inmates   |
| 25  | B. Total for all inmates who complete-   |
| 26  | Mandatory Literacy Program   |
| 27  | GED Education  |
| 28  | Special Education (Federal law)  |
| 29  | Vocational Education   |
| 30  | Drug Abuse Education/Treatment   |
| 31  | Life Skills Programs   |
|     | 105  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Transition Programs   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Wellness Programs   |
| 3   | Work Release Program  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Average weekly number of inmates attending  |
| б   | religious services, with annual percentage  |
| 7   | shown   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | For regular attendants (at least 1 time per   |
| 10  | week) of religious services:  |
| 11  | Number/percentage of inmates who reoffend   |
| 12  | within 2 years  |
| 13  | Number/percentage of inmates who return   |
| 14  | to the prison system within 2 years   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Average monthly number of inmates using or  |
| 17  | receiving:  |
| 18  | General library print and audio-visual  |
| 19  | materials   |
| 20  | General library reference and research  |
| 21  | assistance  |
| 22  | Law library research materials  |
| 23  | Law library reference and research  |
| 24  | assistance  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Average monthly number of inmates who:  |
| 27  | Work as law clerks in institutional law   |
| 28  | libraries (DOC figures represent  |
| 29  | only certified law clerks)  |
| 30  | Are trained as law clerks (DOC figures  |
| 31  | represent inmates in training to be   |
|     | 106   |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1        | certified law clerks)  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 3        | (8) DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT  |
| 4        | (a) Criminal Justice Investigations and Forensic                         |
| 5        | Science ProgramThe following measures and standards shall                |
| 6        | be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations              |
| 7        | 982 through 984A:  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 10       |  |
| 11       | LABORATORY SERVICES  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       | OUTCOMES:  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       | Number and percentage of service requests by                             |
| 16       | lab discipline completed70,000/95%                                       |
| 17       |  |
| 18       | Average number of days to complete lab service                           |
| 19       | requests (excluding serology and DNA)30                                  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       | Average number of days to complete lab service                           |
| 22       | requests for serology50  |
| 23       |  |
| 24<br>25 | Average number of days to complete lab service<br>requests for DNA       |
| 26       | requests for DNA   |
| 20<br>27 | OUTPUTS:   |
| 28       |  |
| 29       | Number of crime scenes processed600                                      |
| 30       |  |
| 31       | Number of DNA samples added to DNA                                       |
|          | 107  |
| COP      | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.        |
| COD      | ing.words strucken are deretions, words <u>underrined</u> are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1   |   |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 1   | <u>database7,000</u>  |  |  |
| 2   |   |  |  |
| 3   | Number of expert witness appearances in court                                       |  |  |
| 4   | <u>proceedings1,711</u>   |  |  |
| 5   |   |  |  |
| 6   | Number of inspections of law enforcement  |  |  |
| 7   | agencies utilizing breath testing   |  |  |
| 8   | <u>instruments900</u>   |  |  |
| 9   |   |  |  |
| 10  | Number of DUI breath testing operators  |  |  |
| 11  | certified/recertified2,750  |  |  |
| 12  |   |  |  |
| 13  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                     |  |  |
| 14  | applicable data for the following items to the                                      |  |  |
| 15  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                     |  |  |
| 16  | next legislative session:   |  |  |
| 17  |   |  |  |
| 18  | Number of matches (hits) as a result of the DNA                                     |  |  |
| 19  | database  |  |  |
| 20  |   |  |  |
| 21  | Number of matches (hits) as a result of the   |  |  |
| 22  | AFIS database   |  |  |
| 23  |   |  |  |
| 24  | Number/percentage of physical evidence  |  |  |
| 25  | collection and analysis which were of value to                                      |  |  |
| 26  | customers in their investigation  |  |  |
| 27  |   |  |  |
| 28  | INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES  |  |  |
| 29  |   |  |  |
| 30  | OUTCOMES:   |  |  |
| 31  |   |  |  |
|     |   |  |  |
|     | 108   |  |  |
| COD | <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |  |
| 1   | Number/percentage of closed criminal  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | investigations resolved1,008/85%  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number of criminal investigations worked2,636                                     |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of criminal investigations commenced                                       |
| 9   |   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number/percentage of criminal investigations                                      |
| 12  | closed1,204/46%   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number/percentage of criminal investigations                                      |
| 15  | closed resulting in an arrest (including actual                                   |
| 16  | number of arrests)662/55% (2,079 arrests)   |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of short-term investigative assists  |
| 19  | (includes criminal profiling assists)566  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                   |
| 22  | applicable data for the following items to the                                    |
| 23  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                   |
| 24  | next legislative session:   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Number/percentage of criminal investigations                                      |
| 27  | closed resulting in a conviction  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number/percentage of cases where FDLE   |
| 30  | investigative assistance was of value to the                                      |
| 31  | investigation percentage  |
|     | 109   |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| i   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   |  |
| 2   | Number/percentage of cases where FDLE                                      |
| 3   | investigative assistance aided in obtaining a                              |
| 4   | conviction   |
| 5   |  |
| б   | Percentage of customers who found investigative                            |
| 7   | intelligence valuable and current  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of agencies provided dispatch services                              |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of computer crime and major fraud                                   |
| 12  | investigations worked  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number/percent of successful prosecutions of                               |
| 15  | individuals involved in computer crime and                                 |
| 16  | major fraudulent activities  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Return on anti-fraud investment (total dollar                              |
| 19  | judgment per state dollar spent)   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of local/state criminal justice officers                            |
| 22  | provided basic and advanced computer crime                                 |
| 23  | investigation training   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | PREVENTIVE SERVICES  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of background investigations performed                              |
| 30  | <u>3,500</u>   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 110  |
| COD | I ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1            | Number of individuals provided with FDLE  |
|--------------|---|
| 2            | protective services   |
| 3            |   |
| 4            | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                     |
| 5            | applicable data for the following items to the                                      |
| б            | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                     |
| 7            | next legislative session:   |
| 8            |   |
| 9            | Number of times FDLE responded to an emergency,                                     |
| 10           | as defined by chapter 252, Florida Statutes,  |
| 11           | emergencies or disasters resulting from   |
| 12           | natural, technological, or manmade causes   |
| 13           |   |
| 14           | Number/percentage of customers who found FDLE's                                     |
| 15           | emergency preparedness and response efforts   |
| 16           | useful  |
| 17           |   |
| 18           | (b) Criminal Justice Information ProgramThe   |
| 19           | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                      |
| 20           | provided in Specific Appropriations 987 through 988A:                               |
| 21           |   |
| 22           | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 23           |   |
| 24           | CENTRAL RECORDS SERVICES  |
| 25           |   |
| 26           | OUTPUTS:  |
| 27           |   |
| 28           | Number of hot files, computerized criminal  |
| 29           | history (CCH), and automated fingerprint  |
| 30           | identification system (AFIS) records  |
| 31           | maintained6,221,804   |
|              | 111   |
| <i>a</i> = - | 111<br>ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD          | UNG:WORDS <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions.        |

.

| 1   |
|---|
| 2 Total number of counties on-line with AFIS                          |
| 3 <u>livescan40</u>   |
| 4   |
| 5 POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                     |
| 6 applicable data for the following items to the                      |
| 7 appropriate legislative committees prior to the                     |
| 8 <u>next legislative session:</u>                                    |
| 9   |
| 10 Percentage of customers satisfied with on-line                     |
| 11 <u>crime data provided by FCIC</u>                                 |
| 12  |
| 13 Percentage of criminal history data on file                        |
| 14 <u>compiled accurately</u>   |
| 15  |
| 16 Percentage of felony criminal history records                      |
| 17 with complete disposition data                                     |
| 18  |
| 19 <u>Average turnaround time for automated</u>                       |
| 20 <u>fingerprint identification system (AFIS)</u>                    |
| 21 <u>livescan</u>  |
| 22  |
| 23 <u>INFORMATION NETWORK SERVICES</u>                                |
| 24  |
| 25 <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
| 26  |
| 27 <u>Percentage of on-line responses to FCIC</u>                     |
| 28 <u>customer within defined timeframe</u>                           |
| 29 <u>(3 seconds)96%</u>  |
| 30  |
| 31  |
| 112   |
| CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   | Percentage of time FCIC is running and  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | accessible  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 5   |   |
| б   | Number of agencies/FCIC work stations   |
| 7   | networked   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Number of FCIC data transactions400,000,000                                       |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | IDENTIFICATION SCREENING AND STATISTICAL  |
| 12  | ANALYSIS  |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Percentage response to criminal history record                                    |
| 17  | check customers within defined timeframes92%                                      |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of responses to requests for crime   |
| 22  | statistics  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Number of responses to requests from criminal                                     |
| 25  | history record checks1,400,000  |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Number of registered sexual predators/offenders                                   |
| 28  | identified to the public13,360  |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Number of responses to requests for sexual  |
| 31  | predator/offender information76,627   |
|     | 113   |
| COL | <br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions; |

| 1   |
|---|
| 2 Number of missing children cases worked through                                   |
| 3 MCIC  |
| 4   |
| 5 POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                   |
| 6 applicable data for the following items to the                                    |
| 7 <u>appropriate legislative committees prior to the</u>                            |
| 8 <u>next legislative session:</u>  |
| 9   |
| 10 <u>Number/percentage of criminals identified</u>                                 |
| 11 during criminal history record checks for  |
| 12 sensitive employment, licensing or gun purchase                                  |
| 13  |
| 14 Percentage of customers satisfied with   |
| 15 <u>available crime statistics</u>  |
| 16  |
| 17 Percentage of customers satisfied with criminal                                  |
| 18 <u>history record check service</u>  |
| 19  |
| 20 <u>Number of missing children cases found through</u>                            |
| 21 the assistance of MCIC   |
| 22  |
| 23 Percentage of customers satisfied with   |
| 24 <u>available domestic violence incident</u>                                      |
| 25 <u>information</u>   |
| 26  |
| 27 Number of responses to requests for domestic                                     |
| 28 violence incident information  |
| 29  |
| 30  |
| 31  |
| 114   |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of agencies accessing and participating                                       |
|-----|--|
| 2   | in the Statewide Tracking of domestic violence                                       |
| 3   | cases  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of domestic violence incidents reported                                       |
| б   |  |
| 7   | (c) Criminal Justice Professionalism ProgramThe                                      |
| 8   | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                       |
| 9   | provided in Specific Appropriations 993 through 994A:                                |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number/percentage of individuals who pass the  |
| 18  | basic professional certification examination   |
| 19  | for law enforcement officers, corrections  |
| 20  | officers, and correctional probation   |
| 21  | officers7,500/75%  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of course curricula, including course   |
| 26  | examinations, developed or revised175  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Number of certification examinations   |
| 29  | administered10,300   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 115  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | <b>ING</b> . WOLDS SELECTED ALE GELECTORS WOLDS <u>underlined</u> ale addictors.     |

| 1   | Number of individuals trained by the Florida  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Criminal Justice Executive Institute  |
| 3   | (FCJEI)   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of Florida Criminal Justice Executive  |
| 6   | Institute (FCJEI) hours of instruction650   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of law enforcement officers trained by   |
| 9   | DARE155   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the   |
| 12  | applicable data for the following items to the  |
| 13  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the   |
| 14  | next legislative session:   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number/percentage of target population (K-4, 5,   |
| 17  | 7) completing DARE programs   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of individuals trained in basic recruit  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of certificates issued for successful  |
| 22  | completion of basic training and employment   |
| 23  | requirements  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Percentage of officers completing an advanced   |
| 26  | or specialized training course offered by a   |
| 27  | certified training facility who rate training   |
| 28  | effective in improving their ability to perform   |
| 29  | their duties  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 116   |
| COD | •<br><b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   | Percentage of officers rated as demonstrating                                       |
|-----|---|
| 2   | improved performance by their supervisors after                                     |
| 3   | completing an advanced or specialized training                                      |
| 4   | course offered by a certified training facility                                     |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number/percentage of customers satisfied with                                       |
| 7   | officer information provided through Automated                                      |
| 8   | Training Management System (ATMS)   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | COMPLIANCE SERVICES   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number of discipline referrals processed (for                                       |
| 15  | state and local LEO's, CO's, and CPO's pursuant                                     |
| 16  | to ch. 120, F.S.)   |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of criminal justice officer disciplinary                                     |
| 19  | actions452  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of compliance audits conducted (for  |
| 22  | maintenance of training and employment  |
| 23  | standards for state and local LEO's, CO's, and                                      |
| 24  | <u>CPO's pursuant to s. 943.13, F.S.)6,059</u>                                      |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | POLICY ANALYSIS-The department shall report the                                     |
| 27  | applicable data for the following items to the                                      |
| 28  | appropriate legislative committees prior to the                                     |
| 29  | next legislative session:   |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 117   |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of criminal justice officers mandatory                                    |
|-----|--|
| 2   | retraining completions   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of requested technical assists provided                                   |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Number/percentage of basic recruit graduates                                     |
| 7   | obtaining initial employment in the same   |
| 8   | discipline within 1 year   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | (9) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES                              |
| 11  | (a) Agricultural Economic Development ProgramThe                                 |
| 12  | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                   |
| 13  | provided in Specific Appropriations 1051 through 1068J:                          |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS,                                    |
| 18  | AND REGULATION   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Gate receipts value of agriculture and seafood                                   |
| 23  | products sold by Florida's agricultural  |
| 24  | industry, in dollars (calendar   |
| 25  | year)\$7.075 billion   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Total sales of agricultural and seafood  |
| 28  | products generated by tenants of state farmers                                   |
| 29  | markets\$194,189,444   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 118  |
|     |  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 Dollar value of federal commodities and   |
|---|
| 2 recovered food distributed\$52,142,213  |
| 3   |
| 4 <u>OUTPUTS</u> :  |
| 5   |
| 6 Number of buyers reached with agricultural  |
| 7 promotion campaign messages2.02 billion   |
| 8   |
| 9 Number of marketing assists provided to   |
| 10 producers and businesses94,569   |
| 11  |
| 12 Pounds of federal commodities and recovered                                      |
| 13 <u>food distributed66,214,385</u>  |
| 14  |
| 15 FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION   |
| 16  |
| 17 OUTCOMES:  |
| 18  |
| 19 Dollar value of fruit and vegetables that are                                    |
| 20 shipped to other states or countries that are                                    |
| 21 subject to mandatory inspection\$1,443,648,000                                   |
| 22  |
| 23 <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 24  |
| 25 Number of tons of fruits and vegetables  |
| 26 inspected  |
| 27  |
| 28 PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL   |
| 29  |
| 30 OUTCOMES:  |
| 31  |
| 119   |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number/percentage of newly introduced pests and   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | diseases prevented from infesting Florida   |
| 3   | plants to a level where eradication is  |
| 4   | biologically or economically  |
| 5   | unfeasible100/93.5%   |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Number/percentage of acres of commercial citrus   |
| 8   | land, monitored by the department, at the   |
| 9   | request of the grower, which are free of the  |
| 10  | Caribbean fruit fly186,000/98%  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Number/percentage of commercial citrus acres  |
| 13  | free of citrus canker   |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Number/percentage of exotic fruit fly   |
| 16  | (Mediterranean, Oriental, Mexican, Queensland,  |
| 17  | West Indian) outbreaks where eradication can  |
| 18  | occur without use of aerial treatments2/100%  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | Number of plant, fruit fly trap, and honeybee   |
| 23  | inspections performed2,280,000  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Number of acres where plant pest and disease  |
| 26  | eradication or control efforts were   |
| 27  | undertaken  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number of shipments of plant products certified   |
| 30  | pest-free for export25,000  |
| 31  |   |
|     | 120   |
| 005 | I <sup>120</sup><br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COL | AING: WOLUS SUITCHEN ALE GELECIONS, WOLUS <u>UNGERLINEG</u> are additions.                        |

| 1   | Number of plant, soil, insect, and other   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | organism samples processed for identification                                      |
| 3   | or diagnosis   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of commercial citrus acres surveyed for                                     |
| 6   | citrus canker  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of exotic fruit fly traps   |
| 9   | serviced   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Millions of sterile mediterranean fruit flies                                      |
| 12  | released   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number/percentage of livestock and poultry   |
| 19  | infected with specific transmissible diseases                                      |
| 20  | for which monitoring, controlling, and   |
| 21  | eradicating activities are   |
| 22  | established  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of animal site inspections  |
| 27  | performed14,904  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of animals  |
| 30  | tested/vaccinated650,000/120,000   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 121  |
| COL | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |  |

Number of animal sites quarantined and Number of/unit cost per animal-related diagnostic laboratory procedure(s) Number of animals covered by health Number of animal permits processed......4,750 AGRICULTURE INSPECTION STATIONS OUTPUTS: Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural inspection stations.....11,236,244 Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated commodities.....2,505,682 Percentage of vehicles inspected at agricultural inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated commodities.....22% Amount of revenue generated by Bills of Lading transmitted to the Department of Revenue from Agricultural Inspection stations....\$12,658,800 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | Number of Bills of Lading transmitted to the                             |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Department of Revenue from Agricultural                                  |
| 3   | Inspection stations  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | (b) Food Safety and Quality ProgramThe following                         |
| 6   | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided            |
| 7   | in Specific Appropriations 1042 through 1046:                            |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Number/percentage of food and dairy                                      |
| 14  | establishments which fail to meet food safety                            |
| 15  | and sanitation requirements2,670/8.9%                                    |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of food or dairy products removed from                            |
| 18  | sale for failure to meet food safety                                     |
| 19  | requirements or standards15,500  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number/percentage of products analyzed which                             |
| 22  | fail to meet standards:  |
| 23  | Food products  |
| 24  | Milk and milk products1,300/8.8%   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number/percentage of produce or other food                               |
| 27  | samples analyzed which fail to meet pesticide                            |
| 28  | residue standards52/2.3%   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number/percentage of food and dairy enforcement                          |
| 31  | actions which result in compliance or other                              |
|     | 123  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | resolution within 60 days (excludes Field  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Notices of Violation)  |
| 3   | Notices of violation/  |
| 4   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Number of inspections of food establishments,                                    |
| 7   | dairy establishments, and water vending  |
| 8   | machines   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Number of enforcement actions taken (excludes                                    |
| 11  | Field Notices of Violation)  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Number of analyses/samples analyzed:   |
| 14  | Food   |
| 15  | Milk and milk products70,000/20,000  |
| 16  | Pesticide residue  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of food-related consumer assistance                                       |
| 19  | investigations or actions4,800   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Tons of poultry and shell eggs graded430,000                                     |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | (c) Forest and Resource Protection ProgramThe                                    |
| 24  | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                   |
| 25  | provided in Specific Appropriations 1069 through 1085:                           |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | FORESTRY SERVICES  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
|     | 124  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | 1   |
|--------|---|
| ⊥<br>2 | Number/percentage of:   |
| ∠<br>3 | Acres of protected forest and   |
| -      |   |
| 4      | wildlands not burned by   |
| 5      | wildfires25.1 million/99.3%   |
| 6      | Threatened structures not burned by   |
| 7      | wildfires   |
| 8      | Wildfires caused by humans3,800/80%   |
| 9      |   |
| 10     | Number/percentage of State Forest timber  |
| 11     | producing acres adequately stocked and  |
| 12     | growing   |
| 13     |   |
| 14     | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 15     |   |
| 16     | Number of wildfires detected and  |
| 17     | suppressed  |
| 18     |   |
| 19     | Average elapsed time (minutes) between  |
| 20     | <u>wildfire:</u>  |
| 21     | Ignition and detection55  |
| 22     | Detection and arrival on scene  |
| 23     |   |
| 24     | Number/percentage of forest acres and other                                       |
| 25     | lands managed by the department and purchased                                     |
| 26     | by the state with approved management   |
| 27     | plans   |
| 28     |   |
| 29     | Number of acres burned through prescribed   |
| 30     | burning2.1 million  |
| 31     |   |
|        | 125   |
| COD    | <br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|        |   |

