Florida Senate - 1999

By the Committee on Fiscal Policy

301-1776C-99

-	
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act implementing the 1999-2000 General
3	Appropriations Act; providing legislative
4	intent; amending s. 216.292, F.S.; authorizing
5	the Department of Children and Family Services
6	and the Agency for Health Care Administration
7	to transfer general revenue funds between them;
8	providing that specified funds are to be used
9	to increase the adult mental health equity
10	funding in specified districts of the
11	Department of Children and Family Services and
12	are not subject to the provisions of s.
13	394.908, F.S.; amending s. 409.9115, F.S.;
14	specifying how the Agency for Health Care
15	Administration shall make payments for the
16	Medicaid disproportionate share program for
17	mental health hospitals; requiring the Agency
18	for Health Care Administration to use a
19	specified disproportionate share formula,
20	specified audited financial data, and a
21	specified Medicaid per diem rate in fiscal year
22	1999-2000 for qualifying hospitals; amending s.
23	409.9116, F.S.; providing a formula for rural
24	hospital disproportionate share payments;
25	amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the
26	Department of Children and Family Services and
27	the Department of Health to advance certain
28	moneys for certain contract services; directing
29	the Agency for Health Care Administration to
30	include health maintenance organization
31	recipients in the county billing for a
	1

1	specified purpose; authorizing the Departments
2	of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor
3	and Employment Security, and Health and the
4	Agency for Health Care Administration to
5	transfer positions and funds to comply with the
6	1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or the
7	WAGES Act; amending s. 216.181, F.S.;
8	authorizing the Department of Children and
9	Family Services to use certain funds for fixed
10	capital outlay expenditures to meet certain
11	federal standards; requiring the Agency for
12	Health Care Administration to take necessary
13	actions to ensure that expenditures for
14	Medicaid transportation do not exceed the
15	amount budgeted and to take certain steps if
16	that becomes impossible; amending s. 216.181,
17	F.S.; authorizing the Department of Law
18	Enforcement to transfer some positions and
19	associated budget and a certain percentage of
20	salary rate between budget entities and
21	providing requirements with respect thereto;
22	authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement
23	to participate in the model career service
24	classification and compensation system, subject
25	to certain conditions; authorizing the
26	Department of Law Enforcement to use certain
27	moneys to provide meritorious-performance
28	bonuses for employees, subject to approval;
29	authorizing the Correctional Privatization
30	Commission and the Department of Juvenile
31	Justice to make certain expenditures to defray

2

1	costs incurred by a municipality or county as a
2	result of opening a facility of the commission
3	or the department; amending s. 287.064, F.S.;
4	authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement
5	to finance, through the Comptroller's
6	consolidated major equipment financing program,
7	the purchase of certain equipment, software,
8	and services for the Florida Crime Information
9	Center; amending s. 212.20, F.S.; providing for
10	use of moneys allocated to the Solid Waste
11	Management Trust Fund; providing for certain
12	counties to use moneys received for aquatic
13	weed control for recycling purposes; amending
14	s. 403.7095, F.S.; revising the expiration date
15	of the solid waste management grant program;
16	requiring a specified level of funding for
17	counties receiving solid waste management and
18	recycling grants; providing for allocation of
19	funds for innovative programs to address
20	recycling practices and procedures; amending s.
21	110.1239, F.S.; providing requirements for the
22	funding of the state group health insurance
23	program; amending s. 373.59, F.S.; requiring
24	release of certain moneys by the Secretary of
25	Environmental Protection to water management
26	districts, upon request; amending s. 287.161,
27	F.S.; requiring the Department of Management
28	Services to charge all persons receiving
29	transportation from the executive aircraft pool
30	a specified rate; providing for deposit and use
31	of such fees; amending s. 15.09, F.S.;
	2

3

1	authorizing the appropriation of funds from the
2	Public Access Data Systems Trust Fund for the
3	operations of the Department of State; amending
4	s. 253.034, F.S.; authorizing the Department of
5	Transportation to sell certain property used by
б	the Department of Highway Safety and Motor
7	Vehicles; amending s. 334.0445, F.S.; revising
8	the expiration date for the model career
9	service classification and compensation plan;
10	amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the
11	Department of Transportation to transfer salary
12	rate to the turnpike budget entity to
13	facilitate transferring personnel to the
14	turnpike headquarters facility in Orange
15	County; amending ss. 601.02, 601.15, F.S.;
16	providing for transfer of funds to the
17	Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
18	for citrus canker eradication; prescribing
19	powers of the Commissioner of Education to
20	reorganize entities, duties, and functions
21	within the Department of Education; providing a
22	limitation; providing for a report; providing
23	for allocation of moneys provided for workforce
24	development and providing for budget amendment
25	when a program is moved; providing for future
26	repeal of various provisions; providing effect
27	of veto of specific appropriation or proviso to
28	which implementing language refers; providing
29	applicability to other legislation; providing
30	performance measures and standards for
31	individual programs within state agencies;

4

1	providing that the performance measures and
2	standards are directly linked to the
3	appropriations made in the 1999-2000 General
4	Appropriations Act, as required by the
5	Government Performance and Accountability Act
6	of 1994; providing severability; providing an
7	effective date.
8	
9	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
10	
11	Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that
12	the implementing and administering provisions of this act
13	apply to the General Appropriations Act for fiscal year
14	<u>1999-2000.</u>
15	Section 2. In order to implement Specific
16	Appropriations 212 through 425 of the 1999-2000 General
17	Appropriations Act, paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section
18	216.292, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to
19	read:
20	216.292 Appropriations nontransferable; exceptions
21	(1)
22	(b) For the <u>1999-2000</u> 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the
23	Department of Children and Family Services and the Agency for
24	Health Care Administration may transfer general revenue funds
25	as necessary to comply with any provision of the General
26	Appropriations Act that requires or specifically authorizes
27	the transfer of general revenue funds between these two
28	agencies. This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.
29	Section 3. In order to implement Specific
30	Appropriation 348 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
31	general revenue funds in the amount of \$11,665,879 are to be

1 used to increase the adult mental health equity funding in districts 4, 7, and 11 of the Department of Children and 2 3 Family Services and shall not be subject to the provisions of section 394.908, Florida Statutes, for the equity distribution 4 5 of these funds. б Section 4. In order to implement Specific 7 Appropriation 268 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 8 subsection (3) of section 409.9115, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 9 10 409.9115 Disproportionate share program for mental 11 health hospitals.--The Agency for Health Care Administration shall design and implement a system of making mental health 12 13 disproportionate share payments to hospitals that qualify for disproportionate share payments under s. 409.911. This system 14 of payments shall conform with federal requirements and shall 15 distribute funds in each fiscal year for which an 16 17 appropriation is made by making quarterly Medicaid payments. Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from 18 19 contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for 20 patients. 21 (3) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for 22 the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health 23 24 hospitals on a monthly basis. If the amounts appropriated for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health 25 hospitals are increased or decreased during the fiscal year 26 27 pursuant to the requirements of chapter 216, the required 28 adjustment shall be prorated over the remaining payment 29 periods. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 30 Section 5. During the 1999-2000 fiscal year, the 31 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the 1992-1993

6

1 disproportionate share formula, the 1989 audited financial data, and the Medicaid per diem rate as of January 1, 1992, 2 3 for those hospitals that qualify for the hospital 4 disproportionate share program funded in Specific 5 Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act. б This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 7 Section 6. In order to implement Specific 8 Appropriation 236 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (6) of section 409.9116, Florida Statutes, 1998 9 10 Supplement, is amended to read: 11 409.9116 Disproportionate share/financial assistance program for rural hospitals .-- In addition to the payments made 12 under s. 409.911, the Agency for Health Care Administration 13 shall administer a federally matched disproportionate share 14 program and a state-funded financial assistance program for 15 statutory rural hospitals. The agency shall make 16 17 disproportionate share payments to statutory rural hospitals 18 that qualify for such payments and financial assistance 19 payments to statutory rural hospitals that do not qualify for 20 disproportionate share payments. The disproportionate share 21 program payments shall be limited by and conform with federal requirements. In fiscal year 1993-1994, available funds shall 22 be distributed in one payment, as soon as practicable after 23 24 the effective date of this act. In subsequent fiscal years, 25 funds shall be distributed quarterly in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made. Notwithstanding the provisions 26 of s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward 27 28 the cost of this special reimbursement for hospitals serving a 29 disproportionate share of low-income patients. 30 (6) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

7

31 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the following

SB 2502

```
1
    formula for distribution of the funds in Specific
    Appropriation 236 <del>240</del> of the 1999-2000 <del>1998-1999</del> General
2
3
    Appropriations Act for the disproportionate share/financial
4
    assistance program for rural hospitals.
5
           (a) The agency shall first determine a preliminary
б
    payment amount for each rural hospital by allocating all
7
    available state funds using the following formula:
8
9
                    PDAER = (TAERH \times TARH) / STAERH
10
11
    Where:
           PDAER = preliminary distribution amount for each rural
12
13
    hospital.
           TAERH = total amount earned by each rural hospital.
14
15
           TARH = total amount appropriated or distributed under
    this section.
16
17
           STAERH = sum of total amount earned by each rural
18
    hospital.
19
           (b)
                Federal matching funds for the disproportionate
20
    share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals
21
    that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (a).
           (c) The state-funds-only payment amount is then
22
    calculated for each hospital using the formula:
23
24
           SFOER = Maximum value of (1) SFOL - PDAER or (2) 0
25
26
27
    Where:
28
           SFOER = state-funds-only payment amount for each rural
29
   hospital.
           SFOL = state-funds-only payment level, which is set at
30
31 4 percent of TARH.
```

1 (d) The adjusted total amount allocated to the rural 2 disproportionate share program shall then be calculated using 3 the following formula: 4 5 ATARH = (TARH - SSFOER) б 7 Where: 8 ATARH = adjusted total amount appropriated or distributed under this section. 9 10 SSFOER = sum of the state-funds-only payment amount 11 calculated under paragraph (c) for all rural hospitals. (e) The determination of the amount of rural 12 13 disproportionate share hospital funds is calculated by the following formula: 14 15 16 TDAERH = [(TAERH x ATARH)/STAERH]17 18 Where: 19 TDAERH = total distribution amount for each rural 20 hospital. 21 (f) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 22 23 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (e). 24 (g) State-funds-only payment amounts calculated under paragraph (c) are then added to the results of paragraph (f) 25 26 to determine the total distribution amount for each rural 27 hospital. 28 (h) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 29 Section 7. In order to implement Specific 30 Appropriations 292 through 425 and 445 through 540 of the 31 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1 subsection (15) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 2 Supplement, is amended to read: 3 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 4 capital outlay .--5 (15) б (c) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 7 funds appropriated to the Department of Children and Family 8 Services in Specific Appropriations 292 293 through 425 446A 9 and the Department of Health in Specific Appropriations 445 10 466 through 540 555 of the 1999-2000 1998-1999 General 11 Appropriations Act may be advanced, unless specifically prohibited in such General Appropriations Act, for those 12 13 contracted services that were approved for advancement by the Comptroller in fiscal year 1993-1994, including those services 14 contracted on a fixed-price or unit cost basis. This 15 paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 16 17 Section 8. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 18 19 and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall include health maintenance 20 organization recipients in the county billing for inpatient 21 hospital stays for the purpose of shared costs with counties 22 in accordance with the Florida Statutes. This section is 23 24 repealed on July 1, 2000. 25 Section 9. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Departments of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor 26 27 and Employment Security, and Health and the Agency for Health Care Administration may transfer positions and general revenue 28 29 funds as necessary to comply with any provision of the 30 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or WAGES Act which 31 requires or specifically authorizes the transfer of positions

10

1 and general revenue funds between these agencies. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 2 3 Section 10. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 420 through 425 of the 1999-2000 General 4 5 Appropriations Act, subsection (16) of section 216.181, б Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 7 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 8 capital outlay .--9 (16) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to 10 the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 11 the Department of Children and Family Services is authorized to use operating funds budgeted for Developmental Services 12 13 Institutions for fixed capital outlay expenditures as needed to bring any currently unlicensed beds up to Federal 14 Intermediate Care Facility for the Developmentally Disabled 15 licensure standards. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 16 17 2000 1999. Section 11. In order to implement Specific 18 19 Appropriation 255 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 20 the Agency for Health Care Administration shall take any necessary lawfully authorized action to ensure that total 21 expenditures for Medicaid transportation remain within the 22 amount budgeted in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act. 23 24 In the event that the agency finds that it is impossible to 25 constrain Medicaid transportation expenditures to within the budgeted amount, it shall notify the Legislature of this and 26 27 provide suggestions for statutory revisions necessary to alleviate future deficits as well as a description of all 28 29 action taken under its current authority. This section is 30 repealed on July 1, 2000. 31

11

Section 12. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, subsection (17) of section 216.181,
Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:
216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed
capital outlay
(17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this
section to the contrary, and for the $1999-2000$ $1998-1999$
fiscal year only, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement
may transfer up to 20 positions and associated budget between
budget entities, provided the same funding source is used
throughout each transfer. The department may also transfer up
to 10 percent of the initial approved salary rate between
budget entities, provided the same funding source is used
throughout each transfer. The department must provide notice
to the Executive Office of the Governor, the chair of the
Senate <u>Budget</u> Ways and Means Committee, and the chair of the
House Committee on Criminal Justice Appropriations for all
transfers of positions or salary rate. This subsection is
repealed on July 1, <u>2000</u> 1999 .
Section 13. For the purpose of implementing Specific
Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, beginning July 1, 1999, the Florida
Department of Law Enforcement, with approval of the Executive
Office of the Governor and in consultation with the Department
of Management Services, legislative appropriation and

27 personnel committees, and the affected certified bargaining

28 units, is authorized to participate in the model career

- 29 service classification and compensation system as authorized
- 30 by section 334.0445, Florida Statutes, which is hereby

1 continued through June 30, 2000, for this purpose. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 2 3 Section 14. Consistent with the provisions of section 216.163, Florida Statutes, in accordance with 4 5 performance-based program budgeting requirements, and б notwithstanding the provisions of section 216.181, Florida 7 Statutes, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement may 8 transfer up to one-half of 1 percent of the funds in Specific Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General 9 10 Appropriations Act for lump-sum salary bonuses for 11 departmental employees at the discretion of the executive director, provided that such bonuses are given only to 12 selected employees for meritorious performance, instead of 13 being given as across-the-board bonuses for all employees. The 14 department, after consultation with the Executive Office of 15 the Governor, shall provide a plan to the chair of the House 16 17 Fiscal Responsibility Council and to the chair of the Senate Budget Committee for approval before awarding such bonuses. 18 19 This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. Section 15. In order to implement Specific 20 Appropriation 573 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 21 the Correctional Privatization Commission and the Department 22 of Juvenile Justice may expend appropriated funds to assist in 23 24 defraying the costs of impacts that are incurred by a 25 municipality or county and associated with opening a facility under the authority of the Correctional Privatization 26 27 Commission or a facility under the authority of the Department 28 of Juvenile Justice which is located within that municipality 29 or county. The amount that is to be paid under this section for any facility may not exceed 1 percent of the facility 30 construction cost, less building impact fees imposed by the 31 13

2

municipality, or by the county if the facility is located in the unincorporated portion of the county. This section is

3 <u>repealed on July 1, 2000.</u>
4 Section 16. In order to implement Specific

5 Appropriation 984A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 6 Act, subsection (9) of section 287.064, Florida Statutes, 1998 7 Supplement, is amended to read:

8 287.064 Consolidated financing of deferred-payment 9 purchases.--

10 (9) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the 11 Department of Law Enforcement is authorized, upon approval of the Comptroller, to finance through the Comptroller's 12 13 consolidated master equipment financing program the purchase of equipment, software, application development services, 14 support services, project management services, and system 15 integration services for the Florida Crime Information Center. 16 17 This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 17. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 1185 and 1189 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, subsection (7) of section 212.20, Florida
Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

22 212.20 Funds collected, disposition; additional powers 23 of department; operational expense; refund of taxes 24 adjudicated unconstitutionally collected.--

(7) For the <u>1999-2000</u> 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the use of funds allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust Fund shall be as provided in the General Appropriations Act. There is transferred<u>\$11.4</u>\$11.2 million for surface water improvement and management projects and \$8 million for the aquatic weed control program from revenues provided by this section. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

14

2

3

4 5

б

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

Section 18. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, counties receiving funds for aquatic weed control as provided by section 212.20(7), Florida Statutes, may use these funds for recycling purposes. This authorization expires June 30, 2000. Section 19. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsections (8) and (9) of section 403.7095, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, are amended to read: 403.7095 Solid waste management grant program.--(8) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999, the department shall provide counties with populations under 100,000 with at least 80 percent of the level of funding they received in fiscal year 1997-1998 for solid waste management and recycling grants. (9) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999, the department shall provide 10 percent of the total funds available after the requirements of subsection (8) are met for recycling grants available to all counties on a competitive basis for innovative programs. The department may consider one or more of the following criteria in determining whether a grant proposal is innovative: (a) Demonstrate advanced technologies or processes. Collect and recycle materials targeted by the (b)

27 department.

(c) Demonstrate substantial improvement in program cost-effectiveness and efficiency as measured against statewide average costs for the same or similar programs.

15

1 (d) Demonstrate transferability of technology and 2 processes used in program. 3 (e) Demonstrate and implement multicounty or regional 4 recycling programs. 5 Section 20. In order to implement Specific б Appropriation 1535A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 7 Act, section 110.1239, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is 8 amended to read: 9 110.1239 State group health insurance program 10 funding.--For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, it is 11 the intent of the Legislature that the state group health insurance program be managed, administered, operated, and 12 13 funded in such a manner as to maximize the protection of state employee health insurance benefits. Inherent in this intent is 14 15 the recognition that the health insurance liabilities attributable to the benefits offered state employees should be 16 17 fairly, orderly, and equitably funded. Accordingly: (1) The division shall determine the level of premiums 18 19 necessary to fully fund the state group health insurance 20 program for the next fiscal year. Such determination shall be 21 made after each revenue estimating conference on health 22 insurance as provided in s. 216.136(1), but not later than December 1 and April 1 of each fiscal year. 23 24 (2) The Governor, in the Governor's recommended 25 budget, shall provide premium rates necessary for full funding of the state group health insurance program, and the 26 Legislature shall provide in the General Appropriations Act 27 28 for a premium level necessary for full funding of the state 29 group health insurance program. (3) For purposes of funding, any additional 30 31 appropriation amounts allocated to the state group health 16

1 insurance program by the Legislature shall be considered as a 2 state contribution and thus an increase in the state premiums. 3 (4) This section is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 4 Section 21. In order to implement Specific 5 Appropriation 1205 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations б Act, subsection (17) of section 373.59, Florida Statutes, 1998 7 Supplement, is amended to read: 8 373.59 Water Management Lands Trust Fund. --9 (17) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to 10 the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 11 the governing board of a water management district may request, and the Secretary of Environmental Protection shall 12 release upon such request, moneys allocated to the districts 13 pursuant to subsection (8) for the purpose of carrying out the 14 provisions of ss. 373.451-373.4595. No funds may be used 15 pursuant to this subsection until necessary debt service 16 17 obligations and requirements for payments in lieu of taxes 18 that may be required pursuant to this section are provided 19 for. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 20 Section 22. In order to implement Specific 21 Appropriations 1928 through 1931 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (4) of section 287.161, Florida 22 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 23 24 287.161 Executive aircraft pool; assignment of 25 aircraft; charge for transportation. --(4) Notwithstanding the requirements of subsections 26 27 (2) and (3) and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 28 the Department of Management Services shall charge all persons 29 receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a rate not less than the mileage allowance fixed by the 30 31 Legislature for the use of privately owned vehicles. Fees 17

collected for persons traveling by aircraft in the executive aircraft pool shall be deposited into the Bureau of Aircraft Trust Fund and shall be expended for costs incurred to operate the aircraft management activities of the department. It is the intent of the Legislature that the executive aircraft pool be operated on a full cost recovery basis, less available

7 funds. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000
8 1999.

9 Section 23. In order to implement Specific
10 Appropriations 2037 through 2095 of the 1999-2000 General
11 Appropriations Act, paragraph (b) of subsection (5) of section
12 15.09, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:
13 15.09 Fees.--

(5)

1

2

3

4 5

6

14

For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 15 (b) funds from the Public Access Data Systems Trust Fund may be 16 17 appropriated for the operations of the department. This 18 paragraph expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 19 Section 24. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1412-1529 of the 1999-2000 General 20 21 Appropriations Act, subsection (9) of section 253.034, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 22

23 253.034 State-owned lands; uses.--

(9) Notwithstanding any provision of this section or s. 253.111 to the contrary, the Department of Transportation may sell, at fair market value, the following described state real property utilized by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles:

29

From the NW Corner of Section 28 Township 22
South, Range 30 East, run North 89 degrees 21

18

minutes 24 seconds East 1900 feet; thence run South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 59.45 feet for a point of beginning, said point being on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds West along the North line of
59.45 feet for a point of beginning, said point being on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
being on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21
-
minutes 24 seconds West along the North line of
said Section 28, to a 4-inch concrete monument
on the Southerly right-of-way line of State
Road No. 50, being North 89 degrees 21 minutes
24 seconds East 2315.27 feet from the NW Corner
of said Section 28; thence run Westerly 419.59
feet along the arc of a 0 degree 44 minutes 25
seconds curve concave to the Northwesterly,
(having a central angle of 3 degrees 6 minutes
22 seconds, the long chord bearing South 81
degrees 08 minutes 37 seconds West 419.50 feet)
to the point of beginning. All of the above
described land being in the NE $1/4$ of the NW
1/4 of said Section 28, Orange County,
Florida.
Proceeds from the sale shall be deposited in the State
Transportation Trust Fund. The Board of Trustees of the
Internal Improvement Trust Fund shall execute and deliver a
deed of conveyance for the purpose of carrying into effect a
19

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

а

2 3

4 5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

contract or agreement of sale. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. Section 25. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (1) of section 334.0445, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 334.0445 Model career service classification and compensation plan. --(1) Effective July 1, 1994, the Legislature grants to the Department of Transportation in consultation with the Department of Management Services, the Executive Office of the Governor, legislative appropriations committees, legislative personnel committees, and the affected certified bargaining unions, the authority on a pilot basis to develop and implement a model career service classification and compensation system. Such system shall be developed for use by all state agencies. Authorization for this program will be through June 30, 2000 for 3 fiscal years beginning July 1, 1994, and ending June 30, 1997; however, the department may elect or be directed by the Legislature to return to the current system at anytime during this period if the model system does not meet the stated goals and objectives. This subsection expires July 1, 2000. Section 26. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (17) is added to section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, to read: 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay .--

29 capita

30 (17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this

31 chapter to the contrary, the Florida Department of

20

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

Transportation, in order to facilitate the transfer of personnel to the new turnpike headquarters location in Orange County, may transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity from other departmental budget entities. The department must provide documentation of all transfers to the Executive Office of the Governor, the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, and the Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Economic Development Appropriations. This subsection expires July 1, 2000. Section 27. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1653A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 601.02, Florida Statutes, to read: 601.02 Purposes.--(1) This chapter is passed:

15 (a)(1) In the exercise of the police power to protect 16 health and welfare and to stabilize and protect the citrus 17 industry of the state.

(b)(2) Because the planting, growing, cultivating, 18 19 spraying, pruning, and fertilizing of citrus groves and the 20 harvesting, hauling, processing, packing, canning, and concentrating of the citrus crop produced thereon is the major 21 agricultural enterprise of Florida and, together with the sale 22 and distribution of said crop, affects the health, morals, and 23 24 general economy of a vast number of citizens of the state who 25 are either directly or indirectly dependent thereon for a livelihood, and said business is therefore of vast public 26 27 interest.

28 (c)(3) Because it is wise, necessary, and expedient to 29 protect and enhance the quality and reputation of Florida 30 citrus fruit and the canned and concentrated products thereof 31 in domestic and foreign markets.

21

1 (d) (d) (4) To provide means whereby producers, packers, 2 canners, and concentrators of citrus fruit and the canned and 3 concentrated products thereof may secure prompt and efficient inspection and classification of grades of citrus fruit and 4 5 the canned and concentrated products thereof at reasonable б costs, it being hereby recognized that the standardization of the citrus fruit industry of Florida by the proper grading and 7 classification of citrus fruit and the canned and concentrated 8 9 products thereof by prompt and efficient inspection under 10 competent authority is beneficial alike to producer, packer, shipper, canner, concentrator, carrier, receiver, and consumer 11 in that it furnishes them prima facie evidence of the quality 12 13 and condition of such products and informs the carrier and 14 receiver of the quality of the products carried and received 15 by them and assures the ultimate consumer of the quality of 16 the products purchased.

17 (e) (e) (5) To enable citrus producers collectively to pay assessments to fund marketing and research programs for the 18 19 direct benefit of the citrus industry of this state. It is 20 the intent of the Legislature that all funds collected under this chapter and the interest accrued on such funds are 21 consideration for a social contract between the state and the 22 citrus growers of the state whereby the state must hold such 23 24 funds in trust and inviolate and use them only for the 25 purposes prescribed in this chapter.

 $\frac{(f)(6)}{(6)}$ To stabilize the Florida citrus industry and to protect the public against fraud, deception, and financial loss through unscrupulous practices and haphazard methods in connection with the processing and marketing of citrus fruit and the canned or concentrated products thereof.

31

22

1 (g) (7) Because said act is designed to promote the 2 general welfare of the Florida citrus industry, which in turn 3 will promote the general welfare and social and political 4 economy of the state. 5 6 In the event any word, phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, or 7 section of this chapter is declared unconstitutional by any 8 court of competent jurisdiction, then such declaration of such 9 unconstitutionality shall not affect the remainder of this 10 chapter, and the unconstitutional portion shall be considered 11 severable, it being the intent of the Legislature that the remainder of this chapter shall continue in full force and 12 13 effect. 14 (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1)(e), for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, funds may be transferred, as 15 reflected in the General Appropriations Act, from the Citrus 16 17 Advertising Trust Fund to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for the purpose of citrus canker 18 19 eradication. This subsection expires July 1, 2000. 20 Section 28. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1653A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations 21 22 Act, subsection (11) is added to section 601.15, Florida 23 Statutes, to read: 24 601.15 Advertising campaign; methods of conducting; 25 excise tax; emergency reserve fund; citrus research .--(11) Notwithstanding subsection (1), for the 1999-2000 26 fiscal year only, funds may be transferred, as reflected in 27 28 the General Appropriations Act, from the Citrus Advertising 29 Trust Fund to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services for the purpose of citrus canker eradication. This 30 31 subsection expires July 1, 2000.

23

1	Section 29. The Commissioner of Education is
2	authorized to establish, abolish, or consolidate bureaus,
3	sections, and subsections and to reallocate duties and
4	functions within the Department of Education in order to
5	promote effective and efficient operation of the department.
б	Authorized positions and appropriations may be transferred
7	from one budget entity to another as required to implement the
8	reorganization. The provisions of this section are subject to
9	the requirements of section 216.181, Florida Statutes. The
10	commissioner may not establish, abolish, or consolidate
11	bureaus, sections, or subsections after January 31, 2000. The
12	commissioner shall provide a report on the reorganization to
13	the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of
14	Representatives, the Minority Leaders of the Senate and the
15	House of Representatives, and the chairmen of the education
16	and appropriations committees of the Legislature by January
17	31, 2001. This section expires July 1, 2000.
18	Section 30. The funds provided in the 1999-2000
19	General Appropriations Act for workforce development shall be
20	initially allocated to the school district or community
21	college as designated. If, for any reason, a program in whole
22	or in part is moved from a community college to a school
23	district or moved from a school district to a community
24	college, the Commissioner of Education or the executive
25	director of the Division of Community Colleges shall submit a
26	budget amendment pursuant to chapter 216, Florida Statutes, to
27	transfer the appropriate amount of the 1999-2000 appropriation
28	between the affected district and community college. The
29	amount transferred shall be as near as practicable to the
30	actual amount appropriated for the FTE funded for that
31	program. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.

