STORAGE NAME: h1181.lec

**DATE**: April 6, 2000

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIME PREVENTION ANALYSIS

**BILL #**: HB 1181

**RELATING TO**: Traffic Calming Devices **SPONSOR(S)**: Representative C. Smith

TIED BILL(S):

## ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) TRANSPORTATION YEAS 9 NAYS 1

- (2) LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIME PREVENTION
- (3) COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
- (4) CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS

(5)

# I. SUMMARY:

The bill authorizes local authorities to plan, design, construct, install, or maintain traffic calming devices on the streets and highways under their jurisdiction. The local governments would have the option of implementing this bill.

The traffic calming devices include, but are not limited to, speed bumps, speed humps, speed tables, roundabouts, or other pavement designs or undulations.

As required by s. 316.0745, F.S., any traffic calming devices installed on the streets or highways by local authorities must comply with the specifications in the manual published by the Department of Transportation.

The bill will become effective upon becoming law.

The bill has an indeterminate fiscal impact due to the uncertainty that counties and cities will elect to utilize traffic calming devices.

STORAGE NAME: h1181.lec

**DATE**: April 6, 2000

PAGE 2

## II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

#### A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

| 1. | Less Government         | Yes [] | No [] | N/A [x] |
|----|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|
| 2. | Lower Taxes             | Yes [] | No [] | N/A [x] |
| 3. | Individual Freedom      | Yes [] | No [] | N/A [x] |
| 4. | Personal Responsibility | Yes [] | No [] | N/A [x] |
| 5. | Family Empowerment      | Yes [] | No [] | N/A [x] |

#### B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Currently, s. 316.0745, F.S., provides for local authorities to regulate speed on streets and highways within their jurisdiction. This allows for the local police to stop a vehicle for excessive speed and issue a citation.

There is nothing in the current statutes that authorizes local authorities to regulate speed with the use of traffic calming devices.

Section 316.0745, F.S., requires the Department of Transportation to adopt a uniform system of traffic control for streets and highways of this state. All official traffic control devices purchased and installed by any public body or official must comply with the manual and specifications published by the department. This law and manual does not specifically apply to speed bumps, speed humps, speed tables, roundabouts, or other pavement designs.

#### C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill amends s. 316.008, F.S., to authorize local authorities to plan, design, construct, install, or maintain traffic calming devices on the streets and highways under their jurisdiction.

The traffic calming devices include, but are not limited to, speed bumps, speed humps, speed tables, roundabouts, or other pavement designs or undulations.

As required by s. 316.0745, F.S., any traffic calming devices installed on the streets or highways by local authorities must comply with the specifications in the manual published by the department.

#### D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

| DATE: April 6, 2000 PAGE 3 |       |                                    |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| III.                       | FIS   | SCA                                | L ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:  |  |  |
|                            |       | FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT: |  |  |  |
|                            | , ··· |                                    | Revenues:  |  |  |
|                            |       |                                    | N/A  |  |  |
|                            |       | 2                                  |  |  |  |
|                            |       | ۷.                                 | Expenditures:  |  |  |
|                            | Б.    | <b>-</b> 16                        | N/A  |  |  |
|                            | В.    |                                    | SCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:  |  |  |
|                            |       | 1.                                 | Revenues:  |  |  |
|                            |       |                                    | N/A  |  |  |
|                            |       | 2.                                 | Expenditures:  |  |  |
|                            |       |                                    | N/A  |  |  |
|                            | C.    | DII                                | RECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:  |  |  |
|                            |       | N/A                                |  |  |  |
|                            | D.    | FISCAL COMMENTS:                   |  |  |  |
|                            |       | Lo                                 | cal Government Expenditures cal authorities would be allowed to install and maintain traffic calming devices. The act figure is unavailable due to the uncertainty and to the extent to which local vernments would choose to utilize traffic calming devices. |  |  |
| IV.                        | CO    | NS                                 | EQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:   |  |  |
|                            | A.    | AP                                 | PLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:   |  |  |
|                            |       | N/A                                | A  |  |  |
|                            | В.    | RE                                 | DUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:  |  |  |
|                            |       | N/A                                | A .  |  |  |

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

N/A

| ٧.   | CC   | COMMENTS:                                   |                  |  |  |  |
|------|--|---|------------------|--|--|--|
|      | A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  | N/A   |                  |  |  |  |
|      | В.   | RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:                      |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  | N/A   |                  |  |  |  |
|      | C.   | OTHER COMMENTS:                             |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| VI.  | VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:  The Committee on Transportation considered this bill on March 28, 2000 and adopted two amendments as follows:  Amendment 1  This amendment was adopted to change the word "devices" to "techniques". According to the Department of Transportation, speed bumps, speed humps, roundabouts, and other pavemer designs are geometric features of the road and, as such, are labeled "techniques". Traffic calming techniques are not contained in the departments manual on traffic control devices. Local authorities, therefore, would not be required to conform to the department's manual upon implementation of this program.  Amendment 2  This amendment was adopted to stipulate that traffic calming techniques could be installed by local authorities only after a traffic engineering study was conducted to determine that the techniques would conform to engineering safety standards.  The bill was voted favorably with the two amendments. |   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| VII. | SIC  | GNATURES:                                   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  | OMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION:<br>Prepared by: | Staff Director:  |  |  |  |
|      |  | Jennifer L. Sexton-Bartelme                 | John R. Johnston |  |  |  |
|      | AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIME PREVENTION Prepared by: Staff Director:   |   |                  |  |  |  |
|      |  | Allen Mortham Jr.                           | Kurt E. Ahrendt  |  |  |  |
|      |  |   |                  |  |  |  |

STORAGE NAME: h1181.lec DATE: April 6, 2000 PAGE 4