1 Number of person-hours of firefighting training 2 3 4 Number of forest-related technical assists 5 provided to nonindustrial private 6 7 8 Number of open burning authorizations processed for land clearing, agriculture, and 9 10 silviculture.....118,000 11 Number of fire prevention presentations 12 13 made.....1,350 14 15 Number of person-hours spent responding to 16 emergency incidents other than 17 wildfires......8,000 18 19 (d) Consumer Protection Program.--The following 20 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided 21 in Specific Appropriations 1047 through 1050A: 2.2 23 Performance Measures Standards 24 25 STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION 26 27 OUTCOMES: 28 29 Number/percentage of LP Gas accidents due to 30 equipment failure or code violations at 31 126 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | licensed LP Gas storage, distribution, and                               |
|-----|--|
| 2   | handling facilities  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number/percentage of LP Gas facilities found in                          |
| 5   | compliance with safety requirements on first                             |
| 6   |  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of reportable accidents resulting from                            |
| 9   | amusement attraction mechanical or structural                            |
| 10  | failure1   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number/percentage of amusement attractions                               |
| 13  | found in full compliance with safety                                     |
| 14  | requirements on first inspections3,441/37%                               |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number/percentage of regulated weighing and                              |
| 17  | measuring devices, packages, and businesses                              |
| 18  | with scanners in compliance with accuracy                                |
| 19  | standards during initial   |
| 20  | inspection/testing237,000/95%  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number/percentage of petroleum products meeting                          |
| 23  | <pre>quality standards57,000/99.2%</pre>                                 |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number/percentage of state and commercial                                |
| 26  | weights and volumetric standards found within                            |
| 27  | <pre>specified tolerances11,760/98%</pre>                                |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 127  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of LP Gas facility  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | inspections/reinspections conducted4,200   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of LP Gas-related accidents   |
| 5   | investigated   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Number of amusement device safety/permit   |
| 8   | inspections conducted9,300/1,725   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Number of weighing and measuring devices   |
| 11  | inspected/tested249,000  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Number of laboratory analyses performed on                                       |
| 14  | regulated petroleum products140,000  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number of physical measurement standards tests                                   |
| 17  | or calibrations conducted12,000  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Number of complaints investigated/processed                                      |
| 20  | relating to all entities regulated by the  |
| 21  | Division of Standards in the Consumer  |
| 22  | Protection Program   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Number of LP Gas professional certification                                      |
| 25  | examinations administered1,500   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of enforcement actions taken against all                                  |
| 28  | entities regulated by the Division of Standards                                  |
| 29  | in the Consumer Protection Program27,375   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES   |
|     | 128  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number/percentage regulated entities (motor                                       |
| 5   | vehicle repair shops, health studios,   |
| 6   | telemarketers, business opportunities, dance                                      |
| 7   | studios, solicitation of contributions, sellers                                   |
| 8   | of travel, and pawn shops) found operating in                                     |
| 9   | violation of the consumer protection  |
| 10  | laws  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Number/percentage of "no-sales solicitation"                                      |
| 13  | complaints from subscribers17,160/13%   |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Amount of money recovered for consumers from                                      |
| 16  | regulated motor vehicle repair shops\$165,000                                     |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Number of assists provided to consumers   |
| 21  | (excluding Lemon Law assists)1,003,195  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Number of Lemon Law assists made to   |
| 24  | consumers   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Number of "no sales solicitation calls"   |
| 27  | subscriptions processed180,000  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number of complaints investigated/processed                                       |
| 30  | relating to all entities regulated by the   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 129   |
| 001 | 1   |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Division of Consumer Services in the Consumer                                    |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Protection Program   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of enforcement actions taken against all                                  |
| 5   | entities regulated by the Division of Consumer                                   |
| 6   | Services in the Consumer Protection  |
| 7   | Program  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | PEST CONTROL AND FEED, SEED, AND FERTILIZER                                      |
| 10  | COMPLIANCE   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number/percentage of licensed pest control                                       |
| 15  | applicators inspected who misapply chemicals or                                  |
| 16  | otherwise violate regulations  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number/percentage of feed, seed, and fertilizer                                  |
| 19  | inspected products in compliance with  |
| 20  | performance/quality standards16,698/90.5%  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Number of pest control inspections   |
| 25  | <u>conducted1,630</u>  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of feed, seed, and fertilizer   |
| 28  | inspections conducted12,146  |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of laboratory analyses performed on seed                                  |
| 31  | and fertilizer samples160,000  |
|     | 130  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1     |   |
|-------|---|
| 2     | Number of complaints investigated/processed                                   |
| 3     | relating to all entities regulated by the                                     |
| 4     | Division of Agricultural Environmental Services                               |
| 5     | in the Consumer Protection Program  |
| 6     |   |
| 7     | Number of pest control professional   |
| 8     | certification examinations administered1,605                                  |
| 9     |   |
| 10    | Number of enforcement actions taken against all                               |
| 11    | entities regulated by the Division of   |
| 12    | Agricultural Environmental Services in the                                    |
| 13    | Consumer Protection Program   |
| 14    |   |
| 15    | CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT   |
| 16    |   |
| 17    | OUTCOMES:   |
| 18    |   |
| 19    | Number/percentage of licensed pesticide                                       |
| 20    | applicators inspected who do not apply  |
| 21    | chemicals properly  |
| 22    |   |
| 23    | Number of reported human/equine disease cases                                 |
| 24    | caused by mosquitoes  |
| 25    |   |
| 26    | OUTPUTS:  |
| 27    |   |
| 28    | Number of pesticide-related:  |
| 29    | Complaints investigated   |
| 30    | Inspections conducted   |
| 31    | Enforcement actions initiated500  |
|       |   |
|       | 131   |
| CODTI | <b>NG:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are addi |

•

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Number of wells monitored for pesticide or                                       |
| 3   | nitrate residues46   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of pesticide products and residue   |
| б   | analyses performed in the pesticide  |
| 7   | laboratory63,500   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of persons in Florida served by   |
| 10  | effective mosquito control   |
| 11  | programs14 million   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | (10) DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE   |
| 14  | (a) Financial Accountability for Public Funds                                    |
| 15  | ProgramThe following measures and standards shall be                             |
| 16  | applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1554                    |
| 17  | through 1560:  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Percent of program's customers who return an                                     |
| 24  | overall customer service rating of good or                                       |
| 25  | excellent on surveys94%  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Percent of payment requests rejected during the                                  |
| 28  | preaudit process for inconsistencies with legal                                  |
| 29  | and/or other applicable requirements1.00%  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 132  |
| 000 |  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Percent of vendor payments issued in less than  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | the Comptroller's statutory time limit of 10    |
| 3   | days100%  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percent of federal wage and information returns |
| 6   | prepared and filed where no penalties or        |
| 7   | interest were paid100%                          |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Percent of federal tax deposits made where no   |
| 10  | penalties or interest were paid100%             |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percent of payroll payment made accurately      |
| 13  | based on information submitted100%              |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Percent of those utilizing the program and      |
| 16  | providing financial information who return an   |
| 17  | overall rating of good or excellent on surveys  |
| 18  | regarding the relevancy, usefulness, and        |
| 19  | timeliness of information available95%          |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Major qualifications in the independent         |
| 22  | auditor's report on the state's General Purpose |
| 23  | Financial Statements (GPFS) that negatively     |
| 24  | impact the state's bonding rating0              |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | State payments issued electronically:           |
| 27  | Percent of vendor payments issued               |
| 28  | electronically                                  |
| 29  | Percent of payroll payments issued              |
| 30  | electronically                                  |
| 31  | Percent of retirement payments issued           |
|     | 133   |
| COD | Los   |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1   | electronically   |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | Percent of fiscal integrity investigations                                       |
| 4   | subsequently referred to other agencies where                                    |
| 5   | investigative assistance provided by this  |
| 6   | program aided in obtaining criminal,   |
| 7   | disciplinary, and/or administrative actions.20%                                  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Vendor payment requests preaudited:  |
| 12  | Number   |
| 13  | Percent  |
| 14  | Dollar amount\$14,100,000,000  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Vendor invoices paid:  |
| 17  | Number   |
| 18  | Dollar amount\$34,700,000,000  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of federal wage and information returns                                   |
| 21  | prepared and filed   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of federal tax deposits made  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | IRS penalties paid:  |
| 26  | <u>Number0</u>   |
| 27  | Dollar amount0   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Payroll payments issued:   |
| 30  | <u>Number5,416,000</u>   |
| 31  | <u>Dollar amount\$5,821,559,329</u>  |
|     | 134  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        | Payroll payments issued according to published                                    |
| 3        | <u>schedules:</u>   |
| 4        | Number  |
| 5        | Percent   |
| 6        |   |
| 7        | Number of staff hours required to produce the                                     |
| 8        | Comprehensive Annual Financial Report   |
| 9        | (CAFR)  |
| 10       |   |
| 11       | Average number of days from the month's end to                                    |
| 12       | complete reconciliations  |
| 13       |   |
| 14       | Payments issued electronically:   |
| 15       | Number  |
| 16       | Dollar amount\$23,741,545,493   |
| 17       |   |
| 18       | Hours of training/education conducted:  |
| 19       | Accounting issues   |
| 20       | Invoice payment process425  |
| 21       | Payroll issues  |
| 22       |   |
| 23       | Number of instances during the year where, as a                                   |
| 24       | result of inadequate cash management under this                                   |
| 25       | program, general revenue had a negative cash                                      |
| 26       | balance0  |
| 27       |   |
| 28       | Number of fiscal integrity cases that were  |
| 29       | investigated  |
| 30       |   |
| 31       |   |
|          | 125   |
| <b>~</b> | 135   |
| COL      | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 Number of "get lean" hotline calls processed 2 for referral to the appropriate agency.....500 3 Number of criminal, disciplinary, and/or 4 administrative actions resulting from fiscal 5 6 7 8 Average hours spent on conducting fiscal 9 integrity investigations......90 10 11 (b) Consumer Financial Protection and Industry 12 Authorization Program. -- The following measures and standards 13 shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific 14 Appropriations 1574 through 1578: 15 16 OUTCOMES: 17 18 Percentage of total applicants not licensed to 19 conduct business in the state because they fail 20 to meet substantive licensing requirements 21 established to protect consumers......5% 2.2 23 Percentage of applicants issued a license 24 subject to department restrictions imposed to 25 provide added assurance that public interests 26 27 28 Percentage of applicants prevented from 29 entering the securities industry in Florida who 30 subsequently are the subject of regulatory action in other jurisdictions within 31 136

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1   | <u>3</u> years60%  |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | Percentage of total licensees examined during                                    |
| 4   | the fiscal year to determine compliance with                                     |
| 5   | applicable regulations   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Percentage of written complaints processed                                       |
| 8   | within applicable standards  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of applications denied or   |
| 13  | withdrawn  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Number of applications reviewed74,536  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Amount (dollars) of securities registration                                      |
| 18  | applications denied or   |
| 19  | withdrawn\$4,200,000,000   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of applicants licensed during the fiscal                                  |
| 22  | <u>year70,582</u>  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Number of applicants licensed with restrictions                                  |
| 25  | during the fiscal year   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of applicants denied or withdrawn with                                    |
| 28  | additional disciplinary information reported on                                  |
| 29  | the Central Registration Depository within                                       |
| 30  | <u>3 years</u>   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 137  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | Number of examinations (for cause and routine)                |
|--------|---|
| ⊥<br>2 | completed during the fiscal year                              |
| ⊿<br>3 | compreted during the ristar year                              |
|        | Number of examinations conducted for                          |
| 4      | Number of examinations conducted for                          |
| 5      | Certificate of Authority and Cemetery                         |
| 6      | <u>licensees169</u>   |
| 7      |   |
| 8      | Number of investigations closed550                            |
| 9      |   |
| 10     | Number of background investigations                           |
| 11     | <u>completed700</u>   |
| 12     |   |
| 13     | Average number of days for initial written                    |
| 14     | responses to consumers7                                       |
| 15     |   |
| 16     | Average time (days) to resolve, refer, or close               |
| 17     | <u>a written complaint68</u>                                  |
| 18     |   |
| 19     | Number of complaints resolved, referred, or                   |
| 20     | closed during the year4,350                                   |
| 21     |   |
| 22     | Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond                |
| 23     | 90 days21%  |
| 24     |   |
| 25     | Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond                |
| 26     | 120 days15%   |
| 27     |   |
| 28     | Number of written complaints where the                        |
| 29     | department identified statutory violation by                  |
| 30     | licensed/unlicensed entities (within or outside               |
| 31     | its statutory authority)150                                   |
|        |   |
|        | 138   |
| COD    | TNC. Words atriater are deletions: words underlined are addi- |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Number of complaints referred for consideration                                      |
| 3   | of legal or criminal action  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | (c) Financial Institutions Regulatory ProgramThe                                     |
| 6   | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                       |
| 7   | provided in Specific Appropriations 1566 through 1569:                               |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percentage of Florida state-chartered financial                                      |
| 14  | institutions that exceed the median of all   |
| 15  | national/federal financial institutions  |
| 16  | chartered in Florida on standard earnings and  |
| 17  | solvency performance measures-Banks:   |
| 18  | Return on Assets   |
| 19  | Return on Equity51%  |
| 20  | Capital to Asset Ratio51%  |
| 21  | Tier 1 Capital   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Percentage of Florida state-chartered financial                                      |
| 24  | institutions that exceed the median of all   |
| 25  | national/federal financial institutions  |
| 26  | chartered in Florida on standard earnings and  |
| 27  | solvency performance measures-Credit Unions:   |
| 28  | Return on Assets   |
| 29  | Return on Equity   |
| 30  | Capital to Asset Ratio51%  |
| 31  | Tier 1 Capital   |
|     | 139  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Percentage of new banks in Florida that are  |
| 3   | state chartered67%   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid                                    |
| 6   | by state-chartered financial institutions  |
| 7   | compared with the assessments that would be  |
| 8   | paid if the institution was nationally or  |
| 9   | federally chartered:   |
| 10  | Banks\$15,300  |
| 11  | Credit Unions\$350   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percentage of financial institutions receiving                                     |
| 14  | an examination report within a standard number                                     |
| 15  | of days after the conclusion of their onsite                                       |
| 16  | state examination:   |
| 17  | Banks (standard = 45 days)75%  |
| 18  | Credit Unions (standard = 30 days)75%  |
| 19  | International (standard = 45 days)75%  |
| 20  | Trust Companies (standard = 60 days)75%  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Percentage of applications statutorily complete                                    |
| 23  | that are processed within a standard number of                                     |
| 24  | days:  |
| 25  | De Novo (standard = 90 days)67%  |
| 26  | Branch (standard = 50 days)67%   |
| 27  | Expedited Branch (standard = 10 days).100%   |
| 28  | Merger/Acquisition   |
| 29  | (standard = 60 days)67%  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 140  |
| COL | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |  |

| 1   | Percentage of financial institutions under   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | enforcement action that are substantially in                                       |
| 3   | compliance with conditions imposed90%  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Median Florida state-chartered financial   |
| 8   | institution earnings and solvency performance                                      |
| 9   | measures-Banks:  |
| 10  | Return on Assets1.06%  |
| 11  | Return on Equity11.01%   |
| 12  | Capital to Asset Ratio9.15%  |
| 13  | <u>Tier 1 Capital9.18%</u>   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Median Florida state-chartered financial   |
| 16  | institution earnings and solvency performance                                      |
| 17  | measures-Credit Unions:  |
| 18  | Return on Assets1.04%  |
| 19  | Return on Equity8.06%  |
| 20  | Capital to Asset Ratio12.94%   |
| 21  | <u>Tier 1 Capital12.18%</u>  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of new Florida state-chartered banks  |
| 24  | <u>opened20</u>  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Amount (dollars) annual assessments paid by  |
| 27  | state financial institutions:  |
| 28  | Banks\$6,756,100   |
| 29  | <u>Credit Unions\$1,237,200</u>  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 141  |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | <b>THE</b> words serieven are derectons, words <u>undertined</u> are addictors.    |

| 1   | Number of financial institutions examined by          |
|-----|---|
| 2   | the Division of Banking receiving an                  |
| 3   | examination report within a standard number of        |
| 4   | days:   |
| 5   | Banks (standard = 45 days)45                          |
| 6   | Credit Unions (standard = 30 days)57                  |
| 7   | International (standard = 45 days)16                  |
| 8   | Trust Companies (standard = 60 days)8                 |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Number of statutorily complete applications           |
| 11  | received that are processed within a standard         |
| 12  | number of days:                                       |
| 13  | De Novo (standard = 90 days)5                         |
| 14  | Branch (standard = 50 days)27                         |
| 15  | Expedited Branch (standard = 10 days)45               |
| 16  | <pre>Merger/Acquisition (standard = 60 days).17</pre> |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of institutions in substantial                 |
| 19  | compliance with enforcement actions23                 |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Percentage/number of financial institutions           |
| 22  | examined:   |
| 23  | Banks66%/120  |
| 24  | Credit Unions66%/77                                   |
| 25  | International66%/44                                   |
| 26  | Trust Companies                                       |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Percentage/number of surveys returned that rate       |
| 29  | the division's examination program as 2.0 or          |
| 30  | better on a 1 to 5 scale                              |
| 31  |   |
|     | 142   |
| 005 |   |

| 1  | Average percentage reduction in total  |
|--|--|
| 2  | examination time from the previous state   |
| 3  | examination:   |
| 4  | Banks  |
| 5  | Credit Unions  |
| 6  | International  |
| 7  | Trust Companies  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Average percentage of total examination hours  |
| 10   | conducted off site:  |
| 11   | Banks25%   |
| 12   | Credit Unions  |
| 13   | International  |
| 14   | Trust Companies  |
| 15   |  |
| 16   | (d) Unclaimed Property ProgramThe following  |
| 17   | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                              |
|  |  |
| 18   | in Specific Appropriations 1570 through 1573:  |
| 18<br>19   | in Specific Appropriations 1570 through 1573:  |
| _  | in Specific Appropriations 1570 through 1573:      Performance Measures    Standards       |
| 19   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 19<br>20   |  |
| 19<br>20<br>21   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24                               | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25                         | <u>Performance Measures</u><br><u>OUTPUTS:</u><br><u>Number of reports processed16,000</u> |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26                   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27                   | Performance Measures  Standards    OUTPUTS:  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28       | Performance Measures  Standards    OUTPUTS:  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29       | Performance Measures  Standards    OUTPUTS:  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30 | Performance Measures  Standards    OUTPUTS:  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29       | Performance Measures  Standards    OUTPUTS:  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30 | Performance Measures  Standards    OUTPUTS:  |

| 1            | Number of out-of-state exams of holders who  |
|--------------|--|
| 2            | have not previously filed a holder report200   |
| 3            |  |
| 4            | Number of in-state exams conducted26   |
| 5            |  |
| б            | Dollar value collected as a result of in-state                                       |
| 7            | exams\$500,000   |
| 8            |  |
| 9            | Number of out-of-state exams processed450  |
| 10           |  |
| 11           | Dollar value collected as a result of  |
| 12           | out-of-state exams\$15,000,000   |
| 13           |  |
| 14           | Number/dollar value of owner accounts  |
| 15           | processed255,000/\$101,000,000   |
| 16           |  |
| 17           | Total cost of the program to the number of   |
| 18           | holder reports/owner accounts processed\$30  |
| 19           |  |
| 20           | Number/dollar value of claims paid to  |
| 21           | owners   |
| 22           |  |
| 23           | Number of owner accounts advertised100,000   |
| 24           |  |
| 25           | Number of claims processed55,000   |
| 26           |  |
| 27           | (11) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  |
| 28           | (a) Recreation and Parks ProgramThe following  |
| 29           | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                        |
| 30           | in Specific Appropriations 1278 through 1327A:                                       |
| 31           |  |
|              | 144  |
| <u>ת ה</u> ט | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD          | <b>THE</b> WOLDS SULLARED ALE GELECIONS, WOLDS <u>UNDELLINED</u> ALE AUGILIONS.      |
| 1   | Performance Measures Standards   |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | STATE PARK OPERATIONS  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Provide for a 1.3% annual increase in  |
| 8   | attendance at state parks13,750,000  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Increase the acreage available for public  |
| 11  | recreation by 2% annually532,217   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Number of state park sites managed151  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of recreational facilities built,   |
| 18  | repaired, or restored by type compared to plan                                   |
| 19  | development needs174   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of cultural/historical sites restored or                                  |
| 22  | maintained compared to need1   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Number of acres managed for secondary  |
| 25  | use/multiple use500  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Native habitats (acres) successfully maintained                                  |
| 28  | as natural areas in state parks compared to                                      |
| 29  | need   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 145  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| -   |   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| 1   | Percent of management plans completed in  |  |
| 2   | compliance with Florida Statutes100%  |  |
| 3   |   |  |
| 4   | Percentage of lands acquired by P2000 money                                       |  |
| 5   | that meet at least three criteria of the  |  |
| б   | program100%   |  |
| 7   |   |  |
| 8   | WORKLOAD  |  |
| 9   |   |  |
| 10  | Number of parks/trails, acres, and miles  |  |
| 11  | supported by general administration,  |  |
| 12  | maintenance/minor repairs, protection, and all                                    |  |
| 13  | variations of visitor service activities  |  |
| 14  | 152 parks/534,387 acres/380 miles   |  |
| 15  |   |  |
| 16  | Private/public partnerships utilized to assist                                    |  |
| 17  | operations of state parks   |  |
| 18  |   |  |
| 19  | State parks additions/inholdings land   |  |
| 20  | acquisitions10  |  |
| 21  |   |  |
| 22  | Number of recreational and natural/cultural                                       |  |
| 23  | additions and inholdings acquisitions for   |  |
| 24  | existing parks by type as related to available                                    |  |
| 25  | funding1  |  |
| 26  |   |  |
| 27  | STATE GREENWAYS AND TRAILS  |  |
| 28  |   |  |
| 29  | OUTCOMES:   |  |
| 30  |   |  |
| 31  |   |  |
|     | 146   |  |
| COL | <br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |  |
|     |   |  |

