

**STORAGE NAME:** h0257.tr.doc  
**DATE:** March 25, 2001

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
COMMITTEE ON  
TRANSPORTATION  
ANALYSIS**

**BILL #:** HB 257  
**RELATING TO:** Road designations  
**SPONSOR(S):** Representative(s) Bullard

**TIED BILL(S):**

**ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:**

- (1) TRANSPORTATION
  - (2) COUNCIL FOR READY INFRASTRUCTURE
  - (3)
  - (4)
  - (5)
- 

I. SUMMARY:

HB 257 designates portions of two roads:

-- That portion of U.S 1, between S.W. 136<sup>th</sup> Street and S.W. 186<sup>th</sup> Street in Miami-Dade County would be designated as the "Steven Cranman Boulevard."

-- That portion of S.W. 186<sup>th</sup> Street between U.S. 1 and S.W. 107<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Miami-Dade County would be designated as the "Ethel Beckford Boulevard."

DOT expects to incur an estimated cost of \$1,000 to erect the markers for the new designations.

HB 257 takes effect upon becoming law.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

- |                                   |                              |                             |                              |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. <u>Less Government</u>         | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. <u>Lower Taxes</u>             | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. <u>Individual Freedom</u>      | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. <u>Personal Responsibility</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. <u>Family Empowerment</u>      | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 334.071, F.S., explains the process for legislative designations of transportation facilities for honorary or memorial purposes, or to distinguish a particular facility. The legislative designations do not erase the current names of the facilities, nor do they require local governments and private entities to change street signs or addresses. Some public roads and bridges have multiple or overlapping designations.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

HB 257 designates portions of two roads in honor of two individuals:

-- U.S 1, between S.W. 136<sup>th</sup> Street and S.W. 186<sup>th</sup> Street in Miami-Dade County would be designated as the "Steven Cranman Boulevard."

-- S.W. 186<sup>th</sup> Street between U.S. 1 and S.W. 107<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Miami-Dade County would be designated as the "Ethel Beckford Boulevard."

It also directs DOT to erect suitable markers.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1: Designates portions of two roads in Miami-Dade County. Directs DOT to erect suitable markers.

Section 2: Specifies this act shall take effect upon becoming law.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

DOT expects to incur an estimated cost of \$1,000 to implement HB 257. Production and installation costs are estimated at \$250 per marker, and each designated facility gets two markers. DOT also will have to pay indeterminate recurring costs of maintaining these signs and replacing them, over time.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

The mandates provision is not applicable to an analysis of HB 257 because the proposed bill does not require cities or counties to expend funds, or to take actions requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

HB 257 does not reduce the revenue-raising authority of counties or municipalities.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

HB 257 does not reduce the state tax revenues shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not applicable.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

**STORAGE NAME:** h0257.tr.doc

**DATE:** March 25, 2001

**PAGE:** 4

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

Not applicable.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

---

Joyce Pugh

---

Phillip B. Miller