HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS FURTHER REVISED BY THE COUNCIL FOR LIFELONG LEARNING ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 277

- **RELATING TO:** School Attendance/Learnfare Program
- **SPONSOR(S):** Council for Lifelong Learning and Representatives Atwater, Lynn, Melvin, Negron, Wallace and Betancourt
- TIED BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) EDUCATION INNOVATION YEAS 14 NAYS 0
- (2) FISCAL POLICY & RESOURCES YEAS 12 NAYS 0
- (3) COUNCIL FOR LIFELONG LEARNING YEAS 15 NAYS 0
- (4)
- (5)

I. SUMMARY:

In 1993, the Legislature created the Learnfare Program, requiring the Department of Children and Families to reduce the temporary cash assistance (TCA) for a participant's eligible dependent child or for an eligible teenage participant that displays a pattern of habitual truancy. Current law defines a habitual truant as a student that has accumulated 15 unexcused absences within 90 calendar days. The TCA that is reduced must be reinstituted after a subsequent grading period in which the child's attendance has substantially improved.

Statutory provisions relating to the Learnfare Program require that each participant with a school-aged child attend a conference with a school official each semester to discuss his or her child's attendance, behavioral and academic progress. Failure to attend a conference will result in the reduction of the TCA of the participant.

Through two different pieces of legislation, the 2000 Legislature repealed and then amended the statute relating to the Learnfare Program. Presently, provisions relating to the Learnfare Program are still in statute; however, the statutory provisions include a footnote that indicates the provisions were repealed.

CS/HB 277 reestablishes the Learnfare Program in a different section of statute and repeals the current section of statute relating to the program. The bill also provides for sanctions if a recipient's child is a dropout. The bill also corrects a cross reference in the definition of a habitual truant, and directs school boards to encourage teachers and administrators to keep parents and guardians informed of student attendance requirements pursuant to law. The bill also requires DCF to develop an electronic data transfer system that will collect, report, and share data and information relating to the program.

The bill may provide an initial savings to the state because of the reduction of TCA as a sanction for not meeting the program's requirements. However, the benefits will be reinstituted once the participant is in compliance, thus offsetting the initial savings. TCA recipients who have school aged children will have their benefits reduced if either their child has been identified as a habitual truant or dropout or the recipients do not attend a conference with a school official during each semester. Eligible teenage participants will also have their benefits reduced if they are identified as a habitual truant or a dropout. Benefits will be reinstituted once the child or eligible teenage participant has complied with the program's requirements.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes [X]	No []	N/A []
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes [X]	No []	N/A []

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

The Learnfare Program

In 1993, the Florida Legislature created the Learnfare Program to ensure that dependent children whose parents receive temporary cash assistance meet school attendance requirements (Ch. 93-136, L.O.F.). Provisions relating to the program appeared in two separate sections of statute until 1995, when the Legislature consolidated those statutory provisions to create s. 409.1855, F.S. In 1996, the Legislature renumbered the statute as s. 414.125, F.S. (Ch. 96-175, L.O.F.), and amended it to align with the welfare reform initiative addressed in the Work and Gain Economic Self-Sufficiency (WAGES) Bill.

Section 414.125, F.S., establishes the Learnfare Program, which requires the Department of Children and Families (DCF) to reduce the temporary cash assistance (TCA) for a participant's eligible dependent child or for an eligible teenage participant who has not been exempted from education participation requirements and who has been identified as a habitual truant. Subsection 228.041 (28), F.S., defines a habitual truant as a student who has 15 unexcused absences within 90 calendar days. According to s. 414.125, F.S., good cause exemptions from the rule of unexcused absences include the following: the student is expelled from school and alternative schooling is not available, no licensed day care is available for the child of an eligible teenage participant, prohibitive transportation problems exist, or the teen is over 16 years of age and not expected to graduate from high school by age 20. The TCA must be reinstituted after a subsequent grading period in which the child's attendance has substantially improved.

Additionally, the Learnfare Program provides the participant with an opportunity to appeal the reduction. Within 10 days after sanction notification, the parent or guardian participant or eligible teenage participant may file an appeal and no sanction will be imposed until the appeal is resolved.

The Learnfare Program also requires each TCA recipient with a school-age child to have a conference with an appropriate school official during each semester. The purpose of the conference is to assure that the participant is involved in the child's educational progress and is aware of any existing attendance or academic problems. The conference must address acceptable student attendance, grades, and behavior and must be documented by the school and reported to DCF. A participant who without good cause fails to attend a conference with a school official is subject to a reduction in TCA.

