By the Committee on Comprehensive Planning, Local and Military Affairs; and Senators Constantine and Carlton

316-1603D-01

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

2.8

2930

31

A bill to be entitled An act relating to growth management; amending s. 163.3174, F.S.; requiring that the membership of all local planning agencies include a representative of the district school board; amending s. 163.3177, F.S.; revising elements of comprehensive plans; requiring intergovernmental coordination between local governments and district school boards; authorizing local governments to designate certain lands as rural land stewardship areas; providing requirements for amending the comprehensive plan to designate such areas; providing for landowners in such areas to convey development rights; providing for certain incentives; creating s. 163.31776, F.S.; providing legislative intent and findings with respect to a public educational facilities element; providing a schedule for adoption; providing for certain municipalities to be exempt; requiring certain interlocal agreements; requiring that the public educational facilities element include certain provisions; providing requirements for future land-use maps; providing a process for adopting the element; prohibiting a local government that fails to adopt the required element from amending its local comprehensive plan; creating s. 163.31777, F.S.; requiring school boards to report to the local government on school capacity; requiring a local government to deny

1 a plan amendment or a request for rezoning if 2 school capacity is unavailable; authorizing 3 certain mitigation agreements; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; revising provisions relating to 4 5 concurrency; amending s. 163.3181, F.S.; 6 providing for public notices and public 7 participation in the comprehensive planning 8 process; amending s. 163.3184, F.S.; revising 9 definitions; revising provisions governing the 10 process for adopting comprehensive plans and 11 plan amendments; amending s. 163.3187, F.S.; authorizing the adoption of a public 12 13 educational facilities element notwithstanding 14 certain limitations; amending s. 163.3191, F.S., relating to evaluation and appraisal of 15 comprehensive plans; conforming provisions to 16 17 changes made by the act; creating s. 163.3198, F.S.; requiring the state land planning agency 18 19 to develop a uniform fiscal-impact-analysis 20 model for evaluating the cost of infrastructure to support development; providing for 21 appointment of a committee to advise the 22 agency; requiring that the model be field 23 24 tested; requiring a report to the Governor and 25 the Legislature; providing an appropriation; amending s. 163.3215, F.S.; providing remedies 26 27 for aggrieved or adversely affected parties; 28 expanding the class of persons who may seek 29 such remedies; amending s. 163.3244, F.S.; providing for a livable-communities 30 31 certification program; providing for

1 certification criteria; eliminating state 2 review of certain local comprehensive plan 3 amendments; creating s. 163.32446, F.S.; providing for a sustainable rural communities 4 5 demonstration program; amending s. 186.008, 6 F.S.; providing for revisions to the state 7 comprehensive plan; amending s. 186.504, F.S.; 8 adding an elected school board member to the 9 membership of each regional planning council; 10 amending s. 218.25, F.S.; prescribing 11 limitations on the use of specified funds; amending s. 235.002, F.S.; revising legislative 12 13 intent with respect to building educational facilities; amending s. 235.15, F.S.; revising 14 requirements for educational plant surveys; 15 revising requirements for review and validation 16 17 of such surveys; amending s. 235.175, F.S.; requiring school districts to adopt education 18 19 facilities plans; amending s. 235.18, F.S., 20 relating to capital outlay budgets of school boards; conforming provisions to changes made 21 by the act; amending s. 235.185, F.S.; 22 requiring school district educational 23 24 facilities plans; providing definitions; specifying projections and other information to 25 be included in the plan; providing requirements 26 27 for the work program; requiring district school 28 boards to submit a tentative plan to the local 29 government; providing for adopting and executing the plan; amending s. 235.188, F.S.; 30 31 providing bonding requirements; amending s.

1 235.19, F.S.; exempting certain school boards 2 and local governments from requirements for 3 site planning; revising requirements for school boards; amending s. 235.193, F.S.; requiring 4 5 interlocal agreements with respect to public 6 educational facilities elements and plans; 7 providing that failure to enter into such 8 agreements will result in the withholding of certain funds for school construction; 9 10 providing requirements for preparing a district 11 education facilities work plan; repealing s. 235.194, F.S., relating to the general 12 13 educational facilities report; amending s. 235.218, F.S.; requiring the SMART Schools 14 Clearinghouse to adopt measures for evaluating 15 the school district educational facilities 16 17 plans; amending s. 235.231, F.S.; providing for the school board to authorize certain change 18 19 orders for its district education facilities plan; amending s. 236.25, F.S., relating to the 20 district school tax; conforming provisions to 21 changes made by the act; creating s. 236.255, 22 F.S.; creating the School District Guaranty 23 24 Program; allowing district school boards to request the financial backing of the state or 25 county in the issuance of certificates of 26 27 participation; providing that such financial 28 backing by the state or county is optional and 29 contingent on funds set aside for that purpose; 30 amending s. 380.06, F.S.; revising provisions 31 governing developments of regional impact;

providing for designation of a lead regional planning council; amending s. 380.0651, F.S.; revising standards for determining the necessity for a development-of-regional-impact review; requiring specified counties to adopt a service-delivery interlocal agreement with all municipalities and the school district and prescribing requirements for such agreements; requiring the Governor to report to the Legislature on using compelling state interest as a standard to limit state review of comprehensive plan amendments; providing an appropriation; providing a legislative finding that the act is a matter of great public importance; providing an effective date.

15 16 17

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

18 19

20

Section 1. Subsection (1) of section 163.3174, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

(1) The governing body of each local government,

21

163.3174 Local planning agency. --

22 23

24

25

26

individually or in combination as provided in s. 163.3171, shall designate and by ordinance establish a "local planning agency," unless the agency is otherwise established by law. All local planning agencies shall include a representative of the district school board as a member of the local planning

27 28

agency. The governing body may designate itself as the local

29

planning agency pursuant to this subsection with the addition

30

of a school board representative. The governing body shall

31 notify the state land planning agency of the establishment of

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

its local planning agency. All local planning agencies shall provide opportunities for involvement by district school boards and applicable community college boards, which may be accomplished by formal representation, membership on technical advisory committees, or other appropriate means. The local planning agency shall prepare the comprehensive plan or plan amendment after hearings to be held after public notice and shall make recommendations to the governing body regarding the adoption or amendment of the plan. The agency may be a local planning commission, the planning department of the local government, or other instrumentality, including a countywide planning entity established by special act or a council of local government officials created pursuant to s. 163.02, provided the composition of the council is fairly representative of all the governing bodies in the county or planning area; however:

- (a) If a joint planning entity is in existence on the effective date of this act which authorizes the governing bodies to adopt and enforce a land use plan effective throughout the joint planning area, that entity shall be the agency for those local governments until such time as the authority of the joint planning entity is modified by law.
- (b) In the case of chartered counties, the planning responsibility between the county and the several municipalities therein shall be as stipulated in the charter.

Section 2. Paragraph (a) of subsection (4), paragraphs (a), (c), and (h) of subsection (6), and subsection (11) of section 163.3177, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

163.3177 Required and optional elements of comprehensive plan; studies and surveys.--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

(4)(a) Coordination of the local comprehensive plan with the comprehensive plans of adjacent municipalities, the county, adjacent counties, or the region; with the appropriate water management district's regional water supply plans adopted pursuant to s. 373.0361, or successor plans required by legislative directive; with adopted rules pertaining to designated areas of critical state concern; and with the state comprehensive plan shall be a major objective of the local comprehensive planning process. To that end, in the preparation of a comprehensive plan or element thereof, and in the comprehensive plan or element as adopted, the governing body shall include a specific policy statement indicating the relationship of the proposed development of the area to the comprehensive plans of adjacent municipalities, the county, adjacent counties, or the region and to the state comprehensive plan, as the case may require and as such adopted plans or plans in preparation may exist.

- (6) In addition to the requirements of subsections (1)-(5), the comprehensive plan shall include the following elements:
- (a) A future land use plan element designating proposed future general distribution, location, and extent of the uses of land for residential uses, commercial uses, industry, agriculture, recreation, conservation, education, public buildings and grounds, other public facilities, and other categories of the public and private uses of land. The future land use plan shall include standards to be followed in the control and distribution of population densities and building and structure intensities. The proposed distribution, location, and extent of the various categories 31 of land use shall be shown on a land use map or map series

which shall be supplemented by goals, policies, and measurable 2 objectives. Each land use category shall be defined in terms 3 of the types of uses included and specific standards for the 4 density or intensity of use. The future land use plan shall 5 be based upon surveys, studies, and data regarding the area, 6 including the amount of land required to accommodate 7 anticipated growth; the projected population of the area; the 8 character of undeveloped land; the availability of ground 9 water and surface water resources for present and future water 10 supplies and the potential for development of alternative 11 water supplies; the availability of public services; the need for redevelopment, including the renewal of blighted areas and 12 13 the elimination of nonconforming uses which are inconsistent with the character of the community; and, in rural 14 communities, the need for job creation, capital investment, 15 and economic development that will strengthen and diversify 16 17 the community's economy. The future land use plan may 18 designate areas for future planned development use involving 19 combinations of types of uses for which special regulations 20 may be necessary to ensure development in accord with the principles and standards of the comprehensive plan and this 21 act. In addition, for rural communities, the amount of land 22 designated for future planned industrial use shall be based 23 24 upon surveys and studies that reflect the need for job 25 creation, capital investment, and the necessity to strengthen and diversify the local economies, and shall not be limited 26 solely by the projected population of the rural community. The 27 28 future land use plan of a county may also designate areas for 29 possible future municipal incorporation. The land use maps or map series shall generally identify and depict historic 30 31 district boundaries and shall designate historically

significant properties meriting protection. The future land 2 use element must clearly identify the land use categories in 3 which public schools are an allowable use. When delineating the land use categories in which public schools are an 4 5 allowable use, a local government shall include in the 6 categories sufficient land proximate to residential 7 development to meet the projected needs for schools in coordination with public school boards and may establish 8 9 differing criteria for schools of different type or size. 10 Each local government shall include lands contiguous to 11 existing school sites, to the maximum extent possible, within the land use categories in which public schools are an 12 13 allowable use. All comprehensive plans must comply with the school siting requirements of this paragraph no later than 14 October 1, 1999. The failure by a local government to comply 15 with these school siting requirements by October 1, 1999, will 16 17 result in the prohibition of the local government's ability to 18 amend the local comprehensive plan, except for plan amendments 19 described in s. 163.3187(1)(b), until the school siting 20 requirements are met. Amendments An amendment proposed by a local government for purposes of identifying the land use 21 22 categories in which public schools are an allowable use or for adopting or amending the school-siting maps pursuant to s. 23 24 163.31776(6) are is exempt from the limitation on the 25 frequency of plan amendments contained in s. 163.3187. The future land use element shall include criteria that which 26 encourage the location of schools proximate to urban 27 28 residential areas to the extent possible and shall require 29 that the local government seek to collocate public facilities, such as parks, libraries, and community centers, with schools 30 31

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

to the extent possible and to encourage the use of elementary schools as focal points for neighborhoods.

(c) A general sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, and natural groundwater aquifer recharge element correlated to principles and guidelines for future land use, indicating ways to provide for future potable water, drainage, sanitary sewer, solid waste, and aquifer recharge protection requirements for the area. The element may be a detailed engineering plan including a topographic map depicting areas of prime groundwater recharge. The element shall describe the problems and needs and the general facilities that will be required for solution of the problems and needs. The element shall also include a topographic map depicting any areas adopted by a regional water management district as prime groundwater recharge areas for the Floridan or Biscayne aguifers, pursuant to s. 373.0395. These areas shall be given special consideration when the local government is engaged in zoning or considering future land use for said designated areas. For areas served by septic tanks, soil surveys shall be provided which indicate the suitability of soils for septic tanks. By October 1, 2002, the element shall also include data and analysis, based upon the appropriate water management district's regional water supply plan adopted pursuant to s. 373.0361, which evaluates the availability of potable water compared to population growth projected by the future land-use plan.

(h)1. An intergovernmental coordination element showing relationships and stating principles and guidelines to be used in the accomplishment of coordination of the adopted comprehensive plan with the plans of school boards and other 31 units of local government providing services but not having

 regulatory authority over the use of land, with the comprehensive plans of adjacent municipalities, the county, adjacent counties, or the region, and with the state comprehensive plan, as the case may require and as such adopted plans or plans in preparation may exist. This element of the local comprehensive plan shall demonstrate consideration of the particular effects of the local plan, when adopted, upon the development of adjacent municipalities, the county, adjacent counties, or the region, or upon the state comprehensive plan, as the case may require.

- a. The intergovernmental coordination element shall provide for procedures to identify and implement joint planning areas, especially for the purpose of annexation, municipal incorporation, and joint infrastructure service areas.
- b. The intergovernmental coordination element shall provide for recognition of campus master plans prepared pursuant to s. 240.155.
- c. The intergovernmental coordination element may provide for a voluntary dispute resolution process as established pursuant to s. 186.509 for bringing to closure in a timely manner intergovernmental disputes. A local government may develop and use an alternative local dispute resolution process for this purpose.
- 2. The intergovernmental coordination element shall further state principles and guidelines to be used in the accomplishment of coordination of the adopted comprehensive plan with the plans of school boards and other units of local government providing facilities and services but not having regulatory authority over the use of land. In addition, the intergovernmental coordination element shall describe joint

processes for collaborative planning and decisionmaking on population projections and public school siting, the location and extension of public facilities subject to concurrency, and siting facilities with countywide significance, including locally unwanted land uses whose nature and identity are established in an agreement. Within 1 year after of adopting their intergovernmental coordination elements, each county, all the municipalities within that county, the district school board, and any unit of local government service providers in that county shall establish by interlocal or other formal agreement executed by all affected entities, the joint processes described in this subparagraph consistent with their adopted intergovernmental coordination elements.

- 3. To foster coordination between special districts and local general-purpose governments as local general-purpose governments implement local comprehensive plans, each independent special district must submit a public facilities report to the appropriate local government as required by s. 189.415.
- 4. The state land planning agency shall establish a schedule for phased completion and transmittal of plan amendments to implement subparagraphs 1., 2., and 3. from all jurisdictions so as to accomplish their adoption by December 31, 1999. A local government may complete and transmit its plan amendments to carry out these provisions prior to the scheduled date established by the state land planning agency. The plan amendments are exempt from the provisions of s. 163.3187(1).
- 5. Intergovernmental coordination between local governments and the district school board shall be governed by ss. 163.31776 and 163.31777.

(11)(a) The Legislature recognizes the need for innovative planning and development strategies which will address the anticipated demands of continued urbanization of Florida's coastal and other environmentally sensitive areas, and which will accommodate the development of less populated regions of the state which seek economic development and which have suitable land and water resources to accommodate growth in an environmentally acceptable manner. The Legislature further recognizes the substantial advantages of innovative approaches to development which may better serve to protect environmentally sensitive areas, maintain the economic viability of agricultural and other predominantly rural land uses, and provide for the cost-efficient delivery of public facilities and services.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature that the local government comprehensive plans and plan amendments adopted pursuant to the provisions of this part provide for a planning process which allows for land use efficiencies within existing urban areas and which also allows for the conversion of rural lands to other uses, where appropriate and consistent with the other provisions of this part and the affected local comprehensive plans, through the application of innovative and flexible planning and development strategies and creative land use planning techniques, which may include, but not be limited to, urban villages, new towns, satellite communities, area-based allocations, clustering and open space provisions, mixed-use development, and sector planning.

(c)1. The department, in cooperation with the

Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, shall provide

assistance to local governments in the implementation of this

paragraph and Rule 9J-5.006(5)(1), Florida Administrative

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

Code. The implementation of those provisions must include a process by which a local government may designate all or 2 3 portions of lands classified in the future land use element as predominantly agricultural, rural, open, open-rural, or a 4 5 substantively equivalent land use, as a rural land stewardship 6 area within which planning and economic incentives are applied to encourage the implementation of innovative and flexible 7 8 planning and development strategies and creative land use planning techniques, pursuant to the provisions of Rule 9 9J-5.006(5)(1), Florida Administrative Code, as a means of 10 11 discouraging urban sprawl, protecting environmentally sensitive areas, maintaining the economic viability of 12 agricultural and other predominantly rural land uses, and 13 14 providing for the cost-efficient delivery of public facilities and services. 15

- 2. Pursuant to s. 163.32446, up to five local governments may include in their comprehensive plans a rural land stewardship area, which shall be located outside municipalities and established urban-growth boundaries. The plan amendment designating a rural land stewardship area must provide:
- a. Criteria for establishing receiving areas within rural land stewardship areas in which innovative planning and development strategies may be applied.
- b. Guidelines and criteria for implementing innovative planning and development strategies as described in this subsection and Rule 9J-5.006(5)(1), Florida Administrative Code, which provide for a functional mix of land uses.
- 29 <u>c. A process that encourages visioning pursuant to s.</u>
   30 <u>163.3167(11)</u> and ensures that innovative planning and
   31 development strategies comply with applicable state, regional,

and local plans and development regulations, including amendments that are necessary to implement this program.

