1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act implementing the 2000-2001 General
3	Appropriations Act; providing legislative
4	intent; providing for allocation of moneys
5	provided for workforce development and
6	providing for budget amendment when a program
7	is moved; making certain findings regarding
8	funds for the San Carlos Institute; amending s.
9	240.384, F.S.; requiring an audit and the
10	transfer of certain funds relating to certain
11	transferred criminal justice training programs;
12	amending s. 240.2605, F.S.; requiring the Board
13	of Regents to rank certain donations; requiring
14	presidents of universities in the State
15	University System to provide lists of certain
16	donations; amending s. 11.13, F.S.; limiting
17	compensation paid by a Florida governmental
18	entity to a legislator during any legislative
19	session; amending s. 409.9115, F.S.; specifying
20	how the Agency for Health Care Administration
21	shall make payments for the Medicaid
22	disproportionate share program for mental
23	health hospitals; requiring the Agency for
24	Health Care Administration to use a specified
25	disproportionate share formula, specified
26	audited financial data, and a specified
27	Medicaid per diem rate in fiscal year 2000-2001
28	for qualifying hospitals; amending s. 409.9116,
29	F.S.; providing a formula for rural hospital
30	disproportionate share payments; creating s.
31	409.9119, F.S.; creating a disproportionate

1

1	share program for children's hospitals;
2	providing formulas governing payments made to
3	hospitals under the program; providing for
4	withholding payments from a hospital that is
5	not complying with agency rules; amending s.
6	216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of
7	Children and Family Services and the Department
8	of Health to advance certain moneys for certain
9	contract services; directing the Agency for
10	Health Care Administration to include health
11	maintenance organization recipients in the
12	county billing for a specified purpose;
13	authorizing the Departments of Children and
14	Family Services, Revenue, Management Services,
15	and Health and the Agency for Health Care
16	Administration to transfer positions and funds
17	to comply with the 2000-2001 General
18	Appropriations Act or the WAGES Act; amending
19	s. 402.3015, F.S.; providing eligibility
20	guidelines for subsidized child care; amending
21	s. 39.3065, F.S.; providing for the Broward
22	County Sheriff to provide child protective
23	investigative services; requiring Healthy
24	Families Florida service providers to furnish
25	participants with certain disclaimers and
26	documentation; prohibiting disclosure of
27	certain records by such providers; providing
28	for disposal of records after a specified
29	period; amending s. 409.912, F.S.; extending
30	additional responsibilities of the Agency for
31	Health Care Administration in fostering

2

1	cost-effective purchasing of health care;
2	amending s. 287.084, F.S.; allowing
3	consideration of certain vendors in a request
4	for proposals relating to telemedicine by the
5	Glades School District; authorizing the
6	Department of Law Enforcement to use certain
7	moneys to provide meritorious-performance
8	bonuses for employees, subject to approval;
9	authorizing the Correctional Privatization
10	Commission to make certain expenditures to
11	defray costs incurred by a municipality or
12	county as a result of opening a facility of the
13	commission or the department; authorizing the
14	Department of Legal Affairs to transfer certain
15	funds between trust funds; providing for
16	reimbursement for purchase of retirement credit
17	by employees of the public defender;
18	restricting releases of juvenile justice
19	prevention funds; amending s. 216.181, F.S.;
20	authorizing the Department of Transportation to
21	transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget
22	entity to facilitate transferring personnel to
23	the turnpike headquarters facility in Orange
24	County; amending s. 252.373, F.S.; providing
25	for use of funds of the Emergency Management,
26	Preparedness, and Assistance Trust Fund to
27	improve, and increase the number of, disaster
28	shelters in the state and improve local
29	disaster preparedness; restricting release of
30	economic development tools funds and requiring
31	reversion at end of fiscal year; amending s.

3

1	212.20, F.S.; providing for use of moneys
2	allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust
3	Fund; amending s. 403.7095, F.S., relating to
4	the solid waste management grant program;
5	requiring a specified level of funding for
б	counties receiving solid waste management and
7	recycling grants; providing for allocation of
8	funds for innovative programs to address
9	recycling practices and procedures; amending s.
10	373.59, F.S.; requiring release of certain
11	moneys by the Secretary of Environmental
12	Protection to water management districts, upon
13	request; authorizing the Department of
14	Agriculture and Consumer Services to use
15	certain funds for expenses associated with its
16	administrative and regulatory powers and
17	duties; requiring transfer of certain property
18	by the Department of Business and Professional
19	Regulation to the University of Florida;
20	providing for future repeal of various
21	provisions; providing effect of veto of
22	specific appropriation or proviso to which
23	implementing language refers; providing
24	applicability to other legislation; providing
25	performance measures and standards for
26	individual programs within state agencies;
27	providing that the performance measures and
28	standards are directly linked to the
29	appropriations made in the 2000-2001 General
30	Appropriations Act, as required by the
31	Government Performance and Accountability Act
	4
	I [±]

of 1994; providing severability; providing an 1 2 effective date. 3 4 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 5 6 Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that 7 the implementing and administering provisions of this act 8 apply to the General Appropriations Act for fiscal year 9 2000-2001. 10 Section 2. The funds provided in the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act for workforce development shall be 11 12 initially allocated to the school district or community 13 college as designated. If, for any reason, a program in whole 14 or in part is moved from a community college to a school 15 district or moved from a school district to a community college, the Commissioner of Education or the executive 16 17 director of the Division of Community Colleges shall submit a budget amendment pursuant to chapter 216, Florida Statutes, to 18 19 transfer the appropriate amount of the 2000-2001 appropriation 20 between the affected district and community college. The 21 amount transferred shall be as near as practicable to the actual amount appropriated for the FTE funded for that 22 23 program. This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 24 Section 3. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 2645A of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 25 26 Act, the Legislature affirms and confirms that all funds and 27 related interest appropriated to the Instituto Patriotico v Docente San Carlos, Inc., a Florida not-for-profit corporation 28 29 doing business as the San Carlos Institute between fiscal years 1986-1987 and 1992-1993, including, but not limited to, 30 31 Public Education Capital Outlay (PECO) funds, were spent in 5

accordance with legislative intent; and the Legislature 1 2 affirms and confirms that all matching fund requirements have 3 been fully met by the San Carlos Institute. Furthermore, the 4 Legislature affirms and confirms that the appropriations to 5 the San Carlos Institute in fiscal years 1998-1999, 1999-2000, 6 and 2000-2001 do not require matching funds. Therefore, the 7 requirement that interest funds be repaid to the State of 8 Florida is hereby waived, and the Legislature directs all 9 applicable state agencies to release to the San Carlos Institute all funds appropriated for the San Carlos Institute 10 for fiscal years 1993-1994, 1998-1999, 1999-2000, and 11 12 2000-2001. This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. Section 4. In order to implement Specific 13 14 Appropriation 135 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, subsection (7) is added to section 240.384, Florida Statutes, 15 16 to read: 17 240.384 Training school consolidation pilot 18 projects.--19 (7) AUDIT.--Notwithstanding any provision of this 20 section to the contrary, for the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, prior to the release of funds in Specific Appropriation 135 of 21 the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act for Leon and St. 22 23 Johns Counties, the Auditor General shall conduct an audit to determine that all FTEs, completions, placements, and related 24 funds and any other funds from all state sources relating to 25 26 the criminal justice training programs transferred to St. Johns River Community College and Tallahassee Community 27 College have been correctly identified and transferred to the 28 29 respective community colleges. All program funds and their sources, including, but not limited to, the entire FEFP, 30 31 categorical programs, Workforce Development funds, performance 6

incentives, incentive grants for expanded programs, and all 1 other state fund sources relating to these programs shall be 2 3 included in this audit. All funds identified in this audit for 4 a given program under this section shall be shifted to the 5 base appropriation for the appropriate community college 6 before the funds in Specific Appropriation 135 are allocated. 7 This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000. 8 Section 5. In order to implement Specific 9 Appropriation 167 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, subsection (8) of 240.2605, Florida Statutes, is amended to 10 11 read: 12 240.2605 Trust Fund for Major Gifts .--(8) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, 13 14 for the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, for gifts received during this period, the university presidents shall 15 provide a list of donations from private donors for challenge 16 17 grants, new donations, major gifts, and the eminent scholars program to be matched for the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year 18 19 to the Board of Regents. The listing shall contain an explanation of the donation, a statement of the specific 20 benefits accrued to the university as a result of the 21 donation, and how the donation is consistent with the mission 22 23 of the institution, as defined by the Board of Regents in the 1998-2003 Strategic Plan. University presidents shall rank 24 each private donation to their university, giving highest 25 26 priority to private donations that provide additional library resources to universities; donations that provide student 27 assistance through scholarships, fellowships, or 28 29 assistantships; donations that provide funding for existing academic programs at universities; and donations that meet the 30 matching requirement without encumbering pledges. The Board of 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

7

Regents, using the same criteria, shall develop a systemwide 1 2 priority list and may set restrictions on the annual amount of 3 matching funds provided for single donations that exceed \$5 4 million. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2001. 5 Section 6. In order to implement Specific 6 Appropriations 2334 and 2335 of the 2000-2001 General 7 Appropriations Act, subsection (7) is added to section 11.13, 8 Florida Statutes, to read: 9 11.13 Compensation of members--(7) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law to 10 the contrary, for the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, no member of 11 12 the Senate or House of Representatives shall receive compensation from any Florida governmental entity, other than 13 14 the Legislature, for time during which legislative duties are 15 being performed. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2001. Section 7. In order to implement Specific 16 17 Appropriation 246 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, subsection (3) of section 409.9115, Florida Statutes, is 18 19 amended to read: 409.9115 Disproportionate share program for mental 20 21 health hospitals.--The Agency for Health Care Administration 22 shall design and implement a system of making mental health 23 disproportionate share payments to hospitals that qualify for disproportionate share payments under s. 409.911. This system 24 of payments shall conform with federal requirements and shall 25 26 distribute funds in each fiscal year for which an 27 appropriation is made by making quarterly Medicaid payments. Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from 28 29 contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for 30 patients. 31 8

(3) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 1 2 Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for 3 the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health 4 hospitals on a monthly basis. If the amounts appropriated for 5 the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health 6 hospitals are increased or decreased during the fiscal year 7 pursuant to the requirements of chapter 216, the required 8 adjustment shall be prorated over the remaining payment 9 periods. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2001 2000. Section 8. During the 2000-2001 fiscal year, the 10 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the 1992-1993 11 12 disproportionate share formula, the 1994 audited financial data, and the Medicaid per diem rate as of January 1, 1999, 13 14 for those hospitals that qualify for the hospital 15 disproportionate share program funded in Specific 16 Appropriation 217 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act. 17 This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 18 Section 9. In order to implement Specific 19 Appropriation 212 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 20 subsection (6) of section 409.9116, Florida Statutes, is 21 amended to read: 22 409.9116 Disproportionate share/financial assistance 23 program for rural hospitals. -- In addition to the payments made under s. 409.911, the Agency for Health Care Administration 24 25 shall administer a federally matched disproportionate share 26 program and a state-funded financial assistance program for 27 statutory rural hospitals. The agency shall make disproportionate share payments to statutory rural hospitals 28 29 that qualify for such payments and financial assistance payments to statutory rural hospitals that do not qualify for 30 31 disproportionate share payments. The disproportionate share 9

program payments shall be limited by and conform with federal 1 requirements. In fiscal year 1993-1994, available funds shall 2 3 be distributed in one payment, as soon as practicable after 4 the effective date of this act. In subsequent fiscal years, 5 Funds shall be distributed quarterly in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made. Notwithstanding the provisions 6 7 of s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward 8 the cost of this special reimbursement for hospitals serving a 9 disproportionate share of low-income patients. (6) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 10 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the following 11 formula for distribution of the funds in Specific 12 Appropriation 212 236 of the 2000-2001 1999-2000 General 13 14 Appropriations Act for the disproportionate share/financial 15 assistance program for rural hospitals. (a) The agency shall first determine a preliminary 16 payment amount for each rural hospital by allocating all 17 18 available state funds using the following formula: 19 20 $PDAER = (TAERH \times TARH) / STAERH$ 21 22 Where: 23 PDAER = preliminary distribution amount for each rural 24 hospital. TAERH = total amount earned by each rural hospital. 25 26 TARH = total amount appropriated or distributed under this section. 27 28 STAERH = sum of total amount earned by each rural 29 hospital. 30 31 10

1 (b) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 2 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 3 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (a). 4 (c) The state-funds-only payment amount is then 5 calculated for each hospital using the formula: 6 7 SFOER = Maximum value of (1) SFOL - PDAER or (2) 0 8 9 Where: 10 SFOER = state-funds-only payment amount for each rural hospital. 11 12 SFOL = state-funds-only payment level, which is set at 13 4 percent of TARH. 14 (d) The adjusted total amount allocated to the rural 15 disproportionate share program shall then be calculated using 16 the following formula: 17 18 ATARH = (TARH - SSFOER)19 20 Where: 21 ATARH = adjusted total amount appropriated or 22 distributed under this section. 23 SSFOER = sum of the state-funds-only payment amount calculated under paragraph (c) for all rural hospitals. 24 25 (e) The determination of the amount of rural 26 disproportionate share hospital funds is calculated by the 27 following formula: 28 29 TDAERH = [(TAERH x ATARH)/STAERH]30 31 Where: 11 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

TDAERH = total distribution amount for each rural 1 2 hospital. 3 (f) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 4 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 5 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (e). 6 (g) State-funds-only payment amounts calculated under 7 paragraph (c) are then added to the results of paragraph (f) 8 to determine the total distribution amount for each rural 9 hospital. (h) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2001 2000. 10 Section 10. In order to implement Specific 11 12 Appropriation 234A of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, section 409.9119, Florida Statutes, is created to read: 13 14 409.9119 Disproportionate share program for children's 15 hospitals.--In addition to the payments made under s. 409.911, 16 the Agency for Health Care Administration shall develop and 17 implement a system under which disproportionate share payments 18 are made to those hospitals that are licensed by the state as 19 children's hospitals and were licensed on January 1, 2000, as 20 children's hospitals. This system of payments must conform to 21 federal requirements and must distribute funds in each fiscal 22 year for which an appropriation is made by making quarterly 23 Medicaid payments. Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special 24 25 reimbursement for hospitals that serve a disproportionate 26 share of low-income patients. (1) The agency shall use the following formula to 27 28 calculate the total amount earned for hospitals that 29 participate in the children's hospital disproportionate share 30 program: 31 $TAE = DSR \times BMPD \times MD$ 12

1 Where: 2 TAE = total amount earned by a children's hospital. 3 DSR = disproportionate share rate. 4 BMPD = base Medicaid per diem. 5 MD = Medicaid days. 6 (2) The agency shall calculate the total additional 7 payment for hospitals that participate in the children's 8 hospital disproportionate share program as follows: 9 10 $TAP = (TAE \times TA)$ 11 12 STAE 13 Where: 14 TAP = total additional payment for a children's 15 hospital. 16 TAE = total amount earned by a children's hospital. 17 TA = total appropriation for the children's hospital 18 disproportionate share program. 19 STAE = sum of total amount earned by each hospital that 20 participates in the children's hospital disproportionate share 21 program. 22 (3) A hospital may not receive any payments under this 23 section until it achieves full compliance with the applicable 24 25 rules of the agency. A hospital that is not in compliance for 26 two or more consecutive quarters may not receive its share of 27 the funds. Any forfeited funds must be distributed to the 28 remaining participating children's hospitals that are in 29 compliance. 30 (4) This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 31 13 CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Section 11. In order to implement Specific 1 2 Appropriations 264 through 435 and 462 through 592A of the 3 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of 4 subsection (15) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, is 5 amended to read: 6 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 7 capital outlay .--8 (15)9 (c) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, funds appropriated to the Department of Children and Family 10 11 Services in Specific Appropriations 264 292 through 435 425 12 and the Department of Health in Specific Appropriations 462 445 through 592A 540 of the 2000-2001 1999-2000 General 13 14 Appropriations Act may be advanced, unless specifically prohibited in such General Appropriations Act, for those 15 16 contracted services that were approved for advancement by the 17 Comptroller in fiscal year 1993-1994, including those services 18 contracted on a fixed-price or unit cost basis. This 19 paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2001 2000. 20 Section 12. In order to implement Specific 21 Appropriation 217 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 22 and for the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall include health maintenance 23 organization recipients in the county billing for inpatient 24 25 hospital stays for the purpose of shared costs with counties 26 in accordance with the Florida Statutes. This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 27 28 Section 13. For the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, the 29 Departments of Children and Family Services, Revenue, 30 Management Services, and Health and the Agency for Health Care Administration may transfer positions and general revenue 31 14

funds as necessary to comply with any provision of the 1 2 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act or WAGES Act which 3 requires or specifically authorizes the transfer of positions 4 and general revenue funds between these agencies. This section 5 is repealed on July 1, 2001. 6 Section 14. In order to implement Specific 7 Appropriation 428 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 8 paragraph (d) of subsection (1) of section 402.3015, Florida 9 Statutes, is amended to read: 402.3015 Subsidized child care program; purpose; fees; 10 11 contracts.--12 (1) The purpose of the subsidized child care program is to provide quality child care to enhance the development, 13 14 including language, cognitive, motor, social, and self-help skills of children who are at risk of abuse or neglect and 15 children of low-income families, and to promote financial 16 self-sufficiency and life skills for the families of these 17 18 children, unless prohibited by federal law. Priority for 19 participation in the subsidized child care program shall be accorded to children under 13 years of age who are: 20 21 (d) For the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, children of working families enrolled in the Child Care Executive 22 23 Partnership Program whose family income does not exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level. This paragraph is 24 25 repealed on July 1, 2001. 26 Section 15. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 307 through 310 and 312 of the 2000-2001 27 28 General Appropriations Act, subsection (4) of section 39.3065, 29 Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 30 31 15 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

39.3065 Sheriffs of Pasco, Manatee, and Pinellas 1 2 Counties to provide child protective investigative services; 3 procedures; funding.--4 (4) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 5 Sheriff of Broward County shall perform the same child 6 protective investigative services according to the same 7 standards as are performed by the sheriffs of Pinellas County, 8 Manatee County, and Pasco County under this section. This 9 subsection expires July 1, 2001 2000. Section 16. (1) In order to implement Specific 10 Appropriations 306 and 311A of the 2000-2001 General 11 12 Appropriations Act, all Healthy Families Florida contracted service providers shall: 13 14 (a) Present the following disclaimer both orally and 15 in writing at the initial contact with the parent: 'Participation in the Healthy Families Florida program is 16 17 voluntary. You are not required to answer any questions other than those required for birth registration and you have the 18 19 right to decline participation in the program at any time." 20 (b) Furnish, at the participant's request, a copy of 21 all documentation concerning services provided to the 22 participant, including applications and assessments. The 23 private, nonprofit corporation and other applicable service providers shall dispose of all records or documents relating 24 25 to that individual 5 years after the individual's termination 26 from the program. 27 (2) No information other than the name, date of birth, 28 social security number, zip code, and county of residence of 29 participants and their children may be forwarded from the 30 private, nonprofit corporation or other service provider to the Department of Children and Family Services. This 31 16

information is to be used for evaluation purposes only. No 1 2 individual participant data may be forwarded to the National 3 Committee to Prevent Child Abuse or any other organization 4 collecting and recording such information. 5 This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. (3) 6 Section 17. In order to implement Specific 7 Appropriation 230 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 8 subsection (13) of section 409.912, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 9 409.912 Cost-effective purchasing of health care.--The 10 agency shall purchase goods and services for Medicaid 11 12 recipients in the most cost-effective manner consistent with the delivery of quality medical care. The agency shall 13 14 maximize the use of prepaid per capita and prepaid aggregate fixed-sum basis services when appropriate and other 15 alternative service delivery and reimbursement methodologies, 16 17 including competitive bidding pursuant to s. 287.057, designed 18 to facilitate the cost-effective purchase of a case-managed 19 continuum of care. The agency shall also require providers to minimize the exposure of recipients to the need for acute 20 inpatient, custodial, and other institutional care and the 21 inappropriate or unnecessary use of high-cost services. 22 23 (13)(a) The agency shall identify health care utilization and price patterns within the Medicaid program 24 which are not cost-effective or medically appropriate and 25 26 assess the effectiveness of new or alternate methods of 27 providing and monitoring service, and may implement such methods as it considers appropriate. Such methods may include 28 29 disease management initiatives, an integrated and systematic

are at risk of or diagnosed with a specific disease by using

30

31

17

approach for managing the health care needs of recipients who

best practices, prevention strategies, clinical-practice improvement, clinical interventions and protocols, outcomes research, information technology, and other tools and resources to reduce overall costs and improve measurable outcomes.

6 (b) The responsibility of the agency under this 7 subsection shall include the development of capabilities to 8 identify actual and optimal practice patterns; patient and 9 provider educational initiatives; methods for determining 10 patient compliance with prescribed treatments; fraud, waste, 11 and abuse prevention and detection programs; and beneficiary 12 case management programs.

The practice pattern identification program shall 13 1. 14 evaluate practitioner prescribing patterns based on national and regional practice guidelines, comparing practitioners to 15 their peer groups. The agency and its Drug Utilization Review 16 17 Board shall consult with a panel of practicing health care professionals consisting of the following: the Speaker of the 18 19 House of Representatives and the President of the Senate shall each appoint three physicians licensed under chapter 458 or 20 chapter 459; and the Governor shall appoint two pharmacists 21 licensed under chapter 465 and one dentist licensed under 22 23 chapter 466 who is an oral surgeon. Terms of the panel members shall expire at the discretion of the appointing official. The 24 panel shall begin its work by August 1, 1999, regardless of 25 26 the number of appointments made by that date. The advisory 27 panel shall be responsible for evaluating treatment guidelines and recommending ways to incorporate their use in the practice 28 29 pattern identification program. Practitioners who are prescribing inappropriately or inefficiently, as determined by 30 31

the agency, may have their prescribing of certain drugs 1 subject to prior authorization. 2 3 The agency shall also develop educational 2. 4 interventions designed to promote the proper use of 5 medications by providers and beneficiaries. 6 3. The agency shall implement a pharmacy fraud, waste, 7 and abuse initiative that may include a surety bond or letter 8 of credit requirement for participating pharmacies, enhanced 9 provider auditing practices, the use of additional fraud and abuse software, recipient management programs for 10 beneficiaries inappropriately using their benefits, and other 11 12 steps that will eliminate provider and recipient fraud, waste, and abuse. The initiative shall address enforcement efforts to 13 reduce the number and use of counterfeit prescriptions. 14 15 The agency may apply for any federal waivers needed 4. to implement this paragraph. 16 17 This paragraph is repealed July 1, 2001. 5. 18 Section 18. In order to implement Specific 19 Appropriation 487 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 20 subsection (3) of section 287.084, Florida Statutes, is 21 amended to read: 22 287.084 Preference to Florida businesses.--23 (3) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, 24 notwithstanding any statutory authority or adopted local government policy under which the Glades School District 25 26 operates, the district is hereby authorized to give consideration to Florida vendors in the issuance of a request 27 for proposal for a pilot program for telemedicine within the 28 29 district. This subsection expires July 1, 2001 2000. Section 19. Consistent with the provisions of s. 30 31 216.163, Florida Statutes, in accordance with 19

performance-based program budgeting requirements, and 1 notwithstanding the provisions of s. 216.181, Florida 2 3 Statutes, the Department of Law Enforcement may transfer up to 4 one-half of 1 percent of the funds in Specific Appropriations 5 1149C through 1190G of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 6 Act for salary bonuses for departmental employees at the 7 discretion of the executive director, provided that such 8 bonuses are given only to selected employees for meritorious 9 performance, instead of being given as across-the-board bonuses for all employees. The department, after consultation 10 with the Executive Office of the Governor, shall provide a 11 12 plan to the chairs of the Senate and House committees 13 responsible for producing the General Appropriations Act for 14 approval before awarding such bonuses. This section is 15 repealed on July 1, 2001. Section 20. In order to implement Specific 16 17 Appropriation 636 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, the Correctional Privatization Commission may expend 18 19 appropriated funds to assist in defraying the costs of impacts 20 that are incurred by a municipality or county and associated 21 with opening a facility under the authority of the 22 Correctional Privatization Commission or a facility under the 23 authority of the Department of Juvenile Justice which is located within that municipality or county. The amount that is 24 25 to be paid under this section for any facility may not exceed 26 1 percent of the facility construction cost, less building impact fees imposed by the municipality, or by the county if 27 28 the facility is located in the unincorporated portion of the 29 county. This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 30 Section 21. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1226 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 31 20

Act, the Department of Legal Affairs may transfer up to 1 \$1,054,632 between trust funds. This section is repealed on 2 3 July 1, 2001. 4 Section 22. In order to implement the proviso 5 immediately following Specific Appropriation 925 of the 6 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, the public defender of 7 any judicial circuit in this state may reimburse any employee 8 who purchased, at his or her own expense, additional 9 retirement credit in the Florida Retirement System Elected Officers' Class, for time spent as an employee of the public 10 defender, up to the amounts actually spent by the employee. 11 12 This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 13 Section 23. In order to implement Specific 14 Appropriation 1144A of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 15 Act, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 216.192, Florida 16 Statutes, and pursuant to s. 216.345, Florida Statutes, funds 17 in Specific Appropriation 1144A shall not be allocated or released until the Department of Juvenile Justice develops a 18 19 plan to ensure that the use of funds is in accordance with 20 lawfully established priorities and conditions for the use of 21 juvenile justice prevention funds and the plan is approved by 22 the Juvenile Justice Review Panel established pursuant to 23 Executive Order 2000-7. This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 24 25 Section 24. In order to implement Specific 26 Appropriations 1807 through 1864 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, subsection (18) of section 216.181, 27 Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 28 29 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 30 capital outlay .--31 21

(18) Notwithstanding any other provision of this 1 2 chapter to the contrary, the Florida Department of 3 Transportation, in order to facilitate the transfer of 4 personnel to the new turnpike headquarters location in Orange 5 County, may transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity 6 from other departmental budget entities. The department must 7 provide documentation of all transfers to the Executive Office of the Governor, the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, 8 9 and the Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Economic Development Appropriations. This 10 subsection expires July 1, 2001 2000. 11 12 Section 25. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1406Q and 1406R of the 2000-2001 General 13 14 Appropriations Act, subsection (1) of section 252.373, Florida 15 Statutes, is amended to read: 252.373 Allocation of funds; rules.--16 17 (1)(a) Funds appropriated from the Emergency Management, Preparedness, and Assistance Trust Fund shall be 18 19 allocated by the Department of Community Affairs as follows: 20 1.(a) Sixty percent to implement and administer state 21 and local emergency management programs, including training, 22 of which 20 percent shall be used by the division and 80 23 percent shall be allocated to local emergency management agencies and programs. Of this 80 percent, at least 80 24 25 percent shall be allocated to counties. 2.(b) Twenty percent to provide for state relief 26 27 assistance for nonfederally declared disasters, including but not limited to grants and below-interest-rate loans to 28 29 businesses for uninsured losses resulting from a disaster. 30 3.(c) Twenty percent for grants and loans to state or regional agencies, local governments, and private 31 2.2

organizations to implement projects that will further state 1 and local emergency management objectives. These projects 2 3 must include, but need not be limited to, projects that will 4 promote public education on disaster preparedness and recovery 5 issues, enhance coordination of relief efforts of statewide private sector organizations, and improve the training and 6 7 operations capabilities of agencies assigned lead or support 8 responsibilities in the state comprehensive emergency 9 management plan, including the State Fire Marshal's Office for coordinating the Florida fire services. The division shall 10 establish criteria and procedures for competitive allocation 11 12 of these funds by rule. No more than 5 percent of any award 13 made pursuant to this subparagraph paragraph may be used for 14 administrative expenses. 15 (b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a), for the 2000-2001 fiscal year, up to \$4 million of the 16 17 unencumbered balance of the Emergency Management, Preparedness, and Assistance Trust Fund shall be utilized to 18 19 improve, and increase the number of, disaster shelters within 20 the state and improve local disaster preparedness. This 21 paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2001. In order to implement Specific 22 Section 26. 23 Appropriation 2088B of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, notwithstanding the provisions of ss. 216.192 and 24 25 288.980(2)(a), Florida Statutes, and pursuant to s. 216.345, 26 Florida Statutes, funds in Specific Appropriation 2088B shall not be released for any other purpose and shall be released 27 only when the projects meet the contracted performance 28 29 requirements. Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 216.301, Florida Statues, and pursuant to s. 216.345, Florida Statutes, 30 all unexpended general revenue provided in Specific 31 23

