

STORAGE NAME: h0021s1a.jud

DATE: March 19, 1999

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON
JUDICIARY
ANALYSIS**

BILL #: CS/HB 21

RELATING TO: Safety Belts in School Buses

SPONSOR(S): Committee on Education K-12, Representative Chestnut and others

COMPANION BILL(S): SB 1038(s)

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) EDUCATION K-12 YEAS 10 NAYS 0
- (2) JUDICIARY YEAS 8 NAYS 0
- (3) EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS
- (4)
- (5)

I. SUMMARY:

The committee substitute requires that all school buses purchased after December 31, 2000, and used to transport students in grades pre-K through 12 be equipped with safety belts. A school bus purchased prior to December 31, 2000, is not required to be equipped with safety belts.

The committee substitute requires that seat belts be used by all passengers, but specifies that a school district, school bus operator under contract with a school district, or an agent or employee of a school district or operator is not liable in an action for personal injury where the injury occurred as a result of not wearing a safety belt. Similarly, school districts and bus operators are not liable in an action for personal injury by a school bus passenger for an injury caused by another passenger's use of a safety belt in a dangerous or unsafe manner. Elementary schools are to receive first priority in the allocation of school buses equipped with seat belts.

The bill creates a new section of the Florida Statutes.

The fiscal impact of the bill would be \$2,278,500 during 1999-2000, \$2,417,925 during 2000-2001, and \$2,564,692 during 2001-2002 to phase in seat belts on school buses. Fiscal impact beyond three years was not available.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

The issue of requiring seat belts on school buses has generated considerable debate. Proponents of mandating safety belts on school buses maintain that combining existing safety features with safety belts would further enhance the safety of school buses, especially in the event of side impact and roll over crashes. Opponents of mandatory safety belts in school buses argue that because of their size, operating procedures, and unique safety design, school buses are inherently safer than other forms of transportation. Opponents contend that funds would be better spent on safety options such as driver training, higher seat backs, crossing control arms, increased enforcement of laws against passing stopped school buses, and adult school bus monitors. While both proponents and opponents point to statistical evidence in support of their position, empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of seat belts on buses is largely inconclusive.

Current State Regulations Regarding School Buses

Section 234.02, F.S., states that maximum regard for safety and adequate protection of health are primary requirements that must be observed by school boards in routing buses, appointing drivers, and providing and operating equipment, in accordance with all requirements of law and regulations of the Commissioner of Education. This section requires that each school board designate and adopt a specific plan for adequate examination, maintenance, and repair of transportation equipment. The examination must be accomplished at least once per month while the bus is in operation. Unsafe buses must be withdrawn from service until repaired. The Florida Department of Education is authorized to inspect school buses and can require that buses not meeting specific requirements be withdrawn from service.

Section 234.03, F.S., specifies that each school board is liable for tort claims arising out of any incident or occurrence involving a school bus, with a limit on liability of \$5,000 times the rated seating capacity, or \$100,000, whichever is greater.

Section 234.051, F.S., defines a "school bus" as a motor vehicle regularly used for the transportation of pre-K through grade 12 public school students to and from school and school activities. Exceptions to the definition are: passenger cars, multipurpose passenger vehicles, and trucks as defined in Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), part 571; and motor vehicles subject to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations in 49 CFR, and not used exclusively for the transportation of public school students. School buses which are rented, leased, purchased, or contracted for must meet applicable federal motor carrier vehicle safety standards and other specifications as may be required by the Commissioner. Students may be transported only in designated seating positions, except as otherwise provided, and must use the occupant crash protection system provided by the manufacturer. The system must meet the requirements of 49 CFR 571 or comply with the Commissioner's specifications.

Section 316.615, F.S., requires that all motor vehicles with a seating capacity of 24 or more pupils, regularly used to transport pupils to and from school or school activities, comply with the requirements of Chapter 234, Transportation of School Children. The section defines "school" to include all public and private nursery, pre-elementary, elementary, and secondary level schools. The section requires that school bus drivers meet the physical examination requirements established by law and by rule of the Commissioner, and that such drivers pass an annual physical examination and have posted in the vehicle a certificate to drive the vehicle. As in s. 234.03, F.S., school buses must be covered by liability insurance of \$5,000 times the rated seating capacity, or \$100,000, whichever is greater.

The Debate Surrounding Seat Belts on School Buses

National statistics have consistently demonstrated that school buses constitute one of the safest forms of transportation. In fact, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has determined that school buses are approximately four times safer per mile traveled than private automobiles. A number of factors, including the size, design, operation, and existing safety features account for the safety of school buses. Central to current school bus safety features is the concept of "compartmentalization" which relies on high-backed padded seats, spaced close together, to confine and cushion passengers in the event of a crash. The consensus is that compartmentalization has proven to be effective in reducing injuries and fatalities, especially in instances of front or rear impact crashes.

