31

A bill to be entitled An act implementing the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act; providing legislative intent; amending s. 216.292, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Children and Family Services and the Agency for Health Care Administration to transfer general revenue funds between them; providing that specified funds are to be used to increase the adult mental health equity funding in specified districts of the Department of Children and Family Services and are not subject to the provisions of s. 394.908, F.S.; amending s. 409.9115, F.S.; specifying how the Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals; requiring the Agency for Health Care Administration to use a specified disproportionate share formula, specified audited financial data, and a specified Medicaid per diem rate in fiscal year 1999-2000 for qualifying hospitals; amending s. 409.9116, F.S.; providing a formula for rural hospital disproportionate share payments; amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Children and Family Services and the Department of Health to advance certain moneys for certain contract services; directing the Agency for Health Care Administration to include health maintenance organization recipients in the county billing for a

specified purpose; authorizing the Departments 1 2 of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor 3 and Employment Security, and Health and the 4 Agency for Health Care Administration to 5 transfer positions and funds to comply with the 6 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or the 7 WAGES Act; amending s. 402.3015, F.S.; providing eligibility guidelines for subsidized 8 9 child care; amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Children and 10 Family Services to use certain funds for fixed 11 12 capital outlay expenditures to meet certain federal standards; requiring the Agency for 13 14 Health Care Administration to take necessary actions to ensure that expenditures for 15 Medicaid transportation do not exceed the 16 17 amount budgeted and to take certain steps if that becomes impossible; amending s. 39.3065, 18 19 F.S.; providing for the Broward County Sheriff to provide child protective investigative 20 21 services; requiring Healthy Families Florida service providers to furnish participants with 22 certain disclaimers and documentation; 23 prohibiting disclosure of certain records by 24 such providers; providing for disposal of 25 26 records after a specified period; amending s. 27 409.912, F.S.; providing standards for certain prepaid health care services entities; amending 28 29 s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to transfer some positions and 30 associated budget and a certain percentage of 31

31

salary rate between budget entities and providing requirements with respect thereto; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to participate in the model career service classification and compensation system, subject to certain conditions; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to use certain moneys to provide meritorious-performance bonuses for employees, subject to approval; authorizing the Correctional Privatization Commission and the Department of Juvenile Justice to make certain expenditures to defray costs incurred by a municipality or county as a result of opening a facility of the commission or the department; amending s. 287.064, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to finance, through the Comptroller's consolidated major equipment financing program, the purchase of certain equipment, software, and services for the Florida Crime Information Center; amending s. 212.20, F.S.; providing for use of moneys allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust Fund; providing for certain counties to use moneys received for aquatic weed control for recycling purposes; amending s. 403.7095, F.S.; revising the expiration date of the solid waste management grant program; requiring a specified level of funding for counties receiving solid waste management and recycling grants; providing for allocation of funds for innovative programs to address

31

recycling practices and procedures; amending s. 110.1239, F.S.; providing requirements for the funding of the state group health insurance program; amending s. 373.59, F.S.; requiring release of certain moneys by the Secretary of Environmental Protection to water management districts, upon request; amending s. 110.205, F.S.; providing additional exemptions from the Career Service System for personnel of the office of the Governor; amending s. 287.161, F.S.; requiring the Department of Management Services to charge all persons receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a specified rate; providing for deposit and use of such fees; amending s. 15.09, F.S.; authorizing the appropriation of funds from the Public Access Data Systems Trust Fund for the operations of the Department of State; amending s. 253.034, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Transportation to sell certain property used by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; amending s. 334.0445, F.S.; revising the expiration date for the model career service classification and compensation plan; amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Transportation to transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity to facilitate transferring personnel to the turnpike headquarters facility in Orange County; prescribing powers of the Commissioner of Education to reorganize personnel, entities,

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21

duties, and functions within the Department of Education; providing a limitation; providing for a report; authorizing the Commissioner of Education to establish and implement student achievement measures; providing for allocation of moneys provided for workforce development and providing for budget amendment when a program is moved; providing for future repeal of various provisions; amending s. 240.3341, F.S.; authorizing community colleges to lease their incubator facilities for small business 12 concerns; providing effect of veto of specific appropriation or proviso to which implementing language refers; providing applicability to other legislation; providing performance measures and standards for individual programs within state agencies; providing that the performance measures and standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, as 20 required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994; providing 22 23 severability; providing an effective date.

24 25

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

26 27

28

29

Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that the implementing and administering provisions of this act apply to the General Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1999-2000.

30 31

Section 2. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 212 through 425 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section
216.292, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to
read:

216.292 Appropriations nontransferable; exceptions.-- (1)

(b) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Department of Children and Family Services and the Agency for Health Care Administration may transfer general revenue funds as necessary to comply with any provision of the General Appropriations Act that requires or specifically authorizes the transfer of general revenue funds between these two agencies. This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 3. In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 348 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
general revenue funds in the amount of \$11,665,879 are to be
used to increase the adult mental health equity funding in
districts 4, 7, and 11 of the Department of Children and
Family Services and shall not be subject to the provisions of
section 394.908, Florida Statutes, for the equity distribution
of these funds.

Section 4. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 268 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (3) of section 409.9115, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

409.9115 Disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals.—The Agency for Health Care Administration shall design and implement a system of making mental health disproportionate share payments to hospitals that qualify for disproportionate share payments under s. 409.911. This system

of payments shall conform with federal requirements and shall distribute funds in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made by making quarterly Medicaid payments. Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for patients.

(3) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals on a monthly basis. If the amounts appropriated for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals are increased or decreased during the fiscal year pursuant to the requirements of chapter 216, the required adjustment shall be prorated over the remaining payment periods. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 5. <u>During the 1999-2000 fiscal year, the</u>

Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the 1992-1993 disproportionate share formula, the 1989 audited financial data, and the Medicaid per diem rate as of January 1, 1992, for those hospitals that qualify for the hospital disproportionate share program funded in Specific

Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 6. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 236 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (6) of section 409.9116, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

409.9116 Disproportionate share/financial assistance program for rural hospitals.--In addition to the payments made under s. 409.911, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall administer a federally matched disproportionate share

program and a state-funded financial assistance program for statutory rural hospitals. The agency shall make disproportionate share payments to statutory rural hospitals that qualify for such payments and financial assistance payments to statutory rural hospitals that do not qualify for disproportionate share payments. The disproportionate share program payments shall be limited by and conform with federal requirements. In fiscal year 1993-1994, available funds shall be distributed in one payment, as soon as practicable after the effective date of this act. In subsequent fiscal years, funds shall be distributed quarterly in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made. Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for hospitals serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients.

- (6) For the $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$ fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the following formula for distribution of the funds in Specific Appropriation $\underline{236}$ $\underline{240}$ of the $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$ General Appropriations Act for the disproportionate share/financial assistance program for rural hospitals.
- (a) The agency shall first determine a preliminary payment amount for each rural hospital by allocating all available state funds using the following formula:

 $PDAER = (TAERH \times TARH)/STAERH$

28 Where:

PDAER = preliminary distribution amount for each rural hospital.

TAERH = total amount earned by each rural hospital.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1 TARH = total amount appropriated or distributed under 2 this section. 3 STAERH = sum of total amount earned by each rural 4 hospital. 5 (b) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 6 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 7 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (a). 8 (c) The state-funds-only payment amount is then 9 calculated for each hospital using the formula: 10 SFOER = Maximum value of (1) SFOL - PDAER or (2) 0 11 12 13 Where: SFOER = state-funds-only payment amount for each rural 14 15 hospital. 16 SFOL = state-funds-only payment level, which is set at 17 4 percent of TARH. 18 (d) The adjusted total amount allocated to the rural 19 disproportionate share program shall then be calculated using 20 the following formula: 21 22 ATARH = (TARH - SSFOER)23 24 Where: ATARH = adjusted total amount appropriated or 25 26 distributed under this section. 27 SSFOER = sum of the state-funds-only payment amount 28 calculated under paragraph (c) for all rural hospitals. 29 (e) The determination of the amount of rural 30 disproportionate share hospital funds is calculated by the following formula: 31 9

$TDAERH = [(TAERH \times ATARH)/STAERH]$

Where:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TDAERH}}$ = total distribution amount for each rural hospital.

- (f) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (e).
- (g) State-funds-only payment amounts calculated under paragraph (c) are then added to the results of paragraph (f) to determine the total distribution amount for each rural hospital.
- (h) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. Section 7. In order to implement Specific

 Appropriations 292 through 425 and 445 through 540 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of subsection (15) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998

 Supplement, is amended to read:
- 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay.--

22 (15)

(c) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, funds appropriated to the Department of Children and Family Services in Specific Appropriations 292 293 through 425 446A and the Department of Health in Specific Appropriations 445 466 through 540 555 of the 1999-2000 1998-1999 General Appropriations Act may be advanced, unless specifically prohibited in such General Appropriations Act, for those contracted services that were approved for advancement by the Comptroller in fiscal year 1993-1994, including those services

contracted on a fixed-price or unit cost basis. This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 8. In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health

Care Administration shall include health maintenance
organization recipients in the county billing for inpatient
hospital stays for the purpose of shared costs with counties
in accordance with the Florida Statutes. This section is
repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 9. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the

Departments of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor

and Employment Security, and Health and the Agency for Health

Care Administration may transfer positions and general revenue

funds as necessary to comply with any provision of the

1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or WAGES Act which

requires or specifically authorizes the transfer of positions

and general revenue funds between these agencies. This section

is repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 10. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 372 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (1) of section 402.3015, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

402.3015 Subsidized child care program; purpose; fees; contracts.--

(1) The purpose of the subsidized child care program is to provide quality child care to enhance the development, including language, cognitive, motor, social, and self-help skills of children who are at risk of abuse or neglect and children of low-income families, and to promote financial self-sufficiency and life skills for the families of these

children, unless prohibited by federal law. Priority for participation in the subsidized child care program shall be accorded to children under 13 years of age who are:

- (a) Determined to be at risk of abuse, neglect, or exploitation and who are currently clients of the department's Children and Families Services Program Office;
- (b) Children at risk of welfare dependency, including children of participants in the WAGES Program, children of migrant farmworkers, children of teen parents, and children from other families at risk of welfare dependency due to a family income of less than 100 percent of the federal poverty level; and
- (c) $\underline{1}$. Children of working families whose family income is equal to or greater than 100 percent, but does not exceed 150 percent, of the federal poverty level.
- 2. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, eligibility under this paragraph may be expanded to children of working families whose family income does not exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level and who are enrolled in the Child Care Executive Partnership Program established in s. 409.178. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2000.

Section 11. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 420 through 425 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (16) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay.--

(16) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Department of Children and Family Services is authorized to use operating funds budgeted for Developmental Services

Institutions for fixed capital outlay expenditures as needed to bring any currently unlicensed beds up to Federal Intermediate Care Facility for the Developmentally Disabled licensure standards. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 12. In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 255 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
the Agency for Health Care Administration shall take any
necessary lawfully authorized action to ensure that total
expenditures for Medicaid transportation remain within the
amount budgeted in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act.
In the event that the agency finds that it is impossible to
constrain Medicaid transportation expenditures to within the
budgeted amount, it shall notify the Legislature of this and
provide suggestions for statutory revisions necessary to
alleviate future deficits as well as a description of all
action taken under its current authority. This section is
repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 13. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 359 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 39.3065, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

39.3065 Sheriffs of Pasco, Manatee, and Pinellas Counties to provide child protective investigative services; procedures; funding.--

(1) As described in this section, the Department of Children and Family Services shall, by the end of fiscal year 1999-2000, transfer all responsibility for child protective investigations for Pinellas County, Manatee County, and Pasco County to the sheriff of that county in which the child abuse, neglect, or abandonment is alleged to have occurred. Each

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

sheriff is responsible for the provision of all child protective investigations in his or her county. Each individual who provides these services must complete the training provided to and required of protective investigators employed by the Department of Children and Family Services.

(2) During fiscal year 1998-1999, the Department of Children and Family Services and each sheriff's office shall enter into a contract for the provision of these services. Funding for the services will be appropriated to the Department of Children and Family Services, and the department shall transfer to the respective sheriffs for the duration of fiscal year 1998-1999, funding for the investigative responsibilities assumed by the sheriffs, including federal funds that the provider is eligible for and agrees to earn and that portion of general revenue funds which is currently associated with the services that are being furnished under contract, and including, but not limited to, funding for all investigative, supervisory, and clerical positions; training; all associated equipment; furnishings; and other fixed capital items. The contract must specify whether the department will continue to perform part or none of the child protective investigations during the initial year. The sheriffs may either conduct the investigations themselves or may, in turn, subcontract with law enforcement officials or with properly trained employees of private agencies to conduct investigations related to neglect cases only. If such a subcontract is awarded, the sheriff must take full responsibility for any safety decision made by the subcontractor and must immediately respond with law enforcement staff to any situation that requires removal of a child due to a condition that poses an immediate threat to the

child's life. The contract must specify whether the services are to be performed by departmental employees or by persons 2 3 determined by the sheriff. During this initial year, the 4 department is responsible for quality assurance, and the 5 department retains the responsibility for the performance of 6 all child protective investigations. The department must 7 identify any barriers to transferring the entire 8 responsibility for child protective services to the sheriffs' 9 offices and must pursue avenues for removing any such barriers by means including, but not limited to, applying for federal 10 waivers. By January 15, 1999, the department shall submit to 11 12 the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the chairs of the Senate and House 13 14 committees that oversee departmental activities a report that describes any remaining barriers, including any that pertain 15 to funding and related administrative issues. Unless the 16 17 Legislature, on the basis of that report or other pertinent information, acts to block a transfer of the entire 18 19 responsibility for child protective investigations to the sheriffs' offices, the sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee 20 County, and Pinellas County, beginning in fiscal year 21 22 1999-2000, shall assume the entire responsibility for such 23 services, as provided in subsection (3).

- (3)(a) Beginning in fiscal year 1999-2000, the sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County have the responsibility to provide all child protective investigations in their respective counties.
- (b) The sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County shall operate, at a minimum, in accordance with the performance standards established by the Legislature

24

2526

27

28

29

4

5

6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

for protective investigations conducted by the Department of Children and Family Services.

- investigations in Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County must be identified in the annual appropriation made to the Department of Children and Family Services, which shall award grants for the full amount identified to the respective sheriffs' offices. Funds for the child protective investigations may not be integrated into the sheriffs' regular budgets. Budgetary data and other data relating to the performance of child protective investigations must be maintained separately from all other records of the sheriffs' offices.
- (d) Program performance evaluation shall be based on criteria mutually agreed upon by the respective sheriffs and a committee of seven persons appointed by the Governor and selected from those persons serving on the Department of Children and Family Services District 5 Health and Human Services Board and District 6 Health and Human Services Board. Two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pasco County, two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Manatee County, and two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pinellas County. Such appointees shall serve at the pleasure of the Governor. The individuals appointed must have demonstrated experience in outcome evaluation, social service areas of protective investigation, or child welfare supervision. The committee shall submit an annual report regarding quality performance, outcome-measure attainment and cost efficiency, to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and to the Governor no later than January 31 of each year the sheriffs are receiving

general appropriations to provide child protective investigations.

(4) For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Sheriff of Broward County shall perform the same child protective investigative services according to the same standards as are performed by the sheriffs of Pinellas County, Manatee County, and Pasco County under this section. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.

Section 14. (1) In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 363B of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations

Act, all Healthy Families Florida contracted service providers shall:

- (a) Present the following disclaimer both orally and in writing at the initial contact with the parent: "Participation in the Healthy Families Program is voluntary. You are not required to answer any questions other than those required for birth registration and you have the right to decline participation in the program at any time."
- (b) Furnish, at the participant's request, a copy of all documentation concerning services provided to the participant, including applications and assessments. The private, nonprofit corporation and other applicable service providers shall dispose of all records or documents relating to that individual 5 years after termination from the program.
- (2) No information other than the name, date of birth, social security number, zip code, and county of residence of participants and their children may be forwarded from the private, nonprofit corporation or other service provider to the Department of Children and Family Services. This information is to be used for evaluation purposes only. No individual participant data may be forwarded to the National

Committee to Prevent Child Abuse or any other organization collecting and recording such information.

(3) This section expires July 1, 2000.

Section 15. For the purpose of implementing Specific Appropriation 260 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of subsection (3) of section 409.912, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

409.912 Cost-effective purchasing of health care.--The agency shall purchase goods and services for Medicaid recipients in the most cost-effective manner consistent with the delivery of quality medical care. The agency shall maximize the use of prepaid per capita and prepaid aggregate fixed-sum basis services when appropriate and other alternative service delivery and reimbursement methodologies, including competitive bidding pursuant to s. 287.057, designed to facilitate the cost-effective purchase of a case-managed continuum of care. The agency shall also require providers to minimize the exposure of recipients to the need for acute inpatient, custodial, and other institutional care and the inappropriate or unnecessary use of high-cost services.

- (3) The agency may contract with:
- (c)1. A federally qualified health center or an entity owned by one or more federally qualified health centers or an entity owned by other migrant and community health centers receiving non-Medicaid financial support from the Federal Government to provide health care services on a prepaid or fixed-sum basis to recipients. Such prepaid health care services entity must be licensed under parts I and III of chapter 641 by January 1, 1998, but shall be prohibited from serving Medicaid recipients on a prepaid basis, until such licensure has been obtained. However, such an entity is

exempt from s. 641.225 if the entity meets the requirements specified in subsections (14) and (15).

2. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the licensure requirements under parts I and III of chapter 641 shall not apply to a federally qualified health center or an entity owned by one or more federally qualified health centers or an entity owned by other migrant and community health centers receiving non-Medicaid financial support from the Federal Government to provide health care services on a prepaid or fix-sum basis to recipients. These entities are not prohibited from serving Medicaid recipients on a prepaid basis. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2000.

Section 16. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, subsection (17) of section 216.181,
Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay.--

(17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section to the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement may transfer up to 20 positions and associated budget between budget entities, provided the same funding source is used throughout each transfer. The department may also transfer up to 10 percent of the initial approved salary rate between budget entities, provided the same funding source is used throughout each transfer. The department must provide notice to the Executive Office of the Governor, the chair of the Senate Budget Ways and Means Committee, and the chair of the House Committee on Criminal Justice Appropriations for all

transfers of positions or salary rate. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 2 3 Section 17. For the purpose of implementing Specific Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General 4 5 Appropriations Act, beginning July 1, 1999, the Florida 6 Department of Law Enforcement, with approval of the Executive 7 Office of the Governor and in consultation with the Department of Management Services, legislative appropriation and 8 9 personnel committees, and the affected certified bargaining units, is authorized to participate in the model career 10 service classification and compensation system as authorized 11 by section 334.0445, Florida Statutes, which is hereby 12 continued through June 30, 2000, for this purpose. This 13 14 section is repealed on July 1, 2000. Section 18. Consistent with the provisions of section 15 216.163, Florida Statutes, in accordance with 16 17 performance-based program budgeting requirements, and 18 notwithstanding the provisions of section 216.181, Florida 19 Statutes, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement may 20 transfer up to one-half of 1 percent of the funds in Specific 21 Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act for lump-sum salary bonuses for 22 23 departmental employees at the discretion of the executive director, provided that such bonuses are given only to 24 selected employees for meritorious performance, instead of 25 26 being given as across-the-board bonuses for all employees. The department, after consultation with the Executive Office of 27 28 the Governor, shall provide a plan to the chair of the House 29 Fiscal Responsibility Council and to the chair of the Senate 30 Budget Committee for approval before awarding such bonuses. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 31

Section 19. In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 573 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
the Correctional Privatization Commission and the Department
of Juvenile Justice may expend appropriated funds to assist in
defraying the costs of impacts that are incurred by a
municipality or county and associated with opening a facility
under the authority of the Correctional Privatization

Commission or a facility under the authority of the Department
of Juvenile Justice which is located within that municipality
or county. The amount that is to be paid under this section
for any facility may not exceed 1 percent of the facility
construction cost, less building impact fees imposed by the
municipality, or by the county if the facility is located in
the unincorporated portion of the county. This section is
repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 20. In order to implement Specific

Section 20. In order to implement Specific
Appropriation 984A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations
Act, subsection (9) of section 287.064, Florida Statutes, 1998
Supplement, is amended to read:

287.064 Consolidated financing of deferred-payment purchases.--

(9) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Department of Law Enforcement is authorized, upon approval of the Comptroller, to finance through the Comptroller's consolidated master equipment financing program the purchase of equipment, software, application development services, support services, project management services, and system integration services for the Florida Crime Information Center. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 21. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1185 and 1189 of the 1999-2000 General

Appropriations Act, subsection (7) of section 212.20, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

- 212.20 Funds collected, disposition; additional powers of department; operational expense; refund of taxes adjudicated unconstitutionally collected.--
- (7) For the $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$ fiscal year only, the use of funds allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust Fund shall be as provided in the General Appropriations Act. There is transferred $\underline{\$13.4\$11.2}$ million for surface water improvement and management projects and $\underline{\$11\$8}$ million for the aquatic weed control program from revenues provided by this section. This subsection is repealed on July 1, $\underline{2000}$ $\underline{1999}$.

Section 22. <u>In order to implement Specific</u>

Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General

Appropriations Act, counties receiving funds for aquatic weed control as provided by section 212.20(7), Florida Statutes, may use these funds for recycling purposes. This authorization expires June 30, 2000.

Section 23. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, subsections (8) and (9) of section
403.7095, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, are amended to read:

403.7095 Solid waste management grant program. --

- (8) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999, the department shall provide counties with populations under 100,000 with at least 80 percent of the level of funding they received in fiscal year 1997-1998 for solid waste management and recycling grants.
- (9) For fiscal year $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$, the department shall provide 10 percent of the total funds

available after the requirements of subsection (8) are met for recycling grants available to all counties on a competitive basis for innovative programs. The department may consider one or more of the following criteria in determining whether a grant proposal is innovative:

- (a) Demonstrate advanced technologies or processes.
- (b) Collect and recycle materials targeted by the department.

- (c) Demonstrate substantial improvement in program cost-effectiveness and efficiency as measured against statewide average costs for the same or similar programs.
- (d) Demonstrate transferability of technology and processes used in program.
- (e) Demonstrate and implement multicounty or regional recycling programs.

Section 24. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1535A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 110.1239, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

110.1239 State group health insurance program funding.—For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, it is the intent of the Legislature that the state group health insurance program be managed, administered, operated, and funded in such a manner as to maximize the protection of state employee health insurance benefits. Inherent in this intent is the recognition that the health insurance liabilities attributable to the benefits offered state employees should be fairly, orderly, and equitably funded. Accordingly:

(1) The division shall determine the level of premiums necessary to fully fund the state group health insurance program for the next fiscal year. Such determination shall be

made after each revenue estimating conference on health insurance as provided in s. 216.136(1), but not later than December 1 and April 1 of each fiscal year.

- (2) The Governor, in the Governor's recommended budget, shall provide premium rates necessary for full funding of the state group health insurance program, and the Legislature shall provide in the General Appropriations Act for a premium level necessary for full funding of the state group health insurance program.
- (3) For purposes of funding, any additional appropriation amounts allocated to the state group health insurance program by the Legislature shall be considered as a state contribution and thus an increase in the state premiums.
- (4) This section is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

 Section 25. In order to implement Specific

 Appropriation 1205 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations

 Act, subsection (17) of section 373.59, Florida Statutes, 1998

 Supplement, is amended to read:

373.59 Water Management Lands Trust Fund. --

(17) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the governing board of a water management district may request, and the Secretary of Environmental Protection shall release upon such request, moneys allocated to the districts pursuant to subsection (8) for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of ss. 373.451-373.4595. No funds may be used pursuant to this subsection until necessary debt service obligations and requirements for payments in lieu of taxes that may be required pursuant to this section are provided for. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 26. For the purpose of implementing Specific Appropriation 1656 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (k) of subsection (2) of section 110.205, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

110.205 Career service; exemptions.--

- (2) EXEMPT POSITIONS.—The exempt positions which are not covered by this part include the following, provided that no position, except for positions established for a limited period of time pursuant to paragraph (h), shall be exempted if the position reports to a position in the career service:
- (k) 1. All officers and employees in the office of the Governor, including all employees at the Governor's mansion, and employees within each separate budget entity, as defined in chapter 216, assigned to the Governor. Unless otherwise fixed by law, the salary and benefits of these positions shall be set by the department as follows:
- <u>a.1.</u> The chief of staff, the assistant or deputy chief of staff, general counsel, Director of Legislative Affairs, inspector general, Director of Cabinet Affairs, Director of Press Relations, Director of Planning and Budgeting, director of administration, director of state-federal relations, and chief prosecutor of the statewide grand jury, and the director of each separate budget entity shall have their salaries and benefits established by the department in accordance with the rules of the Senior Management Service.
- <u>b.2.</u> The salaries and benefits of positions not established in <u>sub-subparagraph a.subparagraph 1.</u>shall be set by the employing agency. Salaries and benefits of employees whose professional training is comparable to that of licensed professionals under paragraph (q), or whose administrative responsibility is comparable to a bureau chief

shall be set by the Selected Exempt Service. The department shall make the comparability determinations. Other employees shall have benefits set as if career service employees.

- 2. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, all officers and employees in the office of the Governor, including all employees at the Governor's mansion, and employees within each separate budget entity, as defined in chapter 216, assigned to the Governor. Unless otherwise fixed by law, the salary and benefits of these positions shall be set by the department as follows:
- a. The chief of staff, the assistant or deputy chief of staff, general counsel, Director of Legislative Affairs, chief inspector general, Director of Cabinet Affairs, Director of Press Relations, Director of Planning and Budgeting, director of administration, director of state-federal relations, Director of Appointments, Director of External Affairs, Deputy General Counsel, Governor's Liaison for Community Development, Chief of Staff Lieutenant Governor, Deputy Director of Planning and Budgeting, policy coordinators, and the director of each separate budget entity shall have their salaries and benefits established by the department in accordance with the rules of the Senior Management Service.
- b. The salaries and benefits of positions not established in sub-subparagraph a. shall be set by the employing agency. Salaries and benefits of employees whose professional training is comparable to that of licensed professionals under paragraph (q), or whose administrative responsibility is comparable to a bureau chief shall be set by the Selected Exempt Service. The department shall make the comparability determinations. Other employees shall have

benefits set comparable to legislative staff, except that 1 2 leave shall be comparable to career service. 3 c. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2000. 4 Section 27. In order to implement Specific 5 Appropriations 1928 through 1931 of the 1999-2000 General 6 Appropriations Act, subsection (4) of section 287.161, Florida 7 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 287.161 Executive aircraft pool; assignment of 8 9 aircraft; charge for transportation .--(4) Notwithstanding the requirements of subsections 10 (2) and (3) and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 11 12 the Department of Management Services shall charge all persons receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a 13 14 rate not less than the mileage allowance fixed by the Legislature for the use of privately owned vehicles. Fees 15 collected for persons traveling by aircraft in the executive 16 17 aircraft pool shall be deposited into the Bureau of Aircraft 18 Trust Fund and shall be expended for costs incurred to operate 19 the aircraft management activities of the department. It is the intent of the Legislature that the executive aircraft pool 20 be operated on a full cost recovery basis, less available 21 funds. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 22 23 1999. In order to implement Specific 24 Section 28. Appropriations 2037 through 2095 of the 1999-2000 General 25 26 Appropriations Act, paragraph (b) of subsection (5) of section 27 15.09, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 28 15.09 Fees.--

(5)

29

3031

(b) For the $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$ fiscal year only, funds from the Public Access Data Systems Trust Fund may be

appropriated for the operations of the department. This paragraph expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 29. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 1412-1529 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, subsection (9) of section 253.034, Florida
Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

253.034 State-owned lands; uses.--

(9) Notwithstanding any provision of this section or s. 253.111 to the contrary, the Department of Transportation may sell, at fair market value, the following described state real property utilized by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles:

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

From the NW Corner of Section 28 Township 22 South, Range 30 East, run North 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds East 1900 feet; thence run South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 59.45 feet for a point of beginning, said point being on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds West along the North line of said Section 28, to a 4-inch concrete monument on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Road No. 50, being North 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds East 2315.27 feet from the NW Corner of said Section 28; thence run Westerly 419.59 feet along the arc of a 0 degree 44 minutes 25 seconds curve concave to the Northwesterly, (having a central angle of 3 degrees 6 minutes 22 seconds, the long chord bearing South 81 degrees 08 minutes 37 seconds West 419.50 feet) to the point of beginning. All of the above described land being in the NE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 of said Section 28, Orange County, Florida.

Proceeds from the sale shall be deposited in the State Transportation Trust Fund. The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund shall execute and deliver a deed of conveyance for the purpose of carrying into effect a contract or agreement of sale. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 30. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (1) of section 334.0445, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

334.0445 Model career service classification and compensation plan.--

(1) Effective July 1, 1994, the Legislature grants to the Department of Transportation in consultation with the Department of Management Services, the Executive Office of the Governor, legislative appropriations committees, legislative personnel committees, and the affected certified bargaining unions, the authority on a pilot basis to develop and implement a model career service classification and compensation system. Such system shall be developed for use by

all state agencies. Authorization for this program will be through June 30, 2000 for 3 fiscal years beginning July 1, 1994, and ending June 30, 1997; however, the department may elect or be directed by the Legislature to return to the current system at anytime during this period if the model system does not meet the stated goals and objectives. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.

Section 31. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (17) is added to section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, to read:

216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay.--

chapter to the contrary, the Florida Department of
Transportation, in order to facilitate the transfer of
personnel to the new turnpike headquarters location in Orange
County, may transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity
from other departmental budget entities. The department must
provide documentation of all transfers to the Executive Office
of the Governor, the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee,
and the Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on
Transportation and Economic Development Appropriations. This
subsection expires July 1, 2000.

