April 15, 1999 DATE:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON CRIME AND PUNISHMENT ANALYSIS

HB 2189 BILL #:

RELATING TO: Retailers/Customer Privacy/Videos

SPONSOR(S): Rep. Diaz de la Portilla **COMPANION BILL(S)**: SB 1706(s)

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) (2) (3) (4) CRIME AND PUNISHMENT YEAS 6 NAYS 0

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

HB 2189 makes it a first degree misdemeanor for any retail sales establishment or an employee of the establishment to directly observe or make use of video cameras or other surveillance devices to observe or record customers who are using the retail sales establishment's dressing room, fitting room, changing room or rest room.

DATE: April 15, 1999

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

In 1998, the legislature passed CS/HB 3709 which created section 810.14, F.S. The pertinent part of the statute provides:

- (1) A person commits the offense of voyeurism when he or she, with lewd, lascivious, or indecent intent, secretly observes, photographs, films, videotapes, or records another person when such other person is located in a dwelling, structure, or conveyance and such location provides a reasonable expectation of privacy.
- (2) A person who violates this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree for the first violation, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

Currently, it is not a crime in Florida to secretly observe or videotape another person in a private setting unless the observing or videotaping is done with a lewd, lascivious or indecent intent.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill prohibits any retail sales establishment or any person employed by such an establishment from directly observing or making use of video cameras or other surveillance devices to observe or record customers who are using the retail sales establishment's dressing room, fitting room, changing room or rest room.

Any person or entity who violates this section is guilty of a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable by up to a \$1,000 fine or a year in jail.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. Less Government:

- a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
 - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

DATE: April 15, 1999

PAGE 3

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No.

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No.

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No.

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A.

4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

No.

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

The bill prohibits a store from observing a customer in their dressing room.

5. Family Empowerment:

- a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:
 - (1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

DATE: April 15, 1999

PAGE 4

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

No.

- c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:
 - (1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

Creates an unnumbered section of statute.

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

<u>Section 1</u>: Provides that it is a first degree misdemeanor for a retail sales establishment to observe or use surveillance devices to observe or record customers who are in the store's dressing room or bathroom.

Section 2: Provides effective date of July 1, 1999.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
 - Non-recurring Effects:

See fiscal comments.

DATE: April 15, 1999

PAGE 5

2. Recurring Effects:

See fiscal comments.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

See fiscal comments.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

See fiscal comments.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

See fiscal comments.

2. Recurring Effects:

See fiscal comments.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

See fiscal comments.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

1. Direct Private Sector Costs:

See fiscal comments.

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

See fiscal comments.

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

See fiscal comments.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill will not have a fiscal impact on the Department of Corrections but may have a insignificant impact on county jails if people are jailed for a violation of the newly created statute.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill is exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is criminal law.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

STORAGE NAME: h2189a.cp DATE: April 15, 1999 PAGE 6	
	C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:
	This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.
V.	COMMENTS:
	None.
VI.	AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:
	On April 15, 1999, the sponsor offered a strike everything amendment at the meeting of the Committee on Crime & Punishment to conform this bill to the Senate companion. The strike everything amendment was passed by the committee and provides as follows:
	• The strike everything amendment provides that it is unlawful for any "merchant" to directly observe or make use of video cameras or other surveillance devices to observe or record customers in the merchant's dressing room, fitting room, changing room, or rest room when such room provides a reasonable expectation of privacy. In order to violate the statute, a merchant must observe or videotape the customer in an area where the customer has a reasonable expectation of privacy. The test for determining whether a person has a reasonable expectation of privacy in a particular location is whether the individual has manifested a subjective expectation of privacy in the object of the challenged search and if so, whether society is prepared to recognize that expectation as reasonable. Katz v. United States, 389 U.S. 347, 88 S.Ct. 507 (1967). Thus, a merchant would likely be permitted to observe or videotape the common area outside of a dressing room or the inside of a dressing room on which there is not a door or curtain.
	• The strike everything amendment defines the term "merchant" as an owner or operator, or the agent, consignee, employee, lessee, or officer of an owner or operator, of any premises or apparatus used for retail purchase or sale of any merchandise.
	• The strike everything amendment provides that any merchant who violates this section is guilty of a first degree misdemeanor punishable by up to a year in jail or a \$1,000 fine.

Staff Director:

J. Willis Renuart

VII. <u>SIGNATURES</u>:

Trina Kramer

COMMITTEE ON CRIME AND PUNISHMENT: Prepared by: