First Engrossed

| 1 | A bill to be entitled |
|----|---|
| 2 | An act implementing the 2000-2001 General |
| 3 | Appropriations Act; providing legislative |
| 4 | intent; providing that specified funds are to |
| 5 | be allocated based on equity and are not |
| 6 | subject to the provisions of s. 394.908, F.S.; |
| 7 | amending s. 409.9115, F.S.; specifying how the |
| 8 | Agency for Health Care Administration shall |
| 9 | make payments for the Medicaid disproportionate |
| 10 | share program for mental health hospitals; |
| 11 | requiring the Agency for Health Care |
| 12 | Administration to use a specified |
| 13 | disproportionate share formula, specified |
| 14 | audited financial data, and a specified |
| 15 | Medicaid per diem rate in fiscal year 2000-2001 |
| 16 | for qualifying hospitals; amending s. 409.9116, |
| 17 | F.S.; providing a formula for rural hospital |
| 18 | disproportionate share payments; amending s. |
| 19 | 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of |
| 20 | Children and Family Services and the Department |
| 21 | of Health to advance certain moneys for certain |
| 22 | contract services; directing the Agency for |
| 23 | Health Care Administration to include health |
| 24 | maintenance organization recipients in the |
| 25 | county billing for a specified purpose; |
| 26 | amending s. 409.905, F.S.; prescribing |
| 27 | conditions upon which an adjustment in a |
| 28 | hospital's inpatient per diem rate may be |
| 29 | based; authorizing the Departments of Children |
| 30 | and Family Services, Management Services, Labor |
| 31 | and Employment Security, and Health and the |
| | 1 |
| | |

| 1 | Agency for Health Care Administration to |
|----|---|
| 2 | transfer positions and funds to comply with the |
| 3 | General Appropriations Act or the WAGES Act; |
| 4 | amending s. 39.3065, F.S.; providing for the |
| 5 | sheriffs of Broward County and Seminole County |
| 6 | to provide child protective investigative |
| 7 | services; amending s. 1, ch. 99-219, Laws of |
| 8 | Florida; extending flexibility to implement |
| 9 | reorganization of the Department of Children |
| 10 | and Family Services until July 1, 2001; |
| 11 | amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the |
| 12 | Department of Law Enforcement to transfer some |
| 13 | positions and associated budget and a certain |
| 14 | percentage of salary rate between budget |
| 15 | entities and providing requirements with |
| 16 | respect thereto; providing that billing agent |
| 17 | consulting services related to certain Medicaid |
| 18 | provider agreements not be considered billing |
| 19 | agent services; requiring the Agency for Health |
| 20 | Care Administration to develop a reimbursement |
| 21 | schedule; authorizing the Department of Law |
| 22 | Enforcement to use certain moneys to provide |
| 23 | meritorious-performance bonuses for employees, |
| 24 | subject to approval; amending s. 212.20, F.S.; |
| 25 | providing for use of moneys allocated to the |
| 26 | Solid Waste Management Trust Fund; amending s. |
| 27 | 403.7095, F.S.; revising the expiration date of |
| 28 | the solid waste management grant program; |
| 29 | requiring a specified level of funding for |
| 30 | counties receiving solid waste management and |
| 31 | recycling grants; providing for allocation of |
| | 2 |
| | |

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

First Engrossed

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | funds for innovative programs to address |
|----|---|
| 2 | recycling practices and procedures; amending s. |
| 3 | 110.1239, F.S.; providing requirements for the |
| 4 | funding of the state group health insurance |
| 5 | program; amending s. 86, ch. 93-213, Laws of |
| 6 | Florida, as amended; deferring repayment |
| 7 | requirements for certain funding provided to |
| 8 | the state NPDES program; amending s. 287.161, |
| 9 | F.S.; requiring the Department of Management |
| 10 | Services to charge all persons receiving |
| 11 | transportation from the executive aircraft pool |
| 12 | a specified rate; providing for deposit and use |
| 13 | of such fees; amending s. 403.1826, F.S.; |
| 14 | providing authority of the Department of |
| 15 | Environmental Protection to waive requirements |
| 16 | related to water pollution control and sewage |
| 17 | treatment grants; amending s. 216.181, F.S.; |
| 18 | providing authority to the Department of |
| 19 | Transportation to facilitate the transfer of |
| 20 | personnel to the turnpike headquarters facility |
| 21 | in Orange County; providing for the transfer of |
| 22 | tangible personal property from the Department |
| 23 | of Business and Professional Regulation to the |
| 24 | College of Veterinary Medicine at the |
| 25 | University of Florida; providing legislative |
| 26 | intent concerning funds appropriated for the |
| 27 | San Carlos Institute; providing for allocation |
| 28 | of moneys provided for workforce development |
| 29 | and providing for budget amendment when a |
| 30 | program is moved; providing for future repeal |
| 31 | of various provisions; providing for audit and |
| | 3 |
| | |

| 1 | transfer of specified funds relating to law |
|----|--|
| 2 | enforcement programs transferred to St. Johns |
| 3 | River and Tallahassee Community Colleges; |
| 4 | amending s. 240.2605, F.S.; requiring the Board |
| 5 | of Regents to rank certain donations; requiring |
| 6 | presidents of universities in the State |
| 7 | University System to provide lists of certain |
| 8 | donations; requiring the Board of Regents to |
| 9 | submit a report; requiring the Board of Regents |
| 10 | to rank such donations; providing effect of |
| 11 | veto of specific appropriation or proviso to |
| 12 | which implementing language refers; providing |
| 13 | applicability to other legislation; providing |
| 14 | performance measures and standards for programs |
| 15 | within state agencies; providing that the |
| 16 | performance measures and standards are linked |
| 17 | to appropriations in the General Appropriations |
| 18 | Act; providing an effective date. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that |
| 23 | the implementing and administering provisions of this act |
| 24 | apply to the General Appropriations Act for fiscal year |
| 25 | 2000-2001. |
| 26 | Section 2. In order to implement Specific |
| 27 | Appropriation 367 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, |
| 28 | and notwithstanding section 394.908, Florida Statutes, all |
| 29 | funds in excess of Fiscal Year 1998-1999 appropriations are to |
| 30 | be allocated based on equity except those programs and funds |
| 31 | specifically identified in clarifying language in the General |
| | 4 |
| | |

Appropriations Act. No district shall receive an allocation of 1 2 recurring funds that is less than its initial approved 3 operating budget plus any distributions of lump sums for the state Fiscal Year <u>1998-1999.</u> 4 5 Section 3. In order to implement Specific 6 Appropriation 246 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 7 subsection (3) of section 409.9115, Florida Statutes, is 8 amended to read: 409.9115 Disproportionate share program for mental 9 health hospitals.--The Agency for Health Care Administration 10 shall design and implement a system of making mental health 11 12 disproportionate share payments to hospitals that qualify for disproportionate share payments under s. 409.911. This system 13 14 of payments shall conform with federal requirements and shall distribute funds in each fiscal year for which an 15 appropriation is made by making quarterly Medicaid payments. 16 17 Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from 18 contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for 19 patients. 20 (3) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for 21 22 the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health 23 hospitals on a monthly basis. If the amounts appropriated for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health 24 hospitals are increased or decreased during the fiscal year 25 26 pursuant to the requirements of chapter 216, the required 27 adjustment shall be prorated over the remaining payment periods. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2001 28 29 2000. 30 Section 4. During the 2000-2001 fiscal year, the 31 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the 1992-1993 5 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

disproportionate share formula, the 1994 audited financial 1 2 data, and the Medicaid per diem rate as of January 1, 1999, 3 for those hospitals that qualify for the hospital 4 disproportionate share program funded in Specific 5 Appropriation 217 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act. 6 This section expires July 1, 2001. 7 Section 5. In order to implement Specific 8 Appropriation 212 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 9 subsection (6) of section 409.9116, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 10 409.9116 Disproportionate share/financial assistance 11 12 program for rural hospitals .-- In addition to the payments made under s. 409.911, the Agency for Health Care Administration 13 14 shall administer a federally matched disproportionate share 15 program and a state-funded financial assistance program for statutory rural hospitals. The agency shall make 16 17 disproportionate share payments to statutory rural hospitals 18 that qualify for such payments and financial assistance 19 payments to statutory rural hospitals that do not qualify for 20 disproportionate share payments. The disproportionate share program payments shall be limited by and conform with federal 21 requirements. In fiscal year 1993-1994, available funds shall 22 23 be distributed in one payment, as soon as practicable after the effective date of this act. In subsequent fiscal years, 24 funds shall be distributed quarterly in each fiscal year for 25 26 which an appropriation is made. Notwithstanding the provisions 27 of s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for hospitals serving a 28 29 disproportionate share of low-income patients. (6) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 30 Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the following 31 6

```
First Engrossed
```

formula for distribution of the funds in Specific 1 2 Appropriation 212 236 of the 2000-2001 1999-2000 General 3 Appropriations Act for the disproportionate share/financial 4 assistance program for rural hospitals. 5 (a) The agency shall first determine a preliminary 6 payment amount for each rural hospital by allocating all 7 available state funds using the following formula: 8 9 $PDAER = (TAERH \times TARH) / STAERH$ 10 11 Where: 12 PDAER = preliminary distribution amount for each rural 13 hospital. 14 TAERH = total amount earned by each rural hospital. 15 TARH = total amount appropriated or distributed under 16 this section. 17 STAERH = sum of total amount earned by each rural hospital. 18 19 (b) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 20 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 21 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (a). 22 (c) The state-funds-only payment amount is then 23 calculated for each hospital using the formula: 24 25 SFOER = Maximum value of (1) SFOL - PDAER or (2) 0 26 27 Where: 28 SFOER = state-funds-only payment amount for each rural 29 hospital. SFOL = state-funds-only payment level, which is set at 30 4 percent of TARH. 31 7 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

```
1
           (d) The adjusted total amount allocated to the rural
 2
    disproportionate share program shall then be calculated using
 3
    the following formula:
 4
 5
                       ATARH = (TARH - SSFOER)
 6
 7
    Where:
 8
           ATARH = adjusted total amount appropriated or
9
    distributed under this section.
           SSFOER = sum of the state-funds-only payment amount
10
11
    calculated under paragraph (c) for all rural hospitals.
           (e) The determination of the amount of rural
12
    disproportionate share hospital funds is calculated by the
13
14
    following formula:
15
16
                  TDAERH = [(TAERH \times ATARH)/STAERH]
17
18
    Where:
19
           TDAERH = total distribution amount for each rural
20
   hospital.
21
                Federal matching funds for the disproportionate
           (f)
22
    share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals
23
    that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (e).
           (g) State-funds-only payment amounts calculated under
24
25
   paragraph (c) are then added to the results of paragraph (f)
26
    to determine the total distribution amount for each rural
27
    hospital.
28
           (h) This subsection expires is repealed on July 1,
29
    2001 \frac{2000}{2000}.
30
           Section 6. In order to implement Specific
    Appropriations 264-435 and 462-592C of the 2000-2001 General
31
                                   8
CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.
```

```
First Engrossed
```

Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of subsection (15) of 1 2 section 216.181, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 3 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 4 capital outlay .--5 (15) 6 (c) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, 7 funds appropriated to the Department of Children and Family 8 Services in Specific Appropriations 264 292 through 435 425 9 and the Department of Health in Specific Appropriations 462 445 through 592C 540 of the 2000-2001 1999-2000 General 10 Appropriations Act may be advanced, unless specifically 11 12 prohibited in such General Appropriations Act, for those contracted services that were approved for advancement by the 13 14 Comptroller in fiscal year 1993-1994, including those services 15 contracted on a fixed-price or unit cost basis. This paragraph expires is repealed on July 1, 2001 2000. 16 17 Section 7. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 217 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 18 19 and for the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health 20 Care Administration shall include health maintenance organization recipients in the county billing for inpatient 21 hospital stays for the purpose of shared costs with counties 22 23 in accordance with the Florida Statutes. This section expires July 1, 2001. 24 25 Section 8. In order to implement Specific 26 Appropriation 217 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 27 paragraph (c) is added to subsection (5) of section 409.905, 28 Florida Statutes, to read: 29 409.905 Mandatory Medicaid services. -- The agency may make payments for the following services, which are required 30 of the state by Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 31 9 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

furnished by Medicaid providers to recipients who are 1 2 determined to be eligible on the dates on which the services 3 were provided. Any service under this section shall be 4 provided only when medically necessary and in accordance with 5 state and federal law. Nothing in this section shall be 6 construed to prevent or limit the agency from adjusting fees, 7 reimbursement rates, lengths of stay, number of visits, number 8 of services, or any other adjustments necessary to comply with 9 the availability of moneys and any limitations or directions 10 provided for in the General Appropriations Act or chapter 216. (5) HOSPITAL INPATIENT SERVICES. -- The agency shall pay 11 12 for all covered services provided for the medical care and treatment of a recipient who is admitted as an inpatient by a 13 14 licensed physician or dentist to a hospital licensed under 15 part I of chapter 395. However, the agency shall limit the payment for inpatient hospital services for a Medicaid 16 17 recipient 21 years of age or older to 45 days or the number of days necessary to comply with the General Appropriations Act. 18 19 (C) The Agency for Health Care Administration shall 20 adjust a hospital's inpatient per diem rate to reflect the 21 cost of serving the Medicaid population at that institution 22 if: 23 1. There is a change in the mix of patient services, 24 primarily resulting from the effects of a natural disaster occurring after July 1, 2000, which has caused the hospital's 25 26 average annual Medicaid per-patient cost to increase by more 27 than 25 percent; or 28 The hospital experiences an increase in Medicaid 2. 29 caseload by more than 20 percent, primarily resulting from the 30 closure of a hospital in the same service area occurring after 31 10

July 1, 1995, which has caused the hospital's average annual 1 2 Medicaid per-patient cost to increase by more than 25 percent. 3 4 The agency must include the estimated costs for any adjustment in a hospital inpatient per diem pursuant to this paragraph in 5 6 the estimates it provides to the Social Services Estimating 7 Conference for inclusion in the total estimates for the 8 Medicaid program. Before the agency implements a change in a 9 hospital's inpatient per diem rate pursuant to this paragraph, the Legislature must have specifically appropriated sufficient 10 funds in the General Appropriations Act to support the 11 12 increase in cost as estimated by the Social Services Estimating Conference. This subsection expires July 1, 2001. 13 14 Section 9. For the 2000-2001 fiscal year only, the 15 Departments of Children and Family Services, Management Services, Labor and Employment Security, and Health and the 16 17 Agency for Health Care Administration may transfer positions 18 and general revenue funds as necessary to comply with any 19 provision of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act or 20 Workforce Innovation Act of 2000 which requires or 21 specifically authorizes the transfer of positions and general 22 revenue funds between these agencies. This section expires 23 July 1, 2001. Section 10. In order to implement Specific 24 Appropriations 307-310, 310B, and 312 of the 2000-2001 General 25 26 Appropriations Act, section 39.3065, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 27 39.3065 Sheriffs of Pasco, Manatee, and Pinellas 28 29 Counties to provide child protective investigative services; 30 procedures; funding.--31 11 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1 | (1) As described in this section, the Department of |
|----|--|
| 2 | Children and Family Services shall, by the end of fiscal year |
| 3 | 1999-2000, transfer all responsibility for child protective |
| 4 | investigations for Pinellas County, Manatee County, and Pasco |
| 5 | County to the sheriff of that county in which the child abuse, |
| 6 | neglect, or abandonment is alleged to have occurred. Each |
| 7 | sheriff is responsible for the provision of all child |
| 8 | protective investigations in his or her county. Each |
| 9 | individual who provides these services must complete the |
| 10 | training provided to and required of protective investigators |
| 11 | employed by the Department of Children and Family Services. |
| 12 | (2) During fiscal year 1998-1999, the Department of |
| 13 | Children and Family Services and each sheriff's office shall |
| 14 | enter into a contract for the provision of these services. |
| 15 | Funding for the services will be appropriated to the |
| 16 | Department of Children and Family Services, and the department |
| 17 | shall transfer to the respective sheriffs for the duration of |
| 18 | fiscal year 1998-1999, funding for the investigative |
| 19 | responsibilities assumed by the sheriffs, including federal |
| 20 | funds that the provider is eligible for and agrees to earn and |
| 21 | that portion of general revenue funds which is currently |
| 22 | associated with the services that are being furnished under |
| 23 | contract, and including, but not limited to, funding for all |
| 24 | investigative, supervisory, and clerical positions; training; |
| 25 | all associated equipment; furnishings; and other fixed capital |
| 26 | items. The contract must specify whether the department will |
| 27 | continue to perform part or none of the child protective |
| 28 | investigations during the initial year. The sheriffs may |
| 29 | either conduct the investigations themselves or may, in turn, |
| 30 | subcontract with law enforcement officials or with properly |
| 31 | trained employees of private agencies to conduct |
| | |

12

investigations related to neglect cases only. If such a 1 2 subcontract is awarded, the sheriff must take full 3 responsibility for any safety decision made by the 4 subcontractor and must immediately respond with law 5 enforcement staff to any situation that requires removal of a 6 child due to a condition that poses an immediate threat to the 7 child's life. The contract must specify whether the services 8 are to be performed by departmental employees or by persons 9 determined by the sheriff. During this initial year, the 10 department is responsible for quality assurance, and the department retains the responsibility for the performance of 11 12 all child protective investigations. The department must identify any barriers to transferring the entire 13 14 responsibility for child protective services to the sheriffs' 15 offices and must pursue avenues for removing any such barriers by means including, but not limited to, applying for federal 16 17 waivers. By January 15, 1999, the department shall submit to 18 the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of 19 Representatives, and the chairs of the Senate and House committees that oversee departmental activities a report that 20 describes any remaining barriers, including any that pertain 21 to funding and related administrative issues. Unless the 22 23 Legislature, on the basis of that report or other pertinent information, acts to block a transfer of the entire 24 responsibility for child protective investigations to the 25 26 sheriffs' offices, the sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee 27 County, and Pinellas County, beginning in fiscal year 1999-2000, shall assume the entire responsibility for such 28 29 services, as provided in subsection (3). (3)(a) Beginning in fiscal year 1999-2000, the 30 sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County 31 13

have the responsibility to provide all child protective
 investigations in their respective counties.

3 (b) The sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee County, and 4 Pinellas County shall operate, at a minimum, in accordance 5 with the performance standards established by the Legislature 6 for protective investigations conducted by the Department of 7 Children and Family Services.

(c) Funds for providing child protective 8 9 investigations in Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County must be identified in the annual appropriation made to 10 the Department of Children and Family Services, which shall 11 12 award grants for the full amount identified to the respective sheriffs' offices. Funds for the child protective 13 14 investigations may not be integrated into the sheriffs' 15 regular budgets. Budgetary data and other data relating to the 16 performance of child protective investigations must be 17 maintained separately from all other records of the sheriffs' 18 offices.

19 (d) Program performance evaluation shall be based on 20 criteria mutually agreed upon by the respective sheriffs and a committee of seven persons appointed by the Governor and 21 22 selected from those persons serving on the Department of 23 Children and Family Services District 5 Health and Human Services Board and District 6 Health and Human Services Board. 24 Two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pasco 25 26 County, two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of 27 Manatee County, and two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pinellas County. Such appointees shall serve at 28 29 the pleasure of the Governor. The individuals appointed must have demonstrated experience in outcome evaluation, social 30 service areas of protective investigation, or child welfare 31

14

| 1 | supervision. The committee shall submit an annual report |
|-----|--|
| 2 | regarding quality performance, outcome-measure attainment, and |
| 3 | cost efficiency to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of |
| 4 | the House of Representatives, and to the Governor no later |
| 5 | than January 31 of each year the sheriffs are receiving |
| 6 | general appropriations to provide child protective |
| 7 | investigations. |
| 8 | (4) For the <u>2000-2001</u> 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the |
| 9 | Sheriffs Sheriff of Broward County and Seminole County shall |
| 10 | perform the same child protective investigative services |
| 11 | according to the same standards as are performed by the |
| 12 | sheriffs of Pinellas County, Manatee County, and Pasco County |
| 13 | under this section. This subsection expires July 1, $2001 + 2000$. |
| 14 | Section 11. Subsection (1) of section 1 of chapter |
| 15 | 99-219, Laws of Florida, is amended to read: |
| 16 | Section 1. (1) The following provisions of section |
| 17 | 20.19, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, are waived until |
| 18 | July 1, $2001 + 2000$, for the purpose of allowing the Department |
| 19 | of Children and Family Services to organize programs, |
| 20 | districts, and functions of the department to achieve more |
| 21 | effective and efficient service delivery and improve |
| 22 | accountability, notwithstanding the provisions of section |
| 23 | 20.04, Florida Statutes: |
| 24 | (a) Section 20.19(2)(b) and (f) Florida Statutes, 1998 |
| 25 | Supplement, relating to the secretary and deputy secretary. |
| 26 | (b) Section 20.19(3), Florida Statutes, 1998 |
| 27 | Supplement, relating to the Office of Standards and |
| 28 | Evaluation. |
| 29 | (c) Section 20.19(5)(a), Florida Statutes, 1998 |
| 30 | Supplement, relating to program offices. |
| 31 | |
| | 15 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

1 (d) Section 20.19(6)(a), (c), and (d), Florida 2 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, relating to the Assistant Secretary 3 for Administration. 4 (e) Section 20.19(8)(1), (m), (n), and (o), Florida 5 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, relating to health and human 6 services boards. 7 (f) Section 20.19(9), Florida Statutes, 1998 8 Supplement, relating to district nominee qualifications review 9 committees. 10 (g) Section 20.19(10), (a), (b), (c)1.-7., (d), (e), (f), and (g), Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, relating to 11 the district administrator. 12 (h) Section 20.19(12)(d), Florida Statutes, 1998 13 14 Supplement, relating to the departmental budget. 15 16 Actions taken under the authority granted by this section must be taken in consultation with the Executive Office of the 17 Governor. The secretary shall submit a report describing 18 19 actions taken and additional plans for implementing the provisions of this section to the Governor, the President of 20 21 the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by 22 30 thirty days after this act bill becomes a law. The 23 department shall submit status reports on a monthly basis through December 2000 1999. 24 25 Section 12. In order to implement Specific 26 Appropriations 1150, 1159A, 1161, 1165, 1171, 1175, 1178, 1183, 1186, and 1190A of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 27 28 Act, subsection (17) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, is 29 amended to read: 30 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 31 capital outlay .--16

| 1 | (17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this |
|----|---|
| 2 | section to the contrary, and for the 2000-2001 1999-2000 |
| 3 | fiscal year only, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement |
| 4 | may transfer up to 20 positions and associated budget between |
| 5 | budget entities, provided the same funding source is used |
| б | throughout each transfer. The department may also transfer up |
| 7 | to 10 percent of the initial approved salary rate between |
| 8 | budget entities, provided the same funding source is used |
| 9 | throughout each transfer. The department must provide notice |
| 10 | to the Executive Office of the Governor, the chair of the |
| 11 | Senate Budget Committee, and the chair of the House Committee |
| 12 | on Criminal Justice Appropriations for all transfers of |
| 13 | positions or salary rate. This subsection <u>expires</u> is repealed |
| 14 | on July 1, <u>2001</u> 2000 . |
| 15 | Section 13. Consistent with the provisions of section |
| 16 | 216.163, Florida Statutes, in accordance with |
| 17 | performance-based program budgeting requirements, and |
| 18 | notwithstanding the provisions of section 216.181, Florida |
| 19 | Statutes, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement may |
| 20 | transfer up to one-half of 1 percent of the funds in Specific |
| 21 | Appropriations 1150, 1159A, 1161, 1165, 1171, 1175, 1178, |
| 22 | 1183, 1186 , and $1190A$, of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations |
| 23 | Act for lump-sum salary bonuses for departmental employees at |
| 24 | the discretion of the executive director, provided that such |
| 25 | bonuses are given only to selected employees for meritorious |
| 26 | performance, instead of being given as across-the-board |
| 27 | bonuses for all employees. The department, after consultation |
| 28 | with the Executive Office of the Governor, shall provide a |
| 29 | plan to the chair of the House Fiscal Responsibility Council |
| 30 | and to the chair of the Senate Budget Committee for approval |
| 31 | |
| | 17 |
| | - <i>'</i> |

| Fj | lrst | Engrossed |
|----|------|-----------|
| | | |

before awarding such bonuses. This section expires July 1, 1 2001. 2 Section 14. In order to implement Specific 3 4 Appropriations 1591G and 1476 of the 2000-2001 General 5 Appropriations Act, subsection (7) of section 212.20, Florida 6 Statutes, is amended to read: 7 212.20 Funds collected, disposition; additional powers 8 of department; operational expense; refund of taxes 9 adjudicated unconstitutionally collected. --(7) For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 10 use of funds allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust 11 12 Fund shall be as provided in the General Appropriations Act. 13 There is appropriated \$10.5 transferred \$15.5 million for 14 wastewater surface water improvement and management projects 15 and \$10 million for the aquatic weed control program from 16 revenues provided by this section. This subsection expires is 17 repealed on July 1, 2001 2000. 18 Section 15. In order to implement Specific 19 Appropriation 1633A of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 20 Act, subsections (8) and (9) of section 403.7095, Florida 21 Statutes, are amended to read: 22 403.7095 Solid waste management grant program.--23 (8) For fiscal year 2000-2001 1999-2000, the department shall provide counties with populations under 24 25 100,000 with at least 80 percent of the level of funding they 26 received in fiscal year 1997-1998 for solid waste management and recycling grants. 27 28 (9) For fiscal year 2000-2001 1999-2000, the 29 department shall provide 10 percent of the total funds available after the requirements of subsection (8) are met for 30 recycling grants available to all counties on a competitive 31 18 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

basis for innovative programs. The department may consider one 1 or more of the following criteria in determining whether a 2 3 grant proposal is innovative: 4 (a) Demonstrate advanced technologies or processes. 5 (b) Collect and recycle materials targeted by the 6 department. 7 (c) Demonstrate substantial improvement in program 8 cost-effectiveness and efficiency as measured against 9 statewide average costs for the same or similar programs. (d) Demonstrate transferability of technology and 10 processes used in program. 11 12 (e) Demonstrate and implement multicounty or regional 13 recycling programs. 14 Section 16. In order to implement Specific 15 Appropriations 2432, 2433, and 2434 of the 2000-2001 General 16 Appropriations Act, section 110.1239, Florida Statutes, is 17 amended to read: 18 110.1239 State group health insurance program 19 funding.--For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, it is 20 the intent of the Legislature that the state group health 21 insurance program be managed, administered, operated, and 22 funded in such a manner as to maximize the protection of state employee health insurance benefits. Inherent in this intent is 23 the recognition that the health insurance liabilities 24 attributable to the benefits offered state employees should be 25 26 fairly, orderly, and equitably funded. Accordingly: (1) The division shall determine the level of premiums 27 necessary to fully fund the state group health insurance 28 29 program for the next fiscal year. Such determination shall be 30 made after each revenue estimating conference on health 31 19

insurance as provided in s. 216.136(1), but not later than 1 December 1 and April 1 of each fiscal year. 2 The Governor, in the Governor's recommended 3 (2) 4 budget, shall provide premium rates necessary for full funding 5 of the state group health insurance program, and the 6 Legislature shall provide in the General Appropriations Act 7 for a premium level necessary for full funding of the state 8 group health insurance program. 9 (3) For purposes of funding, any additional 10 appropriation amounts allocated to the state group health insurance program by the Legislature shall be considered as a 11 12 state contribution and thus an increase in the state premiums. 13 (3) (4) This section expires is repealed on July 1, 14 $2001 \frac{2000}{2000}$. 15 Section 17. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1582A of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 16 17 Act, section 86 of chapter 93-213, Laws of Florida, as amended by section 28 of chapter 98-46, Laws of Florida, and section 18 19 29 of chapter 99-228, Laws of Florida, is amended to read: Section 86. The Department of Environmental Regulation 20 is authorized 54 career service positions for administering 21 the state NPDES program. Twenty-five career service positions 22 23 are authorized for startup of the program beginning July 1, 1993, and the remaining 29 career service positions beginning 24 January 1, 1994. The state NPDES program staffing shall start 25 26 July 1, 1993, with completion targeted for 6 months following 27 United States Environmental Protection Agency authorization to administer the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 28 29 program. Implementation of positions is subject to review and final approval by the secretary of the Department of 30 Environmental Regulation. The sum of \$3.2 million is hereby 31 20

appropriated from the Pollution Recovery Trust Fund to cover 1 2 program startup costs. For the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year 3 only, such funds need not be repaid. 4 Section 18. In order to implement Specific 5 Appropriations 2408, 2409, 2410, and 2411 of the 2000-2001 6 General Appropriations Act, subsection (4) of section 287.161, 7 Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 8 287.161 Executive aircraft pool; assignment of 9 aircraft; charge for transportation. --(4) Notwithstanding the requirements of subsections 10 (2) and (3) and for the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, 11 12 the Department of Management Services shall charge all persons receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a 13 14 rate not less than the mileage allowance fixed by the 15 Legislature for the use of privately owned vehicles. Fees 16 collected for persons traveling by aircraft in the executive 17 aircraft pool shall be deposited into the Bureau of Aircraft Trust Fund and shall be expended for costs incurred to operate 18 19 the aircraft management activities of the department. It is the intent of the Legislature that the executive aircraft pool 20 be operated on a full cost recovery basis, less available 21 22 funds. This subsection expires July 1, 2001 2000. 23 Section 19. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1596C of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations 24 Act, subsection (6) of section 403.1826, Florida Statutes, is 25 26 amended to read: 403.1826 Grants, requirements for eligibility.--27 28 (6)(a) A grant may not be made unless the local 29 governmental agency assures the department of the proper and efficient operation and maintenance of the project after 30 construction. Revenue sufficient to ensure that the facility 31 21 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1 | will be self-supporting shall be generated from sources which |
|----|--|
| 2 | include, but are not limited to, service charges and |
| 3 | connection fees. The revenue generated shall provide for |
| 4 | financing future sanitary sewerage capital improvements. The |
| 5 | grantee shall accumulate, during the design life of the |
| 6 | grant-funded project, moneys in an amount equivalent to the |
| 7 | grant amount adjusted for inflationary cost increases. |
| 8 | (b) The department may waive this accumulation |
| 9 | requirement for up to 5 years for a grantee, in a county as |
| 10 | defined by s. 125.011(1), which certifies to the department's |
| 11 | satisfaction that an equivalent amount of money will be used, |
| 12 | above the required amounts, to pay outstanding obligations |
| 13 | resulting from improvements to the system. This paragraph |
| 14 | expires July 1, <u>2001</u> 2000 . |
| 15 | Section 20. In order to implement Specific |
| 16 | Appropriations 1807, 1816, 1822, 1837, 1847, and 1859 of the |
| 17 | 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, subsection (19) is added |
| 18 | to section 216.181, Florida Statutes, read: |
| 19 | 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed |
| 20 | capital outlay |
| 21 | (19) Notwithstanding any other provision of this |
| 22 | chapter to the contrary, the Florida Department of |
| 23 | Transportation, in order to facilitate the transfer of |
| 24 | personnel to the new turnpike headquarters location in Orange |
| 25 | County, may transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity |
| 26 | from other departmental budget entities. The department must |
| 27 | provide documentation to the Executive Office of the Governor, |
| 28 | the chair of the Senate Budget Committee, and the chair of the |
| 29 | House Committee on Transportation and Economic Development |
| 30 | Appropriations for all transfers. This subsection expires July |
| 31 | <u>1, 2001.</u> |
| | 22 |
| | |

| 1 | Section 21. The Division of Pari-mutuel Wagering of |
|----|--|
| 2 | the Department of Business and Professional Regulation shall |
| 3 | transfer all tangible personal property which is owned by the |
| 4 | department and currently in use by the College of Veterinary |
| 5 | Medicine at the University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida, |
| 6 | to the College of Veterinary Medicine at the University of |
| 7 | <u>Florida.</u> |
| 8 | Section 22. The Florida Legislature affirms that all |
| 9 | funds and related interest appropriated to the Instituto |
| 10 | Patriotico y Docente San Carlos, Inc., a Florida |
| 11 | not-for-profit corporation d/b/a/ San Carlos Institute, |
| 12 | including, but not limited to, Public Education Capital Outlay |
| 13 | (PECO) funds, were spent in accordance with legislative |
| 14 | intent; and the Florida Legislature affirms that all matching |
| 15 | fund requirements have been fully met by the San Carlos |
| 16 | Institute. Therefore, the requirement that interest funds not |
| 17 | otherwise specifically contracted for be repaid to the State |
| 18 | of Florida is waived, and the Legislature directs that all |
| 19 | funds appropriated for the San Carlos Institute for fiscal |
| 20 | years 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 be released to the San Carlos |
| 21 | Institute pursuant to legislative intent. |
| 22 | Section 23. The funds provided in the 2000-2001 |
| 23 | General Appropriations Act for workforce development shall be |
| 24 | initially allocated to the school district or community |
| 25 | college as designated. If, for any reason, a program in whole |
| 26 | or in part is moved from a community college to a school |
| 27 | district or moved from a school district to a community |
| 28 | college, the Commissioner of Education or the executive |
| 29 | director of the Division of Community Colleges shall submit a |
| 30 | budget amendment pursuant to chapter 216, Florida Statutes, to |
| 31 | transfer the appropriate amount of the 2000-2001 appropriation |
| | 23 |
| | |