Proponents of safety belts in large school buses acknowledge that the requirement of compartmentalization is effective in reducing fatalities and injuries, but argue that when combined with safety restraint use, fatality and injury rates could be reduced even further. They contend that safety restraints in school buses will reinforce the habit in young children with regard to wearing restraints in passenger cars. In addition, proponents assert that safety restraint use will improve on-board occupant behavior and decrease driver distractions, translating into possible avoidance of accidents. In terms of cost, proponents estimate the installation of lap belts would cost \$1,000 - \$1,500 per large school bus.

Opponents of safety belts in large school buses argue that because of their size, distinct yellow color, well-known routes, governed operating speed, and unique safety design, school buses are inherently safer than other forms of transportation, and consequently, do not need safety restraints to improve occupant safety. Opponents further contend that in the case of serious accidents, safety restraints may actually increase the likelihood of injury and could imperil occupants in accidents involving fire and rollovers. They contend that the potential "carryover" effect would be lost if drivers do not insist on restraint use resulting in children becoming desensitized to safety restraint use in other types of vehicles. In terms of cost effectiveness, opponents believe funds would be better spent on options such as driver training, higher seat backs, crossing control arms, increased enforcement of laws against passing stopped school buses, and adult school bus monitors.

The Florida Department of Education reports that during a five-year period in Florida (through 1995-96), almost one million public school students were transported daily, traveling over one billion miles on 14,000 school buses. During that 5-year period, there have been three students and a teacher tragically killed while *riding* on Florida's public school buses. Two of the students and the teacher were killed in one incident when a tire came off a truck and went through the windshield of the bus. Five students also died in the loading zone; four of these children were run over by motorists illegally passing stopped school buses. The Department reported that nationally about 15 students per year are killed on board school buses. Approximately 40 die outside the bus in the loading zone, two thirds as a result of being run over by their own bus. Because the loading zone around the bus is the area where fatalities are most likely to occur, Florida has concentrated its resources on driver training, improved mirror systems, and other strategies to improve loading zone safety. Florida crash statistics from the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles indicate that there were 1,076 non-capacitating injuries and 228 incapacitating injuries involving school bus passengers during the period 1991-1996.

In the debate concerning the use of seat belts on school buses both proponents and opponents cite compelling evidence in support of their position. Various federal, state, and local entities have undertaken research on this issue. Summarized below are the major research findings and position papers relating to seat belts on school buses.

Findings in Support of Seat Belts on School Buses

Seat Belt Requirements in New York and New Jersey - The state of New York has 712 subdistricts transporting an estimated 2.5 million students per day on approximately 45,000 school buses, of which about one-half are government-owned with the remainder furnished by contractors. Since 1987, new school buses purchased in New York have been required to be equipped with seat belts. In excess of 99 percent of the fleet is now equipped with seat belts. The state law does not require that the seat belts be used; mandatory use is left to the individual subdistricts. Presently, about 43 of the subdistricts have mandated seat belt use.

The state of New Jersey has required that buses be fitted with seat belts since 1993, and that they be worn since September 1994. New Jersey's decision to require seat belts was based on a 1989 study entitled "School Bus Safety Belt Study" conducted by the New Jersey Institute of Technology, Center for Transportation Studies and Research. The report concluded that mandatory seat belt use would reduce fatalities by 22 percent and incapacitating injuries by 27 percent. The report states that seat belts are a cost-effective measure, and recommended that they be installed in all buses. Because of technical problems, retrofitting of existing school buses was determined to be undesirable. Seat belts are phased in as buses are purchased. Approximately one-third of the fleet is so equipped. It is estimated that the cost of adding seat belts to a new bus is \$1500 in New Jersey.

Both states have "hold harmless" language specifying that the school bus owner, operator, and driver cannot be held liable if a child chooses not to wear a seat belt and is injured in an accident. Anecdotal evidence indicates that discipline on buses has improved with the advent of restraints, and as a result, driver distractions are probably less, thereby improving safety. Since there have been no major accidents involving school buses in either state since their respective seat belt laws went into effect, data regarding efficacy in preventing fatalities and serious injuries is unavailable.

