HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1465

RELATING TO: Sentencing

SPONSOR(S): Representative(s) Wiles and Ball

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY YEAS 6 NAYS 1
- (2) CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS
- (3) COUNCIL FOR HEALTHY COMMUNITIES
- (4)
- (5)

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>:

House Bill 1465 amends the current definition of "prison releasee reoffender" to include:

1) defendants who commit specific crimes, while in Florida, within three years after being released from a correctional institution located *outside the state of Florida*, and

2) defendants who commit specific crimes, while in Florida, while on escape status from a correctional institution located *outside the state of Florida*.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2001.

Note: Two amendments were adopted by the Committee on Crime Prevention, Corrections & Safety on March 29, 2001. The first amendment was technical in nature, and the second amendment clarified that the original crime (for which the defendant was incarcerated outside the state of Florida) would have resulted in a Florida prison sentence of more than one year had the crime been committed in Florida. Both amendments are traveling with the bill.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes [x]	No []	N/A []
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Under the current version of § 775.082(9), F.S., a judge must sentence a person as a prison releasee reoffender if the defendant meets the following criteria:

1) The defendant has committed, or attempted to commit, one or more of a list of violent offenses, including:

- treason,
- murder,
- manslaughter,
- sexual battery,
- carjacking,
- home-invasion robbery,
- robbery,
- arson,
- kidnapping,
- aggravated assault with a deadly weapon,
- aggravated battery,
- aggravated stalking,
- aircraft piracy,
- unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb,
- any felony that involves the use or threat of any physical force or violence against an individual,
- armed burglary,
- burglary of an occupied structure or dwelling, or
- any felony violation of §§ 790.07, 800.04, 827.03, or 827.071, F.S.,

<u>and</u>

2) the offender committed one of the enumerated offenses within 3 years of being released from a state or private correctional facility in Florida, or while the offender was still incarcerated in Florida or on escape status from a state or private correctional facility in Florida.

The statutes provide legislative intent that prison releasee reoffenders be punished to the fullest extent of the law unless the state attorney determines that extenuating circumstances exist which preclude the just prosecution of the offender, including whether the victim recommends that the offender not be sentenced as a prison releasee reoffender.

The Fifth District Court of Appeal recently determined that the Prison Releasee Reoffender Act (as § 775.082(9) is referred to) could <u>not</u> be applied to those persons recently released from prisons outside the state of Florida.¹ This is because the statute, as currently worded, applies only to persons who commit an enumerated felony, "within 3 years of being released from a state correctional facility operated by the Department of Corrections or a private vendor."² The court declared that they were "constrained to hold that the language is limited to a correctional facility operated by the Department of Florida. Indeed, that nomenclature would not apply in many other states."³

Information from the Bureau of Research and Data Analysis (within the Department of Corrections) indicates that 1,292 post-prison release cases were transferred to Florida from other states and jurisdictions during the last two fiscal years. There are currently 1,507 offenders under active supervision in Florida on post-prison release status from other states. In FY 99-00, 233 "other state" post-prison release offenders were arrested for new felonies. Of these, 27 were sentenced to prison and 6 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison release reoffender under the proposed legislation. In FY 98-99, 188 "other state" post-prison release offenders. Of these 34, were sentenced to prison and 14 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison and 14 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison and 14 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison and 14 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison and 14 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison and 14 would have qualified for sentencing (based on offense type) as a prison release reoffender under the proposed legislation.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

House bill 1465 will expand the current definition of "prison release reoffender" to include defendants released, or on escape status, from correctional institutions outside the state of Florida. In other words, criminals recently released from prisons outside of Florida, who commit violent crimes in this state, will be sentenced the same as criminals recently released from Florida prisons.

Correctional institutions located "outside the state of Florida" means correctional institutions in other states, the District of Columbia, the United States, any possession or territory of the United States, or any foreign jurisdiction.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1. This section amends the definition of "prison releasee reoffender" by adding persons released or escaped from a correctional institution of another state, the District of Columbia, the United States, any possession or territory of the United States, or any foreign jurisdiction following incarceration for an offense for which the sentence imposed exceeded one year. Section 1 also makes a technical change by deleting the word "of" and inserting "after" following the phrase "within 3 years". This change is intended to clarify the time period for eligibility for classification as a prison releasee reoffender.

Section2. This section provides an effective date of July 1, 2001.

¹ Damion v. State, 743 So.2d 611 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999)

² § 775.082(9)(a)1., F.S.

³ <u>Damion v. State</u>, 743 So.2d 611 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999)

⁴ The electronic data available does not provide a reliable method to determine if all offenses were committed within the specified three-year threshold.

STORAGE NAME: h1465a.cpcs.doc DATE: March 29, 2001 PAGE: 4

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

None.

2. Expenditures:

An analysis of the bill by the Criminal Justice Impact Conference on March 14, 2001, found that the bill would have an "indeterminate-minimal" impact on prison bed capacity. Such a determination means that although the impact on prison beds is not quantifiable, the impact is expected to be minimal. Therefore, it is anticipated that expenditures required by the state would also be minimal.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

None.

2. <u>Expenditures</u>:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

STORAGE NAME: h1465a.cpcs.doc DATE: March 29, 2001 PAGE: 5

- V. <u>COMMENTS</u>:
 - A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

Two amendments were adopted by the Committee on Crime Prevention, Corrections & Safety on March 29, 2001. The first amendment, through the placement of a comma, clarified that the initial incarceration requirement must be applied to **all** defendants originally housed outside the jurisdiction of Florida (rather than only to those defendants originally housed in foreign countries.) The second amendment, which was offered by Representative Heyman, clarified that the original crime (for which the defendant was incarcerated outside the state of Florida) would have resulted in a Florida prison sentence of more than one year had the crime been committed in Florida. Both amendments are traveling with the bill.

VII. <u>SIGNATURES</u>:

COMMITTEE ON CRIME PREVENTION, CORRECTIONS & SAFETY:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Melinda Smith

David De La Paz