| 1        | Acquire an additional 5 greenways, recreational                                     |  |
|----------|---|--|
| 2        | trails, or trail systems annually to provide or                                     |  |
| 3        | enhance access to public lands, while ensuring                                      |  |
| 4        | that the ecological integrity of the land is  |  |
| 5        | not compromised18   |  |
| б        |   |  |
| 7        | OUTPUTS:  |  |
| 8        |   |  |
| 9        | Number of State Greenways and Trails  |  |
| 10       | managed4  |  |
| 11       |   |  |
| 12       | Number of recreational facilities built,  |  |
| 13       | repaired, or restored by type compared to plan                                      |  |
| 14       | development needs   |  |
| 15       |   |  |
| 16       | Number of developed public access points on   |  |
| 17       | greenways and trails10  |  |
| 18       | trailheads  |  |
| 19       |   |  |
| 20       | Percent of management plans completed in  |  |
| 21       | compliance with Florida Statutes100%  |  |
| 22       |   |  |
| 23       | Percentage of lands acquired by P2000 money   |  |
| 24       | that meet at least three criteria of the  |  |
| 25       | program100%   |  |
| 26       |   |  |
| 27       | RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS  |  |
| 28       |   |  |
| 29<br>20 | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>  |  |
| 30<br>21 |   |  |
| 31       |   |  |
|          | 147   |  |
| COD      | <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |

| 1 Increase by 2% annually its technical   |
|---|
| 2 assistance and grant related services to local                                    |
| 3 governments\$526,156  |
| 4   |
| 5 OUTPUTS:  |
| 6   |
| 7 Number of recreational grants and funding to                                      |
| 8 local governments for recreational facilities                                     |
| 9 and land acquisition  |
| 10  |
| 11 WORKLOAD   |
| 12  |
| 13 Number of technical assistance consultations,                                    |
| 14 meetings, calls, and publications350   |
| 15  |
| 16 (b) State Lands ProgramThe following measures and                                |
| 17 standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific                     |
| 18 Appropriations 1187 through 1209:  |
| 19  |
| 20Performance MeasuresStandards   |
| 21  |
| 22 LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES  |
| 23  |
| 24 OUTCOMES:  |
| 25  |
| 26 Percent increase in the number of occurrences                                    |
| 27 of endangered/ threatened/ special concern                                       |
| 28 species on publicly managed conservation   |
| 29 <u>areas10%</u>  |
| 30  |
| 31 <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 148   |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| i   |   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| 1   |   |  |
| 2   | Number of acres acquired by the P2000 Program                                       |  |
| 3   | as listed in the CARL report  |  |
| 4   |   |  |
| 5   | Percentage of acres acquired by the P2000   |  |
| 6   | Program that have a G1/S1 plant or animal tax                                       |  |
| 7   | on point data local within the acquired   |  |
| 8   | tract   |  |
| 9   |   |  |
| 10  | Number of acres of land acquired by the P2000                                       |  |
| 11  | Program that had their highest resource values                                      |  |
| 12  | based on FNAI elements  |  |
| 13  |   |  |
| 14  | Number and percent completion of projects on  |  |
| 15  | the CARL list95/10%   |  |
| 16  |   |  |
| 17  | Percentage of parcels at less than appraised  |  |
| 18  | value:  |  |
| 19  | Less than \$100,0006%   |  |
| 20  | Greater than \$100,00063%   |  |
| 21  |   |  |
| 22  | Percentage of appraised value to purchase   |  |
| 23  | price:  |  |
| 24  | Less than \$100,000   |  |
| 25  | Greater than \$100,000  |  |
| 26  |   |  |
| 27  | WORKLOAD  |  |
| 28  |   |  |
| 29  | Number of appraisals certified  |  |
| 30  |   |  |
| 31  |   |  |
|     | 149   |  |
| 007 |   |  |
| COD | <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |

| 1 Number of surveys or maps certified for  |
|--|
| 1Number of surveys or maps certified for2environmental land acquisition:           |
|  |
|  |
| 4 <u>Maps</u>  |
| 5  |
| 6 <u>Number of surveys or maps certified for</u>                                   |
| 7 <u>nonenvironmental land acquisition:</u>  |
| 8 <u>Surveys20</u>   |
| 9 <u>Maps21</u>  |
| 10   |
| 11 Percentage of parcels acquired within the                                       |
| 12 <u>"standard time limit":</u>   |
| 13 Less than \$100,00051%  |
| 14 Greater than \$100,00057%   |
| 15   |
| 16 LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES  |
| 17   |
| 18 <u>OUTCOMES:</u>  |
| 19   |
| 20 Evaluate and dispose of 80 parcels of land                                      |
| 21 <u>annually that have been determined to have no</u>                            |
| 22 further public use  |
| 23   |
| 24 Percent of easements, leases, and other   |
| 25 requests that are to be completed by maximum                                    |
| 26 timeframes prescribed   |
| 27   |
| 28 Ensure that 90% of all leases of sovereign                                      |
| 29 submerged lands are in compliance with lease                                    |
| 30 <u>conditions92</u> %   |
| 31   |
| 150  |
| 150<br>CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

Ensure that 90% of all land management plans are completed within statutory timeframes.....60% OUTPUTS: Percentage of submerged land leases found in compliance annually.....92% Ratio of parcels of lands surplused/parcels of land evaluated for possible surplus by type.....1:2 WORKLOAD Number of verified records maintained...237,265 Number of submerged land leases audited AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTCOMES: Control invasive, exotic, upland plants on an additional 3,500 acres of public land annually, that have existing management personnel who have committed to maintaining these plants under control after initial treatment..... CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | Achieve and sustain maintenance control of                               |
|-----|--|
| 2   | hydrilla, water hyacinth, and water lettuce in                           |
| 3   | 95% or more of Florida's public waters93%                                |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Percentage of public lakes and rivers that                               |
| 8   | contain invasive, nonnative aquatic plants and                           |
| 9   | are under maintenance control  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percentage of public lands where invasive,                               |
| 12  | nonnative upland plants have been brought under                          |
| 13  | control through efforts of, or pass through                              |
| 14  | funding by, the Bureau of Aquatic Plant                                  |
| 15  | ManagementEstablish baseline   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Average cost per acre to achieve maintenance                             |
| 18  | control of aquatic, nonnative plants                                     |
| 19  | \$130 per acre   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | (c) Law Enforcement Program The following measures                       |
| 22  | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                  |
| 23  | Specific Appropriations 1336 through 1345:                               |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number/percent of known hazardous substance                              |
| 30  | dump sites and petroleum spills whereby action                           |
| 31  | (other than criminal investigation) was taken                            |
|     | 152  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1        | to reduce, control, or eliminate risk to public                                   |
|----------|---|
| 2        | health and the environment  |
| 3        |   |
| 4        |   |
| 5        | OUTPUTS:  |
| 6        |   |
| 7        | Number of investigations opened/closed227/182                                     |
| 8        |   |
| 9        | Number of environmental dump sites and  |
| 10       | petroleum spills responded to and by type:  |
| 11       | Total1,430  |
| 12       | Environmental dump sites673   |
| 13       | Petroleum spills757   |
| 14       |   |
| 15       | Number of arrests for speed zone violations or                                    |
| 16       | <pre>manatee molestation1,631</pre>   |
| 17       |   |
| 18       | Spill remediation:  |
| 19       | Funds spent\$928,153  |
| 20       | Funds recovered \$86,638  |
| 21       | Number of sites/spills remediated561  |
| 22       |   |
| 23       | (d) Marine Resources Program The following measures                               |
| 24       | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                           |
| 25<br>26 | Specific Appropriations 1221A through 1221Y:                                      |
| 26<br>27 | Dentermanza Maggunaz  |
| 27       | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 20<br>29 | MARINE RESOURCE REGULATION AND CONSERVATION                                       |
| 30       | (SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH)  |
| 31       |   |
|          |   |
|          | 153   |
| COL      | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      |  |
|--------|--|
| ⊥<br>2 | OUTCOMES:  |
| ∠<br>3 | Reduce by 1% annually the ratio of shellfish                                     |
| 4      | illnesses reported from Florida shellfish  |
| т<br>5 | products to the number of meals served   |
| 6      | (Shellfish Regulation)0.331/100,000  |
| 7      |  |
| ,<br>8 | Improve the number of marine fisheries stocks                                    |
| 9      | reported as stable or increasing by 1% annually                                  |
| 10     | (Marine Research)  |
| 11     | <u></u>  |
| 12     | OUTPUTS:   |
| 13     |  |
| 14     | Percent of research projects that provide  |
| 15     | management recommendations or support  |
| 16     | management actions (Marine Research)100%   |
| 17     |  |
| 18     | Percent of shellfish and crab processing   |
| 19     | facilities in significant compliance with  |
| 20     | permit and food safety regulations (Shellfish                                    |
| 21     | Regulation)80%   |
| 22     |  |
| 23     | Number of reported cases of sickness or death                                    |
| 24     | from shellfish consumption that can be directly                                  |
| 25     | traced to seafood harvested from contaminated                                    |
| 26     | waters or to actions by fishermen, packing                                       |
| 27     | houses, or seafood dealers not in compliance                                     |
| 28     | with state regulations (SR)48 sicknesses/3                                       |
| 29     | deaths   |
| 30     |  |
| 31     | WORKLOAD   |
|        | 154  |
| COD    | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|---|---|
| 1   | Commencial and other fishing ligenses proceeded |
| 2   | Commercial and other fishing licenses processed |
| 3   | annually (Marine Research)25,951                |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Artificial reefs monitored and/or created       |
| б   | annually (Marine Research)                      |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Percentage of shellfish harvesting areas opened |
| 9   | (Shellfish Regulation)                          |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Red tide/fish kill/disease investigations       |
| 12  | (Marine Research)6                              |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | OUTCOMES:                                       |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Reduce the manatee mortality rate by 1%         |
| 19  | annually7.88%                                   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Manatee deaths as a result of human             |
| 24  | activities                                      |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Manatee deaths as a result of nonhuman          |
| 27  | activities                                      |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Manatee population                              |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | Sea turtle nests per region:                    |
|   | 155   |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |   |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

SE.....68,022 WORKLOAD Manatee federal recovery plans completed and tasks implemented......87 Miles of sea turtle index nesting beaches Number of stranded sea turtles reported and percentage of necropsied.....1,000/10% COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTCOMES: Enhance or restore 11.6% of the degraded acreage identified in state buffer OUTPUTS: Number of acres managed.....129,493 WORKLOAD CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1 Acres of invasive or undesirable plant species 2 3 4 (12) DEPARTMENT OF LOTTERY.--(a) Sale of Lottery Products Program. -- The following 5 6 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided 7 in Specific Appropriations 1871 through 1882: 8 9 Performance Measures Standards 10 11 OUTCOMES: 12 13 Total revenue: 14 In dollars.....\$2,083.6 M 15 Percent change from prior year.....0.89% 16 17 Transfers to the state: Total dollars to the Educational 18 19 Enhancement Trust Fund.....\$791.69 M 20 21 22 OUTPUTS: 23 24 Prizes paid as a percent of total 25 26 Survey results of public awareness of the 27 28 contribution to education by the 29 Lottery.....Report by 1/5/2000 30 31 (13) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES.--157 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | (a) Facilities ProgramThe following measures and                                 |
|-----|--|
| 2   | standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific                     |
| 3   | Appropriations 1904 through 1927A:   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Gross square foot construction cost of office                                    |
| 10  | facilities:  |
| 11  | DMS\$80.02   |
| 12  | Private industry average\$87.55  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Full service rent-composite cost per net square                                  |
| 15  | foot in counties where DMS has office  |
| 16  | facilities:  |
| 17  | DMS (actual)\$15.13  |
| 18  | Private industry average\$16.42  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | New office space efficiency per net square                                       |
| 21  | foot/gross square foot   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Operations and maintenance cost per net square                                   |
| 24  | foot maintained:   |
| 25  | DMS\$5.04  |
| 26  | Private industry average\$5.92   |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Number of criminal incidents per 100,000 gross                                   |
| 29  | <u>square feet4.7</u>  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 158  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | Number of griminal ingidents par 1 000   |
|--------|--|
| ⊥<br>2 | Number of criminal incidents per 1,000   |
| ⊿<br>3 | employees  |
| 3<br>4 |  |
| +<br>5 | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 6      | Gross square feet of office facilities   |
| 7      | completed  |
| ,<br>8 |  |
| 9      | Net square feet of state-owned office space  |
| 10     | occupied by state agencies (includes non-DMS                                       |
| 11     | owned facilities)  |
| 12     |  |
| 13     | Net square feet of private office space  |
| 14     | occupied by state agencies11,057,443   |
| 15     |  |
| 16     | Number of square feet maintained by:   |
| 17     | DMS  |
| 18     | Private contractor1,912,009  |
| 19     |  |
| 20     | Gross square feet monitored for security   |
| 21     | purposes   |
| 22     |  |
| 23     | Number of investigations conducted210  |
| 24     |  |
| 25     | (b) Support ProgramThe following measures and                                      |
| 26     | standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific                       |
| 27     | Appropriations 1928 through 1931:  |
| 28     |  |
| 29     | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 30     |  |
| 31     | OUTCOMES:  |
|        | 159  |
| COD    | I<br>VING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|        |  |

| 1    |  |
|------|--|
| 2    | Percentage of state term contracts savings35%  |
| 3    |  |
| 4    | State term contracts cost  |
| 5    | avoidance\$205,000,000   |
| б    |  |
| 7    | Average percentage below private sector fleet  |
| 8    | maintenance-labor13%   |
| 9    |  |
| 10   | Average percentage below private   |
| 11   | sector-parts   |
| 12   |  |
| 13   | Average percentage state rental vehicles below   |
| 14   | state rental contract rates  |
| 15   |  |
| 16   | Passenger load factor:   |
| 17   | Large corporation  |
| 18   | DMS3.5   |
| 19   |  |
| 20   | Cost per flight hour-DMS aircraft pool\$1,166  |
| 21   |  |
| 22   | Average percentage DMS direct cost per flight  |
| 23   | hour below industry direct cost44%   |
| 24   |  |
| 25   | Number of government and nonprofit   |
| 26   | organizations visiting a surplus property  |
| 27   | distribution center  |
| 28   |  |
| 29   | Federal property distribution rate85%  |
| 30   |  |
| 31   | OUTPUTS:   |
|      | 160  |
| CODI | I<br>I <b>NG:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|      |  |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Number of commodities/services on state term                                     |
| 3   | contracts  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of agencies using SPURS   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Percentage of agencies using SPURS75%  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of federal property orders  |
| 10  | processed  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of vehicle maintenance service  |
| 13  | hours8,600   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Days of state rental vehicle service   |
| 16  | provided41,000   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Miles of state rental vehicle service  |
| 19  | provided1,700,000  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of flights by executive aircraft  |
| 22  | pool2,500  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | (c) Workforce ProgramThe following measures and                                  |
| 25  | standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific                     |
| 26  | Appropriations 1932 through 1936:  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 161  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1  | Administrative cost per FTE for:           |
|----|--|
| 2  | Cooperative Personnel Employee System      |
| 3  | (COPES)\$40.20                             |
| 4  | Administrative cost net of COPES\$35.38    |
| 5  | Total administrative cost per FTE\$75.58   |
| б  |  |
| 7  | Customer Satisfaction:                     |
| 8  | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 9  | information provided resulted in more      |
| 10 | effective and efficient HR-related         |
| 11 | decisionmaking                             |
| 12 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 13 | technical assistance provided resulted     |
| 14 | in more effective and efficient            |
| 15 | HR-related decisionmaking                  |
| 16 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 17 | information provided was timely83%         |
| 18 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 19 | information provided was accurate83%       |
| 20 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 21 | information provided was consistent with   |
| 22 | <pre>past practices</pre>                  |
| 23 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 24 | technical assistance provided was          |
| 25 | timely                                     |
| 26 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 27 | technical assistance provided was          |
| 28 | accurate                                   |
| 29 | Percentage of customers satisfied that the |
| 30 | technical assistance provided was          |
| 31 | consistent with past practices74%          |
|    | 162  |
|    |  |

**CODING:**Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Percentage of agencies at or above EEO gender                                    |
| 3   | parity with available labor market86.7%  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Percentage of agencies at or above EEO minority                                  |
| 6   | parity with the available labor market56.7%                                      |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Number of informational materials  |
| 11  | provided1,820  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Number of responses to technical assistance                                      |
| 14  | requests15,343   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | (d) Information Technology ProgramThe following                                  |
| 17  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                    |
| 18  | in Specific Appropriations 1948 through 1959:                                    |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial                                       |
| 27  | rates for:   |
| 28  | Local access40%  |
| 29  | Long distance40%   |
| 30  | Data service25%  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 163  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5):   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Service features                             |
| 3   | Service delivery2.16                         |
| 4   | Timely problem resolution2.33                |
| 5   | Best value services                          |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | OUTPUTS:                                     |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of SUNCOM long distance billable      |
| 10  | minutes                                      |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of SUNCOM local service main          |
| 13  | stations1,729,785                            |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Number of SUNCOM data locations served10,747 |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Percentage SUNCOM service growth:            |
| 18  | Local access                                 |
| 19  | Long distance1%                              |
| 20  | Data service9%                               |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | INFORMATION SERVICES                         |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | OUTCOMES:                                    |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5):   |
| 27  | Accessible information services2.67          |
| 28  | Desirable technology services2.40            |
| 29  | Timely problem resolution2.33                |
| 30  | Projects within schedule2.56                 |
| 31  | Best value services                          |
|     | 164  |
| COL | <br>   |