Department of Children and Families (DCF)

According to DCF, TCA includes all welfare cash benefits received by the participant. Welfare cash benefits do not include other aid received, such as food stamps, Medicaid, or federal housing subsidies. The amount of TCA reduced is that amount which is allotted to the truant child.

According to DCF, in January 2001, 62,584 families received temporary cash assistance. The chart below displays the maximum TCA payment available based upon family size and family shelter obligation (rent). The shelter obligation is the amount the recipient is responsible for and the rest of the obligation is paid by another person (a family member) or by some entity (federal Department of Housing and Urban Development). A household's actual TCA payment will vary based upon the household's countable income. The family size is determined by the number of household members eligible to be included in the TCA filing unit.

	Shelter Obligation	Shelter Obligation	Shelter Obligation
	\$50.01/UP	.01-\$50	\$0
Fomily Sizo	Dovement Standard	Dovmont Standard	Dovmont Stondard
Family Size	Payment Standard	Payment Standard	Payment Standard
1	180	153	95
2	241	205	158
3	303	258	198
4	364	309	254
5	426	362	289
6	487	414	346
7	549	467	392
8	610	519	438
Additional Person	+62	+52	+48
Effective Date	July 1996	July 1996	July 1996

TEMPORARY CASH ASSISTANCE PAYMENT STANDARDS

For the Learnfare Program, the reduced portion is that allotted to the truant or dropout child, or the portion allotted to the parent or guardian if he or she is not participating in the required conferences. For each sanction imposed, the family size is reduced by one person, therefore the amount reduced ranges between \$35 - \$63.

Learnfare Sanctions

According to DCF, only the last sanction imposed on a participant is recorded for tracking purposes. For example, if a sanction is imposed on a participant, and then is lifted for compliance, but later another sanction is imposed, only the last sanction is recorded, not both. For the last three years, the sanctions recorded by DCF are as follows:

- 1998: 1,082 participants were sanctioned.
- 1999: 1,920 participants were sanctioned.
- 2000: 1,409 participants were sanctioned.

Department of Education (DOE)

In August 2000, DOE distributed a three question survey to all state school districts to gauge the impact of the Learnfare Program. Forty-three districts responded and are described below:

Question 1.

If you implemented the Learnfare Program and provided data to DCF during the 1999-2000 school year, have you seen any positive impacts of the Learnfare Program?

- 32 of 39 districts responded that schools within their district observe at least a minimum positive impact as a result of the Learnfare Program.
- 3 of 39 districts responded that schools within their district do not observe a positive impact as a result of the Learnfare Program.
- 4 of 39 districts responded that schools within their districts do not provide information or were unable to obtain information relating to the impact of the Learnfare Program.

Question 2.

If you received any feedback from school staff on the effectiveness of the conferences with the parents, please provide.

- 18 of 27 districts responded that schools within their district believe the conferences are effective.
- 3 of 27 districts responded that schools within their districts believe the conferences are not very effective.
- 2 of 27 districts responded that they receive no feedback from schools within their districts regarding the effectiveness of the conferences.

Question 3.

Please provide any recommendations you may have regarding the implementation of the Learnfare Program.

- 11 of 25 districts indicated that the need exists for improving the administration and implementation of the Program.
- 4 of 25 districts stated that the Learnfare Program is effective as it is currently administered.
- 2 of 25 districts stated that the program is not effective or need to be greatly improved.

2000 Legislation

In 2000, the Florida Legislature repealed s. 414.125, F.S., in Ch. 2000-165, L.O.F., but subsequently amended s. 414.125, F.S., in Ch. 2000-235, L.O.F. Consequently, the section of statute still remains part of the 2000 Florida Statutes and includes a footnote that indicates the section of statute was repealed in 2000. Shortly after the end of the 2000 legislative session, DCF notified its district TCA administrators that the Learnfare Program had been repealed.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

CS/HB 277 reestablishes the Learnfare Program in a different section of statute and repeals the current section of statute relating to the program. The bill also provides for sanctions if a recipient's child is a dropout. The bill also corrects a cross reference in the definition of a habitual truant, and directs school boards to encourage teachers and administrators to keep parents and guardians informed of student attendance requirements pursuant to law. The bill also requires DCF to develop an electronic data transfer system that will collect, report, and share data and information relating to the program.

