## Bill No. CS for SB 2124

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 494458

CHAMBER ACTION Senate House 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Senator Garcia moved the following amendment: 11 12 13 Senate Amendment (with title amendment) 14 Delete everything after the enacting clause 15 16 and insert: 17 Section 1. Any information identifying the location of archaeological sites contained in site files or other records 18 19 maintained by the Division of Historical Resources of the 20 Department of State is exempt from the provisions of section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and Section 24(a) of Article I of 21 the State Constitution, if the Division of Historical 22 Resources finds that disclosure of such information will 23 24 create a substantial risk of harm, theft, or destruction at 25 such sites. This section is subject to the Open Government 26 Sunset Review Act in accordance with section 119.15, Florida 27 Statutes, and expires on October 2, 2006, unless reviewed and 28 reenacted by the Legislature. Section 2. (1) The Legislature finds that it is a 29 30 public necessity that information identifying the location of archaeological sites be exempt from public records 31

## Bill No. <u>CS for SB 2124</u> Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 494458

requirements because the state has a serious problem with archaeological looting. The exact location of an archaeological site should be exempt from disclosure if the Division of Historical Resources determines that disclosure of the site location will create a substantial risk of harm, theft, or destruction at the site.

- protection for sensitive sites puts the federal government in a difficult position. The Federal Government is mandated to share site-specific information with the Florida State

  Historic Preservation Officer to comply with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

  However, section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, protects specific information concerning the location and character of cultural resources, which includes archaeological sites, when sharing that information could place them in jeopardy.
- (3) The Legislature also finds that new implementation guidelines for the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, require increased consultation with federally recognized tribes. The Legislature finds that managing information concerning Native American sacred sites and sites of cultural patrimony requires this exemption, because credible stewardship in this area necessitates the ability to protect sensitive information from public dissemination.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

## Bill No. <u>CS for SB 2124</u> Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 494458

1	Delete everything before the enacting clause
2	
3	and insert:
4	A bill to be entitled
5	An act relating to public records; providing an
6	exemption from public records requirements for
7	information identifying the location of
8	specified archaeological sites; providing an
9	expiration date; providing a finding of public
10	necessity; providing an effective date.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	