1	Section 31. A section of this act that implements a
2	specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso
3	language in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void
4	if the specific appropriation or specifically identified
5	proviso language is vetoed. A section of this act that
б	implements more than one specific appropriation or more than
7	one portion of specifically identified proviso language in the
8	1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void if all the
9	specific appropriations or portions of specifically identified
10	proviso language are vetoed.
11	Section 32. If any other act passed during the 1999
12	Regular Session of the Legislature or any extension thereof
13	contains a provision that is substantively the same as a
14	provision in this act, but that removes or is otherwise not
15	subject to the future repeal applied to such provision by this
16	act, the Legislature intends that the provision in the other
17	act shall take precedence and shall continue to operate,
18	notwithstanding the future repeal provided by this act.
19	Section 33. The performance measures and standards
20	established in this section for individual programs in
21	Education shall be applied to those programs for the 1999-2000
22	fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are
23	directly linked to the appropriations made in the General
24	Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000, as required by
25	the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
26	(1) PUBLIC SCHOOLS
27	(a) For the Pre-Kindergarten Program, the purpose of
28	which is to prepare children for success in school, the
29	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 5, 6, and 109 are as follows:
	25

1	1. PRE-KINDERGARTEN OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Number and percentage of kindergarten and first
3	grade students meeting state expectations for readiness(TBD)
4	b. For the Kindergarten through Twelfth Grade (K-12)
5	Program, the purpose of which is to provide children and youth
6	with the sound education needed to grow to a satisfying and
7	productive adulthood, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 3, 108-110, 112, 115, 117,
10	117A, 117B, and 118 are as follows:
11	2. K-12 OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Number and percentage of a student cohort who
13	graduates from high school, as defined in statute(110,027;
14	52.65%)
15	b. Number and percentage of students 16 years or older
16	who were reported as dropouts
17	c. Number and percentage of recent graduates who meet
18	the state levels in reading, writing, and mathematics for
19	placement into college-level courses(Reading 31,135, 76.2%;
20	Writing 31,992, 78%; Mathematics 28,890,
21	<u>71.9%)</u>
22	d. Number and percentage of graduates residing in
23	Florida who, within 6 months after graduation, are employed,
24	enrolled in postsecondary programs, or enlisted in the
25	military(TBD)
26	e. Median learning gains of students in grades 3-10,
27	as measured by FCAT(TBD)
28	f. Median learning gains for students scoring at or
29	below the 25th percentile on FCAT
30	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1
31	year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD)
	26

1	h. Number and percentage of students absent 11 to 20
2	days(Elementary
3	272,402, 20.3%; Middle 135,672, 22.7%; High 135,729, 21.3%;
4	Alternative (TBD); Exceptional Education 2,652, 8.3%)
5	i. Number and percentage of students absent 21 or more
б	days each year(Elementary 116,811, 8.7%; Middle 93,417,
7	15.6%; High 122,359,
8	19.1%; Alternative (TBD); Exceptional Education 27.8%)
9	j. Number and percentage of incidents of violence,
10	weapons violations, vandalism, substance abuse, and harassment
11	on the bus, on campus, and at school-sponsored activities
12	(TBD)
13	k. Number and percent of teachers teaching more than 1
14	class out-of-field during a school term(TBD)
15	1. Number and percent of teachers with a major or
16	minor in the subject area in which they are teaching(TBD)
17	m. Number and percent of teachers who have earned a
18	degree beyond the bachelor's level in the subject area in
19	which they are employed to teach(TBD)
20	n. Number and percent of teachers receiving more than
21	2 days staff development training during the contract year
22	(TBD)
23	o. Number and percent of teachers with National
24	<u>Teacher's Certification</u>
25	p. Meeting attendance rate of school advisory council
26	members(TBD)
27	q. Number and percent of school advisory councils,
28	demonstrating by vote, participation in spending of the \$10
29	per unweighted FTE in lottery funds appropriated by the
30	Legislature for use by the councils
31	

1 r. Number and percent of schools having an active PTO 2 or PTA.....(TBD) 3 3. K-12 OUTPUT MEASURES.-a. Average number of days teachers and administrators 4 5 were not in attendance at school for reasons classified as б personal leave, sick leave, and temporary duty elsewhere.(TBD) 7 (2) COMMUNITY COLLEGES.--8 (a) For the Associate of Arts (AA) Program, the purpose of which is to provide freshman and sophomore classes 9 10 that enable transfers to a university primarily, and 11 secondarily, improve job skills, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 12 13 funds provided in Specific Appropriations 7, 153, and 154 are as follows: 14 1. ASSOCIATE OF ARTS OUTCOME MEASURES.--15 a. Percent of AA degree graduates who transfer to a 16 17 state university within 2 years.....(65%) 18 Percent of AA degree transfers to the State b. 19 University System who earn a 2.5 or above in the SUS after a 20 c. Percent of AA graduates who are employed and have 21 22 d. Of the AA students completing 18 credit hours, the 23 24 2. ASSOCIATE OF ARTS OUTPUT MEASURES.--25 a. Number of AA degrees granted.....(29,000) 26 27 Percentage of students graduating with total b. accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 120% 28 29 of the degree requirement.....(36%)

- 30 (b) For the College Preparatory Program, the purpose
- 31 of which is to provide underprepared students with
 - 28

1 communication and computation skills so they are prepared to enter college level courses, the outcome measures, output 2 3 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 7, 153, and 154 are 4 5 as follows: 1. COLLEGE PREPARATORY OUTCOME MEASURES. -б 7 a. Percentage of students exiting the 8 college-preparatory program who enter college-level course 9 work associated with the AA, Associate of Science (AS), Postsecondary Vocational Certificate, and Postsecondary Adult 10 11 Vocational programs.....(TBD) b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State 12 University System who started in College Prep and who earn a 13 14 2.5 in the SUS after 1 year.....(71%) 15 (3) STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM. --(a) For the Instruction Program, the purpose of which 16 is to transmit knowledge, skills, and competencies that allow 17 eligible individuals to become practicing professionals or to 18 19 pursue further academic endeavors, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with 20 21 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 8A-8D and 180 are as follows: 22 1. INSTRUCTION OUTCOME MEASURES.--23 24 a. Graduation rate for First Time In College (FTIC) 25 students, using a 6-year rate.....(60%) 26 b. Retention rate for FTIC students, using a 6-year 27 rate.....(71%) c. Graduation rate for AA transfer students, using a 28 29 4-year rate......(69%) d. Retention rate for AA transfer students, using a 30 31 4-year rate......(80%)

29

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	e. Percentage of students graduating with total
2	accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 115%
3	of the degree requirement(61%)
4	f. Pass rate on licensure/certification exams, for the
5	first sitting(TBD)
6	g. Percentage of graduates remaining in Florida(TBD)
7	h. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the
8	percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 1 year following
9	graduation(45%)
10	i. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the
11	percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 5 years following
12	graduation
13	j. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in
14	graduate school upon completion of the baccalaureate degree
15	
16	2. INSTRUCTION OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of degrees granted, by level(TBD)
18	b. Percentage of classes taught by state-funded ranked
19	faculty members(TBD)
20	c. Percent of qualified Florida students, those
21	applicants meeting BOR admission standards, admitted as FTIC
22	students
23	d. Percent of FTICs admitted as alternative admits
24	
25	e. Percent of alternative admits who are out-of-state
26	students(14.8%)
27	(b) For the Research Program, the purpose of which is
28	to direct research toward solving technical, social, and
29	economic problems facing the state and the nation, the outcome
30	measures, output measures, and associated performance
31	

1 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 2 Appropriations 8A-8D and 180-183A are as follows: 3 1. RESEARCH OUTCOME MEASURES. --4 a. Externally-generated research and training grant 5 funds (federal, state, local, business, and industry) per б state-funded ranked faculty full-time equivalent (FTE); 7 Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS); and the 8 Health Science Centers to be reflected separately.....(TBD) 9 b. Ratio of externally-generated research and training 10 grant funds to state research funds; IFAS and Health Science 11 Centers to be reflected separately.....(TBD) 2. RESEARCH OUTPUT MEASURES.--12 a. Average number of articles in refereed journals per 13 ranked faculty; IFAS and Health Science Centers to be 14 reflected separately.....(TBD) 15 (c) For the Public Service Program, the purpose of 16 17 which is to apply the expertise of university personnel in solving public problems, the outcome measures, output 18 19 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 8A-8D and 180-183 20 21 are as follows: 1. PUBLIC SERVICE OUTCOME MEASURES.--22 a. For IFAS only, the percent of public service 23 24 projects where the beneficiary is satisfied or highly 25 satisfied with the extension assistance......(98%) PUBLIC SERVICE OUTPUT MEASURES.--26 2. 27 The number and percentage of Florida's public a. 28 schools assisted.....(TBD) 29 WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT. --(4) 30 (a) For the Workforce Development Education Program, 31 the purpose of which is to respond to emerging local and

31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

statewide economic development needs by providing workforce 1 development programs, the outcome measures, output measures, 2 3 and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriation 148 are as follows: 4 5 WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME MEASURES .--1. Number and percent of vocational certificate 6 a. 7 program completers who left the program and are found placed 8 according to the following definitions: 9 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 10 11 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter.....(12,227, 42.6%) 12 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 13 employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing 14 education in a college credit-level program.....(4,369, 15.2%) 15 (III) Level I - Completed any program not included in 16 17 Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military, or continuing their education at the vocational certificate 18 19 level......(10,801, 37.6%) Number of targeted population vocational 20 b. 21 certificate program completers who left the program and are found placed and disaggregated by targeted population: 22 WAGES clients......(694) 23 (I) (II) Economically disadvantaged.....(4,193) 24 (III) Limited English proficient......(1,491) 25 (IV) Dislocated worker.....(760) 26 27 Disabled individuals.....(591) (V) Number and percent of applied technology diploma 28 с. 29 program completers who left the program and are found placed 30 according to the following definitions: 31

1(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high2wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found3employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(TBD)4(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new5entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found6employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing7education in a college credit-level program(TBD)8d. Number and percent of associate in science degree9and college-credit certificate program completers who left the10program and are found placed according to the following11definitions:12(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high13wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found14employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%)15(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new16entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found17employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education18in a college credit-level program(1,351,1911.3%)20(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in
<pre>employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(TBD) (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing education in a college credit-level program(TBD) ad. Number and percent of associate in science degree and college-credit certificate program completers who left the program and are found placed according to the following definitions: (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) (II) Level III - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education in a college credit-level program(1,351, 11.3%)</pre>
4 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 5 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 6 employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing 7 education in a college credit-level program(TBD) 8 d. Number and percent of associate in science degree 9 and college-credit certificate program completers who left the 10 program and are found placed according to the following 11 definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter
<pre>5 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 6 employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing 7 education in a college credit-level program(TBD) 8 d. Number and percent of associate in science degree 9 and college-credit certificate program completers who left the 10 program and are found placed according to the following 11 definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)</pre>
employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing education in a college credit-level program(TBD) <u>d. Number and percent of associate in science degree</u> and college-credit certificate program completers who left the program and are found placed according to the following <u>definitions:</u> (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) (II) Level III - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education in a college credit-level program(1,351, 11.3%)
<pre>7 education in a college credit-level program(TBD) 8 d. Number and percent of associate in science degree 9 and college-credit certificate program completers who left the 10 program and are found placed according to the following 11 definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)</pre>
8 d. Number and percent of associate in science degree 9 and college-credit certificate program completers who left the 10 program and are found placed according to the following 11 definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) 10 Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program
9 and college-credit certificate program completers who left the program and are found placed according to the following definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education in a college credit-level program(1,351, 11.3%)
10 program and are found placed according to the following 11 definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%) 11.3%
11 definitions: 12 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)
(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)
13 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)
<pre>14 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%) 15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)</pre>
<pre>15 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new 16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)</pre>
<pre>16 entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)</pre>
<pre>17 employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education 18 in a college credit-level program(1,351, 19 11.3%)</pre>
18 in a college credit-level program
19 11.3%)
20 <u>(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in</u>
21 Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military,
22 or continuing their education at the vocational certificate
23 <u>level(1,661, 13.9%)</u>
24 e. Number of targeted population associate in science
25 program completers who left the program and are found
26 placed-disaggregated by targeted populations:
27 (I) Wages clients(71)
28 (II) Economically disadvantaged(690)
29 (III) Limited English proficient(331)
30 <u>(IV)</u> Dislocated worker(259)
31 (V) Disabled individuals(274)

1	f. Number and percent of completers who are retained
2	in employment 1 year after found placed:
3	(I) Vocational certificate(TBD)
4	(II) Applied technology diploma(TBD)
5	(III) Associate in science degree(TBD)
6	2. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Number of vocational certificate program completers
8	
9	b. Number of occupational completion points completed
10	in vocational certificate programs
11	c. Number of occupational completion points achieved
12	in apprenticeship programs(4,031)
13	d. Number of program completers in associate in
14	science degree and college credit certificate programs
15	
16	e. Number of associate in science degrees granted
17	
18	f. Number of occupational completion points completed
19	in applied technology diploma programs(TBD)
20	(b) For the Adult General Education Program, the
21	purpose of which is to respond to emerging local and statewide
22	economic development needs by providing adult general
23	education courses, outcome measures, output measures, and
24	associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriation 148 are as follows:
26	1. ADULT GENERAL EDUCATION OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Number and percent of adult basic education,
28	including English as a Second Language, literacy completion
29	point completers who left the program and are found according
30	to the following definitions:
31	

_	
1	(I) Level II - Found employed at \$3,900 or more per
2	quarter, or found continuing education at the adult secondary,
3	vocational certificate, or college-credit levels(TBD)
4	(II) Level I - Found in employment not included in
5	Level II or continuing education at the adult basic education
6	level(TBD)
7	b. Number of adult secondary education literacy
8	completion point completers who left the program and are found
9	placed according to the following definitions:
10	(I) Level II - Found employed at \$3,900 or more per
11	quarter, or found continuing education at the adult secondary,
12	vocational certificate, or college-credit levels(Adult High
13	<u>School, 18,816; GED, 3,677)</u>
14	(II) Level I - Found in employment not included in
15	Level II or continuing education at the adult basic education
16	level(Adult High
17	School, 54,410; GED, 7,474)
18	2. ADULT GENERAL EDUCATION OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number of literacy completion points completed in
20	Adult Basic Education and Adult Secondary Program(TBD)
21	b. Number of literacy completion points completed
22	disaggregated by targeted population (WAGES Clients,
23	Economically Disadvantaged, Limited English Proficient,
24	Dislocated Worker, Disabled Individuals) for Adult Basic,
25	Adult High School, and GED(TBD)
26	Section 34. The performance measures and standards
27	established in this section for individual programs in Human
28	Services agencies shall be applied to those programs for the
29	1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and
30	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
31	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as
	25

1 required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994. 2 3 (1) AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION.--(a) 4 For the Medicaid Health Services Program, the 5 purpose of which is to provide timely primary medical care to 6 categorically eligible clients under the Supplemental Security 7 Income (SSI) program, the Temporary Assistance for Needy 8 Families (TANF) program, the Institutional Care Program (ICP), and for those persons eligible under other provisions of 9 10 federal or state law, in order to prevent more critical health 11 care problems and to increase access to such care where access is restricted, the outcome measures, output measures, and 12 associated performance standards with respect to funds 13 provided in Specific Appropriations 224-279 are as follows: 14 15 HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND 1. WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES .--16 17 Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care a. 18 19 Neonatal mortality rate.....(per 1,000)(4.86) b. Percent of vaginal deliveries with no complications 20 c. 21 Average length of time between pregnancies for 22 d. those receiving family planning services (months).....(37.4) 23 24 HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND 2. 25 WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES. --Number of women receiving prenatal care...(137,130) 26 a. Number of vaginal deliveries......(64,152) 27 b. Number of women receiving family planning services 28 с. 29(136,197) 30 HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTCOME MEASURES .--3. 31

36

1 a. Percent of eligible children who received all 2 required components of EPSDT screen.....(64%) 3 Percent of hospitalizations for conditions b. 4 5 Ratio of children hospitalized for mental health с. б care to those receiving mental health services......(6.8%) 7 HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTPUT MEASURES. --4. 8 Number of children ages 1-20 enrolled in Medicaid а. 9(1,119,745) b. Number of children receiving mental health services 10 11 c. Number of children receiving EPSDT services 12 13 d. Number of services by major type of service: 14 Hospital inpatient services.....(39,828) 15 (I) (II) Physician services.....(3,475,670) 16 17 (III) Prescribed drugs......(2,875,949) 5. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS 18 19 (NON-DISABLED) OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions 20 21 22 6. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS (NON-DISABLED) OUTPUT MEASURES. --23 24 a. Percent of non-disabled adults receiving a service 25 HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS 26 7. 27 OUTCOME MEASURES. --Percent of hospitalizations for conditions 28 a. 29

30 8. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS

31 OUTPUT MEASURES.--

37

1	a. Percent of enrolled disabled adults receiving a
2	service
3	9. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Percent of hospital stays for elder recipients
5	exceeding length of stay criteria
6	b. Percent of elder recipients in long-term care who
7	improve or maintain activities of daily living (ADL)
8	functioning to those receiving mental health services(TBD)
9	10. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number enrolled in long term care waivers(9,766)
11	b. Number of elders receiving mental health care
12	
13	c. Number of services by major type of service:
14	(I) Hospital inpatient services
15	(II) Physician services(1,285,488)
16	(III) Prescribed drugs(8,337,539)
17	11. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTCOME
18	MEASURES
19	a. Percent of new recipients voluntarily selecting
20	managed care plan(75%)
21	b. Percent of programs with cost effectiveness
22	determined annually(5%)
23	12. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTPUT
24	MEASURES
25	a. Number of new provider applications(10,600)
26	b. Number of new enrollees provided choice counseling
27	
28	c. Number of providers
29	13. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTCOME
30	MEASURES
31	

1	
1	a. Average length of time between receipt of clean
2	claim and payment (days)(16)
3	b. Percent increase in dollars recovered annually.(5%)
4	c. Amount of recoveries(\$19,275,043)
5	d. Cost avoided because of identification of
6	third-party coverage:
7	(I) Commercial coverage(\$197,493,244)
8	(II) Medicare(\$694,234,790)
9	14. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTPUT
10	MEASURES
11	a. Number of claims received
12	b. Number of claims processed(65,400,797)
13	c. Number of claims denied
14	d. Number of fraud and abuse cases opened(3,776)
15	e. Number of fraud and abuse cases closed(4,683)
16	f. Number of referrals to the Medicaid Fraud Control
17	Unit/Attorney General's Office(175)
18	(b) For the Health Services Quality Assurance Program,
19	the purpose of which is to ensure that all Floridians have
20	access to quality health care and services through the
21	licensure and certification of facilities, and in responding
22	to consumer complaints about facilities, services, and
23	practitioners, the outcome measures, output measures, and
24	associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 280-291 are as follows:
26	1. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
27	OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Percentage of Priority I practitioner
29	investigations resulting in emergency action(39%)
30	b. Average length of time (in days) to take emergency
31	action on Priority I practitioner investigations(60)
	20

1	c. Percentage of cease and desist orders issued to
2	unlicensed practitioners in which another complaint of
3	unlicensed activity is subsequently filed against the same
4	
5	d. Percentage of licensed practitioners involved in:
6	(I) Serious incidents
7	(II) Peer review discipline reports
8	2. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
9	OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of complaints determined legally sufficient
11	
12	b. Number of legally sufficient complaints resolved
13	by:
14	(I) Findings of no probable cause, including:
15	(A) Nolle prosse
16	(B) Letters of guidance
17	(C) Notice of noncompliance
18	(II) Findings of probable cause, including:
19	(A) Issuance of citation for minor violations(34)
20	(B) Stipulations or informal hearings(662)
21	(C) Formal hearings(44)
22	c. Percentage of investigations completed by priority
23	within timeframe:
24	<u>(I)</u> Priority I - 45 days(100%)
25	<u>(II) Priority II - 180 days(100%)</u>
26	(III) Other - 180 days(100%)
27	d. Average number of practitioner complaint
28	investigations per FTE(87)
29	e. Number of inquiries to the call center regarding
30	practitioner licensure and disciplinary information(113,293)
31	

1	3. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
2	CARE FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Percentage of investigations of alleged unlicensed
4	facilities and programs that have been previously issued a
т 5	cease and desist order and that are confirmed as repeated
6	unlicensed activity
0 7	
8	licensed facilities and programs that are investigated within
9	<u>48 hours</u>
10	c. Percentage of accredited hospitals and ambulatory
11	surgical centers cited for not complying with life safety,
12	licensure, or emergency access standards(TBD)
13	d. Percentage of accreditation validation surveys that
14	result in findings of licensure deficiencies(TBD)
15	e. Percentage of facilities in which deficiencies are
16	found that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, or
17	welfare of the public by type:
18	(I) Nursing Homes
19	(II) Assisted Living Facilities
20	(III) Home Health Agencies(TBD)
21	(IV) Clinical Laboratories
22	(V) Ambulatory Surgical Centers(TBD)
23	(VI) Hospitals(TBD)
24	f. Percentage of failures by hospitals to report:
25	(I) Serious incidents (agency identified)(TBD)
26	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
27	identified)(TBD)
28	4. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
29	CARE FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number of facility emergency actions taken(51)
31	

SB 2502

1	b. Total number of full facility quality-of-care
2	surveys conducted and by type(6,171)
3	(I) Nursing Homes
4	(II) Home Health Agencies(1,600)
5	(III) Assisted Living Facilities
6	(IV) Clinical Laboratories(1,082)
7	(V) Hospitals(35)
8	(VI) Other(1,357)
9	c. Average processing time (in days) for statewide
10	panel cases(259)
11	d. Number of hospitals that the agency determine have
12	not reported:
13	(I) Serious incidents(agency identified)(TBD)
14	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
15	identified)(TBD)
16	5. HEALTH FACILITY PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW
17	OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of plans and construction review performed
19	by type:
20	(I) Nursing Homes(1,200)
21	(II) Hospitals(3,500)
22	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers
23	b. Average number of hours for plans and construction
24	survey and review:
25	(I) Nursing Homes
26	(II) Hospitals(35)
27	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers
28	(2) DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES
29	(a) For the Florida Abuse Hotline Program, the purpose
30	of which is to serve as a central receiving and referral point
31	for all cases of suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation of

1 children, disabled adults, and the elderly, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 2 3 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 4 Appropriations 322-325 are as follows: 5 1. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR б FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES. --7 Percentage of abandoned calls made to the Florida a. 8 9 CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR 2. 10 FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES. --11 Calls answered......(303,332) a. b. Percent of calls answered within three minutes 12 13 (b) For the Aging and Adult Services Program, the 14 purpose of which is to protect adults and the elderly from 15 abuse, neglect, and exploitation, the outcome measures, output 16 17 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 18 funds provided in Specific Appropriations 334-341 are as follows: 19 ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES AND FRAIL ELDERLY WHO ARE 20 1. 21 VICTIMS OF ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR EXPLOITATION OUTCOME MEASURES .--Percent of protective supervision cases in which no 22 a. report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received 23 24 while the case is open (from beginning of protective 25 Percent of clients satisfied......(90%) 26 b. 27 ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES AND FRAIL ELDERLY WHO ARE 2. VICTIMS OF ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR EXPLOITATION OUTPUT MEASURES .--28 29 Number of protective supervision cases in which no a. 30 report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received 31

43

1	
1	while the case is open (from beginning of protective
2	supervision for a maximum of 1 year)
3	b. Number of investigations
4	c. Number of persons referred to other agencies
5	
б	d. Number of persons receiving protective supervision
7	services(675)
8	e. Number of persons receiving placement and community
9	support services
10	3. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
11	REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percent of adults with disabilities receiving
13	services who are not placed in a nursing home(99%)
14	b. Percent of clients satisfied(95%)
15	4. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
16	REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of adults with disabilities to be served:
18	(I) Community Care for Disabled Adults(1,051)
19	(II) Home Care for Disabled Adults(1,428)
20	(III) Number of Medicaid waiver clients served.(3,760)
21	(c) For the People with Mental Health and Substance
22	Abuse Problems Program, the purpose of which is to enable
23	adults with mental health problems to function
24	self-sufficiently in the community, enable children with
25	mental health problems to function appropriately and succeed
26	in school, and enable children and adults with or at serious
27	risk of substance abuse problems to be self-sufficient and
28	addiction free, the outcome measures, output measures, and
29	associated performance standards with respect to funds
30	provided in Specific Appropriations 342-356 are as follows:
31	

1	1. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
2	OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Percent of children restored to competency and
4	recommended to proceed with a judicial hearing:
5	(I) With mental illness
6	(II) With mental retardation
7	b. Percent of community partners satisfied based upon
8	<u>a</u> survey
9	c. Percent of children with mental illness restored to
10	competency or determined unrestorable in less than 180 days
11	
12	d. Percent of children with mental retardation
13	restored to competency or determined unrestorable in less than
14	365 days(80%)
15	e. Percent of children returned to court for a
16	competency hearing and the court concurs with the
17	recommendation of the provider
18	2. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
19	OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number served who are incompetent to proceed(224)
21	b. Number of days following the determination by the
22	mental health service provider of restoration of competency or
23	unrestorability of competency to the date of the court hearing
24	on the determination of competency(TBD)
25	3. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED)
26	OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Projected annual days SED children (excluding those
28	in juvenile justice facilities) spend in the community(338)
29	b. Average functional level score SED children will
30	have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
31	functioning score(49)
	4 -

2 received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale 3
3
4 d. Percent of available school days SED children 5 attended during the last 30 days
6 e. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Juvenile 7 Justice
7 Justice
 f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a survey
9 <u>survey</u>
10 g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition 11 or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving 12 the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional 13 disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD) 14 4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED) 15 OUTPUT MEASURES
11 or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving 12 the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional 13 disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD) 14 4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED) 15 OUTPUT MEASURES
12 the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional 13 disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD) 14 4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED) 15 OUTPUT MEASURES
13 disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD) 14 4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED) 15 OUTPUT MEASURES
14 4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED) 15 OUTPUT MEASURES
15 OUTPUT MEASURES
16 <u>a. SED children to be served(22,104)</u>
17 b. Total average expenditures for services per client
18 (includes Medicaid services)(TBD)
19 <u>5. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTCOME</u>
20 MEASURES
21 a. Projected annual days ED children (excluding those
22 in juvenile justice facilities) spent in the community(350)
23 b. Average functional level score ED children will
24 have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
25 Functioning scale(55)
26 <u>c. Percent of available school days ED children attend</u>
27 during the last 30 days(87%)
28 <u>d. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Juvenile</u>
29 <u>Justice(TBD)</u>
30 <u>e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a</u>
31 <u>survey(90%)</u>

1	f. Percent of families satisfied with the services
2	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale
3	
4	g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition
5	or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving
6	the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional
7	disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD)
8	6. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Number of ED children to be served(13,101)
11	b. Total average expenditures for services per client
12	(includes Medicaid services)(TBD)
13	7. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTCOME
14	MEASURES
15	a. Percent of families satisfied with the services
16	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale
17	
18	8. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTPUT
19	MEASURES
20	a. Number of at-risk children to be served(10,390)
21	9. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME
22	MEASURES
23	a. Percent of children who complete treatment(72%)
24	b. Percent of parents of children receiving services
25	reporting average or above average level of satisfaction on
26	Family Centered Behavior Scale
27	c. Percent of children drug free at 6 months following
28	completion of treatment(TBD)
29	d. Percent of children receiving services who are
30	satisfied based on survey(90%)
31	

47

1	e. Percent of parents of children receiving services
2	reporting average or above level of satisfaction on the Family
3	Centered Behavior scale
4	f. Percent of children under the supervision of the
5	state receiving substance abuse treatment who are not
б	committed or recommitted to the Department of Juvenile Justice
7	during the 12 months following treatment completion(85%)
8	g. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
9	survey(90%)
10	10. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of children served
13	b. Number of children completing treatment(4,500)
14	11. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTCOME
15	MEASURES
16	a. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
17	who achieve expected level of improvement in reading(75%)
18	b. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
19	who achieve expected level of improvement in math(75%)
20	c. Percent of children who receive targeted prevention
21	services who are not admitted to substance abuse services
22	during the 12 months after completion of prevention services
23	
24	d. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
25	who perceive substance use to be harmful at the time of
26	discharge when compared to admission
27	12. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTPUT
28	MEASURES
29	a. Number of children served in targeted prevention
30	
31	

1	13. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME
2	MEASURES
3	a. Percent drug free at 6 months following completion
4	of treatment(TBD)
5	b. Percent of adults employed upon discharge from
6	treatment services(61%)
7	c. Percent of adult women pregnant during treatment
8	who give birth to substance-free newborns
9	d. Average score on the Behavioral Healthcare Rating
10	<u>of</u> Satisfaction(138)
11	e. Percentage of individuals in protective supervision
12	who have case plans requiring substance abuse treatment who
13	are receiving treatment(TBD)
14	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
15	surveys(90%)
16	14. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
17	MEASURES
18	a. Number of adults served
19	b. Number of clients who complete treatment(TBD)
20	c. Number of individuals in protective supervision who
21	have case plans requiring substance abuse treatment who are
22	receiving treatment(TBD)
23	15. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS
24	IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Average annual number of days spent in the
26	community (not in institutions or other facilities)(340)
27	b. Average functional level based on Global Assessment
28	of Functioning score(49)
29	c. Average client satisfaction score on the Behavioral
30	Healthcare Rating Scale(130)
31	d. Average annual days worked for pay(30)
	4.9

1 Total average monthly income in last 30 days.(\$530) e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on 2 f. 3 Increase family satisfaction.....(TBD) 4 q. 5 h. Percentage of clients who worked during the year 6(TBD) 7 16. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS 8 IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES. --9 Number of adults with a serious and persistent a. 10 mental illness in the community served......(36,312) 11 17. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Average Global Assessment of Functioning scale 12 13 Percent readmitted within 30 days.....(0%) 14 b. Percent of community partners satisfied based on 15 c. 16 Increase family satisfaction.....(TBD) 17 d. 18. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTPUT MEASURES.--18 19 a. Number of adults in mental health crisis served 20 19. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTCOME 21 MEASURES.--22 a. Average functional level based on Global Assessment 23 24 of Functioning score......(47) 25 b. Percent of persons who violate their conditional release under chapter 916, Florida Statutes, and are 26 27 c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on 28 29 survey......(90%) 30 d. Average annual number of days spent in the

31 community (not in institutions or other facilities).....(325)

50

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	20. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTPUT
2	MEASURES
3	a. Number of adults with forensic involvement served
4	
5	(d) For the Families in Need of Child Care Programs,
6	the purpose of which is to allow parents to prevent the
7	reoccurrence of abuse or neglect, to obtain and retain
8	employment, to prepare children to enter school ready to
9	learn, and to protect children and adults from abuse, the
10	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
11	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
12	Appropriations 357-375 are as follows:
13	1. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Percent of 4-year-old children placed with
15	contracted providers in care for 9 months who enter
16	Kindergarten ready to learn as determined by DOE or local
17	<pre>school systems' readiness assessment</pre>
18	b. Percent of non-WAGES, working poor clients who need
19	child care that receive subsidized child care services:
20	<u>(I) ages 0 - 5(92%)</u>
21	(II) school age(41.5%)
22	(III) all kids(63%)
23	c. Percent of WAGES clients who need child care that
24	receive subsidized child care services
25	d. Percent of licensed child care providers who are
26	satisfied with the licensing process
27	e. Percent of licensed child care facilities and homes
28	with no class 1 (serious) violations during their licensure
29	<u>year(97%)</u>
30	f. Number of provisional licenses as a result of
31	noncompliance with child care standards
	51