The Florida PTA - The Florida PTA cites the disproportionate number of fatalities and serious injuries which occur in side-impact and rollovers crashes as evidence of the need for seat belts. These are scenarios where seat belts would provide the greatest improvement in safety performance. Florida PTA also asserts that seat belts would improve behavior on the bus and help keep small children from slipping off their seats when the bus turns or goes over a bump. Citing a study by the Transportation Research Board, the Florida PTA asserts that seat belts on school buses would improve safety by 20 percent with 50 percent usage. Using the same methodology employed by researchers in New Jersey, the organization contends that seat belts on school buses would prevent an average of .11 deaths and 10.74 incapacitating injuries each year in Florida.

The Florida PTA passed a resolution during its 1997 convention which states that the organization supports legislation requiring any new bus purchased for the purpose of transporting school children in the state of Florida be equipped with 28 inch seat backs and seat belts, and that the seat belt portion of the resolution be forwarded to the National PTA for inclusion in the National Platform. The Florida PTA provided documentation from various medical organizations which support the use of seat belts on school buses, including: the American Medical Association, Physicians for Automotive Safety, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the College of Preventative Medicine, the American Association of Oral and Maxillo Facial Surgery, the American Society for Adolescent Medicine, and the American College of Emergency Physicians.

The American Academy of Pediatrics - In a 1996 policy statement the American Academy of Pediatrics recommended the use of child safety seats and other restraint systems on school buses used to transport pre-kindergarten school children in order to keep the children secure in their seats. In agreement with a National Transportation Safety Board study, the Academy recommends that federal motor vehicle safety standards be revised to require that seat backs be 24 inches above a designated reference point (slightly more than 26 inches from the seat surface)

The Academy estimates that the use of seat belts on large buses may reduce deaths and injuries by 20 percent, with an assumption that use rates are only 50 percent. An additional benefit is that such use reinforces use in private vehicles. Acknowledging that the cost effectiveness of seat belts on buses may remain controversial, the Academy recommends the installation of seat belts on all newly purchased school buses. In addition, the Academy recommends that those districts providing seat belts should ensure the appropriate education of administrators, students, teachers, drivers, and parents in their use.

Findings Adverse to the Use of Seat Belts on School Buses

The National Highway Transportation Safety Administration - The National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) contends that, based on school bus crash data, a federal requirement for safety belts on buses would provide little, if any, added protection in a crash. In support of this position, NHTSA cites a 1987 study undertaken by the National Transportation Safety Board which concluded that most fatalities and injuries were due to occupant seating positions being in direct line with crash forces, and that safety belts would have done little in terms of prevention. Citing a 1989 study by the National Academy of Sciences (the Transportation Research Board report discussed below), NHTSA concluded that the overall potential benefits of requiring seat belts on large school buses are insufficient to justify a federal mandate for installation, and that funds that would have been used for such installation would be better spent on school bus safety programs and other prevention devices.

NHTSA maintains that the concept of compartmentalization is the best method for providing crash protection. The agency concludes that because the safety record of school buses is outstanding, and because there is no compelling evidence to suggest that seat belts would provide higher levels of occupant protection, there is insufficient reason for a federal mandate for seat belts on large school buses. It should be noted that small buses, those with a gross vehicle weight rating under 10,000 pounds must be equipped with lap or lap/shoulder belts at all designated seating positions, due to the fact that these vehicles are closer in size and weight to passenger cars and trucks where seat belts are believed necessary for occupant protection.

National Transportation Safety Board Study - In a 1987 study NTSB examined 43 accidents involving large school buses. The report found that compartmentalization worked well in all types of accidents. This conclusion was based on the fact that ninety percent of the unrestrained passengers in the accidents studied received only minor or no injuries. Intrusion into the bus was responsible for all but two of the thirteen school bus passenger fatalities in the study and for all the bus driver fatalities. According to the study, school bus occupant deaths and serious or worse injuries sustained by survivors in the study were largely attributable to the occupants seating position being in direct line with the crash forces, and would not likely have been positively affected by restraints.

The study found that rollover accidents in the study were associated with higher levels of passenger injuries than non-rollovers, but to a much smaller degree than anticipated. Nearly 86 percent of all passengers involved in rollover crashes were either uninjured or received only minor injuries. The study concluded that lap belt use probably would have had little or no impact on the total number of school bus passengers who died in the crashes investigated for the study. At best, lap belt use probably would have reduced somewhat the injuries of less than one-third (8) of the 24 surviving school bus passengers with serious injuries in the study and made no change for the majority (12). At worst, it might have increased the injury to almost as many passengers with serious injuries as it improved.

The Transportation Research Board - A 1989 study undertaken by the Transportation Research Board (under the auspices of the National Academy of Sciences) concluded that installing seat belts on all large school buses operated in the United States could provide a marginal increase in school bus safety. However, the committee concluded that the overall potential benefit of requiring seat belts in large school buses is insufficient to justify a federal standard mandating installation. Further, the report suggested that funds used to purchase and maintain seat belts in the nation's fleet of school buses, more than \$40 million per year, might better be spent on other school bus safety programs and devices to save more lives and reduce more injuries. It should be noted that the members of the committee examining this issue were divided in their final recommendations concerning the use of seat belts on buses.

The Center for Urban Transportation Research Report - In 1993, the University of South Florida, Center for Urban Transportation Research (CUTR) issued a report entitled "Florida School Bus Occupant Safety". The report was undertaken at the direction of the Legislature and examined the potential benefits that might be derived from the use of safety restraints in large Florida school buses. The report concluded that the effectiveness of safety restraints in large school buses has not been proven. However, the CUTR study acknowledged that the debate is heated, and that both proponents and opponents make strong cases in support of their positions.

CUTR examined Florida school bus accident data by defining two objectives: (1) determine the frequency and distribution of accidents by four primary impact modes (frontal, rear-end, side, and rollovers); and (2) determine occupant injury severity by the same four impact modes. Based on this analysis, CUTR concluded that the data did not provide convincing evidence that safety restraints are needed in large Florida school buses. The CUTR review revealed that a considerable number of occupants received minor or moderate injuries (44,220) which reiterates the notion that the buses are a safe mode of transportation. It also concluded that the availability of safety restraints would not have made a difference with regard to nine (five occurring in a single accident) fatal injuries reported. In view of the nine fatalities (0.02 percent) and a reported 202 (0.45 percent) incapacitating injuries sustained by the 44,438 Florida school bus occupants involved in 4,732 accidents reported for the interval 1986 through 1991, CUTR concluded that serious accidents involving school buses are rare and that the effectiveness of available safety options is substantiated. The report suggest that the most cost-effective safety investment option in terms of preventing fatalities and injuries is higher seat backs.

In spite of their findings, CUTR stated that the lack of empirical evidence pertaining to the effectiveness of safety restraints in large school buses emphasizes the need for a comprehensive study to compare the fatality and injury rates among belted and unbelted school bus occupants to decisively determine their safety potential. School districts and states currently requiring safety restraints (lap-belts) provide an opportunity to compile data over the long term, and to compare the severity of injuries sustained by belted and unbelted school bus occupants. CUTR further recommended comprehensive surveys of school districts and states that require safety restraints to acquire data regarding operational experience, including liability, seat belt use/compliance, maintenance costs, vandalism of belts, influence of safety restraints on student conduct, and other information.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The committee substitute requires that all school buses purchased after December 31, 2000, and used to transport students in grades pre-K through 12 be equipped with safety belts sufficient to provide each student a separate belt. The safety belts would have to meet the same standards as those prescribed under the Florida Safety Belt Law as provided in s. 316.614, F.S. A school bus that was purchased prior to December 31, 2000, is not required to be equipped with safety belts.

The committee substitute requires that passengers on school buses equipped with safety belts wear a properly adjusted and fastened belt at all times the bus is in operation. It provides that a school district, school bus operator under contract with the school district, or an agent or employee of a school district or operator is not liable in an action for personal injury brought by a school bus passenger injured as a result of not wearing a safety belt. Similarly, these parties are not liable in an action for personal injury caused by another passenger's use of a safety belt in a dangerous or unsafe manner.

The committee substitute provides that in implementing this section, school districts must prioritize the allocation of buses equipped with safety belts to ensure that elementary schools within the district receive first priority.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. Less Government:

a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:

(1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

Yes, to the extent that school boards will need to re-allocate their bus resources to insure that elementary school routes receive buses with seat belts first; if the seat belts involve an additional cost, the obligation to pay the higher price for a new bus with seat belts will effect taxpayers when new buses are required.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:

An agency/program is not eliminated or reduced.

(1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

The bill may indirectly increase taxes if expenditures for the buses increase.

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No.

- e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

3. Personal Responsibility:

- a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No.

- b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

No.

4. Individual Freedom:

- a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/ associations to conduct their own affairs?

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

No. The bill will require seatbelts to be worn by children on school buses.

- b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

Yes. Children currently ride school buses without seatbelts and enforcement of rules concerning seatbelts.

5. Family Empowerment:

- a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:

The bill does not purport to provide services to families or children.

- (1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

- (2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

- (3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

- (4) Are families required to participate in a program?

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

Children traveling to school on school buses will be required to wear seatbelts; it is unclear what the consequences will be if the child does not wear the seatbelt.

- (5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

It is not clear whether children who fail to wear seatbelts will be denied school bus transportation to school. Denial of transportation could pose a penalty.