- d. For the control of sprawl through growth patterns based on innovative strategies and creative land use techniques consistent with the provisions of this subsection and Rule 9J-5.006(5)(1), Florida Administrative Code.
- 3. Owners of lands within rural lands stewardship areas may convey development rights in return for the assignment of transferable credits, to be known as "transferable rural land use credits," which may be applied solely for the purpose of implementing innovative planning and development strategies and creative land use planning techniques pursuant to this paragraph. The amount of credits assigned must correspond to the 25-year or greater projected population or projected buildout of the rural land stewardship area. Transferable rural land use credits are transferable solely within a rural land stewardship area and are subject to the following:
- a. Transferable rural land use credits may be assigned only within rural land stewardship areas. Transferable rural land use credits assigned to a parcel of land within a rural land stewardship area shall cease to exist if the land is removed from the rural land stewardship area.
- b. Transferable rural land use credits may be used only for innovative planning and development strategies within designated receiving areas that are located on the basis of criteria established within the rural land stewardship area.
- c. Transferable rural land use credits may not displace traditional density allocations assigned to a parcel of land unless the credits are transferred to a designated receiving area or used within a designated receiving area, in

which case the traditional density allocations assigned to the parcel of land shall cease to exist.

- d. Traditional density allocations assigned to a parcel of land that becomes part of a rural land stewardship area shall continue to be assigned to the land. Except as provided in this paragraph, traditional density allocations assigned to a parcel of land may not be increased or decreased if the parcel remains part of the rural land stewardship area.
- e. Transferable rural land use credits shall cease to exist on a parcel of land where traditional density allocations are conveyed or used.
- f. Property within a designated receiving area may not be zoned for a higher density or use unless the zoning change reflects received credits or the property is removed from the rural land stewardship area by plan amendment.
- g. Transferable rural land use credits may be assigned at different ratios of credits per acre according to the land use remaining following the transfer of credits, with the highest number of credits per acre assigned to preserve environmentally valuable land.
- h. The use or conveyance of transferable rural land use credits must be recorded with the clerk of the court as an action between the buyer and seller within a designated rural land stewardship area.
- 4. Owners of land within rural land stewardship areas should be provided incentives to enter into rural land stewardship agreements with state agencies, water management districts, and local governments to achieve mutually agreed-upon conservation objectives. The incentives may include, but are not limited to:
  - a. Acquisition of transferable mitigation credits.

| 1  | b. Long-term permits for the consumptive use of water.                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | c. Opportunities for recreational leases and                            |
| 3  | ecotourism.   |
| 4  | d. Payment for specified land management services.                      |
| 5  | e. Option agreements for sale to government, in either                  |
| 6  | fee or easement, upon achievement of conservation objectives.           |
| 7  | $\frac{(d)}{(c)}$ It is the further intent of the Legislature           |
| 8  | that local government comprehensive plans and implementing              |
| 9  | land development regulations shall provide strategies to which          |
| LO | maximize the use of existing facilities and services through            |
| L1 | redevelopment and urban infill development and other                    |
| L2 | strategies for urban revitalization.                                    |
| L3 | $\underline{\text{(e)}}$ The implementation of this subsection shall be |
| L4 | subject to the provisions of this chapter, chapters 186 and             |
| L5 | 187, and applicable agency rules.                                       |
| L6 | $\underline{(f)}$ (e) The department shall implement the provisions     |
| L7 | of this subsection by rule.   |
| L8 | Section 3. Section 163.31776, Florida Statutes, is                      |
| L9 | created to read:  |
| 20 | 163.31776 Public educational facilities element                         |
| 21 | (1) The intent of the Legislature is to establish a                     |
| 22 | systematic process for school boards and local governments to:          |
| 23 | (a) Share information concerning the growth and                         |
| 24 | development trends in their communities in order to forecast            |
| 25 | future enrollment and school needs;                                     |
| 26 | (b) Cooperatively plan for the provision of                             |
| 27 | educational facilities to meet the current and projected needs          |
| 28 | of the public education system population, including the needs          |
| 29 | placed on the public education system as a result of growth             |
| 30 | and development decisions by local government; and                      |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

- 1 (c) Cooperatively identify and meet the infrastructure needs of public schools to assure healthy school environments 2 3 and safe school access. 4
  - The Legislature finds that:
  - Public schools are a linchpin to the vitality of our communities and play a significant role in thousands of individual housing decisions that result in community growth trends.
  - (b) Growth and development issues transcend the boundaries and responsibilities of individual units of government, and often no single unit of government can plan or implement policies to deal with these issues without affecting other units of government.
  - (3) A public educational facilities element shall be adopted in cooperation with the applicable school district by all local governments pursuant to a schedule established by the state land planning agency so as to accomplish its adoption by January 1, 2007.
  - (a) By January 1, 2003, a local government must transmit its public educational facilities element to the state land planing agency if the local government is located in a county that:
  - 1. Has a population of 1 million or more based on the 2000 United States Census;
  - 2. Has a population equal to or more than 100,000 and fewer than 1 million, based on the 2000 United States Census, and the county has increased in population by 20 percent or more between the 1990 and 2000 United States Censuses; or
  - Has a population of fewer than 100,000 and the county population has increased by 40 percent or more between the 1990 and 2000 United States Censuses.

| 1  | (b) Each municipality shall adopt its own element or           |
|----|--|
| 2  | accept by resolution or ordinance the public educational       |
| 3  | facilities element adopted by the county which includes the    |
| 4  | municipality's area of authority as defined in s. 163.3171.    |
| 5  | However, a municipality is exempt from this requirement if it  |
| 6  | meets all the following criteria:                              |
| 7  | 1. The municipality has issued development orders for          |
| 8  | fewer than 50 residential dwelling units during the last 5     |
| 9  | years or it has generated fewer than 25 additional public      |
| 10 | school students during the last 5 years;                       |
| 11 | 2. The municipality has not annexed new land during            |
| 12 | the last 5 years in land use categories that permit            |
| 13 | residential uses that may affect school attendance rates;      |
| 14 | 3. The municipality has no public schools located              |
| 15 | within its boundaries;   |
| 16 | 4. At least 80 percent of the developable land within          |
| 17 | the boundaries of the municipality has been built upon; and    |
| 18 | 5. The municipality has not adopted a land use                 |
| 19 | amendment that increases residential density for more than 50  |
| 20 | residential units.   |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Any municipality that is exempt shall notify the county and    |
| 23 | the school board of any planned annexation into residential or |
| 24 | proposed residential areas and must comply with this           |
| 25 | subsection within 1 year following a change in conditions that |
| 26 | renders the municipality no longer eligible for exemption or   |
| 27 | following the identification of a proposed public school in    |
| 28 | the school board's 5-year district facilities work program in  |
| 29 | the municipality's jurisdiction.                               |

(4) No later than 6 months prior to the deadline for

31 transmittal of a public educational facilities element, the

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

county, the participating municipalities, and the school board shall enter into an interlocal agreement that establishes a 2 3 process for developing coordinated and consistent local government public educational facilities elements and a 4 district educational facilities plan, including a process:

- (a) By which each local government and the school district agree and base their plans on consistent projections of the amount, type, and distribution of population growth and student enrollment;
- To coordinate and share information relating to existing and planned public school facilities and local government plans for development and redevelopment;
- (c) To ensure that school siting decisions by the school board are consistent with the local comprehensive plan, including appropriate circumstances and criteria under which a school district may request an amendment to the comprehensive plan for school siting and for early involvement by the local government as the school board identifies potential school sites;
- To coordinate and provide formal comments during (d) the development, adoption, and amendment of each local government's public educational facilities element and the educational facilities plan of the school district to ensure a uniform countywide school facility planning system;
- (e) For school district participation in the review of residential development applications for comprehensive plan amendments and rezonings that increase residential density and that are reasonably expected to have an impact on public school facility demand pursuant to s. 163.31777. The interlocal agreement must specify how the school board and local governments will develop the methodology and criteria

4 5

for determining whether school facility capacity will be readily available at the time of projected school impacts, and must specify uniform, districtwide level-of-service standards for all public schools of the same type and availability standards for public schools. The interlocal agreement must ensure that consistent criteria and capacity-determination methodologies are adopted into the school board's district educational facilities plan and the local government's public educational facilities element. The interlocal agreement must also set forth the process and uniform methodology for determining proportionate-share mitigation pursuant to s. 163.31777; and

- (f) For the resolution of disputes between the school district and local governments.
- (5) The public educational facilities element must be based on data and analysis, including the interlocal agreement required by subsection (4), and on the educational facilities plan required by s. 235.185. Each local government public educational facilities element within a county must be consistent with the other elements and must address:
- (a) The need for, strategies for, and commitments to addressing improvements to infrastructure, safety, and community conditions in areas proximate to existing public schools.
- (b) The need for and strategies for providing adequate infrastructure necessary to support proposed schools, including potable water, wastewater, drainage, solid waste, transportation, and means by which to assure safe access to schools, including sidewalks, bicycle paths, turn lanes, and signalization.

4 5

(c) Colocation of other public facilities, such as parks, libraries, and community centers, in proximity to public schools.

- (d) Location of schools proximate to residential areas and to complement patterns of development, including using elementary schools as focal points for neighborhoods.
- of public schools when reviewing comprehensive plan amendments and rezonings that are likely to increase potential residential development, with the review to be based on uniform, districtwide level-of-service standards for all public schools of the same type, availability standards for public schools, and the financially feasible 5-year district facilities work program adopted by the school board pursuant to s. 235.185.
- (g) A uniform methodology for determining proportionate-share mitigation consistent with the requirements of s. 163.31777(4) and the interlocal agreement.
- maps that are the result of a collaborative process for identifying school sites in the educational facilities plan adopted by the school board pursuant to s. 235.185 and must show the locations of existing public schools and the general locations of improvements to existing schools or new schools anticipated over the 5-year, 10-year, and 20-year time periods, or such maps shall be data and analysis in support of the future land-use map series. Maps indicating general locations of future schools or school improvements should not prescribe a land use on a particular parcel of land.

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28 29

30

31

(7) The process for adopting a public educational facilities element shall be as provided in s. 163.3184. The state land planning agency shall submit a copy of the proposed public school facilities element pursuant to the procedures outlined in s. 163.3184(4) to the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education for review and comment.

The failure by a local government to comply with (8) the requirement to transmit a public educational facilities element or to enter into an interlocal agreement with the school board pursuant to the schedule established by the state land planning agency and under s. 163.31776(3) will result in the prohibition of the local government's ability to amend the local comprehensive plan until the public school facilities element is adopted. If a local government fails to comply with the requirements of this section to enter into the interlocal agreement or to transmit a public educational facilities element by the required date, or if the Administration Commission finds that the public educational facilities element is not in compliance, the local government shall be subject to sanctions imposed by the Administration Commission pursuant to s. 163.3184(11). The failure of a local government or school board to enter into the interlocal agreement does not subject another local government or school board to sanctions. The failure of a school board to provide the required plans or information or to enter into the interlocal agreement under this section shall subject the school board to sanctions pursuant to s. 235.193(3). Any local government transmitting a public school element to implement school concurrency pursuant to the requirements of s. 163.3180 prior to the effective date of this act is not required to amend the

4 5

 element or any interlocal agreement to conform to the provisions of this section if such amendment is ultimately determined to be in compliance by the state planning agency.

(9) Any local government transmitting a public school facilities element for the purpose of adopting public school concurrency prior to the effective date of this act is not required to amend the element or any interlocal agreement to conform with the provisions of s. 163.31776 or s. 163.31777 if such amendment is ultimately determined to be in compliance by the state land planning agency.

Section 4. Section 163.31777, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

163.31777 Public school capacity for plan amendments and rezonings.--

- (1) Local governments shall consider public school facilities when reviewing comprehensive plan amendments and rezonings that propose to increase residential densities and that are reasonably expected to have an impact on the demand for public school facilities.
- amendment or rezoning, the school board shall provide the local government with a school-capacity report based on the district educational facilities plan adopted by the school board pursuant to s. 235.185, which must provide data and analysis on the capacity and enrollment of affected schools based on standards established by state or federal law or judicial orders, projected additional enrollment attributable to the density increase resulting from the amendment or rezoning, programmed and financially feasible new public school facilities or improvements for affected schools identified in the educational facilities plan of the school

board and the expected date of availability of such facilities or improvements, and available reasonable options for 2 3 providing public school facilities to students if the rezoning or comprehensive plan amendment is approved. The options must 4 5 include, but need not be limited to, the school board's 6 evaluation of school schedule modification, school attendance 7 zones modification, school facility modification, and the 8 creation of charter schools. The report must be consistent with the interlocal agreement, the public educational 9 facilities element, and this section. 10 11 (3) Following the effective dates of the interlocal agreement and the public educational facilities element 12 required by s. 163.31776, the local government shall deny a 13 request for a comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning which 14 would increase the density of residential development allowed 15 on the property subject to the amendment or rezoning, if the 16 17 school facility capacity will not be reasonably available at the time of projected school impacts as determined by the 18 19 process and methodology established in the public educational facilities element. However, the application for a 20 comprehensive plan amendment or a rezoning shall not be 21 disapproved based on a lack of school capacity if the 22 applicant executes a legally binding commitment to provide 23 24 mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the 25 property, including, but not limited to, the options described 26 27 in subsection (4). The school board's determination of 28 facility capacity constitutes competent substantial evidence 29 to support the denial of the plan amendment or rezoning 30 request.

1 (4)(a) Options for proportionate-share mitigation of public school facility impacts from actual development of 2 3 property subject to a plan amendment or rezoning that increases residential density shall be established in the 4 5 educational facilities plan and the public educational 6 facilities element. Appropriate mitigation options include the 7 contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment 8 for land acquisition or construction of a public school facility; or the creation of mitigation banking based on the 9 10 construction of a public school facility in exchange for the 11 right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a 12 binding development agreement pursuant to ss. 13 163.3220-163.3243 which constitutes a legally binding 14 commitment to pay proportionate-share mitigation for the 15 additional residential units approved by the local government 16 in a development order and actually developed on the property, 17 taking into account residential density allowed on the 18 19 property prior to the plan amendment or rezoning that increased overall residential density. The district school 20 board may be a party to such an agreement. As a condition of 21 its entry into such a development agreement, the local 22 government may require the landowner to agree to continuing 23 24 renewal of the agreement upon its expiration. 25 (b) If the educational facilities plan and the public educational facilities element authorize a contribution of 26 27 land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; or the construction or expansion of a public 28 29 school facility, or a portion thereof, as proportionate-share 30 mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment toward any 31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30

other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for the same need, on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value.

(c) Any proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a school capacity improvement within the affected area which is identified in the financially feasible 5-year district work plan.

Section 5. Subsection (4) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.--

- (4)(a) The concurrency requirement as implemented in local comprehensive plans applies to state and other public facilities and development to the same extent that it applies to all other facilities and development, as provided by law.
- (b) The concurrency requirement as implemented in local comprehensive plans does not apply to public transit facilities. For the purposes of this paragraph, public transit facilities include transit stations and terminals, transit station parking, park-and-ride lots, intermodal public transit connection or transfer facilities, and fixed bus, guideway, and rail stations. As used in this paragraph, the terms "terminals" and "transit facilities" do not include airports or seaports or commercial or residential development constructed in conjunction with a public transit facility.
- (c) The concurrency requirement as implemented in local government comprehensive plans may be waived by a local government for urban infill and redevelopment areas designated pursuant to s. 163.2517 if such a waiver does not endanger public health or safety.

Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 163.3181, Florida 31 Statutes, is amended to read:

4 5

163.3181 Public participation in the comprehensive planning process; intent; alternative dispute resolution.--

- (1) It is the intent of the Legislature that the public participate in the comprehensive planning process to the fullest extent possible. Towards this end, local planning agencies and local governmental units are directed to adopt procedures designed to provide effective public participation in the comprehensive planning process and to provide real property owners with notice of all official actions which will regulate the use of their property. The provisions and procedures required in this act are set out as the minimum requirements towards this end.
- (a) Public notices must clearly identify in plain language the nature of the amendments or applications under consideration. In addition, notice of the application and notice of the public hearings must be posted on site through conspicuous signs that advise the public on how to get a copy of the application and all supporting documents and all local government staff analyses and recommendations concerning the application. This requirement applies to all applications for development orders and site-specific future land use map amendments. Notice by publication and by mailed notice to other property owners as required by law must occur simultaneously with the filing of application for development permit as defined by s. 163.3164. The applicant shall bear the cost of any required signs.
- (b) Local governments shall develop and adopt public-participation procedures that encourage early public involvement in land-use matters. Such procedures must include a requirement that an applicant hold a community meeting for an amendment to the comprehensive plan or other approval for

plan amendment.--

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

2728

29

30 31 land development which meets a threshold set by the local
government.