Section 32. The Commissioner of Education is authorized to establish, abolish, or consolidate bureaus, sections, and subsections and to reallocate duties and functions and may reassign positions in pay grade 25 and above to the Select Exempt Service category within the Department of Education in order to promote effective and efficient operation of the department. Authorized positions and

appropriations may be transferred from one budget entity to 2 another as required to implement the reorganization. The 3 provisions of this section are subject to the requirements of section 216.181, Florida Statutes. The commissioner may not 4 5 establish, abolish, or consolidate bureaus, sections, or 6 subsections after January 31, 2000, unless such action is 7 approved by the Legislature or by law. The commissioner shall 8 provide a report on the reorganization to the President of the 9 Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Minority Leaders of the Senate and the House of 10 Representatives, and the chairmen of the education and 11 12 appropriations committees of the Legislature by January 31, 2001. This section expires July 1, 2000. 13 14 Section 33. In order to implement Specific 15 Appropriations 9-149 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, the Commissioner of Education is authorized to establish 16 17 and implement accountability measures of student achievement for all programs funded in the General Appropriations Act for 18 19 fiscal year 1999-2000. This section expires July 1, 2000. 20 Section 34. The funds provided in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act for workforce development shall be 21 initially allocated to the school district or community 22 23 college as designated. If, for any reason, a program in whole or in part is moved from a community college to a school 24 25 district or moved from a school district to a community 26 college, the Commissioner of Education or the executive director of the Division of Community Colleges shall submit a 27 28 budget amendment pursuant to chapter 216, Florida Statutes, to 29 transfer the appropriate amount of the 1999-2000 appropriation 30 between the affected district and community college. The 31 amount transferred shall be as near as practicable to the 31

actual amount appropriated for the FTE funded for that program. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 35. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 154 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (3) of section 240.3341, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

240.3341 Incubator facilities for small business concerns.--

(3)(a) The incubator facility and any improvements to the facility shall be owned by the community college. The community college may charge residents of the facility all or part of the cost for facilities, utilities, and support personnel and equipment. No small business concern shall reside in the incubator facility for more than 5 calendar years. The state shall not be liable for any act or failure to act of any small business concern residing in an incubator facility pursuant to this section or of any such concern benefiting from the incubator facilities program.

(b) Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (a) to the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the incubator facility may be leased by the community college.

This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 36. A section of this act that implements a specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso language in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void if the specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso language is vetoed. A section of this act that implements more than one specific appropriation or more than one portion of specifically identified proviso language in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void if all the

specific appropriations or portions of specifically identified proviso language are vetoed.

Section 37. If any other act passed during the 1999
Regular Session of the Legislature or any extension thereof
contains a provision that is substantively the same as a
provision in this act, but that removes or is otherwise not
subject to the future repeal applied to such provision by this
act, the Legislature intends that the provision in the other
act shall take precedence and shall continue to operate,
notwithstanding the future repeal provided by this act.

Section 38. The performance measures and standards established in this section for individual programs in Education shall be applied to those programs for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000, as required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.

- (1) PUBLIC SCHOOLS.--
- (a) For the Pre-Kindergarten Program, the purpose of which is to prepare children for success in school, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 5, 6, and 109 are as follows:
 - 1. PRE-KINDERGARTEN OUTCOME MEASURES.--
- <u>a. Number and percentage of kindergarten and first</u>
 grade students meeting state expectations for readiness..(TBD)
- b. For the Kindergarten through Twelfth Grade (K-12)

 Program, the purpose of which is to provide children and youth
 with the sound education needed to grow to a satisfying and
 productive adulthood, the outcome measures, output measures,
 and associated performance standards with respect to funds

1	
	provided in Specific Appropriations 3, 108-110, 112, 115, 117,
2	117A, 117B, and 118 are as follows:
3	2. K-12 OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Number and percentage of a student cohort who
5	graduates from high school, as defined in statute(110,027;
6	<u>52.65%)</u>
7	b. Number and percentage of students 16 years or older
8	who were reported as dropouts(34,818; 4.9%)
9	c. Number and percentage of recent graduates who meet
10	the state levels in reading, writing, and mathematics for
11	placement into college-level courses(Reading 31,135, 76.2%;
12	Writing 31,992, 78%; Mathematics 28,890,
13	<u>71.9%)</u>
14	d. Number and percentage of graduates residing in
15	Florida who, within 6 months after graduation, are employed,
16	enrolled in postsecondary programs, or enlisted in the
17	military(TBD)
18	e. Median learning gains of students in grades 3-10,
19	as measured by FCAT(TBD)
20	f. Median learning gains for students scoring at or
21	below the 25th percentile on FCAT(TBD)
21 22	below the 25th percentile on FCAT(TBD) g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1
22	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1
22 23	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD)
22 23 24	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD) h. Student performance results on locally administered
22 23 24 25	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD) h. Student performance results on locally administered norm-referenced tests at grades 4 and 8(TBD)
22 23 24 25 26	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD) h. Student performance results on locally administered norm-referenced tests at grades 4 and 8(TBD) i. For each of the following measures, the Department
22 23 24 25 26 27	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD) h. Student performance results on locally administered norm-referenced tests at grades 4 and 8(TBD) i. For each of the following measures, the Department of Education shall report disaggregated data for students in
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD) h. Student performance results on locally administered norm-referenced tests at grades 4 and 8(TBD) i. For each of the following measures, the Department of Education shall report disaggregated data for students in Exceptional Education and English for Speakers of Other
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1 year's academic gain for 1 year spent in school(TBD) h. Student performance results on locally administered norm-referenced tests at grades 4 and 8(TBD) i. For each of the following measures, the Department of Education shall report disaggregated data for students in Exceptional Education and English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) programs:

1	(II) For Grade 4, percent of students who attain
2	proficiency in reading on the FCAT(TBD)
3	(III) For Grade 5, percent of students who attain
4	proficiency in mathematics on the FCAT(TBD)
5	(IV) For Grade 8, percent of students scoring 3 or
6	more on Florida Writes!(80%)
7	(V) For Grade 8, percent of students who attain
8	proficiency in mathematics on the FCAT(TBD)
9	(VI) For Grade 8, percent of students who attain
10	proficiency in reading on the FCAT(TBD)
11	(VII) For Grade 10, percent of students scoring 3 or
12	more on Florida Writes!(85%)
13	(VIII) For Grade 10, percent of students who attain
14	proficiency in mathematics on the FCAT(TBD)
15	(IX) For Grade 10, percent of students who attain
16	proficiency in reading on the FCAT(TBD)
17	j. Number and percentage of students absent 11 to 20
18	days(Elementary 272,402, 20.3%; Middle 135,672, 22.7%; High
19	135,729, 21.3%; Alternative (TBD); Exceptional Education
20	2,652, 8.3%)
21	k. Number and percentage of students absent 21 or more
22	days each year(Elementary 116,811, 8.7%; Middle 93,417,
23	15.6%; High 122,359, 19.1%; Alternative (TBD); Exceptional
24	Education 27.8%)
25	1. Number and percentage of incidents of violence,
26	weapons violations, vandalism, substance abuse, and harassment
27	on the bus, on campus, and at school-sponsored activities
28	(TBD)
29	m. Number and percent of teachers teaching more than 1
30	class out-of-field during a school term(TBD)
31	
	25
	35

1	n. Number and percent of teachers with a major or
2	minor in the subject area in which they are teaching(TBD)
3	o. Number and percent of teachers who have earned a
4	degree beyond the bachelor's level in the subject area in
5	which they are employed to teach(TBD)
6	p. Number and percent of teachers receiving more than
7	2 days staff development training during the contract year
8	(TBD)
9	q. Number and percent of teachers with National
10	Teacher's Certification(750, 0.5%)
11	r. Meeting attendance rate of school advisory council
12	members(TBD)
13	s. Number and percent of school advisory councils,
14	demonstrating by vote, participation in spending of the \$10
15	per unweighted FTE in lottery funds appropriated by the
16	Legislature for use by the councils(TBD)
17	t. Number and percent of schools having an active PTO
18	or PTA(TBD)
19	3. K-12 OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Average number of days teachers and administrators
21	were not in attendance at school for reasons classified as
22	personal leave, sick leave, and temporary duty elsewhere.(TBD)
23	(2) COMMUNITY COLLEGES
24	(a) For the Associate of Arts (AA) Program, the
25	purpose of which is to provide freshman and sophomore classes
26	that enable transfers to a university primarily, and
27	secondarily, improve job skills, the outcome measures, output
28	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
29	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 7, 153, and 154 are
30	as follows:
31	1. ASSOCIATE OF ARTS OUTCOME MEASURES
	36

1	a. Percent of AA degree graduates who transfer to a
2	state university within 2 years(65%)
3	b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State
4	University System who earn a 2.5 or above in the SUS after a
5	<u>year(72%)</u>
6	c. Percent of AA graduates who are employed and have
7	not transferred to a state university(21%)
8	d. Of the AA students completing 18 credit hours, the
9	percent of whom graduate in 4 years(29%)
10	2. ASSOCIATE OF ARTS OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of AA degrees granted(29,000)
12	b. Percentage of students graduating with total
13	accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 120%
14	of the degree requirement(36%)
15	(b) For the College Preparatory Program, the purpose
16	of which is to provide underprepared students with
17	communication and computation skills so they are prepared to
18	enter college level courses, the outcome measures, output
19	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
20	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 7, 153, and 154 are
21	as follows:
22	1. COLLEGE PREPARATORY OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percentage of students exiting the
24	college-preparatory program who enter college-level course
25	work associated with the AA, Associate of Science (AS),
26	Postsecondary Vocational Certificate, and Postsecondary Adult
27	Vocational programs(TBD)
28	b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State
29	University System who started in College Prep and who earn a
30	2.5 in the SUS after 1 year(71%)
31	(3) STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM
	37

1	(a) For the Instruction Program, the purpose of which
2	is to transmit knowledge, skills, and competencies that allow
3	eligible individuals to become practicing professionals or to
4	pursue further academic endeavors, the outcome measures,
5	output measures, and associated performance standards with
6	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 8A-8D and
7	180 are as follows:
8	1. INSTRUCTION OUTCOME MEASURES
9	a. Graduation rate for First Time In College (FTIC)
10	students, using a 6-year rate(60%)
11	b. Retention rate for FTIC students, using a 6-year
12	rate(71%)
13	c. Graduation rate for AA transfer students, using a
14	4-year rate(69%)
15	d. Retention rate for AA transfer students, using a
16	4-year rate(80%)
17	e. Percentage of students graduating with total
18	accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 115%
19	of the degree requirement(61%)
20	f. Pass rate on licensure/certification exams, for the
21	first sitting(TBD)
22	g. Percentage of graduates remaining in Florida(TBD)
23	h. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the
24	percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 1 year following
25	graduation(45%)
26	i. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the
27	
	percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 5 years following
28	<pre>percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 5 years following graduation(76%)</pre>
28 29	
	graduation(76%)
29	graduation(76%) j. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in

1	2. INSTRUCTION OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of degrees granted, by level(TBD)
3	b. Percentage of classes taught by state-funded ranked
4	faculty members(TBD)
5	c. Percent of qualified Florida students, those
6	applicants meeting BOR admission standards, admitted as FTIC
7	students(93%)
8	d. Percent of FTICs admitted as alternative admits
9	(11.4%)
10	e. Percent of alternative admits who are out-of-state
11	students(14.8%)
12	(b) For the Research Program, the purpose of which is
13	to direct research toward solving technical, social, and
14	economic problems facing the state and the nation, the outcome
15	measures, output measures, and associated performance
16	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
17	Appropriations 8A-8D and 180-183A are as follows:
18	1. RESEARCH OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Externally-generated research and training grant
20	funds (federal, state, local, business, and industry) per
21	state-funded ranked faculty full-time equivalent (FTE);
22	Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS); and the
23	Health Science Centers to be reflected separately(TBD)
24	b. Ratio of externally-generated research and training
25	grant funds to state research funds; IFAS and Health Science
26	Centers to be reflected separately(TBD)
27	2. RESEARCH OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Average number of articles in refereed journals per
29	ranked faculty; IFAS and Health Science Centers to be
30	reflected separately(TBD)
31	
	39

Τ	(c) For the Public Service Program, the purpose of
2	which is to apply the expertise of university personnel in
3	solving public problems, the outcome measures, output
4	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
5	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 8A-8D and 180-183
6	are as follows:
7	1. PUBLIC SERVICE OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. For IFAS only, the percent of public service
9	projects where the beneficiary is satisfied or highly
LO	satisfied with the extension assistance(98%)
L1	2. PUBLIC SERVICE OUTPUT MEASURES
L2	a. The number and percentage of Florida's public
L3	schools assisted(TBD)
L4	(4) WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
L5	(a) For the Workforce Development Education Program,
L6	the purpose of which is to respond to emerging local and
L7	statewide economic development needs by providing workforce
L8	development programs, the outcome measures, output measures,
L9	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
20	provided in Specific Appropriation 148 are as follows:
21	1. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Number and percent of vocational certificate
23	program completers who left the program and are found placed
24	according to the following definitions:
25	(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
26	wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
27	employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(12,227, 42.6%)
28	(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
29	entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
30	employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing
31	education in a college credit-level program(4,369, 15.2%)
	40

1	(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in
2	Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military,
3	or continuing their education at the vocational certificate
4	level(10,801, 37.6%)
5	b. Number of targeted population vocational
6	certificate program completers who left the program and are
7	found placed and disaggregated by targeted population:
8	(I) WAGES clients(694)
9	(II) Economically disadvantaged(4,193)
10	(III) Limited English proficient(1,491)
11	(IV) Dislocated worker(760)
12	(V) Disabled individuals(591)
13	c. Number and percent of applied technology diploma
14	program completers who left the program and are found placed
15	according to the following definitions:
16	(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
17	wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
18	<pre>employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(TBD)</pre>
19	(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
20	entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
21	employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing
22	education in a college credit-level program(TBD)
23	d. Number and percent of associate in science degree
24	and college-credit certificate program completers who left the
25	program and are found placed according to the following
26	<u>definitions:</u>
27	(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
28	wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
29	employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter(6,891, 57.9%)
30	(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
31	entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
	И1

1	employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education
2	in a college credit-level program(1,351,
3	<u>11.3%)</u>
4	(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in
5	Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military,
6	or continuing their education at the vocational certificate
7	level(1,661, 13.9%)
8	e. Number of targeted population associate in science
9	program completers who left the program and are found
10	placed-disaggregated by targeted populations:
11	(I) Wages clients(71)
12	(II) Economically disadvantaged(690)
13	(III) Limited English proficient(331)
14	(IV) Dislocated worker(259)
15	(V) Disabled individuals(274)
16	f. Number and percent of completers who are retained
17	in employment 1 year after found placed:
18	(I) Vocational certificate(TBD)
19	(II) Applied technology diploma(TBD)
20	(III) Associate in science degree(TBD)
21	2. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of vocational certificate program completers
23	(30,635)
24	b. Number of occupational completion points completed
25	in vocational certificate programs(TBD)
26	c. Number of occupational completion points achieved
27	in apprenticeship programs(4,031)
28	d. Number of program completers in associate in
29	science degree and college credit certificate programs
30	(12,045)
31	
	42
	_ - 2

1	e. Number of associate in science degrees granted
2	(9,338)
3	f. Number of occupational completion points completed
4	in applied technology diploma programs(TBD)
5	(b) For the Adult General Education Program, the
6	purpose of which is to respond to emerging local and statewide
7	economic development needs by providing adult general
8	education courses, outcome measures, output measures, and
9	associated performance standards with respect to funds
10	provided in Specific Appropriation 148 are as follows:
11	1. ADULT GENERAL EDUCATION OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Number and percent of adult basic education,
13	including English as a Second Language, literacy completion
14	point completers who left the program and are found according
15	to the following definitions:
16	(I) Level II - Found employed at \$3,900 or more per
17	quarter, or found continuing education at the adult secondary,
18	vocational certificate, or college-credit levels(TBD)
19	(II) Level I - Found in employment not included in
20	Level II or continuing education at the adult basic education
21	level(TBD)
22	b. Number of adult secondary education literacy
23	completion point completers who left the program and are found
24	placed according to the following definitions:
25	(I) Level II - Found employed at \$3,900 or more per
26	quarter, or found continuing education at the adult secondary,
27	vocational certificate, or college-credit levels(Adult High
28	School, 18,816; GED, 3,677)
29	(II) Level I - Found in employment not included in
30	Level II or continuing education at the adult basic education
31	level(Adult High
	13

1	School, 54,410; GED, 7,474)
2	2. ADULT GENERAL EDUCATION OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Number of literacy completion points completed in
4	Adult Basic Education and Adult Secondary Program(TBD)
5	b. Number of literacy completion points completed
6	disaggregated by targeted population (WAGES Clients,
7	Economically Disadvantaged, Limited English Proficient,
8	Dislocated Worker, Disabled Individuals) for Adult Basic,
9	Adult High School, and GED(TBD)
10	Section 39. The performance measures and standards
11	established in this section for individual programs in Human
12	Services agencies shall be applied to those programs for the
13	1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and
14	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
15	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as
16	required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act
17	of 1994.
18	(1) AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
19	(a) For the Medicaid Health Services Program, the
20	purpose of which is to provide timely primary medical care to
21	categorically eligible clients under the Supplemental Security
22	Income (SSI) program, the Temporary Assistance for Needy
23	Families (TANF) program, the Institutional Care Program (ICP),
24	and for those persons eligible under other provisions of
25	federal or state law, in order to prevent more critical health
26	care problems and to increase access to such care where access
27	is restricted, the outcome measures, output measures, and
28	associated performance standards with respect to funds
29	provided in Specific Appropriations 224-279 are as follows:
30	1. HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND
	1. Indian Barriodo 10 Indian Honari Indiano, India
31	WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES

SB 2502 First Engrossed

1	a. Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care
2	
3	b. Neonatal mortality rate(per 1,000)(4.86)
4	c. Percent of vaginal deliveries with no complications
5	(73.1%)
6	d. Average length of time between pregnancies for
7	those receiving family planning services (months)(37.4)
8	2. HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND
9	WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of women receiving prenatal care(137,130)
11	b. Number of vaginal deliveries(64,152)
12	c. Number of women receiving family planning services
13	(136,197)
14	3. HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percent of eligible children who received all
16	required components of EPSDT screen(64%)
17	b. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
18	preventable with good ambulatory care(7.53%)
19	c. Ratio of children hospitalized for mental health
20	care to those receiving mental health services(6.8%)
21	4. HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of children ages 1-20 enrolled in Medicaid
23	(1,119,745)
24	b. Number of children receiving mental health services
25	
26	c. Number of children receiving EPSDT services
27	(127,967)
28	d. Number of services by major type of service:
29	(I) Hospital inpatient services(39,828)
30	(II) Physician services(3,475,670)
31	(III) Prescribed drugs(2,875,949)
	45

1	5. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS
2	(NON-DISABLED) OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
4	preventable with good ambulatory care(13.3%)
5	6. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS
6	(NON-DISABLED) OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Percent of non-disabled adults receiving a service
8	(85%)
9	7. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS
10	OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
12	<pre>preventable with good ambulatory care(13.9%)</pre>
13	8. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS
14	OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Percent of enrolled disabled adults receiving a
16	service(88.6%)
17	9. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of hospital stays for elder recipients
19	exceeding length of stay criteria(26%)
20	b. Percent of elder recipients in long-term care who
21	improve or maintain activities of daily living (ADL)
22	functioning to those receiving mental health services(TBD)
23	10. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number enrolled in long term care waivers(9,766)
25	b. Number of elders receiving mental health care
26	(7,688)
27	c. Number of services by major type of service:
28	(I) Hospital inpatient services(89,048)
29	(II) Physician services(1,285,488)
30	(III) Prescribed drugs(8,337,539)
31	
	46

1	11. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTCOME
2	MEASURES
3	a. Percent of new recipients voluntarily selecting
4	managed care plan(75%)
5	b. Percent of programs with cost effectiveness
6	determined annually(5%)
7	12. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTPUT
8	MEASURES
9	a. Number of new provider applications(10,600)
10	b. Number of new enrollees provided choice counseling
11	(516,000)
12	c. Number of providers(68,276)
13	13. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTCOME
14	MEASURES
15	a. Average length of time between receipt of clean
16	claim and payment (days)(16)
17	b. Percent increase in dollars recovered annually.(5%)
18	c. Amount of recoveries(\$19,275,043)
19	d. Cost avoided because of identification of
20	third-party coverage:
21	(I) Commercial coverage(\$197,493,244)
22	(II) Medicare(\$694,234,790)
23	14. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTPUT
24	MEASURES
25	a. Number of claims received(96,398,352)
26	b. Number of claims processed(65,400,797)
27	c. Number of claims denied(30,997,555)
28	d. Number of fraud and abuse cases opened(3,776)
29	e. Number of fraud and abuse cases closed(4,683)
30	f. Number of referrals to the Medicaid Fraud Control
31	<pre>Unit/Attorney General's Office(175)</pre>
	47

1	(b) For the Health Services Quality Assurance Program,
2	the purpose of which is to ensure that all Floridians have
3	access to quality health care and services through the
4	licensure and certification of facilities, and in responding
5	to consumer complaints about facilities, services, and
6	practitioners, the outcome measures, output measures, and
7	associated performance standards with respect to funds
8	provided in Specific Appropriations 280-291 are as follows:
9	1. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
10	OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Percentage of Priority I practitioner
12	investigations resulting in emergency action(39%)
13	b. Average length of time (in days) to take emergency
14	action on Priority I practitioner investigations(60)
15	c. Percentage of cease and desist orders issued to
16	unlicensed practitioners in which another complaint of
17	unlicensed activity is subsequently filed against the same
18	<u>practitioner(7%)</u>
19	d. Percentage of licensed practitioners involved in:
20	(I) Serious incidents(.33%)
21	(II) Peer review discipline reports(.02%)
22	2. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
23	OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of complaints determined legally sufficient
25	(7,112)
26	b. Number of legally sufficient complaints resolved
27	by:
28	(I) Findings of no probable cause, including:
29	(A) Nolle prosse(680)
30	(B) Letters of guidance(491)
31	(C) Notice of noncompliance(35)
	48

- 1	(TT) Pindings of combable source includings
1	(II) Findings of probable cause, including:
2	(A) Issuance of citation for minor violations(34)
3	(B) Stipulations or informal hearings(662)
4	(C) Formal hearings(44)
5	c. Percentage of investigations completed by priority
6	within timeframe:
7	(I) Priority I - 45 days(100%)
8	(II) Priority II - 180 days(100%)
9	(III) Other - 180 days(100%)
10	d. Average number of practitioner complaint
11	investigations per FTE(87)
12	e. Number of inquiries to the call center regarding
13	practitioner licensure and disciplinary information(113,293)
14	3. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
15	CARE FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Percentage of investigations of alleged unlicensed
17	facilities and programs that have been previously issued a
18	cease and desist order and that are confirmed as repeated
19	unlicensed activity(7%)
20	b. Percentage of Priority I consumer complaints about
21	licensed facilities and programs that are investigated within
22	48 hours(100%)
23	c. Percentage of accredited hospitals and ambulatory
24	surgical centers cited for not complying with life safety,
25	licensure, or emergency access standards(TBD)
26	d. Percentage of accreditation validation surveys that
27	result in findings of licensure deficiencies(TBD)
28	e. Percentage of facilities in which deficiencies are
29	found that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, or
30	welfare of the public by type:
31	(I) Nursing Homes(5%)
	49
	4 7

1	(II) Assisted Living Facilities(5%)
2	(III) Home Health Agencies(TBD)
3	(IV) Clinical Laboratories(TBD)
4	(V) Ambulatory Surgical Centers(TBD)
5	(VI) Hospitals(TBD)
6	f. Percentage of failures by hospitals to report:
7	(I) Serious incidents (agency identified)(TBD)
8	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
9	<u>identified</u>)(TBD)
10	4. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
11	CARE FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of facility emergency actions taken(51)
13	b. Total number of full facility quality-of-care
14	surveys conducted and by type(6,171)
15	(I) Nursing Homes(815)
16	(II) Home Health Agencies(1,600)
17	(III) Assisted Living Facilities(1,282)
18	(IV) Clinical Laboratories(1,082)
19	(V) Hospitals(35)
20	(VI) Other(1,357)
21	c. Average processing time (in days) for statewide
22	<u>panel cases(259)</u>
23	d. Number of hospitals that the agency determine have
24	not reported:
25	(I) Serious incidents(agency identified)(TBD)
26	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
27	identified)(TBD)
28	5. HEALTH FACILITY PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW
29	OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number of plans and construction review performed
31	by type:
	50

1	(I) Nursing Homes(1,200)
2	(II) Hospitals(3,500)
3	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers(400)
4	b. Average number of hours for plans and construction
5	survey and review:
6	(I) Nursing Homes(35)
7	(II) Hospitals(35)
8	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers(35)
9	(2) DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES
10	(a) For the Florida Abuse Hotline Program, the purpose
11	of which is to serve as a central receiving and referral point
12	for all cases of suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation of
13	children, disabled adults, and the elderly, the outcome
14	measures, output measures, and associated performance
15	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
16	Appropriations 322-325 are as follows:
17	1. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
18	FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Percentage of abandoned calls made to the Florida
20	Abuse Hotline(2%)
21	2. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
22	FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Calls answered(303,332)
24	b. Percent of calls answered within three minutes
25	(98%)
26	(b) For the Aging and Adult Services Program, the
27	purpose of which is to protect adults and the elderly from
28	abuse, neglect, and exploitation, the outcome measures, output
29	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
30	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 334-341 are as
31	follows:
	51

1	1. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES AND FRAIL ELDERLY WHO ARE
2	VICTIMS OF ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR EXPLOITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Percent of protective supervision cases in which no
4	report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received
5	while the case is open (from beginning of protective
6	supervision for a maximum of 1 year(96%)
7	b. Percent of clients satisfied(90%)
8	2. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES AND FRAIL ELDERLY WHO ARE
9	VICTIMS OF ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR EXPLOITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of protective supervision cases in which no
11	report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received
12	while the case is open (from beginning of protective
13	supervision for a maximum of 1 year)(648)
14	b. Number of investigations(34,500)
15	c. Number of persons referred to other agencies
16	(1,700)
17	d. Number of persons receiving protective supervision
18	services(675)
19	e. Number of persons receiving placement and community
20	<u>support services(7,237)</u>
21	3. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
22	REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percent of adults with disabilities receiving
24	services who are not placed in a nursing home(99%)
25	b. Percent of clients satisfied(95%)
26	4. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
27	REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of adults with disabilities to be served:
29	(I) Community Care for Disabled Adults(1,051)
30	(II) Home Care for Disabled Adults(1,428)
31	(III) Number of Medicaid waiver clients served.(3,760)
	5.2

1	(c) For the People with Mental Health and Substance
2	Abuse Problems Program, the purpose of which is to enable
3	adults with mental health problems to function
4	self-sufficiently in the community, enable children with
5	mental health problems to function appropriately and succeed
6	in school, and enable children and adults with or at serious
7	risk of substance abuse problems to be self-sufficient and
8	addiction free, the outcome measures, output measures, and
9	associated performance standards with respect to funds
10	provided in Specific Appropriations 342-356 are as follows:
11	1. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
12	OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percent of children restored to competency and
14	recommended to proceed with a judicial hearing:
15	(I) With mental illness(90%)
16	(II) With mental retardation(54%)
17	b. Percent of community partners satisfied based upon
18	<u>a survey(90%)</u>
19	c. Percent of children with mental illness restored to
20	competency or determined unrestorable in less than 180 days
21	(80%)
22	d. Percent of children with mental retardation
23	restored to competency or determined unrestorable in less than
24	365 days(80%)
25	e. Percent of children returned to court for a
26	competency hearing and the court concurs with the
27	recommendation of the provider(95%)
28	2. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
29	OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number served who are incompetent to proceed(224)
31	
	53

1	b. Number of days following the determination by the
2	mental health service provider of restoration of competency or
3	unrestorability of competency to the date of the court hearing
4	on the determination of competency(TBD)
5	3. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED)
6	OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Projected annual days SED children (excluding those
8	in juvenile justice facilities) spend in the community(338)
9	b. Average functional level score SED children will
10	have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
11	
	functioning score(49)
12	c. Percent of families satisfied with the services
13	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale
14	(83%)
15	d. Percent of available school days SED children
16	attended during the last 30 days(85%)
17	e. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Juvenile
18	Justice(TBD)
19	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a
20	survey(90%)
21	g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition
22	or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving
23	the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional
24	disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD)
25	4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED)
26	OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. SED children to be served(22,104)
28	b. Total average expenditures for services per client
29	(includes Medicaid services)(TBD)
30	5. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTCOME
31	MEASURES
	5.4

1	a. Projected annual days ED children (excluding those
2	in juvenile justice facilities) spent in the community(350)
3	b. Average functional level score ED children will
4	have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
5	Functioning scale(55)
6	c. Percent of available school days ED children attend
7	during the last 30 days(87%)
8	d. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Juvenile
9	Justice(TBD)
10	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a
11	survey(90%)
12	f. Percent of families satisfied with the services
13	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale
14	(85%)
15	g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition
16	or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving
17	the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional
18	disturbance recorded in the initial assessment(TBD)
19	6. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTPUT
20	MEASURES
21	a. Number of ED children to be served(13,101)
22	b. Total average expenditures for services per client
23	(includes Medicaid services)(TBD)
24	7. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTCOME
25	MEASURES
26	a. Percent of families satisfied with the services
27	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale
28	(90%)
29	8. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTPUT
30	MEASURES
31	a. Number of at-risk children to be served(10,390)
	55

1	9. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME
2	MEASURES
3	a. Percent of children who complete treatment(72%)
4	b. Percent of parents of children receiving services
5	reporting average or above average level of satisfaction on
6	Family Centered Behavior Scale(95%)
7	c. Percent of children drug free at 6 months following
8	<pre>completion of treatment(TBD)</pre>
9	d. Percent of children receiving services who are
10	satisfied based on survey(90%)
11	e. Percent of parents of children receiving services
12	reporting average or above level of satisfaction on the Family
13	Centered Behavior scale(95%)
14	f. Percent of children under the supervision of the
15	state receiving substance abuse treatment who are not
16	committed or recommitted to the Department of Juvenile Justice
17	during the 12 months following treatment completion(85%)
18	g. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
19	survey(90%)
20	10. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
21	MEASURES
22	a. Number of children served(62,979)
23	b. Number of children completing treatment(4,500)
24	11. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTCOME
25	MEASURES
26	a. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
27	who achieve expected level of improvement in reading(75%)
28	b. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
29	who achieve expected level of improvement in math(75%)
30	c. Percent of children who receive targeted prevention
31	services who are not admitted to substance abuse services
	56

1	during the 12 months after completion of prevention services
2	(96%)
3	d. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
4	who perceive substance use to be harmful at the time of
5	discharge when compared to admission(76%)
6	12. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTPUT
7	MEASURES
8	a. Number of children served in targeted prevention
9	(6,233)
10	13. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME
11	MEASURES
12	a. Percent drug free at 6 months following completion
13	of treatment(TBD)
14	b. Percent of adults employed upon discharge from
15	treatment services(61%)
16	c. Percent of adult women pregnant during treatment
17	who give birth to substance-free newborns(89%)
18	d. Average score on the Behavioral Healthcare Rating
19	of Satisfaction(138)
20	e. Percentage of individuals in protective supervision
21	who have case plans requiring substance abuse treatment who
22	are receiving treatment(TBD)
23	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
24	surveys(90%)
25	14. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
26	MEASURES
27	a. Number of adults served(120,287)
28	b. Number of clients who complete treatment(TBD)
29	c. Number of individuals in protective supervision who
30	have case plans requiring substance abuse treatment who are
31	receiving treatment(TBD)
	57
21	