1	g. Number of verified incidents of abuse and/or
2	neglect in licensed child care arrangements
3	h. Percent of clients receiving subsidized child care
4	services who are satisfied
5	2. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTPUTS MEASURES
6	<u>a. Total number served:</u>
7	(I) At Risk(13,250)
8	(II) Working Poor
9	(III) Migrants(2,880)
10	(IV) WAGES/Transitional Child Care(64,140)
11	3. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
12	RISK OF ABUSE OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percent of children in families who complete
14	intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 months or more
15	who are not abused or neglected within:
16	(I) 6 months of program completion(95%)
17	(II) 12 months of program completion(95%)
18	(III) 18 months of program completion(95%)
19	b. Percent of families receiving parent education and
20	other parent skill building services, lasting 6 weeks or
21	longer, who show improved family skills and capacity to care
22	for their children(TBD)
23	c. Percent of clients satisfied
24	4. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
25	RISK OF ABUSE OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of persons served
27	b. Number receiving information and referral services
28	
29	5. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
30	FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES
31	

1	a. Percent of children who have no findings of child
2	maltreatment within 1 year of case closure from services.(95%)
3	b. Percent of children reunified with family who
4	return to foster care within one year of case closure(3%)
5	c. Percent of children not abused or neglected during
6	services
7	d. Percent of clients satisfied
8	e. Percent of families receiving ongoing services who
9	show improved scores on the Child Well-Being Scales(TBD)
10	f. Percent of children given exit interviews who were
11	satisfied with their foster care placement(TBD)
12	g. Percent of families under 18 years who have no
13	finding of maltreatment during each 12 month period(TBD)
14	6. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
15	FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Reports of child abuse/neglect(126,735)
17	b. Children identified as abused/neglected during year
18	
19	c. Number of families served by Intensive Crisis
20	Counseling Program, Family Builders
21	d. Number of families served by Protective Supervision
22	
23	e. Number of children served in foster care(16,313)
24	f. Number of children served in relative care(8,126)
25	g. Percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours
26	
27	h. Percent of investigations completed within 30 days
28	
29	i. Percent of children who exited out-of-home care by
30	the 15th month(TBD)
31	

1	j. Percent of cases reviewed by supervisors in
2	accordance with department timeframes for early warning system
3	
4	k. Number of individuals under the department's
5	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
6	abuse treatment who are receiving treatment(TBD)
7	1. Percent of individuals under the department's
8	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
9	abuse treatment who are receiving treatment(TBD)
10	m. Ratio of certified workers to children(TBD)
11	7. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTCOME MEASURESS
12	a. Ratio of incidents reported resulting in injury or
13	harm to clients as a result of inadequate security procedures
14	per 1,000 shelter days(0)
15	b. Percent of clients satisfied
16	8. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of individuals receiving case management
18	services(21,270)
19	b. Number of children counseled(20,340)
20	c. Number of adults counseled(108,442)
21	d. Percent of adult and child victims in shelter more
22	than 72 hours having a plan for family safety and security
23	when they leave shelter(100%)
24	e. Number of individuals served in emergency shelters
25	
26	9. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
27	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Percent of children who are adopted of the number
29	of children legally available for adoption(90%)
30	b. Percent of clients satisfied
31	

1	10. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
2	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Children receiving adoptive services(4,454)
4	b. Children receiving subsidies(12,454)
5	c. Number of children placed in adoption(1,900)
6	(e) For the People with Developmental Disabilities
7	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
8	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
9	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
10	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
11	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
12	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 376-390 are as
13	follows:
14	1. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percent of people at or above the national standard
16	on quality of life outcomes
17	b. Percent of adults living in homes of their own
18	
19	c. Percent of people who are employed in integrated
20	settings(27.5%)
21	d. Percent of clients satisfied with services(95%)
22	2. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Children and adults provided case management
24	
25	b. Children and adults provided residential care
26	
27	c. Children and adults provided individualized
28	supports and services
29	(f) For the Economic Self-Sufficiency Program, the
30	purpose of which is to help people become economically
31	self-sufficient through programs such as Food Assistance, Work
	55

1 and Gain Economic Self-Sufficiency (WAGES), Refugee Assistance, and Medicaid eligibility services, including 2 3 disability determination eligibility, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with 4 5 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 391-404 б are as follows: 7 WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO 1. 8 BECOME EMPLOYED OUTCOME MEASURES. --9 a. Percentage of applications processed within time standards (total).....(100%) 10 11 b. Percentage of Food Stamp applications processed 12 within 30 days.....(100%) Percentage of cash assistance applications 13 c. 14 processed within 45 days.....(100%) Percentage of Medicaid applications processed 15 d. within 45 days.....(100%) 16 Percentage of disabled adult payment applications 17 e. 18 processed within 90 days.....(100%) f. Percentage of Food Stamp benefits determined 19 20 accurately.....(90.70%) 21 g. Percentage of WAGES cash assistance benefits determined accurately.....(93.89%) 22 h. Percentage of Medicaid benefits determined 23 24 25 i. Percentage of Benefit Recovery claims established 26 within 90 days.....(100%) 27 Percentage of dollars collected for established j. 28 Benefit Recovery claims......(50%) 29 Percentage of suspected fraud cases referred that k. 30 result in Front-end Fraud Prevention savings.....(70%) 31

56

2 WAGES coalitions that are executed within 10 days(1	00%)
3 m. Percentage of work eligible WAGES participants	
4 accurately referred to the local WAGES coalitions within 1	
5 work day	00%)
6 n. Percentage of Refugee Assistance cases accurate	ly
7 closed at 8 months or less(1	00%)
8 o. Percentage of clients satisfied with eligibility	У
9 services:	
10 p. Percentage of WAGES coalitions clients employed	
11	1%)
12 2. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE T	0
13 BECOME EMPLOYED OUTPUT MEASURES	
14 a. Total number of applications(2,575,	690)
15 b. Dollars collected through Benefit Recovery	
16	00)
17 c. Number of Front-end Fraud Prevention investigat	
18 completed	200)
19 d. Dollars saved through Front-end Fraud Prevention	n
20	00)
21 e. Number of WAGES participants referred to the l	
22 WAGES coalitions	000)
23 <u>f.</u> Number of refugee cases closed(5,	600)
24 g. Number of WAGES coalitions clients employed	
25	00)
26 h. Cost per WAGES client employed(\$1,	800)
27 (g) For the Mental Health Institutions Program, the	
28 purpose of which is to prepare adults with mental health	
29 problems to function self-sufficiently in the community, t	he
30 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performa	nce
31	

1 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 2 Appropriations 413-419 are as follows: 3 ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES.--1. Percent of patients who improve mental health based 4 a. 5 on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.....(59%) 6 b. Average scores on a community readiness/ability 7 survey......(TBD) c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents 8 9 in each mental health institution.....(26) 10 d. Percent of patients satisfied based on survey.(90%) 11 e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on 12 survey.....(TBD) Percent of people served who are discharged to the 13 f. 14 community.....(50%) Percent of patients readmitted.....(TBD) g. 15 Percent of residents who meet readiness for 16 h. 17 discharge criteria between 6 months and 12 months after 18 admission.....(TBD) 19 2. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES.--20 Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or proposed a. confirmed.....(TBD) 21 22 Number of adults in civil commitment (institutions) b. 23 served......(2,826) Number of people served who are discharged to the 24 c. 25 community.....(TBD) Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from 26 d. 27 mental health hospitals.....(TBD) ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES. --28 3. 29 Percent of residents who improve mental health a. 30 based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.....(77%) 31 Average number of days to restore competency..(167) b.

58

1	c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents
2	in each mental health institution(10)
3	d. Percent of residents satisfied based on survey
4	
5	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
6	survey
7	f. Percent of residents restored to competency and
8	ready for discharge within 6 months after admission(TBD)
9	g. Percent of residents restored to competency and
10	ready for discharge between 6 and 12 months after admission
11	(TBD)
12	4. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number served
14	b. Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or proposed
15	confirmed(TBD)
16	c. Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from
17	mental health hospitals(TBD)
18	(h) For the Developmental Services Institutions
19	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
20	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
21	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
22	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
23	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
24	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 420-425 are as
25	follows:
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Annual number of significant reportable incidents
28	per 100 persons with developmental disabilities living in
29	developmental services institutions
30	

1	b. Statewide average on Conroy Quality of Life
2	Protocol for residents in developmental services institutions
3	
4	c. Percent of people discharged as planned(100%)
5	d. Percent of clients satisfied with services(95%)
6	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Adults receiving services in developmental services
8	institutions(1,419)
9	b. Adults incompetent to proceed provided competency
10	training and custodial care in the Mentally Retarded
11	Defendants Program(156)
12	(3) Department of Elderly Affairs
13	(a) For the program entitled, Service to Elders
14	Program, the purpose of which is to assist elders to live in
15	the least restrictive and most appropriate community settings
16	and maintain independence, the outcome measures, output
17	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
18	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 426-443 are as
19	<u>follows:</u>
20	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Percentage of elders CARES determined to be
22	eligible for nursing home placement who are diverted(15.1%)
23	b. Percentage of high-risk Adult Protective Services
24	referrals served(TBD)
25	c. Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals served
26	
27	d. Satisfaction with the quality and delivery of home
28	and community-based care for service recipients is equal or
29 20	greater than previous periods(TBD)
30	
31	

1	e. Cost of home and community-based care (including
2	non-DOEA programs) is less than nursing home care for
3	comparable client groups(TBD)
4	f. Percentage of Community Care for the Elderly
5	clients defined as "probable Medicaid eligibles" who remain in
6	state-funded programs(13.50%)
7	g. Percentage of elders assessed with high or moderate
8	risk environments who improved their environment score(83%)
9	h. Percentage of elders assessed with a high social
10	isolation score who have improved in receiving services(53%)
11	i. Percentage of new service recipients with high-risk
12	nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved(TBD)
13	j. Percentage of new service recipients whose ADL
14	assessment score has been maintained or improved(TBD)
15	k. Percentage of new service recipients whose IADL
16	assessment score has been maintained or improved(TBD)
17	1. Percentage of caregivers assessed who self-report
18	very likely of continuing to provide care
19	m. Percentage of caregivers assessed at risk who
20	self-report they are very likely of continuing to provide care
21	(TBD)
22	n. Number of people placed in jobs after participating
23	in the Older Worker Program(TBD)
24	o. Average wage at placement for people in the Older
25	Worker Program(TBD)
26	p. Percentage of new service recipients (congregate
27	meal sites) whose nutritional status has been maintained or
28	improved(TBD)
29	q. Percentage of Elder Helplines with an excellent
30	rating on the Elder Helpline evaluation assessment(TBD)
31	

1	r. Percentage of people who rate the Memory Disorder
2	Clinic assessment conference as very helpful(TBD)
3	s. Percent of clients satisfied with the quality of
4	insurance counseling and information received(TBD)
т 5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	
	a. Total number of CARES assessments(77,410)
7	b. Number and percentage of elders who enter DOEA
8	service programs each year with a risk score above the
9	<u>1997-1998 average(2,481; 45%)</u>
10	c. Number and percentage of elders who enter DOEA
11	service programs each year with a frailty level above the
12	<u>1997-1998</u> average
13	d. Percentage of copayment goal collected(100%)
14	e. Number of caregivers assessed(11,806)
15	f. Number of people trained in Older Workers Program
16	(TBD)
17	g. Number of new congregate meal service recipients
18	(assessed)(TBD)
19	h. Number of people evaluated for memory loss by
20	Memory Disorder Clinics(TBD)
21	i. Number of volunteer hours(TBD)
22	j. Number of volunteers
23	k. Number of people served(127,589)
24	Section 35. The performance measures and standards
25	established in this section for individual programs in Public
26	Safety and Judiciary agencies shall be applied to those
27	programs for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance
28	measures and standards are directly linked to the
29	appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for
30	Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as required by the Government
31	Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.

1	(1) DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
2	(a) For the Custody and Control Program, the purpose
3	of which is to protect the public and provide a safe secure
4	environment for incarcerated offenders and the staff
5	maintaining custody of them by applying effective physical
6	security methods and procedures and providing accurate risk
7	assessment and classification of inmates and adequate
8	nutrition and facility maintenance, the outcome measures,
9	output measures, and associated performance standards with
10	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 566-578B
11	are as follows:
12	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of
14	major institutions(0)
15	b. Percentage of inmates who did not escape when
16	assigned outside a secure perimeter
17	c. Number of inmate-on-inmate physical assaults on one
18	or more persons(1,540)
19	d. Number of inmate-on-staff physical assaults on one
20	or more persons(592)
21	e. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
22	inmates(900)
23	f. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary
24	reports per 1,000 inmates
25	g. Percentage of random inmate drug tests that are
26	negative
27	h. Total number and percentage of inmate random drug
28	tests that are positive
29	i. Number of verbal assaults by inmates, including
30	written threats
31	

_	
1	j. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
2	reports written for minimum custody inmates(5,000/500/5,500)
3	k. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
4	reports written for medium custody inmates.(7,500/1,000/8,500)
5	1. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
6	reports written for close custody inmates
7	
8	m. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
9	reports written for maximum custody inmates(150/25/175)
10	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Percent of time served by inmates sentenced for
12	crimes committed prior to October 1, 1995
13	b. Percent of time served by inmates sentenced for
14	crimes committed on or after October 1, 1995(89.0%)
15	c. Average daily inmate population in adult male major
16	institutions
17	d. Average daily inmate population in adult and youth
18	female major institutions
19	e. Average daily inmate population in adult and youth
20	male institutions
21	f. Average daily inmate population in adult male work
22	camps
23	g. Average daily inmate population in youth male work
24	camps
25	h. Average daily inmate population in adult male
26	forestry camps
27	i. Average daily inmate population in adult female
28	forestry camps
29	j. Average daily inmate population in adult male road
30	prisons
31	<u></u>

64

1	k. Percentage of adult male inmates in minimum custody
2	
3	1. Percentage of adult male inmates in medium custody
4	
5	m. Percentage of adult male inmates in close custody
6	
7	n. Percentage of adult male inmates in maximum custody
, 8	
9	
	o. Percentage of adult female inmates in minimum
10	custody
11	p. Percentage of adult female inmates in medium
12	<u>custody</u>
13	q. Percentage of adult female inmates in close custody
14	
15	r. Percentage of adult female inmates in maximum
16	custody(less than
17	<u>1%)</u>
18	s. Percentage of youth male inmates in minimum custody
19	·····································
20	t. Percentage of youth male inmates in medium custody
21	
22	u. Percentage of youth male inmates in close custody
23	
24	v. Percentage of youth male inmates in maximum custody
25	(less than 1%)
26	w. Percentage of youth female inmates in minimum
27	<u>custody(30%)</u>
28	x. Percentage of youth female inmates in medium
29	custody(35%)
30	y. Percentage of youth female inmates in close custody
31	
	65

custody (0%) aa. Per diem rate for adult male major institutions a	1	z. Percentage of youth female inmates in maximum
aa. Per diem rate for adult male major institutions a		
4		
bb. Per diem rate for adult and youthful female major institutions		
6 institutions		
7 cc. Per diem rate for youthful male major institutions 8		
8	-	
9dd. Total number of beds on-line and operational in10the system on June 30 annually		<u>_</u>
10 the system on June 30 annually		
11ee. Total number of beds occupied by inmates on June1230 annually	-	
30 annually		
13ff. Total number of beds constructed but not funded14for operation on June 30(1,590)15gg. Total number of beds under construction on June 3016		
14 for operation on June 30		
15 gg. Total number of beds under construction on June 30 16		
16		
17 hh. Percentage of entry level correctional officers 18 with less than 3 years experience		
18with less than 3 years experience		
19ii. Percentage of entry level correctional officers in20training status		
20 training status		
21 jj. Number of verbal assaults by inmates, including 22 written threats		
22 written threats	20	training status(TBD)
23 kk. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement 24 - Region I	21	jj. Number of verbal assaults by inmates, including
24 - Region I	22	written threats(TBD)
25 11. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement 26 - Region II	23	kk. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
26 - Region II	24	- Region I(TBD)
27mm. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement28- Region III	25	ll. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
28 - Region III(TBD)	26	- Region II(TBD)
	27	mm. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
29 nn. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement	28	- Region III(TBD)
	29	nn. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
30 - Region IV(TBD)	30	- Region IV(TBD)
31	31	

1	oo. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
2	- Region V(TBD)
3	pp. Average daily cost per inmate for food products
4	
5	qq. Total number of announced facility security audits
6	conducted by DOC
7	rr. Total number of unannounced facility security
8	audits conducted by DOC
9	ss. Number of drug instructions conducted(55)
10	tt. Number of criminal investigations conducted(390)
11	uu. Number of management reviews conducted - OSHA, air
12	quality, fire safety, investigations, etc
13	vv. Total dollars in sales from canteens and vending
14	machines(\$37,500,000)
15	ww. Total net profits from sales in canteens and
16	vending machines
17	xx. Total dollars deposited in Inmate Welfare Trust
18	Fund from telephone commissions(\$13,500,000)
19	(b) For the Health Services Program, the purpose of
20	which is to protect the public and maintain a humane
21	environment in correctional institutions for incarcerated
22	offenders and the staff maintaining custody of them by
23	applying effective basic health care treatment to inmates, the
24	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
25	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
26	Appropriations 600-603 are as follows:
27	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Total number of health care grievances upheld.
29	b. Percentage of health care grievances upheld.
30	
31	
	67

1 c. Number of suicides per 1,000 inmates within DOC compared to the national average for correctional 2 3 facilities/institutions......(.06%/National 4 average not available) 5 d. Average price per inmate per month for health care б Total dollar amount of inmate medical co-payments 7 e. 8 collected.....(\$425,000) 9 Comparison of average daily cost of hospital stays f. within DOC compared to HMO average, statewide average, and 10 11 Medicaid......(2,190/3,279/HMO not available/Medicaid not available) 12 q. Average length of community hospital stays for 13 14 emergency admissions.....(4.5) Average length of community hospital stays for 15 h. nonemergency admissions.....(4.2) 16 17 i. Annual percentage increase in expenditure rate per inmate compared to the health-related component of the 18 19 j. Total number of inpatient/inmate community hospital 20 21 days for emergency admissions......(6,200) Total number of inpatient/inmate community hospital 22 k. 23 24 1. Number of Level One physical health related 25 deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were 26 noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits..... 27 Number of Level One mental health related m. 28 deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were 29 noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits..... 30 31

1	n. Number of Level Two physical health-related
2	deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were
3	noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits
4	o. Number of Level Two mental health-related
5	deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were
6	noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Annual cost of HIV/AIDS treated in prisons
9	
10	b. Annual cost of cardiac illnesses treated in prisons
11	
12	c. Annual cost of cancer treated in prisons
13	
14	d. Total number of inmates with HIV/AIDS treated in
15	prisons(2,400)
16	e. Total number of inmates with cardiac illnesses
17	treated in prisons(1,050)
18	f. Total number of inmates with cancer treated in
19	prisons(810)
20	g. Number of inmates classified as Mental Health Level
21	<u>S-III(6,500)</u>
22	h. Number of inmates classified as Mental Health Level
23	<u>S-IV(230)</u>
24	i. Number of inmates classified as Mental Health Level
25	<u>S-V(200)</u>
26	j. Number and percentage of inmates treated with
27	psychotropic drugs
28	k. Average monthly cost of prescription drugs
29	dispensed(\$2,400,000)
30	1. Average monthly cost of nonprescription drugs
31	dispensed(\$30,000)

1	m. Average monthly number of inmate/offender drug
2	prescriptions written(155,000)
3	n. Comparison of average daily cost of inmate health
4	care within DOC to Medicaid and commercial HMOs
5	
6	available)
7	o. Average daily cost of inmate health care within DOC
8	for inmates 65 years of age compared to Medicare population
9	(TBD)
10	p. Average number of inpatient community hospital days
11	per 1,000 inmates(150)
12	q. Average number of inpatient community hospital days
13	per 1,000 inmates of DOC population compared to Medicaid and
14	commercial HMO population(150/Medicaid not available/HMO not
15	available)
16	r. Number of medical providers and visits per medical
17	provider per month(640/130)
18	s. Number of dentists and dental procedures per day
19	per dental provider(27/80)
20	t. Average daily number of inmate sick call visits
21	(TBD)
22	u. Total number of community emergency room visits per
23	1,000 inmates(38)
24	v. Total number of inmate ambulatory surgeries in
25	community facilities per 1,000 inmates
26	(c) For the Community Corrections Program the purpose
27	of which is to assist sentenced felony offenders to become
28	productive law abiding citizens by applying supervision in the
29	community to hold offenders accountable to the conditions of
30	their supervision and to detect violations of those conditions
31	and make apprehensions when violations or new crimes occur,
	= 0

1 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 2 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 3 Appropriations 579-589 are as follows: 4 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded within 5 б 7 Number/percentage of offenders who had their b. supervision revoked within 2 years.....(33,204/37.0%) 8 9 c. Number/percentage of offenders who did not participate in or did not complete programs.....(3,392/4.4%) 10 11 d. Number/percentage of offenders who had their supervision revoked who did not participate in or did not 12 13 complete programs......(31,363/40.3%) e. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who 14 15 completed a secure residential drug treatment program.(0/0.0%) f. Number/percentage of offenders who had their 16 17 supervision revoked who completed a secure residential drug 18 treatment program......(41/19.0%) 19 (31, 363/40.3%)g. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who 20 21 completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program 22(43/2.6%) h. Number/percentage of offenders who had their 23 supervision revoked who completed a nonsecure residential drug 24 25 treatment program......(513/30.6%) 26 Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who i. 27 completed a nonresidential drug treatment program....(97/1.5%) 28 j. Number/percentage of offenders who had their 29 supervision revoked who completed a nonresidential drug 30 treatment program.....(1,163/18.1%) 31

1	k. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
2	completed a program at a Probation and Restitution Center
3	
4	1. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
5	supervision revoked who completed a program at a Probation and
6	Restitution Center
7	m. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
8	completed supervision/work release, but are subsequently
9	recommitted to prison for committing a new crime within 2
10	years(497/1.2%)
11	n. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
12	completed supervision/work release, but are subsequently
13	recommitted to supervision for committing a new crime within 2
14	years(2,354/5.8%)
15	o. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
16	completed a secure residential drug treatment program, but are
17	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
18	within 2 years(2/3.3%)
19	p. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
20	completed a secure residential drug treatment program, but are
21	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
22	<u>crime within 2 years</u>
23	q. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
24	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program, but
25	are subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new
26	crime within 2 years
27	r. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
28	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program, but
29	are subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a
30	new crime within 2 years
31	

1	t. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
2	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program, but are
3	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
4	within 2 years(27/0.9%)
5	u. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
6	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program, but are
7	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
8	crime within 2 years
9	v. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
10	completed a probation and restitution center program, but are
11	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
12	within 2 years(1/1.1%)
13	w. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
14	completed a probation and restitution center program, but are
15	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
16	crime within 2 years
17	x. Number/percentage of offenders supervised in the
18	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
19	programs and the percentage of those that participate in
20	educational and/or vocational programs(1,874/95.3%)
21	y. Number/percentage of offenders supervised in the
22	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
23	programs and the percentage of those that participate in drug
24	treatment programs
25	z. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
26	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
27	department standard for administrative supervision(TBD)
28	aa. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
29	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
30	department standard for basic supervision(TBD)
31	

1	bb. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
2	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
3	department standard for enhanced risk supervision(TBD)
4	cc. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
5	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
6	department standard for intensive risk supervision(TBD)
7	dd. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
8	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
9	department standard for close risk supervision(TBD)
10	ee. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
11	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
12	department standard for community control(TBD)
13	ff. Number and percentage of offenders whose
14	supervision was terminated normally, by court order, or early
15	2 years after the period of supervision was imposed
16	
17	gg. Number and percentage of offenders whose
18	supervision is still active 2 years after the period of
19	supervision was imposed
20	hh. Number and percentage of nonparticipating and
21	noncompleting offenders whose supervision was terminated
22	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
23	supervision was imposed
24	ii. Number and percentage of nonparticipating and
25	noncompleting offenders whose supervision is still active 2
26	years after the period of supervision was imposed
27	
28	jj. Number and percentage of secure residential drug
29	treatment completers whose supervision was terminated
30	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
31	supervision was imposed
	74

1	kk. Number and percentage of secure residential drug
2	treatment completers whose supervision is still active 2 years
3	after the period of supervision was imposed(123/56.9%)
4	ll. Number and percentage of nonsecure residential
5	drug treatment completers whose supervision was terminated
6	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
7	supervision was imposed
8	mm. Number and percentage of nonsecure residential
9	drug treatment completers whose supervision is still active 2
10	years after the period of supervision was imposed(701/41.9%)
11	nn. Number and percentage of nonresidential drug
12	treatment completers whose supervision was terminated
13	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
14	supervision was imposed
15	oo. Number and percentage of nonresidential drug
16	treatment completers whose supervision is still active 2 years
17	after the period of supervision was imposed(2,185/34.1%)
18	pp. Number and percentage of probation and restitution
19	center program completers whose supervision was terminated
20	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
21	supervision was imposed
22	qq. Number and percentage of probation and restitution
23	center program completers whose supervision is still active 2
24	years after the period of supervision was imposed $(190/44.0\%)$
25	rr. Percentage of offenders supervised in the
26	community who are employable and the percentage of those who
27	are employed(TBD)
28	ss. Percentage of offenders supervised in the
29	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
30	educational and/or vocational programs and the percentage of
31	those who participate
	75

SB 2502

1	tt. Percentage of offenders supervised in the
2	community who are ordered by the court to participate in drug
3	treatment programs and the percentage of those who participate
4	
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
7	in the community on administrative supervision compared to the
8	department standard
9	b. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
10	in the community on basic risk supervision compared to the
11	department standard(1.1/1.0)
12	c. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
13	in the community on enhanced risk supervision compared to the
14	department standard(1.5/1.5)
15	d. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
16	in the community on intensive risk supervision compared to the
17	department standard(1.8/2.0)
18	e. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
19	in the community on close risk supervision compared to the
20	department standard(2.4/3.0)
21	f. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
22	in the community on community control compared to the
23	department standard(6.3/8.0)
24	g. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
25	on community supervision only by DOC for restitution
26	
27	h. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
28	on community supervision only by DOC for other court-ordered
29	<u>costs(\$13,129,604)</u>
30	
31	

1	i. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
2	on community supervision only by DOC for costs of supervision
3	(\$23,592,056)
4	j. Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from
5	offenders/inmates in community correctional centers
6	
7	k. Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from
8	offenders/inmates in probation and restitution centers
9	
10	1. Annual number of nondiscretionary pretrial
11	intervention preliminary investigations conducted(9,376)
12	m. Annual number of nondiscretionary pretrial
13	intervention background investigations
14	n. Annual number of nondiscretionary presentence
15	<u>investigations(9,333)</u>
16	o. Annual number of nondiscretionary sentencing
17	guidelines scoresheet investigations
18	p. Annual number of nondiscretionary pre-plea
19	investigations
20	q. Annual number of nondiscretionary prison
21	post-sentence investigations
22	r. Annual number of nondiscretionary security
23	investigations(256)
24	s. Average monthly active adult male population of
25	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
26	including Administrative
27	t. Average monthly active adult female population of
28	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
29	including Administrative, including Administrative(16,797)
30	
31	

1	u. Average monthly active youth male population of
1 2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
∠ 3	
_	including Administrative
4	v. Average monthly active adult female population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
6	including Administrative
7	w. Average monthly active adult male population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
9	probation(6,640)
10	x. Average monthly active adult female population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
12	probation(1,948)
13	y. Average monthly active youth male population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
15	probation(1,868)
16	z. Average monthly active youth female population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
18	probation
19	aa. Average monthly active adult male population of
20	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
21	<u>control(6,790)</u>
22	bb. Average monthly active adult female population of
23	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
24	control(1,889)
25	cc. Average monthly active youth male population of
26	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
27	control
28	dd. Average monthly active youth female population of
29	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
30	control
31	
l	

1	ee. Average monthly active adult male population of
1 2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
∠ 3	
	intervention
4	ff. Average monthly active adult female population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
6	<u>intervention(2,111)</u>
7	gg. Average monthly active youth male population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
9	<u>intervention(1,744)</u>
10	hh. Average monthly active youth female population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
12	intervention(859)
13	ii. Average monthly active adult male population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole
15	
16	jj. Average monthly active adult female population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole(163)
18	kk. Average monthly active youth male population of
19	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole(86)
20	ll. Average monthly active youth female population of
21	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole(5)
22	mm. Average monthly active adult male population of
23	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
24	
25	nn. Average monthly active adult female population of
26	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
27	release
28	oo. Average monthly active youth male population of
29	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
30	release
31	<u></u>
71	

1	pp. Average monthly active youth female population of
2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
3	
4	qq. Average monthly active adult male population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
6	
7	rr. Average monthly active adult female population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
9	post-prison release(75)
10	ss. Average monthly active youth male population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
12	post-prison release(9)
13	tt. Average monthly active youth female population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
15	<pre>post-prison release(0)</pre>
16	uu. Average monthly active adult male population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
18	
19	vv. Average monthly active adult female population of
20	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
21	(183)
22	ww. Average monthly active youth male population of
23	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
24	(135)
25	xx. Average monthly active youth female population of
26	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
27	
28	yy. Average monthly active adult male population of
29	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
30	community correctional centers
31	

1	zz. Average monthly active adult female population of
2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
3	community correctional centers
4	aaa. Average monthly active youth male population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
б	community correctional centers
7	bbb. Average monthly active youth female population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
9	community correctional centers(1)
10	ccc. Average monthly active adult male population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
12	probation(TBD)
13	ddd. Average monthly active adult female population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
15	probation(TBD)
16	eee. Average monthly active youth male population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
18	probation(TBD)
19	fff. Average monthly active youth female population of
20	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
21	probation(TBD)
22	ggg. Average monthly active adult male population of
23	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
24	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
25	supervision(2,031)
26	hhh. Average monthly active adult female population of
27	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
28	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
29	supervision(219)
30	iii. Average monthly active youth male population of
31	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community