between the affected district and community college. The 1 2 amount transferred shall be as near as practicable to the 3 actual amount appropriated for the FTE funded for that 4 program. This section expires July 1, 2001. Section 24. Prior to the release of funds in Specific 5 6 Appropriation 135 for Leon and St. Johns counties, the 7 Department of Education and the Division of Community Colleges 8 shall jointly conduct an audit to determine whether all FTE, 9 completions and placements and related funds and any other funds from all state sources related to the Law Enforcement 10 Programs recently transferred to St. Johns River Community 11 12 College and Tallahassee Community College have been correctly 13 identified and transferred to the respective colleges. 14 Notwithstanding any provisions of chapter 99-227, Laws of 15 Florida, to the contrary, it is the intent of the Legislature that all funds, including but not limited to the entire FEPP 16 17 categorical programs, Workforce Development funds, performance incentives, Incentive Grants for Expanded Programs, and all 18 19 other state fund sources related to these programs be included 20 in this audit. Notwithstanding any provisions of chapter 99-227, Laws of Florida, to the contrary, all funds identified 21 in this audit shall be shifted to the base of the appropriate 22 23 community college before the provisions of Specific 24 Appropriation 135 are allocated. Section 25. In order to implement Specific 25 26 Appropriation 167 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 27 subsection (8) of 240.2605, Florida Statutes, is amended to 28 read: 29 240.2605 Trust Fund for Major Gifts .--(8) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, 30 for the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year only, for gifts 31 24 CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

received during this period, the university presidents shall 1 provide a list of donations from private donors for challenge 2 3 grants, new donations, major gifts, and the eminent scholars 4 program to be matched for the 2000-2001 1999-2000 fiscal year to the Board of Regents. The listing shall contain an 5 explanation of the donation, a statement of the specific 6 7 benefits accrued to the university as a result of the donation, and how the donation is consistent with the mission 8 9 of the institution, as defined by the Board of Regents in the 1998-2003 Strategic Plan. University presidents shall rank 10 each private donation to their university, giving highest 11 12 priority to private donations that provide additional library resources to universities; donations that provide student 13 14 assistance through scholarships, fellowships, or 15 assistantships; donations that provide funding for existing academic programs at universities; and donations that meet the 16 17 matching requirement without encumbering pledges. The Board of Regents, using the same criteria, shall develop a systemwide 18 19 priority list and may set restrictions on the annual amount of matching funds provided for single donations that exceed \$5 20 million. The Board of Regents shall submit a report to the 21 President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of 22 23 Representatives, and the Executive Office of the Governor by 24 January 15, 2001. 25 Section 26. In order to implement Specific 26 Appropriation 167 of the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act, 27 the university presidents shall provide to the Board of Regents a list of donations received in 2000-2001 from private 28 29 donors for the State University System Facility Enhancement Challenge Grant Program. This listing shall contain an 30 31 explanation of the donation, a statement of the specific 25

benefits accrued to the university as a result of the 1 2 donation, and the projected cost to the state for the 3 operation and maintenance of the facility. The Board of 4 Regents shall review and rank each private donation, giving 5 highest priority to private donations that provide the 6 financial resources for major renovations to existing 7 facilities, particularly instructional facilities, and new 8 space requirements as identified by the space utilization 9 model. This section expires July 1, 2001. Section 27. A section of this act that implements a 10 specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso 11 12 language in the 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act is void if the specific appropriation or specifically identified 13 14 proviso language is vetoed. A section of this act that 15 implements more than one specific appropriation or more than one portion of specifically identified proviso language in the 16 17 2000-2001 General Appropriations Act is void if all the specific appropriations or portions of specifically identified 18 19 proviso language are vetoed. 20 Section 28. If any other act passed during the 2000 Regular Session of the Legislature or any extension thereof 21 22 contains a provision that is substantively the same as a 23 provision in this act, but that removes or is otherwise not subject to the future repeal applied to such provision by this 24 act, the Legislature intends that the provision in the other 25 act shall take precedence and shall continue to operate, 26 notwithstanding the future repeal provided by this act. 27 28 Section 29. The performance measures and standards 29 established in this section for individual programs in education shall be applied to those programs for the 2000-2001 30 31 fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are 26

directly linked to the appropriations made in the General 1 2 Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001 as required by 3 the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994. 4 (1) DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. --5 (a) For the Private Colleges and Universities Program, 6 the outcome measures, output measures, and associated 7 performance standards with respect to funds provided in 8 Specific Appropriations 11, 12, 16-21, 24-27, 29-32, and 35-41 9 are as follows: 10 1. FLORIDA RESIDENT ACCESS GRANT OUTCOME MEASURES.-a. Retention rate of First Time in College (FTIC) 11 12 award recipients, using a 6-year rate.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 13 b. Graduation rate of FTIC award recipients, using a 14 6-year rate..... FY 2001-2002 LBR 2. FLORIDA RESIDENT ACCESS GRANT OUTPUT MEASURE.--15 a. Number of degrees granted by level for FRAG 16 17 recipients and contract program recipients....FY 2001-2002 LBR 3. ACADEMIC CONTRACTS OUTCOME MEASURES.--18 19 a. Retention rate of award recipients.FY 2001-2002 LBR 20 b. Graduation rate of award recipients....FY 2001-2002 21 LBR 22 c. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the percent employed at \$22,000 or more 1 year following 23 graduation.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 24 d. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the 25 26 percent employed at \$22,000 or more 5 years following graduation.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 27 e. Licensure/certification rates of award recipients 28 29 4. ACADEMIC CONTRACTS OUTPUT MEASURES. --30 31 a. Number of prior year's graduates...FY 2001-2002 LBR 27

| 1 | b. Number of prior year's graduates remaining in |
|----|--|
| 2 | FloridaFY 2001-2002 |
| 3 | LBR |
| 4 | 5. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES |
| 5 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Retention rate of students, using a 6-year rateFY |
| 7 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | b. Graduation rate of students, using a 6-year rate.FY |
| 9 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | 6. HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES OUTPUT |
| 11 | MEASURE |
| 12 | a. Number of FTIC students, disaggregated by in-state |
| 13 | and out-of-state |
| 14 | (b) For the Financial Aid Programs, the outcome |
| 15 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 16 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 17 | Appropriations 2 and 55 are as follows: |
| 18 | 1. BRIGHT FUTURES SCHOLARSHIP OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Percent of high school graduates who successfully |
| 20 | completed the 19 core credits60% |
| 21 | b. Retention rate of FTIC award recipients, by |
| 22 | delivery system, using a 4-year rate for community colleges |
| 23 | and a 6-year rate for universities FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | c. Graduation rate of FTIC award recipients, by |
| 25 | delivery system EX 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | d. Percent of high school graduates eligible for |
| 27 | awards who enrolled in a Florida postsecondary institution.84% |
| 28 | e. Percentage of high school graduates attending |
| 29 | Florida postsecondary institutions |
| 30 | 2. BRIGHT FUTURES SCHOLARSHIP OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 31 | a. Number of Bright Futures recipients73,406 |
| | 28 |
| | |

| 1 | 3. FLORIDA STUDENT ASSISTANCE GRANTS (FSAG) OUTCOME |
|----|--|
| 2 | MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Retention rate of FTIC award recipients, by |
| 4 | delivery system EX 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | b. Graduation rate of FTIC award recipients, by |
| б | delivery system EX 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | 4. CRITICAL TEACHER SHORTAGE LOAN FORGIVENESS PROGRAM |
| 8 | OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 9 | a. Percent of recipients who, upon completion of the |
| 10 | program, work in fields in which there are shortagesFY |
| 11 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 12 | (c) For the Public Schools Program, the outcome |
| 13 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 14 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 15 | Appropriations 3, 3A, 5, 5A, 59-113, 115, and 117 are as |
| 16 | follows: |
| 17 | 1. KINDERGARTEN - GRADE TWELVE (K-12) OUTCOME |
| 18 | MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number and percent of teachers with National |
| 20 | Teacher's Certification, reported by district1,046/0.8% |
| 21 | b. Number and percent of "A" schools, reported by |
| 22 | district254/10% |
| 23 | c. Number and percent of schools that receive a grade |
| 24 | of "D" or "F," reported by district |
| 25 | d. Number and percent of schools declining one or more |
| 26 | letter grades, reported by districtFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | e. Number and percent of schools improving one or more |
| 28 | letter grades, reported by districtFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | 2. STATE OVERSIGHT AND ASSISTANCE TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS |
| 30 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 31 | |
| | 29 |
| | |

| _ | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 1 | a. Percent of certificates issued within 30 days after | | |
| 2 | receipt of application | | |
| 3 | b. Number of districts that have implemented a high | | |
| 4 | quality professional development system, as determined by the | | |
| 5 | Department of Education, based on its review of student | | |
| 6 | performance data and the success of districts in defining and | | |
| 7 | meeting the training needs of teachers | | |
| 8 | c. Percent of current fiscal year competitive grants | | |
| 9 | approved by August 1 of current fiscal year | | |
| 10 | 3. STATE OVERSIGHT AND ASSISTANCE TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS | | |
| 11 | OUTPUT MEASURE | | |
| 12 | a. Number of certification applications processed | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | (d) For the Workforce Development Program, the outcome | | |
| 15 | measures, output measures, and associated performance | | |
| 16 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific | | |
| 17 | Appropriations 123-127 and 128-136 are as follows: | | |
| 18 | 1. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME MEASURES | | |
| 19 | a. Number and percent of vocational certificate | | |
| 20 | program completers who are found placed according to the | | |
| 21 | following definitions: | | |
| 22 | (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high | | |
| 23 | wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found | | |
| 24 | employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter12,227/42.6% | | |
| 25 | (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new | | |
| 26 | entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found | | |
| 27 | employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing | | |
| 28 | education in a college credit-level program4,369/15.2% | | |
| 29 | (III) Level I - Completed any program not included in | | |
| 30 | Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military, | | |
| 31 | | | |
| | 30 | | |
| 005 | | | |
| COD | CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. | | |

| 1 | or continuing their education at the vocational certificate |
|----|--|
| 2 | level |
| 3 | b. Number and percent of associate in science degree |
| 4 | and college-credit certificate program completers who are |
| 5 | found placed according to the following definitions: |
| б | (I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high |
| 7 | wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found |
| 8 | employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter6,897/57.9% |
| 9 | (II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new |
| 10 | entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found |
| 11 | employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing |
| 12 | education in a college credit-level program1,351/11.3% |
| 13 | (III) Level I - Completed any program not included in |
| 14 | Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military, |
| 15 | or continuing their education at the vocational certificate |
| 16 | level1,166/13.9% |
| 17 | 2. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Number of adult basic education, including English |
| 19 | as a Second Language, and adult secondary education completion |
| 20 | point completers who are found employed or continuing their |
| 21 | educationFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | (e) For the Community Colleges program, the outcome |
| 23 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 24 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 25 | Appropriations 8 and 137-152 are as follows: |
| 26 | 1. COMMUNITY COLLEGE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Percent of Associate in Arts (AA) degree graduates |
| 28 | who transfer to a state university within 2 years64% |
| 29 | b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State |
| 30 | University System who earn a 2.5 or above in the SUS after a |
| 31 | <u>year73%</u> |
| | 31 |

First Engrossed

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | c. Of the AA graduates who are employed full-time |
|-----|--|
| 2 | rather than continuing their education, the percent which are |
| 3 | in jobs earning at least \$9 an hour |
| 4 | d. Of the AA students who complete 18 credit hours, |
| 5 | the percent of whom graduate in 4 years, disaggregating the |
| б | data by the following groups: ethnic, disabled, limited |
| 7 | English speaking, and economically disadvantaged |
| 8 | e. Percent of students graduating with total |
| 9 | accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 120 |
| 10 | percent of the degree requirement |
| 11 | f. Percent of students exiting the college-preparatory |
| 12 | program who enter college-level course work associated with |
| 13 | the AA, Associate in Science (AS), Postsecondary Vocational |
| 14 | Certificate, and Postsecondary Adult Vocational programs66% |
| 15 | g. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State |
| 16 | University System who started in College Prep and who earn a |
| 17 | 2.5 in the SUS after 1 year72% |
| 18 | 2. COMMUNITY COLLEGE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number of AA degrees granted |
| 20 | b. Number of students receiving college preparatory |
| 21 | instruction |
| 22 | c. Number of students enrolled in baccalaureate |
| 23 | programs offered on community college campusesFY 2001-2002 |
| 24 | LBR |
| 25 | (f) For the Postsecondary Education Planning |
| 26 | Commission (PEPC) program, the outcome measures and associated |
| 27 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 28 | Specific Appropriations 153-158 are as follows: |
| 29 | 1. PEPC OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 30 | a. Completed studies required by statute or the |
| 31 | General Appropriations Act100% |
| | |
| 007 | 32 |

First Engrossed

CS for SB 2202

| 1 (g) For the State University System program, the |
|---|
| 2 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 3 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 4 Appropriations 9A-9D, 160-183A are as follows: |
| 5 <u>1. STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OUTCOME MEASURES</u> |
| 6 <u>a. Graduation rate for First Time in College (FTIC)</u> |
| 7 students, using a 6-year rate61% |
| 8 b. Retention rate for FTIC students, using a 6-year |
| 9 <u>rate</u> |
| 10 c. Graduation rate for AA transfer students, using a |
| 11 <u>4-year rate</u> |
| 12 d. Retention rate for AA transfer students, using a |
| 13 <u>4-year rate80%</u> |
| 14 e. Percent of students graduating with total |
| 15 accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 115 |
| 16 percent of the degree requirement, disaggregating the data by |
| 17 FTIC and AA transfers61% |
| 18 f. Pass rate on licensure/certification exams, for the |
| 19 <u>first sittingFY 2001-2002 LBR</u> |
| 20 g. Of the prior year graduates remaining in Florida, |
| 21 the percent employed at \$22,000 or more 1 year following |
| 22 graduation |
| 23 h. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the |
| 24 percent employed at \$22,000 or more 5 years following |
| 25 graduation |
| 26 <u>i. Percent of undergraduate students enrolled in</u> |
| 27 graduate school upon completion of the baccalaureate degree |
| 28 |
| 29 j. Externally generated research and training grant |
| 30 funds (federal, state, local, business, and industry) per |
| 31 |
| 33 |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| CODING. MOLUS SUITCAEN ALE GELECIONS/ WOLUS <u>UNGELINEG</u> ALE AUGILIONS. |

state-funded ranked faculty full-time equivalent..FY 2001-2002 1 2 LBR 3 k. Average number of articles in Institute for 4 Scientific Information Publication Count per ranked faculty.FY 5 2001-2002 LBR 6 1. For IFAS only, the percent of public service 7 projects where the beneficiary is satisfied or highly 8 9 m. Of the total instructional effort by level, the percent of effort provided by faculty: 10 11 12 13 14 n. Number and percent of qualified Florida students, 15 those applicants meeting BOR admission standards, admitted as 16 FTIC students......FY 2001-2002 17 LBR/95% o. Percent of FTIC students admitted as student 18 19 profile assessments......10% 20 p. Percent of student profile assessments who are 21 out-of-state students.....10% Of total faculty effort allocated for public 22 q. 23 service, the percent devoted to public schools......25% 2. STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OUTPUT MEASURE. --24 25 a. Number of degrees granted, by level: 26 27 (II) Masters......11,008 28 (III) Professional.....1,255 29 (IV) Doctoral.....1,170 30 Section 30. The performance measures and standards established in this section for individual programs in human 31 34

First Engrossed

services agencies shall be applied to those programs for the 1 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance measures and 2 3 standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in 4 the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001 as 5 required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act 6 of 1994. 7 (1) AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION.--8 (a) For the Administration and Support Program, the 9 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 10 11 Appropriations 184-190 are as follows: 12 1. OUTCOME MEASURES.--13 a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency 14 15 b. Information technology costs as a percent of agency 16 administrative costs.....9.6% 2. OUTPUT MEASURE.--17 a. Number of information technology service hours 18 19 20 (b) For the Health Care Services Program, the outcome 21 measures, output measures, and associated performance 22 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 23 Appropriations 191-251 are as follows: 1. CHILDREN SPECIAL HEALTH CARE (KIDCARE PROGRAM) 24 25 OUTCOME MEASURES. --26 a. Percent of eligible uninsured children who receive health benefits coverage.....100% 27 28 b. Percent of children enrolled with up-to-date 29 30 c. Percent of compliance with the standards established in the Guidelines for Health Supervision of 31 35

First Engrossed

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | Children and Youth as developed by the American Academy of |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Pediatrics for children eligible under the program80% |
| 3 | d. Percent of families satisfied with the care |
| 4 | provided under the program |
| 5 | 2. CHILDREN SPECIAL HEALTH CARE (KIDCARE PROGRAM) |
| 6 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Total number of uninsured children enrolled in |
| 8 | KidCare |
| 9 | b. Number of uninsured children enrolled in Florida |
| 10 | Healthy Kids261,927 |
| 11 | c. Number of uninsured children enrolled in Medikids |
| 12 | |
| 13 | d. Number of uninsured children enrolled in Children's |
| 14 | Medical Services Network |
| 15 | e. Number of uninsured children enrolled in the |
| 16 | Medicaid Expansion16,735 |
| 17 | f. Number of uninsured children enrolled in Medicaid |
| 18 | as a result of outreach efforts |
| 19 | 3. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 20 | MEASURE |
| 21 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
| 22 | costs |
| 23 | 4. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 24 | MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Average number of days between receipt of clean |
| 26 | Medicaid claim and payment16 |
| 27 | b. Number of Medicaid claims received115,612,455 |
| 28 | c. Number of Medicaid claims paid75,319,381 |
| 29 | 5. MEDICAID SERVICES TO INDIVIDUALS OUTCOME |
| 30 | MEASURES |
| 31 | |
| | 36 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |
CS for SB 2202

| 1 | a. Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care |
|---------|--|
| 2 | <u></u> |
| 3 | b. Neonatal mortality rate per 1,0004.70 |
| 4 | c. Average number of months between pregnancies for |
| 5 | those receiving family planning services |
| 6 | d. Percent of eligible children who received all |
| 7 | required components of EPSDT screen |
| 8 | e. Percent of child hospitalizations for conditions |
| 9 | preventable with good ambulatory care |
| 10 | f. Percent of nondisabled working age adult |
| 11 | hospitalizations for conditions preventable with good |
| 12 | ambulatory care12.5% |
| 13 | g. Percent of disabled working age adult |
| 14 | hospitalizations for conditions preventable with good |
| 15 | ambulatory care13.5% |
| 16 | h. Percent of elder hospitalizations for conditions |
| 17 | preventable with good ambulatory care |
| 18 | 6. MEDICAID SERVICES TO INDIVIDUALS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number of women receiving prenatal care143,852 |
| 20 | b. Number of vaginal deliveries |
| 21 | c. Number of women receiving family planning services |
| 22 | |
| 23 | d. Number of children ages 1-20 enrolled in Medicaid |
| 24 | 1,179,147 |
| 25 | e. Number of children receiving EPSDT services.193,031 |
| 26 | f. Number of hospital inpatient services provided to |
| 27 | children |
| 28 | g. Number of physician services provided to children |
| 29 | |
| 30 | h. Number of prescribed drugs provided to children |
| 31 | |
| | |
| 005 | 37 |
| 1 1 1 1 | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | i. Percent of nondisabled adults receiving a service |
|--------|---|
| 1 2 | |
| 3 | j. Percent of enrolled disabled adults receiving a |
| 4 | service |
| т 5 | k. Percent of hospital stays for elder recipients |
| 6 | exceeding length of stay criteria |
| 7 | 1. Number of elders enrolled in long term care waivers |
| 8 | |
| 9 | m. Number of hospital inpatient services provided to |
| 10 | |
| - | elders |
| 11 | n. Number of physician services provided to elders |
| 12 | |
| 13 | o. Number of prescribed drugs provided to elders |
| 14 | |
| 15 | 7. MEDICAID LONG TERM CARE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Percent of elder hospitalizations for conditions |
| 17 | preventable with good ambulatory care |
| 18 | b. Percent of developmentally disabled |
| 19 | hospitalizations for conditions preventable with good |
| 20 | ambulatory care |
| 21 | 8. MEDICAID PREPAID HEALTH PLAN OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Percent of elder and disabled hospitalizations for |
| 23 | conditions preventable with good ambulatory care15% |
| 24 | b. Percent of women and child hospitalizations for |
| 25 | conditions preventable with good ambulatory care14.2% |
| 26 | (c) For the Health Care Regulation Program, the |
| 27 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 28 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 29 | Appropriations 252-263 are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. HEALTH FACILITIES AND PRACTITIONER REGULATION |
| 31 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| | 38 |
| | 1 |

| . | |
|-----|---|
| 1 | a. Percent of Priority I practitioner investigations |
| 2 | resulting in emergency action25% |
| 3 | b. Average number of days to take emergency action on |
| 4 | Priority I practitioner investigations |
| 5 | c. Percent of cease and desist orders issued to |
| 6 | unlicensed practitioners in which another complaint of |
| 7 | unlicensed activity is subsequently filed against the same |
| 8 | practitioner |
| 9 | d. Percent of initial investigations and |
| 10 | recommendations as to the existence of probable cause |
| 11 | completed within 180 days after receipt of complaint85% |
| 12 | e. Percent of investigations of alleged unlicensed |
| 13 | facilities and programs that have been previously issued a |
| 14 | cease and desist order, that are confirmed as repeated |
| 15 | unlicensed activity |
| 16 | f. Percent of Priority I consumer complaints about |
| 17 | licensed facilities and programs that are investigated within |
| 18 | 48 hours |
| 19 | g. Percent of accredited hospitals and ambulatory |
| 20 | surgical centers cited for not complying with life safety, |
| 21 | licensure, or emergency access standards |
| 22 | h. Percent of validation surveys that are consistent |
| 23 | with findings noted during the accreditation survey98% |
| 24 | i. Percent of nursing home facilities with |
| 25 | deficiencies that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, |
| 26 | or welfare of the public2% |
| 27 | j. Percent of assisted living facilities with |
| 28 | deficiencies that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, |
| 29 | or welfare of the public |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 39 |
| നവന | TNC.Words strighter are deletions: words underlined are additions |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | k. Percent of home health facilities with deficiencies |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, or welfare |
| 3 | of the public |
| 4 | 1. Percent of clinical laboratories with deficiencies |
| 5 | that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, or welfare |
| 6 | of the public |
| 7 | m. Percent of ambulatory surgical centers with |
| 8 | deficiencies that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, |
| 9 | or welfare of the public2% |
| 10 | n. Percent of hospitals with deficiencies that pose a |
| 11 | serious threat to the health, safety, or welfare of the public |
| 12 | |
| 13 | o. Percent of hospitals that fail to report serious |
| 14 | incidents (agency identified)5% |
| 15 | p. Percent of hospitals that fail to report peer |
| 16 | review disciplinary actions (agency identified)2% |
| 17 | q. Percent of new recipients voluntarily selecting |
| 18 | managed care plan |
| 19 | r. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
| 20 | costs |
| 21 | 2. HEALTH FACILITIES AND PRACTITIONER REGULATION |
| 22 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Number of practitioner complaints determined |
| 24 | legally sufficient6,836 |
| 25 | b. Number of legally sufficient practitioner |
| 26 | complaints resolved by findings of no probable cause (nolle |
| 27 | prosse)1,182 |
| 28 | c. Number of legally sufficient practitioner |
| 29 | complaints resolved by findings of no probable cause (letters |
| 30 | of guidance)1,095 |
| 31 | |
| | 40 |
| <i>a</i> ~- | 40 |
| | |

| 1 | d. Number of legally sufficient practitioner |
|----|--|
| 2 | complaints resolved by findings of no probable cause (notice |
| 3 | of noncompliance)3 |
| 4 | e. Number of legally sufficient practitioner |
| 5 | complaints resolved by findings of probable cause - issuance |
| 6 | of citation for minor violations |
| 7 | f. Number of legally sufficient practitioner |
| 8 | complaints resolved by findings of stipulations or informal |
| 9 | hearings |
| 10 | g. Number of legally sufficient practitioner |
| 11 | complaints resolved by findings of formal hearings37 |
| 12 | h. Average number of practitioner complaint |
| 13 | investigations per FTE227 |
| 14 | i. Number of inquiries to the call center regarding |
| 15 | practitioner licensure and disciplinary information115,230 |
| 16 | j. Number of facility emergency actions taken89 |
| 17 | k. Total number of full facility quality-of-care |
| 18 | surveys conducted4,980 |
| 19 | 1. Number of nursing home full facility |
| 20 | quality-of-care surveys conducted |
| 21 | m. Number of assisted living facility full facility |
| 22 | quality-of-care surveys conducted |
| 23 | n. Number of home health agency full facility |
| 24 | quality-of-care surveys conducted |
| 25 | o. Number of clinical laboratory full facility |
| 26 | quality-of-care surveys conducted |
| 27 | p. Number of hospital full facility quality-of-care |
| 28 | surveys conducted |
| 29 | q. Number of other full facility quality-of-care |
| 30 | surveys conducted1,084 |
| 31 | |
| | 41 |
| | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | r. Average processing time (in days) for Statewide |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Provider and Subscriber Assistance Panel cases |
| 3 | s. Number of nursing home plans and construction |
| 4 | reviews performed1,100 |
| 5 | t. Number of hospital plan and construction reviews |
| 6 | performed |
| 7 | u. Number of ambulatory surgical center plans and |
| 8 | construction reviewed200 |
| 9 | v. Average number of hours for a nursing home plans |
| 10 | and construction review |
| 11 | w. Average number of hours for a hospital plans and |
| 12 | construction review35 |
| 13 | x. Average number of hours for an ambulatory surgical |
| 14 | center plans and construction review |
| 15 | y. Number of new enrollees provided choice counseling |
| 16 | |
| 17 | (2) DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES |
| 18 | (a) For the Executive Leadership Program, the outcome |
| 19 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 20 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 21 | Appropriations 264-268 are as follows: |
| 22 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 23 | MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency |
| 25 | costs0.3% |
| 26 | (b) For the Support Services Program, the outcome |
| 27 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 28 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 29 | Appropriations 269-293 are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 31 | |
| | 42 |
| COT | TNG.Words stricten are deletions: words underlined are additions |

First Engrossed

Information technology costs as a percent of total 1 a. 2 2. 3 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURES .--4 a. Number of computer programs supported......22,485 5 b. Number of computer programs designed and developed 6 7 3. ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION OUTCOME 8 MEASURE.--9 a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency 10 costs......1% 4. DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OUTCOME MEASURE. --11 12 a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency 13 14 (c) For the Family Safety Program, the outcome 15 measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 16 17 Appropriations 294-325 are as follows: 1. CHILD CARE REGULATION AND INFORMATION OUTCOME 18 MEASURE.--19 20 a. Percent of licensed child care facilities and homes 21 with no class 1 (serious) violations during their licensure 22 2. CHILD CARE REGULATION AND INFORMATION OUTPUT 23 24 MEASURE.--25 a. Number of facilities and homes licensed.....5,692 26 3. ADULT PROTECTION OUTCOME MEASURES. --27 a. Percent of protective supervision cases in which no 28 report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received 29 while the case is open (from beginning of protective 30 31 43 CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | b. Ratio of domestic violence incidents reported |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | resulting in injury or harm to clients as a result of |
| 3 | inadequate security procedures per 1,000 shelter days |
| 4 | |
| 5 | c. Percent of adult and child victims in shelter more |
| 6 | than 72 hours having a plan for family safety and security |
| 7 | when they leave shelter95% |
| 8 | 4. ADULT PROTECTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Number of investigations |
| 10 | b. Number of persons receiving protective supervision |
| 11 | services |
| 12 | c. Number of persons referred to other agencies1,700 |
| 13 | d. Number of individuals served in emergency shelters |
| 14 | |
| 15 | e. Number of individuals counseled |
| 16 | 5. CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION OUTCOME |
| 17 | MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Percent of children in families who complete |
| 19 | intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 months or more |
| 20 | who are not abused or neglected within 12 months after program |
| 21 | completion |
| 22 | b. Per capita child abuse rate |
| 23 | c. Number of families served |
| 24 | 6. CHILD PROTECTION AND PERMANENCY OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Percent of children who have no findings of child |
| 26 | maltreatment within 1 year after case closure from services |
| 27 | |
| 28 | b. Percent of children reunified with family who |
| 29 | return to foster care within 1 year after case closure3% |
| 30 | c. Percent of children not abused or neglected during |
| 31 | services |
| | |
| a a- | 44 |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | d. Percent of children who exited out-of-home care by |
|----|--|
| 2 | the 12th month |
| 3 | e. Percent of cases reviewed by supervisors in |
| 4 | accordance with department timeframes for early warning system |
| 5 | |
| 6 | f. Percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours |
| 7 | |
| 8 | g. Percent of investigations completed within 30 days |
| 9 | |
| 10 | h. Percent of children removed from a home who are |
| 11 | placed with a relative as a result of a child protective |
| 12 | investigation |
| 13 | i. Percent of children removed from a home who are |
| 14 | placed in out-of-home care (excluding relative placements) as |
| 15 | a result of a child protective investigation2001-2002 LBR |
| 16 | j. Percent of foster homes that exceed their licensed |
| 17 | capacity without a current waiver |
| 18 | k. Percent of case plans completed within 60 days |
| 19 | after the child is removed from the home2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | 1. Percent of children who are adopted of the number |
| 21 | of children legally available for adoption |
| 22 | 7. CHILD PROTECTION AND PERMANENCY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Reports of child abuse/neglect |
| 24 | b. Children identified as abused/neglected during year |
| 25 | |
| 26 | c. Children receiving adoptive services4,500 |
| 27 | d. Children receiving adoption subsidies13,209 |
| 28 | 8. FLORIDA ABUSE HOTLINE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 29 | a. Percent of abandoned calls made to the Florida |
| 30 | Abuse Hotline |
| 31 | 9. FLORIDA ABUSE HOTLINE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| | |
| | 45 |

| i | |
|----|---|
| 1 | a. Calls answered474,204 |
| 2 | 10. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE OUTCOME |
| 3 | MEASURE |
| 4 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
| 5 | <u>costs</u> |
| б | (d) For the Persons with Disabilities Program, the |
| 7 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 8 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 9 | Appropriations 326-360 are as follows: |
| 10 | 1. DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES PUBLIC FACILITIES OUTCOME |
| 11 | MEASURES |
| 12 | a. Annual number of significant reportable incidents |
| 13 | per 100 persons with developmental disabilities living in |
| 14 | developmental services institutions |
| 15 | b. Percent of people with improved quality of life.40% |
| 16 | 2. DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES PUBLIC FACILITIES OUTPUT |
| 17 | MEASURES |
| 18 | a. Adults incompetent to proceed provided competency |
| 19 | training and custodial care in the Mentally Retarded |
| 20 | Defendants Program141 |
| 21 | b. Adults receiving services in developmental services |
| 22 | institutions1,419 |
| 23 | 3. HOME AND COMMUNITY SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Percent of people receiving home and community |
| 25 | services with improved quality of life (waiver and nonwaiver) |
| 26 | |
| 27 | b. Percent of people receiving private ICF/DD with |
| 28 | <pre>improved quality of life40%</pre> |
| 29 | c. Percent of people who have a quality-of-life score |
| 30 | of 19 out of 25 or greater on the Outcome Based Performance |
| 31 | Measures Assessment at annual reassessment |
| | 46 |
| | 70 |

| 1 d. Percent of people who are employed in integrat | ed |
|---|----------|
| 2 settings | 26% |
| 3 4. HOME AND COMMUNITY SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES | |
| 4 a. Children and adults provided residential care | .5,330 |
| 5 b. Number of people served in the community (not | in |
| 6 private ICF/DDs) | 27,891 |
| 7 <u>c.</u> Number of people served in private facilities | .2,084 |
| 8 5. IN-HOME SERVICES FOR DISABLED ADULTS OUTCOME | |
| 9 MEASURE | |
| 10 <u>a. Percent of adults with disabilities receiving</u> | |
| 11 services who are not placed in a nursing home | 99% |
| 12 6. IN-HOME SERVICES FOR DISABLED ADULTS OUTPUT | |
| 13 MEASURE | |
| 14 a. Number of disabled adults provided in-home sup | ports |
| 15 | 1,302 |
| 16 7. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE OUTCOME | |
| 17 <u>MEASURE</u> | |
| 18 <u>a. Administrative cost as a percent of total prog</u> | gram |
| 19 <u>costs</u> | .0.12% |
| 20 (e) For the Mental Health Program, the outcome | |
| 21 measures, output measures, and associated performance | |
| 22 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific | |
| 23 Appropriations 361-390 are as follows: | |
| 24 <u>1. VIOLENT SEXUAL PREDATOR OUTPUT MEASURES</u> | |
| 25 <u>a.</u> Number of sexual predators served | .4,750 |
| 26 b. Number of people served who are committed | 89 |
| 27 c. Number of people served who are noncommitted. | 60 |
| 28 <u>2. ADULT COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OUTCOME</u> | <u>c</u> |
| 29 <u>MEASURES</u> | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 47 | |
| CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are add | litions. |
| | |

| - | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | a. Average annual number of days spent in the |
| 2 | community (not in institutions or other facilities) for adults |
| 3 | with a serious and persistent mental illness |
| 4 | b. Average functional level based on Global Assessment |
| 5 | of Functioning score for adults with a serious and persistent |
| 6 | mental illness |
| 7 | c. Average annual days worked for pay for adults with |
| 8 | a serious and persistent mental illness |
| 9 | d. Percent of clients with a serious and persistent |
| 10 | mental illness who worked during the year2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | e. Percent of community partners (serious and |
| 12 | persistent mental illness) satisfied based on survey90% |
| 13 | f. Average Global Assessment of Functioning scale |
| 14 | change score for adults in mental health crisis8 |
| 15 | g. Percent of adults in mental health crisis not |
| 16 | readmitted within 30 days97% |
| 17 | h. Percent of community partners (adults in mental |
| 18 | health crisis) satisfied based on survey |
| 19 | i. Average functional level based on Global Assessment |
| 20 | of Functioning score for adults with forensic involvement45 |
| 21 | j. Percent of adults with forensic involvement who |
| 22 | violate their conditional release under chapter 916, Florida |
| 23 | Statutes, and are recommitted4% |
| 24 | k. Percent of community partners (adults in mental |
| 25 | health crisis) satisfied based on survey |
| 26 | 1. Average annual number of days spent in the |
| 27 | community (not in institutions or other facilities) for adults |
| 28 | with forensic involvement |
| 29 | 3. ADULT COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 30 | MEASURES |
| 31 | |
| | 40 |
| a | 48 |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | a. Number of adults with a serious and persistent |
|----|---|
| 2 | mental illness in the community served |
| 3 | b. Number of adults in mental health crisis served |
| 4 | |
| 5 | c. Number of adults with forensic involvement served |
| 6 | |
| 7 | 4. CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 8 | MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Percent of children with mental illness restored to |
| 10 | competency and recommended to proceed with a judicial hearing |
| 11 | |
| 12 | b. Percent of children with mental retardation |
| 13 | restored to competency and recommended to proceed with a |
| 14 | judicial hearing68% |
| 15 | c. Percent of community partners satisfied with |
| 16 | program (children incompetent to proceed in Juvenile Justice) |
| 17 | based upon a survey90% |
| 18 | d. Projected annual days serious emotionally disturbed |
| 19 | (SED) children (excluding those in juvenile justice |
| 20 | facilities) spend in the community |
| 21 | e. Percent of available school days SED children |
| 22 | attended during the last 30 days |
| 23 | f. Percent of SED community partners satisfied based |
| 24 | on a survey |
| 25 | g. Average functional level score SED children will |
| 26 | have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of |
| 27 | Functioning scale |
| 28 | h. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition |
| 29 | or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving |
| 30 | the presented problem and symptoms of the serious disturbance |
| 31 | recorded in the initial assessment 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 40 |
| | 49 |