Appropriation 2088B shall revert to the General Revenue Fund 1 2 unallocated on June 30, 2001. This section is repealed on July 3 1, 2001. 4 Section 27. In order to implement Specific 5 Appropriations 1476, 1591F, 1591H, and 1591I of the 2000-2001 6 General Appropriations Act, subsection (7) of section 212.20, 7 Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 212.20 Funds collected, disposition; additional powers 8 9 of department; operational expense; refund of taxes adjudicated unconstitutionally collected. --10 11 (7) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 12 use of funds allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust Fund shall be as provided in the General Appropriations Act. 13 14 There is transferred\$13\$15.5 million for surface water 15 improvement and management projects and\$6.5\$10 million for the aquatic weed control program from revenues provided by 16 17 this section. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2001 2000. 18 19 Section 28. In order to implement Specific 20 Appropriation 1609D of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 21 Act, subsections (8) and (9) of section 403.7095, Florida 22 Statutes, are amended to read: 23 403.7095 Solid waste management grant program.--(8) For fiscal year 2000-2001 1999-2000, the 24 department shall provide counties with populations under 25 100,000 with at least 80 percent of the level of funding they 26 received in fiscal year 1997-1998 for solid waste management 27 28 and recycling grants. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 29 2001. 30 (9) For fiscal year 2000-2001 1999-2000, the department shall provide 25 10 percent of the total funds 31 24 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

available after the requirements of subsection (8) are met for 1 2 recycling and waste reduction grants available to all counties on a competitive basis for innovative programs. Because the 3 4 Legislature recognizes that input from the recycling industry 5 is essential to the success of this funding program, the 6 department shall cooperate with affected organizations to 7 develop a process and define specific criteria for evaluating 8 proposals and selecting programs for funding that comply with 9 the following general guidelines. Programs selected for funding shall The department may consider one or more of the 10 11 following criteria in determining whether a grant proposal is 12 innovative: (a) Demonstrate advanced technologies or processes 13 14 that are not in common use in Florida, that represent a novel 15 application of an existing technology or process, or that overcome obstacles to recycling in new or innovative ways. 16 (b) Collect and recycle or reduce materials targeted 17 by the department and the recycling industry. 18 19 (c) Demonstrate the potential economic and 20 environmental benefits of the proposed recycling program and 21 the cost-effectiveness of the program's approach substantial 22 improvement in program cost-effectiveness and efficiency as 23 measured against statewide average costs for the same or 24 similar programs. 25 (d) Demonstrate transferability of technology and 26 processes used in the program and specify how the program will 27 promote transferability. 28 Demonstrate local support for the proposed program (e) 29 by the commitment of cash or in-kind matching funds and 30 implement multicounty or regional recycling programs. (f) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2001. 31 25

1 Section 29. In order to implement Specific 2 Appropriations 1490E and 1591F of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, subsection (11) of section 373.59, Florida 3 4 Statutes, is amended to read: 5 373.59 Water Management Lands Trust Fund .--6 (11) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to 7 the contrary and for the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, the 8 governing board of a water management district may request, 9 and the Secretary of Environmental Protection shall release upon such request, moneys allocated to the districts pursuant 10 to subsection (8) for the purpose of carrying out the purposes 11 of s. 373.0361, s. 375.0831, s. 373.139, or ss. 12 373.451-373.4595 and for legislatively authorized land 13 14 acquisition and water restoration initiatives. No funds may be 15 used pursuant to this subsection until necessary debt service obligations, requirements for payments in lieu of taxes, and 16 land management obligations that may be required by this 17 chapter are provided for. This subsection is repealed on July 18 1, 2001. 19 20 Section 30. In order to implement Specific 21 Appropriation 1262C of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 22 Act, and notwithstanding the provisions of ss. 496.405(4)(c), 23 496.409(7), 496.410(15), and 496.419(9), Florida Statutes, the moneys received and deposited into the General Inspection 24 25 Trust Fund may be used by the Department of Agriculture and 26 Consumer Services to defray the expenses of the department in the discharge of any and all of its administrative and 27 28 regulatory powers and duties as prescribed by law. This 29 section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 30 Section 31. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1983 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 31 26

Act, the Division of Pari-Mutuel Wagering of the Department of 1 2 Business and Professional Regulation shall transfer title to 3 all tangible personal property that is owned by the Department and is currently in use by the College of Veterinary Medicine 4 5 at the University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida to the 6 College. This section is repealed on July 1, 2001. 7 Section 32. A section of this act that implements a 8 specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso 9 language in the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act is void if the specific appropriation or specifically identified 10 proviso language is vetoed. A section of this act that 11 12 implements more than one specific appropriation or more than one portion of specifically identified proviso language in the 13 14 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act is void if all the specific appropriations or portions of specifically identified 15 16 proviso language are vetoed. 17 Section 33. If any other act passed during the 2000 Regular Session of the Legislature or any extension thereof 18 19 contains a provision that is substantively the same as a 20 provision in this act, but that removes or is otherwise not subject to the future repeal applied to such provision by this 21 act, the Legislature intends that the provision in the other 22 23 act shall take precedence and shall continue to operate, notwithstanding the future repeal provided by this act. 24 Section 34. The performance measures and standards 25 26 established in this section for individual programs in the 27 area of education shall be applied to those programs for the 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance measures and 28 29 standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001, as 30 31 27

required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act 1 2 of 1994. 3 (1) DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. --(a) For the Private Colleges and Universities Program, 4 5 the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 6 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 7 Specific Appropriations 11, 12, 16-21, 23, 27, 29-32, and 8 36-41 are as follows: 9 1. FLORIDA RESIDENT ACCESS GRANT OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Retention rate of First Time in College (FTIC) 10 award recipients, using a 6-year rate.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 11 b. Graduation rate of FTIC award recipients, using a 12 6-year rate.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 13 14 2. FLORIDA RESIDENT ACCESS GRANT OUTPUT MEASURE.--15 a. Number of degrees granted by level for FRAG 16 recipients and contract program recipients....FY 2001-2002 LBR 17 3. ACADEMIC CONTRACTS OUTCOME MEASURES.--18 a. Retention rate of award 19 recipients.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 20 b. Graduation rate of award 21 recipients.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 22 c. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the percent employed at \$22,000 or more 1 year following 23 graduation.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 24 25 d. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the 26 percent employed at \$22,000 or more 5 years following graduation.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 27 e. Licensure/certification rates of award recipients 28 29 4. ACADEMIC CONTRACTS OUTPUT MEASURES.--30 a. Number of prior year's graduates...FY 2001-2002 LBR 31 2.8

1	b. Number of prior year's graduates remaining in
2	Florida
3	5. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES
4	OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Retention rate of students, using a 6-year
б	rateFY 2001-2002 LBR
7	b. Graduation rate of students, using a 6-year
8	rateFY 2001-2002 LBR
9	6. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OUTPUT
10	MEASURE
11	a. Number of FTIC students, disaggregated by in-state
12	and out-of-state
13	(b) For the Financial Aid Programs, the outcome
14	measures, output measures, and associated performance
15	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
16	Appropriations 2 and 55 are as follows:
17	1. BRIGHT FUTURES SCHOLARSHIP OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of high school graduates who successfully
19	completed the 19 core credits60%
20	b. Retention rate of FTIC award recipients, by
21	delivery system, using a 4-year rate for community colleges
22	and a 6-year rate for universitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR
23	c. Graduation rate of FTIC award recipients, by
24	delivery systemFY 2001-2002 LBR
25	d. Percent of high school graduates eligible for
26	awards who enrolled in a Florida postsecondary
27	institution
28	e. Increase in percent of high school graduates
29	attending Florida postsecondary institutions
30	2. FLORIDA STUDENT ASSISTANCE GRANTS (FSAG) OUTCOME
31	MEASURES
	29

1	a. Retention rate of FTIC award recipients, by
2	delivery systemFY 2001-2002 LBR
3	b. Graduation rate of FTIC award recipients, by
4	delivery systemFY 2001-2002 LBR
5	3. CRITICAL TEACHER SHORTAGE LOAN FORGIVENESS PROGRAM
б	OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Percent of recipients who, upon completion of the
8	program, work in fields in which there are
9	shortagesFY 2001-2002 LBR
10	b. Percent of recipients who, upon completion of the
11	program, work in counties and in fields in which there are
12	shortagesFY 2001-2002 LBR
13	c. Within each designated shortage area, the percent
14	of the shortage that could be satisfied by the graduation and
15	employment of current students served by the
16	programFY 2001-2002 LBR
17	(c) For the Public Schools Program, the outcome
18	measures, output measures, and associated performance
19	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
20	Appropriations 3, 3A, 3B, 68-71, 78, 80, 82, and 83 are as
21	follows:
22	1. KINDERGARTEN - GRADE TWELVE (K-12) OUTCOME
23	MEASURES
24	a. Number/percent of "A" schools, reported by
25	district
26	b. Number/percent of "D" or "F" schools, reported by
27	district
28	c. Number/percent of schools declining one or more
29	letter grades, reported by districtFY 2001-2002 LBR
30	d. Number/percent of schools improving one or more
31	letter grades, reported by districtFY 2001-2002 LBR
	30
	50

2. STATE OVERSIGHT AND ASSISTANCE TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS 1 2 OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Percent of teacher certificates issued within 30 3 4 5 b. Percent of current fiscal year competitive grants 6 initial disbursement made by September 1 of current fiscal 7 8 3. STATE OVERSIGHT AND ASSISTANCE TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS 9 OUTPUT MEASURE. --10 a. Number of certification applications 11 12 (d) For the Workforce Development Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 13 14 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 15 Appropriation 135 are as follows: 1. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME MEASURES. --16 17 a. Number/percent of vocational certificate program 18 completers who are found placed according to the following 19 definitions: 20 (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high 21 wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 22 employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter.....12,227/42.6% 23 (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found 24 25 employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing 26 education in a college-credit-level program.....4,369/15.2% (III) Level I - Completed any program not included in 27 Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military, 28 29 or continuing their education at the vocational certificate 30 level......10,801/37.6% 31 31

1	b. Number/percent of associate in science degree and
2	college-credit certificate program completers who left the
3	program and are found placed according to the following
4	definitions:
5	(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
6	wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
7	employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter6,897/57.9%
8	(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
9	entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
10	employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing
11	education in a college-credit-level program1,351/11.3%
12	(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in
13	Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military,
14	or continuing their education at the vocational certificate
15	level
16	c. Number/percent of workforce development programs
17	which meet or exceed nationally recognized accrediting
18	standards for those programs which teach a subject matter for
19	which there is a nationally recognized accrediting
20	bodyFY 2001-2002 LBR
21	d. Number/percent of students attending workforce
22	development programs which meet or exceed nationally
23	recognized accrediting standardsFY 2001-2002 LBR
24	e. Number/percent of students completing workforce
25	development programs which meet or exceed nationally
26	recognized accrediting standardsFY 2001-2002 LBR
27	2. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT MEASURE
28	a. Number of adult basic education, including English
29	as a Second Language, and adult secondary education completion
30	point completers who are found employed or continuing their
31	educationFY 2001-2002 LBR
	32

1	(e) For the Community Colleges Program, the outcome
2	measures, output measures, and associated performance
3	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
4	Appropriations 8, 146, and 147 are as follows:
5	1. COMMUNITY COLLEGE OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Percent of Associate in Arts (AA) degree graduates
7	who transfer to a state university within 2 years67%
8	b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State
9	University System who earn a 2.5 or above in the SUS after 1
10	year
11	c. Of the AA graduates who are employed full time
12	rather than continuing their education, the percent which are
13	in jobs earning at least \$9 an hour
14	d. Of the AA students who complete 18 credit hours,
15	the percent of whom graduate in 4 years, disaggregating the
16	data by the following groups: ethnic, disabled, limited
17	English speaking, and economically disadvantaged
18	e. Percent of students graduating with total
19	accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 120
20	percent of the degree requirement
21	f. Percent of students exiting the college-preparatory
22	program who enter college-level course work associated with
23	the AA, Associate in Science (AS), Postsecondary Vocational
24	Certificate, and Postsecondary Adult Vocational programs66%
25	g. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State
26	University System who started in College Prep and who earn a
27	2.5 in the SUS after 1 year75%
28	h. Number/percent of AA partial completers
29	transferring to the State University System with at least 40
30	credit hoursFY 2001-2002 LBR
31	
	33

1	i. Number/FTEs of AA students who do not complete 18
2	credit hours within 4 yearsFY 2001-2002 LBR
3	j. Of the economically disadvantaged AA students who
4	complete 18 credit hours, the number and percent who graduate
5	with an AA degree within 4 yearsFY 2001-2002 LBR
6	k. Of the disabled AA students who complete 18 credit
7	hours, the number and percent who graduate with an AA degree
8	within 4 years
9	1. Of the black male AA students who complete 18
10	credit hours, the number and percent who graduate with
11	an AA degree within 4 yearsFY 2001-2002 LBR
12	m. Of the English as Second Language (college prep) or
13	English for Non-Speaker (college credit) students who complete
14	18 credit hours, the number and percent who graduate with an
15	AA degree within 4 yearsFY 2001-2002 LBR
16	n. Of the AA graduates who have not transferred to the
17	State University System, the number and percent who are found
18	placed in an occupation identified as high wage/high skill on
19	the Occupational Forecasting Conference list and found
20	employed at \$4,680 per quarter or moreFY 2001-2002 LBR
21	2. COMMUNITY COLLEGE OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of AA degrees granted
23	b. Number of students receiving college preparatory
24	instruction94,000
25	c. Number of students enrolled in baccalaureate
26	programs offered on community college
27	campusesFY 2001-2002 LBR
28	(f) For the Postsecondary Education Planning
29	Commission (PEPC) Program, the outcome measures and associated
30	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
31	Specific Appropriations 153-158 are as follows:
	34
l	

1	1. PEPC OUTCOME MEASURE
2	a. Completed studies required by statute or the
3	General Appropriations Act100%
4	(g) For the State University System Program, the
5	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
6	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
7	Appropriations 9A-D and 161-164 are as follows:
8	1. STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OUTCOME MEASURES
9	a. Graduation rate for First Time in College (FTIC)
10	students, using a 6-year rate60%
11	b. Retention rate for FTIC students, using a 6-year
12	<u>rate</u>
13	c. Graduation rate for AA transfer students, using a
14	<u>4-year rate69%</u>
15	d. Retention rate for AA transfer students, using a
16	<u>4-year rate80%</u>
17	e. Total sponsored research and development
18	expenditures per state-funded research expenditures3.52
19	2. STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of degrees granted, baccalaureate35,000
21	b. Number of degrees granted, masters10,200
22	c. Number of degrees granted, doctoral1,138
23	d. Number of degrees granted, professional1,137
24	Section 35. The performance measures and standards
25	established in this section for individual programs in the
26	area of health and human services shall be applied to those
27	programs for the 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance
28	measures and standards are directly linked to the
29	appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for
30	Fiscal Year 2000-2001, as required by the Government
31	Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
	35

1	(1) AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
2	(a) For the Medicaid Health Services Program, the
3	purpose of which is to provide timely primary medical care to
4	categorically eligible clients under the Supplemental Security
5	Income (SSI) program, the Temporary Assistance for Needy
6	Families (TANF) program, and the Institutional Care Program
7	(ICP), and to those persons eligible under other provisions of
8	federal or state law, in order to prevent more critical health
9	care problems and to increase access to such care where access
10	is restricted, the outcome measures, output measures, and
11	associated performance standards with respect to funds
12	provided in Specific Appropriations 196 through 251 are as
13	follows:
14	1. HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND
15	WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal
17	care
18	b. Neonatal mortality rate (per 1,000)4.86
19	c. Percent of vaginal deliveries with no
20	complications
21	d. Average length of time between pregnancies for
22	those receiving family planning services (months)37.4
23	2. HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND
24	WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of women receiving prenatal care137,130
26	b. Number of vaginal deliveries
27	c. Number of women receiving family planning
28	services136,197
29	3. HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percent of eligible children who received all
31	required components of EPSDT screen
	36
1	b. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
-----	--
2	preventable with good ambulatory care
3	c. Percent of children receiving mental health
4	services who are hospitalized for mental health care6.8%
5	4. HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of children ages 1-20 enrolled in
7	Medicaid1,119,745
8	b. Number of children receiving mental health
9	services
10	c. Number of children receiving EPSDT
11	services
12	d. Number of services by major type of service:
13	(I) Hospital inpatient services
14	(II) Physician services
15	(III) Prescribed drugs
16	5. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS
17	(NON-DISABLED) OUTCOME MEASURE
18	a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
19	preventable with good ambulatory care
20	6. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS
21	(NON-DISABLED) OUTPUT MEASURE
22	a. Percent of non-disabled adults receiving a
23	service
24	7. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS
25	OUTCOME MEASURE
26	a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
27	preventable with good ambulatory care
28	8. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS
29	OUTPUT MEASURE
30	a. Percent of enrolled disabled adults receiving a
31	service
	37
007	5/

1	9. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percent of hospital stays for elder recipients
3	exceeding length-of-stay criteria
4	b. Percent of elder recipients in long-term care who
5	improve or maintain activities of daily living (ADL)
б	functioning to those receiving mental health servicesTBD
7	10. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number enrolled in long-term care waivers9,766
9	b. Number of elders receiving mental health
10	care
11	c. Number of services by major type of service:
12	(I) Hospital inpatient services
13	(II) Physician services
14	(III) Prescribed drugs
15	11. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTCOME
16	MEASURES
17	a. Percent of new recipients voluntarily selecting
18	managed care plan
19	b. Percent of programs with cost-effectiveness
20	determined annually5%
21	12. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTPUT
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of new provider applications10,600
24	b. Number of new enrollees provided choice
25	counseling
26	c. Number of providers
27	13. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTCOME
28	MEASURES
29	a. Average length of time between receipt of clean
30	claim and payment (days)16
31	b. Percent increase in dollars recovered annually5%
	38

1	c. Amount of recoveries\$19,275,043
2	d. Cost avoided because of identification of
3	third-party coverage:
4	(I) Commercial coverage\$197,493,244
5	(II) Medicare\$694,234,790
6	14. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTPUT
7	MEASURES
8	a. Number of claims received
9	b. Number of claims processed65,400,797
10	c. Number of claims denied
11	d. Number of fraud and abuse cases opened3,776
12	e. Number of fraud and abuse cases closed4,683
13	f. Number of referrals to the Medicaid Fraud Control
14	Unit/Attorney General's Office175
15	(b) For the Health Services Quality Assurance Program,
16	the purpose of which is to ensure that all Floridians have
17	access to quality health care and services through the
18	licensure and certification of facilities, and in responding
19	to consumer complaints about facilities, services, and
20	practitioners, the outcome measures, output measures, and
21	associated performance standards with respect to funds
22	provided in Specific Appropriations 252 through 263 are as
23	<u>follows:</u>
24	1. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
25	OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Percent of Priority I practitioner investigations
27	resulting in emergency action
28	b. Average length of time (in days) to take emergency
29	action on Priority I practitioner investigations60
30	c. Percent of cease and desist orders issued to
31	unlicensed practitioners in which another complaint of
	39
007	

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	unlicensed activity is subsequently filed against the same
2	practitioner
3	d. Percent of licensed practitioners involved in:
4	(I) Serious incidents0.33%
5	(II) Peer review discipline reports0.02%
6	2. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
7	OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of complaints determined legally
9	sufficient
10	b. Number of legally sufficient complaints resolved
11	<u>by:</u>
12	(I) Findings of no probable cause, including:
13	(A) Nolle prosse
14	(B) Letters of guidance
15	(C) Notice of noncompliance
16	(II) Findings of probable cause, including:
17	(A) Issuance of citation for minor violations34
18	(B) Stipulations or informal hearings662
19	(C) Formal hearings44
20	c. Percent of investigations completed by priority
21	within timeframe:
22	(I) Priority I - 45 days100%
23	<u>(II)</u> Priority II - 180 days100%
24	(III) Other - 180 days100%
25	d. Average number of practitioner complaint
26	investigations per FTE
27	e. Number of inquiries to the call center regarding
28	practitioner licensure and disciplinary information113,293
29	3. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
30	CARE FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	40
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	a. Percent of investigations of alleged unlicensed
2	facilities and programs that have been previously issued a
3	cease and desist order and that are confirmed as repeated
4	unlicensed activity
5	b. Percent of Priority I consumer complaints about
6	licensed facilities and programs that are investigated within
7	48 hours
8	c. Percent of accredited hospitals and ambulatory
9	surgical centers cited for not complying with life safety,
10	licensure, or emergency access standardsTBD
11	d. Percent of accreditation validation surveys that
12	result in findings of licensure deficienciesTBD
13	e. Percent of facilities in which deficiencies are
14	found that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, or
15	welfare of the public by type:
16	(I) Nursing Homes5%
17	(II) Assisted Living Facilities
18	(III) Home Health AgenciesTBD
19	(IV) Clinical LaboratoriesTBD
20	(V) Ambulatory Surgical CentersTBD
21	(VI) HospitalsTBD
22	f. Percent of failures by hospitals to report:
23	(I) Serious incidents (agency identified)TBD
24	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
25	identified)TBD
26	4. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
27	CARE FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of facility emergency actions taken51
29	b. Total number of full facility quality-of-care
30	surveys conducted and by type
31	(I) Nursing Homes
	41

1	(II) Home Health Agencies
1 2	(III) Assisted Living Facilities
⊿ 3	
3 4	· · · ·
4 5	(V) Hospitals
5	(VI) Other1,357
0 7	c. Average processing time (in days) for statewide
, 8	panel cases
o 9	
-	not reported:
10	(I) Serious incidents (agency identified)TBD
11	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
12	identified)TBD
13	5. HEALTH FACILITY PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW
14	OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of plans and construction reviews performed
16	by type:
17	(I) Nursing Homes1,200
18	(II) Hospitals
19	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers
20	b. Average number of hours for plans and construction
21	surveys and reviews:
22	(I) Nursing Homes35
23	(II) Hospitals35
24	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers
25	(2) DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
26	(a) For the Florida Abuse Hotline Program, the purpose
27	of which is to serve as a central receiving and referral point
28	for all cases of suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation of
29	children, disabled adults, and the elderly, the outcome
30	measures, output measures, and associated performance
31	
	42
COD	TNG.Words etricken are deletions: words underlined are additions

standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 1 2 Appropriations 315 through 319 are as follows: 3 1. ABUSE HOTLINE OUTCOME MEASURE. -a. Percent of abandoned calls made to the Florida 4 5 6 2. ABUSE HOTLINE OUTPUT MEASURES. --7 b. Percent of calls answered within 3 minutes.....98% 8 9 (b) For the Adult Protection Program, the purpose of which is to protect adults and the elderly from abuse, 10 neglect, and exploitation, the outcome measures, output 11 12 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 297 through 302 are 13 14 as follows: 15 1. ADULT PROTECTION OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Percent of protective supervision cases in which no 16 17 report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received 18 while the case is open (from beginning of protective 19 20 21 2. ADULT PROTECTION OUTPUT MEASURES. --22 23 b. Number of persons referred to other 24 agencies......1,700 25 3. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO 26 REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES .--27 Percent of adults with disabilities receiving a. 28 29 30 4. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO 31 REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES. --43

1	
1	a. Number of adults with disabilities to be served:
2	(I) Community Care for Disabled Adults1,051
3	(II) Home Care for Disabled Adults1,428
4	(III) Number of Medicaid waiver clients served3,760
5	(IV) Number of persons receiving placement and
6	community support services7,237
7	(V) Number of persons receiving protective supervision
8	services
9	(c) For the Mental Health and Substance Abuse Program,
10	the purpose of which is to enable adults with mental health
11	problems to function self-sufficiently in the community,
12	enable children with mental health problems to function
13	appropriately and succeed in school, and enable children and
14	adults with or at serious risk of substance abuse problems to
15	be self-sufficient and addiction free, the outcome measures,
16	output measures, and associated performance standards with
17	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 365
18	through 374 and 397 through 398 are as follows:
19	1. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
20	OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Percent of children restored to competency and
22	recommended to proceed with a judicial hearing:
23	(I) With mental illness
24	(II) With mental retardation
25	b. Percent of community partners satisfied based upon
26	<u>a</u> survey
27	c. Percent of children with mental illness restored to
28	competency or determined unrestorable in less than
29	180 days
30	
31	
	44
	TT TNG.Words strictor are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	d. Percent of children with mental retardation
2	restored to competency or determined unrestorable in less than
3	<u>365</u> days
4	e. Percent of children returned to court for a
5	competency hearing and the court concurs in the recommendation
6	of the provider
7	2. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
8	OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Number served who are incompetent to proceed224
10	b. Number of days following the determination by the
11	mental health service provider of restoration of competency or
12	unrestorability of competency to the date of the court hearing
13	on the determination of competencyTBD
14	3. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED)
15	OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Projected annual days SED children (excluding those
17	in juvenile justice facilities) spend in the community338
18	b. Average functional level score SED children will
19	have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
20	Functioning Scale
21	c. Percent of families satisfied with the services
22	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior
23	Scale
24	d. Percent of available school days SED children
25	attended during the last 30 days85%
26	e. Percent of commitments or recommitments to
27	Department of Juvenile JusticeTBD
28	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a
29	survey
30	g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition
31	or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving
	45

the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional 1 2 disturbance recorded in the initial assessment......TBD 3 4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED) 4 OUTPUT MEASURES. --5 SED children to be served......22,104 a. 6 Total average expenditures for services per client b. 7 (includes Medicaid services).....TBD c. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition 8 9 or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional 10 disturbance recorded in the initial assessment...........TBD 11 12 5. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTCOME 13 MEASURES.--14 a. Projected annual days ED children (excluding those in juvenile justice facilities) spent in the community.....350 15 Average functional level score ED children will 16 b. 17 have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of 18 19 c. Percent of available school days ED children 20 21 d. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Department of Juvenile Justice.....TBD 22 e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a 23 24 f. Percent of families satisfied with the services 25 26 received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior 27 28 6. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTPUT 29 MEASURES.-a. Number of ED children to be served......13,101 30 31 46

b. Total average expenditures for services per client 1 (includes Medicaid services).....TBD 2 3 7. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTCOME 4 MEASURE.--5 a. Percent of families satisfied with the services 6 received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior 7 8 8. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTPUT 9 MEASURE.--10 a. Number of at-risk children to be served.....10,390 9. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME 11 12 MEASURES.--13 a. Percent of children who complete treatment.....72% 14 b. Percent of parents of children receiving services 15 reporting average or above average level of satisfaction on 16 17 c. Percent of children drug free at 12 months following completion of treatment......54% 18 19 d. Percent of children receiving services who are 20 satisfied based on survey.....90% 21 e. Percent of parents of children receiving services 22 reporting average or above level of satisfaction on the Family 23 Centered Behavior Scale......95% f. Percent of children under the supervision of the 24 25 state receiving substance abuse treatment who are not 26 committed or recommitted to the Department of Juvenile Justice 27 during the 12 months following treatment completion......85% 28 g. Percent of community partners satisfied based on 29 10. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT 30 31 MEASURES.--47

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1 b. Number of children completing treatment.....4,500 2 3 11. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTCOME 4 MEASURES.--5 a. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs 6 who achieve expected level of improvement in reading.....75% 7 b. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs 8 who achieve expected level of improvement in math......75% 9 c. Percent of children who receive targeted prevention services who are not admitted to substance abuse services 10 during the 12 months after completion of prevention 11 12 13 Percent of children in targeted prevention programs d. 14 who perceive substance use to be harmful at the time of 15 16 12. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTPUT MEASURE.--17 a. Number of children served in targeted 18 19 20 13. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME 21 MEASURES.--22 a. Percent drug free at 6 months following completion 23 of treatment......TBD b. Percent of adults employed upon discharge from 24 25 26 c. Percent of adult women pregnant during treatment 27 28 d. Average score on the Behavioral Healthcare Rating 29 30 31 48 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	e. Percent of individuals in protective supervision
2	who have case plans requiring substance abuse treatment who
3	are receiving treatmentTBD
4	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
5	survey
6	14. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
7	MEASURES
8	a. Number of adults served
9	b. Number of clients who complete treatmentTBD
10	c. Number of individuals in protective supervision who
11	have case plans requiring substance abuse treatment who are
12	receiving treatmentTBD
13	15. ADULT COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Average annual number of days spent in the
15	community (not in institutions or other facilities)344
16	b. Average functional level based on Children's Global
17	Assessment of Functioning Scale
18	c. Average client satisfaction score on the Behavioral
19	Healthcare Rating Scale130
20	d. Average annual days worked for pay
21	e. Total average monthly income in last 30
22	days\$530
23	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
24	survey
25	g. Percent of clients who worked during the
26	yearTBD
27	16. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS
28	IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURE
29	a. Number of adults with a serious and persistent
30	mental illness in the community served
31	17. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTCOME MEASURES
	49
	TVG. Words stricker are deletions, words underlined are additions

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	a. Average Global Assessment of Functioning Scale
2	change score
3	b. Percent readmitted within 30 days0%
4	c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
5	survey
6	18. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTPUT MEASURE
7	a. Number of adults in mental health crisis
8	served
9	19. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTCOME
10	MEASURES
11	a. Average functional level based on Global Assessment
12	of Functioning score47
13	b. Percent of persons who violate their conditional
14	release under chapter 916, Florida Statutes, and are
15	recommitted
16	c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
17	survey
18	d. Average annual number of days spent in the
19	community (not in institutions or other facilities)325
20	20. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE
21	a. Number of adults with forensic involvement
22	served
23	(d) For the Families in Need of Child Care Program,
24	the purpose of which is to allow parents to prevent the
25	recurrence of abuse or neglect, to obtain and retain
26	employment, to prepare children to enter school ready to
27	learn, and to protect children and adults from abuse, the
28	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
29	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
30	Appropriations 294 through 296, 301, 303 through 313, and 426
31	through 429 are as follows:
	50
	50