1	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
2	supervision(171)
3	jjj. Average monthly active youth female population of
4	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
5	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
б	supervision(8)
7	kkk. Average monthly active adult male population of
8	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
9	as a condition of confinement or supervision(199)
10	lll. Average monthly active adult female population of
11	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
12	as a condition of confinement or supervision
13	mmm. Average monthly active youth male population of
14	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
15	as a condition of confinement or supervision(214)
16	nnn. Average monthly active youth female population of
17	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
18	as a condition of confinement or supervision(28)
19	000. Average monthly active adult male population of
20	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
21	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(291)
22	ppp. Average monthly active adult female population of
23	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
24	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(70)
25	qqq. Average monthly active youth male population of
26	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
27	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(204)
28	rrr. Average monthly active youth female population of
29	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
30	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(7)
31	

1	sss. Average monthly active adult male population of
2	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
3	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
4	
5	ttt. Average monthly active adult female population of
6	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
7	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
8	
9	uuu. Average monthly active youth male population of
10	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
11	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
12	
13	vvv. Average monthly active youth female population of
14	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
15	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
16	
17	www. Number and percentage of offenders participating
18	in a probation and restitution centers program and not
19	transferred or administratively terminated from the program
20	who have successful completions within 2 years of program
21	admission(961/46.3%)
22	xxx. Number and percentage of offenders participating
23	in a secure residential drug treatment center program and not
24	transferred or administratively terminated from the program
25	who have successful completions within 2 years of program
26	admission(718/36.6%)
27	yyy. Number and percentage of offenders participating
28	in a nonsecure residential drug treatment center program and
29	not transferred or administratively terminated from the
30	program who have successful completions within 2 years of
31	program admission

2 <u>in a nonresidential drug treatment center program and not</u> 3 <u>transferred or administratively terminated from the program</u> 4 <u>who have successful completions within 2 years of program</u>	
4 who have successful completions within 2 years of program	
5 admission(15,178/48.0%	_
6 <u>aaaa.</u> Number and percentage of offenders participating	J
7 in a work release program and not transferred or	
8 administratively terminated from the program who have	
9 successful completions within 2 years of program admission	
10	
11 bbbb. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in	1
12 the community on administrative supervision(1.6%	
13 <u>cccc. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised</u>	
14 in the community on administrative supervision(1.3%	
15 dddd. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in	1
16 the community on administrative supervision(0.7%	
17 <u>eeee. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised</u>	
18 in the community on administrative supervision(0.7%	_
19 ffff. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in	<u>1</u>
	1
20 the community on basic risk supervision	
20the community on basic risk supervision	
	-
21 gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised	
21 gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised 22 in the community on basic risk supervision(55.5%	<u>1</u>
 21 gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised 22 in the community on basic risk supervision	<u>1</u>
21 gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised 22 in the community on basic risk supervision	<u>1</u>
21 gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised 22 in the community on basic risk supervision	<u>1</u>
21 gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised 22 in the community on basic risk supervision	
21gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised22in the community on basic risk supervision	
21gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised22in the community on basic risk supervision	

1	llll. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
2	the community on enhanced risk supervision
3	mmmm. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
4	in the community on enhanced risk supervision(39.0%)
5	nnnn. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
6	the community on intensive risk supervision(13.8%)
7	0000. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
8	in the community on intensive risk supervision(11.2%)
9	pppp. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
10	the community on intensive risk supervision(25.0%)
11	qqqq. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
12	in the community on intensive risk supervision(14.1%)
13	rrrr. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
14	the community on close risk supervision
15	ssss. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
16	in the community on close risk supervision
17	tttt. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
18	the community on close risk supervision
19	uuuu. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
20	in the community on close risk supervision(5.5%)
21	vvvv. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
22	the community on community control
23	wwww. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
24	in the community on community control
25	xxxx. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
26	the community on community control
27	yyyy. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
28	in the community on community control
29	zzzz. Number of technical violation reports completed
30	on offenders who violate a condition of supervision(50,558)
31	

1	aaaaa. Number of new offenses committed while an
2	offender is on probation, including Administrative(TBD)
3	bbbbb. Number of new offenses committed while an
4	offender is on community control
5	ccccc. Number of new offenses committed while an
6	offender is on pretrial intervention
7	ddddd. Number of new offenses committed while an
8	offender is on parole(TBD)
9	eeeee. Number of new offenses committed while an
10	offender is on conditional release(TBD)
11	fffff. Number of new offenses committed while an
12	offender is on other post-prison release(TBD)
13	ggggg. Number of new offenses committed while an
14	offender is on work release(TBD)
15	hhhhh. Number of new offenses committed while an
16	offender is on sex offender probation(TBD)
17	iiiii. Number of new offenses committed while an
18	offender is in community correctional centers(TBD)
19	jjjjj. Number of new offenses committed while an
20	offender is in probation and restitution centers(TBD)
21	kkkkk. Number of new offenses committed while an
22	offender is in secure residential drug treatment centers.(TBD)
23	lllll. Number of new offenses committed while an
24	offender is in nonsecure residential drug treatment centers
25	(TBD)
26	mmmmm. Number of new offenses committed while an
27	offender is nonresidential drug treatment centers(TBD)
28	nnnnn. Average dollar amount in restitution collected
29	per offender required to pay
30	(d) For the Offender Work and Training Program, the
31	purpose of which is to use the labor of incarcerated adult and
	86

youthful offenders to benefit the state local communities and 1 victims of crimes by providing educational vocational and life 2 3 management opportunities that reduce the costs of prison construction provide projects to improve communities and 4 5 provide inmate work administered by other state agencies, the б outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 7 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 8 Appropriations 590-598A are as follows: 9 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Number and percentage of inmates needing mandatory 10 11 literacy programs......(13,148/20%) 12 Number and percentage of inmates needing mandatory b. literacy program who participate in mandatory literacy 13 14 programs......(8,364/64%) Number and percentage of inmates participating in 15 с. mandatory literacy programs who complete mandatory literacy 16 17 programs......(3,364/40%) Number and percentage of inmates needing GED 18 d. 19 education programs......(21,946/33%) Number and percentage of inmates needing GED 20 e. 21 education programs who participate in GED education programs 22 23 Number and percentage of inmates participating in f. 24 GED education programs who complete GED education programs 25(1,796/10%) Number and percentage of inmates needing special 26 g. 27 education programs......(3,544/5%) Number and percentage of inmates needing special 28 h. 29 education programs who participate in special education 30 programs.....(3,011/85%) 31

87

1	i. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
2	special education programs who complete special education
3	programs(TBD)
4	j. Number and percentage of inmates needing vocational
5	education programs
6	k. Number and percentage of inmates needing vocational
7	education programs who participate in vocational education
8	programs(9,960/64%)
9	1. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
10	vocational education programs who complete vocational
11	education programs
12	m. Number and percentage of inmates needing drug abuse
13	education/treatment programs
14	n. Number and percentage of inmates needing drug abuse
15	education/treatment programs who participate in drug abuse
16	education/treatment programs
17	o. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
18	drug abuse education/treatment programs who complete drug
19	abuse education/treatment programs(6,316/34%)
20	p. Number and percentage of inmates needing life
21	skills programs(2,090/3%)
22	q. Number and percentage of inmates needing life
23	skills programs who participate in life skills programs
24	
25	r. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
26	life skills programs who complete life skills programs
27	
28	s. Number and percentage of inmates needing transition
29	programs(4,494/7%)
30	t. Number and percentage of inmates needing transition
31	programs who participate in transition programs(4,486/100%)
	88

1	u. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
2	transition programs who complete transition programs
3	
4	v. Number and percentage of inmates needing wellness
5	programs
6	w. Number and percentage of inmates needing wellness
7	programs who participate in wellness programs(2,396/90%)
8	x. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
9	wellness programs who complete wellness programs(672/28%)
10	y. Percentage of inmates placed in a facility that
11	provides at least one of inmate's primary program needs(75%)
12	z. Number of inmates available for work assignments
13	and the percentage of those available for work who are not
14	assigned(50,971/2.3%)
15	aa. Number of available work assignments and the
16	percentage of those work assignments that are 40 hour per week
17	assignments(34,626/%)
18	bb. Average increase in grade level achieved by
19	inmates participating in educational programs per
20	instructional period(0.6)
21	cc. Number of GED certificates earned by offenders per
22	teacher
23	for 156 teachers)
24	dd. Number of vocational certificates earned by
25	offenders per teachers(17.39 for 139 teachers)
26	ee. Number of inmates assigned to work with community
27	work squads(3,183)
28	ff. Number of available community work squad
29	assignments(4,097)
30	gg. Number of institutional work assignments available
31	
	00

1	hh. Annual cost avoidance realized by using inmate
2	labor to support institutional operations calculated at
3	minimum wage of \$5.15 per hour(\$206.9 million)
4	ii. Annual number of inmate hours spent working for
5	other state government agencies and communities(5,316,844)
6	jj. Total dollar value of work performed by inmates
7	for government entities and communities(\$40,804,934)
8	kk. Annual dollar value of work performed for Florida
9	Department of Transportation(\$12,265,668)
10	ll. Annual dollar value of work performed for other
11	state agencies(\$8,918,520)
12	mm. Annual dollar value of work performed for
13	communities(\$19,620,746)
14	nn. Net savings for state agencies and communities
15	that use inmate labor(\$21,101,772)
16	oo. Number of inmate work hours in gardening
17	operations(TBD)
18	pp. Annual dollar value of food produced by inmates in
19	gardening operations(\$493,400)
20	qq. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
21	PRIDE programs(TBD)
22	rr. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
23	PRIDE programs who reoffend within 2 years of release from
24	prison(TBD)
25	ss. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
26	PIE programs(TBD)
27	tt. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
28	PIE programs who reoffend within 2 years of release from
29	prison(TBD)
30	uu. Total dollar amount paid by all inmates on work
31	release for restitution and other court ordered payments.(TBD)
	2.2

vv. Total dollar amount paid by inmates working in
PRIDE programs for restitution and other court ordered
payments(\$277,597)
ww. Total dollar amount paid by inmates working in PIE
programs for restitution and other court ordered payments
(TBD)
xx. Percentage and number of inmates completing
mandatory literacy programs who score at or above 9th grade
level on next Test for Adult Basic Education(16%/279)
yy. Average number of annual infirmary visits by
inmates who completed a wellness program(TBD)
zz. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for all inmates(927)
aaa. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing mandatory literacy programs
bbb. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing GED education programs(634)
ccc. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing special education programs
ddd. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing vocational education programs
eee. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing drug abuse education/treatment
programs(531)
fff. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000

ggg. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000

31 inmates for inmates completing transition programs......(483)

inmates for inmates completing life skills programs.....(810)

hhh. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing wellness programs(641)
iii. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
inmates for inmates completing work release programs(364)
jjj. Number and percent of released inmates who commit
a new crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently
committed to prison or community supervision for all inmates
(TBD)
kkk. Number and percent of released inmates who have
completed mandatory literacy programs and who commit a new
crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
to prison or community supervision (TPD)

7	committed to prison or community supervision for all inmates
8	(TBD)
9	kkk. Number and percent of released inmates who have
10	completed mandatory literacy programs and who commit a new
11	crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
12	to prison or community supervision
13	lll. Number and percent of released inmates who have
14	completed GED education programs and who commit a new crime
15	within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to
16	prison or community supervision(TBD)
17	mmm. Number and percent of released inmates who have
18	completed special education programs and who commit a new
19	crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
20	to prison or community supervision(TBD)
21	nnn. Number and percent of released inmates who have
22	completed vocational education programs and who commit a new
23	crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
24	to prison or community supervision
25	000. Number and percent of released inmates who have
26	completed drug abuse education/treatment programs and who
27	commit a new crime within 2 years of release and are
28	subsequently committed to prison or community supervision
29	(TBD)
30	ppp. Number and percent of released inmates who have
31	completed life skills programs and who commit a new crime

1 within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to prison or community supervision.....(TBD) 2 3 qqq. Number and percent of released inmates who have completed transition programs and who commit a new crime 4 5 within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to 6 prison or community supervision.....(TBD) 7 Number and percent of released inmates who have rrr. 8 completed wellness programs and who commit a new crime within 9 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to prison or community supervision.....(TBD) 10 11 sss. Number and percent of released inmates who have completed work release programs and who commit a new crime 12 within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to 13 prison or community supervision.....(TBD) 14 ttt. Number and percentage of released inmates who are 15 employed during two or more consecutive quarters of the 16 17 calendar year.....(TBD) Number and percentage of released inmates who 18 uuu. 19 have completed mandatory literacy programs who are employed during two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year 20 (TBD) 21 Number and percentage of released inmates who 22 vvv. have completed GED education programs who are employed during 23 24 two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year....(TBD) 25 www. Number and percentage of released inmates who have completed special education programs who are employed 26 27 during two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year 28 (TBD) 29 Number and percentage of released inmates who xxx. 30 have completed vocational education programs who are employed

93

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	during two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year
2	
3	yyy. Number and percentage of released inmates who
4	have completed drug abuse education/treatment programs who are
5	employed during two or more consecutive quarters of the
б	calendar year(TBD)
7	zzz. Number and percentage of released inmates who
8	have completed life skills programs who are employed during
9	two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
10	aaaa. Number and percentage of released inmates who
11	have completed transition programs who are employed during two
12	or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
13	bbbb. Number and percentage of released inmates who
14	have completed wellness programs who are employed during two
15	or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
16	cccc. Number and percentage of released inmates who
17	have completed work release programs who are employed during
18	two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
19	dddd. Number and percentage of released inmates who
20	are employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
21	eeee. Number and percentage of released inmates who
22	have completed mandatory literacy programs and who are
23	employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
24	ffff. Number and percentage of released inmates who
25	have completed GED education programs and who are employed at
26	or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
27	gggg. Number and percentage of released inmates who
28	have completed special education programs and who are employed
29	at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
30	
31	

SB 2502

94

1	hhhh. Number and percentage of released inmates who
2	have completed vocational education programs and who are
3	employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
4	iiii. Number and percentage of released inmates who
5	have completed drug abuse education/treatment programs and who
6	are employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
7	jjjj. Number and percentage of released inmates who
8	have completed life skills programs and who are employed at or
9	above a full quarter earning level
10	kkkk. Number and percentage of released inmates who
11	have completed transition programs and who are employed at or
12	above a full quarter earning level
13	llll. Number and percentage of released inmates who
14	have completed wellness programs and who are employed at or
15	above a full quarter earning level
16	mmmm. Number and percentage of released inmates who
17	have completed work release programs and who are employed at
18	or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
19	nnnn. Average weekly number and annual percentage of
20	inmates attending religious services(13,013/5%)
21	0000. Number and percentage of inmates who are regular
22	attendants of religious services who reoffend within 2 years
23	(TBD)
24	pppp. Number and percentage of inmates who are regular
25	attendants of religious services who return to the prison
26	system with 2 years(TBD)
27	qqqq. Average monthly number of inmates using or
28	receiving general library print and audio-visual materials
29	
30	
31	

2 receiving general library reference and research assistance 3	1	rrrr. Average monthly number of inmates using or
4 ssss. Average monthly number of inmates using or 5 receiving law library research materials	2	receiving general library reference and research assistance
5 receiving law library research materials	3	
6 tttt. Average monthly number of inmates using or 7 receiving law library reference and research assistance 8	4	ssss. Average monthly number of inmates using or
7receiving law library reference and research assistance8	5	receiving law library research materials
8	6	tttt. Average monthly number of inmates using or
9 uuuu. Average monthly number of inmates who work as 10 law clerks in institutional law libraries	7	receiving law library reference and research assistance
10law clerks in institutional law libraries	8	
11vvvv. Average monthly number of inmates who are12trained as law clerks	9	uuuu. Average monthly number of inmates who work as
12trained as law clerks	10	law clerks in institutional law libraries(368)
132. OUTPUT MEASURES a. Number and percent of transition plans completed14a. Number and percent of transition plans completed15for inmates released from prison(22,338/95%)16b. Number of mandatory literacy programs completed by17offenders per teacher with number of GED/MLP teachers shown18	11	vvvv. Average monthly number of inmates who are
14a. Number and percent of transition plans completed15for inmates released from prison	12	trained as law clerks(135)
15for inmates released from prison	13	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
16b. Number of mandatory literacy programs completed by17offenders per teacher with number of GED/MLP teachers shown18	14	a. Number and percent of transition plans completed
17offenders per teacher with number of GED/MLP teachers shown181819c. Number of victims notified annually and the20percentage of victim notifications that meet the statutory21time period requirements	15	for inmates released from prison(22,338/95%)
<pre>18</pre>	16	b. Number of mandatory literacy programs completed by
19c. Number of victims notified annually and the20percentage of victim notifications that meet the statutory21time period requirements	17	offenders per teacher with number of GED/MLP teachers shown
20 percentage of victim notifications that meet the statutory 21 time period requirements(TBD) 22 d. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy 23 program with annual percentage change shown(250,000/2.8%) 24 (2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE 25 (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of 26 which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive 27 range of detention services to protect the community, hold 28 youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for 29 court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and 30 associated performance standards with respect to funds	18	(21.27/156 teachers)
<pre>time period requirements(TBD) d. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy program with annual percentage change shown(250,000/2.8%) (2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive range of detention services to protect the community, hold youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds</pre>	19	c. Number of victims notified annually and the
 <u>d. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy</u> <u>program with annual percentage change shown(250,000/2.8%)</u> <u>(2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE</u> <u>(a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of</u> which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive <u>range of detention services to protect the community, hold</u> <u>youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for</u> <u>court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and</u> <u>associated performance standards with respect to funds</u> 	20	percentage of victim notifications that meet the statutory
program with annual percentage change shown(250,000/2.8%) (2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive range of detention services to protect the community, hold youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds	21	time period requirements(TBD)
 24 (2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE 25 (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of 26 which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive 27 range of detention services to protect the community, hold 28 youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for 29 court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and 30 associated performance standards with respect to funds 	22	d. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy
 (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive range of detention services to protect the community, hold youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds 	23	program with annual percentage change shown(250,000/2.8%)
26 which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive 27 range of detention services to protect the community, hold 28 youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for 29 court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and 30 associated performance standards with respect to funds	24	(2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE
27 range of detention services to protect the community, hold 28 youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for 29 court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and 30 associated performance standards with respect to funds	25	(a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of
28 youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for 29 court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and 30 associated performance standards with respect to funds	26	which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive
29 <u>court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and</u> 30 <u>associated performance standards with respect to funds</u>	27	range of detention services to protect the community, hold
30 associated performance standards with respect to funds	28	youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for
<u>-</u>	29	court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and
31 provided in Specific Appropriations 966-968A are as follows.	30	associated performance standards with respect to funds
-	31	provided in Specific Appropriations 966-968A are as follows.

1	1. JUVENILE DETENTION OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Number of escapes from secure detention facilities
3	per 100,000 resident days(3.3)
4	b. Number of youth-on-youth batteries (assaults
5	requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while
6	<u>in secure detention</u>
7	c. Number of youth-on-staff batteries (assaults
8	requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while
9	in secure detention(32)
10	2. JUVENILE DETENTION OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of admissions to secure detention facilities
12	
13	b. Number of releases from secure detention facilities
14	
15	c. Average daily population for secure detention as
16	compared to fixed capacity beds in secure detention as of June
17	30
18	d. Actual number of escapes from secure detention
19	facilities per fiscal year(18)
20	e. Actual number of batteries requiring medical
21	attention per fiscal year for youth-on-youth and
22	youth-on-staff(1,016)
23	f. The actual number of absconds from home detention
24	<u>per fiscal year(1,467)</u>
25	g. The actual number of new law violations from home
26	detention per fiscal year(919)
27	3. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTCOMES
28	a. Number of absconds from home detention per 100,000
29	resident days(166)
30	b. Number of new law violations from home detention
31	per 100,000 resident days(92)

1	4. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTPUTS
2	a. Number of admissions into home detention/nonsecure
3	detention
4	(36,659)
5	b. Average daily population for home detention.(2,751)
6	(b) For the Juvenile Offender Program the purpose of
7	which is to provide protection for the public from juvenile
8	crime by reducing juvenile delinquency through the development
9	and implementation of an effective continuum of services and
10	commitment programs including secure residential programs, the
11	outcome measures, and output measures, and associated
12	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
13	Specific Appropriations 969-972C are as follows:
14	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
16	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
17	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
18	a low-risk program
19	b. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
20	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
21	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
22	a moderate-risk program
23	c. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
24	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
25	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
26	<u>a high-risk program(47.4%)</u>
27	d. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
28	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
29	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
30	<u>a</u> maximum-risk program
31	

1	e. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
2	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
3	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
4	an aftercare program(41.8%)
5	f. Percentage of escapes from low-risk residential
6	commitment programs(9.38%)
7	g. Percentage of escapes from moderate-risk
8	residential commitment programs
9	h. Percentage of escapes from high-risk residential
10	commitment programs (1.19%)
11	i. Percentage of escapes from maximum residential
12	commitment programs(0.43%)
13	j. Percentage of residential commitment program
14	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate
15	satisfactory or higher ratings on all physical plant safety
16	and security standards(73%)
17	k. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
18	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(.18)
19	1. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
20	youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs(.23)
21	m. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
22	youth in high-risk residential commitment programs(.4)
23	n. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
24	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(0)
25	o. Number of youth-on- staff assaults/batteries per
26	100 youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(1.5)
27	p. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
28	youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs(2.3)
29	q. Number of youth-on- staff assaults/batteries per
30	100 youth in high-risk residential commitment programs(3.1)
31	

1	r. Number of youth-on- staff assaults/batteries per
2	100 youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(6.6)
3	s. Total number of youth served and average daily
4	population of youth served in low-risk residential commitment
5	programs
6	t. Total number of youth served and average daily
7	population of youth served in moderate-risk residential
8	
9	u. Total number of youth served and average daily
10	population of youth served in high-risk residential commitment
11	programs(3,214/1,572)
12	v. Total number of youth served and average daily
13	population of youth served in maximum-risk residential
14	commitment programs(240/125)
15	w. Number of low-risk residential commitment beds
16	on-line(530)
17	x. Number of moderate-risk residential commitment beds
18	on-line(2,484)
19	y. Number of high-risk residential commitment beds
20	on-line(1,674)
21	z. Number of maximum-risk residential commitment beds
22	<u>on-line(172)</u>
23	aa. The average length of stay (in months) in low-risk
24	residential commitment programs for youth released during the
25	fiscal year(2.9)
26	bb. The average length of stay (in months) in
27	moderate-risk residential commitment programs for youth
28	released during the fiscal year
29	cc. The average length of stay (in months) in
30	high-risk residential commitment programs for youth released
31	during the fiscal year(8.8)
	100

1	dd. The average length of stay (in months) in
2	maximum-risk residential commitment programs for youth
3	released during the fiscal year
4	ee. The number of contracts executed with private
5	providers for residential programs
6	ff. The number of contracts executed with government
7	providers for residential programs
8	gg. The number and percent of contracts awarded on a
9	competitive basis
10	hh. Percentage of residential commitment program
11	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance, which indicated
12	satisfactory or higher ratings on overall quality(94%)
13	ii. Percentage of residential commitment program
14	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate
15	satisfactory or higher ratings on staff-to-youth ratios(80%)
16	jj. The ratio of direct care staff per shift to youth
17	in state-operated programs
18	kk. The ratio of nondirect care staff per shift to
19	youth in state-operated programs
20	ll. The number of incidents of contraband possession
21	by youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(2)
22	mm. The number of incidents of contraband possession
23	by youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs.(28)
24	nn. The number of incidents of contraband possession
25	by youth in high-risk residential commitment programs(6)
26	oo. The number of incidents of contraband possession
27	by youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(0)
28	pp. Percentage of youth who were adjudicated or had
29	adjudication withheld for a crime which occurred within one
30	year of existing a nonresidential program(34.7)
31	

1	qq. Percentage of cases processed within statutory
2	time frames(71.80%)
3	rr. Average time in days to make recommendations to
4	the State Attorney once the law enforcement repot is received
5	
6	ss. Percentage of juvenile cases received that are
7	placed on community control
8	tt. The number of contracts executed with private
9	providers for nonresidential services
10	uu. The number of contracts executed with government
11	providers for nonresidential services
12	vv. The number and percentage of contracts awarded on
13	<u>a competitive basis</u>
14	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Total number of youth served and average daily
16	population of youth served in low-risk residential commitment
17	programs(2,204/477)
18	b. Total number of youth served and average daily
19	population of youth served in moderate-risk residential
20	commitment programs
21	c. Total number of youth served and average daily
22	population of youth served in high-risk residential commitment
23	programs(3,214/1,572)
24	d. Total number of youth served and average daily
25	population of youth served in maximum-risk residential
26	commitment programs(240/125)
27	e. Number of low-risk residential commitment beds
28	<u>on-line(530)</u>
29	f. Number of moderate-risk residential commitment beds
30	on-line(2,484)
31	

102

1	g. Number of high-risk residential commitment beds
2	on-line
3	h. Number of maximum-risk residential commitment beds
4	on-line(172)
5	i. Number of youth receiving supervision services,
б	either state or contracted, in community control(25,108)
7	j. Number of youth receiving supervision services,
8	either state or contracted, in diversion programs(17,824)
9	k. Average annual community control and intake
10	caseload compared to agency standard for 1,080 FTE.(42:1/32:1)
11	1. Number of youth processed through intake(105,973)
12	(3) DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
13	(a) For the Criminal Justice Investigations and
14	Forensic Science Program the purpose of which is to manage,
15	coordinate and provide investigative, forensic, prevention and
16	protection services and through partnerships with local,
17	state, and federal criminal justice agencies to improve the
18	state's capacity to prevent crime and detect, capture and
19	prosecute criminal suspects, the outcome measures, output
20	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
21	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 982-986A are as
22	follows:
23	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Number/percentage of criminal investigations closed
25	resulting in an arrest
26	b. Number/percentage of closed criminal investigations
27	resolved(1,008/85%)
28	c. Number/ percentage of service requests by lab
29	discipline completed
30	d. Average number of days to complete lab service
31	requests, excluding serology and DNA
	103

1	e. Average number of days to complete lab service
2	requests for DNA(115)
3	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of criminal investigations worked(2,794)
5	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced.(1,504)
6	c. Number/percentage of criminal investigations closed
7	
8	d. Number of short-term investigative assists worked
9	
10	e. Number of crime scenes processed(600)
11	f. Number of DNA samples added to DNA database.(7,000)
12	g. Number of expert witness appearances in court
13	proceedings(1,762)
14	h. Number of dignitaries provided with FDLE protective
15	services
16	i. Number of background investigations performed
17	
18	(b) For the Criminal Justice Information Program the
19	purpose of which is to provide criminal justice information
20	needed to prevent crime, solve cases, recover property and
21	identify and apprehend criminals; to provide screening to
22	identify persons with criminal warrants, arrests, and
23	convictions; and to provide statistical and analytical
24	information about crime to policymakers and the public, the
25	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
26	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
27	Appropriations 987-992 are as follows:
28	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Percentage of responses to simulated FCIC queries
30	within defined time frame(90%)
31	

1	b. Percent of time FCIC is running and accessible
2	
3	c. Percentage response to criminal history record
4	check customers within defined time frame
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
б	a. Percentage of criminal arrest information received
7	electronically (through AFIS) for entry into the criminal
8	history system
9	b. Number of agencies/FCIC work stations networked
10	
11	c. Number of agencies connected to the Criminal
12	Justice Network
13	d. Number of responses to requests for crime
14	statistics(30,000)
15	e. Number of responses to requests for criminal
16	history record checks
17	f. Number of registered sexual predators/ offenders
18	identified to the public(15,350)
19	g. Number of responses to requests for sexual
20	predator/offender information
21	h. Number of missing children cases worked through
22	MCIC
23	(c) For Criminal Justice Professionalism Program the
24	purpose of which is to promote and facilitate the competency
25	and professional conduct of criminal justice officers through
26	a partnership with criminal justice agencies in provide
27	entry-level and in-service officer training and maintain
28	disciplinary procedures the outcome measures, output measures,
29	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
30	provided in Specific Appropriations 993-996 are as follows:
31	1. OUTCOME MEASURES

1	a. Number/percentage of individuals who pass the basic
2	professionalism certification examination for law enforcement
3	officers, correctional officers, and correctional probation
4	officers(5,140/75%)
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
б	a. Number of course curricula and examinations
7	developed or revised(109)
8	b. Number of examinations administered(7,000)
9	c. Number of individuals trained by the Florida
10	Criminal Justice Executive Institute
11	d. Number of law enforcement officers trained by DARE
12	
13	e. Number of discipline referrals processed for state
14	and local LEOs and COs and CPOs pursuant to Ch. 120, F.S.
15	
16	f. Number of criminal justice officer disciplinary
17	actions(452)
18	g. Number of program and financial compliance audits
19	performed(3,155)
20	h. Number of records audited to validate the accuracy
21	and completeness of ATMS2 record information(2,138)
22	(4) DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS
23	(a) For the Office of the Attorney General Program,
24	the purpose of which is to provide civil representation and
25	legal services on behalf of the State of Florida, and to
26	assist crime victims and law enforcement agencies through
27	associated support services, the outcome measures, output
28	measures and associated performance standards with respect to
29	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 997-1013 are as
30	follows:
31	

1	1. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICE OUTCOME
2	MEASURES
3	a. Actual cost per legal hour for state agency
4	representation(\$46)
5	b. Percent of client agencies expressing satisfaction
6	with civil defense services
7	c. Cost per capital brief/state & federal
8	responses/oral arguments: (\$5,100)
9	d. Cost per noncapital brief/state & federal
10	responses/oral arguments:(\$820)
11	e. Percent of prosecutorial agencies expressing
12	satisfaction with criminal appellate services(95%)
13	f. Children's Legal Services dependency petitions
14	filed(1,600)
15	g. Termination of parental rights final judgments
16	
17	h. Child Support Enforcement - Court Orders(12,000)
18	i. Child Support Enforcement - Cases referred from
19	Department of Revenue(13,000)
20	j. Average number of days for opinion response(29)
21	k. Percent of mediated cases resolved in 3 weeks or
22	less(75%)
23	1. Percent of lemon law cases resolved in less than
24	one year
25	m. Number/percent disputes in which litigation was
26	filed by one of parties(2/1%)
27	2. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT
28	MEASURES
29	a. Number of state agencies represented(50)
30	b. Cases opened
31	c. Cases closed
	107