1	15. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS
2	IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Average annual number of days spent in the
4	community (not in institutions or other facilities)(340)
5	b. Average functional level based on Global Assessment
6	of Functioning score(49)
7	c. Average client satisfaction score on the Behavioral
8	Healthcare Rating Scale(130)
9	d. Average annual days worked for pay(30)
10	e. Total average monthly income in last 30 days.(\$530)
11	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
12	survey(90%)
13	g. Increase family satisfaction(TBD)
14	h. Percentage of clients who worked during the year
15	(TBD)
16	16. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS
17	IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of adults with a serious and persistent
19	mental illness in the community served(36,312)
20	17. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Average Global Assessment of Functioning scale
22	<u>change score(17)</u>
23	b. Percent readmitted within 30 days(0%)
24	c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
25	survey(90%)
26	d. Increase family satisfaction(TBD)
27	18. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of adults in mental health crisis served
29	(20,863)
30	19. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTCOME
31	MEASURES
	58

1	a. Average functional level based on Global Assessment
2	of Functioning score(47)
3	b. Percent of persons who violate their conditional
4	release under chapter 916, Florida Statutes, and are
5	recommitted(4%)
6	c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
7	survey(90%)
8	d. Average annual number of days spent in the
9	<pre>community (not in institutions or other facilities)(325)</pre>
10	20. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of adults with forensic involvement served
13	(5,845)
14	(d) For the Families in Need of Child Care Programs,
15	the purpose of which is to allow parents to prevent the
16	reoccurrence of abuse or neglect, to obtain and retain
17	employment, to prepare children to enter school ready to
18	learn, and to protect children and adults from abuse, the
19	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
20	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
21	Appropriations 357-375 are as follows:
22	1. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percent of 4-year-old children placed with
24	contracted providers in care for 9 months who enter
25	Kindergarten ready to learn as determined by DOE or local
26	school systems' readiness assessment(80%)
27	b. Percent of non-WAGES, working poor clients who need
28	child care that receive subsidized child care services:
29	(I) ages 0 - 5(92%)
30	(II) school age(41.5%)
31	(III) all kids(63%)
	59

1	c. Percent of WAGES clients who need child care that
2	receive subsidized child care services(100%)
3	d. Percent of licensed child care providers who are
4	satisfied with the licensing process(93%)
5	e. Percent of licensed child care facilities and homes
6	with no class 1 (serious) violations during their licensure
7	year(97%)
8	f. Number of provisional licenses as a result of
9	noncompliance with child care standards(375)
10	g. Number of verified incidents of abuse and/or
11	neglect in licensed child care arrangements(62)
12	h. Percent of clients receiving subsidized child care
13	services who are satisfied(95%)
14	2. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTPUTS MEASURES
15	a. Total number served:(134,009)
16	(I) At Risk(13,250)
17	(II) Working Poor(53,739)
18	(III) Migrants(2,880)
19	(IV) WAGES/Transitional Child Care(64,140)
20	3. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
21	RISK OF ABUSE OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Percent of children in families who complete
23	intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 months or more
24	who are not abused or neglected within:
25	(I) 6 months of program completion(95%)
26	(II) 12 months of program completion(95%)
27	(III) 18 months of program completion(95%)
28	b. Percent of families receiving parent education and
29	other parent skill building services, lasting 6 weeks or
30	longer, who show improved family skills and capacity to care
31	for their children(TBD)
	60

SB 2502 First Engrossed

1	c. Percent of clients satisfied(95%)
2	4. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
3	RISK OF ABUSE OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of persons served(153,005)
5	b. Number receiving information and referral services
6	(61,287)
7	5. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
8	FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES
9	a. Percent of children who have no findings of child
10	maltreatment within 1 year of case closure from services.(95%)
11	b. Percent of children reunified with family who
12	return to foster care within one year of case closure(3%)
13	c. Percent of children not abused or neglected during
14	services(97%)
15	d. Percent of clients satisfied(95%)
16	e. Percent of families receiving ongoing services who
17	show improved scores on the Child Well-Being Scales(TBD)
18	f. Percent of children given exit interviews who were
19	satisfied with their foster care placement(TBD)
20	g. Percent of families under 18 years who have no
21	finding of maltreatment during each 12 month period(TBD)
22	6. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
23	FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Reports of child abuse/neglect(126,735)
25	b. Children identified as abused/neglected during year
26	(75,000)
27	c. Number of families served by Intensive Crisis
28	Counseling Program, Family Builders(6,767)
29	d. Number of families served by Protective Supervision
30	(26,436)
31	e. Number of children served in foster care(16,313)
	61
	<u> </u>

SB 2502 First Engrossed

1	f. Number of children served in relative care(8,126)
2	g. Percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours
3	(100%)
4	h. Percent of investigations completed within 30 days
5	(100%)
6	i. Percent of children who exited out-of-home care by
7	the 15th month(TBD)
8	j. Percent of cases reviewed by supervisors in
9	accordance with department timeframes for early warning system
10	(TBD)
11	k. Number of individuals under the department's
12	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
13	abuse treatment who are receiving treatment(TBD)
14	1. Percent of individuals under the department's
15	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
16	abuse treatment who are receiving treatment(TBD)
17	m. Ratio of certified workers to children(TBD)
18	7. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Ratio of incidents reported resulting in injury or
20	harm to clients as a result of inadequate security procedures
21	per 1,000 shelter days(0)
22	b. Percent of clients satisfied(95%)
23	8. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of individuals receiving case management
25	services(21,270)
26	b. Number of children counseled(20,340)
27	c. Number of adults counseled(108,442)
28	d. Percent of adult and child victims in shelter more
29	than 72 hours having a plan for family safety and security
30	when they leave shelter(100%)
31	
	62
	02

1	e. Number of individuals served in emergency shelters
2	(15,775)
3	9. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
4	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Percent of children who are adopted of the number
6	of children legally available for adoption(90%)
7	b. Percent of clients satisfied(95%)
8	10. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
9	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Children receiving adoptive services(4,454)
11	b. Children receiving subsidies(12,454)
12	c. Number of children placed in adoption(1,900)
13	(e) For the People with Developmental Disabilities
14	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
15	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
16	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
17	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
18	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
19	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 376-390 are as
20	follows:
21	1. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Percent of people at or above the national standard
23	on quality of life outcomes(50%)
24	b. Percent of adults living in homes of their own
25	(18.5%)
26	c. Percent of people who are employed in integrated
27	settings(27.5%)
28	d. Percent of clients satisfied with services(95%)
29	2. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Children and adults provided case management
31	(28,664)
	63
	03

1	b. Children and adults provided residential care
2	(4,907)
3	c. Children and adults provided individualized
4	supports and services(28,664)
5	(f) For the Economic Self-Sufficiency Program, the
6	purpose of which is to help people become economically
7	self-sufficient through programs such as Food Assistance, Work
8	and Gain Economic Self-Sufficiency (WAGES), Refugee
9	Assistance, and Medicaid eligibility services, including
10	disability determination eligibility, the outcome measures,
11	output measures, and associated performance standards with
12	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 391-404
13	are as follows:
14	1. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
15	BECOME EMPLOYED OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Percentage of applications processed within time
17	standards (total)(100%)
18	b. Percentage of Food Stamp applications processed
19	within 30 days(100%)
20	c. Percentage of cash assistance applications
21	processed within 45 days(100%)
22	d. Percentage of Medicaid applications processed
23	within 45 days(100%)
24	e. Percentage of disabled adult payment applications
25	processed within 90 days(100%)
26	f. Percentage of Food Stamp benefits determined
27	accurately(90.70%)
28	g. Percentage of WAGES cash assistance benefits
29	determined accurately(93.89%)
30	h. Percentage of Medicaid benefits determined
31	accurately(100%)
	64

1	i. Percentage of Benefit Recovery claims established
2	within 90 days(100%)
3	j. Percentage of dollars collected for established
4	Benefit Recovery claims(50%)
5	k. Percentage of suspected fraud cases referred that
6	result in Front-end Fraud Prevention savings(70%)
7	1. Percentage of WAGES sanctions referred by the local
8	WAGES coalitions that are executed within 10 days(100%)
9	m. Percentage of work eligible WAGES participants
10	accurately referred to the local WAGES coalitions within 1
11	work day(100%)
12	n. Percentage of Refugee Assistance cases accurately
13	closed at 8 months or less(100%)
14	o. Percentage of clients satisfied with eligibility
15	services:
16	p. Percentage of WAGES coalitions clients employed
17	(41%)
18	2. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
19	BECOME EMPLOYED OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Total number of applications(2,575,690)
21	b. Dollars collected through Benefit Recovery
22	(\$21,000,000)
23	c. Number of Front-end Fraud Prevention investigations
24	completed(25,200)
25	d. Dollars saved through Front-end Fraud Prevention
26	(\$17,900,000)
27	e. Number of WAGES participants referred to the local
28	WAGES coalitions(125,000)
29	f. Number of refugee cases closed(5,600)
30	g. Number of WAGES coalitions clients employed
31	(51,000)
	65
	CO

1	h. Cost per WAGES client employed(\$1,800)
2	(g) For the Mental Health Institutions Program, the
3	purpose of which is to prepare adults with mental health
4	problems to function self-sufficiently in the community, the
5	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
6	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
7	Appropriations 413-419 are as follows:
8	1. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
9	a. Percent of patients who improve mental health based
10	on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale(59%)
11	b. Average scores on a community readiness/ability
12	<u>survey(TBD)</u>
13	c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents
14	in each mental health institution(26)
15	d. Percent of patients satisfied based on survey.(90%)
16	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
17	<u>survey(TBD)</u>
18	f. Percent of people served who are discharged to the
19	community(50%)
20	g. Percent of patients readmitted(TBD)
21	h. Percent of residents who meet readiness for
22	discharge criteria between 6 months and 12 months after
23	admission(TBD)
24	2. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or proposed
26	confirmed(TBD)
27	b. Number of adults in civil commitment (institutions)
28	served(2,826)
29	c. Number of people served who are discharged to the
30	community(TBD)
31	
	66

1	d. Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from
2	mental health hospitals(TBD)
3	3. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Percent of residents who improve mental health
5	based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale(77%)
6	b. Average number of days to restore competency(167)
7	c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents
8	in each mental health institution(10)
9	d. Percent of residents satisfied based on survey
10	(80%)
11	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
12	survey(TBD)
13	f. Percent of residents restored to competency and
14	ready for discharge within 6 months after admission(TBD)
15	g. Percent of residents restored to competency and
16	ready for discharge between 6 and 12 months after admission
17	(TBD)
18	4. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number served(1,691)
20	b. Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or proposed
21	confirmed(TBD)
22	c. Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from
23	mental health hospitals(TBD)
24	(h) For the Developmental Services Institutions
25	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
26	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
27	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
28	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
29	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
30	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 420-425 are as
31	follows:
	67
	U /

1	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Annual number of significant reportable incidents
3	per 100 persons with developmental disabilities living in
4	developmental services institutions(26)
5	b. Statewide average on Conroy Quality of Life
6	Protocol for residents in developmental services institutions
7	(61%)
8	c. Percent of people discharged as planned(100%)
9	d. Percent of clients satisfied with services(95%)
10	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Adults receiving services in developmental services
12	institutions(1,419)
13	b. Adults incompetent to proceed provided competency
14	training and custodial care in the Mentally Retarded
15	Defendants Program(156)
16	(3) Department of Elderly Affairs
17	(a) For the program entitled, Service to Elders
18	Program, the purpose of which is to assist elders to live in
19	the least restrictive and most appropriate community settings
20	and maintain independence, the outcome measures, output
21	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
22	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 426-443 are as
23	follows:
24	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Percentage of elders CARES determined to be
26	eligible for nursing home placement who are diverted(15.1%)
27	b. Percentage of high-risk Adult Protective Services
28	referrals served(TBD)
29	c. Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals served
30	(95%)
31	
	68

1	d. Satisfaction with the quality and delivery of home
2	and community-based care for service recipients is equal or
3	greater than previous periods(TBD)
4	e. Cost of home and community-based care (including
5	non-DOEA programs) is less than nursing home care for
6	comparable client groups(TBD)
7	f. Percentage of Community Care for the Elderly
8	clients defined as "probable Medicaid eligibles" who remain in
9	state-funded programs(13.50%)
10	g. Percentage of elders assessed with high or moderate
11	risk environments who improved their environment score(83%)
12	h. Percentage of elders assessed with a high social
13	isolation score who have improved in receiving services(53%)
14	i. Percentage of new service recipients with high-risk
15	nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved(TBD)
16	j. Percentage of new service recipients whose ADL
17	assessment score has been maintained or improved(TBD)
18	k. Percentage of new service recipients whose IADL
19	assessment score has been maintained or improved(TBD)
20	1. Percentage of caregivers assessed who self-report
21	very likely of continuing to provide care(93%)
22	m. Percentage of caregivers assessed at risk who
23	self-report they are very likely of continuing to provide care
24	(TBD)
25	n. Number of people placed in jobs after participating
26	in the Older Worker Program(TBD)
27	o. Average wage at placement for people in the Older
28	Worker Program(TBD)
29	p. Percentage of new service recipients (congregate
30	meal sites) whose nutritional status has been maintained or
31	<pre>improved(TBD)</pre>
	69

1	q. Percentage of Elder Helplines with an excellent
2	rating on the Elder Helpline evaluation assessment(TBD)
3	r. Percentage of people who rate the Memory Disorder
4	Clinic assessment conference as very helpful(TBD)
5	s. Percent of clients satisfied with the quality of
6	insurance counseling and information received(TBD)
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Total number of CARES assessments(77,410)
9	b. Number and percentage of elders who enter DOEA
10	service programs each year with a risk score above the
11	1997-1998 average(2,481; 45%)
12	c. Number and percentage of elders who enter DOEA
13	service programs each year with a frailty level above the
14	1997-1998 average(8,954; 45%)
15	d. Percentage of copayment goal collected(100%)
16	e. Number of caregivers assessed(11,806)
17	f. Number of people trained in Older Workers Program
18	(TBD)
19	g. Number of new congregate meal service recipients
20	(assessed)(TBD)
21	h. Number of people evaluated for memory loss by
22	Memory Disorder Clinics(TBD)
23	i. Number of volunteer hours(TBD)
24	j. Number of volunteers(TBD)
25	k. Number of people served(127,589)
26	Section 40. The performance measures and standards
27	established in this section for individual programs in Public
28	Safety and Judiciary agencies shall be applied to those
29	programs for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance
30	measures and standards are directly linked to the
31	appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for
	70
	/ 0

1	Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as required by the Government
2	Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
3	(1) DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
4	(a) For the Custody and Control Program, the purpose
5	of which is to protect the public and provide a safe secure
6	environment for incarcerated offenders and the staff
7	maintaining custody of them by applying effective physical
8	security methods and procedures and providing accurate risk
9	assessment and classification of inmates and adequate
10	nutrition and facility maintenance, the outcome measures,
11	output measures, and associated performance standards with
12	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 566-578B
13	are as follows:
14	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of
16	major institutions(0)
17	b. Percentage of inmates who did not escape when
18	assigned outside a secure perimeter(99.9%)
19	c. Number of inmate-on-inmate physical assaults on one
20	or more persons(1,540)
21	d. Number of inmate-on-staff physical assaults on one
22	or more persons(592)
23	e. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
24	<u>inmates(900)</u>
25	f. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary
26	reports per 1,000 inmates(375)
27	g. Percentage of random inmate drug tests that are
28	negative(98.5%)
29	h. Total number and percentage of inmate random drug
30	tests that are positive(1,381/1.5%)
31	
	71
	· ÷

1	i. Number of verbal assaults by inmates, including
2	written threats(Data Pending)
3	j. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
4	reports written for minimum custody inmates(5,000/500/5,500)
5	k. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
6	reports written for medium custody inmates.(7,500/1,000/8,500)
7	1. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
8	reports written for close custody inmates
9	(40,000/10,000/50,000)
10	m. Total number of major minor and total disciplinary
11	reports written for maximum custody inmates(150/25/175)
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Percent of time served by inmates sentenced for
14	crimes committed prior to October 1, 1995(69.0%)
15	b. Percent of time served by inmates sentenced for
16	crimes committed on or after October 1, 1995(89.0%)
17	c. Average daily inmate population in adult male major
18	<u>institutions(53,301)</u>
19	d. Average daily inmate population in adult and youth
20	female major institutions(3,441)
21	e. Average daily inmate population in adult and youth
22	male institutions(2,555)
23	f. Average daily inmate population in adult male work
24	camps(7,333)
25	g. Average daily inmate population in youth male work
26	camps(543)
27	h. Average daily inmate population in adult male
28	forestry camps(307)
29	i. Average daily inmate population in adult female
30	forestry camps(282)
31	
	72
	12

1	j. Average daily inmate population in adult male road
2	prisons(407)
3	k. Percentage of adult male inmates in minimum custody
4	(19%)
5	l. Percentage of adult male inmates in medium custody
6	(30%)
7	m. Percentage of adult male inmates in close custody
8	(45%)
9	n. Percentage of adult male inmates in maximum custody
10	(less than 1%)
11	o. Percentage of adult female inmates in minimum
12	custody(50%)
13	p. Percentage of adult female inmates in medium
14	custody(25%)
15	q. Percentage of adult female inmates in close custody
16	(15%)
17	r. Percentage of adult female inmates in maximum
18	custody(less than
19	1%)
20	s. Percentage of youth male inmates in minimum custody
21	(20%)
22	t. Percentage of youth male inmates in medium custody
23	(40%)
24	u. Percentage of youth male inmates in close custody
25	(30%)
26	v. Percentage of youth male inmates in maximum custody
27	(less than 1%)
28	w. Percentage of youth female inmates in minimum
29	custody(30%)
30	x. Percentage of youth female inmates in medium
31	custody(35%)
	73

SB 2502 First Engrossed

1	y. Percentage of youth female inmates in close custody
2	
3	z. Percentage of youth female inmates in maximum
4	custody(0%)
5	aa. Per diem rate for adult male major institutions
6	(\$47.45)
7	bb. Per diem rate for adult and youthful female major
8	institutions(\$72.14)
9	cc. Per diem rate for youthful male major institutions
10	(\$58.32)
11	dd. Total number of beds on-line and operational in
12	the system on June 30 annually(77,128)
13	ee. Total number of beds occupied by inmates on June
14	30 annually(75,538)
15	ff. Total number of beds constructed but not funded
16	for operation on June 30(1,590)
17	gg. Total number of beds under construction on June 30
18	(6,874)
19	hh. Percentage of entry level correctional officers
20	with less than 3 years experience(data pending)
21	ii. Percentage of entry level correctional officers in
22	training status(TBD)
23	jj. Number of verbal assaults by inmates, including
24	written threats(TBD)
25	kk. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
26	- Region I(TBD)
27	ll. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
28	- Region II(TBD)
29	mm. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
30	- Region III(TBD)
31	
	74

1	nn. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
2	- Region IV(TBD)
3	oo. Percentage of shifts worked at critical complement
4	- Region V(TBD)
5	pp. Average daily cost per inmate for food products
6	(\$2.17)
7	qq. Total number of announced facility security audits
8	conducted by DOC(28)
9	rr. Total number of unannounced facility security
10	audits conducted by DOC(26)
11	ss. Number of drug instructions conducted(55)
12	tt. Number of criminal investigations conducted(390)
13	uu. Number of management reviews conducted - OSHA, air
14	quality, fire safety, investigations, etc(60)
15	vv. Total dollars in sales from canteens and vending
16	machines(\$37,500,000)
17	ww. Total net profits from sales in canteens and
18	<u>vending machines(\$15,043,500)</u>
19	xx. Total dollars deposited in Inmate Welfare Trust
20	Fund from telephone commissions(\$13,500,000)
21	(b) For the Health Services Program, the purpose of
22	which is to protect the public and maintain a humane
23	environment in correctional institutions for incarcerated
24	offenders and the staff maintaining custody of them by
25	applying effective basic health care treatment to inmates, the
26	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
27	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
28	Appropriations 600-603 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Total number of health care grievances upheld.
31	b. Percentage of health care grievances upheld.
	75

1	c. Number of suicides per 1,000 inmates within DOC
2	compared to the national average for correctional
3	facilities/institutions(.06%/National
4	average not available)
5	d. Average price per inmate per month for health care
6	(305)
7	e. Total dollar amount of inmate medical co-payments
8	collected(\$425,000)
9	f. Comparison of average daily cost of hospital stays
10	within DOC compared to HMO average, statewide average, and
11	Medicaid(2,190/3,279/HMO not
12	available/Medicaid not available)
13	g. Average length of community hospital stays for
14	<pre>emergency admissions(4.5)</pre>
15	h. Average length of community hospital stays for
16	nonemergency admissions(4.2)
17	i. Annual percentage increase in expenditure rate per
18	inmate compared to the health-related component of the
19	Consumer Price Index(2.9%/3.5%)
20	j. Total number of inpatient/inmate community hospital
21	days for emergency admissions(6,200)
22	k. Total number of inpatient/inmate community hospital
23	days for nonemergency admissions(2,500)
24	1. Number of Level One physical health related
25	deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were
26	noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits
27	m. Number of Level One mental health related
28	deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were
29	noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits
30	
31	
	76
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	n. Number of Level Two physical health-related
2	deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were
3	noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits
4	o. Number of Level Two mental health-related
5	deficiencies cited by Correctional Medical Authority that were
6	noted as corrected on follow-up correction action visits
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Annual cost of HIV/AIDS treated in prisons
9	(\$20,815,460)
10	b. Annual cost of cardiac illnesses treated in prisons
11	(\$5,204,636)
12	c. Annual cost of cancer treated in prisons
13	(\$2,935,548)
14	d. Total number of inmates with HIV/AIDS treated in
15	prisons(2,400)
16	e. Total number of inmates with cardiac illnesses
17	treated in prisons(1,050)
18	f. Total number of inmates with cancer treated in
19	prisons(810)
20	g. Number of inmates classified as Mental Health Level
21	<u>S-III(6,500)</u>
22	h. Number of inmates classified as Mental Health Level
23	<u>S-IV(230)</u>
24	i. Number of inmates classified as Mental Health Level
25	<u>S-V(200)</u>
26	j. Number and percentage of inmates treated with
27	psychotropic drugs(6,000/8%)
28	k. Average monthly cost of prescription drugs
29	dispensed(\$2,400,000)
30	1. Average monthly cost of nonprescription drugs
31	dispensed(\$30,000)
	77

1	m. Average monthly number of inmate/offender drug
2	prescriptions written(155,000)
3	n. Comparison of average daily cost of inmate health
4	care within DOC to Medicaid and commercial HMOs
5	(\$10.40/Medicaid not available/HMO not
6	available)
7	o. Average daily cost of inmate health care within DOC
8	for inmates 65 years of age compared to Medicare population
9	(TBD)
10	p. Average number of inpatient community hospital days
11	per 1,000 inmates(150)
12	q. Average number of inpatient community hospital days
13	per 1,000 inmates of DOC population compared to Medicaid and
14	commercial HMO population(150/Medicaid not available/HMO not
15	<u>available)</u>
16	r. Number of medical providers and visits per medical
17	<u>provider per month(640/130)</u>
18	s. Number of dentists and dental procedures per day
19	per dental provider(27/80)
20	t. Average daily number of inmate sick call visits
21	(TBD)
22	u. Total number of community emergency room visits per
23	1,000 inmates(38)
24	v. Total number of inmate ambulatory surgeries in
25	<pre>community facilities per 1,000 inmates(23)</pre>
26	(c) For the Community Corrections Program the purpose
27	of which is to assist sentenced felony offenders to become
28	productive law abiding citizens by applying supervision in the
29	community to hold offenders accountable to the conditions of
30	their supervision and to detect violations of those conditions
31	and make apprehensions when violations or new crimes occur,
	78

1	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
2	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
3	Appropriations 579-589 are as follows:
4	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded within
6	<u>2 years(3,544/4.1%)</u>
7	b. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
8	<pre>supervision revoked within 2 years(33,204/37.0%)</pre>
9	c. Number/percentage of offenders who did not
LO	participate in or did not complete programs(3,392/4.4%)
L1	d. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
L2	supervision revoked who did not participate in or did not
L3	complete programs(31,363/40.3%)
L4	e. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
L5	completed a secure residential drug treatment program.(0/0.0%)
L6	f. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
L7	supervision revoked who completed a secure residential drug
L8	treatment program(41/19.0%)
L9	(31,363/40.3%)
20	g. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
21	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program
22	(43/2.6%)
23	h. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
24	supervision revoked who completed a nonsecure residential drug
25	treatment program(513/30.6%)
26	i. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
27	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program(97/1.5%)
28	j. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
29	supervision revoked who completed a nonresidential drug
30	treatment program(1,163/18.1%)
31	
	79

1	k. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
2	completed a program at a Probation and Restitution Center
3	(12/2.8%)
4	1. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
5	supervision revoked who completed a program at a Probation and
6	Restitution Center(124/28.7%)
7	m. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
8	completed supervision/work release, but are subsequently
9	recommitted to prison for committing a new crime within 2
10	years(497/1.2%)
11	n. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
12	completed supervision/work release, but are subsequently
13	recommitted to supervision for committing a new crime within 2
14	years(2,354/5.8%)
15	o. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
16	completed a secure residential drug treatment program, but are
17	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
18	within 2 years(2/3.3%)
19	p. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
20	completed a secure residential drug treatment program, but are
21	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
22	crime within 2 years(8/13.3%)
23	q. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
24	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program, but
25	are subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new
26	crime within 2 years(10/2.6%)
27	r. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
28	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program, but
29	are subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a
30	new crime within 2 years(41/10.6%)
31	
	80
	UU

1	t. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
2	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program, but are
3	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
4	within 2 years(27/0.9%)
5	u. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
6	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program, but are
7	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
8	<pre>crime within 2 years(171/5.7%)</pre>
9	v. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
10	completed a probation and restitution center program, but are
11	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
12	within 2 years(1/1.1%)
13	w. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
14	completed a probation and restitution center program, but are
15	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
16	<pre>crime within 2 years(8/8.6%)</pre>
17	x. Number/percentage of offenders supervised in the
18	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
19	programs and the percentage of those that participate in
20	educational and/or vocational programs(1,874/95.3%)
21	\underline{y} . Number/percentage of offenders supervised in the
22	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
23	programs and the percentage of those that participate in drug
24	treatment programs(34,142/81.7%)
25	z. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
26	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
27	department standard for administrative supervision(TBD)
28	aa. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
29	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
30	department standard for basic supervision(TBD)
31	
	81

1	bb. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
2	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
3	department standard for enhanced risk supervision(TBD)
4	cc. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
5	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
6	department standard for intensive risk supervision(TBD)
7	dd. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
8	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
9	department standard for close risk supervision(TBD)
10	ee. Number and percentage of officers meeting their
11	obligation in the number of contacts required by the
12	department standard for community control(TBD)
13	ff. Number and percentage of offenders whose
14	supervision was terminated normally, by court order, or early
15	2 years after the period of supervision was imposed
16	(28,105/32.5%)
17	gg. Number and percentage of offenders whose
18	supervision is still active 2 years after the period of
19	supervision was imposed(21,729/25.1%)
20	hh. Number and percentage of nonparticipating and
21	noncompleting offenders whose supervision was terminated
22	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
23	<pre>supervision was imposed(24,563/31.6%)</pre>
24	ii. Number and percentage of nonparticipating and
25	noncompleting offenders whose supervision is still active 2
26	years after the period of supervision was imposed
27	(18,530/23.8%)
28	jj. Number and percentage of secure residential drug
29	treatment completers whose supervision was terminated
30	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
31	<pre>supervision was imposed(52/24.1%)</pre>
	82
	UZ

1	kk. Number and percentage of secure residential drug
2	treatment completers whose supervision is still active 2 years
3	after the period of supervision was imposed(123/56.9%)
4	11. Number and percentage of nonsecure residential
5	drug treatment completers whose supervision was terminated
6	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
7	supervision was imposed(417/24.9%)
8	mm. Number and percentage of nonsecure residential
9	drug treatment completers whose supervision is still active 2
10	years after the period of supervision was imposed(701/41.9%)
11	nn. Number and percentage of nonresidential drug
12	treatment completers whose supervision was terminated
13	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
14	supervision was imposed(2,970/46.3%)
15	oo. Number and percentage of nonresidential drug
16	treatment completers whose supervision is still active 2 years
17	after the period of supervision was imposed(2,185/34.1%)
18	pp. Number and percentage of probation and restitution
19	center program completers whose supervision was terminated
20	normally, by court order, or early 2 years after the period of
21	<pre>supervision was imposed(106/24.5%)</pre>
22	qq. Number and percentage of probation and restitution
23	center program completers whose supervision is still active 2
24	years after the period of supervision was imposed(190/44.0%)
25	rr. Percentage of offenders supervised in the
26	community who are employable and the percentage of those who
27	<pre>are employed(TBD)</pre>
28	ss. Percentage of offenders supervised in the
29	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
30	educational and/or vocational programs and the percentage of
31	those who participate(1,874/95.3%)
	0.2

1	tt. Percentage of offenders supervised in the
2	community who are ordered by the court to participate in drug
3	treatment programs and the percentage of those who participate
4	(34,142/81.7%)
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
7	in the community on administrative supervision compared to the
8	department standard(0.1/0.0)
9	b. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
10	in the community on basic risk supervision compared to the
11	department standard(1.1/1.0)
12	c. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
13	in the community on enhanced risk supervision compared to the
14	department standard(1.5/1.5)
15	d. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
16	in the community on intensive risk supervision compared to the
17	department standard(1.8/2.0)
18	e. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
19	in the community on close risk supervision compared to the
20	department standard(2.4/3.0)
21	f. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
22	in the community on community control compared to the
23	department standard(6.3/8.0)
24	g. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
25	on community supervision only by DOC for restitution
26	(\$27,432,748)
27	h. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
28	on community supervision only by DOC for other court-ordered
29	costs(\$13,129,604)
30	
31	
	84

1	i. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
2	on community supervision only by DOC for costs of supervision
3	(\$23,592,056)
4	j. Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from
5	offenders/inmates in community correctional centers
6	(\$7,835,742)
7	k. Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from
8	offenders/inmates in probation and restitution centers
9	(\$571,560)
10	1. Annual number of nondiscretionary pretrial
11	<pre>intervention preliminary investigations conducted(9,376)</pre>
12	m. Annual number of nondiscretionary pretrial
13	intervention background investigations(9,949)
14	n. Annual number of nondiscretionary presentence
15	<u>investigations(9,333)</u>
16	o. Annual number of nondiscretionary sentencing
17	guidelines scoresheet investigations(39,696)
18	p. Annual number of nondiscretionary pre-plea
19	investigations(568)
20	q. Annual number of nondiscretionary prison
21	post-sentence investigations(7,505)
22	r. Annual number of nondiscretionary security
23	investigations(256)
24	s. Average monthly active adult male population of
25	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
26	including Administrative(54,604)
27	t. Average monthly active adult female population of
28	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
29	including Administrative, including Administrative(16,797)
30	
31	
	85