CS for SB 2202

| - | |
|--------------|---|
| 1 | i. Projected annual days emotionally disturbed (ED) |
| 2 | children (excluding those in juvenile justice facilities) |
| 3 | spend in the community |
| 4 | j. Percent of available days ED children attended |
| 5 | school during the last 30 days89% |
| 6 | k. Percent of ED community partners satisfied based on |
| 7 | <u>a</u> survey |
| 8 | 1. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition |
| 9 | or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving |
| 10 | the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional |
| 11 | disturbance recorded in the initial assessment2001-2002 LBR |
| 12 | m. Average functional level score ED children will |
| 13 | have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of |
| 14 | Functioning scale |
| 15 | 5. CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 16 | MEASURES |
| 17 | a. Number served who are incompetent to proceed226 |
| 18 | b. Number of SED children to be served |
| 19 | c. Number of ED children to be served18,272 |
| 20 | d. Number of at-risk children to be served2,000 |
| 21 | 6. ADULT MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT FACILITIES OUTCOME |
| 22 | MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Percent of civil commitment patients who improve |
| 24 | mental health based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome |
| 25 | Scale |
| 26 | b. Average civil commitment scores on community |
| 27 | readiness/ability surveyBR |
| 28 | c. Percent of civil commitment patients readmitted |
| 29 | within 1 year2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | d. Percent of civil commitment community partners |
| 31 | satisfied based on surveyBR |
| | |
| a • - | 50 |

| 1 | e. Percent of people in civil commitment served who |
|----|---|
| 2 | are discharged to the community40% |
| 3 | f. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents |
| 4 | in civil commitment in each mental health institution15 |
| 5 | g. Average number of days to restore competency for |
| 6 | adults in forensic commitment |
| 7 | h. Percent of forensic residents restored to |
| 8 | competency |
| 9 | i. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents |
| 10 | in forensic commitment in each mental health institution5 |
| 11 | j. Percent of forensic commitment community partners |
| 12 | satisfied based on survey |
| 13 | 7. ADULT MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT FACILITIES OUTPUT |
| 14 | MEASURES |
| 15 | a. Number of people in civil commitment served2,700 |
| 16 | b. Number of civil commitment adult abuse reports |
| 17 | confirmed or proposed confirmed2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | c. Number of forensic commitment adult abuse reports |
| 19 | confirmed or proposed confirmed2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | d. Number of adults in forensic commitment served |
| 21 | |
| 22 | 8. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE OUTCOME |
| 23 | MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
| 25 | costs |
| 26 | (f) For the Substance Abuse Program, the outcome |
| 27 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 28 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 29 | Appropriations 391-398B are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURE |
| | |
| | 51 |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | costs |
| 3 | 2. CHILD SUBSTANCE-ABUSE-PREVENTION, EVALUATION, AND |
| 4 | TREATMENT SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 5 | a. Percent of children with substance abuse who |
| 6 | complete treatment72% |
| 7 | b. Percent of children with substance abuse who are |
| 8 | drug free during the 12 months following completion of |
| 9 | treatment |
| 10 | c. Percent of children with substance abuse under the |
| 11 | supervision of the state receiving substance-abuse treatment |
| 12 | who are not committed to the Department of Juvenile Justice |
| 13 | during the 12 months following treatment completion85% |
| 14 | d. Percent of community partners satisfied based on |
| 15 | survey |
| 16 | e. Percent of children at risk of substance abuse in |
| 17 | targeted prevention programs who achieve expected level of |
| 18 | improvement in reading |
| 19 | f. Percent of children at risk of substance abuse in |
| 20 | targeted prevention programs who achieve expected level of |
| 21 | improvement in math75% |
| 22 | g. Percent of children at risk of substance abuse who |
| 23 | receive targeted prevention services who are not admitted to |
| 24 | substance-abuse services during the 12 months after completion |
| 25 | of prevention services95% |
| 26 | 3. CHILD SUBSTANCE-ABUSE-PREVENTION SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 27 | MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Number of children with substance-abuse problems |
| 29 | served |
| 30 | b. Number of children with substance abuse completing |
| 31 | treatment |
| | |
| | 52 |
| $-\alpha n$ | unu nu |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | c. Number of children receiving aftercare/follow-up |
|------------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | d. Number of at-risk children served in targeted |
| 4 | prevention |
| 5 | e. Number of prevention services to children at risk |
| 6 | |
| 7 | 4. ADULT SUBSTANCE-ABUSE-PREVENTION, EVALUATION, AND |
| 8 | TREATMENT SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Percent of adults who are drug free during the 12 |
| 10 | months following completion of treatment |
| 11 | b. Percent of adults employed upon discharge from |
| 12 | treatment services65% |
| 13 | c. Percent change in the number of clients with |
| 14 | arrests within 90 days following discharge compared to number |
| 15 | with arrests within 90 days prior to admission |
| 16 | d. Percent of community partners satisfied based on |
| 17 | survey |
| 18 | e. Percent of adults in child welfare protective |
| 19 | supervision who have case plans requiring substance-abuse |
| 20 | treatment who are receiving treatment |
| 21 | f. Percent of clients who complete treatment68% |
| 22 | 5. ADULT SUBSTANCE-ABUSE-PREVENTION, EVALUATION, AND |
| 23 | TREATMENT SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Number of adults served |
| 25 | b. Number of adults in child welfare protective |
| 26 | supervision who have case plans requiring substance-abuse |
| 27 | treatment who are receiving treatment |
| 28 | c. Number of adults provided detoxification and crisis |
| 29 | supports |
| 30 | d. Number of at-risk adults provided prevention |
| 31 | services |
| | |
| a - | 53 |

| i | |
|----|---|
| 1 | e. Number of adults provided treatment, as measured by |
| 2 | the number completing treatment |
| 3 | f. Number of adults in need given aftercare/follow-up |
| 4 | 14,826 |
| 5 | (g) For the Economic Self-Sufficiency Program, the |
| 6 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 7 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 8 | Appropriations 399-435 are as follows: |
| 9 | 1. COMPREHENSIVE ELIGIBILITY SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 10 | MEASURE |
| 11 | a. Percent of all applications processed within time |
| 12 | standards |
| 13 | 2. COMPREHENSIVE ELIGIBILITY SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 14 | MEASURE |
| 15 | a. Total number of applications |
| 16 | 3. PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE OUTCOME |
| 17 | MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
| 19 | costs |
| 20 | 4. FRAUD PREVENTION AND BENEFIT RECOVERY OUTCOME |
| 21 | MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Percent of Food Stamp benefits determined |
| 23 | accurately |
| 24 | b. Percent of cash assistance benefits determined |
| 25 | accurately93.89% |
| 26 | c. Percent of dollars collected for established |
| 27 | benefit recovery claims64.10% |
| 28 | d. Percent of suspected fraud cases referred that |
| 29 | result in front-end fraud prevention savings |
| 30 | 5. FRAUD PREVENTION AND BENEFIT RECOVERY OUTPUT |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 54 |
| | J4 |

| 1 | a. Dollars collected through benefit recovery |
|----|--|
| 2 | \$14,725,000 |
| 3 | b. Number of front-end fraud prevention investigations |
| 4 | completed |
| 5 | c. Dollars saved through front-end fraud prevention |
| 6 | \$18,929,800 |
| 7 | 6. SPECIAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Percent of applications processed within time |
| 9 | standards |
| 10 | 7. SPECIAL ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 11 | a. Number of applications processed for Optional State |
| 12 | Supplementation payments |
| 13 | 8. WORK AND GAIN ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY (WAGES) AND |
| 14 | EMPLOYMENT SUPPORTS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 15 | a. Percent of 4-year-old children placed with |
| 16 | contracted providers in care for 9 months who enter |
| 17 | kindergarten ready to learn as determined by DOE or local |
| 18 | school systems' readiness assessment |
| 19 | b. Percent of cash and welfare-transition clients who |
| 20 | need child care who receive subsidized child care services |
| 21 | |
| 22 | c. Percent of working poor clients |
| 23 | (nonwelfare-transition) who receive subsidized child care |
| 24 | services |
| 25 | 9. WORK AND GAIN ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY (WAGES) AND |
| 26 | EMPLOYMENT SUPPORTS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Number of cash assistance participants referred to |
| 28 | the regional workforce development boards |
| 29 | b. Number of children who received subsidized child |
| 30 | care services147,085 |
| 31 | 10. REFUGEES OUTCOME MEASURE |
| | E F |
| | 55 |

First Engrossed

| 1 <u>a. Percent of Refugee Assistance cases accurately</u> | |
|---|-----|
| 2 closed at 8 months or less | 988 |
| 3 <u>11. REFUGEES OUTPUT MEASURE</u> | |
| 4 a. Number of refugee cases closed5, | 340 |
| 5 (3) DEPARTMENT OF ELDERLY AFFAIRS | |
| 6 (a) For the Services to Elders Program, the outcome | |
| 7 measures, output measures, and associated performance | |
| 8 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific | |
| 9 Appropriations 436-461 are as follows: | |
| 10 1. COMPREHENSIVE ELIGIBILITY SERVICES OUTCOME | |
| 11 MEASURES | |
| 12 a. Percent of elders CARES determined to be eligible | 2 |
| 13 for nursing home placement who are diverted16 | 8% |
| 14 b. Percent of CARES imminent-risk referrals served. | 908 |
| 15 2. COMPREHENSIVE ELIGIBILITY SERVICES OUTPUT | |
| 16 MEASURE | |
| 17 a. Total number of CARES assessments64, | 856 |
| 18 3. HOME AND COMMUNITY SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES | |
| 19 a. Percent of Adult Protective Services (APS) | |
| 20 referrals who are in need of immediate services to prevent | |
| 21 further harm who are served within 72 hours | 95% |
| 22 b. Costs of home and community-based care (including | J |
| 23 non-DOEA programs) is less than nursing home care for | _ |
| 24 comparable client groupsFY 2001-2002 | BR |
| 25 c. Percent of elders assessed with high or | |
| 26 moderate-risk environments who improved their environment | |
| 27 score | 708 |
| 28 d. Percent of new service recipients with high-risk | |
| 29 nutrition scores whose nutritional status improved60 | 0% |
| 30 e. Percent of new service recipients whose ADL | |
| 31 assessment score has been maintained or improved60 | 6% |
| | |
| 56 | |

| 1 f. Percent of new service recipients whose IADL | |
|--|----|
| 2 assessment score has been maintained or improved60.0% | |
| 3 g. Percent of family and family-assisted caregivers | |
| 4 who self-report they are very likely to provide care92% | |
| 5 h. Percent of Community Care for the Elderly clients | |
| 6 defined as "probable Medicaid eligibles" who remain in | |
| 7 state-funded programs15% | |
| 8 4. HOME AND COMMUNITY SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES | |
| 9 <u>a.</u> Number of people served | |
| 10 b. Number of congregate meals provided4,709,932 | |
| 11 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME | |
| 12 MEASURES | |
| 13 a. Administrative and support cost as a percent of | |
| 14 total agency costs4% | |
| b. Increase the percent of participants passing the | |
| 16 <u>competency test80%</u> | |
| 17 c. Agency information technology cost as a percent of | |
| 18 total agency costs0.6% | |
| 19 <u>6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT</u> | |
| 20 MEASURE | |
| 21 <u>a. Number of Assisted Living Facility and Adult Family</u> | |
| 22 Care Home proprietors and staff trained7,000 | |
| 23 <u>7. CONSUMER ADVOCATE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE</u> | |
| 24 <u>a. Percent of complaint investigations initiated</u> | |
| 25 within 5 working days90% | |
| 26 8. CONSUMER ADVOCATE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES | |
| 27 <u>a. Number of judicially approved guardianship plans</u> | |
| 28 | |
| 29 <u>b. Number of complaint investigations completed8,500</u> | |
| 30 (4) DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH | |
| 31 | |
| 57 | |
| CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions | s. |

| 1 | (a) For the Executive Direction and Administration |
|------|--|
| 2 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 3 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 4 | Specific Appropriations 462-474 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 6 | MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 8 | costs |
| 9 | b. Percent of middle and high school students who |
| 10 | report using tobacco products in the last 30 days25.5% |
| 11 | 2. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 12 | MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Number of middle and high school students provided |
| 14 | comprehensive tobacco prevention education121,185 |
| 15 | 3. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Percent of hardware, software, and networks meeting |
| 17 | department standards98% |
| 18 | 4. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number of custom and in-house applications |
| 20 | supported |
| 21 | b. Number of personal computers, servers, and e-mail |
| 22 | users supported19,588 |
| 23 | (b) For the Community Public Health Program, the |
| 24 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 25 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 26 | Appropriations 475-544 are as follows: |
| 27 | 1. FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Total infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births |
| 29 | 6.9 |
| 30 | b. Nonwhite infant mortality rate per 1,000 nonwhite |
| 31 | births10.7 |
| | 58 |
| תריט | Jo DING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | c. Percent of low-birth-weight births among prenatal |
| 2 | Women, Infants, and Children program clients |
| 3 | d. Live births to mothers age 15-19 per 1,000 females |
| 4 | 15-19 |
| 5 | e. Percent of mothers 15-19 having a repeat birth16% |
| 6 | f. Percent of targeted low-income population receiving |
| 7 | dental health services from a county health department10.5% |
| 8 | g. Percent of students who visit the health clinic and |
| 9 | are able to return to class rather than leaving school90% |
| 10 | 2. FAMILY HEALTH SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of women and infants receiving Healthy Start |
| 12 | services |
| 13 | b. Average monthly participants in Women, Infants, and |
| 14 | Children program |
| 15 | c. Number of clients served in county health |
| 16 | department Family Planning programs |
| 17 | d. Number of teens age 15-19 served in county health |
| 18 | department Family Planning programs |
| 19 | e. Number of adults and children receiving county |
| 20 | health department sponsored professional dental care79,400 |
| 21 | f. Number of children served in the county health |
| 22 | department Child Health program |
| 23 | g. Number of School Health nursing assessments |
| 24 | provided |
| 25 | h. Number of women, infants, and children provided |
| 26 | food and nutrition services (WIC and Child Care Food)443,100 |
| 27 | i. Number of KidCare outreach services1,680,000 |
| 28 | 3. INFECTIOUS-DISEASE PREVENTION AND CONTROL OUTCOME |
| 29 | MEASURES |
| 30 | a. AIDS case rate per 100,000 population35.5 |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 59 |
| | TNC Words atriater are deletions: words underlined are additions |

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | b. HIV/AIDS resident total deaths per 100,000 |
|----|---|
| 2 | population |
| 3 | c. Chlamydia case rate per 100,000 population195.0 |
| 4 | d. Tuberculosis case rate per 100,000 population8.0 |
| 5 | e. Immunization rate among 2-year-olds |
| 6 | f. Vaccine-preventable disease rate per 100,000 |
| 7 | population |
| 8 | 4. INFECTIOUS-DISEASE PREVENTION AND CONTROL OUTPUT |
| 9 | MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Number of HIV/AIDS counseling and testing services |
| 11 | provided annually |
| 12 | b. Number of HIV partner notification services |
| 13 | provided annually |
| 14 | c. Number of clients served in county health |
| 15 | department sexually transmitted disease programs |
| 16 | d. Number of tuberculosis medical management services |
| 17 | provided |
| 18 | e. Number of patients who complete tuberculosis |
| 19 | therapy at the A.G. Holley tuberculosis hospital90 |
| 20 | f. Number of immunization services provided by county |
| 21 | public health departments |
| 22 | g. Number of HIV/AIDS patient care services provided |
| 23 | to individuals |
| 24 | 5. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Food and waterborne disease cases per 1,000 |
| 26 | facilities regulated by the department |
| 27 | b. Overall sanitation and safety score in department |
| 28 | regulated facilities97.2% |
| 29 | c. Septic tank failure rate per 1,000 within 2 years |
| 30 | after system installation2.4 |
| 31 | 6. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| | 60 |
| | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | a. Number of department regulated facilities inspected |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | b. Number of onsite sewage disposal system inspections |
| 4 | completed |
| 5 | c. Control of radiation threats as measured by the |
| б | number of x-ray machines inspected |
| 7 | d. Number of water systems and storage tanks inspected |
| 8 | |
| 9 | 7. STATEWIDE HEALTH SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 10 | MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Percent saved on prescription drugs compared to |
| 12 | market price |
| 13 | b. Percent of laboratory samples passing standardized |
| 14 | proficiency testing100% |
| 15 | c. Percent of vital statistics records completed |
| 16 | within established timeframes |
| 17 | (c) For the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Program, |
| 18 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 19 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 20 | Specific Appropriations 545-571A are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. CHILDREN'S SPECIAL HEALTH CARE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Percent of families in Children's Medical Services |
| 23 | (CMS) Program Network indicating a positive perception of care |
| 24 | |
| 25 | b. Percent of CMS Network enrollees in compliance with |
| 26 | the periodicity schedule for well-child care |
| 27 | c. Percent of eligible infants/toddlers provided CMS |
| 28 | program Early Intervention program services |
| 29 | d. Percent of Child Protection Team (CPT) team |
| 30 | assessments provided to Family Safety and Preservation program |
| 31 | within established timeframes90% |
| | 61 |
| | |

| 1 | 2. CHILDREN'S SPECIAL HEALTH CARE OUTPUT MEASURES |
|----|--|
| 2 | a. Number of children enrolled in CMS program Network |
| 3 | (Medicaid and Non-Medicaid) |
| 4 | b. Number of clients receiving services in the CMS |
| 5 | program Early Intervention program |
| 6 | c. Number of children receiving Child Protection Team |
| 7 | (CPT) assessments |
| 8 | (d) For the Health Care Practitioner and Access |
| 9 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 10 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 11 | Specific Appropriations 572-592 are as follows: |
| 12 | 1. MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of unlicensed individuals identified and |
| 14 | referred to State Attorneys |
| 15 | b. Percent of health care practitioners' applications |
| 16 | for licensure completed within 90 days |
| 17 | 2. MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 18 | a. Number of unlicensed individuals investigated364 |
| 19 | b. Number of initial health care practitioner licenses |
| 20 | processed |
| 21 | c. Number of initial health care practitioner licenses |
| 22 | issued |
| 23 | d. Number of licenses issued and renewed by mail |
| 24 | |
| 25 | 3. COMMUNITY HEALTH RESOURCES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 26 | a. Percent of emergency medical service providers |
| 27 | found to have a significant deficiency during licensure |
| 28 | inspection |
| 29 | b. Age-adjusted injury death rate per 100,00057 |
| 30 | c. Number of emergency medical service providers |
| 31 | licensed annually249 |
| | 62 |

| 1 d. Number of medical students who do a rotation in a |
|---|
| 1d. Number of medical students who do a rotation in a2medically underserved area |
| |
| |
| 4 services through Work Force Development |
| 5 <u>4. COMMUNITY HEALTH RESOURCES OUTPUT MEASURES</u> |
| 6 <u>a. Number of providers recruited for underserved areas</u> |
| 7 $\dots \dots \dots$ |
| 8 <u>b. Number of brain and spinal cord injury victims</u> 9 reintegrated to the community |
| |
| 10 <u>c. Number of emergency medical services providers</u> |
| 11 licensed and emergency medical technicians and paramedics |
| 12 <u>certified</u> |
| 13 (e) For the Disability Determinations Program, the |
| 14 <u>outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance</u> |
| 15 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 16 Appropriations 592A-592C are as follows: |
| 17 <u>1. DISABILITY BENEFITS DETERMINATIONS OUTCOME</u> |
| 18 <u>MEASURE</u> |
| 19 <u>a. Percent of Title II and XVI disability decisions</u> |
| 20 completed accurately as measured by the Social Security |
| 21 <u>Administration</u> |
| 22 <u>2. DISABILITY BENEFITS DETERMINATIONS OUTPUT</u> |
| 23 <u>MEASURE</u> |
| 24 <u>a. Number of Title II and XVI disability decisions</u> |
| 25 <u>completed</u> |
| 26 (5) DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS |
| 27 (a) For the Services to Veterans Program, the outcome |
| 28 measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 29 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 30 Appropriations 593-611 are as follows: |
| 31 <u>1. VETERANS' HOMES OUTCOME MEASURES</u> |
| 63 |
| CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | a. Occupancy rate for veterans homes in operation for |
|----|---|
| 2 | <u>2 years or longer75%</u> |
| 3 | b. Percent of veterans' homes that received gold-star |
| 4 | certification by AHCA LBR |
| 5 | 2. VETERANS' HOMES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Number of veterans' homes beds available |
| 7 | 3. VETERANS' CLAIMS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Percent of "ready to rate" claims submitted to |
| 9 | USDVA compared to total claims submitted |
| 10 | 4. VETERANS' CLAIMS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of veterans served |
| 12 | b. Number of claims processed |
| 13 | 5. VETERANS' FIELD SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 14 | a. Value of cost avoidance because of issue resolution |
| 15 | \$4,680,000 |
| 16 | 6. VETERANS' FIELD SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 17 | a. Number of veterans served (benefited) by issue |
| 18 | resolution |
| 19 | 7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 20 | MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency |
| 22 | costs |
| 23 | b. Percent of time computer network is available for |
| 24 | use or response time |
| 25 | c. Number of veterans or eligible dependents enrolled |
| 26 | in certified educational programs |
| 27 | d. Percent of veterans, families, and survivors aware |
| 28 | of FDVA services |
| 29 | e. Percent of schools certified after submission of |
| 30 | |
| | application100% |
| 31 | |
| | 64 |
| | TNG.Words strictor are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1 | 8. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT |
|-----|--|
| 2 | MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Number of constituents served |
| 4 | b. Value of veterans' education benefits paid |
| 5 | \$110,000,000 |
| 6 | c. Number of Florida education institution programs |
| 7 | certified |
| 8 | d. Number of staff supported by the information |
| 9 | technology service through networking, software, and hardware |
| 10 | support |
| 11 | Section 31. The performance measures and standards |
| 12 | established in this section for individual programs in public |
| 13 | safety and judiciary agencies shall be applied to those |
| 14 | programs for the 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance |
| 15 | measures and standards are directly linked to the |
| 16 | appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for |
| 17 | Fiscal Year 2000-2001 as required by the Government |
| 18 | Performance and Accountability Act of 1994. |
| 19 | (1) DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS |
| 20 | (a) For the Administration Program, the outcome |
| 21 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 22 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 23 | Appropriations 612-624 are as follows: |
| 24 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION & SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 25 | MEASURE |
| 26 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 27 | <u>costs11.0%</u> |
| 28 | 2. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 29 | a. Percent of fully operational hours of Corrections |
| 30 | Data Center |
| 31 | |
| | 65 |
| COD | I VING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

First Engrossed

| 1 | (b) For the Security and Institutional Operations |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 3 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 4 | Specific Appropriations 625-700 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. ADULT MALE CUSTODY OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| б | a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of |
| 7 | major institutions0 |
| 8 | b. Number of batteries committed by inmates on one or |
| 9 | more persons per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | c. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary |
| 11 | reports per 1,000 inmates |
| 12 | d. Percent of random inmate drug tests that are |
| 13 | negative |
| 14 | 2. ADULT FEMALE CUSTODY OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 15 | a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of |
| 16 | major institutions0 |
| 17 | b. Number of batteries committed by inmates on one or |
| 18 | more persons per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 19 | c. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary |
| 20 | reports per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 21 | d. Percent of random inmate drug tests that are |
| 22 | negative |
| 23 | 3. MALE YOUTHFUL OFFENDER OPERATIONS OUTCOME |
| 24 | MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of |
| 26 | <pre>major institutions0</pre> |
| 27 | b. Number of batteries committed by inmates on one or |
| 28 | more persons per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | c. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary |
| 30 | reports per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | |
| | 66 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | d. Percent of random inmate drug tests that are |
|--------------|---|
| 2 | negative |
| 3 | 4. SPECIALTY INSTITUTION OPERATIONS OUTCOME |
| 4 | MEASURES |
| 5 | a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of |
| 6 | major institutions0 |
| 7 | b. Number of batteries committed by inmates on one or |
| 8 | more persons per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | c. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary |
| 10 | reports per 1,000 inmates |
| 11 | d. Percent of random inmate drug tests that are |
| 12 | negative |
| 13 | 5. RECEPTION CENTER OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 14 | a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of |
| 15 | major institutions0 |
| 16 | b. Number of batteries committed by inmates on one or |
| 17 | more persons per 1,000 inmatesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | c. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary |
| 19 | reports per 1,000 inmates |
| 20 | d. Percent of random inmate drug tests that are |
| 21 | negative |
| 22 | 6. PUBLIC SERVICE WORK SQUADS & WORK RELEASE |
| 23 | TRANSITION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Number of available work assignments35,203 |
| 25 | b. Number of inmates available for work assignments |
| 26 | FY 2001-2002 |
| 27 | LBR |
| 28 | c. Percent of those available for work who are not |
| 29 | assigned1.4% |
| 30 | d. Percent of available inmates working83.0% |
| 31 | 7. ROAD PRISONS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| | 67 |
| a • - | |

| 1 | a. Annual cost savings to the state for using inmate |
|-----|--|
| 2 | labor for maintenance of state rights-of-wayFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 3 | 8. OFFENDER MANAGEMENT & CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 4 | a. Percent of inmates who did not escape when assigned |
| 5 | outside a secure perimeter |
| 6 | b. Percent of inmates placed in a facility that |
| 7 | provides at least one of inmate's primary program needsFY |
| 8 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | 9. OFFENDER MANAGEMENT & CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 10 | a. Number of new inmates received and oriented26,831 |
| 11 | 10. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION & SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 12 | MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Percent of victim notifications that meet the |
| 14 | statutory time period requirementsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | 11. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION & SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 16 | MEASURE |
| 17 | a. Percent of reported criminal incidents investigated |
| 18 | by Inspector General's OfficeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 19 | 12. CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAINTENANCE & REPAIR |
| 20 | OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 21 | a. Square footage maintained in major institutions |
| 22 | 16.5 М |
| 23 | (c) For the Community Corrections Program, the outcome |
| 24 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 25 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 26 | Appropriations 701-736 are as follows: |
| 27 | 1. PROBATION SUPERVISION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Percent of offenders that successfully complete |
| 29 | their sentence or are still under supervision at the end of a |
| 30 | 2-year measurement period56.9% |
| 31 | |
| | 68 |
| רסי | TNG:Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1 | b. Status of offenders 2 years after the period of |
|----|---|
| 2 | supervision was imposed: |
| 3 | (I) Revoked: |
| 4 | (A) Number |
| 5 | (B) Percent |
| 6 | (II) Absconded: |
| 7 | (A) Number |
| 8 | (B) Percent |
| 9 | c. Percent of offenders who successfully complete |
| 10 | supervision and are not subsequently recommitted to DOC for |
| 11 | committing a new crime within 2 years: |
| 12 | (I) To prison |
| 13 | (II) To supervision |
| 14 | 2. PROBATION SUPERVISION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 15 | a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders |
| 16 | supervised in the community compared to the department |
| 17 | standard: |
| 18 | (I) AdministrativeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 19 | (II) Basic risk |
| 20 | (III) Enhanced riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 21 | (IV) Intensive riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | (V) Close riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 23 | 3. DRUG OFFENDER PROBATION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Percent of offenders that successfully complete |
| 25 | their sentence or are still under supervision at the end of a |
| 26 | 2-year measurement period56.9% |
| 27 | b. Status of offenders 2 years after the period of |
| 28 | supervision was imposed: |
| 29 | (I) Revoked: |
| 30 | (A) Number |
| 31 | (B) Percent |
| | 69 |

| 1 | (II) Absconded: |
|-----|--|
| 2 | (A) Number |
| 3 | (B) Percent |
| 4 | c. Percent of offenders who successfully complete |
| 5 | supervision and are not subsequently recommitted to DOC for |
| 6 | committing a new crime within 2 years: |
| 7 | (I) To prison98.9% |
| 8 | (II) To supervision94.4% |
| 9 | 4. DRUG OFFENDER PROBATION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders |
| 11 | supervised in the community compared to the department |
| 12 | standard: |
| 13 | (I) Administrative |
| 14 | (II) Basic risk |
| 15 | (III) Enhanced riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 16 | (IV) Intensive risk |
| 17 | (V) Close risk |
| 18 | 5. PRETRIAL INTERVENTION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Percent of offenders that successfully complete |
| 20 | their sentence or are still under supervision at the end of a |
| 21 | 2-year measurement period56.9% |
| 22 | b. Status of offenders 2 years after the period of |
| 23 | supervision was imposed: |
| 24 | (I) Revoked: |
| 25 | (A) Number |
| 26 | (B) Percent |
| 27 | (II) Absconded: |
| 28 | (A) Number |
| 29 | (B) Percent |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| | 70 |
| COD | I ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| | |

| 1 | c. Percent of offenders who successfully complete |
|----|---|
| 2 | supervision and are not subsequently recommitted to DOC for |
| 3 | committing a new crime within 2 years: |
| 4 | (I) To prison |
| 5 | (II) To supervision |
| б | 6. PRETRIAL INTERVENTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders |
| 8 | supervised in the community compared to the department |
| 9 | standard: |
| 10 | (I) Administrative |
| 11 | (II) Basic risk |
| 12 | (III) Enhanced riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | (IV) Intensive riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | (V) Close risk |
| 15 | 7. COMMUNITY CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Percent of offenders that successfully complete |
| 17 | their sentence or are still under supervision at the end of a |
| 18 | 2-year measurement period56.9% |
| 19 | b. Status of offenders 2 years after the period of |
| 20 | supervision was imposed: |
| 21 | (I) Revoked: |
| 22 | (A) Number |
| 23 | (B) Percent |
| 24 | (II) Absconded: |
| 25 | (A) Number |
| 26 | (B) Percent |
| 27 | c. Percent of offenders who successfully complete |
| 28 | supervision and are not subsequently recommitted to DOC for |
| 29 | committing a new crime within 2 years: |
| 30 | (I) To prison |
| 31 | (II) To supervision94.4% |
| | |
| | 71 |

| 1 | 8. COMMUNITY CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURE |
|----|---|
| 2 | a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders |
| 3 | supervised in the community compared to the department |
| 4 | standardFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | 9. POST-PRISON-RELEASE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Percent of offenders that successfully complete |
| 7 | their sentence or are still under supervision at the end of a |
| 8 | 2-year measurement period56.9% |
| 9 | b. Status of offenders 2 years after the period of |
| 10 | supervision was imposed: |
| 11 | (I) Revoked: |
| 12 | (A) Number |
| 13 | (B) Percent |
| 14 | (II) Absconded: |
| 15 | (A) Number |
| 16 | (B) Percent |
| 17 | c. Percent of offenders who successfully complete |
| 18 | supervision and are not subsequently recommitted to DOC for |
| 19 | committing a new crime within 2 years: |
| 20 | (I) To prison |
| 21 | (II) To supervision94.4% |
| 22 | 10. POST-PRISON-RELEASE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders |
| 24 | supervised in the community compared to the department |
| 25 | standard: |
| 26 | (I) AdministrativeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | (II) Basic riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | (III) Enhanced riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | (IV) Intensive riskFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | (V) Close risk |
| 31 | 11. ADULT SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| | 72 |
| | TNC.Words attriater are deletions: words underlined are additions |
| 1 | a. Substance abuse tests administered to offenders |
|-----|--|
| 2 | being supervised in the communityFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 3 | 12. OFFENDER MANAGEMENT & CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 4 | a. Score sheets processed |
| 5 | (d) For the Health Care Program, the outcome measures, |
| б | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 7 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 737-750 |
| 8 | are as follows: |
| 9 | 1. INMATE HEALTH SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Health care grievances that are upheld: |
| 11 | (I) Number |
| 12 | (II) Percent |
| 13 | b. Number of suicides per 100,000 inmates compared to |
| 14 | the national average for correctional facilities/institutions: |
| 15 | (I) Within DOC |
| 16 | (II) National averageFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | c. Comparison of per diems for General Medical |
| 18 | Services: |
| 19 | (I) DOC |
| 20 | (II) HMOFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 21 | (III) Medicaid HMOFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | d. Comparison of per diems for Mental Health Services: |
| 23 | (I) DOC |
| 24 | (II) HMOFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 25 | (III) Medicaid HMOFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | e. Comparison of per diems for hospitalization |
| 27 | contracts: |
| 28 | (I) DOC |
| 29 | (II) HMO |
| 30 | (III) Medicaid HMOFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | |
| | 70 |
| 005 | 73 |
| | |

| 1 | (e) For the Correctional Education and Programs |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 3 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 4 | Specific Appropriations 751-766 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. ADULT SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION, EVALUATION, AND |
| 6 | TREATMENT SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Percent of community supervision offenders who have |
| 8 | completed drug treatment without subsequent recommitment to |
| 9 | community supervision or prison within 24 months after release |
| 10 | |
| 11 | b. Percent of inmates who have completed drug |
| 12 | treatment without subsequent recommitment to community |
| 13 | supervision or prison within 24 months after release72.9% |
| 14 | c. Percent of inmates who need programs and |
| 15 | successfully complete Drug Abuse Education/Treatment programs |
| 16 | |
| 17 | 2. BASIC EDUCATION SKILLS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 18 | a. Percent of inmates who successfully complete |
| 19 | Mandatory Literacy Programs |
| 20 | b. Percent of inmates who successfully complete GED |
| 21 | Education Programs14.0% |
| 22 | c. Percent of inmates who successfully complete |
| 23 | Vocational Education Programs |
| 24 | d. Percent of inmates who participate in Special |
| 25 | Education (Federal Law) ProgramsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | e. Percent of inmates completing mandatory literacy |
| 27 | programs who score at or above 9th grade level on next Test |
| 28 | for Adult Basic Education (TABE)16.0% |
| 29 | 2. ADULT OFFENDER TRANSITION, REHABILITATION, AND |
| 30 | SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 31 | |
| | 74 |
| 200 | /4 ING.Words strictor are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1 | a. Percent of community supervision offenders who |
|----|--|
| 2 | successfully complete transition, rehabilitation, or support |
| 3 | programs without subsequent recommitment to community |
| 4 | supervision or prison for 24 months after release85.5% |
| 5 | b. Percent of inmates who successfully complete |
| 6 | transition, rehabilitation, or support programs without |
| 7 | subsequent recommitment to community supervision or prison for |
| 8 | 24 months after release72.9% |
| 9 | 3. ADULT OFFENDER TRANSITION, REHABILITATION, AND |
| 10 | SUPPORT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of transition plans completed for inmates |
| 12 | released from prison LBR |
| 13 | b. Percent of transition plans completed for inmates |
| 14 | released from prison LBR |
| 15 | c. Percent of inmates participating in religious |
| 16 | programmingFY |
| 17 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | (2) JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION |
| 19 | (a) For the Justice Administrative Commission Program, |
| 20 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 21 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 22 | Specific Appropriations 767-781 are as follows: |
| 23 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 24 | MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Administrative costs as a percentage of total |
| 26 | agency costs |
| 27 | b. Number of material/substantial audit findings |
| 28 | related to areas of direct JAC responsibility to its customers |
| 29 | |
| 30 | c. Percent of invoices processed within statutory |
| 31 | timeframesFY |
| | |
| | 75 |

| 1 | 2001-2002 LBR |
|----|--|
| 2 | 2. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 3 | MEASURES |
| 4 | a. Number of budget amendments processed and agency |
| 5 | transfers processed |
| 6 | b. Number of accounting transactions (FLAIR) inputFY |
| 7 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | c. Number of financial reports producedFY 2001-2002 |
| 9 | LBR |
| 10 | d. Number of reports preparedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | e. Number of employee and position transactions |
| 12 | (COPES) processed by typeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | f. Number of IRM reports provided to the State |
| 14 | Technology Office EX 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | g. Number of JAC Staff users directly supportedFY |
| 16 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | h. Number of Public Records RequestsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | (b) For the Criminal Prosecutions and Civil Actions |
| 19 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 20 | performance standards with respect to funds provided to each |
| 21 | State Attorney Office in Specific Appropriations 782-924 are |
| 22 | as follows: |
| 23 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Number of dispositions by trial verdicts, pleas, |
| 25 | nontrial, and otherwise disposed ofFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | b. Percent of dispositions by trial verdicts, pleas, |
| 27 | nontrial, and otherwise disposed ofFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | c. Offenders who qualify for enhanced sentencing for |
| 29 | whom state attorneys requested enhanced sentencing and the |
| 30 | number for whom judges ordered enhanced sentencing "Enhanced |
| 31 | Sentencing" includes Habitual Offender, Violent Habitual, |
| | 76 |
| | |

Violent Career Criminal, Prison Releasee Reoffender, 1 10-20-Life, and Three-Strikes statutes: 2 3 4 5 d. Number of Baker Act hearings in which the 6 recommendation of the state attorney was supported by the 7 e. Cases in which restitution was recommended and 8 9 ordered: 10 11 12 f. Cases in which child support was requested and 13 ordered: 14 15 q. Percent of substantiated Bar grievances filed 16 17 annually.....0.0% h. Annual attorney turnover rates.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 18 19 i. Average years of prosecution experience..... FY 20 2001-2002 LBR 21 2. OUTPUT MEASURES.-a. Number of criminal case referrals: 22 23 (II) Felony......FY 2001-2002 LBR 24 25 26 Number of filings: b. 27 (I) Misdemeanor.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 28 (II) Felony......FY 2001-2002 LBR 29 c. Average number of referrals per attorney: 30 Misdemeanor.....FY 2001-2002 LBR 31 (I) 77

| 1 | (II) Felony |
|----|--|
| 2 | (III) Juvenile |
| 3 | d. Average number of filings per attorney: |
| 4 | (I) Misdemeanor |
| 5 | (II) Felony |
| б | (III) Juvenile |
| 7 | e. Number of cases investigated/reviewedFY 2001-2002 |
| 8 | LBR |
| 9 | f. Number of victim contacts and notifications FY |
| 10 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | g. Number of witness contacts and notifications FY |
| 12 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | h. Number of truancy interventionsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | i. Number of citizen dispute mediations FY 2001-2002 |
| 15 | LBR |
| 16 | j. Number of worthless check diversions FY 2001-2002 |
| 17 | LBR |
| 18 | k. Number of domestic violence diversions FY |
| 19 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | 1. Number of statutory pretrial interventions FY |
| 21 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | m. Number of cases referred to drug court.FY 2001-2002 |
| 23 | LBR |
| 24 | n. Number of postconviction relief responses9,000 |
| 25 | o. Number of Habeas Corpus responsesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | p. Number of actions for the following: |
| 27 | (I) Public records requestsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | (II) Bond validationsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | (III) ExpungementsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | (IV) ForfeitureFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | (V) Baker Act hearingsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 78 |
| | |

| 1 | (VI) Bond estreaturesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
|-----|---|
| 2 | q. Number of sexual predator civil commitment |
| 3 | proceedingsFY |
| 4 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | r. Number of child welfare referrals receivedFY |
| 6 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | s. Number of child support enforcement referralsFY |
| 8 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | (c) For the Public Defender Trial Program, the outcome |
| 10 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 11 | standards with respect to funds provided to each Trial Public |
| 12 | Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 925-1044 are as |
| 13 | follows: |
| 14 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 15 | a. Percent of clients in custody contacted within 72 |
| 16 | hours after appointment to a public defender |
| 17 | b. Percent of felony and misdemeanor cases resolved |
| 18 | within speedy trial rule unless dismissed |
| 19 | c. Percent of substantiated Bar grievances filed |
| 20 | annually0.0% |
| 21 | d. Average years of defense experienceFY 2001-2002 |
| 22 | LBR |
| 23 | e. Annual attorney turnover rates |
| 24 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of criminal cases closed |
| 26 | b. Number of civil cases closed |
| 27 | c. Number of pleasFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | d. Number of trialsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | e. Number of cases nolle prossed or dismissedFY |
| 30 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | f. Number of clients representedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 79 |
| ~~~ | |

| 2 h. Number of violation of probation hearingsFY 3 2001-2002 LBR 4 i. Number of initial interviews for assigned cases.FY 5 2001-2002 LBR 6 (d) For the Public Defender Appellate Program, the 7 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 8 standards with respect to funds provided to each Appellate 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 are as follows: 1 11 OUTCOME MEASURES a. Percent of appeals resolved |
|---|
| 3 2001-2002 LER 4 i. Number of initial interviews for assigned casesFY 5 2001-2002 LER 6 (d) For the Public Defender Appellate Program, the 7 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 8 standards with respect to funds provided to each Appellate 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 are as follows: i. OUTCOME MEASURES 1 1. OUTCOME MEASURES a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| 4 i. Number of initial interviews for assigned casesFY 5 2001-2002 LBR 6 (d) For the Public Defender Appellate Program, the 7 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 8 standards with respect to funds provided to each Appellate 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 10 are as follows: 11 1. OUTCOME MEASURES 12 a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| 6 (d) For the Public Defender Appellate Program, the 7 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 8 standards with respect to funds provided to each Appellate 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 are as follows: 1 11 0UTCOME MEASURES 12 a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| 7 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 8 standards with respect to funds provided to each Appellate 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 10 are as follows: 11 1. OUTCOME MEASURES 12 a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| 8 standards with respect to funds provided to each Appellate 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 are as follows: 1. OUTCOME MEASURES 11 1. OUTCOME MEASURES 12 a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| 9 Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations 1045-1069 are as follows: 11 1. OUTCOME MEASURES 12 a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| are as follows: 11 1. OUTCOME MEASURES a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| 1. OUTCOME MEASURES a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| a. Percent of appeals resolved |
| b. Percent of substantiated Bar grievances filed annually0.0% c. Average years of defense experienceFY 2001-2002 LBR 17 d. Annual attorney turnover rates |
| annually |
| 15 c. Average years of defense experienceFY 2001-2002 16 LBR 17 d. Annual attorney turnover rates |
| 16 LBR 17 d. Annual attorney turnover rates9.0% 18 2. OUTPUT MEASURES 19 a. Number of clients representedFY 2001-2002 LBR 20 b. Number of briefs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 21 c. Number of writs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 22 d. Number of cases closed |
| 17 d. Annual attorney turnover rates9.0% 18 2. OUTPUT MEASURES 19 a. Number of clients representedFY 2001-2002 LBR 20 b. Number of briefs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 21 c. Number of writs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 22 d. Number of cases closed |
| 18 2. OUTPUT MEASURES 19 a. Number of clients representedFY 2001-2002 LBR 20 b. Number of briefs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 21 c. Number of writs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 22 d. Number of cases closed4,739 23 (e) For the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels |
| a.Number of clients representedFY 2001-2002 LBRb.Number of briefs filedFY 2001-2002 LBRc.Number of writs filedFY 2001-2002 LBRd.Number of cases closedFY 2001-2002 LBRd.Number of cases closed4,739(e)For the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels |
| 20 b. Number of briefs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 21 c. Number of writs filedFY 2001-2002 LBR 22 d. Number of cases closedFY 2001-2002 LBR 23 (e) For the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels |
| c.Number of writs filedFY 2001-2002 LBRd.Number of cases closed4,739(e)For the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels |
| d.Number of cases closed4,739(e)For the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels |
| 23 (e) For the Capital Collateral Regional Counsels |
| |
| Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| |
| 25 <u>performance standards with respect to funds provided to each</u> |
| Appellate Public Defender Office in Specific Appropriations |
| 27 <u>1070-1092 are as follows:</u> |
| 28 <u>1. OUTCOME MEASURES</u> |
| a. Percent of cases in which postconviction motion, |
| 30 postconviction appeal, federal habeas corpus motion, or |
| 31 |
| 80 |
| I CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | federal appeal is timely filed, without extension FY |
|-----|--|
| 2 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 3 | b. Number of decisions by the court to release a death |
| 4 | row inmate FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | c. Number of new trials granted to death row inmates |
| 6 | |
| 7 | d. Number of new sentencing hearings grantedFY |
| 8 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | e. Number of other appeals grantedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | f. Percent of substantiated Bar grievances filed |
| 11 | annually0.0% |
| 12 | g. Annual attorney turnover ratesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | h. Average years of postconviction experienceFY |
| 14 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Number of death row public records requests |
| 17 | processed, as measured by number of record analyses made180 |
| 18 | b. Number of death row cases investigated/analyzed.139 |
| 19 | c. Number of death row case requests for public |
| 20 | records made FY |
| 21 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | d. Number of formal legal and background death row |
| 23 | case public record analyses madeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | e. Average number of hours per public records analysis |
| 25 | FY 2001-2002 |
| 26 | LBR |
| 27 | f. Number of death row cases investigated.FY 2001-2002 |
| 28 | LBR |
| 29 | g. Number of witnesses and experts interviewedFY |
| 30 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | |
| | 81 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | h. Number of death penalty inmate contacts madeFY |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 3 | i. Number of postconviction and appellate actionsFY |
| 4 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | j. Number of issues raised by CCRC that are formally |
| 6 | considered by the courtsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | k. Percent of issues raised by CCRC that are formally |
| 8 | considered by the courtsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | 1. Requested extensions of time granted following |
| 10 | court considerations: |
| 11 | (I) Number |
| 12 | (II) Percent |
| 13 | m. Number of CCRC court issues not ruled on by the |
| 14 | courts due to strength of at least one issueFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | (3) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE |
| 16 | (a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the outcome |
| 17 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 18 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 19 | Appropriations 1093-1101 are as follows: |
| 20 | 1. DETENTION CENTERS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Number of escapes from secure detention facilities |
| 22 | per 100,000 resident days0 |
| 23 | b. Number of batteries per 100,000 resident days while |
| 24 | in secure detention: |
| 25 | (I) Youth on youth |
| 26 | (II) Youth on staff |
| 27 | c. Percent of youth who remain crime free while in |
| 28 | secure detention |
| 29 | 2. DETENTION CENTERS OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 30 | a. Number of admissions to secure detention facilities |
| 31 | |
| | 82 |
| 6 07 | |

| 1 | 3. HOME DETENTION OUTCOME MEASURE |
|-----|---|
| 2 | a. Percent of successful completions without |
| 3 | committing a new law or contract violation, failure to appear, |
| 4 | an abscond, or contempt of court |
| 5 | 4. HOME DETENTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| б | a. Number of admissions into home detention35,549 |
| 7 | b. Average daily population for home detentionFY |
| 8 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | (b) For the Probation and Community Corrections |
| 10 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 11 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 12 | Specific Appropriations 1102-1111 are as follows: |
| 13 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 14 | a. Percent of youth who remain crime free during |
| 15 | aftercare supervision65.0% |
| 16 | b. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after |
| 17 | release from nonresidential commitment |
| 18 | c. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after |
| 19 | release from probation |
| 20 | d. Percent of juveniles who remain crime free within 1 |
| 21 | year after release from aftercareFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | e. Average time in days to make recommendations to the |
| 23 | State Attorney once the law enforcement report is received9 |
| 24 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Youth received at intakeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | b. Number of youth under aftercare supervisionFY |
| 27 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | c. Number of youth under probation supervisionFY |
| 29 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | d. Number of youth receiving nonresidential |
| 31 | delinquency rehabilitation servicesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 83 |
| COD | TNG: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

| 1 | e. Average annual community control and intake |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | caseload (agency standard is 32:1)40:1 |
| 3 | (c) For the Office of the Secretary/Assistant |
| 4 | Secretary for Administrative Services Program, the outcome |
| 5 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 6 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 7 | Appropriations 1112-1121A are as follows: |
| 8 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION & SUPPORT SERVICES PROGRAM |
| 9 | OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 10 | a. Administrative costs as a percentage of total |
| 11 | agency costs7.5% |
| 12 | 2. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Response time for youthful offender face sheet |
| 14 | inquiries in seconds (current is 75 seconds) |
| 15 | 3. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Youth tracked by the Juvenile Justice Information |
| 17 | System |
| 18 | (d) For the Residential Corrections Program, the |
| 19 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 20 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 21 | Appropriations 1122-1139 are as follows: |
| 22 | 1. NONSECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after |
| 24 | release |
| 25 | b. Percent of escapes from nonsecure residential |
| 26 | commitment programsEY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | c. Number of youth-on-youth batteries per 100 youth.FY |
| 28 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | d. Number of youth-on-staff batteries per 100 youth.FY |
| 30 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | e. Nonexempt contracts awarded on a competitive basis: |
| | 84 |
| 6 07 | |

| 1 | (I) Number |
|-----|--|
| 2 | (II) Percent |
| 3 | f. Percent of residential commitment program reviews |
| 4 | conducted by Quality Assurance, which indicate satisfactory or |
| 5 | higher ratings on overall quality (calendar year).FY 2001-2002 |
| 6 | LBR |
| 7 | 2. NONSECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 8 | a. Youth served in nonsecure residential commitment: |
| 9 | (I) Total number of youth served |
| 10 | (II) Average daily population of youth servedFY |
| 11 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 12 | b. Number of residential commitment beds on lineFY |
| 13 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | c. Youth receiving substance abuse treatment2,386 |
| 15 | 3. SECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Percent of youth who remain crime free 1 year after |
| 17 | <u>release53.0%</u> |
| 18 | b. Percent of escapes |
| 19 | c. Number of youth-on-youth batteries per 100 youth.FY |
| 20 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 21 | d. Number of youth-on-staff batteries per 100 youth.FY |
| 22 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 23 | e. Nonexempt contracts awarded on a competitive basis: |
| 24 | (I) Number |
| 25 | (II) Percent |
| 26 | f. Percent of residential commitment program reviews |
| 27 | conducted by Quality Assurance, which indicate satisfactory or |
| 28 | higher ratings on overall quality (calendar year).FY 2001-2002 |
| 29 | LBR |
| 30 | 4. SECURE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 31 | a. Youth served in secure residential commitment: |
| | 0 5 |
| 005 | 85 |

| 1 | (I) Total number of youth served |
|----------|--|
| 2 | (II) Average daily population of youth servedFY |
| 3 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 4 | b. Number of residential commitment beds on lineFY |
| 5 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 6 | (e) For the Prevention and Victim Services, the |
| 7 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 8 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 9 | Appropriations 1140-1149A are as follows: |
| 10 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 11 | a. Percent of youth who remain crime free 6 months |
| 12 | after receiving prevention services |
| 13 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 14 | a. Number of youth served with prevention services |
| 15 | |
| 16 | (4) DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT |
| 17 | (a) For the Office of Executive Direction & Business |
| 18 | Support Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and |
| 19 | associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 20 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1150-1160D are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Administrative costs as a percentage of total |
| 23 | agency costs5.1% |
| 24 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of internal investigations conducted100 |
| 26 | b. Number of Florida law enforcement agencies |
| 27 | accredited/reaccredited25 |
| 28 | c. Total number of accredited Florida law enforcement |
| 29 | agencies |
| 30 | (b) For the Criminal Justice Investigations and |
| 31 | Forensic Science Program, the outcome measures, output |
| | 86 |
| a | |

| 1 | measures, and associated performance standards with respect to |
|--|---|
| 2 | funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1161-1174 are as |
| 3 | follows: |
| 4 | 1. LABORATORY SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 5 | a. Lab service requests completed: |
| 6 | (I) Number |
| 7 | (II) Percent |
| 8 | b. Average number of days to complete lab service |
| 9 | requests by lab discipline: |
| 10 | (I) Toxicology |
| 11 | (II) Chemistry |
| 12 | (III) Crime Scene |
| 13 | (IV) Firearms |
| 14 | (V) Documents |
| 15 | (VI) Automated Fingerprint Identification System |
| 16 | (AFIS) FY |
| 17 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | (VII) Latents |
| | (VIII) Serology/DNA150 |
| 19 | |
| 19 20 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| - | |
| 20 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 21 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis85 |
| 20 21 22 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis |
| 20 21 22 23 | (IX)Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR(X)Microanalysis |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | <pre>(IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis</pre> |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 | <pre>(IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis</pre> |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR(X) Microanalysis |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | (IX) Computer Evidence Recovery (CER).FY 2001-2002 LBR (X) Microanalysis |

| - | (-) | |
|-----|--------------------|--|
| 1 | <u>(I)</u> | Number |
| 2 | <u>(II)</u> | Percent |
| 3 | <u>4.</u> | INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 4 | <u>a.</u> | Number of criminal investigations worked2,878 |
| 5 | <u>b.</u> | Number of criminal investigations commenced1,549 |
| 6 | с. | Number of criminal investigations closed1,314 |
| 7 | <u>d.</u> | Percent of criminal investigations closed47.5% |
| 8 | e. | Number of short-term investigative assists worked |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | 5. | MUTUAL AID & PROTECTIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. | Number of background investigations performed.3,500 |
| 12 | b. | Number of dignitaries provided with FDLE protective |
| 13 | services | |
| 14 | (c) | For the Criminal Justice Information Program, the |
| 15 | outcome mea | asures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 16 | standards | with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 17 | Appropriat | ions 1175-1182 are as follows: |
| 18 | 1. | INFORMATION NETWORK SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 19 | a. | Percent of responses from FCIC hot files that |
| 20 | contain sul | ostantive information within defined timeframes |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | b. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | 2. | INFORMATION NETWORK SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 25 | a. | Number of FCIC workstations networked18,000 |
| 26 | | PREVENTION AND CRIME INFORMATION SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 27 | MEASURES | |
| 28 | a. | - Percent response to criminal history record check |
| 29 | | within defined timeframes |
| 30 | b. | Percent of criminal history information records |
| 31 | | ccurately |
| 51 | <u>compried at</u> | |
| | | 88 |
| 005 | | |

| 1 | 4. PREVENTION AND CRIME INFORMATION SERVICES OUTPUT |
|-----|--|
| 2 | MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Percent of criminal arrest information received |
| 4 | electronically (through AFIS) for entry into the criminal |
| 5 | |
| 6 | b. Number of responses to requests from criminal |
| 7 | history record checks1,580,000 |
| 8 | c. Number of registered sexual predators/offenders |
| 9 | identified to the public16,603 |
| 10 | d. Number of missing children cases worked through |
| 11 | MCIC |
| 12 | e. Arrest/identification records created and |
| 13 | maintainedFY 2001-2002 |
| 14 | LBR |
| 15 | (d) For the Criminal Justice Professionalism Program, |
| 16 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 17 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 18 | Specific Appropriations 1183-1190 are as follows: |
| 19 | 1. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 20 | MEASURE |
| 21 | a. Percent of individuals who pass the basic |
| 22 | professional certification examination for law enforcement |
| 23 | officers, corrections officers, and correctional probation |
| 24 | officers |
| 25 | 2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 26 | MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Number of course curricula and examinations |
| 28 | developed or revised109 |
| 29 | b. Number of examinations administered7,000 |
| 30 | c. Number of individuals trained by the Florida |
| 31 | Criminal Justice Executive Institute |
| | 89 |
| 000 | |

First Engrossed

| 1 <u>d. Number of law enforcement officers trained by D</u> | ABE |
|---|------|
| 2 | |
| 3 3. COMPLIANCE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE | |
| 4 a. Percent of training schools in compliance with | |
| 5 standards |).0% |
| 6 4. COMPLIANCE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES | |
| 7 a. Number of discipline referrals processed for sta | ate |
| 8 & local LEOs and COs and CPOs pursuant to ch. 120, F.S1, | |
| 9 b. Number of program and financial compliance audit | |
| 10 performed | |
| 11 c. Number of records audited to validate the accura | |
| 12 and completeness of ATMS2 record information | |
| 13 d. Breath-testing instruments tested | |
| 14 (e) For the Public Assistance Fraud Program, the | |
| 15 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performan | nce |
| 16 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific | |
| 17 Appropriations 1190A-1190E are as follows: | |
| 18 1. OUTCOME MEASURE | |
| 19 a. Amount of fraudulent benefits withheld as a resu | ılt |
| 20 of public assistance fraud investigations\$2 | 7.8M |
| 21 2. OUTPUT MEASURE | |
| 22 a. Public assistance fraud investigations conducted | 1 |
| 23 | |
| 24 (5) DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS | |
| (a) For the Office of Attorney General Program, the | |
| | nce |
| 26 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performan | |
| 26 <u>outcome measures, output measures, and associated performan</u> 27 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific | |
| | |
| 27 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific | |
| <pre>27 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 28 Appropriations 1191-1231 are as follows:</pre> | ved |
| 27 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 28 Appropriations 1191-1231 are as follows: 29 <u>1. CIVIL ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES</u> | |
| 27 <u>standards with respect to funds provided in Specific</u> 28 <u>Appropriations 1191-1231 are as follows:</u> 29 <u>1. CIVIL ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES</u> 30 <u>a. Percent of mediated open government cases resolved</u> | |

First Engrossed

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | b. Percent of lemon law cases resolved in less than 1 |
| 2 | year |
| 3 | c. Percent of clients expressing satisfaction with |
| 4 | civil enforcement legal servicesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | 2. CIVIL ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Number of active antitrust casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | b. Number of active consumer fraud casesFY 2001-2002 |
| 8 | LBR |
| 9 | c. Number of active Medicaid fraud casesFY 2001-2002 |
| 10 | LBR |
| 11 | d. Number of active child support enforcement cases |
| 12 | FY 2001-2002 |
| 13 | LBR |
| 14 | e. Number of active lemon law casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | f. Number of active children's legal services cases |
| 16 | FY 2001-2002 |
| 17 | LBR |
| 18 | g. Number of active civil rights casesFY 2001-2002 |
| 19 | LBR |
| 20 | h. Number of active eminent domain casesFY 2001-2002 |
| 21 | LBR |
| 22 | 3. CONSTITUTIONAL LEGAL SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 23 | a. Average number of days for opinion response29 |
| 24 | 4. CONSTITUTIONAL LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 25 | a. Opinions issued255 |
| 26 | 5. CRIMINAL AND CIVIL LITIGATION DEFENSE OUTCOME |
| 27 | MEASURE |
| 28 | a. Percent of clients expressing satisfaction with |
| 29 | criminal and civil litigation legal services90.0% |
| 30 | 6. CRIMINAL AND CIVIL LITIGATION DEFENSE OUTPUT |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 01 |
| a | 91 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | a. Number of active tax casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
|----|---|
| 2 | b. Number of active civil appellate cases.FY 2001-2002 |
| 3 | LBR |
| 4 | |
| 5 | d. Number of active state employment casesFY |
| 6 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | e. Number of active tort casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | f. Number of active capital criminal casesFY |
| 9 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | g. Number of active noncapital casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | 7. VICTIM SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 12 | a. Average number of days from application to |
| 13 | eligibility determination51 |
| 14 | b. Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle theft |
| 15 | grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle |
| 16 | theft incidents below 1994 levels compared to the statewide |
| 17 | average |
| 18 | 8. VICTIM SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number of victim compensation claims paid7,000 |
| 20 | b. Number of information and referral services |
| 21 | provided |
| 22 | c. Number of VOCA grants funded |
| 23 | d. Number of victims served through contract grants |
| 24 | |
| 25 | e. Number of motor vehicle theft grants funded40 |
| 26 | f. Number of people attending training (crime |
| 27 | prevention) |
| 28 | g. Number of minority communities served with crime |
| 29 | prevention education and awareness programs |
| 30 | 9. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION & SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURE |
| | 92 |
| | 92 |

| - | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | a. Annual attorney turnover ratesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 2 | (b) For the Statewide Prosecution Program, the outcome |
| 3 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 4 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 5 | Appropriations 1232-1234 are as follows: |
| 6 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Conviction rate for defendants who reached final |
| 8 | adjudication90.0% |
| 9 | b. Annual attorney turnover ratesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of law enforcement agencies assisted88 |
| 12 | b. Total number of active cases, excluding drug cases |
| 13 | FY 2001-2002 |
| 14 | LBR |
| 15 | c. Total number of drug related multi-circuit |
| 16 | organized criminal cases50 |
| 17 | (c) For the Florida Elections Commission Program, the |
| 18 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 19 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 20 | Appropriations 1235-1237A are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Percent of cases that are closed within 12 months |
| 23 | |
| 24 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 25 | a. Number of election complaints and automatic fine |
| 26 | cases |
| 27 | (6) PAROLE COMMISSION |
| 28 | (a) For the Post-Incarceration Enforcement and |
| 29 | Victims-Rights Program, the outcome measures, output measures, |
| 30 | and associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 31 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1238-1244 are as follows: |
| | |
| | 93 |
| 000 | THE TRANSPORT AND |

| 1 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
|----|--|
| 2 | a. Parolees who have successfully completed their |
| 3 | supervision without revocation within the first 2 years: |
| 4 | (I) Number |
| 5 | (II) Percent |
| 6 | b. Percent of revocation cases completed within 90 |
| 7 | days after final hearingFy 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | c. Percent of cases placed before the Parole |
| 9 | Commission/Clemency Board containing no factual errors80.0% |
| 10 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of conditional release cases handled5,311 |
| 12 | b. Number of supervision reviews |
| 13 | c. Number of revocation determinations |
| 14 | d. Number of Clemency Board decisions supported2,686 |
| 15 | e. Number of Parole Release Decisions.FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 16 | f. Number of Victims ContactedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | Section 32. The performance measures and standards |
| 18 | established in this section for individual programs in natural |
| 19 | resources, environment, growth management, and transportation |
| 20 | agencies shall be applied to those programs for the 2000-2001 |
| 21 | fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are |
| 22 | directly linked to the appropriations made in the General |
| 23 | Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001 as required by |
| 24 | the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994. |
| 25 | (1) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES |
| 26 | (a) For the Office of the Commissioner and Division of |
| 27 | Administration, the outcome measures, output measures, and |
| 28 | associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 29 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1245-1262D are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. AGRICULTURAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 31 | a. Criminal investigations closure rate |
| | 94 |
| | |

| 1 | 2. AGRICULTURAL WATER POLICY COORDINATION OUTPUT |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MEASURE |
| 3 | a. Number of water policy assists provided to |
| 4 | agricultural interests |
| 5 | 3. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 6 | MEASURE |
| 7 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency |
| 8 | <u>costs6.17%</u> |
| 9 | (b) For the Forest and Resource Protection Program, |
| 10 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 11 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 12 | Specific Appropriations 1263-1279 are as follows: |
| 13 | 1. LAND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 14 | a. Percent of State Forest timber producing acres |
| 15 | adequately stocked and growing |
| 16 | 2. LAND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 17 | a. Number of forest acres and other lands managed by |
| 18 | the department and purchased by the state with approved |
| 19 | management plans907,860 |
| 20 | b. Number of forest-related technical assists provided |
| 21 | to nonindustrial private land owners |
| 22 | c. Number of person-hours spent responding to |
| 23 | emergency incidents other than wildfires |
| 24 | d. Number of youths who fulfill Juvenile Justice |
| 25 | Forestry Youth Academy training program |
| 26 | e. Number of acres of cooperative forest lands managed |
| 27 | |
| 28 | f. Number of hours of work provided by inmate work |
| 29 | camps |
| 30 | 3. WILDFIRE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 95 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

| 1 | a. Percent of acres of protected forest and wildlands |
|--------|--|
| _ 2 | not burned by wildfires |
| 3 | |
| | |
| 4 | wildfires |
| 5 | c. Percent of wildfires caused by humans80% |
| 6 | 4. WILDFIRE PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT |
| 7 | MEASURES |
| 8 | a. Number of wildfires detected and suppressed3,800 |
| 9 | b. Number of acres burned through prescribed burning |
| 10 | |
| 11 | c. Number of person-hours of firefighting training |
| 12 | provided |
| 13 | d. Number of acres of forest land protected from |
| 14 | wildfires25,100,000 |
| 15 | (c) For the Food Safety and Quality Program, the |
| 16 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 17 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 18 | Appropriations 1285-1295 are as follows: |
| 19 | 1. DAIRY FACILITIES COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME |
| 20 | MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Percent of dairy establishments meeting food safety |
| 22 | and sanitation requirements80.77% |
| 23 | b. Percent of milk and milk products analyzed that |
| 24 | meet standards90.7% |
| 25 | 2. DAIRY FACILITIES COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT |
| 26 | MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Number of milk and milk product analyses conducted |
| 28 | |
| 29 | b. Number of dairy establishments inspections16,500 |
| 30 | 3. FOOD SAFETY INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | |
| | 96 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| I | |
|----|--|
| 1 | a. Percent of food establishments meeting food safety |
| 2 | and sanitation requirements91.2% |
| 3 | b. Percent of food products analyzed that meet |
| 4 | standards |
| 5 | c. Percent of produce or other food samples analyzed |
| б | that meet pesticide residue standards |
| 7 | 4. FOOD SAFETY INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT |
| 8 | MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Number of inspections of food establishments, dairy |
| 10 | establishments, and water vending machines |
| 11 | b. Number of food analyses conducted43,000 |
| 12 | c. Number of pesticide residue analyses conducted |
| 13 | |
| 14 | d. Number of food-related consumer assistance |
| 15 | investigations or actions |
| 16 | e. Tons of poultry and shell eggs graded430,000 |
| 17 | (d) For the Consumer Protection Program, the outcome |
| 18 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 19 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 20 | Appropriations 1296-1313B are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 22 | MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Percent of licensed pest control applicators |
| 24 | inspected that are in compliance with regulations |
| 25 | b. Percent of feed, seed, and fertilizer inspected |
| 26 | products in compliance with performance/quality standards83% |
| 27 | c. Percent of licensed pesticide applicators inspected |
| 28 | that are in compliance |
| 29 | d. Number of reported human/equine disease cases |
| 30 | caused by mosquitoes2/40 |
| 31 | |
| | 97 |
| l | ع، ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | 2. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OUTPUT |
|-----|--|
| 2 | MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Number of pest control; feed, seed, and fertilizer; |
| 4 | and pesticide inspections16,818 |
| 5 | b. Number of complaints investigated/processed |
| 6 | relating to all entities regulated by the Division of |
| 7 | Agricultural Environmental Services |
| 8 | c. Number of laboratory analyses performed on seed and |
| 9 | fertilizer and pesticide product and residue samples217,591 |
| 10 | d. Number of people served by mosquito control |
| 11 | activities14,500,000 |
| 12 | 3. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Percent of regulated entities (motor vehicle repair |
| 14 | shops, health studio, telemarketer, business opportunity, |
| 15 | dance studio, solicitation of contribution, sellers of travel, |
| 16 | & pawn shops) found operating in compliance of the consumer |
| 17 | protection laws |
| 18 | 4. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number of assists provided to consumers, not |
| 20 | including lemon law |
| 21 | b. Number of lemon law assists made to consumers |
| 22 | |
| 23 | c. Number of complaints investigated/processed |
| 24 | relating to all entities regulated by the Division of Consumer |
| 25 | Services in the Consumer Protection Program12,190 |
| 26 | d. Number of "no sales solicitation calls" |
| 27 | subscriptions processed103,000 |
| 28 | e. Number of registered entities licensed by the |
| 29 | division |
| 30 | 5. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 98 |
| 005 | |

First Engrossed

| 1 | a. Percent of LP Gas facilities found in compliance |
|----|---|
| 2 | with safety requirements on first inspection |
| 3 | b. Percent of amusement attractions found in full |
| 4 | compliance with safety requirements on first inspections40% |
| 5 | c. Percent of regulated weighing and measuring |
| 6 | devices, packages, and businesses with scanners in compliance |
| 7 | with accuracy standards during initial inspection/testing95% |
| 8 | d. Percent of petroleum products meeting quality |
| 9 | standards |
| 10 | 6. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTPUT |
| 11 | MEASURES |
| 12 | a. Number of LP Gas facility inspections and |
| 13 | reinspections conducted |
| 14 | b. Number of petroleum field inspections conducted |
| 15 | |
| 16 | c. Number of petroleum lab test analyses performed |
| 17 | |
| 18 | d. Number of amusement ride safety inspections |
| 19 | conducted |
| 20 | e. Number of weights and measures inspections |
| 21 | conducted64,000 |
| 22 | (e) For the Agricultural Economic Development Program, |
| 23 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 24 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 25 | Specific Appropriations 1314-1355E are as follows: |
| 26 | 1. FRUITS AND VEGETABLES INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT |
| 27 | OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 28 | a. Dollar value of fruit and vegetables that are |
| 29 | shipped to other states or countries that are subject to |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 99 |
| | |

| 1 | 2. FRUITS AND VEGETABLES INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 3 | a. Number of tons of fruits and vegetables inspected |
| 4 | |
| 5 | 3. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS MARKETING OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Total sales of agricultural and seafood products |
| 7 | generated by tenants of state farmers markets\$202,206,000 |
| 8 | b. Dollar value of federal commodities and recovered |
| 9 | food distributed\$50,246,102 |
| 10 | c. Florida agricultural products as a percent of the |
| 11 | national market |
| 12 | 4. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS MARKETING OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of buyers reached with agricultural |
| 14 | promotion campaign messages1.73 billion |
| 15 | b. Number of marketing assists provided to producers |
| 16 | and businesses |
| 17 | c. Pounds of federal commodities and recovered food |
| 18 | distributed75,816,366 |
| 19 | d. Number of leased square feet at State Farmers' |
| 20 | Markets1,592,536 |
| 21 | e. Number of marketing assists provided to producers |
| 22 | and businesses16,500 |
| 23 | 5. AQUACULTURE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Shellfish illness reported from Florida shellfish |
| 25 | products per 100,000 meals served |
| 26 | b. Percent of shellfish and crab processing facilities |
| 27 | in significant compliance with permit and food safety |
| 28 | regulations |
| 29 | 6. AQUACULTURE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 30 | a. Number of shellfish processing plant inspections |
| 31 | |
| | 100 |
| <u>م</u> رب | INC.Words stricton are deletions: words underlined are additions |

First Engrossed

CS for SB 2202

| i | |
|----|---|
| 1 | b. Number of available acres of harvestable shellfish |
| 2 | waters |
| 3 | 7. AGRICULTURAL INSPECTION STATIONS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 4 | a. Amount of revenue generated by Bills of Lading |
| 5 | transmitted to the Department of Revenue from Agricultural |
| 6 | Inspection stations\$16,852,050 |
| 7 | 8. AGRICULTURAL INSPECTION STATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 8 | a. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural |
| 9 | inspection stations |
| 10 | b. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural |
| 11 | inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated |
| 12 | commodities3,222,791 |
| 13 | c. Number of Bills of Lading transmitted to the |
| 14 | Department of Revenue from agricultural inspection stations |
| 15 | |
| 16 | 9. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 17 | a. Percent of livestock and poultry infected with |
| 18 | specific transmissible diseases for which monitoring, |
| 19 | controlling, and eradicating activities are established |
| 20 | |
| 21 | 10. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Number of animal site inspections performed16,650 |
| 23 | b. Number of animals tested or vaccinated770,000 |
| 24 | c. Number of animal-related diagnostic laboratory |
| 25 | procedures performed |
| 26 | 11. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Percent of newly introduced pests and diseases |
| 28 | prevented from infesting Florida plants to a level where |
| 29 | eradication is biologically or economically unfeasible80.8% |
| 30 | b. Percent of commercial citrus acres free of citrus |
| 31 | canker |
| | 107 |
| | |

First Engrossed

| 1 | |
|-----|---|
| 1 | 12. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 2 | a. Number of plant, fruit fly trap and honeybee |
| 3 | inspections performed |
| 4 | b. Number of commercial citrus acres surveyed for |
| 5 | <u>citrus canker560,000</u> |
| б | c. Millions of sterile med flies released3,412 |
| 7 | d. Number of acres where plant pest and disease |
| 8 | eradication or control efforts were undertaken100,000 |
| 9 | e. Number of plant, soil, insect, and other organism |
| 10 | samples processed for identification or diagnosis407,000 |
| 11 | f. Number of cartons of citrus certified as fly-free |
| 12 | for export10,014,270 |
| 13 | (2) DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS |
| 14 | (a) For the Office of the Secretary Program, the |
| 15 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 16 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 17 | Appropriations 1356-1372 are as follows: |
| 18 | 1. LAND ADMINISTRATION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Percent of local government participation in land |
| 20 | acquisition programs |
| 21 | b. Percent of local government participation in land |
| 22 | acquisition programs acquiring open space in urban coresFY |
| 23 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | 2. LAND ADMINISTRATION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of project grant applications reviewedFY |
| 26 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | b. Number of grants awardedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | c. Number of project applications receiving technical |
| 29 | assistance |
| 30 | d. Number of active projects monitoredFY 2001-2002 |
| 31 | LBR |
| | |
| | 102 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | e. Number of parcels appraised, negotiated, and closed |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | LBR |
| 4 | 3. FLORIDA COASTAL MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 5 | a. Number of local governments participating in |
| 6 | coastal management programs to protect, maintain, and develop |
| 7 | coastal resources through a coordinated effortFY 2001-2002 |
| 8 | LBR |
| 9 | 4. FLORIDA COASTAL MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Number of projects reviewed that do not require |
| 11 | problem resolution LBR |
| 12 | b. Number of projects reviewed that do require some |
| 13 | problem resolutionFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | c. Number of projects fundedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | d. Number of individuals trainedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 16 | 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 17 | MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Maximum threshold of administrative costs expressed |
| 19 | as a percent of total program costsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | (b) For the Community Planning and Protection Program, |
| 21 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 22 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 23 | Specific Appropriation 1373-1378A are as follows: |
| 24 | 1. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of plans reviewedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | b. Number of plan changes processedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | c. Number of local government evaluation and appraisal |
| 28 | report reviews completedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | d. Number of grants administeredFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | e. Number of technical assistance initiatives |
| 31 | completed FY |
| | 103 |
| | I |

| 1 | 2001-2002 LBR |
|----|---|
| 2 | f. Number of plans adequately addressing disaster |
| 3 | mitigation FY |
| 4 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | g. Number of developments of regional impact managed |
| б | |
| 7 | h. Number of Area of Critical State Concern |
| 8 | development orders reviewed and final orders issuedFY |
| 9 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | (c) For the Emergency Response Management Program, the |
| 11 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 12 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 13 | Appropriations 1379-1416 are as follows: |
| 14 | 1. PREDISASTER MITIGATION OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 15 | a. Number of dollars saved by mitigating repetitive |
| 16 | losses due to flood damageFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | 2. PREDISASTER MITIGATION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 18 | a. Number of predisaster mitigation grants awarded to |
| 19 | state and local governmentsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | b. Number of applicants provided technical assistance |
| 21 | FY |
| 22 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 23 | c. Number of communities audited and receiving |
| 24 | technical assistance in accord with the National Flood |
| 25 | Insurance Program |
| 26 | d. Number of Flood Mitigation Assistance Program |
| 27 | grants awarded LBR |
| 28 | 3. EMERGENCY PLANNING OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 29 | a. Percent of counties with above average ability to |
| 30 | respond to emergencies |
| 31 | 4. EMERGENCY PLANNING OUTPUT MEASURES |
| | 104 |

| 1 | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | a. Number of technical assistance contacts to state |
| 2 | and local government regarding capability assessments for |
| 3 | readinessFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 4 | b. Number of personnel trained in emergency |
| 5 | preparedness FY |
| 6 | <u>2001-2002 LBR</u> |
| 7 | c. Number of plans, reports, and procedures maintained |
| 8 | in coordinating with federal and state emergency management |
| 9 | organizations FY |
| 10 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | d. Number of signatories maintained regarding the |
| 12 | Statewide Mutual Aid AgreementFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | e. Number of public hurricane shelters evaluatedFY |
| 14 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | f. Number of organizations awarded fundsFY 2001-2002 |
| 16 | LBR |
| 17 | g. Number of funding applications processedFY |
| 18 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 19 | 5. EMERGENCY RECOVERY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 20 | a. Number of months required for communities to |
| 21 | completely recover from a disasterFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | 6. EMERGENCY RECOVERY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Number of financial assistance recovery grants to |
| 24 | eligible local entitiesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 25 | b. Number of mitigation agreements with local entities |
| 26 | managed FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | c. Number of hurricane shelters createdFY 2001-2002 |
| 28 | LBR |
| 29 | d. Number of projects requiring National Environmental |
| 30 | Policy Act review |
| 31 | |
| <u> </u> | |
| | 105 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | e. Number of postdisaster assessments conductedFY |
|----|--|
| 2 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 3 | f. Number of outreach team members deployedFY |
| 4 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | g. Number of project inspections performedFY |
| 6 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | 7. EMERGENCY RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Percent of events in which the affected population |
| 9 | is warned within an appropriate timeframe in relation to the |
| 10 | |
| 11 | LBR |
| 12 | 8. EMERGENCY RESPONSE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Operations Center is activated at Level 2 or above |
| 14 | for local government emergency needsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | b. Number of incidents reported to the State Warning |
| 16 | Point |
| 17 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | c. Number of requests from local governments and |
| 19 | allied agencies for assistanceFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | d. Number of predisaster mitigation grants awardedFY |
| 21 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | e. Population covered in NOAA weather radio |
| 23 | transmission areas EY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | 9. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS COMPLIANCE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 25 | a. Percent of facilities in compliance with hazardous |
| 26 | materials requirements |
| 27 | 10. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS COMPLIANCE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Number of facility files researched for compliance |
| 29 | verification FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | b. Number of Community Right to Know requests |
| 31 | fulfilled FY |
| | |
| | 106 |

| 1 | 2001-2002 LBR |
|-----|--|
| 2 | c. Number of facility risk management audits conducted |
| 3 | FY |
| 4 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | d. Number of financial agreements maintainedFY |
| 6 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | (d) For the Housing and Community Revitalization |
| 8 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 9 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 10 | Specific Appropriations 1417-1441A are as follows: |
| 11 | 1. AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOOD REDEVELOPMENT |
| 12 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of neighborhoods improved and assisted |
| 14 | through community development block grant programs, |
| 15 | empowerment zone programs, urban infill programs, affordable |
| 16 | housing programs, and long-term redevelopment programsFY |
| 17 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | b. Number of jobs created/retained through community |
| 19 | development block grant programsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | 2. AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOOD REDEVELOPMENT |
| 21 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Number of grants administered for affordable |
| 23 | housing FY |
| 24 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 25 | b. Number of redevelopment plans developedFY |
| 26 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | c. Number of grant awards managedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | d. Number of people trained/servedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | 3. BUILDING CODE COMPLIANCE AND HAZARD MITIGATION |
| 30 | OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 31 | |
| | 107 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| - | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | a. Percent of local governments that have a building |
| 2 | code program rated at or above a specified level of |
| 3 | effectiveness by a recognized rating organization.FY 2001-2002 |
| 4 | LBR |
| 5 | 4. BUILDING CODE COMPLIANCE AND HAZARD MITIGATION |
| 6 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Number of partners assistedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | b. Number of code amendments promulgatedFY 2001-2002 |
| 9 | LBR |
| 10 | c. Number of permits issued for manufactured buildings |
| 11 | FY |
| 12 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | d. Number of grants managedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | 5. PUBLIC SERVICE AND ENERGY INITIATIVES OUTCOME |
| 15 | MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Number of households benefiting from services |
| 17 | provided by community development block grant programs, |
| 18 | community services, LIHEP, weatherization, and energy programs |
| 19 | |
| 20 | 6. PUBLIC SERVICE AND ENERGY INITIATIVES OUTPUT |
| 21 | MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Number of public service grants administeredFY |
| 23 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | b. Number of energy efficient demonstration grants |
| 25 | administered FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | (e) For the Florida Housing Finance Corporation |
| 27 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 28 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 29 | Specific Appropriations 1458-1462 are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 31 | |
| | 108 |
| COF | |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |
| 1 | a. Percent of dollars that are targeted to |
|----|--|
| 2 | farmworkers, elderly, and fishworkersFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 3 | b. Ratio of nonstate funding to state-appropriated |
| 4 | dollars |
| 5 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 6 | c. Percent of units exceeding statutory set-asidesFY |
| 7 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Number of applications processedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | b. Number of local governments under compliance |
| 11 | monitoring for the State Housing Initiatives Partnership |
| 12 | (SHIP) program |
| 13 | c. Number of local governments served.FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | d. Executive direction and support services costs as a |
| 15 | percent of total program costsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 16 | (3) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |
| 17 | (a) For the Division of Administrative Services, the |
| 18 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 19 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 20 | Appropriations 1463-1474 are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 22 | MEASURE |
| 23 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 24 | <u>costs</u> |
| 25 | (b) For the State Lands Program, the outcome measures, |
| 26 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 27 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1475-1506 |
| 28 | are as follows: |
| 29 | 1. INVASIVE PLANT CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 30 | a. Number of new acres of public land where invasive, |
| 31 | exotic, upland plants are controlled and maintained7,000 |
| | 109 |
| | TNC. Words attriater are deletions: words underlined are additions |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | b. Number of acres of public water bodies treated |
|-----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | c. Number of acres of upland plants controlled4,285 |
| 4 | 2. LAND ADMINISTRATION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 5 | a. Percent of parcels acquired within the agreed upon |
| 6 | <u>time limit</u> |
| 7 | b. Appraised value as a percent of purchase price for |
| 8 | parcels |
| 9 | c. Number of appraisals certified |
| 10 | d. Number of maps certified80 |
| 11 | e. Number of appraisals completed on projects on |
| 12 | current list (as amended)500 |
| 13 | f. Number of parcels (ownerships) negotiated4,397 |
| 14 | g. Number of parcels (ownerships) closed1,281 |
| 15 | 3. LAND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Percent of easements, leases, and other requests |
| 17 | completed by maximum time frames prescribed |
| 18 | b. Percent of all land management plans completed |
| 19 | within statutory timeframes70% |
| 20 | 4. LAND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 21 | a. Number of leases developed by the department500 |
| 22 | (c) For the Water Resource Management Program, the |
| 23 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 24 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 25 | Appropriations 1568-1596D are as follows: |
| 26 | 1. BEACH MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 27 | a. Percent of miles of critically eroding beaches |
| 28 | restored or maintained49% |
| 29 | 2. BEACH MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 30 | a. Number of coastal construction permits processed |
| 31 | 1,652 |
| | 110 |
| עסט | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | 3. WATER RESOURCE PROTECTION AND RESTORATION OUTCOME |
|----|---|
| 2 | MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Percent of rivers that meet designated uses92% |
| 4 | b. Percent of lakes that meet designated uses87% |
| 5 | c. Percent of estuaries that meet designated uses95% |
| 6 | d. Percent of groundwater that meets designated uses |
| 7 | |
| 8 | e. Percent of drinking water that meets designated |
| 9 | uses |
| 10 | f. Percent of the state's water segments that meet |
| 11 | designated uses |
| 12 | g. Wetland acres authorized by permit to be |
| 13 | impacted/acres required to be created, enhanced, restored, or |
| 14 | preservedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | h. Percent of mines in significant compliance with |
| 16 | restoration plan95% |
| 17 | i. Percent of public water systems with no significant |
| 18 | public health drinking water quality problems93.5% |
| 19 | 4. WATER RESOURCE PROTECTION AND RESTORATION OUTPUT |
| 20 | MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Number of mining inspections |
| 22 | b. Number of water resource permits processed18,500 |
| 23 | c. Number of regulatory inspections conducted17,000 |
| 24 | d. Number of technical assistance, public education, |
| 25 | and outreach contacts made4,250 |
| 26 | e. Number of water resource protection and restoration |
| 27 | projects funded50 |
| 28 | f. Percent reduction in phosphorus loadings to Lake |
| 29 | Okeechobee FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | g. Number of Total Maximum Daily Loads adoptedFY |
| 31 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 111 |
| | |

| 1 | 5. WATER SUPPLY OUTCOME MEASURE |
|--------|---|
| 2 | a. Reclaimed water (reuse) capacity as percent of |
| 3 | total wastewater capacity |
| 4 | 6. WATER SUPPLY OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 5 | a. Number of alternative water supply projects funded |
| 6 | |
| 7 | (d) For the Waste Management Program, the outcome |
| , 8 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 9 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 10 | Appropriations 1597-1633B are as follows: |
| 11 | 1. WASTE CLEANUP OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 12 | a. Cumulative percent of petroleum contaminated |
| 13 | program sites with cleanup completed |
| | |
| 14 | b. Cumulative percent of dry-cleaning contaminated |
| 15 | sites with cleanup completed |
| 16 | c. Cumulative percent of other contaminated sites with |
| 17 | cleanup completed |
| 18 | d. Percent of hazardous waste sites cleaned up18% |
| 19 | 2. WASTE CLEANUP OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 20 | a. Number of petroleum program contaminated sites |
| 21 | <u>being cleaned up2,668</u> |
| 22 | b. Number of known contaminated hazardous waste sites |
| 23 | <u>being cleaned up200</u> |
| 24 | 3. WASTE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Percent of regulated petroleum storage tank |
| 26 | facilities in significant compliance with state regulations |
| 27 | |
| 28 | b. Percent of inspected facilities that generate, |
| 29 | treat, store, or dispose of hazardous waste in significant |
| 30 | <u>compliance96%</u> |
| | |
| 31 | |
| 31 | 112 |

| 1 | c. Cumulative percent of petroleum contaminated |
|-----|--|
| 2 | non-program sites with cleanup completed65% |
| 3 | d. Percent of inspected permitted solid waste |
| 4 | facilities in significant compliance |
| 5 | e. Percent of municipal solid waste managed by |
| 6 | recycling/waste-to-energy/landfilling |
| 7 | 4. WASTE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 8 | a. Number of storage tank facilities inspected16,123 |
| 9 | b. Percent of storage tank facilities inspected85% |
| 10 | c. Number of solid and hazardous waste permits, |
| 11 | variances, exemptions, certifications, and registrations |
| 12 | processed |
| 13 | d. Number of solid and hazardous waste compliance |
| 14 | assurance inspections conducted |
| 15 | e. Number of petroleum storage systems compliance |
| 16 | inspections conducted16,123 |
| 17 | f. Number of pollution prevention assessments |
| 18 | conducted at businesses and government facilities32 |
| 19 | g. Number of pollution site technical reviews |
| 20 | conducted1,045 |
| 21 | h. Number of known contaminated sites being cleaned up |
| 22 | by responsible parties1,091 |
| 23 | (e) For the Recreation and Parks Program, the outcome |
| 24 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 25 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 26 | Appropriations 1634-1666 are as follows: |
| 27 | 1. LAND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 28 | a. Acres designated as part of the Florida Greenways |
| 29 | and Trails system102,970 |
| 30 | 2. LAND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 31 | |
| | 113 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | a. Number of technical assists provided to local |
|----------|---|
| 2 | government to promote Greenways and Trails |
| 3 | 3. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS OUTPUT |
| 4 | MEASURE |
| 5 | a. Number of recreational grants to local governments |
| б | for recreational facilities and land acquisition |
| 7 | 4. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Attendance at state parks |
| 9 | 5. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Number of state park sites managed152 |
| 11 | b. Number of acres managed |
| 12 | 6. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Increase in the number of degraded acreage in state |
| 14 | buffer enhanced or restored |
| 15 | (f) For the Air Resources Management Program, the |
| 16 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 17 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 18 | Appropriations 1667-1685 are as follows: |
| 19 | 1. AIR ASSESSMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 20 | a. Percent of time that monitored population breathes |
| 21 | good or moderate quality air98.5% |
| 22 | b. Percent of population living in areas monitored for |
| 23 | air quality |
| 24 | 2. AIR ASSESSMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of monitors operated by the department and |
| 26 | local programs |
| 27 | b. Number of emission points reviewed and analyzed |
| 28 | |
| 29 | 3. AIR POLLUTION PREVENTION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 30 | a. Pounds of NOx air emissions per capita128.72 |
| 31 | b. Pounds of SO2 air emissions per capita100.49 |
| | 114 |
| a | |

| 1 | c. Pounds of CO air emissions per capita542.51 |
|-----|---|
| 2 | d. Pounds of VOC air emissions per capita108.05 |
| 3 | e. Percent of Title V facilities in significant |
| 4 | compliance with state regulations |
| 5 | 4. AIR POLLUTION PREVENTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Number of air permits issued |
| 7 | b. Number of facility inspections6,477 |
| 8 | 5. UTILITIES SITING AND COORDINATION OUTCOME |
| 9 | MEASURE |
| 10 | a. Percent of energy facilities certified within |
| 11 | statutory timeframes85% |
| 12 | (g) For the Law Enforcement Program, the outcome |
| 13 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 14 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 15 | Appropriations 1686-1715B are as follows: |
| 16 | 1. ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 17 | a. Number of investigations closed |
| 18 | 2. PATROL ON STATE LANDS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 19 | a. Criminal incidents per 100,000 state park visitors |
| 20 | |
| 21 | 3. PATROL ON STATE LANDS OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Number of patrol hours on state lands71,936 |
| 23 | 4. EMERGENCY RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Gallons of pollutant discharge per capita189,868 |
| 25 | 5. EMERGENCY RESPONSE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 26 | a. Number of sites/spills remediated533 |
| 27 | b. Number of incidents reported |
| 28 | (4) FISH AND WILDLIFE CONSERVATION COMMISSION |
| 29 | (a) For the Executive Director and Division of |
| 30 | Administration, the outcome measures, output measures, and |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 115 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | associated performance standards with respect to funds |
|----|--|
| 2 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1716-1749C are as follows: |
| 3 | 1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 4 | a. Percent change in licensed anglers |
| 5 | b. Percent change in the number of licensed hunters.0% |
| 6 | 2. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Number of licensed anglers1,712,711 |
| 8 | b. Number of licensed hunters |
| 9 | 3. OUTDOOR EDUCATION AND INFORMATION OUTCOME |
| 10 | MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Percent of total students meeting minimum standards |
| 12 | for graduation |
| 13 | b. Number of hunting accidents |
| 14 | 4. OUTDOOR EDUCATION AND INFORMATION OUTPUT |
| 15 | MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Number of students graduating hunter education |
| 17 | courses |
| 18 | b. Number of written conservation education materials |
| 19 | provided to citizens |
| 20 | 5. MARINE AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION OUTCOME |
| 21 | MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Percent of critical habitat (hot spots) protected |
| 23 | through land acquisition, lease, or management contract38% |
| 24 | 6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 25 | MEASURE |
| 26 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 27 | costs |
| 28 | (b) For the Law Enforcement Program, the outcome |
| 29 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 30 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 31 | Appropriations 1750-1765 are as follows: |
| | 116 |
| | TNC.Worda attriater are deletional worda underlined are additional |

| 1 | 1. WILDLIFE, MARINE, AND BOATING LAW ENFORCEMENT |
|-----|--|
| 2 | OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 3 | a. Overall conviction rateFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 4 | 2. WILDLIFE, MARINE, AND BOATING LAW ENFORCEMENT |
| 5 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Total number of violationsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | b. Number of felony violationsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 8 | c. Total number of hours spent in preventative patrol |
| 9 | and investigations (not including Marine Patrol)616,566 |
| 10 | d. Total number of hours spent on land (not including |
| 11 | Marine Patrol) |
| 12 | e. Total number of hours spent on water (not including |
| 13 | Marine Patrol) |
| 14 | f. Total number of hours spent in air (not including |
| 15 | Marine Patrol) |
| 16 | g. Total number of investigations closed (not |
| 17 | including Marine Patrol)750 |
| 18 | h. Number of inspections of licensed and permitted |
| 19 | captive wildlife facilities4,446 |
| 20 | i. Number of vessel safety inspections (not including |
| 21 | Marine Patrol)154,408 |
| 22 | j. Total number of boating accidents investigatedFY |
| 23 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | k. Total number of boating fatalities investigatedFY |
| 25 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | Number of flight hours provided |
| 27 | (c) For the Wildlife Management Program, the outcome |
| 28 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 29 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 30 | Appropriations 1766-1781B are as follows: |
| 31 | 1. WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| | 117 |
| COD | ING.Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1 | a. Percent of satisfied hunters |
|-------|---|
| 2 | b. Percent of wildlife species whose biological status |
| 3 | is stable or improving70% |
| 4 | 2. WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 5 | a. Number of acres managed for wildlife4,750,000 |
| 6 | b. Number of wildlife technical assists provided325 |
| 7 | (d) For the Freshwater Fisheries Management Program, |
| 8 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 9 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 10 | Specific Appropriations 1782-1789A are as follows: |
| 11 | 1. FRESHWATER FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 12 | a. Percent angler satisfaction |
| 13 | 2. FRESHWATER FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 14 | a. Number of acres of water bodies managed to improve |
| 15 | fishing |
| 16 | b. Number of access points established or maintained |
| 17 | |
| 18 | c. Number of participants in achievement programs600 |
| 19 | d. Number of fish stocked2,385,000 |
| 20 | e. Number of acres of water bodies where habitat |
| 21 | rehabilitation projects have been completed40,000 |
| 22 | (e) For the Marine Fisheries Program, the outcome |
| 23 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 24 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 25 | Appropriations 1790-1798A are as follows: |
| 26 | 1. MARINE FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 27 | a. Percent of fisheries stocks with sufficient data |
| 28 | that are increasing or stable |
| 29 | 2. MARINE FISHERIES MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 30 | a. Number of commercial and other marine fishing |
| 31 | licenses processed |
| | |
| | 118 |
| ~ ~ ~ | |

| 1 | b. Number of artificial reefs created and/or monitored |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | c. Number of fishery stocks management plans reviewed |
| 4 | |
| 5 | (f) For the Marine Research Program, the outcome |
| 6 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 7 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 8 | Appropriations 1798B-1806C are as follows: |
| 9 | 1. MARINE ASSESSMENT, RESTORATION, AND TECHNICAL |
| 10 | SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Percent of research projects that provide |
| 12 | management recommendations or support management actions100% |
| 13 | b. Manatee mortality rate |
| 14 | 2. MARINE ASSESSMENT, RESTORATION, AND TECHNICAL |
| 15 | SUPPORT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Total number of sea turtle nests |
| 17 | b. Manatee population2,399 |
| 18 | c. Number of fish stocks assessments and data |
| 19 | summaries conducted170 |
| 20 | d. Number of requests for status of endangered and |
| 21 | threatened species completed |
| 22 | (5) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION |
| 23 | (a) For the Transportation Systems Development |
| 24 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 25 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 26 | Specific Appropriations 1807-1821G are as follows: |
| 27 | 1. HIGHWAY AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million |
| 29 | miles traveled |
| 30 | b. Percent of state highway system pavement meeting |
| 31 | department standards |
| | 119 |
| - | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | c. Percent of FDOT maintained bridges which meet |
|--------|---|
| 1 2 | department standards |
| 3 | d. Percent increase in number of days required for |
| 4 | completed construction contracts over original contract days |
| т 5 | (less weather days) |
| 6 | e. Percent increase in final amount paid for completed |
| 0 7 | |
| 7 8 | construction contracts over original contract amount<10% f. Percent of vehicle crashes on state highway system |
| - | |
| 9 | where road-related conditions were listed as a contributing |
| 10 | factor |
| 11 | g. Construction Engineering as a percent of |
| 12 | construction15% |
| 13 | h. Average construction cost per lane mile of new |
| 14 | <u>capacity\$3,800,000</u> |
| 15 | 2. HIGHWAY AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Number of lane miles let to contract for |
| 17 | resurfacing2,800 |
| 18 | b. Number of lane miles let to contract for highway |
| 19 | capacity improvements176 |
| 20 | c. Percent of construction contracts planned for |
| 21 | letting that were actually let |
| 22 | d. Number of bridges let to contract for repair81 |
| 23 | e. Number of bridges let to contract for replacement |
| 24 | |
| 25 | f. Number of right-of-way parcels acquired2,230 |
| 26 | g. Number of projects certified ready for construction |
| 27 | |
| 28 | 3. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 29 | a. Transit ridership growth compared to population |
| 30 | growth |
| 31 | b. Tons of cargo shipped by air4,000,000 |
| | |
| | 120 |
| COD | TTO Manda she she ala dalahi wa ta sa da a mala da da a sa sadi hi sa s |

| 1 | c. Average cost per requested trip for transportation |
|-----|--|
| 2 | disadvantaged\$4.32 |
| 3 | 4. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 4 | a. Number of passenger enplanements |
| 5 | b. Number of public transit passenger trips |
| 6 | |
| 7 | c. Number of cruise embarkations and disembarkations |
| 8 | at Florida ports |
| 9 | d. Number of transportation disadvantaged trips |
| 10 | provided5,768,000 |
| 11 | (b) For the Transportation Systems Operation Program, |
| 12 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 13 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 14 | Specific Appropriations 1822-1864 are as follows: |
| 15 | 1. HIGHWAY OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Maintenance condition rating of state highway |
| 17 | system as measured against the department's maintenance manual |
| 18 | standards |
| 19 | b. Percent of commercial vehicles weighed by fixed |
| 20 | scales that were overweight0.4% |
| 21 | c. Percent of commercial vehicles weighed by portable |
| 22 | scales that were overweight |
| 23 | 2. HIGHWAY OPERATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Number of commercial vehicles weighed11,000,000 |
| 25 | b. Number of commercial vehicle safety inspections |
| 26 | performed |
| 27 | c. Number of portable scale weighings performed.45,000 |
| 28 | 3. TOLL OPERATION OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 29 | a. Operational cost per toll transaction<\$0.16 |
| 30 | 4. TOLL OPERATION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 31 | a. Number of toll transactions |
| | 121 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
|----|--|
| 2 | MEASURE |
| 3 | a. Administration and support costs as a percent of |
| 4 | total agency costs2.1% |
| 5 | 6. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Percent of mainframe utilization |
| 7 | 7. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Number of computer work stations supported8,017 |
| 9 | Section 33. The performance measures and standards |
| 10 | established in this section for individual programs in general |
| 11 | government agencies shall be applied to those programs for the |
| 12 | 2000-2001 fiscal year. These performance measures and |
| 13 | standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in |
| 14 | the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000-2001 as |
| 15 | required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act |
| 16 | <u>of 1994.</u> |
| 17 | (1) DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE |
| 18 | (a) For the Office of the Comptroller and Division of |
| 19 | Administration Program, the outcome measures, output measures, |
| 20 | and associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 21 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1878-1880 are as follows: |
| 22 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 23 | MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Cabinet and Clemency support administrative costs |
| 25 | as a percent of total agency costsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | (b) For the Financial Accountability for Public Funds |
| 27 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 28 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 29 | Specific Appropriations 1881-1903 are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. RECOVERY AND RETURN OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 122 |
| | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | a. Percent of increase in the total number of holders |
|-----|---|
| 2 | reporting |
| 3 | b. Percent of previously filing holders who submit |
| 4 | problem reports |
| 5 | c. Percent of total number (% of \$) of claims paid to |
| 6 | the owner compared to the total number $(\$)$ of returnable |
| 7 | accounts reported/received22% |
| 8 | d. Percent of the total dollar amount of claims paid |
| 9 | to the owner compared to the total dollars in returnable |
| 10 | accounts reported/received80% |
| 11 | 2. RECOVERY AND RETURN OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY OUTPUT |
| 12 | MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of holders reports processed16,000 |
| 14 | b. Number of exams of holders who have not previously |
| 15 | filed a holder report |
| 16 | c. Number of exams conducted/processed |
| 17 | d. Dollar value collected as a result of exams |
| 18 | \$15,500,000 |
| 19 | e. Number/dollar value of owner accounts processed |
| 20 | |
| 21 | \$101,000,000 |
| 22 | f. Total cost of the program to the number of holder |
| 23 | reports/owner accounts processed\$9/\$186 |
| 24 | g. Number/dollar value of claims paid to owners |
| 25 | |
| 26 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | h. Number of owner accounts advertised100,000 |
| 28 | i. Percent of claims approved/denied within 30/60/90 |
| 29 | days from the date received (cumulative total)50%/90%/100% |
| 30 | j. Percent of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from |
| 31 | the date received (cumulative total)15%/50%/100% |
| | 100 |
| 000 | 123 |

| 1 | 3. STATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND STATE AGENCY |
|-----|--|
| 2 | ACCOUNTING OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Percent of program's customers who return an |
| 4 | overall customer service rating of good or excellent on |
| 5 | surveys |
| б | b. Percent of vendor payments issued in less than the |
| 7 | Comptroller's statutory time limit of 10 days |
| 8 | c. Accuracy rate of postaudited vendor paymentsFY |
| 9 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 10 | d. Percent of those utilizing the program and |
| 11 | providing financial information who rate the overall |
| 12 | relevancy, usefulness, and timeliness of information as good |
| 13 | or excellent |
| 14 | e. Number of qualifications in the Independent |
| 15 | Auditor's Report on the State General Purpose Financial |
| 16 | Statements which are related to the presentation of the |
| 17 | financial statements0 |
| 18 | f. Percent of vendor payments issued electronically |
| 19 | |
| 20 | g. Percent of payroll payments issued electronically |
| 21 | |
| 22 | h. Percent of retirement payments issued |
| 23 | electronically |
| 24 | 4. STATE FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND STATE AGENCY |
| 25 | ACCOUNTING OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 26 | a. Number of vendor payment requests preaudited |
| 27 | |
| 28 | b. Number of vendor payment requests postauditedFY |
| 29 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | c. Percent of vendor payment requests postauditedFY |
| 31 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 124 |
| COD | |

| 1 | d. Number of vendor invoices paid4,050,000 |
|----|--|
| 2 | e. Number of payroll payments issued5,639,780 |
| 3 | f. Number of payments issued electronically6,450,000 |
| 4 | g. Number of instances during the year where, as a |
| 5 | result of inadequate cash management under this program, |
| 6 | general revenue had a negative cash balance |
| 7 | h. Number of fiscal integrity cases closed |
| 8 | i. Number of "get lean" hotline calls processed for |
| 9 | referral to the appropriate agency |
| 10 | j. Number of fiscal integrity cases closed where |
| 11 | criminal, disciplinary, and/or administrative actions taken.FY |
| 12 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 14 | MEASURE |
| 15 | a. Maximum threshold of administrative costs expressed |
| 16 | as a percent of total program costsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | 6. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Percent of user requests that are responded to |
| 19 | timely and effectivelyFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | 7. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Number of Florida Accounting Information Resource |
| 22 | (FLAIR) design, programming and education service requests |
| 23 | completedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | b. The number of hours the computer is available for |
| 25 | use FY |
| 26 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 27 | (c) For the Financial Institutions Regulatory and |
| 28 | Consumer Financial Protection Program, the outcome measures, |
| 29 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 30 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1904-1938 |
| 31 | are as follows: |
| | 105 |
| | 125 |

| 1 | 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
|-------------|---|
| 1 2 | |
| 3 | a. Percent of licensees examined where department action is taken against the licensee for cause based on |
| 4 | risk assessment profile, or internal/external information |
| 5 | which indicates a violation of statute |
| | b. Percent of licensees examined where department |
| 6 7 | |
| | action is taken against the licensee for cause |
| 8 | routine-proactive exam conducted on randomly selected entities |
| 9 | or entities on an examination cycle |
| 10 | 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of "for cause" examinations completed377 |
| 12 | b. Number of "routine" examinations completed1,435 |
| 13 | c. Percent of total licensees examined to determine |
| 14 | compliance with applicable regulations |
| 15 | 4. FINANCIAL SERVICES INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTCOME |
| 16 | MEASURES |
| 17 | a. Percent of licensees sanctioned for violations<1% |
| 18 | b. Percent of total applicants not licensed to conduct |
| 19 | business in the state because they fail to meet substantive |
| 20 | licensing requirements4.3% |
| 21 | c. Percent of applicants prevented from entering the |
| 22 | securities industry in Florida who subsequently are the |
| 23 | subject of additional disciplinary action in other |
| 24 | jurisdictions within 3 years60% |
| 25 | 5. FINANCIAL SERVICES INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTPUT |
| 26 | MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Number of final actions taken against licensees.370 |
| 28 | b. Number of applications denied or withdrawn3,546 |
| 29 | c. Number of applications processed |
| 30 | d. Amount (dollars) of securities registration |
| 31 | applications denied or withdrawn\$2.1 billion |
| | 126 |
| a a- | |

| 1 | e. Number of applications licensed |
|----|--|
| 2 | f. Number of applicants licensed with restrictions95 |
| 3 | g. Number/percent of filings or requests processed by |
| 4 | the department within a designated standard number of days, by |
| 5 | typeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| б | h. Number of applicants denied or withdrawn with |
| 7 | additional disciplinary information reported on the Central |
| 8 | Registration Depository within 3 years |
| 9 | 6. SAFETY AND SOUNDNESS OF STATE BANKING SYSTEM |
| 10 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that |
| 12 | exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in |
| 13 | Florida on Return on Assets51% |
| 14 | b. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that |
| 15 | exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in |
| 16 | Florida on Return on Equity51% |
| 17 | c. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that |
| 18 | exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in |
| 19 | Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio |
| 20 | d. Percent of Florida state-chartered banks that |
| 21 | exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in |
| 22 | Florida on Tier 1 Capital51% |
| 23 | e. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions |
| 24 | that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions |
| 25 | chartered in Florida on Return on Assets |
| 26 | f. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions |
| 27 | that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions |
| 28 | chartered in Florida on Return on Equity |
| 29 | g. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions |
| 30 | that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions |
| 31 | chartered in Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio51% |
| | 107 |
| | 127 |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | h. Percent of Florida state-chartered credit unions |
| 2 | that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions |
| 3 | chartered in Florida on Tier 1 Capital |
| 4 | i. Percent of applications for new Florida financial |
| 5 | institutions that seek state charters |
| 6 | j. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by |
| 7 | state-chartered financial institutions compared to assessments |
| 8 | that would be paid if the bank was nationally or federally |
| 9 | chartered\$10,000 |
| 10 | k. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by |
| 11 | state-chartered financial institutions compared to assessments |
| 12 | that would be paid if the credit union was nationally or |
| 13 | federally chartered\$500 |
| 14 | 1. Percent of banks receiving an examination report |
| 15 | within 45 days after the conclusion of their on-site state |
| 16 | |
| 17 | m. Percent of credit unions receiving an examination |
| 18 | report within 30 days after the conclusion of their on-site |
| 19 | state examination |
| 20 | n. Percent of international financial institutions |
| 21 | receiving an examination report within 45 days after the |
| 22 | conclusion of their on-site state examination |
| 23 | o. Percent of trust companies receiving an examination |
| 24 | report within 60 days after the conclusion of their on-site |
| 25 | state examination |
| 26 | p. Percent of De Novo applications statutorily |
| 27 | complete that are processed within 90 days |
| 28 | q. Percent of branch applications statutorily complete |
| 29 | that are processed within 50 days |
| 30 | r. Percent of merger/acquisition applications |
| 31 | statutorily complete that are processed within 60 days67% |
| | |
| | 128 |

| 1 | s. Percent of financial institutions under enforcement |
|----------|--|
| 2 | action that are substantially in compliance with conditions |
| 3 | imposed |
| 4 | 7. SAFETY AND SOUNDNESS OF STATE BANKING SYSTEM OUTPUT |
| 5 | MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on |
| 7 | Assets |
| , 8 | b. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on |
| 9 | Equity |
| 10 | c. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on |
| 11 | Capital to Asset Ratio |
| 12 | d. Median Florida state-chartered banks Tier 1 Capital |
| 13 | |
| 14 | e. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return |
| 15 | on Assets |
| 15 16 | f. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return |
| 10 | on Equity |
| 18 | |
| 10 19 | g. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return on Capital to Asset Ratio12.5% |
| 20 | h. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Tier 1 |
| 20 21 | Capital |
| 21 | i. Number of new Florida state-chartered banks opened |
| 22 | _ |
| 23 24 | |
| 24 25 | j. Amount (dollars) annual assessments paid by banks |
| 25 26 | \$6,929,900 |
| 26 27 | k. Amount (dollars) annual assessments paid by credit |
| | unions\$1,463,000 |
| 28 29 | 1. Number of banks examined by the Division of Banking |
| | receiving an examination report within 45 days |
| 30 21 | m. Number of credit unions examined by the Division of |
| 31 | Banking receiving an examination report within 30 days57 |
| | 129 |

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 1 | n. Number of international financial institutions |
| 2 | examined by the Division of Banking receiving an examination |
| 3 | report within 45 days14 |
| 4 | o. Number of trust companies examined by the Division |
| 5 | of Banking receiving an examination report within 60 days8 |
| б | p. Number of statutorily complete new DeNovo |
| 7 | applications received that are processed within 90 days7 |
| 8 | q. Number of statutorily complete branch applications |
| 9 | received that are processed within 15 days |
| 10 | r. Number of statutorily complete merger/acquisition |
| 11 | applications received that are processed within 60 days7 |
| 12 | s. Number of institutions in substantial compliance |
| 13 | with enforcement actions20 |
| 14 | t. Percent/number of financial institutions examined |
| 15 | within statutory timeframes by type of institution: |
| 16 | (I) Banks66%/144 |
| 17 | (II) Credit Unions |
| 18 | (III) International |
| 19 | (IV) Trust Companies |
| 20 | u. Percent/number of surveys returned that rate the |
| 21 | Division's examination program as satisfactory or above |
| 22 | |
| 23 | v. Average change in total exam time from previous |
| 24 | state exam by type of institution: |
| 25 | (I) Banks5% |
| 26 | (II) Credit Unions5% |
| 27 | (III) International5% |
| 28 | (IV) Trust Companies5% |
| 29 | w. Average percent of total exam hours conducted |
| 30 | off-site, by type of institution: |
| 31 | <u>(I)</u> Banks25% |
| | 130 |
| | |

CODING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

CS for SB 2202

| - | |
|----|--|
| 1 | (II) Credit Unions |
| 2 | (III) International |
| 3 | (IV) Trust Companies25% |
| 4 | 8. CONSUMER FINANCIAL FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION |
| 5 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Percent of investigations of licensed and |
| 7 | unlicensed entities referred to other agencies where |
| 8 | investigative assistance aided in obtaining |
| 9 | criminal/civil/administrative actions: |
| 10 | (I) Licensed6% |
| 11 | (II) Unlicensed |
| 12 | b. Dollars returned (voluntarily or through court |
| 13 | ordered restitution) to victims compared to total dollars of |
| 14 | verified loss as a result of investigative efforts of licensed |
| 15 | entities\$0.001/\$1 |
| 16 | c. Dollars returned (voluntarily or through court |
| 17 | ordered restitution) to victims compared to total dollars of |
| 18 | verified loss as a result of investigative efforts of |
| 19 | unlicensed entities\$0.46/\$1 |
| 20 | d. Percent of written complaints processed within |
| 21 | applicable standards85% |
| 22 | e. Percent of written complaints regarding licensed |
| 23 | entities referred for examination, investigation, or |
| 24 | legal/criminal action resulting in formal/informal sanctions |
| 25 | within/outside statutory authority |
| 26 | f. Percent of written complaints regarding unlicensed |
| 27 | entities referred for examination, investigation, or |
| 28 | legal/criminal action resulting in formal/informal sanctions |
| 29 | within/outside statutory authority |
| 30 | 9. CONSUMER FINANCIAL FRAUD PREVENTION AND DETECTION |
| 31 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| | |
| | 131 |

| 1 | a. Number of investigations closed |
|-----|--|
| 2 | b. Number of background investigations completed800 |
| 3 | c. Amount (dollars) of court ordered restitution to |
| 4 | victims of licensed/unlicensed entities: |
| 5 | (I) Licensed\$9K |
| 6 | (II) Unlicensed\$20.8M |
| 7 | d. Amount (dollars) of voluntary reimbursement |
| 8 | received from licensed/unlicensed entities: |
| 9 | (I) Licensed\$1.2K |
| 10 | (II) Unlicensed\$434.7K |
| 11 | e. Amount (dollars) returned to victims of |
| 12 | licensed/unlicensed entities: |
| 13 | (I) Licensed\$10K |
| 14 | (II) Unlicensed\$21.2M |
| 15 | f. Amount (dollars) of verified loss to victims of |
| 16 | licensed/unlicensed entities: |
| 17 | (I) Licensed\$9.2M |
| 18 | (II) Unlicensed\$46.14M |
| 19 | g. Average number of days for initial written |
| 20 | responses to consumers7 |
| 21 | h. Average number of days to resolve, refer, or close |
| 22 | a written complaint |
| 23 | i. Number of complaints resolved, referred, or closed |
| 24 | during the year4,350 |
| 25 | j. Percent of complaints remaining open beyond 90 days |
| 26 | and less than 120 days10% |
| 27 | k. Percent of complaints remaining open beyond 120 |
| 28 | days15% |
| 29 | 1. Number of written complaints where the department |
| 30 | identified statutory violations150 |
| 31 | |
| | 132 |
| 005 | |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | m. Number of complaints referred for consideration of |
|----|--|
| 2 | legal or criminal action275 |
| 3 | n. Number of public/consumer awareness contacts made |
| 4 | activities with personal, direct face-to-face contact140 |
| 5 | o. Number of public/consumer awareness activities |
| б | conducted utilizing all types of media |
| 7 | p. Number of participants at public/consumer awareness |
| 8 | activities with personal, direct, face-to-face contact6,800 |
| 9 | q. Total number of hours spent conducting |
| 10 | public/consumer awareness activities |
| 11 | 10. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 12 | MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Maximum threshold of administrative costs expressed |
| 14 | as a percent of total program costsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 15 | 11. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Increase in the level of service requests that are |
| 17 | responded to timely and effectivelyFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 18 | 12. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 19 | a. Number of completed service requests for internal |
| 20 | systems design and support |
| 21 | (2) DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL |
| 22 | REGULATION |
| 23 | (a) For the Office of the Secretary and Division of |
| 24 | Administration Program, the outcome measures, output measures, |
| 25 | and associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 26 | provided in Specific Appropriations 1939-1957 are as follows: |
| 27 | 1. FLORIDA BOXING COMMISSION OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 28 | a. Percent of licenses suspended or revoked (primarily |
| 29 | for medical purposes/approx 90%) in relation to fights |
| 30 | supervised |
| 31 | 2. FLORIDA BOXING COMMISSION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| | 133 |
| | |

| 1 a. Number of scheduled boxing rounds2,472 |
|---|
| 2 <u>3. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURE</u> |
| 3 <u>a. Percent agency administrative and support costs</u> |
| 4 compared to total agency costs |
| 5 4. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 6 a. Percent increase in public access to regulatory |
| 7 <u>information10%</u> |
| 8 <u>5. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURE</u> |
| 9 <u>a. Number of clients served15,968,506</u> |
| 10 (b) For the Professional Regulation Program, the |
| 11 outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 12 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 13 Appropriations 1958-1978 are as follows: |
| 14 <u>1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE</u> |
| 15 a. Percent of applications processed within 90 days |
| 16 |
| 17 2. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 18 a. Number of applications processed59,263 |
| 19 b. Number of licensees |
| 20 <u>3. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES</u> |
| 21 a. Percent of cases that are resolved through |
| 22 alternative means (notices of noncompliance, citations or |
| 23 <u>alternative dispute resolution) FY</u> |
| 24 <u>2001-2002 LBR</u> |
| 25 b. Percent of establishments or licensees found in |
| 26 violation of critical or multiple noncritical violations |
| 27 resulting in discipline0.9% |
| 28 <u>4. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES</u> |
| 29 a. Total number of casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 b. Number of enforcement actions35,558 |
| 31 |
| 134 |
| 1 |
| CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

First Engrossed

| 1 | (c) For the Pari-Mutuel Wagering Program, the outcome |
|----|---|
| 2 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 3 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 4 | Appropriations 1979-2001 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Percent of races and games that result in statutory |
| 7 | or rule infractions0.85% |
| 8 | 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 9 | a. Number of races and games monitored |
| 10 | 3. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 11 | a. Percent of applications processed within 90 days |
| 12 | |
| 13 | 4. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 14 | a. Number of applications processed |
| 15 | 5. TAX COLLECTION OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Total collections per dollar spent on pari-mutuel |
| 17 | events\$19.38 |
| 18 | 6. TAX COLLECTION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 19 | a. Number of audits conducted |
| 20 | (d) For the Hotels and Restaurants Program, the |
| 21 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 22 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 23 | Appropriations 2001A-2013 are as follows: |
| 24 | 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Percent of licensees in compliance with applicable |
| 26 | laws and rules for food service and public lodging |
| 27 | establishments |
| 28 | b. Percent of licensees in compliance with applicable |
| 29 | laws and rules for elevators, escalators, and other vertical |
| 30 | conveyance devices95.29% |
| 31 | 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| | 135 |
| | |

First Engrossed

| 1 | a. Number of educational packets distributed and |
|-----|--|
| 2 | education and training seminars/workshops conducted283,407 |
| 3 | b. Number of inspections for food service and public |
| 4 | lodging establishmentsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 5 | c. Number of inspections for elevators, escalators, |
| б | and other vertical conveyance devicesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 7 | d. Number of call-back inspections for food service |
| 8 | and public lodging establishmentsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 9 | 3. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 10 | a. Percent of hotel and restaurant licenses and |
| 11 | elevator certificates of operation processed within 30 days |
| 12 | |
| 13 | 4. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 14 | a. Number of licensees for public lodging and food |
| 15 | service establishments69,315 |
| 16 | b. Number of licensees for elevators, escalators, and |
| 17 | other vertical conveyance devices |
| 18 | (e) For the Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco Program, |
| 19 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 20 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 21 | Specific Appropriations 2014-2033 are as follows: |
| 22 | 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Percent repeated noncomplying wholesale/retail |
| 24 | licensees on yearly basisFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 25 | b. Percent noncomplying wholesale/retail licensees on |
| 26 | yearly basisFY |
| 27 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | c. Percent of alcoholic beverages and tobacco |
| 29 | retailers tested found to be in compliance with underage |
| 30 | persons' accessFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | |
| | 136 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | d. Percent of total retail alcohol and tobacco |
|----|---|
| 2 | licensees and permit holders inspected |
| 3 | 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 4 | a. Number of licensees |
| 5 | 3. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Percent of license applications processed within 90 |
| 7 | days |
| 8 | 4. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 9 | a. Number of applications processed |
| 10 | 5. TAX COLLECTION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Total auditing expenditures compared to auditing |
| 12 | collections FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | b. Percent of retail and wholesale tax dollars |
| 14 | identified by audit that were collected |
| 15 | 6. TAX COLLECTION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Number of audits conducted |
| 17 | (f) For the Florida Land Sales, Condominiums, and |
| 18 | Mobile Homes Program, the outcome measures, output measures, |
| 19 | and associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 20 | provided in Specific Appropriations 2034-2045 are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 22 | a. Percent of administrative actions resulting in |
| 23 | consent orders EY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 24 | b. Average number of days to resolve consumer |
| 25 | complaints not investigatedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 26 | c. Average number of days to resolve investigations.FY |
| 27 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 28 | d. Average number of days to resolve cases submitted |
| 29 | for arbitration for condominiums |
| 30 | e. Percent of parties surveyed that benefited from |
| 31 | education provided (condominiums)FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 137 |
| - | |

| 1 | 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
|-----|--|
| 2 | a. Number of administrative actions resolved by |
| 3 | consent orders FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 4 | b. Number of days to close consumer complaintsFY |
| 5 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 6 | c. Number of consumer complaints closedFY 2001-2002 |
| 7 | LBR |
| 8 | d. Number of days to close investigations.FY 2001-2002 |
| 9 | LBR |
| 10 | e. Number of investigations closedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | f. Number of days to close casesFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 12 | g. Number of cases closedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 13 | h. Number of seminars conductedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 14 | i. Number of attendees at educational seminars |
| 15 | surveyed FY |
| 16 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | j. Number of topics covered at educational seminars.FY |
| 18 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 19 | k. Number of unit owners represented at educational |
| 20 | seminars FY |
| 21 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 22 | 1. Number of associations represented at educational |
| 23 | seminars FY |
| 24 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 25 | 3. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 26 | a. Percent of permanent licenses issued and filings |
| 27 | reviewed as prescribed by laws |
| 28 | 4. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 29 | a. Permanent licenses and filings processed19,161 |
| 30 | (3) DEPARTMENT OF CITRUS |
| 31 | |
| | 138 |
| COP | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | ING: WOLUS S ULICKEN ale deletions, Wolus <u>underlined</u> ale additions. |

| 1 | (a) For the Citrus Research Program, the outcome |
|----|--|
| 2 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 3 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 4 | Appropriations 2046-2048 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. CITRUS RESEARCH OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Number of new citrus product lines2 |
| 7 | 2. CITRUS RESEARCH OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Number of sponsored research programs17 |
| 9 | (b) For the Executive Direction and Support Services |
| 10 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 11 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 12 | Specific Appropriations 2049-2056 are as follows: |
| 13 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 14 | MEASURE |
| 15 | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total agency |
| 16 | <u>costs7.8%</u> |
| 17 | (c) For the Agricultural Products and Marketing |
| 18 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 19 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 20 | Specific Appropriations 2057-2059 are as follows: |
| 21 | 1. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS MARKETING OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Percent return on investment for Florida growers.9% |
| 23 | 2. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS MARKETING OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 24 | a. Number of TV Gross Rating Points4,000 |
| 25 | b. Number of trade incentive programs administered |
| 26 | |
| 27 | (4) EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR |
| 28 | (a) For the Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development |
| 29 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 30 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 31 | Specific Appropriations 2084-2088D are as follows: |
| | 120 |
| | 139 |

| 1 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
|-----|--|
| 2 | MEASURE |
| 3 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total program |
| 4 | costs |
| 5 | 2. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS OUTCOME |
| 6 | MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Number/dollar amount of contracts and grants |
| 8 | administered |
| 9 | b. Public expenditures per job created/retained under |
| 10 | QTI incentive program\$3,750 |
| 11 | c. Number of state agency proposed rules reviewed |
| 12 | which impact small businesses |
| 13 | d. Number of business leaders' meetings coordinated1 |
| 14 | e. Implement the revitalization of urban communities |
| 15 | (Front Porch Florida) as measured by the number of |
| 16 | applications and neighborhood plans reviewed; partnering |
| 17 | activities, interactive community activities, and technical |
| 18 | assistance activities facilitatedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 19 | f. Market, promote, and provide services to the |
| 20 | state's entertainment industry (Office of Film Commission) as |
| 21 | measured by the number of marketing, promotion, and other |
| 22 | services providedFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 23 | g. Dollar amount and procurement opportunities |
| 24 | generated for Black businesses\$2.5 million |
| 25 | h. Economic contributions from Florida Sports |
| 26 | Foundation-sponsored regional and major sporting events grants |
| 27 | \$150 million |
| 28 | i. Satisfaction of the area Sports Commissions with |
| 29 | the efforts of the foundation to promote and develop the |
| 30 | sports industry and related industries in the state75% |
| 31 | |
| | 140 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | j. Economic contributions to communities as a result |
|----|---|
| 2 | of hosting Florida's Senior State Games ChampionshipFY |
| 3 | 2001-2002 LBR |
| 4 | k. Economic contributions to communities as a result |
| 5 | of hosting Florida's Sunshine State Spring Games Championship |
| 6 | |
| 7 | 1. Number of jobs created as a result of economic |
| 8 | factors on the community using the State of Florida Economic |
| 9 | |
| 10 | m. Number of out-of-state travelers who come to and go |
| 11 | through Florida\$50.6 million |
| 12 | n. Number of resident travelers who come to and go |
| 13 | through Florida13.6 million |
| 14 | o. Impact that travelers in Florida have on the |
| 15 | state's overall economy through rental car surcharge\$141.2 |
| 16 | million |
| 17 | p. Impact that travelers in Florida have on the |
| 18 | state's overall economy through tourism-related employment |
| 19 | |
| 20 | q. Impact that travelers in Florida have on the |
| 21 | state's overall economy through taxable sales\$48.3 billion |
| 22 | r. Impact that travelers in Florida have on the |
| 23 | state's overall economy through local option tax\$320 million |
| 24 | s. Growth in private sector contributions to VISIT |
| 25 | FLORIDA\$34 |
| 26 | million |
| 27 | t. Satisfaction of Visit Florida's Partners and |
| 28 | representative members of the tourism industry with the |
| 29 | efforts of Visit Florida to promote Florida tourism75% |
| 30 | u. Facilitate the creation of an inventory of the |
| 31 | sites identified by the state's tourism regions as |
| | 141 |
| | TNG. Woundar at wighter and deletions, woundar underlined and additions |

| 1 | nature-based and heritage tourism sites and implement |
|--------------|--|
| 2 | procedures to maintain the inventory |
| 3 | v. Implement s. 288.1224(13), F.S., including the |
| 4 | incorporation of nature-based and heritage tourism components |
| 5 | into the Four-Year Marketing Plan |
| 6 | w. Value of new investment in the Florida space |
| 7 | business and programs (cumulative)\$230 million |
| 8 | x. Number of launches |
| 9 | y. Number of visitors to space-related tourism |
| 10 | facilities2.9 million |
| 11 | z. Tax revenue generated by space-related tourism |
| 12 | facilities\$1,400,000 |
| 13 | aa. Number of direct full-time jobs facilitated as a |
| 14 | result of Enterprise Florida's recruitment, expansion, and |
| 15 | retention efforts in rural areas (2,000), in urban core areas |
| 16 | |
| 17 | bb. Documented export sales attributable to programs |
| 18 | and activities\$275 million |
| 19 | cc. Total number of marketing leads generated through |
| 20 | Enterprise Florida's comprehensive marketing programs: trade |
| 21 | <u>leads - 450, investment leads - 300</u> |
| 22 | dd. Satisfaction of economic development practitioners |
| 23 | and other appropriate entities with efforts of Enterprise |
| 24 | Florida in providing economic development leadership in the |
| 25 | full range of services required for state and local economic |
| 26 | growth, including critical industries and workforce |
| 27 | development |
| 28 | ee. Satisfaction of economic development practitioners |
| 29 | and other appropriate entities with efforts of EFI in |
| 30 | marketing the state, including marketing rural communities and |
| 31 | |
| | 142 |
| a • - | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | distances of when communities of a nucleusiness leastion for |
|-----|--|
| _ | distressed urban communities, as a pro-business location for |
| 2 | potential new investment |
| 3 | ff. Percent of supplemental fund requests from |
| 4 | Regional Workforce Boards acted upon in a timely fashion for |
| 5 | requests less than or equal to established fund threshold |
| 6 | acted upon within 14 days of receipt of approvable |
| 7 | documentation, and for requests greater than the established |
| 8 | fund threshold within 90 days100%/100% |
| 9 | gg. Number and percent of agency policies to be |
| 10 | reviewed, recommendations made, and actions taken to implement |
| 11 | recommendations |
| 12 | hh. Number and percent of on-site regional workforce |
| 13 | development board reviews completed in accordance with an |
| 14 | established schedule by June 30, 200024/100% |
| 15 | ii. For regions out of compliance, the percent of |
| 16 | reviews where board staff issued the report of deficiencies |
| 17 | and provided recommendations for corrective action within 14 |
| 18 | days after exit100% |
| 19 | jj. Number and percent of individuals completing high |
| 20 | skill/high wage programs found employed at an average hourly |
| 21 | wage equal to or higher than \$9/ahw for the last completed |
| 22 | reporting period49,500/50% |
| 23 | kk. Number and percent of WIA statewide standards met |
| 24 | or exceeded 12 of 17/70% |
| 25 | ll. Number and percent of WIA regional standards met |
| 26 | or exceeded |
| 27 | of 408/73.5% |
| 28 | mm. Percent of customers who found the State Board |
| 29 | fulfilling its oversight and coordinating responsibilities |
| 30 | determined through the use of a customer survey75% |
| 31 | |
| | 143 |
| COP | |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | nn. QRT Employee Retention Rates and Earnings in |
| 2 | quarter following completion of training70% at \$9 or above |
| 3 | oo. QRT Employee satisfaction rates (per survey)75% |
| 4 | pp. IWT Employee Retention Rates and Earnings in |
| 5 | quarter following completion of training70% at \$9 or above |
| б | qq. IWT Employer satisfaction rates (per survey)75% |
| 7 | 3. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS OUTPUT |
| 8 | MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Number of missions/events coordinated/participated |
| 10 | in to develop business opportunities for Black Business |
| 11 | Investment Board4 |
| 12 | b. Number of Black Business Investment Boards created |
| 13 | or supported8 |
| 14 | c. Private dollars leveraged by Black Business |
| 15 | Investment Board\$1.6 million |
| 16 | d. Number of Businesses provided Technical Assistance |
| 17 | through Statewide BBIC |
| 18 | e. Number/amount of major and regional sports event |
| 19 | grants awarded |
| 20 | f. Number of publications produced and distributed by |
| 20 | the Florida Sports Foundation |
| 21 | |
| | |
| 23 | by the Florida Sports Foundation6 |
| 24 | h. Number of national promotions conducted/supported |
| 25 | by the Florida Sports Foundation1 |
| 26 | i. Number of trade/consumer shows facilitated or |
| 27 | conducted by the Florida Sports Foundation |
| 28 | j. Number of athletes competing in Florida's Senior |
| 29 | State Games ChampionshipFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | k. Number of athletes competing in Florida's Sunshine |
| 31 | State Spring Games ChampionshipFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| | 144 |
| | |
CS for SB 2202

| 1 | 1. Number of amateur athletic sports leaders workshops |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | and seminars conducted |
| 3 | m. Quality and effectiveness of paid advertising |
| 4 | messages reaching the target audience: impressions.550 million |
| 5 | n. Number contacting VISIT FLORIDA in response to |
| б | advertising620,146 |
| 7 | o. Value and number of consumer promotions facilitated |
| 8 | by VISIT FLORIDA\$13 million/155 |
| 9 | p. Number of leads and visitor inquiries generated by |
| 10 | the FTIMC events and media placements |
| 11 | q. Number of private-sector partners |
| 12 | r. Level of private-sector partner financial |
| 13 | contribution through direct financial investment\$2.2 million |
| 14 | s. Level of private-sector partner financial |
| 15 | contribution through strategic alliance program\$1.3 million |
| 16 | t. Number of students in Spaceport Florida Authority |
| 17 | (SFA) sponsored space-related classroom or research at |
| 18 | accredited institutions of higher education |
| 19 | u. Equity in SFA industrial/research facilities\$65 |
| 20 | million |
| 21 | v. Presentations to industry and governmental decision |
| 22 | makers |
| 23 | w. Equity in SFA space-related tourist facilities\$25 |
| 24 | million |
| 25 | x. Number of trade events |
| 26 | y. Number of companies assisted by Enterprise Florida |
| 27 | in the area of international trade |
| 28 | z. Number of active recruitment, expansion, and |
| 29 | retention projects worked during the year |
| 30 | aa. Number of leads and projects referred to local |
| 31 | Economic Development Organizations120 |
| | 145 |
| a a- | |

| 1 | bb. Number of successful incentive projects worked |
|----|--|
| 2 | with local Economic Development Organizations |
| 3 | cc. Number of times Enterprise Florida's information |
| 4 | services are accessed by local Economic Development |
| 5 | Organizations |
| 6 | dd. Market space and defense businesses as measured by |
| 7 | the number of leads generated75 |
| 8 | ee. Rural and urban core businesses provided |
| 9 | assistance |
| 10 | ff. Total number of Quick Response Training new |
| 11 | full-time, high skill/high wage jobs created4,500 |
| 12 | gg. Number of Quick Response Training new full-time, |
| 13 | high skill/high wage jobs created in rural areas |
| 14 | hh. Number of Quick Response Training new full-time, |
| 15 | high skill/high wage jobs created in urban core areas300 |
| 16 | ii. Number of Quick Response Training new full-time, |
| 17 | high skill/high wage jobs created in critical industries.2,700 |
| 18 | jj. QRT Ratio of private funds match to state funds3 |
| 19 | <u>to 1</u> |
| 20 | kk. Total number of Incumbent Worker Training Pilot |
| 21 | Project (WIA) permanent jobs retained as a result of incumbent |
| 22 | worker training1,000 |
| 23 | ll. Number of Incumbent Worker Training Pilot Project |
| 24 | (WIA) permanent jobs retained as a result of incumbent worker |
| 25 | training in rural areas100 |
| 26 | mm. Number of Incumbent Worker Training Pilot Project |
| 27 | (WIA) permanent jobs retained as a result of incumbent worker |
| 28 | training in urban core areas200 |
| 29 | nn. Number of Incumbent Worker Training Pilot Project |
| 30 | (WIA) permanent jobs retained as a result of incumbent worker |
| 31 | training in critical industries |
| | 146 |
| | UTTU UTTU |

| 1 | oo. IWT Ratio of private funds match to federal WIA |
|--------------|--|
| 2 | funds |
| 3 | (5) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES |
| 4 | (a) For the Executive Director and Division of |
| 5 | Administrative Services, the outcome measures, output |
| б | measures, and associated performance standards with respect to |
| 7 | funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2089-2099 are as |
| 8 | follows: |
| 9 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Administration and support costs/positions as a |
| 11 | percent of total agency costs and positions5.02%/7.43% |
| 12 | b. Cost per square foot spent on operational |
| 13 | maintenance of agency facilities\$4.76 |
| 14 | (b) For the Highway Patrol Program, the outcome |
| 15 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 16 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 17 | Appropriations 2100-2123 are as follows: |
| 18 | 1. HIGHWAY SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Florida death rate on patrolled highways per 100 |
| 20 | million vehicle miles of travel (For Information Only)1.9 |
| 21 | b. National average death rate on highways per 100 |
| 22 | million vehicle miles of travel |
| 23 | c. Florida death rate on all roads per 100 million |
| 24 | vehicle miles of travel1.9 |
| 25 | d. National average death rate on all roads per 100 |
| 26 | million vehicle miles of travel |
| 27 | e. Alcohol-related death rate per 100 million vehicle |
| 28 | miles of travel0.64 |
| 29 | f. Number of crashes investigated by FHP186,978 |
| 30 | g. Percent change in number of crashes investigated by |
| 31 | FHP+1% |
| | 147 |
| a • - | 147 |

| 1 | h. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of |
|-----|--|
| 2 | travel on all Florida roads |
| 3 | 2. HIGHWAY SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 4 | a. Actual average response time (minutes) to calls for |
| 5 | crashes or assistance |
| 6 | b. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent |
| 7 | on preventive patrol |
| 8 | c. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent |
| 9 | on preventive patrol |
| 10 | d. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent |
| 11 | on crash investigation |
| 12 | e. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent |
| 13 | on crash investigation14% |
| 14 | f. Average time (hours) to investigate crashes (long |
| 15 | form) |
| 16 | g. Average time (hours) to investigate crashes (short |
| 17 | form) |
| 18 | h. Average time (hours) to investigate crashes |
| 19 | (nonreportable)0.65 |
| 20 | i. Duty hours spent on law enforcement officer |
| 21 | assistance to motorist102,387 |
| 22 | j. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent |
| 23 | on motorist assistance |
| 24 | k. Number of motorists assisted by law enforcement |
| 25 | officers |
| 26 | 3. CRIMINAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS OUTCOME |
| 27 | MEASURE |
| 28 | a. Percent of closed criminal investigation cases |
| 29 | which are resolved66% |
| 30 | 4. CRIMINAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS OUTPUT |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 148 |
| 007 | 140 |

| 1 | a. Average time (hours) spent per criminal |
|----------|---|
| 2 | investigation cases closed |
| 3 | b. Actual number of criminal cases closed1,233 |
| 4 | c. Average time (hours) spent per professional |
| 5 | compliance investigation cases closed |
| 6 | d. Actual number of professional compliance |
| 7 | investigation cases closed122 |
| 8 | e. Number of hours spent on traffic homicide |
| 9 | investigations133,105 |
| 10 | f. Number of cases resolved as result of traffic |
| 11 | homicide investigations1,647 |
| 12 | g. Average time (hours) spent per traffic homicide |
| 13 | investigation |
| 14 | h. Percent of recruits retained by FHP for 3 years |
| 15 | after the completion of training |
| 16 | i. Number of hours spent on investigations63,350 |
| 17 | 5. PUBLIC INFORMATION AND SAFETY EDUCATION OUTCOME |
| 18 | MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Percent increase in seat belt use |
| 20 | b. State seat belt compliance rate60.7% |
| 21 | c. National average seat belt compliance rate (for |
| 22 | comparison) |
| 23 | 6. PUBLIC INFORMATION AND SAFETY EDUCATION OUTPUT |
| 24 | MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of public traffic safety presentations made |
| 26 | 1,563 |
| 27 | b. Persons in attendance at public traffic safety |
| 28 | presentations |
| 29 | c. Average size of audience per presentation53 |
| 30 | d. Number of training courses offered to FHP recruits |
| 31 | and personnel |
| | 149 |
| a | |

| 1 | e. Number of students successfully completing training |
|-----|--|
| 2 | <u>courses</u> |
| 3 | 7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 4 | MEASURE |
| 5 | a. Program administration and support costs/positions |
| 6 | as a percent of total program costs and positions1.29%/1% |
| 7 | (c) For the Licenses, Titles, and Regulations Program, |
| 8 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 9 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 10 | Specific Appropriations 2124-2175 are as follows: |
| 11 | 1. MOTOR VEHICLES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 12 | a. Percent of motor vehicle titles issued without |
| 13 | error |
| 14 | b. Number of fraudulent motor vehicle titles |
| 15 | identified and submitted to law enforcement |
| 16 | c. Percent change in number of fraudulent motor |
| 17 | vehicle titles identified and submitted to law enforcement3% |
| 18 | d. Ratio of warranty complaints to new mobile homes |
| 19 | titled1:61 |
| 20 | e. Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day in |
| 21 | the six applicable (air quality) counties |
| 22 | f. Ratio of taxes collected from international |
| 23 | registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements |
| 24 | (IFTA) audits to cost of audits\$1.85/\$1 |
| 25 | 2. MOTOR VEHICLES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 26 | a. Number of motor vehicle and mobile homes |
| 27 | registrations issued13,923,922 |
| 28 | b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles |
| 29 | issued4,700,000 |
| 30 | c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title\$2.05 |
| 31 | |
| | 150 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| | |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | d. Average number of days to issue a motor vehicle |
|----|--|
| 2 | <u>title3.4</u> |
| 3 | e. Number of vessel registrations issued863,501 |
| 4 | f. Number of vessel titles issued |
| 5 | g. Average cost to issue a vessel title\$5.08 |
| б | h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with |
| 7 | number of auditors shown |
| 8 | 3. DRIVER'S LICENSES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Percent of customers waiting 15 minutes or less for |
| 10 | driver license service82% |
| 11 | b. Percent of customers waiting 30 minutes or more for |
| 12 | driver license service11% |
| 13 | c. Percent of DUI course graduates who do not |
| 14 | recidivate within 3 years after graduation |
| 15 | d. Average number of corrections per 1,000 driver |
| 16 | records maintained4.0 |
| 17 | e. Percent of motorists complying with financial |
| 18 | responsibility83% |
| 19 | f. Number of driver's licenses/identification cards |
| 20 | suspended, cancelled and invalidated as a result of fraudulent |
| 21 | activity, with annual percent change shown2,178/1% |
| 22 | 4. DRIVER'S LICENSES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Number of driver's licenses issued4,188,819 |
| 24 | b. Number of identification cards issued821,349 |
| 25 | c. Number of written driver's license examinations |
| 26 | conducted2,213,001 |
| 27 | d. Number of road tests conducted |
| 28 | 5. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 29 | a. Percent of customers who rate services as |
| 30 | satisfactory or better as measured by survey |
| 31 | 6. KIRKMAN DATA CENTER OUTPUT MEASURE |
| | 151 |
| | |

| 1 | a. Number of service programs maintained3,310 |
|--------|--|
| 1 2 | a. Number of service programs maintained3,310 (6) DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE |
| | |
| 3 | (a) For the Office of the Treasurer and Division of |
| 4 | Administration Program the outcome measures, output measures, |
| 5 | and associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 6 | provided in Specific Appropriations 2176-2194 are as follows: |
| 7 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 8 | MEASURE |
| 9 | a. Administrative costs expressed as a percent of |
| 10 | total program costsEY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 11 | 2. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT |
| 12 | MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of cabinet issues handled2,242 |
| 14 | b. Number of issues handled by Insurance Consumer |
| 15 | Advocate |
| 16 | 3. LEGAL SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 17 | a. Percent of suspected code violations referred to |
| 18 | Legal Services resulting in discipline or corrective action |
| 19 | |
| 20 | 4. LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 21 | a. Number of assignments handled by Legal Services |
| 22 | |
| 23 | 5. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Minimum percent of scheduled services and service |
| 25 | requests completed in a timely manner |
| 26 | 6. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 27 | a. Number of scheduled hours of technical resources |
| 28 | available per position2,900 |
| 29 | (b) For the Division of Treasury Program the outcome |
| 30 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 152 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 1 2 Appropriations 2195-2204 are as follows: 3 1. DEPOSIT SECURITY SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE.--4 a. Maximum administrative unit cost per \$100,000 of 5 securities placed for deposit security services purposes...\$25 6 2. DEPOSIT SECURITY SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES.--7 a. Number of analysis performed on the financial 8 condition of qualified public depositories and custodians, and 9 b. Number of account actions taken on trust deposit 10 11 12 3. STATE FUNDS MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENT OUTCOME 13 MEASURES.--14 a. Ratio of net rates of return to established 15 national benchmarks for: (I) Internal liquidity investments.....1.05 16 17 (II) Internal bridge investments.....1.03 (III) External investment program bridge portfolio 18 19 20 (IV) Medium term portfolio.....1.02 21 (V) Investment grade convertible bonds....FY 2001-2002 22 LBR 23 4. STATE FUNDS MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENT OUTPUT 24 MEASURES.-a. Number of cash management consultation services..30 25 26 b. Number of financial management/accounting 27 transactions processed and reports produced.....10,200,093 28 5. SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT PLAN OUTCOME MEASURE.--29 a. Minimum percent of state employees (excluding OPS) 30 participating in the State Supplemental Retirement Plan 31 (Deferred Compensation)......24% 153

| 1 | |
|------------|---|
| 1 | 6. SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT PLAN OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 2 | a. Number of participant account actions processed by |
| 3 | the state deferred compensation office |
| 4 | b. Number of educational materials distributed by the |
| 5 | state deferred compensation officeFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 6 | (c) For the Fire Marshal Program, the outcome |
| 7 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 8 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 9 | Appropriations 2205-2217D are as follows: |
| 10 | 1. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Number of fire related deaths occurring in state |
| 12 | owned and leased properties required to be inspected0 |
| 13 | b. Percent of mandated regulatory inspections |
| 14 | completed |
| 15 | 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Number of recurring inspections completed of fire |
| 17 | code compliance in state owned/leased buildings7,200 |
| 18 | b. Number of high hazard inspections completed of fire |
| 19 | code compliance in state owned/leased buildings6,536 |
| 20 | c. Number of construction inspections completed of |
| 21 | fire code compliance in state owned/leased buildings875 |
| 22 | d. Percent of fire code inspections completed within |
| 23 | statutory defined timeframe100% |
| 24 | e. Percent of fire code plans reviews completed within |
| 25 | statutory defined timeframe100% |
| 26 | f. Number of boilers inspected by department |
| 27 | inspectors |
| 28 | g. Number of regulatory inspections completed481 |
| 29 | 3. FIRE AND ARSON INVESTIGATION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| | 154 |
| <u>م</u> ں | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | THE . MOLUS SUITCHEN are detectons, words <u>underlined</u> are addictons. |

| 1 | a. Percent of closed fire investigations successfully |
|--------------|--|
| 2 | concluded, including by cause determined, suspect identified |
| 3 | and/or arrested, or other reasons |
| 4 | b. Percent of closed arson investigations for which an |
| 5 | arrest was made Florida/National |
| 6 | 4. FIRE AND ARSON INVESTIGATION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Total number of fire investigations opened9,458 |
| 8 | b. Total number of fire investigations closed6,242 |
| 9 | 5. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING AND STANDARDS OUTCOME |
| 10 | MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Percent of challenges to examination results and |
| 12 | eligibility determination compared to those eligible to |
| 13 | challenge |
| 14 | b. Number/percent of students who rate training they |
| 15 | received at the Florida State Fire College as improving their |
| 16 | ability to perform assigned duties |
| 17 | c. Percent of above satisfactory ratings by |
| 18 | supervisors of students' job performance from post-class |
| 19 | evaluations of skills gained through training at the Florida |
| 20 | State Fire College85% |
| 21 | 6. PROFESSIONAL TRAINING AND STANDARDS OUTPUT |
| 22 | MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Number of classes conducted by the Florida State |
| 24 | Fire College |
| 25 | b. Number of students trained and classroom contact |
| 26 | hours provided by the Florida State Fire College.4,200/220,000 |
| 27 | c. Number/percent of customer requests for |
| 28 | certification testing completed within defined timeframes |
| 29 | |
| 30 | d. Number of certified training centers inspected29 |
| 31 | e. Number of examinations administered4,400 |
| | 166 |
| <i>a</i> = - | |
| COD | 155 |

First Engrossed

| 1 | (d) For the State Property and Casualty Claims |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 3 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 4 | Specific Appropriations 2218-2224 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. RISK REDUCTION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Number of workers' compensation claims requiring |
| 7 | some payment per 100 FTE employees |
| 8 | b. Number and percent of responses indicating the risk |
| 9 | services training they received was useful in developing and |
| 10 | implementing risk management plans in their agencies80/90% |
| 11 | c. Average cost of tort liability claims paid\$3,599 |
| 12 | d. Average cost of Federal Civil Rights liability |
| 13 | claims paid\$13,046 |
| 14 | e. Average cost of workers' compensation claims.\$3,250 |
| 15 | f. Average cost of property claims paid\$3,497 |
| 16 | g. Number/percent of liability claims closed in |
| 17 | relation to liability claims worked during the fiscal year |
| 18 | |
| 19 | 2. RISK REDUCTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 20 | a. Risk services training and consultation as measured |
| 21 | by the number of training units (1 unit=8 hrs.) provided and |
| 22 | consultation contracts made |
| 23 | 3. STATE SELF-INSURED CLAIMS ADJUSTMENTS OUTCOME |
| 24 | MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Percent of indemnity and medical payments made in a |
| 26 | timely manner in compliance with DLES Security Rule |
| 27 | <u>38F-24.021, F.A.C95%</u> |
| 28 | b. State employees' workers' compensation benefit cost |
| 29 | rate, as defined by indemnity and medical benefits, per \$100 |
| 30 | of state employees' payroll as compared to prior years<\$1.16 |
| 31 | |
| | 156 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | c. Percent of lawsuits, generated from a liability |
|-----|--|
| 2 | claim, evaluated with SEFES codes entered within prescribed |
| 3 | timeframes |
| 4 | d. Number/percent of trainees who indicated the |
| 5 | training they received was useful in performing required |
| 6 | property program processes123/95% |
| 7 | e. Average operational cost of a claim worked\$140.28 |
| 8 | 4. STATE SELF-INSURED CLAIMS ADJUSTMENTS OUTPUT |
| 9 | MEASURES |
| 10 | a. Number of workers' compensation claims worked |
| 11 | |
| 12 | b. Number of workers' compensation claims litigated |
| 13 | |
| 14 | c. Number of liability claims worked8,784 |
| 15 | d. Number of training units (1 unit=8 hrs.) provided |
| 16 | by the property program40 |
| 17 | e. Number of state property loss/damage claims worked |
| 18 | |
| 19 | (e) For the Insurance Regulation and Consumer |
| 20 | Protection Program the outcome measures, output measures, and |
| 21 | associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 22 | provided in Specific Appropriations 2225-2247 are as follows: |
| 23 | 1. INSURANCE COMPANY LICENSURE AND OVERSIGHT OUTCOME |
| 24 | MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Percent of total premium of major lines |
| 26 | (homeowner's, automobile, worker's compensation) written |
| 27 | through the residual market |
| 28 | b. Maximum number of insurance companies entering |
| 29 | rehabilitation or liquidation during the year.FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 30 | 2. INSURANCE COMPANY LICENSURE AND OVERSIGHT OUTPUT |
| 31 | MEASURES |
| | 157 |
| 005 | |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | a. Current number of licensed insurance entities.3,420 |
|----------|--|
| 2 | b. Number of market conduct examinations completed.265 |
| 3 | c. Number of financial reviews and examinations |
| 4 | completed12,620 |
| 5 | d. Number of rate and form reviews completed22,100 |
| б | e. Total number of insurance companies in |
| 7 | rehabilitation or liquidation during the year |
| 8 | 3. INSURANCE REPRESENTATIVE LICENSURE, SALES |
| 9 | APPOINTMENTS, AND OVERSIGHT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 10 | a. Maximum percent of insurance representatives |
| 11 | requiring discipline or oversight |
| 12 | 4. INSURANCE REPRESENTATIVE LICENSURE, SALES |
| 13 | APPOINTMENTS, AND OVERSIGHT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 14 | a. Number of applications for licensure processed |
| 15 | |
| 16 | b. Number of appointment actions processed868,916 |
| 17 | c. Number of applicants and licensees required to |
| 18 | comply with education requirements |
| 19 | 5. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 20 | a. Percent of arrests for insurance fraud resulting in |
| 21 | trial or nontrial conviction82% |
| 22 | b. Percent of investigative actions resulting in |
| 23 | administrative action against agents and agencies64% |
| 24 | 6. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Number of insurance fraud investigations completed |
| 26 | |
| 27 | b. Number of agent and agency investigations completed |
| 28 | |
| 29 | 7. INSURANCE CONSUMER ASSISTANCE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 30 | a. Percent of service requests appropriately resolved |
| 31 | FY |
| | 150 |
| a | 158 |
| | TNC . Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1 | 2001-2002 LBR |
|----|--|
| 2 | 8. INSURANCE CONSUMER ASSISTANCE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Number of consumer educational materials created |
| 4 | and distributed |
| 5 | b. Number of telephone calls answered through the |
| 6 | consumer helpline |
| 7 | c. Number of consumer requests and information |
| 8 | inquiries handled |
| 9 | (7) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY |
| 10 | (a) For the Workforce Assistance and Security Program, |
| 11 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 12 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 13 | Specific Appropriations 2248-2333 are as follows: |
| 14 | 1. WORKFORCE PLACEMENT AND ASSISTANCE OUTCOME |
| 15 | MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Percent of injured workers returning to work at 80 |
| 17 | percent or more of previous average (BRE) quarterly wage for |
| 18 | at least 1 quarter of the year following injury for accident 2 |
| 19 | <u>yrs prior63.5%</u> |
| 20 | b. Percent of initial payments made on time by |
| 21 | insurance carriers91.8% |
| 22 | c. Number of workers newly protected by workers' |
| 23 | compensation coverage per fiscal year as a result of |
| 24 | compliance efforts14,015 |
| 25 | d. Percent of investigated issues resolved by EAO10% |
| 26 | e. Percent of noncomplying carriers in compliance upon |
| 27 | reaudit |
| 28 | f. Percent of eligible workers receiving reemployment |
| 29 | services sponsored by the division with closed cases during |
| 30 | the fiscal year and returned to suitable work.FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 31 | g. Average total cost per 4-year-old case\$17,597 |
| | 150 |
| | 159 |

First Engrossed

| - | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | h. Percent of lost time cases with no petition for |
| 2 | benefits filed 18 months after the date of accident77% |
| 3 | i. Percent of compliance enforcement actions which |
| 4 | result in a successful outcome (payment in full of all |
| 5 | penalties assessed and compliance by the employer and/or |
| 6 | cessation of all business operations of the employer)FY |
| 7 | <u>2001-2002 LBR</u> |
| 8 | j. Percent of permanent total supplemental benefits |
| 9 | paid by the division to injured workers timely and accurately |
| 10 | |
| 11 | k. Percent of timely held mediations (21 days)FY |
| 12 | <u>2001-2002 LBR</u> |
| 13 | 1. Average days from petition filed to disposition |
| 14 | orderFY |
| 15 | <u>2001-2002 LBR</u> |
| 16 | m. Cost per disposition order entered.FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 17 | n. Occupational injury and illness total case |
| 18 | incidence rate (per 100 workers) (information only)8.1% |
| 19 | o. Percent change in total case incidence rate for |
| 20 | private sector job sites served4% |
| 21 | p. Percent change in total case incidence rate for |
| 22 | public sector job sites served4% |
| 23 | <u>q.</u> Percent reduction in lost workday case incidence |
| 24 | rate for private sector job sites served5% |
| 25 | r. Percent reduction in lost workday case incidence |
| 26 | rate for public sector job sites served |
| 27 | s. Percent change in disabling compensable claims rate |
| 28 | for private employers served5% |
| 29 | t. Percent change in disabling compensable claims rate |
| 30 | for public employers served5% |
| 31 | |
| | 160 |
| പറാ | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| | memoras seriesen are acreetons, words <u>underrined</u> are addictons. |

| 1 | u. Percent of employers surveyed who view services as |
|----|---|
| 2 | adequately effective or above |
| 3 | v. Percent of UC benefits paid timely |
| 4 | w. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately95% |
| 5 | x. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely87.01% |
| 6 | y. Percent of new UC employer liability determinations |
| 7 | made timely |
| 8 | z. Percent of current quarter UC taxes paid timely |
| 9 | |
| 10 | aa. Percent of job openings filled50.2% |
| 11 | bb. Percent of individuals referred to jobs who are |
| 12 | placed |
| 13 | cc. Percent of food stamp clients employed11.8% |
| 14 | dd. Percent increase in high skill/high wage |
| 15 | apprenticeship programs registered5% |
| 16 | ee. WIA adult & dislocated worker placement rate78% |
| 17 | ff. WIA youth positive outcome rate |
| 18 | gg. Rate and number of customers gainfully employed |
| 19 | (rehabilitated) at least 90 days (96-97 - at least 60 days) |
| 20 | |
| 21 | hh. Rate and number of VR severely disabled customers |
| 22 | gainfully employed (rehabilitated) at least 90 days (96-97 - |
| 23 | at least 60 days)63%/3,800 |
| 24 | ii. Rate and number of VR most severely disabled |
| 25 | customers gainfully employed (rehabilitated) at least 90 days |
| 26 | (96-97 - at least 60 days) |
| 27 | jj. Rate and number of all other VR disabled customers |
| 28 | gainfully employed (rehabilitated) at least 90 days (96-97 - |
| 29 | at least 60 days) |
| 30 | kk. Rate and number of VR customers placed in |
| 31 | competitive employment |
| | |
| | 161 |

| 1 | ll. Rate and number of VR customers retained in |
|-------|--|
| 2 | employment after 1 year61.5%/5,200 |
| 3 | mm. Average annual earnings of VR customers at |
| 4 | placement\$13,633 |
| 5 | nn. Average annual earnings of VR customers after 1 |
| 6 | year\$14,384 |
| 7 | oo. Percent of case costs covered by third-party |
| 8 | payers |
| 9 | pp. Average cost of case life (to Division) for |
| 10 | severely disabled VR customers\$3,311 |
| 11 | qq. Average cost of case life (to Division) for most |
| 12 | severely disabled VR customers\$3,175 |
| 13 | rr. Average cost of case life (to Division) for all |
| 14 | other disabled VR customers\$450 |
| 15 | ss. Percent of unemployment compensation appeals |
| 16 | disposed within 45 days50% |
| 17 | tt. Percent of unemployment compensation appeals |
| 18 | disposed within 90 days95% |
| 19 | uu. Percent of cases appealed to DCA |
| 20 | vv. Average unit cost of cases appealed to |
| 21 | Unemployment Appeals Commission\$186 |
| 22 | ww. Average unit cost of cases appealed to DCA\$685 |
| 23 | xx. Percent of appealed decisions affirmed by the DCA |
| 24 | |
| 25 | 2. WORKFORCE PLACEMENT AND ASSISTANCE OUTPUT |
| 26 | MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Number of employer investigations conducted for |
| 28 | compliance with workers' compensation law |
| 29 | b. Number of applicants screened for reemployment |
| 30 | services |
| 31 | c. Number of carriers audited |
| | 162 |
| | 102 |
| - (1) | UNI- WORDS KERIAKAN are deletions: Words underlined are additions |

| 1 | d. Number of investigated issues resolved by the |
|-------------|--|
| 2 | Employee Assistance Office25,000 |
| 3 | e. Number of private-sector employers (and job sites) |
| 4 | provided OHSA 7(c)1 consultation services |
| 5 | f. Number of public-sector employers (and job sites) |
| 6 | provided consultation services |
| 7 | g. Number of private-sector employers receiving |
| 8 | training and other technical services2,300/6,700 |
| 9 | h. Number of public-sector employers receiving |
| 10 | training and other technical services |
| 11 | i. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations |
| 12 | issued170,635 |
| 13 | j. Number of UC benefits weeks paid3,153,006 |
| 14 | k. Amount of UC benefits paid\$683,477,111 |
| 15 | 1. Number of appeal cases completed52,197 |
| 16 | m. Number of new UC employer liability determinations |
| 17 | made |
| 18 | n. Amount of UC taxes collected\$651,471,000 |
| 19 | o. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed |
| 20 | 1,609,450 |
| 21 | p. Number individuals referred to job openings listed |
| 22 | with J&B540,000 |
| 23 | q. Number individuals placed by J&B137,700 |
| 24 | r. Number individuals obtaining employment after |
| 25 | receiving specific J&B services |
| 26 | s. Cost per placement by J&B\$230 |
| 27 | t. Cost per individual placed or obtained employment |
| 28 | \$176 |
| 29 | u. Number of food stamp recipients employed14,800 |
| 30 | v. Cost per food stamp placement\$302 |
| 31 | |
| | 163 |
| <u>م</u> رم | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | THE . WOLUS SULLENEIL ALE GELECIONS/ WOLUS <u>underlined</u> ale dualtions. |

| 1 | w. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high |
|-----|--|
| 2 | skill/high wage requirements166 |
| 3 | x. Number apprentices successfully completing terms of |
| 4 | training as set by registered industry standards2,900 |
| 5 | y. Number WIA Adult Program completers8,600 |
| б | z. Number WIA Youth Program completers6,000 |
| 7 | aa. Employers in compliance or brought into compliance |
| 8 | with labor laws as a percent of total employers monitored85% |
| 9 | bb. Employers monitored for compliance with child |
| 10 | labor and migrant farmworker labor laws |
| 11 | cc. Number of customers reviewed for eligibility |
| 12 | |
| 13 | dd. Number of individualized written plans for |
| 14 | services |
| 15 | ee. Number of customers served |
| 16 | ff. Percent of eligibility determinations completed in |
| 17 | compliance with federal law85% |
| 18 | gg. Customer caseload per counseling/case management |
| 19 | team member161 |
| 20 | hh. Number of unemployment compensation appeals |
| 21 | disposed of |
| 22 | 3. BLIND SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 23 | a. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers |
| 24 | gainfully employed at least 90 days68.3%/847 |
| 25 | b. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers placed |
| 26 | in competitive employment64.3%/654 |
| 27 | c. Projected average annual earnings of rehabilitation |
| 28 | customers at placement\$13,500 |
| 29 | d. Rate and number of successfully rehabilitated older |
| 30 | persons, nonvocational rehabilitation55.2%/1,355 |
| 31 | |
| | 164 |
| 007 | 164 |

| 1 | e. Ratio and number of customers (children) |
|----|---|
| 2 | successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from pre-school to |
| 3 | school |
| 4 | f. Ratio and number of customers (children) |
| 5 | successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from school to work |
| 6 | |
| 7 | g. Percent of eligible library customers served19.8% |
| 8 | h. Percent of library customers satisfied with the |
| 9 | timeliness of services |
| 10 | i. Percent of library customers satisfied with the |
| 11 | selection of reading materials available |
| 12 | 4. BLIND SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of written plans for services1,425 |
| 14 | b. Number of books available per library customer |
| 15 | |
| 16 | c. Number of books loaned per library customer12.39 |
| 17 | d. Number of periodicals loaned per library customer |
| 18 | |
| 19 | e. Net increase in registered customers for library |
| 20 | services |
| 21 | f. Cost per library customer\$19.65 |
| 22 | g. Total number of food service managers |
| 23 | h. Number of existing food services facilities |
| 24 | renovated |
| 25 | i. Number of new food service facilities constructed.5 |
| 26 | j. Number of customers reviewed for eligibility2,035 |
| 27 | k. Number of customers served |
| 28 | 1. Average time lapse between application and |
| 29 | eligibility determination for rehabilitation customers69 |
| 30 | m. Customer caseload per counseling/case management |
| 31 | team member |
| | 165 |
| | 165 |

| 1 | 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | MEASURE |
| 3 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 4 | <u>cost</u> |
| 5 | 6. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Percent of data processing requests completed by |
| 7 | due date |
| 8 | b. System design and programming hourly cost\$52 |
| 9 | c. Percent of scheduled production jobs completed |
| 10 | |
| 11 | d. Percent of scheduled hours available data center |
| 12 | operations |
| 13 | e. Cost per MIP (millions of instructions per second) |
| 14 | \$19,000 |
| 15 | f. Percent of Help Desk calls resolved within 3 |
| 16 | working days |
| 17 | g. Cost per Help Desk call\$8 |
| 18 | h. Percent of scheduled hours available network.99.08% |
| 19 | i. Cost for support per network device\$195 |
| 20 | 7. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Number of data processing requests completed by due |
| 22 | date2,900 |
| 23 | b. Number of scheduled production jobs completed |
| 24 | |
| 25 | c. Number of hours available data center operations |
| 26 | |
| 27 | d. Number of Help Desk calls resolved within 3 working |
| 28 | days |
| 29 | e. Number of hours available network |
| 30 | (8) DEPARTMENT OF THE LOTTERY |
| 31 | |
| | 166 |
| <u>م</u> رم | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| COD | ING : WOLUS S ULICKEN ale deletions, Wolus <u>underlined</u> ale additions. |

| 1 | (a) For the Sale of Lottery Products Program, the |
|-----|--|
| 2 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 3 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 4 | Appropriations 2353-2366 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. SALE OF LOTTERY PRODUCTS OUTCOME MEASURES |
| б | a. Total revenue in dollars\$2,287.3M |
| 7 | b. Percent change in total revenue dollars from prior |
| 8 | year |
| 9 | c. Transfers to the state Educational Enhancement |
| 10 | Trust Fund\$869.1M |
| 11 | d. Percent of total revenue to the Educational |
| 12 | Enhancement Trust Fund |
| 13 | 2. SALE OF LOTTERY PRODUCTS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 14 | a. Percent of total revenue paid as prizes49.64% |
| 15 | b. Administrative expense paid for retailer commission |
| 16 | \$129.41M |
| 17 | c. Operating expense (includes retailer commission) |
| 18 | \$282.7 <u>M</u> |
| 19 | d. Operating expense as percent of total revenue12% |
| 20 | e. Percent of respondents who are aware of the |
| 21 | Lottery's contribution to education |
| 22 | f. Provide executive direction and support services |
| 23 | for all lottery operations as measured by percent of total |
| 24 | agency budget |
| 25 | (9) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES |
| 26 | (a) For the Administration Program, the outcome |
| 27 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 28 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 29 | Appropriations 2367-2378 are as follows: |
| 30 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 31 | MEASURE |
| | 167 |
| COD | TNG.Words etricken are deletions: words underlined are additions |

First Engrossed

| 1 | a Aministruction sector of a neuroph of total second |
|-----|---|
| 1 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 2 | <u>costs2.62</u> % |
| 3 | 2. STATE TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 4 | a. Number of state entities served |
| 5 | 3. STATE EMPLOYEE LEASING OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Number of employees in the State Employee Leasing |
| 7 | <u>service19</u> |
| 8 | (b) For the SMART Schools Clearinghouse Program, the |
| 9 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 10 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 11 | Appropriations 2379-2384 are as follows: |
| 12 | 1. SMART SCHOOLS CLEARINGHOUSE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Number of schools receiving SMART awards25 |
| 14 | (c) For the Facilities Program, the outcome measures, |
| 15 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 16 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2385-2401 |
| 17 | are as follows: |
| 18 | 1. FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Average DMS full service rent - composite cost per |
| 20 | net square foot (actual)\$15.39 |
| 21 | b. Average private sector full service rent - |
| 22 | composite cost per net square foot in counties where DMS has |
| 23 | office facilities (for comparison)\$16.95 |
| 24 | c. DMS average operations and maintenance cost per |
| 25 | square foot maintained\$5.20 |
| 26 | d. Private industry average operations and maintenance |
| 27 | cost per square foot maintained\$6.74 |
| 28 | 2. FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 29 | a. Net square feet of state-owned office space |
| 30 | occupied by state agencies including non-DMS owned facilities |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 168 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | b. Net square feet of private office space occupied by |
| 2 | state agencies10,713,751 |
| 3 | c. Number of maintained square feet (private contract |
| 4 | and agency)7,412,150 |
| 5 | 3. BUILDING CONSTRUCTION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Gross square foot construction cost of office |
| 7 | facilities for DMS\$84.94 |
| 8 | b. Gross square foot construction cost of office |
| 9 | facilities for private industry average (for comparison) |
| 10 | \$91.73 |
| 11 | 4. BUILDING CONSTRUCTION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 12 | a. Dollar volume of Fixed Capital Outlay project |
| 13 | starts managed\$280,000,000 |
| 14 | 5. CAPITOL POLICE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 15 | a. Number of criminal incidents per 1,000 employees |
| 16 | |
| 17 | 6. CAPITOL POLICE OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 18 | a. Total number of criminal incidents reported5,686 |
| 19 | b. Total number of noncriminal calls for service |
| 20 | |
| 21 | c. Number of patrol hours on-site at state facilities |
| 22 | |
| 23 | (d) For the Support Program, the outcome measures, |
| 24 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 25 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2408-2425 |
| 26 | are as follows: |
| 27 | 1. AIRCRAFT MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Passenger load factor for DMS |
| 29 | b. Cost per flight hour - DMS aircraft pool\$1,200 |
| 30 | c. Average percent DMS direct cost per flight hour |
| 31 | below industry direct cost |
| | |
| | 169 |

| 1 | 2. AIRCRAFT MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
|--------|--|
| ⊥ 2 | |
| ⊿ 3 | a. Number of flights by executive aircraft pool1,500 3. FEDERAL PROPERTY ASSISTANCE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| _ | |
| 4 | a. Federal property distribution rate |
| 5 | 4. FEDERAL PROPERTY ASSISTANCE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 6 | a. Number of federal property orders processed2,150 |
| 7 | 5. MOTOR VEHICLE AND WATERCRAFT MANAGEMENT OUTCOME |
| 8 | MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Average percent below private sector fleet |
| 10 | <pre>maintenance - labor costs10%</pre> |
| 11 | b. Average percent below private sector fleet |
| 12 | <pre>maintenance - parts costs</pre> |
| 13 | c. Average percent state rental vehicles below state |
| 14 | rental contract rates |
| 15 | 6. MOTOR VEHICLE AND WATERCRAFT MANAGEMENT OUTPUT |
| 16 | MEASURE |
| 17 | a. Miles of state rental vehicle service provided |
| 18 | |
| 19 | 7. PURCHASING OVERSIGHT OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 20 | a. Percent of state term contracts savings |
| 21 | 8. PURCHASING OVERSIGHT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Number of contracts and agreements executed1,103 |
| 23 | 9. MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Average number of days to process minority |
| 25 | certification10 |
| 26 | 10. MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 27 | a. Number of certified minority businesses5,600 |
| 28 | (e) For the Workforce Program, the outcome measures, |
| 29 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 30 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2426-2450 |
| 31 | are as follows: |
| | |
| | 170 |

| 1 | 1. HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES |
|-----|--|
| 2 | a. Total program cost per authorized positions in the |
| 3 | state personnel system\$78.76 |
| 4 | b. Overall customer satisfaction rating |
| 5 | c. Percent of agencies at or above EEO gender parity |
| б | with available labor market |
| 7 | d. Percent of agencies at or above EEO minority parity |
| 8 | with available labor market |
| 9 | 2. HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 10 | a. Number of authorized positions supported by the |
| 11 | Cooperative Personnel Employment Subsystem (COPES)125,600 |
| 12 | 3. INSURANCE BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION OUTCOME |
| 13 | MEASURES |
| 14 | a. Percent of vendors meeting all contractual |
| 15 | performance provisions95% |
| 16 | b. Administrative cost per enrollee\$230.06 |
| 17 | 4. INSURANCE BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Number of subscribers or contracts480,591 |
| 19 | 5. RETIREMENT BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION OUTCOME |
| 20 | MEASURES |
| 21 | a. Percent of customers satisfied with retirement |
| 22 | information92.78% |
| 23 | b. Percent of agency payroll transactions correctly |
| 24 | reported |
| 25 | c. Percent of customers satisfied with retirement |
| 26 | services |
| 27 | d. Administrative cost per active and retired member |
| 28 | (excluding RIM project)\$20.39 |
| 29 | e. Percent of local retirement systems annually |
| 30 | reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial basis95% |
| 31 | |
| | 171 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | 6. RETIREMENT BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION OUTPUT |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | MEASURES |
| 3 | a. Number of local pension plan valuations and impact |
| 4 | statements reviewed400 |
| 5 | b. Number of FRS members |
| 6 | (f) For the Information Technology Program, the |
| 7 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 8 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 9 | Appropriations 2451-2472 are as follows: |
| 10 | 1. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Percent SUNCOM discount from commercial rates for |
| 12 | local access40% |
| 13 | b. Percent SUNCOM discount from commercial rates for |
| 14 | long distance40% |
| 15 | c. Percent SUNCOM discount from commercial rates for |
| 16 | data service |
| 17 | d. Overall customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) |
| 18 | |
| 19 | 2. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 20 | a. Total revenue for voice service\$80,185,085 |
| 21 | b. Total revenue for data service\$50,814,915 |
| 22 | 3. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 23 | a. Percent wireless discount from commercially |
| 24 | available and similar type engineering services |
| 25 | 4. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 26 | a. Overall customer satisfaction ranking (scale of 1 |
| 27 | to 5)4.15 |
| 28 | b. Number of engineering projects and approvals |
| 29 | handled for state and local governments |
| 30 | c. Number of square miles covered by Joint Task Force |
| 31 | Radio System |
| | 172 |
| a a- | |

| 1 | 5. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE |
|-----|--|
| 2 | a. Overall customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) |
| 3 | |
| 4 | 6. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 5 | a. Number of ITP research, development, and consulting |
| 6 | projects completed436 |
| 7 | (10) DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS |
| 8 | (a) For the Readiness and Response Program, the |
| 9 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 10 | standards with respect to funds provided to Specific |
| 11 | Appropriations 2488A-2507D are as follows: |
| 12 | 1. DRUG INTERDICTION AND PREVENTION OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Percent of Law Enforcement officers trained that |
| 14 | rate the training as relevant and valuable |
| 15 | 2. DRUG INTERDICTION AND PREVENTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 16 | a. Interagency counter-drug assistance provided as |
| 17 | measured by the number of man-days devoted to counter-drug |
| 18 | tasks |
| 19 | b. Presentations provided to improve drug awareness |
| 20 | among high school students22,249 |
| 21 | c. Community anti-drug coalitions sponsored18 |
| 22 | d. Number of law enforcement personnel trained400 |
| 23 | e. Number of man-days devoted to providing |
| 24 | counter-drug training to law enforcement agents125,000 |
| 25 | 3. READINESS OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 26 | a. Percent of authorized filled positions95% |
| 27 | 4. READINESS OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Number/percent of armories rated adequate36/62% |
| 29 | b. Percent of satisfaction with training facilities at |
| 30 | Camp Blanding82% |
| 31 | |
| | 173 |
| COD | I VING:Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| | |

| 1 | c. Number of annual training days at Camp Blanding |
|-----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | d. Number of new recruits using State Education |
| 4 | Assistance Program1,300 |
| 5 | e. Number of crisis response exercises conducted |
| 6 | annually4 |
| 7 | f. Number of soldiers in the Florida National Guard |
| 8 | recruited and retained11,599 |
| 9 | g. Number of armories under maintenance and repair55 |
| 10 | h. Number of people using the Camp Blanding training |
| 11 | area |
| 12 | 4. RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 13 | a. Percent of supported agencies reporting |
| 14 | satisfaction with the department's support for specific |
| 15 | missions |
| 16 | 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 17 | MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Maximum threshold of administrative costs expressed |
| 19 | as a percent of total program costsFY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 20 | 6. FEDERAL/STATE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS OUTCOME |
| 21 | MEASURE |
| 22 | a. Number of Department of Defense contracts |
| 23 | administered in Florida21 |
| 24 | (11) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 25 | (a) For the Utilities Regulation/Consumer Assistance |
| 26 | Program, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 27 | performance standards with respect to Specific Appropriations |
| 28 | 2508-2515 are as follows: |
| 29 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 30 | a. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida |
| 31 | compared to average ROE in the USA: |
| | 174 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | (T) Electric: |
|-----|---|
| _ | (I) Electric: |
| 2 | (A) Florida+/-1 |
| 3 | (B) USA12.20 |
| 4 | (II) Gas: |
| 5 | (A) Florida+/-1 |
| б | (B) USA11.60 |
| 7 | (III) Water and wastewater: |
| 8 | (A) Florida+/-2.5 |
| 9 | (B) USA11.20 |
| 10 | b. Percent of utilities achieving within range, over |
| 11 | range, and under range of last authorized ROE: |
| 12 | (I) Electric: |
| 13 | (A) Within range100% |
| 14 | (B) Over range |
| 15 | (II) Gas: |
| 16 | (A) Within range |
| 17 | (B) Over range |
| 18 | (III) Water and wastewater: |
| 19 | (A) Within range |
| 20 | (B) Over range |
| 21 | c. Limit in the percent increase in annual utility |
| 22 | bill for average residential usage compared to inflation as |
| 23 | measured by the Consumer Price Index within: |
| 24 | (I) Electric (1,000 KWH) |
| 25 | (II) Gas (30 Therms)1% |
| 26 | (III) Water and wastewater (10,000 gal)1% |
| 27 | d. Percent of state access lines served by Alternative |
| 28 | Local Exchange Companies (ALECS)FY 2001-2002 LBR |
| 29 | e. Percent of communications service variances per |
| 30 | inspection points examined: |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 175 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions |

| 1 | (I) Local exchange & alternate local exchange |
|----|---|
| 2 | telephone companies22% |
| 3 | (II) Interexchange |
| 4 | (III) Pay telephone companies |
| 5 | f. Percent of electric safety variances corrected on |
| б | 1st reinspection |
| 7 | g. Percent of gas safety variances corrected on 1st |
| 8 | reinspection |
| 9 | h. Consumer calls: |
| 10 | (I) Percent of calls answered |
| 11 | (II) Average waiting time |
| 12 | i. Percent of consumer complaints resolved: |
| 13 | (I) Within 30 days48% |
| 14 | (II) Within 60 days62% |
| 15 | j. Per capita annual KWH energy savings through |
| 16 | conservation programs142 KWH |
| 17 | k. Percent of combined conservation goals achieved by |
| 18 | 7 FEECA utilities100% |
| 19 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 20 | a. Proceedings, reviews, and audits examining rates, |
| 21 | rate structure, earnings, and expenditures: |
| 22 | (I) Electric120 |
| 23 | <u>(II) Gas110</u> |
| 24 | (III) Water and wastewater |
| 25 | b. Number of proceedings establishing agreements |
| 26 | between local service providers |
| 27 | c. Number of proceedings granting certificates to |
| 28 | operate as a telecommunications company |
| 29 | d. Number of proceedings granting service authority, |
| 30 | resolving territorial disputes: |
| 31 | (I) Electric3 |
| | 176 |
| | |

| 1 | <u>(II) Gas1</u> |
|---------|--|
| 2 | (III) Water and wastewater |
| 3 | e. Number of 10-year site plan reviews and need |
| 4 | determinations for electric utilities |
| 5 | f. Number of consumer inquiries/complaints handled: |
| 6 | (I) Communications |
| 7 | (II) Electric1,731 |
| 8 | (III) Gas |
| 9 | (IV) Water and wastewater |
| 10 | g. Number of service evaluations/safety inspections |
| 11 | performed: |
| 12 | (I) Communications (service evaluations)9,100 |
| 13 | (II) Electric (safety inspections) |
| 14 | (III) Gas (safety inspections) |
| 15 | h. Number of enforcement proceedings relating to |
| 16 | service and safety: |
| 17 | (I) Communications |
| 18 | (II) Electric0 |
| 19 | (III) Gas0 |
| 20 | i. Number of conservation programs reviewed25 |
| 21 | (12) DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE |
| 22 | (a) For the Administration Program, the outcome |
| 23 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 24 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 25 | Appropriations 2516-2525 are as follows: |
| 26 | 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME |
| 27 | MEASURE |
| 28 | a. Administrative costs as a percent of total agency |
| 29 | costs (excluding revenue sharing) |
| 30 | (b) For the Property Tax Administration Program, the |
| 31 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| | |
| | 177 |
| ם האי א | UNL-9WORDS stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions |

standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 1 2 Appropriations 2526-2538 are as follows: 3 1. PROPERTY TAX COLLECTION OVERSIGHT OUTCOME 4 MEASURES.--5 a. Percent of refund and tax certificate applications 6 7 b. Number of refund requests per 100,000 parcels..31.6 8 2. PROPERTY TAX COLLECTION OVERSIGHT OUTPUT 9 MEASURES.-a. Number of property tax refund requests processed 10 11 b. Number of tax certificate cancellations/corrections 12 13 processed......2,000 14 3. PROPERTY TAX ROLL OVERSIGHT OUTCOME MEASURES.--15 a. Percent of classes studied found to have a level of 16 b. Taxroll uniformity - average for coefficient of 17 18 dispersion......11.2% 19 4. PROPERTY TAX ROLL OVERSIGHT OUTPUT MEASURES. --20 a. Number of subclasses of property studied with 21 feedback to property appraisers......4,400 22 Number of taxpayers audited on behalf of county b. 23 property appraisers (TPP)......260 c. Number of county property tax rolls evaluated....67 24 5. TRUTH-IN-MILLAGE COMPLIANCE OUTCOME MEASURE.--25 26 a. Percent of taxing authorities in total or 27 substantial truth-in-millage compliance on initial submission 28 29 6. TRUTH-IN-MILLAGE COMPLIANCE OUTPUT MEASURE.--30 a. Number of TRIM compliance letters sent to taxing 31 178

| 1 | (c) For the Child-Support Enforcement Program, the |
|-------|---|
| 2 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 3 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 4 | Appropriations 2539-2561 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. CHILD-SUPPORT ORDER ESTABLISHMENT OUTCOME |
| б | MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Percent of children with a court order for support |
| 8 | |
| 9 | b. Percent of children with paternity established |
| 10 | |
| 11 | 2. CHILD-SUPPORT ORDER ESTABLISHMENT OUTPUT |
| 12 | MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Number of children with a newly established court |
| 14 | order |
| 15 | b. Number of cases prepared for judicial processing |
| 16 | |
| 17 | 3. CHILD-SUPPORT PAYMENTS DISTRIBUTION OUTCOME |
| 18 | MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Total child-support dollars collected per \$1 of |
| 20 | total expenditures\$3.05 |
| 21 | b. Percent of State Disbursement Unit Collections |
| 22 | disbursed within 2 business days after receipt95% |
| 23 | 4. CHILD-SUPPORT PAYMENTS DISTRIBUTION OUTCOME |
| 24 | MEASURE |
| 25 | a. Child-support collections distributed\$763 million |
| 26 | 5. CHILD-SUPPORT PAYMENTS COLLECTION OUTCOME |
| 27 | MEASURES |
| 28 | a. Percent of cases with child support due in a month |
| 29 | which received a payment during the month |
| 30 | b. Percent of child-support collected that was due |
| 31 | during the fiscal year57% |
| | 179 |
| പറ്റാ | TIG. Words stricken are deletions: words underlined are additions |

| 1 | (d) For the General Tax Administration Program, the |
|-----|---|
| 2 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 3 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 4 | Appropriations 2562-2580 are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. TAX COLLECTION OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Average days from receipt of payment to final |
| 7 | processing of deposit - sales, corporation, intangibles, fuel |
| 8 | |
| 9 | b. Number of days between initial distribution of |
| 10 | funds and final adjustments - sales, fuel |
| 11 | c. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially |
| 12 | error free and on time |
| 13 | d. Return on investment - total collections per dollar |
| 14 | spent\$149.73 |
| 15 | e. Dollars collected as a percent of actual liability |
| 16 | of notices sent for apparent sales tax return filing errors or |
| 17 | late returns |
| 18 | f. Average time, in days, between the processing of a |
| 19 | sales tax return and the first notification to the taxpayer of |
| 20 | an apparent filing error or late return |
| 21 | g. Percent of delinquent sales tax return and filing |
| 22 | error or late return notices issued accurately to taxpayer.90% |
| 23 | h. Percent of final audit assessment amounts collected |
| 24 | - tax only |
| 25 | i. Final audit assessment amounts as a percent of |
| 26 | initial assessment amounts - tax only |
| 27 | j. Dollars collected voluntarily as a percent of total |
| 28 | dollars collected97% |
| 29 | k. Average number of days to resolve a dispute of an |
| 30 | audit assessment175 |
| 31 | |
| | 180 |
| COD | ING:Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

CS for SB 2202

| 1 | 1. Direct collections per enforcement related dollar |
|----|--|
| 2 | spent\$4.82 |
| 3 | 2. TAX COLLECTION OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 4 | a. Number of delinquent tax return notices issued to |
| 5 | taxpayers |
| 6 | b. Number of notices sent to taxpayers for apparent |
| 7 | tax return filing errors or late return |
| 8 | c. Number of tax returns processed8,330,000 |
| 9 | d. Number of tax returns reconciled6,500,000 |
| 10 | e. Number of identified potential liabilities resolved |
| 11 | |
| 12 | f. Number of audits completed |
| 13 | g. Number of successful contacts with taxpayers.47,000 |
| 14 | 3. TAX DISTRIBUTION OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 15 | a. Accuracy of initial revenue distributions to local |
| 16 | governments |
| 17 | 4. TAX DISTRIBUTION OUTPUT MEASURE |
| 18 | a. Number of refund claims processed64,000 |
| 19 | (e) For the Information Services Program, the outcome |
| 20 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 21 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 22 | Appropriations 2581-2588 are as follows: |
| 23 | 1. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 24 | a. Information program costs as a percent of total |
| 25 | agency costs4.18% |
| 26 | 2. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 27 | a. Develop and maintain applications as measured by |
| 28 | completed work requests1,100 |
| 29 | b. Number of computer operations by production |
| 30 | transaction/jobs executed |
| 31 | (13) DEPARTMENT OF STATE |
| | 181 |
| | |

| 1 | (a) For the Secretary and Division of Administrative |
|----|--|
| 2 | Services, the outcome measures, output measures, and |
| 3 | associated performance standards with respect to funds |
| 4 | provided in Specific Appropriations 2589-2596A are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. ADVOCACY IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS PARTNERSHIPS |
| 6 | OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 7 | a. Level of clients who indicate assistance is very |
| 8 | responsive, as measured by survey |
| 9 | b. Percent of overseas clients who indicate assistance |
| 10 | is very responsive |
| 11 | c. Percent of volunteer-consultants who would |
| 12 | volunteer again |
| 13 | d. Ratio of donated services and contributions as |
| 14 | compared to the amount of state funding |
| 15 | 2. ADVOCACY IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS PARTNERSHIPS |
| 16 | OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 17 | a. Number of Trade/Cultural Missions |
| 18 | b. Number of Consular Corps Credentials issued50 |
| 19 | c. Number of Sister Cities/Sister State Grants |
| 20 | approved |
| 21 | d. Number of Civil Law Notaries issued |
| 22 | e. Total number of notary applications processed per |
| 23 | year |
| 24 | f. Number of volunteer technical assistance missions |
| 25 | to Central America and the Caribbean |
| 26 | g. Number of international and domestic development |
| 27 | missions |
| 28 | (b) For the Elections Program, the outcome measures, |
| 29 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 30 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2597-2602 |
| 31 | are as follows: |
| | |
| | 182 |

1. ELECTION RECORDS, LAWS, AND CODES OUTCOME 1 2 MEASURES.--3 a. Percent of campaign treasurer's reports detail 4 information released on the Internet within 7 days......94% 5 b. Percent survey respondents satisfied with services: 6 7 c. Percent of training session/workshop attendees satisfied: Quality of content and Applicability of materials 8 9 10 2. ELECTION RECORDS, LAWS, AND CODES OUTPUT MEASURES.--11 a. Number of campaign reports received/processed 12 13 14 b. Number of attendees at training, workshops, and 15 16 17 (c) For the Historical Resources Program the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance 18 19 standards with respect to funds provided in Specific 20 Appropriations 2603-2622B are as follows: 21 1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME 22 MEASURE.-a. Administrative costs as a percent of total program 23 24 2. HISTORIC MUSEUMS CONSERVATION OUTCOME MEASURE. --25 26 a. Percent of visitors who rank "quality of exhibits" 27 as excellent or good......88% 28 3. HISTORIC MUSEUMS CONSERVATION OUTPUT MEASURES.--29 a. Number of museum exhibits......84 b. Number of visitors to state historic museums 30 31 183

| 1 c. Educational opportunities provided to museum | |
|---|------|
| 2 visitors | ,000 |
| 3 4. HISTORIC PROPERTIES PRESERVATION OUTCOME | |
| 4 MEASURES | |
| 5 <u>a. Total local funds leveraged by historical resou</u> | rces |
| 6 program\$105 mil | lion |
| 7 b. Percent of customers satisfied with quality and | |
| 8 timeliness of technical assistance provided | .96% |
| 9 <u>5. HISTORIC PROPERTIES PRESERVATION OUTPUT MEASURE</u> | S |
| 10 a. Number of grants awarded | .243 |
| 11 b. Number of dollars awarded through grants | |
| 12\$16,088, | 144 |
| c. Number of publications and multimedia products | |
| 14 available for the general public (historical and | |
| 15 archaeological items) | .315 |
| 16 d. Number of preservation services applications | |
| 17 reviewed | ,000 |
| 18 e. Number of attendees at produced and sponsored | |
| 19 events (historic and archaeological)FY 2001-2002 | LBR |
| 20 f. Number of historic resources protected and | |
| 21 preserved | ,881 |
| g. Attendees educated at workshops on the preservation | tion |
| 23 of historic resources | ,975 |
| 6. ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH OUTCOME MEASURE | |
| a. Number of historic and archaeological objects | |
| 26 maintained for public use and scientific research150 | ,000 |
| 27 7. ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH OUTPUT MEASURES | |
| 28 a. Number of sites in the Florida Master Site File | |
| 29 | 000 |
| 30 b. Number of historic and archaeological objects | |
| 31 maintained for public use120 | ,000 |
| | |
| 184 | |

| 1 | c. Number of copies or viewings of publications, |
|-----|---|
| 2 | including web hits1,750,000 |
| 3 | (d) For the Commercial Recording and Registration |
| 4 | Program the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 5 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 6 | Specific Appropriations 2623-2625A are as follows: |
| 7 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 8 | a. Percent client satisfaction with the division's |
| 9 | services |
| 10 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 11 | a. Average Cost/Corporate Filing\$5.38 |
| 12 | b. Average Cost/Uniform Commercial Code Filings\$1.81 |
| 13 | c. Average Cost/Inquiry\$0.075 |
| 14 | d. Proportion of total inquires handled by telephone |
| 15 | |
| 16 | e. Proportion of total inquiries handled by |
| 17 | mail/walk-ins7.5% |
| 18 | f. Proportion of total inquiries handled by electronic |
| 19 | means |
| 20 | (e) For the Library and Information Services Program |
| 21 | the outcome measures, output measures, and associated |
| 22 | performance standards with respect to funds provided in |
| 23 | Specific Appropriations 2626-2629B are as follows: |
| 24 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 25 | a. Annual increase in the use of local public library |
| 26 | service |
| 27 | b. Annual increase in usage of research collections.6% |
| 28 | c. Annual cost avoidance achieved by government |
| 29 | agencies through records storage/disposition/micrographics |
| 30 | \$58,000,000 |
| 31 | |
| | 185 |
| COD | I ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | d. Customer Satisfaction with relevancy and timeliness |
|----------|--|
| ⊥ 2 | |
| 3 | of research response90% e. Customer Satisfaction with Records Management |
| 4 | technical assistance, training, and Records Center Services |
| 5 | |
| 6 | f. Customer Service with accuracy and timeliness of |
| 7 | library consultant responses |
| , 8 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 9 | a. Number of items loaned by public libraries |
| 10 | |
| 11 | b. Number of library customer visits50,504,239 |
| 12 | c. Number of public library reference requests |
| 13 | |
| 14 | d. Number of public library registered borrowers |
| 15 | |
| 16 | e. Number of persons attending public library programs |
| 10 | |
| 18 | f. Number of volumes in public library collections |
| 19 | |
| 20 | g. Number of new users (State Library, State Archives) |
| 21 | |
| 22 | h. Number of reference requests handled (State |
| 23 | Library, State Archives) |
| 24 | i. Number of database searches conducted (State |
| 25 | Library, State Archives) |
| 26 | j. Number of items loaned (State Library)86,163 |
| 27 | k. Cubic feet of obsolete public records approved for |
| 28 | disposal |
| 29 | 1. Cubic feet of noncurrent records stored at the |
| 30 | Records Center |
| 31 | |
| | 196 |
| a | 186 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |

| 1 | m Number of migrofilm images greated prograd |
|--------|---|
| 1 2 | m. Number of microfilm images created, processed, and/or duplicated at the Records Center160,000,000 |
| ⊿ 3 | (f) For the Division of Cultural Affairs, the outcome |
| 3 4 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 5 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 5 | Appropriations 2630-2646B are as follows: |
| 7 | |
| , 8 | <u>1. EXECUTIVE DIRECTION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME</u> MEASURE |
| o 9 | |
| | a. Administrative cost as a percent of total program |
| 10 | costs |
| 11 | 2. CULTURAL SUPPORT AND DEVELOPMENT GRANTS OUTCOME |
| 12 | MEASURES |
| 13 | a. Attendance at supported cultural events21,000,000 |
| 14 | b. Number of individuals served by professional |
| 15 | associations |
| 16 | c. Total local financial support leveraged by state |
| 17 | funding\$360,000,000 |
| 18 | 3. CULTURAL SUPPORT AND DEVELOPMENT GRANTS OUTPUT |
| 19 | MEASURES |
| 20 | a. Number of capital grants awarded |
| 21 | b. Number of program grants awarded |
| 22 | c. Dollars awarded through capital grants\$12,000,000 |
| 23 | d. Dollars awarded through program grants\$19,535,872 |
| 24 | e. Percent of counties funded by the program88.1% |
| 25 | f. Percent of large counties (N=34; population |
| 26 | >75,000) funded by the program |
| 27 | g. Percent of small counties (N=33; population less |
| 28 | than 75,000) funded by the program |
| 29 | h. Number of state-supported performances and exhibits |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| | 107 |
| | 187 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |

| 1 | (g) For the Licensing Program the outcome measures, |
|----|--|
| 2 | output measures, and associated performance standards with |
| 3 | respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2647-2650 |
| 4 | are as follows: |
| 5 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 7 | licenses issued within 90 days after receipt of an application |
| 8 | |
| 9 | b. Percent/number Concealed Weapon/Firearm licenses |
| 10 | issued within 90-day statutory timeframe without fingerprint |
| 11 | results |
| 12 | c. Number of default Concealed Weapons/Firearms |
| 13 | licensees with prior criminal histories |
| 14 | d. Percent License Revocations or Suspensions |
| 15 | Initiated within 20 days after receipt of disqualifying |
| 16 | information (all license types) |
| 17 | e. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 18 | investigations completed within 60 days |
| 19 | f. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 20 | inspections completed within 30 days |
| 21 | g. Percent of Concealed Weapon/Firearm Violators to |
| 22 | Licensed Population0.15% |
| 23 | h. Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 24 | Violators to Licensed Population1.42% |
| 25 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 26 | a. Average Cost/Concealed Weapon/Firearm Application |
| 27 | Processed\$27 |
| 28 | b. Average Cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 29 | Application Processed\$59 |
| 30 | c. Average Cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 31 | Investigation\$1,846 |
| | 188 |
| | |

| i | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | d. Average Cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery |
| 2 | Compliance Inspection\$377 |
| 3 | e. Average cost/Administrative Action (revocation, |
| 4 | fine, probation, & compliance letters)\$491 |
| 5 | f. Number investigations performed (Security, |
| 6 | Investigative, and Recovery complaint and agency generated |
| 7 | inspections)1,541 |
| 8 | g. Number compliance inspections performed (Security, |
| 9 | Investigative, and Recovery licensees/new agency inspections |
| 10 | and random inspections)1,771 |
| 11 | (h) For the Historic Pensacola Preservation Board the |
| 12 | outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 13 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 14 | Appropriations 2651-2654 are as follows: |
| 15 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURE |
| 16 | a. Number of visitors to Board-managed properties |
| 17 | |
| 18 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 19 | a. Number of consultations to city and county |
| 20 | governments550 |
| 21 | b. Total acreage of historic properties maintained |
| 22 | |
| 23 | c. Total square footage of historic properties |
| 24 | maintained108,600 |
| 25 | (i) For the Ringling Museum of Art, the outcome |
| 26 | measures, output measures, and associated performance |
| 27 | standards with respect to funds provided in Specific |
| 28 | Appropriations 2655-2657A are as follows: |
| 29 | 1. OUTCOME MEASURES |
| 30 | a. Annual number of museum visitors |
| 31 | |
| | 189 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions. |
| 200 | |

| 1 | b. Number of individual participants in scheduled |
|-----|--|
| 2 | education programs |
| 3 | c. Percent of visitors rating visit better than |
| 4 | expected |
| 5 | 2. OUTPUT MEASURES |
| 6 | a. Total number of objects maintained12,850 |
| 7 | b. Number of institutions to which items are on loan |
| 8 | 16 |
| 9 | c. Net asset balance of the Museum and Foundation, |
| 10 | including assets transferred to the state and excluding art |
| 11 | and other collections\$8,300,000 |
| 12 | Section 34. This act shall take effect July 1, 2000; |
| 13 | and in the event that this act fails to become a law until |
| 14 | after that date, it shall take effect upon becoming a law and |
| 15 | shall operate retroactively to July 1, 2000. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| | |
| | 190 |
| COD | ING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions. |