1 d. Number of capital cases opened		
3 f. Number of capital briefs/state & federal 4 responses/oral arguments	1	d. Number of capital cases opened(210)
4 responses/oral arguments	2	e. Number of noncapital cases opened(14,000)
g. Number of noncapital briefs/state & federal responses/oral arguments	3	f. Number of capital briefs/state & federal
6 responses/oral arguments	4	responses/oral arguments(250)
h. Number of Antitrust cases closed	5	g. Number of noncapital briefs/state & federal
8 i. Number of Economic Crime cases closed(300) 9 j. Number of Medicaid Fraud cases closed(400) 10 k. Number of Children's Legal Services (uncontested 11 disposition orders entered) cases closed	б	responses/oral arguments
9j. Number of Medicaid Fraud cases closed	7	h. Number of Antitrust cases closed
10 k. Number of Children's Legal Services (uncontested 11 disposition orders entered) cases closed	8	i. Number of Economic Crime cases closed(300)
11 disposition orders entered) cases closed	9	j. Number of Medicaid Fraud cases closed(400)
12 1. Number of Ethics cases closed	10	k. Number of Children's Legal Services (uncontested
13m. Lemon Law cases approved for state-run arbitration14	11	disposition orders entered) cases closed(1,400)
14	12	1. Number of Ethics cases closed
15 n. Opinions issued	13	m. Lemon Law cases approved for state-run arbitration
16 o. Cost per opinion	14	
17 p. Number/percent of disputes resolved through 18 mediation	15	n. Opinions issued(255)
18mediation(105/76%)19q. Cost per mediation(\$555)203. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICE OUTCOME21MEASURES22a. Average number of days from application to payment23	16	<u>o.</u> Cost per opinion(\$1,350)
19q. Cost per mediation	17	p. Number/percent of disputes resolved through
203. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICE OUTCOME21MEASURES22a. Average number of days from application to payment23	18	mediation(105/76%)
21 MEASURES 22 a. Average number of days from application to payment 23	19	<u>q.</u> Cost per mediation
22 a. Average number of days from application to payment 23	20	3. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICE OUTCOME
23 23 24 <u>b. Number of appeals filed with district courts of</u> 25 <u>appeal</u>	21	MEASURES
24b. Number of appeals filed with district courts of25appeal	22	a. Average number of days from application to payment
<pre>25 appeal</pre>	23	
26 <u>c. Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle theft</u> 27 <u>grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle</u> 28 <u>theft incidents below 1994 levels</u>	24	b. Number of appeals filed with district courts of
27 grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle 28 theft incidents below 1994 levels	25	appeal(5)
28 <u>theft incidents below 1994 levels</u>	26	c. Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle theft
29 <u>d. Percent of training participants who rated the</u> 30 training as good or excellent (victims/crime prevention).(65%)	27	grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle
30 training as good or excellent (victims/crime prevention).(65%)	28	theft incidents below 1994 levels
	29	d. Percent of training participants who rated the
31	30	training as good or excellent (victims/crime prevention).(65%)
	31	

1	e. Number of convenience store complaints resolved
2	
3	4. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of victim compensation claims eligibility
6	determinations
7	b. Number of victim compensation claims paid(5,500)
8	c. Amount paid on behalf of victims(\$20 million)
9	d. Number of victim compensation final orders issues
10	
11	e. Number of sexual battery examination claims paid
12	
13	f. Number of appellate services provided(700)
14	g. Number of information and referral services
15	provided(25,000)
16	h. Number of VOCA grants funded(200)
17	I. Amount of funds awarded in VOCA grants.(\$21million)
18	j. Number of victims served through contract
19	
20	k. Number of motor vehicle theft grants funded(40)
21	1. Amount of funds awarded in motor vehicle theft
22	grants(\$2.4
23	<u>million)</u>
24	m. Number of applications received(12,000)
25	n. Number of eligible applications received(7,000)
26	o. Number of victim compensation appeals received
27	
28	p. Number of sexual battery examination claims
29	<u>received(5,800)</u>
30	q. Number of persons seeking appellate services(825)
31	

1	r. Number of calls received on the toll-free
2	information and referral line
3	s. Number of VOCA grant applications received(200)
4	t. Number of motor vehicle theft grant applications
5	received(40)
6	u. Number of robberies occurring in convenience stores
7	
8	v. Number of convenience store security
9	violations/complaints received(60)
10	w. Number of people attending training (victims/crime
11	prevention)(1,368/3,550)
12	x. Number of training sessions held (victims/crime
13	prevention)(33/30)
14	y. Cost per attendee for training (victims/crime
15	prevention)(\$161)
16	z. Number of convenience store complaints/violations
17	processed(25)
18	aa. Number of convenience store technical assistance
19	responses provided(60)
20	(b) For the Statewide Prosecution Program the purpose
21	of which is to investigate and prosecute criminal offenses
22	enumerated in section 16.56, Florida Statutes, when they have
23	been part of an organized crime conspiracy affecting two or
24	more judicial circuits, including assistance to federal state
25	attorneys and local law enforcement offices in their efforts
26	against organized crime, the outcome measures, output
27	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
28	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1014-1016 are as
29	follows:
30	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	110

e.

f.

g.

h.

i.

j.

k.

1.

m.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

	a.	Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
number	of	those convicted(288)
	b.	Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
number	of	those convicted by plea (271)
	с.	Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
number	of	those convicted by trial (17)
	d.	Conviction rate per defendant
	e.	Dispositions - total years prison/probation(626 &
<u>2 life</u>	/1,3	310)
	f.	Dispositions - total monetary penalties assessed
	2.	OUTPUT MEASURES
	a.	New requests for investigative and prosecutorial
assista	ance	e from law enforcement(653)
	b.	Number of law enforcement agencies assisted(66)
	с.	Ratio of request to number of intake prosecutors
	d.	
previou	us y	years)

Number of subjects/targets.....(1,459)

New criminal cases filed.....(107) Number of defendants charged.....(157)

Number of defendants charged.....(681)

Ratio of investigations to number of prosecutors

Total volume of final criminal cases handled

Ratio of total filed cases to total number of

(inclusive of prior years).....(261)

SB 2502

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

prosecutors......(8:1) 111

1	Section 36. The performance measures and standards
2	established in this section for individual programs in Natural
3	Resources shall be applied to those programs for the 1999-2000
4	fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are
5	directly linked to the appropriations made in the General
6	Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as required by
7	the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
8	(1) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
9	(a) For the Food Safety and Quality Program, the
10	purpose of which is to ensure the safety, wholesomeness,
11	quality, and accurate labeling of food products through
12	inspections, laboratory analyses, consumer assistance, and
13	enforcement actions, the outcome measures, output measures,
14	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
15	provided in Specific Appropriations 1042-1046 are as follows:
16	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Total outbreaks of food-borne illness in
18	Florida/total number of people who become ill in calendar year
19	(TBD)
20	b. Number/percentage of food and dairy establishments
21	which fail to meet food safety and sanitation requirements
22	
23	c. Number of food or dairy products removed from sale
24	for failure to meet food safety requirements or standards
25	
26	d. Number/percentage of food products analyzed which
27	fail to meet standards
28	e. Number/percentage of milk and milk products
29	analyzed which fail to meet standards(1,300/8.8%)
30	
31	

1	f. Number/percentage of produce or other food samples
2	analyzed which fail to meet pesticide residue standards
3	
4	g. Number/percentage of food and dairy enforcement
5	actions which result in compliance or other resolution within
6	60 days, excluding Field Notices of Violation(13,000/99%)
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	
9	establishments, and water vending machines
10	b. Number of enforcement actions taken, excluding
11	Field Notices of Violation
12	c. Number of food analyses/samples analyzed
13	
14	d. Number of milk and milk products analyses/samples
15	analyzed(70,000/20,000
16	e. Number of pesticide residue analyses/samples
17	analyzed(273,000/3,050)
18	f. Number of food-related consumer assistance
19	investigations or actions(4,800)
20	g. Tons of poultry and shell eggs graded(430,000)
21	(b) For the Consumer Protection Program, the purpose
22	of which is to protect Florida's consumers from deceptive and
23	unfair business and trade practices and from unsafe, harmful,
24	and inferior products and services, the outcome measures,
25	output measures, and associated performance standards with
26	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1047-1050
27	are as follows:
28	1. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	
31	
	110

1	a. Number/percentage of LP Gas accidents due to
2	equipment failure or code violations at licensed LP Gas
3	storage, distribution, and handling facilities(2/3%)
4	b. Number/percentage of LP Gas facilities found in
5	compliance with safety requirements on first inspection
6	
7	c. Number of reportable accidents resulting from
8	amusement attraction mechanical or structural failure(1)
9	d. Number/percentage of amusement attractions found in
10	full compliance with safety requirements on first inspection
11	
12	e. Number/percentage of regulated weighing and
13	measuring devices, packages, and businesses with scanners in
14	compliance with accuracy standards during initial
15	inspection/testing
16	f. Number/percentage of petroleum products meeting
17	quality standards
18	g. Number/percentage of state and commercial weights
19	and volumetric standards found within specified tolerances
20	
21	2. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTPUT
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of LP Gas facility inspections/reinspections
24	<u>conducted(4,200)</u>
25	b. Number of LP Gas-related accidents investigated
26	
27	c. Number of amusement device safety/permit
28	inspections conducted
29	d. Number of weighing and measuring devices
30	inspected/tested(249,000)
31	

1	e. Number of complaints investigated/processed
2	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
3	Standards in the Consumer Protection Program(3,180)
4	f. Number of LP Gas professional certification
5	examinations administered(1,500)
б	g. Number of laboratory analyses performed on
7	regulated petroleum products
8	h. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
9	entities regulated by the Division of Standards in the
10	Consumer Protection Program
11	i. Number of physical measurement standards tests or
12	calibrations conducted(12,000)
13	3. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Number/percentage regulated entities (motor vehicle
15	repair shops, health studio, telemarketer, business
16	opportunity, dance studio, solicitation of contribution,
17	sellers of travel, and pawn shops) found operating in
18	violation of the consumer protection laws(8,892/26%)
19	b. Number/percentage of consumer hotline callers that
20	receive accurate information and are treated courteously by
21	call center staff(TBD)
22	c. Number/percentage of "no-sales solicitation"
23	<pre>complaints from subscribers(17,160/13%)</pre>
24	d. Amount/percentage of money recovered for consumers
25	from regulated motor vehicle repair shops(\$165,000/TBD)
26	4. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of assists provided to consumers, not
28	<u>including lemon law</u>
29	b. Number of lemon law assists made to consumers
30	
31	

1	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
2	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of Consumer
3	Services in the Consumer Protection Program(33,529)
4	d. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
5	entities regulated by the Division of Consumer Services in the
6	Consumer Protection Program
7	e. Number of "no sales solicitation calls"
8	subscriptions processed(180,000)
9	5. PEST CONTROL AND FEED, SEED AND FERTILIZER
10	COMPLIANCE OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number/percentage of licensed pest control
12	applicators inspected who misapply chemicals or otherwise
13	violate regulations(375/23%)
14	b. Number/percentage of feed, seed, and fertilizer
15	inspected products in compliance with performance/quality
16	standards(16,698/90.5%)
17	6. PEST CONTROL AND FEED, SEED, AND FERTILIZER
18	COMPLIANCE OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number of pest control inspections conducted
20	
21	b. Number of feed, seed, and fertilizer inspections
22	<u>conducted(12,146)</u>
23	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
24	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
25	Agricultural Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection
26	Program
27	d. Number of pest control professional certification
28	examinations administered(1,605)
29	e. Number of laboratory analyses performed on seed and
30	fertilizer samples(160,000)
31	

1	f. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
2	entities regulated by the Division of Agricultural
3	Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection Program
4	
5	7. CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Number/percentage of licensed pesticide applicators
7	inspected who do not apply chemicals properly(198/36%)
8	b. Number of reported human/equine disease cases
9	<u>caused by mosquitos(3/40)</u>
10	8. CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of pesticide-related complaints investigated
12	
13	b. Number of pesticide-related inspections conducted
14	
15	c. Number of pesticide-related enforcement actions
16	initiated/completed(500)
17	d. Number of wells monitored for pesticide or nitrate
18	residues
19	e. Number of pesticide product and residue analyses
20	performed in the pesticide laboratory(63,500)
21	f. Number of persons in Florida served by effective
22	<pre>mosquito control programs(14,000,000)</pre>
23	(c) For the Agricultural Economic Development Program,
24	the purpose of which is to maintain and enhance Florida
25	agriculture in the national and international marketplace, the
26	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
27	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
28	Appropriations 1051-1067 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of national agricultural gate receipts
31	represented by Florida agricultural products(TBD)
	117

1	b. Percentage of national agricultural exports
2	represented by Florida agricultural products(TBD)
3	c. Percentage/value of Florida's gross state product
4	represented by Florida agricultural products(TBD)
5	2. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
6	REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Gate receipts value of agriculture and seafood
8	products sold by Florida's agricultural industry, in dollars
9	<u>in calendar year(\$7.075</u>
10	billion)
11	b. Total sales of agricultural and seafood products
12	generated by tenants of state farmers markets(\$194,189,444)
13	c. Dollar value of federal commodities and recovered
14	food distributed(\$52,142,213)
15	3. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
16	REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of buyers reached with agricultural
18	promotion campaign messages(2.02 billion)
19	b. Number of marketing assists provided to producers
20	and businesses(94,569)
21	c. Pounds of federal commodities and recovered food
22	distributed(66,214,385)
23	4. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Dollar value of fruit and vegetables that are
25	shipped to other states or countries which are subject to
26	<pre>mandatory inspection</pre>
27	5. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURE
28	a. Number of tons of fruits and vegetables inspected
29	
30	6. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
31	

1	a. Number/percentage of newly introduced pests and
2	diseases prevented from infesting Florida plants to a level
3	where eradication is biologically or economically unfeasible
4	(100/93.5%)
5	b. Number/percentage of commercial citrus acres free
6	of citrus canker
7	c. Number/percentage of acres of commercial citrus,
8	monitored by the department, at the request of the grower,
9	which are free of the Caribbean fruit fly(186,000/98%)
10	d. Number/percentage of exotic fruit fly
11	(Mediterranean, Oriental, Mexican, Queensland, West Indian)
12	outbreaks where eradication can occur without use of
13	aerial-treatments(2/100%)
14	7. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of plant, fruit fly trap, and honeybee
16	inspections performed
17	b. Number of commercial citrus acres surveyed for
18	<u>citrus canker(245,000)</u>
19	c. Number of exotic fruit fly traps serviced(36,729)
20	d. Millions of sterile med flies released(7,800)
21	e. Number of acres where plant pest and disease
22	eradication or control efforts were undertake(100,000)
23	f. Number of shipments of plant products certified
24	<pre>pest-free for export(25,000)</pre>
25	g. Number of plant, soil, insect, and other organism
26	samples processed for identification or diagnosis(650,000)
27	8. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURE
28	a. Number/percentage of livestock and poultry infected
29	with specific transmissible diseases for which monitoring,
30	controlling, and eradicating activities are established
31	
	110

1 9. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES.--2 Number of animal site inspections performed a. 3 b. Number of animals tested/vaccinated 4 5 c. Number of animal sites quarantined and monitored 6 7(315) Number of/unit cost per animal-related diagnostic 8 d. 9 laboratory procedure(s) performed.....(850,000/\$2.84) 10 e. Number of animals covered by health certificates 11 Number of animal permits processed......(4,750) 12 f. AGRICULTURE INSPECTION STATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES.--13 10. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural 14 a. 15 Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural 16 b. 17 inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated 18 commodities.....(2,505,682) Percentage of vehicles inspected at agricultural 19 с. 20 inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated 21 commodities.....(22%) 22 Amount of revenue generated by Bills of Lading d. transmitted to the Department of Revenue from Agricultural 23 24 Inspection stations......(\$12,658,800) 25 e. Number of Bills of Lading transmitted to the 26 Department of Revenue from Agricultural Inspection stations 27 (d) For the Forestry Program, the purpose of which is 28 29 to promote and use sound management practices for forestry and 30 other agricultural activities, the outcome measures, output 31 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to

120

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1 funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1069-1082 are as 2 follows: 3 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--Number/percentage of acres of protected forest and 4 а. 5 wildlands not burned by wildfires.....(24,924,300/99.3%) 6 Number/percentage of threatened structures not b. 7 burned by wildfires.....(1,000/98%) Number/percentage of wildfires caused by humans 8 с. 9(3,040/80%) 10 d. Number/percentage of State Forest timber producing 11 acres adequately stocked and growing.....(107,485/25.9%) OUTPUT MEASURES. --12 2. Number of wildfires detected and suppressed.(3,800) 13 a. Average elapsed time in minutes between wildfire 14 b. ignition and detection.....(55) 15 c. Average elapsed time in minutes between wildfire 16 17 Number/percentage of forest acres and other lands 18 d. 19 managed by the department and purchased by the state with 20 21 Number of acres burned through prescribed burning e. 22(2.1 million) f. Number of person-hours of firefighting training 23 24 provided......(47,000) Number of forest-related technical assists provided 25 g. to nonindustrial private land owners......(37,000) 26 27 Number of open burning authorizations processed for h. land clearing, agriculture, and silviculture.....(118,000) 28 29 i. Number of fire prevention presentations made 30(1,350) 31

121

1	j. Number of person-hours spent responding to
2	emergency incidents other than wildfires
3	(2) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
4	(a) For the State Lands Program, the purpose of which
5	is to acquire, administer, and dispose of state lands, the
6	title of which is vested in the Board of Trustees of the
7	Internal Improvement Trust Fund; administer, manage, and
8	maintain the records of all lands held by the Board of
9	Trustees; administer and maintain the geodetic survey
10	requirements for the State of Florida; identify and set
11	ordinary and mean high water boundaries for purposes of
12	sovereignty and land title; and control aquatic and invasive
13	plant species, the outcome measures, output measures, and
14	associated performance standards with respect to funds
15	provided in Specific Appropriations 1187-1209 are as follows:
16	1. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
17	a. Percent increase in the number of occurrences of
18	endangered/ threatened/special concern species on publicly
19	<pre>managed conservation areas(10%)</pre>
20	2. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of acres of critical habitat acquired by the
22	P2000 Program as listed in the CARL report(311,601)
23	b. Percentage of acres acquired by the P2000 Program
24	that have a critical habitat within the acquired tract(38%)
25	c. Number of acres of land acquired by the P2000
26	Program that had its highest resource values based on FNAI
27	elements(218,808)
28	d. Number and percent completion of projects on the
29	<u>CARL list(95/10%)</u>
30	e. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
31	- less than \$100,000(6%)

1	f. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
2	- greater than \$100,000(63%)
3	g. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
4	- less than \$100,000(93%)
5	h. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
6	- greater than \$100,000
7	i. Number of appraisals certified
8	j. Number of surveys/maps certified for environmental
9	land acquisition
10	k. Number of surveys/maps certified for
11	nonenvironmental land acquisition
12	1. Percentage of parcels acquired within the "standard
13	<u>time limit" - less than \$100,000</u>
14	m. Percentage of parcels acquired within the "standard
15	<u>time limit" - greater than \$100,000</u>
16	3. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Number of parcels evaluated and disposed of that
18	have been determined to have no further public use(80)
19	b. Percentage of easements, leases, and other requests
20	completed by maximum time frames prescribed(75%)
21	c. Percentage of all leases of sovereign submerged
22	lands in compliance with lease conditions(92%)
23	d. Percentage of all land management plans completed
24	within statutory time frames(60%)
25	4. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Percentage of submerged land leases found in
27	<pre>compliance annually(92%)</pre>
28	b. Ratio of parcels of lands surplused/parcels of land
29	evaluated for possible surplus(1:2)
30	c. Number of verified records maintained(237,265)
31	

1	d. Number of submerged land leases audited annually
2	
3	5. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Number of new acres of public land that have
5	invasive, exotic, upland plants controlled and have existing
6	management personnel committed to maintaining these plants
7	under control after initial treatment
8	b. Percentage of Florida's public waters where
9	control of hydrilla, water hyacinth, and water lettuce has
10	been achieved and sustained
11	6. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of public lakes and rivers that contain
13	invasive, nonnative aquatic plants and are under maintenance
14	control
15	b. Percentage of public lands where invasive,
16	nonnative upland plants, have been brought under control
17	through efforts of, or pass-through funding, by the Bureau of
18	Aquatic Plant Management(TBD)
19	c. Average cost per acre to achieve maintenance
20	control of aquatic, nonnative plants
21	(b) For the Water Resources Management Program, the
22	purpose of which is to regulate, manage, conserve, and protect
23	the state's drinking water, surface and groundwater resources,
24	wetlands, beaches, and lands reclaimed after mining
25	activities, the outcome measures, output measures, and
26	associated performance standards with respect to funds
27	provided in Specific Appropriations 1222-1243 are as follows:
28	1. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of rivers that meet designated uses
31	
	1 0 4

1 b. Percentage of lakes that meet designated uses.(87%) 2 c. Percentage of estuaries that meet designated uses 3 d. Percentage of groundwater that meets designated 4 5 б e. Percentage of reclaimed water (reuse) capacity 7 relative to total domestic wastewater capacity......(40%) 8 f. Percentage of public water systems with no 9 significant (public health-based) drinking water quality 10 problems......(90%) 11 g. Number of wetland acres within agency jurisdiction successfully preserved, created, restored, and enhanced to 12 offset the number of wetland acres impacted; and functional 13 14 wetland acres - net gain/loss ratio.....(0) WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTPUT 15 2. MEASURES.--16 17 a. Number of wastewater inspections, site visits, technical assistance contacts, and other compliance activities 18 19 b. Number of wastewater permits and other 20 21 authorizations processed......(30) Number of water quality stations monitored in the 22 c. 23 statewide monitoring networks.....(980) Number of drinking water inspections, site visits, 24 d. technical assistance contacts, and other compliance activities 25 26 27 BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND 3. PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE. --28 29 Linear miles of beaches which provide upland a. 30 protection, wildlife habitat, or recreation according to 31 statutory and rule requirements......(825)

125

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	4. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
2	PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Beach renourishment and dune restoration funds
4	awarded(\$7.7
5	million)
6	b. Number of beach renourishment and dune restoration
7	projects funded(7)
8	c. Number of other compliance activities(168)
9	d. Number of coastal construction permits, including
10	field permits, processed(1,580)
11	e. Miles of shoreline surveyed and monitored(752)
12	5. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE
13	a. Percentage of mined lands qualifying for
14	reclamation which have been reclaimed according to statutory
15	and rule requirements
16	6. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Funds awarded annually for mine reclamation
18	projects(\$10 million)
19	b. Number of mining permits processed/number of
20	inspections(20/550)
21	c. Number of applications/acreage processed for mine
22	reclamation projects
23	7. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTCOME
24	MEASURE
25	a. Percentage of wastewater, drinking water, and
26	stormwater projects on State Revolving Fund loan priority
27	lists and the construction grant priority list that are funded
28	annually(3.5%)
29	8. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTPUT
30	MEASURES
31	a. Loan grant funds awarded(\$80 million)
	126

1	b. Number of local governments, including
2	systems/utilities funded(12)
3	(c) For the Waste Management Program, the purpose of
4	which is to protect the public and the environment through
5	promotion of sound waste management practices, the outcome
6	measures, output measures, and associated performance
7	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
8	Appropriations 1244-1277D are as follows:
9	1. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
10	REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Percentage of regulated petroleum storage tank
12	facilities in compliance with state regulations(89%)
13	b. Percentage/number of contaminated petroleum sites
14	with rehabilitation underway
15	c. Percentage/number of contaminated petroleum sites
16	with rehabilitation completed
17	2. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
18	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Percentage of reimbursement claims processed(100%)
20	b. Number and percentage of petroleum sites eligible
21	for state financial assistance
22	3. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percentage and number of contaminated drycleaning
24	sites with rehabilitation underway
25	b. Percentage and number of contaminated drycleaning
26	sites with rehabilitation completed
27	4. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
28	a. Number of drycleaning site cleanup applications
29	eligible for state financial assistance(1,200)
30	5. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
31	REHABILITATION OUTCOMES

1	a. Percentage of all hazardous waste generators in
2	significant compliance with state and federal regulations
3	
4	b. Percentage of permitted transfer, storage, and
5	disposal facilities in significant compliance with state and
б	federal regulations
7	c. Number of facilities or sources of pollution that
8	modified their industrial processes to reduce generation of
9	pollutants as a result of department activities(10)
10	d. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (Federal
11	superfund sites) with rehabilitation underway(100%/49)
12	e. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (Federal
13	superfund sites) with rehabilitation completed(0%/0)
14	f. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (known
15	state program sites) with rehabilitation underway(95%/19)
16	g. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (known
17	state program sites) with rehabilitation completed(5%/1)
18	6. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
19	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
20	a. Number of tons of hazardous waste generated in
21	Florida(185,221)
22	7. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME
23	MEASURES
24	a. Percentage of permitted solid waste facilities in
25	compliance with state requirements
26	b. Percentage of municipal solid waste recycled
27	statewide(40%)
28	c. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
29	collected that is recycled
30	d. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
31	burned annually
	128

SB 2502

1 e. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste disposed in landfills.....(10,266,086/43%) 2 3 SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT 8. 4 MEASURES.--5 Number of solid waste permits and registrations a. б processed......(685) 7 Number and dollar amount of solid waste management b. 8 and recycling grants issued.....(252/\$35 million) 9 Number of waste-to-energy facilities located in c. 10 11 (d) For the Recreation and Parks Program, the purpose of which is to anticipate and meet the outdoor recreation 12 demands of Florida's residents and visitors and to ensure that 13 an adequate natural resource base is maintained to accommodate 14 future demands and preserve a quality environment, the outcome 15 measures, output measures, and associated performance 16 17 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 18 Appropriations 1278-1327B are as follows: 19 1. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Increase in attendance at state parks over prior 20 year.....(1.3%) 21 Increase the acreage available for public 22 b. 23 recreation over prior year.....(2%) STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES. --24 2. 25 Number of parks sites managed.....(151) a. Number of recreational facilities built, repaired, 26 b. 27 or restored by type compared to plan development needs...(TBD) Number of cultural/historical sites restored or 28 c. 29 maintained compared to need.....(TBD) d. Number of acres managed for secondary use/multiple 30 31 use.....(500)

129

1	e. Acres of native habitat successfully maintained as
2	natural areas in state parks compared to need.(58,000/545,075)
3	f. Percentage of management plans completed in
4	compliance with Florida Statutes
5	g. Percentage of lands acquired by P2000 that meet at
6	least 3 criteria of the program
7	h. Number of parks/acres/trail miles supported by
8	general administration, maintenance/minor repairs, protection,
9	and all variations of visitor service activities
10	
11	i. Number of private/public partnerships utilized to
12	assist operations of state parks
13	j. Number of state parks additions/inholding land
14	acquisitions(10)
15	k. Number of recreational and natural/cultural
16	additions and inholding acquisitions for existing parks by
17	type as related to available funding(1)
18	3. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTCOME MEASURE
19	a. Number of additional greenways, recreational
20	trails, or trail systems acquired to provide or enhance access
21	to public lands while ensuring that the ecological integrity
22	of the land is not compromised(18)
23	4. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of state greenways and trails managed(4)
25	b. Number of miles of recreational facilities built,
26	repaired, or restored by type compared to plan development
27	<u>needs(35)</u>
28	c. Number of trailheads developed to provide public
29	access points on greenways and trails(10)
30	5. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
31	OUTCOME MEASURE

130

1 a. Increase in technical assistance and grant related 2 services to local governments over prior year.....(2%) 3 6. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS OUTPUT 4 MEASURES.--5 Number of recreational grants/funding to local a. 6 governments for recreational facilities and land acquisition 7(202/\$23.1 million) b. Number of technical assistance consultations, 8 meetings, calls, and publications.....(350) 9 10 7. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTCOME 11 MEASURE.-a. Percentage of degraded acreage identified in state 12 buffer enhanced or restored......(11.6%) 13 COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTPUT 14 8. 15 MEASURES.-a. Number of acres managed.....(129,493) 16 Number of acres where invasive or undesirable plant 17 b. species have been controlled.....(2,255) 18 For the Air Resources Management Program, the 19 (e) 20 purpose of which is to maintain and improve the state's air 21 quality through air-pollution mitigation and prevention, the 22 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 23 24 Appropriations 1328-1335 are as follows: 25 1. AIR QUALITY OUTCOME MEASURES.--Percentage of population living in areas monitored 26 a. 27 for air quality......(90%) Annual average percentage of time monitored 28 b. 29 30 c. Annual average percentage of time monitored 31 population breathes moderate quality air.....(20%)

1	d. Percentage of the population which breathes air
2	that violates the standard for ozone as determined by the data
3	generated by the state air quality monitoring network(4%)
4	2. AIR QUALITY OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number of monitors operated by the department and
6	local programs(163)
7	b. Number of quality assurance audits conducted to
8	ensure accurate and reliable ambient air quality data(301)
9	3. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTCOME
10	MEASURES
11	a. Annual 0.5% reduction of NOX air emissions per
12	<u>capita(129.24)</u>
13	b. Annual 0.5% reduction of SO2 air emissions per
14	<u>capita(99.67)</u>
15	c. Annual 0.5% reduction of CO air emissions per
16	<u>capita(544.33)</u>
17	d. Annual 0.5% reduction of VOC air emissions per
18	<u>capita(108.49)</u>
19	4. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTPUT
20	MEASURES
21	a. Number of Title V permits issued/denied(315/0)
22	b. Number of Title V modifications issued/denied
23	
24	c. Number of non-Title V permits issued/denied.(350/3)
25	d. Number of non-Title V modifications issued/denied
26	
27	e. Number of Title V facilities inspected(850)
28	f. Number of asbestos projects reviewed and evaluated
29	
30	(f) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
31	which is to protect the people, the environment, and the
	132