Section 7. Subsections (1), (3), (4), and (6) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or

- (1) DEFINITIONS.--As used in this section, the term:
- "Affected person" includes the affected local government; persons owning property, residing, or owning or operating a business within the boundaries of the local government whose plan is the subject of the review; persons who are substantially affected by the amendment; and adjoining local governments that can demonstrate that the plan or plan amendment will produce substantial impacts on the increased need for publicly funded infrastructure or substantial impacts on areas designated for protection or special treatment within their jurisdiction. Each person, other than an adjoining local government, in order to qualify under this definition, shall also have submitted oral or written comments, recommendations, or objections to the local government during the period of time beginning with the transmittal hearing for the plan or plan amendment and ending with the adoption of the plan or plan amendment.
- (b) "In compliance" means consistent with the requirements of ss. 163.3177, 163.31776, 163.3178, 163.3180, 163.3191, and 163.3245, with the state comprehensive plan, with the appropriate strategic regional policy plan, and with chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, where such rule is not inconsistent with this part and with the principles for guiding development in designated areas of critical state concern.

2

7

8

9

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

- (3) LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRANSMITTAL OF PROPOSED PLAN OR AMENDMENT.--
- 3 (a) Each local governing body shall transmit the 4 complete proposed comprehensive plan or plan amendment to the 5 state land planning agency, the appropriate regional planning 6 council and water management district, the Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of State, and the Department of Transportation, and, in the case of municipal plans, to the appropriate county, and, in the case of county 10 plans, to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission and 11 the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, immediately following a public hearing pursuant to subsection 12 13 (15) as specified in the state land planning agency's procedural rules. The local governing body shall also transmit 14 a copy of the complete proposed comprehensive plan or plan 15 amendment to any other unit of local government or government 16 17 agency in the state that has filed a written request with the governing body for the plan or plan amendment. The local 18 19 government may request a review by the state land planning agency pursuant to subsection (6) at the time of the 20 21 transmittal of an amendment.
- (b) A local governing body shall not transmit portions of a plan or plan amendment unless it has previously provided to all state agencies designated by the state land planning agency a complete copy of its adopted comprehensive plan pursuant to subsection (7) and as specified in the agency's procedural rules. In the case of comprehensive plan amendments, the local governing body shall transmit to the state land planning agency, the appropriate regional planning council and water management district, the Department of 31 | Environmental Protection, the Department of State, and the

Department of Transportation, and, in the case of municipal plans, to the appropriate county, and, in the case of county plans, to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission and the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the materials specified in the state land planning agency's procedural rules and, in cases in which the plan amendment is a result of an evaluation and appraisal report adopted pursuant to s. 163.3191, a copy of the evaluation and appraisal report. Local governing bodies shall consolidate all proposed plan amendments into a single submission for each of the two plan amendment adoption dates during the calendar year pursuant to s. 163.3187.

- (c) A local government may adopt a proposed plan amendment previously transmitted pursuant to this subsection, unless review is requested or otherwise initiated pursuant to subsection (6).
- (d) In cases in which a local government transmits multiple individual amendments that can be clearly and legally separated and distinguished for the purpose of determining whether to review the proposed amendment, and the state land planning agency elects to review several or a portion of the amendments and the local government chooses to immediately adopt the remaining amendments not reviewed, the amendments immediately adopted and any reviewed amendments that the local government subsequently adopts together constitute one amendment cycle in accordance with s. 163.3187(1).
- (4) INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW.--<u>The</u> If review of a proposed comprehensive plan amendment is requested or otherwise initiated pursuant to subsection (6), the state land planning agency within 5 working days of determining that such a review will be conducted shall transmit a copy of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

29

30

31

proposed plan amendment to various government agencies, as appropriate, for response or comment, including, but not limited to, the Department of Environmental Protection, the Department of Transportation, the water management district, and the regional planning council, and, in the case of municipal plans, to the county land planning agency. These governmental agencies specified in paragraph (3)(a)shall provide comments to the state land planning agency within 30 days after receipt by the state land planning agency of the complete proposed plan amendment. If the plan or plan amendment includes or relates to the public school facilities element required by s. 163.31776, the state land planning agency shall submit a copy to the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education for review and comment. The appropriate regional planning council shall also provide its written comments to the state land planning agency within 30 days after receipt by the state land planning agency of the complete proposed plan amendment and shall specify any objections, recommendations for modifications, and comments of any other regional agencies to which the regional planning council may have referred the proposed plan amendment. Written comments submitted by the public within 30 days after notice of transmittal by the local government of the proposed plan amendment will be considered as if submitted by governmental agencies. All written agency and public comments must be made part of the file maintained under subsection (2).

- (6) STATE LAND PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW. --
- (a) The state land planning agency shall review a proposed plan amendment upon request of a regional planning council, affected person, or local government transmitting the plan amendment. The request from the regional planning council

 or affected person must be if the request is received within 30 days after transmittal of the proposed plan amendment pursuant to subsection (3). The agency shall issue a report of its objections, recommendations, and comments regarding the proposed plan amendment. A regional planning council or affected person requesting a review shall do so by submitting a written request to the agency with a notice of the request to the local government and any other person who has requested notice.

- (b) The state land planning agency may review any proposed plan amendment regardless of whether a request for review has been made, if the agency gives notice to the local government, and any other person who has requested notice, of its intention to conduct such a review within 35 30 days after receipt of transmittal of the complete proposed plan amendment pursuant to subsection (3).
- (c) The state land planning agency shall establish by rule a schedule for receipt of comments from the various government agencies, as well as written public comments, pursuant to subsection (4). If the state land planning agency elects to review the amendment or the agency is required to review the amendment as specified in paragraph (a), the agency shall issue a report giving its objections, recommendations, and comments regarding the proposed amendment within 60 days after receipt of the complete proposed amendment by the state land planning agency. The state land planning agency shall have 30 days to review comments from the various government agencies along with a local government's comprehensive plan or plan amendment. During that period, the state land planning agency shall transmit in writing its comments to the local government along with any objections and any recommendations

for modifications. When a federal, state, or regional agency has implemented a permitting program, the state land planning agency shall not require a local government to duplicate or exceed that permitting program in its comprehensive plan or to implement such a permitting program in its land development regulations. Nothing contained herein shall prohibit the state land planning agency in conducting its review of local plans or plan amendments from making objections, recommendations, and comments or making compliance determinations regarding densities and intensities consistent with the provisions of this part. In preparing its comments, the state land planning agency shall only base its considerations on written, and not oral, comments, from any source.

- (d) The state land planning agency review shall identify all written communications with the agency regarding the proposed plan amendment. If the state land planning agency does not issue such a review, it shall identify in writing to the local government all written communications received 30 days after transmittal. The written identification must include a list of all documents received or generated by the agency, which list must be of sufficient specificity to enable the documents to be identified and copies requested, if desired, and the name of the person to be contacted to request copies of any identified document. The list of documents must be made a part of the public records of the state land planning agency.
- (e) The Department of Community Affairs may by contract delegate to a regional planning council the review of local government comprehensive plan amendments. When the review has been delegated to a regional planning council, any

amended to read:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

local government in the region may elect to have its amendments reviewed by the council rather than the agency. The department must retain the oversight necessary to ensure compliance with the purposes of this chapter. Section 8. Effective October 1, 2001, subsections (7), (8), and (15) and paragraph (d) of subsection (16) of section

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or plan amendment.--

163.3184, Florida Statutes, as amended by this act, are

(7) LOCAL GOVERNMENT REVIEW OF COMMENTS; ADOPTION OF PLAN OR AMENDMENTS AND TRANSMITTAL. -- The local government shall review the written comments submitted to it by the state land planning agency, and any other person, agency, or government. Any comments, recommendations, or objections and any reply to them shall be public documents, a part of the permanent record in the matter, and admissible in any proceeding in which the comprehensive plan or plan amendment may be at issue. The local government, upon receipt of written comments from the state land planning agency, shall have 120 days to adopt or adopt with changes the proposed comprehensive plan or s. 163.3191 plan amendments. In the case of comprehensive plan amendments other than those proposed pursuant to s. 163.3191, the local government shall have 60 days to adopt the amendment, adopt the amendment with changes, or determine that it will not adopt the amendment. The adoption of the proposed plan or plan amendment or the determination not to adopt a plan amendment, other than a plan amendment proposed pursuant to s. 163.3191, shall be made in the course of a public hearing pursuant to subsection (15). 31 | The local government shall transmit the complete adopted

comprehensive plan or adopted plan amendment, including the names and addresses of persons compiled pursuant to paragraph (15)(c), to the state land planning agency as specified in the agency's procedural rules within 10 working days after adoption. The local governing body shall also transmit a copy of the adopted comprehensive plan or plan amendment to the regional planning agency and to any other unit of local government or governmental agency in the state that has filed a written request with the governing body for a copy of the plan or plan amendment.

- (8) NOTICE OF INTENT.--
- (a) Except as provided in s. 163.3187(3), the state land planning agency, upon receipt of a local government's complete adopted comprehensive plan or plan amendment, shall have 45 days for review and to determine if the plan or plan amendment is in compliance with this act, unless the amendment is the result of a compliance agreement entered into under subsection (16), in which case the time period for review and determination shall be 30 days. If review was not conducted under subsection (6), the agency's determination must be based upon the plan amendment as adopted. If review was conducted under subsection (6), the agency's determination of compliance must be based only upon one or both of the following:
- 1. The state land planning agency's written comments to the local government pursuant to subsection (6); or
- 2. Any changes made by the local government to the comprehensive plan or plan amendment as adopted.
- (b) During the time period provided for in this subsection, the state land planning agency shall issue, through a senior administrator or the secretary, as specified in the agency's procedural rules, a notice of intent to find

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30 31

that the plan or plan amendment is in compliance or not in compliance. A notice of intent shall be issued by publication in the manner provided by this paragraph and by mailing a copy to the local government and to persons who request notice. The required advertisement shall be no less than 2 columns wide by 10 inches long, and the headline in the advertisement shall be in a type no smaller than 12 point. The advertisement shall not be placed in that portion of the newspaper where legal notices and classified advertisements appear. The advertisement shall be published in a newspaper which meets the size and circulation requirements set forth in paragraph (15)(d)<del>(15)(c)</del>and which has been designated in writing by the affected local government at the time of transmittal of the amendment. Publication by the state land planning agency of a notice of intent in the newspaper designated by the local government shall be prima facie evidence of compliance with the publication requirements of this section.

(c) The state land planning agency shall post a copy of the notice of intent on the agency's Internet site. The agency shall, no later than the date the notice of intent is transmitted to the newspaper, mail a courtesy informational statement to the persons whose names and mailing addresses were compiled pursuant to paragraph (15)(c). The informational statement must identify the newspaper in which the notice of intent will appear, the approximate date of publication of the notice of intent, and the ordinance number of the plan or plan amendment and must advise that the informational statement is provided as a courtesy to the person and that affected persons have 21 days from the actual date of publication of the notice to file a petition. The informational statement must be sent

by regular mail and does not affect the timeframes specified in subsections (9) and (10).

- (15) PUBLIC HEARINGS. --
- (a) The procedure for transmittal of a complete proposed comprehensive plan or plan amendment pursuant to subsection (3) and for adoption of a comprehensive plan or plan amendment pursuant to subsection (7) shall be by affirmative vote of not less than a majority of the members of the governing body present at the hearing. The adoption of a comprehensive plan or plan amendment shall be by ordinance. For the purposes of transmitting or adopting a comprehensive plan or plan amendment, the notice requirements in chapters 125 and 166 are superseded by this subsection, except as provided in this part.
- (b) The local governing body shall hold at least two advertised public hearings on the proposed comprehensive plan or plan amendment as follows:
- 1. The first public hearing shall be held at the transmittal stage pursuant to subsection (3). It shall be held on a weekday at least 7 days after the day that the first advertisement is published.
- 2. The second public hearing shall be held at the adoption stage pursuant to subsection (7). It shall be held on a weekday at least 5 days after the day that the second advertisement is published.
- (c) The local government shall provide a sign-in form at the transmittal hearing and at the adoption hearing for persons to provide their names and mailing addresses. The sign-in form must advise that any person providing the requested information will receive a courtesy informational statement concerning publications of the state land planning

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

agency's notice of intent. The local government shall add to the sign-in form the name and address of any person who submits written comments concerning the proposed plan or plan amendment during the time period between the commencement of the transmittal hearing and the end of the adoption hearing. It is the responsibility of the person completing the form or providing written commends to accurately, completely, and legibly provide all information needed in order to receive the courtesy informational statement.

- (d) The agency shall provide a model sign-in format for providing the list to the agency which may be used by the local government to satisfy the requirements of this subsection.
- (e)(c) If the proposed comprehensive plan or plan amendment changes the actual list of permitted, conditional, or prohibited uses within a future land use category or changes the actual future land use map designation of a parcel or parcels of land, the required advertisements shall be in the format prescribed by s. 125.66(4)(b)2. for a county or by s. 166.041(3)(c)2.b. for a municipality.
  - (16) COMPLIANCE AGREEMENTS. --
- (d) A local government may adopt a plan amendment pursuant to a compliance agreement in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (15)(a). The plan amendment shall be exempt from the requirements of subsections (2)-(7). The local government shall hold a single adoption public hearing pursuant to the requirements of subparagraph (15)(b)2. and  $paragraph(15)(d)\frac{(15)(c)}{(15)(c)}$ . Within 10 working days after adoption of a plan amendment, the local government shall transmit the amendment to the state land planning agency as 31 specified in the agency's procedural rules, and shall submit

one copy to the regional planning agency and to any other unit of local government or government agency in the state that has filed a written request with the governing body for a copy of the plan amendment, and one copy to any party to the proceeding under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 granted intervenor status.

Section 9. Paragraph (k) is added to subsection (1) of section 163.3187, Florida Statutes, to read:

163.3187 Amendment of adopted comprehensive plan. --

- (1) Amendments to comprehensive plans adopted pursuant to this part may be made not more than two times during any calendar year, except:
- (k) A comprehensive plan amendment to adopt a public educational facilities element pursuant to s. 163.31776 and future land-use-map amendments for school siting may be approved notwithstanding statutory limits on the frequency of adopting plan amendments.

Section 10. Paragraph (k) of subsection (2) of section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (1) is added to that subsection, to read:

163.3191 Evaluation and appraisal of comprehensive plan.--

- (2) The report shall present an evaluation and assessment of the comprehensive plan and shall contain appropriate statements to update the comprehensive plan, including, but not limited to, words, maps, illustrations, or other media, related to:
- (k) The coordination of the comprehensive plan with existing public schools and those identified in the applicable <a href="educational">educational</a> 5-year school district facilities <a href="plan">plan</a> work <a href="program">program</a> adopted pursuant to s. 235.185. The assessment shall

address, where relevant, the success or failure of the coordination of the future land use map and associated planned residential development with public schools and their capacities, as well as the joint decisionmaking processes engaged in by the local government and the school board in regard to establishing appropriate population projections and the planning and siting of public school facilities. If the issues are not relevant, the local government shall demonstrate that they are not relevant.

(1) If any of the jurisdiction of the local government is located within the coastal high hazard area, an evaluation of whether any past reduction in land use density impairs the property rights of current residents when redevelopment occurs, including, but not limited to, redevelopment following a natural disaster. The local government must identify strategies to address redevelopment feasibility and the property rights of affected residents. These strategies may include the authorization of redevelopment up to the actual built density in existence on the property prior to the natural disaster or redevelopment.