1	u. Average monthly active youth male population of
2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
3	including Administrative(16,866)
4	v. Average monthly active adult female population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on probation,
6	including Administrative(3,695)
7	w. Average monthly active adult male population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
9	probation(6,640)
10	x. Average monthly active adult female population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
12	<u>probation(1,948)</u>
13	y. Average monthly active youth male population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
15	<u>probation(1,868)</u>
16	z. Average monthly active youth female population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on drug offender
18	<u>probation(251)</u>
19	aa. Average monthly active adult male population of
20	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
21	<u>control</u> (6,790)
22	bb. Average monthly active adult female population of
23	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
24	<u>control</u> (1,889)
25	cc. Average monthly active youth male population of
26	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
27	<u>control</u> (2,826)
28	dd. Average monthly active youth female population of
29	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on community
30	<u>control</u> (434)
31	
	86

1	ee. Average monthly active adult male population of
2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
3	intervention(3,147)
4	ff. Average monthly active adult female population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
6	intervention(2,111)
7	gg. Average monthly active youth male population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
9	<u>intervention(1,744)</u>
10	hh. Average monthly active youth female population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on pretrial
12	<u>intervention(859)</u>
13	ii. Average monthly active adult male population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole
15	(2,020)
16	jj. Average monthly active adult female population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole(163)
18	kk. Average monthly active youth male population of
19	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole(86)
20	11. Average monthly active youth female population of
21	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on parole(5)
22	mm. Average monthly active adult male population of
23	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
24	<u>release(2,858)</u>
25	nn. Average monthly active adult female population of
26	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
27	<u>release(146)</u>
28	oo. Average monthly active youth male population of
29	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
30	<u>release(44)</u>
31	
	87

1	pp. Average monthly active youth female population of
2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on conditional
3	release(0)
4	qq. Average monthly active adult male population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
6	post-prison release(527)
7	rr. Average monthly active adult female population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
9	post-prison release(75)
10	ss. Average monthly active youth male population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
12	post-prison release(9)
13	tt. Average monthly active youth female population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on other
15	post-prison release(0)
16	uu. Average monthly active adult male population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
18	(1,506)
19	vv. Average monthly active adult female population of
20	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
21	(183)
22	ww. Average monthly active youth male population of
23	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
24	(135)
25	xx. Average monthly active youth female population of
26	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on work release
27	(7)
28	yy. Average monthly active adult male population of
29	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
30	community correctional centers(525)
31	
	88
	00

1	zz. Average monthly active adult female population of
2	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
3	community correctional centers(36)
4	aaa. Average monthly active youth male population of
5	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
6	community correctional centers(36)
7	bbb. Average monthly active youth female population of
8	offenders/inmates supervised in the community at other
9	community correctional centers(1)
10	ccc. Average monthly active adult male population of
11	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
12	<pre>probation(TBD)</pre>
13	ddd. Average monthly active adult female population of
14	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
15	<pre>probation(TBD)</pre>
16	eee. Average monthly active youth male population of
17	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
18	<u>probation(TBD)</u>
19	fff. Average monthly active youth female population of
20	offenders/inmates supervised in the community on sex offender
21	<u>probation(TBD)</u>
22	ggg. Average monthly active adult male population of
23	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
24	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
25	<u>supervision(2,031)</u>
26	hhh. Average monthly active adult female population of
27	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
28	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
29	<u>supervision(219)</u>
30	iii. Average monthly active youth male population of
31	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
	89

1	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
2	supervision(171)
3	jjj. Average monthly active youth female population of
4	offenders/inmates placed on work release in community
5	correctional centers as a condition of confinement or
6	supervision(8)
7	kkk. Average monthly active adult male population of
8	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
9	as a condition of confinement or supervision(199)
10	111. Average monthly active adult female population of
11	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
12	as a condition of confinement or supervision(73)
13	mmm. Average monthly active youth male population of
14	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
15	as a condition of confinement or supervision(214)
16	nnn. Average monthly active youth female population of
17	offenders/inmates placed in probation and restitution centers
18	as a condition of confinement or supervision(28)
19	ooo. Average monthly active adult male population of
20	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
21	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(291)
22	ppp. Average monthly active adult female population of
23	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
24	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(70)
25	qqq. Average monthly active youth male population of
26	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
27	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(204)
28	rrr. Average monthly active youth female population of
29	offenders/inmates placed in secure residential drug treatment
30	centers as a condition of confinement or supervision(7)
31	
	9.0

1	sss. Average monthly active adult male population of
2	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
3	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
4	(939)
5	ttt. Average monthly active adult female population of
6	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
7	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
8	(290)
9	uuu. Average monthly active youth male population of
10	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
11	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
12	(210)
13	vvv. Average monthly active youth female population of
14	offenders/inmates placed in nonsecure residential drug
15	treatment centers as a condition of confinement or supervision
16	(28)
17	www. Number and percentage of offenders participating
18	in a probation and restitution centers program and not
19	transferred or administratively terminated from the program
20	who have successful completions within 2 years of program
21	admission(961/46.3%)
22	xxx. Number and percentage of offenders participating
23	in a secure residential drug treatment center program and not
24	transferred or administratively terminated from the program
25	who have successful completions within 2 years of program
26	admission(718/36.6%)
27	yyy. Number and percentage of offenders participating
28	in a nonsecure residential drug treatment center program and
29	not transferred or administratively terminated from the
30	program who have successful completions within 2 years of
31	<pre>program admission(3,090/54.7%)</pre>
	91

1	zzz. Number and percentage of offenders participating
2	in a nonresidential drug treatment center program and not
3	transferred or administratively terminated from the program
4	who have successful completions within 2 years of program
5	admission(15,178/48.0%)
6	aaaa. Number and percentage of offenders participating
7	in a work release program and not transferred or
8	administratively terminated from the program who have
9	successful completions within 2 years of program admission
10	(4,912/91.0%)
11	bbbb. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
12	the community on administrative supervision(1.6%)
13	cccc. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
14	in the community on administrative supervision(1.3%)
15	dddd. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
16	the community on administrative supervision(0.7%)
17	eeee. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
18	in the community on administrative supervision(0.7%)
19	ffff. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
20	the community on basic risk supervision(33.6%)
21	gggg. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
22	in the community on basic risk supervision(55.5%)
23	hhhh. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
24	the community on basic risk supervision(12.2%)
25	iiii. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
26	in the community on basic risk supervision(32.0%)
27	jjjj. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
28	the community on enhanced risk supervision(21.1%)
29	kkkk. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
30	in the community on enhanced risk supervision(16.6%)
31	
	92

1	llll. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
2	the community on enhanced risk supervision(36.2%)
3	mmmm. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
4	in the community on enhanced risk supervision(39.0%)
5	nnnn. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
6	the community on intensive risk supervision(13.8%)
7	oooo. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
8	in the community on intensive risk supervision(11.2%)
9	pppp. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
10	the community on intensive risk supervision(25.0%)
11	qqqq. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
12	in the community on intensive risk supervision(14.1%)
13	rrrr. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
14	the community on close risk supervision(20.7%)
15	ssss. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
16	in the community on close risk supervision(6.9%)
17	tttt. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
18	the community on close risk supervision(13.7%)
19	uuuu. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
20	in the community on close risk supervision(5.5%)
21	vvvv. Percentage of adult male offenders supervised in
22	the community on community control(8.9%)
23	wwww. Percentage of adult female offenders supervised
24	in the community on community control(8.2%)
25	xxxx. Percentage of youth male offenders supervised in
26	the community on community control(12.0%)
27	yyyy. Percentage of youth female offenders supervised
28	in the community on community control(8.4%)
29	zzzz. Number of technical violation reports completed
30	on offenders who violate a condition of supervision(50,558)
31	
	0.3

1	aaaaa. Number of new offenses committed while an
2	offender is on probation, including Administrative(TBD)
3	bbbbb. Number of new offenses committed while an
4	offender is on community control(TBD)
5	cccc. Number of new offenses committed while an
6	offender is on pretrial intervention(TBD)
7	ddddd. Number of new offenses committed while an
8	offender is on parole(TBD)
9	eeeee. Number of new offenses committed while an
LO	offender is on conditional release(TBD)
L1	fffff. Number of new offenses committed while an
L2	offender is on other post-prison release(TBD)
L3	ggggg. Number of new offenses committed while an
L4	offender is on work release(TBD)
L5	hhhhh. Number of new offenses committed while an
L6	offender is on sex offender probation(TBD)
L7	iiiii. Number of new offenses committed while an
L8	offender is in community correctional centers(TBD)
L9	jjjjj. Number of new offenses committed while an
20	offender is in probation and restitution centers(TBD)
21	kkkkk. Number of new offenses committed while an
22	offender is in secure residential drug treatment centers.(TBD)
23	11111. Number of new offenses committed while an
24	offender is in nonsecure residential drug treatment centers
25	(TBD)
26	mmmmm. Number of new offenses committed while an
27	offender is nonresidential drug treatment centers(TBD)
28	nnnnn. Average dollar amount in restitution collected
29	per offender required to pay
30	(d) For the Offender Work and Training Program, the
31	purpose of which is to use the labor of incarcerated adult and
	94
	→

1	youthful offenders to benefit the state local communities and
2	victims of crimes by providing educational vocational and life
3	management opportunities that reduce the costs of prison
4	construction provide projects to improve communities and
5	provide inmate work administered by other state agencies, the
6	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
7	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
8	Appropriations 590-598A are as follows:
9	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Number and percentage of inmates needing mandatory
11	literacy programs(13,148/20%)
12	b. Number and percentage of inmates needing mandatory
13	literacy program who participate in mandatory literacy
14	programs(8,364/64%)
15	c. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
16	mandatory literacy programs who complete mandatory literacy
17	programs(3,364/40%)
18	d. Number and percentage of inmates needing GED
19	education programs(21,946/33%)
20	e. Number and percentage of inmates needing GED
21	education programs who participate in GED education programs
22	(18,464/84%)
23	f. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
24	GED education programs who complete GED education programs
25	(1,796/10%)
26	g. Number and percentage of inmates needing special
27	education programs(3,544/5%)
28	h. Number and percentage of inmates needing special
29	education programs who participate in special education
30	programs(3,011/85%)
31	
	95

i. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
special education programs who complete special education
programs(TBD)
j. Number and percentage of inmates needing vocational
education programs(15,486/23%)
k. Number and percentage of inmates needing vocational
education programs who participate in vocational education
programs(9,960/64%)
1. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
vocational education programs who complete vocational
education programs(2,286/23%)
m. Number and percentage of inmates needing drug abuse
education/treatment programs(41,928/63%)
n. Number and percentage of inmates needing drug abuse
education/treatment programs who participate in drug abuse
education/treatment programs(18,668/45%)
o. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
drug abuse education/treatment programs who complete drug
abuse education/treatment programs(6,316/34%)
p. Number and percentage of inmates needing life
skills programs(2,090/3%)
q. Number and percentage of inmates needing life
skills programs who participate in life skills programs
(368/18%)
r. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
life skills programs who complete life skills programs
(160/43%)
s. Number and percentage of inmates needing transition
programs(4,494/7%)
t. Number and percentage of inmates needing transition
programs who participate in transition programs(4,486/100%)
96

1	u. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
2	transition programs who complete transition programs
3	(3,368/75%)
4	v. Number and percentage of inmates needing wellness
5	programs(2,672/4%)
6	w. Number and percentage of inmates needing wellness
7	programs who participate in wellness programs(2,396/90%)
8	x. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
9	wellness programs who complete wellness programs(672/28%)
10	y. Percentage of inmates placed in a facility that
11	provides at least one of inmate's primary program needs(75%)
12	z. Number of inmates available for work assignments
13	and the percentage of those available for work who are not
14	assigned(50,971/2.3%)
15	aa. Number of available work assignments and the
16	percentage of those work assignments that are 40 hour per week
17	assignments(34,626/%)
18	bb. Average increase in grade level achieved by
19	inmates participating in educational programs per
20	instructional period(0.6)
21	cc. Number of GED certificates earned by offenders per
22	teacher(15.03
23	for 156 teachers)
24	dd. Number of vocational certificates earned by
25	offenders per teachers(17.39 for 139 teachers)
26	ee. Number of inmates assigned to work with community
27	work squads(3,183)
28	ff. Number of available community work squad
29	assignments(4,097)
30	gg. Number of institutional work assignments available
31	(25,749)
	97

1	hh. Annual cost avoidance realized by using inmate
2	labor to support institutional operations calculated at
3	minimum wage of \$5.15 per hour(\$206.9 million)
4	ii. Annual number of inmate hours spent working for
5	other state government agencies and communities(5,316,844)
6	jj. Total dollar value of work performed by inmates
7	for government entities and communities(\$40,804,934)
8	kk. Annual dollar value of work performed for Florida
9	Department of Transportation(\$12,265,668)
10	11. Annual dollar value of work performed for other
11	state agencies(\$8,918,520)
12	mm. Annual dollar value of work performed for
13	communities(\$19,620,746)
14	nn. Net savings for state agencies and communities
15	that use inmate labor(\$21,101,772)
16	oo. Number of inmate work hours in gardening
17	operations(TBD)
18	pp. Annual dollar value of food produced by inmates in
19	gardening operations(\$493,400)
20	qq. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
21	PRIDE programs(TBD)
22	rr. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
23	PRIDE programs who reoffend within 2 years of release from
24	<u>prison(TBD)</u>
25	ss. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
26	PIE programs(TBD)
27	tt. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
28	PIE programs who reoffend within 2 years of release from
29	<u>prison(TBD)</u>
30	uu. Total dollar amount paid by all inmates on work
31	release for restitution and other court ordered payments.(TBD)
	98

1	vv. Total dollar amount paid by inmates working in
2	PRIDE programs for restitution and other court ordered
3	payments(\$277,597)
4	ww. Total dollar amount paid by inmates working in PIE
5	programs for restitution and other court ordered payments
6	(TBD)
7	xx. Percentage and number of inmates completing
8	mandatory literacy programs who score at or above 9th grade
9	level on next Test for Adult Basic Education(16%/279)
10	yy. Average number of annual infirmary visits by
11	inmates who completed a wellness program(TBD)
12	zz. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
13	inmates for all inmates(927)
14	aaa. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
15	inmates for inmates completing mandatory literacy programs
16	(1,398)
17	bbb. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
18	inmates for inmates completing GED education programs(634)
19	ccc. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
20	inmates for inmates completing special education programs
21	(2,650)
22	ddd. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
23	inmates for inmates completing vocational education programs
24	(524)
25	eee. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
26	inmates for inmates completing drug abuse education/treatment
27	programs(531)
28	fff. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
29	inmates for inmates completing life skills programs(810)
30	ggg. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
31	inmates for inmates completing transition programs(483)
	99
	22

1	hhh. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
2	inmates for inmates completing wellness programs(641)
3	iii. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
4	inmates for inmates completing work release programs(364)
5	jjj. Number and percent of released inmates who commit
6	a new crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently
7	committed to prison or community supervision for all inmates
8	(TBD)
9	kkk. Number and percent of released inmates who have
10	completed mandatory literacy programs and who commit a new
11	crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
12	to prison or community supervision(TBD)
13	111. Number and percent of released inmates who have
14	completed GED education programs and who commit a new crime
15	within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to
16	prison or community supervision(TBD)
17	mmm. Number and percent of released inmates who have
18	completed special education programs and who commit a new
19	crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
20	to prison or community supervision(TBD)
21	nnn. Number and percent of released inmates who have
22	completed vocational education programs and who commit a new
23	crime within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed
24	to prison or community supervision(TBD)
25	ooo. Number and percent of released inmates who have
26	completed drug abuse education/treatment programs and who
27	commit a new crime within 2 years of release and are
28	subsequently committed to prison or community supervision
29	(TBD)
30	ppp. Number and percent of released inmates who have
31	completed life skills programs and who commit a new crime
	100

1	within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to
2	prison or community supervision(TBD)
3	qqq. Number and percent of released inmates who have
4	completed transition programs and who commit a new crime
5	within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to
6	prison or community supervision(TBD)
7	rrr. Number and percent of released inmates who have
8	completed wellness programs and who commit a new crime within
9	2 years of release and are subsequently committed to prison or
10	community supervision(TBD)
11	sss. Number and percent of released inmates who have
12	completed work release programs and who commit a new crime
13	within 2 years of release and are subsequently committed to
14	prison or community supervision(TBD)
15	ttt. Number and percentage of released inmates who are
16	employed during two or more consecutive quarters of the
17	calendar year(TBD)
18	uuu. Number and percentage of released inmates who
19	have completed mandatory literacy programs who are employed
20	during two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year
21	(TBD)
22	vvv. Number and percentage of released inmates who
23	have completed GED education programs who are employed during
24	two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
25	www. Number and percentage of released inmates who
26	have completed special education programs who are employed
27	during two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year
28	(TBD)
29	xxx. Number and percentage of released inmates who
30	have completed vocational education programs who are employed
31	
	101

1	during two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year
2	(TBD)
3	yyy. Number and percentage of released inmates who
4	have completed drug abuse education/treatment programs who are
5	employed during two or more consecutive quarters of the
6	calendar year(TBD)
7	zzz. Number and percentage of released inmates who
8	have completed life skills programs who are employed during
9	two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
10	aaaa. Number and percentage of released inmates who
11	have completed transition programs who are employed during two
12	or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
13	bbbb. Number and percentage of released inmates who
14	have completed wellness programs who are employed during two
15	or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
16	cccc. Number and percentage of released inmates who
17	have completed work release programs who are employed during
18	two or more consecutive quarters of the calendar year(TBD)
19	dddd. Number and percentage of released inmates who
20	are employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
21	eeee. Number and percentage of released inmates who
22	have completed mandatory literacy programs and who are
23	employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
24	ffff. Number and percentage of released inmates who
25	have completed GED education programs and who are employed at
26	or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
27	gggg. Number and percentage of released inmates who
28	have completed special education programs and who are employed
29	at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
30	
31	
	102
	1 V 4

1	hhhh. Number and percentage of released inmates who
2	have completed vocational education programs and who are
3	employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
4	iiii. Number and percentage of released inmates who
5	have completed drug abuse education/treatment programs and who
6	are employed at or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
7	jjjj. Number and percentage of released inmates who
8	have completed life skills programs and who are employed at or
9	above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
10	kkkk. Number and percentage of released inmates who
11	have completed transition programs and who are employed at or
12	above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
13	1111. Number and percentage of released inmates who
14	have completed wellness programs and who are employed at or
15	above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
16	mmmm. Number and percentage of released inmates who
17	have completed work release programs and who are employed at
18	or above a full quarter earning level(TBD)
19	nnnn. Average weekly number and annual percentage of
20	inmates attending religious services(13,013/5%)
21	oooo. Number and percentage of inmates who are regular
22	attendants of religious services who reoffend within 2 years
23	(TBD)
24	pppp. Number and percentage of inmates who are regular
25	attendants of religious services who return to the prison
26	system with 2 years(TBD)
27	qqqq. Average monthly number of inmates using or
28	receiving general library print and audio-visual materials
29	(219,183)
30	
31	
	103

1	rrrr. Average monthly number of inmates using or
2	receiving general library reference and research assistance
3	(12,835)
4	ssss. Average monthly number of inmates using or
5	receiving law library research materials(67,219)
6	tttt. Average monthly number of inmates using or
7	receiving law library reference and research assistance
8	(40,405)
9	uuuu. Average monthly number of inmates who work as
10	law clerks in institutional law libraries(368)
11	vvvv. Average monthly number of inmates who are
12	trained as law clerks(135)
13	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Number and percent of transition plans completed
15	for inmates released from prison(22,338/95%)
16	b. Number of mandatory literacy programs completed by
17	offenders per teacher with number of GED/MLP teachers shown
18	(21.27/156 teachers)
19	c. Number of victims notified annually and the
20	percentage of victim notifications that meet the statutory
21	time period requirements(TBD)
22	d. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy
23	program with annual percentage change shown(250,000/2.8%)
24	(2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE
25	(a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of
26	which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive
27	range of detention services to protect the community, hold
28	youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for
29	court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and
30	associated performance standards with respect to funds
31	provided in Specific Appropriations 966-968A are as follows.
	104
	· -

1	1. JUVENILE DETENTION OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Number of escapes from secure detention facilities
3	per 100,000 resident days(3.3)
4	b. Number of youth-on-youth batteries (assaults
5	requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while
6	<u>in secure detention(98)</u>
7	c. Number of youth-on-staff batteries (assaults
8	requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while
9	<u>in secure detention(32)</u>
10	2. JUVENILE DETENTION OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of admissions to secure detention facilities
12	(68,403)
13	b. Number of releases from secure detention facilities
14	(67,170)
15	c. Average daily population for secure detention as
16	compared to fixed capacity beds in secure detention as of June
17	30(2,571:2,222)
18	d. Actual number of escapes from secure detention
19	facilities per fiscal year(18)
20	e. Actual number of batteries requiring medical
21	attention per fiscal year for youth-on-youth and
22	youth-on-staff(1,016)
23	f. The actual number of absconds from home detention
24	per fiscal year(1,467)
25	g. The actual number of new law violations from home
26	detention per fiscal year(919)
27	3. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTCOMES
28	a. Number of absconds from home detention per 100,000
29	resident days(166)
30	b. Number of new law violations from home detention
31	per 100,000 resident days(92)
	105
	105

1	4. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTPUTS
2	a. Number of admissions into home detention/nonsecure
3	detention
4	(36,659)
5	b. Average daily population for home detention.(2,751)
6	(b) For the Juvenile Offender Program the purpose of
7	which is to provide protection for the public from juvenile
8	crime by reducing juvenile delinquency through the development
9	and implementation of an effective continuum of services and
10	commitment programs including secure residential programs, the
11	outcome measures, and output measures, and associated
12	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
13	Specific Appropriations 969-972C are as follows:
14	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
16	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
17	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
18	a low-risk program(46.6%)
19	b. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
20	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
21	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
22	a moderate-risk program(46.8%)
23	c. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
24	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
25	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
26	a high-risk program(47.4%)
27	d. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
28	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
29	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
30	a maximum-risk program(38.5%)
31	
	106

1	e. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
2	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
3	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
4	an aftercare program(41.8%)
5	f. Percentage of escapes from low-risk residential
6	commitment programs(9.38%)
7	g. Percentage of escapes from moderate-risk
8	residential commitment programs(3.42%)
9	h. Percentage of escapes from high-risk residential
10	commitment programs (1.19%)
11	i. Percentage of escapes from maximum residential
12	commitment programs (0.43%)
13	j. Percentage of residential commitment program
14	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate
15	satisfactory or higher ratings on all physical plant safety
16	and security standards(73%)
17	k. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
18	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(.18)
19	1. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
20	youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs(.23)
21	m. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
22	youth in high-risk residential commitment programs(.4)
23	n. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
24	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(0)
25	o. Number of youth-on- staff assaults/batteries per
26	100 youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(1.5)
27	p. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
28	youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs(2.3)
29	q. Number of youth-on- staff assaults/batteries per
30	100 youth in high-risk residential commitment programs(3.1)
31	
	107
	ΤΟ /

1	r. Number of youth-on- staff assaults/batteries per
2	100 youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(6.6)
3	s. Total number of youth served and average daily
4	population of youth served in low-risk residential commitment
5	programs(2,204/477)
6	t. Total number of youth served and average daily
7	population of youth served in moderate-risk residential
8	commitment programs(7,224/2,125)
9	u. Total number of youth served and average daily
10	population of youth served in high-risk residential commitment
11	programs(3,214/1,572)
12	v. Total number of youth served and average daily
13	population of youth served in maximum-risk residential
14	commitment programs(240/125)
15	w. Number of low-risk residential commitment beds
16	on-line(530)
17	x. Number of moderate-risk residential commitment beds
18	on-line(2,484)
19	y. Number of high-risk residential commitment beds
20	on-line(1,674)
21	z. Number of maximum-risk residential commitment beds
22	on-line(172)
23	aa. The average length of stay (in months) in low-risk
24	residential commitment programs for youth released during the
25	fiscal year(2.9)
26	bb. The average length of stay (in months) in
27	moderate-risk residential commitment programs for youth
28	released during the fiscal year(5.8)
29	cc. The average length of stay (in months) in
30	high-risk residential commitment programs for youth released
31	during the fiscal year(8.8)
	100
	108

1	dd. The average length of stay (in months) in
2	maximum-risk residential commitment programs for youth
3	released during the fiscal year(18.6)
4	ee. The number of contracts executed with private
5	providers for residential programs(133)
6	ff. The number of contracts executed with government
7	providers for residential programs(21)
8	gg. The number and percent of contracts awarded on a
9	competitive basis (75/65.2%)
10	hh. Percentage of residential commitment program
11	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance, which indicated
12	satisfactory or higher ratings on overall quality(94%)
13	ii. Percentage of residential commitment program
14	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate
15	satisfactory or higher ratings on staff-to-youth ratios(80%)
16	jj. The ratio of direct care staff per shift to youth
17	in state-operated programs(59.5/462)
18	kk. The ratio of nondirect care staff per shift to
19	youth in state-operated programs(38/462)
20	11. The number of incidents of contraband possession
21	by youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(2)
22	mm. The number of incidents of contraband possession
23	by youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs.(28)
24	nn. The number of incidents of contraband possession
25	by youth in high-risk residential commitment programs(6)
26	oo. The number of incidents of contraband possession
27	by youth in low-risk residential commitment programs(0)
28	pp. Percentage of youth who were adjudicated or had
29	adjudication withheld for a crime which occurred within one
30	year of existing a nonresidential program(34.7)
31	
	109
	1 2 2

1	qq. Percentage of cases processed within statutory
2	time frames(71.80%)
3	rr. Average time in days to make recommendations to
4	the State Attorney once the law enforcement repot is received
5	(9)
6	ss. Percentage of juvenile cases received that are
7	placed on community control(23.7%)
8	tt. The number of contracts executed with private
9	providers for nonresidential services(102)
10	uu. The number of contracts executed with government
11	providers for nonresidential services(55)
12	vv. The number and percentage of contracts awarded on
13	a competitive basis(50/74.6%)
14	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Total number of youth served and average daily
16	population of youth served in low-risk residential commitment
17	programs(2,204/477)
18	b. Total number of youth served and average daily
19	population of youth served in moderate-risk residential
20	commitment programs(7,224/2,125)
21	c. Total number of youth served and average daily
22	population of youth served in high-risk residential commitment
23	programs(3,214/1,572)
24	d. Total number of youth served and average daily
25	population of youth served in maximum-risk residential
26	commitment programs(240/125)
27	e. Number of low-risk residential commitment beds
28	on-line(530)
29	f. Number of moderate-risk residential commitment beds
30	on-line(2,484)
31	
	110

1	g. Number of high-risk residential commitment beds
2	on-line(1,674)
3	h. Number of maximum-risk residential commitment beds
4	on-line(172)
5	i. Number of youth receiving supervision services,
6	either state or contracted, in community control(25,108)
7	j. Number of youth receiving supervision services,
8	either state or contracted, in diversion programs(17,824)
9	k. Average annual community control and intake
10	caseload compared to agency standard for 1,080 FTE.(42:1/32:1)
11	1. Number of youth processed through intake(105,973)
12	(3) DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
13	(a) For the Criminal Justice Investigations and
14	Forensic Science Program the purpose of which is to manage,
15	coordinate and provide investigative, forensic, prevention and
16	protection services and through partnerships with local,
17	state, and federal criminal justice agencies to improve the
18	state's capacity to prevent crime and detect, capture and
19	prosecute criminal suspects, the outcome measures, output
20	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
21	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 982-986A are as
22	follows:
23	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Number/percentage of criminal investigations closed
25	resulting in an arrest(826 / 65% /2,212)
26	b. Number/percentage of closed criminal investigations
27	resolved(1,008/85%)
28	c. Number/ percentage of service requests by lab
29	discipline completed(73,500/95%)
30	d. Average number of days to complete lab service
31	requests, excluding serology and DNA(30)
	111

1	e. Average number of days to complete lab service
2	requests for DNA(115)
3	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of criminal investigations worked(2,794)
5	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced.(1,504)
6	c. Number/percentage of criminal investigations closed
7	(1,276/46%)
8	d. Number of short-term investigative assists worked
9	(566)
10	e. Number of crime scenes processed(600)
11	f. Number of DNA samples added to DNA database.(7,000)
12	g. Number of expert witness appearances in court
13	proceedings(1,762)
14	h. Number of dignitaries provided with FDLE protective
15	services(52)
16	i. Number of background investigations performed
17	(3,500)
18	(b) For the Criminal Justice Information Program the
19	purpose of which is to provide criminal justice information
20	needed to prevent crime, solve cases, recover property and
21	identify and apprehend criminals; to provide screening to
22	identify persons with criminal warrants, arrests, and
23	convictions; and to provide statistical and analytical
24	information about crime to policymakers and the public, the
25	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
26	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
27	Appropriations 987-992 are as follows:
28	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Percentage of responses to simulated FCIC queries
30	within defined time frame(90%)
31	
	110
	112

1	b. Percent of time FCIC is running and accessible
2	(99.5%)
3	c. Percentage response to criminal history record
4	<pre>check customers within defined time frame(92%)</pre>
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Percentage of criminal arrest information received
7	electronically (through AFIS) for entry into the criminal
8	history system(70%)
9	b. Number of agencies/FCIC work stations networked
10	(835/14,631)
11	c. Number of agencies connected to the Criminal
12	Justice Network(757)
13	d. Number of responses to requests for crime
14	statistics(30,000)
15	e. Number of responses to requests for criminal
16	history record checks(1,498,810)
17	f. Number of registered sexual predators/ offenders
18	identified to the public(15,350)
19	g. Number of responses to requests for sexual
20	<pre>predator/offender information(279,000)</pre>
21	h. Number of missing children cases worked through
22	MCIC(602)
23	(c) For Criminal Justice Professionalism Program the
24	purpose of which is to promote and facilitate the competency
25	and professional conduct of criminal justice officers through
26	a partnership with criminal justice agencies in provide
27	entry-level and in-service officer training and maintain
28	disciplinary procedures the outcome measures, output measures,
29	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
30	provided in Specific Appropriations 993-996 are as follows:
31	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
	113