1 natural resources through law enforcement, education, and public service, the outcome measures, output measures, and 2 3 associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriation 1247B are as follows: 4 5 1. OUTCOME MEASURE. -б a. Number/percentage of known hazardous substance dump 7 sites and petroleum spills whereby action, other than criminal 8 investigation, was taken to reduce, control, or eliminate risk 9 to public health and the environment......(1,430/48%) 10 2. OUTPUT MEASURES. --11 a. Number of investigations opened/closed....(227/182) b. Number of environmental dump sites responded to 12 13 Number of petroleum spills responded to.....(757) 14 c. Funds spent/recovered on spill remediation 15 d. 16 17 e. Number of sites/spills remediated.....(561) FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION. --18 (3) 19 (a) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of which is to provide patrol and protection activities to 20 21 safeguard the opportunities for boating, camping, fishing, hunting, wildlife viewing, and other natural resource related 22 activities in a safe and healthy environment, the outcome 23 measures, output measures, and associated performance 24 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 25 26 Appropriations 1361-1378 are as follows: 27 UNIFORM PATROL OUTPUT MEASURES. --1. Total number of violations.....(29,130) 28 a. 29 Number of felony violations.....(TBD) b. 30 Number of misdemeanor violations......(TBD) c. 31 d. Number of infractions violations.....(TBD)

133

1	e. Total number of hours spent in preventative land
2	patrol
3	f. Total number of hours spent in preventative water
4	patrol(68,320)
5	g. Total number of hours spent in preventative air
6	patrol
7	2. INVESTIGATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of hours spent on investigations(297,167)
9	b. Number of violations encountered by all staff
10	
11	c. Total number of investigations opened(806)
12	d. Number of felony investigations opened(TBD)
13	e. Number of misdemeanor investigations opened(TBD)
14	f. Number of infractions investigations opened(TBD)
15	g. Total number of investigations closed(725)
16	h. Number of felony investigations closed(TBD)
17	i. Number of misdemeanor investigations closed(TBD)
18	j. Number of infractions investigations closed(TBD)
19	k. Total violations by investigative staff(1,368)
20	1. Total conviction rate
21	m. Felony conviction rate
22	n. Misdemeanor conviction rate(TBD)
23	o. Infraction conviction rate(TBD)
24	3. INSPECTION OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of inspections
26	b. Number of violations
27	4. AVIATION OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of air contacts resulting in detection and
29	apprehension(445)
30	b. Number of hours of biological flight time
31	requested/provided(1,666/1,220)

1 BOATING SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES. --5. Number of vessel safety inspections.....(154,408) 2 a. 3 Number of accident investigated.....(210) b. Number of fatalities investigated.....(26) 4 c. 5 Number of injuries investigated.....(136) d. б Number of vessel safety inspection hours on St. e. 7 Number of accidents on St. John River.....(21) 8 f. 9 Number of vessel safety inspection hours on Lake q. 10 Okeechobee......(5,861) 11 Number of accidents on Lake Okeechobee.....(15) h. HUNTER EDUCATION OUTPUT MEASURES. --12 6. 13 Number of hunter education classes offered....(350) a. Number of graduates of hunter education classes 14 b. 15 Percent of total students graduating hunter 16 с. 17 education classes.....(87%) Number of hunting accidents.....(23) 18 d. 19 e. Number of attendees or graduates of hunter education classes involved in hunting accidents......(7) 20 21 For the Wildlife Management Program, the purpose (b) 22 of which is to maintain or enhance Florida's diverse wildlife and to provide for responsible use of this resource, the 23 24 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 25 Appropriations 1379-1394 are as follows: 26 27 WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME 1. 28 MEASURES. --29 a. Percent change in the number of licensed resident 30 31

135

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	b. Percent change in the number of licensed
2	nonresident hunters(4.6%)
3	c. Economic impact of wildlife-related outdoor
4	recreation(\$3,675,935,000)
5	d. Percent of satisfied hunters
6	e. Percent of satisfied wildlife viewers(92%)
7	f. Percent of the acreage under management control
8	which is open to the public for wildlife-related outdoor
9	recreation(99.9%)
10	2. WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of publicly-owned acres managed for
13	wildlife-related outdoor recreation(3,700,000)
14	b. Number of privately-owned acres managed for
15	wildlife-related outdoor recreation
16	c. Number of licensed resident hunters(164,626)
17	d. Number of licensed nonresident hunters(4,760)
18	e. Number of participants enrolled in wildlife
19	achievement programs
20	f. Number of wildlife viewers(3,630,000)
21	3. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. The mean biological vulnerability score of 63 game
23	species - score is from 0 to 70 and lower is better(16.44)
24	b. The mean biological vulnerability score of 389
25	nongame species - score is from 0 to 70 and lower is better
26	
27	c. The mean biological vulnerability score of 80
28	wildlife species listed as endangered, threatened or as a
29	species of special concern - score is from 0 to 70 and lower
30	<u>is better(29.62)</u>
31	4. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTPUT MEASURES
	136

1	a. Number of acres managed for wildlife(4,530,780)
2	b. Number of habitat management plans requested by and
3	prepared for private landowners
4	c. Number of requests for wildlife habitat technical
5	assistance received from and provided to other agency or local
6	governments(299/299)
7	d. Number of survey and monitoring projects for game
8	species(16)
9	e. Number of survey and monitoring projects for
10	nongame wildlife species(11)
11	f. Number of survey and monitoring projects for
12	wildlife species listed as endangered, threatened or species
13	of special concern(4)
14	g. Number of wildlife species for which sufficient
15	data have been obtained to refine the biological vulnerability
16	score
17	5. COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Wholesale price value of the commercial adult
19	alligators, hatchlings, and eggs(\$5,228,826)
20	b. Percent change in the number of alligator licenses
21	sold
22	c. Percent change in the number of alligator tags sold
23	- adult, hatchlings, and eggs
24	6. COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of properties enrolled in the private-lands
26	alligator management program(124)
27	b. Number of alligators available for harvest under
28	the public-waters harvest programs
29	c. Number of alligator nest eggs available to
30	alligator ranches(1,118)
31	

1	d. Number of alligator hatchlings available to
2	alligator ranches(10,200)
3	(c) For the Fisheries Management Program, the purpose
4	of which is to maintain, enhance, and provide for responsible
5	use of Florida's freshwater fisheries, the outcome measures,
6	output measures, and associated performance standards with
7	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
8	1395-1401A are as follows:
9	1. RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Percent change in licensed resident anglers.(-3.6%)
11	b. Percent change in licensed nonresident anglers
12	
13	c. Percent angler satisfaction
14	d. Percent change in licensed freshwater commercial
15	fishermen
16	2. RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
17	MEASURES
18	a. Number of water bodies and acres in fish management
19	areas, urban areas, and other lakes or rivers managed to
20	improve fishing(113/770,955)
21	b. Number of access points established or maintained
22	
23	c. Number of participants in achievement programs
24	<u>(600)</u>
25	d. Number of licensed resident anglers(426,000)
26	e. Number of licensed nonresident anglers(117,000)
27	f. Number of fish stocked(2,385,000)
28	g. Number of outreach participants in clinics and
29	derbies(25,000)
30	h. Number of private and volunteer-staffed events.(15)
31	

1	i. Number of information and technical assistance
2	requests provided to sports fishermen
3	j. Number of licensed freshwater commercial fishermen
4	
5	k. Number of commercial fishing permits reviewed and
6	issued including fishing gear and grass carp(1,145)
7	1. Number of information and technical assistance
8	requests received and provided to commercial fishermen(25)
9	3. FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION
10	OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number of water bodies and acres where habitat
12	rehabilitation projects have been completed(6/40,000)
13	b. Percentage change in degraded lakes rehabilitated
14	
15	4. FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION
16	OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of water bodies and acres with approved
18	habitat rehabilitation plans in progress(12/90,000)
19	b. Number of water bodies and acres surveyed for
20	habitat rehabilitation plans(15/150,000)
21	c. Number of water bodies and acres with developed
22	habitat rehabilitation plans
23	d. Number of habitat rehabilitation technical
24	assistance requests received and provided, including other
25	agencies and local governments(4)
26	(d) For the Marine Resources Program, the purpose of
27	which is to preserve, enhance, and restore desired natural
28	functions and diversity of Florida's marine and estuarine
29	environments, the outcome measures, output measures, and
30	associated performance standards with respect to funds
31	provided in Specific Appropriations 1402-1411C are as follows:
	120

1	1. SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH
2	OUTCOMES
3	a. Reduce by 1% annually the ratio of shellfish
4	illnesses reported from Florida shellfish products to the
5	number of meals served
6	b. Improve the number of marine fisheries stocks
7	reported as stable or increasing by 1% annually(113)
8	2. SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Percent of research projects that provide
11	management recommendations or support management actions
12	
13	b. Percent of shellfish and crab processing facilities
14	in significant compliance with permit and food safety
15	regulations
16	c. Number of reported cases of sickness/deaths from
17	shellfish consumption that can be directly traced to seafood
18	harvested from contaminated waters or to actions by fishermen,
19	packing houses, or seafood dealers not in compliance with
20	state regulations(48/3)
21	d. Commercial and other fishing licenses processed
22	annually(25,951)
23	e. Artificial reefs monitored and/or created annually
24	
25	f. Percentage of shellfish harvesting areas opened
26	
27	g. Red tide/fish kill/disease investigations(6)
28	3. PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES
29	OUTCOME MEASURE
30	a. Reduce the manatee mortality rate by 1% annually
31	
	140

PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES 1 2 OUTPUT MEASURES. --3 Manatee deaths as a result of human activities.(57) a. 4 b. Manatee deaths as a result of nonhuman activities 5 б Manatee population.....(2,275) с. 7 Number of Sea turtle nests - NW region.....(905) d. Number of Sea turtle nests - NE region.....(2,702) 8 e. Number of Sea turtle nests - SE region....(68,022) 9 f. 10 Number of Sea turtle nests - SW region.....(6,235) g. 11 Manatee federal recovery plans completed and tasks h. 12 Miles of sea turtle index nesting beaches surveyed 13 i. 14 j. Number/percent of stranded sea turtles necropsied 15 16(1,000/10%) 17 (4) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. --For the District Operations Program, the purpose 18 (a) 19 of which is to develop and implement the State Highway System; to acquire rights of way necessary to support the DOT's work 20 21 program; to promote all forms of public transportation including transit, aviation, intermodal/rail, and seaport 22 development; and to provide routine and uniform maintenance of 23 24 the State Highway System, operate vehicle repair shops and warehouses, manage highway beautification, and operate welcome 25 centers, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 26 27 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 28 Specific Appropriations 1434-1483 and 1492-1529 are as 29 follows: 30 1. HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING PROGRAM OUTCOME 31 MEASURES.--

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

¹⁴¹

-	
1	a. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million
2	<u>miles traveled(less than 2.05)</u>
3	b. Percentage of state highway system pavement in good
4	<u>condition(80%)</u>
5	c. Percentage of state-maintained bridges in good
6	<u>condition(95%)</u>
7	d. Percentage increase in number of days required for
8	completed construction contracts over original contract days
9	(less weather days)
10	e. Percentage increase in final amount paid for
11	completed construction contracts over original contract amount
12	(less than 10%)
13	f. Percentage of vehicle crashes on state highway
14	system where road-related conditions were listed as a
15	contributing factor
16	1.0%)
17	g. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million
18	miles traveled on the state highway system(TBD)
19	h. Number of bicycle deaths per 100,000 population on
20	the state highway system(TBD)
21	i. Number of pedestrian deaths per 100,000 population
22	on the state highway system(TBD)
23	2. HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING PROGRAM OUTPUT
24	MEASURES
25	a. Number of lane miles let to contract for
26	resurfacing(1,752)
27	b. Number of lane miles let to contract for highway
28	capacity improvements
29	c. Percentage of construction contracts planned for
30	letting that were actually let
31	d. Number of bridges let to contract for repair(63)
<u> </u>	142

1	e. Number of bridges let to contract for replacement
2	
3	3. RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of right-of-way parcels acquired(2,170)
5	b. Number of projects certified ready for construction
6	
7	c. Percentage of planned parcels actually acquired
8	(TBD)
9	d. Percentage of planned projects actually certified
10	(TBD)
11	e. Number of planned construction contract lettings
12	delayed beyond the fiscal year because of failure to certify a
13	project ready for construction
14	f. Total purchase price of all FDOT negotiated parcels
15	compared to the total spread or difference between the FDOT
16	appraisal and the landowner's counter-offer to that appraisal
17	for the same negotiated parcels
18	g. Number and percentage of parcels acquired by
19	negotiation vs. condemnation(TBD)
20	4. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Transit ridership growth compared to population
22	growth(2%/2%)
23	b. Percentage of runways (at licensed public use
24	airports) in compliance with minimum safety standards(TBD)
25	c. Tons of cargo shipped by air(4,500,000)
26	5. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of passenger enplanements(59,000,000)
28	b. Total passenger miles per gallon for fixed
29	route/demand response transit
30	c. Number of public transit passenger trips
31	
	1.12

2 3

4 5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

30 31

d. Number of cruise embarkations and disembarkations at Florida ports......(11,000,000) e. Percentage of people requesting special need transportation who did not receive it.....(TBE) Number of containers moved at seaports.....(TBD) f. б. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Maintenance condition rating of state highway system as measured against the department's maintenance manual standards......(80) (b) For the Planning and Engineering Program, the purpose of which is to reduce occurrences of overweight commercial motor vehicles on the State Highway System and eliminate hazards caused by defective or unsafe commercial motor vehicles, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1434-1458 are as follows: 1. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Percent of commercial vehicles weighed that were over weight: Fixed scale weighings.....(0.4%) (I) 2. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES. --Number of commercial vehicles weighed..(10,400,000) a. Number of commercial vehicles safety inspections b. performed......(75,000) c. Number of portable scale weighings performed

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

144

1	d. Percentage of safety inspections resulting in
2	placing the vehicle and/or driver out of service; random
3	inspections, targeted inspections(TBD)
4	e. Number of traffic stops(TBD)
5	(c) For the Finance and Administration Program, the
6	purpose of which is the efficiently operate and maintain state
7	toll facilities, the outcome measures, the output measures,
8	and associated performance standard with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 1412-1427A are as follows:
10	1. TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Operational cost per toll(<\$0.160)
12	2. TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of toll transactions(472,000,000)
14	Section 37. The performance measures and standards
15	established in this section for individual programs in the
16	General Government agencies shall be applied to those programs
17	for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and
18	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
19	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as
20	required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act
21	<u>of 1994.</u>
22	(1) DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE
23	(a) For the Financial Accountability for Public Funds
24	Program, the purpose of which is to provide for and promote
25	financial accountability for public funds throughout state
26	government, provide the citizens of Florida with timely,
27	factual, and comprehensive information on the financial status
28	of the state and how state funds are expended, and receive and
29	investigate complaints of government fraud, waste and abuse,
30	the outcome measures, output measures and associated
31	

1 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 2 Specific Appropriations 1554-1560 are as follows: 3 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--4 a. Percentage of program's customers who return an 5 overall customer service rating of good or excellent on б 7 Percentage of payment requests rejected during the b. 8 preaudit process for inconsistencies with legal and/or other applicable requirements.....(1%) 9 c. Percentage of vendor payments issues in less than 10 11 the Comptroller's statutory time limit of ten days.....(100%) d. Accuracy rate of postaudited vendor payments..(TBD) 12 Dollars recovered from erroneous payments compared 13 e. to total dollars of erroneous payment detected.....(TBD) 14 Percentage of federal wage and information returns 15 f. prepared and filed where no penalties or interest were paid 16 17(100%) Percentage of federal tax deposits where no 18 g. 19 penalties or interest were paid.....(100%) Percentage of payroll payments made accurately 20 h. 21 based on information submitted.....(100%) 22 Percentage of those utilizing program provided i. 23 financial information who rate the overall relevancy, usefulness, and timeliness of information as good or excellent 24 25 Number of qualifications in the Independent 26 j. 27 Auditor's Report on the State General Purpose Financial Statements which are related to the presentation of the 28 29 financial statements.....(0) k. Percentage of vendor payments issued electronically 30 31

146

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1 1. Percentage of payroll payments issued 2 3 Percentage of retirement payments issued m. electronically.....(79%) 4 5 OUTPUT MEASURES. --2. 6 a. Number of vendor payment requests preaudited 7 Percentage of vendor payment requests preaudited 8 b. 9 c. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests preaudited 10 11(\$14.1 12 billion) Number of vendor payment requests postaudited.(TBD) 13 d. Percentage of vendor payment requests postaudited 14 e.(TBD) 15 f. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests 16 17 postaudited.....(TBD) Number of vendor invoices paid.....(4.2 million) 18 g. Dollar amount of vendor invoices paid.....(\$34.7 19 h. 20 billion) 21 Number of federal wage and information returns i. 22 prepared and filed......(289,000) Number of federal tax deposits made.....(88) 23 j. Number of IRS penalties paid.....(0) 24 k. Dollar amount of IRS penalties paid.....(0) 25 l. Number of payroll payments issued.....(5,416,880) 26 m. 27 Dollar amount of payroll payments issued.....(\$5.8 n. billion) 28 29 Number of payroll payments issued according to Ο. published schedules.....(5.4 million) 30 31

1 p. Percentage of payroll payments issued according to published schedules.....(100%) 2 3 Number of instances during the year where as a α. 4 result of inadequate cash management under this program, 5 general revenue had a negative cash balance.....(0) 6 r. Percentage of atypical balances corrected at 7 year-end......(0) s. Average number of days from month's end to complete 8 9 reconciliations......(30) 10 Number of payments issued electronically.....(7.1 t. . 11 million) u. Dollar amount of payments issued electronically 12(\$23.7 billion) 13 v. Hours of training/education conducted on accounting 14 15 w. Hours of training/education conducted on payroll 16 17 issues......(50) Number of fiscal integrity cases closed.....(TBD) 18 x. 19 у. Number of "get lean" hotline calls processed for referral to the appropriate agency.....(500) 20 21 Number of fiscal integrity cases closed where z. 22 criminal, disciplinary, and/or administrative actions taken 23(TBD) (b) For the Financial Institutions Regulatory Program, 24 25 the purpose of which is to ensure the safety and soundness of 26 state financial institutions and to enhance the dual banking 27 system, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in 28 29 Specific Appropriations 1566-1569 are as follows: 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--30

148

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	a. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
2	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
3	Florida on Return on Assets
4	b. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
5	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
6	Florida on Return on Equity(51%)
7	c. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
8	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
9	Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio(51%)
10	d. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
11	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
12	Florida on Tier 1 Capital
13	e. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
14	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
15	chartered in Florida on Return on Assets(51%)
16	f. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
17	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
18	chartered in Florida on Return on Equity(51%)
19	g. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
20	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
21	chartered in Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio(51%)
22	h. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
23	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
24	chartered in Florida on Tier 1 Capital(51%)
25	i. Percentage of applications for new Florida
26	financial institutions that seek state charters(67%)
27	j. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
28	state chartered banks compared to assessments that would be
29	paid if the bank was nationally or federally chartered
30	
31	

1	k. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
2	state chartered credit unions compared to assessments that
3	would be paid if the credit unions was nationally or federally
4	
5	1. Percentage of banks receiving an examination report
б	within 45 days after the conclusion of their on-site state
7	examination(75%)
8	m. Percentage of credit unions receiving an
9	examination report within 30 days after the conclusion of
10	their on-site state examination
11	n. Percentage of international financial institutions
12	receiving an examination report within 45 days after the
13	conclusion of their on-site state examination(75%)
14	o. Percentage of trust companies receiving an
15	examination report within 60 days after the conclusion of
16	their on-site state examination
17	p. Percentage of De Novo applications statutorily
18	complete that are processed within a standard number of 90
19	days(67%)
20	q. Percentage of branch applications statutorily
21	complete that are processed within 50 days(67%)
22	r. Percentage of expedited branch applications that
23	are processed within 10 days(100%)
24	s. Percentage of merger/acquisition applications
25	statutorily complete that are processed within 60 days(67%)
26	t. Percentage of financial institutions under
27	enforcement action that are substantially in compliance with
28	conditions imposed
29	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on
31	Assets
	150

1	b. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on
2	Equity
3	c. Median Florida state-chartered banks Capital to
4	Asset Ratio(9.15%)
5	d. Median Florida state-chartered banks Tier 1 Capital
6	
7	e. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
8	on Assets(1.04%)
9	f. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
10	on Equity(8.06%)
11	g. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions
12	Capital to Asset Ratio

-	
5	d. Median Florida state-chartered banks Tier 1 Capital
6	
7	e. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
8	on Assets(1.04%)
9	f. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
10	on Equity(8.06%)
11	g. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions
12	Capital to Asset Ratio(12.94%)
13	h. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Tier 1
14	Capital(12.18%)
15	i. Number of new Florida state-chartered banks opened
16	
17	j. Amount of annual assessments paid by banks
18	
19	k. Amount of annual assessments paid by credit unions
20	
21	1. Number of banks examined by the Division of Banking
22	receiving an examination report within 45 days(45)
23	m. Number of credit unions examined by the Division of
24	Banking receiving an examination report within 30 days(57)
25	n. Number of International financial institutions
26	examined by the Division of Banking receiving an examination
27	report within 45 days(16)
28	o. Number of Trust Companies examined by the Division
29	of Banking receiving an examination report within 60 days(8)
30	p. Number of statutorily complete new De Novo
31	applications received that are processed within 90 days(5)
	151

151

1	q. Number of statutorily complete branch applications
2	received that are processed within 50 days(27)
3	r. Number of statutorily complete expedited branch
4	applications received that are processed within 10 days(45)
5	s. Number of statutorily complete merger/acquisition
б	applications received that are processed within 60 days(17)
7	t. Number of institutions under enforcement actions
8	
9	u. Percentage/number of financial institutions
10	examined within statutory time frames by type of institution
11	
12	v. Percentage/number of surveys returned that rate the
13	Division's examination program as satisfactory or above
14	
15	w. Percentage/number of examinations conducted within
16	3 months and 6 months of a prior state or federal examination
17	
18	x. Percentage/number of state examinations where total
19	examination time was reduced by a standard percentage compared
20	to the hours required during the base examination(TBD)
21	y. Percentage/number of state examinations where
22	on-site hours were reduced by a standard percentage compared
23	to the on-site hours required during the base examination
24	
25	(c) For the Unclaimed Property Program, the purpose of
26	which is to increase efforts in finding, locating, collecting
27	in a manner to allow for better identification of owners, and
28	returning unclaimed property to the owners, the outcome
29	measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 1570-1573 are as follows:
	150

1	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage increase in the total number of holders
3	reporting(5%)
4	b. Percentage of previously filing holders who submit
5	problem reports(3%)
6	c. Percentage of the total number of claims paid to
7	the owner compared to the total number of returnable accounts
8	reported/received(22%)
9	d. Percentage of the total dollar amount of claims
10	paid to the owner compared to the total dollars in returnable
11	accounts reported/received
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of holders reports processed(16,000)
14	b. Number of first time holders reports processed
15	
16	c. Number of seminars conducted(3)
17	d. Number of in-state exams of holders who have not
18	previously filed a holder report
19	e. Number of out-of-state exams of holders who have
20	not previously filed a holder report
21	f. Number of in-state exams conducted(26)
22	g. Dollar value collected as a result of in-state
23	exams(\$500,000)
24	h. Number of out-of-state exams processed(450)
25	i. Dollar value collected as a result of out-of-state
26	exams
27	<u>million)</u>
28	j. Number/dollar value of owner accounts processed
29	
30	<u>million)</u>
31	

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

SB 2502

1	k. Total cost of the program to the number of holder
2	reports/owner accounts processed
3	1. Number of holder reports categorized as problem
4	
	reports
5	m. Number of problem reports from holders who are
6	identified as filing previous holder reports(200)
7	n. Number of responses to holders who submitted
8	problem reports with instructions on how to correct the
9	<u>problems(450)</u>
10	o. Number/dollar value of claims paid to owners
11	
12	p. Number/dollar of returnable accounts entered on the
13	database from the annual reports
14	q. Number of owner accounts advertised(100,000)
15	r. Number of claims processed
16	s. Percentage of claims approved/denied within
17	30/60/90 days from the date received(TBD)
18	t. Number of claims approved/denied within 30/60/90
19	days from the date received
20	u. Percentage of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from
21	date received(10%/40%/50%)
22	v. Number of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from
23	date received
24	w. Percentage of customer telephone calls answered
25	within 20 seconds(TBD)
26	x. Number of customer telephone calls answered within
27	20 seconds(TBD)
28	y. Number of customer telephone calls hung up before
29	answered(TBD)
30	(d) For the Consumer Financial Protection and Industry
31	Authorization Program, the purpose of which is to protect
	154

1 consumers of the securities and finance industries and the public from illegal financial activities, and provide 2 3 consumers and the public with authoritative and expedient information, the outcome measures, output measures, and 4 5 associated performance standards with respect to funds б provided in Specific Appropriations 1574-1578 are as follows: 7 1. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTCOME MEASURES. --8 a. Percentage of licensees examined where department action is taken for violations for cause due to receipt of 9 information which indicates a potential violation of the 10 11 statute......(TBD) b. Percentage of licensees examined where department 12 action is taken for violations found in routine randomly 13 selected licenses examined based on a risk assessment profile 14 15 Percentage of investigations of licensed entities 16 с. referred to other agencies where investigative assistance 17 aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative actions.(TBD) 18 19 d. Percentage of investigations of unlicensed entities referred to other agencies where investigative assistance 20 21 aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative actions.(TBD) 22 Percentage of investigations of licensed entities e. referred to department legal staff where investigative 23 24 assistance aided in obtaining administrative or civil actions 25(TBD) f. Percentage of investigations of unlicensed entities 26 27 referred to department legal staff where investigative assistance aided in obtaining administrative or civil actions 28 29 (TBD) g. Dollars returned (voluntarily or through court 30 31 ordered restitution) to victims compared to total dollars of

155

1 verified loss as a result of investigative efforts of licensed entities.....(TBD) 2 3 h. Dollars returned to victims compared to total dollars of verified loss as a result of investigative efforts 4 5 of unlicensed entities.....(TBD) i. Percentage of written complaints processed within б 7 applicable standards.....(85%) j. Percentage of telephone complaints resolved without 8 9 written documentation from the consumer.....(TBD) 10 k. Percentage of written complaints regarding licensed 11 entities referred for examination, investigation, or legal/criminal action resulting in formal/informal sanctions 12 within/outside statutory authority.....(TBD) 13 1. Percentage of written complaints regarding 14 unlicensed entities referred for examination, investigation, 15 or legal/criminal action resulting in formal/informal 16 17 sanctions within/outside statutory authority.....(TBD) 18 Percentage of participants at public/consumer m. 19 awareness activities who completed a questionnaire and responded that the subject presented was informative, 20 21 understandable, important, and timely.....(TBD) 2. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTPUT MEASURES.--22 a. Number of for cause examinations completed with 23 24 informal or formal action taken.....(TBD) b. Number of for cause examinations completed....(TBD) 25 c. Number of routine examinations completed with 26 27 informal or formal action taken.....(TBD) Number of routine examinations completed.....(TBD) 28 d. 29 Percent of total licensees examined to determine e. compliance with applicable regulations.....(7.1%) 30 Number of investigations closed......(550) 31 f.