Section 11. Section 163.3198, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

163.3198 Development of a uniform
fiscal-impact-analysis model for evaluating the cost of
infrastructure to support development.--

(1) The Legislature finds that the quality of growth in this state will benefit greatly by the adoption of a uniform fiscal-impact-analysis tool that can be used by local governments to determine the costs and benefits of new development. To facilitate informed decision-making and accountability by local government, the analysis model must

16 17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

itemize and calculate the costs and fiscal impacts of infrastructure needs created by proposed development, as well 2 3 as the anticipated revenues needed for infrastructure associated with the project. It is intended that the model be 4 5 a minimum base model for implementation by all local 6 governments. Local governments are not required to implement 7 the model until the Legislature approves such implementation, 8 and local governments are not prevented from using other fiscal or economic analysis tools before or after adoption of 9 10 the uniform fiscal-analysis model. The Legislature intends 11 that the analysis provide local government decisionmakers with a clearer understanding of the fiscal impact of new 12 development on the community and its resources. 13 14

- each to be selected by the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, respectively, shall be created to advise the secretary concerning the development of a fiscal-analysis model. The appointments must be made prior to July 1, 2001.
- (a) The technical advisory committee shall advise the state land planning agency concerning:
  - 1. The development of a fiscal-analysis model;
- 2. The selection of one or more models to be tested through six pilot projects;
- 3. Changes that may be made to the model during the testing period, as needed; and
  - 4. Recommendations on the implementation of the model.
- (b) Each member of the technical advisory committee is entitled to reimbursement for per diem and travel expenses, as provided in s. 112.061, while carrying out the official business of the committee.

31

1 (c) The technical advisory committee shall meet at the call of the secretary and shall be dissolved upon the 2 3 submittal of the report and recommendations required in 4 subsection (6). 5 (3)(a) The state land planning agency shall develop 6 one or more fiscal-analysis models for determining the estimated costs and revenues of proposed development. The 7 8 analysis provided by the model is a tool for government decisionmaking, does not constitute an automatic approval or 9 disapproval of new development, and applies to all public and 10 11 private projects and all land-use categories. (b) The model must be capable of estimating the 12 capital, operating, and maintenance costs, and revenues for 13 infrastructure the need for which is created by new 14 development based on the type, scale, and location of various 15 land uses. For the purposes of developing the model, estimated 16 17 costs include those associated with provision of school facilities; transportation facilities; water supply, sewer, 18 19 stormwater, and solid waste services; and publicly provided telecommunications. Estimated revenues include all revenues 20 21 attributable to the proposed development which are used to construct, operate, or maintain the listed infrastructure. The 22 model may be developed with capabilities of estimating other 23 24 costs and benefits directly related to new development, 25 including economic costs and benefits. The Legislature recognizes the potential limitations of such models in fairly 26 27 quantifying important quality-of-life issues, such as the intangible benefits and costs associated with development, 28 29 including, but not limited to, overall impact on community

natural and historic resources, and the Legislature affirms

character, housing costs, compatibility, and impacts to

its intention that this model not be used as the only determinant of the acceptability of new development. In order to develop a model for testing through pilot projects, the state land planning agency shall focus on the infrastructure costs identified in this paragraph. The state land planning agency may allow local governments selected for pilot projects to broaden the model to address other services deemed necessary by the local government; however, in order to broaden considerations of other services, appropriately related revenues and benefits must also be considered.

- (c) The model must be capable of identifying infrastructure deficits or backlogs and the costs associated with addressing such needs.
- (d) As part of its development of a fiscal-analysis model, the state land planning agency shall develop a format by which the local governments shall report to the public, at least annually, the cumulative fiscal impact of their local planning decisions.
- (4) The state land planning agency shall field-test one or more fiscal-analysis models to evaluate their technical validity, financial feasibility for local government implementation, and practical usefulness. The field tests must be conducted as demonstration projects in at least six regionally diverse local government jurisdictions.
- (5) Data, findings, and feedback from the field tests shall be presented to the technical advisory committee at least every 3 months following the initiation of each demonstration project. Based on this feedback, the state land planning agency may adjust or modify one or more models, including consideration of appropriate thresholds and exemptions, and conduct additional field testing if necessary.

| 1  | (6) By February 1, 2003, the state land planning               |
|----|--|
| 2  | agency shall transmit to the Governor, the President of the    |
| 3  | Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a      |
| 4  | report detailing the results of the demonstration projects,    |
| 5  | including estimated costs of implementation, recommendations   |
| 6  | for a uniform fiscal-analysis model, and recommendations for   |
| 7  | statewide implementation of such a model. If the state land    |
| 8  | planning agency determines that a uniform fiscal-analysis      |
| 9  | model is unfeasible, the agency may recommend that the model   |
| 10 | or its application be modified. The report must also include   |
| 11 | recommendations for any changes to existing growth management  |
| 12 | laws and policies necessary to implement the model. However,   |
| 13 | this model is not intended to serve as a replacement for       |
| 14 | concurrency. The report must also include recommendations for  |
| 15 | state technical and financial assistance to help local         |
| 16 | governments in implementing the uniform fiscal-analysis model, |
| 17 | recommendations addressing state and local sources of          |
| 18 | additional infrastructure funding, and recommendations for     |
| 19 | incentives to local governments to encourage identification of |
| 20 | areas in which infrastructure development will be encouraged.  |
| 21 | It is not the intent of this section to repeal concurrency.    |
| 22 | Section 12. The sum of \$500,000 is appropriated to the        |
| 23 | Department of Community Affairs from the General Revenue Fund  |
| 24 | to implement section 10 of this act.                           |
| 25 | Section 13. Section 163.3215, Florida Statutes, is             |
| 26 | amended to read:   |
| 27 | 163.3215 Standing to enforce local comprehensive plans         |
| 28 | through development orders                                     |
| 29 | (1) Any aggrieved or adversely affected party may              |
| 30 | maintain an action for declaratory and injunctive or other     |
| 31 | relief against any local government to reverse any decision of |

local government regarding an application for or to prevent such local government from taking any action on a development order, as defined in s. 163.3164, which materially alters the use or density or intensity of use on a particular piece of property that is not consistent with the comprehensive plan or land development regulation adopted under this part. The action must be filed within 30 days following entry of a development order or other written decision.

- adversely affected party" means any person or local government which will suffer an adverse effect to an interest protected or furthered by the local government comprehensive plan, including interests related to health and safety, police and fire protection service systems, densities or intensities of development, transportation facilities, health care facilities, equipment or services, or environmental or natural resources. The alleged adverse interest may be shared in common with other members of the community at large, but shall exceed in degree the general interest in community good shared by all persons. The term includes the owner, developer, or applicant for a development order.
- (3)(a) No suit may be maintained under this section challenging the approval or denial of a zoning, rezoning, planned unit development, variance, special exception, conditional use, or other development order granted prior to October 1, 1985, or applied for prior to July 1, 1985.

(b) Suit under this section shall be the sole action available to challenge the consistency of a development order with a comprehensive plan adopted under this part. The local government that issues the development order and the owner, developer or applicant for a development order, if suit is

brought by an aggrieved or adversely affected party other than the owner, developer or applicant for a development order, shall be named as respondents in any proceeding pursuant to this section.

- establishing, at a minimum, the components of its
  local-development-review process listed in this subsection,
  the sole action by which an aggrieved and adversely affected
  party may challenge consistency of a development order with
  the comprehensive plan is by a petition for certiorari filed
  in circuit court within 30 days following entry of a
  development order or other written decision of the local
  government. The court has the authority to order injunctive or
  such other relief as it considers appropriate. Minimum
  components of the local process are as follows:
- (a) Notice must be given by publication and by mail to all abutting property owners simultaneous with the filing of an application for development review, if no notice is required for an application for a building permit. The notice must advise that aggrieved or adversely affected persons have the right to request a quasi-judicial hearing and that the request need not be a full-blown petition or complaint and must explain how to initiate the quasi-judicial process and specify the timeframes for initiating the process. The local government shall provide an opportunity for an alternative dispute-resolution process and may stay the formal quasi-judicial hearing for this purpose.
- (b) An opportunity to participate in the process for an aggrieved or adversely affected party which provides a minimum of 90 days to prepare and present a case for a quasi-judicial hearing.

- 1 <u>(c) An opportunity for a minimum 60-day discovery</u>
  2 period before a quasi-judicial hearing.
  - (d) Authority by the special master to issue subpoenas and compel entry upon land.
  - (e) A quasi-judicial hearing before an independent special master who is an attorney having at least 5 years' experience in land use law, and who shall, at the conclusion of the hearing, recommend written findings of fact and conclusions of law.
  - (f) At the quasi-judicial hearing all parties have the opportunity to respond, present evidence and argument on all issues involved that are related to the development order, and conduct cross-examination and submit rebuttal evidence.
  - (g) The standard of review applied by the special master must be in accordance with state law.
  - (h) A hearing before the local government, which shall be bound by the special master's findings of fact unless the findings of fact are not supported by competent substantial evidence. The governing body may modify the conclusions of law if it finds that the special master's application or interpretation of law is erroneous. However, the governing body may correct a misinterpretation of the local government's comprehensive plan or land development regulations without regard to whether the misinterpretation is labeled as a finding of fact or a conclusion of law. The local government's final decision must be reduced to writing and include the findings of fact and conclusions of law and shall not be considered to have been entered or final until officially date-stamped by the municipal or county clerk.

(i) An ex parte communication relating to the merits

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

An ex parte communication relating to the merits of the matter under review may not be made to the governing body after a time to be established by the local ordinance, which may not be later than receipt of the recommended order by the governing body.

- (5) If a local government does not adopt the special-master process set forth in subsection (4), judicial review of the local government's action must be by a de novo proceeding before the circuit court.
- (4) As a condition precedent to the institution of an action pursuant to this section, the complaining party shall first file a verified complaint with the local government whose actions are complained of setting forth the facts upon which the complaint is based and the relief sought by the complaining party. The verified complaint shall be filed no later than 30 days after the alleged inconsistent action has been taken. The local government receiving the complaint shall respond within 30 days after receipt of the complaint. Thereafter, the complaining party may institute the action authorized in this section. However, the action shall be instituted no later than 30 days after the expiration of the 30-day period which the local government has to take appropriate action. Failure to comply with this subsection shall not bar an action for a temporary restraining order to prevent immediate and irreparable harm from the actions complained of.
- (6)(5) Venue in any cases brought under this section shall lie in the county or counties where the actions or inactions giving rise to the cause of action are alleged to have occurred.

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

(7) The signature of an attorney or party constitutes a certificate that he or she has read the pleading, motion, or other paper and that, to the best of his or her knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, it is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or for economic advantage, competitive reasons or frivolous purposes or needless increase in the cost of litigation. If a pleading, motion, or other paper is signed in violation of these requirements, the court, upon motion or its own initiative, shall impose upon the person who signed it, a represented party, or both, an appropriate sanction, which may include an order to pay to the other party or parties the amount of reasonable expenses incurred because of the filing of the pleading, motion, or other paper, including a reasonable attorney's fee.

(8)(7) In any <u>suit</u> action under this section, no settlement shall be entered into by the local government unless the terms of the settlement have been the subject of a public hearing after notice as required by this part.

(9) (8) In any suit under this section, the Department of Legal Affairs may intervene to represent the interests of the state.

Section 14. Section 163.3244, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3244 <u>Livable-communities certification</u> <del>Sustainable communities demonstration project</del>.--

(1) The Department of Community Affairs <u>may create a</u> <u>livable-communities certification program for communities that have implemented best-planning practices through their local government comprehensive plans and specific planning or design</u>

6

7

8

9

27

28

29

30

31

initiatives, thereby reducing the need for state review of amendments to local government comprehensive plans. One of the 2. 3 purposes of the certification program is to encourage certified communities to address the extrajurisdictional 4 effects of development occurring within the certified area and to seek development-of-regional-impact review authority from the department. It is the intent of the Legislature that the department and other executive agencies under the Governor give priority to and direct infrastructure spending to areas within the urban boundaries of certified communities. is 10 11 authorized to undertake a sustainable communities demonstration project. Up to five local governments may be 12 designated under this section. At least three of the local 13 14 governments shall be located totally or in part within the boundaries of the South Florida Water Management District. In 15 selecting the local governments to participate in this 16 17 demonstration project, the department shall assure participation by local governments of different sizes and 18 19 characteristics. It is the intent of the Legislature that 20 this demonstration project shall be used to further six broad 21 principles of sustainability: restoring key ecosystems; achieving a more clean, healthy environment; limiting urban 22 sprawl; protecting wildlife and natural areas; advancing the 23 24 efficient use of land and other resources; and creating 25 quality communities and jobs. (2) A local government may apply to the department in 26

with documents regarding its compliance with criteria set forth in this section.

- (3) In determining whether to designate all or part of a local government as a  $\underline{livable}$  sustainable community, the department shall:
- (a) Assure that the local government has set an <u>urban-development</u> urban development boundary or functionally equivalent mechanisms, based on projected needs and adequate data and analysis, which that will:
- 1. Encourage urban infill at appropriate densities and intensities, separate urban and rural uses, and discourage urban sprawl development patterns while preserving public open space and planning for buffer-type land uses and rural development consistent with their respective character along and outside of the urban boundary.
- 2. Assure protection of key natural areas and agricultural lands. Key natural areas shall include, but not be limited to:
  - a. Wildlife corridors.
- b. Lands with high biological diversity, important areas for threatened and endangered species, migratory bird habitat, and significant intact natural communities.
- c. Significant surface waters and springs, aquatic preserves and Outstanding Florida Waters.
- $\underline{\text{d. Water resources suitable for water resource}}$  development.
  - e. Important mineral resources.
- 3. Ensure the cost-efficient provision of public infrastructure and services.

- (b) Consider and assess the extent to which the local government has adopted programs in its local comprehensive plan or land development regulations which:
- 1. Promote infill development and redevelopment, including prioritized and timely permitting processes in which applications for local development permits within the <a href="urban-development">urban development</a> boundary are acted upon expeditiously for proposed development <a href="that">that</a> which is consistent with the local comprehensive plan.
- 2. Promote the development of housing for low-income and very-low-income households or specialized housing to assist <u>elderly elders</u> and <u>the</u> disabled <u>persons</u> to remain at home or in independent living arrangements.
- 3. Achieve effective intergovernmental coordination and address the extrajurisdictional effects of development within the certified area.
- 4. Promote economic diversity and growth while encouraging the retention of rural character, where rural areas exist, and the protection and restoration of the environment.
- 5. Provide and maintain public urban and rural open space and recreational opportunities.
- 6. Manage transportation and land uses to support public transit and promote opportunities for pedestrian and nonmotorized transportation.
- 7. Use <u>urban-design</u> urban design principles to foster individual community identity, create a sense of place, and promote pedestrian-oriented safe neighborhoods and town centers.
  - 8. Redevelop blighted areas.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

2627

28

29

30

- 9. Improve disaster preparedness programs and the ability to protect lives and property, especially in coastal high-hazard areas.
- 10. Encourage clustered, mixed-use development that which incorporates greenspace and residential development within walking distance of commercial development.
- 11. Demonstrate financial and administrative capabilities to implement the designation.
- 12. Demonstrate a record of effectively adopting, implementing, and enforcing its comprehensive plan.
- (c) Consider and assess the extent to which the local government's government has the support of its regional planning council governing board supports in favor of the designation.
- (4) The department shall certify designate all or part of a local government as a livable sustainable community by written agreement, which shall be considered final agency action. The agreement must shall include the basis for the certification designation, any conditions necessary to comply with the intent of this section, including procedures for mitigation of extrajurisdictional effects impacts of development in jurisdictions where developments of regional impact would be abolished or modified, and criteria for evaluating the success of the designation. Subsequent to executing the agreement, the department may remove the local government's certification designation if it determines that the local government is not meeting the terms of the certification designation agreement. If an affected person, as defined by s. 163.3184(1)(a), determines that a local government is not complying with the terms of the certification designation agreement, he or she may petition

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

for administrative review of local government compliance with the terms of the agreement, using the procedures and timeframes for notice and conditions precedent described in s. 163.3213.

- (5) Upon <u>certification</u> <u>designation</u> as a <u>livable</u> <u>sustainable</u> community, the local government <u>is entitled to shall</u> receive the following benefits:
- (a) All comprehensive plan amendments affecting areas within the urban-growth urban growth boundary or functional equivalent must shall be adopted and reviewed in the manner described in ss. 163.3184(1), (2), (7), (14), (15), and (16) and 163.3187, such that state and regional agency review is eliminated. The department may shall not issue an objections, recommendations, and comments report on proposed plan amendments or a notice of intent on adopted plan amendments; however, affected persons, as defined by s. 163.3184(1)(a), may file a petition for administrative review pursuant to the requirements of s. 163.3187(3)(a) to challenge the compliance of an adopted plan amendment. Plan amendments that would change the adopted urban-development urban development boundary, impact lands outside the urban-development urban development boundary, or impact lands within the coastal high-hazard area shall be reviewed pursuant to ss. 163.3184 and 163.3187.
- (b) Developments within the <u>urban-growth</u> urban growth boundary and outside the coastal high-hazard area are exempt from review pursuant to ss. 380.06 and 380.061 to the extent established in the designation agreement.
- (c) The Executive Office of the Governor shall work with the Department of Community Affairs and other departments to emphasize programs and set priorities for funding within

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

certified areas in certified designated local governments in the areas of education job creation; crime prevention; environmental protection and restoration programs; solid waste recycling; transportation improvements, including highways, transit, and nonmotorized transportation projects; sewage treatment system improvements; expedited and prioritized funding initiatives; and other programs that will direct development within the urban-development boundary of certified assist local governments to create and maintain self-sustaining communities.