1	1. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percent of 4-year-old children placed with
3	contracted providers in care for 9 months who enter
4	kindergarten ready to learn as determined by DOE or local
5	school systems' readiness assessment
6	b. Percent of non-WAGES, working poor clients who need
7	child care that receive subsidized child care services:
8	(I) Ages 0-5
9	(II) School age
10	(III) All children63%
11	c. Percent of WAGES clients needing child care who
12	receive subsidized child care services
13	d. Percent of licensed child care providers who are
14	satisfied with the licensing process
15	e. Percent of licensed child care facilities and homes
16	with no class 1 (serious) violations during their licensure
17	year
18	f. Number of provisional licenses as a result of
19	noncompliance with child care standards
20	g. Number of verified incidents of abuse and/or
21	neglect in licensed child care arrangements
22	h. Percent of clients receiving subsidized child care
23	services who are satisfied95%
24	2. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Total number served
26	(I) At Risk13,250
27	(II) Working Poor
28	(III) Migrants
29	(IV) WAGES/Transitional Child Care64,140
30	3. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
31	RISK OF ABUSE OUTCOME MEASURES
	51
	51

1	a. Percent of children in families who complete
2	intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 months or more
3	who are not abused or neglected within:
4	(I) 6 months after program completion
5	(II) 12 months after program completion95%
6	(III) 18 months after program completion95%
7	b. Percent of families receiving parent education and
8	other parent skill-building services, lasting 6 weeks or
9	longer, who show improved family skills and capacity to care
10	for their childrenTBD
11	c. Percent of clients satisfied
12	4. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
13	RISK OF ABUSE OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Number of persons served
15	b. Number receiving information and referral
16	services
17	5. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
18	FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Percent of children who have no findings of child
20	maltreatment within 1 year after case closure from
21	services
22	b. Percent of children reunified with family who
23	return to foster care within 1 year after case closure3%
24	c. Percent of children not abused or neglected during
25	services
26	d. Percent of clients satisfied
27	e. Percent of families receiving ongoing services who
28	show improved scores on the Child Well-Being ScaleTBD
29	f. Percent of children given exit interviews who were
30	satisfied with their foster care placement
31	
	52
007	

1	g. Percent of families with children under 18 years
2	who have no finding of maltreatment during each 12-month
3	periodTBD
4	6. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
5	FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES
б	a. Reports of child abuse/neglect
7	b. Children identified as abused/neglected
8	during year75,000
9	c. Number of families served by Intensive Crisis
10	Counseling Program, Family Builders
11	d. Number of families served by Protective
12	Supervision
13	e. Number of children served in foster care16,313
14	f. Number of children served in relative care8,126
15	g. Percent of alleged victims seen within
16	24 hours
17	h. Percent of investigations completed within
18	<u>30 days100%</u>
19	i. Percent of children who exited out-of-home care by
20	the 15th monthTBD
21	j. Percent of cases reviewed by supervisors in
22	accordance with department timeframes for early
23	warning systemTBD
24	k. Number of individuals under the department's
25	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
26	abuse treatment who are receiving treatment
27	1. Percent of individuals under the department's
28	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
29	abuse treatment who are receiving treatment
30	m. Ratio of certified workers to childrenTBD
31	7. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTCOME MEASURES
	E D
	53

1	a. Ratio of incidents reported resulting in injury or
2	harm to clients as a result of inadequate security procedures
3	per 1,000 shelter daysFY 2001-2002 LBR
4	b. Percent of clients satisfied
5	8. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTPUT MEASURES
б	a. Number of individuals receiving case management
7	services
8	b. Number of children counseled
9	c. Number of adults counseled
10	d. Percent of adult and child victims in shelter more
11	than 72 hours having a plan for family safety and security
12	when they leave shelter100%
13	e. Number of individuals served in emergency
14	shelters15,775
15	9. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
16	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Percent of children who are adopted of the number
18	of children legally available for adoption
19	b. Percent of clients satisfied
20	10. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
21	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Children receiving adoptive services4,454
23	b. Children receiving subsidies
24	c. Number of children placed in adoption1,900
25	(e) For the People with Developmental Disabilities
26	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
27	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
28	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
29	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
30	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
31	
	54

funds provided in Specific Appropriations 334 through 356 are 1 2 as follows: 3 1. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES. --4 a. Percent of people at or above the national standard 5 b. Percent of adults living in homes of their 6 7 c. Percent of people who are employed in integrated 8 9 d. Percent of clients satisfied with services.....95% 10 2. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES. --11 12 a. Number of children and adults provided case 13 b. Number of children and adults provided residential 14 15 16 c. Number of children and adults provided 17 (f) For the Economic Self-Sufficiency Program, the 18 19 purpose of which is to help people become economically 20 self-sufficient through programs such as Food Assistance, Work 21 and Gain Economic Self-sufficiency (WAGES), Refugee Assistance, and Medicaid eligibility services, including 22 23 disability determination eligibility, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with 24 25 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 399 26 through 425 and 429 through 435 are as follows: 27 1. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO 28 BECOME EMPLOYED OUTCOME MEASURES. --29 a. Percent of applications processed within time 30 standards (total).....100% 31 55

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	b. Percent of Food Stamp applications processed within
1 2	30 days
3	c. Percent of cash assistance applications processed
4	within 45 days100%
5	d. Percent of Medicaid applications processed within
6	45 days
7	e. Percent of disabled adult payment applications
, 8	processed within 90 days100%
8 9	f. Percent of Food Stamp benefits determined
10	accurately
11	g. Percent of WAGES cash assistance benefits
12	determined accurately
13	h. Percent of Medicaid benefits determined
14	accurately
15	i. Percent of Benefit Recovery claims established
16	within 90 days100%
17	j. Percent of dollars collected for established
18	Benefit Recovery claims
19	k. Percent of suspected fraud cases referred that
20	result in Front-end Fraud Prevention savings
21	1. Percent of WAGES sanctions referred by the local
22	WAGES coalitions that are executed within 10 days100%
23	m. Percent of work eligible WAGES participants
24	accurately referred to the local WAGES coalitions within 1
25	work day100%
26	n. Percent of Refugee Assistance cases accurately
27	closed at 8 months or less100%
28	o. Percent of clients satisfied with eligibility
29	servicesTBD
30	p. Percent of WAGES coalitions clients
31	employed41%
	56
<i>a</i>	

2. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO 1 2 BECOME EMPLOYED OUTPUT MEASURES. --Total number of applications.....2,575,690 3 a. b. Dollars collected through Benefit 4 5 Recovery.....\$21 million c. Number of Front-end Fraud Prevention investigations 6 7 d. Dollars saved through Front-end Fraud 8 9 Prevention.....\$17.9 million e. Number of WAGES participants referred to the local 10 11 12 f. Number of refugee cases closed......5,600 13 g. Number of WAGES coalitions clients 14 h. Cost per WAGES clien<u>t employed.....\$1,800</u> 15 For the Mental Health Institutions Program, the 16 (q) 17 purpose of which is to prepare adults with mental health problems to function self-sufficiently in the community, the 18 19 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 20 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 21 Appropriations 375 through 385 are as follows: 1. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES.--22 Percent of patients who improve mental health based 23 a. 24 25 b. Average scores on a community readiness/ability 26 survey.....TBD c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents 27 28 in each mental health institution......26 29 d. Percent of patients satisfied based on survey...90% e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on 30 31 survey.....TBD 57

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	f. Percent of people served who are discharged to the
2	community
3	g. Percent of patients readmittedTBD
4	h. Percent of residents who meet readiness for
+ 5	discharge criteria between 6 months and 12 months after
6	admissionTBD
0 7	2. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
-	
8	a. Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or
9	proposed confirmedTBD
10	b. Number of adults in civil commitment (institutions)
11	served2,826
12	c. Number of adults served who are discharged to the
13	communityTBD
14	d. Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from
15	mental health hospitalsTBD
16	3. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Percent of residents who improve mental health
18	based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale
19	b. Average number of days to restore competency167
20	c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents
21	in each mental health institution10
22	d. Percent of residents satisfied based on
23	survey
24	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
25	surveyTBD
26	f. Percent of residents restored to competency and
27	ready for discharge within 6 months after admissionTBD
28	g. Percent of residents restored to competency and
29	ready for discharge between 6 and 12 months after
30	admissionTBD
31	4. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
	58
a ~ b	

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	a. Number served1,691
2	b. Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or proposed
3	confirmedTBD
4	c. Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from
5	mental health hospitalsTBD
6	(h) For the Developmental Services Institutions
7	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
8	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
9	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
10	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
11	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
12	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 326 through 333 are
13	as follows:
14	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Annual number of significant reportable incidents
16	per 100 persons with developmental disabilities living in
17	developmental services institutions
18	b. Statewide average on Conroy Quality of Life
19	Protocol for residents in developmental services
20	
21	c. Percent of people discharged as planned100%
22	d. Percent of clients satisfied with services95%
23	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Adults receiving services in developmental services
25	institutions1,419
26	b. Adults incompetent to proceed provided competency
27	training and custodial care in the Mentally Retarded
28	Defendants Program156
29	(3) DEPARTMENT OF ELDERLY AFFAIRS
30	(a) For the Service to Elders Program, the purpose of
31	which is to assist elders to live in the least restrictive and
	59

most appropriate community settings and maintain independence, 1 2 the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 3 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 4 Specific Appropriations 436 through 461 are as follows: 5 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--6 a. Percent of elders CARES determined to be eligible 7 for nursing home placement who are diverted......16.8% 8 b. Percent of high-risk Adult Protective Services 9 referrals served......TBD c. Percent of CARES imminent risk referrals 10 11 12 d. Satisfaction with the quality and delivery of home 13 and community-based care for service recipients equal to or 14 greater than previous periods.....TBD e. Cost of home and community-based care (including 15 non-DOEA programs) less than nursing home care for comparable 16 client groups......TBD 17 18 f. Percent of Community Care for the Elderly clients 19 defined as "probable Medicaid eligibles" who remain in 20 state-funded programs.....15% 21 g. Percent of elders assessed with high or moderate risk environments who improved their environment score....83% 22 23 h. Percent of elders assessed with a high social isolation score who have improved in receiving services....53% 24 i. Percent of new service recipients with high-risk 25 26 nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved......60% 27 Percent of new service recipients whose ADL j. assessment score has been maintained or improved......60.6% 28 29 k. Percent of new service recipients whose IADL 30 assessment score has been maintained or improved......60% 31 60

1	1. Percent of caregivers assessed who self-report they
2	are very likely to continue to provide care
3	m. Percent of caregivers assessed at risk who
4	self-report they are very likely to continue to provide
5	
6	n. Number of people placed in jobs after participating
7	in the Older Worker ProgramTBD
8	o. Average wage at placement for people in the Older
9	Worker Program
10	p. Percent of new service recipients (congregate meal
11	sites) whose nutritional status has been maintained or
12	improvedTBD
13	q. Percent of Elder Helplines with an excellent rating
14	on the Elder Helpline evaluation assessment
15	r. Percent of people who rate the Memory Disorder
16	Clinic assessment conference as very helpfulTBD
17	s. Percent of clients satisfied with the quality of
18	insurance counseling and information receivedTBD
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Total number of CARES assessments64,356
21	b. Number/percent of elders who enter DOEA service
22	programs each year with a risk score above the 1997-1998
23	average2,481/45%
24	c. Number/percent of elders who enter DOEA service
25	programs each year with a frailty level above the 1997-1998
26	average
27	d. Percent of copayment goal collected
28	e. Number of caregivers assessed
29	f. Number of people trained in Older Worker
30	ProgramTBD
31	
	61
റവ	TNG: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	g. Number of new congregate meal service recipients
2	(assessed)TBD
3	h. Number of people evaluated for memory loss by
4	Memory Disorder ClinicsTBD
5	i. Number of volunteer hours
6	j. Number of volunteersTBD
7	k. Number of people served
8	(4) DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
9	(a) For the Executive Direction and Administration
10	Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
11	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
12	Specific Appropriations 462 through 474 are as follows:
13	1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME
14	MEASURES
15	a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency
16	costs
17	b. Percent of middle and high school students who
18	report using tobacco products in the last 30 days25.5%
19	2. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
20	MEASURE
21	a. Number of middle and high school students provided
22	comprehensive tobacco prevention education121,185
23	3. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE
24	a. Percent of hardware, software, and networks meeting
25	department standards98%
26	4. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of custom and in-house applications
28	supported
29	b. Number of personal computers, servers, and e-mail
30	users supported19,588
31	
	62
പറ്റാ	TNG: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions

1	(b) For the Community Public Health Program, the
2	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
3	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
4	Appropriations 475 through 544 are as follows:
5	1. FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
б	a. Total infant mortality rate per 1,000 live
7	births
8	b. Nonwhite infant mortality rate per 1,000 nonwhite
9	births
10	c. Percent of low-birth-weight births among prenatal
11	Women, Infants, and Children program clients
12	d. Live births to mothers age 15-19 per 1,000 females
13	15-19
14	e. Percent of mothers 15-19 having a repeat
15	birth
16	f. Percent of targeted low-income population receiving
17	dental health services from a county health department10.5%
18	g. Percent of students who visit the health clinic and
19	are able to return to class rather than leaving school90%
20	2. FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of women and infants receiving Healthy Start
22	services145,000
23	b. Average monthly participants in Women, Infants, and
24	Children program
25	c. Number of clients served in county health
26	department Family Planning programs
27	d. Number of teens age 15-19 served in county health
28	department Family Planning programs
29	e. Number of adults and children receiving county
30	health department sponsored professional dental care79,400
31	
	63
007	

1	f. Number of children served in the county health
2	department Child Health program
3	g. Number of School Health nursing assessments
4	provided
5	h. Number of women, infants, and children provided
6	food and nutrition services (WIC and Child Care
7	Food)
8	i. Number of family planning services provided to
9	clients162,000
10	j. Number of KidCare outreach services1,680,000
11	3. INFECTIOUS-DISEASE PREVENTION AND CONTROL OUTCOME
12	MEASURES
13	a. AIDS case rate per 100,000 population35.5
14	b. HIV/AIDS resident total deaths per 100,000
15	population
16	c. Chlamydia case rate per 100,000 population195
17	d. Tuberculosis case rate per 100,000 population8
18	e. Immunization rate among 2-year-olds90%
19	f. Vaccine-preventable disease rate per 100,000
20	population
21	4. INFECTIOUS-DISEASE PREVENTION AND CONTROL OUTPUT
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of HIV/AIDS counseling and testing services
24	provided annually220,000
25	b. Number of HIV partner notification services
26	provided annually8,500
27	c. Number of clients served in county health
28	department sexually transmitted disease programs78,000
29	d. Number of tuberculosis medical management services
30	provided25,245
31	
	64
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions

1	e. Number of patients who complete tuberculosis
2	therapy at the A.G. Holley tuberculosis hospital
3	f. Number of immunization services provided by county
4	public health departments
5	g. Number of HIV/AIDS patient care services provided
6	to individuals
7	5. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. Food and waterborne disease cases per 1,000
9	facilities regulated by the department4.4
10	b. Overall sanitation and safety score in
11	department-regulated facilities
12	c. Septic tank failure rate per 1,000 within 2 years
13	after system installation2.4
14	6. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of department-regulated facilities
16	inspected122,527
17	b. Number of onsite sewage disposal system inspections
18	completed
19	c. Control of radiation threats as measured by the
20	number of x-ray machines inspected
21	d. Number of water systems and storage tanks
22	inspected
23	7. STATEWIDE HEALTH SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME
24	MEASURES
25	a. Percent saved on prescription drugs compared to
26	market price
27	b. Percent of laboratory samples passing standardized
28	proficiency testing100%
29	c. Percent of vital statistics records completed
30	within established timeframes
31	
	65
COD	US ING.Words strictor are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	(c) For the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Program,
2	the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
3	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
4	Specific Appropriations 545 through 571 are as follows:
5	1. CHILDREN'S SPECIAL HEALTH CARE OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Percent of families in Children's Medical Services
7	(CMS) Program Network indicating a positive perception
8	of care
9	b. Percent of CMS Network enrollees in compliance with
10	the periodicity schedule for well-child care
11	c. Percent of eligible infants/toddlers provided CMS
12	Early Intervention Program services
13	d. Percent of Child Protection Team (CPT) assessments
14	provided to Family Safety and Preservation Program within
15	established timeframes90%
16	2. CHILDREN'S SPECIAL HEALTH CARE OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of children enrolled in CMS Program Network
18	(Medicaid and Non-Medicaid)
19	b. Number of clients receiving services in the CMS
20	Early Intervention Program
21	c. Number of children receiving Child Protection Team
22	(CPT) assessments
23	(d) For the Health Care Practitioner and Access
24	Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
25	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
26	Specific Appropriations 572 through 592A are as follows:
27	1. MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Number of unlicensed individuals identified and
29	referred to state attorneys
30	b. Percent of health care practitioners' applications
31	for licensure completed within 90 days100%
	66

1	2. MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of unlicensed individuals investigated364
3	b. Number of initial health care practitioner licenses
4	processed
5	c. Number of initial health care practitioner licenses
б	issued
7	d. Number of licenses issued and renewed by
8	mail
9	3. COMMUNITY HEALTH RESOURCES OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Percent of emergency medical service providers
11	found to have a significant deficiency during licensure
12	inspection
13	b. Age-adjusted injury death rate per 100,00057
14	c. Number of emergency medical service providers
15	licensed annually249
16	d. Number of medical students who do a rotation in a
17	medically underserved area715
18	e. Number of persons who receive continuing education
19	services through Workforce Development
20	4. COMMUNITY HEALTH RESOURCES OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of providers recruited for underserved
22	areas
23	b. Number of brain and spinal cord injury victims
24	reintegrated into the community
25	c. Number of emergency medical service providers
26	licensed and emergency medical technicians and paramedics
27	certified
28	(e) For the Disability Determinations Program, the
29	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 592C through 592E are as follows:
	67

1	1. DISABILITY BENEFITS DETERMINATIONS OUTCOME
2	MEASURE
3	a. Percent of Title II and XVI disability decisions
4	completed accurately as measured by the Social Security
5	Administration
6	2. DISABILITY BENEFITS DETERMINATIONS OUTPUT
7	MEASURE
8	a. Number of Title II and XVI disability decisions
9	completed
10	(5) DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
11	(a) For the Services to Veterans Program, the outcome
12	measures, output measures, and associated performance
13	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
14	Appropriations 593 through 599 are as follows:
15	1. VETERANS' HOMES OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Occupancy rate for veterans' homes in operation for
17	2 years or longer75%
18	b. Percent of veterans' homes that received gold-star
19	certification by AHCA LBR
20	2. VETERANS' HOMES OUTPUT MEASURE
21	a. Number of veterans' homes beds available390
22	3. VETERANS' CLAIMS OUTCOME MEASURE
23	a. Percent of "ready to rate" claims submitted to
24	USDVA compared to total claims submitted
25	4. VETERANS' CLAIMS OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of veterans served
27	b. Number of claims processed
28	5. VETERANS' FIELD SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
29	a. Value of cost avoidance because of issue
30	resolution\$4,680,000
31	6. VETERANS' FIELD SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE
	68
	UU TNC.Worda attriater are deletional worda underlined are additional

1	a. Number of veterans served (benefited) by issue
2	resolution
3	7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME
4	MEASURES
5	a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency
6	costs
7	b. Percent of time computer network is available for
8	use or response time85%
9	c. Number of veterans or eligible dependents enrolled
10	in certified educational programs
11	d. Percent of veterans, families, and survivors aware
12	of FDVA services43%
13	e. Percent of schools certified after submission of
14	application100%
15	8. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
16	MEASURES
17	a. Number of constituents served
18	b. Value of veterans' education benefits
19	paid\$110 million
20	c. Number of Florida education institution programs
21	certified
22	d. Number of staff supported by the information
23	technology service through networking, software, and hardware
24	support
25	Section 36. The performance measures and standards
26	established in this section for individual programs in the
27	area of criminal justice shall be applied to those programs
28	for the 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance measures and
29	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
30	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001, as
31	
	69
	0.2

required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act 1 2 of 1994. 3 (1) DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.--4 (a) For the Security and Institutional Operations 5 Program, the purpose of which is to protect the public and 6 provide a safe, secure environment for incarcerated offenders 7 and the staff maintaining custody of them by applying 8 effective physical security methods and procedures and 9 providing accurate risk assessment and classification of inmates and adequate nutrition and facility maintenance, the 10 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 11 12 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 625 through 700 are as follows: 13 14 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--15 a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of 16 major institutions.....0 17 b. Number of inmates who escaped when assigned outside 18 a secure perimeter......(to be reported by the department) 19 c. Number of batteries committed by inmates on one or 20 more persons per 1,000 21 inmates......(to be reported by the department) 22 d. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary 23 e. Percent of random inmate drug tests that are 24 25 f. Percent of available inmates who work......83.5% 26 27 g. Percent of reported criminal incidents 28 investigated......100% 29 h. Percent of victim notifications that meet the statutory time period requirements.....100% 30 31 70

(b) For the Health Services Program, the purpose of 1 2 which is to protect the public and maintain a humane 3 environment in correctional institutions for incarcerated 4 offenders and the staff maintaining custody of them by 5 applying effective basic health care treatment to inmates, the 6 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 7 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 8 Appropriations 737 through 750 are as follows: 9 OUTCOME MEASURES. --1. 10 Number of health care grievances upheld......50 a. b. Percent of health care grievances upheld.....1.6% 11 12 Number of inmate suicides......6 с. 13 d. Comparison of per diems for General Medical 14 Services: 15 (I) DOC.....(to be reported by the department) HMO.....(to be reported by the department) 16 (II)17 (III) Medicaid HMO..(to be reported by the department) e. Comparison of per diems for Mental Health Services: 18 19 (I) DOC.....(to be reported by the department) 20 HMO.....(to be reported by the department) (II)21 (III) Medicaid HMO..(to be reported by the department) f. 22 Comparison of per diems for hospitalization 23 contracts: (I) DOC......(to be reported by the department) 24 (II) HMO.....(to be reported by the department) 25 26 (III) Medicaid HMO.. (to be reported by the department) 27 (C) For the Community Corrections Program, the purpose 28 of which is to assist sentenced felony offenders to become 29 productive law-abiding citizens by applying supervision in the 30 community to hold offenders accountable to the conditions of their supervision and to detect violations of those conditions 31 71

and make apprehensions when violations or new crimes occur, 1 the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 2 3 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 4 Specific Appropriations 701 through 736 are as follows: 5 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--6 a. Number/percent of offenders who absconded within 2 7 b. Number/percent of offenders who had their 8 9 c. Percent of offenders who successfully complete 10 their sentence or are still under supervision after 11 12 d. Annual dollar amount collected from offenders on 13 14 community supervision only by DOC.....\$70 million e. Annual dollar amount collected from offenders on 15 community supervision only by DOC for 16 restitution.....\$31 million 17 f. Annual dollar amount collected from offenders on 18 19 community supervision only by DOC for other court-ordered 20 costs......\$14 million 21 g. Annual dollar amount collected from offenders on 22 community supervision only by DOC for costs of 23 supervision.....\$26 million Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from 24 h. 25 offenders/inmates in community correctional 26 centers......\$8 million Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from 27 i. 28 offenders/inmates in probation and restitution 29 centers.....\$600,000 30 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--31 72
1	a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
2	in the community on administrative supervision
3	b. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
4	in the community on basic risk supervision
5	c. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
6	in the community on enhanced risk supervision
7	d. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
8	in the community on intensive risk supervision
9	e. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
10	in the community on close risk supervision
11	f. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
12	in the community on community control
13	(d) For the Correctional Education and Rehabilitation
14	Program, the purpose of which is to provide substance abuse
15	treatment and educational, vocational, and life management
16	opportunities that reduce the likelihood that offenders will
17	reoffend, the outcome measures, output measures, and
18	associated performance standards with respect to funds
19	provided in Specific Appropriations 751 through 766 are as
20	follows:
21	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Number/percent of inmates needing mandatory
23	literacy programs who learn to read and write at or above 9th
24	grade level(to be reported by the department)/20%
25	b. Number/percent of inmates participating in GED
26	education programs who obtain their
27	GED
28	c. Number/percent of inmates needing special education
29	programs who participate in special education
30	programs
31	
	73
	TNG.Words etricken are deletions: words underlined are additions

1 d. Number/percent of inmates participating in 2 vocational education programs who obtain vocational 3 certificates......(to be reported by the department) e. Number/percent of inmates participating in drug 4 5 abuse education/treatment programs who complete drug abuse 6 education/treatment programs and remain drug free for at least 7 6 months following program 8 completion.....(to be reported by the department) 9 f. Average increase in grade level achieved by inmates participating in educational programs per instructional 10 <u>period.....</u>.....0.6 11 g. Percent of community supervision offenders who 12 successfully complete transition, rehabilitation, or support 13 14 programs without subsequent recommitment to community 15 supervision or prison for 24 months after release......85.5% 16 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--17 a. Number/percent of transition plans completed for 18 inmates released from 19 20 b. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy program with annual percent change shown......250,000/2.8% 21 22 23 Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews required by ss. 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes, shall 24 25 be included in the agency fiscal year 2001-2002 legislative 26 budget request. Measures for which data are unavailable should 27 be included with an explanation as to the utility of the 28 measure. 29 (2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE.--30 (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive 31 74 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

range of detention services to protect the community, hold 1 youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for 2 3 court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and 4 associated performance standards with respect to funds 5 provided in Specific Appropriations 1093 through 1101 are as 6 follows: 7 1. SECURE DETENTION OUTCOME MEASURES. -a. Number of escapes from secure detention facilities 8 per 100,000 resident days.....1 9 b. Number of youth-on-youth batteries (assaults 10 requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while 11 12 c. Number of youth-on-staff batteries (assaults 13 14 requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while in secure detention......20 15 2. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTCOME MEASURE. --16 17 a. Percent of successful completions without committing a new law or contract violation, failure to appear, 18 19 20 (b) For the Juvenile Offender Program, the purpose of which is to provide protection for the public from juvenile 21 22 crime by reducing juvenile delinguency through the development 23 and implementation of an effective continuum of services and commitment programs, including secure residential programs, 24 25 the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 26 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 27 Specific Appropriations 1102 through 1111 are as follows: 28 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--29 a. Percent of juveniles who were adjudicated or had 30 adjudication withheld in juvenile court or were convicted in 31 75 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	adult court for a crime which occurred within 1 year after
2	release from a:
3	(I) Low-risk program46.6%
4	(II) Moderate-risk program46.8%
5	(III) High-risk program47.4%
6	(IV) Maximum-risk program
7	(c) For the Residential Corrections Program, the
8	purpose of which is to provide protection for the public from
9	juvenile crime by reducing juvenile delinquency through the
10	development and implementation of an effective continuum of
11	services and commitment programs, including secure residential
12	programs, the outcome measures, output measures, and
13	associated performance standards with respect to funds
14	provided in Specific Appropriations 1122 through 1139A are as
15	follows:
16	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after
18	release
19	b. Percent of escapes from residential commitment
20	programs0.3%
21	c. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
22	youth in residential commitment
23	programs
24	d. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
25	youth in residential commitment
26	programs
27	e. Percent of residential commitment program reviews
28	conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate satisfactory or
29	higher ratings on staff-to-youth ratios
30	f. Percent of cases processed within statutory time
31	frames
	76

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	g. Average time (in days) to make recommendations to
2	the state attorney once the law enforcement report is
3	
4	h. Total collections of statutorily mandated
5	maintenance fees\$1 million
б	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Total number of youth served and average daily
8	population of youth served in low-risk residential commitment
9	programs2,204/477
10	b. Total number of youth served and average daily
11	population of youth served in moderate-risk residential
12	commitment programs
13	c. Number of residential commitment beds
14	on line
15	d. Number of youth receiving substance abuse
16	treatment
17	
18	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
19	required by ss. 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes, shall
20	be included in the agency fiscal year 2001-2002 legislative
21	budget request. Measures for which data are unavailable should
22	be included with an explanation as to the utility of the
23	measure.
24	(d) For the Probation and Community Corrections
25	Program, the purpose of which is to provide protection for the
26	public from juvenile crime by reducing juvenile delinquency
27	through the development and implementation of an effective
28	continuum of services and commitment programs, including
29	nonresidential and community supervision programs, the outcome
30	measures, output measures, and associated performance
31	
	77
רטי	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
200	<u>matrimed</u> are detections, words <u>matrimed</u> are additions.

standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 1 2 Appropriations 1102 through 1111 are as follows: 3 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Percent of youth who remain crime free during 4 5 6 b. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after 7 c. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after 8 9 d. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after 10 11 (e) For the Office of the Secretary/Assistant 12 13 Secretary for Administrative Services Program, the purpose of 14 which is to carry out executive direction and administrative activities for the agency, including information technology 15 services, which provide computer network support to agency 16 17 staff to improve productivity and track juvenile offender participation and progress in various juvenile justice 18 19 programs and are utilized by other agencies for law 20 enforcement, background screening, and research purposes, the 21 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 22 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 23 Appropriations 1112 through 1121A are as follows: 24 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--25 a. Reduce administrative costs as a percent of total 26 27 Response time (seconds) for youthful offender face b. 28 29 2. OUTPUT MEASURE.-a. Youth tracked by the Juvenile Justice Information 30 31 78