**CODING:**Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

| 1   | Reliable information services2.11                                  |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of Technology Resource Center research                      |
| 6   | projects completed15   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of Technology Resource Center consulting                    |
| 9   | projects completed7  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of Technology Resource Center                               |
| 12  | development projects completed425                                  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Percentage utilization (as used for capacity                       |
| 15  | planning and technology refresh, employing 80%                     |
| 16  | maximum utilization standard):                                     |
| 17  | Unisys System60%   |
| 18  | IBM System   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | WIRELESS SERVICES  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Percentage wireless discount from commercially                     |
| 25  | available and similar type engineering                             |
| 26  | services   |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of engineering projects and approvals                       |
| 31  | handled for state government                                       |
|     | 165  |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of engineering projects and approvals  |
| 3   | handled for local governments550  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of Joint Task Force Radio Systems  |
| 6   | operated and maintained:  |
| 7   | Fixed sites81   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Percentage of state covered by the Joint Task                                       |
| 10  | Force Radio System  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percentage of current statewide joint task  |
| 13  | force radio system phase(s) under development                                       |
| 14  | completed   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | (14) DIVISION OF RETIREMENT   |
| 17  | (a) Retirement Benefits ProgramThe following  |
| 18  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                       |
| 19  | in Specific Appropriations 1937 through 1947:                                       |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Percentage of participating agencies/members  |
| 26  | satisfied with retirement information:  |
| 27  | Agencies  |
| 28  | Active members  |
| 29  | Recent retirees   |
| 30  | Other retirees  |
| 31  |   |
|     | 166   |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 2       correctly reported   | 1   | Percentage of agency payroll transactions                         |
|--|-----|---|
| 3       Percentage of standard retirement services         5       offered by FRS compared to comparable         6       programs  | 2   | correctly reported  |
| 5       offered by FRS compared to comparable         6       programs   | 3   |   |
| 6       programs   | 4   | Percentage of standard retirement services                        |
| 7       8       Percentage of participating agencies/members         9       satisfied with retirement services:         10       Agencies   | 5   | offered by FRS compared to comparable                             |
| 8       Percentage of participating agencies/members         9       satisfied with retirement services:         10       Agencies   | 6   | programs  |
| 9       satisfied with retirement services:         10       Agencies  | 7   |   |
| 10       Agencies  | 8   | Percentage of participating agencies/members                      |
| 11       Active members  | 9   | satisfied with retirement services:                               |
| 12       Recent retirees   | 10  | Agencies  |
| 13       Other retirees  | 11  | Active members  |
| 14         15       Administrative cost per active and retired         16       member\$19.69         17   | 12  | Recent retirees   |
| Administrative cost per active and retired         16       member\$19.69         17         18       Ratio of active and retired members to division         19       FTE   | 13  | Other retirees  |
| 16       member\$19.69         17         18       Ratio of active and retired members to division         19       FTE  | 14  |   |
| 17         18       Ratio of active and retired members to division         19       FTE   | 15  | Administrative cost per active and retired                        |
| 18Ratio of active and retired members to division19FTE   | 16  | member\$19.69   |
| 19       FTE   | 17  |   |
| 20         21       Funding ratio of FRS assets to liabilities93%         22         23       Percentage of local retirement systems annually         24       reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial         25       basis | 18  | Ratio of active and retired members to division                   |
| 21       Funding ratio of FRS assets to liabilities93%         22       23         23       Percentage of local retirement systems annually         24       reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial         25       basis   | 19  | FTE   |
| 22         23       Percentage of local retirement systems annually         24       reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial         25       basis   | 20  |   |
| 23Percentage of local retirement systems annually24reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial25basis   | 21  | Funding ratio of FRS assets to liabilities93%                     |
| 24       reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial         25       basis   | 22  |   |
| 25       basis   | 23  | Percentage of local retirement systems annually                   |
| 26<br>27 <u>OUTPUTS:</u><br>28<br>29 <u>Number of annuitants added to retired</u><br>30 <u>payroll13,200</u>   | 24  | reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial                    |
| 27       OUTPUTS:         28   | 25  | basis92.2%  |
| 28 29 <u>Number of annuitants added to retired</u> 30 <u>payroll13,200</u>   | 26  |   |
| 29Number of annuitants added to retired30payroll13,200   | 27  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 30 <u>payroll13,200</u>  | 28  |   |
|  | 29  | Number of annuitants added to retired                             |
| 31   | 30  | payroll   |
|  | 31  |   |
| 167  |     | 167   |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.  | COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| Number of retirement account audits81,500         Number of changes processed49,119         Number of benefit payments issued2,075,333         Number of local pension plan valuations and<br>impact statements reviewed   |     |  |
|--|-----|--|
| Image: second state of state of state state state of state state state state of state state state state state | 1   | Number of retirement account audits81,500                                |
| 4       Mumber of benefit payments issued2,075,333         6       Number of local pension plan valuations and impact statements reviewed  | 2   |  |
| 5       Number of benefit payments issued2,075,333         7       Number of local pension plan valuations and impact statements reviewed  | 3   | Number of changes processed49,119  |
| 6       Number of local pension plan valuations and impact statements reviewed   | 4   |  |
| Number of local pension plan valuations and<br>impact statements reviewed  | 5   | Number of benefit payments issued2,075,333                               |
| a       impact statements reviewed   | б   |  |
| 9       (15) DIVISION OF STATE GROUP INSURANCE         11       (a) State Group Insurance ProgramThe following         12       measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided         13       in Specific Appropriations 1897 through 1903:         14       Performance Measures         15       Performance Measures         16       OUTCOMES:         18       Customer feedback ranking for division (out of         19       Customer feedback ranking final action         10       possible 10 points)   | 7   | Number of local pension plan valuations and                              |
| 10       (15) DIVISION OF STATE GROUP INSURANCE         11       (a) State Group Insurance ProgramThe following         12       measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided         13       in Specific Appropriations 1897 through 1903:         14       Performance Measures       Standards         15       Performance Measures       Standards         16       OUTCOMES:       OUTCOMES:         17       OUTCOMES:       Percentage of claims reaching final action         18       Overall payment and procedural error rate5%         19       Overall payment and procedural error rate5%         10       Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000         10       Inspective original claims inventory30,000  | 8   | impact statements reviewed   |
| 11       (a) State Group Insurance ProgramThe following         12       measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided         13       in Specific Appropriations 1897 through 1903:         14       14         15       Performance Measures         16       0UTCOMES:         18       1         19       Customer feedback ranking for division (out of possible 10 points)  | 9   |  |
| measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided         in Specific Appropriations 1897 through 1903:         14         15       Performance Measures         16         17       OUTCOMES:         18         19       Customer feedback ranking for division (out of         10       possible 10 points)   | 10  | (15) DIVISION OF STATE GROUP INSURANCE                                   |
| in Specific Appropriations 1897 through 1903:         in Specific Appropriations 1903:         in Specific Appropriations 1  | 11  | (a) State Group Insurance ProgramThe following                           |
| 14         15       Performance Measures       Standards         16         17       OUTCOMES:         18         19       Customer feedback ranking for division (out of<br>possible 10 points)6.57         21         22       Percentage of claims reaching final action<br>within 30 days of receipt   | 12  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided            |
| 15Performance MeasuresStandards16OUTCOMES:18Image: Customer feedback ranking for division (out of possible 10 points)6.5720Percentage of claims reaching final action within 30 days of receipt98%24Image: Overall payment and procedural error rate5%25Overall payment and procedural error rate5%26Image: Telephone queue time (seconds)   | 13  | in Specific Appropriations 1897 through 1903:                            |
| 16         17       OUTCOMES:         18         19       Customer feedback ranking for division (out of possible 10 points)6.57         20       possible 10 points)6.57         21       Percentage of claims reaching final action within 30 days of receipt  | 14  |  |
| 17       OUTCOMES:         18  | 15  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 18         19       Customer feedback ranking for division (out of possible 10 points)6.57         20       possible 10 points)  | 16  |  |
| 19Customer feedback ranking for division (out of<br>possible 10 points)  | 17  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 20possible 10 points)6.572122Percentage of claims reaching final action23within 30 days of receipt98%2425Overall payment and procedural error rate5%2627Telephone queue time (seconds)452829Unprocessed original claims inventory30,0003031168   | 18  |  |
| 21         22       Percentage of claims reaching final action         23       within 30 days of receipt  | 19  | Customer feedback ranking for division (out of                           |
| 22       Percentage of claims reaching final action         23       within 30 days of receipt   | 20  | possible 10 points)6.57  |
| 23       within 30 days of receipt   | 21  |  |
| 24         25       Overall payment and procedural error rate5%         26         27       Telephone queue time (seconds)45         28         29       Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000         30         31         168   | 22  | Percentage of claims reaching final action                               |
| 25       Overall payment and procedural error rate5%         26       Telephone queue time (seconds)45         28       Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000         30       168   | 23  | within 30 days of receipt  |
| 26         27         28         29         Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000         30         31         168  | 24  |  |
| 27       Telephone queue time (seconds)45         28       Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000         30       168  | 25  | Overall payment and procedural error rate5%                              |
| 28<br>29 <u>Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000</u><br>30<br>31<br>168   | 26  |  |
| 29 <u>Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000</u><br>30<br>31<br>168   | 27  | Telephone queue time (seconds)45   |
| 30<br>31<br>168  | 28  |  |
| 31 168   | 29  | Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000                              |
| 168  | 30  |  |
| I  | 31  |  |
| I  |     | 168  |
| CODING: words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.  | COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

Average annual cost per contract to administer 1 insurance programs.....\$14.84 2 3 4 (16) DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE. --(a) Fire Marshall Program. -- The following measures and 5 6 standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific 7 Appropriations 1745 through 1752: 8 9 Performance Measures Standards 10 11 OUTCOMES: 12 13 Number/percentage of closed fire investigations 14 successfully concluded (a cause was determined 15 or suspect identified and/or arrested)..... 16 17 Number/percentage of favorable rulings by 18 19 hearing officers on challenges to examination 20 results and eligibility determinations...12/92% 21 22 Number/percentage of closed arson investigation 23 for which an arrest was made .....800/28% 24 25 Percent of inspected state owned and leased 26 properties which experience a fire .....0.005% 27 28 OUTPUTS: 29 30 Number of classes conducted by the 31 169 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1        | 1   |
|----------|---|
| 1        |   |
| 2        | Number of students trained and classroom  |
| 3        | contact hours provided by the   |
| 4        | Fire College6,026/204,277   |
| 5        |   |
| 6        | Number of curricula developed for Fire College                                    |
| 7        | and certified training center delivery5   |
| 8        |   |
| 9        | Number of examinations administered by the  |
| 10       | Florida State Fire College4,960   |
| 11       |   |
| 12       | Total number of closed fire   |
| 13       | investigations  |
| 14       |   |
| 15       | Number of fire investigations commenced   |
| 16       | Criminal investigations   |
| 17       | Other investigations  |
| 18       |   |
| 19       | Number of completed inspections of fire code                                      |
| 20       | compliance in state owned/leased buildings  |
| 21       | which were:   |
| 22       | Recurring inspections   |
| 23       | High hazard inspections6,523  |
| 24       | Construction inspections  |
| 25       |   |
| 26       | Percent of fire code inspections completed  |
| 27       | within statutory defined time-frame91%  |
| 28       |   |
| 29       | Number of construction plans reviewed to assure                                   |
| 30       | compliance with fire codes in state   |
| 31       | owned/leased buildings1,123   |
|          | 170   |
| <b>-</b> | 170   |
| COL      | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | Percent of fire code plans reviews completed                   |
| 3        | within statutory defined time-frame98%                         |
| 4        |  |
| 5        | Number of boilers inspected12,200                              |
| 6        |  |
| 7        | Number of complaint investigations                             |
| 8        | completed1,440   |
| 9        |  |
| 10       | Number of regulatory inspections completed412                  |
| 11       |  |
| 12       | Number of licensed applications reviewed for                   |
| 13       | qualification  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       | (b) State Property and Casualty Claims ProgramThe              |
| 16       | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds |
| 17       | provided in Specific Appropriations 1754 through 1757:         |
| 18       |  |
| 19       | Performance Measures Standards                                 |
| 20       |  |
| 21       | OUTCOMES:  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       | Number and percent of indemnity and medical                    |
| 24       | payments made in a timely manner in compliance                 |
| 25       | with DLES Security Rule 38F-24.021,                            |
| 26       | F.A.C  |
| 20<br>27 | F.A.C  |
| 28       | State Employees' Workers Compensation Benefit                  |
| 20<br>29 |  |
| _        | Cost Rate (indemnity and medical costs per \$100               |
| 30<br>21 | of state employees' payroll) as compared to                    |
| 31       | <u>prior years\$1.16</u>                                       |
|          | 171  |
|          | 171  |

**CODING:**Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number and percent of agencies who indicated                                      |
| 3   | the risk services training they received was                                      |
| 4   | useful in developing and implementing risk  |
| 5   | management plans in their agencies26/90%  |
| б   |   |
| 7   | Number and percent of liability claims closed                                     |
| 8   | in relation to claims worked during the fiscal                                    |
| 9   | year4,226/51%   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number and percent of lawsuits, generated from                                    |
| 12  | a liability claim, evaluated with SEFES codes                                     |
| 13  | entered within prescribed timeframes902/92%                                       |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Number and percent of property claims closed                                      |
| 16  | within prescribed time periods from the date                                      |
| 17  | complete documentation is received70/93%  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of workers' compensation claims  |
| 22  | worked  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Number of workers compensation claims   |
| 25  | litigated   |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Number of workers' compensation claims referred                                   |
| 28  | to the Special Investigative Unit (SIU) or the                                    |
| 29  | Department's Bureau of Workers' Compensation                                      |
| 30  | Fraud96   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 172   |
| 001 | 1   |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of risk services training units provided                                  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | to state agency personnel  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of risk services surveys, followups, and                                  |
| 5   | visits made  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Number of risk services consultative contacts                                    |
| 8   | made195  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Number of liability claims worked8,287   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of training units and assists provided                                    |
| 13  | by the property program  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Number of state property loss/damage claims                                      |
| 16  | worked   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | (17) DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE   |
| 19  | (a) General Tax Administration ProgramThe following                              |
| 20  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                    |
| 21  | in Specific Appropriations 2013 through 2023:                                    |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Average days from receipt of payment to  |
| 28  | deposit-sales, corporation, intangibles,   |
| 29  | <u>fuel0.68</u>  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 173  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of days between initial distribution of  |  |
|---|---|--|
| 2   | funds and final adjustments-sales, fuel70       |  |
| 3   | rands and rindr adjustmentes sares, ruer        |  |
| 4   | Percent of sales tax returns filed              |  |
| 5   | substantially error free and on time80%         |  |
| 6   |   |  |
| 7   | Percent of sales tax returns filed              |  |
| 8   | substantially error free and on time by first   |  |
| 9   | time filers                                     |  |
| 10  |   |  |
| 11  | Return on investment (total collections per     |  |
| 12  | dollar spent)\$146.72                           |  |
| 13  |   |  |
| 14  | Dollars collected as a percentage of actual     |  |
| 15  | liability of notices sent for apparent sales    |  |
| 16  | tax return filing errors or late returns61%     |  |
| 17  |   |  |
| 18  | Percentage of tax returns that did not result   |  |
| 19  | in a notice of apparent filing error or late    |  |
| 20  | <u>return</u>                                   |  |
| 21  |   |  |
| 22  | Average time (days) between the processing of a |  |
| 23  | sales tax return and the first notification to  |  |
| 24  | the taxpayer of an apparent filing error or     |  |
| 25  | late return40                                   |  |
| 26  |   |  |
| 27  | Percentage of delinquent sales tax return and   |  |
| 28  | filing error or late return notices issued      |  |
| 29  | accurately to taxpayer                          |  |
| 30  |   |  |
| 31  |   |  |
|   | 174   |  |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |   |  |

| 1   | Percentage of delinquent tax return and filing |  |
|---|--|--|
| 2   | error or late return notices sent to taxpayers |  |
| 3   | that had to be revised (department or taxpayer |  |
| 4   | error)   |  |
| 5   |  |  |
| 6   | Percentage of final audit assessment amounts   |  |
| 7   | collected-tax only                             |  |
| 8   |  |  |
| 9   | Final audit assessment amounts as a percentage |  |
| 10  | of initial assessment amounts-tax only72%      |  |
| 11  |  |  |
| 12  | Dollars collected voluntarily as a percentage  |  |
| 13  | of total dollars collected                     |  |
| 14  |  |  |
| 15  | Average number of days to resolve a dispute of |  |
| 16  | an audit assessment                            |  |
| 17  |  |  |
| 18  | Direct collections per enforcement related     |  |
| 19  | dollar spent\$4.89                             |  |
| 20  |  |  |
| 21  | OUTPUTS:                                       |  |
| 22  |  |  |
| 23  | Number of delinquent tax return notices issued |  |
| 24  | to taxpayers                                   |  |
| 25  |  |  |
| 26  | Number of notices sent to taxpayers for        |  |
| 27  | apparent tax return filing errors or late      |  |
| 28  | return   |  |
| 29  |  |  |
| 30  |  |  |
| 31  |  |  |
|   | 175  |  |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |  |

| 1   | (b) Property Tax Administration ProgramThe                     |
|---|--|
| 2   | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds |
| 3   | provided in Specific Appropriations 2000 through 2003:         |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Performance Measures Standards                                 |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Percent of classes studied found to have a                     |
| 10  | level of 90 percent or greater                                 |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Tax roll uniformity (average for coefficient of                |
| 13  | dispersion)11.5%   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percent of taxing authorities in total or                      |
| 16  | substantial truth in millage compliance on                     |
| 17  | initial submission   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percentage of refund and tax certificate                       |
| 20  | applications processed within 30 days of                       |
| 21  | <u>receipt85%</u>  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Refund request per 100,000 parcels32                           |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of subclasses of property studied with                  |
| 28  | feedback to property appraisers5,050                           |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of tax roll review notices issued5                      |
| 31  |  |
|   | 176  |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

Total number of tax roll defects found.....5 Number of truth in millage compliance letters Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent to taxing authorities with minor infractions......118 Number of property tax refund requests processed.....2,940 Number of tax certificates cancellations and corrections processed......1,920 Number of taxpayers audited on behalf of county property appraisers (TPP).....236 Student training hours provided to property (c) Child Support Enforcement Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2004 through 2012: Performance Measures Standards OUTCOMES: Percentage of children with a court order for CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   |  |
| 2   | Percentage of children with paternity  |
| 3   | established81%   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Total child support dollars collected per \$1 of                                 |
| 6   | expenditures\$2.94   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Percentage of child support collected that was                                   |
| 9   | due during the fiscal year   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percentage of cases with child support due in a                                  |
| 12  | month that received a payment during the month                                   |
| 13  | <u></u>  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of children with a newly established                                      |
| 18  | <u>court order</u>   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | (18) GAME AND FRESH WATER FISH COMMISSION  |
| 21  | (a) Law Enforcement ProgramThe following measures                                |
| 22  | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                          |
| 23  | Specific Appropriations 1361 through 1375:                                       |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | UNIFORM PATROL   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Number of violations   |
|     | 178  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1       |   |
|---------|---|
| 2       | Number of land, water, and air hours spent in                                   |
| 3       | preventative patrol (total):  |
| 4       | Land  |
| 5       | Water   |
| 6       | Air8,244  |
| 7       |   |
| 8       | INVESTIGATIONS  |
| 9       |   |
| 10      | OUTPUTS:  |
| 11      |   |
| 12      | Number of violations encountered14,050  |
| 13      |   |
| 14      | Total violations  |
| 15      |   |
| 16      | Number of hours devoted to investigating  |
| 17      | poaching and related illegal activities.297,167                                 |
| 18      |   |
| 19      | Number of investigations opened   |
| 20      |   |
| 21      | Number of investigations closed725  |
| 22      |   |
| 23      | INSPECTIONS   |
| 24      |   |
| 25      | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 26      |   |
| 27      | Number of violations534   |
| 28      |   |
| 29      | Number of inspections of licensed and permitted                                 |
| 30      | <pre>captive wildlife facilities4,446</pre>                                     |
| 31      |   |
|         | 179   |
| CODING: | Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | AVIATION  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| 2   |   |  |  |  |
| 3   | OUTPUTS:  |  |  |  |
| 4   |   |  |  |  |
| 5   | Number of air contacts resulting in detection                                       |  |  |  |
| 6   | and apprehension445   |  |  |  |
| 7   |   |  |  |  |
| 8   | Number of hours of biological flight time   |  |  |  |
| 9   | requested/provided1,666/1,220   |  |  |  |
| 10  |   |  |  |  |
| 11  | BOATING SAFETY  |  |  |  |
| 12  |   |  |  |  |
| 13  | OUTPUTS:  |  |  |  |
| 14  |   |  |  |  |
| 15  | Number of vessel safety inspections154,408  |  |  |  |
| 16  |   |  |  |  |
| 17  | Number of hours devoted to vessel safety  |  |  |  |
| 18  | inspections in specified water bodies compared                                      |  |  |  |
| 19  | with the number of boating accidents in those                                       |  |  |  |
| 20  | same water bodies:  |  |  |  |
| 21  | Number of hours devoted to vessel   |  |  |  |
| 22  | safety inspections on the St. Johns   |  |  |  |
| 23  | River9,318  |  |  |  |
| 24  | Number of boating accidents on the St.  |  |  |  |
| 25  | Johns River   |  |  |  |
| 26  | Number of hours devoted to vessel safety  |  |  |  |
| 27  | inspections on Lake Okeechobee5,861   |  |  |  |
| 28  | Number of boating accidents on Lake   |  |  |  |
| 29  | Okeechobee15  |  |  |  |
| 30  |   |  |  |  |
| 31  |   |  |  |  |
|     | 180   |  |  |  |
| COD |   |  |  |  |
| COD | <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |  |  |
| 1   | Percentage of boating accidents by individuals                              |
|-----|---|
| 2   | who have received boating safety  |
| 3   | training/individuals who have not received                                  |
| 4   | training  |
| 5   |   |
| б   | Number of accidents, fatalities, and injuries                               |
| 7   | investigated:   |
| 8   | Accidents   |
| 9   | Fatalities  |
| 10  | Injuries136   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | HUNTER EDUCATION  |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Percent of total students meeting minimum                                   |
| 17  | standards for graduation  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of hunter education classes offered350                               |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of hunting accidents   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Number of people involved in hunting accidents                              |
| 24  | who had attended/graduated from hunting                                     |
| 25  | courses7  |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | (b) Fisheries Management ProgramThe following                               |
| 28  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided               |
| 29  | in Specific Appropriations 1395 through 1401A:                              |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | Performance Measures Standards  |
|     | 181   |
| COD | ING.Words <del>strictor</del> are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Percent change in licensed resident   |
| 7   | anglers0.5%   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Percent change in licensed nonresident  |
| 10  | anglers0.0%   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percent angler satisfaction   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Percent change in licensed freshwater   |
| 15  | commercial fishermen0.0%  |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of water bodies and acres managed to                                       |
| 20  | improve fishing (includes water bodies and  |
| 21  | acres in fish management areas, urban areas,                                      |
| 22  | and other lakes or rivers)126/750,991   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Number of access points established or  |
| 25  | maintained42  |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Number of participants in achievement   |
| 28  | programs600   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Number of licensed resident anglers473,274  |
| 31  |   |
|     | 182   |
| COL | <br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| 300 |   |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   | Number of licensed nonresident anglers136,680                                |
| 2   |  |
| 3   | Number of fish stocked2,385,000  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of outreach participants in clinics and                               |
| 6   | derbies10,000  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of private and volunteer-staffed                                      |
| 9   | events   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of information and technical assistance                               |
| 12  | requests provided to sports fishermen9,468                                   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of licensed freshwater commercial                                     |
| 15  | fishermen  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of permits reviewed and issued (includes                              |
| 18  | commercial fishing gear, grass carp)985                                      |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of information and technical assistance                               |
| 21  | requests received and provided (commercial                                   |
| 22  | fishermen)25   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND   |
| 25  | RESTORATION  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of water bodies and acres where habitat                               |
| 30  | rehabilitation projects have been  |
| 31  | completed  |
|     | 183  |
|     | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | indemotion perfections words <u>undertined</u> are additions.                |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of water bodies and acres with approved                            |
| 5   | habitat rehabilitation plans in   |
| б   | progress12/90,000   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of water bodies and acres surveyed for                             |
| 9   | habitat rehabilitation plans30/150,000                                    |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number of water bodies and acres with developed                           |
| 12  | habitat rehabilitation plans20/110,000                                    |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number of habitat rehabilitation technical                                |
| 15  | assistance requests received and provided                                 |
| 16  | (includes other agencies and local  |
| 17  | governments)4   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | (c) Wildlife Management ProgramThe following                              |
| 20  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided             |
| 21  | in Specific Appropriations 1379 through 1394:                             |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES                                       |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Percent change in the number of licensed                                  |
| 30  | resident hunters(2.3%)  |
| 31  |   |
|     | 184   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   | Percent change in the number of licensed   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | nonresident hunters4.6%  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Economic impact of wildlife-related outdoor  |
| 5   | recreation\$3,675,935,000  |
| б   |  |
| 7   | Percent of satisfied hunters   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Percent of satisfied wildlife viewers92%   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percent of the acreage under management control  |
| 12  | that is open to the public for wildlife-related  |
| 13  | outdoor recreation   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of publicly-owned acres managed for   |
| 18  | wildlife-related outdoor recreation3,700,000   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of privately-owned acres managed for  |
| 21  | wildlife-related outdoor recreation830,780   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of licensed resident hunters164,626   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of licensed nonresident hunters4,760  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of participants enrolled in wildlife  |
| 28  | achievement programs   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of wildlife viewers   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 185  |
| 001 | <b>I</b> OD<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COL | AING: WOLUS SUITCHEN ALE GELECIONS, WOLUS <u>UNGERIINED</u> are additions.                   |