First Engrossed

1	a. Number/percentage of individuals who pass the basic
2	professionalism certification examination for law enforcement
3	officers, correctional officers, and correctional probation
4	officers(5,140/75%)
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of course curricula and examinations
7	developed or revised(109)
8	b. Number of examinations administered(7,000)
9	c. Number of individuals trained by the Florida
10	Criminal Justice Executive Institute(549)
11	d. Number of law enforcement officers trained by DARE
12	(155)
13	e. Number of discipline referrals processed for state
14	and local LEOs and COs and CPOs pursuant to Ch. 120, F.S.
15	(2,100)
16	f. Number of criminal justice officer disciplinary
17	actions(452)
18	g. Number of program and financial compliance audits
19	performed(3,155)
20	h. Number of records audited to validate the accuracy
21	and completeness of ATMS2 record information(2,138)
22	(4) DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS
23	(a) For the Office of the Attorney General Program,
24	the purpose of which is to provide civil representation and
25	legal services on behalf of the State of Florida, and to
26	assist crime victims and law enforcement agencies through
27	associated support services, the outcome measures, output
28	measures and associated performance standards with respect to
29	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 997-1013 are as
30	follows:
31	
	114
	TT.4

1	1. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICE OUTCOME
2	MEASURES
3	a. Actual cost per legal hour for state agency
4	representation(\$46)
5	b. Percent of client agencies expressing satisfaction
6	with civil defense services(95%)
7	c. Cost per capital brief/state & federal
8	responses/oral arguments: (\$5,100)
9	d. Cost per noncapital brief/state & federal
10	responses/oral arguments:(\$820)
11	e. Percent of prosecutorial agencies expressing
12	satisfaction with criminal appellate services(95%)
13	f. Children's Legal Services dependency petitions
14	<u>filed(1,600)</u>
15	g. Termination of parental rights final judgments
16	(100)
17	h. Child Support Enforcement - Court Orders(12,000)
18	i. Child Support Enforcement - Cases referred from
19	Department of Revenue(13,000)
20	j. Average number of days for opinion response(29)
21	k. Percent of mediated cases resolved in 3 weeks or
22	less(75%)
23	1. Percent of lemon law cases resolved in less than
24	one year(99%)
25	m. Number/percent disputes in which litigation was
26	filed by one of parties(2/1%)
27	2. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT
28	MEASURES
29	a. Number of state agencies represented(50)
30	b. Cases opened(7,000)
31	c. Cases closed(4,700)
	115

1	d. Number of capital cases opened(210)
2	e. Number of noncapital cases opened(14,000)
3	f. Number of capital briefs/state & federal
4	responses/oral arguments(250)
5	g. Number of noncapital briefs/state & federal
6	responses/oral arguments(10,500)
7	h. Number of Antitrust cases closed(20)
8	i. Number of Economic Crime cases closed(300)
9	j. Number of Medicaid Fraud cases closed(400)
10	k. Number of Children's Legal Services (uncontested
11	disposition orders entered) cases closed(1,400)
12	1. Number of Ethics cases closed(15)
13	m. Lemon Law cases approved for state-run arbitration
14	(1,500)
15	n. Opinions issued(255)
16	o. Cost per opinion(\$1,350)
17	p. Number/percent of disputes resolved through
18	mediation(105/76%)
19	q. Cost per mediation(\$555)
20	3. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICE OUTCOME
21	MEASURES
22	a. Average number of days from application to payment
23	(42)
24	b. Number of appeals filed with district courts of
25	appeal(5)
26	c. Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle theft
27	grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle
28	theft incidents below 1994 levels(65%)
29	d. Percent of training participants who rated the
30	training as good or excellent (victims/crime prevention).(65%)
31	
	116

e. Number of convenience store complaints resolved	
2(25)	
3 4. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT	
4 MEASURES	
5 <u>a. Number of victim compensation claims eligibility</u>	
6 determinations(6,500)	
b. Number of victim compensation claims paid(5,500)	
8 c. Amount paid on behalf of victims(\$20 million)	
9 <u>d. Number of victim compensation final orders issues</u>	
10(170)	
e. Number of sexual battery examination claims paid	
12(5,200)	
f. Number of appellate services provided(700)	
g. Number of information and referral services	
15 provided(25,000)	
h. Number of VOCA grants funded(200)	
I. Amount of funds awarded in VOCA grants.(\$21million)	
j. Number of victims served through contract	
19(100,000)	
20 k. Number of motor vehicle theft grants funded(40)	
1. Amount of funds awarded in motor vehicle theft	
22 grants(\$2.4	
23 million)	
m. Number of applications received(12,000)	
n. Number of eligible applications received(7,000)	
o. Number of victim compensation appeals received	
27(175)	
p. Number of sexual battery examination claims	
29 received(5,800)	
q. Number of persons seeking appellate services(825)	
31	
117	

1	r. Number of calls received on the toll-free
2	information and referral line(30,000)
3	s. Number of VOCA grant applications received(200)
4	t. Number of motor vehicle theft grant applications
5	received(40)
6	u. Number of robberies occurring in convenience stores
7	(2,575)
8	v. Number of convenience store security
9	violations/complaints received(60)
10	w. Number of people attending training (victims/crime
11	prevention)(1,368/3,550)
12	x. Number of training sessions held (victims/crime
13	prevention)(33/30)
14	y. Cost per attendee for training (victims/crime
15	prevention)(\$161)
16	z. Number of convenience store complaints/violations
17	processed(25)
18	aa. Number of convenience store technical assistance
19	responses provided(60)
20	(b) For the Statewide Prosecution Program the purpose
21	of which is to investigate and prosecute criminal offenses
22	enumerated in section 16.56, Florida Statutes, when they have
23	been part of an organized crime conspiracy affecting two or
24	more judicial circuits, including assistance to federal state
25	attorneys and local law enforcement offices in their efforts
26	against organized crime, the outcome measures, output
27	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
28	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1014-1016 are as
29	<u>follows:</u>
30	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	118
	•

1	a. Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
2	number of those convicted(288)
3	b. Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
4	number of those convicted by plea (271)
5	c. Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
6	number of those convicted by trial(17)
7	d. Conviction rate per defendant(94%)
8	e. Dispositions - total years prison/probation(626 &
9	2 life/1,310)
10	f. Dispositions - total monetary penalties assessed
11	(\$27,544,524)
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. New requests for investigative and prosecutorial
14	assistance from law enforcement(653)
15	b. Number of law enforcement agencies assisted(66)
16	c. Ratio of request to number of intake prosecutors
17	(297:5)
18	d. Investigations handled (total volume inclusive of
19	previous years)(702)
20	e. Number of subjects/targets(1,459)
21	f. Ratio of investigations to number of prosecutors
22	(21:1)
23	g. New criminal cases filed(107)
24	h. Number of defendants charged(157)
25	i. Counts filed(2,036)
26	j. Total volume of final criminal cases handled
27	(inclusive of prior years)(261)
28	k. Number of defendants charged(681)
29	1. Number of counts(4,136)
30	m. Ratio of total filed cases to total number of
31	<u>prosecutors(8:1)</u>
	119

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	Section 41. The performance measures and standards
2	established in this section for individual programs in Natural
3	Resources shall be applied to those programs for the 1999-2000
4	fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are
5	directly linked to the appropriations made in the General
6	Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as required by
7	the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
8	(1) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
9	(a) For the Food Safety and Quality Program, the
LO	purpose of which is to ensure the safety, wholesomeness,
L1	quality, and accurate labeling of food products through
L2	inspections, laboratory analyses, consumer assistance, and
L3	enforcement actions, the outcome measures, output measures,
L4	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
L5	provided in Specific Appropriations 1042-1046 are as follows:
L6	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
L7	a. Total outbreaks of food-borne illness in
L8	Florida/total number of people who become ill in calendar year
L9	(TBD)
20	b. Number/percentage of food and dairy establishments
21	which fail to meet food safety and sanitation requirements
22	(2,670/8.9%)
23	c. Number of food or dairy products removed from sale
24	for failure to meet food safety requirements or standards
25	(15,500)
26	d. Number/percentage of food products analyzed which
27	<u>fail</u> to meet standards(775/8.5%)
28	e. Number/percentage of milk and milk products
29	analyzed which fail to meet standards(1,300/8.8%)
30	
31	
	120

1	f. Number/percentage of produce or other food samples
2	analyzed which fail to meet pesticide residue standards
3	(52/2.3%)
4	g. Number/percentage of food and dairy enforcement
5	actions which result in compliance or other resolution within
6	60 days, excluding Field Notices of Violation(13,000/99%)
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of inspections of food establishments, dairy
9	establishments, and water vending machines(61,500)
10	b. Number of enforcement actions taken, excluding
11	Field Notices of Violation(13,131)
12	c. Number of food analyses/samples analyzed
13	(31,200/9,000)
14	d. Number of milk and milk products analyses/samples
15	analyzed(70,000/20,000
16	e. Number of pesticide residue analyses/samples
17	analyzed(273,000/3,050)
18	f. Number of food-related consumer assistance
19	investigations or actions(4,800)
20	g. Tons of poultry and shell eggs graded(430,000)
21	(b) For the Consumer Protection Program, the purpose
22	of which is to protect Florida's consumers from deceptive and
23	unfair business and trade practices and from unsafe, harmful,
24	and inferior products and services, the outcome measures,
25	output measures, and associated performance standards with
26	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1047-1050
27	are as follows:
28	1. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	
31	
	101
	121

1	a. Number/percentage of LP Gas accidents due to
2	equipment failure or code violations at licensed LP Gas
3	storage, distribution, and handling facilities(2/3%)
4	b. Number/percentage of LP Gas facilities found in
5	compliance with safety requirements on first inspection
6	(989/20%)
7	c. Number of reportable accidents resulting from
8	amusement attraction mechanical or structural failure(1)
9	d. Number/percentage of amusement attractions found in
10	full compliance with safety requirements on first inspection
11	(3,441/37%)
12	e. Number/percentage of regulated weighing and
13	measuring devices, packages, and businesses with scanners in
14	compliance with accuracy standards during initial
15	inspection/testing(237,000/95%)
16	f. Number/percentage of petroleum products meeting
17	quality standards(57,000/99.2%)
18	g. Number/percentage of state and commercial weights
19	and volumetric standards found within specified tolerances
20	(11,760/98%)
21	2. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTPUT
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of LP Gas facility inspections/reinspections
24	conducted(4,200)
25	b. Number of LP Gas-related accidents investigated
26	(50)
27	c. Number of amusement device safety/permit
28	inspections conducted(9,300/1,725)
29	d. Number of weighing and measuring devices
30	inspected/tested(249,000)
31	
	100
	122

1	e. Number of complaints investigated/processed
2	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
3	Standards in the Consumer Protection Program(3,180)
4	f. Number of LP Gas professional certification
5	examinations administered(1,500)
6	g. Number of laboratory analyses performed on
7	regulated petroleum products(140,000)
8	h. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
9	entities regulated by the Division of Standards in the
10	Consumer Protection Program(37,375)
11	i. Number of physical measurement standards tests or
12	calibrations conducted(12,000)
13	3. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Number/percentage regulated entities (motor vehicle
15	repair shops, health studio, telemarketer, business
16	opportunity, dance studio, solicitation of contribution,
17	sellers of travel, and pawn shops) found operating in
18	violation of the consumer protection laws(8,892/26%)
19	b. Number/percentage of consumer hotline callers that
20	receive accurate information and are treated courteously by
21	call center staff(TBD)
22	c. Number/percentage of "no-sales solicitation"
23	complaints from subscribers(17,160/13%)
24	d. Amount/percentage of money recovered for consumers
25	<pre>from regulated motor vehicle repair shops(\$165,000/TBD)</pre>
26	4. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of assists provided to consumers, not
28	including lemon law(1,003,195)
29	b. Number of lemon law assists made to consumers
30	(30,450)
31	
	123
	123

1	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
2	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of Consumer
3	Services in the Consumer Protection Program(33,529)
4	d. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
5	entities regulated by the Division of Consumer Services in the
6	Consumer Protection Program(260)
7	e. Number of "no sales solicitation calls"
8	subscriptions processed(180,000)
9	5. PEST CONTROL AND FEED, SEED AND FERTILIZER
10	COMPLIANCE OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number/percentage of licensed pest control
12	applicators inspected who misapply chemicals or otherwise
13	violate regulations(375/23%)
14	b. Number/percentage of feed, seed, and fertilizer
15	inspected products in compliance with performance/quality
16	standards(16,698/90.5%)
17	6. PEST CONTROL AND FEED, SEED, AND FERTILIZER
18	COMPLIANCE OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number of pest control inspections conducted
20	(1,630)
21	b. Number of feed, seed, and fertilizer inspections
22	conducted(12,146)
23	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
24	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
25	Agricultural Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection
26	Program(800)
27	d. Number of pest control professional certification
28	examinations administered(1,605)
29	e. Number of laboratory analyses performed on seed and
30	fertilizer samples(160,000)
31	
	124
	124

1	f. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
2	entities regulated by the Division of Agricultural
3	Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection Program
4	(2,470)
5	7. CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Number/percentage of licensed pesticide applicators
7	inspected who do not apply chemicals properly(198/36%)
8	b. Number of reported human/equine disease cases
9	caused by mosquitos(3/40)
10	8. CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of pesticide-related complaints investigated
12	(352)
13	b. Number of pesticide-related inspections conducted
14	(3,129)
15	c. Number of pesticide-related enforcement actions
16	initiated/completed(500)
17	d. Number of wells monitored for pesticide or nitrate
18	residues(46)
19	e. Number of pesticide product and residue analyses
20	performed in the pesticide laboratory(63,500)
21	f. Number of persons in Florida served by effective
22	mosquito control programs(14,000,000)
23	(c) For the Agricultural Economic Development Program,
24	the purpose of which is to maintain and enhance Florida
25	agriculture in the national and international marketplace, the
26	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
27	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
28	Appropriations 1051-1067 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of national agricultural gate receipts
31	represented by Florida agricultural products(TBD)
	125

1	b. Percentage of national agricultural exports
2	represented by Florida agricultural products(TBD)
3	c. Percentage/value of Florida's gross state product
4	represented by Florida agricultural products(TBD)
5	2. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
6	REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Gate receipts value of agriculture and seafood
8	products sold by Florida's agricultural industry, in dollars
9	in calendar year(\$7.075
10	billion)
11	b. Total sales of agricultural and seafood products
12	generated by tenants of state farmers markets(\$194,189,444)
13	c. Dollar value of federal commodities and recovered
14	food distributed(\$52,142,213)
15	3. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
16	REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of buyers reached with agricultural
18	promotion campaign messages(2.02 billion)
19	b. Number of marketing assists provided to producers
20	and businesses(94,569)
21	c. Pounds of federal commodities and recovered food
22	distributed(66,214,385)
23	4. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Dollar value of fruit and vegetables that are
25	shipped to other states or countries which are subject to
26	mandatory inspection(\$1,443,648,000)
27	5. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURE
28	a. Number of tons of fruits and vegetables inspected
29	(13,781,717)
30	6. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	126
	126

1	a. Number/percentage of newly introduced pests and
2	diseases prevented from infesting Florida plants to a level
3	where eradication is biologically or economically unfeasible
4	(100/93.5%)
5	b. Number/percentage of commercial citrus acres free
6	of citrus canker(832,581/98.5%)
7	c. Number/percentage of acres of commercial citrus,
8	monitored by the department, at the request of the grower,
9	which are free of the Caribbean fruit fly(186,000/98%)
10	d. Number/percentage of exotic fruit fly
11	(Mediterranean, Oriental, Mexican, Queensland, West Indian)
12	outbreaks where eradication can occur without use of
13	aerial-treatments(2/100%)
14	7. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of plant, fruit fly trap, and honeybee
16	inspections performed(2,280,000)
17	b. Number of commercial citrus acres surveyed for
18	citrus canker(245,000)
19	c. Number of exotic fruit fly traps serviced(36,729)
20	d. Millions of sterile med flies released(7,800)
21	e. Number of acres where plant pest and disease
22	eradication or control efforts were undertake(100,000)
23	f. Number of shipments of plant products certified
24	pest-free for export(25,000)
25	g. Number of plant, soil, insect, and other organism
26	samples processed for identification or diagnosis(650,000)
27	8. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURE
28	a. Number/percentage of livestock and poultry infected
29	with specific transmissible diseases for which monitoring,
30	controlling, and eradicating activities are established
31	(472/.00083%)
	127

1	9. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of animal site inspections performed
3	(14,904)
4	b. Number of animals tested/vaccinated
5	(650,000/120,000)
6	c. Number of animal sites quarantined and monitored
7	(315)
8	d. Number of/unit cost per animal-related diagnostic
9	laboratory procedure(s) performed(850,000/\$2.84)
10	e. Number of animals covered by health certificates
11	(815,000)
12	f. Number of animal permits processed(4,750)
13	10. AGRICULTURE INSPECTION STATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural
15	inspection stations(11,236,244)
16	b. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural
17	inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated
18	commodities(2,505,682)
19	c. Percentage of vehicles inspected at agricultural
20	inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated
21	commodities(22%)
22	d. Amount of revenue generated by Bills of Lading
23	transmitted to the Department of Revenue from Agricultural
24	Inspection stations(\$12,658,800)
25	e. Number of Bills of Lading transmitted to the
26	Department of Revenue from Agricultural Inspection stations
27	(83,000)
28	(d) For the Forestry Program, the purpose of which is
29	to promote and use sound management practices for forestry and
30	other agricultural activities, the outcome measures, output
31	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
	128

1	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1069-1082 are as
2	<u>follows:</u>
3	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Number/percentage of acres of protected forest and
5	wildlands not burned by wildfires(24,924,300/99.3%)
6	b. Number/percentage of threatened structures not
7	<u>burned</u> by wildfires(1,000/98%)
8	c. Number/percentage of wildfires caused by humans
9	(3,040/80%)
10	d. Number/percentage of State Forest timber producing
11	acres adequately stocked and growing(107,485/25.9%)
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of wildfires detected and suppressed.(3,800)
14	b. Average elapsed time in minutes between wildfire
15	ignition and detection(55)
16	c. Average elapsed time in minutes between wildfire
17	detection and arrival on scene(34)
18	d. Number/percentage of forest acres and other lands
19	managed by the department and purchased by the state with
20	approved management plans(831,951/94%)
21	e. Number of acres burned through prescribed burning
22	(2.1 million)
23	f. Number of person-hours of firefighting training
24	<u>provided(47,000)</u>
25	g. Number of forest-related technical assists provided
26	to nonindustrial private land owners(37,000)
27	h. Number of open burning authorizations processed for
28	<pre>land clearing, agriculture, and silviculture(118,000)</pre>
29	i. Number of fire prevention presentations made
30	(1,350)
31	
	129
	-

1	j. Number of person-hours spent responding to
2	emergency incidents other than wildfires(8,000)
3	(2) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
4	(a) For the State Lands Program, the purpose of which
5	is to acquire, administer, and dispose of state lands, the
6	title of which is vested in the Board of Trustees of the
7	Internal Improvement Trust Fund; administer, manage, and
8	maintain the records of all lands held by the Board of
9	Trustees; administer and maintain the geodetic survey
10	requirements for the State of Florida; identify and set
11	ordinary and mean high water boundaries for purposes of
12	sovereignty and land title; and control aquatic and invasive
13	plant species, the outcome measures, output measures, and
14	associated performance standards with respect to funds
15	provided in Specific Appropriations 1187-1209 are as follows:
16	1. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
17	a. Percent increase in the number of occurrences of
18	endangered/ threatened/special concern species on publicly
19	managed conservation areas(10%)
20	2. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of acres of critical habitat acquired by the
22	P2000 Program as listed in the CARL report(311,601)
23	b. Percentage of acres acquired by the P2000 Program
24	that have a critical habitat within the acquired tract(38%)
25	c. Number of acres of land acquired by the P2000
26	Program that had its highest resource values based on FNAI
27	elements(218,808)
28	d. Number and percent completion of projects on the
29	CARL list(95/10%)
30	e. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
31	- less than \$100,000(6%)
	130
	130

1	f. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
2	- greater than \$100,000(63%)
3	g. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
4	- less than \$100,000(93%)
5	h. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
6	- greater than \$100,000(89%)
7	i. Number of appraisals certified(336)
8	j. Number of surveys/maps certified for environmental
9	land acquisition(98/49)
10	k. Number of surveys/maps certified for
11	nonenvironmental land acquisition(20/21)
12	1. Percentage of parcels acquired within the "standard
13	time limit" - less than \$100,000(51%)
14	m. Percentage of parcels acquired within the "standard
15	time limit" - greater than \$100,000(57%)
16	3. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Number of parcels evaluated and disposed of that
18	have been determined to have no further public use(80)
19	b. Percentage of easements, leases, and other requests
20	completed by maximum time frames prescribed(75%)
21	c. Percentage of all leases of sovereign submerged
22	lands in compliance with lease conditions(92%)
23	d. Percentage of all land management plans completed
24	within statutory time frames(60%)
25	4. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Percentage of submerged land leases found in
27	compliance annually(92%)
28	b. Ratio of parcels of lands surplused/parcels of land
29	evaluated for possible surplus(1:2)
30	c. Number of verified records maintained(237,265)
31	
	131
	±2±

1	d. Number of submerged land leases audited annually
2	(313)
3	5. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Number of new acres of public land that have
5	invasive, exotic, upland plants controlled and have existing
6	management personnel committed to maintaining these plants
7	under control after initial treatment(3,500)
8	b. Percentage of Florida's public waters where
9	control of hydrilla, water hyacinth, and water lettuce has
10	been achieved and sustained(93%)
11	6. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of public lakes and rivers that contain
13	invasive, nonnative aquatic plants and are under maintenance
14	control(93%)
15	b. Percentage of public lands where invasive,
16	nonnative upland plants, have been brought under control
17	through efforts of, or pass-through funding, by the Bureau of
18	Aquatic Plant Management(TBD)
19	c. Average cost per acre to achieve maintenance
20	<pre>control of aquatic, nonnative plants(\$130)</pre>
21	(b) For the Water Resources Management Program, the
22	purpose of which is to regulate, manage, conserve, and protect
23	the state's drinking water, surface and groundwater resources,
24	wetlands, beaches, and lands reclaimed after mining
25	activities, the outcome measures, output measures, and
26	associated performance standards with respect to funds
27	provided in Specific Appropriations 1222-1243 are as follows:
28	1. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of rivers that meet designated uses
31	(92%)
	132

1	b. Percentage of lakes that meet designated uses.(87%)
2	c. Percentage of estuaries that meet designated uses
3	(95%)
4	d. Percentage of groundwater that meets designated
5	uses(85%)
6	e. Percentage of reclaimed water (reuse) capacity
7	relative to total domestic wastewater capacity(40%)
8	f. Percentage of public water systems with no
9	significant (public health-based) drinking water quality
10	<u>problems(90%)</u>
11	g. Number of wetland acres within agency jurisdiction
12	successfully preserved, created, restored, and enhanced to
13	offset the number of wetland acres impacted; and functional
14	wetland acres - net gain/loss ratio(0)
15	2. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTPUT
16	MEASURES
17	a. Number of wastewater inspections, site visits,
18	technical assistance contacts, and other compliance activities
19	(1,260)
20	b. Number of wastewater permits and other
21	authorizations processed(30)
22	c. Number of water quality stations monitored in the
23	statewide monitoring networks(980)
24	d. Number of drinking water inspections, site visits,
25	technical assistance contacts, and other compliance activities
26	(2,520)
27	3. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
28	PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE
29	a. Linear miles of beaches which provide upland
30	protection, wildlife habitat, or recreation according to
31	statutory and rule requirements(825)
	133

1	4. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
2	PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Beach renourishment and dune restoration funds
4	awarded(\$7.7
5	million)
6	b. Number of beach renourishment and dune restoration
7	projects funded(7)
8	c. Number of other compliance activities(168)
9	d. Number of coastal construction permits, including
10	field permits, processed(1,580)
11	e. Miles of shoreline surveyed and monitored(752)
12	5. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE
13	a. Percentage of mined lands qualifying for
14	reclamation which have been reclaimed according to statutory
15	and rule requirements(95%)
16	6. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Funds awarded annually for mine reclamation
18	<u>projects(\$10 million)</u>
19	b. Number of mining permits processed/number of
20	<u>inspections(20/550)</u>
21	c. Number of applications/acreage processed for mine
22	reclamation projects(60/6,500)
23	7. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTCOME
24	MEASURE
25	a. Percentage of wastewater, drinking water, and
26	stormwater projects on State Revolving Fund loan priority
27	lists and the construction grant priority list that are funded
28	annually(3.5%)
29	8. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTPUT
30	MEASURES
31	a. Loan grant funds awarded(\$80 million)
	134

1	b. Number of local governments, including
2	systems/utilities funded(12)
3	(c) For the Waste Management Program, the purpose of
4	which is to protect the public and the environment through
5	promotion of sound waste management practices, the outcome
6	measures, output measures, and associated performance
7	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
8	Appropriations 1244-1277D are as follows:
9	1. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
10	REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Percentage of regulated petroleum storage tank
12	facilities in compliance with state regulations(89%)
13	b. Percentage/number of contaminated petroleum sites
14	with rehabilitation underway(9%/1,544)
15	c. Percentage/number of contaminated petroleum sites
16	with rehabilitation completed(0.3%/57)
17	2. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
18	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Percentage of reimbursement claims processed(100%)
20	b. Number and percentage of petroleum sites eligible
21	for state financial assistance(17,100/99%)
22	3. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percentage and number of contaminated drycleaning
24	sites with rehabilitation underway(9%/82)
25	b. Percentage and number of contaminated drycleaning
26	sites with rehabilitation completed(0%/0)
27	4. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
28	a. Number of drycleaning site cleanup applications
29	eligible for state financial assistance(1,200)
30	5. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
31	REHABILITATION OUTCOMES
	135
	-

1	a. Percentage of all hazardous waste generators in
2	significant compliance with state and federal regulations
3	(88%)
4	b. Percentage of permitted transfer, storage, and
5	disposal facilities in significant compliance with state and
6	federal regulations(95%)
7	c. Number of facilities or sources of pollution that
8	modified their industrial processes to reduce generation of
9	pollutants as a result of department activities(10)
10	d. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (Federal
11	superfund sites) with rehabilitation underway(100%/49)
12	e. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (Federal
13	superfund sites) with rehabilitation completed(0%/0)
14	f. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (known
15	state program sites) with rehabilitation underway(95%/19)
16	g. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (known
17	state program sites) with rehabilitation completed(5%/1)
18	6. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
19	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
20	a. Number of tons of hazardous waste generated in
21	Florida(185,221)
22	7. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME
23	MEASURES
24	a. Percentage of permitted solid waste facilities in
25	compliance with state requirements(96%)
26	b. Percentage of municipal solid waste recycled
27	statewide(40%)
28	c. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
29	collected that is recycled(9,423,784/40%)
30	d. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
31	burned annually(4,096,035/17%)
	136

1	e. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
2	disposed in landfills(10,266,086/43%)
3	8. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of solid waste permits and registrations
6	processed(685)
7	b. Number and dollar amount of solid waste management
8	and recycling grants issued(252/\$35 million)
9	c. Number of waste-to-energy facilities located in
10	Florida(13)
11	(d) For the Recreation and Parks Program, the purpose
12	of which is to anticipate and meet the outdoor recreation
13	demands of Florida's residents and visitors and to ensure that
14	an adequate natural resource base is maintained to accommodate
15	future demands and preserve a quality environment, the outcome
16	measures, output measures, and associated performance
17	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
18	Appropriations 1278-1327B are as follows:
19	1. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES
20	a. Increase in attendance at state parks over prior
21	year(1.3%)
22	b. Increase the acreage available for public
23	recreation over prior year(2%)
24	2. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of parks sites managed(151)
26	b. Number of recreational facilities built, repaired,
27	or restored by type compared to plan development needs(TBD)
28	c. Number of cultural/historical sites restored or
29	maintained compared to need(TBD)
30	d. Number of acres managed for secondary use/multiple
31	<u>use(500)</u>
	137
	± J /

1	e. Acres of native habitat successfully maintained as
2	natural areas in state parks compared to need.(58,000/545,075)
3	f. Percentage of management plans completed in
4	compliance with Florida Statutes(100%)
5	g. Percentage of lands acquired by P2000 that meet at
6	least 3 criteria of the program(100%)
7	h. Number of parks/acres/trail miles supported by
8	general administration, maintenance/minor repairs, protection,
9	and all variations of visitor service activities
10	(152/534,387/380)
11	i. Number of private/public partnerships utilized to
12	assist operations of state parks(900)
13	j. Number of state parks additions/inholding land
14	acquisitions(10)
15	k. Number of recreational and natural/cultural
16	additions and inholding acquisitions for existing parks by
17	type as related to available funding(1)
18	3. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTCOME MEASURE
19	a. Number of additional greenways, recreational
20	trails, or trail systems acquired to provide or enhance access
21	to public lands while ensuring that the ecological integrity
22	of the land is not compromised(18)
23	4. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of state greenways and trails managed(4)
25	b. Number of miles of recreational facilities built,
26	repaired, or restored by type compared to plan development
27	needs(35)
28	c. Number of trailheads developed to provide public
29	access points on greenways and trails(10)
30	5. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
31	OUTCOME MEASURE
	138
	-

1	a. Increase in technical assistance and grant related
2	services to local governments over prior year(2%)
3	6. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of recreational grants/funding to local
6	governments for recreational facilities and land acquisition
7	(202/\$23.1 million)
8	b. Number of technical assistance consultations,
9	meetings, calls, and publications(350)
10	7. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTCOME
11	MEASURE
12	a. Percentage of degraded acreage identified in state
13	buffer enhanced or restored(11.6%)
14	8. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTPUT
15	MEASURES
16	a. Number of acres managed(129,493)
17	b. Number of acres where invasive or undesirable plant
18	species have been controlled(2,255)
19	(e) For the Air Resources Management Program, the
20	purpose of which is to maintain and improve the state's air
21	quality through air-pollution mitigation and prevention, the
22	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
23	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
24	Appropriations 1328-1335 are as follows:
25	1. AIR QUALITY OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Percentage of population living in areas monitored
27	for air quality(90%)
28	b. Annual average percentage of time monitored
29	population breathes good quality air(80%)
30	c. Annual average percentage of time monitored
31	population breathes moderate quality air(20%)
	139