1	(3) DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
2	(a) For the Criminal Justice Investigations and
3	Forensic Science Program, the purpose of which is to manage,
4	coordinate, and provide investigative, forensic, prevention,
5	and protection services and through partnerships with local,
6	state, and federal criminal justice agencies to improve the
7	state's capacity to prevent crime and detect, capture, and
8	prosecute criminal suspects, the outcome measures, output
9	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
10	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1161 through 1174
11	are as follows:
12	1. LABORATORY SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Number/percent of service requests by lab
14	discipline completed
15	b. Average number of days to complete lab service
16	requests, excluding serology and DNA
17	c. Average number of days to complete lab service
18	requests for serology50
19	d. Average number of days to complete lab service
20	requests for DNA115
21	2. LABORATORY SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of crime scenes processed
23	b. Number of DNA samples added to DNA
24	database
25	c. Number of expert witness appearances in court
26	proceedings
27	3. INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME
28	MEASURES
29	a. Number/percent of closed criminal investigations
30	resolved1,038/87%
31	
	79
പറ്റാ	ING .Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	b. Number/percent of criminal investigations closed
2	resulting in an arrest
3	4. INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of criminal investigations worked2,878
6	b. Number of criminal investigations
7	<u>commenced1,549</u>
8	c. Number/percent of criminal investigations
9	closed1,314/47%
10	d. Number of short-term investigative assists
11	worked1,578
12	5. PROTECTIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of dignitaries provided with FDLE protective
14	services
15	b. Number of background investigations
16	performed
17	(b) For the Criminal Justice Information Program, the
18	purpose of which is to provide criminal justice information
19	needed to prevent crime, solve cases, recover property, and
20	identify and apprehend criminals; to provide screening to
21	identify persons with criminal warrants, arrests, and
22	convictions; and to provide statistical and analytical
23	information about crime to policymakers and the public, the
24	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
25	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
26	Appropriations 1175 through 1182 are as follows:
27	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Percent of responses from FCIC hot files that
29	contain substantive information within defined
30	timeframe
31	b. Percent of time FCIC is running and
a a-	80

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	accessible
2	c. Percent response to criminal history record check
3	customers within defined timeframe
4	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Percent of criminal arrest information received
6	electronically (through AFIS) for entry into the criminal
7	history system
8	b. Number of agencies/FCIC work stations
9	networked
10	c. Number of agencies connected to the Criminal
11	Justice Network
12	d. Number of responses to requests for crime
13	statistics
14	e. Number of responses to requests for criminal
15	history record checks1,578,175
16	f. Number of registered sexual predators/offenders
17	identified to the public15,350
18	g. Number of responses to requests for sexual
19	predator/offender information
20	h. Number of missing children cases worked through
21	MCIC
22	(c) For the Criminal Justice Professionalism Program,
23	the purpose of which is to promote and facilitate the
24	competency and professional conduct of criminal justice
25	officers through a partnership with criminal justice agencies
26	to provide entry-level and inservice officer training and
27	maintain disciplinary procedures, the outcome measures, output
28	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
29	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1183 through 1190B
30	are as follows:
31	1. OUTCOME MEASURE
	81

1 a. Number/percent of individuals who pass the basic
2 professionalism certification examination for law enforcement
3 officers, correctional officers, and correctional probation
4 officers
5 2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6 a. Number of course curricula and examinations
7 developed or revised109
8 b. Number of examinations administered
9 <u>c.</u> Number of individuals trained by the Florida
10 Criminal Justice Executive Institute
11 d. Number of law enforcement officers trained by
12 DARE
13 e. Number of discipline referrals processed for state
14 and local LEOs, COs, and CPOs pursuant to chapter 120,
15 Florida Statutes1,500
16 <u>f.</u> Number of criminal justice officer disciplinary
17 <u>actions452</u>
18 g. Number of program and financial compliance audits
19 <u>performed2,500</u>
20 h. Number of records audited to validate the accuracy
21 and completeness of ATMS2 record information
22
23 Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
24 required by ss. 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes, shall
25 be included in the agency fiscal year 2001-2002 legislative
26 budget request. Measures for which data are unavailable should
27 <u>be included with an explanation as to the utility of the</u>
28 <u>measure.</u>
29 (4) DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS
30 (a) For the Office of the Attorney General Program,
31 the purpose of which is to provide civil representation and
82
CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

legal services on behalf of the State of Florida and to assist 1 2 crime victims and law enforcement agencies through associated 3 support services, the outcome measures, output measures, and 4 associated performance standards with respect to funds 5 provided in Specific Appropriations 1191 through 1231 are as 6 follows: 7 1. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES OUTCOME 8 MEASURES. --9 a. Average number of days for opinion response.....29 b. Percent of mediated cases resolved in 3 weeks or 10 11 c. Percent of lemon law cases resolved in less 12 13 14 2. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES.--15 16 17 b. Cases closed.....4,700 Number of capital briefs/state and federal 18 c. 19 responses/oral arguments......270 20 Number of noncapital briefs/state and federal d. 21 responses/oral arguments.....11,289 Number of antitrust cases closed......20 22 e. 23 Number of economic crime cases closed......400 f. 24 g. Number of Children's Legal Services (uncontested 25 h. 26 disposition orders entered) cases closed......1,400 Number of ethics cases closed.....15 27 i. j. Number of opinions issued......255 28 29 k. Number/percent of disputes resolved through 30 mediation......105/76% Cost per mediation.....\$555 31 83

1	3. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES
2	OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Average number of days from application to
4	payment
5	b. Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle theft
6	grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle
7	theft incidents below 1994 levels
8	4. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Number of victim compensation claims eligibility
11	determinations
12	b. Number of victim compensation claims paid7,000
13	c. Number of victim compensation final orders
14	issued
15	d. Number of sexual battery examination claims
16	paid5,200
17	e. Number of appellate services provided800
18	f. Number of information and referral services
19	provided
20	g. Number of VOCA grants funded
21	h. Number of victims served through contract100,000
22	i. Number of motor vehicle theft grants funded40
23	j. Number of people attending training (victims/crime
24	prevention)1,368/3,550
25	k. Number of training sessions held (victims/crime
26	prevention)
27	(b) For the Statewide Prosecution Program, the purpose
28	of which is to investigate and prosecute criminal offenses
29	enumerated in s. 16.56, Florida Statutes, when they have been
30	part of an organized crime conspiracy affecting two or more
31	judicial circuits, including assistance to federal
	0.4
	84

prosecutors, state attorneys, and local law enforcement 1 officers in their efforts against organized crime, the outcome 2 3 measures, output measures, and associated performance 4 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 5 Appropriations 1232 through 1234 are as follows: 6 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--7 a. Of the defendants who reached disposition, the 8 9 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--10 a. Number of law enforcement agencies assisted.....88 11 12 b. Ratio of request to number of intake 13 14 c. Ratio of investigations to number of 15 Ratio of total filed cases to total number of 16 d. 17 18 19 Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews 20 required by ss. 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes, shall 21 be included in the agency fiscal year 2001-2002 legislative budget request. Measures for which data are unavailable should 22 23 be included with an explanation as to the utility of the 24 measure. 25 (5) PUBLIC DEFENDERS.--Each public defender shall 26 recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for 27 fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative 28 committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of 29 integrally related outcomes and outputs, the public defender 30 shall identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, 31 85

in order to improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate 1 funds, compare activities, and evaluate public defender 2 3 activities for efficiency: (a) For the Indigent Defense Program, the purpose of 4 5 which is to represent appointed clients arrested for or 6 charged with a felony, a violation of probation or community 7 control, a misdemeanor, a criminal traffic offense, criminal 8 contempt, or a violation of a municipal or county ordinance 9 and juveniles alleged to be delinquent; to represent appointed clients subject to Baker Act proceedings regarding involuntary 10 commitment pursuant to chapter 394 or chapter 916, Florida 11 12 Statutes, clients subject to commitment under the Jimmy Ryce Act pursuant to chapter 916, Florida Statutes, and 13 14 appointments pursuant to civil contempt; and to provide 15 representation in other proceedings as appointed by the court, 16 the outcome measures and output measures are as follows: 17 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Number/percent of clients in custody contacted 18 19 within 48 hours after appointment of Public Defender, 20 excluding holidays and weekends. 21 b. Number/percent of felony and misdemeanor cases resolved within speedy rule limit, unless dismissed. 22 c. Number/percent of substantiated Bar grievances 23 24 filed annually. 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--25 26 a. Defend indigents in criminal trials as measured by number of cases closed standard. 27 28 b. Defend indigents in civil trials as measured by 29 number of cases closed standard. c. Number of pleas. 30 31 d. Number of trials. 86

1	e. Number of cases nolle prossed or dismissed.
2	f. Number of clients represented.
3	g. Number of cases closed.
4	h. Number of violations of parole hearings.
5	i. Number of conflict hearings.
6	j. Number of initial interviews for assigned cases.
7	(b) For the Indigent Appellate Defense Program, the
8	purpose of which is to represent appointed indigent clients on
9	appeal, the outcome measures and output measures are as
10	follows:
11	1. OUTCOME MEASURE
12	a. Number/percent of substantiated Bar grievances
13	filed annually.
14	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Increase percent of appeals resolved.
16	b. Number of clients represented.
17	c. Number of briefs filed.
18	d. Number of writs filed.
19	e. Number of cases closed.
20	(6) STATE ATTORNEYSEach state attorney shall
21	recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for
22	fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative
23	committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of
24	integrally related outcomes and outputs, the state attorney
25	shall identify total associated costs for producing that
26	outcome or output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget,
27	in order to improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate
28	funds, compare activities, and evaluate state attorney
29	activities for efficiency:
30	(a) For the Criminal Prosecution/Civil Action Program,
31	the purpose of which, in compliance with s. 17, Art. V of the
	87

State Constitution and chapters 27, 39, 61, 119, 394, 832, 1 943, 948, 960, and 984, Florida Statutes, is to prosecute or 2 3 defend on behalf of the state all actions in which the state is a party, the outcome measures and output measures are as 4 5 follows: 6 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--7 a. Number/percent of offenders who qualify for 8 enhanced sentencing and state attorney requests enhanced 9 sentencing and for whom judge orders enhanced sentence. b. Number/percent of dispositions by trial verdict, 10 plea, and nontrial and other disposition. 11 12 c. Number/percent of Jimmy Ryce commitments and 13 number/percent released from treatment who commit sex crimes. d. Number of Baker Act hearings in which the 14 15 recommendation of the state attorney was supported by the 16 court. 17 e. Number/percent of cases in which restitution was recommended and ordered. 18 19 f. Number/percent of substantiated Bar grievances 20 filed annually. 21 2. CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OUTPUT MEASURES. --22 a. Number of criminal case referrals. 23 b. Number of filings by type (misdemeanor, felony, 24 juvenile). c. Number/percent of cases per attorney (misdemeanor, 25 26 felony, juvenile). 27 d. Average paid attorney hours worked in office per 28 case. 29 e. Average paid attorney hours worked in court per 30 case. 31 INVESTIGATION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES. --3. 88 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Number of cases investigated and reviewed. 1 a. 2 Number of attempts to serve subpoenas. b. 4. VICTIM/WITNESS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES.--3 4 Number of victim contacts and notifications. a. 5 Number of witness contacts and notifications. b. c. Number of restitution actions for victims. б 7 CHILD WELFARE LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE.--5. Number/percent of child welfare referrals received 8 a. 9 and acted upon. 10 б. POSTCONVICTION RELIEF SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES.-a. Number of postconviction relief responses. 11 12 Number of habeas corpus responses. b. 13 7. CIVIL ACTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES.--14 a. Number of actions for the following: public 15 records requests, bond validations, expungements, forfeitures, bond estreatures, Baker Act hearings, other civil actions. 16 17 b. Number of child support enforcement referrals 18 handled. 19 c. Number of sexual predator civil commitment 20 proceedings. 21 (7) JUSTICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION. -- The Justice Administration Commission shall recommend standards for the 22 23 following outcomes and outputs for fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative committees. For each outcome and 24 output, or for each group of integrally related outcomes and 25 26 outputs, the commission shall identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or output, based on the fiscal year 27 1999-2000 budget, in order to improve the Legislature's 28 29 ability to appropriate funds, compare activities, and evaluate 30 commission activities for efficiency: 31 89

1 (a) For the Justice Administrative Services Program, 2 the purpose of which is to provide centralized administrative 3 services for major state justice agencies (budget, accounting, 4 human resources, technology resources, and administration, 5 pursuant to s. 43.16, Florida Statutes), the outcome measures 6 and output measures are as follows: 7 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--8 a. Number of material/substantial audit findings 9 related to areas of direct JAC responsibility to its 10 customers. 11 b. Percent of invoices processed within statutory 12 timeframes. 13 c. Number of technical audit findings related to areas 14 of direct JAC responsibility to its customers. 15 2. BUDGET SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE. --Number of budget amendments processed correctly and 16 a. 17 submitted per OPB guidelines. 18 ACCOUNTING SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES. --3. 19 a. Number of accounting transactions (FLAIR) 20 processed. 21 b. Number of invoices processed. 22 c. Number of financial reports produced. 23 4. HUMAN RESOURCES OUTPUT MEASURES. --24 Number of reports prepared. a. b. Number of requests for information/assistance 25 26 provided. 27 5. PAYROLL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE. --28 a. Number of employee and position transactions 29 (COPES) processed by type. TECHNOLOGY SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES. --30 6. Number of JAC staff users directly supported. 31 a. 90 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

b. Number of JAC computer devices directly supported. 1 2 ADMINISTRATION OUTPUT MEASURES.--7. a. Number of agency telephone calls handled. 3 4 b. Number of pieces of agency mail processed. c. Number of checks processed. 5 6 (8) CAPITAL COLLATERAL REGIONAL COUNSELS.--Each 7 capital collateral regional counsel shall recommend standards 8 for the following outcomes and outputs for fiscal year 9 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of integrally related 10 outcomes and outputs, the counsel shall identify total 11 12 associated costs for producing that outcome or output, based 13 on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to improve the 14 Legislature's ability to appropriate funds, compare 15 activities, and evaluate counsel activities for efficiency: 16 (a) For the Capital Justice Representation Program, 17 the purpose of which is to represent each person convicted and 18 sentenced to death in the State of Florida for the sole 19 purpose of instituting and prosecuting collateral actions, as 20 authorized by statute, challenging the legality of the 21 judgment and sentence imposed against such person in the state courts, federal courts in this state, the United States Court 22 23 of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, and the United States 24 Supreme Court, the outcome measures and output measures are as 25 follows: 1. REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC RECORDS AND ANALYSIS SERVICES 26 27 OUTPUT MEASURES.--28 a. Number of death row case requests for public 29 records made. 30 Number of formal legal and background death row b. 31 case record analyses made. 91

1	c. Average number of hours per public records
2	analysis.
3	2. DEATH ROW CASE INVESTIGATION SERVICES OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of death row cases investigated.
б	b. Average number of hours per death row case
7	investigated.
8	c. Number of witnesses and experts interviewed.
9	3. DEATH PENALTY LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of death penalty inmate contacts made.
11	b. Average number of hours per inmate contact made.
12	c. Number of evidentiary hearings.
13	d. Number of evidentiary hearings on actions which are
14	authorized by statute.
15	e. Number of appellate actions.
16	f. Number of appellate actions which are authorized by
17	statute.
18	g. Average number of hours per evidentiary hearing.
19	h. Average number of hours per appellate action.
20	i. Number/percent of issues raised by CCRC that are
21	formally considered by the courts which were not ruled
22	procedurally barred or without merit.
23	j. Number/percent of requested extensions of time
24	granted following court considerations.
25	k. Number of CCRC court issues not ruled on by the
26	courts due to merit of at least one issue.
27	1. Number/percent of issues raised by CCRC that are
28	summarily dismissed by the courts or, if formally considered
29	by the courts, ruled to be procedurally barred or without
30	merit.
31	
	92
	TNG Words strictor are deletions: words underlined are additions

m. Number of death row inmates represented in actions 1 2 not authorized by statute. 3 n. Number of employee hours spent preparing and 4 pursuing actions not authorized by statute. 5 o. Number of actions filed or prosecuted which are not 6 authorized by statute. 7 p. Number of postconviction actions which contain a 8 request by the CCRC for the court to grant leave to amend a 9 postconviction action. 10 4. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Number/percent of final decisions from the courts: 11 12 (I) Number of decisions by the court to release death 13 row inmate. 14 (II) Number of new trials granted to death row 15 inmates. 16 (III) Number of new sentencing hearings granted. 17 (IV) Number of other appeals granted. b. Number of CCRC active state court cases in total 18 19 and number/percent by procedural stage: 20 (I) Number/percent in Stage One (cases where the 21 capital defendant's fully pled postconviction action is filed 22 in the trial court). 23 (II) Number/percent in Stage Two (cases where there is a final order granting or denying the capital defendant's 24 motion for postconviction relief). 25 (III) Number/percent in Stage Three (cases where the 26 27 capital defendant's brief or briefs that address the trial 28 court's final order granting or denying the motion for 29 postconviction relief has been filed with the Supreme Court). 30 31 93 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

(IV) Number/percent in Stage Four (cases where the 1 2 appeal of the trial court's denial of the capital defendant's 3 motion for postconviction relief is completed). 4 (V) Number/percent in Stage Five (cases where a 5 petition has been filed for writ of certiorari in the Supreme 6 Court of the United States). 7 c. Number of CCRC active federal court system cases in 8 total and percent of the cases by procedural stage: 9 (I) Number/percent in Stage One (cases where the capital defendant's complete original motion for habeas corpus 10 is filed in federal court). 11 (II) Number/percent in Stage Two (cases where there is 12 a final order granting or denying the capital defendant's 13 14 motion for post-habeas corpus relief). 15 (III) Number/percent in Stage Three (cases where the capital defendant's brief or briefs that address the federal 16 17 court's final order granting or denying the capital defendant's motion for habeas corpus postconviction relief has 18 19 been filed with the Circuit Court of Appeals). 20 (IV) Number/percent in Stage Four (cases where the 21 appeal of the federal court's denial of the capital 22 defendant's motion for habeas corpus relief is completed). 23 (V) Number/percent in Stage Five (cases where a petition has been filed for writ of certiorari in the Supreme 24 Court of the United States). 25 26 (9) PAROLE COMMISSION. -- The Parole Commission shall 27 recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for 28 fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative 29 committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of integrally related outcomes and outputs, the commission shall 30 31 identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or 94

output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to 1 2 improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds, 3 compare activities, and evaluate commission activities for 4 efficiency: 5 (a) For the Parole Commission, the purpose of which is 6 to provide public safety and protect the rights of victims by 7 administering effective postincarceration services, including 8 offender release, offender revocation, clemency, and victim 9 assistance, the outcome measures and output measures are as follows: 10 11 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--12 a. Number/percent of parolees who have successfully completed their supervision without revocation within the 13 14 first 2 years.....(to be reported by the commission) b. Percent of revocation cases completed within 90 15 days after final hearing....(to be reported by the commission) 16 17 c. Percent of cases placed before the Parole 18 Commission/Clemency Board containing no factual errors.....80% 19 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--20 a. Number of conditional release cases handled...5,311 21 c. Number of revocation determinations......3,005 22 23 Number of Clemency Board decisions d. 24 supported......2,686 e. Number of parole release 25 26 decisions......(to be reported by the commission) 27 f. Number of victims contacted......(to be reported by the commission) 28 29 Section 37. The performance measures and standards 30 established in this section for individual programs in the 31 area of transportation and economic development shall be 95

applied to those programs for the 2000-2001 fiscal year. These 1 performance measures and standards are directly linked to the 2 3 appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001, as required by the Government 4 5 Performance and Accountability Act of 1994. 6 (1) EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR.--7 (a) For the General Office Program, the purpose of 8 which is to assist the Governor in the performance of his or 9 her duties and responsibilities, helping to communicate and implement the Governor's goals, priorities, programs, and 10 views to the citizens of Florida, the outcome measures, output 11 12 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 13 funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2060 through 2083 14 are as follows: 15 1. DRUG CONTROL COORDINATION OUTCOME MEASURE. -a. Decrease the use of illegal drugs in Florida from 16 17 2. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME 18 19 MEASURE.--20 a. Increase the percent of the Governor's goals 21 achieved from 25% to 50%......50% 22 3. LEGISLATIVE APPROPRIATIONS SYSTEM/PLANNING AND 23 BUDGETING SUBSYSTEM OUTCOME MEASURE. -a. Decrease the ratio of Legislative Appropriations 24 25 System/Planning and Budgeting Subsystem costs to the number of 26 users supported from \$5,316,331:585 to \$5,309,322:585.....\$5,309,322:585 27 28 4. SCHOOL READINESS OUTCOME MEASURE. --29 a. Increase the percent of kindergarten students 30 meeting state expectations for readiness from 80% to 83%...83% 5. SCHOOL READINESS OUTPUT MEASURE. --31 96

1	a. Coordinate the state's School Readiness services as
2	measured by the number of students meeting state expectations
3	for readiness
4	6. WORK AND GAIN ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY (WAGES)
5	OUTCOME MEASURE
6	a. Maintain the level of Work and Gain Economic
7	Self-sufficiency (WAGES) clients who successfully leave the
8	program at 41% or more41%
9	7. WORK AND GAIN ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY (WAGES)
10	OUTPUT MEASURE
11	a. Oversee Work and Gain Economic Self-sufficiency
12	State Board as measured by the number of Work and Gain
13	Economic Self-sufficiency clients who successfully complete
14	the program
15	(b) For the Economic Improvement Program, the purpose
16	of which is to maintain and improve the economic health of
17	Florida by increasing jobs, income, and investments through
18	promoting targeted businesses, tourism, and professional and
19	amateur sports and entertainment and by assisting communities,
20	residents, and businesses, the outcome measures, output
21	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
22	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2084 through 2088D
23	are as follows:
24	1. OFFICE OF TOURISM, TRADE, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
25	OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number/dollar amount of contracts and grants
27	administered
28	b. Public expenditures per job created/retained under
29	QTI incentive program\$3,750
30	c. Number of state agency proposed rules reviewed
31	which impact small businesses
	97
a • -	

1	d. Number of business leaders' meetings
2	<u>coordinated1</u>
3	2. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Number of jobs supported by financing black
5	business:
б	(I) Regional BBICs2,000
7	(II) Statewide BBICs120
8	b. Dollar amount and procurement opportunities
9	generated for Black businesses\$2.5 million
10	3. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Matching dollars leveraged by the Black Business
12	Investment Board\$1.6 million
13	b. Number of businesses provided technical assistance
14	through Statewide BBIC200
15	4. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Economic contributions from Florida Sports
1 17	Foundation-sponsored regional and major sporting
17	Foundation-sponsored regionar and major sporting
17 18	events grants\$150 million
18	events grants\$150 million
18 19	events grants\$150 million b. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions with
18 19 20	events grants\$150 million b. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions with the efforts of the foundation to promote and develop the
18 19 20 21	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state75%
18 19 20 21 22	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state
18 19 20 21 22 23	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	events grants\$150 million b. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions with the efforts of the foundation to promote and develop the sports industry and related industries in the state
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	events grants\$150 million b. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions with the efforts of the foundation to promote and develop the sports industry and related industries in the state
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	events grants\$150 million b. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions with the efforts of the foundation to promote and develop the sports industry and related industries in the state
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state75%c. Economic contributions to communities as a resultof hosting Florida's Senior State Games and Sunshine StateGames Championships
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 	events grants\$150 millionb. Satisfaction of the area sports commissions withthe efforts of the foundation to promote and develop thesports industry and related industries in the state

1 Sustained growth in the number of travelers who a. 2 come to and go through Florida: Out-of-state......50.6 million 3 (I) 4 (II) Residents.....13.6 million 5 Sustained growth in the beneficial impacts that b. 6 travelers in Florida have on the state's overall economy: 7 Rental car surcharge.....\$141.2 million (I) 8 (III) Taxable sales.....\$48.3 billion 9 (IV) Local option tax.....\$320 million 10 c. Growth in private sector contributions to VISIT 11 Florida.....\$34 million 12 13 Satisfaction of VISIT Florida's partners and d. representative members of the tourism industry with the 14 efforts of VISIT Florida to promote Florida tourism.....75% 15 Facilitate the creation of an inventory of the 16 e. 17 sites identified by the state's tourism regions as nature-based and heritage tourism sites and implement 18 19 procedures to maintain the inventory.....TBD 20 f. Implement s. 288.1224(13), Florida Statutes, 21 including the incorporation of nature-based and heritage 22 tourism components into the Four-Year Marketing Plan.....TBD 7. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTPUT MEASURES.--23 a. Quality and effectiveness of paid advertising 24 25 messages reaching the target audience 26 Number contacting VISIT Florida in response to 27 b. 28 c. Value and number of consumer promotions facilitated 29 30 by VISIT Florida.....\$13 million/155 31 99

1 d. Number of leads and visitor inquiries generated by 2 VISIT Florida events and media placements.....1,229,780 3 4 f. Private sector partner financial contributions 5 through direct financial investment.....\$2.2 million g. Private sector partner financial contributions 6 7 through strategic alliance program.....\$1.3 million 8 8. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTCOME MEASURES. --9 a. Value of new investment in the Florida space business and programs (cumulative).....\$230 million 10 11 c. Number of visitors to space-related tourism 12 facilities.....2.9 million 13 Tax revenue generated by space-related tourism 14 d. 15 facilities.....\$1,400,000 16 9. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTPUT MEASURES.--17 a. Number of students in Spaceport Florida Authority (SFA) sponsored space-related classroom or research at 18 accredited institutions of higher education......400 19 20 b. Equity in SFA industrial/research facilities.....\$65 million 21 Number of presentations to industry and 22 c. 23 governmental decisionmakers......35 d. Equity in SFA space-related tourist 24 25 facilities.....\$25 million 10. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA BUSINESS EXPANSION, RETENTION, 26 27 AND RECRUITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES. --28 a. Number of direct full-time jobs facilitated as a 29 result of Enterprise Florida's recruitment, expansion, and 30 Rural areas (subset).....2,000 31 (I) 100

HB 2147, First Engrossed

1	(II) Urban core areas (subset)
2	(III) Critical industries (subset)
3	b. Documented export sales attributable to programs
4	and activities\$275 million
5	c. Number of qualified marketing leads generated
6	through Enterprise Florida's comprehensive marketing
7	programs
8	(I) Trade leads (subset)
9	(II) Investment leads (subset)
10	d. Satisfaction of economic development practitioners
11	and other appropriate entities with efforts of Enterprise
12	Florida in providing economic development leadership in the
13	full range of services required for state and local economic
14	growth, including critical industries and workforce
15	development
16	e. Satisfaction of economic development practitioners
17	and other appropriate entities with efforts of EFI in
18	marketing the state, including rural communities and
19	distressed urban communities, as a probusiness location for
20	potential new investment75%
21	11. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA BUSINESS EXPANSION, RETENTION,
22	AND RECRUITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of trade events
24	b. Number of companies assisted by Enterprise Florida
25	in the area of international trade2,660
26	c. Number of active recruitment, expansion, and
27	retention projects worked during the year
28	d. Number of leads and projects referred to local
29	economic development organizations120
30	e. Number of successful incentive projects worked with
31	local economic development organizations
	101

1	f. Number of times Enterprise Florida's information
2	services are accessed by local economic development
3	organizations
4	12. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME
5	MEASURES
6	a. Percent of supplemental fund requests from Regional
7	Workforce Boards acted upon in a timely fashion:
8	(I) Less than or equal to established fund threshold
9	acted upon within 14 days after receipt of approvable
10	documentation100%
11	(II) Greater than the established fund threshold
12	within 90 days100%
13	b. Number/percent of agency policies to be reviewed,
14	recommendations made, and actions taken to implement
15	recommendations202/70%
16	c. Number/percent of onsite regional workforce
17	development board reviews completed in accordance with an
18	established schedule by June 30, 200024/100%
19	d. For regions out of compliance, the percent of
20	reviews where board staff issued the report of deficiencies
21	and provided recommendations for corrective action within 14
22	days after exit100%
23	e. Number/percent of individuals completing high
24	skill/high wage programs found employed at an average hourly
25	wage equal to or higher than \$9 for the last completed
26	reporting period49,500/50%
27	f. Number/percent of WIA statewide standards met or
28	exceeded12 of 17/70%
29	g. Number/percent of WIA regional standards met or
30	exceeded
31	
	102
COD	INC. Words attriater are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	h. Percent of customers who found the State Board
2	fulfilling its oversight and coordinating responsibilities
3	determined through the use of a customer survey
4	13. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT
5	MEASURES
6	a. Number of new full-time, high skill/high wage jobs
7	created as a result of Quick Response Training4,500
8	(I) In rural areas
9	(II) In urban core areas
10	(III) In critical industries
11	b. QRT ratio of private funds match to state
12	funds
13	c. QRT employee retention rates and earnings (at \$9 or
14	above) in quarter following completion of training70%
15	d. QRT employee satisfaction rates (per survey)75%
16	e. Number of permanent jobs retained as a result of
17	Incumbent Worker Training pilot project (WIA)1,000
18	(I) In rural areas100
19	(II) In urban core areas
20	(III) In critical industries
21	f. IWT ratio of private funds match to federal WIA
22	funds2:1
23	g. IWT employee retention rates and earnings (at \$9 or
24	above) in quarter following completion of training70%
25	h. IWT employer satisfaction rates (per survey)75%
26	(2) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES
27	(a) For the Highway Patrol Program, the purpose of
28	which is to increase highway safety in Florida through law
29	enforcement, preventive patrol, and public education, the
30	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
31	
	103
000	

standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 1 2 Appropriations 2100 through 2118 are as follows: 3 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--4 a. Percent of closed criminal investigation cases 5 6 b. Florida death rate on patrolled highways per 100 7 c. National average death rate on highways per 100 8 9 million vehicle miles of travel.....1.7 Florida death rate on all roads per 100 million d. 10 vehicle miles of travel.....1.9 11 e. National average death rate on all roads per 100 12 million vehicle miles of travel.....1.7 13 f. Alcohol-related death rate per 100 million vehicle 14 15 miles of travel......0.64 g. Number of crashes investigated by FHP.....186,978 16 17 h. Percent change in number of crashes investigated by 18 FHP.....+1% 19 i. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of 20 travel on all Florida roads.....177 21 j. Percent change in seat belt use.....+1% 22 23 National average seat belt compliance rate (for 1. 24 OUTPUT MEASURES. --25 2. 26 a. Hours spent on criminal investigation cases 27 28 b. Actual number of criminal cases closed.....1,233 29 c. Hours spent on professional compliance 30 investigation cases closed......7,884 Actual number of professional compliance 31 d. 104