CS/HB 277 requires DCF to reduce the TCA for a participant's dependent child or for a teen participant if the child or teen is identified as a dropout. The TCA must be reinstated after the student enrolls in a public school, receives a high school diploma or its equivalency, enrolls in preparation for the General Educational Development (GED) tests, or enrolls in other educational activities approved by the district school board.

CS/HB 277 eliminates the exemption from attendance requirements for a dependent child or eligible teen participant who is over the age of 16 and not expected to graduate before the age of 20.

The bill clarifies that TCA must be reinstated to a recipient, who has been sanctioned for failure to attend the requisite conference, once that recipient attends the conference with the appropriate school official and that conference is documented by the school and reported to the Department of Children and Families (DCF).

The bill requires DCF to develop an electronic data transfer system that will collect, report, and share data and information relating to the program. The information compiled must be submitted annually to the Legislature. The fiscal impact to DCF appears to be minimal because it may be possible to modify an existing electronic transfer system for this purpose.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1: Creates section 414.1251, F.S., to reestablish the Learnfare Program, which requires a reduction in a participant's TCA benefits if their school aged child is identified as a habitual truant or dropout or if the participating parent or guardian does not attend a conference with a school official each semester.

Section 2: Amends subsection (28) of section 228.041, F.S., to correct a cross-reference in the definition of a habitual truant.

Section 3: Amends paragraph (b) of subsection (15) of section 230.23, F.S., to include informing parents and guardians of student attendance requirements as an additional act teachers and administrators are encouraged to do.

Section 4: Repeals section 414.125, F.S.

Section 5: Specifies that the effective date of the bill is upon becoming law.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. <u>Revenues</u>:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The reenactment of the program will not in itself reduce state expenditures. Any reductions in state expenditures will be as a result of the operation of the program, that is through a reduction of a participant's TCA as a result of sanctioning for failure to comply with the program's requirements. The department has not provided information related to the cost of operating the program.

The department has provided to the sponsor information on the cost to develop an automated capability to track data collected on the operation of the program. The department estimates a one-time cost of approximately \$251,000 to develop, test, and operate this data-tracking program.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. <u>Revenues</u>:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local revenues.

2. Expenditures:

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

TCA recipients that have school-aged children will have their benefits reduced if either their child has been identified as a habitual truant or dropout, or the participant does not attend a conference with a school official during each semester. An eligible teenage participant will also have his or her TCA reduced if he or she is identified as a habitual truant or dropout. The reduction amount is that portion of the assistance that is allotted to the truant child or teenage participant or to the parent or guardian not in compliance with the conference requirement. However, those benefits will be reinstituted once the child or teenage participant has substantially improved his or her attendance after a subsequent grading period, or has re-enrolled in a public school, receives a high school diploma or its equivalency, enrolls in preparation for the General Educational Development (GED) tests, or enrolls in other educational activities approved by the district school board.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

See above.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

- V. <u>COMMENTS</u>:
 - A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

This bill does not appear to violate any constitutional provisions.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

On February 6, 2001, the Committee on Education Innovation adopted, without objection, four amendments to HB 277, stating the following:

- The first amendment requires reduction of TCA for a participant's dependent child or for a teen participant if the child or teen is identified as a dropout. The TCA must be reinstated after the student enrolls in a public school, receives a high school diploma or its equivalency, enrolls in preparation for the General Educational Development (GED) tests, or enrolls in other educational activities approved by the district school board.
- The second amendment eliminates the exemption from attendance requirements for a dependent child or eligible teen participant who is over the age of 16 and not expected to graduate before the age of 20.
- The third amendment clarifies that TCA must be reinstated to a recipient, who has been sanctioned for failure to attend the requisite conference, once that recipient attends the conference with the appropriate school official and that conference is documented by the school and reported to the Department of Children and Families (DCF).
- The fourth amendment requires DCF to develop an electronic data transfer system that will collect, report, and share data and information relating to the Program. The information compiled must be submitted annually to the Legislature. The fiscal impact to DCF appears to be

STORAGE NAME: h0277s1.llc.doc DATE: February 23, 2001 PAGE: 8

minimal because it may be possible to modify an existing electronic transfer system for this purpose.

On February 21, 2001, the bill, as amended, was made into a council substitute by the Council for Lifelong Learning.

SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION INNOVATION:

Prepared by:	Staff Director:
Anitere Flores	Daniel Furman

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON FISCAL POLICY & RESOURCES:

Prepared by:	Staff Director:
David M. Greenbaum	Greg Turbeville

AS REVISED BY THE COUNCIL FOR LIFELONG LEARNING:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Anitere Flores

Patricia Levesque