156

1	g. Number of investigations of licensed/unlicensed
2	entities closed(TBD)
3	h. Number of investigations of licensed entities
4	referred to other agencies for criminal/civil/administrative
5	action(TBD)
6	i. Number of investigations of unlicensed entities
7	referred to other agencies for criminal/civil/administrative
, 8	action(TBD)
9	j. Number of investigations of licensed entities
10	
11	referred to other agencies where investigative assistance
	aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative action(TBD) k. Number of investigations of unlicensed entities
12	[_]
13	referred to other agencies where investigative assistance
14	aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative action(TBD)
15	1. Number of investigations referred to department
16	legal staff for administrative or civil action(91)
17	m. Number of investigations of licensed/unlicensed
18	entities referred to department legal staff for administrative
19	or civil action(TBD/TBD)
20	n. Number of investigations referred to department
21	legal staff where investigative assistance aided in obtaining
22	administrative or civil action
23	o. Number of investigations of licensed/unlicensed
24	entities referred to department legal staff where
25	investigative assistance aided in obtaining administrative or
26	civil action(TBD/TBD)
27	p. Number of background investigations completed.(768)
28	q. Number of background investigations completed of
29	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
30	r. Amount of court ordered restitution to victims of
31	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
	1 6 7

1	s. Amount of voluntary reimbursement received from
2	licensed/unlicensed entities
3	t. Amount returned to victims of licensed/unlicensed
4	entities(TBD/TBD)
5	u. Amount of verified loss to victims of
6	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
7	v. Number of department assisted investigations that
, 8	resulted in monetary awards to victims of licensed
9	entities/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
9 10	
10	w. Average number of days for initial written
	responses to consumers
12	x. Average number of days to resolve, refer, or close
13	a written complaint(68)
14	y. Number of complaints resolved, referred, or closed
15	during the year(4,350)
16	z. Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond 90
17	<u>days(21%)</u>
18	aa. Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond 120
19	days(15%)
20	bb. Number of hotline/complaint line calls processed
21	as complaints(TBD)
22	cc. Number of hotline/complaint line calls resolved
23	without written documentation(TBD)
24	dd. Number of written complaints where the department
25	identified statutory violations(150)
26	ee. Number of written complaints where the department
27	identified statutory violations by licensed/unlicensed
28	entities(TBD/TBD)
29	ff. Number of complaints referred for consideration of
30	legal or criminal action(40)
31	

SB 2502

158

1	gg. Number of complaints on licensed/unlicensed
2	entities referred for consideration of legal or criminal
3	action(TBD/TBD)
4	hh. Number of complaints referred for consideration of
5	legal or criminal action resulting in formal/informal
6	sanctions(TBD/TBD)
7	ii. Number of public/consumer awareness contacts made
8	(TBD)
9	jj. Number of public/consumer awareness activities
10	conducted(TBD)
11	kk. Number of participants at public/awareness
12	activities(TBD)
13	3. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Percentage of licensees sanctioned for violations
15	
16	b. Percentage of total applicants not licensed to
17	conduct business in the state because they fail to meet
18	substantive licensing requirements
19	c. Percentage of applicants prevented from entering
20	the securities industry in Florida who subsequently are the
21	subject of additional disciplinary action in other
22	jurisdictions within three years
23	4. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of final actions taken against licensees
25	
26	b. Number of applications denied or withdrawn(3,546)
27	c. Number of applications licensed(67,398)
28	d. Number of applications processed(70,944)
29	e. Amount of securities registration applications
30	denied or withdrawn(\$4.2 billion)
31	

1	f. Number of applicants licensed with restrictions
2	
3	g. Number of applications denied or withdrawn with
4	additional disciplinary information reported on the CRD within
5	three years(324)
6	h. Number/percentage of filing or requests processed
7	within a designated standard number of days by type(TBD)
8	(2) EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
9	(a) For the Economic Improvement Program, the purpose
10	of which is to maintain and improve the economic health of
11	Florida by increasing jobs, income, and investments through
12	promoting targeted businesses, tourism, professional and
13	amateur sports and entertainment, and by assisting
14	communities, residents, and businesses, and the outcome
15	measures, output measures, and associated performance
16	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
17	Appropriations 1668-1673A are as follows:
18	1. OFFICE OF TOURISM TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
19	OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number/dollar amount of contracts and grants
21	administered
22	b. Public expenditures per job created/retained under
23	QTI incentive program(\$3,750)
24	c. Number of state agency proposed rules reviewed
25	which impact small businesses
26	d. Number of business leaders' meetings coordinated
27	
28	2. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Number of businesses/jobs retained or created as a
30	result of the venture capital funds
31	

1	b. Dollar amount/number of bid and performance bonds
2	to contractors in bonding program(\$10 million/35)
3	c. Dollar amount and procurement opportunities
4	generated for Black businesses(\$2.5 million)
5	3. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Amount of venture capital funds provided.(\$250,000)
7	b. Number of participants enrolled in Contractor
8	Assistance and Bonding Program
9	c. Number of missions/events coordinated/participated
10	in to develop business opportunities(5)
11	d. BBICs created or supported(7)
12	e. Private dollars leveraged(\$2 million)
13	4. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Economic contributions from Florida Sports
15	Foundation-sponsored regional and major sporting events grants
16	(\$150 million)
17	5. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number/amount of major sports event grants awarded
19	
20	b. Number of publications produced/distributed
21	
22	c. Number of promotions conducted/supported:
23	(I) Statewide(6)
24	(II) National(1)
25	d. Number of trade/consumer shows facilitated or
26	conducted
27	6. GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND AMATEUR
28	SPORTS OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Number of participants - Youth, Seniors, and Adults
30	
31	b. Number of participants - Bike Florida(750)

1	c. Number of surveys conducted/satisfaction rating
2	
3	7. GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND AMATEUR
4	SPORTS OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Education symposiums conducted(10)
6	b. Host festival events in accordance with section
7	
	<u>14.22, Florida Statutes(14)</u>
8	c. Publications, magazines, brochures,
9	pamphlets-distribution(350,000)
10	8. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
11	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percent of overseas clients who indicate assistance
13	is very responsive(96%)
14	b. Percent of volunteer-consultants who would
15	volunteer again(97%)
16	c. Ratio of donated services and contributions as
17	compared to the amount of state funding
18	9. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
19	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of volunteer technical assistance missions
21	to Central America and the Caribbean
22	b. Number of international and domestic development
23	missions
24	10. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Sustained growth in the number of travelers who
26	come to and go through Florida:
27	(I) Out-of-state
28	(II) Residents
29	b. Sustained growth in the beneficial impacts that
30	travelers in Florida have on the state's overall economy:
31	(I) Rental car surcharge(\$141.7 million)
	162

2 3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

million)

Tourism-related employment......(815,267) (II)(III) Taxable sales.....(\$45.5 billion) (IV) Local option tax.....(\$293 million) Growth in private sector contributions to VISIT c. 11. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTPUT MEASURES. --Quality and effectiveness of paid advertising a. messages reaching the target audience: (I) (II) Leads (number contacting VISIT FLORIDA in response to advertising).....(552,500) b. Value and number of consumer promotions facilitated by VISIT FLORIDA......(\$11 million/150) c. Number of leads and visitor inquiries generated by VISIT FLORIDA events and media placements.....(650,000) d. Number of private sector partners.....(1,500) Level of private sector partner financial e. contributions through: Direct financial investment.....(\$2 million) (I)

21 (II) Strategic alliance program.....(\$300,000) 12. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTCOME MEASURES.--22 23 a. Value of new investment in the Florida space business and programs (cumulative).....(\$200 million) 24 Number of launches.....(30) 25 b. c. Number of visitors to space-related tourism 26 27 facilities......(2.75 28 million) 29 d. Tax revenue generated by space-related tourism 30 facilities.....(\$1,206,600) SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTPUT MEASURES. --31 13.

163

1 a. Number of students in Spaceport Florida Authority (SFA) sponsored space-related classroom or research at 2 3 accredited institutions of higher education.....(300) Equity in SFA industrial/research facilities.(\$54.2 4 b. 5 million) б c. Presentations to industry and governmental decision 7 makers......(15) 8 d. Equity in SFA space-related tourist facilities.(\$20 9 million) 10 14. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and 11 Economic Development OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Number of permanent jobs directly created as a 12 13 Number of permanent jobs retained as a direct 14 b. result of ITED programs......(2,600) 15 с. Documented export sales attributable to programs 16 17 and activities.....(\$40 million) Documented sales as a result of foreign office 18 d. 19 20 million) 21 e. Signed Representation Agreements - Florida Office 22 f. Signed Representation Agreements - International 23 24 25 15. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and 26 Economic Development OUTPUT MEASURES. --27 Total number of qualified trade leads.....(440) a. Number of trade events.....(33) 28 b. 29 Number of Florida companies in field office c. 30 portfolio (counseled).....(1,085) 31

164

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	d. Number of investment projects identified or
2	referred by foreign offices
3	e. Number of Florida companies assisted by foreign
4	offices(1,625)
5	f. Number of active retention/expansion projects
6	worked during the year
7	g. Number of active recruitment projects worked during
8	the year(225)
9	h. Number of leads and projects referred to local
10	Economic Development Organizations
11	16. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Technology Development OUTCOME
12	MEASURES
13	a. Jobs created/retained as a result of assistance to
14	manufacturing firms
15	b. Lowered inventory costs as a result of assistance
16	to manufacturing firms
17	c. Lowered labor and materials costs as a result of
18	assistance to manufacturing firms(\$6.06 million)
19	d. Increased sales as a result of assistance to
20	manufacturing firms (Florida Manufacturing Technology Centers)
21	
22	e. Commercialized technologies (Innovation and
23	Commercialization Corporations)
24	f. Assistance in formation of new companies/joint
25	ventures (Innovation and Commercialization Corporations)(10)
26	g. Capital raised by assisted companies (Innovation
27	and Commercialization Corporations)(\$20 million)
28	h. Assist companies in creating new and retaining
29	existing jobs (Innovation and Commercialization Corporations)
30	
31	

1 17. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Technology Development OUTPUT	1
2 MEASURES	2
a. Number of companies assisted by Manufacturing	3
4 Technology Centers:	4
5 <u>(I)</u> Small companies(719)	5
6 <u>(II)</u> Medium companies(190)	6
7 (III) Women/Minority companies(95)	7
8 <u>(IV)</u> Rural companies(75)	8
9 b. Number of new companies/joint ventures created by	9
10 Innovation and Commercialization Corporations(10)	10
11 <u>c. Review technology assistance applications(500)</u>	11
12 d. Sign contracts (Innovation and Commercialization	12
13 <u>Corporations</u>)(47)	13
14 e. Assist technology-based companies/entrepreneurs	14
15	15
16 <u>f.</u> Number of activities assisting manufacturing	16
17 <u>companies(900)</u>	17
18 <u>18. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Workforce Development OUTCOME</u>	18
19 MEASURES	19
20 <u>a. Individuals completing Performance-Based Incentive</u>	20
21 Fund programs and placed in targeted occupations(23,264)	21
22 b. Individuals exiting Performance-Based Incentive	22
23 Fund programs and placed in targeted occupations(18,964)	23
24 c. Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES participants	24
25 <u>completing training and placed in targeted occupations.(7,966)</u>	25
26 d. Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES participants	26
27 exiting and placed in targeted occupations(4,826)	27
28 e. WAGES participants completing training and placed	28
29 in expanded "career path" occupations as defined by JEP/WAGES	29
30	30
31	31

1	f. Trained and placed WAGES participants retaining
2	employment at least six months
3	g. Individuals receiving customized training and being
4	placed in new companies in Enterprise Zones and companies
5	located in rural areas
6	h. Individuals receiving customized training and
7	placed in high skill/high wage jobs
8	19. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Workforce Development OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Incentives paid for individuals in
11	Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs completing and
12	placed in targeted occupations(\$8.863 million)
13	b. Incentives paid for individuals in
14	Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs exiting and placed
15	in targeted occupations
16	c. Incentives paid for WAGES participants and other
17	disadvantaged individuals completing and placed in targeted
18	occupations(\$5.9 million)
19	d. Incentives paid for WAGES participants and other
20	disadvantaged individuals exiting and placed in targeted
21	occupations(\$4.89 million)
22	e. Number of Quick Response Training grants executed
23	with new and expanding businesses in rural areas(6)
24	f. Number of Quick Response Training grants executed
25	with new and expanding businesses in Enterprise Zones(4)
26	g. Number of Quick Response Training Grants executed
27	with new and expanded businesses
28	20. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Capital Development OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	a. Jobs created as a result of Capital Development,
31	nonexport loans(120)

1	b. Jobs created as a result of Capital Development,
2	venture capital activity(55)
3	c. Venture Capital raised by presenters at venture
4	forums
5	<u>million)</u>
б	d. Investments received by Florida businesses from
7	Cypress Fund sponsored firms and co-investors(\$12 million)
8	e. Florida businesses cumulatively receiving venture
9	capital investments from Cypress Fund venture firms(5)
10	21. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Capital Development OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of nonexport low-cost business loans funded
13	at sub-prime rates
14	b. Dollar value of nonexport low-cost business loans
15	funded at sub-prime rates(\$12 million)
16	c. Number of Venture Finance Directories and primers
17	distributed(882)
18	d. Venture capital conferences/forums and
19	investor/entrepreneur networking seminars
20	e. Investors, entrepreneurs, and service providers
21	attending venture capital forums
22	f. Venture capital invested by Florida institutions in
23	Cypress Fund(\$2.8 million)
24	(3) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES
25	(a) For the Highway Patrol Program, the purpose of
26	which is to increase highway safety in Florida through law
27	enforcement, preventive patrol, and public education, the
28	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
29	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
30	Appropriations 1682-1689A are as follows:
31	1. OUTCOME MEASURES

i	
1	a. Percent of seat belt use (for information only):
2	(I) Annual percent change(1%)
3	(II) State compliance rate
4	(III) National average compliance rate(68%)
5	b. Annual mileage death rate on all Florida roads per
6	100 million vehicle miles of travel:
7	(I) Florida(2.05)
8	(II) National average(1.7)
9	c. Annual alcohol-related death rate per 100 million
10	vehicle miles of travel(.67)
11	d. Annual crashes investigated by FHP:
12	(I) Number of crashes investigated by FHP (for
13	information only)(197,405)
14	(II) Percent change(1%)
15	e. Number of closed criminal investigation cases
16	resolved (for information only)(TBD)
17	f. Percent of closed criminal investigation cases
18	resolved(TBD)
19	g. Percent of closed professional compliance
20	investigation cases against FHP personnel, which are resolved
21	(TBD)
22	h. Percent of closed professional compliance
23	investigation cases for other divisions(TBD)
24	i. Percent of attendees at traffic safety
25	presentations planning to implement improved driving habits
26	(TBD)
27	j. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of
28	travel on all Florida roads
29	k. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of
30	travel on FDOT roads (interstates, turnpikes, toll roads, and
31	U.S. and state highways) (for information only)(TBD)
	169

1	1. Annual mileage death rate on FDOT roads per 100
2	million vehicle miles of travel (for information only)(TBD)
3	m. Annual alcohol-related death rate per 100 million
4	vehicle miles of travel on FDOT roads(TBD)
5	n. Injury rate per 100 million vehicle miles of travel
6	on all Florida roads
7	o. Injury rate per 100 million vehicle miles of travel
, 8	on FDOT roads(TBD)
9	
9 10	
	recommended for prosecution presented to the state attorney
11	which have charges filed(TBD)
12	q. Percent of all traffic homicide investigations
13	resolved(TBD)
14	r. Percent of FHP recruits trained at the Academy that
15	successfully pass all phases of the State Law Enforcement
16	Certification exam on the first attempt(TBD)
17	s. Percent of FHP recruits reporting to the Academy
18	that successfully complete all phases of training(TBD)
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Average time (hours) spent per criminal
21	investigation cases closed(40.93)
22	b. Actual number of criminal cases closed(1,350)
23	c. Average time (hours) spent per professional
24	compliance investigation cases closed
25	d. Actual number of professional compliance
26	investigation cases closed
27	e. Number of hours spent on traffic homicide
28	investigations (for information only)(135,607)
29	f. Number of cases resolved as result of traffic
30	homicide investigations(1,602)
31	g. Public traffic safety presentations:
	170

1	(I) Number of presentations made
2	(II) Persons in attendance
3	h. Number of training courses offered to FHP recruits
4	and personnel
5	i. Number of students successfully completing the
6	course
7	j. Actual average response time (in minutes) to calls
, 8	for crashes or assistance
0 9	
	k. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
10	on preventive patrol (for information only)(1,014,971)
11	1. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
12	on preventive patrol(42%)
13	m. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
14	on crash investigation (for information only)(338,826)
15	n. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
16	on crash investigation(14%)
17	o. Law enforcement officer assistance rendered:
18	(I) Duty hours spent (for information only)(111,355)
19	(II) Percent of duty hours
20	(III) Number of motorists assisted(308,500)
21	p. Average size of audience per traffic safety
22	presentation given by public information officers(114)
23	q. Average time (in hours) to investigate crashes:
24	(I) Long-form(2.30)
25	(II) Short-form(1.50)
26	(III) Nonreportable
27	r. Average time spent (in minutes) on each motorist
28	assisted(TBD)
29	s. Average time spent (in hours) per traffic homicide
30	investigation
31	

1	t. Percentage of recruits retained by FHP for five
2	years after the completion of training(TBD)
3	u. Percentage of recruits retained by FHO for 1 year
4	after the completion of training
5	(b) For the Driver Licenses Program, the purpose of
6	which is to maintain an efficient driver licensing program
7	assuring that only drivers demonstrating the necessary
8	knowledge, skills, and abilities are licensed to operate motor
9	vehicles on Florida roads; to remove drivers from the highways
10	who abuse their driving privilege or require further driver
11	education; to ensure that drivers are financially responsible
12	for their actions; and to maintain adequate records for driver
13	education and administrative control, the outcome measures,
14	output measures, and associated performance standards with
15	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
16	1690-1695A are as follows:
17	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of customers waiting 15 minutes or less for
19	driver license service(79%)
20	b. Percent of customers waiting 30 minutes or more for
21	driver license service(8%)
22	c. Percent of DUI course graduates who do not
23	recidivate within three years of graduation(86%)
24	d. Average number of corrections per 1,000 driver
25	records maintained(4.3)
26	e. Percent of motorists complying with financial
27	responsibility(83%)
28	f. Number of driver licenses/identification cards
29	suspended, cancelled, and invalidated as a result of
30	fraudulent activity, with annual percent change shown
21	

172

1	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of driver licenses issued(3,609,500)
3	b. Number of identification cards issued(729,854)
4	c. Number of (written) driver license examinations
5	conducted(1,029,731)
6	d. Number of road tests conducted(393,744)
7	(c) For the Motor Vehicles Program, the purpose of
8	which is to increase consumer protection, health, and public
9	safety through efficient license systems that register and
10	title motor vehicles, vessels, and mobile homes, regulate
11	vehicle and motor home dealers, manufacturers, and central
12	emission inspection stations, and to collect revenue in the
13	most efficient and effective manner, the outcome measures,
14	output measures, and associated performance standards with
15	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1696-1705
16	are as follows:
17	1. OUTCOME MEASURERS
18	a. Percent of motor vehicle titles issued without
19	<u>error(99%)</u>
20	b. Fraudulent motor vehicle titles:
21	(I) Number identified and submitted to law enforcement
22	(1,042)
23	(II) Percent change(5%)
24	c. Ratio of warranty complaints to new mobile homes
25	<u>titled(1:890)</u>
26	d. Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day in
27	the six applicable (air quality) counties(15.63%)
28	e. Ratio of taxes collected from international
29	registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements
27	
30	(IFTA) audits to cost of audits(\$2:\$1)

1	a. Number of motor vehicle and mobile homes
2	registrations issued
3	b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles
4	issued(4,794,000)
т 5	
6	c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title.(\$2.05) d. Average time to issue a motor vehicle title(3.4
7	days)
8	e. Number of vessels registrations issued(841,849)
9	f. Number of vessel titles issued(206,375)
10	
11	<u>g.</u> Average cost to issue a vessel title(\$5.50) h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with
12	number of auditors shown
13	(4) DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
14	(a) For the Fire Marshal Program, the purpose of which
15	is to enhance public safety through investigation and forensic
16	services, increasing the solvability of criminal cases, by
17	ensuring that emergency responders and service providers are
18	qualified, competent, and ethical through quality training,
19	education, and establishing professional standards; and
20	maintaining the safest possible environment through the
21	regulation, product testing, and inspection of fire
22	suppression and protection equipment, explosives, and
23	fireworks, the outcome measures, output measures, and
24	associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 1745-1753 are as follows:
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Number/percentage of closed fire investigations
28	successfully concluded, including by cause determined, suspect
29	identified and/or arrested, or other reasons(5,443/87%)
30	b. Number/percentage of closed arson investigations
31	for which an arrest was made
	17/

1	c. Number/percentage of inspected state owned and
2	leased properties that experience a fire
3	d. Number/percentage of licensed entities found in
4	violation of statutes(TBD)
5	e. Number/percentage of unlicensed entities found in
6	violation of statutes(TBD)
7	f. Number/percentage of students who rate training
8	they received at the Florida State Fire College as improving
9	their ability to perform assigned duties(5,901/95%)
10	g. Percent of above satisfactory ratings by
11	supervisors of students job performance from post-class
12	evaluations of skills gained through training at the Florida
13	State Fire College
14	h. Number/percentage of favorable rulings by hearing
15	officers on challenges to examination results and eligibility
16	determinations(12/92%)
17	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Total number of criminal fire investigations
19	commenced(7,968)
20	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced.(3,558)
21	c. Number of accidental investigations commenced
22	
23	d. Number of other investigations commenced(1,714)
24	e. Total number of fire investigations closed(8,567)
25	f. Total number of fire code compliance inspections in
26	state owned/leased buildings(14,611)
27	g. Number of recurring inspections completed of fire
28	code compliance in state owned/leased buildings(7,200)
29	h. Number of high hazard inspections completed of fire
30	code compliance in state owned/leased buildings(6,536)
31	

1 <u>i. Number of construction inspections completed of</u>	
2 fire code compliance in state owned/leased buildings(8	375)
3 j. Percent of fire code inspections completed within	n
4 statutory defined time-frame	<u>-</u>)1응)
5 k. Number of plans reviewed to assure compliance wi	th
6 fire codes in state owned/leased buildings(1,1	_57)
7 l. Percent of fire code plans reviews completed wit	hin
8 statutory defined time-frame	988)
9 m. Total number of boilers inspected(12,5	500)
10 n. Number of boilers inspected by department	
11 inspectors	200)
12 o. Number of boilers inspected by other inspectors	
13)0)
14 p. Number of complaint investigations completed	
15) 7)
q. Number of regulatory inspections completed(8	350)
17 r. Number of licensed applications reviewed for	
18 gualification	/50)
19 s. Number of classes conducted by the Florida State	2
20 Fire College	210)
21 t. Number of students trained and classroom contact	-
22 hours provided by the Florida State Fire College	
23	17)
24 u. Number of curricula developed for Florida State	
25 Fire College and certified training center delivery	(5)
26 v. Percentage of satisfactory student evaluations of	of
27 Florida State Fire College facilities and services(9)5%)
28 w. Number/percentage of customer requests for	
29 certification testing completed within defined time frames	
30	3응)
31	

1	x. Number/percentage of certified training centers
2	inspected that meet certification requirements(27/100%)
3	y. Number of examinations administered(5,500)
4	(b) For the State Property and Casualty Claims
5	Program, the purpose of which is to ensure that participating
6	state agencies are provided quality workers' compensation,
7	liability, federal civil rights, auto liability, and property
8	insurance coverage at reasonable rates by provided
9	self-insurance, purchase of insurance, claims handling, and
10	technical assistance in managing risk, the outcome measures,
11	output measures, and associated performance standards with
12	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1754-1757
13	are as follows:
14	1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME
15	MEASURES
16	a. Number/percentage of indemnity and medical payments
17	made in a timely manner in compliance with DLES Security Rule
18	<u>38F-24.021, F.A.C(121,672/97%)</u>
19	b. State Employees' Workers Compensation Benefit Cost
20	Rate, as defined by indemnity and medical costs per \$100 of
21	<pre>state employees' payroll(\$1.16)</pre>
22	2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT
23	MEASURES
24	a. Number of workers' compensation claims worked
25	
26	b. Number of workers compensation claims litigated
27	
28	c. Number of workers' compensation claims referred to
29	the Special Investigative Unit or the Department's Bureau of
30	Workers' Compensation Fraud
31	3. RISK SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
	177

1	a. Number/percentage of workers' compensation claims
2	requiring some payment per 100 full-time-equivalent employees
3	(TBD).
4	b. Number and percent of agencies who indicated the
5	risk services training they received was useful in developing
6	and implementing risk management plans in their agencies
7	
8	c. Average cost of tort liability claims paid
9	
10	d. Average cost of Federal Civil Rights liability
11	claims paid(\$29,067)
12	e. Average cost of workers' compensation claims
13	(\$3,250)
14	f. Average cost of property claims paid(\$7,547)
15	4. RISK SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of risk services training units provided to
17	state agency personnel
18	b. Number of risk services surveys, follow-ups, and
19	visits made(50)
20	c. Number of risk services consultative contacts made
21	<u>(195)</u>
22	5. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Number/percentage of claims closed in relation to
24	claims closed during the fiscal year(4,226/51%)
25	b. Number/percentage of lawsuits, generated from a
26	liability claim, evaluated with SEFES codes entered within
27	prescribed timeframes
28	6. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURE
29	a. Number of liability claims worked(8,287)
30	7. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
31	

1	a. Number/percentage of trainees who indicated the
2	training they received was useful in performing required
3	property program processes(TBD)
4	b. Number and percent of property claims closed within
5	prescribed time periods from the date complete documentation
б	<u>is</u> received(70/93%)
7	8. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of training units/assists provided by the
9	property program
10	b. Number of state property loss/damage claims worked
11	
12	(5) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY
13	(a) For the Disability Determination Program, the
14	purpose of which is to make timely and accurate disability
15	decisions for Florida citizens applying for benefits under the
16	federal Social Security Act or the Medically Needy program
17	administered by the Department of Children and Families, the
18	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
19	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
20	Appropriations 1847-1849 are as follows:
21	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Average number of days required to complete initial
23	disability determinations:
24	(I) Under Title II
25	(II) Under Title XVI(80)
26	b. Average number of days required to complete initial
27	Medically Needy decisions
28	c. Percentage of Title II and XVI disability decisions
29	completed accurately as measured by the Social Security
30	Administration(92%)
31	

1	d. Percentage of Medically Needy decisions completed
2	accurately, as measured by the internal ODD Quality Assurance
3	section
4	e. Cost per case (Titles II and XVI)(\$281)
5	f. Cost per case (Medically Needy)(\$181)
б	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Number of Title II and XVI disability decisions
8	<u>completed(229,593)</u>
9	b. Number of Medically Needy decisions completed
10	
11	c. Title II/XVI production per work year(275)
12	d. Medically Needy production per work year(334)
13	(b) For the Rehabilitation Program, the purpose of
14	which is to oversee programs that provide vocational and
15	rehabilitative services to individuals with mental or physical
16	disabilities in an effort to enable them to live and work as
17	independently as possible, the outcome measures, output
18	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
19	funds in Specific Appropriations 1830-1846 are as follows:
20	1. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Rate and number of customers gainfully employed
22	(rehabilitated) at least 90 days:
23	(I) Of VR severely disabled(63%/3,800)
24	(II) Of VR most severely disabled(56%/4,275)
25	(III) Of BSCI customers referred to VR(55%/89)
26	(IV) Of all other VR disabled(75%/1,437)
27	b. Rate and number of VR customers placed in
28	competitive employment
29	c. Rate and number of VR customers retained in
30	<pre>employment after one year</pre>
31	

1 d. Average annual earnings of VR customers at 2 placement......(\$13,633) 3 Average annual earnings of VR customers after one e. year.....(\$14,384) 4 5 f. Rate and number of BSCI customers returned 6 reintegrated) to their communities at an appropriate level of 7 Percentage of case costs covered by third-party 8 q. 9 payers......(25%) 10 h. Average cost of case life (to Division): 11 For severely disabled VR customers.....(\$3,311) (I) (II) For most severely disabled VR customers..(\$3,611) 12 (III) For all other disabled VR customers.....(\$450) 13 For brain injured BSCI customers.....(\$3,500) 14 (IV) 15 (V) For spinal cord injured BSCI customers....(\$9,500) VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES .--16 2. 17 Number of customers reviewed for eligibility a. 18 Number of individualized written plans for services 19 b.(19,750) 20 21 c. Customer caseload per counseling/case management 22 d. 23 team member......(165) Percentage of eligibility determinations for VR 24 25 customers within 60 dyas after application.....(85%) BLIND SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES.--26 3. 27 Rate and number of rehabilitation customers a. 28 gainfully employed at least 90 days.....(68.3%/847) 29 Rate and number of rehabilitation customers placed b. 30 in competitive employment......(64.3%/654) 31

181

1	c. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers
2	retained in employment after 1 year
3	d. Projected average annual earnings of rehabilitation
4	customers at placement(\$13,500)
5	e. Ration and number of successfully rehabilitated
б	older persons, nonvocational rehabilitation(55.2%/1,355)
7	f. Ratio and number of customers (children)
8	successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from preschool to
9	school(67.3%/62)
10	g. Ratio and number of customers (children)
11	successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from school to work
12	
13	h. Percentage of eligible library customers served
14	
15	i. Percentage of library customers satisfied with the
16	timeliness of services
17	j. Percentage of library customers satisfied with the
± /	j. rerechtage of ribiary cascomers sacristica wich che
18	selection of reading materials available
18	selection of reading materials available
18 19	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21 22	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21 22 23	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	selection of reading materials available
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	selection of reading materials available

31 <u>team member.....(114)</u>

182

 $\label{eq:coding:words} \textbf{CODING:} \texttt{Words} \ \underline{\texttt{stricken}} \ \texttt{are deletions; words} \ \underline{\texttt{underlined}} \ \texttt{are additions.}$

f. Number of books available per library customer 1 2 3 Number of books loaned per library customer.(12.39) α. Number of periodicals loaned per library customer 4 h. 5 Net increase in registered customers for library б i. 7 8 Cost per library customer......(\$19.65) j. Total number of food service managers.....(162) 9 k. 10 1. Number of existing food services facilities 11 m. Number of new food service facilities constructed 12 13(5) (c) For the Safety/Workers' Compensation Program, the 14 purpose of which is to keep the workplace safe and return 15 injured employees to work at a reasonable cost to employers, 16 17 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 18 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 19 Appropriations 1799-1807 are as follows: WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTCOME MEASURES. --20 1. a. Percentage of injured workers returning to work at 21 22 80 percent or more of previous average (BRE) quarterly wage for at least 1 quarter of the year following injury for 23 24 25 b. Percentage of initial payments made on time by 26 insurance carriers.....(91.8%) 27 Number of workers newly protected by workers' c. compensation coverage per fiscal year as a result of 28 29 compliance efforts.....(14,105) d. Percent of investigated issues resolved by EAO 30 31(10%)

183

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	e. Average closure time for disputed issues through
2	efforts of EAO (in days)(30)
3	f. Percent of noncomplying carriers in compliance upon
4	reaudit
5	g. Percent of cases closed during fiscal year in which
6	a worker returns to work
7	h. Number of employers brought into compliance through
8	investigations
9	i. Estimated amount of insurance premium dollars newly
10	generated due to compliance(\$12,562,847)
11	j. Average total cost per 4-year-old case (information
12	only)(\$17,
13	<u>597)</u>
14	k. Percentage of lost time cases with no petition for
15	benefits filed 18 months after the date of accident(77%)
16	2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of employer coverage documents processed,
18	including exemptions from coverage filed by construction
19	employers(621,694)
20	b. Number of stop-work orders served to employers
21	failing to comply with requirements
22	c. Number of employer investigations conducted for
23	compliance with workers' compensation law(22,758)
24	d. Number of applicants screened for reemployment
25	services(1,921)
26	e. Number of program applicants provided reemployment
27	services(1,750)
28	f. Number of carriers audited/reaudited annually
29	
30	g. Number of investigated issues resolved by the
31	<pre>Employee Assistance Office(25,000)</pre>
	184