1	investigation cases closed122
2	e. Number of hours spent on traffic homicide
3	investigations
4	f. Number of cases resolved as result of traffic
5	homicide investigations1,647
6	g. Average time (hours) spent per traffic homicide
7	investigation
8	h. Percent of recruits retained by FHP for 3 years
9	after the completion of training
10	i. Number of hours spent on investigations63,350
11	j. Actual average response time (minutes) to calls for
12	crashes or assistance26
13	k. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
14	on preventive patrol1,014,491
15	1. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
16	on preventive patrol42%
17	m. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
18	on crash investigation
19	n. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
20	on crash investigation14%
21	o. Average time (hours) to investigate crashes (long
22	<u>form</u>)2.17
23	p. Average time (hours) to investigate crashes (short
24	<u>form</u>)1.35
25	<u>q.</u> Average time (hours) to investigate crashes
26	(nonreportable)
27	r. Duty hours spent on law enforcement officer
28	assistance to motorists
29	s. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
30	on motorist assistance5%
31	
	105
a a-	

1	t. Number of motorists assisted by law enforcement
2	officers
3	u. Number of public traffic safety presentations
4	made1,563
5	v. Number of persons in attendance at public traffic
6	safety presentations83,475
7	w. Average size of audience per presentation53
8	x. Number of training courses offered to FHP recruits
9	and personnel
10	y. Number of students successfully completing training
11	course
12	(b) For the Driver Licenses Program, the purpose of
13	which is to maintain an efficient and effective driver
14	licensing program, ensuring that only drivers demonstrating
15	the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities are licensed to
16	operate motor vehicles on Florida roads; to remove drivers
17	from the highways who abuse their driving privilege or require
18	further driver education; to ensure that drivers are
19	financially responsible for their actions; and to maintain
20	adequate records for driver education and administrative
21	control, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
22	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
23	Specific Appropriations 2124 through 2164B are as follows:
24	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Percent of customers waiting 15 minutes or less for
26	driver license service82%
27	b. Percent of customers waiting 30 minutes or more for
28	driver license service11%
29	c. Percent of DUI course graduates who do not
30	recidivate within three years of graduation
31	d. Average number of corrections per 1,000 driver
	106

HB 2147, First Engrossed

records maintained......4 1 Percent of motorists complying with financial 2 3 f. Number of driver licenses/identification cards 4 5 suspended, cancelled, and invalidated as a result of 6 fraudulent activity, with annual percent change 7 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--8 9 a. Number of driver licenses issued......4,188,819 b. Number of identification cards issued......821,349 10 c. Number of written driver license examinations 11 12 conducted.....2,213,001 d. Number of road tests conducted......525,855 13 14 (c) For the Motor Vehicles Program, the purpose of 15 which is to increase consumer protection, health, and public 16 safety through efficient license systems that register and 17 title motor vehicles, vessels, and mobile homes, regulate vehicle and motor home dealers, manufacturers, and central 18 19 emission inspection stations, and collect revenue in the most 20 efficient and effective manner, the outcome measures, output 21 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 22 funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2124 through 2164B 23 are as follows: 24 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Percent of motor vehicle titles issued without 25 26 b. Number of fraudulent motor vehicle titles 27 28 29 c. Percent change in number of fraudulent motor vehicle titles identified and submitted to law 30 31 107

HB 2147, First Engrossed

2 titled1:61 3 e. Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day in 4 the six applicable (air quality) counties	1	d. Ratio of warranty complaints to new mobile homes
9e. Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day in the six applicable (air quality) counties15.5% f. Ratio of taxes collected from international registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements (IFTA) audits to cost of audits	2	titled1:61
f. Ratio of taxes collected from international registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements (IFTA) audits to cost of audits	3	
registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements (IFTA) audits to cost of audits	4	the six applicable (air quality) counties
7 (IFTA) audits to cost of audits	5	f. Ratio of taxes collected from international
8 2. OUTPUT MEASURES 9 A. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home 10 registrations issued	6	registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements
9 a. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home 10 registrations issued13,923,922 11 b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles 12 issued4.7 million 13 c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title\$2.05 14 d. Average number of days to issue a motor vehicle 15 title	7	(IFTA) audits to cost of audits\$1.85:\$1
10 registrations issued	8	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles issued4.7 million c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title\$2.05 d. Average number of days to issue a motor vehicle title	9	a. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home
12 issued	10	registrations issued13,923,922
13 c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title\$2.05 14 d. Average number of days to issue a motor vehicle 15 title	11	b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles
14 d. Average number of days to issue a motor vehicle 15 title	12	issued4.7 million
15 title	13	c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title\$2.05
16 e. Number of vessel registrations issued863,501 17 f. Number of vessel titles issued224,171 18 g. Average cost to issue a vessel title\$5.08 19 h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with 20 number of auditors shown	14	d. Average number of days to issue a motor vehicle
17 f. Number of vessel titles issued	15	<u>title3.4</u>
18g. Average cost to issue a vessel title\$5.0819h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with20number of auditors shown	16	e. Number of vessel registrations issued863,501
19h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with20number of auditors shown	17	f. Number of vessel titles issued
20number of auditors shown	18	g. Average cost to issue a vessel title\$5.08
21(d) For the Kirkman Data Center Program, the purpose22of which is to encourage greater efficiency in all23governmental programs through implementation of effective24information technology initiatives, the outcome measures,25output measures, and associated performance standards with26respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 217027through 2175 are as follows:281. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE29a. Percent of customers who rate services as30satisfactory or better as measured by survey	19	h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with
22 of which is to encourage greater efficiency in all 23 governmental programs through implementation of effective 24 information technology initiatives, the outcome measures, 25 output measures, and associated performance standards with 26 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2170 27 through 2175 are as follows: 28 1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE 29 a. Percent of customers who rate services as 30 satisfactory or better as measured by survey	20	number of auditors shown22/14
governmental programs through implementation of effective information technology initiatives, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2170 through 2175 are as follows: <u>1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE</u> <u>a. Percent of customers who rate services as</u> <u>30 satisfactory or better as measured by survey80%</u> <u>2. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE</u> <u>108</u>	21	(d) For the Kirkman Data Center Program, the purpose
24 <u>information technology initiatives, the outcome measures,</u> 25 <u>output measures, and associated performance standards with</u> 26 <u>respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2170</u> 27 <u>through 2175 are as follows:</u> 28 <u>1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE</u> 29 <u>a. Percent of customers who rate services as</u> 30 <u>satisfactory or better as measured by survey80%</u> 31 <u>2. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE</u> 108	22	of which is to encourage greater efficiency in all
25output measures, and associated performance standards with26respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 217027through 2175 are as follows:281. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE29a. Percent of customers who rate services as30satisfactory or better as measured by survey80%312. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE108	23	governmental programs through implementation of effective
26 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2170 27 through 2175 are as follows: 28 <u>1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE</u> 29 <u>a. Percent of customers who rate services as</u> 30 satisfactory or better as measured by survey	24	information technology initiatives, the outcome measures,
<pre>27 through 2175 are as follows: 28 <u>1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE</u> 29 <u>a. Percent of customers who rate services as</u> 30 satisfactory or better as measured by survey</pre>	25	output measures, and associated performance standards with
28 <u>1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE</u> <u>a. Percent of customers who rate services as</u> 30 <u>satisfactory or better as measured by survey80%</u> 31 <u>2. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE</u> 108	26	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2170
29 a. Percent of customers who rate services as 30 satisfactory or better as measured by survey80% 31 2. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE 108	27	through 2175 are as follows:
<pre>30 satisfactory or better as measured by survey</pre>	28	1. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE
31 <u>2. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE</u> 108	29	a. Percent of customers who rate services as
108	30	satisfactory or better as measured by survey
1	31	2. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE
I CODINC.Words straighter are deletions: words underlined are additions		108
coding: words stricken are deretions, words underrined are additions.	COD	I ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.
1	a. Number of service programs maintained3,310	
-----	--	
2	(e) For the Office of Executive Director and Division	
3	of Administrative Services Program, the purpose of which is to	
4	provide policy determination and administrative support for	
5	agency operations, the outcome measures, output measures, and	
6	associated performance standards with respect to funds	
7	provided in Specific Appropriations 2089 through 2099, 2119	
8	through 2123A, and 2165 through 2169 are as follows:	
9	1. OFFICE OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND DIVISION OF	
10	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE	
11	a. Percent agency administration and support costs and	
12	positions compared to total agency costs and	
13	positions5.02%:7.43%	
14	(3) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY	
15	(a) For the Rehabilitation Program, the purpose of	
16	which is to empower individuals with disabilities to maximize	
17	their employment, economic self-sufficiency, and independence;	
18	to ensure the referral of persons with moderate to severe	
19	brain injuries to a coordinated rehabilitation program for	
20	services that will enable them to return to an appropriate	
21	level of functioning in their communities; and to obtain	
22	employment outcomes and maximize independence and integration	
23	into the community for Floridians who are blind or visually	
24	impaired, the outcome measures, output measures, and	
25	associated performance standards with respect to funds in	
26	Specific Appropriations 10I through 100 and 2299 through 2311A	
27	are as follows:	
28	1. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES	
29	a. Percent/number of customers gainfully employed	
30	(rehabilitated) at least 90 days (96-97 - at least 60	
31	days):63%/10,500	
	109	
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.	

1	(I) Of VR severely disabled (96-97 - at least 60
2	days)63.5%/4,100
3	(II) Of VR most severely disabled (96-97 - at least 60
4	days)
5	(III) Of all other VR disabled (96-97 - at least 60
6	days)
7	b. Percent/number of VR customers placed in
8	<u>competitive</u> employment97.5%/10,237
9	c. Percent/number of VR customers retained in
10	employment after 1 year62.5%/5,500
11	d. Average annual earnings of VR customers at
12	placement\$13,900
13	e. Average annual earnings of VR customers after
14	<u>1</u> year\$14,400
15	f. Percent of case costs covered by third-party
16	payers
17	g. Average cost of case life (to division):
18	(I) For severely disabled VR customers\$3,311
19	(II) For most severely disabled VR customers\$3,175
20	(III) For all other disabled VR customers\$400
21	h. Maintain the annual rate and number of
22	rehabilitation customers gainfully employed at least 90 days
23	at 68.3% and 847 customers, or more
24	2. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of customers reviewed for
26	eligibility
27	b. Number of individualized written plans for
28	services
29	c. Number of customers served
30	d. Customer caseload per counseling/case management
31	team member161
	110

1	e. Percent of eligibility determinations completed in
2	compliance with federal law92.5%
3	3. BLIND SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Percent/number of rehabilitation customers
5	gainfully employed at least 90 days
б	b. Percent/number of rehabilitation customers placed
7	in competitive employment64.3%/654
8	c. Projected average annual earnings of rehabilitation
9	customers at placement\$13,500
10	d. Percent/number of successfully rehabilitated older
11	persons, nonvocational rehabilitation55.2%/1,355
12	e. Percent/number of customers (children) successfully
13	rehabilitated/transitioned from preschool to school67.3%/36
14	f. Percent/number of customers (children) successfully
15	rehabilitated/transitioned from school to work26.5%/47
16	4. BLIND SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of customers reviewed for
18	eligibility2,035
19	b. Number of written plans for services1,425
20	c. Number of customers served13,100
21	d. Average time lapse (in days) between application
22	and eligibility determination for rehabilitation
23	customers
24	e. Customer caseload per counseling/case management
25	team member
26	f. Cost per library customer\$19.65
27	g. Total number of food service managers162
28	h. Number of existing food service facilities
29	renovated10
30	i. Number of new food service facilities
31	constructed5
	111
	TNC. Words attriater are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	j. Facilitate the provision of developmental services
2	to blind and visually impaired children
3	k. Provide Braille and recorded publications to
4	customers
5	(b) For the Safety/Workers' Compensation Program, the
6	purpose of which is to keep the workplace safe and return
7	injured employees to work at a reasonable cost to employers,
8	the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
9	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
10	Specific Appropriations 2257 through 2271 are as follows:
11	1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percent of injured workers returning to work at 80
13	percent or more of previous average quarterly wage during the
14	four-quarter period following injury for accident 2 years
15	prior
16	b. Percent of initial payments made on time by
17	insurance carriers91%
18	c. Number of workers newly protected by workers'
19	compensation coverage per fiscal year as a result of
20	compliance efforts11,145
21	d. Percent of investigated issues resolved by the
22	Employee Assistance Office
23	e. Percent of noncomplying carriers in
24	compliance upon reaudit
25	f. Average total cost per 4-year-old case (information
26	<u>only</u>)\$20,000
27	g. Percent of lost time cases with no petition for
28	benefits filed 18 months after the date of accident77%
29	h. Percent change in total case incidence rate for
30	private sector job sites served4%
31	
	112
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1	
1	i. Percent change in total case incidence rate for
2	public sector job sites served4%
3	j. Percent change in disabling compensable claims rate
4	for private employers served25%
5	k. Percent change in disabling compensable claims rate
6	for public employers served25%
7	1. Percent of employers surveyed who view services as
8	adequately effective or above
9	m. Percent of permanent total supplemental benefits
10	paid by the division to injured workers timely and
11	accurately100%
12	n. Percent of compliance enforcement actions which
13	result in a successful outcome (positive disposition of any
14	formal or informal review; payment in full of all penalties
15	assessed and compliance by the employer; and/or cessation of
16	all business operations of the employer)
17	o. Percent of requests for assistance filed by
18	attorneysNew
19	p. Number of investigated issues resolved by the
20	Employee Assistance Office25,000
21	2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of employer investigations conducted for
23	compliance with workers' compensation law
24	b. Number of program applicants provided reemployment
25	services
26	c. Number of carriers audited
27	d. Number of investigated issues resolved by the
28	Employee Assistance Office25,000
29	e. Number of private sector employers (and job sites)
30	provided OSHA 7(c)1 consultation services
31	
	113
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	f. Number of public sector employers (and job sites)
2	provided consultation services
3	g. Number of private sector employers receiving
4	training/other technical services
5	h. Number of public sector employers receiving
6	training/other technical services
7	i. Number of requests for assistance processed by the
8	Employee Assistance OfficeNew
9	3. SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Occupational injury and illness total case
11	incidence rate (per 100 workers) (information only)8.1%
12	b. Percent change in total case incidence rate for
13	private sector job sites served4%
14	c. Percent change in total case incidence rate for
15	public sector job sites served4%
16	d. Percent change in disabling compensable claims rate
17	for private employers served25%
18	e. Percent change in disabling compensable claims rate
19	for public employers served25%
20	f. Percent of employers surveyed who view services as
21	adequately effective or above
22	4. SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of private sector employers (and job sites)
24	provided OSHA 7(c)1 consultation services
25	b. Number of public sector employers (and job sites)
26	provided consultation services
27	c. Number of private sector employers receiving
28	training/other technical services2,300/6,700
29	d. Number of public sector employers receiving
30	training/other technical services
31	
	114
പ്പാ	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
	<u>matrimed</u> are detections, words <u>matrimed</u> are additions.

1	(c) For the Employment Security Program, the purpose
2	of which is to provide prompt, accurate benefits for
3	unemployed workers in order to expedite their reemployment
4	while providing an equitable and cost-effective unemployment
5	compensation system for the employers of Florida and to
6	provide employment services and training opportunities that
7	promote a strong Florida economy, the outcome measures, output
8	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
9	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2248 through 2250,
10	2272 through 2276, and 2286 through 2298A are as follows:
11	1. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely
13	b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately95%
14	c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed
15	timely
16	d. Percent of new UC employer liability
17	determinations made timely84.6%
18	e. Percent of current quarter UC taxes paid
19	timely
20	2. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations
22	issued170,635
23	b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid3,153,006
24	c. Amount of UC benefits paid\$683,477,111
25	d. Number of appeal cases completed52,197
26	e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations
27	made
28	f. Amount of UC taxes collected\$651,471,000
29	g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports
30	processed1,609,450
31	h. Number of process claims filed by unemployed
	115

1	workers
2	3. WORKFORCE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME
3	MEASURES
4	a. Percent of job openings filled50.2%
5	b. Percent of individuals referred to jobs who are
6	placed
7	c. Percent of food stamp clients employed11.8%
8	d. Percent increase in high skill/high wage
9	apprenticeship programs registered5%
10	e. Increase the percent of customers directly placed
11	in jobs or obtaining employment within 90 days after receiving
12	services from Workforce and Employment Opportunities from 22%
13	to 23%
14	4. WORKFORCE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
15	MEASURES
16	a. Number of individuals referred to job openings
17	listed with J&B540,000
18	b. Number of individuals placed by J&B137,700
19	c. Refer customers to job training16,964
20	d. Number of individuals obtaining employment after
21	receiving specific J&B services
22	e. Cost per placement by J&B\$230
23	f. Cost per individual placed in or having obtained
24	employment\$176
25	g. Number of recipients employed:
26	(I) Food stamps14,800
27	(II) Cost per food stamp placement\$302
28	h. Number of Apprenticeship Program requests meeting
29	high skill/high wage requirements
30	i. Number of apprentices successfully completing terms
31	of training as set by registered industry standards2,900
	116
000	

1	5. WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. WIA adult and dislocated worker placement rate
3	(information only)
4	b. WIA youth positive outcome rate (information
5	only)
б	c. Increase the number of employers in compliance or
7	brought into compliance with labor laws as a percent of total
8	employers monitored annually from 83% to 85%
9	6. WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of WIA Adult Program completers8,600
11	b. Number of WIA Youth Program completers6,000
12	c. Monitor employers for compliance with child labor
13	and migrant farmworker labor laws
14	(d) For the Public Employees Relations Commission, the
15	purpose of which is to promote harmonious employer/employee
16	relations at the state and local levels by resolving and
17	mediating workplace disputes, the outcome measures, output
18	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
19	the funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2326 through
20	2333 are as follows:
21	1. PERC OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Percent of timely labor dispositions
23	b. Percent of timely employment dispositions98%
24	c. Percent of dispositions not appealed
25	d. Percent of appealed dispositions affirmed98%
26	2. PERC OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of labor dispositions
28	b. Number of employment dispositions
29	(e) For the Workers' Compensation Hearings Program,
30	the purpose of which is to resolve disputed workers'
31	compensation claims in conformity with pertinent statutory,
	117

rule, and caseload requirements through the maintenance of a 1 statewide mediation, hearing, and order adjudicatory system, 2 3 the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 4 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 5 Specific Appropriations 2251 through 2256 are as follows: 6 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--7 a. Percent of concluded mediations resulting in 8 9 Percent of appealed, decided orders b. 10 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--11 a. Number of petitions received by presiding 12 judge......112,000 13 14 b. Number of mediations held.....23,100 c. Number of final hearings held......4,100 15 d. Number of other hearings held......42,300 16 17 18 (II) Number of lump sum settlements orders.....39,500 19 e. Number/percent of final orders entered 20 within 14 days.....No data yet. 21 (f) For the Unemployment Appeals Commission, the 22 purpose of which is to provide rapid cost-effective review and 23 decisions for appealed unemployment compensation claims, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 24 25 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2282 through 2285 are as follows: 26 27 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--28 a. Percent of unemployment compensation appeals 29 b. Percent of unemployment compensation appeals 30 31 disposed of within 90 days.....95% 118

1	c. Percent of cases appealed to DCA
2	d. Average unit cost of cases appealed to Unemployment
3	Appeals Commission\$186
4	e. Average unit cost of cases appealed to DCA\$685
5	f. Percent of appealed decisions affirmed by DCA94%
6	2. OUTPUT MEASURE
7	a. Number of unemployment compensation appeals
8	disposed of
9	(g) For the Information Management Center, the purpose
10	of which is to support agency functions through the management
11	of information resources, the outcome measures, output
12	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
13	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2321 through 2325
14	are as follows:
15	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Maintain the percent of scheduled information
17	technology production jobs completed at 99.9% or more99.9%
18	b. Percent of data processing requests
19	completed by due date95%
20	c. System design and programming hourly cost\$52
21	d. Percent of scheduled production jobs
22	completed
23	e. Percent of scheduled hours available (data center
24	operations)
25	f. Cost per MIP (millions of instructions per
26	second)\$19,000
27	g. Percent of Help Desk calls resolved within
28	<u>3 working days89.48%</u>
29	h. Cost per Help Desk call\$8
30	i. Percent of scheduled hours available
31	(network)
	119
COD	TNG.Words stricton are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	j. Cost for support per network device\$195
2	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Number of data processing requests completed
4	by due date
5	b. Number of scheduled production jobs
6	completed
7	c. Number of hours available (data center
8	operations)
9	d. Number of Help Desk calls resolved within 3 working
10	days
11	e. Number of hours available (network)2,855
12	f. Maintain and develop information technology as
13	measured by the number of production jobs completed514,000
14	(h) For the Executive Direction and Support Services
15	Program, the purpose of which is to provide policy
16	determination and administrative support for agency
17	operations, the outcome measures, output measures, and
18	associated performance standards with respect to funds
19	provided in Specific Appropriations 2312 through 2320 are as
20	follows:
21	1. OUTCOME MEASURE
22	a. Reduce the administrative costs to less than 7.9%
23	of total agency cost7.9%
24	(4) DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS
25	(a) For the Readiness and Response Program, the
26	purpose of which is to provide military units and personnel
27	(at the Governor's request) that are ready to protect life and
28	property; preserve peace, order, and public safety; and
29	contribute to such state and local programs that add value to
30	the State of Florida, the outcome measures, output measures,
31	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
	120
007	TNC. Words attriater are deletions: words underlined are additions

provided in Specific Appropriations 2489 through 2507D are as 1 2 follows: 3 READINESS OUTCOME MEASURES. --1. 4 a. Percent fill of federally authorized strength 5 6 b. Number/percent of armories rated 7 c. Percent of assigned soldiers to authorized staffing 8 9 10 2. READINESS OUTPUT MEASURES.-a. Percent of satisfaction with training facilities at 11 12 b. Number of annual training days at Camp 13 14 Blanding......180,000 15 c. Number of new recruits using State Education Assistance Program.....1,600 16 d. Number of crisis response exercises conducted 17 18 19 Recruit, retain, and provide administration for e. 20 soldiers in the Florida National Guard.....11,599 21 f. Maintain armories.....55 Manage the Camp Blanding training area as measured 22 g. 23 3. RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURE.--24 25 a. Percent of supported agencies reporting 26 satisfaction with the department's support for specific 27 28 4. DRUG INTERDICTION AND PREVENTION OUTCOME MEASURE.--29 a. Percent of Law Enforcement officers trained that 30 5. DRUG INTERDICTION AND PREVENTION OUTPUT MEASURES.--31 121

1	a. Provide interagency counter-drug assistance as
2	measured by the number of mandays devoted to counter-drug
3	tasks
4	b. Provide presentations to improve drug awareness
5	among high school students
6	c. Sponsor community anti-drug coalitions
7	d. Number of law enforcement personnel trained400
8	e. Number of drug-training hours provided to law
9	enforcement agents
10	6. FEDERAL/STATE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS OUTPUT
11	MEASURE
12	a. Administer Department of Defense contracts in
13	Florida
14	(5) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
15	(a) For the Utilities Regulation and Competitive
16	Market Oversight Program, the purpose of which is to provide a
17	regulatory environment that facilitates the provision of
18	desired utility services of acceptable quality at fair prices,
19	the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
20	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
21	Specific Appropriations 2508 through 2515 are as follows:
22	1. RATEMAKING OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida
24	compared to national average ROE for electricity+/-1
25	b. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida
26	compared to national average ROE for gas+/-1
27	c. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida
28	compared to national average ROE for water and
29	wastewater+/-2.5
30	d. Percent of utilities achieving within range, over
31	range, and under range of last authorized ROE for electricity:
	122

1	(I) Within range100%
2	(II) Over range
3	(III) Under range0%
4	e. Percent of utilities achieving within range, over
5	range, and under range of last authorized ROE for gas:
б	(I) Within range
7	(II) Over range
8	(III) Under range
9	f. Percent of utilities achieving within range, over
10	range, and under range of last authorized ROE for water and
11	wastewater:
12	(I) Within range
13	(II) Over range
14	(III) Under range70%
15	g. Percent of annual utility bill increases for
16	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
17	the Consumer Price Index for communications+/-1%
18	h. Percent of annual utility bill increases for
19	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
20	the Consumer Price Index for electricity+/-1%
21	i. Percent of annual utility bill increases for
22	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
23	the Consumer Price Index for gas+/-1%
24	j. Percent of annual utility bill increases for
25	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
26	the Consumer Price Index for water and wastewater+/-1%
27	k. Average basic residential utility bill as a percent
28	of average Florida household income for composite3.4%
29	1. Average basic residential utility bill as a percent
30	of average Florida household income for communications0.2%
31	
	123
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1	m. Average basic residential utility bill as a percent
2	of average Florida household income for electricity1.4%
3	n. Average basic residential utility bill as a percent
4	of average Florida household income for gas0.65%
5	o. Average basic residential utility bill as a percent
6	of average Florida household income for water and
7	wastewater
8	2. RATEMAKING OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Number of proceedings, reviews, and audits
10	examining rates, rate structure, earnings, and expenditures
11	for electricity
12	b. Number of proceedings, reviews, and audits
13	examining rates, rate structure, earnings, and expenditures
14	for gas
15	c. Number of proceedings, reviews, and audits
16	examining rates, rate structure, earnings, and expenditures
17	for water and wastewater
18	3. COMPETITIVE MARKET OVERSIGHT FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
19	OUTCOME MEASURES
20	a. Market share of largest service provider compared
21	to the composite market share of the next three largest
22	providers for Interexchange
23	b. Market share of largest service provider compared
24	to the composite market share of the next three largest
25	providers for alternate access vendors
26	c. Market share of largest service provider compared
27	to the composite market share of the next three largest
28	providers for pay telephone companies
29	d. Market share of local exchange telephone companies
30	compared to market share of alternate local exchange telephone
31	companies for local exchange telephone companies
	124

1	e. Market share of local exchange telephone companies
2	compared to market share of alternate local exchange telephone
3	companies for alternate local exchange telephone
4	companies
5	4. COMPETITIVE MARKET OVERSIGHT FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
6	OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Number of proceedings establishing agreements
8	between local service providers
9	b. Number of proceedings granting certificates to
10	operate as a telecommunications company
11	c. Number of communications tariffs reviewed2,198
12	5. SERVICE AND SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percent of communications service variances per
14	inspection points examined for local exchange and alternate
15	local exchange telephone companies
16	b. Percent of communications service variances per
17	inspection points examined for Interexchange
18	c. Percent of communications service variances per
19	inspection points examined for pay telephone companies4%
20	d. Percent of electricity safety variances per
21	inspection points examined
22	e. Percent of gas safety variances per inspection
23	systems inspected25%
24	f. Percent of consumer calls answered
25	g. Average waiting time (minutes) for consumer
26	calls2
27	h. Percent of consumer complaints resolved within 30
28	days
29	i. Percent of consumer complaints resolved within 60
30	days
31	6. SERVICE AND SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
	125
COD	TNG. Words strictor are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	a. Number of proceedings granting service authority,
2	resolving territorial disputes for electricity
3	b. Number of proceedings granting service authority,
4	resolving territorial disputes for gas1
5	c. Number of proceedings granting service authority,
6	resolving territorial disputes for water and wastewater71
7	d. Number of 10-year site plan reviews and need
, 8	determinations for electric utilities
9	e. Number of consumer inquiries/complaints handled for
10	
11	communications
	f. Number of consumer inquiries/complaints handled for
12	electricity
13	g. Number of consumer inquiries/complaints handled for
14	gas
15	h. Number of consumer inquiries/complaints handled for
16	water and wastewater
17	i. Number of consumer information activities relating
18	to service/safety13
19	j. Number of service evaluations/safety inspections
20	performed for communications9,100
21	k. Number of service evaluations/safety inspections
22	performed for electricity
23	1. Number of service evaluations/safety inspections
24	performed for gas77
25	m. Number of enforcement proceedings relating to
26	service and safety for communications
27	n. Number of enforcement proceedings relating to
28	service and safety for electricity0
29	o. Number of enforcement proceedings relating to
30	service and safety for gas0
31	(6) DEPARTMENT OF STATE
	126
	120

1	(a) For the International Affairs, Notaries, and
2	Florida Association of Voluntary Agencies for Caribbean Action
3	Programs, the purposes of which are to administer the
4	statutory responsibilities of the Secretary of State in regard
5	to international affairs, to administer the notary commissions
6	and apostilles certifications while providing enhanced public
7	access, and to help people reach their goals for improved
8	social and economic conditions in Central America and the
9	Caribbean through training and technical assistance, the
10	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
11	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
12	Appropriations 2589 through 2591D are as follows:
13	1. INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS PARTNERSHIPS AND NOTARIES
14	OUTCOME MEASURE
15	a. Maintain the current level of clients who indicate
16	assistance is very responsive, as measured by survey, at 60
17	percent or more60%
18	2. INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS PARTNERSHIPS AND NOTARIES
19	OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of trade/cultural missions
21	b. Number of Consular Corps credentials issued50
22	c. Number of sister cities/sister state grants
23	approved
24	d. Number of Civil Law Notaries issued
25	e. Number of notary applications processed per
26	<u>year100,000</u>
27	3. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
28	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Percent of overseas clients who indicate assistance
30	is very responsive
31	
	127
רסי	TNG:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions

1	b. Percent of volunteer-consultants who would
2	volunteer again
3	c. Ratio of donated services and contributions to the
4	amount of state funding1.6:1
5	4. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
6	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Number of volunteer technical assistance missions
8	to Central America and the Caribbean
9	b. Number of international and domestic development
10	missions
11	(b) For the Historical, Archaeological, and Folklife
12	Appreciation Program, the purpose of which is to encourage
13	identification, evaluation, protection, preservation,
14	collection, conservation, interpretation, and public access to
15	information about Florida's historic sites and properties and
16	objects related to Florida history and to archaeological and
17	folk cultural heritage, the outcome measures, output measures,
18	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
19	provided in Specific Appropriations 2603 through 2622 are as
20	follows:
21	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Number of copies or viewings of publications,
23	including Internet website hits
24	b. Number of historic and archaeological objects
25	maintained for public use
26	c. Total number of historic and archaeological sites
27	recorded in the master site file
28	d. Total number of properties protected or
29	preserved
30	e. Total local funds leveraged by historical resources
31	program\$105 million
	128
007	120

1	f. Percent of Museum of Florida History visitors
2	rating the experience good or excellent
3	g. Percent of customers satisfied with the
4	quality/timeliness of technical assistance provided96%
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of grants awarded
7	b. Number of dollars awarded through
8	grants\$19,294,807
9	c. Number of museum exhibits
10	d. Number of publications and multimedia products
11	available for the general public
12	e. Number of preservation services applications
13	reviewed
14	f. Number of attendees at produced and sponsored
15	events
16	g. Number of visitors to state historic
17	museums
18	(c) For the Commercial Recording and Registration
19	Program, the purpose of which is to promote financial and
20	economic stability through public notice of clients' interest
21	in business organizations, trademarks, financial transactions,
22	and liens as well as identification of those doing business
23	under names other than their own, the outcome measures, output
24	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
25	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2623 through 2625A
26	are as follows:
27	1. OUTCOME MEASURE
28	a. Percent of client satisfaction with the division's
29	services
30	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
31	a. Average cost/corporate filing\$5.38
	129

1	b. Average cost/Uniform Commercial Code
2	filing\$1.81
3	c. Average cost/inquiry\$0.075
4	d. Percent of total inquires handled by
5	telephone
6	e. Percent of total inquiries handled by
7	mail/walk-ins7.5%
8	f. Percent of total inquiries handled by electronic
9	means
10	(d) For the Libraries, Archives, and Information
11	Services Program, the purpose of which is to ensure access to
12	information of past, present, and future value for the
13	educational and cultural benefit of the people of Florida and
14	to work in partnership with citizens, information providers,
15	and government for efficient and effective management and
16	development of information services, the outcome measures,
17	output measures, and associated performance standards with
18	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2626
19	through 2629A are as follows:
20	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Annual increase in the use of local public library
22	service
23	b. Annual increase in usage of research
24	collections
25	c. Annual cost avoidance achieved by government
26	agencies through records storage/disposition
27	/micrographics\$58 million
28	d. Customer satisfaction with relevancy and timeliness
29	of research response
30	e. Customer satisfaction with Records Management
31	technical assistance, training, and Records Center
	130
007	TVC. Newda stuishen ous deletions, uenda undeulined ous edditions.