- (6) The Secretary of the Department of Environmental Protection, the Secretary of Community Affairs, the Secretary of Transportation, the Commissioner of Agriculture, the executive director of the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, the executive directors of the 11 regional planning councils, and the executive directors of the 5 five water management districts shall have the authority to enter into agreements with landowners, developers, businesses, industries, individuals, and governmental agencies as are may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this section.
- (7) Once certified designated as a livable sustainable community pursuant to this section, the local government shall provide a progress report to the department which and the Advisory Council on Intergovernmental Relations each year on the anniversary date of its designation that identifies plan amendments adopted during the year, updates the future land use map, and advises whether the local government continues to comply with the certification designation agreement. Beginning December 1, 1997, and each year thereafter, the department shall provide a report to the Speaker of the House of 31 | Representatives and the President of the Senate regarding the

2 8

31 <u>pa</u>

report shall include any recommendations for legislative action to modify or repeal the project.

(8) The designation of a local government as a <u>livable</u> sustainable community under this section shall <u>continue</u> be for

successes and failures of this demonstration project. The

- sustainable community under this section shall continue be for a period of 5 years, unless otherwise revoked or renewed by the department. The certification designation may be renewed for additional 5-year periods if the department determines that the local government is complying with the terms of its agreement, showing continuing progress toward sustainable goals, and the demonstration project is still in effect.
- (9) The five communities designated as sustainable communities under the Sustainable Communities project created by chapter 96-416, Laws of Florida, shall be certified by the state land planning agency as livable communities for an initial 5-year period.
- (9) This section shall stand repealed on June 30, 2001, and shall be reviewed by the Legislature prior to that date.
- (10) If this section is repealed, all designations shall terminate as of the effective date of the repeal.
- Section 15. Section 163.32446, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 163.32446 Sustainable rural communities demonstration program.--
- (1) For the purpose of implementing a sustainable rural policy, the Department of Community Affairs may undertake a sustainable rural communities demonstration program. Up to five local governments may be designated under this section. In selecting the local governments to participate in this demonstration project, the department

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

2930

31

shall ensure participation by local governments of different sizes and rural characteristics. It is the intent of the 2 3 Legislature that this demonstration program be used to further the following broad principles of rural sustainability: 4 5 restoration and maintenance of the economic value of rural 6 land; control of urban sprawl; identification and protection 7 of ecosystems, habitats, and natural resources of compelling 8 state interests; promotion of rural economic development; maintenance of the state's agricultural economy; and 9 10 protection of the character of rural areas.

- (2) A local government may apply to the department in writing requesting consideration for designation under the demonstration program. The local government shall describe its reasons for applying for this designation and support its application with documents regarding its compliance with criteria set forth in this section.
- (3) In determining whether to designate all or part of a local government as a sustainable rural community, the department shall:
- (a) Assure that the local government has either established, or expressed its intent to establish, a Rural Land Stewardship Area pursuant to s. 163.3177(11) which corresponds to the area designated.
- (b) Demonstrate financial and administrative capabilities to implement the designation.
- (4) The department shall designate all or part of a local government as a sustainable rural community by written agreement, which constitutes final agency action. The agreement must specify the basis for the designation and criteria for evaluating the success of the designation.

  Subsequent to executing the agreement, the department may

remove the local government's designation if it finds that the local government is not meeting the terms of the agreement. If an affected person, as defined by s. 163.3184(1)(a), determines that a local government is not complying with the terms of the agreement, he or she may petition for administrative review of local government compliance with the terms of the agreement, using the procedures and timeframes for notice and conditions precedent described in s. 163.3213. 

- (5) Upon designation as a sustainable rural community, the Executive Office of the Governor shall work with other agencies to emphasize programs in designated local governments in the areas of job creation, sewage-treatment-system improvements, and expedited and prioritized funding initiatives and other programs that will assist local governments in creating and maintaining self-sustaining rural communities.
- (6) The Secretary of Environmental Protection, the Secretary of Community Affairs, the Secretary of Transportation, the Commissioner of Agriculture, the executive director of the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, regional planning councils, and the executive directors of the five water management districts have the authority to enter into agreements with landowners, developers, businesses, industries, individuals, and governmental agencies which are necessary to effectuate the provisions of this section.
- (7) Once designated as a sustainable community under this section, the local government shall provide a progress report to the department and the Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations each year on the anniversary date of its designation which identifies plan amendments adopted during the year, updates the future land use map, and advises

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

whether the local government continues to comply with the designation agreement. Beginning March 1, 2002, and each year 2 3 thereafter, the department shall provide a report to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of 4 5 Representatives regarding successes and failures of the 6 sustainable rural communities demonstration program. The 7 report shall include any recommendations for legislative 8 action to modify or abolish the program.

Section 16. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 186.504, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

186.504 Regional planning councils; creation; membership.--

- (2) Membership on the regional planning council shall be as follows:
- (a) Representatives appointed by each of the member counties in the geographic area covered by the regional planning council.
- (b) Representatives from other member local general-purpose governments in the geographic area covered by the regional planning council.
- (c) Representatives appointed by the Governor from the geographic area covered by the regional planning council.
- (d) An elected school board member from the geographic area covered by the regional planning council, to be selected by the Florida School Board Association.
- (3) Not less than two-thirds of the representatives serving as voting members on the governing bodies of such regional planning councils shall be elected officials of local general-purpose governments chosen by the cities and counties of the region and the school board member, provided each 31 county shall have at least one vote. The remaining one-third

31 Statutes, is amended to read:

of the voting members on the governing board shall be appointed by the Governor, subject to confirmation by the Senate, and shall reside in the region. No two appointees of the Governor shall have their places of residence in the same county until each county within the region is represented by a Governor's appointee to the governing board. Nothing contained in this section shall deny to local governing bodies or the Governor the option of appointing either locally elected officials or lay citizens provided at least two-thirds of the governing body of the regional planning council is composed of locally elected officials.

Section 17. Subsection (1) of section 186.008, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

186.008 State comprehensive plan; revision; implementation.--

year, the secretary of each affected state agency shall submit to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives proposed revisions to the state comprehensive plan. On or before October 1 of every odd-numbered year, the Executive Office of the Governor shall prepare, and the Governor shall recommend to the Administration Commission, any proposed revisions to the state comprehensive plan deemed necessary. The Governor shall transmit his or her recommendations and explanation as required by s. 186.007(8). Copies shall also be provided to each state agency, to each regional planning agency, to any other unit of government that requests a copy, and to any member of the public who requests a copy.

Section 18. Subsection (1) of section 218.25, Florida

1 218.25 Limitation of shared funds; holders of bonds protected; limitation on use of second quaranteed entitlement 2 3 for counties. --4 (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) with respect 5 to the second guaranteed entitlement for counties, Local 6 governments may shall not use any portion of the moneys 7 received in excess of the guaranteed entitlement for municipalities and the second guaranteed entitlement for 9 counties from the revenue sharing trust funds created by this 10 part to assign, pledge, or set aside as a trust for the 11 payment of principal or interest on bonds, or tax anticipation certificates, or any other form of indebtedness, if such 12 indebtedness is used solely for the purpose of financing those 13 categories of public infrastructure enumerated in s. 163.3180 14 within the designated urban service area on the local 15 government's future land use map adopted pursuant to s. 16 17 163.3177.and There shall be no other use restriction on 18 revenues shared pursuant to this part. The state does hereby 19 covenant with holders of bonds or other instruments of indebtedness issued by local governments prior to July 1, 20 21 1972, that it is not the intent of this part to affect adversely the rights of said holders or to relieve local 22 governments of the duty to meet their obligations as a result 23 24 of previous pledges or assignments or trusts entered into which obligated funds received from revenue sources which by 25 terms of this part shall henceforth be distributed out of the 26 revenue sharing trust funds. 27 28 Section 19. Section 235.002, Florida Statutes, is 29 amended to read: 30 235.002 Intent.--

(1) The intent of the Legislature is to:

5 6

7 8 9

10 11 12

14 15

16

13

17 18

19

20 21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

(a) To provide each student in the public education system the availability of an educational environment appropriate to his or her educational needs which is substantially equal to that available to any similar student, notwithstanding geographic differences and varying local economic factors, and to provide facilities for the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind and other educational institutions and agencies as may be defined by law.

(a) (b) To Encourage the use of innovative designs, construction techniques, and financing mechanisms in building educational facilities for the purposes purpose of reducing costs to the taxpayer, creating a more satisfactory educational environment suited to the community in which each school is located, and reducing the amount of time necessary for design and construction to fill unmet needs, and permitting the on-site and off-site improvements required by law.

(b) (c) To Provide a systematic mechanism whereby educational facilities construction plans can meet the current and projected needs of the public education system population as quickly as possible by building uniform, sound educational environments and to provide a sound base for planning for educational facilities needs.

(c)<del>(d) To</del> Provide <del>proper legislative support</del> for <del>as</del> wide a range of fiscally sound financing methodologies as possible for the delivery of educational facilities and, where appropriate, for their construction, operation, and maintenance.

(d) Establish a systematic process of sharing information between school boards and local governments on the

 growth and development trends in their communities in order to forecast future enrollment and school needs.

- (e) Establish a systematic process by which school boards and local governments can cooperatively plan for the provision of educational facilities to meet the current and projected needs of the public education system, including the needs placed on the public education system as a result of growth and development decisions by local governments.
- (f) Establish a systematic process by which local governments and school boards can cooperatively identify and meet the infrastructure needs of public schools.
  - (2) The Legislature finds and declares that:
- (a) Public schools are a linchpin to the vitality of our communities and play a significant role in the thousands of individual housing decisions that result in community growth trends.

(b)(a) Growth and development issues transcend the boundaries and responsibilities of individual units of government, and often no single unit of government can plan or implement policies to deal with these issues without affecting other units of government.

(c)(b) The effective and efficient provision of public educational facilities and services enhances is essential to preserving and enhancing the quality of life of the people of this state.

 $\underline{(d)(c)}$  The provision of educational facilities often impacts community infrastructure and services. Assuring coordinated and cooperative provision of such facilities and associated infrastructure and services is in the best interest of the state.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30

Section 20. Section 235.15, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.15 Educational plant survey; localized need assessment; PECO project funding.--

- (1) At least every 5 years, each board, including the Board of Regents, shall arrange for an educational plant survey, to aid in formulating plans for housing the educational program and student population, faculty, administrators, staff, and auxiliary and ancillary services of the district or campus, including consideration of the local comprehensive plan. The Division of Workforce Development shall document the need for additional career and adult education programs and the continuation of existing programs before facility construction or renovation related to career or adult education may be included in the educational plant survey of a school district or community college that delivers career or adult education programs. Information used by the Division of Workforce Development to establish facility needs must include, but need not be limited to, labor market data, needs analysis, and information submitted by the school district or community college.
- (a) Survey preparation and required data.--Each survey shall be conducted by the board or an agency employed by the board. Surveys shall be reviewed and approved by the board, and a file copy shall be submitted to the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education. The survey report shall include at least an inventory of existing educational and ancillary plants; recommendations for existing educational and ancillary plants, including safe access facilities; recommendations for new educational or ancillary plants, including the general location of each in coordination

with the land use plan and safe access facilities; campus master plan update and detail for community colleges; the utilization of school plants based on an extended school day or year-round operation; and such other information as may be required by the rules of the State Board of Education. This report may be amended, if conditions warrant, at the request of the board or commissioner.

- (b) Required need assessment criteria for district, community college, and state university plant surveys.——Each Educational plant surveys survey completed after December 31, 1997, must use uniform data sources and criteria specified in this paragraph. Each educational plant survey completed after June 30, 1995, and before January 1, 1998, must be revised, if necessary, to comply with this paragraph. Each revised educational plant survey and each new educational plant survey supersedes previous surveys.
- part of the district educational facilities plan defined in s. 235.185. Each school district's educational plant survey must reflect the capacity of existing satisfactory facilities as reported in the Florida Inventory of School Houses.

  Projections of facility space needs may not exceed the norm space and occupant design criteria established by the State Requirements for Educational Facilities. Existing and projected capital outlay full-time equivalent student enrollment must be consistent with data prepared by the department and must include all enrollment used in the calculation of the distribution formula in s. 235.435(3). All satisfactory relocatable classrooms, including those owned, lease-purchased, or leased by the school district, shall be included in the school district inventory of gross capacity of

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28 29

30 31 facilities and must be counted at actual student capacity for purposes of the inventory. For future needs determination, student capacity shall not be assigned to any relocatable classroom that is scheduled for elimination or replacement with a permanent educational facility in the adopted 5-year educational plant survey and in the district facilities work program adopted under s. 235.185. Those relocatables clearly identified and scheduled for replacement in a school board adopted financially feasible 5-year district facilities work program shall be counted at zero capacity at the time the work program is adopted and approved by the school board. However, if the district facilities work program is changed or altered and the relocatables are not replaced as scheduled in the work program, they must then be reentered into the system for counting at actual capacity. Relocatables may not be perpetually added to the work program and continually extended for purposes of circumventing the intent of this section. All remaining relocatable classrooms, including those owned, lease-purchased, or leased by the school district, shall be counted at actual student capacity. The educational plant survey shall identify the number of relocatable student stations scheduled for replacement during the 5-year survey period and the total dollar amount needed for that replacement. All district educational plant surveys revised after July 1, 1998, shall include information on leased space used for conducting the district's instructional program, in accordance with the recommendations of the department's report authorized in s. 235.056. A definition of satisfactory relocatable classrooms shall be established by rule of the department.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

- Each survey of a special facility, joint-use facility, or cooperative vocational education facility must be based on capital outlay full-time equivalent student enrollment data prepared by the department for school districts, by the Division of Community Colleges for community colleges, and by the Board of Regents for state universities. A survey of space needs of a joint-use facility shall be based upon the respective space needs of the school districts, community colleges, and universities, as appropriate. Projections of a school district's facility space needs may not exceed the norm space and occupant design criteria established by the State Requirements for Educational Facilities.
- 3. Each community college's survey must reflect the capacity of existing facilities as specified in the inventory maintained by the Division of Community Colleges. Projections of facility space needs must comply with standards for determining space needs as specified by rule of the State Board of Education. The 5-year projection of capital outlay student enrollment must be consistent with the annual report of capital outlay full-time student enrollment prepared by the Division of Community Colleges.
- 4. Each state university's survey must reflect the capacity of existing facilities as specified in the inventory maintained and validated by the Board of Regents. Projections of facility space needs must be consistent with standards for determining space needs approved by the Board of Regents. The projected capital outlay full-time equivalent student enrollment must be consistent with the 5-year planned enrollment cycle for the State University System approved by 31 | the Board of Regents.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

- The district educational facilities plan educational plant survey of a school district and the educational plant survey of a-community college-or state university may include space needs that deviate from approved standards for determining space needs if the deviation is justified by the district or institution and approved by the department or the Board of Regents, as appropriate, as necessary for the delivery of an approved educational program.
- (c) Review and validation. -- The Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education department shall review and validate the surveys of school districts and community colleges and any amendments thereto for compliance with the requirements of this chapter and, when required by the State Constitution, shall recommend those in compliance for approval by the State Board of Education.
- (2) Only the superintendent or the college president shall certify to the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education department a project's compliance with the requirements for expenditure of PECO funds prior to release of funds.
- (a) Upon request for release of PECO funds for planning purposes, certification must be made to the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education department that the need for and location of the facility are in compliance with the board-approved survey recommendations, and that the project meets the definition of a PECO project and the limiting criteria for expenditures of PECO funding, and the plan is consistent with the local government comprehensive plan.
- (b) Upon request for release of construction funds, 31 certification must be made to the Office of Educational

Facilities of the Commissioner of Education department that the need and location of the facility are in compliance with the board-approved survey recommendations, that the project meets the definition of a PECO project and the limiting criteria for expenditures of PECO funding, and that the construction documents meet the requirements of the State Uniform Building Code for Educational Facilities Construction or other applicable codes as authorized in this chapter.