1	d. Percentage of the population which breathes air
2	that violates the standard for ozone as determined by the data
3	generated by the state air quality monitoring network $(4%)$
4	2. AIR QUALITY OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number of monitors operated by the department and
6	local programs(163)
7	b. Number of quality assurance audits conducted to
8	ensure accurate and reliable ambient air quality data(301)
9	3. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTCOME
10	MEASURES
11	a. Annual 0.5% reduction of NOX air emissions per
12	<u>capita(129.24)</u>
13	b. Annual 0.5% reduction of SO2 air emissions per
14	capita(99.67)
15	c. Annual 0.5% reduction of CO air emissions per
16	capita(544.33)
17	d. Annual 0.5% reduction of VOC air emissions per
18	capita(108.49)
19	4. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTPUT
20	MEASURES
21	a. Number of Title V permits issued/denied(315/0)
22	b. Number of Title V modifications issued/denied
23	(10/0)
24	c. Number of non-Title V permits issued/denied.(350/3)
25	d. Number of non-Title V modifications issued/denied
26	(97/0)
27	e. Number of Title V facilities inspected(850)
28	f. Number of asbestos projects reviewed and evaluated
29	(2,260)
30	(f) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
31	which is to protect the people, the environment, and the
	140

1	natural resources through law enforcement, education, and
2	public service, the outcome measures, output measures, and
3	associated performance standards with respect to funds
4	provided in Specific Appropriation 1247B are as follows:
5	1. OUTCOME MEASURE
6	a. Number/percentage of known hazardous substance dump
7	sites and petroleum spills whereby action, other than criminal
8	investigation, was taken to reduce, control, or eliminate risk
9	to public health and the environment(1,430/48%)
10	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of investigations opened/closed(227/182)
12	b. Number of environmental dump sites responded to
13	(673)
14	c. Number of petroleum spills responded to(757)
15	d. Funds spent/recovered on spill remediation
16	(\$928,153/\$86,638)
17	e. Number of sites/spills remediated(561)
18	(3) FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION
19	(a) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
20	which is to provide patrol and protection activities to
21	safeguard the opportunities for boating, camping, fishing,
22	hunting, wildlife viewing, and other natural resource related
23	activities in a safe and healthy environment, the outcome
24	measures, output measures, and associated performance
25	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
26	Appropriations 1361-1378 are as follows:
27	1. UNIFORM PATROL OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Total number of violations(29,130)
29	b. Number of felony violations(TBD)
30	c. Number of misdemeanor violations(TBD)
31	d. Number of infractions violations(TBD)
	141

1	e. Total number of hours spent in preventative land
2	patrol(516,259)
3	f. Total number of hours spent in preventative water
4	patrol(68,320)
5	g. Total number of hours spent in preventative air
6	patrol(8,244)
7	2. INVESTIGATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of hours spent on investigations(297,167)
9	b. Number of violations encountered by all staff
10	(14,050)
11	c. Total number of investigations opened(806)
12	d. Number of felony investigations opened(TBD)
13	e. Number of misdemeanor investigations opened(TBD)
14	f. Number of infractions investigations opened(TBD)
15	g. Total number of investigations closed(725)
16	h. Number of felony investigations closed(TBD)
17	i. Number of misdemeanor investigations closed(TBD)
18	j. Number of infractions investigations closed(TBD)
19	k. Total violations by investigative staff $(1,368)$
20	1. Total conviction rate(TBD)
21	m. Felony conviction rate(TBD)
22	n. Misdemeanor conviction rate(TBD)
23	o. Infraction conviction rate(TBD)
24	3. INSPECTION OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of inspections(4,890)
26	b. Number of violations(587)
27	4. AVIATION OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of air contacts resulting in detection and
29	apprehension(445)
30	b. Number of hours of biological flight time
31	requested/provided(1,666/1,220)
	140
	142

1	5. BOATING SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of vessel safety inspections(154,408)
3	b. Number of accident investigated(210)
4	c. Number of fatalities investigated(26)
5	d. Number of injuries investigated(136)
6	e. Number of vessel safety inspection hours on St.
7	<u>John River(9,318)</u>
8	f. Number of accidents on St. John River(21)
9	g. Number of vessel safety inspection hours on Lake
10	<u>Okeechobee(5,861)</u>
11	h. Number of accidents on Lake Okeechobee(15)
12	6. HUNTER EDUCATION OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of hunter education classes offered(350)
14	b. Number of graduates of hunter education classes
15	(12,125)
16	c. Percent of total students graduating hunter
17	<u>education classes(87%)</u>
18	d. Number of hunting accidents(23)
19	e. Number of attendees or graduates of hunter
20	education classes involved in hunting accidents(7)
21	(b) For the Wildlife Management Program, the purpose
22	of which is to maintain or enhance Florida's diverse wildlife
23	and to provide for responsible use of this resource, the
24	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
25	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
26	Appropriations 1379-1394 are as follows:
27	1. WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME
28	MEASURES
29	a. Percent change in the number of licensed resident
30	<u>hunters(-2.3%)</u>
31	
	143

1	b. Percent change in the number of licensed
2	nonresident hunters(4.6%)
3	c. Economic impact of wildlife-related outdoor
4	recreation(\$3,675,935,000)
5	d. Percent of satisfied hunters(73%)
6	e. Percent of satisfied wildlife viewers(92%)
7	f. Percent of the acreage under management control
8	which is open to the public for wildlife-related outdoor
9	recreation(99.9%)
10	2. WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of publicly-owned acres managed for
13	wildlife-related outdoor recreation(3,700,000)
14	b. Number of privately-owned acres managed for
15	wildlife-related outdoor recreation(830,780)
16	c. Number of licensed resident hunters(164,626)
17	d. Number of licensed nonresident hunters(4,760)
18	e. Number of participants enrolled in wildlife
19	achievement programs(3,750)
20	f. Number of wildlife viewers(3,630,000)
21	3. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. The mean biological vulnerability score of 63 game
23	species - score is from 0 to 70 and lower is better(16.44)
24	b. The mean biological vulnerability score of 389
25	nongame species - score is from 0 to 70 and lower is better
26	(13.21)
27	c. The mean biological vulnerability score of 80
28	wildlife species listed as endangered, threatened or as a
29	species of special concern - score is from 0 to 70 and lower
30	<u>is better(29.62)</u>
31	4. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTPUT MEASURES
	144

1	a. Number of acres managed for wildlife(4,530,780)
2	b. Number of habitat management plans requested by and
3	<pre>prepared for private landowners(121/121)</pre>
4	c. Number of requests for wildlife habitat technical
5	assistance received from and provided to other agency or local
6	governments(299/299)
7	d. Number of survey and monitoring projects for game
8	<u>species(16)</u>
9	e. Number of survey and monitoring projects for
10	nongame wildlife species(11)
11	f. Number of survey and monitoring projects for
12	wildlife species listed as endangered, threatened or species
13	of special concern(4)
14	g. Number of wildlife species for which sufficient
15	data have been obtained to refine the biological vulnerability
16	score(78)
17	5. COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Wholesale price value of the commercial adult
19	alligators, hatchlings, and eggs(\$5,228,826)
20	b. Percent change in the number of alligator licenses
21	sold(0%)
22	c. Percent change in the number of alligator tags sold
23	- adult, hatchlings, and eggs(0%)
24	6. COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of properties enrolled in the private-lands
26	alligator management program(124)
27	b. Number of alligators available for harvest under
28	the public-waters harvest programs(3,370)
29	c. Number of alligator nest eggs available to
30	alligator ranches(1,118)
31	
	1.45
	145

1	d. Number of alligator hatchlings available to
2	alligator ranches(10,200)
3	(c) For the Fisheries Management Program, the purpose
4	of which is to maintain, enhance, and provide for responsible
5	use of Florida's freshwater fisheries, the outcome measures,
6	output measures, and associated performance standards with
7	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
8	1395-1401A are as follows:
9	1. RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Percent change in licensed resident anglers.(-3.6%)
11	b. Percent change in licensed nonresident anglers
12	(-17%)
13	c. Percent angler satisfaction(75%)
14	d. Percent change in licensed freshwater commercial
15	fishermen(0%)
16	2. RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
17	MEASURES
18	a. Number of water bodies and acres in fish management
19	areas, urban areas, and other lakes or rivers managed to
20	<pre>improve fishing(113/770,955)</pre>
21	b. Number of access points established or maintained
22	(42)
23	c. Number of participants in achievement programs
24	(600)
25	d. Number of licensed resident anglers(426,000)
26	e. Number of licensed nonresident anglers(117,000)
27	f. Number of fish stocked(2,385,000)
28	g. Number of outreach participants in clinics and
29	derbies(25,000)
30	h. Number of private and volunteer-staffed events.(15)
31	
	146
	146

1	i. Number of information and technical assistance
2	requests provided to sports fishermen(9,468)
3	j. Number of licensed freshwater commercial fishermen
4	(1,500)
5	k. Number of commercial fishing permits reviewed and
6	issued including fishing gear and grass carp(1,145)
7	1. Number of information and technical assistance
8	requests received and provided to commercial fishermen(25)
9	3. FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION
10	OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number of water bodies and acres where habitat
12	rehabilitation projects have been completed(6/40,000)
13	b. Percentage change in degraded lakes rehabilitated
14	(1.7%)
15	4. FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION
16	OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of water bodies and acres with approved
18	habitat rehabilitation plans in progress(12/90,000)
19	b. Number of water bodies and acres surveyed for
20	habitat rehabilitation plans(15/150,000)
21	c. Number of water bodies and acres with developed
22	habitat rehabilitation plans(20/110,000)
23	d. Number of habitat rehabilitation technical
24	assistance requests received and provided, including other
25	agencies and local governments(4)
26	(d) For the Marine Resources Program, the purpose of
27	which is to preserve, enhance, and restore desired natural
28	functions and diversity of Florida's marine and estuarine
29	environments, the outcome measures, output measures, and
30	associated performance standards with respect to funds
31	provided in Specific Appropriations 1402-1411C are as follows:
	147

1	1. SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH
2	OUTCOMES
3	a. Reduce by 1% annually the ratio of shellfish
4	illnesses reported from Florida shellfish products to the
5	number of meals served(0.331/100,000)
6	b. Improve the number of marine fisheries stocks
7	reported as stable or increasing by 1% annually(113)
8	2. SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Percent of research projects that provide
11	management recommendations or support management actions
12	(100%)
13	b. Percent of shellfish and crab processing facilities
14	in significant compliance with permit and food safety
15	regulations(80%)
16	c. Number of reported cases of sickness/deaths from
17	shellfish consumption that can be directly traced to seafood
18	harvested from contaminated waters or to actions by fishermen,
19	packing houses, or seafood dealers not in compliance with
20	state regulations(48/3)
21	d. Commercial and other fishing licenses processed
22	annually(25,951)
23	e. Artificial reefs monitored and/or created annually
24	(65)
25	f. Percentage of shellfish harvesting areas opened
26	(67.5%)
27	g. Red tide/fish kill/disease investigations(6)
28	3. PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES
29	OUTCOME MEASURE
30	a. Reduce the manatee mortality rate by 1% annually
31	(7.88%)
	148

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	4. PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES
2	OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Manatee deaths as a result of human activities.(57)
4	b. Manatee deaths as a result of nonhuman activities
5	(134)
6	c. Manatee population(2,275)
7	d. Number of Sea turtle nests - NW region(905)
8	e. Number of Sea turtle nests - NE region(2,702)
9	f. Number of Sea turtle nests - SE region(68,022)
10	g. Number of Sea turtle nests - SW region(6,235)
11	h. Manatee federal recovery plans completed and tasks
12	<u>implemented(87)</u>
13	i. Miles of sea turtle index nesting beaches surveyed
14	(201)
15	j. Number/percent of stranded sea turtles necropsied
16	(1,000/10%)
17	(4) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
18	(a) For the District Operations Program, the purpose
19	of which is to develop and implement the State Highway System;
20	to acquire rights of way necessary to support the DOT's work
21	<pre>program; to promote all forms of public transportation</pre>
22	including transit, aviation, intermodal/rail, and seaport
23	development; and to provide routine and uniform maintenance of
24	the State Highway System, operate vehicle repair shops and
25	warehouses, manage highway beautification, and operate welcome
26	centers, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
27	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
28	Specific Appropriations 1434-1483 and 1492-1529 are as
29	<u>follows:</u>
30	1. HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING PROGRAM OUTCOME
31	MEASURES
	149
	===

1	a. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million
2	miles traveled(less than 2.05)
3	b. Percentage of state highway system pavement in good
4	condition(80%)
5	c. Percentage of state-maintained bridges in good
6	condition(95%)
7	d. Percentage increase in number of days required for
8	completed construction contracts over original contract days
9	(less weather days)(less than 30%)
10	e. Percentage increase in final amount paid for
11	completed construction contracts over original contract amount
12	(less than 10%)
13	f. Percentage of vehicle crashes on state highway
14	system where road-related conditions were listed as a
15	contributing factor(less than
16	1.0%)
17	g. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million
18	miles traveled on the state highway system(TBD)
19	h. Number of bicycle deaths per 100,000 population on
20	the state highway system(TBD)
21	i. Number of pedestrian deaths per 100,000 population
22	on the state highway system(TBD)
23	2. HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING PROGRAM OUTPUT
24	MEASURES
25	a. Number of lane miles let to contract for
26	resurfacing(1,752)
27	b. Number of lane miles let to contract for highway
28	capacity improvements(235)
29	c. Percentage of construction contracts planned for
30	letting that were actually let(95%)
31	d. Number of bridges let to contract for repair(63)
	150

1	e. Number of bridges let to contract for replacement
2	(67)
3	3. RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of right-of-way parcels acquired(2,170)
5	b. Number of projects certified ready for construction
6	(108)
7	c. Percentage of planned parcels actually acquired
8	(TBD)
9	d. Percentage of planned projects actually certified
10	(TBD)
11	e. Number of planned construction contract lettings
12	delayed beyond the fiscal year because of failure to certify a
13	<pre>project ready for construction(TBD)</pre>
14	f. Total purchase price of all FDOT negotiated parcels
15	compared to the total spread or difference between the FDOT
16	appraisal and the landowner's counter-offer to that appraisal
17	for the same negotiated parcels(TBD)
18	g. Number and percentage of parcels acquired by
19	negotiation vs. condemnation(TBD)
20	4. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Transit ridership growth compared to population
22	growth(2%/2%)
23	b. Percentage of runways (at licensed public use
24	airports) in compliance with minimum safety standards(TBD)
25	c. Tons of cargo shipped by air(4,500,000)
26	5. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of passenger enplanements(59,000,000)
28	b. Total passenger miles per gallon for fixed
29	route/demand response transit(TBD)
30	c. Number of public transit passenger trips
31	(173,000,000)
	151

1	d. Number of cruise embarkations and disembarkations
2	at Florida ports(11,000,000)
3	e. Percentage of people requesting special need
4	transportation who did not receive it(TBE)
5	f. Number of containers moved at seaports(TBD)
6	6. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME
7	MEASURES
8	a. Maintenance condition rating of state highway
9	system as measured against the department's maintenance manual
10	standards(80)
11	(b) For the Planning and Engineering Program, the
12	purpose of which is to reduce occurrences of overweight
13	commercial motor vehicles on the State Highway System and
14	eliminate hazards caused by defective or unsafe commercial
15	motor vehicles, the outcome measures, output measures, and
16	associated performance standards with respect to funds
17	provided in Specific Appropriations 1434-1458 are as follows:
18	1. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME
19	MEASURES
20	a. Percent of commercial vehicles weighed that were
21	over weight:
22	(I) Fixed scale weighings(0.4%)
23	(II) Portable scale weighings(37.0%)
24	2. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of commercial vehicles weighed(10,400,000)
26	b. Number of commercial vehicles safety inspections
27	performed(75,000)
28	c. Number of portable scale weighings performed
29	(50,000)
30	
31	
	150
	152

1	d. Percentage of safety inspections resulting in
2	placing the vehicle and/or driver out of service; random
3	inspections, targeted inspections(TBD)
4	e. Number of traffic stops(TBD)
5	(c) For the Finance and Administration Program, the
6	purpose of which is the efficiently operate and maintain state
7	toll facilities, the outcome measures, the output measures,
8	and associated performance standard with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 1412-1427A are as follows:
10	1. TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Operational cost per toll(<\$0.160)
12	2. TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of toll transactions(472,000,000)
14	Section 42. The performance measures and standards
15	established in this section for individual programs in the
16	General Government agencies shall be applied to those programs
17	for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and
18	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
19	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as
20	required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act
21	of 1994.
22	(1) DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE
23	(a) For the Financial Accountability for Public Funds
24	Program, the purpose of which is to provide for and promote
25	financial accountability for public funds throughout state
26	government, provide the citizens of Florida with timely,
27	factual, and comprehensive information on the financial status
28	of the state and how state funds are expended, and receive and
29	investigate complaints of government fraud, waste and abuse,
30	the outcome measures, output measures and associated
31	
	153

1	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
2	Specific Appropriations 1554-1560 are as follows:
3	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Percentage of program's customers who return an
5	overall customer service rating of good or excellent on
6	surveys(94%)
7	b. Percentage of payment requests rejected during the
8	preaudit process for inconsistencies with legal and/or other
9	applicable requirements(1%)
10	c. Percentage of vendor payments issues in less than
11	the Comptroller's statutory time limit of ten days(100%)
12	d. Accuracy rate of postaudited vendor payments(TBD)
13	e. Dollars recovered from erroneous payments compared
14	to total dollars of erroneous payment detected(TBD)
15	f. Percentage of federal wage and information returns
16	prepared and filed where no penalties or interest were paid
17	(100%)
18	g. Percentage of federal tax deposits where no
19	penalties or interest were paid(100%)
20	h. Percentage of payroll payments made accurately
21	based on information submitted(100%)
22	i. Percentage of those utilizing program provided
23	financial information who rate the overall relevancy,
24	usefulness, and timeliness of information as good or excellent
25	(95%)
26	j. Number of qualifications in the Independent
27	Auditor's Report on the State General Purpose Financial
28	Statements which are related to the presentation of the
29	financial statements(0)
30	k. Percentage of vendor payments issued electronically
31	(22%)
	154

1	1. Percentage of payroll payments issued
2	electronically(77%)
3	m. Percentage of retirement payments issued
4	electronically(79%)
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of vendor payment requests preaudited
7	
8	b. Percentage of vendor payment requests preaudited
9	(19%)
10	c. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests preaudited
11	(\$14.1
12	billion)
13	d. Number of vendor payment requests postaudited.(TBD)
14	e. Percentage of vendor payment requests postaudited
15	(TBD)
16	f. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests
17	postaudited(TBD)
18	g. Number of vendor invoices paid(4.2 million)
19	h. Dollar amount of vendor invoices paid(\$34.7
20	billion)
21	i. Number of federal wage and information returns
22	prepared and filed(289,000)
23	j. Number of federal tax deposits made(88)
24	k. Number of IRS penalties paid(0)
25	1. Dollar amount of IRS penalties paid(0)
26	m. Number of payroll payments issued(5,416,880)
27	n. Dollar amount of payroll payments issued(\$5.8
28	billion)
29	o. Number of payroll payments issued according to
30	<pre>published schedules(5.4 million)</pre>
31	
	155

1	p. Percentage of payroll payments issued according to
2	published schedules(100%)
3	q. Number of instances during the year where as a
4	result of inadequate cash management under this program,
5	general revenue had a negative cash balance(0)
6	r. Percentage of atypical balances corrected at
7	year-end(0)
8	s. Average number of days from month's end to complete
9	reconciliations(30)
10	t. Number of payments issued electronically(7.1
11	million)
12	u. Dollar amount of payments issued electronically
13	(\$23.7 billion)
14	v. Hours of training/education conducted on accounting
15	issues(425)
16	w. Hours of training/education conducted on payroll
17	issues(50)
18	x. Number of fiscal integrity cases closed(TBD)
19	y. Number of "get lean" hotline calls processed for
20	referral to the appropriate agency(500)
21	z. Number of fiscal integrity cases closed where
22	criminal, disciplinary, and/or administrative actions taken
23	(TBD)
24	(b) For the Financial Institutions Regulatory Program,
25	the purpose of which is to ensure the safety and soundness of
26	state financial institutions and to enhance the dual banking
27	system, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
28	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
29	Specific Appropriations 1566-1569 are as follows:
30	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	156
	130

1	a. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
2	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
3	Florida on Return on Assets(51%)
4	b. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
5	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
6	Florida on Return on Equity(51%)
7	c. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
8	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
9	Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio(51%)
10	d. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
11	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
12	Florida on Tier 1 Capital(51%)
13	e. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
14	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
15	chartered in Florida on Return on Assets(51%)
16	f. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
17	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
18	chartered in Florida on Return on Equity(51%)
19	g. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
20	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
21	chartered in Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio(51%)
22	h. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
23	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
24	chartered in Florida on Tier 1 Capital(51%)
25	i. Percentage of applications for new Florida
26	financial institutions that seek state charters(67%)
27	j. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
28	state chartered banks compared to assessments that would be
29	paid if the bank was nationally or federally chartered
30	(\$15,300)
31	
	157
	± • /

1	b. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on
2	Equity(11.01%)
3	c. Median Florida state-chartered banks Capital to
4	Asset Ratio(9.15%)
5	d. Median Florida state-chartered banks Tier 1 Capital
6	(9.18%)
7	e. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
8	on Assets(1.04%)
9	f. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
10	on Equity(8.06%)
11	g. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions
12	Capital to Asset Ratio(12.94%)
13	h. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Tier 1
14	Capital(12.18%)
15	i. Number of new Florida state-chartered banks opened
16	(20)
17	j. Amount of annual assessments paid by banks
18	(\$6,756,100)
19	k. Amount of annual assessments paid by credit unions
20	(\$1,237,200)
21	1. Number of banks examined by the Division of Banking
22	receiving an examination report within 45 days(45)
23	m. Number of credit unions examined by the Division of
24	Banking receiving an examination report within 30 days(57)
25	n. Number of International financial institutions
26	examined by the Division of Banking receiving an examination
27	report within 45 days(16)
28	o. Number of Trust Companies examined by the Division
29	of Banking receiving an examination report within 60 days(8)
30	p. Number of statutorily complete new De Novo
31	applications received that are processed within 90 days(5)
	159

1	q. Number of statutorily complete branch applications
2	received that are processed within 50 days(27)
3	r. Number of statutorily complete expedited branch
4	applications received that are processed within 10 days(45)
5	s. Number of statutorily complete merger/acquisition
6	applications received that are processed within 60 days(17)
7	t. Number of institutions under enforcement actions
8	(23)
9	u. Percentage/number of financial institutions
10	examined within statutory time frames by type of institution
11	(TBD)
12	v. Percentage/number of surveys returned that rate the
13	Division's examination program as satisfactory or above
14	(75%/150)
15	w. Percentage/number of examinations conducted within
16	3 months and 6 months of a prior state or federal examination
17	(TBD)
18	$ ilde{ imes}$. Percentage/number of state examinations where total
19	examination time was reduced by a standard percentage compared
20	to the hours required during the base examination(TBD)
21	${f y}$. Percentage/number of state examinations where
22	on-site hours were reduced by a standard percentage compared
23	to the on-site hours required during the base examination
24	(TBD)
25	(c) For the Unclaimed Property Program, the purpose of
26	which is to increase efforts in finding, locating, collecting
27	in a manner to allow for better identification of owners, and
28	returning unclaimed property to the owners, the outcome
29	measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 1570-1573 are as follows:
	160

1	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage increase in the total number of holders
3	reporting(5%)
4	b. Percentage of previously filing holders who submit
5	<pre>problem reports(3%)</pre>
6	c. Percentage of the total number of claims paid to
7	the owner compared to the total number of returnable accounts
8	reported/received(22%)
9	d. Percentage of the total dollar amount of claims
10	paid to the owner compared to the total dollars in returnable
11	accounts reported/received(80%)
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of holders reports processed(16,000)
14	b. Number of first time holders reports processed
15	(800)
16	c. Number of seminars conducted(3)
17	d. Number of in-state exams of holders who have not
18	previously filed a holder report(13)
19	e. Number of out-of-state exams of holders who have
20	not previously filed a holder report(200)
21	f. Number of in-state exams conducted(26)
22	g. Dollar value collected as a result of in-state
23	exams(\$500,000)
24	h. Number of out-of-state exams processed(450)
25	i. Dollar value collected as a result of out-of-state
26	exams(\$15
27	<pre>million)</pre>
28	j. Number/dollar value of owner accounts processed
29	(255,000/\$101
30	<pre>million)</pre>
31	
	161
	· -

1	k. Total cost of the program to the number of holder
2	reports/owner accounts processed(\$30)
3	1. Number of holder reports categorized as problem
4	reports(3%)
5	m. Number of problem reports from holders who are
6	identified as filing previous holder reports(200)
7	n. Number of responses to holders who submitted
8	problem reports with instructions on how to correct the
9	problems(450)
10	o. Number/dollar value of claims paid to owners
11	(56,400/TBD)
12	p. Number/dollar of returnable accounts entered on the
13	database from the annual reports(TBD)
14	q. Number of owner accounts advertised(100,000)
15	r. Number of claims processed(60,000)
16	s. Percentage of claims approved/denied within
17	30/60/90 days from the date received(TBD)
18	t. Number of claims approved/denied within 30/60/90
19	days from the date received(10,800)
20	u. Percentage of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from
21	date received(10%/40%/50%)
22	v. Number of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from
23	date received(5,640/22,560/28,200)
24	w. Percentage of customer telephone calls answered
25	within 20 seconds(TBD)
26	x. Number of customer telephone calls answered within
27	20 seconds(TBD)
28	y. Number of customer telephone calls hung up before
29	answered(TBD)
30	(d) For the Consumer Financial Protection and Industry
31	Authorization Program, the purpose of which is to protect
	162

1	consumers of the securities and finance industries and the
2	public from illegal financial activities, and provide
3	consumers and the public with authoritative and expedient
4	information, the outcome measures, output measures, and
5	associated performance standards with respect to funds
6	provided in Specific Appropriations 1574-1578 are as follows:
7	1. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. Percentage of licensees examined where department
9	action is taken for violations for cause due to receipt of
10	information which indicates a potential violation of the
11	statute(TBD)
12	b. Percentage of licensees examined where department
13	action is taken for violations found in routine randomly
14	selected licenses examined based on a risk assessment profile
15	(TBD)
16	c. Percentage of investigations of licensed entities
17	referred to other agencies where investigative assistance
18	aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative actions.(TBD)
19	d. Percentage of investigations of unlicensed entities
20	referred to other agencies where investigative assistance
21	aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative actions.(TBD)
22	e. Percentage of investigations of licensed entities
23	referred to department legal staff where investigative
24	assistance aided in obtaining administrative or civil actions
25	(TBD)
26	f. Percentage of investigations of unlicensed entities
27	referred to department legal staff where investigative
28	assistance aided in obtaining administrative or civil actions
29	(TBD)
30	g. Dollars returned (voluntarily or through court
31	ordered restitution) to victims compared to total dollars of
	163

1	verified loss as a result of investigative efforts of licensed
2	entities(TBD)
3	h. Dollars returned to victims compared to total
4	dollars of verified loss as a result of investigative efforts
5	of unlicensed entities(TBD)
6	i. Percentage of written complaints processed within
7	applicable standards(85%)
8	j. Percentage of telephone complaints resolved without
9	written documentation from the consumer(TBD)
10	k. Percentage of written complaints regarding licensed
11	entities referred for examination, investigation, or
12	legal/criminal action resulting in formal/informal sanctions
13	within/outside statutory authority(TBD)
14	1. Percentage of written complaints regarding
15	unlicensed entities referred for examination, investigation,
16	or legal/criminal action resulting in formal/informal
17	sanctions within/outside statutory authority(TBD)
18	m. Percentage of participants at public/consumer
19	awareness activities who completed a questionnaire and
20	responded that the subject presented was informative,
21	understandable, important, and timely(TBD)
22	2. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of for cause examinations completed with
24	informal or formal action taken(TBD)
25	b. Number of for cause examinations completed(TBD)
26	c. Number of routine examinations completed with
27	informal or formal action taken(TBD)
28	d. Number of routine examinations completed(TBD)
29	e. Percent of total licensees examined to determine
30	compliance with applicable regulations(7.1%)
31	f. Number of investigations closed(550)
	164

1	g. Number of investigations of licensed/unlicensed
2	entities closed(TBD)
3	h. Number of investigations of licensed entities
4	referred to other agencies for criminal/civil/administrative
5	action(TBD)
6	i. Number of investigations of unlicensed entities
7	referred to other agencies for criminal/civil/administrative
8	action(TBD)
9	j. Number of investigations of licensed entities
10	referred to other agencies where investigative assistance
11	aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative action(TBD)
12	k. Number of investigations of unlicensed entities
13	referred to other agencies where investigative assistance
14	aided in obtaining criminal/civil/administrative action(TBD)
15	1. Number of investigations referred to department
16	legal staff for administrative or civil action(91)
17	m. Number of investigations of licensed/unlicensed
18	entities referred to department legal staff for administrative
19	or civil action(TBD/TBD)
20	n. Number of investigations referred to department
21	legal staff where investigative assistance aided in obtaining
22	administrative or civil action(53)
23	o. Number of investigations of licensed/unlicensed
24	entities referred to department legal staff where
25	investigative assistance aided in obtaining administrative or
26	civil action(TBD/TBD)
27	p. Number of background investigations completed.(768)
28	q. Number of background investigations completed of
29	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
30	r. Amount of court ordered restitution to victims of
31	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
	165
	103

1	s. Amount of voluntary reimbursement received from
2	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
3	t. Amount returned to victims of licensed/unlicensed
4	entities(TBD/TBD)
5	u. Amount of verified loss to victims of
6	licensed/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
7	v. Number of department assisted investigations that
8	resulted in monetary awards to victims of licensed
9	entities/unlicensed entities(TBD/TBD)
10	w. Average number of days for initial written
11	responses to consumers(7)
12	x. Average number of days to resolve, refer, or close
13	a written complaint(68)
14	y. Number of complaints resolved, referred, or closed
15	during the year(4,350)
16	z. Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond 90
17	days(21%)
18	aa. Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond 120
19	days(15%)
20	bb. Number of hotline/complaint line calls processed
21	as complaints(TBD)
22	cc. Number of hotline/complaint line calls resolved
23	without written documentation(TBD)
24	dd. Number of written complaints where the department
25	identified statutory violations(150)
26	ee. Number of written complaints where the department
27	identified statutory violations by licensed/unlicensed
28	entities(TBD/TBD)
29	ff. Number of complaints referred for consideration of
30	legal or criminal action(40)
31	
	166

1	gg. Number of complaints on licensed/unlicensed
2	entities referred for consideration of legal or criminal
3	action(TBD/TBD)
4	hh. Number of complaints referred for consideration of
5	legal or criminal action resulting in formal/informal
6	sanctions(TBD/TBD)
7	ii. Number of public/consumer awareness contacts made
8	(TBD)
9	jj. Number of public/consumer awareness activities
10	conducted(TBD)
11	kk. Number of participants at public/awareness
12	activities(TBD)
13	3. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Percentage of licensees sanctioned for violations
15	(0.009%)
16	b. Percentage of total applicants not licensed to
17	conduct business in the state because they fail to meet
18	substantive licensing requirements(5%)
19	c. Percentage of applicants prevented from entering
20	the securities industry in Florida who subsequently are the
21	subject of additional disciplinary action in other
22	jurisdictions within three years(60%)
23	4. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of final actions taken against licensees
25	(370)
26	b. Number of applications denied or withdrawn(3,546)
27	c. Number of applications licensed(67,398)
28	d. Number of applications processed(70,944)
29	e. Amount of securities registration applications
30	denied or withdrawn(\$4.2 billion)
31	
	167
	10/