- b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

No.

- c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

It is unclear whether children not wearing seatbelts will be denied transportation or receive another consequence from school authorities. These consequences could vest control in school authorities.

- (1) parents and guardians?

N/A

- (2) service providers?

N/A

- (3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

The bill creates a new section of the Florida Statutes.

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1. The committee substitute requires that all school buses purchased after December 31, 2000, and used to transport students in grades pre-K through 12 be equipped with safety belts sufficient to provide each student a separate belt. The safety belts would have to meet the same standards as those prescribed under the Florida Safety Belt Law as provided in s. 316.614, F.S. A school bus that was purchased prior to December 31, 2000, is not required to be equipped with safety belts.

The committee substitute requires that passengers on school buses equipped with safety belts wear a properly adjusted and fastened belt at all times the bus is in operation. It provides that a school district, school bus operator under contract with the school district, or an agent or employee of a school district or operator is not liable in an action for personal injury brought by a school bus passenger injured as a result of not wearing a safety belt. Similarly, these parties are not liable in an action for personal injury caused by another passenger's use of a safety belt in a dangerous or unsafe manner.

The committee substitute provides that in implementing this section, school districts must prioritize the allocation of buses equipped with safety belts to ensure that elementary schools within the district receive first priority.

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

Neither the State nor its school districts are liable for personal injury to a passenger on the bus caused by the passenger's failure to wear a safety belt. School districts, school bus operators under contract with a school district, or an agent or employee of a school district or operator, including teachers or volunteer chaperones are not liable in an action for personal injury by a school bus passenger: (a) for an injury caused by another passenger's dangerous or unsafe use of a seatbelt; or (b) for injury caused solely from the injured party's failure to wear a seat belt.

Section 2. The effective date of the bill is upon becoming a law.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

DOE estimates that it would cost approximately \$1,500 to equip a new 65-passenger bus with three sets of lap belts per seat. Using a rate of increase in new bus purchases of 3 percent per year and annual inflation rate of 3 percent per year, DOE estimates expenditures of \$2,278,500 during 1999-2000, \$2,417,925 during 2000-2001, and \$2,564,692 during 2001-2002 to phase in seat belts on school buses. Figures for more than three years are not available.

The Florida PTA estimates that a 65 passenger bus meeting Florida specifications can be purchased for an average of \$40,000 and can be equipped with 28" high back seats and seat belts for an additional \$1,600, based on manufacturer quotes.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

N/A

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

N/A

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

1. Direct Private Sector Costs:

Indeterminate. It would depend on how many buses are contracted by school districts and the rate at which the buses are exchanged.

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

None.

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage or a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

NHTSA is in the process of performing a 2-year study designed to consider alternative methods of potentially improving school bus passenger crash protection requirements. The anticipated completion date of the study is mid-2001.

A school bus was involved in a recent traffic accident in Vero Beach, Florida. At least two fatalities and several serious injuries were reported. The accident is under investigation by the NTSB and DOE.

Judiciary Committee Staff Comments:

Federal preemption of bus seat belt regulation does not appear to be a problem. Congress has not expressly provided that federal law supplants state authority; Congress' intent to preempt is not implicit in regulating so pervasively so as not to leave any room within which the state can act; nor does the law proposed actually conflict with any existing federal law. Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations and the federal Department of Transportation Outline of Safety Standards Pertaining to School Buses do not address the subject of seatbelts on school buses.

Florida currently requires the wearing of safety belts by all passengers in automobiles. Section 316.614, F.S., and various other statutes regulate safety belt use in other types of transport, including day care transport. Regulation of seat belts in Florida school buses appears to be within the legislature's purview.

While the bill requires students to wear seatbelts, no consequence other than non-liability of the state or school district for injury attaches. Given the general grant of management, control, operation, administration and supervision to school boards in s. 230.03, F.S., school boards are likely to implement the seatbelt requirement by school district rules, which may vary from district to district.

The bill as it currently exists does not specify whether it applies to public school buses or to all school buses. An amendment has been prepared which limits applicability to public school buses.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

The committee substitute adds hold harmless language, mandates that seat belts be used, and prioritizes the phasing in of safety belts so that elementary school children are served first.

An amendment in the Judiciary Committee clarifies that the safety belt requirement is only applicable to a school bus that is owned, leased, operated, or contracted by a school bus.

It was also agreed that in the next committee of reference the language requiring the use of safety belts would be broadened to include future safety restraint technology.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION (K-12):

Prepared by:

Terri J. Chasteen

Staff Director:

Patricia W. Levesque

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY:

Prepared by:

Jo Ann Levin

Staff Director:

Don Rubottom