Section 21. Subsection (3) of section 235.175, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.175 SMART schools; Classrooms First; legislative purpose.--

PROGRAMS.—It is the purpose of the Legislature to create s. 235.185, requiring each school district annually to adopt an educational facilities plan that provides an integrated long-range facilities plan, including the survey of projected needs and the a district facilities 5-year work program. The purpose of the educational facilities plan district facilities work program is to keep the school board, local governments, and the public fully informed as to whether the district is using sound policies and practices that meet the essential needs of students and that warrant public confidence in district operations. The educational facilities plan district facilities work program will be monitored by the SMART Schools Clearinghouse, which will also apply performance standards pursuant to s. 235.218.

Section 22. Section 235.18, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.18 Annual capital outlay budget.--Each board, including the Board of Regents, shall, each year, adopt a

capital outlay budget for the ensuing year in order that the capital outlay needs of the board for the entire year may be well understood by the public. This capital outlay budget shall be a part of the annual budget and shall be based upon and in harmony with the educational plant and ancillary facilities plan. This budget shall designate the proposed capital outlay expenditures by project for the year from all fund sources. The board may not expend any funds on any project not included in the budget, as amended. Each district school board must prepare its tentative district education facilities plan facilities work program as required by s.

235.185 before adopting the capital outlay budget.

Section 23. Section 235.185, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.185 School district <u>educational</u> facilities <u>plan</u> work program; definitions; preparation, adoption, and amendment; long-term work programs.--

- (1) DEFINITIONS.--As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Adopted educational facilities plan" means the comprehensive planning document that is adopted annually by the district school board as provided in subsection (2) and that contains the educational plant survey.
- (a) "Adopted district facilities work program" means the 5-year work program adopted by the district school board as provided in subsection (3).
- (b) "Tentative District facilities work program" means the 5-year listing of capital outlay projects, adopted by the district school board as provided in subparagraph (2)(a)2. and paragraph (2)(b) as part of the district educational facilities plan, which is required in order to:

- 1. To Properly maintain the educational plant and ancillary facilities of the district.
- 2. To Provide an adequate number of satisfactory student stations for the projected student enrollment of the district in K-12 programs in accordance with the goal in s. 235.062.
- (c) "Tentative educational facilities plan" means the comprehensive planning document prepared annually by the district school board and submitted to the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education and the affected general-purpose local governments.
- (2) PREPARATION OF TENTATIVE DISTRICT <u>EDUCATIONAL</u> FACILITIES PLAN <del>WORK PROGRAM</del>.--
- (a) Annually, prior to the adoption of the district school budget, each school board shall prepare a tentative district educational facilities plan that includes long-range planning for facilities needs over 5-year, 10-year, and 20-year periods. The plan must be developed in coordination with the general-purpose local governments and be consistent with the local government comprehensive plans. The plan must include work program that includes:
- 1. Projected student populations apportioned geographically at the local level. The projections must be based on information produced by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conferences pursuant to s. 216.136, where available, as modified by the district based on development data and agreement with the local governments and the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education. The projections must be apportioned geographically with assistance from the local governments using local development trend data and the school district student enrollment data.

2. An inventory of existing school facilities. Any anticipated expansions or closures of existing school sites over the 5-year, 10-year, and 20-year periods must be identified. The inventory must include an assessment of areas proximate to existing schools and identification of the need for improvements to infrastructure, safety, including safe access routes, and conditions in the community. The plan must also provide a listing of major repairs and renovation projects anticipated over the period of the plan.

- 3. Projections of facilities space needs, which may not exceed the norm space and occupant design criteria established in the State Requirements for Educational Facilities.
- 4. Information on leased, loaned, and donated space and relocatables used for conducting the district's instructional programs.
- 5. The general location of public schools proposed to be constructed over the 5-year, 10-year, and 20-year time periods, including a listing of the proposed schools' site acreage needs and anticipated capacity and maps showing the general locations. The school board's identification of general locations of future school sites must be based on the school siting requirements of s. 163.3177(6)(a) and policies in the comprehensive plan which provide guidance for appropriate locations for school sites.
- 6. The identification of options deemed reasonable and approved by the school board which reduce the need for additional permanent student stations. Such options may include, but need not be limited to:
  - a. Acceptable capacity;
  - b. Redistricting;

c. Busing;

- d. Year-round schools; and
- e. Charter schools.
- 7. The criteria and method, jointly determined by the local government and the school board, for determining the impact to public school capacity in response to a local government request for a report pursuant to s. 235.193(4).
- (b) The plan must also include a financially feasible district facilities work program for a 5-year period. The work program must include:
- 1. A schedule of major repair and renovation projects necessary to maintain the educational  $\underline{\text{facilities}}$   $\underline{\text{plant}}$  and ancillary facilities of the district.
- 2. A schedule of capital outlay projects necessary to ensure the availability of satisfactory student stations for the projected student enrollment in K-12 programs. This schedule shall consider:
- a. The locations, capacities, and planned utilization rates of current educational facilities of the district. The capacity of existing satisfactory facilities, as reported in the Florida Inventory of School Houses must be compared to the capital outlay full-time-equivalent student enrollment as determined by the department including all enrollment used in the calculation of the distribution formula in s. 235.435(3).
- b. The proposed locations of planned facilities, whether those locations are consistent with the comprehensive plans of all affected local governments, and recommendations for infrastructure and other improvements to land adjacent to existing facilities. The provisions of ss. 235.19 and 235.193(6), (7), and (8) must be addressed for new facilities

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

planned within the first 3 years of the work plan, as appropriate.

- c. Plans for the use and location of relocatable facilities, leased facilities, and charter school facilities.
- d. Plans for multitrack scheduling, grade level organization, block scheduling, or other alternatives that reduce the need for additional permanent student stations.
- e. Information concerning average class size and utilization rate by grade level within the district which that will result if the tentative district facilities work program is fully implemented. The average shall not include exceptional student education classes or prekindergarten classes.
- The number and percentage of district students f. planned to be educated in relocatable facilities during each year of the tentative district facilities work program. For determining future needs, student capacity may not be assigned to any relocatable classroom that is scheduled for elimination or replacement with a permanent educational facility in the current year of the adopted district educational facilities plan and in the district facilities work program adopted under this section. Those relocatable classrooms clearly identified and scheduled for replacement in a school-board-adopted, financially feasible, 5-year district facilities work program shall be counted at zero capacity at the time the work program is adopted and approved by the school board. However, if the district facilities work program is changed and the relocatable classrooms are not replaced as scheduled in the work program, the classrooms must be reentered into the system and be counted at actual capacity. Relocatable classrooms may not be perpetually added to the work program or continually

extended for purposes of circumventing this section. All relocatable classrooms not identified and scheduled for replacement, including those owned, lease-purchased, or leased by the school district, must be counted at actual student capacity. The district educational facilities plan must identify the number of relocatable student stations scheduled for replacement during the 5-year survey period and the total dollar amount needed for that replacement.

- g. Plans for the closure of any school, including plans for disposition of the facility or usage of facility space, and anticipated revenues.
- h. Projects for which capital outlay and debt service funds accruing under s. 9(d), Art. XII of the State

  Constitution are to be used shall be identified separately in priority order on a project priority list within the district facilities work program.
- 3. The projected cost for each project identified in the tentative district facilities work program. For proposed projects for new student stations, a schedule shall be prepared comparing the planned cost and square footage for each new student station, by elementary, middle, and high school levels, to the low, average, and high cost of facilities constructed throughout the state during the most recent fiscal year for which data is available from the Department of Education.
- 4. A schedule of estimated capital outlay revenues from each currently approved source which is estimated to be available for expenditure on the projects included in the tentative district facilities work program.

- 5. A schedule indicating which projects included in the tentative district facilities work program will be funded from current revenues projected in subparagraph 4.
- 6. A schedule of options for the generation of additional revenues by the district for expenditure on projects identified in the tentative district facilities work program which are not funded under subparagraph 5. Additional anticipated revenues may include effort index grants, SIT Program awards, and Classrooms First funds.
- (c)(b) To the extent available, the <u>tentative</u> district <u>educational</u> facilities <u>plan</u> work program shall be based on information produced by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conferences pursuant to s. 216.136.
- $\underline{(d)}$  Provision shall be made for public comment concerning the tentative district  $\underline{educational}$  facilities  $\underline{plan}$   $\underline{work\ program}$ .
- (e) The district school board shall coordinate with each affected local government to ensure consistency between the tentative district educational facilities plan and the local government comprehensive plans of the affected local governments during the development of the tentative district educational facilities plan.
- FACILITIES PLAN TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT.--The district school board shall submit a copy of its tentative district educational facilities plan to all affected local governments prior to adoption by the board. The affected local governments shall review the tentative district educational facilities plan and comment to the district school board on the consistency of the plan with the local comprehensive plan, whether a comprehensive plan amendment will be necessary for

4 5

any proposed educational facility, and whether the local government supports a necessary comprehensive plan amendment. If the local government does not support a comprehensive plan amendment for a proposed educational facility, the matter shall be resolved pursuant to the interlocal agreement required by ss. 163.31776(4) and 235.193(2). The process for the submittal and review shall be detailed in the interlocal agreement required pursuant to ss. 163.31776(4) and 235.193(2).

WORK PROGRAM.—Annually, the district school board shall consider and adopt the tentative district educational facilities plan work program completed pursuant to subsection (2). Upon giving proper public notice to the public and local governments and opportunity for public comment, the district school board may amend the plan program to revise the priority of projects, to add or delete projects, to reflect the impact of change orders, or to reflect the approval of new revenue sources which may become available. The adopted district educational facilities plan work program shall:

- (a) Be a complete, balanced, and financially feasible capital outlay financial plan for the district.
- (b) Set forth the proposed commitments and planned expenditures of the district to address the educational facilities needs of its students and to adequately provide for the maintenance of the educational plant and ancillary facilities, including safe access ways from neighborhoods to schools.
- (5)(4) EXECUTION OF ADOPTED DISTRICT <u>EDUCATIONAL</u>
  FACILITIES <u>PLAN</u> WORK <u>PROGRAM</u>.—The first year of the adopted district educational facilities plan work <u>program</u> shall

constitute the capital outlay budget required in s. 235.18. The adopted district <u>educational</u> facilities <u>plan</u> work program shall include the information required in subparagraphs (2)(b)1., 2., and 3.(2)(a)1., 2., and 3. based upon projects actually funded in the program.

the adopted district facilities work program covering the 5-year work program, the district school board shall adopt annually a 10-year and a 20-year work program which include the information set forth in subsection (2), but based upon enrollment projections and facility needs for the 10-year and 20-year periods. It is recognized that the projections in the 10-year and 20-year timeframes are tentative and should be used only for general planning purposes.

Section 24. Section 235.188, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.188 Full bonding required to participate in programs.—Any district with unused bonding capacity in its Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund allocation that certifies in its district educational facilities plan work program that it will not be able to meet all of its need for new student stations within existing revenues must fully bond its Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund allocation before it may participate in Classrooms First, the School Infrastructure Thrift (SIT) Program, or the Effort Index Grants Program.

Section 25. Section 235.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.19 Site planning and selection. --

(1) If the school board and local government have entered into an interlocal agreement pursuant to ss.

 163.31776(4) and 235.193(2) and have developed a process to ensure consistency between the local government comprehensive plan and the school district educational facilities plan and a method to coordinate decisionmaking and approved activities relating to school planning and site selection, the provisions of this section do not apply to such school board and local government.

(2)(1) Before acquiring property for sites, each board shall determine the location of proposed educational centers or campuses for the board. In making this determination, the board shall consider existing and anticipated site needs and the most economical and practicable locations of sites. The board shall coordinate with the long-range or comprehensive plans of local, regional, and state governmental agencies to assure the consistency compatibility of such plans with site planning. Boards are encouraged to locate schools proximate to urban residential areas to the extent possible, and shall seek to collocate schools with other public facilities, such as parks, libraries, and community centers, to the extent possible and to encourage using elementary schools as focal points for neighborhoods.

(3)(2) Each new site selected must be adequate in size to meet the educational needs of the students to be served on that site by the original educational facility or future expansions of the facility through renovation or the addition of relocatables. The Commissioner of Education shall prescribe by rule recommended sizes for new sites according to categories of students to be housed and other appropriate factors determined by the commissioner. Less-than-recommended site sizes are allowed if the board, by a two-thirds majority,

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

recommends such a site and finds that it can provide an

(4)<del>(3)</del> Sites recommended for purchase, or purchased, in accordance with chapter 230 or chapter 240 must meet standards prescribed therein and such supplementary standards as the school board commissioner prescribes to promote the educational interests of the students. Each site must be well drained and suitable for outdoor educational purposes as appropriate for the educational program or colocated with facilities to serve this purpose. As provided in s. 333.03, the site must not be located within any path of flight approach of any airport. Insofar as is practicable, the site must not adjoin a right-of-way of any railroad or through highway and must not be adjacent to any factory or other property from which noise, odors, or other disturbances, or at which conditions, would be likely to interfere with the educational program. To the extent practicable, sites must be chosen that will provide safe access from neighborhoods to schools.

appropriate and equitable educational program on the site.

(5) (4) It shall be the responsibility of the board to provide adequate notice to appropriate municipal, county, regional, and state governmental agencies for requested traffic control and safety devices so they can be installed and operating prior to the first day of classes or to satisfy itself that every reasonable effort has been made in sufficient time to secure the installation and operation of such necessary devices prior to the first day of classes. shall also be the responsibility of the board to review annually traffic control and safety device needs and to request all necessary changes indicated by such review.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28 29

30 31

(6)<del>(5)</del> Each board may request county and municipal governments to construct and maintain sidewalks and bicycle trails within a 2-mile radius of each educational facility within the jurisdiction of the local government. When a board discovers or is aware of an existing hazard on or near a public sidewalk, street, or highway within a 2-mile radius of a school site and the hazard endangers the life or threatens the health or safety of students who walk, ride bicycles, or are transported regularly between their homes and the school in which they are enrolled, the board shall, within 24 hours after discovering or becoming aware of the hazard, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, report such hazard to the governmental entity within the jurisdiction of which the hazard is located. Within 5 days after receiving notification by the board, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, the governmental entity shall investigate the hazardous condition and either correct it or provide such precautions as are practicable to safeguard students until the hazard can be permanently corrected. However, if the governmental entity that has jurisdiction determines upon investigation that it is impracticable to correct the hazard, or if the entity determines that the reported condition does not endanger the life or threaten the health or safety of students, the entity shall, within 5 days after notification by the board, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, inform the board in writing of its reasons for not correcting the condition. The governmental entity, to the extent allowed by law, shall indemnify the board from any liability with respect to accidents or injuries, if any, arising out of the hazardous condition.

1 Section 26. Section 235.193, Florida Statutes, is 2 amended to read: 3 235.193 Coordination of planning with local governing bodies.--4 5 (1) It is the policy of this state to require the 6 coordination of planning between boards and local governing 7 bodies to ensure that plans for the construction and opening of public educational facilities are facilitated and coordinated in time and place with plans for residential 9 10 development, concurrently with other necessary services. Such 11 planning shall include the integration of the educational facilities plan plant survey and applicable policies and 12 procedures of a board with the local comprehensive plan and 13 land development regulations of local governments governing 14 bodies. The planning must include the consideration of 15 allowing students to attend the school located nearest their 16 17 homes when a new housing development is constructed near a county boundary and it is more feasible to transport the 18 19 students a short distance to an existing facility in an 20 adjacent county than to construct a new facility or transport 21 students longer distances in their county of residence. The planning must also consider the effects of the location of 22 public education facilities, including the feasibility of 23 24 keeping central city facilities viable, in order to encourage central city redevelopment and the efficient use of 25 infrastructure and to discourage uncontrolled urban sprawl. In 26 27 addition, all parties to the planning process must consult 28 with state and local road departments to assist in 29 implementing the Safe Paths to Schools program administered by 30 the Department of Transportation. 31

established by the state land planning agency pursuant to s.

163.31776(3) for the transmittal of a public educational
facilities element by general purpose local governments, the
school district, the county, and the participating
municipalities shall enter into an interlocal agreement that
establishes a process for developing coordinated and
consistent local government public educational facilities
elements and a district educational facilities plan, including
a process:

- (a) By which each local government and the school district agree and base their plans on consistent projections of the amount, type, and distribution of population growth and student enrollment.
- (b) To coordinate and share information relating to existing and planned public school facilities and local government plans for development and redevelopment.
- (c) To ensure that school-siting decisions by the school board are consistent with the local comprehensive plan, including appropriate circumstances and criteria under which a school district may request an amendment to the comprehensive plan for school siting, and to ensure early involvement by the local government as the school board identifies potential school sites.
- (d) To coordinate and provide formal comments during the development, adoption, and amendment of each local government's public educational facilities element and the educational facilities plan of the school district to ensure a uniform, countywide school facility planning system.
- (e) For school-district participation in the review of residential development applications for comprehensive plan

```
amendments and rezonings that increase residential density and
    that are reasonably expected to have an impact on public
2
3
    school facility demand pursuant to s. 163.31777. The
    interlocal agreement must specify how the school board and
 4
5
    local governments will develop the methodology and the
6
    criteria for determining whether school facility capacity will
7
    be reasonably available at the time of projected school
8
    impacts, including uniform, districtwide level-of-service
    standards for all public schools of the same type and
9
    availability standards for public schools. The interlocal
10
11
    agreement shall ensure that consistent criteria and
    capacity-determination methodologies are adopted into the
12
    school board's district educational facilities plan and the
13
    local government's public educational facilities element. The
14
    interlocal agreement shall also set forth the process and
15
    uniform methodology for determining proportionate-share
16
    mitigation pursuant to s. 163.31777.
17
          (f) For the resolution of disputes between the school
18
19
    district and local governments.
20
21
    Any school board entering into an interlocal agreement for the
    purpose of adopting public school concurrency prior to the
22
    effective date of this act is not required to amend the
23
24
    interlocal agreement to conform to the provisions of this
25
    subsection if the comprehensive plan amendment adopting public
    school concurrency is ultimately determined to be in
26
27
    compliance.
28
          (3) Failure to enter into an interlocal agreement
29
    shall result in the withholding of funds for school
30
    construction available pursuant to ss. 235.187, 235.216,
31
    235.2195, and 235.42 and a prohibition from siting schools.
```

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

Before the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education may withhold any funds, the office shall provide the school board with a notice of intent to withhold funds, which the school board may appeal under chapter 120. The office shall withhold funds when a final order is issued finding that the school board has failed to enter into an interlocal agreement that meets the requirements of this section.

(4) The school board shall report to the local government on school capacity when the local government notifies the school board that it is reviewing an application for a comprehensive plan amendment or a rezoning that seeks to increase residential density. The report must provide data and analysis as required by s. 163.31777(2) for the local government's review of the proposed plan amendment or rezoning.

(5) (2) A school board and the local governing body must share and coordinate information related to existing and planned public school facilities; proposals for development, redevelopment, or additional development; and infrastructure required to support the public school facilities, concurrent with proposed development. A school board shall use information produced by the demographic, revenue, and education estimating conferences pursuant to s. 216.136 Department of Education enrollment projections when preparing the <del>5-year</del> district educational facilities plan work program pursuant to s. 235.185, as modified and agreed to by the local governments and the Office of Educational Facilities of the Commissioner of Education, in and a school board shall affirmatively demonstrate in the educational facilities report 31 consideration of local governments' population projections, to

 work program not only reflects enrollment projections but also considers applicable municipal and county growth and development projections. The projections shall be apportioned geographically with assistance from the local governments using local government trend data and the school district student enrollment data. A school board is precluded from siting a new school in a jurisdiction where the school board has failed to provide the annual educational facilities plan report for the prior year required pursuant to s. 235.185 s.

(6)(3) The location of public educational facilities shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan of the appropriate local governing body developed under part II of chapter 163 and consistent with the plan's implementing land development regulations, to the extent that the regulations are not in conflict with or the subject regulated is not specifically addressed by this chapter or the State Uniform Building Code, unless mutually agreed by the local government and the board.

(7)(4) To improve coordination relative to potential educational facility sites, a board shall provide written notice to the local government that has regulatory authority over the use of the land at least 120 60 days prior to acquiring or leasing property that may be used for a new public educational facility. The local government, upon receipt of this notice, shall notify the board within 45 days if the site proposed for acquisition or lease is consistent with the land use categories and policies of the local government's comprehensive plan. This preliminary notice does

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30

not constitute the local government's determination of consistency pursuant to subsection(8)(5).

(8) (8) (5) As early in the design phase as feasible, but at least before commencing construction of a new public educational facility, the local governing body that regulates the use of land shall determine, in writing within 90 days after receiving the necessary information and a school board's request for a determination, whether a proposed public educational facility is consistent with the local comprehensive plan and consistent with local land development regulations, to the extent that the regulations are not in conflict with or the subject regulated is not specifically addressed by this chapter or the State Uniform Building Code, unless mutually agreed. If the determination is affirmative, school construction may proceed and further local government approvals are not required, except as provided in this section. Failure of the local governing body to make a determination in writing within 90 days after a school board's request for a determination of consistency shall be considered an approval of the school board's application.

(9)(6) A local governing body may not deny the site applicant based on adequacy of the site plan as it relates solely to the needs of the school. If the site is consistent with the comprehensive plan's future land use policies and categories in which public schools are identified as allowable uses, the local government may not deny the application but it may impose reasonable development standards and conditions in accordance with s. 235.34(1) and consider the site plan and its adequacy as it relates to environmental concerns, health, safety and welfare, and effects on adjacent property. Standards and conditions may not be imposed which conflict

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

with those established in this chapter or the State Uniform Building Code, unless mutually agreed.

(10)(7) This section does not prohibit a local governing body and district school board from agreeing and establishing an alternative process for reviewing a proposed educational facility and site plan, and offsite impacts pursuant to an interlocal agreement adopted in accordance with this section.

(11)<del>(8)</del> Existing schools shall be considered consistent with the applicable local government comprehensive plan adopted under part II of chapter 163. The collocation of a new proposed public educational facility with an existing public educational facility, or the expansion of an existing public educational facility is not inconsistent with the local comprehensive plan, if the site is consistent with the comprehensive plan's future land use policies and categories in which public schools are identified as allowable uses, and levels of service adopted by the local government for any facilities affected by the proposed location for the new facility are maintained. If a board submits an application to expand an existing school site, the local governing body may impose reasonable development standards and conditions on the expansion only, and in a manner consistent with s. 235.34(1). Standards and conditions may not be imposed which conflict with those established in this chapter or the State Uniform Building Code, unless mutually agreed. Local government review or approval is not required for:

- (a) The placement of temporary or portable classroom facilities; or
- (b) Proposed renovation or construction on existing school sites, with the exception of construction that changes

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

the primary use of a facility, includes stadiums, or results in a greater than 5 percent increase in student capacity, or as mutually agreed.

Section 27. Section 235.194, Florida Statutes, is repealed.

Section 28. Section 235.218, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.218 School district educational facilities plan work program performance and productivity standards; development; measurement; application. --

- (1) The SMART Schools Clearinghouse shall develop and adopt measures for evaluating the performance and productivity of school district educational facilities plans work programs. The measures may be both quantitative and qualitative and must, to the maximum extent practical, assess those factors that are within the districts' control. The measures must, at a minimum, assess performance in the following areas:
  - Frugal production of high-quality projects. (a)
  - (b) Efficient finance and administration.
- (c) Optimal school and classroom size and utilization rate.
  - (d) Safety.
  - Core facility space needs and cost-effective capacity improvements that consider demographic projections.
    - (f) Level of district local effort.
- The clearinghouse shall establish annual (2) performance objectives and standards that can be used to evaluate district performance and productivity.
- (3) The clearinghouse shall conduct ongoing evaluations of district educational facilities program 31 performance and productivity, using the measures adopted under

this section. If, using these measures, the clearinghouse finds that a district failed to perform satisfactorily, the clearinghouse must recommend to the district school board actions to be taken to improve the district's performance.

Section 29. Section 235.321, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

award of contract.—The board may, at its option and by written policy duly adopted and entered in its official minutes, authorize the superintendent or president or other designated individual to approve change orders in the name of the board for preestablished amounts. Approvals shall be for the purpose of expediting the work in progress and shall be reported to the board and entered in its official minutes. For accountability, the school district shall monitor and report the impact of change orders on its district educational facilities plan work program pursuant to s. 235.185.

Section 30. Paragraph (d) of subsection (5) of section 236.25, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

236.25 District school tax.--

(5)

 (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, if through its adopted <u>educational</u> facilities <u>plan</u> work program a district has clearly identified the need for an ancillary plant, has provided opportunity for public input as to the relative value of the ancillary plant versus an educational plant, and has obtained public approval, the district may use revenue generated by the millage levy authorized by subsection (2) for the construction, renovation, remodeling, maintenance, or repair of an ancillary plant.

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

1213

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30 31 A district that violates these expenditure restrictions shall have an equal dollar reduction in funds appropriated to the district under s. 236.081 in the fiscal year following the audit citation. The expenditure restrictions do not apply to any school district that certifies to the Commissioner of Education that all of the district's instructional space needs for the next 5 years can be met from capital outlay sources that the district reasonably expects to receive during the next 5 years or from alternative scheduling or construction, leasing, rezoning, or technological methodologies that exhibit sound management.

Section 31. Section 236.255, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

236.255 School District Guaranty Program. -- The School District Guaranty Program is created. The purpose of the program is to provide school districts a means to enhance their credit and borrowing capacity to the extent of their authorized millage for the purpose of issuing certificates of participation. A district school board may request the financial backing of the state or county in the issuance of certificates of participation. Any such financial backing by the state or county is optional and shall be limited to the financial backing of amounts in excess of 50 percent of the school board's authorized millage. However, nothing in this section allows a district school board to exceed the payment limits established in s. 236.25(2)(e). The school board must submit its request to the State Board of Education or the board of county commissioners, as applicable. The State Board of Education or the board of county commissioners may grant such financial backing based on the availability of funds appropriated or otherwise set aside for that purpose.

Section 32. Subsection (12), paragraph (c) of subsection (15), and subsections (18) and (19) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

380.06 Developments of regional impact.--

- (12) REGIONAL REPORTS.--
- (a) Within 50 days after receipt of the notice of public hearing required in paragraph (11)(c), the regional planning agency, if one has been designated for the area including the local government, shall prepare and submit to the local government a report and recommendations on the regional impact of the proposed development. In preparing its report and recommendations, the regional planning agency shall identify regional issues based upon the following review criteria and make recommendations to the local government on these regional issues, specifically considering whether, and the extent to which:
- 1. The development will have a favorable or unfavorable impact on state or regional resources or facilities identified in the applicable state or regional plans. For the purposes of this subsection, "applicable state plan" means the state comprehensive plan. For the purposes of this subsection, "applicable regional plan" means an adopted comprehensive regional policy plan until the adoption of a strategic regional policy plan pursuant to s. 186.508, and thereafter means an adopted strategic regional policy plan.
- 2. The development will significantly impact adjacent jurisdictions. At the request of the appropriate local government, regional planning agencies may also review and comment upon issues that affect only the requesting local government.

4 5

- 3. As one of the issues considered in the review in subparagraphs 1. and 2., the development will favorably or adversely affect the ability of people to find adequate housing reasonably accessible to their places of employment. The determination should take into account information on factors that are relevant to the availability of reasonably accessible adequate housing. Adequate housing means housing that is available for occupancy and that is not substandard.
  - (b) At the request of the regional planning agency, other appropriate agencies shall review the proposed development and shall prepare reports and recommendations on issues that are clearly within the jurisdiction of those agencies. Such agency reports shall become part of the regional planning agency report; however, the regional planning agency may attach dissenting views. When water management district and Department of Environmental Protection permits have been issued pursuant to chapter 373 or chapter 403, the regional planning council may comment on the regional implications of the permits but may not offer conflicting recommendations.
  - (c) The regional planning agency shall afford the developer or any substantially affected party reasonable opportunity to present evidence to the regional planning agency head relating to the proposed regional agency report and recommendations.
  - (d) Where the location of a proposed development involves land within the boundaries of multiple regional planning councils, the state land planning agency shall designate a lead regional planning council. The lead regional planning council shall prepare the regional report.
    - (15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER.--

- (c) The development order shall include findings of fact and conclusions of law consistent with subsections (13) and (14). The development order:
- 1. Shall specify the monitoring procedures and the local official responsible for assuring compliance by the developer with the development order.
- 2. Shall establish compliance dates for the development order, including a deadline for commencing physical development and for compliance with conditions of approval or phasing requirements, and shall include a termination date that reasonably reflects the time required to complete the development.
- 3. Shall establish a date until which the local government agrees that the approved development of regional impact shall not be subject to downzoning, unit density reduction, or intensity reduction, unless the local government can demonstrate that substantial changes in the conditions underlying the approval of the development order have occurred or the development order was based on substantially inaccurate information provided by the developer or that the change is clearly established by local government to be essential to the public health, safety, or welfare.
- 4. Shall specify the requirements for the <u>biennial</u> annual report designated under subsection (18), including the date of submission, parties to whom the report is submitted, and contents of the report, based upon the rules adopted by the state land planning agency. Such rules shall specify the scope of any additional local requirements that may be necessary for the report.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

- 1 May specify the types of changes to the development 2 which shall require submission for a substantial deviation 3 determination under subsection (19). 4
  - 6. Shall include a legal description of the property.
  - (18) BIENNIAL ANNUAL REPORTS. -- The developer shall submit a biennial an annual report on the development of regional impact to the local government, the regional planning agency, the state land planning agency, and all affected permit agencies in alternate years on the date specified in the development order, unless the development order by its terms requires more frequent monitoring. If the annual report is not received, the regional planning agency or the state land planning agency shall notify the local government. If the local government does not receive the biennial annual report or receives notification that the regional planning agency or the state land planning agency has not received the report, the local government shall request in writing that the developer submit the report within 30 days. The failure to submit the report after 30 days shall result in the temporary suspension of the development order by the local government. If no additional development pursuant to the development order has occurred since the submission of the previous report, a letter from the developer stating that no development has occurred satisfies the requirement for a report. Development orders that require annual reports may be amended to require biennial reports at the option of the local government.
    - (19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS.--
  - (a) Any proposed change to a previously approved development which creates a reasonable likelihood of additional regional impact, or any type of regional impact created by the change not previously reviewed by the regional

planning agency, shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be subject to further development-of-regional-impact review. There are a variety of reasons why a developer may wish to propose changes to an approved development of regional impact, including changed market conditions. The procedures set forth in this subsection are for that purpose.

- (b) Any proposed change to a previously approved development of regional impact or development order condition which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes, exceeds any of the following criteria shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be subject to further development-of-regional-impact review without the necessity for a finding of same by the local government:
- 1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an attraction or recreational facility by 5 percent or 300 spaces, whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of spectators that may be accommodated at such a facility by 5 percent or 1,000 spectators, whichever is greater.
- 2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25-percent lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25-percent increase in the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the increase adds at least three additional gates. However, if an airport is located in two counties, a 10-percent lengthening of an existing runway or a 20-percent increase in the number of gates of an existing terminal is the applicable criteria.
- 3. An increase in the number of hospital beds by 5 percent or 60 beds, whichever is greater.
- 4. An increase in industrial development area by 5 percent or 32 acres, whichever is greater.

- 5. An increase in the average annual acreage mined by 5 percent or 10 acres, whichever is greater, or an increase in the average daily water consumption by a mining operation by 5 percent or 300,000 gallons, whichever is greater. An increase in the size of the mine by 5 percent or 750 acres, whichever is less.
- 6. An increase in land area for office development by 5 percent or 6 acres, whichever is greater, or an increase of gross floor area of office development by 5 percent or 60,000 gross square feet, whichever is greater.
- 7. An increase in the storage capacity for chemical or petroleum storage facilities by 5 percent, 20,000 barrels, or 7 million pounds, whichever is greater.
- 8. An increase of development at a waterport of wet storage for 20 watercraft, dry storage for 30 watercraft, or wet/dry storage for 60 watercraft in an area identified in the state marina siting plan as an appropriate site for additional waterport development or a 5-percent increase in watercraft storage capacity, whichever is greater.
- 9. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 5 percent or 50 dwelling units, whichever is greater.
- 10. An increase in commercial development by  $\frac{6 \text{ acres}}{6 \text{ of land area or by}}$  50,000 square feet of gross floor area, or of parking spaces provided for customers for 300 cars or a 5-percent increase of  $\frac{\text{either}}{6 \text{ any}}$  of these, whichever is greater.
- 11. An increase in hotel or motel facility units by 5 percent or 75 units, whichever is greater.
- 12. An increase in a recreational vehicle park area by 5 percent or 100 vehicle spaces, whichever is less.

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

- 13. A decrease in the area set aside for open space of 5 percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.
- A proposed increase to an approved multiuse development of regional impact where the sum of the increases of each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 100 percent. The percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall be treated as an increase for purposes of determining when 100 percent has been reached or exceeded.
- 15. A 15-percent increase in the number of external vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was projected during the original development-of-regional-impact review.
- Any change which would result in development of any area which was specifically set aside in the application for development approval or in the development order for preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern and their habitat, primary dunes, or archaeological and historical sites designated as significant by the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State. The further refinement of such areas by survey shall be considered under sub-subparagraph (e)5.b.