-	
1	h. Number of days between the filing of the petition
2	for benefits with the division and the referral of the
3	petition to the Judges of Compensation Claims(TBD)
4	i. Unit cost per audit/reaudit(TBD)
5	3. SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Occupational injury and illness total case
7	incidence rate (per 100 workers) (information only)(8.1%)
8	b. Percentage change in total case incidence rate for
9	private sector job sites served
10	c. Percentage change in total case incidence rate for
11	public sector job sites served
12	d. Percentage reduction in lost workday case incidence
13	rate for private sector job sites served
14	e. Percentage change in lost workday case incidence
15	rate for public sector job sites served
16	f. Percentage change in disabling compensable claims
17	rate for private employers served
18	g. Percentage change in disabling compensable claims
19	rate for public employers served
20	h. Percent of employers surveyed who view services as
21	adequately effective or above
22	4. SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of private sector employers (and job sites)
24	provided OHSA 7 (c)1 consultation services
25	b. Number of public sector employers (and job sites)
26	provided consultation services
27	c. Number of private sector employers receiving
28	training and other technical services
29	d. Number of public sector employers receiving
30	training and other technical services(TBD)
31	

consultation	1	e. Unit cost per private sector (OSHA 7(c)1)
3 f. Unit cost per public sector consultation(TED) 4 (d) For the Employment Security Program, the purpose 5 of which is to increase Floridians' ability to lead 6 independent lives, secure safe and gainful employment, and 7 provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling 8 Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the 9 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 10 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 14 Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	2	
4 (d) For the Employment Security Program, the purpose 5 of which is to increase Floridians' ability to lead 6 independent lives, secure safe and gainful employment, and 7 provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling 8 Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the 9 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 10 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 11 Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	3	
of which is to increase Floridians' ability to lead independent lives, secure safe and gainful employment, and provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 1 EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 3 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%) b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%) c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely	4	
6 independent lives, secure safe and gainful employment, and 7 provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling 8 Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the 9 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 10 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 11 Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(97.01%) 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	5	
7 provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling 8 Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the 9 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 10 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 11 Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%) 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	6	
Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the 9 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 10 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 11 Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%) 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	7	
10 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 11 Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%) 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	8	Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the
Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows: 12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%) 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	9	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
12 1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES 13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%) 14 b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%) 15 c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely 16	10	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
13 a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely	11	Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows:
14b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%)15c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely16	12	1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES
15c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely16	13	a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%)
16	14	b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%)
17d. Percent of new UC employer liability determinations18made timely	15	c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely
18made timely	16	
19e. Percent of current quarter UC taxes paid timely20	17	d. Percent of new UC employer liability determinations
20	18	made timely(84.20%)
21 2. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTPUT MEASURES 22 a. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations 23 issued	19	e. Percent of current quarter UC taxes paid timely
22 a. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations 23 issued(184,324) 24 b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid(3,266,221) 25 c. Amount of UC benefits paid(\$741,304,302) 26 d. Number of appeal cases completed(\$2,197) 27 e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations 28 made	20	
<pre>23 issued(184,324) 24 b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid(3,266,221) 25 c. Amount of UC benefits paid(\$741,304,302) 26 d. Number of appeal cases completed(52,197) 27 e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations 28 made(69,118) 29 f. Amount of UC taxes collected(\$523,054,615) 30 g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed</pre>	21	2. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTPUT MEASURES
24 b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid(3,266,221) 25 c. Amount of UC benefits paid(\$741,304,302) 26 d. Number of appeal cases completed(\$741,304,302) 27 e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations 28 made	22	a. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations
25 c. Amount of UC benefits paid(\$741,304,302) 26 d. Number of appeal cases completed(\$2,197) 27 e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations 28 made	23	issued(184,324)
26d. Number of appeal cases completed(52,197)27e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations28made	24	b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid(3,266,221)
27 e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations 28 made	25	c. Amount of UC benefits paid(\$741,304,302)
28 made	26	d. Number of appeal cases completed(52,197)
29f. Amount of UC taxes collected(\$523,054,615)30g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed	27	e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations
30 g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed	28	made(69,118)
	29	f. Amount of UC taxes collected(\$523,054,615)
31	30	g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed
	31	

1 JOBS AND BENEFITS OUTCOME MEASURES. --3. Percent of job openings filled......(50.2%) 2 а. 3 Percent individuals referred to jobs who are placed b. 4 5 Percent food stamp clients employed......(11.8%) с. Percent increase in high skill/high wage б d. 7 apprenticeship programs registered.....(5.00%) JOBS AND BENEFITS OUTPUT MEASURES.--8 4. Number individuals referred to job openings listed 9 a. with J&B.....(540,000) 10 11 Number individuals placed by J&B.....(137,700) b. Number individuals obtaining employment after 12 с. receiving specific J&B services......(35,700) 13 d. Cost per placement by J&B.....(\$231) 14 Cost per individual placed or obtained employment 15 e. 16(\$176) Number recipients employed: 17 f. Food stamps.....(14,800) 18 (I) (II) Cost per food stamp placement.....(\$302) 19 20 g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high 21 skill/high wage requirements.....(150) 22 Number apprentices successfully completing terms of h. training as set by registered industry standards.....(2,900) 23 5. 24 JTPA OUTCOME MEASURES. --25 JTPA adult and dislocated worker placement rate a. 26 27 JTPA youth positive outcome rate (Information only) b. 28 29 JTPA OUTPUT MEASURES.--6. 30 a. Number JTPA Adult Program completers.....(8,568) 31 b. Number JTPA Youth Program completers.....(5,809)

187

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 2502

1	c. Number JTPA Dislocated Worker Program completers
2	
3	d. JTPA cost per participant served(\$2,323)
4	(e) For the Workers' Compensation Hearings Program,
5	the purpose of which is to resolve disputed compensation
6	claims in conformity with pertinent statutory, rule, and
7	caseload requirements through the maintenance of a statewide
8	mediation, hearing, and order adjudicatory system, the outcome
9	measures, output measures, and associated performance
10	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
11	Appropriations 1795-1798 are as follows:
12	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percentage of concluded mediations resulting in
14	resolution(56%)
15	b. Percentage of appealed, decided orders affirmed
16	
17	c. Average days from petition filed to disposition
18	order(TBD)
19	d. Cost per disposition order entered(TBD)
20	e. Average number of days from the date the petition
21	is filed to the scheduled mediation date(TBD)
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of petitions received by presiding judge
24	
25	b. Number of mediations held(17,600)
26	c. Number of final hearings held(3,800)
27	d. Number of other hearings held(38,500)
28	e. Number of Disposition Orders Entered(TBD)
29	(I) Number of final merit orders(2,850)
30	(II) Number of lump sum settlements orders(29,190)
31	(III) Number of stipulation orders(TBD)
	100

1	f. Number of other orders (other than disposition
2	orders)(TBD)
3	(f) For the Unemployment Appeals Commission, the
4	purpose of which is to provide prompt, accurate benefits for
5	unemployed workers in order to expedite their reemployment
6	while providing an equitable and cost-effective unemployment
7	compensation system for the employers of Florida, the outcome
8	measures, output measures, and associated performance
9	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
10	Appropriations 1850-1852 are as follows:
11	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals
13	disposed within 45 days(50%)
14	b. Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals
15	disposed within 90 days(95%)
16	c. Percentage of cases appealed to DCA(7%)
17	d. Average unit cost of cases appealed to Unemployment
18	Appeals Commission(\$186)
19	e. Average unit cost of cases appealed to DCA(\$685)
20	f. Percentage of appealed decisions affirmed by the
21	DCA(94%)
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of unemployment compensation appeals
24	<u>disposed of(10,500)</u>
25	(g) For the Information Management Center, the purpose
26	of which is to provide application development and support,
27	processing applications error-free, through a computer network
28	that is responsive and available, the outcome measures, output
29	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
30	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1827-1829 are as
31	<u>follows:</u>

1	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage of data processing requests completed by
3	due date
4	b. System design and programming hourly cost(\$52.00)
5	c. Percentage of scheduled production jobs completed
6	
7	d. Percentage scheduled hours available data center
8	operations(99.79%)
9	e. Cost per MIP (millions of instructions per second)
10	
11	f. Percentage of Help Desk calls resolved within 3
12	working days(89.48%)
13	g. Cost per Help Desk call(\$8.00)
14	h. Percentage scheduled hours available network
15	<u></u> (99.08%)
16	i. Cost for support per network device(\$195.00)
17	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of data processing requests completed by due
19	<u>date(2,900)</u>
20	b. Number of scheduled production jobs completed
21	
22	c. Number of hours available data center operations
23	
24	d. Number of Help Desk calls resolved within 3 working
25	days(18,175)
26	e. Number of hours available network(2,855)
27	(6) DEPARTMENT OF THE LOTTERY
28	(a) For the Sale of Lottery Products Program, the
29	purpose purpose of which is to maximize revenues for public
30	education in a manner consistent with the dignity of the state
31	and the welfare of its citizens, the outcome measures, output
	190

1 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1871-1882 are as 2 3 follows: 4 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--Total revenue in dollars.....(\$2,047 million) 5 a. Percent change from prior year.....(0.56%) б b. 7 Transfers to the state Educational Enhancement c. 8 Trust Fund......(\$777.8 9 million) 10 d. Percent of total revenue to the Educational 11 Enhancement Trust Fund.....(38%) 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--12 a. Percent of total revenue paid as prizes....(49.65%) 13 Administrative expense paid for retailer commission 14 b. 15 million) 16 17 c. Operating expense......(\$252.8 million) Operating expense as percent of total revenue.(12%) 18 d. 19 e. Survey results of public awareness of the contribution to education by the Lottery - percent of 20 21 respondents who are aware of the Lottery's contribution to 22 23 (7) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES.--24 (a) For the State Group Insurance Program, the purpose 25 of which is to contribute to a productive workforce 26 representative of the labor market by providing cost effective 27 employee health insurance, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 28 29 funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1897-1903 are as 30 follows: 31 1. STATE GROUP INSURANCE OUTCOME MEASURES. --191

1	a. Customer feedback ranking for Division out of
2	possible 10 points
3	b. Percentage of claims reaching final action within
4	30 days of receipt
5	c. Overall payment and procedural error rate(5%)
6	d. Telephone queue time in seconds
7	e. Unprocessed original claims inventory(30,000)
8	f. Average annual cost per contract to administer
9	insurance programs(\$14.84)
10	(b) For the Facilities Program, the purpose of which
11	is to provide best value office facilities considering the
12	total cost of constructing, managing, and maintaining office
13	facilities, and compared to comparable industry standards, the
14	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
15	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
16	Appropriations 1904-1927 are as follows:
17	1. FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Gross square foot construction cost of office
19	facilities for DMS(\$80.02)
20	b. Gross square foot construction cost of office
21	facilities for private industry average(\$87.55)
22	c. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
23	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
24	DMS actual(\$15.13)
25	d. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
26	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
27	private industry(\$16.42)
28	e. New office space efficiency per net square
29	foot/gross square foot
30	f. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
0.1	

31 square foot maintained by DMS......(\$5.04)

192

1	g. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
2	square foot maintained by private industry(\$5.92)
3	h. Number of criminal incidents per 100,000 gross
4	square feet(4.7)
5	i. Number of criminal incidents per 1,000 employees
6	
7	2. FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Gross square feet of office facilities completed
9	
10	b. Net square feet of state-owned office space
11	occupied by state agencies including non-DMS owned facilities
12	
13	c. Net square feet of private office space occupied by
14	state agencies(11,057,443)
15	d. Number of square feet maintained by DMS.(4,893,921)
16	e. Number of square feet maintained by private
17	<u>contractor(1,912,009)</u>
18	f. Gross square feet monitored for security purposes
19	
20	g. Number of investigations conducted(210)
21	(c) For the Support Program, the purpose of which is
22	to provide government entities access to best value
23	commodities and services through centralized procurement,
24	federal property assistance, and fleet management, the outcome
25	measures, output measures, and associated performance
26	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
27	Appropriations 1928-1931 are as follows:
28	1. SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Percentage of state term contracts savings(35%)
30	b. State term contracts cost avoidance(\$205,000,000)
31	

1	c. Average percentage below private sector fleet
2	maintenance for labor costs
3	d. Average percentage below private sector fleet
4	maintenance for parts costs
5	e. Average percentage state rental vehicles below
6	state rental contract rates
7	f. Passenger load factor for DMS
8	g. Passenger load factor for large corporation(3.4)
9	h. Cost per flight hour - DMS aircraft pool(\$1,166)
10	i. Average percentage DMS direct cost per flight hour
11	below industry direct cost(44%)
12	j. Number of government and nonprofit organizations
13	visiting a surplus property distribution center(3,400)
14	k. Federal property distribution rate(85%)
15	2. SUPPORT OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of commodities/services on state term
17	contracts(233,000)
18	b. Number of agencies using SPURS(30)
19	c. Percentage of agencies using SPURS(75%)
20	d. Number of federal property orders processed.(2,150)
21	e. Number of vehicle maintenance service hours.(8,600)
22	f. Days of state rental vehicle service provided
23	
24	g. Miles of state rental vehicle service provided
25	(1,700,000)
26	h. Number of flights by executive aircraft pool
27	
28	(d) For the Workforce Program, the purpose of which is
29	to manage the State Personnel System to help state agencies
30	achieve an effective workforce; perform a variety of
31	activities to assist state agencies in human resource
	194

1 management; and provide administrative support for the Cooperative Personnel Employment Subsystem (COPES), the 2 3 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 4 5 Appropriations 1932-1936 are as follows: б 1. STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM OUTCOME MEASURES.--7 Administrative cost per FTE for Cooperative a. 8 Personnel Employee System.....(\$40.20) 9 Administrative cost per FTE for administrative cost b. 10 net of COPES.....(\$35.38) 11 c. Administrative cost per FTE total administrative 12 cost per FTE.....(\$75.58) d. Percentage of customers satisfied that the 13 information provided resulted in more effective and efficient 14 HR-related decisionmaking.....(83%) 15 Percentage of customers satisfied that the 16 e. 17 technical assistance provided resulted in more effective and 18 efficient HR-related decision-making......(83%) f. Percentage of customers satisfied that the 19 20 21 g. Percentage of customers satisfied that the 22 23 h. Percentage of customers satisfied that the 24 information provided was consistent with past practices..(83%) 25 i. Percentage of customers satisfied that the technical assistance provided was timely......(87%) 26 27 Percentage of customers satisfied that the j. 28 29 Percentage of customers satisfied that the k. 30 technical assistance provided was consistent with past 31

195

1	1. Percentage of agencies at or above EEO gender
2	parity with available labor market
3	m. Percentage of agencies at or above EEO minority
4	parity with the available labor market
5	2. STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of informational materials provided(1,820)
7	b. Number of responses to technical assistance
8	requests(15,343)
9	(e) For the Retirement Benefits Program, the purpose
10	of which is to provide quality and cost-effective retirement
11	services, the outcome measures, output measures, and
12	associated performance standards with respect to funds
13	provided in Specific Appropriations 1937-1947 are as follows:
14	1. RETIREMENT BENEFITS PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percentage of participating agencies satisfied with
16	retirement information
17	b. Percentage of participating active members
18	satisfied with retirement information
19	c. Percentage of participating recent retirees
20	satisfied with retirement information
21	d. Percentage of participating other retirees
22	satisfied with retirement information
23	e. Percentage of agency payroll transactions correctly
24	reported(98.5%)
25	f. Percentage of standard retirement services offered
26	by FRS compared to comparable programs(82%)
27	g. Percentage of participating agencies satisfied with
28	retirement services
29	h. Percentage of participating active members
30	satisfied with retirement services
31	

1	i. Percentage of participating recent retirees
2	satisfied with retirement services
3	j. Percentage of participating other retirees
4	satisfied with retirement services
5	k. Administrative cost per active and retired member
6	(\$19.69)
7	1. Ratio of active and retired members to division FTE
8	
9	m. Funding ratio of FRS assets to liabilities(93%)
10	n. Percentage of local retirement systems annually
11	reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial basis(92%)
12	2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of annuitants added to retired payroll
14	
15	b. Number of retirement account audits(83,000)
16	c. Number of changes processed(49,119)
17	d. Number of benefit payments issued(2,075,333)
18	e. Number of local pension plan valuations and impact
19	statements reviewed
20	(f) For the Information Technology Program, the
21	purpose of which is to effectively and efficiently satisfy
22	customer needs for using, sharing, and managing information
23	technology resources, the outcome measures, output measures,
24	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 1948-1959 are as follows:
26	1. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
28	for local access(40%)
29	b. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
30	for long distance(40%)
31	

1	c. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
2	<u>for data service(25%)</u>
3	d. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
4	service features(2.23)
5	e. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
6	service delivery(2.16)
7	f. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
8	timely problem resolution(2.33)
9	g. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for best
10	value services(2.15)
11	2. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of SUNCOM long distance billable minutes
13	
14	b. Number of SUNCOM local service main stations
15	
16	c. Number of SUNCOM data locations served(10,747)
17	d. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for local access
18	
19	e. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for long distance
20	
21	f. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for data service
22	
23	3. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
25	accessible information services
26	b. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
27	desirable technology services
28	c. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
29	timely problem resolution(2.33)
30	d. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
31	projects within schedule(2.56)

e. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for best
value services
f. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
reliable information services
4. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
a. Number of Technology Resource Center research
projects completed(15)
b. Number of Technology Resource Center consulting
projects completed(7)
c. Number of Technology Resource Center development
projects completed
d. Percentage utilization by the Unisys System as used
for capacity planning & technology refresh, employing 80%
maximum utilization standard(60%)
e. Percentage utilization by the IBM System as used
for capacity planning & technology refresh, employing 80%
maximum utilization standard(59.5%)
5. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
a. Percentage wireless discount from commercially
available and similar type engineering services(35%)
6. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
a. Number of engineering projects and approvals
handled for state government(110)
b. Number of engineering projects and approvals
handled for local governments
c. Number of Joint Task Force Radio Systems fixed
sites operated and maintained(81)
d. Percentage of state covered by the Joint Task Force
<u>Radio System(34%)</u>

e. Percentage of Joint Task Force Radio System current phase(s) under development completed.....(34%)

1	(8) DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS
2	(a) For the Readiness and Response Program, the
3	purpose of which is to provide military units and personnel
4	(at the Governor's request) that are ready to protect life and
5	property; preserve peace, order, and public safety; and
6	contribute to such state and local programs that add value to
7	the State of Florida, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 1974A-1981G are as
10	follows:
11	1. READINESS OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of Area Commands assigned Military
13	Support Missions that are prepared to execute those missions
14	
15	b. Percentage of units with a Green readiness rating
16	
17	2. READINESS OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number/percentage of armories rated adequate
19	
20	b. Percentage of satisfaction with training facilities
21	at Camp Blanding
22	c. Number of annual training days at Camp Blanding
23	
24	d. Percentage of available training days at Camp
25	Blanding(15.7%)
26	e. Percentage of assigned soldiers to authorized
27	staffing levels(99%)
28	f. Number of new recruits using State Education
29	Assistance Program(625)
30	g. Number of crisis response exercises conducted
31	annually(3)
	200

- -

1	3. RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage of supported agencies reporting
3	satisfaction with the department's support for specific
4	missions
5	4. RESPONSE OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Percentage of State Active Duty (SAD) purchase
7	orders processed in 24 hours
8	b. Percentage of SAD vouchers purchased and paid in 40
9	days(98%)
10	c. Percentage of SAD payrolls paid on time(98%)
11	d. Percentage of Area Command Plans rated satisfactory
12	as a result of operations(100%)
13	e. Percentage of missions accomplished on or before
14	time
15	(9) DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
16	(a) For the Property Tax Administration Program, the
17	purpose of which is to enhance the equity in property
18	assessments and taxation through the state and to facilitate
19	equalization of the distribution of the required local effort
20	millage, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
21	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
22	Specific Appropriations 2000-2002 are as follows:
23	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Percent of classes studied found to have a level of
25	at least 90 percent
26	b. Tax roll uniformity - the average for coefficient
27	of dispersion(11.5%)
28	c. Percent of taxing authorities in total or
29	substantial truth in millage compliance on initial submission
30	
31	

1	d. Percent of refund and tax certificate applications
2	processed within 30 days of receipt
3	e. Refund request per 100,000 parcels(31.8)
4	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number of subclasses of property studied with
6	feedback to property appraisers
7	b. Number of tax roll review notices issued(3)
8	c. Total number of tax roll defects found(4)
9	d. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent
10	to taxing authorities
11	e. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent
12	to taxing authorities with minor infractions(118)
13	f. Number of property tax refund requests processed
14	
15	g. Number of tax certificates cancellations and
16	corrections processed(1,500)
17	h. Number of taxpayers audited on behalf of county
18	property appraisers -Tangible Personal Property(250)
19	i. Student training hours provided to property
20	appraisers and their staff - Tangible Personal Property
21	
22	(b) For the Child Support Enforcement Program, the
23	purpose of which is to establish paternity and child support
24	orders, enforce those orders to collect child support, and
25	distribute child support collections in a timely manner, the
26	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
27	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
28	Appropriations 2004-2012 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of children with a court order for
31	support(47%)
	000

1 b. Percentage of children with paternity established 2 3 c. Total child support dollars collected per \$1 of total expenditures.....(\$2.77) 4 Percent of child support collected that was due 5 d. б <u>during the fiscal year.....(51%)</u> 7 Percent of cases with child support due in a month e. 8 that received a payment during the month......(53%) 9 2. OUTPUT MEASURE.--10 a. Number of children with a newly established court 11 order......(58,800) 12 (c) For the General Tax Administration Program, the purpose of which is to administer the revenue laws of the 13 state in a fair and equitable manner and to collect all money 14 owed, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 15 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 16 17 Specific Appropriations 2013-2023 are as follows: 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--18 19 a. Average days from receipt of payment to final processing of deposit - sales, corporation, intangibles, fuel 20 21 22 Number of days between initial distribution of b. funds and final adjustments - sales, fuel.....(70) 23 Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially 24 c. 25 error free and on time.....(80%) 26 Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially d. 27 error free and on time by first time filers.....(64%) e. Return on investment - total collections per dollar 28 29 spent......(\$146.72) 30 31

1	f. Dollars collected as a percentage of actual
2	liability of notices sent for apparent sales tax return filing
3	errors or late returns
4	g. Percentage of tax returns that did not result in a
5	notice of apparent filing error or late return
6	h. Average time in days between the processing of a
7	sales tax return and the first notification to the taxpayer of
8	an apparent filing error or late return(40)
9	i. Percentage of delinquent sales tax return and
10	filing error or late return notices issued accurately to
11	
12	j. Percentage of delinquent tax return and filing
13	error or late return notices sent to taxpayers that had to be
14	revised due to department or taxpayer error
15	k. Percentage of final audit assessment amounts
16	<u>collected - tax only</u>
17	1. Final audit assessment amounts as a percentage of
18	initial assessment amounts - tax only
19	m. Dollars collected voluntarily as a percentage of
20	total dollars collected
21	n. Average number of days to resolve a dispute of an
22	audit assessment(175)
23	o. Direct collections per enforcement related dollar
24	spent(\$4.89)
25	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of delinquent tax return notices issued to
27	taxpayers(744,000)
28	b. Number of notices sent to taxpayers for apparent
29	tax return filing errors or late return(567,000)
30	(10) DEPARTMENT OF STATE
31	

1	(a) For the Historical, Archaeological, and Folklife
2	Appreciation Program, the purpose of which is to encourage
3	identification, evaluation, protection, preservation,
4	collection, conservation, interpretation, and public access to
5	information about Florida's historic sites, properties, and
6	objects related to Florida history and to archaeological and
7	folk cultural heritage, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 2051-2056A are as follows:
10	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number/percentage increase of general public
12	utilizing historic information
13	b. Number of historic and archaeological objects
14	maintained for public use and scientific research(99,000)
15	c. Increase in number/percentage of historic and
10	archaeological properties:
16	archaeorogicar properties.
10	<u>(I) Recorded(9,650/8%)</u>
17	(I) Recorded
17 18	(I) Recorded
17 18 19	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(I) Recorded
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(I) Recorded

1	f. Average cost to collect historical and
2	archaeological objects(\$75.62)
3	g. Average cost to maintain historical and
4	archaeological objects(\$1.16)
5	h. Number of sites maintained in the Florida Master
6	Site File(133,000)
7	i. Number of preservation services applications
8	reviewed(8,000)
9	j. Number of produced and sponsored events:
10	(I) K-12 targeted activities(1,350)
11	(II) Other sponsored events
12	(b) For the Commercial Recording and Registration
13	Program, the purpose of which is to promote financial and
14	economic stability through public notice of clients' interest
15	in business organizations, trademarks, financial transactions,
16	and liens as well as identification of those doing business
17	under names other than their own, output measures, and
18	associated performance standards with respect to funds
19	provided in Specific Appropriations 2057-2059 are as follows:
20	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Percentage public reporting satisfaction with the
22	division's services
23	b. Percentage business reporting satisfaction with the
24	division's services
25	c. Percentage law enforcement reporting satisfaction
26	with the division's services
27	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Average Cost/Corporate Filing(\$5.38)
29	b. Average Cost/Uniform Commercial Code Filings
30	
31	c. Average Cost/Inquiry(\$0.075)
	206

i	
1	d. Proportion of total inquires handled by telephone
2	
3	e. Proportion of total inquiries handled by
4	mail/walk-ins(10%)
5	f. Proportion of total inquiries handled by electronic
б	means
7	(c) For the Libraries, Archives, and Information
8	Services Program, the purpose of which is to ensure access to
9	information of past, present, and future value for the
10	educational and cultural benefit of the people of Florida, the
11	Library, Archives, and Information program works in
12	partnership with citizens, information providers, and
13	government for efficient and effective management and
14	development of information services, the outcome measures,
15	output measures, and associated performance standards with
16	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2060-2067
17	are as follows:
18	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Annual increase in the use of local public library
20	service(2%)
21	b. Annual increase in accessibility by library patrons
22	to materials not owned by their local public library(4%)
23	c. Annual increase in usage of research collections
24	
25	d. Annual cost avoidance achieved by government
26	agencies through records storage/disposition/micrographics
27	
28	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
29	a. Number of items loaned by public libraries
30	
31	b. Number of library customer visits(49,513,960)
	207

1	c. Number of public library reference requests
2	
3	d. Number of public library registered borrowers
4	
5	e. Number of persons attending public library programs
6	
7	f. Number of volumes in public library collections
8	
9	g. Number of records added to the statewide library
10	holdings database annually
11	h. Number of new users (State Library, State Archives)
12	
13	i. Number of reference requests handled (State
14	Library, State Archives)
15	j. Number of items used on site (State Library)
16	
17	k. Number of database searches conducted (State
18	Library, State Archives)
19	1. Number of items loaned (State Library)(81,286)
20	m. Cubic feet of obsolete public records approved for
21	disposal(510,000)
22	n. Cubic feet of noncurrent records stored at the
23	Records Center
24	o. Number of microfilm images created, processed,
25	and/or duplicated at the Records Center(160,000,000)
26	(d) For the Cultural Grants Program, the purpose of
27	which is foster development of a receptive climate for
28	cultural programs, to enrich culturally and benefit the
29	citizens of this state in their daily lives, to increase the
30	appeal of Florida visits and vacations, and to attract to
31	Florida residency outstanding creators through the promotion
	208

1 of cultural programs, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds 2 3 provided in Specific Appropriations 2068-2083A are as follows: 4 1. OUTCOME MEASURES. --5 a. Attendance at supported cultural events б 7 Number of individuals served by professional b. 8 associations......(8,000,000) 9 Total local financial support leveraged by state c. funding.....(\$343,832,378) 10 11 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--Number of grants awarded: 12 a. Capital.....(16) 13 (I) 14 Dollars awarded through grants: 15 b. Capital.....(\$7,616,189) 16 (I) 17 (II) Program......(\$14,687,872) c. Percentage of counties funded by the program: 18 19 Large counties (N=34; population >75,000)..(94.0%) 20 (I) (II) Small counties (N=33; population less than 21 22 d. Number of state supported performances and exhibits 23 24 (e) For the Licensing Program, the purpose of which is 25 to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare through 26 27 the licensing, regulation, and enforcement of the private security, private investigative, and recovery industries; the 28 29 regulation of game promotions conducted in Florida; and the 30 issuance of licenses to citizens wishing to carry concealed weapons or firearms for lawful defense, the outcome measures, 31

209

1 output measures, and associated performance standards with 2 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2084-2087 3 are as follows: 4 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--5 a. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery licenses issued within 90 days of receipt of an application 6 7 b. Percent/number Concealed Weapon/Firearm licenses 8 9 issued within 90 day statutory timeframe without fingerprint 10 c. Number of default Concealed Weapons/Firearms 11 12 d. Percent of license revocations or suspensions 13 initiated within 20 days of receipt of disqualifying 14 15 e. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery 16 17 f. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery 18 19 inspections completed within 30 days.....(80%) g. Percent of Concealed Weapons/Firearm violators to 20 licensed population.....(0.06%) 21 Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery 22 h. violators to the licensed population......(1.25%) 23 24 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--25 a. Average cost/Concealed Weapon/Firearm application processed......(\$30) 26 27 b. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery 28 application processed.....(\$35) Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery 29 с. 30 investigation.....(\$1,596)

_	
1	d. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
2	compliance inspection(\$325)
3	e. Average cost/Administrative Action (revocation,
4	fine, probation & compliance letters)(\$500)
5	f. Number investigations performed (Security,
б	Investigative, and Recovery complaint and agency generated
7	inspections)(1,475)
8	g. Number compliance inspections performed (Security,
9	Investigative, and Recovery licensees/new agency inspections
10	and random inspections)(1,697)
11	3. POLICY ANALYSIS
12	a. Percent of fingerprint cards processed by FBI and
13	FDLE in excess of 90 days (all licenses)(12%)
14	Section 38. If any provision of this act or the
15	application thereof to any person or circumstance is held
16	invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or
17	applications of the act which can be given effect without the
18	invalid provision or application, and to this end the
19	provisions of this act are declared severable.
20	Section 39. This act shall take effect July 1, 1999;
21	or, in the event this act fails to become a law until after
22	that date, it shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall
23	operate retroactively to July 1, 1999.
24	
25	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
26	SENATE SUMMARY
27	Provides guidelines for implementing the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act and provides performance
28	measures and standards for programs within state agencies.
29	agencies.
30	
31	
	211

SB 2502