| 1   | WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT  |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | The mean biological vulnerability score of 63                          |
| 6   | game species16.44  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | The mean biological vulnerability score of 389                         |
| 9   | nongame species13.21   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | The mean biological vulnerability score of 80                          |
| 12  | wildlife species listed as endangered,                                 |
| 13  | threatened, or as a species of special                                 |
| 14  | concern  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of acres managed for wildlife4,530,780                          |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of habitat management plans requested by                        |
| 21  | and prepared for private landowners121/121                             |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of requests for wildlife habitat                                |
| 24  | technical assistance received from and provided                        |
| 25  | to other agency or local governments299/299                            |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of survey and monitoring projects for                           |
| 28  | game species16   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of survey and monitoring projects for                           |
| 31  | nongame wildlife species11   |
|     | 186  |
| COL | NING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.     |
|     | monorab berieken ale derectons, words <u>undertined</u> ale additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of survey and monitoring projects for  |
| 3   | wildlife species listed as endangered,  |
| 4   | threatened or species of special concern4   |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number of wildlife species for which sufficient   |
| 7   | data have been obtained to refine the   |
| 8   | biological vulnerability score  |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Wholesale price value of the commercial adult   |
| 15  | alligators, hatchlings, and eggs\$5,228,826   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Percent change in the number of alligator   |
| 18  | licenses sold0.0%   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Percent change in the number of alligator tags  |
| 21  | sold (adult, hatchlings, and eggs)0.0%  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Number of properties enrolled in the  |
| 26  | private-lands alligator management program124   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Number of alligators available for harvest  |
| 29  | under the public-waters harvest programs3,370   |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 187   |
| רטי | <b>J</b><br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| 200 | and series series are derections, words <u>underrined</u> are addressing.                 |

1 Number of alligator nests (eggs) available to alligator ranches.....1,118 2 3 4 Number of alligator hatchlings available to 5 alligator ranches.....10,200 6 7 (19) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY .--8 (a) Disability Determination Program. -- The following 9 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1847 through 1849: 10 11 Performance Measures 12 Standards 13 14 OUTCOMES: 15 16 Average number of days required to complete 17 initial disability determinations: 18 19 Under Title XVI.....80 20 21 Average number of days required to complete 22 initial Medically Needy decisions......70 23 Percentage of Title II and XVI disability 24 25 decisions completed accurately as measured by the Social Security Administration......92% 26 27 28 Percentage of Medically Needy decisions 29 completed accurately, as measured by the 30 internal ODD Quality Assurance section.....94% 31 188 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Cost per case (Titles II and XVI).....\$281 Cost per case (Medically Needy).....\$181 OUTPUTS: Number of Title II and XVI Total Case Title II/XVI production per FTE.....275 Number of Medically Needy Total Case Medically Needy production per FTE.....334 (b) Rehabilitation Program.--The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1830 through 1846: Performance Measures Standards VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTCOMES: Rate and number of customers gainfully employed (rehabilitated) at least 90 days:....62%/9,500 Of VR severely disabled......63%/3,800 Of VR most severely disabled.....56%/4,275 Of Brain and Spinal Cord Injury customers CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1  | referred to VR                                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | Of all other VR disabled75%/1,437               |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | Rate and number of VR customers placed in       |
| 5  | competitive employment                          |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | Rate and number of VR customers retained in     |
| 8  | employment after one year61.5%/5,200            |
| 9  |   |
| 10 | Average annual earnings of VR customers at      |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 | Average annual earnings of VR customers after   |
| 14 | one year\$14,384                                |
| 15 |   |
| 16 | Rate and number of Brain and Spinal Cord Injury |
| 17 | customers returned (reintegrated) to their      |
| 18 | communities at an appropriate level of          |
| 19 | functioning for their injuries                  |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | Percentage of case costs covered by third-party |
| 22 |   |
| 23 | * *   |
| 24 | Average cost of case life (to Division):        |
| 25 | For severely disabled VR customers\$3,311       |
| 26 | For most severely disabled VR                   |
| 27 | customers\$3,611                                |
| 28 | For all other disabled VR customers\$450        |
| 29 | For brain-injured Brain and Spinal Cord         |
| 30 | Injury customers\$3,500                         |
| 31 | For spinal-cord-injured Brain and Spinal        |
| Σ⊥ | FOI SPINAI-COLU-INJULEU BLAIN AND SPINAL        |
|    | 190   |
| a  | ·   |

| 1   | Cord Injury customers\$9,500                  |
|---|---|
| 2   |   |
| 3   | OUTPUTS:                                      |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of customers reviewed for              |
| 6   | eligibility                                   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of individualized written plans for    |
| 9   | services                                      |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number of customers served72,000              |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Eligibility Determination for VR Customers    |
| 14  | within 60 days of application                 |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Customer caseload per counseling/case         |
| 17  | management team member165                     |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | BLIND SERVICES                                |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | OUTCOMES:                                     |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Rate and number of rehabilitation customers   |
| 24  | gainfully employed at least 90 days68.3%/847  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Rate and number of rehabilitation customers   |
| 27  | placed in competitive employment64.3%/654     |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Projected average annual earnings of          |
| 30  | rehabilitation customers at placement\$13,500 |
| 31  |   |
|   | 191   |
| <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |   |

| 1   | Rate and number of successfully rehabilitated  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | older persons, nonvocational   |
| 3   | rehabilitation55.2%/1,355  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Rate and number of customers (children)  |
| б   | successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from   |
| 7   | preschool to school67.3%/62  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Rate and number of customers (children)  |
| 10  | successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from   |
| 11  | school to work   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percentage of eligible library customers   |
| 14  | served19.8%  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Percentage of library customers satisfied with                                       |
| 17  | the timeliness of services   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percentage of library customers satisfied with                                       |
| 20  | the selection of reading materials   |
| 21  | available  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Percentage of food service facilities meeting  |
| 24  | assigned profit levels   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Average net income for food service  |
| 27  | facility\$35,200   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Number of written plans for services1,425  |
|     | 192  |
| COL | DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| ⊥<br>2   | Number of books available per library   |
| 3        | customer  |
| 4        |   |
| 5        | Number of books loaned per library  |
| 6        | customer  |
| 7        |   |
| 8        | Number of periodicals loaned per library                                      |
| 9        | customer  |
| 10       |   |
| 11       | Net increase in registered customers for                                      |
| 12       | library services  |
| 13       |   |
| 14       | Cost per library customer\$19.65  |
| 15       |   |
| 16       | Total number of food service managers162                                      |
| 17       |   |
| 18       | Number of existing food services facilities                                   |
| 19       | <u>renovated10</u>  |
| 20       |   |
| 21       | Number of new food service facilities   |
| 22       | constructed5  |
| 23       |   |
| 24       | Number of Customers Reviewed for  |
| 25       | Eligibility2,035  |
| 26       |   |
| 27       | Number of Customers Served14,500  |
| 28       |   |
| 29<br>20 | Average Time Lapse (Days) Between Application                                 |
| 30<br>21 | and Eligibility Determination for   |
| 31       | Rehabilitation69  |
|          | 193   |
| COL      | DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Customer Caseload Per Counseling/Case  |
| 3   | Management Team Member114  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | (c) Safety/Workers' Compensation ProgramThe                                      |
| 6   | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                   |
| 7   | provided in Specific Appropriations 1799 through 1807:                           |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | WORKERS' COMPENSATION  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percentage of injured workers returning to work                                  |
| 16  | at 80 percent or more of previous average  |
| 17  | (Bureau of Research and Education) quarterly                                     |
| 18  | wage for at least 1 quarter of the year  |
| 19  | following injury for accident 2 years  |
| 20  | prior63.5%   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Percentage of initial payments made on time by                                   |
| 23  | insurance carriers   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of workers newly protected by workers'                                    |
| 26  | compensation coverage per fiscal year as a                                       |
| 27  | result of compliance efforts14,105   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of investigated issues resolved by  |
| 30  | Employee Assistance Office25,000   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 194  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| - I       |   |
|-----------|---|
| 1         | Percent of investigated issues resolved by  |
| 2         | Employee Assistance Office10%   |
| 3         |   |
| 4         | Average closure time for disputed issues  |
| 5         | through efforts of Employee Assistance Office   |
| 6         | <u>(in days)30</u>  |
| 7         |   |
| 8         | Percent of noncomplying carriers in compliance  |
| 9         | <u>upon re-audit</u>  |
| 10        |   |
| 11        | Percent of cases closed during fiscal year in   |
| 12        | which a worker returns to work  |
| 13        |   |
| 14        | Number of employers brought into compliance   |
| 15        | through investigations2,995   |
| 16        |   |
| 17        | Estimated amount of insurance premium dollars   |
| 18        | newly generated due to compliance\$12,562,847   |
| 19        |   |
| 20        | Average total cost per 4-year-old case\$17,597  |
| 21        |   |
| 22        | Percentage of lost time cases with no petition  |
| 23        | for benefits filed 18 months after the date of  |
| 24        | accident  |
| 25        |   |
| 26        | OUTPUTS:  |
| 27        |   |
| 28        | Number of employer coverage documents   |
| 29        | processed, including exemptions from coverage   |
| 30        | filed by construction employers621,694  |
| 31        |   |
|           | 195   |
| L<br>CODT | I <b>NG:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| 2001      |   |

| I   |   |
|-----|---|
| 1   | Number of days between the filing of the  |
| 2   | petition for benefits within the division and   |
| 3   | the referral of the petition to the judges of   |
| 4   | compensation claimsReport by 1/5/2000   |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number of stop-work orders served to employers  |
| 7   | who have failed to comply with  |
| 8   | requirements1,368   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Number of employer investigations conducted for   |
| 11  | compliance with workers' compensation   |
| 12  | law22,758   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Number of applicants screened for reemployment  |
| 15  | services1,921   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Number of program applicants provided   |
| 18  | reemployment services1,750  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Number of carriers audited annually   |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | SAFETY  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Occupational injury and illness total case  |
| 27  | incidence rate (per 100 workers)8.1   |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Percent reduction in total case incidence rate  |
| 30  | for employers served  |
| 31  |   |
|     | 196   |
|     | J <sup>190</sup><br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | ing. words stricken are deretions, words <u>underrined</u> are additions.                         |

Percent reduction in lost workday case Percent reduction in disabling compensable Percent reduction in lost workday case incidence rate for Standard Industrial Code groups with high incidence rate......5% Percent of employers surveyed who view services OUTPUTS: Number of private sector employers provided consultation services......549 Number of public sector employers provided Number of services provided to employers (consultations and other technical (d) Employment Security Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1808 through 1826: Performance Measures Standards CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION  |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Percent of New UC Employee Liability   |
| б   | Determination Made Timely84.2%   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Percent of Current Quarter UC Taxes Paid   |
| 9   | Timely85.8%  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percent of UC benefits paid timely90%  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percent of UC benefits paid accurately95%  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percent of UC appeal cases completed   |
| 16  | timely   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of UC Benefits Weeks Paid3,266,221  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number of UC Employer Tax/Wage Report  |
| 23  | Processed1,531,803   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of New UC Employer Liability  |
| 26  | Determinations Made  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Number of UC claimant eligibility  |
| 29  | determinations issued184,324   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Amount of UC benefits paid\$741,304,302  |
|     | 198  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |
|---|
| 2 Number of appeal cases completed52,197                            |
| 3   |
| 4 Amount of UC taxes collected\$523,054,615                         |
| 5   |
| 6 JOBS AND BENEFITS   |
| 7   |
| 8 <u>OUTCOMES:</u>  |
| 9   |
| 10 Percent of job openings filled50.2%                              |
| 11  |
| 12 Percent of individuals referred to jobs who are                  |
| 13 <u>placed27%</u>   |
| 14  |
| 15 Percent of food stamp clients employed11.8%                      |
| 16  |
| 17 Percent increase in high skill/high wage                         |
| 18 apprenticeship programs registered5%                             |
| 19  |
| 20 <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 21  |
| 22 Number of individuals referred to job openings                   |
| 23 listed with J&B540,000   |
| 24  |
| 25 Number of individuals placed by J&B137,700                       |
| 26  |
| 27 Number of individuals obtaining employment                       |
| 28 after receiving specific J&B services35,700                      |
| 29  |
| 30 Cost per placement by J&B\$231                                   |
| 31  |
| 199   |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

Cost per individual placed or obtained employment.....\$176 Number of recipients employed: Food stamps.....14,800 Cost per food stamp placement.....\$302 Number of Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high skill/high wage requirements...150 Number of apprentices successfully completing terms of training as set by registered industry standards.....2,900 WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT OUTCOMES: Workforce Investment Act adult & dislocated Workforce Investment Act youth positive outcome OUTPUTS: Number of Workforce Investment Act Adult Program completers.....8,568 Number of Workforce Investment Act Youth CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of Workforce Investment Act Dislocated                                 |
| 3   | Worker Program completers6,365  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Workforce Investment Act cost per participant                                 |
| б   | served\$2,323   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of Workforce Investment Act completers &                               |
| 9   | average cost per Workforce Investment Act                                     |
| 10  | participant   |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | WAGES   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Percentage of WAGES coalitions clients  |
| 17  | employed41%   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Number of WAGES Coalitions clients  |
| 22  | employed  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Cost per WAGES client employed\$1,800   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | (e) Public Employees Relations CommissionThe                                  |
| 27  | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                |
| 28  | provided in Specific Appropriations 1791 through 1794:                        |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 201   |
| COD | DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |   |

Percentage of timely labor dispositions...95.2% Percentage of timely employment dispositions......94.9% Percentage of dispositions not appealed.....96% Percentage of appealed dispositions OUTPUTS: Number of labor dispositions......738 Number of employment dispositions.....744 (f) Workers' Compensation Hearings Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1795 through 1798: OUTCOMES: Percentage of concluded mediations resulting in Percentage of appealed, decided orders OUTPUTS: Number of petitions received by presiding CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | judge  |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | Number of mediations held  |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of final hearings held  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Number of other hearings held  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of final merit orders entered2,850  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of orders other than final merit  |
| 12  | entered:   |
| 13  | Total  |
| 14  | Number of lump sum settlements29,190   |
| 15  | Number of other orders109,810  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | (g) Unemployment Appeals CommissionThe following                                 |
| 18  | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                    |
| 19  | in Specific Appropriations 1850 through 1852:                                    |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals                                  |
| 24  | disposed within 45 days50%   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals                                  |
| 27  | disposed within 90 days95%   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Percentage of cases appealed to DCA7%  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 203  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 Average unit cost of cases appealed to 2 Unemployment Appeals Commission.....\$186 3 4 Average unit cost of cases appealed to 5 DCA.....\$685 6 7 OUTPUTS: 8 9 Number of unemployment compensation appeals disposed of.....10,500 10 11 12 (h) Information Management Center.--The following 13 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided 14 in Specific Appropriations 1827 through 1829: 15 16 OUTCOMES: 17 18 Percentage of data processing requests 19 completed by due date.....95% 20 21 System design and programming hourly <u>cost.....</u>\$52.00 22 23 Percentage of scheduled production jobs 24 25 26 27 Percentage of scheduled hours available data 28 center operations......99.79% 29 30 Cost per MIP (millions of instructions per 31 second).....\$19,000.00 204 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  |   |
| 2  | Percentage of Help Desk calls resolved within 3               |
| 3  | working days89.48%  |
| 4  |   |
| 5  | Cost per Help Desk call\$8.00                                 |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | Percentage of scheduled hours available                       |
| 8  | network   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 | Cost for support per network device\$195.00                   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | OUTPUTS:  |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | Number of data processing requests completed by               |
| 15 | due date  |
| 16 |   |
| 17 | Number of scheduled production jobs completed                 |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 | Number of hours available data center                         |
| 21 | operations  |
| 22 |   |
| 23 | Number of Help Desk calls resolved within 3                   |
| 24 | working days18,175  |
| 25 |   |
| 26 | Number of hours available network2,855                        |
| 27 |   |
| 28 | (20) DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS                           |
| 29 | (a) Readiness and Response ProgramThe following               |
| 30 | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided |
| 31 | in Specific Appropriations 1975 through 1979A:                |
|    | 205   |
|    |   |

| . 1 |  |
|-----|--|
| 1   |  |
| 2   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | READINESS  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Percentage of Area Commands assigned Military                                    |
| 9   | Support Missions that are prepared to execute                                    |
| 10  | those missions   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Percentage of units with a Green readiness                                       |
| 13  | rating   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number/percentage of armories rated  |
| 18  | adequate   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Percentage of satisfaction with training   |
| 21  | facilities at Camp Blanding  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of annual training days at Camp   |
| 24  | Blanding120,000  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Percentage of available training days at Camp                                    |
| 27  | Blanding15.7%  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Percentage of assigned soldiers to authorized                                    |
| 30  | staffing levels  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 200  |
|     | 206  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of new recruits using State Education  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Assistance Program  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of crisis response exercises conducted   |
| 5   | annually  |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | RESPONSE  |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 10  | <u></u>   |
| 11  | Percentage of supported agencies reporting  |
| 12  | satisfaction with the department's support for  |
| 13  | specific missions   |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Percentage of State Active Duty (SAD) purchase  |
| 18  | orders processed in 24 hours  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Percentage of SAD vouchers purchased and paid   |
| 21  | in 40 days98%   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Percentage of SAD payrolls paid on time98%  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Percentage of Area Command Plans rated  |
| 26  | satisfactory as a result of operations100%  |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Percentage of missions accomplished on or   |
| 29  | before time   |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | (21) DEPARTMENT OF STATE  |
|     | 207   |
| COL | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | (a) Libraries, Archives and Information Services                                 |
|-----|--|
| 2   | ProgramThe following measures and standards shall be                             |
| 3   | applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2060                    |
| 4   | through 2067:  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Annual increase in the use of local public                                       |
| 11  | library service2%  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Annual increase in accessibility by library                                      |
| 14  | patrons to materials not owned by their local                                    |
| 15  | public library4%   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Annual increase in usage of research   |
| 18  | collections6%  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Annual cost avoidance achieved by government                                     |
| 21  | agencies through records   |
| 22  | <pre>storage/disposition/micrographics\$58,000,000</pre>                         |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of items loaned by public   |
| 27  | libraries69,961,992  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of library customer visits49,513,960                                      |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Number of public library reference   |
|     | 208  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | requests   |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | Number of public library registered  |
| 4   | borrowers  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Number of persons attending public library   |
| 7   | programs   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of volumes in public library  |
| 10  | collections  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of records added to the statewide   |
| 13  | library holdings database annually1,826,191  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Number of new users (State Library, State  |
| 16  | Archives)  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of reference requests handled (State  |
| 19  | Library, State Archives)117,847  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of items used onsite (State   |
| 22  | Library)   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Number of database searches conducted (State                                       |
| 25  | Library, State Archives)   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of items loaned (State Library)81,286                                       |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Cubic feet of obsolete public records approved                                     |
| 30  | for disposal   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 209  |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

1 Cubic feet of noncurrent records stored at the 2 3 4 Number of microfilm images created, processed and/or duplicated at the Records 5 6 <u>Center.....160,0</u>00,000 7 8 (b) Commercial Recording and Registration 9 Program.--The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2057 10 11 through 2058B: 12 13 Performance Measures Standards 14 15 OUTCOMES: 16 17 Percentage public reporting satisfaction with 18 19 20 Percentage business reporting satisfaction with 21 2.2 23 Percentage law enforcement reporting 24 satisfaction with the division's services...91% 25 26 OUTPUTS: 27 Average Cost/Corporate Filing.....\$5.38 28 29 30 Average Cost/Uniform Commercial Code 31 Filings.....\$1.81 210 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1           |  |
|-------------|--|
| 2           | Average Cost/Inquiry\$0.075  |
| 3           |  |
| 4           | Proportion of total inquires handled by  |
| 5           | telephone25%   |
| 6           |  |
| 7           | Proportion of total inquiries handled by   |
| 8           | mail/walk-ins10%   |
| 9           |  |
| 10          | Proportion of total inquiries handled by   |
| 11          | electronic means65%  |
| 12          |  |
| 13          | (c) Licensing ProgramThe following measures and                                  |
| 14          | standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific                     |
| 15          | Appropriations 2084 through 2087:  |
| 16          |  |
| 17          | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 18          |  |
| 19          | OUTCOMES:  |
| 20          |  |
| 21          | Percent Security, Investigative and Recovery                                     |
| 22          | licenses issued within 90 days of receipt of an                                  |
| 23          | application83%   |
| 24          |  |
| 25          | Percent/number Concealed Weapon/Firearm  |
| 26          | licenses issued within 90 day statutory  |
| 27          | timeframe without fingerprint results19%/  |
| 28          | <u>8,509</u>   |
| 29          |  |
| 30          | Number of default Concealed Weapons/Firearms                                     |
| 31          | licensees with prior criminal histories2,387                                     |
|             | 211  |
| <b>C</b> 07 | I I  |
| COD         | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1       |  |
|---------|--|
| 1<br>2  | Percent of license revocations or suspensions                                  |
| 3       | initiated within 20 days of receipt of   |
| 4       | disqualifying information (all license   |
| +<br>5  | types)   |
| 6       |  |
| 7       | Percent Security, Investigative and Recovery                                   |
| 8       | investigations completed within 60 days94%                                     |
| 9       | investigations completed within ou days94%                                     |
| 10      | Percent Security, Investigative and Recovery                                   |
| 10      | inspections completed within 30 days   |
| 12      | Inspections compreted within 30 days00%  |
| 13      | Percent of Concealed Weapons/Firearm violators                                 |
| 14      | to licensed population0.06%  |
| 15      |  |
| 16      | Percent of Security, Investigative and Recovery                                |
| 17      | violators to the licensed population1.25%                                      |
| 18      |  |
| 19      | OUTPUTS:   |
| 20      |  |
| 21      | Average cost/Concealed Weapon/Firearm  |
| 22      | application processed\$30  |
| 23      | <u> </u>   |
| 24      | Average cost/Security, Investigative and                                       |
| 25      | Recovery application processed\$35   |
| 26      | <u>_</u>   |
| 27      | Average cost/Security, Investigative and                                       |
| 28      | Recovery investigation\$1,596  |
| 29      | <u>_</u>   |
| 30      | Average cost/Security, Investigative and                                       |
| 31      | Recovery compliance inspection\$325  |
|         |  |
|         | 212  |
| CODINCI | Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions: words underlined are add <sup>.</sup> |

| i   |   |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 1   |   |  |  |
| 2   | Average cost/Administrative Action (revocation,                                     |  |  |
| 3   | fine, probation & compliance letters)\$500  |  |  |
| 4   |   |  |  |
| 5   | Number investigations performed (Security,  |  |  |
| 6   | Investigative and Recovery complaint and agency                                     |  |  |
| 7   | generated inspections)1,475   |  |  |
| 8   |   |  |  |
| 9   | Number compliance inspections performed   |  |  |
| 10  | (Security, Investigative and Recovery   |  |  |
| 11  | licensees/new agency inspections and random   |  |  |
| 12  | inspections)  |  |  |
| 13  |   |  |  |
| 14  | POLICY ANALYSIS:  |  |  |
| 15  |   |  |  |
| 16  | Percent of fingerprint cards processed by FBI                                       |  |  |
| 17  | and FDLE in excess of 90 days (all  |  |  |
| 18  | licenses)12%  |  |  |
| 19  |   |  |  |
| 20  | (d) Historical, Archaeological and Folklife   |  |  |
| 21  | Appreciation ProgramThe following measures and standards                            |  |  |
| 22  | shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific                                  |  |  |
| 23  | Appropriations 2051 through 2056A:  |  |  |
| 24  |   |  |  |
| 25  | Performance Measures Standards  |  |  |
| 26  |   |  |  |
| 27  | OUTCOMES:   |  |  |
| 28  |   |  |  |
| 29  | Number/percentage increase of general public  |  |  |
| 30  | utilizing historic information200,000/21%   |  |  |
| 31  |   |  |  |
|     | 213   |  |  |
| COD | <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |  |

| 1   | Number of historic and archaeological objects   |  |
|---|---|--|
| 1<br>2  | maintained for public use and scientific  |  |
| 3   | research  |  |
| 4   | <u>research</u>   |  |
| +<br>5  | Increase in number/percentage of historic and   |  |
| 6   | archaeological properties:  |  |
| 7   | Recorded  |  |
| 8   | Protected or preserved for  |  |
| o<br>9  | public use  |  |
| 10  | <u>public use</u>   |  |
| 11  | Total legal funda leveraged by historical   |  |
| 12  | Total local funds leveraged by historical resources program\$61.5 million                 |  |
| 13  |   |  |
| 14  | OUTPUTS:  |  |
| 15  |   |  |
| 16  | Number of grants awarded  |  |
| 17  |   |  |
| 18  | Number of dollars awarded through   |  |
| 19  |   |  |
| 20  |   |  |
| 21  | Number of museum exhibits   |  |
| 22  |   |  |
| 23  | Number of publications and multimedia products  |  |
| 24  | available for the general public  |  |
| 25  |   |  |
| 26  | Number of institutions to which items are on  |  |
| 27  | loan  |  |
| 28  |   |  |
| 29  | Average cost to collect historical and  |  |
| 30  | archaeological objects\$75.62   |  |
| 31  |   |  |
|   | 214   |  |
| COL   | <b>J</b><br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |  |
| are additions, words <u>undertined</u> are additions. |   |  |

Average cost to maintain historical and archaeological objects.....\$1.16 Number of sites maintained in the Florida Number of preservation services applications Number of produced and sponsored events: K-12 targeted activities.....1,350 Other sponsored events......720 (e) Cultural Grants Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2068 through 2083A: Performance Measures Standards OUTCOMES: Attendance at supported cultural Number of individuals served by professional associations.....8 million Total local financial support leveraged by state funding.....\$343,832,378 OUTPUTS: CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of grants awarded:   |
| 3   | <u>Capital16</u>  |
| 4   | Program   |
| 5   |   |
| б   | Dollars awarded through grants:   |
| 7   | Capital\$7,616,189  |
| 8   | Program\$14,687,872   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Percentage of counties funded by the program:   |
| 11  | <u></u>   |
| 12  | Large counties (N=34;   |
| 13  | population >75,000)94.0%  |
| 14  | Small counties (N=33;   |
| 15  | population <75,000)75.8%  |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Number of state supported performances and  |
| 18  | exhibits  |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | (22) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION   |
| 21  | (a) Highway Construction/Engineering ProgramThe   |
| 22  | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds                            |
| 23  | provided in Specific Appropriations 1434 through 1483 and 1492                            |
| 24  | through 1529:   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Performance Measures Standards  |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100  |
| 31  | million miles traveled<2.05   |
|     | 216   |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |
|     |   |
| _           |   |
|-------------|---|
| 1           |   |
| 2           | Percentage of state highway system pavement in                                    |
| 3           | good condition80%   |
| 4           |   |
| 5           | Percentage of state-maintained bridges in good                                    |
| 6           | <u>condition95%</u>   |
| 7           |   |
| 8           | Percentage increase in number of days required                                    |
| 9           | for completed construction contracts over   |
| 10          | original contract days (less weather  |
| 11          | days)<30%   |
| 12          |   |
| 13          | Percentage increase in final amount paid for                                      |
| 14          | completed construction contracts over original                                    |
| 15          | contract amount   |
| 16          |   |
| 17          | Number of bicycle and pedestrian deaths per                                       |
| 18          | 100,000 population  |
| 19          |   |
| 20          | Construction Engineering Inspection as a  |
| 21          | percentage of construction15%   |
| 22          |   |
| 23          | Percentage of vehicle crashes on state highway                                    |
| 24          | system where road-related conditions were   |
| 25          | listed as a contributing factor<1.0%  |
| 26          |   |
| 27          | OUTPUTS:  |
| 28          |   |
| 29          | Number of lane miles let to contract for  |
| 30          | resurfacing1,752  |
| 31          |   |
|             | 217   |
| <b>C</b> 07 | 1   |
| COL         | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

Number of lane miles let to contract for Percentage of construction contracts planned for letting that were actually let.....95% Number of bridges let to contract for repair.63 Number of bridges let to contract for (b) Right-of-way Acquisition Program.--The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1434 through 1483 and 1492 through 1529: OUTPUTS: Number of right-of-way parcels acquired...2,170 Number of projects certified ready for construction.....108 (c) Public Transportation Program. -- The following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1434 through 1483 and 1492 through 1529: OUTCOMES: CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1  | Transit ridership growth compared to population                |
|----|--|
| 2  | growth   |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Total waterborne trade in tons112,000,000                      |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | Tons of cargo shipped by air4,500,000                          |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 9  |  |
| 10 | Number of passenger enplanements59,000,000                     |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | Number of aviation projects funded191                          |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | Number of public transit passenger                             |
| 15 | trips173,000,000   |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Number of cruise embarkations and                              |
| 18 | disembarkations at Florida ports11,000,000                     |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Number of transit capital projects funded33                    |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Number of transit operating projects funded90                  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | Number of intermodal projects funded34                         |
| 25 |  |
| 26 | Number of rail projects funded15                               |
| 27 |  |
| 28 | (d) Transportation System Maintenance ProgramThe               |
| 29 | following measures and standards shall be applied to the funds |
| 30 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1434 through 1483 and 1492 |
| 31 | through 1529:  |
|    | 219  |
|    |  |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Maintenance condition rating of state highway  |
| 5   | system as measured against the department's  |
| б   | maintenance manual standards   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | (e) Motor Carrier Compliance ProgramThe following                                    |
| 9   | measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided                        |
| 10  | in Specific Appropriations 1434 through 1458:  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Percent of commercial vehicles weighed that  |
| 17  | were over weight:  |
| 18  | Fixed scale weighings0.4%  |
| 19  | Portable scale weighings   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of commercial vehicles  |
| 24  | weighed  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of commercial vehicles safety   |
| 27  | inspections performed  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of portable scale weighings   |
| 30  | performed  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 220  |
|     | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| 200 | are additions, words <u>undertined</u> are additions.                                |

1 (f) Toll Operation Program.--The following measures 2 and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in 3 Specific Appropriations 1412 through 1427A: 4 5 Performance Measures Standards 6 7 TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM 8 9 OUTCOMES: 10 11 Operational cost per toll.....\$0.160 12 13 OUTPUTS: 14 15 16 17 (23) EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR.--18 (a) Economic Improvement Program. -- The following 19 measures and standards shall be applied to the funds provided 20 in Specific Appropriations 1668 through 1673: 21 22 Performance Measures Standards 23 24 OFFICE OF TOURISM TRADE AND ECONOMIC 25 DEVELOPMENT 26 27 OUTCOMES: 28 29 (OTTED's outcomes are identified in the outcomes of the 30 partners. Primary outcomes related to OTTED include those 31 221 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   | under Enterprise Florida, where Enterprise is the marketing                         |
|-----|---|
| 2   | agent and OTTED awards the contracts.)  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 5   |   |
| б   | Number/dollar amount of contracts and grants  |
| 7   | administered  |
| 8   |   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Public expenditures per job created/retained  |
| 11  | under QTI incentive program\$900  |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Number of state agency proposed rules reviewed                                      |
| 14  | which impact small businesses   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of business leaders' meetings  |
| 17  | coordinated3  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Economic contributions from Florida Sports  |
| 24  | Foundation-sponsored regional and major   |
| 25  | sporting events grants\$150 million   |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number/amount of major sports event grants  |
| 30  | awarded   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 222   |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | Number of publications   |
|--------|--|
| 1<br>2 | produced/distributed   |
| ⊿<br>3 |  |
| _      | Number of promotions conducted/supported:  |
| 4<br>5 |  |
| _      | <u>Statewide6</u><br>National1   |
| 6<br>7 |  |
| ,<br>8 | Number of trade/consumer shows facilitated or                                    |
| 9      | conducted10  |
| 10     |  |
| 11     | GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND                                       |
| 12     | AMATEUR SPORTS   |
| 13     |  |
| 14     | OUTCOMES:  |
| 15     |  |
| 16     | Number of participantsYouth, Seniors, and  |
| 17     | Adults   |
| 18     |  |
| 19     | Number of participants-Bike Florida750   |
| 20     |  |
| 21     | Number of surveys conducted/satisfaction   |
| 22     | rating1,000/98%  |
| 23     |  |
| 24     | OUTPUTS:   |
| 25     |  |
| 26     | Education symposiums conducted10   |
| 27     |  |
| 28     | Host festival events in accordance with section                                  |
| 29     | <u>14.22, Florida Statutes14</u>   |
| 30     |  |
| 31     |  |
|        | 223  |
| COD    | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

Publications, magazines, brochures, FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTCOMES: Percent of overseas clients who indicate Percent of volunteer-consultants who would Ratio of donated services and contributions as compared to the amount of state funding...1.5:1 OUTPUTS: Number of volunteer technical assistance missions to Central America and the Number of international and domestic development missions.....15 FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTCOMES: CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1      | Sustained growth in the number of travelers who                 |
|--------|---|
| 2      | come to and go through Florida:                                 |
| 3      | Out-of-state  |
| 4      | Residents12.6 million   |
| 5      |   |
| 6      | Sustained growth in the beneficial impacts that                 |
| 7      | travelers in Florida have on the state's                        |
| 8      | overall economy:  |
| 9      | Rental car surcharge\$141.7 million                             |
| 10     | Tourism-related employment815,267                               |
| 11     | Taxable sales\$45.5 billion                                     |
| 12     | Local option tax\$293 million                                   |
| 13     |   |
| 14     | Private sector contributions to VISIT                           |
| 15     | FLORIDA\$26.7 million   |
| 16     |   |
| 17     | OUTPUTS:  |
| 18     |   |
| 19     | Quality and effectiveness of paid advertising                   |
| 20     | messages reaching the target audience:                          |
| 21     | Impressions (number of contacts reached by                      |
| 22     | advertising)400 million   |
| 23     | Leads (number contacting VISIT FLORIDA                          |
| 24     | responsive to advertising)540,000                               |
| 25     |   |
| 26     | Media value and number of consumer promotions                   |
| 27     | facilitated by VISIT FLORIDA\$11 million/ 175                   |
| 28     |   |
| 29     | Number of leads and visitor inquiries generated                 |
| 30     | by the VISIT FLORIDA events and media                           |
| 31     | placements650,000   |
|        | 225   |
| CODING | Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are a |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of private sector partners1,500  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Level of private sector partner financial   |
| 5   | contributions through:  |
| 6   | Direct financial investment\$2 million  |
| 7   | Strategic alliance program\$300,000   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | SPACEPORT FLORIDA   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Value of new investment in the Florida space  |
| 14  | business and programs (cum.)\$200 million   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of launches  |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of visitors to space-related tourism   |
| 19  | facilities2.75 million  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Tax revenue generated by space-related tourism  |
| 22  | facilities\$1,206,600   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Number of students in Spaceport Florida   |
| 27  | Authority (SFA) sponsored space-related   |
| 28  | classroom or research at accredited   |
| 29  | institutions of higher education  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | Equity in SFA industrial/research   |
|     | 226   |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

facilities.....\$54.2 million Presentations to industry and governmental decisi<u>on makers...</u>.....15 Equity in SFA space-related tourist facilities.....\$20 million ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and Economic Development OUTCOMES: Number of permanent jobs directly created as a result of ITED programs......27,000 Number of permanent jobs retained as a direct result of ITED programs.....2,600 Documented export sales attributable to programs and activities.....\$40 million Documented sales as a result of foreign office activities.....\$18 million Signed Representation Agreements......72 OUTPUTS: Total number of qualified trade leads.....440 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of trade events  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of Florida companies in field office   |
| 5   | portfolio (counseled)1,085  |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Number of investment projects identified or   |
| 8   | referred by foreign offices159  |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Number of Florida companies assisted by foreign   |
| 11  | offices   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Number of active retention/expansion projects   |
| 14  | worked during the year  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of active recruitment projects worked  |
| 17  | during the year225  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of leads and projects referred to local  |
| 20  | Economic Development Organizations120   |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | Technology Development  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Jobs created/retained as a result of assistance   |
| 27  | to manufacturing firms  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Lowered inventory costs as a result of  |
| 30  | assistance to manufacturing   |
| 31  | firms\$7.72 million   |
|     | 228   |
| COD | •<br><b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Lowered labor and materials costs as a result                            |
| 3   | of assistance to manufacturing   |
| 4   | firms\$6.06 million  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Increased sales as a result of assistance to                             |
| 7   | manufacturing firms (Florida Manufacturing                               |
| 8   | Technology Centers)\$46 million  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Commercialized technologies (Innovation and                              |
| 11  | Commercialization Corporations)  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Assistance in formation of new companies/joint                           |
| 14  | ventures (Innovation and Commercialization                               |
| 15  | Corporations)10  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Capital raised by assisted companies                                     |
| 18  | (Innovation and Commercialization  |
| 19  | Corporations)\$20 million  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Assist companies in creating new and retaining                           |
| 22  | existing jobs (Innovation and Commercialization                          |
| 23  | <u>Corporations)421</u>  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of companies assisted by Manufacturing                            |
| 28  | Technology Centers:  |
| 29  | <u>Total960</u>  |
| 30  | Small companies  |
| 31  | Medium companies190  |
|     | 229  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Women/Minority companies   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Rural companies  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of new companies/joint ventures created                                   |
| 5   | by Innovation and Commercialization  |
| 6   | Corporations10   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Review technology assistance applications500                                     |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Sign contracts (Innovation and   |
| 11  | Commercialization Corporations)47  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Assist technology-based  |
| 14  | companies/entrepreneurs  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number of activities assisting manufacturing                                     |
| 17  | <u>companies900</u>  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Workforce Development  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Individuals completing Performance-Based   |
| 24  | Incentive Fund programs and placed in targeted                                   |
| 25  | occupations  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Individuals exiting Performance-Based Incentive                                  |
| 28  | Fund programs and placed in targeted   |
| 29  | occupations  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     |  |
|     | 230  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | participants completing training and placed in                                   |
| 3   | targeted occupations   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES  |
| 6   | participants exiting and placed in targeted                                      |
| 7   | occupations  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | WAGES participants completing training and                                       |
| 10  | placed in expanded "career path" occupations as                                  |
| 11  | defined by JEP/WAGES3,183  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Trained and placed WAGES participants retaining                                  |
| 14  | employment at least 6 months2,652  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Individuals receiving customized training and                                    |
| 17  | being placed in new companies in Enterprise                                      |
| 18  | Zones and companies located in rural   |
| 19  | <u>areas1,270</u>  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Individuals receiving customized training and                                    |
| 22  | placed in high skill/high wage jobs8,450   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Incentives paid for individuals in   |
| 27  | Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs  |
| 28  | completing and placed in targeted  |
| 29  | occupations\$8.863 million   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 231  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Incentives paid for individuals in   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs  |
| 3   | exiting and placed in targeted   |
| 4   | occupations\$7.251 million   |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Incentives paid for WAGES participants and   |
| 7   | other disadvantaged individuals completing and                                     |
| 8   | placed in targeted occupations\$5.9 million  |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Incentives paid for WAGES participants and   |
| 11  | other disadvantaged individuals exiting and  |
| 12  | placed in targeted occupations\$4.859 million                                      |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of Quick Response Training grants   |
| 15  | executed with new and expanding businesses in                                      |
| 16  | rural areas6   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of Quick Response Training grants   |
| 19  | executed with new and expanding businesses in                                      |
| 20  | Enterprise Zones4  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number of Quick Response Training Grants   |
| 23  | executed with new and expanded businesses33  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Capital Development  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Jobs created as a result of Capital  |
| 30  | Development, non-export loans120   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 232  |
| COL | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |  |