The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 25 4., 6., 10., 14., excluding residential uses, and 15., are 26

27 increased by 100 percent for a project certified under s. 28

403.973 which creates jobs and meets criteria established by

the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development as to 29

its impact on an area's economy, employment, and prevailing 30

31 wage and skill levels. The substantial deviation numerical

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

29

30 31 standards in subparagraphs 4., 6., 9., 10., 11., and 14. are increased by 50 percent for a project located wholly within an urban infill and redevelopment area designated on the applicable adopted local comprehensive plan future land use map and not located within the coastal high hazard area.

- (c) An extension of the date of buildout of a development, or any phase thereof, by 7 or more years shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation subject to further development-of-regional-impact review. An extension of the date of buildout, or any phase thereof, of 5 years or more but less than 7 years shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation. These presumptions may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local government. An extension of less than 5 years is not a substantial deviation. For the purpose of calculating when a buildout, phase, or termination date has been exceeded, the time shall be tolled during the pendency of administrative or judicial proceedings relating to development permits. Any extension of the buildout date of a project or a phase thereof shall automatically extend the commencement date of the project, the termination date of the development order, the expiration date of the development of regional impact, and the phases thereof by a like period of time.
- (d) A change in the plan of development of an approved development of regional impact resulting from requirements imposed by the Department of Environmental Protection or any water management district created by s. 373.069 or any of their successor agencies or by any appropriate federal regulatory agency shall be submitted to the local government pursuant to this subsection. The change shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation subject to further

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

2728

29

30

31

development-of-regional-impact review. The presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local government.

(e)1. A proposed change which, either individually or, if there were previous changes, cumulatively with those changes, is equal to or exceeds 40 percent of any numerical criterion in subparagraphs (b)1.-15., but which does not exceed such criterion, shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation subject to further development-of-regional-impact review. The presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local government pursuant to subparagraph (f)5.

1.<del>2.</del> Except for a development order rendered pursuant to subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a development order that individually or cumulatively with any previous change is less than 40 percent of any numerical criterion contained in subparagraphs(b)1.-14.(b)1.-15.and does not exceed any other criterion, or that involves an extension of the buildout date of a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years is not a substantial deviation, is not subject to the public hearing requirements of subparagraph (f)3., and is not subject to a determination pursuant to subparagraph (f)5. Notice of the proposed change shall be made to the regional planning council and the state land planning agency. Such notice shall include a description of previous individual changes made to the development, including changes previously approved by the local government, and shall include appropriate amendments to the development order.

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23 24 25
- 26 27
- 28
- 29
- 30

- 2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations:
- Changes in the name of the project, developer, owner, or monitoring official.
- Changes to a setback that do not affect noise buffers, environmental protection or mitigation areas, or archaeological or historical resources.
  - Changes to minimum lot sizes.
- d. Changes in the configuration of internal roads that do not affect external access points.
- Changes to the building design or orientation that stay approximately within the approved area designated for such building and parking lot, and which do not affect historical buildings designated as significant by the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State.
- f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development, provided that no development is proposed on the acreage to be added.
- g. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, provided that there are no additional regional impacts.
- Changes required to conform to permits approved by any federal, state, or regional permitting agency, provided that these changes do not create additional regional impacts.
- Any other change which the state land planning agency agrees in writing is similar in nature, impact, or character to the changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs a.-h. and which does not create the likelihood of any additional regional impact.
- This subsection does not require a development order amendment 31 | for any change listed in sub-subparagraphs a.-i. unless such

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

issue is addressed either in the existing development order or in the application for development approval, but, in the case of the application, only if, and in the manner in which, the application is incorporated in the development order.

- 3. Except for the change authorized by sub-subparagraph 2.f., any addition of land not previously reviewed or any change not specified in paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation. This presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.
- Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously approved development shall include a description of individual changes previously made to the development, including changes previously approved by the local government. The local government shall consider the previous and current proposed changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively constitute a substantial deviation requiring further development-of-regional-impact review.
- The following changes to an approved development of regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.
- A change proposed for 15 percent or more of the acreage to a land use not previously approved in the development order. Changes of less than 15 percent shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation.
- b. Except for the types of uses listed in subparagraph (b)16., any change which would result in the development of any area which was specifically set aside in the application for development approval or in the development order for 31 preservation, buffers, or special protection, including

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

habitat for plant and animal species, archaeological and historical sites, dunes, and other special areas.

- c. Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to the contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous increases and decreases of at least two of the uses within an authorized multiuse development of regional impact which was originally approved with three or more uses specified in s. 380.0651(3)(c), (d), (f), and (g) and residential use.
- (f)1. The state land planning agency shall establish by rule standard forms for submittal of proposed changes to a previously approved development of regional impact which may require further development-of-regional-impact review. minimum, the standard form shall require the developer to provide the precise language that the developer proposes to delete or add as an amendment to the development order.
- The developer shall submit, simultaneously, to the 2. local government, the regional planning agency, and the state land planning agency the request for approval of a proposed change.
- No sooner than 30 days but no later than 45 days 3. after submittal by the developer to the local government, the state land planning agency, and the appropriate regional planning agency, the local government shall give 15 days' notice and schedule a public hearing to consider the change that the developer asserts does not create a substantial deviation. This public hearing shall be held within 90 days after submittal of the proposed changes, unless that time is extended by the developer.
- The appropriate regional planning agency or the state land planning agency shall review the proposed change 31 and, no later than 45 days after submittal by the developer of

 the proposed change, unless that time is extended by the developer, and prior to the public hearing at which the proposed change is to be considered, shall advise the local government in writing whether it objects to the proposed change, shall specify the reasons for its objection, if any, and shall provide a copy to the developer. A change which is subject to the substantial deviation criteria specified in sub-subparagraph (e)5.c. shall not be subject to this requirement.

- 5. At the public hearing, the local government shall determine whether the proposed change requires further development-of-regional-impact review. The provisions of paragraphs (a) and (e), the thresholds set forth in paragraph (b), and the presumptions set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) and subparagraph (e)3.subparagraphs (e)1. and 3.shall be applicable in determining whether further development-of-regional-impact review is required.
- 6. If the local government determines that the proposed change does not require further development-of-regional-impact review and is otherwise approved, or if the proposed change is not subject to a hearing and determination pursuant to subparagraphs 3. and 5. and is otherwise approved, the local government shall issue an amendment to the development order incorporating the approved change and conditions of approval relating to the change. The decision of the local government to approve, with or without conditions, or to deny the proposed change that the developer asserts does not require further review shall be subject to the appeal provisions of s. 380.07. However, the state land planning agency may not appeal the local government decision if it did not comply with subparagraph 4. The state land

4 5

planning agency may not appeal a change to a development order made pursuant to subparagraph (e)2. for developments of regional impact approved after January 1, 1980, unless the change would result in a significant impact to a regionally significant archaeological, historical, or natural resource not previously identified in the original development-of-regional-impact review.

- (g) If a proposed change requires further development-of-regional-impact review pursuant to this section, the review shall be conducted subject to the following additional conditions:
- 1. The development-of-regional-impact review conducted by the appropriate regional planning agency shall address only those issues raised by the proposed change except as provided in subparagraph 2.
- 2. The regional planning agency shall consider, and the local government shall determine whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the proposed change as it relates to the entire development. If the local government determines that the proposed change, as it relates to the entire development, is unacceptable, the local government shall deny the change.
- 3. If the local government determines that the proposed change, as it relates to the entire development, should be approved, any new conditions in the amendment to the development order issued by the local government shall address only those issues raised by the proposed change.
- 4. Development within the previously approved development of regional impact may continue, as approved, during the development-of-regional-impact review in those

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30

portions of the development which are not affected by the proposed change.

(h) When further development-of-regional-impact review is required because a substantial deviation has been determined or admitted by the developer, the amendment to the development order issued by the local government shall be consistent with the requirements of subsection (15) and shall be subject to the hearing and appeal provisions of s. 380.07. The state land planning agency or the appropriate regional planning agency need not participate at the local hearing in order to appeal a local government development order issued pursuant to this paragraph.

Section 33. Paragraphs (d) and (f) of subsection (3) of section 380.0651, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

380.0651 Statewide guidelines and standards.--

- (3) The following statewide guidelines and standards shall be applied in the manner described in s. 380.06(2) to determine whether the following developments shall be required
- (d) Office development. -- Any proposed office building or park operated under common ownership, development plan, or management that:

to undergo development-of-regional-impact review:

- Encompasses 300,000 or more square feet of gross floor area; or
  - 2. Has a total site size of 30 or more acres; or
- 2.3. Encompasses more than 600,000 square feet of gross floor area in a county with a population greater than 500,000 and only in a geographic area specifically designated as highly suitable for increased threshold intensity in the approved local comprehensive plan and in the strategic 31 regional policy plan.

29

30

31

(d)

provision of deficit infrastructure.

of capital outlay projects.

Identifies opportunities for the joint financing

31

fiscal year.

1 (e) Identifies any areas that the municipalities plan to annex within the next 5 years and establishes a plan for 2 3 service delivery within the areas to be annexed or a process for resolving service-delivery issues associated with 4 5 annexation. 6 (f) Provides specific procedures for amending the 7 interlocal agreement. 8 (2) Each county and municipality shall submit a copy 9 of its interlocal agreement to the Department of Community 10 Affairs by February 15, 2005. 11 (3) The regional planning councils may provide technical assistance and dispute-resolution services to assist 12 local governments in complying with this section. 13 Section 35. By December 15, 2001, the Governor shall 14 report to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the 15 House of Representatives on the identification of "compelling 16 17 state interests" that are relevant to growth-management decisions, as developed by the Department of Environmental 18 19 Protection, the Department of Community Affairs, and the Department of Transportation. The Governor shall provide 20 21 legislative recommendations on the feasibility of using 'compelling state interests" as a standard for limiting state 22 review of amendments to a local government's comprehensive 23 24 plan. Section 36. The sum of \$500,000 is appropriated from 25 the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Community 26 27 Affairs for the purpose of funding the Urban Infill and 28 Redevelopment Assistance Grant Program established under 29 section 163.2523, Florida Statutes, during the 2001-2002

Section 37. The Legislature finds that the integration of the growth-management system and the planning of public educational facilities is a matter of great public importance. Section 38. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this act, this act shall take effect upon becoming a law. 

| 1  | STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES CONTAINED IN COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Senate Bills 310 and 380   |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | The Committee Substitute makes a number of significant changes<br>to the system of growth management in Florida which include:   |
| 5  | Educational Facility Planning  |
| 6  | Requires all local governments to adopt an educational   |
| 7  | facilities element and to enter into an interlocal agreement with the school board to establish a process for evaluating   |
| 8  | school capacity. High growth and high population counties are required to send their educational facilities element to DCA   |
| 9  | by January 1, 2003 and the remaining counties are required to do so by January 1, 2007.  |
| 10 | The interlocal agreement must be executed no later than 6  |
| 11 | months prior to the educational facility deadline and must<br>contain a process whereby the school district determines<br>whether capacity is available to serve residential development<br>applications for comprehensive plan amendments and rezonings     |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | overcrowding and define acceptable mitigation options.   |
| 15 | School Boards are required to provide the local government with a school capacity report based on their district educational facilities plan as part of the review process for comprehensive plan amendments or rezonings that increase residential density. |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | The local government must deny a request for a comprehensive   |
| 19 | plan amendment or rezoning that increases density, if the school facility capacity will not be available at the time of projected development unless the developer provides  |
| 20 | proportionate share mitigation.  |
| 21 | The school board's determination of capacity constitutes competent substantial evidence to support the denial of the   |
| 22 | plan amendment or rezoning request.  |
| 23 | Requires a school board to sit on the local planning agency and provides that the membership of regional planning councils must include an elected school board member.  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | Fiscal Impact Analysis Model   |
| 26 | Requires DCA, with input from a three-person technical advisory committee, with a member to be appointed by the  |
| 27 | Governor, Speaker of the House of Representatives and President of the Senate, to develop a uniform fiscal impact  |
| 28 | analysis model that can be used by local governments to determine the costs and benefits of new development.   |
| 29 | The model must:  |
| 30 |  |
| 31 | 1) Be capable of estimating the cost of the provision of schools; transportation facilities; water supply; sewer; stormwater; solid waste and telecommunications services.  111  |
|    |  |

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

| 1<br>2  | Revenues include all revenues attributable to proposed development which are used to construct, operate, or maintain the listed infrastructure;  |
|---|--|
| 3   | The model must be capable of estimating infrastructure deficits;   |
| 4<br>5  | The model be field-tested in six local government jurisdictions; and   |
| 6   | 4) The model is not intended to be a substitute for concurrency.   |
| 7<br>8<br>9   | The Department of Community Affairs is required to report to the Governor, President and Speaker of the House by February 1, 2003.   |
| 10  | The CS appropriates \$500,000 to DCA to fund development of the model.   |
| 11  | Livable Communities  |
| 12<br>13  | Changes the name and expands the sustainable communities demonstration program to other local governments that meet qualifications. To be eligible, local governments must adopt an urban development boundary.  |
| 14<br>15<br>16  | Such communities would enter a certification agreement with DCA listing commitments and, for some local governments, providing the delegation of DRI review.   |
| 17  | Grandfathers existing sustainable communities for an initial 5-year certification period.  |
| 18<br>19  | Rural Land Stewardship Areas and Sustainable Rural Communities Demonstration Program   |
| 20  | Creates a pilot project for 5 rural governments to pilot the rural land stewardship concept.   |
| <ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul> | Within a rural land stewardship area, a system of transferable rural land use credits would be established. The credits are transferable only within the stewardship area and only to designated receiving areas. Once the credits are purchased, a deed restriction is recorded limiting the density of the property remaining. |
| 25  | Comprehensive Plan Amendment Review Process  |
| 26  | Streamlines state and regional agency review of comprehensive plan amendments.   |
| <ul><li>27</li><li>28</li><li>29</li></ul>            | Revises notice for DCA's Notices of Intent to utilize Internet notice and to reduce the cost to the agency of such advertising and provide personal notice to individuals who participate in local government comprehensive planning hearings.   |
| 30  | Provides Enhanced Notice and Citizen Standing  |
| 31  | Requires local governments to provide enhanced notice in order to encourage early citizen participation in land use 112  |

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

```
decision-making. Applicants whose development proposal exceeds a threshold to be set by the local government are required to
      conduct community meetings. Applicants must bear the cost of
      posting signs.
 3
      Significantly broadens the definition of persons who have standing to challenge comprehensive plan actions to include persons who are substantially affected by an amendment.
 4
 5
       Judicial Review of Developments Orders
 6
      Allows challenges to development orders based on inconsistency
 7
      with the local comprehensive plan to be consolidated with challenges to development orders based on inconsistency with a
 8
      land development regulation.
      Establishes an optional special master process. If a local government elects to adopt process, judicial review of a local government's decision is by writ of certiorari.
 9
10
      If a local government does not use a special master process, judicial review of local government decision shall be through a de novo proceeding.
11
12
13
      Concurrency within Urban Infill and Redevelopment Areas
      Waives concurrency within urban infill and redevelopment areas when such waiver will not adversely affect public health and
14
      welfare.
15
16
      Interlocal Service Agreements
      Requires counties that exceed 100,000 in population and the municipalities and special districts within the county to negotiate interlocal service agreements to address issues such as service provision, capital financing and annexation.
17
18
19
      Deadline for entering agreements is January 1, 2005.
20
      Water Supply Planning
21
      Requires local governments, beginning October 1, 2002, to use water supply plan data from the appropriate water management in the potable water element.
22
23
      Developments of Regional Impact
24
      Changes annual reporting requirement to biennial.
25
      Removes acreage threshold for office development and
26
      commercial.
27
      Adjusts substantial deviation presumptions.
2.8
       Compelling State Interests
      Requires the Governor to report to the Legislature by December 15, 2001 on the development of Compelling State Interests as a method for limiting state review or comprehensive plan
29
30
      amendments.
31
```

113

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

State Comprehensive Plan

Requires affected state agencies to recommend changes to the State Comprehensive Plan by September 1, of every odd-numbered Local Government Finance Removes limitations on the amount of revenue sharing dollars received by counties and municipalities, and school board millage which may be bonded. <u>Appropriations</u> Appropriates \$500,000 to the urban infill and redevelopment grant program. Appropriates \$500,000 to the Department of Community Affairs to fund th development of a uniform-fiscal-impact analysis