1	Services
2	f. Customer satisfaction with accuracy and timeliness
3	of library consultant responsesTBD
4	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number of items loaned by public
б	libraries71,361,232
7	b. Number of library customer visits50,504,239
8	c. Number of public library reference
9	requests
10	d. Number of public library registered
11	borrowers7,207,942
12	e. Number of persons attending public
13	library programs
14	f. Number of volumes in public library
15	collections25,242,994
16	g. Number of new users (State Library, State
17	Archives)
18	h. Number of reference requests handled (State
19	Library, State Archives)117,847
20	i. Number of database searches conducted (State
21	Library, State Archives)
22	j. Number of items loaned (State Library)86,163
23	k. Cubic feet of obsolete public records approved for
24	disposal510,000
25	1. Cubic feet of noncurrent records stored at
26	the Records Center
27	m. Number of microfilm images created, processed,
28	and/or duplicated at the Records Center160 million
29	(e) For the Cultural Grants Program, the purpose of
30	which is to foster development of a receptive climate for
31	cultural programs, to enrich culturally and benefit the
	131

citizens of this state in their daily lives, to increase the 1 appeal of Florida visits and vacations, and to attract to 2 3 Florida residency outstanding creators through the promotion of cultural programs, the outcome measures, output measures, 4 5 and associated performance standards with respect to funds 6 provided in Specific Appropriations 2630 through 2646B are as 7 follows: 8 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--9 a. Attendance at supported cultural 10 b. Number of individuals served by professional 11 12 associations.....8 million c. Total local financial support leveraged by state 13 14 funding.....\$360 million d. Number of children attending school-based, 15 16 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--17 18 19 20 c. Dollars awarded through capital grants......\$12 million 21 22 d. Dollars awarded through program 23 grants.....\$19,535,872 e. Percent of counties funded by the program.....88.1% 24 f. Percent of large counties (N=34; population greater 25 26 Percent of small counties (N=33; population less 27 g. 28 h. Number of state-supported performances and 29 30 31 132

1 (f) For the Licensing Program, the purpose of which is to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare through 2 3 the licensing, regulation, and enforcement of the private security, private investigative, and recovery industries; 4 5 through the regulation of game promotions conducted in 6 Florida; and through the issuance of licenses to citizens 7 wishing to carry concealed weapons or firearms for lawful 8 defense, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 9 performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2647 through 2650 are as follows: 10 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--11 12 a. Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery licenses issued within 90 days after receipt of an 13 14 15 b. Percent/number of Concealed Weapon/Firearm licenses issued within 90-day statutory timeframe without fingerprint 16 17 c. Number of default Concealed Weapon/Firearm 18 19 20 d. Percent of license revocations or suspensions 21 initiated within 20 days after receipt of disqualifying information (all license types)......60% 22 23 Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery e. 24 25 f. Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery 26 Percent of Concealed Weapon/Firearm violators to 27 g. 28 licensed population.....0.15% 29 h. Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery 30 violators to licensed population.....1.42% 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--31 133

1	a. Average cost of Concealed Weapon/Firearm
2	application processed\$27
3	b. Average cost of Security, Investigative, and
4	Recovery application processed\$59
5	c. Average cost of Security, Investigative, and
6	Recovery investigation\$1,846
7	d. Average cost of Security, Investigative, and
8	Recovery compliance inspection\$377
9	e. Average cost of Administrative Action (revocation,
10	fine, probation, and compliance letters)\$491
11	f. Number of investigations performed (Security,
12	Investigative, and Recovery complaint and agency-generated
13	inspections)1,541
14	g. Number of compliance inspections performed
15	(Security, Investigative, and Recovery licensees/new agency
16	inspections and random inspections)
17	(g) For the Election Records, Laws, and Codes Program,
18	the purpose of which is to protect the integrity of elections
19	and to promote public awareness and participation in the
20	electoral process through open and accurate public access and
21	in the development of governmental procedures through the
22	dissemination of Florida's administrative records, laws, acts,
23	and rules, the outcome measures, output measures, and
24	associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 2597 through 2602 are as
26	<u>follows:</u>
27	1. ELECTION RECORDS, LAWS, AND CODES OUTCOME
28	MEASURES
29	a. Percent of campaign treasurer report detail
30	information released on the Internet within 7 days94%
31	
	134
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1	b. Percent of survey respondents satisfied with
2	services (quality and timeliness of response)
3	c. Percent of training session/workshop attendees
4	satisfied (quality of content and applicability of materials
5	presented)
б	2. ELECTION RECORDS, LAWS, AND CODES OUTPUT
7	MEASURES
8	a. Number of campaign reports
9	received/processed14,000
10	b. Number of attendees at training, workshops, and
11	assistance events
12	c. Number of Internet website hits
13	(h) For the Historic Pensacola Preservation Program,
14	the purpose of which is to develop, implement, and maintain a
15	variety of public programs through research, through
16	historical, archaeological, and architectural surveys, and
17	through administrative support and to provide special and
18	permanent exhibitions of local and regional history,
19	maintenance and operation of historic and other public
20	buildings, and education programs to effectively aid citizens
21	in the preservation of the cultural heritage and natural
22	resources of Florida, the outcome measures, output measures,
23	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
24	provided in Specific Appropriations 2651 through 2654 are as
25	follows:
26	1. HISTORIC PENSACOLA PRESERVATION OUTCOME MEASURE
27	a. Number of visitors to board-managed
28	properties150,000
29	2. HISTORIC PENSACOLA PRESERVATION OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number of consultations to city and county
31	governments550
	135

1	b. Total acreage of historic properties
2	maintained
3	c. Total square footage of historic properties
4	maintained
5	(i) For the Ringling Museum of Art Program, the
б	purpose of which is to carry out its duties as the official
7	art museum of the State of Florida, including the preservation
8	and maintenance of collections, furnishings, objects,
9	artifacts, and objects of art and other property willed to the
10	State of Florida by John Ringling, to provide access to and
11	education about its holdings, and to acquire and preserve
12	additional objects of art and artifacts of historical or
13	cultural significance, the outcome measures, output measures,
14	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
15	provided in Specific Appropriations 2655 through 2657A are as
16	<u>follows:</u>
17	1. RINGLING MUSEUM OF ART OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Annual number of museum visitors251,308
19	b. Number of individual participants in scheduled
20	education programs
21	c. Percent of visitors rating visit better than
22	expected
23	2. RINGLING MUSEUM OF ART OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Total number of objects maintained12,850
25	b. Number of institutions to which items are on
26	loan16
27	c. Net asset balance of the Museum and Foundation,
28	including assets transferred to the state and excluding art
29	and other collections\$8,300,000
30	(7) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
31	
	136
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
COD	

1	(a) For the Highway and Bridge Construction Program,
2	the purpose of which is to develop and implement the state
3	highway system, the outcome measures, output measures, and
4	associated performance standards with respect to funds
5	provided in Specific Appropriations 1807 through 1814G, 1814I
6	through 1814M, and 1814O through 1814T are as follows:
7	1. HIGHWAY BRIDGE AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM OUTCOME
8	MEASURES
9	a. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million
10	miles traveledless than 2.05
11	b. Percent of state highway system pavement meeting
12	department standards
13	c. Percent of department-maintained bridges meeting
14	department standards90%
15	d. Percent increase in number of days required for
16	completed construction contracts over original contract days
17	(less weather days)less than 30%
18	e. Percent increase in final amount paid for completed
19	construction contracts over original
20	contract amountless than 10%
21	f. Percent of vehicle crashes on state highway system
22	where road-related conditions were listed as a contributing
23	factorless than 1%
24	g. Construction Engineering as a percent of
25	construction15%
26	h. Average construction cost per lane mile of
27	new capacity\$3.8 million
28	2. HIGHWAY BRIDGE AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM OUTPUT
29	MEASURES
30	a. Number of lane miles let to contract for
31	resurfacing
	137
000	±37

1	b. Number of lane miles let to contract for highway
2	capacity improvements176
3	c. Percent of construction contracts planned for
4	letting that were actually let
5	d. Number of bridges let to contract for repair81
6	e. Number of bridges let to contract for
7	replacement
8	(b) For the Right-of-Way Acquisition Program, the
9	purpose of which is to acquire rights-of-way necessary to
10	support the work program, the outcome measures, output
11	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
12	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1807 through 1813,
13	1814H, 1814N, and 1815 are as follows:
14	1. RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of right-of-way parcels acquired2,230
16	b. Number of projects certified ready for
17	construction
18	(c) For the Public Transportation Program, the purpose
19	of which is to develop and provide for all forms of public
20	transportation, including transit, aviation, intermodal rail,
21	and seaport development, the outcome measures, output
22	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
23	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1816 through 1821G
24	are as follows:
25	1. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Transit ridership growth compared to population
27	growth
28	b. Tons of cargo shipped by air4 million
29	c. Average cost per requested trip for transportation
30	disadvantaged\$4.32
31	2. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
	138
a a-	

1	a. Number of passenger enplanements56 million
2	b. Number of public transit passenger
3	trips
4	c. Number of cruise embarkations and disembarkations
5	at Florida ports
б	d. Number of trips provided (transportation
7	disadvantaged)5,768,000
8	(d) For the Highway Operations and Maintenance
9	Program, the purpose of which is to provide routine and
10	uniform maintenance of the state highway system, the outcome
11	measures, output measures, and associated performance
12	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
13	Appropriations 1822 through 1833, 1835, 1836A through 1836G,
14	and 1836I through 1836L are as follows:
15	1. HIGHWAY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME
16	MEASURE
17	a. Maintenance condition rating of state highway
18	system as measured against the department's maintenance manual
19	standards80
20	(e) For the Motor Carrier Compliance Program, the
21	purpose of which is to enforce weight and safety requirements
22	on commercial vehicles, the outcome measures, output measures,
23	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
24	provided in Specific Appropriations 1826, 1832 through 1834,
25	1836, and 1836H are as follows:
26	1. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME
27	MEASURES
28	a. Percent of commercial vehicles weighed that were
29 20	<u>over weight:</u>
30 21	(I) Fixed scale weighings
31	(II) Portable scale weighings
	139
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1	2. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of commercial vehicles weighed11 million
3	b. Number of commercial vehicle safety inspections
4	performed
5	c. Number of portable scale weighings
6	performed45,000
7	(f) For the Toll Operations Program, the purpose of
8	which is to efficiently operate and maintain state toll
9	facilities, the outcome measures, output measures, and
10	associated performance standards with respect to funds
11	provided in Specific Appropriations 1837 through 1846E are as
12	follows:
13	1. TOLL OPERATIONS PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
14	a. Operational cost per tollless than \$0.16
15	b. Operational cost per dollar
16	collectedless than \$0.19
17	2. TOLL OPERATIONS PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURE
18	a. Number of toll transactions
19	(g) For Executive Direction and Support Services, the
20	purpose of which is to provide overall management and
21	administrative support for the department's programs, the
22	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
23	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
24	Appropriations 1847 through 1858A are as follows:
25	1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME
26	MEASURE
27	a. Percent of agency administration and support costs
28	and positions compared to total agency costs and
29	positions2.1%
30	
31	
	140
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

(8) DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS.--The department 1 2 shall recommend standards for the following outcomes and 3 outputs for fiscal year 2001-2002 to the appropriate 4 legislative committees. For each outcome and output, or for 5 each group of integrally related outcomes and outputs, the 6 department shall identify total associated costs for producing 7 that outcome or output, based on the fiscal year 2000-2001 8 budget, in order to improve the Legislature's ability to 9 appropriate funds, compare activities, and evaluate department activities for efficiency: 10 (a) For the Office of the Secretary Program, the 11 12 purpose of which is to provide the overall planning, coordinating, administrative, and executive direction for the 13 14 Department of Community Affairs and to administer the Florida Communities Trust and Florida Coastal Management Programs, the 15 16 outcome measures and output measures are as follows: 17 1. OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OUTCOME MEASURES.--18 Administrative costs as compared to total agency a. 19 costs. 20 b. Number of local governments participating in 21 coastal management programs to protect, maintain, and develop 22 coastal resources through coordinated management. 23 c. Number of improved coastal access sites. d. Percent of local government participation in land 24 25 acquisition programs. 26 e. Percent of local government participation in land 27 acquisition programs that acquire open space in urban cores. 28 2. OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OUTPUT MEASURES.--29 a. Number of federal projects reviewed by Florida 30 Coastal Management (FCM) that do not require problem 31 resolution. 141

1 b. Number of federal projects reviewed by FCM that 2 require some problem resolution. 3 c. Number of FCM projects funded. 4 d. Number of individuals trained at coastal management 5 forums. 6 e. Number of land acquisition project applications 7 reviewed. 8 f. Number of land acquisition project applications 9 receiving technical assistance. 10 g. Number of land acquisition grants awarded. h. Number of land acquisition active projects 11 12 monitored. 13 i. Number of land acquisition parcels appraised, 14 negotiated, and closed. 15 (b) For the Community Planning and Protection Program, 16 the purpose of which is to help Florida's communities envision 17 and plan their future to meet the challenges of growth; to assist them in the development and implementation of their 18 19 comprehensive planning efforts aimed at ensuring the 20 availability of public infrastructure necessary to support 21 sound growth, preserving and conserving valuable natural, human, economic, and physical resources vital to quality of 22 23 life, and mitigating or avoiding the impacts of disasters; and to help communities plan and build residential and commercial 24 structures that are safe, affordable, accessible, and energy 25 26 efficient, the outcome and output measures are as follows: 27 1. COMMUNITY PLANNING AND PROTECTION OUTCOME 28 MEASURES.--29 a. Percent of local governments receiving technical 30 assistance to implement a community planning component or 31 process impacting a community or included in a comprehensive 142

plan that exceeds minimum requirements of chapter 163, Florida 1 2 Statutes, and Administrative Rule 9J-5. 3 b. Number of local governments that have implemented a community planning component or process impacting its 4 5 community or included in its comprehensive plan that exceeds 6 minimum requirements of chapter 163, Florida Statutes, and 7 Administrative Rule 9J-5. 8 c. Average commute time. 9 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND PROTECTION OUTPUT 2. MEASURES.--10 a. Number of new plans reviewed. 11 12 b. Number of plan amendments reviewed. 13 c. Number of evaluation and appraisal reports (EARs) 14 reviewed. 15 d. Number of planning grants administered. Number of technical assistance initiatives 16 e. 17 undertaken. 18 f. Number of plans that adequately address disaster 19 mitigation. 20 g. Number of developments of regional impact managed. 21 h. Number of area of critical state concern development orders reviewed and final orders issued. 22 23 (c) For the Emergency Response Management Program, the purpose of which is to help Florida's communities reduce the 24 effects of disasters and to coordinate the state's operational 25 26 duties and responsibilities prior to, during, and immediately 27 after disasters, the outcome and output measures are as 28 follows: 29 1. EMERGENCY RESPONSE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES.--30 a. Percent of counties with an above average 31 capability rating to respond to emergencies. 143

1	b. Amount of time required for communities to
2	completely recover from a disaster.
3	c. Percent of events in which the affected population
4	is warned within an appropriate timeframe in relation to the
5	disaster/event.
6	d. Percent of events in which the affected population
7	is evacuated within an appropriate timeframe in relation to
8	the disaster/event.
9	e. Statewide shelter deficit.
10	f. Percent of facilities in compliance with hazardous
11	materials planning programs.
12	g. Dollars saved from foregoing repetitive losses.
13	2. EMERGENCY RESPONSE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Number of planning contacts receiving technical
15	assistance (nonactivation).
16	b. Number of emergency management personnel receiving
17	training and participating in exercises.
18	c. Number of plans, reports, and procedures
19	maintained.
20	d. Number of mutual aid signatories maintained.
21	e. Number of public hurricane shelters evaluated.
22	f. Number of organizations awarded funds.
23	g. Number of planning funding applications processed.
24	h. Number of financial agreements managed (recovery
25	and mitigation).
26	i. Number of hurricane shelter spaces created.
27	j. Number of projects requiring National Environmental
28	Policy Act review.
29	k. Number of postdisaster damage and needs assessments
30	conducted.
31	1. Number of outreach team members deployed.
	144
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
1 m. Number of project inspections performed. 2 Number of days activated at Level 2 or above. n. 3 ο. Number of incidents reported to the State Warning 4 Point. 5 Number of requests for state assistance. p. 6 q. Population covered in NOAA weather radio 7 transmission areas. r. Number of facility files researched for compliance 8 9 verification (hazardous materials). s. Number of community right-to-know requests 10 fulfilled (hazardous materials). 11 12 t. Number of hazardous materials facility audits 13 completed. 14 u. Number of hazardous materials planning financial 15 agreements maintained. 16 v. Number of applicants provided technical assistance 17 (predisaster mitigation). 18 w. Number of communities audited and technical 19 assistance provided (National Flood Insurance Program). 20 x. Number of Flood Mitigation Assistance Program 21 grants awarded. 22 y. Number of counties that have operationalized their 23 portion of the Regional Hurricane Evacuation Studies. (d) The Housing and Community Revitalization Program, 24 25 the purpose of which is to help revitalize Florida's communities and neighborhoods by assisting local governments 26 and nonprofit community organizations in their efforts to 27 rehabilitate housing, create jobs, develop public 28 29 infrastructure, and provide basic community services, the 30 outcome and output measures are as follows: 31 145 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	1. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION OUTCOME
2	MEASURES
3	a. Number of neighborhoods assisted and improved
4	through community development block grant programs,
5	empowerment zone programs, urban infill programs, affordable
6	housing programs, and long-term redevelopment programs.
7	b. Percent of local governments that have a building
8	code program rated at or above a specified level of
9	effectiveness by a recognized rating organization.
10	c. Number of households benefiting from services
11	provided by community services block grant, LIHEP,
12	weatherization, and energy programs.
13	d. Number of jobs created/retained through community
14	development block grant programs.
15	2. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION OUTPUT
16	MEASURES
17	a. Number of grant awards managed.
18	b. Number of redevelopment plans developed.
19	c. Number of people trained/served.
20	d. Number of code amendments promulgated.
21	e. Number of permits issued for manufactured
22	buildings.
23	(e) The Florida Housing Finance Corporation Program,
24	the purpose of which is to administer programs to make
25	low-cost housing available to low-income and moderate-income
26	Florida families, the outcome and output measures are as
27	<u>follows:</u>
28	1. FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	a. Percent of targeted dollars that are allocated to
31	farmworkers, elderly, and fishworkers.
	146
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

b. Ratio of nonstate funding to state-appropriated 1 2 dollars. 3 c. Percent of units exceeding statutory set-asides. 4 2. FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION OUTPUT 5 MEASURES.--6 a. Number of applications processed. 7 b. Number of affordable housing loans funded. 8 c. Number of local governments under compliance 9 monitoring for the State Housing Initiatives Partnership 10 (SHIP) program. d. Number of local governments served. 11 12 e. Provide executive direction and support services as 13 measured by percent of total program budget. 14 Section 38. The performance measures and standards 15 established in this section for individual programs in the area of general government shall be applied to those programs 16 17 for the 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in 18 19 the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001, as 20 required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act 21 of 1994. Nothing in these measures and standards shall permit the agency to engage in regulatory or enforcement activities, 22 23 or to establish requirements, more stringent than those specifically authorized in statutory law. 24 25 (1) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES.--26 (a) For the Food Safety and Quality Program, the purpose of which is to ensure the safety, wholesomeness, 27 28 quality, and accurate labeling of food products through 29 inspections, laboratory analyses, consumer assistance, and 30 enforcement actions, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds 31 147

provided in Specific Appropriations 1285 through 1295 are as 1 2 follows: 3 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Number/percent of food and dairy establishments 4 5 which fail to meet food safety and sanitation 6 requirements......2,870/8.9% Number of food or dairy products removed from sale 7 b. 8 for failure to meet food safety requirements or 9 c. Number/percent of food products analyzed which fail 10 to meet standards......822/8.5% 11 d. Number/percent of milk and milk products analyzed 12 which fail to meet standards.....1,300/6.5% 13 14 e. Number/percent of produce or other food samples 15 analyzed which fail to meet pesticide residue 16 standards......52/2.3% f. Number/percent of food and dairy enforcement 17 actions which result in compliance or other resolution within 18 19 60 days, excluding Field Notices of Violation.....18,800/99% 20 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--21 a. Number of inspections of food establishments, dairy 22 establishments, and water vending machines......65,500 b. Number of enforcement actions taken, excluding 23 Field Notices of Violation...... 24 25 c. Number of food analyses/samples 26 analyzed......43,000/9,600 d. Number of milk and milk products analyses/samples 27 28 29 e. Number of pesticide residue analyses/samples 30 31 148 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1 f. Number of food-related consumer assistance 2 investigations or actions.....4,800 Tons of poultry and shell eggs graded.....430,000 3 g. (b) For the Consumer Protection Program, the purpose 4 5 of which is to protect Florida's consumers from deceptive and 6 unfair business and trade practices and from unsafe, harmful, 7 and inferior products and services, the outcome measures, 8 output measures, and associated performance standards with 9 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1296 through 1313B are as follows: 10 1. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTCOME 11 12 MEASURES.--13 a. Number/percent of LP Gas accidents due to equipment 14 failure or code violations at licensed LP Gas storage, 15 b. Number/percent of LP Gas facilities found in 16 17 compliance with safety requirements on first 18 19 c. Number of reportable accidents resulting from 20 amusement attraction mechanical or structural failure.....1 21 d. Number/percent of amusement attractions found in 22 full compliance with safety requirements on first 23 e. Number/percent of regulated weighing and measuring 24 25 devices, packages, and businesses with scanners in compliance 26 with accuracy standards during initial 27 f. Number/percent of petroleum products meeting 28 29 g. Number/percent of state and commercial weights and 30 volumetric standards found within specified 31 149

1	tolerances11,760/98%
2	2. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTPUT
3	MEASURES
4	a. Number of LP Gas facility inspections/reinspections
5	conducted
6	b. Number of LP Gas-related accidents
7	investigated
8	c. Number of amusement device safety/permit
9	inspections conducted
10	d. Number of weighing and measuring devices
11	inspected/tested
12	e. Number of complaints investigated/processed
13	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
14	Standards in the Consumer Protection Program
15	f. Number of LP Gas professional certification
16	examinations administered1,700
17	g. Number of laboratory analyses performed on
18	regulated petroleum products140,000
19	h. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
20	entities regulated by the Division of Standards in the
21	Consumer Protection Program41,706
22	i. Number of physical measurement standards tests or
23	calibrations conducted12,000
24	3. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Number/percent regulated entities found operating
26	in violation of the consumer protection laws3,262/9%
27	b. Number/percent of "no sales solicitation"
28	complaints from subscribers
29	c. Amount/percent of money recovered for consumers
30	from regulated motor vehicle repair shops\$85,000/35%
31	4. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
	150
	130

1	a. Number of assists provided to consumers, not
2	including lemon law1,003,195
3	b. Number of lemon law assists made to
4	consumers
5	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
6	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of Consumer
7	Services in the Consumer Protection Program
8	d. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
9	entities regulated by the Division of Consumer Services in the
10	Consumer Protection Program
11	e. Number of "no sales solicitation calls"
12	subscriptions processed180,000
13	5. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OUTCOME
14	MEASURES
15	a. Number/percent of licensed pest control applicators
16	inspected who misapply chemicals or otherwise violate
17	regulations
18	b. Number/percent of feed, seed, and fertilizer
19	inspected products in compliance with performance/quality
20	standards16,698/90.5%
21	c. Number/percent of licensed pesticide applicators
22	who do not apply chemicals properly132/24%
23	d. Number of reported human/equine disease cases
24	caused by mosquitoes
25	6. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OUTPUT
26	MEASURES
27	a. Number of pest control inspections
28	conducted1,818
29	b. Number of feed, seed, and fertilizer inspections
30	conducted12,500
31	
	151
	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
COD	THE MOLUS SUITCHEN ALE GETECTONS/ WOLUS <u>underlined</u> ale dualcions,

1	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
2	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
3	Agricultural Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection
4	Program excluding pesticide-related actions
5	d. Number of pest control professional certification
6	examinations administered1,605
7	e. Number of laboratory analyses performed on seed and
8	fertilizer samples
9	f. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
10	entities regulated by the Division of Agricultural
11	Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection Program
12	excluding pesticide-related actions
13	g. Number of pesticide-related complaints
14	investigated
15	h. Number of pesticide-related inspections
16	conducted
17	i. Number of pesticide-related enforcement actions
18	initiated/completed500
19	j. Number of wells monitored for pesticide or nitrate
20	residues
21	k. Number of pesticide product and residue analyses
22	performed in the pesticide laboratory
23	1. Number of persons in Florida served by effective
24	mosquito control programs14 million
25	(c) For the Agricultural Economic Development Program,
26	the purpose of which is to maintain and enhance Florida
27	agriculture in the national and international marketplace, the
28	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
29	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
30	Appropriations 1314 through 1355C are as follows:
31	
	152
റവ	ING.Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	1. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
2	REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Gate receipts value of agriculture and seafood
4	products sold by Florida's agricultural industry, in dollars
5	in calendar year\$7.25 billion
6	b. Total sales of agricultural and seafood products
7	generated by tenants of state farmers' markets\$194,189,444
8	c. Dollar value of federal commodities and recovered
9	food distributed\$52,142,213
10	2. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
11	REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of buyers reached with agricultural
13	promotion campaign messages
14	b. Number of marketing assists provided to producers
15	and businesses
16	c. Pounds of federal commodities and recovered food
17	distributed75,816,366
18	3. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURE
19	a. Dollar value of fruit and vegetables that are
20	shipped to other states or countries which are subject to
21	mandatory inspection\$1,443,648,000
22	4. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURE
23	a. Number of tons of fruits and vegetables
24	inspected13,781,717
25	5. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Number/percent of newly introduced pests and
27	diseases prevented from infesting Florida plants to a level
28	where eradication is biologically or economically
29	unfeasible100/93.5%
30	b. Number/percent of commercial citrus acres free of
31	<u>citrus canker832,581/98.5%</u>
	153
	100