| 1   | Jobs created as a result of Capital Development                                  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | venture capital activity   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Venture Capital raised by presenters at venture                                  |
| 5   | forums\$7 million  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Investments received by Florida businesses from                                  |
| 8   | Cypress Fund sponsored firms and   |
| 9   | coinvestors\$12 million  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Florida businesses cumulatively receiving  |
| 12  | venture capital investments from Cypress Fund                                    |
| 13  | venture firms4   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of non-export low-cost business loans                                     |
| 18  | funded at sub-prime rates8   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Dollar value of non-export low-cost business                                     |
| 21  | loans funded at sub-prime rates\$12 million                                      |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of Venture Finance Directories and  |
| 24  | primers distributed  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Venture capital conferences/forums and   |
| 27  | investor/entrepreneur networking seminars7                                       |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Investors, entrepreneurs and service providers                                   |
| 30  | attending venture capital forums   |
| 31  |  |
|     | 233  |
| 007 |  |
| COL | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 Venture capital invested by Florida 2 institutions in Cypress 3 Fund.....\$2.8 million 4 5 BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD 6 7 OUTCOMES: 8 9 Number of Businesses/jobs retained or created as a result of the venture capital funds...4/25 10 11 12 Dollar amount/number of bid and performance 13 bonds to contractors in bonding 14 program.....\$10 million/35 15 16 Dollar amount & procurement opportunities 17 generated for black businesses.....\$2.5 million 18 19 OUTPUTS: 20 21 Amount of venture capital funds 22 provided.....\$250,000 23 24 Preparation of annual report on BBICs.....1 25 26 Number of participants enrolled in contractor 27 assistance and bonding program......74 28 29 BBICs created or supported.....7 30 Private dollars leveraged.....\$2 million 31 234 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | (24) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR                                      |
| 3   | VEHICLES   |
| 4   | (a) Highway Patrol ProgramThe following measures                                 |
| 5   | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                          |
| б   | Specific Appropriations 1682 through 1689A:                                      |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Percent of seat belt use:  |
| 13  | Annual percent change1%  |
| 14  | State compliance rate  |
| 15  | National average compliance rate68%  |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Annual mileage death rate on all Florida roads                                   |
| 18  | per 100 million vehicle miles of travel:   |
| 19  | Florida2.05  |
| 20  | National average1.7  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Annual alcohol-related death rate per 100  |
| 23  | million vehicle miles of travel on all Florida                                   |
| 24  | roads0.87  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Annual crashes investigated by FHP:  |
| 27  | Number of crashes investigated by  |
| 28  | FHP197,405   |
| 29  | Percent change1%   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
|     | 235  |
| 005 |  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Actual number of criminal investigation cases                                     |
| 3   | closed1,350   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Average time (hours) spent per criminal   |
| 6   | investigation case closed40.93  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of law enforcement duty hours and  |
| 9   | percent of time spent on prevention   |
| 10  | patrol  |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Number of law enforcement duty hours and  |
| 13  | percent of time spent on crash  |
| 14  | investigations  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of law enforcement duty hours and  |
| 17  | percent of time spent on assistance rendered                                      |
| 18  | and number of motorists   |
| 19  | assisted111,355/5%/308,500  |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Actual average response time (in minutes) to                                      |
| 22  | calls for crashes or assistance24.50  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Actual number of hours spent on traffic   |
| 25  | homicide investigations (THI) and the   |
| 26  | number of cases closed135,607/1,602   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Average time spent (in hours) per traffic   |
| 29  | homicide investigations   |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 236   |
| 005 | I I   |
| COD | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | (b) Driver Licenses ProgramThe following measures                                |
|-----|--|
| 2   | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                          |
| 3   | Specific Appropriations 1690 through 1695:                                       |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Performance Measures Standards   |
| б   |  |
| 7   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Percent of customers waiting 15 minutes or less                                  |
| 10  | for driver license service   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Percent of customers waiting 30 minutes or more                                  |
| 13  | for driver license service   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percent of DUI course graduates who do not                                       |
| 16  | recidivate within 3 years of   |
| 17  | graduation86%  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Percent of motorists complying with financial                                    |
| 20  | responsibility83%  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number of driver licenses/identification cards                                   |
| 23  | suspended, canceled and invalidated as a result                                  |
| 24  | of fraudulent activity, with annual percent                                      |
| 25  | change shown2,046/1%   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of driver licenses issued3,609,500  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Number of identification cards issued729,854                                     |
|     | 237  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Number of (written) driver license examinations                          |
| 3   | conducted1,029,731   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Number of road tests conducted   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | (c) Motor Vehicles ProgramThe following measures                         |
| 8   | and standards shall be applied to the funds provided in                  |
| 9   | Specific Appropriations 1696 through 1705:                               |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Performance Measures Standards   |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percent of motor vehicle titles issued without                           |
| 16  | <u>error99%</u>  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Fraudulent motor vehicle titles:   |
| 19  | Number identified and submitted to law                                   |
| 20  | enforcement1,042   |
| 21  | Percent change5%   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Ratio of warranty complaints to new mobile                               |
| 24  | homes titled1:890  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day                           |
| 27  | in the six applicable (air quality)                                      |
| 28  | <u>counties15.63%</u>  |
| 29  |  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 238  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | Ratio of taxes collected from international               |
|--------|---|
| ⊥<br>2 | registration plans (IRP) and international fuel           |
|        |   |
| 3      | tax agreements (IFTA) audits to cost of                   |
| 4      | audits\$2:\$1   |
| 5      |   |
| 6      | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 7      |   |
| 8      | Number of motor vehicle and mobile homes                  |
| 9      | registrations issued13,642,317                            |
| 10     |   |
| 11     | Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles            |
| 12     | issued4,794,000   |
| 13     |   |
| 14     | Average cost to issue a motor vehicle                     |
| 15     | title\$2.05   |
| 16     |   |
| 17     | Average time to issue a motor vehicle                     |
| 18     | title   |
| 19     |   |
| 20     |   |
| 21     | Number of vessels registrations issued841,849             |
| 22     |   |
| 23     | Number of vessel titles issued206,375                     |
| 24     |   |
| 25     | Average cost to issue a vessel title\$5.50                |
| 26     |   |
| 27     | Number of motor carriers audited per auditor,             |
| 28     | with number of auditors shown                             |
| 29     |   |
| 30     | Section 33. The Legislature adopts the following          |
| 31     | performance measures of the entities indicated for use in |
|        |   |
|        | 239   |
|        |   |

| 1   | preparation of fiscal year 2000-2001 legislative budget                  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | requests. The agencies shall use funds appropriated in the               |
| 3   | 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act to ensure their                     |
| 4   | capability to propose and track standards for these measures.            |
| 5   | (1) DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGSThe division                      |
| 6   | shall recommend standards for the following outcomes and                 |
| 7   | outputs for fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate                     |
| 8   | legislative committees. For each outcome and output, or for              |
| 9   | each group of integrally related outcomes and outputs, the               |
| 10  | division shall identify total associated costs for producing             |
| 11  | that outcome or output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000               |
| 12  | budget, in order to improve the Legislature's ability to                 |
| 13  | appropriate funds, compare activities, and evaluate division             |
| 14  | activities for efficiency:   |
| 15  | (a) Administrative Hearings Program                                      |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | PROGRAM PURPOSE:   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | To resolve conflicts between citizens and                                |
| 20  | agencies of the state  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Percentage of cases scheduled for hearing                                |
| 25  | within 90 days of filing   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Percentage of professional licensure cases                               |
| 28  | scheduled for hearing within 90 days of filing                           |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Percentage of cases closed within 120 days of                            |
| 31  | filing   |
|     | 240  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| -  |  |
|----|--|
| 1  |  |
| 2  | Percentage of professional licensure cases                     |
| 3  | closed within 120 days of filing                               |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | Number of cases opened   |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Number of professional licensure cases opened                  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | Number of cases closed   |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Number of professional licensure cases closed                  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | Number of cases carried forward                                |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Number of professional licensure cases carried                 |
| 18 | forward  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | Staffing ratio (average number of cases closed                 |
| 21 | <u>per administrative law judge)</u>                           |
| 22 | (2) DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL                    |
| 23 | REGULATIONThe department shall recommend standards for the     |
| 24 | following outcomes and outputs for fiscal year 2000-2001 to    |
| 25 | the appropriate legislative committees. For each outcome and   |
| 26 | output, or for each group of integrally related outcomes and   |
| 27 | outputs, the department shall identify total associated costs  |
| 28 | for producing that outcome or output, based on the fiscal year |
| 29 | 1999-2000 budget, in order to improve the Legislature's        |
| 30 | ability to appropriate funds, compare activities, and evaluate |
| 31 | department activities for efficiency:                          |
|    | 241  |
|    |  |

| 1   | (a) Hotels and Restaurants Program   |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | PROGRAM PURPOSE:   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | To license and regulate public lodging and food                                      |
| 6   | service establishments, elevators, escalators,                                       |
| 7   | and other vertical conveyance devices  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | STANDARDS AND LICENSURE  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 12  |  |
| 13  | Percentage of hotel and restaurant licenses and                                      |
| 14  | elevator certificates of operation processed   |
| 15  | timely   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Customer satisfaction ranking with resolution  |
| 18  | of inquiries, requests and disputes  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Total number of hotel and restaurant licenses  |
| 23  | and elevator certificates of operation issued  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Total number of hotel and restaurant licenses  |
| 26  | and elevator certificates of operation issued  |
| 27  | timely   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | OUTCOMES:  |
|     | 242  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| 200 | inter series series are derectors, words inderinica are duritions.                   |

| -   | 1   |
|-----|---|
| 1   |   |
| 2   | Percentage of food service and lodging  |
| 3   | establishments with repeat critical enforcement                                   |
| 4   | actions   |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Percentage of licensed food service   |
| 7   | establishments with confirmed food borne  |
| 8   | illness outbreaks directly related to food  |
| 9   | storage, preparation or handling  |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Percentage of repeat critical violations cited                                    |
| 12  | during food service and lodging inspections                                       |
| 13  | resulting in compliance   |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Percentage of hotel and restaurant  |
| 16  | administrative complaints resolved in favor of                                    |
| 17  | the agency  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of elevator equipment malfunction  |
| 20  | accidents reported compared to number of active                                   |
| 21  | elevators   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Total number of food service and lodging  |
| 26  | establishment cases initiated with critical                                       |
| 27  | violations  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number of food service and lodging  |
| 30  | establishment cases involving repeat offenders                                    |
| 31  | with critical violations  |
|     |   |
|     | 243   |
| COL | <b>DING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Number of food service establishments with                                       |
| 3   | confirmed food borne illness directly related                                    |
| 4   | to food storage, preparation or handling which                                   |
| 5   | have had prior enforcement action  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Total number of food service and lodging   |
| 8   | establishment cases where a fine is imposed                                      |
| 9   | against repeat offenders   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of licensed public food service   |
| 12  | establishments   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of confirmed food borne illness   |
| 15  | outbreaks directly related to food storage,                                      |
| 16  | preparation or handling  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of repeat critical violations cited                                       |
| 19  | during food service and lodging inspections                                      |
| 20  | resulting in compliance  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Total number of critical violations cited as a                                   |
| 23  | result of food service and lodging inspections                                   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Total number of hotel and restaurant   |
| 26  | administrative complaints resolved in favor of                                   |
| 27  | the agency   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Total number of hotel and restaurant   |
| 30  | administrative complaints initiated  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 244  |
| 005 |  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of active elevators   |
|-----|--|
| 2   |  |
| 3   | Number of reported elevator equipment  |
| 4   | malfunction accidents  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Total number of violations recorded for  |
| 7   | elevator inspections   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of elevator inspections performed   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of elevator enforcement actions   |
| 12  | initiated  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Total number of reported elevator accidents                                      |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | EDUCATION  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Percentage of Hospitality Education Program                                      |
| 21  | (HEP) workshop participants that pass the Food                                   |
| 22  | Manager Certification Exam   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Percentage HEP workshop participants that found                                  |
| 25  | the training useful  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of participants in HEP workshops  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 245  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of HEP workshop participants receiving                                    |
|-----|--|
| 2   | passing grade  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of participants that found HEP workshop                                   |
| 5   | useful   |
| б   |  |
| 7   | (b) Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco Program                                      |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | PROGRAM PURPOSE:   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | To supervise the conduct, management, and  |
| 12  | operation of the manufacturing, packaging,                                       |
| 13  | distribution, and sale of all alcoholic  |
| 14  | beverages; to enforce the provisions of the                                      |
| 15  | beverage and tobacco laws, as well as the rules                                  |
| 16  | and regulations adopted by the program; and to                                   |
| 17  | collect and distribute all taxes, surcharges                                     |
| 18  | and licensing fees from alcohol and tobacco                                      |
| 19  | sources  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | STANDARDS AND LICENSURE  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Customer satisfaction ranking (1 to 5) with                                      |
| 26  | Alcoholic Beverages & Tobacco licensure  |
| 27  | standards uniformly and equitably applied  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | OUTCOMES:  |
|     | 246  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Percentage of disputed administrative cases                       |
| 3   | resolved in favor of the agency                                   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Percentage of licenses with an administrative                     |
| б   | case  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Percentage of complaints/cases settled by                         |
| 9   | warning notice or stipulation                                     |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Percentage of monthly noncomplying wholesale                      |
| 12  | licensees   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Percentage of monthly repeated noncomplying                       |
| 15  | wholesale licensees (on yearly basis)                             |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Percentage excise tax penalties collected                         |
| 18  | compared to final assessments (dollars)                           |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Percentage of monthly noncomplying retail                         |
| 21  | licensees   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Percentage of monthly repeated noncomplying                       |
| 24  | retail licensees (on yearly basis)                                |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Percentage surcharge penalties collected                          |
| 27  | compared to final assessment                                      |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Percentage of alcoholic beverages and tobacco                     |
| 30  | retailers tested found to be in compliance with                   |
| 31  | underage persons access   |
|     | 247   |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
|     |   |

| 1   |   |  |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 2   | Percentage of underage alcoholic beverages and                                    |  |  |
| 3   | tobacco cases involving repeat retail offenders                                   |  |  |
| 4   |   |  |  |
| 5   | OUTPUTS:  |  |  |
| 6   |   |  |  |
| 7   | Number of administrative cases disputed   |  |  |
| 8   |   |  |  |
| 9   | Number of administrative cases affirmed   |  |  |
| 10  |   |  |  |
| 11  | Number of licensees with an administrative case                                   |  |  |
| 12  |   |  |  |
| 13  | Total number of licensees   |  |  |
| 14  |   |  |  |
| 15  | Number of administrative cases  |  |  |
| 16  |   |  |  |
| 17  | Number of complaints  |  |  |
| 18  |   |  |  |
| 19  | Number of complaints resulting in a warning                                       |  |  |
| 20  | notice  |  |  |
| 21  |   |  |  |
| 22  | Number of administrative cases settled by   |  |  |
| 23  | stipulation   |  |  |
| 24  |   |  |  |
| 25  | Number of retailers trained   |  |  |
| 26  |   |  |  |
| 27  | Number of law enforcement officers trained  |  |  |
| 28  |   |  |  |
| 29  | Total number of wholesale licensees   |  |  |
| 30  |   |  |  |
| 31  | Number of noncomplying wholesale licensees  |  |  |
|     | 248   |  |  |
| COD | <br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |  |  |
| 200 |   |  |  |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of excise tax returns filed on time  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of repeated noncomplying wholesale   |
| 5   | licensees (on yearly basis)   |
| 6   |   |
| 7   | Total amount of penalties assessed (dollars)  |
| 8   | for excise tax  |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Total amount of penalties collected (dollars)   |
| 11  | for excise tax  |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Total number of retail licensees for which  |
| 14  | surcharge is due  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of noncomplying retail licensees   |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of surcharge returns filed on time   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Number of repeated noncomplying retail  |
| 21  | licensees (on yearly basis)   |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Total amount of penalties assessed (dollars)  |
| 24  | for surcharge   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Total amount of penalties collected (dollars)   |
| 27  | for surcharge   |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco   |
| 30  | retailers randomly tested for underage persons  |
| 31  | access  |
|     | 249   |
|     | <b>J</b><br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | And motus settement are detections, words <u>undertined</u> are addictors.                |

| 1   |   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| 2   | Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco   |  |
| 3   | retailers tested found to be in compliance with                                     |  |
| 4   | underage persons access   |  |
| 5   |   |  |
| 6   | Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco   |  |
| 7   | retailers tested because of a complaint for   |  |
| 8   | underage persons access   |  |
| 9   |   |  |
| 10  | Number of underage alcoholic beverages and  |  |
| 11  | tobacco arrests   |  |
| 12  |   |  |
| 13  | Number of underage alcoholic beverages and  |  |
| 14  | tobacco administrative cases  |  |
| 15  |   |  |
| 16  | Number of underage alcoholic beverages and  |  |
| 17  | tobacco administrative cases involving repeat                                       |  |
| 18  | retail offenders  |  |
| 19  |   |  |
| 20  | AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT  |  |
| 21  |   |  |
| 22  | OUTCOMES:   |  |
| 23  |   |  |
| 24  | Percentage of wholesale audit findings  |  |
| 25  | collected   |  |
| 26  |   |  |
| 27  | Percentage of retail audit findings collected                                       |  |
| 28  |   |  |
| 29  | Average return on investment  |  |
| 30  |   |  |
| 31  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |  |
|     | 250   |  |
| COD | <b>CODING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |  |