1	f. Number of applicants licensed with restrictions
2	(280)
3	g. Number of applications denied or withdrawn with
4	additional disciplinary information reported on the CRD within
5	three years(324)
6	h. Number/percentage of filing or requests processed
7	within a designated standard number of days by type(TBD)
8	(2) EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
9	(a) For the Economic Improvement Program, the purpose
10	of which is to maintain and improve the economic health of
11	Florida by increasing jobs, income, and investments through
12	promoting targeted businesses, tourism, professional and
13	amateur sports and entertainment, and by assisting
14	communities, residents, and businesses, and the outcome
15	measures, output measures, and associated performance
16	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
17	Appropriations 1668-1673A are as follows:
18	1. OFFICE OF TOURISM TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
19	OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number/dollar amount of contracts and grants
21	administered(283/\$290 million)
22	b. Public expenditures per job created/retained under
23	QTI incentive program(\$3,750)
24	c. Number of state agency proposed rules reviewed
25	which impact small businesses(85)
26	d. Number of business leaders' meetings coordinated
27	
28	2. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Number of businesses/jobs retained or created as a
30	result of the venture capital funds(4/25)
31	
	160
	168

1	b. Dollar amount/number of bid and performance bonds
2	to contractors in bonding program(\$10 million/35)
3	c. Dollar amount and procurement opportunities
4	generated for Black businesses(\$2.5 million)
5	3. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Amount of venture capital funds provided.(\$250,000)
7	b. Number of participants enrolled in Contractor
8	Assistance and Bonding Program(74)
9	c. Number of missions/events coordinated/participated
10	in to develop business opportunities(5)
11	d. BBICs created or supported(7)
12	e. Private dollars leveraged(\$2 million)
13	4. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Economic contributions from Florida Sports
15	Foundation-sponsored regional and major sporting events grants
16	(\$150 million)
17	5. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number/amount of major sports event grants awarded
19	(30/\$700,000)
20	b. Number of publications produced/distributed
21	(7/574,000)
22	c. Number of promotions conducted/supported:
23	(I) Statewide(6)
24	(II) National(1)
25	d. Number of trade/consumer shows facilitated or
26	conducted(10)
27	6. GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND AMATEUR
28	SPORTS OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Number of participants - Youth, Seniors, and Adults
30	(32,300)
31	b. Number of participants - Bike Florida(750)
	169
	109

1	c. Number of surveys conducted/satisfaction rating
2	(1,000/98%)
3	7. GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND AMATEUR
4	SPORTS OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Education symposiums conducted(10)
6	b. Host festival events in accordance with section
7	14.22, Florida Statutes(14)
8	c. Publications, magazines, brochures,
9	pamphlets-distribution(350,000)
10	8. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
11	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percent of overseas clients who indicate assistance
13	is very responsive(96%)
14	b. Percent of volunteer-consultants who would
15	volunteer again(97%)
16	c. Ratio of donated services and contributions as
17	compared to the amount of state funding(1.5:1)
18	9. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
19	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of volunteer technical assistance missions
21	to Central America and the Caribbean(96)
22	b. Number of international and domestic development
23	missions(15)
24	10. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Sustained growth in the number of travelers who
26	come to and go through Florida:
27	(I) Out-of-state(49.9 million)
28	(II) Residents(12.6 million)
29	b. Sustained growth in the beneficial impacts that
30	travelers in Florida have on the state's overall economy:
31	(I) Rental car surcharge(\$141.7 million)
	170

1	(II) Tourism-related employment(815,267)
2	(III) Taxable sales(\$45.5 billion)
3	(IV) Local option tax(\$293 million)
4	c. Growth in private sector contributions to VISIT
5	FLORIDA(\$26.7
6	million)
7	11. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Quality and effectiveness of paid advertising
9	messages reaching the target audience:
10	(I) Impressions(505 million)
11	(II) Leads (number contacting VISIT FLORIDA in
12	response to advertising)(552,500)
13	b. Value and number of consumer promotions facilitated
14	by VISIT FLORIDA(\$11 million/150)
15	c. Number of leads and visitor inquiries generated by
16	VISIT FLORIDA events and media placements(650,000)
17	d. Number of private sector partners(1,500)
18	e. Level of private sector partner financial
19	contributions through:
20	(I) Direct financial investment(\$2 million)
21	(II) Strategic alliance program(\$300,000)
22	12. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Value of new investment in the Florida space
24	business and programs (cumulative)(\$200 million)
25	b. Number of launches(30)
26	c. Number of visitors to space-related tourism
27	facilities(2.75
28	million)
29	d. Tax revenue generated by space-related tourism
30	facilities(\$1,206,600)
31	13. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTPUT MEASURES
	171

 ${\tt CODING:} {\tt Words} \ {\tt stricken} \ {\tt are \ deletions:} \ {\tt words} \ {\tt \underline{underlined}} \ {\tt are \ additions.}$

1	a. Number of students in Spaceport Florida Authority
2	(SFA) sponsored space-related classroom or research at
3	accredited institutions of higher education(300)
4	b. Equity in SFA industrial/research facilities.(\$54.2
5	<pre>million)</pre>
6	c. Presentations to industry and governmental decision
7	<u>makers(15)</u>
8	d. Equity in SFA space-related tourist facilities.(\$20
9	<pre>million)</pre>
10	14. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and
11	Economic Development OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Number of permanent jobs directly created as a
13	result of ITED programs(27,000)
14	b. Number of permanent jobs retained as a direct
15	result of ITED programs(2,600)
16	c. Documented export sales attributable to programs
17	and activities(\$40 million)
18	d. Documented sales as a result of foreign office
19	activities(\$18
20	<pre>million)</pre>
21	e. Signed Representation Agreements - Florida Office
22	(48)
23	f. Signed Representation Agreements - International
24	Offices(24)
25	15. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and
26	Economic Development OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Total number of qualified trade leads(440)
28	b. Number of trade events(33)
29	c. Number of Florida companies in field office
30	<pre>portfolio (counseled)(1,085)</pre>
31	
	172
	1/2

1	d. Number of investment projects identified or
2	referred by foreign offices(159)
3	e. Number of Florida companies assisted by foreign
4	offices(1,625)
5	f. Number of active retention/expansion projects
6	worked during the year(70)
7	g. Number of active recruitment projects worked during
8	the year(225)
9	h. Number of leads and projects referred to local
10	Economic Development Organizations(120)
11	16. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Technology Development OUTCOME
12	MEASURES
13	a. Jobs created/retained as a result of assistance to
14	manufacturing firms(650)
15	b. Lowered inventory costs as a result of assistance
16	to manufacturing firms(\$7.72 million)
17	c. Lowered labor and materials costs as a result of
18	assistance to manufacturing firms(\$6.06 million)
19	d. Increased sales as a result of assistance to
20	manufacturing firms (Florida Manufacturing Technology Centers)
21	(\$46 million)
22	e. Commercialized technologies (Innovation and
23	Commercialization Corporations)(30)
24	f. Assistance in formation of new companies/joint
25	ventures (Innovation and Commercialization Corporations)(10)
26	g. Capital raised by assisted companies (Innovation
27	and Commercialization Corporations)(\$20 million)
28	h. Assist companies in creating new and retaining
29	existing jobs (Innovation and Commercialization Corporations)
30	(421)
31	
	172
	173

1	17. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Technology Development OUTPUT
2	MEASURES
3	a. Number of companies assisted by Manufacturing
4	Technology Centers:(960)
5	(I) Small companies(719)
6	(II) Medium companies(190)
7	(III) Women/Minority companies(95)
8	(IV) Rural companies(75)
9	b. Number of new companies/joint ventures created by
10	Innovation and Commercialization Corporations(10)
11	c. Review technology assistance applications(500)
12	d. Sign contracts (Innovation and Commercialization
13	Corporations)(47)
14	e. Assist technology-based companies/entrepreneurs
15	(700)
16	f. Number of activities assisting manufacturing
17	companies(900)
18	18. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Workforce Development OUTCOME
19	MEASURES
20	a. Individuals completing Performance-Based Incentive
21	Fund programs and placed in targeted occupations(23,264)
22	b. Individuals exiting Performance-Based Incentive
23	Fund programs and placed in targeted occupations(18,964)
24	c. Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES participants
25	completing training and placed in targeted occupations.(7,966)
26	d. Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES participants
27	exiting and placed in targeted occupations(4,826)
28	e. WAGES participants completing training and placed
29	in expanded "career path" occupations as defined by JEP/WAGES
30	(3,183)
31	
	174
	±/±

1	f. Trained and placed WAGES participants retaining
2	employment at least six months(2,652)
3	g. Individuals receiving customized training and being
4	placed in new companies in Enterprise Zones and companies
5	located in rural areas(1,270)
6	h. Individuals receiving customized training and
7	placed in high skill/high wage jobs(8,450)
8	19. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Workforce Development OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Incentives paid for individuals in
11	Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs completing and
12	placed in targeted occupations(\$8.863 million)
13	b. Incentives paid for individuals in
14	Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs exiting and placed
15	in targeted occupations(\$7.25 million)
16	c. Incentives paid for WAGES participants and other
17	disadvantaged individuals completing and placed in targeted
18	occupations(\$5.9 million)
19	d. Incentives paid for WAGES participants and other
20	disadvantaged individuals exiting and placed in targeted
21	occupations(\$4.89 million)
22	e. Number of Quick Response Training grants executed
23	with new and expanding businesses in rural areas(6)
24	f. Number of Quick Response Training grants executed
25	with new and expanding businesses in Enterprise Zones(4)
26	g. Number of Quick Response Training Grants executed
27	with new and expanded businesses(33)
28	20. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Capital Development OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	a. Jobs created as a result of Capital Development,
31	<u>nonexport loans(120)</u>
	175

1	b. Jobs created as a result of Capital Development,
2	venture capital activity(55)
3	c. Venture Capital raised by presenters at venture
4	forums(\$7
5	<pre>million)</pre>
6	d. Investments received by Florida businesses from
7	Cypress Fund sponsored firms and co-investors(\$12 million)
8	e. Florida businesses cumulatively receiving venture
9	capital investments from Cypress Fund venture firms(5)
10	21. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Capital Development OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of nonexport low-cost business loans funded
13	at sub-prime rates(8)
14	b. Dollar value of nonexport low-cost business loans
15	<pre>funded at sub-prime rates(\$12 million)</pre>
16	c. Number of Venture Finance Directories and primers
17	distributed(882)
18	d. Venture capital conferences/forums and
19	investor/entrepreneur networking seminars(7)
20	e. Investors, entrepreneurs, and service providers
21	attending venture capital forums(330)
22	f. Venture capital invested by Florida institutions in
23	<pre>Cypress Fund(\$2.8 million)</pre>
24	(3) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES
25	(a) For the Highway Patrol Program, the purpose of
26	which is to increase highway safety in Florida through law
27	enforcement, preventive patrol, and public education, the
28	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
29	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
30	Appropriations 1682-1689A are as follows:
31	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
	176

1	a. Percent of seat belt use (for information only):
2	(I) Annual percent change(1%)
3	(II) State compliance rate(62%)
4	(III) National average compliance rate(68%)
5	b. Annual mileage death rate on all Florida roads per
6	100 million vehicle miles of travel:
7	(I) Florida(2.05)
8	(II) National average(1.7)
9	c. Annual alcohol-related death rate per 100 million
10	vehicle miles of travel(.67)
11	d. Annual crashes investigated by FHP:
12	(I) Number of crashes investigated by FHP (for
13	<u>information only</u>)(197,405)
14	(II) Percent change(1%)
15	e. Number of closed criminal investigation cases
16	resolved (for information only)(TBD)
17	f. Percent of closed criminal investigation cases
18	resolved(TBD)
19	g. Percent of closed professional compliance
20	investigation cases against FHP personnel, which are resolved
21	(TBD)
22	h. Percent of closed professional compliance
23	investigation cases for other divisions(TBD)
24	i. Percent of attendees at traffic safety
25	presentations planning to implement improved driving habits
26	(TBD)
27	j. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of
28	travel on all Florida roads(186.2)
29	k. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of
30	travel on FDOT roads (interstates, turnpikes, toll roads, and
31	U.S. and state highways) (for information only)(TBD)
	177

1	1. Annual mileage death rate on FDOT roads per 100
2	million vehicle miles of travel (for information only)(TBD)
3	m. Annual alcohol-related death rate per 100 million
4	vehicle miles of travel on FDOT roads(TBD)
5	n. Injury rate per 100 million vehicle miles of travel
6	on all Florida roads(182.6)
7	o. Injury rate per 100 million vehicle miles of travel
8	on FDOT roads(TBD)
9	p. Percent of Class 1 traffic homicide investigations
10	recommended for prosecution presented to the state attorney
11	which have charges filed(TBD)
12	q. Percent of all traffic homicide investigations
13	resolved(TBD)
14	r. Percent of FHP recruits trained at the Academy that
15	successfully pass all phases of the State Law Enforcement
16	Certification exam on the first attempt(TBD)
17	s. Percent of FHP recruits reporting to the Academy
18	that successfully complete all phases of training(TBD)
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Average time (hours) spent per criminal
21	investigation cases closed(40.93)
22	b. Actual number of criminal cases closed(1,350)
23	c. Average time (hours) spent per professional
24	compliance investigation cases closed(85.26)
25	d. Actual number of professional compliance
26	investigation cases closed(95)
27	e. Number of hours spent on traffic homicide
28	investigations (for information only)(135,607)
29	f. Number of cases resolved as result of traffic
30	homicide investigations(1,602)
31	g. Public traffic safety presentations:
	178
	1/8

1	(I) Number of presentations made(630)
2	(II) Persons in attendance(72,000)
3	h. Number of training courses offered to FHP recruits
4	and personnel(67)
5	i. Number of students successfully completing the
6	course(1,209)
7	j. Actual average response time (in minutes) to calls
8	for crashes or assistance(24.50)
9	k. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
10	on preventive patrol (for information only)(1,014,971)
11	1. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
12	on preventive patrol(42%)
13	m. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
14	on crash investigation (for information only)(338,826)
15	n. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
16	on crash investigation(14%)
17	o. Law enforcement officer assistance rendered:
18	(I) Duty hours spent (for information only)(111,355)
19	(II) Percent of duty hours(5%)
20	(III) Number of motorists assisted(308,500)
21	p. Average size of audience per traffic safety
22	presentation given by public information officers(114)
23	q. Average time (in hours) to investigate crashes:
24	(I) Long-form(2.30)
25	(II) Short-form(1.50)
26	(III) Nonreportable(.70)
27	r. Average time spent (in minutes) on each motorist
28	<u>assisted(TBD)</u>
29	s. Average time spent (in hours) per traffic homicide
30	<u>investigation(84.65)</u>
31	
	179

1	t. Percentage of recruits retained by FHP for five
2	years after the completion of training(TBD)
3	u. Percentage of recruits retained by FHO for 1 year
4	after the completion of training(93%)
5	(b) For the Driver Licenses Program, the purpose of
6	which is to maintain an efficient driver licensing program
7	assuring that only drivers demonstrating the necessary
8	knowledge, skills, and abilities are licensed to operate motor
9	vehicles on Florida roads; to remove drivers from the highways
10	who abuse their driving privilege or require further driver
11	education; to ensure that drivers are financially responsible
12	for their actions; and to maintain adequate records for driver
13	education and administrative control, the outcome measures,
14	output measures, and associated performance standards with
15	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
16	1690-1695A are as follows:
17	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of customers waiting 15 minutes or less for
19	<u>driver license service(79%)</u>
20	b. Percent of customers waiting 30 minutes or more for
21	driver license service(8%)
22	c. Percent of DUI course graduates who do not
23	recidivate within three years of graduation(86%)
24	d. Average number of corrections per 1,000 driver
25	records maintained(4.3)
26	e. Percent of motorists complying with financial
27	<u>responsibility(83%)</u>
28	f. Number of driver licenses/identification cards
29	suspended, cancelled, and invalidated as a result of
30	fraudulent activity, with annual percent change shown
31	(2,046/1%)
	180

1	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of driver licenses issued(3,609,500)
3	b. Number of identification cards issued(729,854)
4	c. Number of (written) driver license examinations
5	conducted(1,029,731)
6	d. Number of road tests conducted(393,744)
7	(c) For the Motor Vehicles Program, the purpose of
8	which is to increase consumer protection, health, and public
9	safety through efficient license systems that register and
10	title motor vehicles, vessels, and mobile homes, regulate
11	vehicle and motor home dealers, manufacturers, and central
12	emission inspection stations, and to collect revenue in the
13	most efficient and effective manner, the outcome measures,
14	output measures, and associated performance standards with
15	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1696-1705
16	are as follows:
17	1. OUTCOME MEASURERS
18	a. Percent of motor vehicle titles issued without
19	error(99%)
20	b. Fraudulent motor vehicle titles:
21	(I) Number identified and submitted to law enforcement
22	(1,042)
23	(II) Percent change(5%)
24	c. Ratio of warranty complaints to new mobile homes
25	<u>titled(1:890)</u>
26	d. Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day in
27	the six applicable (air quality) counties(15.63%)
28	e. Ratio of taxes collected from international
29	registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements
30	(IFTA) audits to cost of audits(\$2:\$1)
31	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
	181

1	a. Number of motor vehicle and mobile homes
2	registrations issued(13,642,317)
3	b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles
4	issued(4,794,000)
5	c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title.(\$2.05)
6	d. Average time to issue a motor vehicle title(3.4
7	days)
8	e. Number of vessels registrations issued(841,849)
9	f. Number of vessel titles issued(206,375)
10	g. Average cost to issue a vessel title(\$5.50)
11	h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with
12	number of auditors shown(20/14)
13	(4) DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
14	(a) For the Fire Marshal Program, the purpose of which
15	is to enhance public safety through investigation and forensic
16	services, increasing the solvability of criminal cases, by
17	ensuring that emergency responders and service providers are
18	qualified, competent, and ethical through quality training,
19	education, and establishing professional standards; and
20	maintaining the safest possible environment through the
21	regulation, product testing, and inspection of fire
22	suppression and protection equipment, explosives, and
23	fireworks, the outcome measures, output measures, and
24	associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 1745-1753 are as follows:
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Number/percentage of closed fire investigations
28	successfully concluded, including by cause determined, suspect
29	identified and/or arrested, or other reasons(5,443/87%)
30	b. Number/percentage of closed arson investigations
31	for which an arrest was made(1,031/29%)
	182

1	c. Number/percentage of inspected state owned and
2	leased properties that experience a fire(TBD)
3	d. Number/percentage of licensed entities found in
4	violation of statutes(TBD)
5	e. Number/percentage of unlicensed entities found in
6	violation of statutes(TBD)
7	f. Number/percentage of students who rate training
8	they received at the Florida State Fire College as improving
9	their ability to perform assigned duties(5,901/95%)
10	g. Percent of above satisfactory ratings by
11	supervisors of students job performance from post-class
12	evaluations of skills gained through training at the Florida
13	State Fire College(85%)
14	h. Number/percentage of favorable rulings by hearing
15	officers on challenges to examination results and eligibility
16	determinations(12/92%)
17	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Total number of criminal fire investigations
19	commenced(7,968)
20	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced.(3,558)
21	c. Number of accidental investigations commenced
22	(2,696)
23	d. Number of other investigations commenced(1,714)
24	e. Total number of fire investigations closed(8,567)
25	f. Total number of fire code compliance inspections in
26	state owned/leased buildings(14,611)
27	g. Number of recurring inspections completed of fire
28	<pre>code compliance in state owned/leased buildings(7,200)</pre>
29	h. Number of high hazard inspections completed of fire
30	<pre>code compliance in state owned/leased buildings(6,536)</pre>
31	
	183
	100

1	i. Number of construction inspections completed of
2	fire code compliance in state owned/leased buildings(875)
3	j. Percent of fire code inspections completed within
4	statutory defined time-frame(91%)
5	k. Number of plans reviewed to assure compliance with
6	fire codes in state owned/leased buildings(1,157)
7	1. Percent of fire code plans reviews completed within
8	statutory defined time-frame(98%)
9	m. Total number of boilers inspected(12,500)
10	n. Number of boilers inspected by department
11	inspectors(4,200)
12	o. Number of boilers inspected by other inspectors
13	(8,300)
14	p. Number of complaint investigations completed
15	(1,497)
16	q. Number of regulatory inspections completed(850)
17	r. Number of licensed applications reviewed for
18	qualification(8,750)
19	s. Number of classes conducted by the Florida State
20	Fire College(210)
21	t. Number of students trained and classroom contact
22	hours provided by the Florida State Fire College
23	(6,212/215,677)
24	u. Number of curricula developed for Florida State
25	Fire College and certified training center delivery(5)
26	v. Percentage of satisfactory student evaluations of
27	Florida State Fire College facilities and services(95%)
28	w. Number/percentage of customer requests for
29	certification testing completed within defined time frames
30	(3,384/98%)
31	
	184
	104

1	x. Number/percentage of certified training centers
2	inspected that meet certification requirements(27/100%)
3	y. Number of examinations administered(5,500)
4	(b) For the State Property and Casualty Claims
5	Program, the purpose of which is to ensure that participating
6	state agencies are provided quality workers' compensation,
7	liability, federal civil rights, auto liability, and property
8	insurance coverage at reasonable rates by provided
9	self-insurance, purchase of insurance, claims handling, and
10	technical assistance in managing risk, the outcome measures,
11	output measures, and associated performance standards with
12	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1754-1757
13	are as follows:
14	1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME
15	MEASURES
16	a. Number/percentage of indemnity and medical payments
17	made in a timely manner in compliance with DLES Security Rule
18	38F-24.021, F.A.C(121,672/97%)
19	b. State Employees' Workers Compensation Benefit Cost
20	Rate, as defined by indemnity and medical costs per \$100 of
21	state employees' payroll(\$1.16)
22	2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT
23	MEASURES
24	a. Number of workers' compensation claims worked
25	(28,520)
26	b. Number of workers compensation claims litigated
27	(780)
28	c. Number of workers' compensation claims referred to
29	the Special Investigative Unit or the Department's Bureau of
30	Workers' Compensation Fraud(96)
31	3. RISK SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
	185

1	a. Number/percentage of workers' compensation claims
2	requiring some payment per 100 full-time-equivalent employees
3	(TBD).
4	b. Number and percent of agencies who indicated the
5	risk services training they received was useful in developing
6	and implementing risk management plans in their agencies
7	(26/90%)
8	c. Average cost of tort liability claims paid
9	(\$12,905)
10	d. Average cost of Federal Civil Rights liability
11	claims paid(\$29,067)
12	e. Average cost of workers' compensation claims
13	(\$3,250)
14	f. Average cost of property claims paid(\$7,547)
15	4. RISK SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of risk services training units provided to
17	state agency personnel(70)
18	b. Number of risk services surveys, follow-ups, and
19	<u>visits made(50)</u>
20	c. Number of risk services consultative contacts made
21	(195)
22	5. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Number/percentage of claims closed in relation to
24	claims closed during the fiscal year(4,226/51%)
25	b. Number/percentage of lawsuits, generated from a
26	liability claim, evaluated with SEFES codes entered within
27	<pre>prescribed timeframes(902/92%)</pre>
28	6. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURE
29	a. Number of liability claims worked(8,287)
30	7. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	186
COD	

1	a. Number/percentage of trainees who indicated the
2	training they received was useful in performing required
3	property program processes(TBD)
4	b. Number and percent of property claims closed within
5	prescribed time periods from the date complete documentation
6	is received(70/93%)
7	8. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of training units/assists provided by the
9	property program(50/253)
10	b. Number of state property loss/damage claims worked
11	(306)
12	(5) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY
13	(a) For the Disability Determination Program, the
14	purpose of which is to make timely and accurate disability
15	decisions for Florida citizens applying for benefits under the
16	federal Social Security Act or the Medically Needy program
17	administered by the Department of Children and Families, the
18	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
19	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
20	Appropriations 1847-1849 are as follows:
21	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Average number of days required to complete initial
23	disability determinations:
24	(I) Under Title II(80)
25	(II) Under Title XVI(80)
26	b. Average number of days required to complete initial
27	Medically Needy decisions(70)
28	c. Percentage of Title II and XVI disability decisions
29	completed accurately as measured by the Social Security
30	Administration(92%)
31	
	107
	187

1	d. Percentage of Medically Needy decisions completed
2	accurately, as measured by the internal ODD Quality Assurance
3	section(94%)
4	e. Cost per case (Titles II and XVI)(\$281)
5	f. Cost per case (Medically Needy)(\$181)
6	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Number of Title II and XVI disability decisions
8	completed(229,593)
9	b. Number of Medically Needy decisions completed
10	(18,365)
11	c. Title II/XVI production per work year(275)
12	d. Medically Needy production per work year(334)
13	(b) For the Rehabilitation Program, the purpose of
14	which is to oversee programs that provide vocational and
15	rehabilitative services to individuals with mental or physical
16	disabilities in an effort to enable them to live and work as
17	independently as possible, the outcome measures, output
18	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
19	funds in Specific Appropriations 1830-1846 are as follows:
20	1. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Rate and number of customers gainfully employed
22	(rehabilitated) at least 90 days:(62%/9,500)
23	(I) Of VR severely disabled(63%/3,800)
24	(II) Of VR most severely disabled(56%/4,275)
25	(III) Of BSCI customers referred to VR(55%/89)
26	(IV) Of all other VR disabled(75%/1,437)
27	b. Rate and number of VR customers placed in
28	<pre>competitive employment(97.5%/9,262)</pre>
29	c. Rate and number of VR customers retained in
30	<pre>employment after one year(61.5%/5,200)</pre>
31	
	188

1	d. Average annual earnings of VR customers at
2	placement(\$13,633)
3	e. Average annual earnings of VR customers after one
4	<u>year(\$14,384)</u>
5	f. Rate and number of BSCI customers returned
6	(reintegrated) to their communities at an appropriate level of
7	functioning for their injuries(82%/800)
8	g. Percentage of case costs covered by third-party
9	payers(25%)
10	h. Average cost of case life (to Division):
11	(I) For severely disabled VR customers(\$3,311)
12	(II) For most severely disabled VR customers(\$3,611)
13	(III) For all other disabled VR customers(\$450)
14	(IV) For brain injured BSCI customers(\$3,500)
15	(V) For spinal cord injured BSCI customers(\$9,500)
16	2. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of customers reviewed for eligibility
18	(24,000)
19	b. Number of individualized written plans for services
20	(19,750)
21	c. Number of customers served(72,000)
22	d. Customer caseload per counseling/case management
23	team member(165)
24	e. Percentage of eligibility determinations for VR
25	customers within 60 dyas after application(85%)
26	3. BLIND SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers
28	gainfully employed at least 90 days(68.3%/847)
29	b. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers placed
30	in competitive employment(64.3%/654)
31	
	189
	103

1	c. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers
2	retained in employment after 1 year(TBD)
3	d. Projected average annual earnings of rehabilitation
4	<pre>customers at placement(\$13,500)</pre>
5	e. Ration and number of successfully rehabilitated
6	older persons, nonvocational rehabilitation(55.2%/1,355)
7	f. Ratio and number of customers (children)
8	successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from preschool to
9	school(67.3%/62)
10	g. Ratio and number of customers (children)
11	successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from school to work
12	(26.5%/52)
13	h. Percentage of eligible library customers served
14	(19.8%)
15	i. Percentage of library customers satisfied with the
16	timeliness of services(98.6%)
17	j. Percentage of library customers satisfied with the
18	selection of reading materials available(96.0%)
19	k. Percentage of food service facilities meeting
20	assigned profit levels(90%)
21	1. Average net income for food service facility
22	(\$35,200)
23	4. BLIND SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of customers reviewed for eligibility
25	(2,035)
26	b. Number of written plans for services(1,425)
27	c. Number of customers served(14,500)
28	d. Average time lapse between application and
29	eligibility determination for rehabilitation customers(69)
30	e. Customer caseload per counseling/case management
31	team member(114)
	100
	190

1	f. Number of books available per library customer
2	(51.14)
3	g. Number of books loaned per library customer.(12.39)
4	h. Number of periodicals loaned per library customer
5	(3.62)
6	i. Net increase in registered customers for library
7	services(822)
8	j. Cost per library customer(\$19.65)
9	k. Total number of food service managers(162)
10	1. Number of existing food services facilities
11	<u>renovated(10)</u>
12	m. Number of new food service facilities constructed
13	(5)
14	(c) For the Safety/Workers' Compensation Program, the
15	purpose of which is to keep the workplace safe and return
16	injured employees to work at a reasonable cost to employers,
17	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
18	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
19	Appropriations 1799-1807 are as follows:
20	1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Percentage of injured workers returning to work at
22	80 percent or more of previous average (BRE) quarterly wage
23	for at least 1 quarter of the year following injury for
24	accident 2 years prior(63.5%)
25	b. Percentage of initial payments made on time by
26	<u>insurance carriers(91.8%)</u>
27	c. Number of workers newly protected by workers'
28	compensation coverage per fiscal year as a result of
29	<u>compliance efforts(14,105)</u>
30	d. Percent of investigated issues resolved by EAO
31	(10%)
	191

1	e. Average closure time for disputed issues through
2	efforts of EAO (in days)(30)
3	f. Percent of noncomplying carriers in compliance upon
4	reaudit(78%)
5	g. Percent of cases closed during fiscal year in which
6	a worker returns to work(63%)
7	h. Number of employers brought into compliance through
8	investigations(2,995)
9	i. Estimated amount of insurance premium dollars newly
10	generated due to compliance(\$12,562,847)
11	j. Average total cost per 4-year-old case (information
12	only)(\$17,
13	<u>597)</u>
14	k. Percentage of lost time cases with no petition for
15	benefits filed 18 months after the date of accident(77%)
16	2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of employer coverage documents processed,
18	including exemptions from coverage filed by construction
19	employers(621,694)
20	b. Number of stop-work orders served to employers
21	failing to comply with requirements(1,368)
22	c. Number of employer investigations conducted for
23	compliance with workers' compensation law(22,758)
24	d. Number of applicants screened for reemployment
25	services(1,921)
26	e. Number of program applicants provided reemployment
27	services(1,750)
28	f. Number of carriers audited/reaudited annually
29	(381/TBD)
30	g. Number of investigated issues resolved by the
31	Employee Assistance Office(25,000)
	100
	192

1	h. Number of days between the filing of the petition
2	for benefits with the division and the referral of the
3	petition to the Judges of Compensation Claims(TBD)
4	i. Unit cost per audit/reaudit(TBD)
5	3. SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Occupational injury and illness total case
7	incidence rate (per 100 workers) (information only)(8.1%)
8	b. Percentage change in total case incidence rate for
9	private sector job sites served(-4.0%)
10	c. Percentage change in total case incidence rate for
11	<pre>public sector job sites served(-4.0%)</pre>
12	d. Percentage reduction in lost workday case incidence
13	rate for private sector job sites served(-5.0%)
14	e. Percentage change in lost workday case incidence
15	rate for public sector job sites served(-5.0%)
16	f. Percentage change in disabling compensable claims
17	rate for private employers served(-5.0%)
18	g. Percentage change in disabling compensable claims
19	rate for public employers served(-5.0%)
20	h. Percent of employers surveyed who view services as
21	adequately effective or above(90%)
22	4. SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of private sector employers (and job sites)
24	provided OHSA 7 (c)1 consultation services(549)
25	b. Number of public sector employers (and job sites)
26	provided consultation services(3,000)
27	c. Number of private sector employers receiving
28	training and other technical services(TBD)
29	d. Number of public sector employers receiving
30	training and other technical services(TBD)
31	
	193

e. Unit cost per private sector (OSHA 7(c)1)	
consultation(TBD)	
f. Unit cost per public sector consultation(TBD)	
(d) For the Employment Security Program, the purpose	
of which is to increase Floridians' ability to lead	
independent lives, secure safe and gainful employment, and	
provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling	
Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the	
outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance	
standards with respect to funds provided in Specific	
Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows:	
1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES	
a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely(90.0%)	
b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately(95%)	
c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely	
(87.01%)	
d. Percent of new UC employer liability determinations	
made timely(84.20%)	
e. Percent of current quarter UC taxes paid timely	
(95.5%)	
2. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTPUT MEASURES	
a. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations	
issued(184,324)	
b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid(3,266,221)	
c. Amount of UC benefits paid(\$741,304,302)	
d. Number of appeal cases completed(52,197)	
e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations	
made(69,118)	
f. Amount of UC taxes collected(\$523,054,615)	
g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed	
(1,531,803)	
194	

1	3. JOBS AND BENEFITS OUTCOME MEASURES	
2	a. Percent of job openings filled(50.2%)	
3	b. Percent individuals referred to jobs who are placed	
4	(27%)	
5	c. Percent food stamp clients employed(11.8%)	
6	d. Percent increase in high skill/high wage	
7	apprenticeship programs registered(5.00%)	
8	4. JOBS AND BENEFITS OUTPUT MEASURES	
9	a. Number individuals referred to job openings listed	
10	with J&B(540,000)	
11		
12	c. Number individuals obtaining employment after	
13	receiving specific J&B services(35,700)	
14	d. Cost per placement by J&B(\$231)	
15	e. Cost per individual placed or obtained employment	
16	(\$176)	
17	f. Number recipients employed:	
18	(I) Food stamps(14,800)	
18 19	(I) Food stamps	
		
19	(II) Cost per food stamp placement(\$302)	
19 20	(II) Cost per food stamp placement(\$302) g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high	
19 20 21	(II) Cost per food stamp placement(\$302) g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high skill/high wage requirements(150)	
19 20 21 22	(II) Cost per food stamp placement(\$302) g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high skill/high wage requirements(150) h. Number apprentices successfully completing terms of	
19 20 21 22 23	(II) Cost per food stamp placement(\$302) g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high skill/high wage requirements(150) h. Number apprentices successfully completing terms of training as set by registered industry standards(2,900)	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	(II) Cost per food stamp placement(\$302) g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high skill/high wage requirements(150) h. Number apprentices successfully completing terms of training as set by registered industry standards(2,900) 5. JTPA OUTCOME MEASURES	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	(II) Cost per food stamp placement	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	(II) Cost per food stamp placement	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(II) Cost per food stamp placement	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(II) Cost per food stamp placement	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	(II) Cost per food stamp placement	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	(II) Cost per food stamp placement	

1	c. Number JTPA Dislocated Worker Program completers
2	(6,365)
3	d. JTPA cost per participant served(\$2,323)
4	(e) For the Workers' Compensation Hearings Program,
5	the purpose of which is to resolve disputed compensation
6	claims in conformity with pertinent statutory, rule, and
7	caseload requirements through the maintenance of a statewide
8	mediation, hearing, and order adjudicatory system, the outcome
9	measures, output measures, and associated performance
10	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
11	Appropriations 1795-1798 are as follows:
12	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percentage of concluded mediations resulting in
14	resolution(56%)
15	b. Percentage of appealed, decided orders affirmed
16	
17	c. Average days from petition filed to disposition
18	order(TBD)
19	d. Cost per disposition order entered(TBD)
20	e. Average number of days from the date the petition
21	is filed to the scheduled mediation date(TBD)
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of petitions received by presiding judge
24	(79,000)
25	b. Number of mediations held(17,600)
26	c. Number of final hearings held(3,800)
27	d. Number of other hearings held(38,500)
28	e. Number of Disposition Orders Entered(TBD)
29	(I) Number of final merit orders(2,850)
30	(II) Number of lump sum settlements orders(29,190)
31	(III) Number of stipulation orders(TBD)
	100
	196

1	f. Number of other orders (other than disposition
2	orders)(TBD)
3	(f) For the Unemployment Appeals Commission, the
4	purpose of which is to provide prompt, accurate benefits for
5	unemployed workers in order to expedite their reemployment
6	while providing an equitable and cost-effective unemployment
7	compensation system for the employers of Florida, the outcome
8	measures, output measures, and associated performance
9	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
10	Appropriations 1850-1852 are as follows:
11	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals
13	disposed within 45 days(50%)
14	b. Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals
15	disposed within 90 days(95%)
16	c. Percentage of cases appealed to DCA(7%)
17	d. Average unit cost of cases appealed to Unemployment
18	Appeals Commission(\$186)
19	e. Average unit cost of cases appealed to DCA(\$685)
20	f. Percentage of appealed decisions affirmed by the
21	DCA(94%)
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of unemployment compensation appeals
24	disposed of(10,500)
25	(g) For the Information Management Center, the purpose
26	of which is to provide application development and support,
27	processing applications error-free, through a computer network
28	that is responsive and available, the outcome measures, output
29	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
30	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1827-1829 are as
31	follows:
	197
	== !

SB 2502

1	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage of data processing requests completed by
3	due date(95%)
4	b. System design and programming hourly cost(\$52.00)
5	c. Percentage of scheduled production jobs completed
6	(99.9%)
7	d. Percentage scheduled hours available data center
8	operations(99.79%)
9	e. Cost per MIP (millions of instructions per second)
10	(\$19,000.00)
11	f. Percentage of Help Desk calls resolved within 3
12	working days(89.48%)
13	g. Cost per Help Desk call(\$8.00)
14	h. Percentage scheduled hours available network
15	(99.08%)
16	i. Cost for support per network device(\$195.00)
17	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of data processing requests completed by due
19	date(2,900)
20	b. Number of scheduled production jobs completed
21	(517,000)
22	c. Number of hours available data center operations
23	(2,876)
24	d. Number of Help Desk calls resolved within 3 working
25	days(18,175)
26	e. Number of hours available network(2,855)
27	(6) DEPARTMENT OF THE LOTTERY
28	(a) For the Sale of Lottery Products Program, the
29	purpose purpose of which is to maximize revenues for public
30	education in a manner consistent with the dignity of the state
31	and the welfare of its citizens, the outcome measures, output
	100
	198

1	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
2	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1871-1882 are as
3	follows:
4	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Total revenue in dollars(\$2,047 million)
6	b. Percent change from prior year(0.56%)
7	c. Transfers to the state Educational Enhancement
8	Trust Fund(\$777.8
9	million)
10	d. Percent of total revenue to the Educational
11	Enhancement Trust Fund(38%)
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Percent of total revenue paid as prizes(49.65%)
14	b. Administrative expense paid for retailer commission
15	(\$111.8
16	<pre>million)</pre>
17	c. Operating expense(\$252.8 million)
18	d. Operating expense as percent of total revenue.(12%)
19	e. Survey results of public awareness of the
20	contribution to education by the Lottery - percent of
21	respondents who are aware of the Lottery's contribution to
22	<u>education(65%)</u>
23	(7) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES
24	(a) For the State Group Insurance Program, the purpose
25	of which is to contribute to a productive workforce
26	representative of the labor market by providing cost effective
27	employee health insurance, the outcome measures, output
28	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
29	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1897-1903 are as
30	<u>follows:</u>
31	1. STATE GROUP INSURANCE OUTCOME MEASURES
	199

1	a. Customer feedback ranking for Division out of
2	possible 10 points(6.57)
3	b. Percentage of claims reaching final action within
4	30 days of receipt(98%)
5	c. Overall payment and procedural error rate(5%)
6	d. Telephone queue time in seconds(45)
7	e. Unprocessed original claims inventory(30,000)
8	f. Average annual cost per contract to administer
9	insurance programs(\$14.84)
10	(b) For the Facilities Program, the purpose of which
11	is to provide best value office facilities considering the
12	total cost of constructing, managing, and maintaining office
13	facilities, and compared to comparable industry standards, the
14	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
15	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
16	Appropriations 1904-1927 are as follows:
17	1. FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Gross square foot construction cost of office
19	facilities for DMS(\$80.02)
20	b. Gross square foot construction cost of office
21	facilities for private industry average(\$87.55)
22	c. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
23	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
24	DMS actual(\$15.13)
25	d. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
26	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
27	<pre>private industry(\$16.42)</pre>
28	e. New office space efficiency per net square
29	foot/gross square foot(87%)
30	f. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
31	square foot maintained by DMS(\$5.04)
	200

g. Average operations and maintenance cost per square foot maintained by private industry	
A ROMARA TOOK MAINTAINAO DV DYIVATA INGUSTYV	(85 92)
h. Number of criminal incidents per 100,000 gro	
4 square feet	
i. Number of criminal incidents per 1,000 emplo	
6	
7 2. FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES	(20.33)
	Lo+od
8 a. Gross square feet of office facilities compl	
9(33	37,320)
b. Net square feet of state-owned office space	
occupied by state agencies including non-DMS owned faci	<u>ilities</u>
12(7,82	20,113)
c. Net square feet of private office space occu	upied by
14 state agencies(11,0	057,443)
d. Number of square feet maintained by DMS.(4,8	393,921)
e. Number of square feet maintained by private	
17 contractor(1,9	912,009)
f. Gross square feet monitored for security pur	rposes
19(7,31	L3,643)
g. Number of investigations conducted	(210)
21 (c) For the Support Program, the purpose of which	ch is
22 to provide government entities access to best value	
23 commodities and services through centralized procuremer	nt,
24 federal property assistance, and fleet management, the	outcome
25 measures, output measures, and associated performance	
26 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific	
27 Appropriations 1928-1931 are as follows:	
28 1. SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURES	
29 a. Percentage of state term contracts savings	(35%)
b. State term contracts cost avoidance(\$205,0	
31	, , , , , , ,
201	

1	c. Average percentage below private sector fleet
2	maintenance for labor costs(13%)
3	d. Average percentage below private sector fleet
4	<pre>maintenance for parts costs(26%)</pre>
5	e. Average percentage state rental vehicles below
6	state rental contract rates(30%)
7	f. Passenger load factor for DMS(3.5)
8	g. Passenger load factor for large corporation(3.4)
9	h. Cost per flight hour - DMS aircraft pool(\$1,166)
10	i. Average percentage DMS direct cost per flight hour
11	below industry direct cost(44%)
12	j. Number of government and nonprofit organizations
13	visiting a surplus property distribution center(3,400)
14	k. Federal property distribution rate(85%)
15	2. SUPPORT OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of commodities/services on state term
17	contracts(233,000)
18	b. Number of agencies using SPURS(30)
19	c. Percentage of agencies using SPURS(75%)
20	d. Number of federal property orders processed.(2,150)
21	e. Number of vehicle maintenance service hours.(8,600)
22	f. Days of state rental vehicle service provided
23	(41,000)
24	g. Miles of state rental vehicle service provided
25	(1,700,000)
26	h. Number of flights by executive aircraft pool
27	(2,500)
28	(d) For the Workforce Program, the purpose of which is
29	to manage the State Personnel System to help state agencies
30	achieve an effective workforce; perform a variety of
31	activities to assist state agencies in human resource
	202

1	management; and provide administrative support for the
2	Cooperative Personnel Employment Subsystem (COPES), the
3	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
4	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
5	Appropriations 1932-1936 are as follows:
6	1. STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Administrative cost per FTE for Cooperative
8	Personnel Employee System(\$40.20)
9	b. Administrative cost per FTE for administrative cost
10	net of COPES(\$35.38)
11	c. Administrative cost per FTE total administrative
12	cost per FTE(\$75.58)
13	d. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
14	information provided resulted in more effective and efficient
15	HR-related decisionmaking(83%)
16	e. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
17	technical assistance provided resulted in more effective and
18	efficient HR-related decision-making(83%)
19	f. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
20	information provided was timely(83%)
21	g. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
22	information provided was accurate(83%)
23	h. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
24	information provided was consistent with past practices(83%)
25	i. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
26	technical assistance provided was timely(87%)
27	j. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
28	technical assistance provided was accurate(87%)
29	k. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
30	technical assistance provided was consistent with past
31	practices(74%)
	203
	203

1	1. Percentage of agencies at or above EEO gender
2	parity with available labor market(86.7%)
3	m. Percentage of agencies at or above EEO minority
4	parity with the available labor market(56.7%)
5	2. STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of informational materials provided(1,820)
7	b. Number of responses to technical assistance
8	<u>requests(15,343)</u>
9	(e) For the Retirement Benefits Program, the purpose
10	of which is to provide quality and cost-effective retirement
11	services, the outcome measures, output measures, and
12	associated performance standards with respect to funds
13	provided in Specific Appropriations 1937-1947 are as follows:
14	1. RETIREMENT BENEFITS PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percentage of participating agencies satisfied with
16	retirement information(99%)
17	b. Percentage of participating active members
18	satisfied with retirement information(78%)
19	c. Percentage of participating recent retirees
20	satisfied with retirement information(97%)
21	d. Percentage of participating other retirees
22	satisfied with retirement information(98%)
23	e. Percentage of agency payroll transactions correctly
24	<u>reported(98.5%)</u>
25	f. Percentage of standard retirement services offered
26	by FRS compared to comparable programs(82%)
27	g. Percentage of participating agencies satisfied with
28	retirement services(98%)
29	h. Percentage of participating active members
30	satisfied with retirement services(82%)
31	
	204

1	i. Percentage of participating recent retirees
2	satisfied with retirement services(97%)
3	j. Percentage of participating other retirees
4	satisfied with retirement services(98%)
5	k. Administrative cost per active and retired member
6	(\$19.69)
7	1. Ratio of active and retired members to division FTE
8	(3,303:1)
9	m. Funding ratio of FRS assets to liabilities(93%)
10	n. Percentage of local retirement systems annually
11	reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial basis(92%)
12	2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of annuitants added to retired payroll
14	(13,200)
15	b. Number of retirement account audits(83,000)
16	c. Number of changes processed(49,119)
17	d. Number of benefit payments issued(2,075,333)
18	e. Number of local pension plan valuations and impact
19	statements reviewed(850)
20	(f) For the Information Technology Program, the
21	purpose of which is to effectively and efficiently satisfy
22	customer needs for using, sharing, and managing information
23	technology resources, the outcome measures, output measures,
24	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 1948-1959 are as follows:
26	1. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
28	for local access(40%)
29	b. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
30	for long distance(40%)
31	
	205
	205

1	c. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
2	for data service(25%)
3	d. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
4	service features(2.23)
5	e. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
6	service delivery(2.16)
7	f. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
8	timely problem resolution(2.33)
9	g. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for best
10	value services(2.15)
11	2. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of SUNCOM long distance billable minutes
13	(226,535,921)
14	b. Number of SUNCOM local service main stations
15	(1,729,785)
16	c. Number of SUNCOM data locations served(10,747)
17	d. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for local access
18	(9%)
19	e. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for long distance
20	(1%)
21	f. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for data service
22	(9%)
23	3. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
25	accessible information services(2.67)
26	b. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
27	desirable technology services(2.40)
28	c. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
29	timely problem resolution(2.33)
30	d. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
31	projects within schedule(2.56)
	206
	200

1	e. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for best
2	value services(2.15)
3	f. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
4	reliable information services(2.11)
5	4. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of Technology Resource Center research
7	<pre>projects completed(15)</pre>
8	b. Number of Technology Resource Center consulting
9	<pre>projects completed(7)</pre>
10	c. Number of Technology Resource Center development
11	projects completed(425)
12	d. Percentage utilization by the Unisys System as used
13	for capacity planning & technology refresh, employing 80%
14	maximum utilization standard(60%)
15	e. Percentage utilization by the IBM System as used
16	for capacity planning & technology refresh, employing 80%
17	maximum utilization standard(59.5%)
18	5. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
19	a. Percentage wireless discount from commercially
20	available and similar type engineering services(35%)
21	6. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of engineering projects and approvals
23	handled for state government(110)
24	b. Number of engineering projects and approvals
25	handled for local governments(550)
26	c. Number of Joint Task Force Radio Systems fixed
27	sites operated and maintained(81)
28	d. Percentage of state covered by the Joint Task Force
29	Radio System(34%)
30	e. Percentage of Joint Task Force Radio System current
31	<pre>phase(s) under development completed(34%)</pre>
	207

1	(8) DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS
2	(a) For the Readiness and Response Program, the
3	purpose of which is to provide military units and personnel
4	(at the Governor's request) that are ready to protect life and
5	property; preserve peace, order, and public safety; and
6	contribute to such state and local programs that add value to
7	the State of Florida, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 1974A-1981G are as
10	follows:
11	1. READINESS OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of Area Commands assigned Military
13	Support Missions that are prepared to execute those missions
14	(85%)
15	b. Percentage of units with a Green readiness rating
16	(88%)
17	2. READINESS OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number/percentage of armories rated adequate
19	(57/97%)
20	b. Percentage of satisfaction with training facilities
21	at Camp Blanding(80%)
22	c. Number of annual training days at Camp Blanding
23	(120,000)
24	d. Percentage of available training days at Camp
25	Blanding(15.7%)
26	e. Percentage of assigned soldiers to authorized
27	staffing levels(99%)
28	f. Number of new recruits using State Education
29	Assistance Program(625)
30	g. Number of crisis response exercises conducted
31	annually(3)
	208

1	3. RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage of supported agencies reporting
3	satisfaction with the department's support for specific
4	missions(88%)
5	4. RESPONSE OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Percentage of State Active Duty (SAD) purchase
7	orders processed in 24 hours(96%)
8	b. Percentage of SAD vouchers purchased and paid in 40
9	days(98%)
10	c. Percentage of SAD payrolls paid on time(98%)
11	d. Percentage of Area Command Plans rated satisfactory
12	as a result of operations(100%)
13	e. Percentage of missions accomplished on or before
14	time(90%)
15	(9) DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
16	(a) For the Property Tax Administration Program, the
17	purpose of which is to enhance the equity in property
18	assessments and taxation through the state and to facilitate
19	equalization of the distribution of the required local effort
20	millage, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
21	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
22	Specific Appropriations 2000-2002 are as follows:
23	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Percent of classes studied found to have a level of
25	at least 90 percent(97.2%)
26	b. Tax roll uniformity - the average for coefficient
27	of dispersion(11.5%)
28	c. Percent of taxing authorities in total or
29	substantial truth in millage compliance on initial submission
30	(92.5%)
31	
	200
	209

1	d. Percent of refund and tax certificate applications
2	<pre>processed within 30 days of receipt(92.5%)</pre>
3	e. Refund request per 100,000 parcels(31.8)
4	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number of subclasses of property studied with
6	feedback to property appraisers(5,250)
7	b. Number of tax roll review notices issued(3)
8	c. Total number of tax roll defects found(4)
9	d. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent
10	to taxing authorities(485)
11	e. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent
12	to taxing authorities with minor infractions(118)
13	f. Number of property tax refund requests processed
14	(2,500)
15	g. Number of tax certificates cancellations and
16	corrections processed(1,500)
17	h. Number of taxpayers audited on behalf of county
18	property appraisers -Tangible Personal Property(250)
19	i. Student training hours provided to property
20	appraisers and their staff - Tangible Personal Property
21	(3,500)
22	(b) For the Child Support Enforcement Program, the
23	purpose of which is to establish paternity and child support
24	orders, enforce those orders to collect child support, and
25	distribute child support collections in a timely manner, the
26	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
27	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
28	Appropriations 2004-2012 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of children with a court order for
31	<u>support(47%)</u>
	210

1	b. Percentage of children with paternity established
2	(81%)
3	c. Total child support dollars collected per \$1 of
4	total expenditures(\$2.77)
5	d. Percent of child support collected that was due
6	during the fiscal year(51%)
7	e. Percent of cases with child support due in a month
8	that received a payment during the month(53%)
9	2. OUTPUT MEASURE
10	a. Number of children with a newly established court
11	order(58,800)
12	(c) For the General Tax Administration Program, the
13	purpose of which is to administer the revenue laws of the
14	state in a fair and equitable manner and to collect all money
15	owed, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
16	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
17	Specific Appropriations 2013-2023 are as follows:
18	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Average days from receipt of payment to final
20	processing of deposit - sales, corporation, intangibles, fuel
21	(0.68)
22	b. Number of days between initial distribution of
23	funds and final adjustments - sales, fuel(70)
24	c. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially
25	error free and on time(80%)
26	d. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially
27	error free and on time by first time filers(64%)
28	e. Return on investment - total collections per dollar
29	spent(\$146.72)
30	
31	
	211
	211

1	f. Dollars collected as a percentage of actual
2	liability of notices sent for apparent sales tax return filing
3	errors or late returns(61%)
4	g. Percentage of tax returns that did not result in a
5	notice of apparent filing error or late return(89%)
6	h. Average time in days between the processing of a
7	sales tax return and the first notification to the taxpayer of
8	an apparent filing error or late return(40)
9	i. Percentage of delinquent sales tax return and
10	filing error or late return notices issued accurately to
11	taxpayer(90%)
12	j. Percentage of delinquent tax return and filing
13	error or late return notices sent to taxpayers that had to be
14	revised due to department or taxpayer error(21%)
15	k. Percentage of final audit assessment amounts
16	collected - tax only(84%)
17	1. Final audit assessment amounts as a percentage of
18	initial assessment amounts - tax only(72%)
19	m. Dollars collected voluntarily as a percentage of
20	total dollars collected(97%)
21	n. Average number of days to resolve a dispute of an
22	audit assessment(175)
23	o. Direct collections per enforcement related dollar
24	spent(\$4.89)
25	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of delinquent tax return notices issued to
27	taxpayers(744,000)
28	b. Number of notices sent to taxpayers for apparent
29	tax return filing errors or late return(567,000)
30	(10) DEPARTMENT OF STATE
31	
	21.2
	212

1	(a) For the Historical, Archaeological, and Folklife
2	Appreciation Program, the purpose of which is to encourage
3	identification, evaluation, protection, preservation,
4	collection, conservation, interpretation, and public access to
5	information about Florida's historic sites, properties, and
6	objects related to Florida history and to archaeological and
7	folk cultural heritage, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 2051-2056A are as follows:
10	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number/percentage increase of general public
12	utilizing historic information(200,000/21%)
13	b. Number of historic and archaeological objects
14	maintained for public use and scientific research(99,000)
15	c. Increase in number/percentage of historic and
16	archaeological properties:
17	(I) Recorded(9,650/8%)
18	(II) Protected or preserved for public use(154/26%)
19	d. Total local funds leveraged by historical resources
20	program(\$61.5
21	<pre>million)</pre>
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of grants awarded(243)
24	b. Number of dollars awarded through grants
25	(\$16,088,144)
26	c. Number of museum exhibits(82)
27	d. Number of publications and multimedia products
28	available for the general public(315)
29	e. Number of institutions to which items are on loan
30	(53)
31	
	213
	213

1	f. Average cost to collect historical and
2	archaeological objects(\$75.62)
3	g. Average cost to maintain historical and
4	archaeological objects(\$1.16)
5	h. Number of sites maintained in the Florida Master
6	Site File(133,000)
7	i. Number of preservation services applications
8	reviewed(8,000)
9	j. Number of produced and sponsored events:
10	(I) K-12 targeted activities(1,350)
11	(II) Other sponsored events(720)
12	(b) For the Commercial Recording and Registration
13	Program, the purpose of which is to promote financial and
14	economic stability through public notice of clients' interest
15	in business organizations, trademarks, financial transactions,
16	and liens as well as identification of those doing business
17	under names other than their own, output measures, and
18	associated performance standards with respect to funds
19	provided in Specific Appropriations 2057-2059 are as follows:
20	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Percentage public reporting satisfaction with the
22	division's services(91%)
23	b. Percentage business reporting satisfaction with the
24	division's services(91%)
25	c. Percentage law enforcement reporting satisfaction
26	with the division's services(91%)
27	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Average Cost/Corporate Filing(\$5.38)
29	b. Average Cost/Uniform Commercial Code Filings
30	(\$1.81)
31	c. Average Cost/Inquiry(\$0.075)
	214

1	d. Proportion of total inquires handled by telephone
2	(25%)
3	e. Proportion of total inquiries handled by
4	mail/walk-ins(10%)
5	f. Proportion of total inquiries handled by electronic
6	means(65%)
7	(c) For the Libraries, Archives, and Information
8	Services Program, the purpose of which is to ensure access to
9	information of past, present, and future value for the
10	educational and cultural benefit of the people of Florida, the
11	Library, Archives, and Information program works in
12	partnership with citizens, information providers, and
13	government for efficient and effective management and
14	development of information services, the outcome measures,
15	output measures, and associated performance standards with
16	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2060-2067
17	are as follows:
18	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Annual increase in the use of local public library
20	service(2%)
21	b. Annual increase in accessibility by library patrons
22	to materials not owned by their local public library(4%)
23	c. Annual increase in usage of research collections
24	(6%)
25	d. Annual cost avoidance achieved by government
26	agencies through records storage/disposition/micrographics
27	(\$58,000,000)
28	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
29	a. Number of items loaned by public libraries
30	(69,961,992)
31	b. Number of library customer visits(49,513,960)
	215

1	c. Number of public library reference requests
2	(25,142,072)
3	d. Number of public library registered borrowers
4	(7,066,610)
5	e. Number of persons attending public library programs
6	(3,087,030)
7	f. Number of volumes in public library collections
8	(24,748,033)
9	g. Number of records added to the statewide library
10	holdings database annually(1,826,191)
11	h. Number of new users (State Library, State Archives)
12	(5,977)
13	i. Number of reference requests handled (State
14	Library, State Archives)(117,847)
15	j. Number of items used on site (State Library)
16	(39,822)
17	k. Number of database searches conducted (State
18	Library, State Archives)(789,807)
19	l. Number of items loaned (State Library)(81,286)
20	m. Cubic feet of obsolete public records approved for
21	disposal(510,000)
22	n. Cubic feet of noncurrent records stored at the
23	Records Center(220,000)
24	o. Number of microfilm images created, processed,
25	and/or duplicated at the Records Center(160,000,000)
26	(d) For the Cultural Grants Program, the purpose of
27	which is foster development of a receptive climate for
28	cultural programs, to enrich culturally and benefit the
29	citizens of this state in their daily lives, to increase the
30	appeal of Florida visits and vacations, and to attract to
31	Florida residency outstanding creators through the promotion
	216

1	of cultural programs, the outcome measures, output measures,
2	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
3	provided in Specific Appropriations 2068-2083A are as follows:
4	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Attendance at supported cultural events
6	(25,000,000)
7	b. Number of individuals served by professional
8	associations(8,000,000)
9	c. Total local financial support leveraged by state
10	funding(\$343,832,378)
11	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of grants awarded:
13	(I) Capital(16)
14	(II) Program(705)
15	b. Dollars awarded through grants:
16	(I) Capital(\$7,616,189)
17	(II) Program(\$14,687,872)
18	c. Percentage of counties funded by the program:
19	(85.1%)
20	(I) Large counties (N=34; population >75,000)(94.0%)
21	(II) Small counties (N=33; population less than
22	<u>75,000)(75.8%)</u>
23	d. Number of state supported performances and exhibits
24	(23,000)
25	(e) For the Licensing Program, the purpose of which is
26	to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare through
27	the licensing, regulation, and enforcement of the private
28	security, private investigative, and recovery industries; the
29	regulation of game promotions conducted in Florida; and the
30	issuance of licenses to citizens wishing to carry concealed
31	weapons or firearms for lawful defense, the outcome measures,
	217

1	output measures, and associated performance standards with
2	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2084-2087
3	are as follows:
4	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery
6	licenses issued within 90 days of receipt of an application
7	(83%)
8	b. Percent/number Concealed Weapon/Firearm licenses
9	issued within 90 day statutory timeframe without fingerprint
10	results(19%/8,509)
11	c. Number of default Concealed Weapons/Firearms
12	licensees with prior criminal histories(2,387)
13	d. Percent of license revocations or suspensions
14	initiated within 20 days of receipt of disqualifying
15	information (all license types)(60%)
16	e. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery
17	investigations completed within 60 days(94%)
18	f. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery
19	inspections completed within 30 days(80%)
20	g. Percent of Concealed Weapons/Firearm violators to
21	licensed population(0.06%)
22	h. Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery
23	violators to the licensed population(1.25%)
24	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Average cost/Concealed Weapon/Firearm application
26	processed(\$30)
27	b. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
28	application processed(\$35)
29	c. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
30	investigation(\$1,596)
31	
	218
	210

1	d. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
2	compliance inspection(\$325)
3	e. Average cost/Administrative Action (revocation,
4	fine, probation & compliance letters)(\$500)
5	f. Number investigations performed (Security,
6	Investigative, and Recovery complaint and agency generated
7	<u>inspections</u>)(1,475)
8	g. Number compliance inspections performed (Security,
9	Investigative, and Recovery licensees/new agency inspections
10	and random inspections)(1,697)
11	3. POLICY ANALYSIS
12	a. Percent of fingerprint cards processed by FBI and
13	FDLE in excess of 90 days (all licenses)(12%)
14	Section 43. If any provision of this act or the
15	application thereof to any person or circumstance is held
16	invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or
17	applications of the act which can be given effect without the
18	invalid provision or application, and to this end the
19	provisions of this act are declared severable.
20	Section 44. This act shall take effect July 1, 1999;
21	or, in the event this act fails to become a law until after
22	that date, it shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall
23	operate retroactively to July 1, 1999.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
	219