HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES FINAL ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 143

RELATING TO: Warehousemen Lien/Electronic Receipt

SPONSOR(S): Committee on Financial Services and Representative Dockery

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1)	FINANCIAL SERVICES YEAS 8 NAYS 0
(2)	
(3)	
(4) (5)	
(5)	

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>:

The bill amends and modernizes the Uniform Commercial Code's general definition of "warehouse receipt" by incorporating the term electronic notice of receipt within that definition. This change will enable a warehouseman to perfect a lien by issuing an electronic notice of receipt instead of the traditional written or printed warehouse receipt. Current law provides that a warehouseman has a lien on goods in his or her possession, or proceeds derived from the sale of those goods, that are covered by a warehouse receipt. Issuance of that receipt is a condition-precedent to perfecting the lien.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

Please see Part VI of this analysis for the disposition of this bill, and the Senate companion bill.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

The current Florida law providing for warehouseman liens (Section 677.209, F.S.) is a nearly verbatim enactment of a similar provision in the federal Uniform Commercial Code, U.C.C. § 7-209, and differs only in that the Florida Legislature inserted feminine pronouns in 1997 to make the language gender-neutral. (Section 625, 97-102, L.O.F.).

Section 677.209 (1), F.S., provides that a warehouseman has a lien on goods in his or her possession, or proceeds derived from the sale of those goods, that are covered by a warehouse receipt. The lien is available for charges for storage or transportation, insurance, labor, or charges in relation to the goods (both present and future), and for expenses necessary for preservation of the goods or reasonably incurred in their sale. The warehouse receipt is a condition-precedent for perfecting the lien, and the lien will not be effective unless the warehouseman issues a valid receipt. <u>Matter of Celotex Corporation</u>, 134 B.R. 993, 996 (Bkrtcy.M.D.Fla. 1991); <u>Richwagen v. Lillienthal</u>, 386 So.2d 247, 250 (Fla. 4th DCA 1980)(holding that an inventory card was not a warehouse receipt, thus no statutory lien was created).

Florida Statutes define a "warehouse receipt" as "a receipt issued by a person engaged in the business of storing goods for hire." (Section 671.201 (45), F.S. (Uniform Commercial Code: General Provisions)). Although s. 677.202 (1), F.S., provides that "a warehouse receipt need not be in any particular form," the following subsection requires a receipt to include in its "written or printed terms," various items. (Section 677.202 (2), F.S.) It is unclear under the existing law whether an electronic notification of receipt containing the items required by s. 677.202 (2), F.S., is an adequate measure for perfecting a warehouseman's lien.

Currently, only Ohio and California have enacted express language making electronic notification a valid warehouseman's receipt for the purposes of perfecting a warehouseman's lien. However, according to the trade association, International Warehouse Logistics Association, legislatures in six other states are considering similar legislation, including Illinois, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Washington, Georgia, and Texas.

According to industry proponents, the warehousing industry is moving increasingly to an electronic communications environment, particularly with larger customers, eliminating printed or written documentation. Proponents report that the majority of transactions at a warehouse do not involve issuance of paper warehouse receipts, but are handled by electronic data interchange. Many large warehouse customers not only do not want hard copy receipts, proponents say, but they also refuse to accept them. Proponents fear that they cannot perfect the lien in such a circumstance.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill allows a warehouseman to perfect a lien by issuing an electronic notice of receipt instead of the traditional written or printed warehouse receipt.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1 amends s. 671.201 (45), F.S., to define "warehouse receipt" as a written receipt or an electronic notification of receipt issued by a person engaged in the business of storing goods for hire.

Section 2 provides an effective date of October 1, 2000.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

N/A

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

N/A

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

N/A

- V. COMMENTS:
 - A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

N/A

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

N/A

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

The value of a uniform law like the Uniform Commercial Code is, as the name suggests, its uniformity. Uniformity from state to state promotes business by making the regulation of commercial transactions consistent. Because of this value, legal practitioners with expert knowledge of the Code are sometimes wary of suggested changes. According to one practitioner, a potential pitfall in amending the Code without careful and thorough deliberation is the creation of unintended effects, as many parts of the Code are interrelated.

For example, HB 143 would have allowed a warehouseman to perfect his lien by issuing an electronic notification of receipt of goods instead of a warehouse receipt. Under existing law, warehouse receipts are subject to the content requirements provided by s. 677.202 (2), F.S., and a warehouseman's lien will not be perfected unless he or she issues a warehouse receipt conforming to s. 677.202 (2), F.S. However, under HB 143, it was unclear whether the same requirements would apply to an electronic notification of receipt, as the bill creates a separate and distinct method for perfecting a lien.

By only amending the General Provisions "definitions" section of Florida's Uniform Commercial Code, CS/HB 143 makes an electronic notification of receipt, by definition, a "warehouse receipt," and therefore a valid measure for the preservation of a warehouseman's lien rights. This definition applies throughout the Uniform Commercial Code and avoids the potential pitfall of unintended effects. Additionally, the Ohio Legislature adopted this approach in amending that state's Code.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

Disposition of House Bill:

The bill was prefiled on August 14, 1999, and referred to the Committee on Financial Services. On October 5, 1999, the Committee on Financial Services adopted an amendment to HB 143 which struck language amending s. 677.209 (1), F.S. (Lien of warehouseman), and replaced it with language amending s. 671.201 (45), F.S. (General definitions). The Committee reported the bill favorably, as amended, as CS/HB 143. The bill was passed by the House by a vote of 115 - 0, on March 15, 2000. The Senate received the bill in Messages and referred it to the Committee on Commerce and Economic Opportunities on March 21, 2000. The bill was Withdrawn from Committee the same day and substituted for CS/SB 224. The Senate passed the House bill by a vote of 37 - 0, on March 22, 2000.

Disposition of Senate bill:

SB bill 224 (Laurent) was prefiled on October 7, 1999, and referred to the Committee on Commerce and Economic Opportunities on November 5, 1999. The Committee amended the bill and reported it favorably as a Committee Substitute on December 7, 1999, by a vote of 6 YEAS to 0 NAYS. The CS differs from the original bill by mirroring the language in CS/HB 143 which amends the general definitions in s. 671.201, F.S. On March 21, 2000, the Senate substituted CS/HB 143 for CS/SB 224, and laid the Senate Bill on the table.

VII. <u>SIGNATURES</u>:

COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES: Prepared by:

William Garner and Michael Kliner

Staff Director:

Susan F. Cutchins

FINAL ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES: Prepared by: Staff Director:

William Garner and Michael Kliner

Susan F. Cutchins