| 1        |   |
|----------|---|
| 2        | Total dollar amount of wholesale audit findings                           |
| 3        |   |
| 4        | Total dollar amount of wholesale audit findings                           |
| 5        | collected   |
| 6        |   |
| 7        | Total dollar amount of retail audit findings                              |
| 8        |   |
| 9        | Total dollar amount of retail audit findings                              |
| 10       | collected   |
| 11       |   |
| 12       | Total collections   |
| 13       |   |
| 14       | Total bureau budget expenditures for regulating                           |
| 15       | excise tax and surcharge  |
| 16       |   |
| 17       | (c) Florida Land Sales, Condominiums and Mobile Homes                     |
| 18       | Program   |
| 19       |   |
| 20       | PROGRAM PURPOSE:  |
| 21       |   |
| 22       | To regulate the sale of subdivided lands in the                           |
| 23       | state and out-of-state subdivided lands offered                           |
| 24       | for sale to the state; residential condominiums                           |
| 25       | and cooperatives; real estate timesharing;                                |
| 26       | mobile home parks; and yacht, ship brokers and                            |
| 27       | salesmen  |
| 28<br>20 |   |
| 29<br>30 | STANDARDS AND LICENSURE   |
| 30<br>31 | OUTCOMES:   |
| ЪТ       |   |
|          | 251   |
| COD      | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Average number of days to approve filings                                 |
| 3   | (timeshare, condominiums, mobile homes)                                   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Average number of days to issue permanent                                 |
| 6   | licenses (land sales)   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | OUTPUTS:  |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Number of days to approve filings accepted in                             |
| 11  | proper format   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Number of approved filings  |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Number of deficiency letters issued for                                   |
| 16  | approved filings  |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of days to issue permanent licenses                                |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Number of permanent licenses issued                                       |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT  |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Percentage of administrative actions resulting                            |
| 27  | in consent orders   |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Average number of days to resolve consumer                                |
| 30  | complaints not investigated   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 252   |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |
| 1   | Average number of days to resolve  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | investigations   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Average number of days to resolve cases  |
| 5   | submitted for arbitration (condominiums)   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of administrative actions resolved by                                     |
| 10  | consent orders   |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Number of administrative actions closed  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of days to close consumer complaints                                      |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number of consumer complaints closed   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of days to close investigations   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Number of investigations closed  |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number of days to close cases  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Number of cases closed   |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | EDUCATION  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Percentage of parties surveyed that benefited                                    |
| 31  | from education provided (condominiums)   |
|     | 253  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of respondents to education survey                                |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Number of respondents who benefited from                                 |
| 7   | education provided   |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Number of seminars conducted   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of attendees at educational seminars                              |
| 12  | surveyed   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of topics covered at educational                                  |
| 15  | seminars   |
| 16  |  |
| 17  | Number of attendees at educational seminars                              |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Number of unit owners represented at                                     |
| 20  | educational seminars   |
| 21  |  |
| 22  | Number of associations represented at                                    |
| 23  | educational seminars   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | (d) Pari-mutuel Wagering Program   |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | PROGRAM PURPOSE:   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | To license and regulate the state's pari-mutuel                          |
| 30  | industries, including cardrooms, and to collect                          |
| 31  |  |
|     | 254  |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | all pari-mutuel taxes and fees in a timely                                |
|-----|---|
| 2   | manner  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | STANDARDS AND LICENSURE   |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Average number of days to issue a permanent                               |
| 9   | license   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Percentage of licenses correctly issued, as                               |
| 12  | determined by audit   |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of fingerprint checks conducted on                                 |
| 17  | license applications  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of days to issue a license that required                           |
| 20  | fingerprints  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | Number of license applications that did not                               |
| 23  | require fingerprints  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Number of days to issue a license that does not                           |
| 26  | require fingerprints  |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Number of occupational licenses issued                                    |
| 29  |   |
| 30  | Number of occupational licenses denied                                    |
| 31  |   |
|     | 255   |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Number of occupational license background                                 |
|-----|---|
| 2   | investigations completed  |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of occupational licenses reviewed                                  |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number of occupational licenses determined by                             |
| 7   | review to be issued correctly   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT  |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Percentage of races and games which result in                             |
| 14  | statutory or rule infractions   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Percentage of compliance audits timely                                    |
| 17  | completed   |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Percentage of compliance audits with recurring                            |
| 20  | violations  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | Percentage of urine/blood samples resulting in                            |
| 23  | drug positives  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Number of races and games officiated                                      |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number of violations  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | Number of investigations completed  |
|     | 256   |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of required compliance audits                              |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of compliance audits conducted                             |
| 5   |   |
| б   | Number of compliance audits resulting in a                        |
| 7   | violation   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Number of compliance audits with violations                       |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number of recurring compliance violations                         |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Number of urine/blood samples collected and                       |
| 14  | shipped   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number of administrative actions taken as a                       |
| 17  | result of drug positives  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Number of urine/blood samples tested                              |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT                                  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Percentage of taxes and fees accurately                           |
| 26  | collected   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Percentage of purse audits resulting in                           |
| 29  | recurring financial violations                                    |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | <u>OUTPUTS:</u>   |
|     | 257   |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of remittances audited   |
| 3   |   |
| 4   | Number of performances audited  |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | Number and dollar amount of under/over payments                           |
| 7   | reconciled  |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | Number of 30-day reports analyzed   |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number of 30-day reports containing errors                                |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | Number of unclaimed patron ticket remittances                             |
| 14  | audited   |
| 15  |   |
| 16  | Number and dollar amount of under/over payments                           |
| 17  | of unclaimed patron tickets reconciled                                    |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | Total dollar amount of tax revenue collected                              |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Dollar amount of unclaimed patron tickets                                 |
| 22  | collected   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Number of purse audits conducted  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  | Number of purse audits violations   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Number of purse audits with recurring financial                           |
| 29  | violations  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | (e) Professional Regulation Program                                       |
|     | 258   |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 2 **PROGRAM PURPOSE:** 3 4 To license nonmedical professions within the 5 state and the individual practice acts that 6 govern each of the professions; serve as a 7 liaison between the public and professional 8 boards, as well as between the licensees and 9 their respective boards; process applications, monitor continuing education, renewal and 10 reactivation requirements; approve educational 11 12 courses; develop, prepare, administer and score 13 to ensure validity and reliability of exams; 14 and receive and investigate complaints and 15 prosecute violators 16 17 STANDARDS AND LICENSURE 18 19 OUTCOMES: 20 21 Percentage of application denials appealed 22 which were upheld 23 Percentage of licensees in compliance with 24 25 licensure requirements/category, as determined 26 by random audits 27 28 Percentage of applications processed timely 29 30 Customer satisfaction ranking with resolutions of inquiries, requests and disputes 31 259 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Percentage of exams satisfying reliability                                       |
| 3   | requirements   |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | Percentage of state developed examinations that                                  |
| б   | satisfy validity requirements  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Percentage of examinations challenged and  |
| 9   | upheld   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percentage of examination results timely   |
| 12  | released   |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Number of complete applications processed (all                                   |
| 17  | categories)  |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Number of completed applications denied  |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of application denials appealed   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of application denials appealed which                                     |
| 24  | were upheld  |
| 25  |  |
| 26  | Number of applicants who receive licenses (all                                   |
| 27  | <u>categories)</u>   |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Number of audits conducted   |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 260  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1      | Number of audited licensees in compliance by                                     |
|--------|--|
| 2      | licensure requirement/category   |
| 3      |  |
| 4      | Number of applications processed   |
| 5      |  |
| 6      | Number of initial applications received  |
| 7      |  |
| 8<br>9 | Number of applications processed timely  |
| 10     | Average number of days required to process                                       |
| 11     | initial applications   |
| 12     |  |
| 13     | Number of renewal applications received  |
| 14     |  |
| 15     | Average number of days required to process                                       |
| 16     | renewal applications   |
| 17     |  |
| 18     | Number of exams  |
| 19     |  |
| 20     | Number of exams with an index of reliability                                     |
| 21     | above 75   |
| 22     |  |
| 23     | Number of examinations validated based on a job                                  |
| 24     | analysis   |
| 25     |  |
| 26     | Number of exam candidates  |
| 27     |  |
| 28     | Number of exam candidates that challenged the                                    |
| 29     | exams  |
| 30     |  |
| 31     | Number of exams challenged which are upheld                                      |
|        | 261  |
| COD    | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of examinations administered annually                              |
| 3   | <u>_</u>  |
| 4   | Number of examination results released timely                             |
| 5   |   |
| 6   | COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT  |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | OUTCOMES:   |
| 9   |   |
| 10  | Percentage of complaints processed timely                                 |
| 11  |   |
| 12  | Percentage of cases involving repeat offenders                            |
| 13  |   |
| 14  | Percentage of disciplined licensees in                                    |
| 15  | compliance with terms of discipline imposed                               |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | Percentage of inspections/audits that result in                           |
| 18  | disciplinary action being taken   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | Percentage of unlicensed activity cases which                             |
| 21  | involve repeat offenders  |
| 22  |   |
| 23  | Percentage of cases that are resolved through                             |
| 24  | alternative means (notices of noncompliance,                              |
| 25  | citations or alternative dispute resolutions)                             |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Number of complaints processed  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  | Number of complaints processed timely                                     |
|     | 262   |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Number of total offenders  |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Number of repeat offenders   |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Number of licensees disciplined  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of disciplined licensees in compliance                                    |
| 9   | with terms of discipline imposed   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Number of inspections/audits conducted in a                                      |
| 12  | fiscal year  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Number of inspections/audits conducted by  |
| 15  | inspection's staff which resulted in the filing                                  |
| 16  | of administrative charges  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Number of unlicensed activity cases involving                                    |
| 19  | repeat offenders   |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | Number of unlicensed activity cases processed                                    |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Cases remaining open at the end of the prior                                     |
| 24  | fiscal year plus cases received during current                                   |
| 25  | fiscal year  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Number of citations issued for minor rule  |
| 28  | violations   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of alternative dispute resolutions  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 263  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

Number of notices of noncompliance that have 1 been issued pursuant to rules of the various 2 3 boards or by direction of the department 4 5 (3) PAROLE COMMISSION. -- The commission shall recommend 6 standards for the following outcomes and outputs for fiscal 7 year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of integrally 8 related outcomes and outputs, the commission shall identify 9 total associated costs for producing that outcome or output, 10 based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to improve 11 12 the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds, compare activities, and evaluate commission activities for efficiency: 13 14 (a) Post-Incarceration Enforcement and Victims' Rights Program.--15 16 17 **PROGRAM PURPOSE:** 18 19 To provide public safety and protect the rights 20 of victims by administering effective 21 post-incarceration services including offender 22 release, offender revocation, clemency, and 23 victim assistance 24 25 OUTCOMES: 26 Number and percentage of releasees who have 27 28 successfully completed their supervision 29 without revocation within the first two years 30 31 OUTPUTS: 264 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | Number of conditional release cases handled                                      |
| 3        |  |
| 4        | Number of conditional medical release  |
| 5        | determinations   |
| 6        |  |
| 7        | Number of supervision reviews  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        | Number of revocation determinations  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       | Number of Clemency Board decisions supported                                     |
| 12       |  |
| 13       | Number of clemency cases monitored   |
| 14       |  |
| 15       | (4) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONThe commission shall                                |
| 16       | recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for                   |
| 17       | fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative                             |
| 18       | committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of                    |
| 19       | integrally related outcomes and outputs, the commission shall                    |
| 20       | identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or                    |
| 21       | output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to                   |
| 22       | improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds,                          |
| 23       | compare activities, and evaluate commission activities for                       |
| 24<br>25 | efficiency:  |
| 25<br>26 | (a) Utilities Regulation and Competitive Market<br>Oversight Program             |
| 26<br>27 | Oversignt Program  |
| 27<br>28 | PROGRAM PURPOSE:   |
| 29       | PROGRAM PORPOSE.   |
| 30       |  |
| 31       |  |
|          |  |
|          | 265  |
| COD      | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | To provide a regulatory environment that   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | facilitates the provision of desired utility                                     |
| 3   | services of acceptable quality at fair prices                                    |
| 4   |  |
| 5   | RATEMAKING   |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 8   |  |
| 9   | Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in  |
| 10  | Florida compared to average ROE in the USA:                                      |
| 11  |  |
| 12  | Electric   |
| 13  | Florida  |
| 14  | USA  |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Gas  |
| 17  | Florida  |
| 18  | USA  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Water and wastewater   |
| 21  | Florida  |
| 22  | USA  |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Percentage of utilities achieving within range,                                  |
| 25  | over range, and under range of last authorized                                   |
| 26  | <u>ROE:</u>  |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Electric   |
| 29  | <u>Within range</u>  |
| 30  | <u>Over range</u>  |
| 31  | Under range  |
|     | 266  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1    |  |
|------|--|
| 2    | Gas  |
| 3    | Within range   |
| 4    | Over range   |
| 5    | Under range  |
| 6    |  |
| 7    | Water and wastewater   |
| 8    | Within range   |
| 9    | Over range   |
| 10   | Under range  |
| 11   |  |
| 12   | Percentage of annual utility bill increases for                                  |
| 13   | average residential usage compared to inflation                                  |
| 14   | as measured by the Consumer Price Index:   |
| 15   |  |
| 16   | Consumer Price Index   |
| 17   |  |
| 18   | Communications   |
| 19   |  |
| 20   | Electric   |
| 21   |  |
| 22   | Gas  |
| 23   |  |
| 24   | Water and wastewater   |
| 25   |  |
| 26   | Average basic residential utility bill as a                                      |
| 27   | percentage of average Florida household income:                                  |
| 28   |  |
| 29   | Composite  |
| 30   |  |
| 31   | Communications   |
|      | 267  |
| COD1 | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

HB 1791, First Engrossed

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | Electric   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Gas  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | Water and wastewater   |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | OUTPUTS:   |
| 9   |  |
| 10  | Proceedings, reviews and audits examining  |
| 11  | rates, rate structure, earnings and  |
| 12  | expenditures:  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Electric   |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Gas  |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | Water and wastewater   |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | COMPETITIVE MARKET OVERSIGHT   |
| 21  | (TELECOMMUNICATIONS ONLY)  |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | <u>OUTCOMES:</u>   |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Market share of largest service provider   |
| 26  | compared to the composite market share of the                                      |
| 27  | next three largest providers:  |
| 28  |  |
| 29  | Interexchange  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  | Alternate access vendors   |
|     | 268  |
| COL | •<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1      |   |
|--------|---|
| 1<br>2 | Pay telephone companies   |
| ∠<br>3 | Pay cerephone companies   |
| 4      | Market share of local exchange telephone  |
| 5      | companies compared to market share of alternate                                 |
| 6      | local exchange telephone companies:   |
| 7      |   |
| 8      | Local exchange telephone companies  |
| 9      |   |
| 10     | Alternate local exchange telephone companies                                    |
| 11     |   |
| 12     | OUTPUTS:  |
| 13     |   |
| 14     | Proceedings establishing agreements between                                     |
| 15     | local service providers   |
| 16     |   |
| 17     | Proceedings granting certificates to operate as                                 |
| 18     | a telecommunications company  |
| 19     |   |
| 20     | Communications tariffs reviewed   |
| 21     |   |
| 22     | SERVICE AND SAFETY  |
| 23     |   |
| 24     | OUTCOMES:   |
| 25     |   |
| 26     | Percentage of communications service variances                                  |
| 27     | per inspection points examined:   |
| 28     | Local exchange & alternate local exchange                                       |
| 29     | telephone companies   |
| 30     | Interexchange   |
| 31     | Pay telephone companies   |
|        | 269   |
| CODI   | <b>NG:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 2 Percentage of electric safety variances per 3 inspection points examined 4 5 Percentage of gas safety variances per 6 inspection systems inspected 7 8 Consumer calls: 9 Percentage of calls answered Average waiting time 10 11 12 Percentage of consumer complaints resolved: 13 Within 30 days 14 Within 60 days 15 16 OUTPUTS: 17 18 Proceedings granting service authority, 19 resolving territorial disputes: 20 Electric 21 Gas 22 Water and wastewater 23 24 Ten-year site plan reviews and need 25 determinations for electric utilities 26 27 Consumer inquiries/complaints handled: 28 Communications 29 Electric 30 Gas 31 Water and wastewater 270 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

.

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Consumer information activities relating to   |
| 3   | service/safety  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Service evaluations/safety inspections  |
| б   | performed:  |
| 7   | Communications (service evaluations)  |
| 8   | Electric (safety inspections)   |
| 9   | Gas (safety inspections)  |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Enforcement proceedings relating to service and   |
| 12  | safety:   |
| 13  | Communications  |
| 14  | Electric  |
| 15  | Gas   |
| 16  |   |
| 17  | CONSERVATION  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 20  |   |
| 21  | Per capita annual KWH energy savings through  |
| 22  | conservation programs   |
| 23  |   |
| 24  | Percentage of combined conservation goals   |
| 25  | achieved by 7 FEECA utilities   |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | OUTPUTS:  |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Conservation programs reviewed  |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 271   |
| COD | I <b>DING:</b> Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions |
|     |   |

| 1   | Consumer information activities relating to                                  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | conservation   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | (5) DEPARTMENT OF HEALTHThe department shall                                 |
| 5   | recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for               |
| 6   | fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative                         |
| 7   | committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of                |
| 8   | integrally related outcomes and outputs, the department shall                |
| 9   | identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or                |
| 10  | output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to               |
| 11  | improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds,                      |
| 12  | compare activities, and evaluate department activities for                   |
| 13  | efficiency:  |
| 14  | (a) Children's Medical Services (CMS) Program                                |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | PROGRAM PURPOSE:   |
| 17  |  |
| 18  | To provide a comprehensive system of   |
| 19  | appropriate care for children with special                                   |
| 20  | health care needs and high risk pregnant women                               |
| 21  | through a statewide network of physicians,                                   |
| 22  | health providers, hospitals, medical schools                                 |
| 23  | and regional health clinics  |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | OUTCOMES:  |
| 26  |  |
| 27  | Percent of families in Children's Medical                                    |
| 28  | Services (CMS) program Network indicating a                                  |
| 29  | positive perception of care  |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 272  |
| COD | ING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

1 Percent of CMS program Network enrollees in 2 compliance with the periodicity schedule for 3 well child care 4 5 Percent of eligible infants/toddlers provided 6 CMS program Early Intervention program services 7 8 Percent of Child Protection Team (CPT) team 9 assessments provided to Family Safety and 10 Preservation program within established time 11 frames 12 13 OUTPUTS: 14 15 Number of children enrolled in CMS program 16 Network (Medicaid and Non-Medicaid) 17 18 Number of clients receiving services in the CMS 19 program Early Intervention program 20 21 Number of children receiving Child Protection 22 Team (CPT) assessments 23 24 (b) Health Care Practitioner and Access Program. --25 26 PROGRAM PURPOSE: 27 28 To protect the health of residents and visitors 29 by improving access to health care 30 practitioners and ensuring those practitioners 31 including Emergency Management Services 273

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |  |
|-----|--|
| 2   | personnel and providers meet credentialing                                       |
| 3   | requirements and practice according to accepted                                  |
| 4   | standards of care  |
| 5   |  |
| 6   | OUTCOMES:  |
| 7   |  |
| 8   | Number of unlicensed individuals identified and                                  |
| 9   | referred to the State's Attorneys  |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Percent of health care practitioners'  |
| 12  | applications for licensure completed within 90                                   |
| 13  | days   |
| 14  |  |
| 15  | Percent of emergency medical service providers                                   |
| 16  | found to have a significant deficiency during                                    |
| 17  | licensure inspection   |
| 18  |  |
| 19  | Age-adjusted injury death rate per 100,000                                       |
| 20  |  |
| 21  | OUTPUTS:   |
| 22  |  |
| 23  | Number of unlicensed individuals investigated                                    |
| 24  |  |
| 25  | Number of initial health care practitioner                                       |
| 26  | licenses:  |
| 27  | Processed  |
| 28  | Issued   |
| 29  |  |
| 30  | Number of emergency medical service providers                                    |
| 31  | licensed annually  |
|     | 07.4   |
|     | 274  |
| COD | <b>ING:</b> Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Number of medical students who do a rotation in                           |
| 3   | a medically under served area   |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of persons who receive continuing                                  |
| 6   | education services through Work Force                                     |
| 7   | Development   |
| 8   |   |
| 9   | (c) Community Public Health Program                                       |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | PROGRAM PURPOSE:  |
| 12  |   |
| 13  | To maintain and improve the health of the                                 |
| 14  | public via the provision of personal health,                              |
| 15  | disease control and environmental sanitation                              |
| 16  | services, including statewide support services                            |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | OUTCOMES:   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | AIDS case rate per 100,000 population                                     |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | HIV/AIDS resident total deaths per 100,000                                |
| 23  | population  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Chlamydia case rate per 100,000 population                                |
| 26  |   |
| 27  | Tuberculosis case rate per 100,000 population                             |
| 28  |   |
| 29  | Immunization rate among two year olds                                     |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 275   |
| COD | DING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1   | Total infant mortality rate per 1,000 live                             |
|-----|--|
| 2   | births   |
| 3   |  |
| 4   | Nonwhite infant mortality rate per 1,000                               |
| 5   | nonwhite births  |
| 6   |  |
| 7   | Percent of low birth weight births among                               |
| 8   | prenatal Women, Infants and Children program                           |
| 9   | <u>clients</u>   |
| 10  |  |
| 11  | Live births to mothers age 15-19 per 1,000                             |
| 12  | females 15-19  |
| 13  |  |
| 14  | Percent of mothers 15-19 having a repeat birth                         |
| 15  |  |
| 16  | Percent of targeted low income population                              |
| 17  | receiving dental health services from a county                         |
| 18  | health department  |
| 19  |  |
| 20  | Percent of middle and high school students who                         |
| 21  | report using tobacco products in the last 30                           |
| 22  | days   |
| 23  |  |
| 24  | Percent of students who visit the health clinic                        |
| 25  | and are able to return to class rather than                            |
| 26  | leaving school   |
| 27  |  |
| 28  | Food and waterborne disease cases per 1,000                            |
| 29  | facilities regulated by the department                                 |
| 30  |  |
| 31  |  |
|     | 276  |
| COD | •<br>ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

1 Overall sanitation and safety score in 2 department regulated facilities on a scale of 3 0% to 100% 4 5 Septic tank failure rate per 1,000 within two 6 years of system installation 7 8 OUTPUTS: 9 10 Number of HIV/AIDS counseling and testing 11 services provided annually 12 13 Number of HIV partner notification services 14 provided annually 15 16 Number of clients served in county health 17 department sexually transmitted disease 18 programs 19 20 Number of tuberculosis medical management 21 services provided 2.2 23 Number of patients who complete tuberculosis 24 therapy at the A.G. Holley tuberculosis 25 hospital 26 27 Number of immunization services provided by 28 county public health departments 29 30 Number of women and infants receiving Healthy 31 Start services 277 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1   |   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Average monthly participants in Women, Infants  |
| 3   | and Children program  |
| 4   |   |
| 5   | Number of clients served in county health   |
| б   | department Family Planning programs   |
| 7   |   |
| 8   | Number of teens age 15-19 served in county  |
| 9   | health department Family Planning programs  |
| 10  |   |
| 11  | Number of adults and children receiving county  |
| 12  | health department sponsored professional dental   |
| 13  | care  |
| 14  |   |
| 15  | Number of children served in the county health  |
| 16  | department Child Health program   |
| 17  |   |
| 18  | Number of adults served in the county health  |
| 19  | department Adult Health and Chronic Disease   |
| 20  | programs  |
| 21  |   |
| 22  | Number of School Health nursing assessments   |
| 23  | provided  |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Number of department regulated facilities   |
| 26  | inspected   |
| 27  |   |
| 28  | Number of onsite sewage disposal system   |
| 29  | inspections completed   |
| 30  |   |
| 31  |   |
|     | 278   |
| COD | I<br>DING:Words <del>stricken</del> are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 Section 34. A section of this act that implements a                       |
|---|
| 2 specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso                 |
| 3 language in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void              |
| 4 if the specific appropriation or specifically identified                  |
| 5 proviso language is vetoed. A section of this act that                    |
| 6 implements more than one specific appropriation or more than              |
| 7 one portion of specifically identified proviso language in the            |
| 8 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void if all the                   |
| 9 specific appropriations or portions of specifically identified            |
| 10 proviso language are vetoed.   |
| 11 Section 35. If any other act passed during the 1999                      |
| 12 Regular Session of the Legislature or any extension thereof              |
| 13 contains a provision which is substantively the same as a                |
| 14 provision in this act, but which removes or is otherwise not             |
| 15 subject to the future repeal applied to such provision by this           |
| 16 act, the Legislature intends that the provision in the other             |
| 17 act shall take precedence and shall continue to operate,                 |
| 18 notwithstanding the future repeal provided by this act.                  |
| 19 Section 36. If any provision of this act or the                          |
| 20 application thereof to any person or circumstance is held                |
| 21 invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or             |
| 22 applications of the act which can be given effect without the            |
| 23 invalid provision or application, and to this end the                    |
| 24 provisions of this act are declared severable.                           |
| 25 Section 37. This act shall take effect July 1, 1999;                     |
| 26 or, in the event this act fails to become a law until after              |
| 27 that date, it shall operate retroactively thereto.                       |
| 28  |
| 29  |
| 30  |
| 31  |
| 279   |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |