STORAGE NAME: h0143.nrep.doc

DATE: February 15, 2001

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 0143

RELATING TO: Sales Tax / Bottled Water

SPONSOR(S): Representative(s) Davis; Kravitz

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- (2) FISCAL POLICY & RESOURCES
- (3) COUNCIL FOR READY INFRASTRUCTURE

(4)

(5)

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>:

HB 143 amends s. 212.08(4)(a) to allow a sales tax exemption for bottled water products that did not contain minerals in their natural state or did not have minerals added at a water treatment facility regulated by the Department of Environmental Protection.

The Department of Revenue estimates that the bill would reduce sales tax revenues by \$750,000.

The bill would take effect on July 1, 2001.

STORAGE NAME: h0143.nrep.doc

DATE: February 15, 2001

PAGE: 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes [x]	No []	N/A []
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 212.08(4)(a)1., F.S., provides that the sale of drinking water in bottles, cans, or other containers, including water that contains minerals in its natural state or water to which minerals have been added at a water treatment facility regulated by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), is exempt from the sales tax. This exemption does not apply, however, to the sale of drinking water in bottles, cans, or other containers if minerals have been added at any place other than a water treatment facility regulated by the DEP.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill removes the requirement that minerals be added to drinking water at a water treatment facility regulated by the DEP in order to qualify for exemption from the sales tax.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

This section need be completed only in the discretion of the Committee.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

According to a 2000 Revenue Estimating Conference report this additional exemption would reduce sales tax revenues between \$700,000 and \$1.5 million. The actual reduction is dependent on sales level for these products.

2. Expenditures:

N/A

STORAGE NAME: h0143.nrep.doc DATE: February 15, 2001 PAGE: 3				
	В.	FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:		
		1. Revenues:		
		N/A		
		2. <u>Expenditures</u> :		
		N/A		
	C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:		
		N/A		
	D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:		
		N/A		
IV.	CO	ONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:		
	A.	APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:		
		The bill does not require counties or municipalities to expend funds, nor does it require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.		
	B.	REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:		
		The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.		
	C.	REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:		
		The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.		
V.	CO	MMENTS:		
	A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:		
		N/A		
	B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:		
		N/A		
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:		
		N/A		
VI.	<u>AM</u>	ENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:		

N/A

STORAGE NAME: h0143.nrep.doc DATE: February 15, 2001 PAGE: 4				
VII.	SIGNATURES:			
	COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION:			
	Prepared by:	Staff Director:		
	Wayne Kiger	Wayne Kiger		