1	c. Number/percent of acres of commercial citrus,
2	monitored by the department, at the request of the grower,
3	which are free of the Caribbean fruit fly186,000/988
4	d. Number/percent of exotic fruit fly (Mediterranean,
5	Oriental, Mexican, Queensland, West Indian) outbreaks where
б	eradication can occur without use of aerial
7	treatments
8	6. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Number of plant, fruit fly trap, and honeybee
10	inspections performed2,280,000
11	b. Number of commercial citrus acres surveyed for
12	citrus canker245,000
13	c. Number of exotic fruit fly traps serviced36,729
14	d. Millions of sterile med flies released3,400
15	e. Number of acres where plant pest and disease
16	eradication or control efforts were undertaken100,000
17	f. Number of shipments of plant products certified
18	pest-free for export25,000
19	g. Number of plant, soil, insect, and other organism
20	samples processed for identification or diagnosis650,000
21	7. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURE
22	a. Number/percent of livestock and poultry infected
23	with specific transmissible diseases for which monitoring,
24	controlling, and eradicating activities are
25	established472/0.00083%
26	8. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of animal site inspections
28	performed14,904
29	b. Number of animals
30	tested/vaccinated
31	c. Number of animal sites quarantined and
	154

1	monitored
2	d. Number of/unit cost per animal-related diagnostic
3	laboratory procedure(s) performed
4	e. Number of animals covered by health
5	certificates
6	f. Number of animal permits processed5,100
7	9. AGRICULTURE INSPECTION STATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural
9	inspection stations11,236,244
10	b. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural
11	inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated
12	commodities2,505,682
13	c. Percent of vehicles inspected at agricultural
14	inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated
15	commodities
16	d. Amount of revenue generated by bills of lading
17	transmitted to the Department of Revenue from agricultural
18	inspection stations\$12,658,800
19	e. Number of bills of lading transmitted to the
20	Department of Revenue from agricultural inspection
21	stations
22	10. AQUACULTURE OUTCOME MEASURE
23	a. Ratio of shellfish illness reported from Florida
24	shellfish products to the the number of meals
25	served0.331/100,000
26	11. AQUACULTURE OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Percent of shellfish and crab processing facilities
28	in significant compliance with permit and food safety
29	regulations
30	b. Number of reported cases of sickness/death from
31	shellfish consumption that can be directly traced to seafood
	155
a a-	

1	harvested from contaminated houses, or seafood dealers not in
2	compliance with state regulations
3	c. Percent of available harvestable waters
4	opened
5	(d) For the Forest and Resource Protection Program,
б	the purpose of which is to promote and use sound management
7	practices for forestry and other agricultural activities, the
8	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
9	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
10	Appropriations 1263 through 1279 are as follows:
11	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Number/percent of acres of protected forest and
13	wildlands not burned by wildfires24,924,300/99.3%
14	b. Number/percent of threatened structures not burned
15	by wildfires2,000/99.7%
16	c. Number/percent of wildfires caused
17	by humans
18	d. Number/percent of State Forest timber producing
19	acres adequately stocked and growing107,485/25.9%
20	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of wildfires detected and suppressed3,800
22	b. Average elapsed time (in minutes) between wildfire
23	ignition and detection55
24	c. Average elapsed time (in minutes) between wildfire
25	detection and arrival on scene
26	d. Number/percent of forest acres and other lands
27	managed by the department and purchased by the state with
28	approved management plans
29	e. Number of acres burned through prescribed
30	burning2.1 million
31	
	156
COD	I ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	f. Number of person-hours of firefighting training
2	provided
3	g. Number of forest-related technical assists provided
4	to nonindustrial private land owners
5	h. Number of open burning authorizations processed for
6	land clearing, agriculture, and silviculture
7	i. Number of fire prevention presentations
8	made1,350
9	j. Number of person-hours spent responding to
10	emergency incidents other than wildfires
11	(2) DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE
12	(a) For the State Financial Information and State
13	Agency Accounting Program, the purpose of which is to provide
14	for and promote financial accountability for public funds
15	throughout state government, provide the citizens of Florida
16	with timely, factual, and comprehensive information on the
17	financial status of the state and how state funds are
18	expended, and receive and investigate complaints of government
19	fraud, waste, and abuse, the outcome measures, output
20	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
21	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1886 through 1892
22	are as follows:
23	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Percent of program's customers who return an
25	overall customer service rating of good or excellent on
26	surveys
27	b. Percent of payment requests rejected during the
28	preaudit process for inconsistencies with legal and/or other
29	applicable requirements1%
30	c. Percent of vendor payments issues in less than the
31	Comptroller's statutory time limit of 10 days100%
	1 = 7
a = -	157

1	d. Accuracy rate of postaudited vendor
2	paymentsFY 2001-2002 LBR
3	e. Dollars recovered from erroneous payments compared
4	to total dollars of erroneous payment
5	
6	f. Percent of federal wage and information returns
7	prepared and filed where no penalties or interest were
8	paid
9	g. Percent of federal tax deposits where no penalties
10	or interest were paid100%
11	h. Percent of payroll payments made accurately based
12	on information submitted100%
13	i. Percent of those utilizing program provided
14	financial information who rate the overall relevancy,
15	usefulness, and timeliness of information as good or
16	excellent
17	j. Number of qualifications in the Independent
18	Auditor's Report on the State General Purpose Financial
19	Statements which are related to the presentation of the
20	financial statements0
21	k. Percent of vendor payments issued
22	electronically16%
23	1. Percent of payroll payments issued
24	electronically
25	m. Percent of retirement payments issued
26	electronically
27	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of vendor payment requests
29	preaudited1 million
30	b. Percent of vendor payment requests
31	preaudited
	158
000	Loo

1	c. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests
2	preaudited\$21 billion
3	d. Number of vendor payment requests
4	postauditedFY 2001-2002 LBR
5	e. Percent of vendor payment requests
6	postauditedFY 2001-2002 LBR
7	f. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests
8	postauditedFY 2001-2002 LBR
9	g. Number of vendor invoices paid4.2 million
10	h. Dollar amount of vendor invoices paid\$37 billion
11	i. Number of federal wage and information returns
12	prepared and filed
13	j. Number of federal tax deposits made
14	k. Number of IRS penalties paid
15	1. Dollar amount of IRS penalties paid0
16	m. Number of payroll payments issued5,639,780
17	n. Dollar amount of payroll payments
18	issued\$6,055,154,053
19	o. Number of payroll payments issued according to
20	published schedules5,639,780
21	p. Percent of payroll payments issued according to
22	published schedules100%
23	q. Number of instances during the year where as a
24	result of inadequate cash management under this program,
25	general revenue had a negative cash balance0
26	r. Percent of atypical balances corrected at
27	year end0
28	s. Average number of days from month's end to complete
29	reconciliations20
30	t. Number of payments issued
31	electronically
	159
<i></i>	

1 u. Dollar amount of payments issued
2 electronically\$22 billion
3 v. Hours of training/education conducted on accounting
4 issues
5 w. Hours of training/education conducted on payroll
6 <u>issues</u>
7 x. Number of fiscal integrity cases
8 <u>closed</u> FY 2001-2002 LBR
9 y. Percent of "get lean" hotline calls processed for
10 referral to the appropriate agency
11 z. Number of fiscal integrity cases closed that
12 resulted in administrative/civic/criminal
13 actionFY 2001-2002 LBR
(b) For the Financial Institutions Regulatory Program,
15 the purpose of which is to ensure the safety and soundness of
16 state financial institutions and to enhance the dual banking
17 system, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
18 performance standards with respect to funds provided in
19 Specific Appropriations 1904 through 1921 are as follows:
20 <u>1. OUTCOME MEASURES</u>
21 <u>a. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that</u>
22 exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
23 Florida on return on assets
24 b. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that
25 exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
26 <u>Florida on return on equity51%</u>
27 <u>c. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that</u>
28 exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
29 <u>Florida on capital to asset ratio51%</u>
30
31
160
CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	d. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that
2	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
3	Florida on Tier 1 capital
4	e. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions
5	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
6	chartered in Florida on return on assets
7	f. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions
8	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
9	chartered in Florida on return on equity
10	g. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions
11	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
12	chartered in Florida on capital to asset ratio
13	h. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions
14	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
15	chartered in Florida on Tier 1 capital
16	i. Percent of applications for new Florida financial
17	institutions that seek state charters
18	j. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
19	state-chartered banks compared to assessments that would be
20	paid if the bank was nationally or federally
21	chartered\$15,300
22	k. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
23	state-chartered credit unions compared to assessments that
24	would be paid if the credit unions were nationally or
25	federally chartered\$350
26	1. Percent of banks receiving an examination report
27	within 45 days after the conclusion of their onsite state
28	examination
29	m. Percent of credit unions receiving an examination
30	report within 30 days after the conclusion of their onsite
31	state examination75%
	161

1	n. Percent of international financial institutions
2	receiving an examination report within 45 days after the
3	conclusion of their onsite state examination
4	o. Percent of trust companies receiving an examination
5	report within 60 days after the conclusion of their onsite
б	state examination75%
7	p. Percent of de novo applications statutorily
8	complete that are processed within a standard number of 90
9	days
10	q. Percent of branch applications statutorily complete
11	that are processed within 50 days
12	r. Percent of expedited branch applications that are
13	processed within 10 days100%
14	s. Percent of merger/acquisition applications
15	statutorily complete that are processed within 60 days67%
16	t. Percent of financial institutions under enforcement
17	action that are substantially in compliance with conditions
18	imposed
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Median Florida state-chartered bank return on
21	assets
22	b. Median Florida state-chartered bank return on
23	equity11.01%
24	c. Median Florida state-chartered bank capital to
25	asset ratio9.15%
26	d. Median Florida state-chartered bank Tier 1
27	capital
28	e. Median Florida state-chartered credit union return
29	on assets
30	f. Median Florida state-chartered credit union return
31	on equity
	162
	TOZ

1	g. Median Florida state-chartered credit union capital
2	to asset ratio12.94%
3	h. Median Florida state-chartered credit union Tier 1
4	capital
5	i. Number of new Florida state-chartered banks
б	opened
7	j. Amount of annual assessments paid by
8	banks\$6,756,100
9	k. Amount of annual assessments paid by
10	credit unions\$1,237,200
11	1. Number of banks examined by the Division of Banking
12	receiving an examination report within 45 days45
13	m. Number of credit unions examined by the Division of
14	Banking receiving an examination report within 30 days57
15	n. Number of international financial institutions
16	examined by the Division of Banking receiving an examination
17	report within 45 days16
18	o. Number of trust companies examined by the Division
19	of Banking receiving an examination report within 60 days8
20	p. Number of statutorily complete new de novo
21	applications received that are processed within 90 days5
22	q. Number of statutorily complete branch applications
23	received that are processed within 50 days
24	r. Number of statutorily complete expedited branch
25	applications received that are processed within 10 days45
26	s. Number of statutorily complete merger/acquisition
27	applications received that are processed within 60 days17
28	t. Number of institutions under enforcement
29	actions
30	u. Percent/number of financial institutions examined
31	within statutory timeframes by type of
	162
a = -	163

1	institutionFY 2001-2002 LBR
2	v. Percent/number of surveys returned that rate the
3	Division's examination program as satisfactory or
4	above
5	w. Percent/number of state examinations where total
6	examination time was reduced by a standard percent compared to
7	the hours required during the base
8	examinationFY 2001-2002 LBR
9	x. Percent/number of state examinations where onsite
10	hours were reduced by a standard percent compared to the
11	onsite hours required during the base
12	examinationFY 2001-2002 LBR
13	(c) For the Unclaimed Property Program, the purpose of
14	which is to increase efforts in finding, locating, collecting
15	in a manner to allow for better identification of owners, and
16	returning unclaimed property to the owners, the outcome
17	measures, output measures, and associated performance
18	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
19	Appropriations 1881 through 1885 are as follows:
20	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
21	a. Percent increase in the total number of holders
22	reporting
23	b. Percent of previously filing holders who submit
24	problem reports
25	c. Percent of the total number of claims paid to the
26	owner compared to the total number of returnable accounts
27	reported/received
28	d. Percent of the total dollar amount of claims paid
29	to the owner compared to the total dollars in returnable
30	accounts reported/received80%
31	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
	164

1	a. Number of holders reports processed16,000
2	b. Number of seminars conducted3
3	c. Number of in-state exams of holders who have not
4	previously filed a holder report
5	d. Number of out-of-state exams of holders who have
6	not previously filed a holder report
7	e. Number of in-state exams conducted
8	f. Dollar value collected as a result of in-state
9	exams
10	g. Number of out-of-state exams processed450
11	h. Dollar value collected as a result of out-of-state
12	exams\$15 million
13	i. Number/dollar value of owner accounts
14	processed
15	j. Total cost of the program to the number of holder
16	reports/owner accounts processed\$30
17	k. Number/dollar value of claims paid to
18	owners
19	1. Number of owner accounts advertised100,000
20	m. Number of claims processed
21	n. Percent of claims approved/denied within 30/60/90
22	days from the date receivedFY 2001-2002 LBR
23	o. Percent of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from
24	date received15%/35%/10%
25	p. Percent of customer telephone calls answered within
26	20 secondsFY 2001-2002 LBR
27	(d) For the Consumer Financial Protection and Industry
28	Authorization Program, the purpose of which is to protect
29	consumers of the securities and finance industries and the
30	public from illegal financial activities, and provide
31	consumers and the public with authoritative and expedient
	165
	C01

information, the outcome measures, output measures, and 1 2 associated performance standards with respect to funds 3 provided in Specific Appropriations 1922 through 1926 are as 4 follows: 5 1. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTCOME MEASURES. --6 a. Percent of licensees examined where department 7 action is taken against the licensee for violations: 8 (I) For-cause violations based on risk assessment profile or on internal/external information which indicates a 9 10 (II) Routine proactive exam conducted on randomly 11 12 selected entities or entities on an examination cycle...16.88% 13 b. Percent of investigations of licensed and 14 unlicensed entities referred to department legal staff and to other agencies that resulted in criminal/civil/administrative 15 16 c. Dollars returned (voluntarily or through 17 18 court-ordered restitution) to victims compared to total 19 dollars of verified loss as a result of investigative efforts 20 of licensed and unlicensed entities.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 21 d. Percent of written complaints processed within 22 applicable standards......85% e. Percent of telephone complaints resolved without 23 written documentation from the consumer.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 24 25 f. Percent of written complaints regarding licensed 26 and unlicensed entities referred for examination, investigation, or legal/criminal action resulting in 27 28 formal/informal sanctions within/outside 29 2. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTPUT MEASURES. --30 31 166 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	a. Number of for-cause examinations completed with
2	action taken (formal or informal)
3	b. Number of routine examinations completed with
4	action taken (formal or informal)
5	c. Percent of total licensees examined to determine
6	compliance with applicable regulations
7	d. Number of investigations closed450
8	e. Number of background investigations completed800
9	f. Amount of court-ordered restitution to victims of
10	licensed/unlicensed entitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR
11	g. Amount of voluntary reimbursement received from
12	licensed/unlicensed entitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR
13	h. Amount returned to victims of licensed/unlicensed
14	entities
15	i. Amount of verified loss to victims of
16	licensed/unlicensed entitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR
17	j. Average number of days for initial written
18	responses to consumers7
19	k. Average number of days to resolve, refer, or close
20	a written complaint68
21	1. Number of complaints resolved, referred, or closed
22	during the year4,350
23	m. Percent of complaints remaining open beyond 90 days
24	and less than 120 days10%
25	n. Percent of complaints remaining open beyond 120
26	days15%
27	o. Number of hotline/complaint line calls processed as
28	complaintsFY 2001-2002 LBR
29	p. Number of written complaints where the department
30	identified statutory violations150
31	
	167
	ING.Words stricton are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	q. Number of complaints referred for examination,
2	investigation, or legal/criminal action (licensed and
3	unlicensed entities)
4	r. Number of public/consumer awareness activities
5	conducted utilizing all types of mediaFY 2001-2002 LBR
6	s. Number of participants at personal, direct,
7	face-to-face public/consumer awareness
8	activitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR
9	t. Number of public/consumer awareness activities
10	conducted with personal, direct, face-to-face contact with
11	consumersFY 2001-2002 LBR
12	u. Total number of hours spent conducting
13	public/consumer awareness activitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR
14	3. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percent of licensees sanctioned for
16	violations0.9%
17	b. Percent of total applicants not licensed to conduct
18	business in the state because they fail to meet substantive
19	licensing requirements4.3%
20	c. Percent of applicants not granted registration in
21	the securities industry in Florida who subsequently are the
22	subject of regulatory action60%
23	4. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of final actions taken against
25	licensees
26	b. Number of applications denied or withdrawn3,546
27	c. Number of applications licensed67,398
28	d. Number of applications processed
29	e. Amount of securities registration applications
30	denied or withdrawn\$4.2 billion
31	f. Number of applicants licensed with
	168
	100

1	restrictions
2	g. Number of applications denied or withdrawn with
3	additional disciplinary information reported on the Central
4	Registration Depository
5	h. Number/percent of filing or requests processed
б	within a designated standard number of days by
7	type
8	(3) DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL
9	REGULATION
10	(a) For the Professional Regulation Program, the
11	purpose of which is to license nonmedical professions within
12	the state and the individual practice acts that govern each of
13	the professions; serve as a liaison between the public and
14	professional boards, as well as between the licensees and
15	their respective boards; process applications and monitor
16	continuing education, renewal, and reactivation requirements;
17	approve educational courses; develop, prepare, administer, and
18	score to ensure validity and reliability of exams; and receive
19	and investigate complaints and prosecute violators, the
20	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
21	standards with respect to funds in Specific Appropriations
22	<u>1958 through 1978 are as follows:</u>
23	1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE
24	a. Percent of applications processed within
25	<u>90 days100%</u>
26	(b) For the Pari-mutuel Wagering Program, the purpose
27	of which is to license and regulate the state's pari-mutuel
28	industries, including cardrooms, and to collect all
29	pari-mutuel taxes and fees in a timely manner, the outcome
30	measures, output measures, and associated performance
31	
	169
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

standards with respect to funds in Specific Appropriations 1 2 1979 through 2001 are as follows: 3 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE. --4 a. Percent of races and games that result in statutory 5 or rule infractions.....0.85% 6 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE. --7 3. AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT OUTPUT MEASURE. --8 9 (c) For the Hotels and Restaurants Program, the 10 purpose of which is to license and regulate public lodging and 11 12 food service establishments, elevators, escalators, and other vertical conveyance devices, the outcome measures, output 13 14 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 15 funds in Specific Appropriations 2002 through 2013 are as 16 follows: 17 1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE. --18 a. Percent of hotel and restaurant licenses and 19 elevator certificates of operation processed within 20 21 (d) For the Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco Program, the purpose of which is to supervise the conduct, management, 22 and operation of the manufacturing, packaging, distribution, 23 and sale of all alcoholic beverages; to enforce the provisions 24 25 of the beverage and tobacco laws, as well as the rules and 26 regulations adopted by the program; and to collect and distribute all taxes, surcharges, and licensing fees from 27 28 alcohol and tobacco sources, the outcome measures, output 29 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to 30 funds in Specific Appropriations 2014 through 2033 are as 31 follows: 170

1	1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percent of complaints/cases settled by warning
3	notice or stipulation
4	b. Percent of alcoholic beverages and tobacco
5	retailers tested found to be in compliance with underage
6	persons' access90.3%
7	c. Percent of underage alcoholic beverages and tobacco
8	cases involving repeat retail offenders6.9%
9	2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of administrative cases settled by
11	stipulation
12	b. Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco retailers
13	randomly tested for underage persons' access6,887
14	c. Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco retailers
15	tested because of a complaint for underage persons'
16	access
17	d. Number of underage alcoholic beverages and tobacco
18	arrests
19	e. Number underage alcohol/tobacco administrative
20	cases
21	f. Number of underage alcohol/tobacco administrative
22	cases involving repeat retail offenders
23	(e) For the Florida Land Sales, Condominiums, and
24	Mobile Homes Program, the purpose of which is to regulate the
25	sale of subdivided lands in the state and out-of-state
26	subdivided lands offered for sale in the state; residential
27	condominiums and cooperatives; real estate timesharing; mobile
28	home parks; and yacht and ship brokers and salesmen, the
29	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds in Specific Appropriations
31	2034 through 2045 are as follows:
	171

1	1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURE
2	a. Percent of licenses issued and filings received as
3	prescribed by law
4	(4) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
5	(a) For the State Lands Program, the purpose of which
б	is to acquire, administer, and dispose of state lands, the
7	title of which is vested in the Board of Trustees of the
8	Internal Improvement Trust Fund; administer, manage, and
9	maintain the records of all lands held by the Board of
10	Trustees; administer and maintain the geodetic survey
11	requirements for the State of Florida; identify and set
12	ordinary and mean high water boundaries for purposes of
13	sovereignty and land title; and control aquatic and invasive
14	plant species, the outcome measures, output measures, and
15	associated performance standards with respect to funds
16	provided in Specific Appropriations 1475 through 1505 are as
17	follows:
18	1. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
19	a. Percent increase in the number of occurrences of
20	endangered/threatened/special concern species on publicly
21	<pre>managed conservation areas</pre>
22	2. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of acres of underrepresented natural
24	communities
25	b. Percent of acres acquired by the P2000 Program that
26	have a critical habitat within the acquired tract
27	c. Number of acres of land acquired by the P2000
28	Program that had its highest resource values based on FNAI
29	elements1,097,334
30	d. Number/percent completion of projects on the CARL
31	list95/10%
	172

1	e. Percent of parcels at less than appraised value -
2	\$100,000 or less6%
3	f. Percent of parcels at less than appraised value -
4	greater than \$100,00063%
5	g. Percent of appraised value to purchase price -
6	\$100,000 or less
7	h. Percent of appraised value to purchase price -
8	greater than \$100,000
9	i. Number of appraisals certified
10	j. Number of surveys/maps certified for environmental
11	land acquisition
12	k. Number of surveys/maps certified for
13	nonenvironmental land acquisition
14	1. Percent of parcels acquired within the "standard
15	time limit" - \$100,000 or less51%
16	m. Percent of parcels acquired within the "standard
17	time limit" - greater than \$100,000
18	3. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Number of parcels evaluated and disposed of that
20	have been determined to have no further public use80
21	b. Percent of easements, leases, and other requests
22	completed by maximum timeframes prescribed
23	c. Percent of all leases of sovereign submerged lands
24	in compliance with lease conditions
25	d. Percent of all land management plans completed
26	within statutory timeframes60%
27	4. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Percent of submerged land leases found in
29	compliance annually92%
30	b. Ratio of parcels of lands surplused to parcels of
31	land evaluated for possible surplus1:2
	173
a a-	

1 c. Number of parcels mapped......237,265 2 d. Number of submerged land leases audited 3 4 5. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES.--5 a. Number of new acres of public land that have 6 invasive, exotic, upland plants controlled and have existing 7 management personnel committed to maintaining these plants 8 b. Percent of Florida's public waters where control of 9 hydrilla, water hyacinth, and water lettuce has been achieved 10 11 6. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURE. --12 13 a. Percent of public lakes and rivers that contain 14 invasive, nonnative aquatic plants and are under maintenance 15 16 (b) For the Water Resources Management Program, the 17 purpose of which is to regulate, manage, conserve, and protect the state's drinking water, surface and groundwater resources, 18 19 wetlands, beaches, and lands reclaimed after mining 20 activities, the outcome measures, output measures, and 21 associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1568 through 1596 are as 22 23 follows: 24 1. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTCOME 25 MEASURES.-a. Percent of rivers that meet designated 26 27 28 b. Percent of lakes that meet designated uses.....87% 29 c. Percent of estuaries that meet designated 30 d. Percent of groundwater that meets designated 31 174

1	uses
2	e. Percent of reclaimed water (reuse) capacity
3	relative to total domestic wastewater capacity45%
4	f. Percent of public water systems with no significant
5	(public health-based) drinking water quality problems90%
6	2. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTPUT
7	MEASURES
8	a. Number of wastewater inspections, site visits,
9	technical assistance contacts, and other compliance
10	activities
11	b. Number of wastewater permits and other
12	authorizations processed5,250
13	c. Number of water quality stations monitored in the
14	statewide monitoring networks1,160
15	d. Number of drinking water inspections, site visits,
16	technical assistance contacts, and other compliance
17	activities
18	3. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
19	PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE
20	a. Linear miles of beaches which provide upland
21	protection, wildlife habitat, or recreation restored or
22	maintained according to statutory and rule requirements825
23	4. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
24	PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Beach renourishment and dune restoration funds
26	awarded\$30 million
27	b. Number of beach renourishment and dune restoration
28	projects funded19
29	c. Number of other compliance activities3,000
30	d. Number of coastal construction permits, including
31	field permits, processed1,650
	175

1 e. Miles of shoreline surveyed and monitored.....752 2 5. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE.--3 a. Percent of mined lands qualifying for reclamation which have been reclaimed according to statutory and rule 4 5 6 6. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES. --7 a. Funds awarded annually for mine reclamation 8 projects......\$10 million 9 b. Number of mining permits processed/number of 10 inspections......15/550 c. Number of applications/acreage processed for mine 11 12 13 7. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTCOME 14 MEASURE.--15 a. Percent of wastewater, drinking water, and 16 stormwater projects on State Revolving Fund loan priority lists and the construction grant priority list that are funded 17 18 19 8. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTPUT 20 MEASURES.--21 a. Loan grant funds awarded.....\$95 million b. Number of local governments, including 22 23 systems/utilities funded.....25 24 (c) For the Waste Management Program, the purpose of 25 which is to protect the public and the environment through 26 promotion of sound waste management practices, the outcome 27 measures, output measures, and associated performance 28 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 29 Appropriations 1597 through 1633C are as follows: 30 1. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE 31 REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES. --176

1	a. Percent of regulated petroleum storage tank
2	facilities in compliance with state regulations
3	b. Percent/number of contaminated petroleum sites with
4	rehabilitation underway9%/1,544
5	c. Percent/number of contaminated petroleum sites with
б	rehabilitation completed0.3%/57
7	2. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
8	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Percent of reimbursement claims processed100%
10	b. Number/percent of petroleum sites eligible for
11	state financial assistance
12	3. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Number/percent of contaminated drycleaning sites
14	with rehabilitation underway
15	b. Number/percent of contaminated drycleaning sites
16	with rehabilitation completed0/0%
17	4. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
18	a. Number of drycleaning site cleanup applications
19	eligible for state financial assistance
20	5. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
21	REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Percent of all hazardous waste generators in
23	significant compliance with state and federal
24	regulations
25	b. Percent of permitted transfer, storage, and
26	disposal facilities in significant compliance with state and
27	federal regulations95%
28	c. Number of facilities or sources of pollution that
29	modified their industrial processes to reduce generation of
30	pollutants as a result of department activities10
31	
	177
COD	TNG:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions

1 d. Percent/number of contaminated sites (federal
2 superfund sites) with rehabilitation underway100%/49
3 e. Percent/number of contaminated sites (federal
4 superfund sites) with rehabilitation completed
5 f. Percent/number of contaminated sites (known state
6 program sites) with rehabilitation underway
7 g. Percent/number of contaminated sites (known state
8 program sites) with rehabilitation completed
9 6. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
10 REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
11 a. Number of tons of hazardous waste generated in
12 <u>Florida185,221</u>
13 7. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME
14 MEASURES
15 <u>a. Percent of permitted solid waste facilities in</u>
16 <u>compliance with state requirements96%</u>
17 b. Percent of municipal solid waste recycled
18 <u>statewide40%</u>
19 <u>c.</u> Number of tons/percent of municipal solid waste
20 <u>collected that is recycled9,423,784/40%</u>
21 <u>d.</u> Number of tons/percent of municipal solid waste
22 <u>burned annually4,096,035/17%</u>
23 <u>e. Number of tons/percent of municipal solid waste</u>
24 <u>disposed in landfills10,266,086/43%</u>
25 <u>8. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT</u>
26 <u>MEASURES</u>
27 <u>a. Number of solid waste permits and registrations</u>
28 processed
29 <u>b. Number and dollar amount of solid waste management</u>
30 and recycling grants issued252/\$23 million
31
178
CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	c. Number of waste-to-energy facilities located in	
2	Florida	
3	(d) For the Recreation and Parks Program, the purpose	
4	of which is to anticipate and meet the outdoor recreation	
5	demands of Florida's residents and visitors and to ensure that	
6	an adequate natural resource base is maintained to accommodate	
7	future demands and preserve a quality environment, the outcome	
, 8	measures, output measures, and associated performance	
9	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific	
10	Appropriations 1634 through 1666D are as follows:	
11	1. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES	
12	a. Increase in attendance at state parks over prior	
13	year	
14	b. Increase in acreage available for public recreation	
15	over prior year	
16	2. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES	
17	a. Number of park sites managed	
18	b. Number of development and improvement projects at	
19	existing state parks24	
20	c. Number of cultural/historical sites restored or	
21	maintained compared to need1	
22	d. Number of acres managed for secondary or multiple	
23	use	
24	e. Acres of native habitat successfully maintained as	
25	natural areas in state parks	
26	f. Percent of management plans completed in compliance	
27	with Florida Statutes100%	
28	g. Percent of lands acquired by P2000 that meet at	
29	least 3 criteria of the program100%	
30		
31		
	179	
CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.		

1	h. Number of parks/acres/trail miles supported by
1 2	general administration, maintenance/minor repairs, protection,
3	and all variations of visitor service
4	activities
5	i. Number of private/public partnerships utilized to
6	assist operations of state parks
7	
8	j. Number of state park additions/inholding land acquisitions10
9	k. Number of recreational and natural/cultural
10	additions and inholding acquisitions for existing parks by
11	type as related to available funding1
12	3. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTCOME MEASURE
13	a. Number of additional acres designated as part of
14	the Florida Greenways and Trails System
15	4. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of acres of state greenways and trails
17	managed
18	b. Number of miles of recreational facilities built,
19	repaired, or restored4
20	c. Number of trailheads developed to provide public
21	access points on greenways and trails
22	5. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
23	OUTCOME MEASURE
24	a. Increase in technical assistance and grant-related
25	services to local governments over prior year
26	6. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS OUTPUT
27	MEASURE
28	a. Number of technical assistance consultations,
29	meetings, calls, and publications
30	7. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTCOME
31	MEASURE
<u> </u>	
	180
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
1	a. Percent increase in degraded acreage in state
------	---
2	buffer enhanced or restored6.2%
3	8. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of acres managed4,888,406
б	b. Number of acres where invasive or undesirable plant
7	species have been controlled2,255
8	(e) For the Air Resources Management Program, the
9	purpose of which is to maintain and improve the state's air
10	quality through air pollution mitigation and prevention, the
11	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
12	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
13	Appropriations 1667 through 1685 are as follows:
14	1. AIR QUALITY OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percent of population living in areas monitored for
16	air quality
17	b. Annual average percent of time monitored population
18	breathes good or moderate quality air
19	c. Percent of the population which breathes air that
20	violates the standard for ozone as determined by the data
21	generated by the state air quality monitoring network1%
22	2. AIR QUALITY OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of monitors operated by the department and
24	local programs240
25	b. Number of quality assurance audits conducted to
26	ensure accurate and reliable ambient air quality data450
27	3. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTCOME
28	MEASURES
29	a. Annual 0.5% reduction of NOX air emissions per
30	<u>capita128.72</u>
31	
	181
רייט	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
COD	methodas serieken are deretions, words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1 b. Annual 0.5% reduction of SO2 air emissions per
2 capita
3 c. Annual 0.5% reduction of CO air emissions per
4 capita
5 d. Annual 0.5% reduction of VOC air emissions per
6 capita
7 4. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTPUT
8 MEASURES
9 a. Number of Title V permits processed
10 b. Number of Title V modifications processed50
11 c. Number of non-Title V permits processed700
12 d. Number of non-Title V modifications processed125
13 <u>e. Number of Title V facilities inspected750</u>
14 f. Number of asbestos projects reviewed and
15 <u>evaluated2,000</u>
16 (f) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
17 which is to protect the people, the environment, and the
18 natural resources through law enforcement, education, and
19 public service, the outcome measures, output measures, and
20 associated performance standards with respect to funds
21 provided in Specific Appropriations 1686 through 1715 are as
22 <u>follows:</u>
23 <u>1. OUTCOME MEASURE</u>
24 <u>a. Percent decrease (in gallons) of pollutant</u>
25 <u>discharge per capita5%</u>
26 <u>2. OUTPUT MEASURES</u>
27 <u>a. Number of criminal investigations</u>
28 <u>opened/closed337/227</u>
29 <u>b.</u> Number of incidents reported2,700
30 <u>c. Number of petroleum spills responded to757</u>
31
182
CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1	d. Number of arrests for speed zone violations or
2	manatee molestation1,631
3	e. Funds spent/recovered on spill
4	remediation\$928,153/\$86,638
5	f. Number of sites/spills remediated533
6	(5) FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION
7	(a) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
8	which is to provide patrol and protection activities to
9	safeguard the opportunities for boating, camping, fishing,
10	hunting, wildlife viewing, and other natural-resource-related
11	activities in a safe and healthy environment, the outcome
12	measures, output measures, and associated performance
13	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
14	Appropriations 1750 through 1765 are as follows:
15	1. UNIFORM PATROL OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Total number of violations
17	b. Total number of hours spent in preventive patrol
18	(land, water, and air)616,566
19	2. INVESTIGATIONS OUTPUT MEASURE
20	a. Number of hours spent on
21	investigationsFY 2001-2002 LBR
22	3. INSPECTION OUTPUT MEASURE
23	a. Number of inspections
24	4. AVIATION OUTPUT MEASURE
25	a. Number of air contacts resulting in detection and
26	apprehension (includes inland and marine)
27	5. BOATING SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of vessel safety inspections154,408
29	b. Number of accidents investigated
30	c. Number of fatalities investigated
31	d. Number of injuries investigated
	183
a = -	

1	e. Number of vessel safety inspection hours on St.
2	Johns River
3	6. OUTDOOR EDUCATION AND INFORMATION OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of graduates of hunter education
6	classes
7	b. Number of hunting accidents
8	c. Number of attendees or graduates of hunter
9	education classes involved in hunting accidents
10	(b) For the Wildlife Management Program, the purpose
11	of which is to maintain and enhance Florida's diverse wildlife
12	and to provide for responsible use of this resource, the
13	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
14	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
15	Appropriations 1766 through 1781D are as follows:
16	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Percent change in the number of licensed
18	hunters1.7%
19	b. Economic impact of wildlife-related outdoor
20	recreation\$3,675,935,000
21	c. Percent of satisfied wildlife viewers
22	d. Percent of the acreage under management control
23	which is open to the public for wildlife-related outdoor
24	recreation
25	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of licensed hunters
27	b. Number of wildlife viewers
28	3. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTCOME MEASURE
29	a. Percent of wildlife species whose biological status
30	is stable or improving69%
31	4. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTPUT MEASURES
	104
	184

1	a. Number of acres managed for wildlife4,700,000
2	b. Number of requests for technical assistance
3	received and provided
4	c. Number of survey and monitoring projects for game,
5	nongame, and listed species
6	(c) For the Fisheries Management Program, the purpose
7	of which is to maintain, enhance, and provide for responsible
8	use of Florida's freshwater fisheries, the outcome measures,
9	output measures, and associated performance standards with
10	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1782
11	through 1789 are as follows:
12	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percent change in licensed anglers+5%
14	b. Percent angler satisfaction
15	c. Number of water bodies and acres where habitat
16	rehabilitation projects have been completed21/177,064
17	d. Percent change in degraded lakes
18	rehabilitated+5.7%
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of water bodies and acres in fish management
21	areas, urban areas, and other lakes or rivers managed to
22	improve fishing169/800,050
23	b. Number of access points established or
24	maintained60
25	c. Number of licensed anglers1,745,967
26	d. Number of fish stocked2,385,000
27	e. Number of outreach participants in clinics and
28	derbies
29	3. MARINE FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Artificial reefs monitored and/or created
31	annually
	185
007	l TNC: Manda stuisher and deletions, words underlined and additions.

1	b. Percent of fisheries stocks that are increasing or
2	stable
3	4. FLORIDA MARINE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OUTCOME
4	MEASURE
5	a. Percent of research projects that provide
6	management recommendations or support management
7	actions
8	(6) DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
9	(a) For the Fire Marshal Program, the purpose of which
10	is to enhance public safety through investigation and forensic
11	services, increasing the solvability of criminal cases, by
12	ensuring that emergency responders and service providers are
13	qualified, competent, and ethical through quality training,
14	education, and establishing professional standards; and
15	maintaining the safest possible environment through the
16	regulation, product testing, and inspection of fire
17	suppression and protection equipment, explosives, and
18	fireworks, the outcome measures, output measures, and
19	associated performance standards with respect to funds
20	provided in Specific Appropriations 2205 through 2217L are as
21	follows:
22	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Number/percent of closed fire investigations
24	successfully concluded, including by cause determined, suspect
25	identified and/or arrested, or other reasons5,305/85%
26	b. Number/percent of closed arson investigations for
27	which an arrest was made
28	c. Number/percent of inspected state owned and leased
29	properties that experience a fire
30	d. Number/percent of licensed entities found in
31	violation of statutes
	186
	TOO

1	e. Number of unlicensed entities found in violation of
2	statutes
3	f. Number/percent of students who rate training they
4	received at the Florida State Fire College as improving their
5	ability to perform assigned duties
6	g. Percent of above satisfactory ratings by
7	supervisors of students job performance from post-class
8	evaluations of skills gained through training at the Florida
9	State Fire College85%
10	h. Number/percent of favorable rulings by hearing
11	officers on challenges to examination results and eligibility
12	determinations12/92%
13	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Total number of fire investigations
15	commenced
16	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced3,594
17	c. Number of accidental investigations
18	commenced
19	d. Number of other investigations commenced1,892
20	e. Total number of fire investigations closed6,242
21	f. Total number of fire code compliance inspections in
22	state owned/leased buildings14,611
23	g. Number of recurring inspections completed of fire
24	code compliance in state owned/leased buildings7,200
25	h. Number of high hazard inspections completed of fire
26	code compliance in state owned/leased buildings6,536
27	i. Number of construction inspections completed of
28	fire code compliance in state owned/leased buildings875
29	j. Percent of fire code inspections completed within
30	statutorily defined timeframe91%
31	
	187
COD	ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1	k. Number of plans reviewed to assure compliance with
2	fire codes in state owned/leased buildings1,157
3	1. Percent of fire code plans reviews completed within
4	statutorily defined timeframe
5	m. Total number of boilers inspected12,500
6	n. Number of boilers inspected by department
7	inspectors
8	o. Number of boilers inspected by other
9	inspectors
10	p. Number of complaint investigations
11	completed1,497
12	q. Number of regulatory inspections completed850
13	r. Number of licensed applications reviewed for
14	qualification
15	s. Number of classes conducted by the Florida State
16	Fire College
17	t. Number of students trained and classroom contact
18	hours provided by the Florida State Fire
19	College6,212/215,677
20	u. Number of curricula developed for Florida State
21	Fire College and certified training center delivery5
22	v. Percent of satisfactory student evaluations of
23	Florida State Fire College facilities and services80%
24	w. Number/percent of customer requests for
25	certification testing completed within defined
26	timeframes3,500/90%
27	x. Number/percent of certified training centers
28	inspected that meet certification requirements15/95%
29	y. Number of examinations administered4,400
30	(b) For the State Property and Casualty Claims
31	Program, the purpose of which is to ensure that participating
	188

state agencies are provided quality workers' compensation, 1 liability, federal civil rights, auto liability, and property 2 3 insurance coverage at reasonable rates by provided 4 self-insurance, purchase of insurance, claims handling, and 5 technical assistance in managing risk, the outcome measures, 6 output measures, and associated performance standards with 7 respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2220 8 through 2224 are as follows: 9 1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME 10 MEASURES.-a. Number/percent of indemnity and medical payments 11 12 made in a timely manner in compliance with DLES Security Rule 13 14 b. State Employees' Workers Compensation Benefit Cost 15 Rate, as defined by indemnity and medical costs per \$100 of state employees' payroll.....\$1.17 16 17 2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT 18 MEASURES.--19 a. Number of workers' compensation claims 20 21 b. Number of workers' compensation claims 22 23 c. Number of workers' compensation claims referred to the Special Investigative Unit or the Bureau of Workers' 24 25 Compensation Fraud......80 3. RISK SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES.--26 27 a. Number/percent of workers' compensation claims 28 requiring some payment per 100 full-time equivalent 29 30 31 189

1	b. Number/percent of agencies who indicated the risk
2	services training they received was useful in developing and
3	implementing risk management plans in their
4	agencies
5	c. Average cost of tort liability claims paid\$3,419
6	d. Average cost of Federal Civil Rights liability
7	claims paid\$29,067
8	e. Average cost of workers' compensation
9	claims paid\$3,700
10	f. Average cost of property claims paid\$7,547
11	4. RISK SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of risk services training units provided to
13	state agency personnel70
14	b. Number of risk services surveys, follow-ups, and
15	<u>visits</u> made
16	c. Number of risk services consultative contacts
17	made
18	5. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Number/percent of claims closed in relation to
20	claims closed during the fiscal year4,480/51%
21	b. Number/percent of lawsuits, generated from a
22	liability claim, evaluated with SEFES codes entered within
23	prescribed timeframes745/92%
24	6. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURE
25	a. Number of liability claims worked8,287
26	7. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Number/percent of trainees who indicated the
28	training they received was useful in performing required
29	property program processes
30	
31	
	190
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

1 b. Number/percent of property claims closed within 2 prescribed time periods from the date complete documentation 3 4 8. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURES. --5 a. Number of training units/assists provided by the 6 7 b. Number of state property loss/damage claims 8 9 (7) DEPARTMENT OF THE LOTTERY.--10 (a) For the Sale of Lottery Products Program, the purpose of which is to maximize revenues for public education 11 12 in a manner consistent with the dignity of the state and the welfare of its citizens, the outcome measures, output 13 14 measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2353 through 2366 15 16 are as follows: 17 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--Total revenue in dollars.....\$2,287.3 million 18 a. 19 b. Percent change from prior year.....+0.56% 20 c. Transfers to the state Educational Enhancement 21 Trust Fund.....\$887.7 million Percent of total revenue to the Educational 22 d. 23 24 2. OUTPUT MEASURES. --25 Percent of total revenue paid as prizes.....49.64% a. 26 Administrative expense paid for retailer b. 27 commission.....\$124.9 million 28 c. Operating expense.....\$264.1 million 29 d. Operating expense as percent of total revenue...12% 30 e. Survey results of public awareness of the contribution to education by the Lottery - percent of 31 191

1	respondents who are aware of the Lottery's contribution to
2	education
3	(8) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES
4	(a) For the Facilities Program, the purpose of which
5	is to provide best value office facilities considering the
6	total cost of constructing, managing, and maintaining office
7	facilities, and compared to comparable industry standards, the
8	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
9	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
10	Appropriations 2385 through 2401 are as follows:
11	1. FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Gross square foot construction cost of office
13	facilities for DMS\$78.04
14	b. Gross square foot construction cost of office
15	facilities for private industry average\$91.73
16	<u>c. Average full service rent - composite cost per net</u>
17	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
18	DMS actual\$15.31
19	d. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
20	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
21	private industry\$16.95
22	e. New office space efficiency per net square
23	foot/gross square foot87%
24	f. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
25	square foot maintained by DMS\$4.87
26	g. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
27	square foot maintained by private industry\$6.55
28	h. Number of criminal incidents per 100,000 gross
29	square feet
30	i. Number of criminal incidents per 1,000
31	employees
	192
	TNC-Words attriater are deletions; words underlined are additions

1	j. Percent below change in statewide index reported
2	<u>crimes - Florida Capitol Police5%</u>
3	2. FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Gross square feet of office facilities
5	completed
6	b. Net square feet of state-owned office space
7	occupied by state agencies including non-DMS owned
8	facilities7,412,150
9	c. Net square feet of private office space occupied by
10	state agencies10,713,751
11	d. Number of square feet maintained by DMS3,627,036
12	e. Number of square feet maintained by private
13	contractor3,785,114
14	f. Gross square feet monitored for security
15	purposes
16	g. Number of investigations conducted
17	h. Total number of criminal incidents reported5,686
18	i. Total number of noncriminal calls for
19	service
20	j. Number of crime prevention and safety programs
21	presented to employees120
22	k. Number of state employees receiving crime
23	prevention and safety training
24	(b) For the Support Program, the purpose of which is
25	to provide government entities access to best value
26	commodities and services through centralized procurement,
27	federal property assistance, and fleet management, the outcome
28	measures, output measures, and associated performance
29	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
30	Appropriations 2412 through 2425 are as follows:
31	1. SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURES
	193
COD	INC.Words etricken are deletions: words underlined are additions

1	a. Percent of state term contracts savings
2	b. State term contracts cost avoidance\$215,000,000
3	c. Average percent below private sector fleet
4	maintenance for labor costs
5	d. Average percent below private sector fleet
б	maintenance for parts costs
7	e. Average percent of state rental vehicles below
8	state rental contract rates
9	f. Number of government and nonprofit organizations
10	visiting a surplus property distribution center3,400
11	g. Federal property distribution rate
12	2. SUPPORT OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of commodities/services on state term
14	contracts
15	b. Number of agencies using SPURS
16	c. Percent of agencies using SPURS
17	d. Number of federal property orders processed2,150
18	e. Number of vehicle maintenance service hours8,600
19	f. Days of state rental vehicle service
20	provided
21	g. Miles of state rental vehicle service
22	provided1,800,000
23	(c) For the Workforce Program, the purpose of which is
24	to help state agencies achieve an effective workforce; perform
25	a variety of activities to assist state agencies in human
26	resource management; administer retirement and insurance
27	benefits; and provide administrative support for the
28	Cooperative Personnel Employment Subsystem (COPES), the
29	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 2426 through 2450 are as follows:
	194
<i></i>	

1	1. HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Program cost per authorized position in the State
3	Personnel System: COPES cost\$39.97
4	b. Program cost per authorized position in the State
5	Personnel System: cost net of COPES\$33.84
б	c. Program cost per authorized position in the State
7	Personnel System: Total\$73.81
8	d. Percent of customers satisfied that the information
9	provided resulted in more effective and efficient HR-related
10	decisions
11	e. Percent of customers satisfied that the technical
12	assistance provided resulted in more effective and efficient
13	HR-related decisions85%
14	f. Percent of customers satisfied that the information
15	provided was timely85%
16	g. Percent of customers satisfied that the information
17	provided was accurate85%
18	h. Percent of customers satisfied that the information
19	provided was consistent with statutes, rules, policies, and/or
20	procedures
21	i. Percent of customers satisfied that the technical
22	assistance provided was timely88%
23	j. Percent of customers satisfied that the technical
24	assistance provided was accurate
25	k. Percent of customers satisfied that the technical
26	assistance provided was consistent with statutes, rules,
27	policies, and/or procedures
28	1. Percent of agencies at or above EEO gender parity
29	with available labor market
30	m. Percent of agencies at or above EEO minority parity
31	with the available labor market
	195

1	2. HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of informational materials available1,917
3	b. Number of responses to technical assistance
4	requests
5	3. RETIREMENT BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION OUTCOME
6	MEASURES
7	a. Percent of participating agencies satisfied with
8	retirement information94.9%
9	b. Percent of participating active members satisfied
10	with retirement information86.5%
11	c. Percent of participating recent retirees satisfied
12	with retirement information96.5%
13	d. Percent of participating other retirees satisfied
14	with retirement information96.3%
15	e. Percent of agency payroll transactions correctly
16	reported
17	f. Percent of standard retirement services offered by
18	FRS compared to comparable programs
19	g. Percent of participating agencies satisfied with
20	retirement services94.9%
21	h. Percent of participating active members satisfied
22	with retirement services
23	i. Percent of participating recent retirees satisfied
24	with retirement services
25	j. Percent of participating other retirees satisfied
26	with retirement services
27	k. Administrative cost per active and retired member
28	(excluding RIM Project)\$20.39
29	1. Administrative cost per active and retired member
30	(including RIM Project)\$32.99
31	m. Ratio of active and retired members to
	196

1 Percent of FRS assets to liabilities.....112% 2 ο. 3 Percent of local retirement systems annually 4 reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial basis.....95% 5 RETIREMENT BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION OUTPUT 4. 6 MEASURES.--7 a. Number of annuitants added to retired 8 9 c. Number of changes processed......56,078 10 d. Number of benefit payments issued.....2,244,680 11 Number of local pension plan valuations and impact 12 e. 13 statements reviewed......400 14 5. STATE GROUP INSURANCE OUTCOME MEASURES.--15 a. Customer feedback ranking for Division out of 16 Percent of claims reaching final action within 30 17 b. 18 19 c. Overall payment and procedural error rate......5% 20 d. Telephone queue time (in seconds)......45 21 e. Unprocessed original claims inventory......30,000 f. Average annual cost per contract to administer 22 23 insurance programs.....\$14.84 24 For the Information Technology Program, the (d) 25 purpose of which is to effectively and efficiently satisfy customer needs for using, sharing, and managing information 26 technology resources, the outcome measures, output measures, 27 28 and associated performance standards with respect to funds 29 provided in Specific Appropriations 2451 through 2472 are as 30 follows: 31 TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES. --1. 197

1	a. Percent of SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
2	for local access40%
3	b. Percent of SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
4	for long distance40%
5	c. Percent of SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
6	for data service
7	d. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
8	service features
9	e. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
10	service delivery
11	f. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
12	timely problem resolution
13	g. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for best
14	value services
15	2. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of SUNCOM long distance billable
17	minutes
18	b. Number of SUNCOM local service main
19	stations2,081,566
20	c. Number of SUNCOM data locations served10,747
21	d. Percent of SUNCOM service growth for local
22	access
23	e. Percent of SUNCOM service growth for long
24	distance1%
25	f. Percent of SUNCOM service growth for data
26	service
27	3. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
29	accessible information services
30	b. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
31	desirable technology services4.00
	198
a a-	

1	c. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
2	timely problem resolution
3	d. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
4	projects within schedule
5	e. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for best
6	value services
7	f. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
8	reliable information services
9	4. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of Technology Resource Center research
11	projects completed15
12	b. Number of Technology Resource Center consulting
13	projects completed7
14	c. Number of Technology Resource Center development
15	projects completed425
16	d. Percent utilization by the Unisys System as used
17	for capacity planning and technology refresh, employing 80%
18	maximum utilization standard60%
19	e. Percent utilization by the IBM System as used for
20	capacity planning and technology refresh, employing 80%
21	maximum utilization standard
22	5. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
23	a. Percent wireless discount from commercially
24	available and similar type engineering services
25	6. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of engineering projects and approvals
27	handled for state government110
28	b. Number of engineering projects and approvals
29	handled for local governments
30	c. Number of Joint Task Force Radio Systems fixed
31	
	sites operated and maintained92
	sites operated and maintained

1	d. Percent of state covered by the Joint Task Force
2	Radio System
3	e. Percent of Joint Task Force Radio System current
4	phases under development completed48.2%
5	(e) For the Administrative Hearings Program, the
6	purpose of which is to resolve conflicts between citizens and
7	agencies of the state, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds in
9	Specific Appropriations 2484 through 2488 are as follows:
10	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Percent of cases scheduled for hearing within 90
12	days after filing53.22%
13	b. Percent of professional licensure cases scheduled
14	for hearing within 90 days after filing
15	c. Percent of professional licensure cases closed
16	within 120 days after filing42.21%
17	d. Percent of cases closed within 120 days after
18	filing
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of cases opened
21	b. Number of cases closed
22	c. Number of cases carried forward2,354
23	d. Staffing ratio based on the average number of cases
24	closed per administrative law judge
25	e. Number of professional licensure cases opened487
26	f. Number of professional licensure cases closed569
27	g. Number of professional licensure cases carried
28	forward
29	(9) DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
30	(a) For the Property Tax Administration Program, the
31	purpose of which is to enhance the equity in property
	200
<i></i>	

assessments and taxation through the state and to facilitate 1 2 equalization of the distribution of the required local effort 3 millage, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 4 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 5 Specific Appropriations 2526 through 2538 are as follows: 6 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--7 a. Percent of classes studied found to have a level of 8 9 Tax roll uniformity (average for coefficient b. 10 of dispersion).....11.5% c. Percent of taxing authorities in total or 11 12 substantial truth in millage compliance on initial 13 14 d. Percent of refund and tax certificate applications 15 16 e. Refund request per 100,000 parcels......31.8 17 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.-a. Number of subclasses of property studied with 18 19 feedback to property appraisers......5,250 20 b. Number of tax roll review notices issued......3 21 Total number of tax roll defects found.....4 с. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent 22 d. 23 Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent 24 e. 25 to taxing authorities with minor infractions......118 26 Number of property tax refund requests f. 27 g. Number of tax certificates cancellations and 28 29 corrections processed.....2,500 30 h. Number of taxpayers audited on behalf of county 31 property appraisers (tangible personal property)......250 201

1	i. Number of student training hours provided to
2	property appraisers and their staff (tangible personal
3	 property)
4	(b) For the Child Support Enforcement Program, the
5	purpose of which is to establish paternity and child support
б	orders, enforce those orders to collect child support, and
7	distribute child support collections in a timely manner, the
8	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
9	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
10	Appropriations 2539 through 2561 are as follows:
11	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percent of children with a court order for
13	support
14	b. Percent of children with paternity
15	established
16	c. Total child support dollars collected per \$1 of
17	total expenditures\$2.77
18	d. Percent of child support collected that was due
19	during the fiscal year51%
20	e. Percent of cases with child support due in a month
21	that received a payment during the month
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURE
23	a. Number of children with a newly established court
24	order
25	(c) For the General Tax Administration Program, the
26	purpose of which is to administer the revenue laws of the
27	state in a fair and equitable manner and to collect all money
28	owed, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
29	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
30	Specific Appropriations 2562 through 2580 are as follows:
31	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
	202

1	a. Average days from receipt of payment to final
2	processing of deposit (sales, corporation, intangibles,
3	fuel)0.64
4	b. Number of days between initial distribution of
5	funds and final adjustments (sales, fuel)
6	c. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially
7	error free and on time
, 8	d. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially
9	error free and on time by first time filers
10	e. Return on investment (total collections per dollar
11	spent)\$147.73
12	f. Dollars collected as a percent of actual liability
13	of notices sent for apparent sales tax return filing errors or
14	late returns
15	g. Percent of tax returns that did not result in a
16	notice of apparent filing error or late return
17	h. Average time (in days) between the processing of a
18	sales tax return and the first notification to the taxpayer of
10 19	
	an apparent filing error or late return
20 21	i. Percent of delinquent sales tax return and filing
21 22	error or late return notices issued accurately to taxpayer.90%
22	j. Percent of delinquent tax return and filing error
23	or late return notices sent to taxpayers that had to be
24	revised due to department or taxpayer error
25	k. Percent of final audit assessment amounts
26	collected (tax only)
27	1. Final audit assessment amounts as a percent of
28	initial assessment amounts (tax only)
29	m. Dollars collected voluntarily as a percent of total
30	dollars collected97%
31	
	203
	INC. Words strighter are deletions: words underlined are additions.

1	n. Average number of days to resolve a dispute of an
2	audit assessment
3	o. Direct collections per enforcement-related dollar
4	spent\$4.92
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
б	a. Number of delinquent tax return notices issued to
7	taxpayers732,000
8	b. Number of notices sent to taxpayers for apparent
9	tax return filing errors or late return
10	Section 39. The Legislature adopts the following
11	programs and performance measures for the entities indicated
12	for use in preparation of the fiscal year 2001-2002
13	legislative budget request:
14	(1) DEPARTMENT OF CITRUS The department shall
15	recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for
16	fiscal year 2001-2002 to the appropriate legislative
17	committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of
18	integrally related outcomes and outputs, the department shall
19	identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or
20	output, based on the fiscal year 2000-2001 budget, in order to
21	improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds,
22	compare activities, and evaluate department activities for
23	efficiency:
24	(a) For the Department of Citrus, the purpose of which
25	is to market and regulate Florida citrus, the outcome measures
26	and output measures are as follows:
27	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. On-tree value of Florida citrus/ROI-grower.
29	b. Consumer intent to purchase.
30	c. Consumer recall of health and wellness benefits.
31	d. Consumer recall of taste and quality.
	204
COD	ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

1 e. Consumer awareness of grower's symbol. 2 f. Percent of food service sales bearing Florida 3 sunshine tree. 4 g. Percent of top 100 school districts buying Florida 5 sunshine tree products. 6 h. Number of boxes moving through fresh channels. 7 i. Number of boxes moving through processed channels. j. Number of acres mechanically harvested. 8 9 k. Improvement of fresh juice quality and safety. 10 1. Reduction of post-harvest losses. m. Fresh pre-peeled Florida citrus volume. 11 12 n. Creation of revenue streams for the Florida grower. 13 o. New health messages related to Florida citrus. 14 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.--15 a. Number of television gross rating points. b. Number of radio gross rating points. 16 17 c. Number of trade incentive programs. 18 d. Number of mechanical harvesters under development. 19 e. Number of sponsored research programs conducted. 20 f. Number of medical research programs. 21 g. Development of fresh-cut citrus line. 22 If any provision of this act or the Section 40. 23 application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or 24 25 applications of the act which can be given effect without the 26 invalid provision or application, and to this end the 27 provisions of this act are declared severable. 28 Section 41. This act shall take effect July 1, 2000; 29 or, in the event this act fails to become a law until after that date, it shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall 30 operate retroactively to July 1, 2000. 31 205